Brage IMR – Havforskningsinstituttets institusjonelle arkiv

Dette er forfatters siste versjon av den fagfellevurderte artikkelen, vanligvis omtalt som postprint. I Brage IMR er denne artikkelen ikke publisert med forlagets layout fordi forlaget ikke tillater dette. Du finner lenke til forlagets versjon i Brage-posten. Det anbefales at referanser til artikkelen hentes fra forlagets side.

Ved lenking til artikkelen skal det lenkes til post i Brage IMR, ikke direkte til pdf-fil.

Brage IMR – Institutional repository of the Institute of Marine Research

This is the author's last version of the article after peer review and is not the publisher's version, usually referred to as postprint. You will find a link to the publisher's version in Brage IMR. It is recommended that you obtain the references from the publisher's site.

Linking to the article should be to the Brage-record, not directly to the pdf-file.

HAVFORSKNINGSINSIIIUIIE Institute of marine research





ELECTRONIC REPRINT ORDER FORM

After publication of your journal article, electronic (PDF) reprints may be purchased by arrangement with Springer and Aries Systems Corporation.

The PDF file you will receive will be protected with a copyright system called DocuRights®. Purchasing 50 reprints will enable you to redistribute the PDF file to up to 50 computers. You may distribute your allotted number of PDFs as you wish; for example, you may send it out via e-mail or post it to your website. You will be able to print five (5) copies of your article from each one of the PDF reprints.

Please type or print carefully. Fill out each item completely.

1.	Your name:	 _
	Your e-mail address:	 _
	Your phone number:	 _
	Your fax number:	 _
2.	Journal title (vol, iss, pp):	
3.	Article title:	
4.	Article author(s):	

5. How many PDF reprints do you want?

6. Please refer to the pricing chart below to calculate the cost of your order.

Number of PDF	Cost	
reprints	(in U.S. dollars)	
50	\$200	
100	\$275	
150	\$325	
200	\$350	

NOTE: Prices shown apply only to orders submitted by individual article authors or editors. Commercial orders must be directed to the Publisher.

All orders must be prepaid. Payments must be made in one of the following forms:

- a check drawn on a U.S. bank
- an international money order
- Visa, MasterCard, or American Express (no other credit cards can be accepted)

PAYMENT (type or print carefully):

Amount of check enclosed: ______ (payable to Aries Systems Corporation)

VISA

MasterCard

American Express

Expiration date:

Signature:

Print and send this form with payment information to:

Aries Systems Corporation 200 Sutton Street North Andover, Massachusetts 01845 Attn.: Electronic Reprints — OR — Fax this to Aries at: 978-975-3811

Your PDF reprint file will be sent to the above e-mail address. If you have any questions about your order, or if you need technical support, please contact: support@docurights.com

For subscriptions and to see all of our other products and services, visit the Springer website at: http://www.springeronline.com

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

ArticleTitle	Development of twelv	re microsatellite loci in the corkwing wrasse (Symphodus melops)		
Article Sub-Title				
Article CopyRight	Springer Science+Business Media B.V. (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)			
Journal Name	Conservation Genetics Resources			
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Knutsen		
	Particle			
	Given Name	Halvor		
	Suffix			
	Division			
	Organization	Institute of Marine Research, Flødevigen Marine Research Station		
	Address	His, 4817, Norway		
	Email	halvor.knutsen@imr.no		
	Received	1 September 2009		
Schedule	Revised			
	Accepted	10 September 2009		
Abstract We developed 12 microsatellite loci primers in the corkwing wrasse (<i>Symphodus melops</i>). All ma obtained from partial genomic DNA libraries enriched for tetranucleotide repeats and characteriz unrelated individuals from one putative population. The number of alleles ranged from 5 to 18, with of 8.6 per locus, and the observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.464 to 0.969 (average 0.697). Ca amplification in two closely related commercially exploited species, the ballian wrasse (<i>Labrus be</i> the goldsinny wrasse (<i>Ctenolabrus rupestris</i>), successfully resolved four loci of which two were per and two where monomorphic.				
Keywords (separated by '-')	Symphodus melops - C	Ctenolabrus rupestris - Labrus bergylta - Microsatellite primers - Polymorphisms		
Footnote Information				

Journal:	12686
Article:	9100



Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for typesetting, some questions have arisen. These are listed below. Please check your typeset proof carefully and mark any corrections in the margin of the proof or compile them as a separate list. This form should then be returned with your marked proof/list of corrections to <u>spr_corrections1@sps.co.in</u>

Disk use

In	some instances we may b	e unable to process the electronic file of your article and/or artwork. In that case we have, for
	efficiency reasons, procee	ded by using the hard copy of your manuscript. If this is the case the reasons are indicated below:
	Disk damaged	Incompatible file format 🔲 LaTeX file for non-LaTeX journal
	Virus infected	Discrepancies between electronic file and (peer-reviewed, therefore definitive) hard copy
	Other:	
W	e have proceeded as follows	:
	Manuscript scanned \square	Manuscript keyed in Artwork scanned

Manuscript scanned	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Artwork seamed
Files only partly used (parts processed differently	Γ:)

Bibliography

If discrepancies were noted between the literature list and the text references, the following may apply:

- □ The references listed below were noted in the text but appear to be missing from your literature list. Please complete the list or remove the references from the text.
- □ *Uncited references*: This section comprises references that occur in the reference list but not in the body of the text. Please position each reference in the text or delete it. Any reference not dealt with will be retained in this section.

Queries and/or remarks

Section/paragraph	Details required	Author's response
Quotes	Please provide opening quotes for the sentence ending with " introduced into E. coli strain DH5".	
References	Please update and provide complete details for the reference Knutsen et al. (2009).	
References	Please provide complete details for the references	
	Lewis and Zaykin (2001).	
	Muus and Nielsen (1999).	
References	Please note that the cross reference Pareti and Randall (2000) has not been provided in the reference list.	
References	Please note that the reference Parin (1986) has not been cited in the text.	

TECHNICAL NOTE

2 Development of twelve microsatellite loci in the corkwing wrasse

3 (Symphodus melops)

4 Halvor Knutsen

Received: 1 September 2009/Accepted: 10 September 2009
 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

7 **Abstract** We developed 12 microsatellite loci primers in 8 the corkwing wrasse (Symphodus melops). All markers 9 were obtained from partial genomic DNA libraries enri-10 ched for tetranucleotide repeats and characterized in 32 11 unrelated individuals from one putative population. The 12 number of alleles ranged from 5 to 18, with an average of 13 8.6 per locus, and the observed heterozygosity ranged from 14 0.464 to 0.969 (average 0.697). Cross-amplification in two 15 closely related commercially exploited species, the ballian 16 wrasse (Labrus bergylta) and the goldsinny wrasse (Cten-17 olabrus rupestris), successfully resolved four loci of which 18 two were polymorphic and two where monomorphic. 19

Keywords Symphodus melops · Ctenolabrus rupestris ·
 Labrus bergylta · Microsatellite primers · Polymorphisms

22 The corkwing wrasse (Symphodus melops) belongs to the 23 family Labridae which is the third lagest family of marine 24 fish with 580 species in 82 genera (Pareti and Randall 25 2000). S. melops is a rocky shore species inhabiting tem-26 perate-cold Atlantic waters from Norway to Morocco and 27 the Azores. It may reach an age of 9 years and about 28 cm 28 in length (Quignard and Pras 1986). The species coloration 29 is very variable; with the ground color of the male being 30 greenish or blue while females are brownish to yellowish 31 (Muus and Nielsen 1999). The diet mostly consists of 32 mollusks, hydroids, bryozoans, worms and various crusta-33 ceans. Males grow faster than females (Quignard and Pras 34 1986). The species is most commonly found in the upper

A1 H. Knutsen (🖂)

- A3 Station, 4817 His, Norway
- A4 e-mail: halvor.knutsen@imr.no

30 m of the water column and is believed to be non-
migratory with a territorial behaviour. Males build seaweed36nest that they gard among rocks or in crevices, and ripe
females show short ovipositor during summer. Sex reversal
is sometimes observed (Quignard and Pras 1986).39

The Labribes (species like S. melops, Ctenolabrus 40 rupestris and labrus bergylta) is increasingly being 41 exploited commercially by the salmon industry, to remove 42 lice from salmon (Salmo salar) and have over the last 43 decade become a commercially important resource (Trea-44 surer 2002). The current knowledge about population 45 structure, as a basis for management, is lacking for all these 46 47 species. Therefore, there is an urgent need for a better understanding of population structuring for these species to 48 aid management. Here we present 12 microsatellite loci 49 developed for S. melops that also partly cross-amplify with 50 C. rupestris and L. bergylta and are thus usable as a 51 method for detecting potential population structure in these 52 species. 53

54 We employed the company GIS (Genetic Identification Service Inc.) for the development of tetra repeat loci. The 55 colony production and libraries were performed the fol-56 57 lowing way: Recombinant plasmids included in the microfuge tubes were produced by ligating restriction 58 fragments from S. melops DNA into the HindIII site of 59 pUC19 plasmid. The fragments were enriched for a 60 microsatellite motif. Ligation products were introduced 61 into E. coli strain DH5" (ElectroMaxJ, Invitrogen) by 62 electroporation. GIS used 2:1 of ligation mix for each of the 63 libraries. Libraries were prepared from genomic DNA 64 fragments being 350-700 bp long. 65

Sterilized toothpicks were used to transfer white colonies from the spread stock plates onto a bluo-gal/IPTG/ 67 ampicillin LB (BIA-LB) plate that has a transparent grid 68 taped to the bottom (samples enclosed). This plate was 69

1

•	Journal : Large 12686	Dispatch : 15-9-2009	Pages : 4	
	Article No. : 9100		□ TYPESET	
•	MS Code : COGR136	🛃 СР	🗹 DISK	

A2 Institute of Marine Research, Flødevigen Marine Research

Author Proof

Table 1 Primer sequences and characteristics of 12 corkwing wrasse (Symphodus melops) microsatellite loci

Simuli xix 56 (GTTT) ₆ F: GACTCCATCGTGATTCATC 136-156 5 0.591 0.714 -0.213 0.259 AmAl07 xix 56 (GTTT) ₆ F: GAGGTTCATAGTAATTTATCCA 139-159 5 0.544 0.677 -0.054 0.313 SmC8 xix 56 (TACA) ₁₂ (TICA) ₁ F: TTACTCTATAGTGAGTTGAG 139-159 5 0.544 0.677 -0.054 0.313 SmD10 xix 56 (TACA) ₁₂ (TICA) ₁ F: TTACCCATTGGATCGAG 28-342 10 0.765 0.818 -0.071 0.061 SmD11 xix 56 (TACA) ₁₂ F: TAACCATTTATGGACTGGAC 23-39 12 0.73 0.710 -0.091 0.749 55<	(-)	SIZE LALIGE (UP)	NA V	$H_{\rm E}$	01	SLI	value
AmAlo7xxx56 $(GTTT)_6$ $E: TCAAGTCOSmC8xxx56(TACA)_{22}(TTCA)_3E: TTCCTG1SmD3xxx56(TACA)_{22}(TTCA)_3E: TTCCTG7SmD10xxx56(TAT)_{10}E: TAAGCCG7SmD110xxx56(TAT)_{10}E: CTGCG7G6SmD112xxx56(TAT)_{11}E: TCGCG7G6SmD121xxx56(CTAT)_8E: CTGCG7G6SmD122xxx56(CTAT)_8E: CTGCG7G6SmD123xxx56(CTAT)_8E: CGCG7G6SmD124xxx56(TAG)_8E: CGCG7G6SmD131xxx56(TAG)_8E: CGCG7G6SmD131xxx56(TAG)_1_0E: CGCG7G6SmD131xxx56(TAG)_1_0E: CGC7G6SmD134xxx56(TAG)_1_0E: CGC7G6Size range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_6) and observed (H_0) heE: CGC7G6Size range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_6) and observed (H_0) heE: CGC7G6$	ΤΓΟΤΙΩΟΤΤΟ ΔΤΟ	136-156	s	0 501	0 714	0.213	0.750
AmA107xxx56(GTTT)_6F: TCAAGTCSmC8xxx56(TACA)_{22}(TTCA)_3F: TTCCTG1SmD3xxx56(TAT)_10F: GGCGGCSmD110xxx56(TAQ)_{13}F: TCAAGTCSmD112xxx56(TAT)_17F: CTCGGGSmD112xxx56(CTAT)_17F: CTCGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)_17F: CTCGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)_8F: GGCGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)_8F: GGCGGGSmD123xxx56(CTAT)_8F: GGCGGGSmD124xxx56(TAGA)_8F: GGCGGGSmD128xxx56(TAGA)_8F: GGCGGGSmD128xxx56(TAGA)_8F: GGCGGGSmD128xxx56(TAGA)_8F: GGCGGGSmD128xxx56(TAGA)_8F: GGCGGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)_10F: GGCGGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)_10F: CCTTGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)_10F: GGCGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H ₀) hoserved (H ₀) hoservedF: GGCGGG	R: AGGCTGTAAAGAAATCAATCC		,				
SmC8xxx56 $(TACA)_{22}(TTCA)_3$ F: TTTCCTGSmD3xxx56 $(TAT)_{10}$ F: GGGCTGCSmD110xxx56 $(TAT)_{11}$ F: TACTCCCSmD112xxx56 $(TAT)_{11}$ F: TACTCCCSmD112xxx56 $(TAT)_{11}$ F: TAAACCASmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_{12}$ F: TCGCGGSmD122xxx56 $(CTAT)_8$ F: TGGGGGSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGCAGGSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGGGGGGSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGGGGGGSmD131xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGGGGGGSmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGGGGGSmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGGGGGSmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGGGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_b) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGGGGG	F: TCAAGTCCTGAAGTTTTACTCA	139–159	5	0.544	0.677	-0.054	0.313
SmC8xxx56(TACA)F: TTTCCTG1SmD3xxx56(CTAT)F: GGGCTGCSmD110xxx56(TAGA)F: TACTCCCSmD112xxx56(TAGA)F: TCGGGGSmD112xxx56(CTAT)F: TCGGGGSmD112xxx56(CTAT)F: TGGGTASmD112xxx56(CTAT)F: TGGGGGSmD128xxx56(CTAT)F: TGGGTASmD128xxx56**F: TGGGGGSmD128xxx56**F: TGGGGASmD121xxx56**F: TGGGGGSmD123xxx56**F: TGGGGGSmD124xxx56**F: TGGGGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)F: TGGGGGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)F: TGGGGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)F: TGGGGGSize range of fragments (b), number of alleles (NA), expected (H ₁) and observed (H ₀) heF: TGGGGGSize range of fragments (b), number of alleles (NA), expected (H ₂) and observed (H ₀) heF: TGGCGG	R: GCAGGTTGTATGTTTGAGG						
SmD3xxx56(CTAT)10F: TACTCCCSmD110xxx56(TAGA)13F: TCGTCCA'SmD112xxx56(TAGA)13F: TCGCGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: CTGGGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGCGGGGSmD128xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGGTAASmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGGGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGGGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGGGGGSmD123xxx56(CTAA)8F: TGGGGGGSmD124xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGGGGGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGGGGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)19F: TGGAGGSinD134txx56(TAGA)19F: TGGAGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected ($H_{\rm E}$) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGAGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected ($H_{\rm E}$) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGAGG	F: TTTCCTGTATTAGATGGATGGA	142-170	8	0.733	0.625	-0.250	0.091
SmD3xxx56(CTAT)10F: GGGCTGCSmD110xxx56(TAGA)13F: TCGCCGGSmD112xxx56(CTAT)17F: CCGCGGGSmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGCTAASmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGCTAASmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGGTAASmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGGGTAASmD121xxx56(TAA)8F: TGGGTGSmD123xxx56(TAA)8F: TGGGGGSmD131xxx56(TAA)8F: TGGGGGSmD131xxx56(TAA)10F: TGGCACSmD131xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGCACSmD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSinD134txx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSinD134txx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSinD134txx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSinD134txx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (N_A), expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) hereidad is travo-sided postore of P_A and to served (H_O) hereidad is travo-sided postore of P_A and to served (H_O) hereidad is travo-sided postore of P_A and to serve of H_O better of P_A and the serve of P_A and to serve of H_O better of P_A and	R: TACTCCCAATGGATCAAGTG						
SmD110xx56 $(TAGA)_{13}$ F: TAAACCASmD112xxx56 $(TTAT)_{17}$ F: CTGCGGGSmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_{8}$ F: TGCACASmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_{8}$ F: TGCAGGSmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_{8}$ F: TGCAGGSmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_{8}$ F: TGCAGGSmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_{8}$ F: TGCAGGSmD128xxx56 $**$ F: TGCAGGSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_{8}$ F: TGGCAGGSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGSmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGSinD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGAGGGSinD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGAGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGAGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGAGG	F: GGGCTGCTAAATCTTGTTTG	286–342	10	0.765	0.818	-0.071	0.051
SmD110xxx56 $(TAGA)_{13}$ F: TAAACCASmD112xxx56 $(CTAT)_{17}$ F: CCTCGGGSmD121xxx56 $(CTAT)_8$ F: TGCAACASmD128xxx56 $**$ F: TGCAACASmD128xxx56 $**$ F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56 $**$ F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56 $**$ F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56 $**$ F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGCAGGTSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGGCAGTSmD128xxx56 $(TAA)_8$ F: TGGCAGTSmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGTSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGTSinD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGTSinD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGTSinD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGTSinD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TGGCAGGTSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGCAGGTSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heF: TGGCAGGT	R: TCGTCCATCATAAAGTGGTG						
SmD112xxx56(CTAT)17F: CTGGGGSmD112xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGCAACASmD121xxx56*F: TGCAACASmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56*F: TGGCAGGSmD128xxx56**F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56(CAAA)8F: TGGCGGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGCAGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGCAGGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGCAGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGCAGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGCAGGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGCAGGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGCAGGGSinD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGCAGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heF: TGCAGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) he	F: TAAACCATITATGGACCTGGAC	262–290	9	0.651	0.710	-0.090	0.745
SmD112xxx56(CTAT)17F: CCTGGGR: AGGTAASmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGCAACASmD128xxx56*F: TGCAGGTSmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56*F: TGGAGGSmD128xxx56*F: TGGAGGSmD128xxx56**F: TGGAGGSmD113xxx56(CAAA)8F: TGGGGGSmD111xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGGGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGAGGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)19F: TGCAGGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)19F: TGCAGGSimD134xxx56(TAGA)19F: TGCAGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_{c}) and observed (H_{0}) heF: TGCAGG	R: CTGCGTGCTCATCTTTAGTATG						
SmD121xxx56(CTAT)R: AGGTAASmD121xxx56(CTAT)F: TGCAACASmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTCSmD13xxx56**F: TGAGCCASmB11xxx56(CAAA)F: TGAGCACASmD131xxx56**F: TGGCAGCASmD134xxx56(TAGA)F: TGCAGGASmD134xxx56(TAGA)F: TGCAGGASize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H _E) and observed (H ₀) heF: TGCAGCA	F: CCTCGGGGATCAATAAAGTATC	121–169	8	0.649	0.740	-0.144	0.589
SmD121xxx56(CTAT)8F: TGCAACASmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTCSmJ103xxx56*F: TGAGCGASmB11xxx56(CAA)8F: TGAGCGGSmB11xxx56**F: TGGCTGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)10F: CCTTGTCSmD134xxx56(TAGA)10F: TGGCGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H _E) and observed (H ₀) heK: TGGAGGA	R: AGGGTAAACAGTGGACATTTAG						
SmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTSmA103xxx56(CAAA) ₈ F: TGAGCCASmB11xxx56**F: TGGCAG,SmD131xxx56**F: TGGCAGSmD134xxx56(TAGA) ₁₀ F: CTTTGT<	F: TGCAACATTAAGGGTGAGC	245-339	12	0.752	0.777	-0.034	0.782
SmD128xxx56*F: GCCAGTTR: CAAGGGR: CAAAGGSmA103xxx56(CAAA)_8F: TGAGGGGSmB11xxx56**F: TGAGGGGSmB11xxx56**F: TGGGGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)_10F: CTTTGTGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)_19F: GCTCATSmD134xxx56(TAGA)_19F: GCTCATSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heindividuals Incorrected P values for two-sided tests	R: ATGGTAAGCTAGGCACTATGAG						
SmA103xxx56(CAAA)_8F: TGAGGCSmB11xxx56(CAAA)_8F: TGAGCTGSmB11xxx56**F: TCGCTGGSmD131xxx56(TAGA)_10F: CCTTGTGSmD134xxx56(TAGA)_19F: CCTTGTGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H _E) and observed (H ₀) heindividuals Incorrected P values for two-sided fasts * $P < 0.05$ *** $P < 0.001$	F: GCCAGTTTAGGAGTATCGC	235–295	16	0.938	0.521	0.449	0.0001^{***}
SmA103xxx56(CAA)8F: TGAGCCAR: GTGGGTGSmB11xxx56**F: TCGCTAGR: TAGGCACR: TAGGCACSmD131xxx56(TAGA)10F: CCTTGTCR: GCTTCATSmD134xxx56(TAGA)19F: TGCAGGGR: TGAACGASize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H _E) and observed (H ₀) heindividuals Incorrected P values for two-sided tests* P < 0.05	R: CAAAGGCTTCTATCTGTCTGTC						
SmB11xxx56**F: GTGGGTGSmB11xxx56**F: TCGCTAG,R: TAGGCACR: TAGGCACR: TAGGCACR: TAGGCACSmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: CCTTGTGR: GCTTCATS6 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGGSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (N_A) , expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heNo	F: TGAGCCAAGCAGTGAGTG	213–226	5	0.754	0.812	-0.078	0.072
SmB11xxx56**F: TCGCTAG,SmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: CTTTGTCSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: CCTTCATSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGGSize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H _E) and observed (H ₀) heindividuals Theoremetal P values for two-sided rests. * $P < 0.05$. *** $P < 0.001$	R: GTGGGTGTCGTTTTCCAG						
SmD131 xxx 56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: TAGGCAC SmD134 xxx 56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: CCTTGT R: GCTTCAT R: GCTTCAT R: GCTTCAT SmD134 xxx 56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGG Size range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (HE) and observed (H ₀) he individuals Uncorrected P values for two-sided tests * P < 0.05	F: TCGCTAGAGGTAGCCTGACTG	182-206	5	0.455	0.464	-0.078	0.587
SmD131xxx56 $(TAGA)_{10}$ F: CCTTTGTCR: GCTTCATR: GCTTCATSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGGR: TGAACGGR: TGAACCAR: TGAACCASize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (NA), expected (H _E) and observed (H ₀) heindividuals Uncorrected P values for two-sided tests* $P < 0.05$	R: TAGGCACACAGAACGAAACAC						
SmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ R: GCTTCATSmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGGR: TGAACGAR: TGAACCAR: TGAACCAR: TGAACCASize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (N_A), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heindividuals Theoremeted P values for two-sided rests* $P < 0.05$	F: CCTTTGTCTCCCTTCTGTG	147–163	5	0.488	0.531	-0.091	0.943
SmD134xxx56 $(TAGA)_{19}$ F: TGCAGGGR: TGAACCAR: TGAACCASize range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (N_A), expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) heindividuals Uncorrected P values for two-sided tests* $P < 0.05$	R: GCTTCATTTGCTGTCACTCT						
R: TGAACCA Size range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (N_A) , expected (H_E) and observed (H_0) he individuals Theoremeted <i>P</i> values for two-sided tests * $P > 0.05$ *** $P > 0.001$	F: TGCAGGGATGAACATCTTACTC	144–224	18	0.919	0.969	0.055	0.632
Size range of fragments (bp), number of alleles (N_A) , expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) he individuals Theoremeted P values for two-sided tests $* P < 0.05$ *** $P < 0.001$	R: TGAACCATAAAGCATTAGCACA						
* (TGGA) ₇ (GATA) ₃₇ (GACG) ₈ (GACA) ₂ ; ** (TAGG) ₃ (TGGA) ₄ (TAGA) ₁₈	eterozygosity and deviation fro	om Hardy-Weinber	expe	ctations (F _{IS}), are b	ased on a s	umple of 3

Conservation Genet Resour

D Springer

 Journal : Large 12686	Dispatch : 15-9-2009	Pages : 4
Article No. : 9100		□ TYPESET
MS Code : COGR136	CP	🗹 disk

Table 2 PCR cross-amplification of all microsatellite loci in Labrusbergylta and Ctenophora rupestris developed for Symphodus melops(cf. Table 1)

Locus	Size range (bp)	$N_{\rm A}$	$H_{\rm E}$	H _O	$F_{\rm IS}$
L. bergylta					
SMA103	na	na	na	na	na
SMD112	179	1	0	0	0
SMD121	na	na	na	na	na
SMD131	na	na	na	na	na
C. rupestris					
SMA103	198	1	0	0	0
SMD112	na	na	na	na	na
SMD121	235–237	2	0.400	0.25	0.391
SMD131	87–91	2	0.233	0.25	0.077

Four primers successfully amplified and were partly found to be polymorphic for both species (n = 8 per species). Size range (in base pairs, bp) refers to specific alleles, N_A is total number of alleles, H_E refers to expected and H_O to observed heterozygosities, and F_{IS} to deviation from Hardy–Weinberg expectations. As only eight individuals were used, the HW estimates is only indicative of possible deviations

70 incubated overnight, and colonies were selected from this 71 plate rather than from the original spread. The procedure 72 above largely follows Meredith and May (2002) and Sch-73 wartz and May (2004). Four libraries were screened for the 74 microsatellite motifs $(AAAC)_n$, $(CATC)_n$ $(TACA)_n$ and 75 $(TAGA)_n$. A total of 100 clones were sequenced and 19 76 primer pairs were designed using DesignerPCR, version 77 1.03 (Research Genetics, Inc.). These primers were tested 78 against library DNA plus DNA from seven individuals 79 resulting in 12 polymorphic and reliably amplifying loci.

80 Population screening was conducted by analysing of 32 81 individuals, caught near the capital of Norway, Oslo (59.54 82 N; 10.44 E). Genomic DNA was isolated using Viogene 83 Blood and Tissue Genomic DNA Extraction Miniprep 84 System (Viogene Inc.) according to manufacturer's proto-85 col. PCR amplifications were carried out in 10 µl reaction 86 volumes on Bio-Rad MYCycler, with fluorescently (CY-5) 87 5'-tagged forward primers (Sigma). The standard reaction 88 composition included 1 µl of template DNA, correspond-89 ing to 20–40 ng, 10×15 mM MgCl₂ PCR buffer, 0.4 mM 90 dNTPs, 0.125 mM of forward and reverse primers (Sigma) and 0.06 units μl^{-1} of Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen Inc.). 91 92 Dilutions were done using Eppendorf Molecular Biology 93 Grade Water. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 94 An initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 95 30 cycles of 95°C denaturation, annealing at specific temperature (cf. Table 1) and 72°C synthesis, each for 96 97 30 s. A final elongation step at 72°C for 15 min completed 98 the amplification.

Allele sizes and genotypes were determined by fragmentanalysis using Beckman Coulter CEQ 8000 automated

sequencer and included software (CEO8000 Genetic 101 102 Analysis System, version 8.0). We tested the loci for all individuals to assess gene diversity and evidence for link-103 age disequilibrium or deviation from Hardy-Weinberg 104 expectations. Gene diversity was estimated with GDA 105 (Lewis and Zaykin 2001); F_{IS} was estimated and tested 106 using the probability tests within GENEPOP on the 107 web (http://wbiomed.curtin.edu.au/genepop/). The soft-108 ware MICROCHECKER (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was 109 110 used to investigate the potential presence of null alleles or other technical artifacts. Only one locus, SMD128, devi-111 112 ated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the 113 GENEPOP probability tests. The locus was estimated to contain 27% null alleles (Chakraborty estimate) by 114 MICROCHECKER. No other evidence for null alleles or 115 116 Hardy-Weinberg deviations was found. No linkage disequilibrium (LD) was detected between pairs of loci (using 117 118 GENEPOP). Finally, we cross amplified all loci with eight individuals of two related species Ctenolabrus 119 rupestris and Labrus bergyltay resulting in four useful 120 121 microsatellite DNA loci (Table 2). It is worth noting that the phylogenetic relationship between S. melops and the 122 other two species is not very close (Hanel et al. 2002) and 123 probably causing the primer loci to give a lower success in 124 cross-amplification than anticipated between closely rela-125 ted species (see Knutsen et al. 2009). 126

AcknowledgmentsThis work was supported by the Norwegian127Research Council (through proposal no. 189570/S40) and through the128European Science Foundation project "Marine phylogeographic129structuring during climate change: the signature of leading and rear130edge of range shifting populations" see http://biocongroup.eu/Marin131Era/Welcome.html). I thank Hanne Sannæs and Kate Enersen for132133

References

- Hanel R, Westneat MW, Sturmbauer C (2002) Phylogenetic relationships, evolution of broodcare behavior, and geographic speciation in the wrasse tribe labrini. J Mol Evol 55: 776–789
 Knutsen H, Catarino D, Sannæs H, Stefanni S (2009) Development of 139
- eleven microsatellite loci in the deep sea black scabbardfish (*Aphanopus carbo*). Conserv Genet Resour (in press) Lewis PO, Zavkin D (2001) Genetic data analysis: computer program
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic data analysis: computer program for the analysis of allelic data (version 1.0 (d16c)). Free program distributed by the authors over the Internet from http://lewis. eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html
- Meredith EP, May B (2002) Microsatellite loci in the Lahontan tui chub, *Gila bicolor obesa*, and their utilization in other chub species. Mol Ecol Notes 2:156–158
- Muus BJ, Nielsen JG (1999) Sea fish. Scandinavian Fishing Year Book. Hedehusene, Denmark, p 340
- Parin NV (1986) Trichiuridae. In: Whitehead PJ, Bauchot ML, Hureau JC, Nilesen J, Tortonese E (eds) Fishes of the north–east Atlantic and the Mediterranean, vol 2. UNESCO, Paris, France, pp 976–980 154



Journal : Large 12686	Dispatch : 15-9-2009	Pages : 4
Article No. : 9100		□ TYPESET
MS Code : COGR136	🗹 СР	🖌 disk

134

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

- Quignard JP, Pras A (1986) Labridae. In: Whitehead PJP, Bauchot
 M-L, Hureau J-C, Nielsen J, Tortonese E (eds) Fishes of the north-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean, vol 2. UNESCO, Paris, pp 919–942
- Schwartz RS, May B (2004) Characterization of microsatellite loci in Sacramento perch (*Archoplites interruptus*). Mol Ecol Notes 4:694–697
- Treasurer JW (2002) A review of potential pathogens of sea lice and the application of cleaner fish in biological control. Pest Manag Sci 58:546–558
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DP, Shipley P (2004) Microchecker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol Notes 4:535–538

162

163

164

165

166

🖄 Springer



Journal : Large 12686	Dispatch : 15-9-2009	Pages : 4
Article No. : 9100		□ TYPESET
MS Code : COGR136	🖍 СЬ	🗹 DISK