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## ABSTRACT

This paper gives a review of the procedures currently used to obtain acoustic estimates of the Barents Sea capelin stock, as well as the development history of the procedures, their theoretical and technical basis, and the known sources of error. The results of the autumn surveys are given as tables and figures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Systematic acoustic surveys on the Barents Sea capelin (Mallotus villosus) started in 1971, and have continued in September-October every year since then. In principle, the same method has been used throughout this relatively long time series. The basic principle is to locate the capelin with an echo sounder, measure the total reflected echo intensity from the capelin recordings with an echo integrator, and finally to convert the integrator values to the number of fish and the biomass.

However, there have been major advances in electronics technology in this period. It is now possible to process signals derived from fish echoes fast and accurately, with equipment which is both reliable and stable.

Compared with the early 1970's more information is available today on the acoustic scattering properties and the behaviour of capelin, although more knowledge in these fields is still necessary.

For reports on the cruises 1971-1984 see Dragesund and Nakken, 1972; Gjøsæter et al., 1972; Dommasnes et al., 1974; Buzeta et al., 1975; Dommasnes et al., 1975; Dommasnes and Røttingen, 1976; Monstad and Røttingen, 1977; Dommasnes et al., 1979; Mamylov and Dommasnes, 1979; and Anon., 1980; 1981; 1982; 1983; 1984.

The purpose of this paper is to sum up the results from the autumn acoustic surveys 1972-1984, as well as to give a description of the evolution of the method which has taken place as the acoustic instruments and calibration procedures improved together with the increased knowledge of the acoustic properties of fish.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Conversion constant

Midttun and Nakken (1971) showed that when a scientific echo sounder, with certain specified instrument settings, works in conjunction with an echo integrator, the output is proportional to the observed fish density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=C \cdot M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{fish} \text { density }
$$

C $=$ system conversion constant
$M=$ observed integrator value

The value of the conversion constant $C$ can be determined through different methods, but not all of them apply to every species. However, in 1971, Midttun and Nakken published a method which could be applied to the Barents Sea capelin, consisting of finding the number of fish sampled by counting individual fish traces on the echogram. The sampling area was found by reducing the recorder gain. When the
echo sounder has a calibrated gain switch, the difference between the recorder gain at normal setting and the gain giving a just visible marking on the paper for the fish in question, is used to find the sampling angle (detection angle) in the directivity diagram for the echo sounder. However, a disadvantage with this method is the requirement of single fish traces, a condition that is seldomly found for the Barents Sea capelin in autumn. But, in 1971, 8 nautical miles (abbreviated to nmi) of single fish traces were obtained, and Fig. 1 gives the results from these countings (Midttun and Nakken 1977).

The system conversion constant is the key to the absolute biomass estimations, and Nakken (1975) showed that this constant depended on fish species, size, behaviour, and on the characteristics of the sounder and the integration system. It was convenient to write $C$ as a product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=c_{I} \cdot c_{F} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C_{I}$ is an "instrumentation constant" depending on the instrument characteristics of the particular system in question.
$C_{F}$ depends on the acoustic properties of the fish. The acoustic properties are described by the terms "target strength" (TS) or "backscattering cross section" ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ ).
The theory behind these terms is described in textbooks (Urick 1975) and manuals (Burczynski 1979, Johannesson and Mitson 1983). Using definitions from Dalen and Nakken (1983) we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S=10 \log \sigma_{b s} \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{b s}=10^{0.1} \mathrm{TS} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See also Appendix I)
The backscattering cross section of an individual fish varies with fish species, length and aspect (The angle between the longitudinal axis of the fish and the acoustic axis). It has been determined empirically for a number of species and sizes (Midttun 1982). The back scattering cross section for one fish of a given species can be expressed as a function of fish length, $l$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{b s}=a \cdot l^{b} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are determined empirically from observed values of $o_{b s}$ and 1 . The results have mostly been presented in logarithmic form using the target strength, TS, instead of the backscattering cross section.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S=10 \log \sigma_{b s}=10 b \log 1+10 \log a \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C_{F}$ is inversely proportional to the backscattering cross section

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{F}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{b s}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combination of (2). (4) and (6) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=C_{I} \cdot C_{F}=C_{I} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_{b s}}=C_{I} \cdot \frac{1}{a \cdot 1}=C_{I} \cdot \frac{1}{a} \cdot 1^{-b} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho=C_{F}\left(C_{I} \cdot M\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $\frac{1}{a}=C_{S^{\prime}}$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=C_{I} \cdot c_{S} \cdot 1^{-b} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Barents Sea capelin this counting method has been used to establish $C$ for certain fish lengths, and the constant $C_{I} \cdot C_{S}$ has then been determined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{I} \cdot c_{S}=\operatorname{antilog}(\log c+b \log 1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent $b$ is determined from target strength measurements on single stunned or dead fish (Equations (4) and (5)). But when the present investigations began no target strength measurements of capelin were available. However, the capelin have common structural components with clupeiform fishes (herring, sprat, etc.) which are acoustically important (physostomous swim bladders, osseous skeleton, intermuscular bones, comparatively many vertebrae, fins without spines and cycloid scales). Due to the lack of specific acoustic information on capelin, it was decided to utilize data on the relationship of target strength and length for sprat (Nakken and olsen, 1977) using the following relationship between target strength and length for sprat at maximum dorsal aspect:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S_{\text {sprat }}=17.2 \log 1-60.8 \mathrm{~dB} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S=10 b \log 1+10 \log a \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving the value 1.72 for the factor $b$.
The values for $C$ obtained by countings of single capelin of different length groups in 1973-1975 are given in the text table below

$$
\begin{align*}
& C=1.8 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.72}  \tag{12}\\
& C=3.0 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.72}  \tag{13}\\
& C=5.0 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.72} \\
& C \\
& C=(1974) \\
& \\
& C=1975)
\end{align*}
$$

The change in the conversion factor from year to year may be due to a drift in the acoustic system. The hydrophone calibration used in this period to measure the performance of the acoustic system was not a reliable procedure, and changes in performance were difficult to trace systematically. However, the change in the values of the conversion factor need not be due to instrument or technical factors alone. They may be due to differences in the behaviour (and acoustic properties) of the capelin when the counting calibration took place.

On the basis of combined target strength measurements of several clupeiform fishes, Dalen et al. (1976) suggested $a$ value for $b$ of 1.91.

In incorporating this new value of $b$, the requirement was set that the value for $C$ should be the same for capelin of 13 cm using both 1.91 and 1.72

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{I} \cdot C_{S} \cdot 13^{-1.91}=5.0 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 13^{-1.72}  \tag{15}\\
C_{I} \cdot C_{S}=8.1 \cdot 10^{6} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

The C-value of $8.1 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.91}$ was applied in the period 1976-1982. In 1982 the simrad EK 38 echo sounder was replaced by the EK 400 and the conversion factor had to be changed due to the different performances. By 1981, calibration on standard spheres had become an established routine, and the performances of EK 38 and EK 400 could be compared. The table below gives the measurements of energy reflected per nautical mile from the standard copper sphere of 60 mm .

| Date | Energy mm/nmi | Echo sounder |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Oct. 1981 | 539 | EK 38 |
| Oct. 1982 | 2170 | EK 400 |

This, together with minor changes in performance ${ }_{6}$ of the EK 400 in
 1983 (Dalen and Nakken, 1983) and 2.2 $\cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.91}$ in September 1983.

Prior to summer 1983 the conversion constant was given as equation 7. However, as standard sphere calibrations made it possible to calculate the value of $C_{\text {}}$ (see chapter on calibration), the conversion constant from summer 4983 was given as

$$
\frac{1}{10 a} \cdot 1^{-b} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{10} \cdot C_{F} \quad \text { (equation } 23 \text { ). }
$$

Prior to this change, the established conversion factor was only for the system onboard the "G.0. Sars". However, the autumn surveys on Barents Sea capelin are conducted by many ships whose integrator values had to be transformed through intercalibration before the "G.0. Sars" conversion could be applied. As a consequence, distribution charts etc. were given in mm integrator deflection according to "G.0. Sars" values.

With the use of the instrument constant, the "integrator values" became system independent. The dimension for $C_{F} \cdot M$ (see equation 8 ) is $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{nmi}^{2} . \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is also system independent and the dimension is $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$.

### 2.2 Acoustic equipment and calibration

In order to monitor changes in the echo sounder system and, when needed, to restore the performance of the system, it is essential to have some method of calibration.

When the autumn surveys on Barents sea capelin started, the calibration of the vital parameters of the echo sounder were done by measuring the performance in the transmitting mode with a hydrophone placed on the acoustic axis of the transducer, and then using the same hydrophone as a sound source to measure performance in the receiving mode. This method has been described by Forbes and Nakken (1972).

Experience has shown that this procedure is not reliable for field use due to the lack of stability over time for the calibration hydrophones. In 1975 an additional procedure was initiated, with measurement of the output voltage from the transmitting amplifier, the impedance in the transducer, and the amplification in the receiver system. These measurements were done several times during each cruise, and it was assumed that if these 3 values were kept constant, then the system performance did not change.

Since 1981 the performance and stability of the system has been checked at least once during each cruise by calibration with a standard target in the acoustic axis of the beam. In later years, a considerable amount of the field work and analysis has been done in order to find suitable standard targets, and it has been found that, for 38 kHz , a copper sphere with a diameter of 60 mm gives an accurate and reliable target strength ( -33.7 dB ) over the entire hydrographic range in question (Foote 1982). The calibration procedure is described in Foote et al. (1983). This calibration procedure, in contrast to earlier calibrations with hydrophones, seems to give consistently reliable results in measuring the stability of the echo sounder performance.

The introduction of the copper sphere as a standard target has made it possible to calculate the factor $C_{I}$ in equation (2). The standard target has a known target strength (-33.6 dB). This value is calculated theoretically and is confirmed by field measurements.

From (3) we can calculate the value of $\sigma_{b s}$ for the reference sphere:

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{b s}=10^{0.1 \cdot(-33.6)}=4.3 \cdot 10^{-4} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may be thought of as a reflecting area, given in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$.

The integrator output from the standard target is known, and therefore $C_{\text {c }}$ can be expressed as reflecting area in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ per $n . m i l e^{2}$ by use of the formula (Dalen and Nakken 1983):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{I}=\frac{\sigma_{S T}}{M_{S T} \cdot D_{S T}{ }^{2} \cdot \psi} \cdot 3.43 \cdot 10^{6} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

| $\sigma_{S T}$ | is the back scattering cross section of the <br> standard target $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ST}} \quad$is the integrator output from the standard <br> target (mm/nmi) |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ST}} \quad$is the depth of the standard target ( m ) |  |
| $\psi \quad$is the equivalent solid angle of the beam of the <br> transducer (sterad) |  |
| $3.43 \cdot 10^{6}$is the number of square meters in a squared nautical <br> mile (used in order to have the density expressed as <br> number of fish per square nautical mile). |  |

The value of $\psi$ is given by the transducer manufacturer. However, there is some evidence that this value may change when the transducer is mounted on the hull of the vessel (Simmonds, 1984). This can be an important source of error, and it may be necessary to develop a method for measuring $\psi$ after the transducer has been mounted on the hull. The text table below gives values for $C_{I}$ for the EK 400 equipment on "G. O. Sars".

| Date of measurement | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $03.10-82$ | 0.078 |
| $04.01-83$ | 0.064 |
| $13.12-83$ | 0.054 |
| $02.08-83$ | 0.0814 |
| $29.09-83$ | 0.0775 |
| $13.01-84$ | 0.0833 |
| $15.03-84$ | 0.0831 |
| $24.05-84$ | 0.073 |
| $25.07-84$ | 0.087 |

Significant deviations in the time varied gain (TVG) have previously been important sources of error. However, equipment and procedures for detecting the magnitude of the TVG error at different depths have now been developed (Knudsen 1982). The correction factors are entered into the integrator program, and output is then adjusted correspondingly.

A potential source of error in acoustic measurements of fish density is the absorption part of the time-varied-gain functions ( $\alpha$ ). Prior to 1982 (Echo sounders EK-38) the value of $\alpha$ was set to $0.0105 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{m}$, based on Schulkin and March (1962). More recent studies (Fisher and Simmonds 1977, Foote 1981) indicate that these values are too high and at present a value of $0.008 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{m}$ is utilized. This is thought to reflect the average value of $\alpha$ in the Barents Sea in autumn.

If the calibration procedure outlined above is followed by all vessels participating in a survey, then the integrator outputs from all
vessels are directly comparable. However, if there has not been time to do a standard target calibration, or the necessary equipment or information on transducer performance (i.e. equivalent solid angle of the beam, $\psi$ ) is lacking, then systems must intercalibrate on fish recordings, (the old standard method) still seen as an efficient means for checking the standard target measurements. The procedure for this type of calibration is described by Røttingen (1978) and in a number of cruise reports. Fig. 2 shows the sailing arrangement which has given the best results.

The results from the intercalibrations have usually been in accordance with the results from the standard sphere measurements, with one important exception in the autumn 1982. During the capelin cruise that year the research vessels "G. O. Sars" and "Johan Hjort" participated from Norway. On board "G. O. Sars" a new EK 400 echo sounder had just been installed, and according to the standard sphere measurements the relation between the echo integrator output from "G. O. Sars" and "Johan Hjort" was approximately 2.5:1. However, an intercalibration on capelin recordings was also carried out during the cruise, and the relation between the integrator outputs from the two vessels was then 1:1. It was very difficult to interpret these contradicting results, and the cause of the discrepancy has still not been found. However, it could be documented that no change had taken place in the echo equipment of "Johan Hjort" since the year before, and it was therefore decided to use the conversion constant (C-value) from 1981 also in 1982. This may have caused an overestimate of the capelin stock in the autumn of 1982 .

### 2.3 Fishing gear and sampling

The trawls used have been mostly pelagic trawls with a square opening of $14 \times 14$ or $16 \times 16$ fathoms, with small meshes ("capelin trawl"), and with an inner net with 1 cm mesh in the codend. In addition, a bottom trawl has sometimes been used (Fig. 3).

Capelin is usually found both in the codend and, if a pelagic trawl has been used, in the meshes. There is a tendency that capelin caught in the meshes are smaller than those found in the codend.

All the trawls have their own selectivity characteristics, which may be somewhat different from cruise to cruise due to small differences in the rigging of the trawls. Selectivity is a large complex with many interacting factors. Both passive (filtering) and active escape will take place and will change according to the speed of the trawl and the density of the fish concentration. Preliminary investigations of escape of capelin from different parts of the capelin trawl used by Norwegian research vessels have been carried out by attaching smallmeshed bags to different parts of the trawl (Larsen 1984). Fig. 4 summarizes the results, which indicate that when small and large capelin occur together in the catch, the small capelin will be underrepresented. For this reason it can be assumed that the one year old capelin are underrepresented in the acoustic estimates. An analysis of the calculated numbers of a yearclass for consecutive years during its lifetime leads to the same conclusion (Dommasnes 1981).

From each trawl catch a random sample is taken from the codend. Additional samples may be taken of the capelin caught in the meshes further forward in the trawl, but these are always considered non-
random. The size of the samples has been variable - in 1973 several hundred capelin were usually taken in each sample, but since 1975 the sample size has usually been 100 fish.

From a "full sample" the following data are usually recorded for each capelin: length, weight, sex, maturity, degree of stomach filling and degree of digestion, age from otoliths, and radius of the year-rings. All data are recorded on forms, after which they are entered into a computer and run through a test program that detects "illegal" data codes or "impossible" combinations of data values. Each sample is given a number which is later used as identification. For details on sampling and ageing see Gjøsæter, (1984).

### 2.4 Survey design

In 1971 when the cruises started, considerable information on capelin distribution was already available, both from earlier scientific surveys in the Barents Sea, and from fishing data. The survey grid which has been applied in these investigations is mainly a parallel grid with north-south transects. It can be described as a systematic grid pattern with a nonrandomly selected starting point. It was felt that the survey grid then adopted gave the most complete coverage within a reasonable time. If the time interval used for the survey was increased, the obtained distribution would become increasingly non-synoptic.

The same grid pattern, in principle, has been used every year. In later years, with more research vessel time available, the distance between course lines has been decreased.

Aglen (1983a) has analyzed the variations between abundance indices for different degrees of survey coverage. He defined the "degree of coverage" for an area as the ratio between sailed distance and the square root of the total area covered. Integrator output was used as the index of abundance. The result (Fig. 5) indicates that a further increase of survey effort will not improve the precision significantly.

### 2.5 Calculations

A flowchart of the procedure for evaluating data and calculating the results is given in Appendix II.

The calculations give stock size as numbers and biomass of each yearclass, based on the integrator values and sample data from the trawl catches.

In order to organize data in a manageable form, the total area investigated is divided into a relatively large number of smaller areas. For this purpose we have used the basic "squares" used in Norwegian Fisheries statistics (Fig. 6). The center of each "square" is then the geographical reference point for all data assigned to that square.

For the acoustic data, a mean integrator value is calculated for each square, usually by calculating the mean of all integrator values obtained in that square. In some instances, particularly at the edge
of the area of distribution, it is often necessary to use some judgement to obtain "representative" values. The calculation of the mean integrator value for each square is done manually.

Many of the squares have no trawl stations, many have one only, and several squares have more than one. In order to get representative biological data for the recordings in each square, trawl stations selected from the square in question or from neighbouring squares are assigned to each square as the cruise proceeds. The main criterion for designating trawl stations to a square is the similarity of echo recordings. Often several types of recordings are found in one square, and must then be represented by several trawl stations. When trawl stations have been assigned to a square, a length frequency is accumulated for that square by adding up the samples from the assigned trawl stations.

The area for each square can be easily calculated when the coordinates for its corners are known.

The number of capelin of each length group in the square can be calculated as a product of density and area (A) (adapted from Nakken and Dommasnes, 1977):

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\left(C_{I} \cdot M\right) \cdot \frac{p_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}=\frac{p_{i}}{C_{F_{i}}}} \cdot A \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N_{i}=$ the number of capelin in length group $i$ in the square
$C_{I}=$ the instrument constant
$M=$ the average integrator value calculated for the square
$p_{i}=$ the proportion of the capelin in length group $i$ to the total number of capelin in all the length groups ( $\left[p_{i}=1\right.$ )
$C_{F_{i}}=C_{S} \cdot l_{i}^{-b}$ where $l_{i}$ is the (arithmetic) middle length in the $A=$ the area in square nautical miles

The calculations of all $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for each square are presently done by computer for each half-centimeter length group. The number of capelin in each length group in a larger area or in the total area is found by adding up the number of fish in all squares included in the area. Any area larger than a square is thus defined by the squares in it.

In order to calculate age distribution and biomass it is necessary to make "keys" which give percentage age distribution in each length group. The keys are then applied to the numbers in each length group calculated for the squares, in order to give numbers and biomass in each age group for each square.

Mean length at age and mean weight in each length group can be quite different in different parts of the Barents Sea. For this reason the investigated area is divided into 3-6 subareas in such a way that mean length at age and mean weight at age are reasonably uniform for the trawl stations in that area. The length-age and length-weight keys are then compiled separately for each subarea and applied to all squares in that subarea. The subareas form the basis for compilation of other biological statistics as well.

The total number and biomass of the stock is found by adding the results from all the squares.

## 3. RESULTS

Figs. 7-19 give the distribution of capelin, both total and by yearclass, together with the survey grids for the period 1972-1984. Fig. 20 gives length distributions, and Tables $1-13$ give the acoustic abundance estimates for the same period. Hydrography charts from these cruises for most of the years in question can be found in Loeng (1981), Loeng, Nakken and Raknes (1983) and Gjøsæter and Loeng (1984) as well as in the original cruise reports.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Distribution

It is seen from Figs. 7-19 that the distribution of capelin has changed from a northern and eastern distribution in the 1970's to a more southern and western distribution in the 1980's. This is a result of well documented changes in the hydrographic conditions in the Barents sea during the period (Loeng 1979, 1983, 1984, and Loeng and Midttun 1984). The relationship between capelin distribution and temperature has been discussed by Loeng (1981), Loeng, Nakken and Raknes (1983) and Gjøsæter and Loeng (1984).

Loeng (1981) calculated the area north of $76^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ where capelin was found and the area north of $76^{0} \mathrm{~N}$ where temperature in 100 m depth was above $0^{\circ} C$ using data from the autumn surveys. He found a good correlation between the two. His data also indicated that there was little capelin in areas where the temperature in 100 m depth was above $2^{0} \mathrm{C}$, but that 3 and 4 year old capelin were generally found in somewhat colder water than the younger ones. He found no correlation between ice distribution and capelin distribution.

Loeng, Nakken and Raknes (1983) used data from the autumn surveys to investigate the distribution of capelin in relation to the mean temperature in the depth interval $0-200 \mathrm{~m}$. (Table 14). The 2- to 4 year old capelin were found in warmer water in the years 1980-82 than in the period 1974-79, with little difference between the yearclasses. 1 -year old capelin were found in somewhat warmer water. They also found that the capelin had a higher growth during the years when they were found in warmer water (Table 15), although the picture was less clear in this case.

Gjøsæter and Loeng (1984) continued the work done by Loeng, Nakken and Raknes. They found that the change in capelin distribution during the 1970's was due in particular to a southward displacement of capelin in the area east of $35^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, coinciding with a similar change in the temperature distribution (Fig. 24). A more sophisticated treatment of the temperature/growth data confirmed the findings in the former paper that the growth of the capelin increased during the years when the capelin were in warmer water. Gjøsæter and Loeng pointed out that effects linked to the availability of food may be as important in causing this effect as the direct influence of the temperature on growth.

### 4.2 Acoustic abundance estimates

Dalen et al. (1976) gave the following equation for the target strength of the maximum dorsal aspect for several clupeiform fish including capelin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S=19.1 \log 1-64.0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, during survey conditions the reflection of energy is not necessarily from the maximum dorsal aspect. The aspect angle distribution varies according to the fish behaviour, changing with time (day-night), feeding activity, vertical migration, etc. By combining results from instrument calibrations with the standard sphere, counting measurements and measurements of TS of stunned fish we can get a value for the average target strength or "effective back scattering cross section".

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=C \cdot M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{C_{1}}{a} \cdot 1^{-b} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{C_{1}}{a} \cdot 1^{-b} \cdot M \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{1}{a} \cdot 1^{-b}\left(C_{1} \cdot M\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On distribution charts the factor $\frac{1}{a} \cdot 1^{-b}$ is multiplied by $\left(C_{I} \cdot M \cdot 10\right)$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\varrho=\frac{1}{10 a} \cdot I^{-b} \right\rvert\, C_{I} \cdot M \cdot 10\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the values from the summer 1983:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho=2.34 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.91}\left(C_{1} \cdot M \cdot 10\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.34 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot 1^{-1.91}=\frac{1}{10 a} \cdot 1^{-b} \\
& a=4.27 \cdot 10^{-8}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
10 \log a=-73.7 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, as described in the chapter on calibration, the $C$ values have changed to a certain degree (text table on page 7). Therefore the value is raised to -74.0 to get an average representative figure of $10 \log a$. Using this value of $10 \log a$ in equation (5) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T S=19.1 \log L-74.0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This target strength value for capelin, applied in the abundance estimates, is 10 dB lower or $1 / 10$ of the value for maximum dorsal aspect (Fig 23). For herring, Nakken and Olsen (1977) suggested a reduction of 6 dB from maximum to "field" target strength.

It should be kept in mind that this average target strength is a result of different types of measurements. One of these is the $C$-value obtained from counting single fish traces. But echosounder recordings of capelin can have many different forms - the most common ones are:

- very thin scattering layers where single fish can be distinguished on the recording, often extending from about 20 m to about 80 m .
- denser scattering layers where single fish can be distinguished only in the extreme upper and lower parts of the recording. Density in the middle part of the recording as well as depth and vertical extension can be variable.
- dense "carpets" close to the bottom, often together with polar cod.
- schools, usually very dense, often undertaking diurnal migration to some extent.

Quite often combinations of the above types of recordings are found, with one scattering layer relatively high up in the sea and another scattering layer deeper down, sometimes at the bottom. Under such conditions size distribution in the two layers may be different, usually with larger capelin in the deepest layer. The behaviour of the capelin is thus different in different parts of the distribution area, and the average target strength is also probably different. Recordings of single capelin, the condition in which the target strength applied in abundance estimates is obtained, occur relatively seldom. In addition the survey vessel may influence the behaviour of the capelin.

Olsen et al. (1983) report on investigations of this problem. Fig. 21 gives a summary of the results from their investigations on capelin. The figure shows that integrator values in the depth range $112-132 \mathrm{~m}$ decreased when the research vessel passed, while the integrator values between 132 and 172 m increased. This indicates that the approach and passage of the vessel causes the capelin to swim downwards. One result of this would be that the aspect angle changes during the passage of the vessel, as the capelin are more or less uniformly oriented downwards. This is verified in Fig. 21, which shows that also the sum of the integrator values for the two depth ranges is lower immediately before and during the passage of the vessel.

Aglen (1983b) analyzed the ratios between average values of integrator output obtained during the day and during the night for the years 1974-1978 (Table 16). It is interesting to note that although the ratios vary between 0.7 and 1.4 , the mean is 1.0 . This may indicate that, on average, the mean target strength for capelin does not change significantly from daytime to nighttime.

Target strength measurements on capelin are scarce. Angell (1983) has measured the target strength of capelin in different densities and tilt angle distributions in net cages (experimental setup described in Olsen et al. 1982 b ), and has also estimated target strength by the "echo trace counting method" (Midttun and Nakken, 1971). Fig. 22 gives a short summary of his results. Curve 1 gives the average target strength when the capelin is randomly distributed and orientated within the cage. Here, the mean target strength is approximately the same with changing mean tilt angle. Curve 2 shows the same number of fish when they are systematically oriented (swimming against a current). It is generally acknowledged that fish in schools have a higher degree of orientation than fish in scattered concentrations (Radakov 1973). Thus, curve 2 may be more representative for the target strength of capelin in schools and curve 1 for capelin in a scattering layer. For a mean tilt angle of $0^{0}$ (i.e. horizontal position) the mean target strength of the capelin in series 2 is considerable higher ( $5-6 \mathrm{~dB}$ ) than in series 1 . Conversely, for a mean tilt angle of $40^{\circ}$ and higher, the target strength of the fish in series 1 are higher. At a mean tilt angle of 0 the spread for standard deviation) in tilt angle distribution in series 1 (unoriented manner) is greater than in series 2 (orientated manner). The aspect angle which gives maximum reflection is usually in the interval $-5^{0}$ to $+5^{\circ}$ from the horizontal position. In series 2 more fish are within this interval, and as a consequence the mean target strength will be higher.

These experiments indicate that in areas where capelin are schooling, a higher target strength (or lower conversion constant) should be applied. However, schools are generally not suitable for exact abundance estimation with an echo integrator due to acoustic shadowing etc. (Røttingen 1976).

Halldorsson and Rфynisson (1982) carried out in situ measurements of target strength on capelin in sheltered Icelandic waters (Fig. 23). These measurements were completed at night on scattered concentrations, which probably gave recordings comparable to those in the Barents Sea on which the "echo trace counting method" was applied. However, there was some wind (up to 20 knots) when the measurements were carried out, and although the roll or pitch of the ship was not pronounced, the values are possibly somewhat lower than they would have been in calmer seas.

The results of all the above-mentioned target strength experiments are summarized as well in Fig 9. Although some differences may be due to different calibration procedures, it seems that the target strength values applied in the abundance estimates of the Barents Sea capelin are higher than the target strength obtained from Halldorsson and Røynisson (1982) and Angell (1983), with a difference of 2-3 dB. If the values from the measurements by Halldorsson and Rфynisson (1982) and Angell (1983) were applied to the stock estimates, this would result in an increase in biomass of 1.5 to 2 times.

### 4.3 The consistency of the acoustic estimates

A measure of the "goodness" or reliability of the acoustic estimates is the consistency from year to year. This can be investigated in at least two ways:

By trying to use the estimate from one year to predict the outcome of next year's acoustic survey, taking into account fishing mortality and natural mortality. A good fit between the predicted results and those actually obtained would indicate that the acoustic estimates were reliable.

- By using the acoustic estimates from different years to calculate natural mortality, taking into account the catch. A series of reasonably close values for natural mortality for the the same age group over several years would indicate that the acoustic estimates were reliable.

The last approach was used by Dommasnes (1981), using results from the acoustic surveys in 1975 - 1980. The values of natural mortality obtained were in the range $0.35-1.03$ for 2 - 3-year-old capelin, 0.37 - 1.80 for 3 - 4-year-old capelin, and 0.01 - 2.66 for 4 -5-year-olds (Table 17). This did not indicate a particularly high reliability for the acoustic estimates. However, the analysis did not take into account spawning mortality, although it was mentioned as one possible cause of the large variations in natural mortality values that were obtained.

Hamre and Tjelmeland (1981) used the age composition in the catches to calculate the proportion of each age group that spawned. Assuming that all spawning capelin died after spawning, they were able to separate the natural mortality into two independent parameters - one that was due to spawning, and one that was due to "other causes". The latter would be the natural mortality for non-spawners, which they calculated for the years 1970-1977 (Table 18). This gave much more consistent results, although the natural mortalities obtained from the 1978- and 1979- cruises were still higher than the rest. Altogether, this indicated a fairly good reliability for the acoustic estimates.

The acoustic surveys from 1980 and later have, however, given rise to more variable results (Tjelmeland, in prep.).

## 5. REFERENCES

Aglen, A. 1983a. Random errors of acoustic fish abundance estimates in relation to the survey grid density applied. pp. 293-298 in: Nakken, O. and Venema, S. C. (Eds.). 1983. Symposium on Fisheries Acoustics. Selected papers of the ICES/FAO symposium on Fisheries acoustics. Bergen, Norway, 21-24 June 1982. FAO Fish. Rep., (300): 331 pp .

Aglen, A. 1983b. Echo integrator threshold and fish density distribution. pp. 35-44 in: Nakken, 0. and Venema, S. C. (Eds.). 1983. Symposium on Fisheries Acoustics. Selected papers of the ICES/FAO symposium on Fisheries acoustics. Bergen, Norway, 21-24 June 1982. FAO Fish. Rep., (300): 331 pp.

Angell, J. 1983. En sammenligning av to uavhengige metoder for beregning av málstyrke og konverteringsfaktorer (C-verdier) for lodde, Mallotus villosus (Müller). Thesis, University of Troms $\phi$, 57 pp. (In Norwegian) [mimeo.]

Anon. 1980. Report on the Norwegian/USSR acoustic survey of the Barents Sea capelin stock in September/October 1980. 8pp. [mimeo.].

Anon. 1981. Report on the Norwegian/USSR acoustic survey of the Barents Sea capelin stock in September/October 1981. 19pp. [mimeo.].

Anon. 1982. Report on the Norwegian/USSR acoustic survey of the Barents Sea capelin stock in September/October 1982. 11pp. [mimeo.].

Anon. 1983. Report on the Norwegian/USSR acoustic survey of the Barents Sea capelin stock in September 1983. 12pp. [mimeo.].

Anon. 1984. Report on the Norwegian/USSR acoustic survey of the Barents Sea capelin stock in September 1984. 12pp. [mimeo.].

Burczynski, J. 1979. Introduction to the use of sonar systems for estimating fish biomass. FAO Fish. Tech. Pap. (191):89 pp.

Buzeta, R., Dalen, J., Dommasnes, A., Hamre J., and Nakken, 0. 1975. Loddeunders申kelser i Barentshavet i september - oktober 1974. Fiskets Gang, 61: 101-104. (In Norwegian)

Dalen, J. and Nakken, 0. 1983. On the application of the echo integration method. Coun. Meet. int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1983 (B:19): 30 pp [mimeo.]

Dalen, J., Raknes, A. and Rфttingen, I. 1976. Target strength measurements and acoustic biomass estimation of capelin and 0-group fish. Coun. Meet. int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1976 (B:37): 9pp., 2 tabs., 8 figs. [mimeo.]

Dommasnes, A. 1979. Flowchart for acoustic assessment of one or more species. Acoustic Krill Working Party-News Vol. 1 No. 71979. 8pp. [mimeo.].

Dommasnes，A．1981．Stock size and mortality estimates for Barents Sea capelin based on acoustic methods．Coun．Meet．Int．Coun． Explor．Sea 1981 （ $\mathrm{H}: 45$ ） $7 \mathrm{pp} ., 4$ tabs．， 1 fig．［mimeo．］

Dommasnes，A．，Loeng，H．and Monstad，T．1979．Loddeunders申kelser i Barentshavet h申sten 1978．Fisken Hav．＿1979（1）：17－30．（In Norwegian）

Dommasnes，A．，Nakken，0．and Rфttingen，I．1975．Loddeunders申kelser i Barentshavet i september－oktober 1975．Eiskets Gang，62： 101－108．（In Norwegian）

Dommasnes，A．，Nakken，0．，Sætre，R．and Fr申iland，ø．1974．Lodde－ polartorskunders $\phi$ kelser $i$ Barentshavet $i$ september－oktober 1973．Fiskets Gand，60：73－77．（In Norwegian）

Dommasnes，A．and Rфttingen，I．1976．Loddeunders申kelser i Barents－ havet i september－oktober 1976．Fisken Hav． 1977 （2）：47－59． （In Norwegian）

Dragesund，0．and Nakken，0．1972．Lodde－og polartorskunders申kelser i Barentshavet i august－september 1971．Fiskets Gand， 58：145－148．（In Norwegian）

Fisher，F．H．and simmonds，V．P．1977．Sound absorption in sea water． J．Acoust．Soc．Am．，62：558－564．

Foote，K．1981．Absorption term in time－varied－gain functions． FiskDir．Skr．Ser．HavUnders．，17：191－213．

Foote，K．1982．Optimizing copper spheres for precision calibrations with optimal copper spheres．J．ACoust．SoC．Am．，73： 1054－1063．

Foote，K．G．，Knutsen，H．P．and Vestnes，G．1983．Standard calib－ ration of echo sounders and integrators with optimal copper spheres．FiskDir．Skr．Ser．HavUnders．，17：335－346．

Forbes，S．T．and Nakken，0．1972．Manual of methods for Fisheries re－ source survey and appraisal．Part 2．The use of acoustic ins－ truments for fish detection and abundance estimation．Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations．Rome． 138 pp ．

Gjøsæter，H．1984．Standard sampling procedure for Barents Sea capelin．A description of standard sampling technique and methods applied to improve the representativeness of the samples．Contribution to the joint Soviet／Norwegian symposium on Barents Sea capelin，Bergen August 1984．12pp．+ appendix． （Included in this volume）

Gjøsæter，H．and Loeng，H．1984．Distribution and growth of capelin in the Barents Sea in relation to water temperature in the period 1974 to 1983．Coun．Meet．int．Coun．Explor．Sea 1984（Gen：16） 1－15．［mimeo．］．
f Gjøsæter，J．，Midttun，L．，Monstad，T．，Nakken，O．，Smedstad，O．M．， Sætre，R．and Ulltang，Ø．1972．Unders申kelser av fiske－ forekomster i Barentshavet og ved Spitsbergen i august－ september 1972．Fiskets Gang 58：1010－1021．（In Norwegian）

Halldorsson, 0. and Rфynisson, P. 1982. Target strength measurements of herring and capelin in situ at Iceland. pp. 78-84 in : Nakken, 0. and Venema, S. C. (Eds.) 1983. Symposium on Fisheries Acoustics. Selected papers of the ICES/FAO symposium on Fisheries acoustics. Bergen, Norway, 21-24 June 1982. FAO Fish. Rep., (300): 331 pp.

Hamre, J. and Tjelmeland, S. 1982. Sustainable Yield estimates of the Barents Sea capelin stock. Coun. Meet. int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1982(H:45) 1-24. [mimeo.]

Johannesson, K. A. and Mitson, R. B. 1983. Fisheries Acoustics. A practical manual for aquatic biomass estimation. FAO Fish. Tech. Pap., (240): 249 pp.

Knutsen, H. P. 1982. TAF. En spesialkonstruert enhet for kontroll av TVF-funksjonen i forskningsekkolodd. Havforskningsinstituttet, Bergen (In Norwegian) [mimeo.].

Larsen, R. B. 1984. Escapement of capelin and polar cod through different parts of a pelagic trawl measured during an acoustic survey in the Barents Sea. Report to the Fish Capture Committee, Working Group Meeting, Hirtshals, Denmark April 30-May 4 1984. 11 pp.[mimeo.]

Loeng, H. 1979. Isforholdene i Barentshavet og vest av Spitsbergen. En oversikt. Fisken Hav., 1979(2): 29-75. (In Norwegian)

Loeng, H. 1981. Nordlig utbredelse av lodde og temperaturforholdene i Barentshavet om høsten. Fisken Hav., 1981(1): 1-10. (In Norwegian)

Loeng, H. 1983. Klimavariasjoner i tre hydrografiske snitt i Barentshavet i perioden 1977-1982. Fisken Hav., 1983(3): 5-21. (In Norwegian)

Loeng, H. 1984. Klimaforholdene i Barentshavet i 1983. Fisken Hav, 1984(1): 9-15. (In Norwegian)

Loeng, H. and Midttun, L. 1984. Climatic variations in the Barents Sea during the $1970^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$. Coun. Meet. Int. Coun. Explor. Sea, 1976. (Gen:15) 1-10. [mimeo.].

Loeng, H., Nakken, O. and Raknes, A. 1983. Loddas utbredelse i Barentshavet $i$ forhold til temperaturfeltet $i$ perioden 1974-1982. Fisken Hav., 1983(1): 1-17. (In Norwegian)

Mamylov, V. and Dommasnes, A. 1979. Report. Norwegian/USSR acoustic survey of the Barents Sea capelin stock in September 1979. Acoustic estimate. 4 pp . [mimeo.].

Midttun, L. 1982. Fish and other organisms as acoustic targets. ICES Symp. Fish. Acoust. Bergen, Norway, June 1982, No. 3, 1-126. [mimeo.]

Midttun, L. and Nakken, 0. 1971. On acoustic identification, sizing and abundance estimation of fish. FiskDir. Skr. Ser. HavUnders., 16(1): 36-48.

Midttun, L. and Nakken, 0. 1977. Some results of abundance estimation
studies with echo integrators. Rapp. P. -v. Reun. Cons. int. Explor. Mer, 170: 253-258.

Monstad, T. and R $\phi$ ttingen, I. 1977. Loddeunders $\phi$ kelser i Barentshavet i September-oktober 1977. FiskenHav., 1977 (3): 13-28. (In Norwegian)

Nakken, 0. 1975. On the problem of determining the relationship between integrated echo intensity and fish density. Coun. Meet. Int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1975 (B:26): 7 pp., 1 tab., 1 fig.

Nakken, 0. and Dommasnes, A. 1977. Acoustic estimates of the Barents Sea capelin stock 1971-1976. Coun. Meet. Int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1977 (H:35):1-6, 2 tabs., 3 figs. [mimeo.]

Nakken, 0. and Olsen, K. 1977. Target strength measurements of fish. Rapp. P.-v. Reun. Cons. int. Explor. Mer, 170: 52-69.

Olsen, K., Angell, J., Pettersen, F. and Lфvik, A. 1983. Observed fish reactions to a surveying vessel with special reference to herring, cod, capelin and polar cod. pp. 131-138 in: Nakken, 0 . and Venema, s. C. (Eds.). Symposium on Fisheries Acoustics. Selected papers of the ICES/FAO symposium on Fisheries acoustics. Bergen, Norway, 21-24 June 1982. FAO Fish. Rep., (300): 331 pp .

Schulkin, M. and Marsh, H. W. 1962. Sound absorption in sea water. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 34: 864-865.

Simmonds, E. J. 1984. The effect of mounting the equivalent beam angle of acoustic survey transducers. Coun. Meet. Int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1984 (B:32): 9 pp . [mimeo.]

Radakov, D. V. 1973. Schooling in the ecology of fish. John Wiley \& Sons. New York. 173 pp.

Røttingen, I. 1976. On the relation between echo intensity and fish density. Fisk.Dir. Skr. Ser. HavUnders., 16: 301-314.

Rфttingen, I. 1978. Field intercalibrations of echo integrator systems. Coun. Meet. Int. Coun. Explor. Sea 1978 (B:25): 23pp. [mimeo.]

Tjelmeland, s. (in prep.) Report to the ICES Working Group on AtlantoScandian herring and capelin 1985.

Urick, R. J. 1975. Principles of underwater sound. McGraw Hill, New York. 384 pp .2 nd ed.

Table 1. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1972.

| Alder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.\|ETMm: | 1. | 3 | 3 | 4 | \% | ror | UE:K゙r | (3.). ${ }^{\text {chen }}$ | KWNO. |
| 30) 3-3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0.0 | O.1. | $3{ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.9 |
| $40-4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1 \%$ | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 |
| $45-60$ |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | On. 1 | (). 4 | 3.7 |
| (1) |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 0.2 | (). | 3.3 |
| 65-60 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.33 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3 n ? |
| 60…6\% | $21 \%$ |  |  |  |  | 21.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.9 |
| $65 \cdots 70$ | 506 |  |  |  |  | G0¢ | 4.9 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| 70-7. | 11.186 |  |  |  |  | 1186 | 1.3 .8 | 1. 2.2 | 3.1 |
| 7\%-... 80 | 265 |  |  |  |  | 26 z | 43.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 |
| $80-85$ | $3 \times 81$ |  |  |  |  | 3281 | 70.0 | 2.2 | 3.9 |
| $8 \mathrm{xa}-90$ | 3185 |  |  |  |  | 31.6 | 92.1 | 3.0 | 4.6 |
| $90 \cdots 9$ | 231.64 |  |  |  |  | 21.64 | 63.30 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 95-100 | 1210 |  |  |  |  | 1210 | 46.9 | 4.0 | $4 \times 3$ |
| 100) 1.105 | 61.0 |  |  |  |  | 61.0 | 22 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| 105.-1.1.0 | 1.91 | 109 |  |  |  | 293 | 12.4 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| 1110.1.15 |  | 204 |  |  |  | 204 | 10 nc | 5.4 | 3.8 |
| 11.3-1\%0 |  | 445 | 20 |  |  | 46.1 | 293.3 | 6.3 | 3.9 |
| 1.00105 |  | 14.3\% | 338 |  |  | 1.471 | 106.8 | $7 . \%$ | 4.1 |
| 13 F |  | 31.51. | 466 | 43 |  | 36600 | 291.0) | 8.2 | 4.0 |
| $1.30) \cdots$ |  | 38880) | 2109 | 64 |  | 6053 | F50. 6 | 9.4 | 4.0 |
| $1.35-1.40$ |  | 2908 | 3 3 35 | 1.72 |  | 6476 | 685.7 | 10.7 | 4. F , |
| 140) 1.45 |  | 1183 | 40883 | 185 |  | 54.35 | 61.9 .1 | 11.7 | 4.1. |
| 14.7 1.50 |  | 464 | 275 | 390 |  | 35.712 | 449.5. | 1.3 . 2 | 4.1. |
| $150 \cdot \mathrm{CW}$ |  | 349 | 1703 | 235 | 1.9 | 221.0 | 311.7 | 14.6 | 4.1. |
| 155-1.60 |  | 62 | 1021 | 2 mL | 1 F | 1.376 | 214.7 | 15.9 | 4 n .1. |
| 1.60-1.65 |  | 40 | 693 | 320 | 43 | 1029 | 1886.4 | 18.7 | $4{ }^{4} 4$ |
| 1.63-170 |  |  | 398 | $3 \times 15$ | 2 | 760 | 1.44.4 4 | 1.9 .6 | 4.2 |
| 170-179 |  |  | 199 | 2303 | 3 | 451 | 99.8 | 2-8 | 4.4 |
| 175-180 |  |  | 85 | 82 | 26 | 196 | 43.3 | 2 e -8 | 4.1 |
| 180-185 |  |  | 37 | 64 | 8 | 11.5; | 30.44 | 27.3 | 4.7 |
| 185-190 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 10) | 2.7 | 27 - | 4.2 |
| 190) 1.95 |  |  |  |  | 22 | 2 | 6.0 | 23.0) | 33.8 |
| $195-200$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | O. 0 | 0.0 | ().0 |
| 200-205 |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20\%-30 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 2.0 | 30.0 | 3.4 |
| nitral. $1 .$. | 15173. | 141.30. | 169735. | 2297 | 19\%. | 48963 |  |  |  |
|  | 8.49 | 1.3. 2.3 | 14.44 | 1.4.5-7. | 117.17 | 1 max |  |  |  |
| UEKT : | W66.as | 1.383. 6 | 2074.4 | 373n3 | 40.7 | 4130.3 |  |  |  |
| G.J. VCM.. | 2 S | 9.4 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 2 L 7 | 8.7 |  |  |  |
| KIONT: | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1. | $4 n^{2}$ | 4.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |

Alder $=$ age
Antall $=$ number $\times 10^{-7}$
Gj.lgd. = mean length (cm)
Volum $=$ biomass hectolitres $\times 10^{-3}$
Gj.vol = mean volume per indjvidual (ml)
Vekt = biomass tonnes x 10
Kond. = condition factor ( 1000 x mean volume/length ${ }^{3}$ )
Lengde $=$ length group (mm)

Table 2. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1973.

| Alder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENMEXE | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | тот | VOMLIM | G.J. 60. | KONSI. |
| 35-40 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0. | 0.3 | 5.7 |
| $40 \cdots 45$ | 1.33 |  |  |  |  | 133 | 5. | 0.4 | 5.2 |
| 45-50 | 81.9 |  |  |  |  | 819 | 41. | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| $50-5$ | 865 |  |  |  |  | 865 | 52. | 0.6 | 4.1 |
| 5560 | 8336 |  |  |  |  | 836 | 59. | 0.7 | 3.7 |
| 60-65 | 488 | 69 |  |  |  | 557 | 45. | 0.8 | 3.3 |
| 65-70 | 6.13 |  |  |  |  | 613 | 61. | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| 70-75 | 953 | 182 |  |  |  | 1134 | 155. | 1.2 | 3.1 |
| 75-80 | 1.142 |  |  |  |  | 1142 | 186. | 1.3 | 2.8 |
| 80-85 | 3048 | 131. |  |  |  | 3179 | 63.65. | 2.0 | 3.6 |
| 85-90 | 7240 | 21.9 |  |  |  | 7459 | 1.638. | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| 90.. 95 | 1.2053 | 1489 |  |  |  | 1354? | 3791. | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| $95 \cdots 100$ | 12960 | 3454 |  |  |  | 16416 | 5135. | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 100-105 | 831.95 | 62.67 |  |  |  | 14462 | 5570. | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| $105 \cdots 1.0$ | 4931. | 5307 |  |  |  | 10241 | 4676. | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| 11.0-1. 1.5 | 2493 | 5093 |  |  |  | 7578 | 4149. | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| 11.15-120 | 860 | 4511 | 52 |  |  | 5425 | 3472. | 6.4 | 3.9 |
| 120-1.25 | 240 | 41.94 |  |  |  | 4429 | 3293. | 6.7 | 3.6 |
| $1.25-130$ | 234 | 24.56 | 40 |  |  | 2741 | 2380. | 8.1 | 3.9 |
| $1.30-1.35$ | 66 | 1738 | 132 |  |  | 1938 | 1943. | 9.5 | 4.1 |
| 1.35-140 | 21 | 1271 | 263 |  |  | 1554 | 1781. | 10.6 | 4.1 |
| 1.40-1.45 | 30 | 691. | 306 | 23 |  | 1053 | 1323. | 12.5 | 4.3 |
| 1.45-150 |  | 31.0 | 579 | 45 |  | 938 | 1336 | 13.8 | 4.3 |
| 1.50-1.5\% |  | 211 | 648 | 145 |  | 1.009 | 1616. | 1.6 .1 | 4.5 |
| 155-1.60 |  | 210 | 557 | 205 |  | 971 | 1829 . | 18.8 | 4.8 |
| 1. $600-1.65$ |  | 34 | 570 | 359 |  | 963 | 2011. | 20.2 | 4.7 |
| $1.65-170$ |  | 26 | 574 | 298 | 5 | 907 | 2162. | 23.5 | 5.0 |
| 1.70-175 |  | 37 | 299 | 292 | 6 | 630 | 1613. | 25.3 | 4.9 |
| 1.75-1.80 |  |  | 116 | 250 | 9 | 375 | 1143. | 29.1 | 5.2 |
| 180-1.65 |  |  | 72 | 140 |  | 212 | 681. | 31.5 | 5.2 |
| 1.85-1.90 |  |  |  | 30 |  | 30 | 110. | 33.0 | 5.0 |
| 1.90-1.85 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 11. | 38.0 | 5.3 |
| $195-300$ |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 11. | 45.0 | 5.8 |
| ANTANII. | 5®32x. | 37892 | 4208. | 1792. | 20.1 | 0216.3 |  |  |  |
| G.l. mgma | 9.37 | 1.1.33 | 15.505 | 16.71. | 17.35 | 1.0 .49 |  |  |  |
| W0i..ind : | 1.7846. | 23023. | 7689. | 425.53. | 60. | 59715 |  |  |  |
| GJ. Vrx : | 3.0 | 5.6 | 18.6 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| KCNTI. | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 3．Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin，autumn 1974.
Alder

| ＇t．Finciswe | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | E＋ | TGT | UBATM | G．J．VAK | ドONT． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F0－ |  |  |  |  |  | 1. | 0. | 0.6 | 4－1 |
| ＂－1\％ 60 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 1.4 | 1． | 0.7 | 3.7 |
| $60 \cdots 6$ | 36 |  |  |  |  | 36 | 3. | 0.8 | 3.3 |
| 6 O | $\cdots 3$ |  |  |  |  | 5.3 | \％ | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| $70 \cdots 70$ | 333 | 1.1. |  | ． |  | 244 | 27. | 1． 3 | 3.1 |
| $\cdots \cdots 80$ | －397 | 5 |  |  |  | 402 | \＃6 | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| 80－85 | d． 1.08 | 1.00 | 6 |  |  | 1217 | 233. | 1.9 | 3.4. |
| $95 \cdots$ | 2493 | 1．1F\％ |  |  |  | 2606 | $\bigcirc 74$ | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| 90－9\％ | ． 3727 | 460 | 29 |  |  | 4218 | 1182． | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| $9 \mathrm{9} \times \mathrm{W}-100$ | $70 \% 1$ | 1.812 | 1．3，4 |  |  | －8964 | 2787 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 100－1030 | 7837 | 8245 | 880 |  |  | －1．69m8 | 6424. | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| $10 \% \cdots 10$ | 61.80 | 1．1．540 | 11．\％ |  |  | 1.9716 | 831．9． | 4 n 2 | 3.4 |
| 1100－1．15 | 20979 | 1，07\％7 | 21.68 |  |  | 15532 | 7732. | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| 1．6－120． | 57.1 | ¢サ『ム | 2157 |  |  | 1．1．233 | $6379{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.8 | 3.6 |
| $120 \cdots 105$ | 1．6？ | 6253 | 2107 |  |  | 8521 | $575 \%$ | 6.9 | 3.8 |
| 1． 2 F －1． 30 | 30 | 360 | 1． $\mathrm{W}^{4} 4$ | 1.0 |  | 5032 | 41.08. | 7.9 | 3.8 |
| $130-135$ | 10 | 1.997 | 1．61．6 | 25 |  | $3 \mathrm{C}, 55$ | 3341． | 9．2 | 4.0 |
| 1． $3 \times \cdots \cdots 140$ |  | 1.04 .1 | 1．603 | Wi |  | 251. | 271．2． | 1．0．4 | 4.0 |
| $1.40 \cdots 1.45$ |  | 859 | 1.373 | 4？ |  | 21.95 | 2708. | 12．1 | 4.2 |
| 1． 4 H |  | 402 | 1.07 | 1.3 |  | 1．48\％ | 2099 | 1.3 .9 | 4.3 |
| 150－1505 |  | 207 | 646 | 36 |  | 889 | 1442． | 15.6 | 4.4 |
| 16 F |  | 60 | 64．1 | 17 |  | 61.7 | 1．1．49． | 18．1 | 4－6； |
| 360－165 |  | 24 | 31.1. | 62 |  | 378 | 848. | 20.8 | 4.8 |
| 165．1．70 |  | 1. | 167 | 42 | ＇ | 212 | 「07\％ | 23.6 | 5.0 |
| 1．70－1．75 |  | 6 | 86 | 51. | 7 | 1．45： | 364. | 24.3 | 4.7 |
| 1.70 |  |  | 20 | 24 |  | 37 | 1.04. | 27.0 | 4.8 |
| 180－185 |  |  | 21 | 18 |  | 29 | 9\％＂． | 3ns． 0 | 5.3 |
| $19 \% .190$ |  |  | 9 |  |  | 26 | QI．． | 32．0 | 4.9 |
| $190-1 \% 6$ |  |  | 9 | 9 |  | 15 | \％． | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1．9\％－－900 |  |  |  | $\%$ |  | 5 | 21．． | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |
| जrTmi．．．．： | 32470 | $\cdots 6449$ | 179930 | －3\％\％ | 7 n 1． | 0720－3 |  |  |  |
| S，1n 1.0 m | 9.99 | 11.42 | 12.74 | 16.00 | 1．7．930\％ | 1．1． 2 \％ |  |  |  |
| 447 410 | 1． $1 \times \mathrm{C}$ | 31.24 .3 | 15902 | 736 n | 1.8 | 5913 |  | ． |  |
| 8．J．Vix． | 3.4 | \％ns | \％． 1. | 2 l | 0.0 | ，＂\％ |  |  |  |
| バONJ．． | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 3.6 | － |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 4. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1975.
Alder

| 1.ENGME: | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ | TOT | VOXIMM | $\dot{\text { G. }}$. U. U0... | KOND. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58.60 | 2:3 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 1. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $60 \cdots 6$ | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0. | 0.5 | 2.0 |
| $65 \cdots 70$ | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 | O. | 0.7 | 2.3 |
| 70․ 7 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  | 19 | 2 | 1.:0 | 2.6 |
| 7 F | $1 . \mathrm{W} 8$ |  |  |  |  | 1.58 | 1.9 | 1. 5 | 3.2 |
| 80\% $8 \times$ | 460 | $4 \%$ |  |  |  | 505 | 89. | 2.0 | 3.6 |
| 85- 90 | 1848 | 21. |  |  |  | 1869 | 386. | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| $90 \cdots$ | 4047 | 3es |  |  |  | 43.34 | 1140 . | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 95.100 | 4183 | 806 |  |  |  | 5388 | 1668. | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| $100 \cdots 106$ | $49 \%$ | 1.305 |  |  |  | 6278 | $24 \% 9$ | 4.1. | 3.8 |
| $105-110$ | 2549 | 34,39 | 33 |  |  | -6022 | 2652. | 4.6 | 3.7 |
| $110-1.1$ \% | 1008 | 5\%54 | 1.041. | 4.1 |  | 7347 | 3854. | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| 1.5000 | 3 O | 8223 | 3084 | 88 | 1.2 | 11727 | 6923. | 5.7 | 3.5 |
| $1201 \%$ | ¢ | 6435 | 4085 | 292 |  | 10909 | 7730 n | 6.9 | $3{ }^{6} 8$ |
| 155130 |  | 445 | 5402 | 503 | 35 | 103888 | 8808. | 8.1 | 3.9 |
| $130-13 \%$ |  | 2696 | 4397 | 855 |  | 7956 | 7889. | 9.0 | 3.9 |
| 135 |  | 1.65 | 383 | 742 |  | 5943 | 6716. | 1.1. 1. | 14.3 |
| 140-146 |  | 681 | 2724 | 984 |  | 43593 | 5s25. | 12.3 | 4.3 |
| 1450 |  | 24.1 | $1.9 \% 0$ | 906 |  | 3063 | 4560. | 14.3 | 4.5 |
| $150-165$ |  | 269 | 1.5654 | 583 |  | 2412 | 3891. | 16.2 | 4.6 |
| $1.35-160$ |  | 1.50 | 903 | 1.069 | 1.8 | 21.45 | 4001. | 18.7 | 4.8 |
| $160-168$ |  | 1.08 | 681. | 84.1 |  | 1.627 | 73438. | 20.1 | 4.7 |
| $1.65 \cdot 1.70$ |  |  | 530 | 636 |  | 1. 1.64 | 281.9. | 22.6 | 4.8 |
| $170-1.75$ |  |  | 204 | 569 | 32 | 826 | 2323. | 24.3 | 4.7 |
| $178-180$ |  |  | 148 | 464 |  | 610 | 1.837. | 30.0 | 5.4 |
| $180-18$ |  |  | 111. | 157 |  | 265 | 91.7 | 31. 0 | 5.1 |
| 185 |  |  | 7 | 59 |  | 66 | 238. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 19970. | \%606s. | 30406 | 9789. | $9 \%$ | 9535 |  |  |  |
| G.Jn¢0a: | 9.87 | 1300 | 1.3. 42 | 15.07 | 1.4 .67 | 12.29 |  |  |  |
| VOLIM : | 6724 | 24649 | 3,34485. | 15060. | 1.31. | 7595:5. |  |  |  |
|  | 3.7 | 6.8 | 10.4 | 16.0 | 1.9 .0 | 8.1 |  |  |  |
| \|ontr. : | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.1. | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 5. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1976.
Alder

| LFMGREE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ | TOT | VOI. LMM | G.J.VOA | K゙ONA. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45-50 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 2. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50-55 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 2. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55-60 | 124 |  |  |  |  | 124 | 9. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60-65 | 437 |  |  |  |  | 4.37 | 35. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $65-70$ | 124 |  |  |  |  | 124 | 11. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 70…75 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 | 2. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75-180 | 304 |  |  |  |  | 304 | 36. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 80-85 | 965 |  |  |  |  | 965 | 135. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 85-90 | 1542 | 27 |  |  |  | 1569 | 251. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 90-95; | 2191 | 11 |  |  |  | 2202 | 527. | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 95-100 | 2651 |  | 12 |  |  | 2663 | 778. | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 100-105 | 5239 | 74 |  |  |  | 5308 | 1941. | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| 1.05-110 | 4592 | 287 | 53 |  |  | 4927 | 2091. | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| 110-115 | 2966 | 1394 | 58 |  |  | 4412 | 2249. | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| 115-120 | 1216 | 3947 | 497 | 57 |  | 5734 | 3496. | 6.0 | 3.7 |
| 120-125 | 246 | 5093 | 111.7 | 132 |  | 6.591 | 4527. | 7.1 | 3.9 |
| 125-130 | 36 | 4697 | 2015 |  | 27 | 7096 | 5609. | 8.1 | 3.9 |
| 130-135 |  | 3183 | 1983 | 4366 | 48 | 5656 | 5216. | 9.1 | 3.9 |
| 135-140 |  | 25833 | 2349 | 801 | 6 | 5735 | 6135. | 10.5 | 4.0 |
| 140-1.45 |  | 1543 | 2034 | 790 | 1.4 .1 | 4505 | 5570. | 11.9 | 4.1 |
| 145-1.50 |  | 844 | 2303 | 996 | 119 | 4350 | 5993. | 13.5 | 4.2 |
| 1.50-1.55 |  | 257 | 1544 | 1022 | 129 | 2960 | 4796. | 15.6 | 4.4 |
| 1.55-1.60 |  | 100 | 1365 | 851 | 254 | 2588 | 4689. | 17.4 | 4.5 |
| 160-165 |  | 24 | 515 | 656 | 97 | 1296 | 2728. | 19.6 | 4.6 |
| 165-170 |  | 16 | 412 | 519 | 56 | 1005 | 2411. | 22.4 | 4.8 |
| 170-175 |  |  | 311 | 544 | 108 | 965 | 2566. | 24.3 | 4.7 |
| 175-180 |  |  | 142 | 34.3 | 87 | 563 | 1710. | 28.6 | 5.1 |
| 180-185 |  |  | 5 | 256 | 113 | 368 | 12 5 . | 29.3 | 4.8 |
| 1.65-1.90 |  |  | 18 | 82 | 24 | 123 | 482. | 40.0 | 6.1 |
| 1.90-1.95 |  |  |  | 30 | 56 | B6 | 357. | 42.0 | 5.9 |
| 200-205 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 22. | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ANTALILI : | 22719. | 24070. | 16733. | 7844. | 1267. | 72646. |  |  |  |
| G. Lingia | 10.07 | 12.74 | 14.15 | 15.28 | 16.05 | 12.56 |  |  |  |
| WOLLM : | 8049. | 19752 | 21325 | 13753. | 2756. | 65628. |  |  |  |
| G.J.VAL: | 3.9 | 8.2 | 12.4 | 16.4 | 18.2 | 9.2 |  |  |  |
| KTONT: | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.9 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 6. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1977.

| Alder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LENGIE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOT | Voblm | G.J.VX. | KOND. |
| 40--45 |  |  |  |  |  | 297 | 6. | 0.2 | 2.6 |
| 45-50 |  |  |  |  |  | 739 | 15. | 0.2 | 1.9 |
| 50-5 | 483 |  |  |  |  | 483 | 14. | 0.3 | 2.1 |
| 55-60 | 916 |  |  |  |  | 916 | 37. | 0.4 | 2.1 |
| 60--65 | 1926 |  |  |  |  | 1926 | 96. | 0.5 | 2.0 |
| 65-70 | 2620 |  |  |  |  | 2620 | 157. | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| 70-75 | 4027 |  |  |  |  | 4027 | 2882. | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| 75-80 | 4046 |  |  |  |  | 4046 | 485. | 1.2 | 2.6 |
| : 80- 85 | 5701 | 2 |  |  |  | 5703 | 1063. | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| 85-90 | 5441 | 55 |  |  |  | 5496 | 1222. | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| -90-95 | 4313 | 155 |  |  |  | 4468 | 1253. | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| 95-100 | 405 ? | 247 |  |  |  | 4299 | 1361. | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 100-105 | 2716 | 421 | 2 |  |  | 3139 | 1178. | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 105-110 | 1058 | 775 | 2 |  |  | 18.34 | 789. | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| 110-115 | 330 | 1945 | 12 |  |  | 2285 | 1156. | 5.1 | 3.6 |
| 1.15-120 | 73 | 2970 | 42 | 18 |  | 3111 | 1842. | 5.9 | 3.7 |
| 1:20-125 | 6 | 3250 | 202 | 30 |  | 3488 | 2468. | 7.1 | 3.8 |
| 125-130 |  | 2509 | 419 | 40 |  | 2971 | 2426. | 8.2 | 3.9 |
| \$30-135 |  | 23.360 | 800 | 128 |  | 3287 | 3207. | 9.8 | 4.2 |
| 135-140 |  | 1295 | 1154 | 212 |  | 2669 | 2896. | 11.2 | $4: 3$ |
| \$40-145 |  | 869 | 1204 | 298 | 33 | 2410 | 3144. | 13.0 | 4.5 |
| 145-150 |  | 558 | 1406 | 449 | 26 | 2.442 | 3637. | 14.9 | 4.6 |
| 150-155 |  | 344 | 1115 | 463 | 85 | 2012 | 3432. | 17.1 | 4.8 |
| 155-160 |  | 236 | 1364 | 5999 | 135 | 2292 | 4379. | 19.1 | 4.9 |
| 160-165 |  | 94 | 870 | 486 | 98 | 1555 | 3425. | 22.0 | 5.1 |
| 165-170 |  | 18 | 844 | 372 | 89 | 1324 | 3231. | 24.4 | 5.2 |
| 170-175 |  | 13 | 367 | 489 | 113 | 983 | 2726. | 27.7 | 5.4 |
| 175-180 |  |  | 253 | 347 | 145 | 746 | 2273. | 30.5 | 5.4 |
| 880-185 |  |  | 101 | 173 | 14 | 286 | 949. | 33.2 | 5.5 |
| 185-190 |  |  | 14 | 69 | 5 | 89 | 309. | 35.11 | 5.3 |
| 190-195 |  |  |  | 30 |  | 20 | 84. | 42.0 | 5.9 |
| 1.95-200 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 29. | 48.0 | 6.2 |
| Arrtalilu: | 37708. | 18115. | 10171. | 4159. | 743. | 71968. |  |  |  |
| 3J.LGTI: | 8.3 .38 | 12.49 | 15.00 | 15.80 | 1.6.46 | 10.82 |  |  |  |
| WOLISM : | 75. | 14648. | 17074. | 8683. | 1706. | 49669. |  |  |  |
| 6.J. Uni. : | 2.0 | 8.1 | 16.8 | 20.9 | 23.0 | 6.9 |  |  |  |
| KONS. $=$ | 2.9 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 3.6 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 7．Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin，autumn 1978.
Alder

| LENCMTM： | $J$. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | TOT | VOI．．．UM | G．J．VCH．．． | ドOいばは |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35 \cdots 40$ |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 0. | 0．23 | 3.8 |
| 40－4\％ |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 1. | 0.3 | 3.9 |
| $45 \cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | 11.7 | 6. | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| 50－50 |  |  |  |  |  | 159 | 11． | 0.7 | 4．83 |
| $55 \cdots 60$ |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 6. | 0.9 | 4.7 |
| $60 \cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1. | 1． 1.1 | 4.5 |
| 65．．． 70 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 5.3 | 7. | 1.4 | 4.5 |
| 70－7 | 694 | 6 |  |  |  | 700 | 1.18. | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| $75 \cdots 80$ | 484 | 8 |  |  |  | 492 | 97 | 200 | 4．3 |
| 60－85 | 1042 | 42 |  |  |  | 1084 | 247． | 2.3 | 4． 1 |
| 85．．． 70 | 987 | 98 |  |  |  | 1085 | 267 ． | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| 90－95 | 2970 | 385； |  |  |  | 335 | 857. | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| $95 . .100$ | 3270 | 996 |  |  |  | 4266 | 1342． | 3． 1. | 3.4 |
| $100 \cdots 1.05$ | 1079 | 3426 |  |  |  | 4506 | 1776． | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| 1．05－．．．1．0 | 308 | 4745 |  |  |  | 50\％\％ | 2278 ． | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| 1．10－115 | 1.69 | 5961 | 32 |  |  | 6162 | 3224. | 5.2 | 3.7 |
| 1．15－120 |  | 58971 | 44 |  |  | 5915 | 35145． | 6.0 | 3.7 |
| 1．20． 1.30 |  | 589 | 6.3 |  |  | 6015 | 4986． | 7．1． | 3.9 |
| 1． $2 \times 0.130$ |  | 3735 | 1.93 |  |  | 3928 | 3262 | 8.3 | 4.0 |
| 1．30－1．35 |  | 2584 | 817 |  |  | 3402 | 3333． | 9．8 | 4．2 |
| 1． 8.40 |  | J． 483.3 | 1085 |  |  | 2568 | 2810． | 10.9 | 4 n 2 |
| 1．40－1．45 |  | 723 | 1．331 | 4.1 |  | 2097 | 2742． | 13．1． | 4.5 |
| 145 |  | 540 | 1420 | 1．0．L | 12 | 2082 | 3044． | 14.6 | 4.6 |
| 150…153 |  | 237 | 1.6439 | 1.42 |  | 2049 | 3442． | 16．8 | 4.7 |
| $1550 \cdots 1.60$ |  | 159 | 1118 | 315 |  | 1600 | 3090. | 1．9．3 | 4.9 |
| $160 \cdots 1.65$ |  | 78 | 1014 | 3.2 | 28 | 144.1 | 3091. | 21．4 | 5.0 |
| $1.65 \cdots 170$ |  | 57 | 5 | 264 | 17 | 850 | 2085 | 23.4 | 5.0 |
| 170－175 |  |  | 272 | 156 | 3 | 45.1 | 1215． | 26．9 | 5.3 |
| $1.75-180$ |  | 3 | 1.93 | 47 | 3 | 25 | 772 | 30.6 | 5.5 |
| 180－1．85； |  |  | 108 | 42 |  | 150 | 479 ． | 3.3 .3 | 5.5 |
| 185－180 |  |  | 1.9 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 1.30. | 41．3 | 6.3 |
| 190．．．1．95 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 | 2つ－ | 36．0 | 5.50 |
| firrtal．．．．．$=$ | 1．1．00．3． | 37091. | 8958 | 1427． | 71. | 6005in． |  |  |  |
|  | 9－34 | 1．1． 1.8 | 15.01 | 16．20 | 16.50 | 11． 94 |  |  |  |
| WOLIMP＝ | 31.03. | 248375 | 1．6469 | 29448 | 1.64. | 47609. |  |  |  |
| Cin Un，$=$ | $2 \times 8$ | 6.7 | 16.5 | 20.7 | 23．1． | 7.9 |  |  |  |
| NONOI．$=$ | $3 \times 6$ | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4－83 | 5.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 8．Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin，autumn 1979.
Alder

| L．ENSMES： | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 54 | TOT | VEKT | ［0．］． 430 | K゙ONT． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40－4＊：30 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.6 |
| 45－50 |  | ． |  |  |  | 03.36 | 1.0 | 0.33 | 2.8 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 724 | 2.9 | 0.4 | $2 \times 8$ |
| $55 \cdots 6$ |  |  |  |  |  | 583 | 2.9 | 0.5 | $2=6$ |
| $60-65$ | 77 |  |  |  |  | 77 | O．E | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| 65.70 | 77 |  |  |  |  | 77 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.9 |
| $70 \cdots 70$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75－80） |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 80… 80 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| 85\％ 70 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0.1 | 2－2 | 3.3 |
| $90 \cdots 59$ | 388 |  |  |  |  | 383 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 75－100 | 13.34 | 79 |  |  |  | 2 2 9 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 100）－10\％ | 3\％3\％ | 464 |  |  |  | 789 | 3.3 .9 | 4．3 | 4.0 |
| 105－1． 1.0 | 3＂73 | 1623 |  |  |  | 1689？ | 75.7 | 4 m | 3.6 |
| $110-1.15$ | 2 L | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | 3146 | 165：504 | 5.5 | 3.7 |
| $115-120$ | 183 | 68677 | 140 |  |  | 7023 | 427－1 | 6． 1 | 3.7 |
| $120 \cdots 125$ | 31 | 8438 | 1.14 |  |  | 8583 | 612.0 | 7.1 | 3.7 |
| $1215-130$ |  | 65920 | 1048 |  |  | 7570 | 618.4 | E－2 | 3.9 |
| $130-135$ |  | 3944 | 2293 |  |  | 6230 | 5837 | 9. | 4.1 |
| 1350.40 |  | 1.897 | 1683 | 36 |  | 3630 | 389.7 | 11．0 | 4.2 |
| 140…14： |  | 576 | 168\％ | 12 |  | 2271 | 292．4 | 12．9 | 4 c |
| 145－150） |  | 111. | 1.441. | 48 |  | 1598 | 2388.9 | 15.0 | 4.7 |
| 150－1．50 |  | 5 | 1228 | 89 |  | 1376 | 234.0 | 17.0 | 4.8 |
| 1．554． 1.60 |  | 5 | 629 | 33 |  | 706 | 137 m | 19．4 | 5.0 |
| 160－1．65 |  | 8 | 580 | 82 |  | 672 | 143.4 | 2 L 3 | E．0 |
| 1．65．－170 |  |  | 165 | 49 | 2 | 218 | 52．7 | 24.0 | 5.1 |
| 1．70－1．75 |  |  | 1.70 | 76 |  | 246 | 67.1 | 27.4 | 5.3 |
| $175-180$ |  |  | 5 | 44 |  | 97 | 3.1 .9 | 3）－3 | 5.8 |
| $180 \cdots 18 \%$ |  |  | 8 | 6 |  | 14 | 4.83 | 34.6 | 5.7 |
| ANTM．．．．．： | 14720 | 3.3403. | 11.249 | 478. |  | 47901 |  |  |  |
| G．J．L．GTI | 10．26 | 1．2．31． | 1．4． 3.4 | 16.00 | 16． 16 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| VK゙゙T＝ | 60.6 | 24688.3 | 151.60 | 100.8 | O． | 4140.9 |  |  |  |
| B．${ }^{\text {a }}$ VOI．．． | 4． 1. | 7.4 | 13.5 | 2 L .1. | 27.0 | 8.6 |  |  |  |
| KONLI． | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4 4．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 5.0 | 5.7 | 4.0 |  |  |  |

Table 9. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1980.
Alder

| 1.ENGIE: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Tor | UEKT | G.J. VO. | NONTM. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6.5-6.9$ | 63 |  |  |  |  | 6.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| 7.0…7.4 | 280 |  |  |  |  | 280 | 2.6 | 1.00 | 2.6 |
| $7.5-7.9$ | 272 |  |  |  |  | 27 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 |
| $8.0 \cdots 8.4$ | -3, 4 |  |  |  |  | 53.34 | 9.1. | $1 . .7$ | 3.0 |
| 8.5-8.9 | 1.455 |  |  |  |  | 1455 | 29.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| 7.0…9.4 | 2470 |  |  |  |  | 2490 | 58.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 9.5-9.9 | 26.33 | 25 |  |  |  | 2650 | 83.15 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| $10.0-10.4$ | 2969 | 78 |  |  |  | 3043 | 120.4 4 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| 10.5-10.7 | 5275 | 388 |  |  |  | 5643 | 264.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| 11.0-11.4 4 | E874 | 1146 | 13 |  |  | 70.33 | 372.0 | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| 1.1. $5-11.7$ | 3146 | 2463 | 4 |  |  | 5613 | 347.8 | 6.2 | 3.8 |
| $12.0 \cdots 12.4$ | 1.201 | 33886 | 50 |  |  | 4659 | 344.3 | 7.4 | 4.0 |
| 12.5-12.9 | 59.3 | 3185 | 168 | 4 |  | 393:0 | 343.0 | 8.7 | 4.2 |
| $1.3 .0 \cdots 13.4$ | 254 | 3108 | 459 | 1.4 |  | 3837 | 390.1 | 1.0 .3 | 4.4 |
| $13.5-13.9$ | 59 | 2734 | 1.131 | 14 |  | 3928 | 450.5 | 11.5 | 4.4 |
| 1.4.0-14.4 |  | 1836 | 2317 | 108 |  | 4261 | 56.1 .5 | 1.3.2 | 4.6 |
| 14.5-14.7 |  | 750 | 2811. | 197. |  | 3764 | 554.8 | 14.7 | 4.6 |
| 15.0-15.4 |  | 422 | 2776 | 381 |  | 3679 | 604.5 | 16.8 | 4.8 |
| 15.5-15.9 |  | 92 | 1800 | 464 | 1 | 235 | 447.6 | 19.0 | 4.9 |
| 16.0-16.4 |  | 4.3 | 1453 | 469 | 29 | 1.994 | 437.3 | 21.9 | 5. 1 |
| 16.5-16.9 |  | 7 | 878 | 410 | : | 1296 | 327.2 | 25.3 | 5.4 |
| $1.7 .0 \cdots 1.7 n 4$ |  | 7 | 510 | 384 |  | 901 | 257 \% | 28.5 | 5.6 |
| 17.5-17.9 |  |  | 442 | 339 |  | 781. | 250.8 | 32-1. | 5.7 |
| $18.0 \times 18.4$ |  |  | 271. | 230 |  | 901 | 1.82.8 | 36.5 | 6.0 |
| 18.5-18.9 |  |  | 178 | 108 |  | 296 | 1150 | 40.2 | 6.1 |
| 19.0-19.4 |  |  | 13.1 | 83 |  | 216 | 91..3 | 42.3 | 5.7 |
| $1.9 .5-19.9$ |  |  | 17 | 55 |  | 74 | $33^{5}$ | 49.3 | 6.4 |
| ANTALI.... | 27099 | 19663 | 1.5413 | 3262 | 30 | 65467 |  |  |  |
| C.J.banis | 10.60 | 1.2 .91 | 15.31 | 16.57 | 16. 2.3 | 12.70 |  |  |  |
| VEKT: | 123351 | 1852.52 | 2797.7 | 805.9 | 5.7 | 66885.4 |  |  |  |
| Q.J. Von: | 4.5 | 9.4 | 18.2 | 24.7 | 19.8 | 10.2 |  |  |  |
| KOWII. $=$ | 3.6 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 10. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1981.


Legend as in Table 1.

Table 11. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1982.
Alder

| Lennde | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | rot | vekt | G.j.vol | Kond. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.5-6.9 | 4639 |  |  |  |  | 4 431 | 46.1 | 1.0 | 3.2 |
| 7.0-7.4 | $61 \times 4$ |  |  |  |  | 6164 | 61.8 | 1.0 | 2.6 |
| 7.5-7.9 | 7089 |  |  |  |  | 7089 | 78.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| $8.0-8.4$ | 3 S 2 |  |  |  |  | 3852 | 62.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 |
| 8.5-8.9 | 4418 |  |  |  |  | 4418 | 92.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| 9.0-9.4 | 5449 | 71 |  |  |  | 5520 | 151.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| 9.5-9.9 | 5992 | 188 |  |  |  | 6100 | 19.1 .2 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| 10.0-10.4 | 5542 | 429 |  |  |  | 5971 | 224.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 10.5-10.9 | 36R2 | 1826 |  |  |  | 5508 | 243.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 |
| 11.0-11.4 | 1549 | 312.3 |  |  |  | 4672 | 248.0 | 5.3 | 3.7 |
| 11.5-11.9 | 913 | 4872 |  |  |  | 5795 | 357.1 | 6.2 | 3.8 |
| 12.0-92.4 | 315 | 5.359 | 6 |  |  | 5680 | 417.1 | 7.3 | 4.0 |
| 12.5-12.9 | 30. | 4787 | 26 |  |  | 4843 | 408.8 | 8.4 | 4.1 |
| 13.0-13.4 | 4 | 3366 | 46 |  |  | 3416 | 339.8 | 9.9 | 4.3 |
| 13.5-13.9 |  | 2638 | 168 |  |  | 2806 | 319.5 | 11.4 | 4.4 |
| 14.0-14.4 |  | 1421 | 654 |  |  | 2075 | 273.3 | 13.2 | 4.6 |
| 14.5-14.9 |  | 1229 | 685 | 3 |  | 1917 | 283.2 | 14.8 | 4.6 |
| 15.0-15.4 |  | ¢54 | 1747 | 14 |  | 1715 | 292.5 | 17.1 | 4.8 |
| 15.5-15.9 |  | 336 | 1011 | 54 |  | 1401 | 265. 2 | 18.9 | 4.8 |
| 16.0-16.4 |  | 110 | 731 | 47 |  | 889 | 188.9 | 21.3 | 5.0 |
|  |  | 199 | 631 | 32 |  | 862 | 213.7 | 24.8 | 5.3 |
| 17.0-17.4 |  | 190 | 558 | 19 |  | 767. | 214.0 | 27.9 | 5.4 |
| 17.5-17.9 |  | 307 | 307 | 20 |  | 6 2.7 | 194.7 | 31.0 | 5.6 |
| 12.0-18.4 |  | 42 | 259 |  |  | 301 | 103.8 | 34.5 | 5.7 |
| 12.5-18.9 |  | 26 | 57 |  |  | 83 | 29.8 | 35.9 | 5.4 |
| 19.0-19.4 |  |  | 87 | 14 |  | 101 | 40.7 | 40.3 | 5.6 |
| 19.5-19.9 |  | 14 | 79 | 14 |  | 57 | 24.7 | 43.3 | 5.6 |
| ?n.0-20.4 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 14 | 7.0 | 50.0 | 6.0 |
| Antall: | 49630 | 31100 | 6316 | 217 | 0 | 87263 |  |  |  |
| GJ. lad: | 8.85 | 12.69 | 15.91 | 16.76 | 0.00 | 10.75 |  |  |  |
| vekt. : | 1210.82 | 789. 21 | 318.1 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 5372.2 |  |  |  |
| gi.vol: | 2.4 | 9.0 | 20.9 | 2.4 .9 | 0.0 | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| Kond. : | 3.1 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 |  |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 12. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1983.
Alder

| Lengde | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Tot | Vekt | GJ.V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6.5-6.9$ | 527 |  |  |  |  | 527 | 5.3 | 1.0 |
| 7.0-7.4 | 718 |  |  |  |  | . 718 | 7.2 | 1.0 |
| 7.5-7.9 | 1016 |  |  |  |  | 1016 | 10.9 | 1.1 |
| 3.0-8.4 | 2756 |  |  |  |  | 2756 | 55.7 | 2.0 |
| 8.5-8.9 | 9643 |  |  |  |  | 9843 | 208.3 | 2.2 |
| 9.0-9.4 | 11953 | 146 |  |  |  | 12099 | 340.1 | 2.8 |
| 9.5-9.9 | 10966 | 291 |  |  |  | 11257 | 353.2 | 3.1 |
| 10.0-10.4 | 6710 | 440 |  |  |  | 7150 | 2.78 .1 | 3.9 |
| 10.5-10.9 | 3815 | 1571 |  |  |  | 5386 | 249.8 | 4.6 |
| 11.0-11.4 | 2161 | 2339 |  |  |  | 4500 | 249.1 | 5.5 |
| 11.5-11.9 | 849 | 2467 |  |  |  | 3316 | 212.6 | 6.4 |
| 12.0-12.4 | 216 | 3013 | 27 |  |  | 3256 | 248.3 | 7.6 |
| 12.5-12.9 | 144 | 2393 | 64 |  |  | 2.601 | 231.1 | 8.9 |
| 13. n-13.4 | 14 | 2212 | 232 |  |  | 2458 | 253.0 | 10.:3 |
| 13.5-13.9 |  | 1425 | 257 |  |  | 1677 | 199.2 | 11.9 |
| 14. 0-14.4 | 5 | 1152 | 532 |  |  | 1699 | 231.2 | 13.7 |
| 14.5-14.9 | 9 | 812 | 466 |  |  | 1287 | 199.4 | 15.5 |
| 15.0-15.4 |  | 7.34 | 521 | 7 |  | 1242 | 225.6 | 17.9 |
| 1).5-15.9 |  | 388 | 438 | 6 |  | 832 | 168.8 | 20.3 |
| 16.0-16.4 | 9 | 278 | 545 | 14 |  | 846 | 192.0 | 27. 7 |
| 16.5-16.9 |  | 165 | 277 | 9 |  | 451 | 117.1 | 26.0 |
| 17.0-17.4 |  | 107 | 264 |  |  | 371 | 106.1 | 2R.6 |
| 17.5-17.9 |  | 33 | 133 |  |  | 1 AK | 54.1 | 32.6 |
| 18.0-18.4 |  | 11 | 36 |  |  | 47 | 16.1 | 34-3 |
| 18.5-18.9 |  | 18 | 22 |  |  | 47 | 16.1 | 40.2 |
| 19.0-19.4 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 1.3 | 45.0 |
| Antall: | 51514 | 19996 | 3811 | 36 | 0 | 75354 |  |  |
| Gjelgd: | 9.52 | 12.66 | 15.35 | 16.10 | 0.00 | 10.65 |  |  |
| vekt: | 1609.11 | 1893.3 | 720.4 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 4279.8 |  |  |
| G.j.vol: | 3.1 | 9.5 | 18.9 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Kond. : | 3.5 | 4.3 | 5.7 | f. $x$ | 0.0 | 3.8 |  |  |

Legend as in Table 1.

Table 13. Acoustic abundance estimate of capelin, autumn 1984.

| Lengde | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alde } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | r 4 | 5 | Tot | Vekt | Gj.v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.0-8.4 | 266 | 14 |  |  |  | 280 | 5.2 | 1.9 |
| 8.5-8.9 | 998 |  |  |  |  | 998 | 20.4 | 2.0 |
| 9.0-9.4 | 2719 | 34 |  |  |  | 2753 | 72.6 | 2.6 |
| 9.5-9.9 | 3848 | 391 |  |  |  | 4239 | 132.9 | 3.1 |
| 10.0-10.4 | 2515 | 1388 |  |  |  | 3903 | 153.6 | 3.9 |
| 10.5-10.9 | 2076 | 2443 | 25 |  |  | 4544 | 204.8 | 4.5 |
| 11.0-11.4 | 1277 | 2951 | 80 |  |  | 4308 | 226.7 | 5.3 |
| 11.5-11.9 | 515 | 3009 | 114 |  |  | 3638 | 219.5 | 6.0 |
| 12.0-12.4 | 125 | 2692 | 194 |  |  | 3011 | 213.9 | 7.1 |
| 12.5-12.9 | 139 | 1742 | 163 |  |  | 2044 | 171.6 | 8.4 |
| 13.0-13.4 | 39 | 1384 | 284 |  |  | 1707 | 166.7 | 9.8 |
| 13.5-13.9 | 25 | 739 | 409 |  |  | 1173 | 134.2 | 11.4 |
| 14.0-14.4 | 2 | 513 | 501 |  |  | 1016 | 137.5 | 13.5 |
| 14.5-14.9 |  | 347 | 489 |  |  | 836 | 122.8 | 14.7 |
| 15.0-15.4 |  | 213 | 541 | 37 |  | 791 | 136.0 | 17.2 |
| 15.5-15.9 |  | 153 | 421 | 37 |  | 611 | 115.7 | 18.9 |
| 16.0-16.4 |  | 127 | 395 | 39 |  | 561 | 121.5 | 21.7 |
| 16.5-16.9 |  | 82 | 297 | 59 |  | 438 | 110.0 | 25.1 |
| 17.0-17.4 |  | 94 | 328 | 33 |  | 455 | 128.9 | 28.3 |
| 17.5-17.9 |  | 51 | 226 | 24 |  | 301 | 95.7 | 31.8 |
| 18.0-18.4 |  | 6 | 157 | 24 |  | 187 | 66.6 | 35.6 |
| 18.5-18.9 |  | 11 | 76 | 32 |  | 119 | 46.0 | 38.7 |
| 19.0-19.4 |  | 2 | 62 | 14 |  | 78 | 33.5 | 43.0 |
| 19.5-19.9 |  |  | 31 | 10 |  | 41 | 19.2 | 46.7 |
| 20.0-20.4 |  |  | 2 | 10 |  | 12 | 6.4 | 53.3 |
| 20.5-20.9 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 1.8 | 60.0 |
| Antall: | 14544 | 18386 | 4795 | 322 | 0 | 38047 |  |  |
| Gj.lgd: | 10.06 | 12.04 | 15.18 | 17.19 | 0.00 | 11.72 |  |  |
| Vekt: | 535.8 | 1367.8 | 872.7 | 87.4 | 0.0 | 2863.7 |  |  |
| Gj.vol: | 3.7 | 7.4 | 18.2 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 7.5 |  |  |
| Kond. : | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 3.9 |  |  |

Table 14.Mean temperature $(\bar{t})$ and standard deviation ( $s$ ) in the distribution area of $1,2,3$, and 4 years old capelin in the period 1974-1982 (Loeng, Nakken, and Raknes, 1983).

|  | 1 |  | $\underset{2}{\text { Age, years }}$ |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $t^{0} \mathrm{C}$ | s | $t^{0} \mathrm{C}$ | s | $t^{0} \mathrm{C}$ | s | $t^{0} \mathrm{C}$ | s |
| 1974 | 1.04 | 1.46 | -0.15 | 1.00 | -0.18 | 0.99 | 0.32 | 1.11 |
| 1975 | 0.61 | 1.01 | -0.03 | 0.88 | -0.29 | 0.74 | -0.26 | 0.78 |
| 1976 | 1.34 | 1,04 | 0.16 | 0.98 | 0.46 | 1.09 | 0.76 | 1.21 |
| 1977 | 1.66 | 1.36 | -0.32 | 0.72 | -0.38 | 0.59 | -0.37 | 0.59 |
| 1978 | 1.78 | 1.10 | 0.03 | 1.07 | -0.11 | 0.77 | 0.13 | 0.69 |
| 1979 | 0.72 | 1.13 | -0.05 | 0.86 | -0.19 | 0.69 | -0.05 | 0.72 |
| 1980 | 1.36 | 0.94 | 0.78 | 0.91 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.89 |
| 1981 | 1.51 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.53 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 0.90 |
| 1982 | 2.38 | 1.21 | 1.12 | 1.22 | 0.99 | 0.91 | 1.13 | 0.83 |

Table 15. Average weight in grams of 2 and 3 years old capelin together with mean temperatures ( $t$ ) in the distribution area. The individual growth (in grams) of capelin from 2 to 3 years of age is shown in the column to the right (Loeng, Nakken and Raknes 1983).

| Year | Age, years |  |  |  | Weight increase 2 to 3 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight(g) ${ }^{2}$ | $t^{0} \mathrm{C}$ | Weight(g) ${ }^{3}$ | $t^{0} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 1974 | 5.6 | -0.15 | 9.1 | -0.18 |  |
| 1975 | 6.8 | -0.03 | 10.4 | -0.29 | 4.8 |
| 1976 | 8.2 | (0.16) | 12.4 | (0.46) | 5.6 |
| 1977 | 8.1 | -0.32 | 16.8 | -0.38 | 8.6 |
| 1978 | 6.7 | 0.03 | 16.5 | -0.11 | 8.4 |
| 1979 | 7.4 | -0.05 | 13.5 | -0.19 | 6.8 |
| 1980 | 9.4 | 0.78 | 18.2 | 0.68 | 10.8 |
| 1981 | 9.4 | 0.63 | 17.0 | 0.53 | 7.6 |
| 1982 | 9.4 | 1.12 | 17.0 | 0.99 | 7.6 |

Table 16. Ratio between average integrator values obtained during day, $\left(M_{D}\right)$, and night, $\left(M_{N}\right)$, on capelin recordings in the Barents Sea in autumn onboard the "G.O. Sars" (Aglen, 1983a).

| Year: | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{M}_{\mathrm{D}} / \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 |

Table 17. Instantaneous natural mortality for Barents Sea capelin by yearclass and age, as obtained by combining acoustic estimates and catch statistics (Dommasnes, 1981).

|  | Yearclass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |  |  |
| $2-3$ years |  |  | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.35 | 1.03 | 0.64 |  |  |
| $3-4$ | " |  | 1.00 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 1.80 | 0.37 |  |  |
| $4-5$ | $"$ | 1.16 | 1.20 | 2.14 | 2.66 | 0.01 |  |  |  |

Table 18. Instantaneous natural mortality for immature Barents Sea capelin by yearclass and age. Adapted from Hamre and Tjelmeland, 1982.

| Age | Yearclass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 1.02 | 0.64 |  |  |
| $3-4$ | 0.68 | 0.53 | 0.82 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.60 |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Corresponding values of integrated echo intensity and capelin density (calculated from counts on the recording paper) in 1971. R/V "G.0. Sars".


Figure 2. Sailing arrangement during intercalibrations on fish concentrations.


Figure 3. $16 \times 16$ fathom capelin trawl used for biological sampling on capelin surveys.


Figure 4. Length frequency distribution from codend-catch (white boxes) and of escaped capelin (hatched boxes). (From Larsen, 1984).
$\underline{n}=$ number of length measured individuals.
$\overline{1}=$ mean length
$\sigma=$ standard deviation


Figure 5. Corresponding values of degree of coverage and coefficient of variation for capelin surveys in the Barents Sea. Numbers denote number of observations. (Modified from Aglen 1983a).


Figure 6. Areas ("squares") used in Norwegian Fisheries statistics.


Figure 7. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1972, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. $\Delta=$ pelagic trawl station, $0=$ bottom trawl station, vvvvvv $=$ observations of ice.


Figure 8. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1973, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 9. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1974, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 10. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1975, total biomass distribution for 2 -year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 11. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1976, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 12. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1977, total biomass distribution for 2 -year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 13. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1978, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 14. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1979, total biomass distribution for 2 -year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 15. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1980, total biomass distribution for 2 -year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 16. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1981, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 17. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1982, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 18. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1983, total biomass distribution for 2 -year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 19. Calculated biomass distribution for 2-, 3- and 4-year-old capelin in 1984, total biomass distribution for 2-year-olds and older capelin, cruise track during the survey, and distribution of integrator values. Legend as in Figure 7.


Figure 20. Length distribution of Barents Sea capelin per age-group and total, for the years 1972-1984.


Figure 21. Relative echo integrator output (running mean per transmission), computed from echo recordings obtained using a submerged transducer (Olsen et al. 1983).

A: Capelin, $\overline{\mathrm{l}}=14 \mathrm{~cm}$, at $112-132 \mathrm{~m}$ depth at night during vessel passage ( p ), ( $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{V}$ "G.0. Sars", 12 knots).
B: Capelin, $\overline{1}=14 \mathrm{~cm}$, at $132-172 \mathrm{~m}$ depth in the same run.
$C$ : Sum of $A$ and $B$.


Figure 22. Target strength as a function of mean aspect angle for different fish distributions. (Angell, 1983).
Curve 1. 40 capelin unoriented. Density at 0 aspect angle: 350 specimens/m ${ }^{3}$.
Curve 2. 40 capelin systematically oriented. Density at 0 aspect angle: 1700 specimens/m.
Curve 3. 73 capelin systematically oriented. Density at 0 aspect angle: 1700 specimen/m .


Figure 23. Results from target strength measurements on capelin.

1: In situ measurements at Iceland. Halldorson and Røynisson (1983). Arrow shows measurement when deck lights were switched on.

2: Measurement of capelin in cage. Mean aspect angle $0^{\circ}$, fish not systematically oriented. (Angell 1983).

3: Measurement of capelin in cage. Mean aspect angle $0^{\circ}, 40$ fish systematically oriented. (Angell 1983).

4: Measurement of capelin in cage. Mean aspect angle $0^{\circ}, 73$ fish systematically oriented. (Angell 1983).
5: Target strength measured by counting echo traces September 1981. (Angell 1983).
$T S=19.1 \log \mathrm{~L}-74.0$ is the target strength applied during the autumn capelin survey in the Barents Sea.


Figure 24. The distribution of the $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherms in 100 m depth and the distribution of capelin in the period 1974-1983. The main areas of concentration are hatched.

## APPENDIX I

NEW INSTRUMENT CONSTANTS FOR ECHO INTEGRATION IN USE AT THE INSTITUTE OF MARINE RESEARCH, BERGEN FROM 15 JULY 1985.

In this paper we have used the definition of target strength given by Dalen and Nakken (1983):

$$
T S=10 \log \sigma
$$

However, a more commonly used definition (see for example Johannesson and Mitson, 1983):

$$
T S=10 \log 0 / 4 \pi
$$

It has been decided that the above definition of target strength shall be used in reports and publications from the Institute of Marine Research with effect from 15 July 1985.

This affects many of the formulas in this paper. In particular, it leads to changes in the levels for $C_{F}$ and $C_{T} . C_{T}$ has been incorporated into the integrator values in order to make them system independent together with a factor of 10, to avoid decimals. The factor of 10 was dropped from 15 August 1985, so that instead of $4 \pi$ we have to introduce a factor of $4 \pi / 10$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{F_{\text {new }}}=C_{F_{\text {old }}} \cdot 10 / 4 \pi \\
& C_{I_{\text {new }}}=C_{I_{\text {old }}} \cdot 4 \pi / 10
\end{aligned}
$$

For the system conversion constant and the calculated stock this makes no difference, as we have:

$$
C=C_{I_{\text {old }}} \cdot C_{F_{\text {old }}}=C_{I_{\text {new }}} \cdot C_{F_{\text {new }}}
$$

However, the change does make a difference for the integrator values that are output from the integrator systems onboard the institute's research vessels, because $C_{I_{o l d}}$. 10 was incorporated in the values before 15 July 1985 and $C_{I_{\text {new }}}$ was incorporated after that date.
Therefore, if we compare "new" and "old" integrator values, we have:

$$
M_{\text {new }}=M_{\text {old }} \cdot 4 \pi / 10
$$

This will of course also apply to comparisons of "integrator charts" based on "old" and "new" integrator values.

For the system conversion constant the relationship will be:

$$
C_{\text {new }}=C_{\text {old }} \cdot 10 / 4 \pi
$$

## APPENDIX II

ACOUSTIC ESTIMATES, FLOW CHART FOR THE RECORDING OF DATA AND CALCULATION OF STOCK SIZE. Adapted from Dommasnes, 1979.

The calculations are based on a division of the total area in which the stock is to be assessed into numerous smaller areas, which here have been called "squares". The size of the squares should probably be no more than $60 \times 60$ nautical miles, preferably smaller, depending on the size of the total area.

The total area is also divided into 4-6 subareas in which biological characteristics like length-age and length-weight relations are assumed to be fairly uniform. The subareas are defined by the squares that are included in them.

The calculations are thus carried out and presented on three geographical levels: squares, subareas and total area.

All calculations are based on length groups.
The following symbols have been used in the flowchart:


SYNOPSIS OF THE METHOD OF BIOACOUSTIC STOCK MEASUREMENTS.
(The numbers refer to the numbers on the flow chart).

1. The echo sounder must have an output for the integrator, and the echo sounder-transducer combination must be properly calibrated.
2. Each signal is squared, and then integrated. This can be done by an analog integrator or by a digital computer.
3. Echo densities integrated over five nautical miles are printed out by the computer (or recorded manually) for several depth intervals.
4. Echoes are also recorded on echosounder paper. Recording intensity should be adjusted so that the weakest signal recorded on paper is also the weakest signal integrated.
5. Sampling is done by trawl. Ideally, the selectivity of the trawl should be known or there should be no selectivity.

6- The catch is sorted by species. For each species a random sample
7- is taken, and length, weight, age, and other biological
8. characteristics are recorded for each fish in the sample on special forms for punching, and entered into the computer.
9. To eliminate errors as far as possible, the sample data are run through a test program that picks out improbable or impossible data and allows those to be corrected.
10. Key data from each sample are tabulated by the computer and printed out.

11- Key data are plotted manually on charts to allow evaluation of
12- geographical distribution of biological characteristics. On the
13. basis of this evaluation, subareas are defined inside which the same length-age and length-weight keys can be used. The subareas are defined in the computer by listing the squares that are included.
14. The integrated echo densities are compared with the echosounder paper for each five nautical miles, and corrections are made for "false signals" (mainly bottom signals or wave noise).
15. The mean integrated echo density per nautical mile (M) in each square is calculated manually as the sum of all corrected integrated densities divided by the number of nautical miles sailed in the square.
16. Integrated echo intensities are entered into the computer as a mean value for each square.

17- Based on the echosounder paper and the samples, a decision is
18. made on which sampling stations can be considered representative for the kinds of recordings found in each square. Sampling stations from neighbouring squares may also be used. The decision is entered into the computer as a list of samples for each square.
19. The length frequency ( $p_{i}$ ) for each square is calculated from the samples assigned to that square, giving each sample entry equal weight.
20. $C_{I}, C_{S}$ and $b$ have been obtained empirically.
21. The area of each square is entered into the computer.
22. The number of fish in each length group is calculated for each square according to the formula;

$$
N_{i}=\left(C_{I} \cdot M\right) \cdot \frac{p_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}=\frac{p_{i}}{C_{F_{i}}}} \cdot A
$$

$N_{i}=$ the number of capelin in length group $i$ in the square.
$C_{I}=$ the instrument constant.
$M=$ the average integrator value calculated for the square.
$p_{j}=$ the proportion that the capelin in length group i makes up of the total number of capelin in all the length groups ( $\Sigma p_{i}=1$ ). $C_{F_{i}}=C_{S} \cdot l_{i}^{-b}$ where $l_{i}$ is the (arithmetic) middle length in the length group $i$ and $C_{S}$ and $b$ have been determined empirically.
$A=$ the area in square nautical miles.
23. Biological characteristics other than length-age and lengthweight relationships are presented in tables for each subarea, and printed out. The tables are compiled by adding relevant information from all samples in the subarea.

24- Length-age and length-weight tables are compiled for each square
25. by adding the relevant information from all sampling stations assigned to that square.

26- Length-age and length-weight tables for each square are combined
27. to give corresponding tables for the subareas, and printed out.

28- While lengths are recorded for all fish sampled, ages and weights
29- may be recorded from some of the samples only. It is therefore
30- possible that the length-age and length-weight keys will not
31. cover the extremes of the length range in question. It is therefore necessary to check whether the length-age and length-weight tables cover the necessary range and, if necessary, fill in approximate values for missing parts of the tables. The additions are entered into the computer.
32. Total number of fish in each age group in each square is obtained by combining the total number at length in the square with the length-age key for the subarea. The number of fish in each age group is printed out.

33- Total number of fish in each age group in each subarea is
34. obtained by adding the numbers from all squares in that subarea. The numbers are printed out.

35- Total number of fish in each age-group in the total area is
36. obtained by adding the numbers from all subareas. The numbers are printed out.
37. Total weight of fish in each age-group in each square is obtained by combining the total number at length in each square with the length-age and length-weight keys for the subarea. The weights are printed out.

38- Total weight of fish in each age group in each subarea is
39. obtained by adding the numbers from all squares in that subarea. The weights are printed out.

40- Total weight of fish in each age group in the whole area is
41. obtained by adding the numbers from all subareas. The weights are printed out.
42. End of the program.

## FLOW CHART FOR THE RECORDING OF DATA
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