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## General

The WGNSSK was complimented on the completeness and standardised format of the report, which facilitated review of the assessments. In particular, the "Synthesis" and "Management considerations" sections of the report proved helpful as a starting point in formulating management advice.

One aspect of the WGNSSK terms of reference was to "take into account the technical interactions among the stocks due to the mixed-species fisheries and the new management measures coming into force in 2000." The WG lacked the resources to address this issue in all of the current assessments. Instead, the WG conducted a case study using the North Sea flatfish fisheries (two species, two fleets) to demonstrate an approach that might be expanded and employed for more complex fisheries (WGNSSK report section 1.4.6).

In reference to the workload issue, the WGNSSK proposed that in the future a detailed, "review" level of assessment be provided to ACFM for three stocks per year, and simpler update, or "roll-over," assessment be provided for the remaining stocks.

A retrospective pattern of underestimation of fishing mortality and overestimation of spawning stock biomass (SSB) is apparent in many of the North Sea and Eastern Channel assessments. The suspected cause of this retrospective pattern is some combination of unreported landings and the lack of discards in many of the assessments (e.g., North Sea cod and plaice).

Commercial fishery CPUE tuning indices are excluded from many, but not all, of the assessments. Given the problematic assumption that commercial CPUE is an accurate index of stock abundance (due to unaccounted for changes in the efficiency of commercial fishing operations over time or restrictions on retention due to TAC), it is currently preferable to exclude these indices from VPA tuning if sufficient fishery independent survey indices are available (e.g., North Sea cod). In some cases, however, (e.g., North Sea saithe) it is still necessary to rely mainly on commercial CPUE indices for VPA tuning.

The evaluation of current stock status is generally expressed in deterministic terms (e.g., VPA terminal year point estimates of fishing mortality and SSB). Presentation of confidence intervals for these estimates would be helpful to managers in evaluating the risk of management decisions. Currently, expression of the precision of the terminal year estimates of fishing mortality and SSB is difficult due to XSA software limitations. It is anticipated that this situation will improve in the near future, allowing a probabilistic expression of terminal year estimates of fishing mortality and SSB (i.e., bootstrap estimates).

ACFM undertook an evaluation of the impact of technical conservation measures for cod, haddock and whiting in the North Sea according to a simplified regimen of gear measures introduced by EU and UK national legislation. See Appendix 1.

## North Sea cod

There was considerable discussion about the internal (current assessment) and historical (between assessments) retrospective patterns of severe underestimation of fishing mortality and overestimation of SSB in the assessment. There was general agreement that the likely cause is some combination of unreported landings and the absence of discards in the fishery catch at age input to the VPA.

It was noted that there are recent estimates of discards available that indicate that discarding may be high, but as most programs began in 1999, there is no time series of discards available for inclusion in the VPA. The availability of discard sample data will be critical in the coming years to evaluate the effectiveness of the recently implemented technical measures. As discarding of North Sea cod appears to be related to minimum size regulations, rather than due to the restrictions of TAC, there may be some potential to develop a time series of discard estimates using historical survey catch at length and mesh selective ogives.

A TSA assessment of North Sea cod using landings only, however, indicated it was likely that substantial underreporting of landings did occur in 2000 and 2001.

There was consensus that while the terminal year estimates of fishing mortality and SSB are somewhat uncertain, there is little doubt that the current status of the North Sea cod is very poor.

## North Sea haddock

It was noted that while the internal retrospective pattern is not severe, the historical retrospective pattern indicates that the apparent recent decline in fishing mortality may not be as large as currently estimated. There is little evidence of unreported landings that might account for the historical underestimation of fishing mortality, however, and estimates of discards are included in the assessment. There have been no recent major structural changes in the assessment. Therefore, the cause of the retrospective pattern remains unclear.

Residual patterns from the VPA indicate, however, that the model is balancing conflicting signals about current stock status from the two surveys available for tuning (English Groundfish and IBTS).

ACFM notes that the Scottish Groundfish survey indices have been excluded from VPA tuning in the current and three previous assessments due to survey gear changes that occurred in 1998. ACFM recommends that the Scottish survey data be re-examined to determine the potential to again include those data in VPA tuning in future assessments.

Adjustment of mean weights and/or selection pattern in forecasts for slow growth of 1999 year class - not accounted for in WG, but done during ACFM. In the time available this could not be fully addressed but indicated that the WG "got away with it"

## North Sea whiting

The comparative analyses examining the differing trends in fishing mortality and SSB provided by the survey and commercial fishery VPA tuning indices were useful in illustrating the degree of uncertainty of this assessment. The different impressions provided by the four survey series (English Groundfish, Scottish Groundfish, French Groundfish, and IBTS) may be due to real differences in the spatial and temporal abundance of the stock. The fishermen's survey (North Sea Stock Summary, Scottish Fishermen's Association) reflects such differential impressions of stock abundance over geographic regions.

## North Sea saithe

The evaluation of current status is uncertain, in part because the assessment is based mainly on fishery dependent data (commercial fishery landings at age and commercial CPUE tuning indices). The increasing use of more sophisticated echo sounders over the time series of the commercial CPUE tuning indices, which has not been explicitly accounted for, may provide an optimistic indication of stock abundance. There is also uncertainty in the assessment because a time series of discards is not included. In single index VPA runs, the Norwegian acoustic survey provided a lower estimate of biomass in 2001 than the commercial fishery CPUE indices, although the 2002 acoustic survey is expected to indicate an increase in biomass.

The assessment of current status, relatively low fishing mortality and relatively high SSB, generally agrees with fishermen's perception of the stock.

## North Sea plaice

The terminal year estimates of fishing mortality and SSB are sensitive to VPA model assumptions. Further, an alternative assessment based on the ICA model indicates higher recent fishing mortality rates and lower SSB than the VPA, reinforces the uncertainty of the state of the stock.

High levels of discards area known to have occurred in the plaice fisheries in recent years, but these have not been included in the assessment because a full and representative time series is not available. This situation further contributes to the uncertainty of the assessment.

Commercial CPUE indices have been excluded from the VPA tuning because of concerns about possible bias due to changing fishery practices that have not been explicitly accounted for. This has resulted in a loss of information about
the abundance of older age classes, and as a result the estimates of the abundance of the older ages are influenced mainly by shrinkage to the mean estimates in the VPA.

The survey indices currently used in the assessment are mainly from inshore areas, and therefore the abundance of older age fish occurring mainly in offshore areas may not be well characterised. Recent surveys over the full range of the stock indicate that may be different recent trends in the abundance of plaice in different depths and areas of the North Sea.

## North Sea sole

An historical review of assessments indicates that the management measures implemented to date have not been successful in reducing fishing mortality sufficiently to maintain SSB above Bpa.

A new commercial fishery tuning index was developed that was intended to more representative of the fishing activities of the UK commercial otter trawlers actually based in the UK. This new tuning fleet did not match the other tuning and catch information very well, and so exerted a relatively low influence on the estimates of stock size.

Commercial CPUE indices have been retained in the assessment because of the impression that TAC restrictions have not resulted in bias. However, the influence of possible bias in the commercial CPUE indices due to unaccounted for increases in commercial fishing efficiency has not been explored in detail.

ACFM recommends that the WGNSSK consider the following in developing next year's assessment: 1) consider whether inclusion of the UK commercial OT index is still appropriate, given the lack of fit and large residuals, 2) consider whether truncation of the fishery landings at age matrix used in the VPA might be appropriate, given the large tuning residuals for the older ages, 3) if substantial revision to the configuration to the VPA are made, consider recalculation of the biological reference points, and 4) consider whether continued use of commercial CPUE indices in the VPA tuning is appropriate.

## Plaice IIIa

There have been major structural changes in the assessment, due to the addition of three new survey tuning series. These new survey indices now receive the majority of the tuning weighting for ages 2-4. This is a major change from previous assessments in which the stock sizes at these ages were determined by commercial CPUE indices and shrinkage to the mean estimates. The addition of these surveys to the VPA tuning is perceived to be a major improvement in the assessment.

The assessment exhibits significant internal and historical retrospective patterns of underestimation of fishing mortality, and therefore the recent estimates of fishing mortality are uncertain. It is not clear if this retrospective pattern is caused by absence of discards estimates in the assessment, high and variable levels of natural mortality, emigration of adult fish from the assumed unit stock, the use of commercial CPUE indices in the VPA tuning, or some other factor.

There are trends in tuning residuals evident for some of the commercial CPUE tuning indices, even in the single index runs, that are difficult to reconcile with a constant catchability assumption.

ACFM recommends that the WGNSSK consider if the continued inclusion of the commercial CPUE indices in the VPA tuning is appropriate.

The fishing mortality reference point of $\mathrm{Fpa}=0.73$ is unusually high for a flatfish stock with assumed natural mortality rate (M) of 0.1 for all ages. ACFM recommends that the reference points for this stock be re-evaluated, especially since major structural changes have been made in the assessment since the reference points were established.

## Sole VIId

There is no decline in fishing effort that corresponds to the apparent recent decline in fishing mortality. Rather, the decline appears due to recruitment to the fishery of the strong 1999 year class.

The retrospective pattern of underestimation of fishing mortality and the wide range of recent fishing mortality rates indicated by the various tuning indices suggest that the terminal year estimates of fishing mortality and SSB are
uncertain. In this case, the retrospective pattern appears to be caused by misreporting of the landings to other areas, rather than by the absence of discards.

ACFM recommends that the WGNSSK consider an additional VPA diagnostic exercise for this assessment. Single index VPA retrospective runs should be run to explore the consistency of the different tuning indices with the fishery landings at age over time. Tuning indices that provide stable indications of stock trends (limited retrospective bias) would be identified as candidates for retention in the full VPA tuning, conditional on reasonable performance of the other usual diagnostics.

## Plaice VIId

The retrospective pattern of underestimation of fishing mortality and the wide range of recent fishing mortality rates indicated by the various tuning indices suggest that the terminal year estimates of fishing mortality and SSB are uncertain. Given these factors, the apparent $35 \%$ reduction in fishing mortality from 2000 to 2001 ( 0.74 to 0.48 ) may prove to be transient.

The short-term forecast is sensitive to the assumption of the size of the 2000 year class, which accounts for $45 \%$ of the variance of the estimated SSB in 2004.

A revised forecast was put forward by ACFM. This is contingent on the recruitment estimates from RCT3 at age 2, which were made available to ACFM:

| Survey <br> series | Slope | Inter- <br> cept | Std <br> Error | Rsquare | No. <br> Points | Index <br> Value | Predicted <br> value | Std <br> Error | WAP <br> Weights |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yfs0 | 1.68 | -0.57 | 0.74 | 0.194 | 11 | 6.24 | 9.94 | 0.855 | 0.046 |
| yfs1 | 2.38 | -0.78 | 0.94 | 0.131 | 12 | 4.14 | 9.06 | 1.108 | 0.027 |
| bts1 | 0.51 | 6.27 | 0.25 | 0.674 | 11 | 7.49 | 10.13 | 0.298 | 0.376 |
| bts2 | 0.78 | 4.38 | 0.31 | 0.578 | 12 | 7.38 | 10.11 | 0.363 | 0.254 |
| gfs0 | 0.75 | 6.34 | 1.5 | 0.058 | 10 | 7.37 | 11.85 | 1.93 | 0.009 |
| gfs1 | 1.29 | 1.26 | 1.25 | 0.078 | 11 | 6.61 | 9.79 | 1.443 | 0.016 |
| VPA mean |  |  |  |  |  | 9.86 | 0.351 | 0.272 |  |

The BTS 2002 data in the RCT3 estimate at age 2 increases the influence of BTS survey in the analysis, getting $63 \%$ of the total weight. Moreover, the two BTS estimates (age 1 in 2001 and age 2 in 2002) are consistent in their estimates of the 2001 year class. The RCT3 estimate of the 2000 year class is 22.5 million which is much lower than the XSA estimate ( 32 million). The XSA estimate is for a large part driven by F-shrinkage and is therefor suspect. ACFM considered that the 2 year olds in 2002 should be replaced by the RCT3 estimate.

Recruitment is then summarized as follows (underlined, bold numbers are used in the assessment.

| Year class | Age in 2002 | XSA | RCT3 <br> (WGNSSK) | RCT3 <br> (updated) | GM $_{80-99}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2000 | 2 | 31628 | 22160 | $\underline{\mathbf{2 2 5 5 2}}$ | 20378 |
| 2001 | 1 |  | 21455 | 25899 | $\mathbf{2 3 4 2 7}$ |
| 2002 | Recruit | - |  |  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 3 4 2 7}}$ |

The updated short-term forecast is given in the tables below:

## Table

 Plaice,VIIdinput data for catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis


Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.

|  | $2002$ | Year$2003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean F Ages <br> H. cons 2 to 6 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.29 |
| Effort relative to 2001 <br> H. cons | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 |
| Biomass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1 January | 14.16 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 |
| SSB at spawning time | 7.23 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 |
| Catch weight (,000t) H.cons | 4.78 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 1.27 | 1.86 | 2.43 | 2.97 | 3.48 |
| Biomass in year.... 2004 |  | 21.37 | 20.69 | 20.04 | 19.43 | 18.84 | 18.28 | 17.74 |
| SSB at spawning time |  | 13.98 | 13.37 | 12.78 | 12.22 | 11.70 | 11.19 | 10.72 |


|  | 2002 | Year$2003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort relative to 2001 H. cons | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 |
| Est. Coeff. of Variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biomass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1 January | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| SSB at spawning time | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Catch weight H.cons | 0.23 | 0.00 | 2.16 | 1.06 | 0.70 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.36 |
| Biomass in year.... 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1 January SSB at spawning time |  | 0.16 0.18 | 0.17 0.20 | 0.17 0.20 | 0.17 0.21 | 0.17 0.21 | 0.17 0.21 | 0.18 0.21 |
| SSB at spawning time |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |

Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.

|  | 2002 | Year$2003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean F <br> Ages <br> H.cons <br> 2 to 6 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 0.58 |
| Effort relative to 2001 <br> H.cons | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1 January | 14.16 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 | 15.20 |
| SSB at spawning time | 7.23 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 |
| Catch weight (,000t) H.cons | 4.78 | 3.98 | 4.45 | 4.90 | 5.03 | 5.33 | 5.75 | 6.14 |
| Biomass in year.... 2004 Total 1 January SSB at spawning time |  | 17.23 | 16.75 | 16.28 | 16.15 | 15.84 | 15.41 | 15.01 |
|  |  | 10.26 | 9.83 | 9.42 | 9.30 | 9.03 | 8.66 | 8.31 |
|  | 2002 | Year$2003$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effort relative to 2001 <br> H. cons | 1.00 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.20 |
| Est. Coeff. of Variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biomass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1 January | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| SSB at spawning time | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Catch weight <br> H.cons | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| Biomass in year.... 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 1 January |  | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| SSB at spawning time |  | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |

Table .Plaice, VIId
Detailed forecast tables.
Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons=1.00

| Populations |  | Catch number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | No. | \| H.Cons | Total\| |
| 1 | 23426 | 981 | 981 |
| 2 | 22500 | 3993 | 3993 |
| 3 | 13125 | 4413 | 4413 |
| 4 | 6239 | 2900 | 2900 |
| 5 | 2512 | 1132 | 1132 |
| 6 | 2238 | 800 | 800 |
| 7 | 547 | 202 | 202 |
| 8 | 148 | 42 | 42 |
| 9 | 38 | 11 | 11 |
| 10 | 162 | 45 | 45 |
| Wt | 14 | 51 | 51 |

Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00



Figure Plaice,VIId. Sensitivity analysis of short term forecast.


## Norway pout IV

The assessment was accepted with limited comment.

## Sandeel IV

The assessment was accepted with limited comment.

## APPENDIX 1

## Impact of recent technical conservation measures

A number of regulations affecting the design and construction of cod-ends have been enacted in recent years. Based on information with regard to UK national legislation and EU legislation, an evaluation of their potential impacts is given below. This evaluation necessarily includes a number of simplifying assumptions due to the restricted availability of gear selection data and the appropriately disaggregated dataset. Nevertheless, the results are considered to be indicative of the potential impacts of the measures.

A truncated overview of the regulations are given below in regard to their effects on the construction of towed demersal gears targeting gadoids in the North Sea:

| Label | Regulation | Applicability | Constraint or additional constraint on gear design |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EU 2000 | EU 850/98 | EU | 100 mm minimum mesh size |
| UK 2000 | SSI 227/2000 | UK (Scotland) | 90 mm square mesh panel with restrictions on its <br> placement |
| UK 2001 | SI 649/2001 | UK | Maximum twine diameter in cod-end and 90 mm <br> square mesh panel with restrictions on its <br> placement |
| Scotland <br> 2001 | SSI 250/2001 | UK (Scotland) | Ban on lifting bags and maximum number of <br> meshes along the length of the extension piece |
| EU 110 or <br> EU 120 | EU 2056/2001 | EU | EU mm minimum mesh size and a maximum <br> length for the extension piece. Derogation for <br> vessels targeting a mixed demersal gadoid fishery <br> of 110 mm minimum mesh size in 2002, subject <br> to rules on catch composition |

For the purposes of this evaluation, selectivity based on the joint effects of EU 2000 and UK 2000 was taken as the baseline case and considered to run from January 2000. For the evaluation of effects, UK 2001 selectivity was initiated at April 2001 and applied to UK fleets only; Scotland 2001 selectivity was initiated at August 2001 and applied to Scotland only; and EU 110 and EU120 measures were initiated at January 2002 subject to the uptake of the 110 mm derogation and applied to all fleets. All UK demersal vessels and $20 \%$ of non-UK demersal vessels were assumed to adopt the 110 mm derogation in 2002. These values reflect the predominance of the mixed demersal gadoid fishery to the UK fleet compared to the non-UK fleet, but are, nevertheless, uncertain. For 2003 and subsequent years, the 110 mm derogation is assumed to lapse.

The selection curves generated by these measures is shown below for cod, haddock and whiting:




The parameters of these selection curves are as estimated from a number of selectivity experiments conducted onboard commercial fishing vessels by FRS Marine Laboratory staff in recent years using, in the case of haddock, the model based on Ferro and Graham (ICES CM1998/OPEN:3) with an extra "panel factor" built in. Lifting bags are assumed to reduce the $\mathrm{L}_{50}$ by $5 \%$. For cod and whiting, selection parameters were estimated from only limited data and required extrapolations to be made on the basis of regressions relating cod and whiting retention to that of haddock. The selection range in all cases was assumed to be 5 cm .

In the schedule of regulations under evaluation, the effect of EU vessels fishing in Norwegian waters is disregarded to the extent that they are assumed to meet EU but not Norwegian regulations. This is a technical adjustment because disaggregated data to allow for the calculation of partial fishing mortalities on cod, haddock and whiting by EU vessels in Norwegian waters was not available at the time of analysis. Similarly, all fishing mortality on cod, haddock and whiting in the EU zone of the North Sea is assumed to stem from vessels with the selection characteristics defined above. In doing so, the differences in the selection characteristics of, for example, Nephrops trawlers and gill-netters is explicitly ignored. This is again due to the lack of available disaggregated data at the time of the analysis.

Within the analysis, three "fleets" were examined: UK Scotland, UK England and Others. Where other catch categories were available, ie., discard data and information on industrial bycatch, they were also applied in this evaluation. Gear selectivity changes to fishing mortality acted upon the mean length of fish at age by "fleet" and catch category. The gear regulations were also assumed to be fully and effectively implemented.

Baseline forecasts were run using ICES' most recent estimates of stock size at age in 2000, 2001 and 2002 and of weight at age and fishing mortality at age for 2000 and 2001. Fishing mortality in 2002 and mean weight at age in 2002 was taken as the current ICES status quo estimates. These were also the values used in subsequent years for the purpose of this analysis. Recruitment in 2003 and 2004 was as used in the current ICES short term forecasts. Subsequent recruitments were generated from deterministic stock and recruitment relationships defined under the EU MATES contract awarded to CEFAS, Lowestoft, and partners.

At the same time, a scenario forecast was run using the same input data as the baseline forecast, but with fishing mortality rates modified by the effects of the technical regulations as discussed above. Results are presented as the percentage deviation of the scenario from the baseline forecast. When interpreting these results, it is important to remember that a large percentage increase of a small absolute number can still yield a small number!

It should also be noted that these results are based on single species forecasts in which biological interactions, i.e., predation, are excluded. Previous analyses of the effects of technical conservation measures have shown that when such interactions are taken into consideration, there can be a reduction in the increase in yields and biomass that may be expected under single species assumptions - gains may even be turned into losses. (Discussion of this point during ACFM indicated that the effect of increased predation is seen particularly where future stock size assumes constant recruitment, and that where future recruitment is determined through stock and recruitment relationships, then the impact of predatory interactions on analyses such as this is reduced). Results are presented below:



The lack of discard data in the cod assessment influences these results markedly. Points to note are that it is fish at age 1 that are discarded due to minimum landing size (MLS) restrictions. Any conservation benefit through reduced discarding would be anticipated through their subsequent development in the cohort. Notwithstanding that, the absolute growth of cod in the North Sea is such that they are not exposed to discarding due to MLS requirements for long compared to whiting and, to a lesser extent, haddock. There is no effect on spawning biomass until 2003, as stock numbers prior to this are "hard-wired" in the evaluation from the ICES stock estimates. Yield is permitted to change from the implementation of the first non-baseline regulation, i.e., UK 2001 (April). The EU 120 mm regulation shifts the selection curve so that the $\mathrm{L}_{50}$ for cod corresponds to the cod MLS. Although from a purely technical standpoint this is coherent, from a biological perspective in terms of the growth and maturity schedule of cod this is still less than optimal.
Haddock

| Comparison of results Percentage deviation from baseline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yield by "fleet" and category |  |  |  |
| Year | Sco HC | Sco Dis | Eng HC | Eng Dis | Oth HC |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | -5.21 | -36.68 | -0.79 | -14.48 | 0.75 |
| 2002 | -8.82 | -61.00 | -10.42 | -64.47 | -26.16 |
| 2003 | 9.29 | -70.33 | 9.59 | -71.30 | 9.11 |
| 2012 | 122.39 | -76.60 | 106.40 | -78.94 | 108.83 |
| Total yield by category |  |  |  |  | Population size |
| Year | Total HC | Total Dis | IBC |  | TSB |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| 2001 | -3.56 | -27.81 | 0.53 |  | 0.00 |
| 2002 | -11.41 | -63.86 | 10.47 |  | 0.00 |
| 2003 | 9.28 | -70.44 | 28.90 |  | 6.90 |
| 2012 | 119.71 | -76.94 | 112.99 |  | 79.40 |



The general form of the results for haddock fit to expectation given what is known about its growth schedule and the gear measures under evaluation. In general, increased gear selectivity results in a short term loss in landings followed by an increase in landings as the conservation benefits of the measure kick-in through the survival and growth of fish that previously would have been caught and in many cases discarded. The reduction in discards according to this evaluation is immediate and ultimately substantial in percentage terms. The net long-term effect on spawning biomass is also large in percentage terms.

It should also be noted that the EU 120 mm selection curve is shifted substantially to the right of the MLS for haddock. This means that a very large proportion of legally marketable haddock entering the net will not be retained. Under such circumstances there is a strong incentive to rig the fishing gear in a way that will retain a greater proportion of the marketable fish.

| Comparison of results Percentage deviation from baseline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yield by "fleet" and category |  |  |  |
| Year | Sco HC | Sco Dis | Eng HC | Eng Dis | Oth HC |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2001 | -11.97 | -41.27 | -8.87 | -17.30 | 0.29 |
| 2002 | -58.49 | -86.08 | -58.31 | -86.10 | -76.69 |
| 2003 | -71.14 | -92.89 | -71.07 | -92.90 | -74.30 |
| 2012 | -46.22 | -91.25 | -35.21 | -91.26 | -37.84 |
| Total yield by category weight (tonnes) |  |  |  |  | Population size weight (tonnes) |
| Year | Total HC | Total Dis | IBC |  | TSB |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
| 2001 | -5.35 | -23.27 | 0.35 |  | 0.00 |
| 2002 | -66.06 | -87.78 | 6.31 |  | 0.00 |
| 2003 | -72.45 | -92.88 | 15.89 |  | 8.08 |
| 2012 | -41.89 | -91.28 | 25.93 |  | 37.37 |



As with haddock, the general form of these results fits in with expectation given the growth schedule of whiting and the gear measures under consideration. Discarding is rapidly reduced by a substantial percentage, but the short-term losses in landings are more severe than for haddock and, although modified in the long term, they are not turned around into gains. There is a substantial percentage increase in spawning biomass in the long term.

Again, as for haddock, it should also be noted that the EU 120 mm selection curve is shifted substantially to the right of the whiting MLS. This means that a very large proportion of legally marketable whiting that enter the net will not be retained. Under such circumstances there is a strong incentive to rig the fishing gear in a way that will retain a greater proportion of the marketable fish.
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## 1.1

 ParticipantsThe Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK) met in Copenhagen at the ICES headquarters from 11-20 June 2002 with the following participants:

| Martin Pastoors (chair) | Netherlands |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wim Demaré | Belgium |
| Clara Ulrich | Denmark |
| Henrik Jensen | Denmark |
| Morten Vinther | Denmark |
| J. Rasmus Nielsen | Denmark |
| Ewen Bell | England |
| John Casey | England |
| Richard Millner | England |
| Joël Vigneau | France |
| Paul Marchal | France |
| Hans-Joachim Rätz | Germany |
| Uli Damm | Germany |
| Loes Bolle | Netherlands |
| Sieto Verver | Netherlands |
| Knut Korsbrekke | Norway |
| Odd M. Smedstad | Norway |
| Anne McLay | Scotland |
| Coby Needle | Scotland |
| Phil Kunzlik | Scotland |
| Maria Hansson | Sweden |

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

The Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak [WGNSSK] (Chair: M. Pastoors, Netherlands) will meet at ICES Headquarters from 11-20 June 2002 to:
a) assess the status of and provide catch options for 2003 for the following stocks:

1) cod in Subarea IV and Division IIIaN (Skagerrak), and Division VIId,
2) haddock in Subarea IV and Division IIIa,
3) whiting and plaice in Subarea IV, Division IIIa, and Division VIId,
4) sole in Subarea IV and Division VIId,
5) saithe in Subarea IV, Subarea VIa and Division IIIa.

The assessment should take into account the technical interactions among the stocks due to the mixed-species fisheries and the new management measures coming into force in 2000;
b) assess the status of and provide catch forecasts for 2002 for Norway pout and sandeel stocks in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa and VIa, and identify any needs for management measures (including TACs) required to safeguard the stocks;
c) evaluate the effects of the existing recovery plans;
d) quantify the species and size composition of by-catches taken in the fisheries for Norway pout and sandeel in the North Sea and adjacent waters, and make this information available to WGECO;
e) review forecast procedures for catches of haddock and whiting in the industrial fisheries. Explain why these forecasts appear to systematically overshoot the realised catches;
f) provide the data required to carry out multispecies assessments (quarterly catches and mean weights-at-age in the catch and stock for 2001 for all species in the multispecies model that are assessed by this Working Group);
g) provide specific information on possible deficiencies in the assessments including at least:

- Major inadequacies in the data on catches, effort, or discards;
- major inadequacies, if any, in research vessel surveys data, and
- major difficulties, if any, in model formulation; including inadequacies in available software.

The Group should clarify the consequences from these deficiencies for:
a) assessment of the status of the stocks and
b) for the projection;
h) for stocks for which a full analytical assessment is presented, comment on this meeting's assessments compared to the last assessment of the same stock;
i) consider the results presented in the reports of the WGMG and the SGPA with a view to applying these in the assessments.

Terms of reference $a$ and $b$ will be dealt with in the separate stock sections 3 to 14. The group attempted to devote more attention than last year to the issue of technical interactions in mixed fisheries. Results of these analyses for the North Sea human consumption fishery are presented in Section 2. The terms of reference $g$ and $h$ are addressed in the sections on quality of the assessment of the different species. The terms of reference $c-f$ and $i$ are dealt with in different subsections of Section 1 (see below).

The overall mapping of the terms of reference to the report sections is as follows:

| Term of reference | Section(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| a) Assess status of cod, haddock, whiting, saithe, sole, and plaice | $3-11$ |
| b) Assess status of sandeel and pout | $12-13$ |
| c) Evaluate existing recovery plans | 1.9 |
| d) quantify species and size composition in Norway pout and sandeel fisheries for <br> WGECO | 1.7 |
| e) review forecast procedures for industrial by-catch of haddock and whiting | 1.10 |
| f) Provide quarterly catch data needed for multispecies assessments | 1.8 |
| g) Provide information of possible deficiencies in the assessments | $3-13$ (comments on the <br> assessment) |
| h) Compare this year's assessments with last year | $3-13$ (comments on the <br> assessment) |
| i) Consider the results of WGMT and SGPA | 1.11 |
| j) Review the draft Quality Handbook | 1.12 |

Because the WG is now scheduled in June, whereas for a number of stocks the important surveys are carried out in August and September, the WG decided to have a second meeting just prior to ACFM. This meeting will be used to update the recruitment estimates, prepare short-term and medium-term predictions and in some cases update the timeseries analysis models. This will be done for cod, haddock, whiting, plaice, and sole in the North Sea. The meeting is likely to be held on 7 and 8 October 2002 at a venue still to be decided.

For these stocks, recruitment estimates or predictions will not be presented in this report. The additional analysis will be published in an annex to the WG report.

### 1.3 Data Sources and Sampling Levels

### 1.3.1 Roundfish and flatfish stocks

The data used in the assessment for roundfish and flatfish stocks are based on:

- total landings by market size categories
- sampling market size categories for weight, length, age, and sometimes maturity
- discard data: available only for whiting and haddock in Division IV as a time-series
- fleet data: effort data from logbooks and CPUE data from associated fleet landings
- survey data: survey indices by age
- data on natural mortality from the MSVPA


### 1.3.1.1 Data on landings, age compositions, weight-at-age, maturity ogive

In a number of cases, management areas do not entirely correspond with areas for which the assessments are carried out. If the management areas are wider, landings cannot always be obtained for the assessment area separately. In these cases landings have to be estimated by the WG from external information.

For most stocks, the Working Group estimates of total landings deviate from official figures. The discrepancies are shown in the landings tables under the heading "unallocated landings" in the relevant stock sections. These unallocated landings will in most cases include discrepancies that are due to differences in the calculation procedures. For instance, in some cases national gutted-fresh conversion factors have been changed in the official statistics, but not in the Working Group database. The differences introduced by conversion factors and the difference between SOP and nominal catch are in most cases minor. SOP corrections are usually not applied in the flatfish stocks, but it is a standard procedure for all roundfish stocks. The reason for this is that data in the historical time-series have been corrected and that it has proven difficult to rectify this in a consistent manner. However, these corrections are relatively small.

In a number of cases, uncertainties in the landing data can seriously affect the quality of the assessments and catch forecasts. In some cases, the Working Group estimates of the landings include corrections for mis- or unreported landings. Unreported landings for cod in area IV were estimated by the Working Group for part of the fleets, and have been included in the assessment for the year 1998. There are signals that mis- or unreported landings occur in other stocks, especially in the stocks of valuable species, but these could not be verified or quantified.

Historical time-series of age composition, weight-at-age, and length-at-age by fleet, are kept and maintained in databases at national institutes. The roundfish data (cod, haddock, whiting, and saithe) are kept in Aberdeen (FRS). North Sea plaice and sole are kept in IJmuiden (RIVO), VIId sole in Lowestoft (CEFAS), VIId plaice in Port-en-Bessin (IFREMER) and IIIa plaice in Charlottenlund (DIFRES). No major revisions have been made in the catch- and weight-at-age data, any minor revisions are indicated in the relevant stock sections.

The countries that are responsible for the major proportions of the total landings generally provide the age composition data of a stock. In 2001 and previous years each country only sampled national vessels. As a result the vessels landing abroad were never sampled. Therefore, the sampling procedure has been changed and from 2002 onwards each country will sample the landings of fleet components landing in their country (EU regulation 1639/2001).

The mean weights-at-age used for stock biomass are derived from catch-at-age weights. In most stocks the annual mean weight in the catch is set equal to the mean weight in the stock. Exceptions are the North Sea and eastern English Channel plaice and sole stocks for which the weight-at-age in the stock is set equal to the weight-at-age in the first quarter (plaice) or second quarter (sole). The weight-at-age in the catch of the youngest age groups may not accurately represent the stock due to selectivity.

Maturity ogives are based on historical biological information and kept constant over the whole time period of the assessment. For a number of stocks a knife-edge maturity has been assumed. Maturity-at-age data has indicated that the age of maturation can change over time. In the case of plaice, the data suggest that the currently used maturity ogive may substantially overestimate the proportion of mature fish at ages 3 and 4. The assumption of constant maturity ogives may introduce bias in the trends in SSB developments, especially when exceptionally large or small year classes enter the spawning stock. The WG did not feel that it was in a position to evaluate the consequences of adjusting the maturity ogive during the meeting and recommended that this is examined before revised maturity ogives are implemented. The analyses of maturity ogives are discussed in more detail in Section 1.13.1.

### 1.3.1.2 Discard data used in the assessment

Estimates of discards are used in the assessment for North Sea haddock and North Sea whiting only. Total annual international discard estimates by age group were derived by extrapolation from Scottish data. The inclusion of discard catches is considered to reduce bias and to give more realistic values of fishing mortality and biomass for these stocks but also contributes to the noise in the data.

The discard data available for other stocks has been examined by the Study Group on Discard and By-catch Information (SGDBI 2002) and is reviewed in Section 1.11.4. In the opinion of WG it is important that all discard data are made available to the WG. Even though the time-series may be too short or otherwise unsuitable to be included in analytical assessments, this information is important for evaluating the quality of the assessment.

### 1.3.1.3 Natural mortality

The currently used natural mortality estimates are based on historical information (MSVPA for roundfish, ICES, 1989) and, unless specified otherwise, kept constant over the whole time period of the assessment. In the plaice and sole stocks, natural mortality is assumed to be 0.1 for all age groups. The natural mortality of saithe is assumed to be 0.2 for all age groups. The values of $M$ used for the assessments of cod, haddock, and whiting are listed below:

| age | cod | haddock | whiting |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $[2.70]$ | 2.05 | $[2.55]$ |
| 1 | 0.80 | 1.65 | 0.95 |
| 2 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 |
| 3 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.35 |
| 4 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| 5 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
| 6 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
| $7+$ | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |

The ICES Workshop on MSVPA in the North Sea (WKNSMS) has re-estimated the natural mortality of cod, haddock, whiting, sandeel, and Norway pout (WD-3). The WG discussed how to use this new information and decided to evaluate the effect of changes in M by comparing the results of multi-species VPA and single-species VPA. The results of these comparisons are presented in 1.11.3.

### 1.3.1.4 Fleet and research vessel data

Time-series of CPUE and effort data from commercial fleets and research vessels have been used to 'tune' the assessments. The survey indices have become increasingly important as catch data has deteriorated for many stocks. The validity of many of the commercial tuning fleets as indicators of stock size and fishing mortality in recent years has become more uncertain, since the enforcement of national quota, ITQ's, and technical measures are known to have led to changes in directivity of some fleets to other species and in some cases to underreporting and discarding. Therefore the commercial CPUE data has been excluded from the assessments of a number of stocks.

Because of the change in timing of the Working Group from October to June, most of the important recruitment indices for 2001 were not available to the WG. These included the English and Scottish Q3 Groundfish surveys, the BTS and SNS flatfish surveys in the North Sea, English and French groundfish surveys in VIId, the international Demersal young Fish Survey in the North Sea (DFS), and the French and English Young Fish surveys. These data will be made available to a subgroup of the WG who will meet in October.

### 1.3.2 Data sources Norway pout and sandeel

The data used in the assessment for Norway pout and sandeel stocks are based on:

- total landings
- samples of landings for species composition, weight, length, age, and sometimes maturity. Samples of industrial landings are used for an exact species composition of by-catch species and to get the percentage of target-species
- fleet data: effort data from logbooks and CPUE data from associated fleet landings
- survey data: survey indices by age for Norway pout
- data on sandeel natural mortality from the MSVPA


### 1.3.2.1 Data on landings, age composition, weight-at-age, maturity ogive

In some cases management areas do not entirely correspond with areas for which the assessments are carried out. If the management areas are wider, landings cannot always be obtained for the assessment area separately. In these cases landings have to be estimated by the WG from external information.

The sampling of Norway pout and sandeel landings were described in detail in the 1995 report of the Working Group (ICES CM 1996/Assess:6). The sampling system has generally not changed since then. The applied sampling systems vary between countries.

In Norway, the sampling system since 1993 is based on catch samples from three market categories: E02 (sandeel, if mainly sandeel), D13 (blue whiting, if not sandeel and catch taken west of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), D12 (Norway pout, if not sandeel and catch taken east of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ). The samples are raised to total landings on the basis of sales slip information on landed categories. Effort is estimated from the total number of trips and an estimate of average days out on sea per trip.

In Denmark, the catch estimates are based on sales slip information, logbook data, species composition from inspectors, and biological data, including age-length keys from independent biological sampling. Total landings are estimated per statistical rectangle based on total catch estimates from sales slip and logbook data, together with data on species composition and biological data.

Historical time-series of market sampling data for sandeel and Norway pout are kept and maintained in Charlottenlund (DIFRES). Any revisions in the catch- and weight-at-age data are indicated in the relevant stock sections.

In the assessment of Norway pout the weights-at-age in the stock are kept constant over the whole period of assessment. Samples from the landings, however, suggest high variability both between years and seasons. One of the problems of using mean catch weights is that the 0 -group is not fully recruited in the third quarter, giving an overestimate of weight-at-age in the stock for this age group. More knowledge is required before variable weight-at-age in the catches can fully be taken into account in the assessment. For sandeel, the weights-at-age in the catches in the first half year are used as an estimation for weights-at-age in the stock.

The maturity ogives for Norway pout and sandeel are kept constant over the whole period of assessment. A paper, presented at the WG meeting in 2000, indicates that the age of maturation is higher for sandeel in the central North than observed previously in the southern North Sea and adopted for the assessments of the North Sea sandeel stock. A second paper presented at the same meeting indicated high variability in maturity of 1-group Norway pout.

### 1.3.2.2 Natural mortality

The currently used natural mortality estimates are based on historical information (MSVPA, ICES, 1989) and kept constant over the whole time period of the assessment. Natural mortality for Norway pout has been taken as 0.4 per quarter, corresponding to an annual mortality of 1.6. This year the sandeel stock was assessed using XSA instead of SXSA. The annual natural mortality estimates by age are:

Age 0: $\quad \mathrm{M}=0.8$
Age 1: $\quad \mathrm{M}=1.2$
Age 2+: $\quad M=0.6$

As mentioned previously (1.3.1.2), the WKNSMS has re-estimated natural mortality of cod, haddock, whiting, sandeel, and Norway pout (WD-3). The effect of changes in natural mortality will be evaluated by means of comparison between multi-species and single-species VPAs (Section 1.11.3).

### 1.3.2 3 Fleet and research vessel data

For Norway pout, time-series of CPUE and effort data from Danish and Norwegian commercial fleets and data from research vessels are available. The research vessel data include first quarter IBTS, third quarter EGFS, and third quarter SGFS. Data from the third quarter IBTS were also available, but not used because the time-series is too short.

For sandeel, only data from the Danish and Norwegian commercial fleets are available.

### 1.3.3 Sampling levels and sampling procedures

The methods of data collection and processing vary between countries and stocks. Sampling procedures applied in the various countries to the various stocks have been described in detail in the report of the WGNSSK meeting in 1998 (ICES 1999a) and have not been changed since then. Table 1.3.3.1 gives an overview of the sampling levels in 2001 for each stock.

Since 2002 an EU regulation (1639/2001) has been endorsed which affects the market sampling procedures. Firstly each country is obliged to sample all fleet segments, including foreign vessels, landing in their country. Secondly, a minimum number of market samples per tonnes of landing is required. The national market sampling programmes have been adjusted accordingly.

The Working Group were concerned that for some stocks, the level of sampling specified under the Minimum Programme (MP) was substantially lower than those currently collected by countries contributing to age compositions for North Sea stocks. It was expected that the precision levels required in the MP could not be met at the level of sampling specified for a number of stocks.

Biological sampling level by assessment stock and country: Preliminary official landings ( t ) and number of fish measured and aged to analyse commercial landings in 2001.

|  | Cod in Illa, IV, VIld |  |  | Whiting in IV Landings (t) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V, Vlld } \\ & \text { Lengths (No) } \end{aligned}$ | Iges (No) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 2,563 | 4,011 | 935 | 529 | 5,179 | 956 |
| Denmark | 17,008 | 4384 | 4379 | 105 | 1,523 | 635 |
| France | 1,350 | - | - | 3,460 | 2,587 | 2,587 |
| Germany | 1,842 | 1,466 | 292 | 402 | - |  |
| Netherlands | 3,591 | 7,141 | 1,978 | 2,545 | 9,243 | 1,200 |
| Norway | 5,126 | 7,157 | 784 | 44 | 6,948 | 265 |
| Poland | 18 | - |  | 0 | - |  |
| Sweden | 2,827 | - |  | 1 | - | - |
| UK (E/W/NI) | (UK) | 41,419 | 4,405 | (UK) | 16,951 | 1,258 |
| UK (Scotland) | (UK) | 58,874 | 9,898 | (UK) | 81,312 | 4,474 |
| UK | 19,931 | - |  | 12,009 | - |  |
| Total | 54,256 | 124,452 | 22,671 | 19,095 | 123,743 | 11,375 |



|  | Sole in IV Landings (t) | Lengths (No) | Ages (No) | Sole in VIId Landings (t) | Lengths (No) | Ages (No) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 1,874 | 5,147 | 908 | 1,313 | 3,718 | 437 |
| Denmark | 772 | 612 | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| France | 370 | 5,473 | (1) 1035 | 2,436 | 8,230 | 1,035 |
| Germany | 958 | 2728 | 1,547 | 0 | - |  |
| Netherlands | 11,547 | 3,749 | 3,749 | 0 | - |  |
| UK (E/W/NI) | (UK) | 14140 | 1682 | (UK) | 17,292 | 2,315 |
| UK (Scotland) | (UK) | - | - - | (UK) | - |  |
| UK | 906 | - |  | 816 | - | - |
| Total | 16,427 | 31,849 | 7,886 | 4,565 | 29,240 | 3,787 |

[^0]
## Table 1.3.3.1. (Cont`d)

|  | Plaice in IVLandings (t) Lengths (No) Ages (No) |  |  | Plaice in VIId <br> Landings (t) Lengths (No) Ages (No) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 6,369 | 4,145 | 835 | 1,346 | 2,526 | 426 |
| Denmark | 13,797 | 3,566 | 3,471 | 0 | - | - |
| France | 429 | 3,397 | (1) 1281 | 3,265 | 4,719 | 1,281 |
| Germany | 4,739 | 5,240 | 904 | 0 | - | - |
| Netherlands | 33,290 | 4,844 | 4,844 | 0 | - | - |
| Norway | 1,926 | - |  | 0 | - | - |
| Sweden | 3 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| UK (E/W/NI) | (UK) | 32,978 | 2139 | (UK) | 7,903 | 1,971 |
| UK (Scotland) | (UK) | - |  | (UK) | - | - |
| UK | 19,111 | - |  | 655 | - |  |
| Total | 79,664 | 54,170 | 12,193 | 5,266 | 15,148 | 3,678 |

${ }^{(1)}$ VIId age length keys are used for IV

|  | Plaice in IllaLandings (t) Lengths (No) Ages (No) |  |  | Norway Pout in IV, Illa Landings (t) Lengths (No) Ages (No) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 11,114 | 1,745 | 1,699 | 58,437 | 4,070 | 2,366 |
| Germany | 1 | - |  | 0 | - |  |
| Norway | 61 | - | - | 16,835 | 1,777 | 396 |
| Sweden | 385 | - | - | 744 | - |  |
| Total | 11,561 | 1,745 | 1,699 | 76,016 | 5,847 | 2,762 |


| Sandeel in IV <br>  <br> Landings (t) | Lengths (No) Ages (No) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denmark | 646,892 | 86,667 | 24,293 |
| Norway | 187,500 | 3,532 | 713 |
| Sweden | 46,537 | - | - |
| UK (E/W/NI) | $($ UK) | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | (UK) | 2,765 | 777 |
| UK | 970 | - | - |
| Total | 881,899 | 92,964 | 25,783 |

### 1.4.1 Assessment

Table 1.4.1 lists the biological basis for the stock assessments undertaken by this Working Group. Table 1.4.2 gives an overview of model settings for these assessments.

### 1.4.1.1 XSA

Extended survivors analysis (XSA) has been used as the main tool for catch-at-age analysis for all stocks, except for whiting in IV and VIId (see Section 1.4.1.2). Two implementations were used: version 3.1 of the Lowestoft VPA package was used for roundfish and flatfish stocks, while the Seasonal XSA (Skagen 1993, 1994) was used for Norway pout to allow for quarterly seasonal data.

In the last year's WG reports, the general approach to tuning the XSA had been to use a full tuning window with a tricubic 20 -year time taper. This option was retained this year for cod, haddock, and saithe, while the no-downweighting option was used for the other stocks assessed using XSA. $F$-shrinkage was generally set to a high level ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.5$ ), essentially for consistency with previous assessments.

The general approach to carrying out the explorations leading to the final assessment was as follows:
A separable analysis was carried out to explore the internal consistency of the catch-at-age data and also to judge whether the plus group was appropriately chosen.

For all available tuning series, single fleet runs were carried out using XSA with a low shrinkage ( $\mathrm{SE}=1.0$ to 2.0 ) and no time taper over the whole time period. These runs were used to explore the consistency of the surveys with the catch-at-age data. In previous assessments, results were used to determine the fleet year and age ranges to be used for the final assessment. In this year's assessment, greater attention was paid to a priori reasons for removing surveys, since residual trends in single-fleet XSA runs can indicate problems with catch-at-age data as well as survey data.

Given a largely predetermined selection of fleets and ages, a run was carried out with all selected fleets combined, with the time period of tuning as selected for the final run, but with catchability set to be independent of year class strength for all ages (that is, no power model for recruits). From this analysis, graphs of log catchability residuals were plotted against log stock numbers to judge whether the slope of the regression was consistently different from zero for the most important fleets. If so, a power model of catchability would be used for those ages.

Then the final run was carried out. Plots of $\log$ CPUE against log stock numbers were generated to visually inspect the quality of the regressions (or alternatively the residuals were plotted). A poor performance of a fleet at this stage was no longer considered a decisive argument against the use of that fleet (or age), if it had performed acceptably in the single fleet runs.

### 1.4.1.2 TSA

An implementation (time-series analysis or TSA) of the Kalman filter algorithm was used for the assessment of whiting in IV and VIId, as it was thought that it best encapsulated the uncertainty in terminal-year estimates (see Section 5.1.4). It was also used as a supplementary assessment method for cod in IV, IIIa, and VIId, as it enabled the removal of catch-at-age data for the last two years on the assumption that recent recovery plans for cod may have degraded the quality of such data.

Technical details of the basic model may be found in Harvey (1989), Jones (1993), and Gudmundsson (1994), while the TSA implementation used here is discussed in the 1998 report of the Northern Shelf Demersal Working Group (ICES CM 1999/ACFM:1, Appendix 3), the 2001 report of the Methods Working Group (ICES CM 2002/D:01), and in Fryer et al (1998) and Fryer (2001). In brief, the Kalman filter TSA algorithm is a recursive procedure that represents the variables of interest (stock numbers and fishing mortalities at age) as unobserved state variables that evolve forward over time. Each year, observed catches-at-age are used to update the estimates of the state variables. Year-class strength is assumed (in this implementation) to be distributed according to a Ricker stock-recruitment model. Model fitting proceeds by examination of standardised catch prediction errors (equivalent to model-fit residuals) and inflation of permitted variance on year-age pairs for which such errors are high. Each estimate of historical mean $F$ and stock numbers is produced with an associated standard error, allowing a statistical evaluation of the uncertainty in the
assessment. A number of research-vessel tuning series can be incorporated. The model is also able to roll forward and produce estimates for all parameters for as many years as required following the last historical year.

The principal benefits of the model are (following Fryer, 2001):

- It gives precision of estimates of numbers-at-age and fishing mortalities-at-age, and avoids over-interpretation of small recent changes in stock trends.
- It allows fishing mortalities-at-age to evolve in a constrained way, thus granting the benefits of both a separable assumption and a fully unconstrained model.
- It partitions the variability in the data into interpretable components (transitory and persistent, year and age, etc.)
- It can predict ahead (and give precision of predictions).
- It can omit catch or survey data or both in some years if the data are suspect.
- It can in theory model landings-at-age, discards-at-age, and industrial by-catch separately, although the latter has not yet been implemented.
- It allows survey catchabilities and discard curves to evolve over time.

The principal disadvantages are:

- It requires normally distributed errors (but constant variance is not a requirement). This is not a particular problem in model fitting, but does impose serious limitations when it comes to predicting in a declining stock.
- It requires linear approximation of non-linear equations.
- The likelihood can be very flat, so it can be difficult to estimate the model parameters. Maximum likelihood estimation can take a long time when there are lots of auxiliary data (and hence lots of parameters).
- It favours the status quo, so it can take a number of years for the model to react fully to major changes in the fishery or the stock. It can thus struggle to characterise rapidly those populations which are highly variable.

TSA is undergoing sporadic development at FRS Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen, with the hope of making it generally available at some future time. However, a robust and generally-applicable implementation is proving difficult to specify, and the future of the method is unclear.

### 1.4.1.3 Relative trends from survey indices

The assessment of whiting in IV and VIId is causing increasing concern to ACFM (see Section 5 and WD 2). Doubts over the quality and validity of catch-at-age data have led to the conclusion that relative-trend assessment of population dynamics using models based on survey data only might be an appropriate alternative. This possibility was investigated by the Working Group using RCRV1A (Cook, 1997), an implementation of a simple survey separable model. It estimates model parameters by minimising the sum-of-squares differences between observed and fitted survey-derived abundance, using an assumed fixed vector of catchabilities-at-age which must be supplied by the user. Since these abundances are relative indices only, the model cannot be used to estimate absolute population numbers, but only relative values. The principal drawbacks of the method are that weights-at-age and proportion mature-at-age are assumed fixed at constant values throughout the time-series, and that the definition of catchabilities is currently extremely ad hoc. However, the underlying principles are sound and the method is worthy of further attention.

A spreadsheet implementation of the Cook (1997) model was used to evaluate relative trends for cod in IV, IIIa, and VIId, in order to enable the use of natural mortality estimates from MSVPA (see Section 3).

### 1.4.2 Recruit estimation

In several cases recruitment estimates have been made with RCT3. This will be the case when recruitment indices from 2002 surveys are available. The present implementation of XSA cannot accommodate survey data in the year following the last catch data year, and RCT3 is used for that reason. This creates some inconsistencies in the approaches used. The survey indices may end up being used twice for recruitment estimation - once in the survivors' analysis (and thus in the VPA recruitment), and again with the same survey indices in RCT3. For plaice, haddock, whiting, and cod, large discrepancies have been observed in recent Working Groups in the recruitment predicted by RCT3 and the observed recruitment in XSA. In most cases RCT3 seems to overestimate recruitment and WGNSSK considers this may partly explain the overestimation of landings in the short-term forecasts for these species.

A problem with the use of the power model for recruiting age groups in XSA, is that it cannot be restricted to those tuning fleets for which the use of this model is appropriate. In the present implementation of XSA the use of the power model may solve problems in some fleets while creating problems in other fleets. The fact that the F-shrinkage cannot be turned off for recruiting age groups has in some cases been seen to have an undesirably strong influence on the recruitment estimates originating from XSA. The XXSA program may solve this problem, but it has not been fully tested yet.

The TSA model used for whiting in IV and VIId produces predictions of recruitment for as many years as required, based on a fitted Ricker stock-recruitment model and with estimates of associated standard errors. These can be used as recruitment estimates for that stock, although the final definition of such estimates has been deferred until after the completion of late-summer groundfish surveys. The assumption of normal errors in TSA can lead to problems in using it to forecast into the medium-term, particularly in a declining stock.

### 1.4.3 Short-term forecasts and sensitivity analyses

Short-term forecasts were made for stocks for which a full analytical assessment could be carried out, and which would not feature in late-summer groundfish surveys. Such forecasts are based on initial stock sizes as estimated by XSA (in a number of cases supplemented with separate recruitment estimates as described above), natural mortalities and maturity ogives as used in the XSA, mean weights-at-age averaged over recent years (normally 3), and fishing mortalities-at-age as a mean $F$-pattern over the most recent 3 years. The estimate of status quo $F$ used by default in short-term predictions was the scaled mean F-at-age for the most recent three years. Forecasts and corresponding sensitivity analyses were undertaken using the Aberdeen suite of forecast programs.

Short-term forecasts have been given on a stock basis, which in some cases includes more than one management area. For management purposes the catch forecast has been split by Subarea and Division on the basis of the distribution of recent landings.

### 1.4.4 Stock-recruitment model fitting, medium-term projections, and biological reference points

The WGMTERMC program (from the Aberdeen suite) was used to generate stochastic medium-term (10-year) projections for those stocks where this was thought to be appropriate. The CS4 and STPR3 programs were applied to evaluate medium-term effects of terminal year stock size, recruitment models, assessment bias, and applied software on cod recovery plans. Two programs were used to fit stock-recruitment models for these projections. RECRUIT, also part of the Aberdeen suite, fits Ricker, Beverton-Holt, and Shepherd models by nonlinear least-squares regression. RecAn 2.0 is a Windows-based alternative that can fit 24 different stock-recruit models and which produces graphical summaries of the output. The use of non-standard models from RecAn 2.0 is, however, currently limited by WGMTERMC, which only incorporates the three models mentioned above. RecAn 2.2 is currently under development and will offer better modelling facilities and a more streamlined user interface. This will be closely linked with MedAn, a new version of WGMTERMC also under development which will allow for structured variation in growth parameters, along with the possibility to model fecundity and condition if data are available.

Established biological reference points ( $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}, \mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}, \mathbf{F}_{0.1}, \mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}$, etc.) have been estimated using the REFPOINT software and are given for each stock where possible and appropriate. No additional work was carried out to evaluate the management reference points ( $\left.\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}, \mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}\right)$.

### 1.4.5 Software

Overview of the versions used:

| Software | Purpose | Version |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VPA-suite | Historical assessment (e.g. separable <br> VPA, XSA) | Version: <br> $30 / 04 / 1998$ |
| TSA (Time-series analysis) | Historical assessment. Multiple <br> surveys or none, $n$-year projections | No formal version number. Compiled <br> anew for each run. |
| GSA | Historical assessment. Seasonal XSA. | Compiled: 09/10/1995 |
| RCT3 | Recruitment estimation | Compiled: 02/10/1992 |
| RETVPA (Retrospective VPA) | Retrospective analysis | Version: 00-1 |
| RCRV1A | Survey-driven relative trend estimation | Compiled: 04/04/1996 |
| Insens | Generate input files for predictions and <br> summary files | Compiled: 20/05/2002 |


| Recruit | Estimation of stock recruitment <br> parameters | Compiled: 04/10/1996 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RecAn | Estimation of stock recruitment <br> parameters | Version 2.0. Compiled 07/02/2002 |
| WGFRANSW | Short-term prediction and sensitivity <br> analysis | Version 1.0, 22/05/2001 |
| WGMTERMC | Medium-term analysis | Compiled: 03/11/1999 |
| CS4 | Medium-term analysis | Version 4 |
| STPR3 | Medium-term analysis | Version 3 |
| REFPOINT | Calculation of reference points and <br> yield per recruit | Compiled: 12/06/1997 |

### 1.4.6 Technical interactions and stock predictions

## Introduction

Current advice provided by ICES is mainly given in the form of fishing mortality limits and associated catch options, which are derived separately for individual fish stocks. This form of advice has two major disadvantages. First, it takes little account of biological interactions. Second, the stocks being analysed are often caught together in mixed-species fisheries, so the catches of species harvested by a given fleet are not independent of each other. This process is traditionally referred to as technical interactions. If, as currently, TAC are set independently for each stock, fishing for one species may lead to discards and/or misreporting of another species, for which the TAC has already been reached. In consequence, technical interactions should be taken into account as much as possible when giving advice. The Commission has on several occasions acknowledged the need to deal with technical interactions in ICES advice. This year, a request has been made to ICES to compile age-structured catch and effort data by fleet as appropriate, and to initiate multifleet multispecies short-term forecasts based on these data. This request is addressed in this section of the report.

## Methods

In single-species predictions, levels of F and catch consistent with the precautionary approach for the species under consideration are investigated. In the present analysis, we investigate levels of single-species F which are consistent with the precautionary approach for this species, but also for other species which may be caught in the same mixed fishery.

In a mixed-species, multi-fleet fishery, the partial fishing mortality of species $s$, of age $a$, harvested by fleet $f$ in year $t$ may be approached by
$F(t, f, s, a)=\left(\frac{C(t, f, s, a)}{C(t, s, a)}\right) F(t, s, a)$
where C represents the catch in weight. In this analysis, F will be divided between two sets of fleets. The first set $\left(f_{1}\right)$ is made up of the fleets targeting all the $n$ species under consideration. The main characteristic of this first fleet set is that its exploitation rate E (i.e. the F multiplier) is the same for all targeted species. The second set is made up of the other fleets, which are not particularly targeting any of the species under consideration. The exploitation of the "other fleets" group defined for one species (e.g. $f_{\text {oth }}^{1}$ ) is assumed to vary independently from that of the "other fleets" groups (e.g. $f_{\text {oth }}^{2}, \ldots, f_{\text {oth }}^{n}$ ) defined for the $n-1$ remaining species. To proceed with calculations, we make the assumption that the fishing pattern in short-term forecasts will be the same as at status quo. This implies for instance that a fleet does not switch target species in the forecasts compared to the status quo. Fishing mortality ( F ) in year t may then be represented by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F\left(t, s_{1}, a\right)=E\left(t, f_{1}\right) F\left(S Q, f_{1}, s_{1}, a\right)+E\left(t, f_{\text {oth }}^{1}\right) F\left(S Q, f_{\text {oth }}^{1}, s_{1}, a\right)  \tag{2}\\
F\left(t, s_{2}, a\right)=E\left(t, f_{1}\right) F\left(S Q, f_{1}, s_{2}, a\right)+E\left(t, f_{\text {oth }}^{2}\right) F\left(S Q, f_{\text {oth }}^{2}, s_{2}, a\right) \\
\cdots \\
F\left(t, s_{n}, a\right)=E\left(t, f_{1}\right) F\left(S Q, f_{1}, s_{n}, a\right)+E\left(t, f_{\text {oth }}^{n}\right) F\left(S Q, f_{\text {oth }}^{n}, s_{n}, a\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $E(S Q, f)=1$ for any fleet $f$.

The scope of this analysis is to evaluate the extent to which fixing the short-term total F for one species (e.g. $s_{l}$ ) will perturb the short-term predicted F, SSB, and catch levels for all species being examined. For species $s_{l}$, whose F is fixed, we assume that variations in $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{a}\right)$ are brought about by equal changes in the exploitation rate of both fleets: $E\left(t, f_{\text {oth }}^{1}\right)=E\left(t, f_{1}\right)$. The exploitation rate of the "other fleets" group $E\left(t, f_{\text {oth }}^{i}\right)$ fishing species $s_{i}$ is independent of $E\left(t, f_{1}\right)$ and $E\left(t, f_{\text {oth }}^{1}\right)$, and it varies hereby within the range [0.0-1.0].

Let $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ be the year of the assessment (i.e. 2002 for WGNSSK02). The reference $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ to be used in the short-term predictions is calculated as the mean $F$ over the last three years of assessment (i.e. $t_{0}-3, t_{0}-2, t_{0}-1$ ), scaled to average $F$ over ages for the last year being assessed (i.e. $\mathrm{t}_{0}-1$ ). $\mathrm{F}_{\text {sq }}$ may then be formulated as
$F(S Q, s, a)=S C(s) \times\left[\sum_{t_{0}-3}^{t_{0}-1}(F(t, s, a))\right]$
where $S C$ is the scaling factor defined as
$S C(s)=\frac{\sum_{a}\left(F\left(t_{0}-1, s, a\right)\right)}{\sum_{a} \sum_{t_{0}-3}^{t_{0}-1}(F(t, s, a))}$

The same scaling factor is used to calculate the status quo partial Fs for all fleets.
$F(S Q, f, s, a)=S C(s) \times\left[\sum_{t_{0}-3}^{t_{0}-3}(F(t, f, s, a))\right]$

So we have:
$F(S Q, s, a)=\left[\sum_{f}(F(S Q, f, s, a))\right]$

It is assumed that, consistent with short-term predictions usually carried out by ICES, the current fishing mortality in year $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ is $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$, so the short-term predictions investigate F and catches during year $\mathrm{t}_{0}+1$, and SSB at the beginning of year $\mathrm{t}_{0}+2$, using equation (2).

## Case study

The methodology developed above has been applied to a relatively simple case study: the North Sea flatfish fisheries. This fishery includes two species, sole and plaice, and two fleet groups. The main fleet group includes both Dutch and English beam-trawlers, while the remaining fleets have been aggregated in an "other fleets" group. The data required to carry out this analysis are catch-at-age by fleet and the outputs from XSA runs, and these have been made available.

Figure 1.4.6.1. represents the changes over time of the partial F of plaice and sole, for Dutch and English beam-trawlers, and for the "other fleets" groups. The beam-trawlers contribute to about $40 \%$ of the total F of plaice, and about $60 \%$ of the total F of sole. The relative allocation of F across fleets has remained almost constant over 1992-2001, which probably reflects the principle of quota share stability.

As new recruitment estimates were not available for these stocks, recruitment was set to geometric mean for both sole and plaice.

## Results

Figure 1.4.6.2a-c shows the short-term predictions of $\mathrm{F}(2003)$, $\mathrm{SSB}(2004)$, and catch(2003) of both species, resulting from $F$ changes for sole, for different rates of exploitation by other fleets fishing plaice. When $F$ (sole) is set to $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=$ 0.40 , corresponding to a reduction of $30 \%$ in the exploitation rate of beam trawlers, F (plaice) ranges between [0.15, 0.35 ], for the different multipliers used in the other fleets (Figure 1.4.6.2a). Given these F values, SSB for both plaice and sole are below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ (Figure 1.4.6.2b). Sole landings are around 13000 tonnes, which is below recent catches, while plaice landings fluctuate widely within the range [ $35000-90000$ tonnes], depending on the assumptions on the other fleets (Figure 1.4.6.2.c).

Figure 1.4.6.3a-c shows the short-term predictions of F (2003), $\operatorname{SSB}(2004)$, and catch(2003) of both species, resulting from F changes for plaice, for different rates of exploitation of the other fleets fishing sole. When F (plaice) is set to $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ $=0.30$, corresponding to a reduction of $50 \%$ in the exploitation rate of beam-trawlers, F (sole) ranges between [0.25, 0.45 ] for the different multipliers used in the other fleets (Figure 1.4.6.3a). Given these F values, SSB for both plaice and sole are about or below their respective $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ (Figure 1.4.6.3b). Plaice landings are about 70000 tonnes, while sole landings fluctuate within a narrow range [ $9000-14000$ tonnes], depending on the assumptions on the other fleets (Figure 1.4.6.3c).

## Discussion

This analysis provides a possible basis to build up short-term predictions for mixed fisheries. It could be expanded for the intention of future working groups in several ways. First, the predictions derived here were performed for various scenarios corresponding to different values of the exploitation rate of one "other fleets" group.

An important assumption underlying this analysis is that the fishing pattern of fleets harvesting sole and plaice remain the same in the short-term forecasts as at status quo. This assumption could be violated, for instance if a fleet shifts areas and/or target species in the forecast year. Only a sensitivity analysis would allow evaluating the relative impact of this assumption on predictions, and this has not been performed in this session. It is therefore unclear which relative weight this hypothesis would have compared to the other traditional assumptions relevant to short-term forecasts (e.g. constant weight-at-age, status quo F in the year the assessment is performed). This issue could be addressed in forthcoming working groups.

Future developments could include exploring changes in exploitation rate values of several "other fleets" groups. Second, multi-species multi-fleet predictions could be applied to more complex case studies, including the North Sea roundfish fisheries, which include more combinations of fleets and stocks than those dealt with in the present analysis. Such analyses could incorporate changes in mesh size, discarding patterns. However, it should be reminded that such developments would require higher parameterisation, and could hence be less robust. Finally, a software program could be developed, especially if such complex case studies are to be addressed in future working groups.

Table 1.4.1. Overview of the biological basis for the stock assessments carried out by WGNSSK 2002.

| Ch. | Stock | Area | Stock numbers | Mean weight catch | Mean weight stock | Natural mort. | Proportion mature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Cod | 347 d | AC from EW, SC, DK, NL, GER, B, FR. No discards included. SOP correction applied. | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Same as mean weight in the catch | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} 1=0.8, \\ & \mathrm{M} 2=0.35, \mathrm{M} 3=0.25, \\ & \mathrm{M} 4-11=0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \operatorname{mat} 1=0.01, & \mathrm{mat} 2=0.05, \\ \mathrm{mat} 3=0.23, & \mathrm{mat} 4=0.62, \\ \mathrm{mat} 5=0.86, & \text { mat6 } \\ 11=1.0 & \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Haddock | 34 | AC from SC, EW, DK, FR, B. AC on ind. by-catch from DK and N. AC of discards from SC. Discard and ind. by-catch included in assessment | Based on AC. No smoothing. $\quad$ Calculated separately for different catch components | Same as mean weight in the catch | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} 0=2.05, \mathrm{M} 1=1.65, \\ & \mathrm{M} 2=0.4, \\ & \mathrm{M} 3-4=0.25, \\ & \mathrm{M} 4-10=0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \operatorname{mat} 0=0, & \\ \operatorname{mat} 1=0.01, & \text { mat } 2=0.32, \\ \operatorname{mat} 3=0.71, & \text { mat } 4=0.87, \\ \operatorname{mat} 5=0.95, & \text { mat } 6 \\ 10=1.0 & \end{array}$ |
| 5 | Whiting | 47d | AC from SC, EW, DK, FR, NL, B. AC on ind. by-catch from DK and N. AC of discards from SC, not applied to 7d. Discard and ind. by-catch included in assessment | Based on AC. No smoothing. Calculated separately for different catch components | Same as mean weight in the catch | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} 1=0.95, \mathrm{M} 2=0.45, \\ & \mathrm{M} 3=0.35, \\ & \text { M4 }=0.3, \\ & \text { m5-6 }=0.25, \\ & \text { m7-8 }=0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mat} 1=0.11, \quad \text { mat2 }=0.92, \\ & \operatorname{mat} 3-8=1.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Saithe | 346 | AC from N, EW, SC, DK, GER, FR for area IV. AC from SC for area VI. No discards included. SOP corrected. | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Same as mean weight in the catch | M1-10=0.2 | mat1-3=0.0, mat $4=0.15$, mat5 $=0.70$, mat $6=0.90$, $\operatorname{mat} 7-10=1.0$ |
| 7 | Sole | 4 | AC from NL, EW, FR, B. No discards included. SOP corrections applied by EW and B | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Second quarter catch weights-at-age | M1-15=0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mat1-2=0.0, } \\ & \text { mat3-15=1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Sole | 7d | AC from B, FR and EW (since 1985). No discards included. No SOP correction. | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Second quarter catch weights-at-age | M1-11 $=0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mat1-2=0.0, } \\ & \text { mat3-11=1.0 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Plaice | 4 | AC from NL, EW, DK, FR, B. No discards included. SOP corrections applied by EW and B | Based on AC. No smoothing. | 1st quarter catch weight | 0.1 on all ages | mat $1=0.0$, mat2-3=0.50, mat4-15 $=1.0$ |
| 10 | Plaice | 3 | AC from DK only. No discards included. SOP corrected ?? | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Same as mean weight in the catch | M2-11 $=0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mat} 2=0.0, \\ & \mathrm{mat} 3-11=1.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Plaice | 7 d | AC from FR, B and EW. No discards included. No SOP correction. | Based on AC. No smoothing. | 1st quarter catch weight | M1-10=0.1 | $\mathrm{mat} 1=0.00$, $\operatorname{mat} 3=0.53$, $\operatorname{mat} 5-10=1.0$ |
| 12 | Norway pout | 4 | AC from DK and N. No discards in the fishery. | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Fixed mean weight in the stock by quarter and age used | M0-4= 0.4 (per <br> quarter)   | $\operatorname{mat} 0=0.0$,  <br> $\operatorname{mat} 1=0.1$, mat2- <br> $4=1.0$  |
| 13 | Sandeel | 4 | AC from DK and N. No discards in the fishery. | Based on AC. No smoothing. | Same as mean weight in the catch | First half year: <br> M1 $=1.0$, M2-  <br> $3=0.4$. Second half <br> year: M0 $=0.8$,  <br> M1-4 $=0.2$   | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mat} 0-1=0.0, \\ & \text { mat2-4 }=1.0 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1．4．2．Overview of model settings used for the stocks assessed by WGNSSK 2002

|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} n & - \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & o \\ 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | ช |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\bar{o}$ | $\left\|\right\|$ | 훙 | $\left\|\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | ¢ $\overline{1}$ |  |   | $n$ $n$  <br> 0  0 <br> 0 0  <br> 0 0  <br> 0 0 0 <br> 0 0  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 안ํㅜㄴํㅜㄴ | 108 | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | ¢¢ ¢¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ | へ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \lambda \end{aligned}\right.$ | － | 웃 | ¢ | 운 | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} -\frac{0}{\lambda} & 0 \\ \dot{N} & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | へ̀ へ̀ へ̀ | － | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & j_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{4}{9}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline & \\ \infty & \infty \\ \infty & \infty \\ 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{2} \\ 1 & n \\ 1 & n \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cc} \infty & \infty \\ n & \sum_{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c} \\ & \hline \mathrm{E} \\ & \sum_{2} \\ & \sum_{0}^{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| әdKı ұəə｜f 6u！ | の | から |  | 0000 | 00 | 00 | の | 00 | のく | のく | 000 | の ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 000 | の の | 0 | のくら | 0000 |
|  | 2 | 2 | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | \％ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ |  | \％ | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |
| sełem！isg <br> －dod dof＇${ }^{\prime}$＇S u！w | $0$ | $\stackrel{m}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\cong}{\square}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $0$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| yunגys sәдеш！！sə <br>  |  | $0$ | ¢ู | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ |  | $0$ |  | $0$ |  | $0$ | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ иеәш sрлемо <br>  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| $=<$ sə6． ұиәриәдәри！К！！！qечээә | $\infty$ | $\cdots$ | ¢ | － |  | N |  | － |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\infty$ |  | $\wedge$ |  |  |  | m |
|  ұиәриәdәр К！！！qечээеว | $\stackrel{?}{+}$ | － | ® | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { ¹ }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N}}$ |  | \|o |  | \|® | - |  |  |  | § |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\square}$ |
| 」ədeı әu！ |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  | \％ |  | \％ |  | \％ | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | \％ |
| әбuey әб\％गeq」 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | べ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \substack{2} \end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{e}{m}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\underset{\omega}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\grave{N}}$ | $\underset{+}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ |  | ¢o |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ |
| әбued deәК ұuәusssass $\forall$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \bar{\circ} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\stackrel{+}{亡}}{\stackrel{+}{亡}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\vdots}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{+}{\omega} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{array}$ |  | $\frac{\ddagger}{\overleftarrow{~}}$ |  | $\mid \stackrel{+}{\stackrel{+}{1}} \underset{\stackrel{1}{\square}}{ }$ | $\frac{ \pm}{\grave{N}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{+ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  | ＋ |
| рочłəW łuəusssess＊ | $\underset{\mathscr{N}}{\boxed{x}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{X}}$ | 区 |  |  | $\underset{\substack{x}}{\substack{4 \\ \hline}}$ |  | $\mathbb{\varangle}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \mathbb{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathbb{X}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{X}}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{\alpha} \\ & \mathfrak{N} \\ & \underset{\infty}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | 【 |
| eəJV | $\frac{\underset{2}{\prime}}{\substack{2}}$ | \＃ | \％ | $1 \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 잒 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\cdots$ |  | 깎 |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Yools | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \hline \frac{1}{7} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \frac{0}{2} \\ \frac{\pi}{\alpha 0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | ［ |  | － |
| дәп¢еч） | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | N |  | $\infty$ |  | 0 | 은 |  | F |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |

Figure 1.4.6.1 North Sea flatfish fisheries. Changes in the distribution of partial fishing mortality over period 1992-2001.



Figure 1.4.6.2 North Sea flatfish fisheries. Short-term predictions of F (a), relative SSB (b), and catch (c) of plaice, resulting from F changes for sole, for different rates of exploitation of the other fleets fishing plaice.


Figure 1.4.6.3 North Sea flatfish fisheries. Short-term predictions of F (a), relative SSB (b), and catch (c) of sole, resulting from F changes for plaice, for different rates of exploitation of the other fleets fishing sole.


Established biological reference points ( $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}, \mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}, \mathbf{F}_{0.1}, \mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}$, etc.) have been estimated according to standard procedures and given for each stock where possible.

Three years ago, the Working Group proposed limit- and precautionary reference points for fishing mortality and SSB $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}\right.$, and $\left.\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)$ for all stocks based on guidelines by the ICES Study Group on the Precautionary Approach to Fisheries Management (ICES 1998). These proposals were reviewed by ACFM and in most cases taken over or modified to ICES proposals of precautionary reference points to managers. Some of the reference points for North Sea stocks have been adopted by managers (Norway and EU), notably those for cod, haddock, and plaice.

ACFM states that future management advice by ICES will be constrained by $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, the precautionary thresholds which imply a reasonably high probability of remaining below a limit fishing mortality and above a limit spawning stock biomass. $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ are thus the main devices to be used by ICES in providing Management Advice.

The reference points adopted by ICES and proposed to the managers are given in the text table below:

| Stock | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cod in IIIa (Skagerrak), IV and VIId | 70 | 150 | 0.86 | 0.65 |
| Haddock in IIIa and IV | 100 | 140 | 1.00 | 0.70 |
| Whiting in IV and VIId | 225 | 315 | 0.90 | 0.65 |
| Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa | 106 | 200 | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| Sole in IV | 25 | 35 | - | 0.40 |
| Sole in VIId | - | 8 | 0.55 | 0.40 |
| Plaice in IV | 210 | 300 | 0.60 | 0.30 |
| Plaice in VIId | 5.6 | 8 | 0.54 | 0.45 |
| Plaice in IIIa | - | 24 | - | 0.73 |
| Norway pout in IV and IIIa | 90 | 150 | - | - |
| Sandeel IV | 430 | 600 | - | - |

Biomass in '000 tonnes

- no estimate available


### 1.6 Working Documents and Reports

### 1.6.1 German otter trawl board fleet as tuning series for the assessment of saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa, 19952001.

The WD was presented in the subgroup. The analysed commercial catch and effort data of saithe are derived from the official German logbook statistics, which have been made available in a consistent database for the period 1995-2001. Only otter trawl board catches were considered from 7 vessels continuously being engaged in the directed saithe fishery. During 1995-2001, this fleet, consisting of 7 vessels, accounted for $74 \%$ of the entire saithe catch officially reported. They reveal a fairly constant fishing pattern in the northern part of the North Sea mainly along the Norwegian trench. CPUE was a highly variable estimate throughout the time-series with CVs in excess of 1.0. Compared with the relatively stable period 1995-1999 the catch rates in 2000 and 2001 almost doubled.

The samples of length measurements collected on board the vessels or on the fish market at Cuxhaven have been quarterly aggregated and converted to the age compositions based on quarterly age-length keys. This material is the basis for the computation of the age composition of the quarterly aggregated catches, which have been summed to represent the annual age composition of the catches of the 7 vessels. Both information on age group representation in the annual catch and the effort was used to calculate abundance indices for the various age groups. The agedisaggregated abundance indices derived from CPUE indicated the 1992, 1994, and 1996 year classes as strong, the latter one being the strongest and most important year class for recent catches. Catch curves also revealed that the year classes since 1992 were subject to lower mortality rates at ages 4 to 7 than the previous year classes. It can also be concluded that the recruiting year class 1998 at age 3 is the strongest year class since 1995. The calculated abundance at age 3 is, however, a poor indicator of the year-class strength at age 4 . The age group 4 does, however, seem to be a good estimator of year-class strength at age.

### 1.6.2

 Preliminary analyses of whiting in IV and VIIdRecent ICES assessments of whiting in IV and VIId have been the cause of some concern to ACFM. It is a characteristic of the assessment of this whiting stock that it is extremely uncertain, due partly to fisheries aspects such as suspected misreporting and discarding, and partly to perceived inconsistencies between the different research-vessel surveys used to tune the assessment; and this uncertainty can be difficult to accommodate fully in a management context. It is therefore imperative that the whiting assessment be analysed in greater detail than is usually possible within the constraints of an assessment Working Group.

With this in mind, WD 2 presented a series of preliminary analyses of the stock, concentrating on relative trends in mean $F_{2-6}, \mathrm{SSB}$, and recruitment derived using research-vessel survey indices only. Along with simple bivariate scatterplots of the data, the specific methods employed were two separable analyses generating relative stock trends from survey data alone, namely RCRV1A (Cook, 1997) and RVS (Shepherd and Nicholson 1991). The known inadequacies of these methods were summarised. The conclusions of the analyses were that there are two main clusters of information for whiting in IV and VIId, within which data are fairly consistent but between which there is little in common. Broadly speaking, on the one hand there are the Scottish and English groundfish surveys, on the other there are the IBTS Q1 survey and the reported catch-at-age data. The reasons for these patterns of similarity and dissimilarity are unclear at present, and until the dichotomy is resolved it is difficult to see how to proceed. Without a more detailed analysis of the methodology and characteristics of these surveys, it is difficult to know which is the most representative of whiting population dynamics: there are no empirical diagnostics on which to base such a decision.

### 1.6.3 Stock overviews and natural mortalities estimated by the ICES workshop on MSVPA in the North Sea

The WD is incorporated in the discussion in Section 1.11.3.

### 1.6.4 Restrictive TACs: how do they affect ICES assessments and what do we do about it?

The WD discusses highlights many of the concerns that have been aired in discussions in assessment working groups in relation to deteriorating data.

Under the current system of management using TACs and quotas if TACs and hence national quotas are restrictive, and effort is not restricted, this implies that there is an unknown level of under-reporting of catch and possibly underreporting of landings in order to keep within quota allocations. Some potential effects of the TAC and Quota system on commercial CPUE are discussed and the paper argues that increased reliance of survey data for assessments should be a priority.

The paper points out that the use of VPA as an assessment method may not be appropriate for stocks where the quality of the basic catch-at-age data is questionable.

The paper also argues that assessment working groups should have a priori reasons for including tuning series in assessments, rather than adopting an approach which excludes potential tuning fleets on the grounds that they do not fit well to the catch data.

### 1.6.5 Reflections about maturity stages and stock unit composition for plaice in IIIa

The WD was presented in the subgroup. IBTS maturity data from 1993-2002 was used to present maturity stage at length for plaice in IIIa. Also a comparison of collected maturity data with the WG using maturity ogive was presented.

A 4-scale maturity key was used in the IBTS survey ( $1=$ immature; $2=$ ripening; $3=$ spawning; $4=$ spent). Hardly any spawning females (maturity stage 3) were present in Skagerrak, while a small proportion of spawning female were found in Kattegat in the same time period. A comparison of the collected maturity data with the knife-edge maturity ogive was presented. Depending on what maturity stage is considered to actually spawn within that season the spawning stock biomass (SSB) could consequently be overestimated. General conclusions and recommendations, see Section 1.13.1.

There is a widespread feeling within the Dutch fishing industry that the plaice stock is in a better condition than suggested by ICES assessment. Dutch fishermen reported high catch rates in 2001 and especially during the first months of 2002. Based on these observations they criticise the assessments of ICES and challenge the fisheries biologist to critically evaluate the assessment results in the light of the information from the fishing industry.

The working document presents an evaluation of trends in cpue of two selected groups of UK vessels landing in the Netherlands, and a mixed group of UK and Dutch vessels with a large ITQ for plaice. The first group mainly fished in the western North Sea (Doggerbank and Flamborough area), while the second group mainly fished in the German Bight.

The analysis indicates that the plaice cpue has increased in 2000 and 2001 to a level that is higher than observed since 1995. As the engine power of the UK vessels is not available, no correction was made. However, the UK vessels landing in the Netherlands are all large vessels with engine powers around 2000 hp and the time trend in cpue is believed not to be affected by changes in engine power.

### 1.6.7 Some further explorations into the assessment of North Sea plaice. Working document presented to ACFM 2001

An exploration was presented to ACFM 2001 on different methods and assumptions underlying the traditional XSA assessment of North Sea plaice. The commercial cpue data that is used appeared to be suspect because different fleet segments realized different trends in cpue. It is hypothesized that this may be caused by quota restrictions. Therefore, the use of commercial cpue data for this stock was considered to be undesirable.

Explorations have been carried out using XSA assessments without commercial cpue data, ICA assessments without commercial cpue data, and a Time-series Analysis (TSA) using only catch-at-age data. Results indicated that the state of the stock was very dependent on the model and the assumptions used, on the quality of the catch-at-age matrix, and on the inclusion or exclusion of commercial cpue data. It was concluded that the spawning stock biomass of North Sea plaice was likely to be between 240 and 300 thousand tonnes.

### 1.7 Data for WGECO

TOR d) asks to "quantify the species and size composition of by-catches taken in the fisheries for Norway pout and sandeel in the North Sea and adjacent waters, and make this information available to WGECO". While by-catch quantities by weight used to be routinely provided by this WG and its respective predecessor, length compositions for selected by-catch species are included here for the first time (Note that data are from the 'small-meshed' fisheries, i.e. including the sprat fishery).

Weight of by-catches of the species haddock, whiting, and saithe in the industrial fisheries of Denmark and Norway are presented in Table 2.1.2 for the years 1974-2001, including a quarterly breakdown for the last five years.

Detailed catches of the "other" species mentioned in Table 2.1.2 for the period 1985-2001 are given in Table 1.7.1.

Length compositions of selected species (cod, haddock, whiting, saithe) from the landings of the Norwegian industrial fishery in 2001 can be found in Table 1.7.2. Corresponding data for the Danish fisheries can be obtained from DIFRES.
Sum of Danish and Norwegian North Sea by-catch (ton) landed for industrial reduction in the small-mesh fisheries by year and species (excluding saithe, haddock, and whiting accounted for in Table 2.1.2) .

| Species | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gadus morhua | 544 | 710 | 1092 | 1404 | 2988 | 2948 | 570 | 1044 | 1052 | 876 | 955 | 366 | 1688 | 1281 | 532 | 383 | 192 |
| Scomber scombrus | 4 | 534 | 2663 | 6414 | 8013 | 5212 | 7466 | 4631 | 4386 | 3576 | 2331 | 2019 | 3153 | 1934 | 2728 | 2443 | 1749 |
| Trachurus trachurus | 22789 | 16658 | 7391 | 18104 | 22723 | 14918 | 5704 | 6651 | 6169 | 4886 | 2746 | 2369 | 3332 | 2576 | 5116 | 5312 | 1159 |
| Trigla sp. | 0 | $888^{2}$ | $45342^{2}$ | $5394{ }^{2}$ | $9391{ }^{2}$ | $2598{ }^{2}$ | $5622^{2}$ | 4209 | 1593 | 1139 | 2091 | 897 | 2618 | 1015 | 2566 | 1343 | 2293 |
| Limanda limanda | 187 | 3209 | 4632 | 3781 | 7743 | 4706 | 5578 | 3986 | 4871 | 528 | 1028 | 1065 | 2662 | 6620 | 4317 | 441 | 1441 |
| Argentina spp. | 8714 | 5210 | 3033 | 1918 | 778 | 2801 | 3434 | 2024 | 2874 | 2209 | 292 | 3101 | 2604 | 5205 | 3580 | 333 | 397 |
| Hippoglossoides platessoides | 59 | 718 | 1173 | 946 | 2160 | 1673 | 1024 | 1694 | 1428 | 529 | 617 | 339 | 1411 | 2229 | 1272 | 493 | 431 |
| Pleuronectes platessa | 34 | 119 | 109 | 372 | 582 | 566 | 1305 | 218 | 128 | 143 | 33 | 90 | 73 | 91 | 88 | 64 | 56 |
| Merluccius merluccius ${ }^{4}$ | 349 | 165 | 261 | 242 | 290 | 429 | 28 | 359 | 109 | 10 | - | 3625 | 2364 | 33 | 211 | 231 | 167 |
| Trisopterus minutus | 0 | $68^{3}$ | 0 | $5^{2}$ | $48^{2}$ | $121^{2}$ | $79^{2}$ | 111 | 36 | 0 | 9 | 30 | 181 | 261 | 922 | 518 | 0 |
| Molva molva ${ }^{3}$ | 51 | 1 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 13 | 65 | 10 | 28 | 0 | - | 0 | 31 | 31 | 125 | 19 | 49 |
| Glyptocephalus cynoglossus | $236{ }^{3}$ | 132 | 341 | 44 | $255^{3}$ | $251^{3}$ | $1439^{3}$ | $195^{3}$ | 246 | 40 | - | 97 | 394 | 860 | 437 | 154 | 246 |
| Gadiculus argenteus ${ }^{3}$ | 1210 | 729 | 3043 | 2494 | 741 | 476 | 801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 | 248 | 248 | 387 | 532 | 942 |
| Others | $31715^{1}$ | 3853 | 3604 | 3670 | 3528 | 3154 | 4444 | 4553 | 4106 | 5141 | 5158 | 50 | 749 | 5405 | 17931 | 8927 | 301 |
| Total | 65892 | 32994 | 72724 | 44827 | 59277 | 39866 | 37559 | 29685 | 27026 | 19077 | 15260 | 14055 | 21508 | 27787 | 40211 | 21192 | 12523 |

Table 1.7.2 Numbers at length (thousands) for selected species landed in the Norwegian industrial fishery

| Norway | 2001 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cm | Cod | Haddock | Whiting | Saithe |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 10 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 0 |
| 11 | 15 | 0 | 61 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 |
| 14 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 299 | 10 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 448 | 64 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 794 | 20 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 894 | 156 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 1346 | 54 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 1573 | 370 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 1550 | 544 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 1896 | 377 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 1767 | 249 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 1664 | 200 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 896 | 202 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 1175 | 165 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 1977 | 195 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 1332 | 150 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 675 | 537 | 0 |
| 30 | 16 | 604 | 355 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 264 | 364 | 0 |
| 32 | 138 | 200 | 258 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 69 | 164 | 91 |
| 34 | 0 | 108 | 185 | 34 |
| 35 | 34 | 56 | 62 | 236 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 101 |
| 37 | 0 | 20 | 53 | 202 |
| 38 | 51 | 53 | 12 | 236 |
| 39 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 385 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 430 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 260 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 169 |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Sum | 422 | 19745 | 5134 | 3818 |

## 1.8

 Data for Multispecies AssessmentsData for the MSVPA WG (quarterly numbers caught and mean weights-at-age from Subarea IV, 2001) are given in Tables 1.8.1-1.8.8.

Table 1.8.1 Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight at age for North Sea cod, 2001
Data could not be made available in time for the final report. Therefore this table will be added to an appendix of the report which will be generated from the subgroup meeting just prior to ACFM 2002.

Table 1.8.2 Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight at age for North Sea haddock, 2001
Data could not be made available in time for the final report. Therefore this table will be added to an appendix of the report which will be generated from the subgroup meeting just prior to ACFM 2002.

Table 1.8.3 Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight at age for North Sea whiting, 2001
Data could not be made available in time for the final report. Therefore this table will be added to an appendix of the report which will be generated from the subgroup meeting just prior to ACFM 2002.

Table 1.8.4 Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight at age for North Sea saithe, 2001
Data could not be made available in time for the final report. Therefore this table will be added to an appendix of the report which will be generated from the subgroup meeting just prior to ACFM 2002.

Table 1.8.5
Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight-at-age for North Sea sole, 2001

| age composition (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quarter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 159.0 | 736.9 |  |
| 2 | 1579.2 | 1623.8 | 10215.6 | 12701.1 |  |
| 3 | 3243.4 | 4899.7 | 6128.5 | 6875.7 |  |
| 4 | 5824.6 | 5437.4 | 4828.2 | 3526.2 |  |
| 5 | 3621.5 | 5189.8 | 5116.1 | 3072.1 |  |
| 6 | 245.0 | 504.7 | 329.8 | 276.2 |  |
| 7 | 205.2 | 395.4 | 138.1 | 91.9 |  |
| 8 | 71.4 | 122.0 | 41.8 | 35.8 |  |
| 9 | 57.9 | 81.5 | 25.4 | 9.0 |  |
| 10 | 97.4 | 117.8 | 202.3 | 84.7 |  |
| 11 | 7.3 | 37.0 | 12.1 | 8.2 |  |
| 12 | 19.4 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 8.4 |  |
| 13 | 0.3 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  |
| 14 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 26.4 | 2.4 |  |
| 15+ | 11.3 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 10.7 |  |
| reference NC | 3829.1 | 4163.4 | 5691.6 | 6164.8 | 19848.9 |
| total NC |  |  |  |  | 22532.0 |

> weight-at-age (kg)
quarter

age $r$| 2 |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
| 1 |

| age composition (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quarter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 57.9 | 909.4 | 2571.3 |  |
| 2 | 670.6 | 4503.4 | 11569.4 | 12080.4 |  |
| 3 | 6208.4 | 6858.3 | 16949.3 | 15551 |  |
| 4 | 10065.2 | 9735.6 | 17013.2 | 11935.2 |  |
| 5 | 30904.4 | 26867.3 | 19589.9 | 13403 |  |
| 6 | 4659.2 | 8462 | 2012.1 | 2131.3 |  |
| 7 | 3126.3 | 1519.3 | 545 | 582.7 |  |
| 8 | 941.5 | 476.2 | 161.7 | 123.2 |  |
| 9 | 365.5 | 292.6 | 53.1 | 58.6 |  |
| 10 | 362 | 204.6 | 53.8 | 50 |  |
| 11 | 201.3 | 61 | 75.5 | 11.3 |  |
| 12 | 289.2 | 74.8 | 53.2 | 13.7 |  |
| 13 | 103.6 | 44.6 | 19 | 21.4 |  |
| 14 | 43.3 | 54.6 | 19.4 | 30.7 |  |
| 15+ | 176.8 | 165 | 45.9 | 59.5 |  |
| reference NC | 19854 | 19328 | 22324 | 20339 | 81845.0 |
| total NC |  |  |  |  | 81846 |

weight-at-age (kg)

| quarter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.213 | 0.167 | 0.213 | 0.247 |
| 2 | 0.247 | 0.237 | 0.261 | 0.285 |
| 3 | 0.273 | 0.28 | 0.288 | 0.32 |
| 4 | 0.331 | 0.33 | 0.304 | 0.336 |
| 5 | 0.406 | 0.377 | 0.388 | 0.434 |
| 6 | 0.519 | 0.536 | 0.644 | 0.473 |
| 7 | 0.615 | 0.67 | 0.782 | 0.626 |
| 8 | 0.772 | 0.659 | 0.755 | 1.935 |
| 9 | 0.801 | 0.667 | 0.857 | 1.056 |
| 10 | 0.792 | 0.833 | 0.73 | 0.938 |
| 11 | 0.767 | 0.775 | 0.704 | 1.227 |
| 12 | 0.826 | 0.938 | 1.136 | 0.807 |
| 13 | 0.957 | 0.675 | 0.87 | 1.025 |
| 14 | 0.986 | 0.783 | 1.043 | 0.799 |
| $15+$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.8.7 Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight-at-age for North Sea Norway pout, 2001 (see Tables 12.2.1 and 12.2.2)

Table 1.8.8 Quarterly catch numbers and mean weight-at-age for North Sea sandeel, 2001


| , | , |  |  | age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | , | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| , Year | Quarter, |  |  |  | , |  |
| ,2001 | 1 | 0, | 3,442, | 181, | 15, | 33, |
| , | 2 | 669, | 66,801, | 15,786, | 1,619, | 3,395, |
| , | 3 | 112,649, | 1,476, | 63, | 63, | -, |
| , | 4 | 7,163, | 115, | 25, | 71, | -, |
|  | All | 120,480, | 71,834, | 16,055, | 1,768, | 3,428, |

The WG was requested to evaluate the effects of the existing recovery plans (ToR c). The evaluation will be presented below.

### 1.9.1 Introduction

The values referred to in the presentation and discussion of the likely outcomes of the different medium-term projections should NOT be interpreted as absolute. They are presented as values which are conditional on a number of assumptions made within the forecast models that have been used, and are better considered to be relative values to be compared one to another. It cannot be emphasised too greatly that the results presented here permit only the COMPARATIVE performance to be evaluated.

Two meetings have provided medium-term forecast runs to evaluate the EU Commission's proposal (COM(2001) 724 final) for stock rebuilding of the 3an47d cod stock, the meeting among Norwegian and EU scientists (Anon., 2002 a) and the STECF subgroup meeting (Anon. 2002 b); both were held in Brussels. Four different assessment software programs were presented in that meeting, and it was decided to run the test simulations of the proposed rebuilding plans using the "CS4"-module, mainly because it allows both assessment error and bias to be considered. 18 various scenarios were investigated, based on the 2001 assessment results as updated during the ACFM October 2001 meeting, and the pros and cons of SSB versus F controlled management under the option of different annual TAC change constraints (buffers) were considered. The results of the meetings have been reviewed and endorsed by STECF (Anon. 2002 c) and ACFM (May 2002, ICES 2002).

However, the following effects have not yet been analysed and are considered worth looking in to:

- The medium-term simulations were sensitive to the size of the starting population and should be updated if the stock status has changed significantly in 2002.
- Different recruitment models are to be considered.
- The assessment bias has been fixed at 10 percent overestimation of stock size, the effects of no and of higher bias are to be determined.
- Software effects are to be considered.

The base run scenario definitions (Sc01-base) are in accordance with the EU-proposal of the rebuilding plan and deploy the 2001 assessment results as updated during the ACFM October 2001 meeting. The common specifics of the compared runs are that the stock, fishing mortality, and catch predictions are controlled by a $30 \%$ annual increase in SSB, a maximum annual change in TAC by $\pm 50 \%$, and a maximum fishing mortality of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.65$. The following text table specifies the deviations from the base run in order to investigate terminal assessment year, recruitment model, assessment bias, and software effects. The evaluation defines stock recovery as two consecutive years of SSB exceeding $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ as applied in earlier exercises.

Specific settings for the seven comparative medium-term projections for North Sea cod (3an47d) and resulting median recovery time (estimated over 20 years) and recovery probability after 10 years:

| Run Name | Terminal year of <br> assessment | Recruitment model | Bias factor | Software <br> used | Median <br> recovery <br> time <br> (years) | Prob. Of <br> recovery <br> after <br> years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sc01-base | 2001 |  |  | 8.05 | 89.8 |  |
| Sc02 | 2002 | Shepherd | 1.1 | CS4 | 82.0 |  |
| Sc03 | 2001 | Shepherd | 1.1 | CS4 | 8.51 | 82.8 |
| Sc04 | 2001 | Beverton\&Holt | 1.1 | CS4 | 8.20 | 88.8 |
| Sc05 | 2001 | Ricker | 1.1 | CS4 | 8.06 | 87.8 |
| Sc06 | 2001 | Shepherd | 1.0 | CS4 | 6.65 | 100.0 |
| Sc07 | 2001 | Shepherd | 1.2 | CS4 | 10.45 | 37.2 |

[^1]Comparative medium-term projections revealed that the estimated stock parameters are sensitive to the size of the starting population. Given that the updated stock assessment indicated a further significant reduction in stock size, scenario runs 01 and 02 are defined to investigate the effect of the change in stock size. The updated input considers the new estimates of stock size in numbers in 2002, including a short-term GM (1992-2001) amounting to 170 mill. for age group 1 (Tables 1.9.1 and 1.9.2), and the updated exploitation pattern as derived from the 1999-2001 average values by age rescaled to the 2001 Fbar 2-8. SSB in 2002 was estimated to amount to 41815 t and the catch in 2002 was defined through the status quo $F$.

The results of the base run scenario 1 and the updated run scenario 2 are given in the text table and illustrated in Figures 1.9.1 and 1.9.2. The updated cod assessment results indicated a prolongation of the recovery period from 8.05 to 8.51 years and the recovery probability after 10 years was reduced from 89.8 to 82 percent.

### 1.9.3 Recruitment model effect

In comparison with the Shepherd recruitment model used in the base run scenario 1 (Table 1.9.1), the Beverton \& Holt and Ricker functions were applied in the scenario runs 3 and 4, respectively (Tables 1.9.3 and 1.9.4). Recruitment model parameters were estimated using the recruit.exe program with the 2001 WGNSSK input file. All three recruitment models are illustrated in Fig. 1.9.3. The recruitment models are almost identical over the range of SSB to 150000 t .

The resulting yield, SSB, fishing mortality, and recruitment projections for the three recruitment model scenarios are illustrated in Figures 1.9.1, 1.9.4, and 1.9.5. There is no model effect in the three medium-term predictions, as can be derived from the low variation in the estimated recovery times (8.05-8.2 years) and recovery probabilities after 10 years (87.8-89.8 \%) given in the text table.

### 1.9.4 Assessment bias effect

In addition to base run scenario 1 with a consistent bias of $10 \%$ stock size overestimation, two medium-term projections were defined with no bias and a $20 \%$ stock size overestimation as scenarios 5 and 6 , respectively. Bias is taken into account when the program CS4 predicts catches (and TAC) for the following year. In addition to noise, bias is put on the program's simulated assessment, such that the TAC is set consistently too high (or low) compared to the real stock status. The input parameters of the 3 comparative runs are given in Tables 1.9.1, 1.9.5, and 1.9.6.

The medium-term scenarios 1,4 , and 5 are illustrated in Figures 1.9.1, 1.9.6, and 1.9.7. They reveal a major bias effect on the estimated recovery periods and recovery probability (text table). Under the assumption of no assessment bias, the estimated recovery period lasts 6.65 years and the recovery probability after 10 years amounts to almost $100 \%$. Both values changed substantially in the base run scenario 1 and amounted to 8.05 years and $89.8 \%$. The increased bias to a factor of 1.2 stock size overestimation as applied in scenario run 6 results in a further delay in the recovery to 10.45 years and a reduced recovery probability after 10 years of $37.2 \%$.

### 1.9.5 Software effect

In order to validate the scenario results as estimated by the CS4 program, the base run scenario 1 settings were also used as inputs to the program STPR3 in scenario run 7. Both scenario inputs are listed in Tables 1.9.1 and 1.9.7, respectively.

The observed differences in the calculated recovery periods of 8.05 versus 6.35 years (text table) are believed to be due to internal program properties. 500 iterations are calculated in the CS4 program, while STPR3 outputs are based on 1000 iterations. However, the main difference might be due to the generated recruitment, which is calculated by applying a normal frequency distribution in the STPR3 program, while it appears to be a flat random selection in the CS4 program within the range of the model value plus minus the CV (Figures 1.9.1 and 1.9.8). Thus the results are highly affected by the variation defined to generate future recruitment. However, the determined probabilities of recovery after 10 years of both programs are very similar and amounted to 89.8 and 91.9 percent.

### 1.9.6 Summary of the sensitivity analyses of medium-term projections

The resulting recovery periods and recovery probabilities of the various medium-term projections of yield, SSB, fishing mortality, and recruitment should not be interpreted as face values, but rather as representative of the effects of different a priori assumptions. However, all formulations of the medium-term projections do indicate that, even with very strong
reductions in fishing mortality as implied by the EU Commission's proposal for the cod rebuilding plan (COM(2001) 724 final), short-term recovery of the North Sea cod to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ within the coming 5 years is unlikely. The effect of discards could not be investigated due to lack of representative discard data. Results of the sensitivity analyses can be summarised as follows:

- The medium-term projections were found sensitive to the terminal assessment year since the starting population in 2002 was found significantly reduced compared with 2001. The reduction in the starting population of North Sea cod resulted in a reduced probability of recovery after 10 years from 90 to 82 percent.
- The main effect on the estimated recovery time and recovery probability was due to assessment bias. Assuming a consistent $20 \%$ stock size overestimation caused a substantial prolongation of the recovery time by almost 4 years.
- Yield, SSB, fishing mortality, and recruitment projections were also found to differ with different software programs used. Properties of the used CS4 and STPR3 programs did generate dissimilar recruitment variation.
- As the fitted Shepherd, Beverton \& Holt, and Ricker functions were found almost identical over the SSB range up to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, no effect on the medium-term stock parameters could be detected.

Table 1.9.1 Input of Sc01-base run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4

```
Starting year,Last year, first age,lastage
2002, 2011, 1, 11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline N , se log & hat) & ( N & , M, & Mat, & pl, & WEST, & WECA \\
\hline 151000 & 0.61 & 1.1 & 0.8 & 0.00 & 0.05 & 0.663 & 0.663 \\
\hline 37016 & 0.19 & 1.1 & 0.35 & 0.05 & 0.66 & 1.035 & 1.035 \\
\hline 36929 & 0.13 & 1.1 & 0.25 & 0.23 & 1.08 & 2.086 & 2.086 \\
\hline 7059 & 0.12 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.62 & 1.04 & 3.937 & 3.937 \\
\hline 1601 & 0.15 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.86 & 1.03 & 6.207 & 6.207 \\
\hline 1700 & 0.18 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.00 & 8.130 & 8.130 \\
\hline 244 & 0.23 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.12 & 9.655 & 9.655 \\
\hline 84 & 0.37 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.06 & 10.870 & 10.870 \\
\hline 26 & 0.42 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.83 & 12.259 & 12.259 \\
\hline 9 & 0.46 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.03 & 13.020 & 13.020 \\
\hline 6 & 0.48 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.03 & 13.773 & 13.773 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
SRR parameters (if the last no. is -1 then use Ockham, otherwise Shepherd/Ricker)
2.7672 259867.9 5.0524 0.0 0.53038 0.0
HCR % change (up, down), Fpar SSBincr%
50, 50, 0.65, 30
Spawning Time as fraction of year
0.0
Catch in StartingYear-1
55600
Catch in the starting year, or (if negative) F constraint -0.83
Ages for calculating reference F 2 8
Reference Biomass to calculate probabilities
150000
SSB in StartingYear-1 54700
```

COMMENTS

| RUN id | : NScod1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stock | : North Sea Cod |
| Starting Point | : As WG Medium-term projections, as modified by ACFM, Nov. 2001 |
| Constraint | : Catch Constraint in $2002=56400 t$ |

Table 1.9.2 Input of Sc02 run. Terminal year: 2002; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4

```
Starting year,Last year, first age,lastage
2003, 2012, 1, 11
\begin{tabular}{rccccrrr} 
N, se \(\log (\mathrm{N}\) hat), & Bias (N hat), M, & Mat, Expl, & WEST, & WECA \\
170000 & 0.61 & 1.1 & 0.8 & 0.00 & 0.05 & 0.663 & 0.663 \\
31659 & 0.19 & 1.1 & 0.35 & 0.05 & 0.52 & 1.035 & 1.035 \\
34713 & 0.13 & 1.1 & 0.25 & 0.23 & 0.97 & 2.086 & 2.086 \\
5200 & 0.12 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.62 & 1.08 & 3.937 & 3.937 \\
386 & 0.15 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0.86 & 0.96 & 6.207 & 6.207 \\
751 & 0.18 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.96 & 8.130 & 8.130 \\
81 & 0.23 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.01 & 9.655 & 9.655 \\
40 & 0.37 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.88 & 10.870 & 10.870 \\
13 & 0.42 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.78 & 12.259 & 12.259 \\
8 & 0.46 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.93 & 13.020 & 13.020 \\
4 & 0.48 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.93 & 13.773 & 13.773
\end{tabular}
SRR parameters (if the last no. is -1 then use Ockham, otherwise Shepherd/Ricker)
2.7672 259867.9 5.0524 0.0 0.53038 0.0
HCR % change (up, down), Fpar SSBincr%
50, 50, 0.65, 30
Spawning Time as fraction of year
0.0
Catch in StartingYear-1
4 9 3 0 0
Catch in the starting year, or (if negative) F constraint -0.91
Ages for calculating reference F - 2 8
Reference Biomass to calculate probabilities
150000
SSB in StartingYear-1 41815
```

COMMENTS
See text

Table 1.9.3 Input of Sc03 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Beverton\&Holt; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4

| N , se 1 | hat | (N | , M, | Mat, Expl, |  | WEST, | WECA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151000 | 0.61 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.663 | 0.663 |
| 37016 | 0.19 | 1.1 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.66 | 1.035 | 1.035 |
| 36929 | 0.13 | 1.1 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 1.08 | 2.086 | 2.086 |
| 7059 | 0.12 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.62 | 1.04 | 3.937 | 3.937 |
| 1601 | 0.15 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.86 | 1.03 | 6.207 | 6.207 |
| 1700 | 0.18 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 8.130 | 8.130 |
| 244 | 0.23 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.12 | 9.655 | 9.655 |
| 84 | 0.37 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.06 | 10.870 | 10.870 |
| 26 | 0.42 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.83 | 12.259 | 12.259 |
| 9 | 0.46 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.03 | 13.020 | 13.020 |
| 6 | 0.48 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.03 | 13.773 | 13.773 |

SRR parameters (if the last no. is -1 then use Ockham, otherwise Shepherd/Ricker) $4.0303192705 .1 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.53038 \quad 0.0$
HCR \% change (up, down), $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ SSBincr\%
50, 50, 0.65, 30
Spawning Time as fraction of year 0.0
Catch in StartingYear-1 55600
Catch in the starting year, or (if negative) $F$ constraint -0.83
Ages for calculating reference F 28
Reference Biomass to calculate probabilities 150000
SSB in StartingYear-1 54700

COMMENTS

| RUN id | $:$ NScod1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stock | : North Sea Cod |
| Starting Point | : As WG Medium-term projections, as modified by ACFM, Nov. 2001 |
| Constraint | $:$ Catch Constraint in $2002=56400 t$ |

Table 1.9.4 Input of Sc04 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Ricker; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4


SRR parameters (if the last no. is -1 then use Ockham, otherwise Shepherd/Ricker)
$\begin{array}{llllll}3.7272 & 312500.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.53038 & 0.0\end{array}$
HCR \% change (up, down), $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, SSBincr\%
50, 50, 0.65, 30
Spawning Time as fraction of year 0.0
Catch in StartingYear-1 55600
Catch in the starting year, or (if negative) $F$ constraint -0.83
Ages for calculating reference F 28
Reference Biomass to calculate probabilities 150000
SSB in StartingYear-1 54700
COMMENTS
RUN id : NScod1
Stock : North Sea Cod
Starting Point : As WG Medium-term projections, as modified by ACFM, Nov. 2001
Constraint: Catch Constraint in $2002=56400 t$

Table 1.9.5
Input of Sc05 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.0; Software: CS4


SRR parameters (if the last no. is -1 then use Ockham, otherwise Shepherd/Ricker)
$2.7672 \quad 259867.9 \quad 5.0524 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.53038 \quad 0.0$
HCR \% change (up, down), $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, SSBincr\%
50, 50, 0.65, 30
Spawning Time as fraction of year 0.0
Catch in StartingYear-1 55600
Catch in the starting year, or (if negative) $F$ constraint -0.83
Ages for calculating reference F 28
Reference Biomass to calculate probabilities 150000
SSB in StartingYear-1 54700

COMMENTS

```
RUN id : NScod1
Stock : North Sea Cod
Starting Point : As WG Medium-term projections, as modified by ACFM, Nov. 2001
Constraint : Catch Constraint in 2002 = 56400t
```

Table 1.9.6 Input of Sc06 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.2; Software: CS4

```
Starting year,Last year, first age,lastage
2002, 2011, 1, 11
\begin{tabular}{rcrrrrrr} 
N, se \(\log (\mathrm{N}\) hat), & Bias(N hat), M, & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Mat, Expl, } & WEST, & WECA \\
151000 & 0.61 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 0.00 & 0.05 & 0.663 & 0.663 \\
37016 & 0.19 & 1.2 & 0.35 & 0.05 & 0.66 & 1.035 & 1.035 \\
36929 & 0.13 & 1.2 & 0.25 & 0.23 & 1.08 & 2.086 & 2.086 \\
7059 & 0.12 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.62 & 1.04 & 3.937 & 3.937 \\
1601 & 0.15 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.86 & 1.03 & 6.207 & 6.207 \\
1700 & 0.18 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.00 & 8.130 & 8.130 \\
244 & 0.23 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.12 & 9.655 & 9.655 \\
84 & 0.37 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.06 & 10.870 & 10.870 \\
26 & 0.42 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 0.83 & 12.259 & 12.259 \\
9 & 0.46 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.03 & 13.020 & 13.020 \\
6 & 0.48 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 1.0 & 1.03 & 13.773 & 13.773
\end{tabular}
SRR parameters (if the last no. is -1 then use Ockham, otherwise Shepherd/Ricker)
2.7672 259867.9 5.0524 0.0 0.53038 0.0
HCR % change (up, down), F}\mp@subsup{F}{pa,}{},\mathrm{ SSBincr%
50, 50, 0.65, 30
Spawning Time as fraction of year 0.0
Catch in StartingYear-1 55600
Catch in the starting year, or (if negative) F constraint -0.83
Ages for calculating reference F 2 8
Reference Biomass to calculate probabilities 150000
SSB in StartingYear-1 54700
```

COMMENTS

| RUN id | $:$ NScod1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stock | : North Sea Cod |
| Starting Point | : As WG Medium-term projections, as modified by ACFM, Nov. 2001 |
| Constraint | $:$ Catch Constraint in $2002=56400 t$ |

Table 1.9.7
Input of Sc07 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: STPR3

```
1 1 \text { youngest and oldest (+age)}
    years betw. spawn. and recr.
    ref. age. interv. fleet l
            ref. age. interv. fleet 2
            intermediate year (year 0)
        constraint fleet1 (C=catch, F=f.mort)
            value of constraint.
    constraint fleet2 (C=catch, F=f.mort)
    value of constraint.
0.65 0.0 1000 1000 min SSB; max F fl 1,2; max catch fl 1,2
0.65 0.0 1000 1000 same, level 2
        0.65 0.0 1000 1000 same, level 3
    1=linear increase of F in level 2
    both, fleet1, fleet2 max year to year increase in catches (>1)
    (0=no constraint) max year to year decrease in catches (<1)
    0.5 0 0 (0=no constraint) max year to year decrease
    0.83 0.0 max possible fish mort by fleet
    0.65 0.0 max permitted fish mort by fleet
    5 2.7672 259.8679 5.0524 0.6 0 recr. model + 3 pars + sigma + trunc
    number of AR terms
0 0 0 0 AR coeffisients
    1=apply the S-R relation in year 0
1.10000000 0.20000000 assessment bias multiplier (mean,sd)
1.00000000 0.10000000 TAC deviation multiplier (mean,sd)
2 initial numbers (0=determ, 1=lognorm, 2=norm, 3=bootstrap)
```

Figure 1.9.1 Results of Sc01-base run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4.
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Figure 1.9.2 Results of Sc02 run. Terminal year: 2002; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4.
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Figure 1.9.3 Recruitment models for North Sea cod as used in the medium-term projections. Various parameters are given in Tables 1.9.2, 1.9.4, and 1.9.5.


Figure 1.9.4 Results of Sc03 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Beverton\&Holt; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4.
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Figure 1.9.5 Results of Sc04 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Ricker; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: CS4.
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Figure 1.9.6 Results of Sc05 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.0; Software: CS4.
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Figure 1.9.7 Results of Sc06 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.2; Software: CS4.



Fishing Mortality


Recruitment


Figure 1.9.8 Results of Sc07 run. Terminal year: 2001; Rec. model: Shepherd; Bias factor: 1.1; Software: STPR3.





This section is a response to the following term of reference:
e) review forecast procedures for catches of haddock and whiting in the industrial fisheries. Explain why these forecasts appear to systematically overshoot the realised catches;

The amount of "overshoot" mentioned in the terms of reference is largest for the predicted by-catch of whiting and was more than $350 \%$ for the year 1997. The forecast suggested 28 thousand tonnes by-catch of whiting in the industrial fishery, while the estimated by-catch was as low as 6 thousand tonnes. The forecast for haddock by-catch in 1996 was more than $200 \%$ higher than the estimated by-catch that year ( 16 thousand tonnes compared to 5 thousand tonnes). The degree of overestimation has been decreasing in later years and the forecast of whiting by-catch for 2000 was an underestimate. Table 1.10 .1 shows predicted (as given in the ACFM advice) and observed by-catches of haddock and whiting in the industrial fisheries. Subsection 1.10 .1 gives a brief overview of the forecast procedure, while subsections 1.10.2 to 1.10 .5 aim at explaining the overestimation of the by-catches of whiting and haddock in the industrial fisheries for the time period 1995 to 2000.

### 1.10.1 The forecast procedure

The forecasts of the haddock and whiting by-catch in the industrial fisheries are similar to the forecast of human consumption landings and discards. The deterministic forecast uses three types of input:

- Weight-at-age (average weight-at-age during the forecast period, separate weights used for human consumption landings, discards, and industrial by-catch)
- The mortality, including natural mortality (partial fishing mortalities in the industrial by-catch, the discards, and the human consumption landings add up to the total fishing mortality)
- Initial stock size (numbers-at-age)

All inputs are estimates and can be subject to uncertainty (stocastic noise) and/or bias.

Predicted weight-at-age in the by-catches is calculated as the mean over the three latest years. Separate forecasts are made for weights-at-age in the human consumption landings, in the discards, and in the by-catch in the industrial fisheries.

The partial fishing mortalities at each age are calculated using the proportion of catch in numbers-at-age multiplied with the total fishing mortality. Mean exploitation pattern in the by-catch is then calculated using the industrial by-catch mortality from the three latest years, and the predicted industrial fishing mortality is found by scaling this exploitation pattern to the unweighted mean of industrial by-catch fishing mortality (ages 2 to 6 ) in the most recent year. For human consumption landings and discards, a similar procedure is used.

The initial stock size is estimated in the assessment of the stock and has typically been XSA estimates with the size of the latest year classes replaced with RCT3 estimates for the haddock forecast and with short-term GM recruitment for the whiting forecast.

The predicted forecast of haddock by-catch in 2001 was made similar to earlier forecasts, but the relative proportions of human consumption and discards for age 2 was replaced with proportions predicted from a linear regression using stock weights as an explanatory variable. This was done due to the large size of the 1999 year class and the experience that larger year classes are slower growing than others.

A bias could be caused from any of the three sources of input used in the forecast: The initial stock size could be an overestimate, the mean weight-at-age could be lower than the predicted three-year mean and the partial fishing mortality could be lower than predicted.

### 1.10.2

Whiting: A decreasing trend in mean weight-at-age in the by-catches can be seen in the industrial fisheries by-catch. Observed mean weight-at-age in the by-catches and the relative prediction error at age are shown in Figure 1.10.1. The by-catch of whiting represents a rather "flat" exploitation pattern across ages 1 to 5 . Within the period of forecasts examined for prediction errors (1995-2000), weights were at their highest around 1995 and declined until 1999. Weights-at-age then rose in 2000. This had the result that the predicted weights-at-age were generally higher than the values observed in the period 1996-1999, while the opposite occurred in 2000 (which coincided with the prediction being an underestimate of whiting by-catch that year).

Haddock: There has also been a decreasing trend in mean weight-at-age in the by-catches of haddock (Figure 1.10.2). The by-catches contain mainly fish of ages 1 to 4 with the 2 - and 3 -year-olds being the most dominant. The weight-atage for 3 -year-olds in the haddock by-catches fluctuated slightly above 0.40 kg in the period 1990-1994, but has been lower, around $0.20-0.30 \mathrm{~kg}$, since then. The observed weight-at-age of 2-year-olds in the catches has also declined from 0.25 kg in 1990 to less than 0.15 kg (in 2000). The trends in the relative prediction errors (right hand side of Figure 1.10.2) are not as clear as for whiting, but an overestimation was obvious for 1996 and 2000.

### 1.10.3 Trends in the industrial by-catch fishing mortality

Whiting: The partial fishing mortality by cohort together with the unweighted mean of partial fishing mortalities $\left(\bar{F}_{2-6}\right)$ is shown in Figure 1.10.3. The fishing mortality of whiting by-catches in the industrial fisheries peaked around 1989-1990. The fishing mortality was gradually reduced, but has risen since 1998.

Haddock: The partial fishing mortality by cohort together with the unweighted mean of partial fishing mortalities ( $\bar{F}_{2-6}$ ) is shown in Figure 1.10.4. The partial fishing mortality of haddock also peaked in 1990 and decreased until 1995, after which there has been an increase. The unweighted average of fishing mortalities for ages 2 to $6\left(\bar{F}_{2-6}\right)$ are to some extent misleading in the years 2000 and 2001. There may be some problems in the age readings making a kind of "spillover" from the 1999 year class to the 1998 year class, causing the estimated partial fishing mortality for the 1998 year class to be above 0.3 (not shown in the graph due to a maximum fishing mortality of 0.12 on the vertical axis) and lifting the $\bar{F}_{2-6}$ to an artificial level higher than 0.05 .

### 1.10.4 Possible overestimation of the haddock and whiting stocks

Whiting: The overall impression of fishing mortality of whiting is a decrease since the end of the 1980's. Why this has not lead to a similar increase in stock size is not known. There was only enough data to reproduce assessments back to 1995 due to the use of a 20 -year tuning window and limiting the number of tuning data years available. Previous assessments have probably used different settings, so the results presented here should be treated as indicative of the magnitude of the problem. In the retrospective pattern (Figure 1.10.5) of numbers (stock size)-at-age there is a strong tendency to overestimate the 1994 year class and older in the 1995 assessment and onwards. The problem of overestimating could possibly be linked both to assessment years and to specific cohorts corresponding to changes to tuning series and specific cohort displaying a different behaviour (like different geographical distribution) than others.

Haddock: Previous assessments of haddock are known to have overestimated the stock quite strongly. Most of this was due to the contribution from commercial CPUE series. These have been excluded from the XSA tuning and the size of the problem is now believed to be reduced. See also Section 4.10.

The current study evaluates to what extent the current way of estimating stock size of haddock would have been a source of bias in previous years. The retrospective assessments are run back to 1992 and the retrospective pattern of numbers-at-age (Figure 1.10.6) show only minor deviations (ages 2 to 4). The XSA estimates of numbers-at-age 1 in the current (assessment year) are replaced by other recruitment estimates that are not evaluated here. Figure 1.10 .7 shows the retrospective pattern in $\bar{F}_{2-6}$. The pattern of underestimation of $F$ in the period 1996-1999 is almost entirely driven by the F of 6-year-olds and can possibly be an example on how the use of such an age range can be the source of bias.

### 1.10.5

The results are summarized in the following table:

## Whiting

## Weight-at-

age prediction

A clear source for overestimating the industrial by-catch in some years. Contributes towards an underestimate in 1995 and 2000, but towards an overestimate in the years between.

The use of a status quo fishing mortality would contribute to an overestimate in the period 1991 to 1996 during the time that the fishing mortality of whiting in the industrial by-catches decreased. The small increase in F the last year contributes towards an underestimate in 2000 (which also occurred).

## Haddock

Contributes to an overestimate in 1996 and 2000. No clear picture for the years between.

Partial fishing mortality

Stock size

Conclusions The sources of error described above are quite likely to have produced the large discrepancies between predicted and observed by-catch of whiting in the industrial fishery.

A relatively small overestimation of fishing mortality in the period 1992-1995, followed by a (still small) underestimation of fishing mortality in the years 1996-1999. There are clear signs of autocorrelation in this pattern. Previous assessments used commercial cpue data, and the tendency to overestimate the stock was larger and could have been the major source of the prediction error in at least some years.

The picture is not as clear as for whiting. Future studies could go more into detail to see if there are any systematic differences between small and large year classes. The use of the age range 2 to 6 in calculating mean fishing mortality should be compared with the use of other age ranges (in the prediction of partial fishing mortality in the by-catch).

## Additional comment

It should be noted that problems in forecasting catches occur for a number of stocks advised upon by ICES. In addition such problems exist for other components of the haddock and whiting forecasts, ie. for both the human consumption landings and for the discards. Additional intersessional work is required to evaluate whether the problem is particularly severe for the industrial by-catch component, or whether it is of equivalent severity across all sources of the catch.

Table 1.10.1 Comparison of predicted and observed bycatches of whiting and haddock in the industrial fisheries.

| Assessment | Year | Haddock bycatch (1000't) |  | Whiting bycatch (1000 ${ }^{\text {t }}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Predicted | Observed | Predicted | Observed |
| October 1990 | 1991 | 7 | 5 | 70 | 38 |
| October 1991 ${ }^{1}$ | 1992 |  | 11 |  | 27 |
| October 1992 | 1993 | 16 | 11 | 50 | 20 |
| October 1993 | 1994 | 13 | 4 | 47 | 10 |
| October 1994 | 1995 | 14 | 8 | 28 | 27 |
| October 1995 | 1996 | 16 | 5 | 19 | 5 |
| October 1996 | 1997 | 7 | 7 | 28 | 6 |
| October 1997 | 1998 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 3 |
| October 1998 | 1999 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 5 |
| October 1999 | 2000 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| October 2000 | 2001 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 7 |

[^2]Figure 1.10.1 Whiting: Trends in weight-at-age (left) and predicted divided by observed weights-at-age (right).


Figure 1.10.2 Haddock: Trends in weight-at-age (left) and predicted divided by observed weights-at-age (right). The 1999 year class is not treated separately as in the assessment.


Figure 1.10.3 Partial fishing mortality of whiting taken as by-catch in the industrial fisheries. F's are plotted by cohort (age 1 to 6 ), shown with solid lines. $\bar{F}_{2-6}$ is shown as dots connected with a dotted line.


Figure 1.10.4 Partial fishing mortality of haddock taken as by-catch in the industrial fisheries. F's are plotted by cohort (age 1 to 6 ), shown with solid lines. $\bar{F}_{2-6}$ is shown as dots connected with a dotted line.


Figure 1.10.5 Retrospective pattern of stock size-at-age of whiting. Since there is no XSA estimate of number at age 1 in the current years the endpoints represent the estimate from the current year +1 .






Figure 1.10.6 Retrospective pattern of stock size-at-age of haddock.


Figure 1.10.7 Retrospective pattern of unweighted mean fishing mortalities ( $\bar{F}_{2-6}$ ) of haddock.


### 1.11 Evaluation of Reports of Relevant ICES Working Groups and Study Groups

### 1.11.1 Working Group on Methods of Fish Stock Assessments (WGMG)

WGMG was reconvened in 2002, having last met in 1995, and was set up to develop and evaluate assessment methodologies and forecasting techniques. Chapters $2,3,4$, and 6 cover background material and general discussion of the issues surrounding bias in assessments and projections, the precautionary approach, and data quality. Much of the discussion is pertinent to this Working Group (WGNSSK) and highlights the need to be aware of the strengths and limitations of the tools being used. Chapters 5 and 7 discuss more technical details of assessment methodologies and the procedures for "certification" of new assessment tools. WGNSSK looks forward to the development of new, more robust assessment packages.

Some phrases, which the WGMG felt the need to highlight and which are of particular relevance include:
"This Group therefore recommends that effort data be corrected for changes in efficiency by specific analysis prior to setting up the tuning data for assessment."
"A message to assessment working groups is that they should favour fewer data of good quality (as evaluated independently of the assessment model) instead of large quantities of data of unknown properties."

## "The definition of fleets for tuning purposes should be improved, and stricter criteria should be used to select the catch and effort data retained for each fleet."

With respect to medium-term forecasts, the WGMG concluded that:
"the extreme percentiles ( $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) or predicted SSB and catch cannot be considered to be reliable - the $25^{\text {th }}$ and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles are better behaved, but their use may be overly prescriptive."
"it is proposed that a series of candidate stock-recruitment models are fitted to historically-estimated stock-recruitment pairs, and that a final model is chosen based on consideration of statistical fit, parsimony, biological appropriateness, and robustness (including sensitivity to the addition of new data)."
"Current Working Group practice does not generally stipulate that quality control procedures should be carried out on medium-term projections..... Substantial annual changes in starting population numbers-at-age and assumed stockrecruitment formulations lead to medium-term projections that vary widely from year to year. Plotting the projection from this year's assessment alongside that from last year's (and indeed, several years prior to that) would serve to highlight such variation, and focus Working Group attention on determining the reasons for it. It should be noted that such comparisons would have to be based on the same projected fishing mortality, so that there would be a need to rerun previous projections using the current status quo F."

With respect to short-term forecasts, WGMG concluded that:
"Working groups should be encouraged to produce more detailed catch forecast tables. These were generated automatically by the IFAP system, but with its recent demise the provision of detailed tables has become inconsistent.

The WGMG will be investigating the modelling of weights, maturity, and condition factors for incorporation into stock forecasts and await the results of the Study Group on Growth and Maturity (SGGROMAT) with much anticipation.

WGNSSK would like guidance from the WGMG on how to assess the quality of data and criteria for the acceptance/rejection of data series. This particularly applies to the choice of tuning data, for instance the ability to assess the reliability of using a tuning fleet which provides the majority of the catch data.

The WGNSSK has, this year, investigated a number of alternative stock-recruitment relationships and will further these investigations in future years. In addition, the WG has changed the percentiles presented in medium-term projections in line with the recommendations of WGMG.

In response to the comment regarding lack of standardisation within assessments, WGNSSK has, for several years, universally used the Aberdeen suite of forecasting programs as it has a consistent way of treating assessment data.

### 1.11.2 Study Group on the Further Development of the Precautionary Approach to Fishery Management (SGPA)

This group met to further develop ICES strategy concerning the Precautionary Approach (PA) and for the subsequent provision of advice with particular reference to rebuilding plans, reference points, and short-lived and deepwater species. The group were also to study the calculation of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ and consider initiatives for the harmonisation of approaches between NAFO and ICES. A final report of this meeting was not available at the time of the WG, therefore the discussions were based on a draft version.

Chapter 3 of the report deals with the determination of reference points for stocks undergoing analytical assessments and presents the segmented regression methodology. This method fits 2 straight lines to the stock recruit data, one passing through the origin and the other horizontal. The data are statistically analysed to locate the SSB at which the stock recruit data should be split, this SSB being referred to as the change point. As the method is fully statistical, estimates of error surrounding this point may be calculated, and biomass reference points can then be chosen to reflect the degree of risk which managers are prepared to accept surrounding this point. Change point models are presented for a large number of stocks, and the limitations of the methodology are acknowledged. Chapter 3 also contains an investigation into the problems encountered when SSB and F reference points are not compatible (i.e. fishing at the F reference point resulting in an equilibrium biomass quite different to the reference biomass). Finally there is a discussion of structural uncertainty in assessment methodology and its impact on the determination of reference points.

Chapter 4 deals with reference points and environmental influences, concluding that attempts to identify periods of differing environmental conditions (or regime shifts) are problematical. Potential solutions include the setting of biomass reference points conservatively to be commensurate with the harshest environmental conditions, or a stronger reliance on F reference points.

Chapter 5 considers the PA in respect to deepwater and short-lived species, of which the latter is pertinent to this WG. It is suggested that F reference points for short-lived stocks within the ICES area could be set in line with other short-lived pelagic species (Northern anchovy, Pacific sardine, Peruvian anchovy, etc.). F reference points may overlook the potential for increased catchability at low stock sizes, and thus biomass reference points, including a minimum escapement level, may be more suitable.

A presentation was made to the SGPA on the implementation of the PA within NAFO. A system of three reference points was set up: limit, buffer (which maps to ICES PA points), and target. For many stocks, the target has been set to reflect correspondence to MSY, although problems have been encountered with the ratification and acceptance of these points. It should be noted that several stocks within the NAFO region are subject to fishery closure.

The Study Group proposed that ICES commits itself to reviewing the current range of reference points and that this should be undertaken by the Assessment Working Groups, using guidelines to be set down by ACFM. The Study Group also awaits the findings of the SGGROMAT, and proposes that these will assist the Assessment Working Groups in the determination of reference points. It is proposed that these reviews of reference points would occur in 2003.

WGNSSK considers that the lack of specific target reference points within ICES is one of the biggest problems facing the application of a precautionary approach to fisheries management. Current practice by fishery managers appears to be the use of the precautionary reference points as targets. These points were originally determined as a boundary to biologically critical values and unless alternative target values are set by management, many stocks will continue to remain around or be driven to these minimum biological levels. These issues are probably of much greater importance than relatively minor updates to reference points.

The Working Group would welcome software which enables fitting of the change point model as an alternative stockrecruit modelling tool.

### 1.11.3 Workshop on Multispecies in the North Sea (WKNSMS)

This workshop was convened to produce a new key run of MSVPA (now 4M) and to provide an overview of progress regarding multispecies work undertaken for the North Sea. The latest 4M key run covers the period 1963 to 2000.

Unlike previous versions of MSVPA, multispecies tuning is possible within 4 M . A full account is given in Vinther (2001), but essentially multispecies tuning is an iterative process, which exchanges estimates of F and M between single-species XSA runs and multispecies runs until some convergence criteria are met. The multispecies VPA section generates natural mortalities ( $\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{M} 2$ ), which are used by following single-species tunings (XSA, SXSA, or ICA) that
produce new estimates of terminal F . These are used by the multispecies part and the procedure is repeated until convergence is achieved. Parameter settings for maturity, weight-at-age, tuning options, etc. were the same as for the most recent XSA assessment (2001 for cod, haddock, sandeel, and Norway pout, 2000 for whiting).

The results of the key run for cod, haddock, whiting, Norway pout, and sandeels are summarised in Figures 1.11.3.11.11.3.5. Single-species runs are presented for comparison. These are also performed within 4 M and are XSA assessments using the most recent settings, but using the single-species constant M values.

The trends in SSB and mean F are highly correlated between single- and multispecies assessments, differences largely being a scaling effect. The differences between single- and multispecies SSBs (Figure 1.11.3.1) for predators are small due to predation mortality generally affecting the younger ages. Correspondingly, the mean Fs (Figure 1.11.3.2) have greater differences as they generally encompass some of the more vulnerable ages.

The ratio of multispecies to single-species numbers by age are shown in Figure 1.11.3.3. The general pattern of reducing differences with increasing age is reversed for sandeels and warrants further investigation.

Stock-recruit scatter-plots are shown in Figure 1.11.3.4. Natural mortalities (M1 + M2)-at-age are shown in Figures 1.11.3.5, note that the scales are not the same.

Table 1.11.3.1 shows mean M-at-age for decades within the modelled time period and it can be seen that for some species-at-age, considerable changes are estimated to have occurred. Older ages of sandeels are estimated to have a reduced predation mortality through time, whist younger ages of most fish appear to have increased predation mortalities. A detailed examination of the partial predation mortalities reveals different causes for these increases.

While the differences between the single- and multi-species runs are generally small, the presence of trends in differences of recruitment estimates has implications for medium- and long-term projections. Comparisons of singleand multispecies forecasts should be made. Field studies designed to provide new estimates of predation rates, in particular for seals, are being undertaken. The Group (WGNSSK) is therefore deferring use of new natural mortality estimates until they have been further evaluated.

### 1.11.4 Information on discards in the North Sea and Skagerrak

The WG considered the 2002 report of the Study Group on Discard and By-Catch Information (SGDBI) and the data compiled by the group to date. The data are mainly from towed-gear fisheries for cod, haddock, whiting, saithe, sole, and plaice in IIIa and IV as collected by Germany, England, Denmark, and Sweden between 1999 and 2001 under EC project 98/097. Some data from other projects going back to 1997 were also available to the SGBDI. Data compilations, as tables of raised and unraised, quarterly length distributions, raised numbers, mean weights-at-age of discards for various fleet sectors, and estimates of the raised tonnes discarded by all gears, all available on the ICES SGDBI website $^{2}$, are summarised in Table 1.11.4.1. Data are raised on the basis of fishing effort, in most cases hours fished.

The Netherlands, Belgium, and France also collected discard data as part of the EC project, but these data were not available to the SGDBI. The Dutch data were withdrawn from the Study Group because of disagreement with the Dutch fishing industry about whether the results were representative. The WG considered that it is very undesirable to withhold scientific data required for analytical purposes and regrets that it is not able to evaluate the discard pattern of an important fleet in the North Sea. The WG understands, however, that discard data collected for EC project 98/097 by participating countries have been reported to the Commission.

Data on discarding of haddock, whiting, cod, and saithe by Scottish vessels from the mid-1970s onwards are derived from an observer program. Numbers- and weights-at-age of haddock and whiting discarded are raised to national (Scottish) and then to international fleet level on the basis of landings. These data are compiled annually and are used by this WG. Data on discards of cod and saithe are not treated in this way because it is considered that patterns of discarding by Scottish vessels are unlikely to reflect those across the fisheries. Scotland was not involved in 98/097 and discard data from the Scottish fleets were not reported to the SGDBI.

From the point of view of the WG, the utility of the SGDBI discard data are limited by:

[^3]- lack of equivalent information on the quantities and length distribution and age of fish retained or landed
- lack of information on discarding from national fleets which are important components of particular fisheries, for example, discarding of saithe by French and Norwegian fleets, of cod by Scottish fleets, and plaice, whiting, and cod by the Dutch beam trawl fishery
- the length of the data series

In some cases, information on weight-at-age in the SGBDI files is missing. The WG was advised that age-disaggregated data and mean weights-at-age from Denmark's discard sampling programme are available, and have been compiled and reported to the EC. These data and other data on discards in Danish gillnet fisheries in the IV and IIIa were, however, not on the ICES SGDBI site at the time of the meeting.

The SGDBI report addresses the question of inclusion of information on fish retained noting a number of reasons why the quantities retained, as observed at sea, may not be the same as the reported quantities landed. These include nondeclaration of catch, high grading of fish in the hold, and errors in raising factors. Because of these and possible influences on raised estimates of quantities retained, the SGDBI indicate that they do not think the inclusion of quantities retained would be a good way of allowing readers to assess the significance of discard data. The SGDBI indicate, however, that where possible, information of the proportion of the catch discarded as observed onboard has been added to the discarding data tables and that these proportions could be applied in stock assessment to raise total declared landings to total catch. However, only a few of the SGBDI data tables include this information.

In an attempt to produce indicative estimates of the extent of discarding for saithe, plaice, and cod in IV, the WG examined data on the SGDBI database, data from Scotland's discard sampling programme, and data summaries from EC project $98 / 097$ as reported to the EC. The latter present estimates of tonnage and numbers-at-age discarded and retained. The WG also examined disaggregated data (SGDBI and Scotland) from which it is evident that, depending on species, patterns of discarding vary among fleets, seasonally, and from year to year, often in relation to year-class strength. It is likely that discard patterns and quantities are also influenced by quota allocation and technical conservation measures.

## Saithe

For saithe, the SGDBI provides estimates of discard percentages between $66 \%$ and $77 \%$ by number, and $66 \%$ by weight for the English beam and otter trawl fleets in 2000, and an estimate of the total weight discarded of 2,459 tonnes in 2000. Discards of saithe by Scottish vessels were estimated to be in excess of 19500 and 13100 tonnes in 2000 and 2001, respectively, and were 2.6 and 1.8 times the total reported landings. Discarded saithe were predominately 3 and 4 years old, but fish of all ages were discarded.

## Plaice

Estimates of the tonnage of plaice discarded and retained by the combined towed gear fleet in IV, in the EC project report, indicate that $38.3 \%$ and $42.7 \%$ of the catch by weight was discarded in 2000 and 2001, respectively. In numerical terms many more fish are discarded than retained. Estimates for beam trawlers indicate that 1612 million and 457.5 million plaice were discarded in 2000 and 2001, respectively, as compared to 713.6 million and 59.6 million retained. Fish discarded were predominately younger fish. Discard rates in 2001 of 0 - and 1 -group fish were $100 \%$, and $96 \%, 86 \%$, and $64 \%$ for fish aged 2,3 , and 4 , respectively. The SGDBI data indicate that patterns of discards vary seasonally and between fleets.

## Cod

For North Sea cod, estimates of tonnage discarded and retained, reported to the EC, indicate discard rates of $11.8 \%$ and $12.1 \%$ for the combined towed gear fleet in 2000 and 2001, respectively. Scottish vessels discarded an estimated 4100 and 4400 tonnes of cod in 2000 and $2001,20.1 \%$ and $31 \%$ of total reported landings and $16.8 \%$ and $25.1 \%$ of the catch by weight. Although the tonnage of cod discarded is low compared to other species, as with plaice the numbers of fish are substantial, relative to both the numbers landed and of numbers-at-age in the stock. It is estimated that the combined Scottish fleet discarded 13.2 and 13 million cod in 2000 and 2001 respectively, as compared with 15 and 11 million fish landed. Discard rates were $100 \%, 97.9 \%$, and $15.1 \%$ for $1-, 2$-, and 3-year-old fish in 2001.

## WG Conclusions

Not considering discard catches in stock assessments may introduce bias and affect estimates of F and stock biomass, particularly when discard patterns vary over time. Good estimates of discard catches are, however, difficult and costly to obtain. The WG considers that assessments of plaice and cod in IV should take discards into account.

The collection and collation of data as undertaken by the SGDBI has not been useful for assessment purposes. The data collected and reported under EC project $98 / 097$ when and if available might prove so. For North Sea cod and plaice there is currently insufficient information on discard catches to include them in assessments. The EU data collection regulation, which requires countries to collect and report on discard catches from 2002, should improve this. There is a need to ensure that national discard sampling programmes target representative components of the fleet and that differences in discard patterns are considered when extrapolating to the total catch.

Age group

Species Period
Cod

| 1963-1969 | 1.90 | 0.88 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1970-1979 | 1.57 | 0.89 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 1980-1989 | 2.11 | 0.85 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 1990-2000 | 3.21 | 0.70 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| All | 2.25 | 0.82 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Used | N/A | 0.8 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Haddock Period

| 1963-1969 | 2.10 | 1.29 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1970-1979$ | 2.12 | 1.78 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| $1980-1989$ | 2.23 | 1.32 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| $1990-2000$ | 2.19 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| All | 2.16 | 1.43 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Used | 2.05 | 1.65 | 0.4 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Norway pout Period

| 1963-1969 | 1.13 | 1.66 | 1.17 | 1.17 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1970-1979 | 1.00 | 1.95 | 1.49 | 1.60 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1980-1989 | 1.37 | 1.76 | 1.33 | 1.38 | - |  | - | - | - |
| $1990-2000$ | 1.54 | 1.77 | 1.34 | 1.42 | - |  | - | - | - |
| All | 1.28 | 1.80 | 1.34 | 1.41 | - |  | - | - | - |
| Used | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |  |  |  | - | - |

Sandeel Period

| 1963-1969 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 0.87 | 1.12 | 0.99 |  |  |  | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1970-1979 | 1.04 | 1.10 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.54 |  | - | - | - |
| 1980-1989 | 1.21 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.51 | - | - | - | - |
| 1990-2000 | 1.36 | 0.87 | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| All | 1.18 | 1.02 | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.59 | - | - | - | - |
| Used | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  |  | - |

Whiting Period

| 1963-1969 | 1.80 | 1.06 | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1970-1979 | 1.56 | 1.03 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 1980-1989 | 2.00 | 1.22 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 1990-2000 | 2.51 | 1.26 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| All | 1.99 | 1.15 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | 0.95 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.3 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Table 1.11.4.1 Summary of discard data available in SGDBI data files

| Country Subarea, Division | Species | Discard data available | Fleets ${ }^{1}$ | Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany IV | Cod haddock whiting saithe plaice Sole | Raised quarterly length distributions Raised numbers, mean lengths and weights-at-age <br> Raised tonnes discarded, all gears | Beam trawl, pair trawl, otter trawl | 1999-2001 ${ }^{2}$ |
| England <br> IV | Cod, haddock Whiting Saithe Sole Plaice | Raised quarterly length distributions Raised numbers, mean lengths and weights-at-age <br> Raised tonnes discarded, all gears | Beam trawl, Nephrops trawl, otter trawl, pair trawl, seine trawl | $1997-2001^{3}$ |
| Denmark <br> IIIa \& IV | Cod haddock plaice | Raised and quarterly length distributions Unraised and raised quarterly length distributions (2001 only) | Otter trawl, <br> anchor  <br> beam trawl  | 1999-2001 |
| Sweden <br> IIIa \& IV | Cod | Raised quarterly length distributions Raised numbers, mean lengths and weights-at-age <br> Raised tonnes discarded, all gears | Otter trawl, Nephrops trawl, shrimp trawl, Danish seines | 1999-2001 |
| Sweden <br> IIIa | Haddock <br> Plaice <br> saithe <br> sole <br> whiting | Raised quarterly length distributions raised numbers, mean lengths and weights-at-age Raised tonnes discarded, all gears | Nephrops trawl, otter trawl | 2001 |

${ }^{1}$ In some cases data are from different fleets in different years
${ }^{2}$ Only data for 2001 available on website
${ }^{3}$ Not all data available for all species in all years

Figure 1.11.3.1 SSB and recruitment for single- and multispecies runs for a) cod, b) haddock, c) whiting, d) sandeel, and e) Norway pout.

a)

Haddock SSB and R for single species and multispecies assessments

b)

Whiting SSB and R for single species and multispecies assessments

c)

Figure 1.11.3.1. ..continued
Sandeel SSB and R for single species and multispecies assessments

d)

Norway pout, SSB and R for single species and multispecies assessments

e)

Figure 1.11.3.2 Fbar for single- and multispecies runs for a) cod, b) haddock, c) whiting, d) sandeel, and e) Norway pout.

Cod Fbar (2-8) for single species and multispecies assessments

a)

Haddock, Fbar (2-6) for single species and multispecies assessments

b)

Whiting, Fbar (2-6) for single species and multispecies assessments

c)

Figure 1.11.3.2. ..continued
Sandeel Fbar (1-2) for single species and multispecies assessments

d)

Norway Pout, Fbar (1-2) for single species and multispecies assessments

e)

Figure 1.11.3.3. Ratio of numbers between multispecies and single-species assessments for a) cod, b) haddock, c) whiting, d) sandeel and e) Norway pout.
a)

COD, MS numbers / SS numbers


Haddock MS numbers / SS numbers

b)

Whiting, MS numbers / SS numbers

c)

Figure 1.11.3.3. ..continued

d)

Norway Pout, MS numbers / SS numbers

e)

Figure 1.11.3.4. Stock recruit plots for single- and multi-species assessments for a) cod, b) haddock, c) whiting, d) sandeel, and e) Norway pout.

a)

Haddock, stock recruit relationship for single and multispecies assessment.

b)

Whiting, stock recruit relationship for single and multispecies assessment.

c)

Figure 1.11.3.4..continued.

## Sandeel, stock recruit relationship for single and multispecies assessment.


d)

Norway Pout stock recruit relationship for single and multispecies assessment.

e)

Figure 1.11.3.5 Annual natural mortality (M1+M2) as estimated by MSVPA and loess smoother fit of observations. a) Cod, b) haddock, c) whiting, d) sandeel, e) Norway pout.
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Figure 1.11.3.5 continued
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The WG recognised the need for improved management of procedures for the aggregation of international data for assessments and for developing quality control procedures to assist in this. It was also recognised that there was an increased need to document procedures to allow external scrutiny and peer review of procedures used in preparing data and in running assessments. These requirements have already been widely discussed by a number of groups:

- ICES Study Group on ACFM Working Procedures (SGWP, 2002)
- ICES Planning Group on Commercial Catch, Discards and Biological Sampling (PGCCDBS, 2002)
- ICES Working Group on Southern Shelf Stocks of Hake, Megrim and Monk (WGHMM, 2002)

The WG considered that improvement in the quality control of the WG procedures should be investigated and noted that there are four major areas for improvement:

- Dissemination and documentation of input data
- Standard raising software and data storage
- More time available during WG meetings for in-depth reviews of input data and assessment methodology
- Description of standard methodologies


### 1.12.1 Quality control of input data

Quality control of input data refers to the first two areas mentioned above. One of the terms of references of the PGCCDBS was to evaluate the need for developing sampling methodology, calculation methodology, data storage procedures, and software for aggregating national catch-at-age data to international catch-at-age data in a form suitable for assessment working groups.

Several recommendations were made by PGCCDBS; the ones relevant for this WG are the following:

- That ACFM was asked to establish PGCCDBS as an annual planning group, to support stock assessment WGs.
- To evaluate sampling in the previous year in terms of:
- -Spatial \& Temporal Coverage
- -Precision levels (including age reading)
- To establish quality assurance protocols for assessment data.
- That ICES Assessment Working Groups participate in the attempts to secure adequate data for stock assessment by highlighting any particular weaknesses in the quality of the data.
- To support the WG stock co-ordinators in the aggregation of national data sets to provide age compositions and estimates of precision for use in stock assessment. At the same time, records of the procedures used in terms of data aggregation and decisions on allocations should also be derived.
- To provide a tool for the analysis of international sampling results in order to review coverage and sampling effort allocation on a stock basis.
- Software on data storage and raising procedures were presented during the meeting. Software on data storage (BALTCOM) was suggested to be implemented for storage of discard sampling data. Software on raising procedure (VPABase) was suggested to be distributed to the stock co-ordinators to be tested in 2003.

The WG supported the initiatives of PGCCDBS and other groups to establish protocols and software for the aggregation of national and international data. In the meantime it was recognised that there is a need for stock coordinators to develop clearly documented procedures for data aggregation and preparation of international age compositions. The documentation would make the whole process clearer for peer review and would ensure that changes to procedures are clearly identified and documented. It was felt that this approach could be best developed by reviewing the approach to assessing stocks as described in Section 1.12.2.

The computer system to handle fish stock assessment data is part of the ICES quality assurance system and should facilitate the work of the assessment working groups, ACFM, and the ICES Secretariat. This work is part of the advisory process to provide information to the Fisheries Commissions and national and regional governments.

At present, fish stock assessment data held at the ICES Secretariat are aggregates related to total annual catch on a stock level. These stored data are the input data to catch-at-age analysis. The aggregation of national data submitted for fish stock assessment is not documented in the present database system.

There are two main requirements to remedy the current ad-hoc solutions to the age-disaggregated catch data:

1. to develop a database to store the exchanged data
2. to develop software to aggregate data to the appropriate level.

These requirements are also of relevance to the European Commission, whereby the first requirement deals with the issue of evaluating sampling programs, and the latter for application of the data in, e.g., specialists groups meeting in Brussels or elsewhere. However, the range of data types to be exchanged under the EC Regulation is wider than what is foreseen to be the needs of ICES, e.g. the EC Regulation includes economic data. The general aim of the data collection process is to enhance the assessment of changes in fish stocks and in the fisheries. Therefore, data collected under the EC regulation are also of high relevance to ICES for the work on assessing the state of the stocks and to advice on management. Clearly, EC and ICES have common interests in this field.

In 2001 the European Commission (EC) (DG Fish) requested ICES to initiate analysis on a fishery basis as a supplement to the currently used stock basis. The data currently collected and stored at the ICES Secretariat are not in sufficient detail to allow ICES to meet the EC request, and data disaggregated by fleet and season will need to become part of the international assessment data. But analysis on a fishery basis also requires enlargement of the database with new data types (effort and geographical distribution of fleet by season).

Data need to be aggregated nationally before they can be useful for international stock assessment. This was the overall conclusion from the EC-funded project EMAS (Pastoors et al., 2001). The reason is that the sampling procedures in different countries have very different characteristics, adapted to the local circumstances, the availability of sampling options and the behaviour of the fishery. The project also concluded that there is no obvious method available for standardization of sampling procedures.

FAO is developing a system called Fisheries Global Information System (FIGIS). The objective of this system is to create a web portal that presents information on fisheries on a worldwide scale. FIGIS includes a module called Fisheries Information Resource Management System (FIRMS) that focus on presentation of information on status and trends in fish stocks (resources). ICES participates in FIRMS and plans that fish stock assessment results and fisheries management advice shall be presented on both the ICES website and on the FIGIS portal.

### 1.12.2 Quality control of assessments

The WG considered that it is desirable to split the workload of the group up into two different types of assessments:

- Benchmark assessments: in-depth review of the basic input data and assessment methodology for a given stock.
- Update assessments: rolling over the benchmark assessments by updating with new data.

For example, some stocks could be fully-assessed once every three years. The year for benchmark assessment could be planned and agreed by the WG and ACFM. For other years, the stock would be updated. Clearly any stocks under recovery plans, or those with particular data or model problems, would need more frequent benchmark assessments.

Benchmark assessment: as now, including data preparation, review of fishery information, data screening, trial assessments, final assessment, catch forecast, and medium-term projections. Report section similar to that currently provided in the WG report. ACFM summary sheet similar to present. Much of this information could be used to develop quality documentation. Once a benchmark assessment has been carried out, a description would be prepared of the standard procedures which should be used for that stock. These would include a description of the input data and the way they are collected and collated, and a description of the standard assessment settings. The standard procedures will be documented in an appendix to the WG report.

Update assessment: update data sets, carry out a standard assessment and short-term forecast according to protocols agreed in the bench marks. Report to contain a short text on the updated data and results of the assessment and forecast only, and associated tables/figures. So no data screening, trial runs, stock-recruitment relationship fitting, or mediumterm projections.

This would require a different approach to the WG which would be more in line with the kind of working procedures in the ACFM subgroups. Members of the WG would be reviewers of data and assessment methodology and not be uniquely linked to certain stocks.

### 1.12.3 Feasibility

The WG considered that in it's current meeting, the following stocks would be acceptable for interim assessments:

- Sole in IV
- Haddock in IV
- Sole in VIId
- Plaice in VIId

However, it is recognized that many of the fisheries considered by this WG are recruitment fisheries, whereby the incoming year classes can have a very big impact on the stock perception. This implies that all stocks would require recruitment estimates and forecasts to be made annually, but it would not be necessary to make a detailed review of the input data and trial assessments for the benchmarked stocks. The time saved could be used to look in depth at a restricted number of stocks each year and review, update, or develop quality handbooks for all stocks.

The WG proposes to start the new working procedures at its meeting in June 2003.

### 1.13 Recommendations

### 1.13.1 Analysis of maturity data

In WGNSSK maturity ogives are generally based on historical biological information and kept constant over the whole time period of the assessment. For a number of stocks a knife-edge maturity ogive has been assumed.

Maturity data are sampled for most stocks every year, but new information on maturity has not been considered in the assessment procedure. The maturity stage of individual fish is evaluated by visual inspection of the gonads for most stocks by using a four-stage maturity key ( $1=$ immature; $2=$ ripening; $3=$ spawning; $4=$ spent $)$. However, a correct classification of fish maturity by visual inspection is often difficult and the interpretation could vary between observers, especially if the gonads are sampled some months prior to spawning (Kjesbu, 1991). Fish classified as maturing (stage 2 in the ICES maturity key) during the spawning period are supposed to spawn in the current reproductive season, although it has never been demonstrated. If not all these individuals will spawn within that season the spawning stock biomass (SSB) will be overestimated.

ICES provides advice on the management of fish stocks on the basis of biological reference points which are defined for the fishing mortality (F) and spawning stock biomass (SSB). The reference points for SSB have been estimated assuming fixed maturity ogives. However, if maturity can be shown to change over time, it may be more appropriate to revisit the biological reference points and to incorporate this information, as this may give a different perspective of the stock developments.

The Working Group therefore recommends:

- Currently available information on maturity from market sampling and research surveys be collated and made available to SGGROMAT.
- A research program be formulated with the aim to study seasonal development of gonads for different species and ages.
- A maturity scale for classifying gonads into a number of maturity stages based on histological criteria be developed. The results of such research would be used to revise the macroscopic maturity scale and help to define
the proportion of the maturing individuals likely to reproduce in the current spawning season and thus contribute to the reproductive potential of the stock.
- An ICES workshop be held to calibrate the interpretation of the different maturity stages and to provide estimates of maturity-at-age for different stocks. The consequences for stock assessments should be explored in the workshop.

It is envisioned that the new maturity estimates could be taken further by SGGROMAT in order to evaluate, e.g., the effects on biological reference points.

### 1.13.2 Analysis of survey data for North Sea whiting

It has been observed that there are different population-trend signals in the available North Sea whiting surveys. The English and Scottish groundfish surveys (and, to a lesser extent, the French groundfish survey) appear to be in accord, while the IBTS Q1 survey is more consistent with the catch-at-age data. Without a more detailed analysis of the methodology and characteristics of these surveys, it is difficult to know which is the most representative of whiting population dynamics: there are no empirical diagnostics on which to base such a decision.

The Working Group recommends that an intersessional group should try to resolve the apparent conflict between surveys.

### 1.13.3 Formatting of the report

The WG has made tried hard to prepare a readable and clear report. This took a lot of work which could be classified as secretarial rather than scientific. The WG recommends that more secretarial assistance be given, notably on the formatting of the report and the conversion of Excel tables and figures into Word.

### 1.13.4 Meeting room

The WG considers that the meeting room (Castle room) is not suitable for a meeting with over 20 persons when microphones are not available. The WG recommends that a microphone installation be acquired for the ICES meeting rooms.

### 1.13.5 Photo-copying

The Working Group recommends that ICES changes its organization of printers and photo-copying machines. The amount of time spent on waiting for and debugging the copying machines is considered to be disproportionate. Also it was noted that as soon as the ICES staff left, the machines would invariably stop functioning properly.

Therefore, the WG recommends ICES to make high volume printers available that could be operated as photocopying machines at the same time. If these allow printing of double-sided stapled documents, the waiting time would be reduced, the environment would be enhanced (less paper), and the WG members would be less frustrated.

### 2.1 Stocks in the North Sea (Subarea IV)

### 2.1.1 Description of the fisheries

The demersal fisheries in the North Sea can be grouped in human consumption fisheries and industrial fisheries which land their catch for reduction purposes. Demersal human consumption fisheries usually either target a mixture of roundfish species (cod, haddock, whiting), or a mixture of flatfish species (plaice and sole) with a by-catch of roundfish. A fishery directed at saithe exists along the shelf edge. The catch of the industrial fisheries mainly consists of sandeel, Norway pout, and sprat. The industrial landings also contain by-catches of various other species (Table 2.1.2).

Each fishery uses a variety of gears. Human consumption fisheries: otter trawls, pair trawls, seines, gillnets, beam trawls. Industrial fisheries: small-meshed otter trawls.

Trends in effort of selected fleets are shown in Figure 2.1.1. Most demersal effort series are stable or show a downward trend in the recent past. It is not clear to what extent this stagnation is caused by adverse economic results or effort reduction programmes. Effort in some fleets may vary between years because they harvest areas other than the North Sea, as a result of the depletion of the traditional resources of the North Sea.

The trends in landings of the most important species landed by all fleets during the last 30 years, as compiled by the WG, are shown in Table 2.1.1 and in Figure 2.1.2. The human consumption landings have steadily declined over the last 30 years, with an intermediate high in the early 80 's. The landings of the industrial fisheries are fluctuating around 1 million $t$ over the years. These landings show the largest annual variations, probably due to the short life span of the species. The total demersal landings from the North Sea reached over 2 million $t$ in 1974, and have been around 1.5 million t in the 1990s.

Figure 2.1.3. shows the landings by country and fleet segment for the human consumption fisheries. Fleet and gear codes are given in Table 2.1.4. Most of the human consumption landings are from the Dutch beam-trawl fishery harvesting plaice and sole ( $>140000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and from the Scottish fishery harvesting cod, haddock, and whiting ( $>100000$ t ). This figure shows clearly the great level of technical interactions between the cod, haddock, and whiting fisheries, and between the sole and plaice fisheries. The flatfish and roundfish landings are generally taken by different fleet segments, with the exception of gill-netters which may potentially target any of these groups of species. The fisheries landing saithe have a low impact on the others. However, the fisheries non-directed at cod, haddock, and whiting may generate discards of saithe. Most of the saithe landings are taken by the Norwegian, French, and German offshore trawlers.

For some stocks, the North Sea assessment area may also comprise other regions adjacent to Subarea IV. Thus, combined assessments were made: for cod including IIIaN (Skagerrak) and VIId, for haddock and Norway pout including IIIa, for whiting including VIId, and for saithe including IIIa and VI. Sandeel stocks at Shetlands and in IIIa are dealt with separately.

Biological interactions are not incorporated in the assessments or the forecasts for the North Sea stocks. However, average values of natural mortalities estimated by multispecies assessments for cod, haddock, whiting, and sandeel are incorporated in the assessments of these species.

### 2.1.2 Technical measures

The national management measures with regard to the implementation of the quota in the fisheries differ between species and countries. The industrial fisheries are subject to regulations for the by-catches of other species (e.g. herring, whiting, haddock, cod). TACs for these fisheries have only recently been introduced.

Until 2001, the technical measures applicable to the North Sea demersal stocks in EU waters were laid down in the Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98. Additional technical measures have been established in 2001 by the Commission Regulation (EC) No 2056/2001, for the recovery of the stocks of cod in the North Sea and to the west of Scotland. Their implementation in EU waters is described below.

### 2.1.2.1 Minimum landing size

"Undersized marine organisms must not be retained on board or be transhipped, landed, transported, stored, sold, displayed or offered for sale, but must be discarded immediately to the sea" (EC 850/98). Minimum landing sizes in the North Sea are the same as in all European waters (except in Skagerrak and Kattegat, where minimum sizes are slightly smaller). The values for demersal stocks are shown below.

| Cod | 35 cm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Haddock | 30 cm |
| Saithe | 35 cm |
| Whiting | 27 cm |
| Sole | 24 cm |
| Plaice | 27 cm |

### 2.1.2.2 Minimum mesh size

Regulations on mesh sizes are more complex than those on landing sizes, as they differ depending on gears used, target species, and fishing areas. Many other accompanying measures are implemented simultaneously with mesh sizes. They include regulations on gear dimensions (e.g. number of meshes on the circumference), square-meshed panels, and netting material. The most relevant mesh size regulations of EC 2056/2001 are presented below.

## Towed nets except beam trawls

Since January 2002, the minimum mesh size for towed nets fishing for human consumption demersal species in the North Sea is 120 mm . There are, however, many derogations to this general rule, and the most important are given below:

- Nephrops fishing. It is possible to use a mesh size in range 70-109 mm, provided catches consist of at least $30 \%$ of Nephrops. However, the net needs to be equipped with a 80 mm square-meshed panel if a mesh size of $70-99 \mathrm{~mm}$ is to be used, and with a codend if a mesh size of $70-79 \mathrm{~mm}$ is to be used.
- Saithe fishing. It is possible to use a mesh size range of $110-119 \mathrm{~mm}$, provided catches consist of at least $70 \%$ of saithe and less than $3 \%$ of cod. However, this exemption does not apply to Norwegian waters, where the minimum mesh size for human consumption fishing is 120 mm .
- Fishing for other stocks. It is possible to use a mesh size range of $100-119 \mathrm{~mm}$, provided the net is equipped with a square-meshed panel of at least 90 mm mesh size.
- 2002 exemption. In 2002 only, it is possible to use a mesh size range of $110-119 \mathrm{~mm}$, provided at least $50 \%$ of the catches consist of a mixture of haddock, whiting, plaice sole, lemon sole, skates, and anglerfish, and no more than $25 \%$ consist of cod.
- General point. Unless specified in the points above, cod catches from demersal towed nets of mesh size 32-119 mm should not exceed $20 \%$ of the total catch.


## Beam trawls

- Northern North Sea. It is prohibited to use any beam trawl of mesh size range 32 to 119 mm in that part of ICES Subarea IV which is north of $56^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. However, it is permitted to use any beam trawl of mesh size range 100 to 119 mm within the area enclosed by the east coast of the United Kingdom between $55^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $56^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and by straight lines sequentially joining the following geographical coordinates: a point on the east coast of the United Kingdom at $55^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 55^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 56^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, a point on the east coast of the United Kingdom at $56^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, provided that the catches taken within this area with such a fishing gear and retained on board consist of no more than $5 \%$ cod.
- Southern North Sea. It is possible to fish for sole south of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ with 80 mm meshes in the cod end, provided that at least $5 \%$ of the catch is sole, and no more than $10 \%$ of the catch is composed of cod, haddock, and saithe.
- Combined nets. It is prohibited to simultaneously carry on board beam trawls of more than two of the mesh size ranges 32 to $99 \mathrm{~mm}, 100$ to 119 mm , and equal to or greater than 120 mm .


## Fixed gears

The minimum mesh size of fixed gears is 140 mm when targeting cod, that is when the proportion of cod catches exceeds $30 \%$ of the total catches.

### 2.1.2.3 Closed areas

Twelve-mile-zone. Beam trawling is not allowed in a 12 nm -wide zone along the British coast, except for vessels having an engine power not exceeding 221 kW and an overall length of 24 m maximum. In the 12-mile zone extending from the French coast at $51^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to Hirtshals in Denmark trawling is not allowed to vessels over 8 m overall length. However, otter trawling is allowed to vessels of maximum 221 kW and 24 m overall length, provided that catches of plaice and sole do not exceed $5 \%$ of the total catch. Beam trawling is only allowed to vessels included in a list that has been drawn up for the purposes. The number of vessels on this list is bound to a maximum, but the vessels on it may be replaced by another ones, provided that their engine power does not exceed 221 kW and their overall length is 24 m maximum. Vessels on the list are allowed to fish within the twelve-mile-zone with beam trawls having an aggregate width of 9 m maximum. To this rule there is a further derogation for vessels having shrimping as their main occupation. Such vessels may be included in an annually revised second list and are allowed to use beam trawls exceeding 9 m total width.

Plaice box. To reduce the discarding of plaice in the nursery grounds along the continental coast of the North Sea, an area between $53^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $57^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ has been closed to fishing for trawlers with engine power of more than 300 hp in the second and third quarter since 1989, and for the whole year since 1995.

Cod box. A recovery plan for the North Sea cod has been decided in January 2001 in order to prevent a potential stock collapse and help SSB rebuilding to safe levels. The EU and Norway agreed on a temporary closure of the demersal fishery from February 15 until 30 April 2001. This measure has not been applied in 2002.

### 2.1.3 Human consumption fisheries

## Data

Data available from scientific sources for the assessment of roundfish and flatfish stocks are relatively good. The volume of biological sampling for most of the stocks in 2001 is close to that in the year before (Table 1.3.3.1).

Discard data used in the assessments are only the series for haddock and whiting from the sampling programme of one country. Other discard sampling programmes have been ongoing in recent years, and the results of a sampling project from 4 countries have recently become available (EU document $\operatorname{COM}(2001) 326$ ). Discard information is discussed in the respective stock sections. In general, considerable discarding occurs in most human consumption fisheries, particularly when strong year classes approach the commercial size limit (e.g. haddock in 2001).

In a number of the recent years, there are indications that substantial underreporting of roundfish and flatfish landings is likely to have occurred. There are indications that this is likely to have happened for cod in 2001.

Several series of research vessel survey indices are available for most species and were used in the VPA runs in some stocks. Commercial CPUE series are available for a number of fleets/stocks, but for various reasons only few of them could be accepted for tuning purposes, and the use of such series is progressively reduced.

Of the species considered in this report, only whiting used to be subject to a significant by-catch in the industrial fisheries. This by-catch has been reduced in recent years.

## Stock impressions

In the North Sea all stocks of roundfish and flatfish species have been exposed to high levels of fishing mortality for a long period. For most of these stocks their lowest observed spawning stock size has been seen in recent years. This may be an indication of an excessive effort, possibly combined with an effect of a climatic phase which is unfavourable to the recruitment of some species.

For a number of years, ACFM has recommended significant and sustained reductions in fishing mortality on some of the stocks. In order to achieve this, significant reductions in fishing effort are required. The trends in SSB and F are presented in Figures 2.1.4 and 2.1.5.

Reported landings of cod in 2001 ( 50000 t ) were the lowest on record, as was the spawning stock with 30000 t . The 1996 year-class was relatively strong, but suffered so heavily from fishing and discarding of immature fish that it did not result in rebuilding the spawning stock. Since 1997, recruitment is fluctuating at a low level. Fishing mortality is very high. The scarcity of the stock resulted in the implementation of a recovery plan in 2001.

Historically the stock size of haddock has shown large variation due to the occasional occurrence of a very strong year classes. The strong 1999 year class resulted in the highest level of discarding observed since 1976, which dominated the human consumption landings ( 41000 t ). Nevertheless, the spawning stock could recover to 211000 t in 2001, due to the maturation of the 1999 year class. Fishing mortality is at a high level.

The human consumption yield of whiting in 2001 was 50000 t, which is the lowest level observed in the time-series. Discard levels observed in 2001 are also low compared to previous years. The spawning stock biomass has overall gradually declined over more than 20 years. Most recent estimates indicate a low level of F and a rise in SSB since 1998. Recruitment in recent years was always below the long-term geometric mean, with the 1996 year class as the weakest on record.

The spawning stock of saithe is at a low level compared to the seventies when recruitment was higher. Landings in 2001 were 98000 t , slightly more than the record low of the previous year, but still on a low level. The restricting TAC may have resulted in discards in 2001. Fishing mortality has declined considerably since 1986 and remains at a low level, while the spawning stock is on an upward trend since 1990 . The decrease observed in F is due to the reallocation of fishing effort towards other areas and species (e.g. deep-water species in VI and VII).

Landings of sole were at high levels in the early 90's but decreased to a historic low of 15000 t in 1997, rising to 23000 t in 1999 and 2000. The 20000 t landings in 2001 thus constitute another decline. Fishing mortality varies on a historically high level and is recently lower than the record peak in 1996. The spawning stock was at a record low of 25000 t in 1998 but went up thereafter. All the recovery signals are due to the entry into the fishery of the strong 1996 year class, the effect of which tends to fade out now.

The spawning stock of plaice has been decreasing steadily until arriving at its lowest observed level in 1997, but it has appeared to rise since then. Landings have fallen since 1990 to 71000 t in 1998, and are still low with 82000 t in 2001. Fishing mortality in the most recent three years is lower than the record-high level in the 90 's. The strong 1996 year class is contributing substantially to yield and spawning stock, but the benefits are reduced because of the retarded growth and heavy discarding of that year class. Fishing mortality is lower recently than in the mid-90's.

### 2.1.4 Industrial fisheries

### 2.1.4.1 Description of fisheries

The industrial fisheries dealt with in this report are the small-meshed trawl fisheries targeted at Norway pout and sandeel.

### 2.1.4.2 Data available

Data on landings, fishing effort, and species composition are available from all industrial fisheries.

### 2.1.4.3 Trends in landings and effort

The sandeel landings in 1974-1985 fluctuated between 428000 and 787000 t , with a mean of 611000 t . In the period 1986-2000 the landings increased to a generally higher level between 591000 and 1091000 t and a mean of 819000 t . In 1997 the combined Danish and Norwegian landings of more than 1 million $t$ was the highest ever recorded. Landings in 2001 for Norway and Denmark were 810000 t (Table 2.1.2), which is just below the average for the period 19862000. The sandeel fishery in 2001 had rather low catches in the first half-year, where mainly 1 -group and older fish are targeted. However, in the second half of the year there were very high catches of the 0 -group sandeels. The catches for 2002 are not included in this assessment, however, provisional Danish landings statistics for the period until the end of May show very high landings. Danish landings were at 446000 tonnes, compared to a mean value of 320000 t in the same period for the years 1998-2001.

The Norway pout catches showed a downwards trend in the period 1974-1988. Thereafter the catches have fluctuated around a level of 150000 t . The landings in 1998 and 1999 were less than 100000 t and the lowest recorded after 1974. However, in 2000 the Norway pout landings increased to around 200000 t , based on fishery on the strong 1999 year class. Landings in 2001 decreased to around 65000 t , which are the lowest landings since 1966. The effort was also historically low in 2001.

Trends in effort of the Norwegian and Danish small-meshed fishing for Norway pout and sandeel are shown in Figure 2.1.1. The effort of the sandeel fleet gradually decreased from 1989 to 1994, increased from 1994 to 1998, and decreased from 1998 to 2000. In 2001 there has been a small increase in effort compared to 2000. The development in the effort for the sandeel fleet is mainly determined by the Danish fishery targeting sandeel. From 1998 and onwards there was a slight increase in effort for Norway pout while effort targeting sandeel declined. The effort in the Norway pout fleet has decreased gradually from 1993 to 2001, except for a small increase in effort from 1988 to 2000. In 2001 the effort in the Norway pout fishery reached a historic low level (Figure 2.1.1).

### 2.1.4.4 Landings of Blue Whiting

ACFM states that the linkage between blue whiting and, e.g., Norway pout fisheries should be addressed. Blue whiting is caught by different gears and mesh sizes and can be grouped in two types of fisheries. The first is a directed fishery where by-catches of other species are insignificant. These landings are used for human consumption or for meal and oil production. Secondly, there is a mixed industrial fishery where varying proportions of juvenile blue whiting are caught together with Norway pout or other species. The majority of these landings are for meal and oil production.

As in previous years, the predominant part ( 1676000 t or $94 \%$ ) of the total landings in 2001 was taken in the directed fishery and $104000 t$ taken as by-catch in other fisheries such as the Norway pout fishery. 4000 t of the by-catch of blue whiting is taken in the North Sea (Table 2.1.5).

The Danish blue whiting fishery is conducted by trawlers using a minimum mesh size of 40 mm in the directed fishery and in the fisheries where blue whiting is taken as by-catch uses trawl with mesh sizes between 16 and 36 mm . The directed fishery caught 44600 t mainly in Divisions IIa, Iva, and Vb, with small catches from Divisions VIa and VIIc. By-catches of blue whiting ( 8700 t ) are caught mainly in the Norway pout fishery in the North Sea and in the Skagerrak. Some blue whiting by-catches are also taken during the human consumption herring fishery in the Skagerrak.

Norway set a blue whiting quota of 250000 t for the Norwegian EEZ, Jan Mayen zone, and international waters for 2001. In addition, through international agreements, 190640 t in the EEZ of EU and 47000 t in the Faroese zone were made available to the Norwegian fishery. The total quota for Norwegian vessels in 2001 was 487640 t .

In addition young blue whiting are fished by Norway as by-catch in other fisheries in the North Sea (areas south of $\left.64^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$. An estimated catch of approximately 70000 t was taken in this fishery in 2001.

### 2.1.4.5 Stock impressions

Trends in F and SSB for sandeel and Norway pout are given in Figures 2.1.4 and 2.1.5.
The SSB of Norway pout shows an increasing trend in the period 1974-1984. The next two years SSB dropped to a low level and was then followed by an increase. SSB peaked in 1996 due to the big 1994 year class, but decreased again in the period up to 1999 reaching a low level. SSB in 2000 and 2001 has increased to 200000 t and 340000 t to reach a similar level as in 1996 because of the strong 1999 year class. Fishing mortality has generally been decreasing since 1974. In 1995-1998 the fishing mortality fell to about 0.4 compared to the level of about 0.6 in 1988-1994. In 1999 and 2000 the fishing mortality increased again to a level around $0.5-0.6$. In 2001 the fishing mortality reached a historically minimum just below 0.2.

Over the years, SSB of sandeel has been fluctuating around 1 million $t$ with an increasing trend from 1989 to 1995 and a decreasing trend from 1998 to 2000. There is a general pattern of a large SSB being followed by a low SSB. This is caused by a similar fluctuation in recruiting year classes. The 1996 year class and the spawning stock biomass at the start of 1998 were the highest recorded in the period 1989 to 2001. The spawning stock biomass at the start at 2001 is estimated to 620000 t , about the same level as in 2000 and below the long-term average. The number of recruits for the 2001 year class was estimated at $5340^{*} 10^{9}$, which is more than twice the estimate for 1996 , the year with the highest recruitment for the time period 1989-2000. The high recruitment of 0 -group sandeels in 2001, as estimated in the XSA from commercial CPUE, is confirmed by the large fishery for 0 -group sandeels in the second half of 2001.

### 2.1.4.6

By-catches of haddock, whiting, and saithe in the industrial fisheries are presented in Table 2.1.2 for the years 19742001. For the last five years quarterly data are presented. In 2001 the combined by-catch of haddock, whiting, and saithe was about 16000 t , which is well below the average of 62000 t in the period 1974-2001.

Fishing mortality on haddock due to the industrial fisheries was generally very low throughout the 1990s, but has increased in the last two years. This pattern is not as apparent for whiting, where industrial fishing mortality fell in 2001 after having risen in 2000.

It should be noted that the Norwegian landings of Norway pout given in Table 2.1.2 include by-catches of Norway pout in the small-meshed fishery for blue whiting, whereas the figures given in Section 12.1.1 are landings in the Norway pout fishery. Note also that the Norwegian landings of sandeel in Table 2.1.2 as compared to in Section 13.1.1 are without by-catches. Detailed catches of "other" species mentioned in Table 2.1.2 are for the period 1984-2001 given in Table 1.7.1.

Area distribution of industrial landings and associated by-catches of selected species from the North Sea in smallmeshed fisheries by Denmark and Norway, divided by fishery (target species), is shown in Table 2.1.3. These data are for four small-meshed fisheries in 2001 divided in relation to two areas in the North Sea, north and south of 57 degrees N . This table is based on Danish and Norwegian estimates. In the northern area, the Norwegian fishery for Norway pout is associated with by-catch of blue whiting. The Danish fishery for blue whiting is included in the "other" fishery. There is a by-catch of totally 11000 t of haddock, whiting, and saithe in the combined small-meshed fisheries in the northern area. In the southern area the by-catch of these species is totally about 6000 tons. The by-catch of cod is generally low. The sprat fishery has had increasing landings since 1996 and has a by-catch of mainly herring and in the southern area also sandeels.

### 2.2 Overview of the Stocks in the Skagerrak and Kattegat (Division IIIa)

The fleets operating in the Skagerrak and Kattegat (Division IIIa) include vessels targeting species for both human consumption and reduction purposes. The human consumption fleets include gill-netters and Danish seiners exploiting flatfish and cod and demersal trawlers involved in various human consumption fisheries (roundfish, flatfish, Pandalus, and Nephrops). Demersal trawling is also used in the fisheries for Norway pout and sandeel, which are landed for reduction purposes.

The roundfish, flatfish, and Nephrops stocks are mainly exploited by Danish and Swedish fleets consisting of bottom trawlers (Nephrops trawls with $>70 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh size and bottom trawls with $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh size), gill-netters, and Danish seiners. The number of vessels operating in IIIa has decreased in recent years. This is partly an effect of the EU withdrawal programme, which until now has affected the Danish fleets only, but these fleets still dominate the fishery in IIIa.

The industrial fishery is a small-mesh trawl fishery mainly carried out by vessels of a size above 20 m . This fleet component has also decreased over the past decade. The most important fisheries are those targeting sandeel and Norway pout. There is also a trawl fishery landing a mixture of species for reduction purposes. A description of the industrial fishery is given in Table 2.2.1.

There are important technical interactions between the fleets. This issue was discussed by the WG this year. It was decided to approach the problem by using data for North Sea only and the methodology used is presented in Section 1.4.6. Most of the human consumption demersal fleets are involved in mixed fisheries. Norway pout and the mixed clupeoid fishery have by-catches of protected species.

Discard data have been collected for cod, whiting, haddock, and flatfish in the area since the second half of 1999. Due to the short time-series the data was not included in the assessment this year. The Skagerrak-Kattegat area is to a large extent a transition area between the North Sea and the Baltic, with regards to the hydrology, the biology, and the identity of stocks in the area. The exchange of water between the North Sea and the Baltic is the main hydrographic feature of the area.

Several of the stocks in the Skagerrak show close affinities to the North Sea stocks: cod, haddock, whiting, plaice, and Norway pout.

The landings of cod in Division IIIa were 11.6 thousand tonnes in 2001 in the human consumption fishery, which is the lowest on record. $60 \%$ was taken in Skagerrak, and the majority of catches were taken by Denmark and Sweden. Cod in Skagerrak is assessed together with the North Sea (Division IV) and Eastern Channel (Division VIId) stock. Cod in Kattegat is assessed as a separate stock by the Baltic Sea Working Group.

Landings of haddock in Division IIIa, in the human consumption fishery, amounted to 2.1 thousand tonnes. Most of the catches are taken in Skagerrak. Haddock in IIIa is assessed together with the North Sea (Division IV) stock.

Landings of whiting for human consumption were about 120 tonnes in 2001, which is half the amount reported last year. Official landings have steadily decreased since 1992, except for the landings in 2000. Most of the landings are taken in Skagerrak. No analytical assessment of whiting in IIIa was possible.

Landings of saithe in Divisions IV and IIIa were about 90 thousand tonnes in 2001, which is slightly above the landings last year, the lowest on record since 1989. The saithe assessment comprises Divisions IV, IIIa, and VI.

The plaice landings in Division IIIa amounted to 11.5 thousand tonnes in 2001, which is $30 \%$ higher than last year and almost reached the TAC level of 11.7 thousand tonnes. Historically, TAC has not been restrictive for this stock. About $80 \%$ of the landings were taken in Skagerrak. Plaice in IIIa is assessed as a separate stock.

The sole landings in Division IIIa are mostly taken in Kattegat and this stock is assessed by the Baltic Fishery Assessment Working Group. Landings data are available in the report of this Working Group.

The Norway lobster stock in Division IIIa is assessed by the Nephrops Assessment Working Group. Landings data may be found in the report of this Working Group.

Most of the landings from the industrial fisheries in IIIa consisted of sandeel, Norway pout, herring, and sprat (Table 2.2.1). Data was provided by Denmark and Sweden for the years 1999-2001. All other years refer to data provided by Denmark only. The landing figures point out that landings in 2001 are below mean landings (1989-2001) for all species except for sprat. The Norway pout assessment comprises Divisions IIIa and IV. Sandeel in Division IIIa was not possible to assess.

### 2.3 Stocks in the Eastern Channel (Subarea VIId)

### 2.3.1 Description of the fisheries

Flatfish: The main feature of the flatfish fisheries in VIId are their importance to small ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) vessel fleets. Approximately 500 vessels fish for sole and plaice at some time during the year in the eastern Channel and are heavily dependent on sole. This fishery is unique in the ICES Divisions IV and VII because more than $50 \%$ of the reported landings come from these small vessels. The gears used are mainly fixed nets, but there is also considerable effort on trawling and potting. The other main commercial fleets fishing for flatfish in Division VIId include Belgian and English offshore beam trawlers ( $>300 \mathrm{HP}$ ), which fish mainly for sole and also take plaice. These vessels switch effort to other areas and onto scallops, leading to periodic large changes in effort in VIId.

Roundfish: The offshore French trawlers are the main fleet fishing for cod and whiting using high headline trawls, but cod is also very important for inshore vessels who target this species during the winter using fixed nets. Cod and whiting are part of a mixed fishery, which includes a number of small species such as red mullet, gurnards, and squid, all of which are very important for these vessels. The mixed nature of these fisheries poses different, but equally difficult problems to managers compared with the typical cod/haddock/whiting mixed fishery in the North Sea.

## Effort

Effort by English and Belgian beam trawlers and large French otter trawlers has increased by a factor of 7 between the 1980s and the 1990s (Figure 2.3.1). Effort has remained high for the large trawler fleets, but shows a decline in recent years for the English fixed net fleet. No information is available for the important French fixed net fleet.
a) French effort and CPUE data were provided for 1999 and revised for 2000. There are no data routinely collected for the level of discarding on any of the main species from VIId, but levels are probably similar to the North Sea where average discards across all fleets by number appear to be very high for plaice and relatively low for sole.
b) Catch-at-age: French fleets are responsible for the major landings of cod, whiting, sole, and plaice, taking around 80$95 \%$ of the roundfish species and between 45 and $60 \%$ of the flatfish. Sampling for flatfish species was poor before 1986, but has improved since then. Quarterly sampling for age and sex is taken, and is thought to be representative of more than $80 \%$ of the landings of flatfish.
c) Surveys: There is a 4th quarter research vessel survey (GFS) which is used in tuning for whiting and plaice. A research vessel survey using beam trawl which covers most of VIId in August (BTS) is used in tuning sole and plaice. The two inshore surveys for 0 - and 1 -gp sole and plaice along the English coast and in the Baie de Somme on the French coast have been combined in a single international survey (YFS).

### 2.3.3 State of the stocks

General: Cod and whiting have been assessed with the North Sea stocks since 1998 and are included in the overview for the North Sea.

Sole: The stock is considered to be within safe biological limits. The SSB is well above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(8000 \mathrm{t}$ ) following improved recruitment in recent years, particularly of the 1996, 1998, and 1999 year classes. However, the 1999 year class is not well established yet. There is considerable uncertainty about the substantial decrease in F in 2001. This decrease is not supported by a downward trend in effort. There exists also a tendency to underestimate F.

Plaice: The stock follows the pattern of a general decline in plaice stocks observed in other areas up to 1997. Since then SSB shows a quite stable figure but is below its precautionary reference level for the last two years, and F decreased in 2001. Recruitment remains close to mean levels since the strong 1996 year class, but there is some evidence that the 2000 year class may be above average. The state of the plaice stock in VIId depends both on the strength of this 2000 year class and on the persistence of the lower fishing mortality.

### 2.4 Overview of Industrial Fisheries in Division VIa

There are two distinct industrial fisheries operating in Division VIa; a Norway pout fishery and a sandeel fishery. The Norway pout fishery is predominately Danish, whereas the sandeel fishery is almost exclusively Scottish and operates in more inshore areas. No information is available on by-catches in the Norway pout fishery. The sandeel fishery has a small by-catch of other species; information from the 1995 and 1996 catches indicates that in excess of $97 \%$ of the catch consisted of Ammodytes marinus, with the by-catch consisting mostly of other species of sandeel. Landings from both fisheries are small compared to the fisheries in the North Sea. Landings of sandeel from VIa were very low in 2001, reflecting reduced effort in the fishery.

Table 2.1.1
Human consumption (hc) and industrial landings ( $\mathrm{ib}=$ industrial bycatch) of assessed species from the North Sea management area. ('000 t)

|  | cod | haddock | haddock | whiting | whiting | saithe | saithe | sole | plaice | Norway pout | sandeel | h cons | industrial | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | hc | ib | hc | ib | hc | ib |  |  |  |  | total | total |  |
| 1970 | 226 | 525 | 180 | 83 | 115 | 163 | 59 | 20 | 130 | 238 | 191 | 1147 | 783 | 1930 |
| 1971 | 328 | 235 | 32 | 61 | 72 | 218 | 35 | 24 | 114 | 305 | 382 | 980 | 826 | 1806 |
| 1972 | 354 | 193 | 30 | 64 | 61 | 248 | 28 | 21 | 123 | 445 | 359 | 1003 | 923 | 1926 |
| 1973 | 239 | 179 | 11 | 71 | 90 | 229 | 31 | 19 | 130 | 346 | 297 | 867 | 775 | 1642 |
| 1974 | 214 | 150 | 48 | 81 | 130 | 267 | 42 | 18 | 113 | 736 | 524 | 843 | 1480 | 2323 |
| 1975 | 205 | 147 | 41 | 84 | 86 | 271 | 38 | 21 | 108 | 560 | 428 | 836 | 1153 | 1989 |
| 1976 | 234 | 166 | 48 | 83 | 150 | 295 | 67 | 17 | 114 | 437 | 488 | 909 | 1190 | 2099 |
| 1977 | 209 | 137 | 35 | 78 | 106 | 217 | 6 | 18 | 119 | 390 | 786 | 778 | 1323 | 2101 |
| 1978 | 297 | 86 | 11 | 97 | 55 | 163 | 3 | 20 | 114 | 270 | 787 | 777 | 1126 | 1903 |
| 1979 | 270 | 83 | 16 | 107 | 59 | 134 | 2 | 23 | 145 | 329 | 578 | 762 | 984 | 1746 |
| 1980 | 294 | 99 | 22 | 101 | 46 | 142 |  | 16 | 140 | 483 | 729 | 792 | 1280 | 2072 |
| 1981 | 335 | 130 | 17 | 90 | 67 | 145 | 1 | 15 | 140 | 239 | 569 | 855 | 893 | 1748 |
| 1982 | 303 | 166 | 19 | 81 | 33 | 185 | 5 | 22 | 155 | 395 | 611 | 912 | 1063 | 1975 |
| 1983 | 259 | 159 | 13 | 88 | 24 | 197 | 1 | 25 | 144 | 451 | 537 | 872 | 1026 | 1898 |
| 1984 | 228 | 128 | 10 | 86 | 19 | 214 | 6 | 27 | 156 | 393 | 669 | 839 | 1097 | 1936 |
| 1985 | 215 | 159 | 6 | 62 | 15 | 222 | 8 | 24 | 160 | 205 | 622 | 842 | 856 | 1698 |
| 1986 | 204 | 166 | 3 | 64 | 18 | 202 | 1 | 18 | 165 | 178 | 848 | 819 | 1048 | 1867 |
| 1987 | 216 | 108 | 4 | 68 | 16 | 177 | 4 | 17 | 154 | 149 | 825 | 740 | 998 | 1738 |
| 1988 | 184 | 105 | 4 | 56 | 49 | 140 | 1 | 22 | 154 | 110 | 893 | 661 | 1057 | 1718 |
| 1989 | 140 | 76 | 2 | 45 | 36 | 117 | 1 | 22 | 170 | 168 | 1039 | 570 | 1246 | 1816 |
| 1990 | 125 | 51 | 3 | 47 | 50 | 100 | 8 | 35 | 156 | 152 | 591 | 514 | 804 | 1318 |
| 1991 | 102 | 45 | 5 | 53 | 38 | 115 | 1 | 34 | 148 | 193 | 843 | 497 | 1080 | 1577 |
| 1992 | 114 | 70 | 11 | 52 | 27 | 104 |  | 29 | 125 | 300 | 855 | 494 | 1193 | 1687 |
| 1993 | 122 | 80 | 11 | 53 | 20 | 118 | 1 | 31 | 117 | 184 | 579 | 521 | 795 | 1316 |
| 1994 | 111 | 80 | 5 | 49 | 10 | 115 |  | 33 | 110 | 182 | 786 | 498 | 983 | 1481 |
| 1995 | 136 | 75 | 8 | 46 | 27 | 124 | 1 | 30 | 98 | 241 | 918 | 509 | 1195 | 1704 |
| 1996 | 126 | 76 | 5 | 41 | 5 | 120 | 0 | 23 | 82 | 166 | 777 | 468 | 953 | 1421 |
| 1997 | 124 | 79 | 7 | 36 | 7 | 110 | 3 | 15 | 83 | 170 | 1137 | 447 | 1324 | 1771 |
| 1998 | 146 | 77 | 5 | 28 | 3 | 107 | 3 | 21 | 71 | 80 | 1004 | 450 | 1095 | 1545 |
| 1999 | 96 | 66 | 4 | 30 | 5 | 114 | 3 | 25 | 81 | 92 | 735 | 412 | 839 | 1251 |
| 2000 | 71 | 47 | 9 | 28 | 8 | 88 | 6 | 23 | 81 | 184 | 699 | 338 | 906 | 1244 |
| 2001 | 50 | 41 | 8 | 25 | 7 | 95 | 3 | 20 | 82 | 66 | 862 | 313 | 946 | 1259 |

Species composition in the Danish and Norwegian small-meshed fisheries in the North Sea ('000 $\mathrm{t})$. Data provided by WG members. The category other is subdivided by species in Table 1.7.1.

| Year | Sandeel | Sprat | Herring | Norway pout | Blue whiting | Haddock | Whiting | Saithe | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 525 | 314 | - | 736 | 62 | 48 | 130 | 42 |  | 1857 |
| 1975 | 428 | 641 | - | 560 | 42 | 41 | 86 | 38 |  | 1836 |
| 1976 | 488 | 622 | 12 | 435 | 36 | 48 | 150 | 67 |  | 1858 |
| 1977 | 786 | 304 | 10 | 390 | 38 | 35 | 106 | 6 |  | 1675 |
| 1978 | 787 | 378 | 8 | 270 | 100 | 11 | 55 | 3 |  | 1612 |
| 1979 | 578 | 380 | 15 | 320 | 64 | 16 | 59 | 2 |  | 1434 |
| 1980 | 729 | 323 | 7 | 471 | 76 | 22 | 46 | - |  | 1674 |
| 1981 | 569 | 209 | 84 | 236 | 62 | 17 | 67 | 1 |  | 1245 |
| 1982 | 611 | 153 | 153 | 360 | 118 | 19 | 33 | 5 | 24 | 1476 |
| 1983 | 537 | 88 | 155 | 423 | 118 | 13 | 24 | 1 | 42 | 1401 |
| 1984 | 669 | 77 | 35 | 355 | 79 | 10 | 19 | 6 | 48 | 1298 |
| 1985 | 622 | 50 | 63 | 197 | 73 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 66 | 1100 |
| 1986 | 848 | 16 | 40 | 174 | 37 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 33 | 1170 |
| 1987 | 825 | 33 | 47 | 147 | 30 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 73 | 1179 |
| 1988 | 893 | 87 | 179 | 102 | 28 | 4 | 49 | 1 | 45 | 1388 |
| 1989 | 1039 | 63 | 146 | 162 | 28 | 2 | 36 | 1 | 59 | 1536 |
| 1990 | 591 | 71 | 115 | 140 | 22 | 3 | 50 | 8 | 40 | 1040 |
| 1991 | 843 | 110 | 131 | 155 | 28 | 5 | 38 | 1 | 38 | 1349 |
| 1992 | 854 | 214 | 128 | 252 | 45 | 11 | 27 | - | 30 | 1561 |
| 1993 | 578 | 153 | 102 | 174 | 17 | 11 | 20 | 1 | 27 | 1083 |
| 1994 | 769 | 281 | 40 | 172 | 11 | 5 | 10 | - | 19 | 1307 |
| 1995 | 911 | 278 | 66 | 181 | 64 | 8 | 27 | 1 | 15 | 1551 |
| 1996 | 761 | 81 | 39 | 122 | 93 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 1119 |
| 1997 | 1091 | 99 | 15 | 126 | 46 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 1416 |
| 1998 | 956 | 131 | 16 | 72 | 72 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 24 | 1283 |
| 1999 | 678 | 166 | 23 | 97 | 89 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 40 | 1103 |
| 2000 | 655 | 191 | 24 | 176 | 98 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 21 | 1187 |
| 2001 | 810 | 156 | 21 | 59 | 76 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 1152 |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974-2001 | 730 | 202 | 64 | 252 | 59 | 13 | 40 | 9 | 35 | 1398 |
| 1997 q1 | 37 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 65 |
| 1997 q2 | 802 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 833 |
| 1997 q3 | 238 | 28 | 5 | 59 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 363 |
| 1997 q4 | 13 | 63 | 7 | 49 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 155 |
| 1998 q1 | 37 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 80 |
| 1998 q2 | 754 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 784 |
| 1998 q3 | 153 | 60 | 4 | 29 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 298 |
| 1998 q4 | 12 | 63 | 4 | 23 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 121 |
| 1999 q1 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 74 |
| 1999 q2 | 507 | 2 | 4 | 22 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 577 |
| 1999 q3 | 139 | 129 | 10 | 41 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 347 |
| 1999 q4 | 17 | 21 | 6 | 25 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 106 |
| 2000 q1 | 10 | 42 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 82 |
| 2000 q2 | 581 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 646 |
| 2000 q 3 | 63 | 133 | 10 | 30 | 39 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 291 |
| 2000 q4 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 119 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 169 |
| 2001 q1 | 12 | 40 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 94 |
| 2001 q2 | 462 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 32 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 517 |
| 2001 q3 | 314 | 44 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 386 |
| 2001 q 4 | 22 | 72 | 13 | 24 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 152 |

Table 2.1.3
Distribution of landings and associated by-catches of selected species ('000 t) form the North Sea small-meshed fisheries in 2001 by Denmark and Norway north and south of $57^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$

| Area $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Fishery } \\ & \text { (target species) }\end{aligned}$ | Species composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Norway pout | Sandeel | Sprat | Herring | Haddock | Whiting | Saithe |  |  | Others | Total |
| Northern Norway pout | 56 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 52 | 5 | 125 |
| North SeaSandeel | 0 | 205 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 212 |
| Sprat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Blue whiting ${ }^{1)}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 25 |
| Others | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 58 | 206 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 76 | 9 | 366 |
| Southern Norway pout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| North SeaSandeel | 0 | 584 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 609 |
| Sprat | 0 | 17 | 136 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 169 |
| Blue whiting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 605 | 154 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 787 |

Footnote:
${ }^{1}$ ) The landings figures from the blue whiting fishery only include Danish landings as no Norwegian landings data were available to the Working Group. The Danish blue whiting fishery is carried out by trawlers using 40 mm mesh size.

Table 2.1.4 Country and fleet codes relevant to Figure 2.1.3.

|  | Country | Gear | Horsepower (HP) | Other feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B_OTB | Belgium | Bottom Otter Trawl |  |  |
| B_TBB | Belgium | Bottom Beam Trawl |  |  |
| DK_GN | Denmark | Gillnet |  |  |
| DK_OTB1 | Denmark | Bottom Otter Trawl | <300 |  |
| DK_OTB2 | Denmark | Bottom Otter Trawl | > 300 |  |
| DK_PTB1 | Denmark | Bottom Pair Trawl | <300 |  |
| DK_PTB2 | Denmark | Bottom Pair Trawl | > 300 |  |
| DK SDN1 | Denmark | Seine | <300 |  |
| DK_SDN2 | Denmark | Seine | >300 |  |
| DK_TBB1 | Denmark | Bottom Beam Trawl | <300 |  |
| DK_TBB2 | Denmark | Bottom Beam Trawl | > 300 |  |
| EW GN | England \& Wales | Gillnet |  |  |
| EW_OTB1 | England \& Wales | Bottom Otter Trawl | <300 |  |
| EW_OTB2 | England \& Wales | Bottom Otter Trawl | > 300 |  |
| EW_SDN1 | England \& Wales | Seine | <300 |  |
| EW_SDN2 | England \& Wales | Seine | > 300 |  |
| EW_TBB1 | England \& Wales | Bottom Beam Trawl | <300 |  |
| EW_TBB2 | England \& Wales | Bottom Beam Trawl | > 300 |  |
| FR_GN | France | Gillnet |  |  |
| FR_OTB1 | France | Bottom Otter Trawl |  | Coastal trawlers |
| FR_OTB2 | France | Bottom Otter Trawl |  | Offshore trawlers |
| FR_TBB | France | Bottom Beam Trawl |  |  |
| GER_GN | Germany | Gillnet |  |  |
| GER_OTB1 | Germany | Bottom Otter Trawl | <300 |  |
| GER_OTB2 | Germany | Bottom Otter Trawl | > 300 |  |
| GER_PTB1 | Germany | Bottom Pair Trawl | $<300$ |  |
| GER_PTB2 | Germany | Bottom Pair Trawl | > 300 |  |
| GER_SDN1 | Germany | Seine | <300 |  |
| GER_SDN2 | Germany | Seine | > 300 |  |
| GER_TBB1 | Germany | Bottom Beam Trawl | <300 |  |
| GER_TBB2 | Germany | Bottom Beam Trawl | > 300 |  |
| N_OTB1 | Norway | Bottom Otter Trawl | <2000 |  |
| N_OTB2 | Norway | Bottom Otter Trawl | >2000 |  |
| N_TBB2 | Norway | Bottom Beam Trawl | > 2000 |  |
| NL_OTB1 | Netherlands | Bottom Otter Trawl | <300 |  |
| NL_OTB2 | Netherlands | Bottom Otter Trawl | > 300 |  |
| NL_PTB1 | Netherlands | Bottom Pair Trawl | $<300$ |  |
| NL_PTB2 | Netherlands | Bottom Pair Trawl | > 300 |  |
| NL_TBB1 | Netherlands | Bottom Beam Trawl | <300 |  |
| NL_TBB2 | Netherlands | Bottom Beam Trawl | > 300 |  |
| SC_OTB1 | Scotland | Bottom Otter Trawl |  | Nephrops fishing |
| SC_OTB2 | Scotland | Bottom Otter Trawl |  | Light trawlers |
| SC_OTB3 | Scotland | Bottom Otter Trawl |  | Heavy trawlers |
| SC_SDN | Scotland | Seine |  |  |

Table 2.1.5 Landings (tonnes) of BLUE WHITING from directed fisheries and by-catches caught in other fisheries in Divisions IIIa, IVa 1987-2001, as estimated by the WGNPBW 2002.

| Country | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | $1993{ }^{3)}$ | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | $1998{ }^{\text {2) }}$ | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark ${ }^{4)}$ |  |  | 3,632 | 10,972 | 5,961 | 4,438 | 25,003 | 5,108 | 4,848 | 29,137 | 9,552 | 40,143 | 36,492 | 30,360 | 21,995 |
| Denmark ${ }^{5}$ | 28,541 | 18,1 | 22,973 | 16,080 | 9,577 | 26,751 | 16,050 | 14,578 | 7,591 | 22,695 | 16,718 | 16,329 | 8,521 | 7,749 | 7,505 |
| Faroes ${ }^{4)}{ }^{\text {6) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 60 |
| $\text { Faroes }{ }^{5) 6}$ | 7,051 | 492 | 3,325 | 5,281 | 355 | 705 | 1,522 | 1,794 | - | 6,068 | 6,066 | 296 | 265 | 42 | 6,741 |
| Germany ${ }^{1)}$ | 115 | 280 | 3 | - | - | 25 | 9 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | 81 |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | 20 | - | 2 | 46 | - | - | - | 793 |  |  | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Norway }{ }^{4)} \\ & \text { Norway }{ }^{5)} \end{aligned}$ | 24,969 | 24,898 | 42,956 | 29,336 | 22,644 | 31,977 | 12,333 | 3,408 | 78,565 | 57,458 | 27,394 | 28,814 | 48,338 | 73,006 | 21,804 58,182 |
| Russia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| Sweden | 2,013 | 1,229 | 3,062 | 1,503 | 1,000 | 2,058 | 2,867 | 3,675 | 13,000 | 4,000 | 4,568 | 9,299 | 12,993 | 3,319 | 2,086 |
| UK | - | 100 | 7 | - | 335 | 18 | 252 | - | - | 1 | - |  |  | - | - |
| Total | 62,689 | 45,143 | 75,958 | 63,192 | 39,872 | 65,974 | 58,082 | 28,563 | 104,004 | 119,359 | 65,091 | 94,881 | 106,609 | 114,476 | 118,523 |
| ${ }^{1}$ ) Including directed fishery also in Division IVa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ ) Including mixed industrial fishery in the Norwegian Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ ) Imprecise estimates for Sweden: reported catch of 34265 t in 1993 is replaced by the mean of 1992 and 1994, i.e. 2,867 t , and used in the assessment. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4)}$ Directed fishery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ ) By-catches of blue whiting in other fisheries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ ) For the periode 1987-2000 landings figures also include landings from mixed fisheries in Division Vb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.1.6 Landings (tonnes) of BLUE WHITING from the Southern areas (Subareas VIII and IX and Divisions VIIg-k and VIId,e) 1987-2001, as estimated by the WGNPBW 2002.

| Country | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | 450 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |  | - |
| Norway | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Portugal | 9,148 | 5,979 | 3,557 | 2,864 | 2,813 | 4,928 | 1,236 | 1,350 | 2,285 | 3,561 | 2,439 | 1,900 | 2,625 | 2,032 |
| Spain | 23,644 | 24,847 | 30,108 | 29,490 | 29,180 | 23,794 | 31,020 | 28,118 | 25,379 | 21,538 | 27,683 | 27,490 | 23,777 | 22,622 |
| 2, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK | 23 | 12 | 29 | 13 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 32,819 | 30,838 | 33,695 | 32,817 | 32,003 | 28,722 | 32,256 | 29,473 | 27,664 | 25,099 | 30,122 | 29,390 | 26,402 | 24,654 |

[^4]Table 2.2.1 Catches of the most important species in the industrial fisheries in Division IIIa (' 000 t), 19892001

| Year | Sandeel | Sprat ${ }^{1}$ | Herring | Norway pout | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Blue } \\ \text { whiting } \end{array}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 18 | 4 | 52 | 5 | 9 | 88 |
| 1990 | 16 | 2 | 51 | 27 | 10 | 106 |
| 1991 | 24 | 14 | 44 | 39 | 10 | 131 |
| 1992 | 39 | 4 | 66 | 45 | 19 | 173 |
| 1993 | 45 | 2 | 71 | 8 | 32 | 158 |
| 1994 | 55 | 58 | 30 | 7 | 12 | 162 |
| 1995 | 12 | 42 | 34 | 50 | 10 | 148 |
| 1996 | 53 | 10 | 26 | 36 | 15 | 140 |
| 1997 | 82 | 12 | 6 | 32 | 4 | 136 |
| 1998 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 49 |
| 1999* | 13 | 26 | 11 | 7 | 16 | 73 |
| 2000* | 17 | 19 | 18 | 10 | 7 | 71 |
| 2001* | 25 | 28 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 83 |
| Mean 1989-2001 | 32 | 18 | 33 | 22 | 12 | 117 |
| * 1999-2001 data provided from Denmark and Sweden. Other years, only data from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark is presented |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Data provided by Working Group members |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Beam trawl plaice fishery


## Beam trawl sole fishery



UK otter trawl and seine


Saithe fishery


## Sandeel fishery



Norway pout fishery





Figure 2.1.4 Recent trends in SSB for the demersal stocks assessed by WGNSSK02.


Figure 2.1.5 Recent trends in F for the demersal stocks assessed by WGNSSK02.


Figure 2.3.1
Fishing effort of demersal fleets in Division VIId (revised indices for French otter trawlers from 1991)





Since 1996, this assessment has related to the cod stock in the Skagerrak (Division IIIa), the North Sea (Subarea IV), and the Eastern Channel (Division VIId). Prior to 1996 cod in these areas were assessed as separate stocks.

### 3.1 The Fishery

Cod are caught by virtually all the demersal gears in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId, including trawls, seines, gillnets, and lines. Most of these gears take a mixture of species, but some of the fixed gear fisheries are directed mainly towards cod.

### 3.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002

The advice from ICES for 2001 was as follows: ICES recommends that fishing mortality on cod should be reduced to the lowest possible level in 2001. A rebuilding plan should be developed and implemented in order to rebuild SSB above $B_{p a}$. The necessary reduction in fishing mortality on cod cannot be achieved by a reduction in TAC alone. The rebuilding plan should include provisions to deter directed fishing, reduce by-catches of cod in fisheries for other species to the lowest practical levels, and to deter discarding and mis-reporting of cod in all fisheries.

The advice from ICES for 2002 was as follows: ICES recommends a recovery plan that will ensure a safe and rapid recovery of SSB to a level in excess of $\mathbf{1 5 0} \mathbf{0 0 0} \mathrm{t}$. If a recovery plan is not implemented ICES recommends that fishing mortality on cod should be reduced to the lowest possible level in 2002. ICES has repeatedly stated that for various reasons, TACs alone are not effective in regulating fishing mortality.

The precautionary fishing mortality and biomass reference points agreed by the EU and Norway are as follows:
$\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}=70000 \mathrm{t} ; \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=150000 \mathrm{t} ; \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}=0.86 ; \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.65$.

### 3.1.2 Management applicable in 2001 and 2002

Management of cod is by TAC and technical measures. The agreed TACs for cod in Division IIIa (Skagerrak) and Subarea IV were as follows:

|  | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agreed | Agreed |
|  | TAC $(000 \mathrm{t})$ | TAC $(000 \mathrm{t})$ |
| IIIa (Skagerrak) | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| IIa + IV | 48.6 | 49.3 |

There is no TAC for cod set for Division VIId alone. Landings from Division VIId count against the overall TAC agreed for ICES Divisions VII b-k. The agreed TACs for both 2001 and 2002 implied a reduction in status quo fishing mortality of about $50 \%$ in both years.

In 1999 the EU and Norway have "agreed to implement a long-term management plan for the cod stock, which is consistent with the precautionary approach and is intended to constrain harvesting within safe biological limits and designed to provide for sustainable fisheries and greater potential yield. The plan shall consist of the following elements:

1. Every effort shall be made to maintain a minimum level of SSB greater than $70000 t\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {lim }}\right)$.
2. For 2000 and subsequent years the Parties agreed to restrict their fishing on the basis of a TAC consistent with a fishing mortality rate of 0.65 for appropriate age groups as defined by ICES.
3. Should the SSB fall below a reference point of $150000 t\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{p a}\right)$, the fishing mortality referred to under paragraph 2 shall be adapted in the light of scientific estimates of the conditions then prevailing. Such adaptation shall ensure a safe and rapid recovery of SSB to a level in excess of $150000 t$.
4. In order to reduce discarding and to enhance the spawning biomass of cod, the Parties agreed that the exploitation pattern shall, while recalling that other demersal species are harvested in these fisheries, be improved in the light of new scientific advice from, inter alia, ICES.

The Parties shall, as appropriate, review and revise these management measures and strategies on the basis of any new advice provided by ICES."

New technical regulations for EU waters came into force on 1 January 2000 (Council Regulation (EC) 850/98 and its amendments). The regulation prescribes the minimum target species' composition for different mesh size ranges. In 2001, cod in the whole of NEAFC region 2 were a legitimate target species for towed gears with a minimum codend mesh size of 100 mm . As part of the cod recovery plan, the EU and Norway introduced additional technical measures from 1 January 2002. Details are given in Council regulation (EC) 2056/2001. The basic minimum mesh size for towed gears for cod from 2002 is 120 mm , although a transitional arrangement until 31 December 2002 allow vessels to exploit cod with 110 mm codends provided that the trawl is fitted with a 90 mm square mesh panel and the by-catch composition of cod retained on board is not greater than $30 \%$ by weight of the total catch.

However, cod continue to form a by-catch in other towed-gear fisheries, and fisheries using other gears. In addition, during 2001, the UK unilaterally introduced legislation requiring the mandatory use of square mesh panels in the codend of trawls.

In 2001, the EU and Norway agreed and implemented emergency measures involving the closure of a large area of the North Sea from 14 February to 30 April 2001 to all fishing vessels using gears likely to catch cod. The details of the emergency regulation are given in Commission Regulation (EC) 259/2001 of 7 February 2001.

The minimum landing size (mls) for cod in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa and VIId is 35 cm , although for Danish vessels the mls is 40 cm .

### 3.1.3 The fishery in 2001

Landings data from human consumption fisheries for recent years as officially reported to ICES together with those estimated by the Working Group are given for each area separately and combined in Table 3.1.1. The Working Group estimate for landings from the three areas combined in 2001 is 49694 t , split as follows for the separate areas:

|  | 2001 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Landings |
|  | '000 t |
| IIIa(Skagerrak) | 7.1 |
| IV | 41.0 |
| VIId | 1.6 |
| Total | 49.7 |

The French landings data for 1999 and 2000, which because of database problems, were estimated based on the 1998 landings at the 2001 and 2000 WGs, have now been revised and corrected. Minor revisions for 2000 and 1999 were also reported for UK landings.

WG estimates of landings indicate that the TAC uptake for Subarea IV and Division IIIa was not taken in 2001. This is in keeping with previous years.

The WG suspects that under-reporting of landings by some countries may have been significant in 1998 because of the influence of the relatively strong 1996 year class as 2 -year-olds. At the 1999 WG, the landed weight and input numbers-at-age data for 1998 were adjusted according to WG estimates, and these remain unchanged in the present assessment.

For 1999 and 2000, the WG has no evidence to suggest that there was significant under-reporting of landings. However, the reduction in fishing effort in 2001 compared to $2000(>50 \%)$ implied by the 2001 agreed TAC ( 49300 t ) may have resulted in an increase of unreported catch in 2001. Anecdotal information from the fisheries in some countries also indicates that this may have been the case, but the WG has insufficient information to quantify the extent of potential under-reporting.

Furthermore, the emergency closure undoubtedly affected the fishing pattern for many fleets during February to April 2001, although the influence of the closure on the catches of cod or on the stock cannot be quantified at this stage.

Recent information on the seasonal spatial distribution of reported international landings is limited to logbook reports for about $90 \%$ of landings in 1999. These are shown in Figure 3.1.1, which indicates that the seasonal pattern of landings is similar with the highest densities of landings occurring in the northern North Sea, eastern North Sea, and southern North Sea. There is also a persistent area of high density of landings off the eastern coast of England. The distribution of landings is markedly different to the observed density of cod from the $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter International Bottom Survey (IBTS_Q1), shown for 1996-2001 in Figure 3.1.2. Age group 2 and older cod typically form the majority of the
international landings, but the survey data indicate only low densities of cod aged 2 and older in the south and east of the North Sea.

Estimates of total international discards for the period 1997-2001 have been derived using information from the report of the SGDBI 2002 (See Section 1.11.4).

The industrial by-catch of cod sent for reduction to fishmeal and oil in 2001 is small (192 tonnes) compared to the overall landings from this stock (see Table 1.7.1).

### 3.2 Natural Mortality, Maturity, Age Compositions, and Mean Weight-at-age

Values for natural mortality and maturity are given in Table 3.2.1, and are unchanged from those used in recent assessments and are applied to all years. The sources of these data are multispecies VPA as performed by the Multispecies Working Group in 1986, and the International Bottom Trawl Survey 1981-1985 (maturity). These values were derived for the North Sea and are equally applied to the three stock components. The WG notes that although natural mortality is treated as constant in the assessment, the results of multispecies VPA indicate that this can probably not be the case. A comparison of XSA runs from single-species and multispecies assessments is given in Section 1.11.3. Results from IBTS Q1 surveys also indicate that the proportion mature at age has gradually increased over time, especially for age groups 3 and 4 (WD 6, ICES 2002/ACFM:1).

Landings in numbers-at-age for 1963-2001 are given in Table 3.2.2. SOP corrections have been applied. The data from 1990-2002 for age groups 1-6 + are given in Figure 3.2.1. These data form the basis for the catch-at-age analysis, but do not include industrial fishery by-catches landed for reduction purposes, or discards. By-catch estimates are available for the total Danish and Norwegian small-meshed fishery in Subarea IV (Table 2.1.3) and separately for the Skagerrak (Table 3.1.1.), but as in previous years, these data were not included in the assessment.

In 2001, the landings were dominated by the 1999 year class as 2 -year-olds, which accounted for $41 \%$ of the total numbers landed from VIId, $68 \%$ from Subarea IV, and $52 \%$ from Division IIIa Skagerrak. $85 \%$ of the international landings-at-age were composed of age groups 2 and 3 . The relatively strong 1996 year class, which dominated the landings in 1998 and 1999, accounted for only $3 \%$ of the international landings number in 2001 at age 5 . The 1997 year class at age 4 , the weakest on record, accounted for only $4 \%$ of the total international landings number in 2001, and it is important to note that only about $4 \%$ of the total international landings from the stock in 2001 comprised age groups 5 and older.

Age compositions were provided by Denmark, England, France, the Netherlands, and Scotland (Table 1.3.3.1). Mean weight-at-age data for landings are given in Table 3.2.3. These values were also used as stock mean weights.

Long-term trends in mean weight-at-age for age groups 1-6 are plotted in Figure 3.2.2 relative to the mean weight for each age group in 1963. Figure 3.2.2 indicates that there have been short-term trends in mean weight-at-age and that the decline over the recent decade on all age groups now seems to have stabilised. The data also indicate a slight downward trend in mean weight for age groups 3-6 over the whole time period. Age groups 1 and 2 have a slightly increasing long-term trend in mean weight-at-age in the landings.

### 3.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data

Trends in fishing effort for selected commercial fleets exploiting cod are shown in Figure 2.1.1. The Report of the 2001 meeting of this WG (ICES CM 2002/ACFM:01), and the ICES advice for 2002 (ICES Coop. Res. Rep. No. 246, 2001) provides arguments for the exclusion of commercial cpue tuning series from XSA. Such arguments remain valid. Hence in the present assessment using XSA, only survey data have been considered for tuning. Three survey series are used. The English Groundfish Survey (EGFS_Q3), which covers the whole of the North Sea in August-September each year to about $200-\mathrm{m}$ depth using a fixed station design of 75 standard tows with the GOV trawl. The Scottish Groundfish survey (SCOGFS_Q3) is undertaken during August each year using a fixed station design with the GOV trawl. Coverage is restricted to the northern part of the North Sea. The International Quarter 1 Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS Q1), covers the whole of the North Sea using fixed stations of at least two tows per rectangle with the GOV trawl. Trends in survey cpue-at-age are given in Figures 3.3.1a-3.3.1c. The data files used for tuning XSA are given in Table 3.3.1.

### 3.4 Catch-at-Age Analysis

Catch-at-age analysis was carried out using XSA. In addition two further methods were explored to examine the sensitivity of the outcome of the assessment to the alternative methods. The additional methods were as follows:

- A separable analysis using survey data only,
- A time-series analysis of the landings data calibrated with survey data.

The results of the additional assessments are presented and discussed in relation to the XSA in Section 3.10.

### 3.4.1 Exploration of the data

Single-fleet XSA tuning runs were performed using no time taper and light shrinkage (2.0) to look for trends in catchability over time. The log-catchability residuals are presented in Figure 3.4.1. Strong positive trends in catchability are observed for the IBTS_Q1 and SCOGFS_Q3 surveys for age groups 1, 2, and 3. No trends are seen for the EGFS_Q3 survey for age groups 1-5. The trends observed indicate that the use of a time taper for the final run is warranted.

The results in terms of terminal F and SSB are given in Figure 3.4.2. The results indicate that whichever of these tuning configurations is used, the resulting SSB in 2001 is very low, between about 30000 and 40000 t . However, the estimate of reference $\mathrm{F}(2-8)$ is sensitive to the tuning configuration and ranges between about 0.73 and 0.91 . The IBTS gives the lowest estimate of $\mathrm{F}(2-8)(0.65)$, whereas the EGFS_Q3 and SCOGFS_Q3 surveys give estimates close to 0.9 .

Figure 3.4.3. shows the terminal exploitation pattern generated by the different single-fleet runs. It is clear that the exploitation patterns generated by the Scottish and English surveys are consistent with each other, but that the IBTStuned XSA gives markedly different terminal F's at age which are not only lower than the other surveys, but the pattern is also different. All three surveys generate an exploitation pattern with F highest on age 4, the 1997 year class, which appears to be the worst year class on record.

### 3.4.2 Final assessment

The previous two reports of this WG contain arguments supporting the tuning configuration used in the 2001 assessment. The WG has no reason to change its argumentation and hence, the final assessment was carried out using survey data only and using a tricubic taper over 20 years. Catchability was set dependent on year-class strength (stock size) for age groups 1-3, and with age-independent catchability on age groups older than 4.

A comparison of the configuration used in the 2000 assessment with the current assessment is given below:


The diagnostics from the final XSA run are given in Table 3.4.1 and plots of the log-catchability residuals for each fleet from this run are given in Figure 3.4.4. Note that tuning data are available only for age groups 1-5 in 2 surveys and 1-6 in the third.

Plots of $\log$ VPA population numbers against log tuning index are given in Figure 3.4.5, which indicates relatively good fits to the catch data for the surveys at younger ages, but poorer fits for older ages. The relative importance for the result in terms of regression weights by type of fleet or shrinkage in the present assessment compared to the 2001 assessment, are shown in Figures 3.4.6a \& b.

The estimates of fishing mortality rates and population numbers resulting from the tuning procedure and XSA are given in Tables 3.4.2 and 3.4.3 and are summarised in Table 3.4.4. The mean $F(2-8)$ for 2001 is estimated to be 0.91 and the estimate for 2000 has been revised upwards from $F=0.83$ to $F=1.23$. SSB in 2001 is now estimated to be 30000 t (Table 3.4.4), compared to 55000 t predicted from the 2001 assessment.

The results from a retrospective XSA analysis carried out by successively removing the terminal year using the options specified above are shown in Figure 3.4.7. Despite the fact that the same configuration of XSA has been used for the current assessment as the 2001 assessment, the result is an upward revision in F and a downward revision of SSB for 2000 and 1999. This is discussed further in the section on the quality of the assessment (Section 3.10.1). Table 3.4.4 also documents two levels of mean F; the standard age range of 2-8, and a shortened age range of 2-4, the ages that are predominant in the landings.

### 3.5 Recruitment Estimates

Average (geometric mean) recruitment-at age-1 over the period 1963-1999 was 311 million. The GM recruitment in the recent period (1990-1999) is 179 million 1-year-old fish.

The times series of survey data used to derive estimates of recruitment will be updated after the English and Scottish Q3 groundfish surveys which will take place in August/September 2002. These data are important indicators of recruitment and as a result the WG has not attempted to derive recruitment estimates for the 2001 or 2002 year classes. Input data files for RCT3 analysis were prepared, and the age 1 input file is shown in Table 3.5.1 showing the survey indices available at the time of the Working Group meeting.

The RCT3 input file can be found in W:\Groups\ACFM\wgnssk\2002\stock\cod_347d\final runs\recruitment \codrct31.inp

Year class 2000: The estimate of the 2000 year class at age 1 derived from XSA is 74 million, the second lowest in the times-series after the 1997 year-class.

Year class 2001: Estimates of the 2001 year class will be derived after the results of the SCOGFS and EGFS surveys become available in the Autumn of 2002. The preliminary indication from the 2002 IBTS_Q1 survey is that the index of the 2001 year class is about $50 \%$ of the long-term (1970-2000) survey mean and about $80 \%$ of the short-term (19872000) survey mean.

Year class 2002: No estimates are currently available for the 2002 year class. The only estimate available will be from the 2002 EGFS_Q3 survey 0-group index, which historically is not a precise estimator of year-class strength at age 1 .

### 3.6 Historical Stock Trends

Historical trends in mean fishing mortality, landings, spawning stock biomass, and recruitment are shown in Table 3.4.4 and Figure 3.6.1. Mean fishing mortality (F2-8) has shown a more or less continuous increase over the whole period up to the early 80 's and remained at about that level $(\mathrm{F}=0.9)$ throughout the 1990 s , but the present assessment indicates a sharp increase in $F$ over 1997 to 2000 from about $F=0.9$ to $F=1.2$. Spawning biomass decreased from a peak of 277,000 $t$ in 1971 to a new historical low of about $30,000 t$ in 2001. SSB has remained below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(150,000 \mathrm{t})$ since 1983 and below $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ since the late 1980s. SSB has been below $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ for two consecutive years. Recruitment has fluctuated considerably since 1963 and the frequency of poor year classes has increased since 1985. The 1996 year class is still estimated as the largest since the 1985 year-class, but the 1997 and subsequent year classes at age 1 have been poor. The 1997 year class is now estimated to be 58 million at age 1 and is the poorest on record. The XSA estimate for the 2000 year-class is 74 million, the second lowest on record.

Historically, landings increased in the 1960s and early 1970s to reach a peak of 350000 t in 1972. After a further peak of about 335000 t in 1981, landings have declined to an historical low in 2000 and 2001.

No short-term forecast is presented in the report. The forecast will be run after the results of the English and Scottish Groundfish surveys are made available towards the beginning of October. The $*$.SEN and $*$.SUM files are located in W:\Groups\ACFM\wgnssk\2002\stock\cod_347d\final runs\assessmentlcodrct31.inp.

### 3.8 Medium-Term Projections

No status quo F medium-term predictions have been undertaken for cod at the present meeting. However, evaluation of the EU cod recovery proposals are presented in section 1.9 of this report. Medium-term projections will be carried out after the results of the English and Scottish Groundfish surveys are made available towards the beginning of October 2002.

### 3.9 Biological Reference Points

The results of long-term equilibrium yield and SSB-per-recruit analyses are given Figure 3.9.1. The stock recruit relationship showing $\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}, \mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$, and $\mathbf{F}_{2000}$ is given in Figure 3.9.2. These analyses were undertaken using a three-year average for exploitation pattern and mean weight-at-age to indicate the long-term yield and SSB using the status quo exploitation pattern, which differs from the historic pattern and recent mean weights-at-age that are towards the lowest in the times-series for almost all age groups.

Biological reference points and management reference points for cod are given in the text tables below.

| Biol. reference point | Estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\max }$ | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | 0.15 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ | 0.82 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}$ | 1.19 |
| Management reference point | Estimate |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | $70,000 \mathrm{t}$ |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | $150,000 \mathrm{t}$ |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 0.86 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.65 |

### 3.10

## Comments on the Assessment

### 3.10.1 Assessment quality

Figure 3.10 .1 shows an analysis of the assessment results carried out since 1982 as adopted by ACFM relative to the current assessment. Over this period there has been strong tendency to over-estimate SSB and under-estimate F.

The quality of the assessment was further explored using survey-only methods and Times-Series Analysis (TSA). Results are further discussed below.

### 3.10.1.1 Separable analysis using survey data

Following concerns regarding the quality of recent catch data, an attempt to analyse stock trends using fisheryindependent data was made using onlysurvey data following the separable analysis methodology of Cook (1997). Three surveys were used, IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter survey, the Scottish Groundfish Survey (ScoGFS), and the English Groundfish Survey (EGFS).

Parameters estimated by the fitting procedure are year effect, age effect, and the number of recruits. The user must make assumptions regarding catchability-at-age within the survey, which in this case involved lower estimates of $q$ for age 1 in the IBTS and ScoGFS than for older ages. Whilst the choice of value is somewhat subjective, exploratory changes to q revealed some compensation in the age-effect parameter, hence the method is relatively robust to the choice of q .

The method was investigated using constant M and the latest estimates of M2 from the Workshop on Multispecies VPA in the North Sea (ICES 2002 / D:4). As the available software does not allow for variable M, a spreadsheet model was
constructed during the Working Group meeting with Solver used for the minimisation. As a result, standard errors for the estimated parameters are not available.

The results are summarised in Figure 3.10.2 and detailed results for each model are shown in Figures 3.10.3a-c.

The SSB trends all point to a decline over the past 20 years, albeit with a brief period of recovery in the early 1990s, to the lowest estimate of SSB on record. Estimates of fishing mortality are more variable with the IBTS and ScoGFS in agreement for all but the last 2 years. Estimates of F from the EGFS are generally higher and with a different trend from 1980-1990, but they displayed trends similar to the IBTS from 1991 onwards. The F from the final XSA run, which is tuned using these three data sets, tends to run through the middle of these survey-only estimates.

The use of multispecies estimates of natural mortality makes a relatively little difference to the estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$, the difference being mostly a scaling effect due to higher overall M2. The differences between estimates of relative SSB are greater and more variable, but the same overall trend emerges from single- and multispecies runs.

### 3.10.1.2 Time-series analysis (TSA)

Three separate TSA analyses of the landings data calibrated with the cpue from the three tuning surveys were performed, based on a model formulation presented at the 2000 meeting of the WG (WD 13).

- TSA incorporating all the landings data from 1963 to 2001 and survey data from 1976-2001
- TSA omitting the landings data for 2001, but including 1963 to 2000
- TSA omitting the landings data for 2000 and 2001, but including 1963 to 1999

The results in terms of F, SSB, and recruitment are summarised in Table 3.10.2, and the estimates are presented in Figure 3.10.4. All runs indicate a similar stock trajectory as has been observed for cod in previous assessments.

Omitting the landings data for 2000 and 2001 permits a comparison between the predicted landings for these years and the reported landings. Omitting the 2001 data only, results in a predicted catch in 2001 which is twice that reported. Similarly omitting catch data for both 2001 and 2000 also results in further increases in catch for these years than was reported. These results suggest that landings may be underestimated in these years at least. The inclusion of the 2001 data in the TSA gives the lowest estimates of recruitment, SSB, and F in 2001, although the difference between the other analyses is small.

### 3.10.1.3 Synthesis

The present retrospective analysis of the current assessment indicates a large underestimation of F for 2000 in the 2001 assessment. This may be indicative of under-reporting of catch, especially in 2001. Under-representation of catch data in the catch-at-age data due to restrictive quotas, may account in part for the retrospective increases in $F$ in successive assessments.

The configuration of the XSA using survey data has little effect on the terminal estimate of SSB, and all configurations estimate the SSB to be in the region of 30,000 to $40,000 \mathrm{t}$. The apparent underestimation of F and overestimation of SSB for 2001 is a cause for concern and this may have been induced by an under-reporting of catch in 2001. However, despite the uncertainty in the assessment, there is no doubt that the cod stock is at an historic low level and the population is dominated by juvenile age groups. The demise of the relatively good 1996 year-class illustrates the high mortality rates. The assessment indicates that only about $3 \%$ of the 1996 year-class at age 1 survived to age 4, corresponding to a cumulative Z of 3.71 . The estimate of mean $\mathrm{F}(2-8)$ for $2001(0.91)$, is estimated to be at about the level observed for over a decade.

The results from the separable analysis of surveys-only, TSA, and XSA show a similar stock trajectory, and although the point estimates of F and SSB from TSA and XSA vary, all indicators indicate that SSB is dangerously low and that F has remained at the historic high level seen since the late 1980s and may be even higher.

The historical perception of the stock is primarily driven by the catch-at-age data. The analysis of the single-fleet tunings and the results of the final run all indicate increasing trends in catchability on age groups 1 and 2 . There are also some signs of a downward trend in catchability on older ages, and the catch rates of 4- and 5-group cod have declined. The WG's interpretation of these observations is that the survey data are indicating fishing mortality estimates that are higher than the catch data would indicate. In other words, the fishery is not landing as much fish as the surveys are predicting it should. This may be indicative of increased discarding or under-reporting of landings or both, and
highlights the need for reliable catch-at-age data, including discard estimates. These observations are supported by the TSA run.

### 3.10.2 State of the stock

Revised estimates of F, Recruits, and SSB over the period 1999-2001 are as follows:

| Year | Recruits (Thousands $\}$ |  | Spawning Stock Biomass (t) |  | Fishing mortality (2-8) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 <br> assessment | 2002 <br> assessment | 2001 <br> assessment | 2002 <br> assessment | 2001 <br> assessment | 2002 <br> assessment |
| 1999 | 139369 | 113291 | 61471 | 56902 | .89 | 1.1773 |
| 2000 | 215023 | 177149 | 52744 | 41110 | .739 | 1.2317 |
| 2001 | $86000^{*}$ | 73747 | 55100 | 30278 | $0.83+$ | 0.9123 |

* RCT3 estimate (see ACFM Technical Minutes of October 2001)
+ F Status Quo from 2001 assessment

The results from the current configuration of XSA indicate that mean F remains at the high level observed since the early 1980s. SSB is now estimated at an historic low level of about 30000 t in 2001. The SSB has been in the region of $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}(70000 \mathrm{t})$ or below since 1990. Furthermore, current F (0.91) has been at or above $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}(0.86)$ since the late 1980s. The results of this assessment are generally in agreement with the assessment presented at the 2001 meeting of the WG, although the F for 2000 has been revised upwards, and SSB has been revised downwards. The current fishing mortality rate is not sustainable. Over the past decade, approximately $99 \%$ of the stock in number consists of fish aged 1-4 and approximately $90 \%$ of the spawning stock in number has comprised 1 - to 3 -year-old cod.

## Management considerations

There is a need to reduce overall fishing mortality on North Sea cod significantly in order to allow more fish to reach sexual maturity and increase the probability of good recruitment. In addition, there is also a need to reduce the mortality rate on younger age groups (1-3). The highest exploitation rate is on age group 3, followed by ages 4 and 2 . This exploitation pattern has been approximately the same since the early 1960s despite various changes to technical regulations (gear modifications and mesh size changes) aimed at improving this pattern.

Cod is a specific target for some fleets, but the majority of cod are caught in the demersal mixed fisheries for other gadoids (mainly haddock and whiting) in the central and northern North Sea and as a by-catch in the beam trawl fisheries. This means it is important to take into account the impact of management of cod on other stocks, especially haddock and whiting, although fishing opportunities for other commercially important stocks may also be affected. The reverse is also true. Recent measures to protect North Sea cod, such as the closed area, and proposals to increase mesh size, will most likely have a greater beneficial effect to stocks other than cod. Any benefits for cod by such measures are likely to be through reduced discarding of fish below the minimum landing size. Discards are not accounted for in this assessment.

There is frequently debate about the extent to which the cod-haddock-whiting fisheries are linked. This linkage is not one-to-one, but it is also true that they are far from separate. It is possible for fishing vessels to increase their targeting of individual species, but this is never perfect and there will always be a significant by-catch of other roundfish. Hence, for example, measures to protect cod will require at least some reduction in the fishing mortality for haddock, and vice versa. This means that TACs for the three main roundfish species do need to be set in a way which acknowledges the fishery linkage, but it remains difficult to determine how close this linkage should be.

A discussion of the potential effects of cod recovery plans is given in Section 1.9. The main findings are reproduced below for information:

- The medium-term projections were found to be sensitive to the terminal assessment year since the starting population in 2002 was found significantly reduced compared with 2001. The reduction in the starting population of North Sea cod resulted in a reduced probability of recovery after 10 years from 90 to 82 percent.
- The main effect on the estimated recovery time and recovery probability was due to assessment bias. Assuming a consistent $20 \%$ stock size overestimation caused a substantial prolongation of the recovery time by almost 4 years.
- Yield, SSB, fishing mortality, and recruitment projections were also found to differ with different software programs used. Properties of the used CS4 and STPR3 programs did generate dissimilar recruitment variation.
- As the fitted Shepherd, Beverton \& Holt, and Ricker functions were found almost identical over the SSB range up to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, no effect on the medium-term stock parameters could be detected.

Table 3.1.1 Nominal landings (in tonnes) of COD in IIIa (Skagerrak), IV, and VIId, 1984-2000 as officially reported to ICES and as used by the Working Group.


Table 3.2.1
Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId: Natural mortality and proportion mature by age-group.

| Age group | Natural mortality | Proportion mature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.8 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 0.35 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| 4 | 0.2 | 0.62 |
| 5 | 0.2 | 0.86 |
| 6 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 7 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 9 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| $11+$ | 0.2 | 1.0 |

Table 3.2.2 Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): landings in numbers-at-age.


Table 3.2.3
Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): landings in numbers-at-age.

| Table | 2 Ca | h weights | -at-age ( | (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YEAR, | 1963, | 1964, | 1965, | 1966, | 1967, | 1968, | 1969, | 1970, | 1971, |  |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1, | . 5380, | . 4960 , | . 5810, | . 5790, | . 5900 , | . 6400, | . 5440 , | . 6260 , | . 5790, |  |
|  | 2, | 1.0040, | . 8630 , | . 9650 , | . 9940 , | 1.0350, | . 9730 , | . 9210, | . 9610 , | . 9410, |  |
|  | 3, | 2.6570, | 2.3770, | 2.3040, | 2.4420, | 2.4040, | 2.2230, | 2.1330, | 2.0410, | 2.1930, |  |
|  | 4, | 4.4910, | 4.5280, | 4.5120, | 4.1690, | 3.1530, | 4.0940, | 3.8520, | 4.0010, | 4.2580, |  |
|  | 5, | 6.7940 , | 6.4470 , | 7.2740, | 7.0270, | 6.8030, | 5.3410, | 5.7150, | 6.1310, | 6.5280 , |  |
|  | 6 , | 9.4090, | 8.5200, | 9.4980, | 9.5990, | 9.6100, | 8.0200, | 6.7220, | 7.9450, | 8.6460, |  |
|  | 7, | 11.5620, | 10.6060, | 11.8980, | 11.7660, | 12.0330, | 8.5810, | 9.2620, | 9.9530, | 10.3560, |  |
|  | 8, | 11.9420, | 10.7580, | 12.0410, | 11.9680, | 12.4810, | 10.1620, | 9.7490, | 10.1310, | 11.2190, |  |
|  | 9, | 13.3830, | 12.3400, | 13.0530, | 14.0590, | 13.5890, | 10.7200, | 10.3840, | 11.9190, | 12.8810, |  |
|  | 10, | 13.7560, | 12.5400, | , 14.4410, | 14.7460, | 14.2710, | 12.4970, | 12.7430, | 12.5540, | 13.1470, |  |
|  | +gp, | . 0000 , | 14.9980, | 15.6670, | 15.6719, | 19.0163, | 11.5951, | 11.5675, | 14.3667, | 15.5441, |  |
| 0 | SOPCOFAC, | . 9998 , | .9999, | 1.0000, | 1.0001, | 1.0001, | . 9999 , | . 9999 , | 1.0000, | .9999, |  |
|  | YEAR, | 1972, | 1973, | 1974, | 1975, | 1976, | 1977, | 1978, | 1979, | 1980, | 1981, |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1, | . 6160, | . 5590, | . 5940, | .6190, | . 5680 , | . 5420, | . 5720, | . 5500, | . 5500, | . 7230 , |
|  | 2, | . 8360 , | . 8690 , | 1.0390, | . 8990 , | 1.0290, | . 9480 , | . 9370 , | . 9360 , | 1.0030, | . 8370 , |
|  | 3, | 2.0860, | 1.9190, | 2.2170, | 2.3480, | 2.4700, | 2.1600, | 2.0010, | 2.4110, | 1.9480, | 2.1890, |
|  | 4, | 3.9680 , | 3.7760 , | 4.1560, | 4.2260, | 4.5770, | 4.6070, | 4.1460, | 4.4230, | 4.4010, | 4.6150, |
|  | 5, | 6.0110, | 5.4880, | 6.1740 , | 6.4040 , | 6.4940 , | 6.7130, | 6.5310, | 6.5800 , | 6.1090 , | 7.0450, |
|  | 6 , | 8.2460, | 7.4530, | 8.3330, | 8.6910, | 8.6200, | 8.8280, | 8.6670, | 8.4750, | 9.1200, | 8.8840, |
|  | 7, | 9.7660, | 9.0190, | , 9.8890, | 10.1070, | 10.1320, | 10.0710, | 9.6860, | 10.6370, | 9.5500, | 9.9340, |
|  | 8, | 10.2280, | 9.8100, | 10.7900, | 10.9100, | 11.3410, | 11.0520, | 11.0990, | 11.5500, | 11.8670, | 11.5190, |
|  | 9, | 11.8750, | 11.0770, | , 12.1750, | 12.3390, | 12.8880, | 11.8240, | 12.4270, | 13.0570, | 12.7820, | 13.3380, |
|  | 10, | 12.5300, | 12.3590, | 12.4250, | 12.9760, | 14.1400, | 13.1340, | 12.7780, | 14.1480, | 14.0810, | 14.8970, |
|  | +gp, | 14.3504, | 12.8860, | 13.7308, | 14.4309, | 14.5568, | 14.3616, | 13.9808, | 15.4780, | 15.3918, | 16.6290, |
| 0 | SOPCOFAC, | 1.0001, | .9999, | . 9999 , | . 9998 , | 1.0000, | .9999, | 1.0035, | 1.0087, | . 9963 , | . 9985 , |


|  | YEAR, | 1982, | 1983, | 1984, | 1985, | 1986, | 1987, | 1988, | 1989, | 1990, | 1991, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1, | . 5890, | . 6320, | .5940, | . 5900, | . 5830, | .6350, | . 5860 , | .6730, | . 7370, | . 6700, |
|  | 2, | . 9620 , | . 9190 , | 1.0070, | . 9330 , | . 8560 , | . 9760 , | . 8810 , | 1.0520, | . 9760 , | 1.0780, |
|  | 3, | 1.8580, | 1.8350, | 2.1560, | 2.1400, | 1.8340, | 1.9550, | 1.9820, | 1.8460, | 2.1760, | 2.0370, |
|  | 4, | 4.1300, | 3.8800, | 3.9720, | 4.1640, | 3.5040, | 3.6500, | 3.1870, | 3.5850, | 3.7910, | 3.9710 , |
|  | 5, | 6.7840, | 6.4910, | 6.1900 , | 6.3240, | 6.2300, | 6.0520, | 5.9920, | 5.2730, | 5.9320, | 6.0830, |
|  | 6 , | 8.9030, | 8.4230, | 8.3620, | 8.4300, | 8.1400, | 8.3070, | 7.9140, | 7.9210, | 7.8890, | 8.0340, |
|  | 7, | 10.3990, | 9.8480, | 10.3170, | 10.3620, | 9.8960, | 10.2420, | 9.7640, | 9.7250, | 10.2350, | 9.5450, |
|  | 8 , | 12.5000, | 11.8370, | 11.3520, | 12.0730, | 11.9390, | 11.4610, | 12.1270, | 11.2110, | 10.9240, | 10.9490, |
|  | 9, | 13.4690, | 12.7970, | 13.5050, | 13.0720, | 12.9510, | 12.4470, | 14.2420, | 12.5860, | 12.8020, | 13.4810, |
|  | 10, | 12.8900, | 12.5620, | 13.4080, | 14.4430, | 13.8590, | 18.6910, | 17.7870, | 15.5570, | 15.5250, | 13.1700, |
|  | +gp, | 14.6081, | 14.4262, | 13.4716, | 16.5875, | 14.7074, | 16.6044, | 16.4766, | 14.6938, | 23.2333, | 14.9888, |
| 0 | SOPCOFAC, | .9946, | .9968, | .9993, | . 9952 , | 1.0098, | . 9968 , | 1.0000, | . 9950 , | . 9945 , | . 9970 , |


|  | YEAR, | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1, | . 6990, | .6990, | .6780, | . 7210 , | .6990, | . 6560, | . 5420, | .6400, | .6110, | . 7210 , |
|  | 2, | 1.1460, | 1.0650, | 1.0750, | 1.0200, | 1.1170, | . 9600 , | . 9220 , | . 9350 , | 1.0210, | 1.0010, |
|  | 3, | 2.5460, | 2.4790, | 2.2010, | 2.2100, | 2.1470, | 2.1200, | 1.7240, | 1.6630, | 1.7470, | 2.2960, |
|  | 4, | 4.2230, | 4.5500, | 4.4710, | 4.2920, | 4.0340, | 3.8210, | 3.4950, | 3.3050 , | 3.2160 , | 3.6770 , |
|  | 5, | 6.2480 , | 6.5400 , | 7.1670, | 7.2200, | 6.6370, | 6.2280 , | 5.3870, | 5.7260, | 4.9030, | 5.8630, |
|  | 6 , | 8.4830, | 8.0940, | 8.4360, | 8.9800, | 8.4940, | 8.3940, | 7.5630, | 7.4030, | 7.4880, | 7.3500, |
|  | 7, | 10.1020, | 9.6410, | 9.5360, | 10.2830, | 9.7290, | 9.9790, | 9.6280, | 8.5820 , | 9.6360 , | 9.2640 , |
|  | 8 , | 10.4810, | 10.7350, | 10.3230, | 11.7430, | 11.0800, | 11.4240, | 10.6430, | 10.3650, | 10.6710, | 10.0730, |
|  | 9, | 11.8500, | 12.3290, | 12.2240, | 13.1070, | 12.2640, | 12.3000, | 11.4990, | 11.6000, | 10.8940, | 12.0620, |
|  | 10, | 13.9050, | 13.4430, | 14.2470, | 12.0520, | 12.7560, | 12.7610, | 13.0850, | 12.3300, | 11.4140, | 12.0090, |
|  | +gp, | 15.7944, | 13.9614, | 12.5231, | 13.9541, | 11.3036, | 13.4162, | 14.9210, | 11.9257, | 15.0776, | 10.1956, |
| 0 | SOPCOFAC, | . 9928 , | .9948, | .9941, | . 9836, | . 9990 , | 1.0002, | . 9998 , | 1.0034, | 1.0003, | 1.0002, |

Table 3.3.1
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SCOGFS_IV
$1982 \quad \overline{0} 01$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75\end{array}$
16
$100 \quad 61.4 \quad 35.1 \quad 57.2 \quad 18.3 \quad 9.2 \quad 5.9$
$100 \quad 32.5 \quad 78 \quad 18.1 \quad 19.7 \quad 7.5 \quad 2.3$
$\begin{array}{llllll}100 & 81.9 & 39.1 & 25.3 & 5 & 5.7 \\ 10.6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}100 & 6.6 & 114.319 .7 & 11.2 & 3 & 2.4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 80.1 & 10.4 & 39.6 & 5.7 & 3.9 & 1.9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 21.9 & 69.5 & 3.4 & 9.2 & 2.9 & 0.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 16.2 & 28.8 & 16.5 & 2.5 & 3.3 & 1.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 56.1 & 13.5 & 16.8 & 9.5 & 2 & 0.8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 11.4 & 49 & 5.9 & 7.4 & 2.6 & 0.9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}100 & 30.3 & 15.4 & 13.3 & 1.3 & 0.6\end{array} 0.4$
$100 \quad 64.2 \quad 19.3 \quad 7.2 \quad 6.7 \quad 2.9 \quad 1.8$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 34.7 & 74.9 & 10.1 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 0.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}100 & 115.833 .4 & 28.8 & 3.1 & 1.2 & 0.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}100 & 47.5 & 144.313 & 8.5 & 1.1 & 0.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 31.8 & 35.6 & 54.2 & 7.4 & 3.4 & 0.4\end{array}$
$100 \quad 99.9 \quad 27.8 \quad 22.4 \quad 10.2 \quad 2.2 \quad 1$
$100 \quad 10.4 \quad 213.411 .6 \quad 5.7 \quad 3.7 \quad 0.8$
$\begin{array}{llllll}100 & 44 & 10.3 & 61.6 & 2.7 & 1\end{array} 0.6$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 70 & 23.7 & 2.8 & 4.4 & 0 & 0.8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & 6.9 & 40.9 & 6.8 & 0.3 & 1.8 & 0\end{array}$
ENGGFS_IV
$19772 \overline{0} 01$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75 \\ 1 & 5 & & \end{array}$
15
$100 \quad 6.2690 .4480 .3230 .0580 .011$
$100 \quad 2.2841 .25 \quad 0.0980 .0990 .013$
$100 \quad 2.4230 .58 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.0270 .036$
$100 \quad 5.0840 .67 \quad 0.1530 .0730 .011$
$100 \quad 1.1361 .3870 .1270 .0390 .04$
$100 \quad 3.2380 .29 \quad 0.3290 .0530 .038$
$100 \quad 1.5391 .0960 .12 \quad 0.1110 .028$
$100 \quad 6.1220 .4740 .1780 .04 \quad 0.021$
$100 \quad 0.43 \quad 1.1890 .1070 .0560 .021$
$100 \quad 3.4380 .1150 .2020 .0290 .011$
$100 \quad 1.4221 .0650 .0270 .0610 .014$
$100 \quad 0.8360 .4070 .1990 .0010 .043$
$100 \quad 2.2850 .2480 .1190 .0610 .006$
$100 \quad 0.6080 .5030 .06 \quad 0.0140 .012$
$100 \quad 0.7520 .1550 .0720 .0130 .003$
$100 \quad 2.4410 .1580 .0460 .0350 .008$
$100 \quad 0.7420 .6510 .0820 .0150 .017$
$100 \quad 2.6370 .2950 .1540 .0190 .005$
$100 \quad 1.0281 .2770 .1190 .0560 .002$
$100 \quad 0.6190 .6680 .1620 .0190 .02$
$100 \quad 4.0440 .2840 .0540 .0250 .001$
$100 \quad 0.1181 .3960 .0820 .0080 .007$
$100 \quad 0.3670 .0550 .2360 .0130 .006$
$100 \quad 0.9530 .1970 .0150 .0320$
$100 \quad 0.1740 .5280 .0320 .0020 .005$
IBTS_Q1_IV
$1976^{-} 20 \overline{0} 1$

|  | 0 | 0.25 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.9 | 19.9 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 36.7 | 3.2 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 12.9 | 29.3 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 9.9 | 9.3 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 16.9 | 14.8 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2.9 | 25.5 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 9.2 | 6.7 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 3.9 | 16.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 15.2 | 8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 1 |
| 0.9 | 17.6 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| 17 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 8.8 | 28.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| 3.6 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 13.1 | 6.3 | 5 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| 3.4 | 15.2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2.4 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 13 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 12.7 | 19.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 14.8 | 4.4 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 9.7 | 22.1 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| 3.5 | 8 | 6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 40 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| 2.7 | 26.4 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 2.1 | 1.6 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 6.6 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 2.7 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

Table 3.4.1 Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): XSA tuning output
Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1
18/06/2002 5:54
Extended Survivors Analysis
Cod North Sea/Skaggerak/Eastern Channel 6/6/2002

CPUE data from file CODIVEF.TUN

Catch data for 39 years. 1963 to 2001. Ages 1 to 11 .

| Fleet | year | Last year | First age |  | Last age |  | Alpha | Beta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCOGFS | 1982 | 2001 |  | 1 |  | 6 | 0.5 | 0.75 |
| ENGGFS | 1977 | 2001 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 0.5 | 0.75 |
| IBTS_Q1- | 1976 | 2001 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 0 | 0.25 |

Time series weights :
Tapered time weighting applied
Power = 3 over 20 years

Catchability analysis :
Catchability dependent on stock size for ages < 4
Regression type $=\mathrm{C}$
Minimum of 5 points used for regression
Survivor estimates shrunk to the population mean for ages < 4

Catchability independent of age for ages $>=5$

Terminal population estimation :

Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F of the final 5 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk $=.500$

Minimum standard error for population estimates derived from each fleet $=.300$

Prior weighting not applied

Tuning converged after 16 iterations
1

## Table 3.4.1 (Cont'd)

Regression weights

| 0.751 | 0.82 | 0.877 | 0.921 | 0.954 | 0.976 | 0.99 | 0.997 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Fishing mortalities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 0.145 | 0.05 | 0.074 | 0.11 | 0.044 | 0.091 | 0.033 | 0.081 | 0.072 | 0.046 |
| 2 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.65 | 0.824 | 0.657 | 0.642 | 0.872 | 0.616 | 0.879 | 0.407 |
| 3 | 0.851 | 1.023 | 1.033 | 1.002 | 1.008 | 1.009 | 1.224 | 1.348 | 1.447 | 0.721 |
| 4 | 0.936 | 0.96 | 0.931 | 0.84 | 0.751 | 0.933 | 1.2 | 1.258 | 1.355 | 1.316 |
| 5 | 0.733 | 0.906 | 0.769 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.764 | 1.191 | 1.159 | 1.507 | 0.831 |
| 6 | 0.834 | 0.78 | 0.898 | 0.626 | 0.864 | 0.903 | 0.859 | 1.304 | 1.242 | 0.979 |
| 7 | 0.894 | 1.081 | 0.636 | 0.724 | 0.917 | 1.035 | 0.924 | 1.299 | 1.302 | 1.074 |
| 8 | 0.843 | 0.868 | 1.121 | 0.386 | 1.417 | 0.771 | 0.904 | 1.256 | 0.89 | 1.058 |
| 9 | 1.086 | 0.808 | 0.694 | 1.027 | 0.882 | 0.97 | 0.647 | 0.989 | 0.959 | 0.9 |
| 10 | 0.885 | 0.899 | 0.833 | 0.698 | 0.994 | 0.91 | 0.915 | 1.211 | 1.197 | 0.978 |

## 1

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YEAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1992 3.05E+05 6.67E+04 1.72E+04 9.11E+03 $2.26 \mathrm{E}+03$ 9.59E+02 $6.16 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 1.01 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 6.61 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 3.27 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1993 \quad 1.47 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 1.19 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 2.01 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 5.71 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.93 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 8.88 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.41 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 2.06 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.54 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.83 \mathrm{E}+01$ $19943.23 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 6.30 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 3.72 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 5.63 \mathrm{E}+031.79 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 9.68 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.33 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 9.47 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 7.10 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.29 \mathrm{E}+01$ $1995 \quad 2.26 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 1.35 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 2.32 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.03 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.82 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 6.80 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.23 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 1.44 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 2.53 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 2.90 \mathrm{E}+01$ 1996 1.71E+05 9.10E+04 $4.17 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 6.63 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 3.64 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 7.61 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 2.97 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 1.28 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 8.04 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 7.41 \mathrm{E}+00$ 1997 4.08E+05 $7.34 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 3.32 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.18 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 2.56 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 1.30 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.63 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 9.74 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 2.54 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 2.72 \mathrm{E}+01$ $19985.80 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.67 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 2.72 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 9.44 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 3.81 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 9.76 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 4.32 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 7.64 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 3.69 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 7.89 \mathrm{E}+00$ $1999 \quad 1.13 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 2.52 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 4.93 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 6.23 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.33 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 9.49 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.38 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 1.40 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 2.53 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.58 \mathrm{E}+01$ 2000 1.77E+05 $4.69 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 9.59 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 9.97 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 1.45 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 5.98 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 2.11 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 7.56 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 3.27 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 7.71 \mathrm{E}+00$ $2001 \quad 7.37 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 7.40 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.37 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.76 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.11 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.63 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 1.41 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 4.70 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 2.54 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.03 \mathrm{E}+01$

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
$0.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 3.17 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 3.47 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 5.20 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 3.86 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 7.51 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 8.09 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 3.96 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.34 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 8.46 \mathrm{E}+00$
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
$1.76 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 7.93 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 2.61 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 7.38 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.60 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 8.96 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.45 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 1.22 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 4.60 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.69 \mathrm{E}+01$
Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :

| 0.6147 | 0.5541 | 0.5246 | 0.5524 | 0.3791 | 0.5245 | 0.4553 | 0.5426 | 0.5952 | 0.7491 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1

Table 3.4.1 (Cont'd)

| Age |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | -0.44 | -0.24 | -0.04 | -0.26 | -0.53 | -0.13 | -0.07 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
|  | 2 | -0.55 | -0.29 | -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.45 | -0.46 | -0.2 | -0.12 | -0.06 | -0.1 |
|  | 3 | -0.2 | 0.07 | -0.18 | -0.1 | -0.14 | -0.5 | -0.28 | 0.15 | -0.04 | -0.26 |
|  | 4 | 0.25 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.49 | -2.69 | 0.47 | -0.33 | -0.2 |
|  | 5 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 1.03 | -0.17 | 0.55 | 1.24 | 0.16 | -0.03 | -0.74 |
| 6 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|  | 1 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.06 | -0.11 | -0.14 | 0.08 | -0.02 | -0.02 | 0.08 | -0.03 |
|  | 2 | -0.3 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.19 | -0.12 | 0.1 | -0.05 | 0.2 | 0.16 |
|  | 3 | -0.04 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.4 | 0.03 | -0.52 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0 | -0.14 |
|  | 4 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.37 | 0.78 | 0.09 | -0.1 | -0.85 | 0.09 | 0.58 | -0.48 |
|  | 5 | 0.35 | 0.95 | 0.14 | -0.86 | 0.85 | -1.83 | -0.02 | 0.3 | 99.99 | 0.01 |
|  | 6 No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log | -16.866 | -16.9276 |
| S.E(Log q | 0.69 | 0.8213 |

Regression statistics:
Ages with q dependent on year class strength

| Age | Slope |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Log q |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.57 | 7.019 | 14.52 | 0.96 | 20 | 0.12 | -16.33 |  |
| 2 | 0.6 | 3.692 | 14.25 | 0.9 | 20 | 0.2 | -16.2 |  |
| 3 | 0.71 | 1.828 | 14.69 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.27 | -16.52 |  |

Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

| Age | Slope |  | t-value | Intercept | RSquare | No Pts | Reg s.e | Mean Q |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.71 | 1.091 | 14.57 | 0.59 | 20 | 0.49 | -16.87 |  |
| 5 | 0.58 | 0.963 | 13.16 | 0.37 | 19 | 0.48 | -16.93 |  |

Fleet : IBTS_Q1_IV

| Age |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 2 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 3 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 4 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 5 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 | 99.99 |
|  | 6 | No data for this fleet at this age |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 3.4.1 (Cont'd)

Terminal year survivor and F summaries :
Age 1 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=2000$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int |  | Ext | N |  | Var |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of $y$ | s.e |  | s.e |  |  | Ratio |
| 31659 |  | 0.2 | 0.21 |  | 5 | 1.07 |

1
Age 2 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=1999$

| Fleet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ | Ext | Var <br> Ratio | N | Scaled |  | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weights |  |
| SCOGFS | 41482 | 0.282 | 0.273 | 0.97 |  | 2 | 0.205 | 0.351 |
| ENGGFS | 39109 | 0.212 | 0.042 | 0.2 |  | 2 | 0.358 | 0.369 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 38616 | 0.259 | 0.045 | 0.18 |  | 2 | 0.245 | 0.373 |
| P shrinke | 26126 | 0.52 |  |  |  |  | 0.091 | 0.512 |
| F shrinke | 15725 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.747 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| at end of y | s.e | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |
| 34713 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 8 | 0.969 | 0.407 |

Age 3 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=1998$

| Fleet |  | Int | Ext | Var | N |  | Scaled | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | s.e | s.e | Ratio |  |  | Weights | F |
| SCOGFS | 6163 | 0.232 | 0.135 | 0.58 |  | 3 | 0.223 | 0.637 |
| ENGGFS_ | 5035 | 0.193 | 0.096 | 0.5 |  | 3 | 0.294 | 0.738 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 6034 | 0.216 | 0.14 | 0.65 |  | 3 | 0.257 | 0.647 |
| P shrinke | 7384 | 0.55 |  |  |  |  | 0.102 | 0.556 |
| F shrinke | 2280 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.124 | 1.226 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of y | s.e | s.e |  | Ratio |  |  |
| 5200 | 0.13 | 0.12 |  | 11 | 0.937 | 0.721 |

## Table 3.4.1 (Cont'd)

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

```
Year class \(=1997\)
```

| Fleet | Int |  | Ext | Var <br> Ratio | N | Scaled |  | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | .e | s.e |  |  |  | Weights | F |
| SCOGFS | 249 | 0.29 | 0.317 | 1.09 |  | 4 | 0.164 | 1.653 |
| ENGGFS_ | 334 | 0.24 | 0.121 | 0.51 |  | 4 | 0.157 | 1.425 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 384 | 0.223 | 0.044 | 0.2 |  | 4 | 0.346 | 1.319 |
| F shrinke | 516 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.333 | 1.112 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of J | s.e | s.e |  | Ratio |  |  |
| 386 | 0.19 | 0.11 |  | 13 | 0.563 | 1.316 |

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class = 1996

| Fleet | Int |  | Ext | Var | N | Scaled |  | Estimated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | s.e |  | s.e | Ratio |  | Weights |  | F |
| SCOGFS_ | 923 | 0.344 | 0.055 | 0.16 | 5 | 0.19 | 0.72 |  |
| ENGGFS_ | 896 | 0.399 | 0.101 | 0.25 | 5 | 0.092 | 0.735 |  |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 866 | 0.229 | 0.107 | 0.47 | 5 | 0.446 | 0.753 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F shrinke | 483 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.272 | 1.103 |  |

Weighted prediction :


Age $6 \begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) } 5\end{array}$
Year class $=1995$

| Fleet | Int |  | Ext | Var <br> Ratio | N | Scaled Weights |  | Estimated <br> F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | S.e |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCOGFS | 74 | 0.256 | 0.022 | 0.09 |  | 4 | 0.036 | 1.035 |
| ENGGFS | 81 | 0.218 | 0.059 | 0.27 |  | 4 | 0.035 | 0.981 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 113 | 0.22 | 0.116 | 0.53 |  | 5 | 0.23 | 0.784 |
| F shrinke | 73 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.699 | 1.046 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of $\}$ | s.e | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |
| 81 | 0.35 | 0.09 |  | 14 | 0.256 | 0.979 |

## Table 3.4.1 (Cont'd)

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 5
Year class $=1994$

| Fleet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ |  | Ext | Var Ratio | N | Scaled <br> Weights |  | Estimated F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | s.e |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCOGFS | 60 | 0.356 | 0.242 | 0.68 |  | 6 | 0.095 | 0.82 |
| ENGGFS | 34 | 0.309 | 0.216 | 0.7 |  | 5 | 0.026 | 1.177 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 42 | 0.214 | 0.098 | 0.46 |  | 5 | 0.099 | 1.039 |
| F shrinke | 38 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.781 | 1.108 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of y | s.e | s.e |  | Ratio |  |  |
| 40 | 0.39 | 0.08 |  | 17 | 0.192 | 1.074 |

1
Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 5
Year class $=1993$

| Fleet | Int |  | Ext | Var <br> Ratio | N | Scaled <br> Weights |  | Estimated F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | s.e |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCOGFS | 19 | 0.353 | 0.07 | 0.2 |  | 6 | 0.03 | 0.846 |
| ENGGFS | 14 | 0.288 | 0.05 | 0.17 |  | 5 | 0.009 | 1.039 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 11 | 0.204 | 0.086 | 0.42 |  | 5 | 0.032 | 1.212 |
| F shrinke | 13 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 1.06 |

Weighted prediction :


Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 5
Year class $=1992$

| Fleet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & \text { s.e } \end{aligned}$ |  | Ext | Var | N |  | Scaled | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | s.e | Ratio |  |  | Weights | F |
| SCOGFS | 10 | 0.291 | 0.064 | 0.22 |  | 6 | 0.03 | 0.779 |
| ENGGFS_ | 6 | 0.263 | 0.44 | 1.67 |  | 5 | 0.012 | 1.067 |
| IBTS_Q1_ | 7 | 0.197 | 0.073 | 0.37 |  | 5 | 0.039 | 0.978 |
| F shrinke | 8 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.899 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors | Int | Ext | N |  | Var | F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of $y$ | s.e | s.e |  |  | Ratio |  |  |
| 8 | 0.46 | 0.03 |  | 17 | 0.064 |  | 0.9 |

At 18/06/2002 5:56
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

| Table 8 | Fishing mortality (F) at age |  |  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0249 | 0.0203 | 0.0585 | 0.0551 | 0.0335 | 0.0457 | 0.0213 | 0.1098 | 0.0763 |  |
| 2 | 0.5316 | 0.3759 | 0.4704 | 0.5499 | 0.4973 | 0.6353 | 0.3906 | 0.5787 | 0.8861 |  |
| 3 | 0.3677 | 0.593 | 0.6602 | 0.6281 | 0.7287 | 0.739 | 0.6002 | 0.7466 | 0.7701 |  |
| 4 | 0.4524 | 0.4171 | 0.6212 | 0.5284 | 0.5327 | 0.7115 | 0.5817 | 0.5711 | 0.7088 |  |
| 5 | 0.4543 | 0.4763 | 0.4313 | 0.4895 | 0.5975 | 0.6229 | 0.6285 | 0.5845 | 0.6947 |  |
| 6 | 0.5623 | 0.6124 | 0.4609 | 0.4349 | 0.5987 | 0.5652 | 0.6994 | 0.532 | 0.5377 |  |
| 7 | 0.1599 | 0.6077 | 0.4675 | 0.4445 | 0.6205 | 0.5826 | 0.487 | 0.5284 | 0.5701 |  |
| 8 | 0.7843 | 0.3674 | 0.7092 | 0.5262 | 0.7115 | 0.4541 | 0.6319 | 0.3184 | 0.5191 |  |
| 9 | 0.3119 | 0.3243 | 0.2683 | 0.7611 | 0.3777 | 0.7745 | 0.4081 | 0.6432 | 0.6926 |  |
| 10 | 0.4579 | 0.4813 | 0.471 | 0.5356 | 0.5862 | 0.6051 | 0.5758 | 0.5255 | 0.6081 |  |
| +gp | 0.4579 | 0.4813 | 0.471 | 0.5356 | 0.5862 | 0.6051 | 0.5758 | 0.5255 | 0.6081 |  |
| 0 FBAR 2 | 0.4732 | 0.4928 | 0.5458 | 0.5145 | 0.6124 | 0.6158 | 0.5742 | 0.5514 | 0.6695 |  |
| FBAR 2. | 0.4506 | 0.462 | 0.5839 | 0.5688 | 0.5862 | 0.6953 | 0.5242 | 0.6321 | 0.7883 |  |
| Table 8 | Fishing mortality (F) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0335 | 0.1292 | 0.0922 | 0.108 | 0.0353 | 0.1439 | 0.0953 | 0.1042 | 0.1096 | 0.101 |
| 2 | 0.8906 | 0.6967 | 0.8121 | 0.7336 | 0.939 | 0.8433 | 1.0247 | 0.7936 | 0.8827 | 0.9718 |
| 3 | 0.9069 | 0.8383 | 0.6699 | 0.7845 | 0.8574 | 0.7703 | 0.9248 | 0.9486 | 0.9811 | 1.0113 |
| 4 | 0.6526 | 0.7781 | 0.6415 | 0.6705 | 0.7568 | 0.5485 | 0.7667 | 0.5896 | 0.7906 | 0.7705 |
| 5 | 0.7105 | 0.5736 | 0.6396 | 0.7445 | 0.5718 | 0.6355 | 0.8693 | 0.7059 | 0.6495 | 0.6772 |
| 6 | 0.8034 | 0.7219 | 0.5314 | 0.6716 | 0.7946 | 0.6027 | 0.742 | 0.5132 | 0.6343 | 0.5908 |
| 7 | 0.7788 | 0.6907 | 0.7151 | 0.5118 | 0.74 | 0.8038 | 0.6837 | 0.6594 | 0.8428 | 0.7195 |
| 8 | 1.0295 | 0.544 | 0.6029 | 0.8425 | 0.2718 | 0.7712 | 0.762 | 0.525 | 0.833 | 0.5766 |
| 9 | 1.2337 | 0.3377 | 0.9113 | 0.8802 | 0.8027 | 1.4552 | 0.8795 | 0.7848 | 0.5888 | 0.7392 |
| 10 | 0.9211 | 0.5785 | 0.6864 | 0.7373 | 0.6419 | 0.8627 | 0.7953 | 0.6434 | 0.7165 | 0.6668 |
| +gp | 0.9211 | 0.5785 | 0.6864 | 0.7373 | 0.6419 | 0.8627 | 0.7953 | 0.6434 | 0.7165 | 0.6668 |
| 0 FBAR 2 | 0.8246 | 0.6919 | 0.6589 | 0.7084 | 0.7045 | 0.7107 | 0.8247 | 0.6765 | 0.802 | 0.7597 |
| FBAR 2. | 0.8167 | 0.7711 | 0.7078 | 0.7295 | 0.8511 | 0.7207 | 0.9054 | 0.7772 | 0.8848 | 0.9179 |

Run title North Sea/Skaggerak/Eastern Channel 6/6/2002
At 18/06/2002 5:56
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

| Table 8 | Fishing mortality ( F ) at age |  |  | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1756 | 0.1258 | 0.1767 | 0.0869 | 0.2342 | 0.1414 | 0.1775 | 0.129 | 0.1401 | 0.1269 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.9377 | 1.0857 | 0.9549 | 0.9843 | 0.895 | 0.9166 | 0.9153 | 0.8774 | 0.91 | 0.7639 |  |  |
| 3 | 1.2335 | 1.1905 | 1.0185 | 0.9596 | 1.0604 | 0.8922 | 1.1846 | 1.0925 | 0.9701 | 0.9653 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.921 | 0.917 | 0.8305 | 0.8077 | 0.9607 | 0.9278 | 0.8601 | 1.0157 | 0.8962 | 0.8449 |  |  |
| 5 | 0.7905 | 0.7903 | 0.7542 | 0.7143 | 0.869 | 0.7341 | 0.7929 | 0.7601 | 0.7724 | 0.8382 |  |  |
| 6 | 0.8993 | 0.7599 | 0.7539 | 0.7055 | 0.8182 | 0.9356 | 0.8335 | 0.9126 | 0.5627 | 0.9345 |  |  |
| 7 | 0.7699 | 0.7191 | 0.6588 | 0.6988 | 0.7986 | 0.9183 | 0.7301 | 0.9369 | 0.7854 | 1.1065 |  |  |
| 8 | 0.7 | 0.9126 | 0.7504 | 0.6002 | 0.8346 | 0.8645 | 0.7276 | 0.9788 | 0.5271 | 1.0671 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.8015 | 0.635 | 0.9165 | 0.5783 | 0.5122 | 0.7168 | 0.6817 | 0.754 | 2.0875 | 0.5704 |  |  |
| 10 | 0.8003 | 0.771 | 0.7744 | 0.6655 | 0.7742 | 0.8425 | 0.7606 | 0.8778 | 0.9564 | 0.9133 |  |  |
| +gp | 0.8003 | 0.771 | 0.7744 | 0.6655 | 0.7742 | 0.8425 | 0.7606 | 0.8778 | 0.9564 | 0.9133 |  |  |
| 0 FBAR 2 | 0.8931 | 0.9107 | 0.8173 | 0.7815 | 0.8909 | 0.8842 | 0.8634 | 0.9391 | 0.7748 | 0.9315 |  |  |
| FBAR 2. | 1.0307 | 1.0644 | 0.9346 | 0.9172 | 0.972 | 0.9122 | 0.9866 | 0.9952 | 0.9254 | 0.858 |  |  |
| Table 8 | Fishing mortality ( F ) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | FBAR 99-** | * FBAR 89-98 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1453 | 0.0496 | 0.0745 | 0.1095 | 0.0441 | 0.0909 | 0.0328 | 0.0815 | 0.0724 | 0.0456 | 0.0665 0.00 | 0.0943 |
| 2 | 0.8498 | 0.8098 | 0.65 | 0.8245 | 0.6571 | 0.6421 | 0.8718 | 0.6161 | 0.8789 | 0.4075 | 0.6341 | 0.7856 |
| 3 | 0.8506 | 1.0231 | 1.0332 | 1.0017 | 1.0085 | 1.0092 | 1.2245 | 1.3485 | 1.4466 | 0.7209 | 1.172 | 1.0179 |
| 4 | 0.9356 | 0.9598 | 0.9305 | 0.84 | 0.7512 | 0.9329 | 1.1997 | 1.2579 | 1.3553 | 1.3158 | 1.3096 | 0.9306 |
| 5 | 0.7327 | 0.9059 | 0.7693 | 0.6696 | 0.8301 | 0.7645 | 1.1908 | 1.159 | 1.507 | 0.8314 | 1.1658 | 0.8234 |
| 6 | 0.8342 | 0.7796 | 0.8983 | 0.6263 | 0.8641 | 0.9032 | 0.8592 | 1.3038 | 1.2422 | 0.9794 | 1.1751 | 0.8175 |
| 7 | 0.8935 | 1.0805 | 0.6359 | 0.7243 | 0.9167 | 1.0355 | 0.9243 | 1.2993 | 1.3016 | 1.0737 | 1.2249 | 0.904 |
| 8 | 0.8428 | 0.8682 | 1.1208 | 0.3858 | 1.4172 | 0.7709 | 0.904 | 1.2563 | 0.89 | 1.0576 | 1.068 | 0.8883 |
| 9 | 1.086 | 0.8078 | 0.6944 | 1.0267 | 0.8823 | 0.97 | 0.6467 | 0.9889 | 0.9594 | 0.9002 | 0.94950 | 0.9526 |
| 10 | 0.8848 | 0.8987 | 0.8327 | 0.6981 | 0.9936 | 0.9101 | 0.9145 | 1.2108 | 1.1968 | 0.9781 | 1.1286 | 0.888 |
| +gp | 0.8848 | 0.8987 | 0.8327 | 0.6981 | 0.9936 | 0.9101 | 0.9145 | 1.2108 | 1.1968 | 0.9781 |  |  |
| 0 FBAR 2 | 0.8484 | 0.9181 | 0.8626 | 0.7246 | 0.9207 | 0.8655 | 1.0249 | 1.1773 | 1.2317 | 0.9123 |  |  |
| FBAR 2. | 0.8787 | 0.9309 | 0.8712 | 0.8887 | 0.8056 | 0.8614 | 1.0987 | 1.0741 | 1.2269 | 0.8147 |  |  |

Table 3.4.3 Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): Stock Number at age
Run title North Sea/Skaggerak/Eastern Channel 6/6/2002
At 18/06/2002 5:56


Run title North Sea/Skaggerak/Eastern Channel 6/6/2002
At 18/06/2002 5:56
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)


Table 3.4.4 Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): summary table

| Year | Recruitment Age 1 thousands | SSB tonnes | Landings <br> tonnes | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean F } \\ \text { Ages 2-8 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean F } \\ \text { Ages 2-4 } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 195099 | 151521 | 116457 | 0.4732 | 0.4506 |
| 1964 | 374080 | 166150 | 126041 | 0.4928 | 0.462 |
| 1965 | 415425 | 205425 | 181036 | 0.5458 | 0.5839 |
| 1966 | 506863 | 230759 | 221336 | 0.5145 | 0.5688 |
| 1967 | 488789 | 250046 | 252977 | 0.6124 | 0.5862 |
| 1968 | 194587 | 258219 | 288368 | 0.6158 | 0.6953 |
| 1969 | 209061 | 255921 | 200760 | 0.5742 | 0.5242 |
| 1970 | 782003 | 276848 | 226124 | 0.5514 | 0.6321 |
| 1971 | 910808 | 277216 | 328098 | 0.6695 | 0.7883 |
| 1972 | 173496 | 231011 | 353976 | 0.8246 | 0.8167 |
| 1973 | 319648 | 209145 | 239051 | 0.6919 | 0.7711 |
| 1974 | 263657 | 230838 | 214279 | 0.6589 | 0.7078 |
| 1975 | 486359 | 211636 | 205245 | 0.7084 | 0.7295 |
| 1976 | 246421 | 182050 | 234169 | 0.7045 | 0.8511 |
| 1977 | 839198 | 159349 | 209154 | 0.7107 | 0.7207 |
| 1978 | 488156 | 159354 | 297022 | 0.8247 | 0.9054 |
| 1979 | 525424 | 164266 | 269973 | 0.6765 | 0.7772 |
| 1980 | 899522 | 181875 | 293644 | 0.8020 | 0.8848 |
| 1981 | 314766 | 195731 | 335497 | 0.7597 | 0.9179 |
| 1982 | 618498 | 190226 | 303251 | 0.8931 | 1.0307 |
| 1983 | 324685 | 154987 | 259287 | 0.9107 | 1.0644 |
| 1984 | 596292 | 133414 | 228286 | 0.8173 | 0.9346 |
| 1985 | 158611 | 126206 | 214629 | 0.7815 | 0.9172 |
| 1986 | 716254 | 114213 | 204053 | 0.8909 | 0.972 |
| 1987 | 281821 | 104722 | 216212 | 0.8842 | 0.9122 |
| 1988 | 197054 | 98642 | 184240 | 0.8634 | 0.9866 |
| 1989 | 274077 | 90604 | 139936 | 0.9391 | 0.9952 |
| 1990 | 133933 | 78044 | 125314 | 0.7748 | 0.9254 |
| 1991 | 168552 | 71117 | 102478 | 0.9315 | 0.858 |
| 1992 | 305284 | 68898 | 114020 | 0.8484 | 0.8787 |
| 1993 | 147360 | 65087 | 121749 | 0.9181 | 0.9309 |
| 1994 | 323413 | 64800 | 110634 | 0.8626 | 0.8712 |
| 1995 | 226023 | 70953 | 136096 | 0.7246 | 0.8887 |
| 1996 | 170710 | 76252 | 126320 | 0.9207 | 0.8056 |
| 1997 | 407921 | 79738 | 124158 | 0.8655 | 0.8614 |
| 1998 | 57961 | 70151 | 146014 | 1.0249 | 1.0987 |
| 1999 | 113291 | 56902 | 96225 | 1.1773 | 1.0741 |
| 2000 | 177149 | 41110 | 71371 | 1.2317 | 1.2269 |
| 2001 | 73747 | 30278 | 49694 | 0.9123 | 0.8147 |
| 2002 | 179000 | 39000 |  | 0.9123 |  |
| Average | 357125 | 145568 | 196594 | 0.7874 |  |

Table 3.5.1. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak). RCT3 input .


Table 3.10.2. Cod in Sub-area IV, Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId: Results of TSA No landings data for $2001 \quad$ Number $\times 10^{-4}$

| year |  | landings |  | mea |  | ss |  | stock b | mass | recru |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | actual | predicted | se | estimate | se | estimate | se | estimate | se | estimate | se |
| 1990 | 12.6021 | 12.8477 | 0.5162 | 0.925 | 0.0343 | 7.8777 | 0.3111 | 32.7085 | 0.9106 | 13.3743 | 0.7491 |
| 1991 | 10.2788 | 10.472 | 0.4179 | 0.8869 | 0.0344 | 6.9609 | 0.2713 | 29.0546 | 0.8147 | 16.1234 | 0.8196 |
| 1992 | 11.4839 | 11.2015 | 0.4272 | 0.8763 | 0.034 | 6.7952 | 0.2347 | 39.8725 | 1.0593 | 30.8404 | 1.2591 |
| 1993 | 12.2382 | 12.6184 | 0.5452 | 0.9074 | 0.034 | 6.324 | 0.2095 | 34.4041 | 0.9129 | 15.7371 | 0.7775 |
| 1994 | 11.129 | 11.4914 | 0.4767 | 0.8696 | 0.0312 | 6.4502 | 0.2071 | 41.2572 | 1.2036 | 30.8179 | 1.4925 |
| 1995 | 13.8373 | 13.5187 | 0.5981 | 0.8667 | 0.0309 | 7.084 | 0.2298 | 41.339 | 1.1421 | 22.5102 | 1.0909 |
| 1996 | 12.6463 | 13.4035 | 0.5736 | 0.8463 | 0.0298 | 7.5189 | 0.2315 | 37.4002 | 1.0294 | 17.0759 | 0.9354 |
| 1997 | 12.414 | 12.4287 | 0.5093 | 0.8878 | 0.0328 | 7.7146 | 0.2585 | 49.346 | 1.5926 | 43.1509 | 2.1886 |
| 1998 | 14.6058 | 14.3401 | 0.7284 | 1.0222 | 0.0388 | 6.674 | 0.2404 | 29.7777 | 0.9861 | 4.8811 | 0.3524 |
| 1999 | 9.5897 | 9.9419 | 0.4819 | 1.0176 | 0.0428 | 5.9278 | 0.2657 | 23.4885 | 0.9011 | 11.8118 | 0.8589 |
| 2000 | 7.1351 | 7.1061 | 0.3621 | 1.0186 | 0.0571 | 4.8621 | 0.3665 | 26.1039 | 1.7669 | 22.9403 | 2.5701 |
| 2001 | 4.9686 | 9.0257 | 0.8219 | 1.0111 | 0.0774 | 4.3327 | 0.4408 | 22.8899 | 1.8719 | 8.3403 | 1.5638 |
| 2002 |  | 7.7146 | 0.7634 | 1.0047 | 0.1031 | 3.7753 | 0.3917 | 24.7584 | 4.4088 | 18.977 | 6.2997 |
| 2003 |  | 7.6023 | 1.3474 | 1.0059 | 0.1211 | 3.5748 | 0.5571 | 23.4435 | 5.5463 | 14.8627 | 6.94 |
| 2004 | A | 7.9456 | 1.8372 | 1.0059 | 0.1362 | 3.5473 | 0.7759 | 23.0493 | 6.1388 | 14.244 | 6.78 |
| Including | dings | or 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year |  | landings |  | mea |  | SS |  | stock b | mass | recru |  |
|  | actual | predicted | se | estimate | se | estimate | se | estimate | se | estimate | se |
| 1990 | 12.6021 | 12.8036 | 0.5398 | 0.9246 | 0.036 | 7.8441 | 0.3164 | 32.5964 | 0.9513 | 13.3433 | 0.7826 |
| 1991 | 10.2788 | 10.4464 | 0.4377 | 0.887 | 0.036 | 6.9314 | 0.2758 | 28.9327 | 0.8499 | 16.0324 | 0.8519 |
| 1992 | 11.4839 | 11.1145 | 0.4469 | 0.876 | 0.0356 | 6.7552 | 0.2384 | 39.6857 | 1.1034 | 30.7442 | 1.3107 |
| 1993 | 12.2382 | 12.5669 | 0.5693 | 0.9061 | 0.0355 | 6.2888 | 0.2135 | 34.291 | 0.9526 | 15.7084 | 0.8094 |
| 1994 |  | 11.4454 | 0.4968 | 0.87 | 0.0327 | 6.4271 | 0.2121 | 41.1639 | 1.13 | 30.749 | 1.339 |
| 1995 | 13.8373 | 13.57 | 0.5734 | 0.8709 | 0.0313 | 7.0709 | 0.2351 | 41.2293 | 1.0511 | 22.4072 | 0.9915 |
| 1996 | 12.6463 | 13.269 | 0.5293 | 0.8452 | 0.0286 | 7.4745 | 0.2277 | 37.1415 | 0.9343 | 16.9598 | 0.846 |
| 1997 | 12.414 | 12.392 | 0.4741 | 0.8862 | 0.0301 | 7.6613 | 0.2408 | 48.1596 | 1.3825 | 41.4799 | 1.8746 |
| 1998 | 14.6058 | 14.3401 | 0.6558 | 1.0586 | 0.0356 | 6.6074 | 0.2149 | 29.0027 | 0.8661 | 4.8022 | 0.3516 |
| 1999 | 9.5897 | 9.5629 | 0.4203 | 1.0799 | 0.0384 | 5.5824 | 0.2191 | 21.5062 | 0.7061 | 10.2894 | 0.6727 |
| 2000 | 7.1351 | 6.5131 | 0.3254 | 1.0729 | 0.0524 | 4.1162 | 0.2747 | 20.1418 | 1.0572 | 15.9107 | 1.4661 |
| 2001 | 4.9686 | 5.9567 | 0.3089 | 0.9471 | 0.0687 | 3.17 | 0.3124 | 17.1863 | 1.4345 | 7.0427 | 1.3762 |
| 2002 | 0 | 5.8568 | 0.5811 | 0.9526 | 0.1012 | 3.0743 | 0.3326 | 19.6436 | 3.5882 | 14.7075 | 5.0553 |
| 2003 |  | 6.138 | 1.0461 | 0.9537 | 0.1206 | 3.158 | 0.5096 | 19.4184 | 4.6571 | 12.2222 | 5.7859 |
| 2004 | A | 6.3834 | 1.4634 | 0.9537 | 0.1369 | 3.1406 | 0.7143 | 19.8438 | 5.4225 | 12.493 | 6.0366 |
| No landing | for 2000 | or 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year |  | landings |  | mea |  | ss |  | stock b | mass | recru |  |
|  | actual | predicted | se | estimate | se | estimate | se | estimate | se | estimate | se |
| 1990 | 12.6021 | 12.8546 | 0.5086 | 0.9251 | 0.0338 | 7.8864 | 0.3097 | 32.7252 | 0.894 | 13.3704 | 0.7348 |
| 1991 | 10.2788 | 10.4636 | 0.4096 | 0.886 | 0.0339 | 6.9685 | 0.2704 | 29.0774 | 0.7988 | 16.1433 | 0.8039 |
| 1992 | 11.4839 | 11.22 | 0.4212 | 0.8753 | 0.0335 | 6.8139 | 0.234 | 39.9147 | 1.0407 | 30.8447 | 1.2371 |
| 1993 | 12.2382 | 12.6263 | 0.5376 | 0.9075 | 0.0335 | 6.3454 | 0.2087 | 34.4689 | 0.9226 | 15.7881 | 0.8182 |
| 1994 | 11.129 | 11.5159 | 0.4777 | 0.8684 | 0.0312 | 6.4686 | 0.2072 | 41.2776 | 1.2907 | 30.7875 | 1.63 |
| 1995 | 13.8373 | 13.4378 | 0.6308 | 0.8594 | 0.0318 | 7.1162 | 0.2338 | 41.3279 | 1.2325 | 22.4723 | 1.174 |
| 1996 | 12.6463 | 13.4222 | 0.6117 | 0.8444 | 0.0318 | 7.5839 | 0.2473 | 37.3925 | 1.0859 | 16.89 | 0.9539 |
| 1997 | 12.414 | 12.4619 | 0.5329 | 0.8835 | 0.0351 | 7.8309 | 0.2946 | 50.7086 | 1.7492 | 45.1542 | 2.4262 |
| 1998 | 14.6058 | 14.4226 | 0.7608 | 1.0089 | 0.0435 | 6.8122 | 0.2862 | 30.5348 | 1.0904 | 4.712 | 0.3363 |
| 1999 | 9.5897 | 10.1115 | 0.4996 | 1.0033 | 0.0493 | 6.2458 | 0.336 | 24.2432 | 1.1906 | 11.55 | 1.2391 |
| 2000 | 7.1351 | 8.5752 | 0.7767 | 1.0549 | 0.0699 | 5.7182 | 0.5196 | 30.6117 | 2.4503 | 28.4827 | 3.4848 |
| 2001 | 4.9686 | 10.1643 | 1.0891 | 1.0537 | 0.0843 | 4.54 | 0.4691 | 25.2119 | 2.1407 | 8.7103 | 1.6173 |
| 2002 | 0 | 8.5764 | 0.8855 | 1.0473 | 0.11 | 4.0897 | 0.4203 | 26.5474 | 4.6642 | 19.8947 | 6.6562 |
| 2003 |  | 8.4129 | 1.4763 | 1.0476 | 0.1283 | 3.9333 | 0.6167 | 24.9734 | 5.9678 | 15.966 | 7.5676 |
| 2004 | A | 8.335 | 1.9478 | 1.0476 | 0.1439 | 3.6472 | 0.8152 | 24.3188 | 6.6221 | 15.5031 | 7.4816 |

Figure 3.1.1 Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): Reported landings (tonnes) of cod by statistical rectangle for 1999 from logbook data.

## International cod landings 1999



Figure 3.1.2. Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions VIId and IIIa (Skagerrak): Relative density plots (catch rate) of cod from Q1 IBTS 1996-2001.


Figure 3.2.1. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIIa and VIId: Landings in numbers at age












Figure 3.2.2. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIla and VIId:
Trends in mean weight in the landings relative to means in 1963



Figure 3.3.1a. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIIa and VIId: IBTS Q1_4: Survey cpue indices by age group






Figure 3.3.1b. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIla and VIId: EGFS: Survey cpue indices by age group






Figure 3.3.1c. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIIa and VIId: SCOGFS: Survey cpue indices by age group






Cod in IV, VIId, and IIIa (Skagerrak). Log-catchability residuals for single-fleet XSA runs with low shrinkage (2.0) and constant q on all ages


Figure 3.4.2. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIla (Skagerrak) and VIId:

## Results of single-fleet tunings compared to final XSA



Figure 3.4.3. Cod in sub-area IV and Divisions VIId and IIla:
Exploitation patterns generated by single-fleet tuning runs of XSA




Figure 3.4.4. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions lia and VIId: Log catchability residuals Final Run


Figure 3.4.5. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions IIla (Skagerrak) and VIId. Log ( n ) survey cpue adjusted to the start of the year against $\log (n)$ vpa population numbers.


















Figure 3.4.7. Cod in Sub-area IV and Divisions Illa (Skagerrak) and VIId: Retrospective trends in F, SSB, and recruitment





Figure 3.6.1





Figure 3.9.1 Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId Long-term equilibrium yield and SSB per recruit


Figure 3.9.2 Cod in Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa (Skagerrak) and VIId Stock and Recruitment.


Figure 3.10.1 Cod in IV, VIId, and Skagerrak. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




Figure 3.10.2 Cod in IV, VIId, and IIIa (Skagerrak). Comparison of relative SSB and relative F(204) for different survey-only models.


Figure 3.10.3a Cod in IV, VIId, and IIIa (Skagerrak). Results of separable model on surveys only: IBTS Q1.


IBTS Q1, constant M
Seperable model on survey data only
1

Figure 3.10.3b Cod in IV, VIId, and IIIa (Skagerrak). Results of separable model on surveys only: EGFS.

EGFS, Multispecies M
Seperable model on survey data only


EGFS, Constant M
Seperable model on survey data only


Figure 3.10.3c. Cod in IV, VIId, and IIIa (Skagerrak). Results of separable model on surveys only: SGFS.


Scottish Groundfish Survey, constant M Seperable model on survey data only
Age effect and Q

Figure 3.10.4 Cod in IV, VIId, and IIIa (Skagerrak). Summary of results of separate TSA runs.


### 4.1 The Fishery

In the North Sea, haddock is taken as part of a mixed demersal fishery, with the large majority of the catch being taken by Scottish light trawlers, seiners, and pair trawlers. Until 2002, these gears had a minimum legal mesh size of 100 mm , and smaller quantities were taken by other Scottish vessels, including Nephrops trawlers which used mesh sizes between 70 and 100 mm mesh and hence may have higher discard rates. New gear regulations were brought in for 2002 as a part of the North Sea cod recovery plan (Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2056/2001). Vessels from other countries including England, Denmark, and Norway, also participate in the fishery, and haddock are also taken as a bycatch by Danish and Norwegian vessels fishing for industrial species. In Division IIIa, haddock are taken as a by-catch in a mixed demersal fishery, and in the industrial fishery. Landings from Division IIIa are small compared to the North Sea.

### 4.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002

In 2000 ACFM considered the stock to be outside safe biological limits and recommended that fishing mortality in 2001 should be below the proposed $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.7)$. Due to recruitment of the strong 1999 year class of haddock, SSB would increase above the proposed $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(140000 \mathrm{t})$ in 2001. The assessment in 2001 indicated that the fishing mortality remained above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and that SSB was above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. ACFM advice was to reduce F below $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in 2002. ACFM also recommended measures to reduce discarding in view of the large 1999 year class that was entering the fishery. Due to the very poor state of the North Sea cod stock, ACFM also commented that fishing mortality for North Sea haddock may have to be reduced further to retain consistency with the cod recovery plan.

### 4.1.2 Management applicable in 2001 and 2002

Until 2001 in the main North Sea fishery the minimum legal mesh size was 100 mm , although vessels using smaller mesh sizes to fish for Nephrops or industrial species could land haddock subject to by-catch limits. Unilateral legislation making 90 mm square mesh panels mandatory for UK vessels fishing for roundfish was introduced during summer 2000. That legislation also included constraints on the positioning and construction of the panel, with the intention of making gears more selective for haddock and thus reducing discarding of the large 1999 year class. In 2002, a new gear regulation was introduced by the EU as part of the North Sea cod recovery plan. Details of this regulation are given in Section 2.1. Essentially, it increases the minimum mesh size to 120 mm when fishing for cod, with a oneyear derogation to 110 mm for vessels targeting other roundfish species, including haddock, in the mixed North Sea demersal fishery.

The closure of the Norway Pout box to industrial fishing is another measure by which by-catches of haddock are limited. The minimum landing size for haddock is 30 cm in the North Sea and 27 cm in Division IIIa. In 2001 the spring cod spawning closure displaced vessels from areas where haddock were commonly fished, and for a brief period a number of vessels remained in port.

On an annual basis, management of the fishery is through TACs. In Division IIIa the 2001 TAC was 4000 t , and in the North Sea the 2001 TAC was 61000 t . In 2002 the corresponding TACs are 6300 t and 104000 t .

### 4.1.3 The fishery in 2001

Nominal landings of haddock from Division IIIa for recent years are given in Table 4.1.1, along with Working Group estimates of landings and industrial by-catch. Table 4.1.1 also gives the corresponding figures for haddock in the North Sea. Table 4.1.2 gives the full time-series of Working Group estimates separately for both areas, and Table 4.1.3 gives the corresponding combined-area series.

In Division IIIa total landings during 2001 amounted to about 2 thousand tonnes, with industrial by-catch accounting for about 200 t of this total. The human consumption landings in 2001 represented an increase of about 400 t over the 2000 value, whereas the industrial by-catch represented an equivalent decrease in tonnage.

In the North Sea, human consumption landings in 2001 were around 40000 t , which continues the decline in landings of recent years. The 2001 landing is below the TAC. The levels of discarding increased substantially in 2001, comprising mostly fish of the 1999 year class. The estimated landings and discards in 2001 were less than the predicted values made last year. Section 1.10 illustrates some difficulties inherent in the haddock stock forecasts for the industrial by-catch. These are likely also to affect forecasts for the other catch components for this stock.

Natural mortality estimates are given in Table 4.2.1, along with the maturity ogive. The estimates of natural mortality originate from MSVPA (ICES CM 1989/Assess:20) - see Section 1.3.1.3 of the 1999 WG report for a fuller discussion of the sources of these estimates (ICES CM 2000/ACFM:7). None of the results from the recent meeting of the Multispecies Assessment WG were used in this assessment as there was insufficient time to explore fully the consequences of revising estimates of natural mortality.

The maturities are based on IBTS data. Both natural mortality and maturity are assumed constant with time. Biomass totals are calculated as at the beginning of the year.

For Division IIIa in 2001, age composition data for the human consumption and industrial catches were supplied by Denmark, which accounts for most of the human consumption landings and all of the industrial by-catch in this area. Age composition data for the North Sea human consumption landings were supplied by Denmark, England, France, and Scotland. These nations accounted for $90 \%$ of the total human consumption landings. Industrial by-catch age compositions for the North Sea were supplied by Denmark and Norway. Discard totals and age compositions for the North Sea were estimated from Scottish data. No estimates of discards are available for Division IIIa. Catch-at-age data are given in Table 4.2.2. The catch-at-age data for the North Sea are SOP corrected; there are slight SOP discrepancies in the combined data arising from minor discrepancies in the Division IIIa data. The 1999 year class is numerically the largest in the catches though most of these fish were discarded.

The mean weight-at-age data for the Division IIIa catches do not cover all years and for earlier years are not split by catch category, so only North Sea values have been used. Weight-at-age data from the total catch (i.e., human consumption, discards, and industrial by-catch) in the North Sea, which are also used as stock weights-at-age, are given in Table 4.2.3. The weight-at-age of the 1999 year class as two-year-olds is particularly low. Mean weight-at-age for the total catch and the separate catch components is given for all years in Tables 4.2.3-4.2.6, and are shown in Figure 4.2.1 for the period 1991 - 2001. The mean weight-at-age of discards has remained reasonably consistent over the last decade, whereas there is an indication that for fish older than four in the human consumption landings there has been a reduction in mean weight since the early 1990s, although it may have increased in 2001. For fish older than one in the industrial by-catch, mean weights appear to have been lower in the latter half of the last decade compared to the first half.

### 4.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data

The fleet data available for tuning are listed in Table 4.3.1 along with the age and year ranges for which data are available. The fleets consist of two Scottish commercial fleets and three research vessel surveys. Definitions of the commercial fleets are the same as those given for the equivalent vessels working in Division VIa which are given in the Report of the 1998 Working Group on the Assessment of Northern Shelf Demersal Stocks (ICES CM 1999/ACFM:1, Appendix 2).

The English Groundfish Survey (EGFS) covers the whole of the North Sea in August-September each year to about $200-\mathrm{m}$ depth using a fixed station design of 75 standard tows with the GOV trawl. The Scottish Groundfish survey (SGFS) is undertaken during August each year using a fixed station design using the GOV trawl. Coverage was restricted to the northern part of the North Sea corresponding to the more northerly distribution of haddock, but since 1998 it has been extended into the central North Sea. The indices currently presented for this survey correspond to trawl stations within the area of the old survey coverage to maintain consistency of the time-series. The International Quarter 1 Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS Q1), covers the whole of the North Sea using fixed stations of at least two tows per rectangle with the GOV trawl.

In order to include the most recent information from the IBTS quarter 1 survey, this survey is treated as if it takes place at the end of the preceding year, by appropriate adjustments of the age and year ranges, and of the alpha and beta parameters. The IBTS Q1 survey in 2002 is the only new fishery-independent data since the last assessment. English and Scottish groundfish surveys for 2002 will be available in autumn 2002. These August surveys had not been carried out at the time of the Working Group meeting.

### 4.4 Catch-at-Age Analyses

The five tuning fleets available for this stock include two Scottish commercial series. From 1999 onwards the Scottish commercial effort data are incomplete, making these fleets unsuitable for tuning. In the Scottish August groundfish survey, the vessel and gear used were changed for the 1998 and subsequent surveys, leading to the possibility of catchability change in this series. These survey data were excluded from the 1999-2001 assessments because of
evidence of an increase in catchability for the smaller fish. Last year it was shown that the results from exploratory XSA runs indicated that the choice of assessment model was not critical to the results and that the choice of tuning data was more important. It concluded that there were good reasons to exclude the commercial cpue from tuning, and given the doubts about the consistency of recent SGFS indices it, too, was excluded from the final assessment. This practice has been continued for the current assessment.

### 4.4.1 Exploration of data

Two exploratory runs were undertaken using the individual surveys that comprised the tuning fleets in last year's final XSA. In each case the program default settings were used except that only weak shrinkage was applied and no time taper was used. The relationship between XSA abundance and survey cpue (adjusted to 1 January) from these runs are shown in Figure 4.4.1 and demonstrate high correlation in both cases. These runs are summarised in Figure 4.4.2 which shows the terminal SSB and $F(2-6)$ estimated for 2000 and 2001. The single-fleet estimates are more discrepant than observed in the previous assessment of this stock.

### 4.4.2 Final assessment

In view of last year's sensitivity of the assessment to the estimated abundance of the 1999 year class, it was decided to include all years of the tuning series in the analysis to reduce the leverage of the large survey indices and to treat both ages 0 and 1 as "recruits" to avoid over-estimation of a very influential population. Since the survey data only cover ages 0 to 5 , the q plateau was set above age 3. An identical configuration was used this year. A summary of the assessment settings in recent years is given below.


* These are the true year and age ranges. In XSA they were downshifted by one year.

The consistency of the XSA was evaluated in a retrospective analysis which is shown in Figure 4.4.3. The retrospective results indicate no consistent bias for either SSB or recruit estimates, although there is an indication that fishing mortality has most recently been underestimated. This is fully in accordance with an extended retrospective analysis undertaken last year, which showed that although there was no consistent bias there is auto-correlation in the assessment error and that in the case of fishing mortality the assessment has passed through a period of over-estimation, but appears now to be in a phase of under-estimation.

Log-catchability residuals are given in Table 4.4.1 and shown in Figure 4.4.4 for the two tuning series. Both the IBTS and EGFS residuals indicate a trend in the residual plots, although there is little consistency in the trends between ages or surveys. The tricubic time-taper applied to the tuning period will lessen the effect of time trends in the earlier years.

The contribution of the data to the final population estimates is given in Figure 4.4.5. The surveys contribute most to the estimates of survivors from ages $0-4$ and have approximately $50 \%$ of the weight-at-age 5 . These are the ages at which tuning data are available. The influence of the surveys on the survivors estimates of older ages is much reduced, and the effects of shrinkage dominate for these.

Estimates of fishing mortalities at age from the final XSA run are given in Table 4.4.2, and stock numbers-at-age are given in Table 4.4.3. The present assessment indicates a mean total $F(2-6)$ in 2001 of 0.83 . The current XSA run has revised the estimate of $\mathrm{F}(2-6)$ in 2000 from 0.92 to 1.22 .

### 4.5 Recruitment Estimates

The only new data, since the last assessment, on the strength of the recruiting year classes comes from the catch data for 2001 and the IBTS Q1 index for 2002. Both of these data sources are included in the final XSA run. Additional survey data from the EGFS and SGFS surveys in August 2002 will become available prior to the October 2002 ACFM meeting. Because of this, no RCT3 estimates were made at the Working Group itself. This will be produced prior to the ACFM meeting. Input data files for RCT3 analysis were prepared, and the age 0 input file is shown in Table 4.5.1 showing the survey indices available at the time of the Working Group meeting. $N B$ : the IBTS Q1 index of the 2001 year class at age 1 is the lowest on record by one order of magnitude. This year class was also recorded as the lowest-on-record value as 0 -group fish in the 2001 EGFS.

### 4.5.1 The 1999 year class

The recruitment time-series for haddock in the North Sea and Skagerrak has tended to be characterised by occasional very strong year classes. However, from 1995 to 1998, the year classes that have been recruited to the stock have all been of below-average strength and although the 1994 year class was somewhat stronger, this has now been largely fished-out. Following this series of poor year classes, the 1999 cohort is very strong and thus forms a major part of the catch and the stock in the short to medium term. For this reason, the estimation of the strength of this year class is crucial for the short-term forecasts. The XSA gives an estimate for this year class at age 0 of 111 billion. This is higher than the value used last year of 93 billion, which was also estimated from XSA.

### 4.5.2 The 2000 year class

All the currently available survey data are included in the final XSA run with the surveys contributing $88 \%$ of its estimate of survivors at age 2. The XSA estimate obtained for this year class at age 0 is 21 billion, which is close to the value estimated last year.

### 4.5.3 The 2001 and subsequent year classes

The XSA estimate of this year class at age 0 is 1.4 billion, the lowest value in the time-series of recruits, 1963-2001. The XSA estimate includes the very low indices for this year class from the EGFS in 2000 and the IBTS in 2002. These surveys received $77 \%$ of the weighting in the final population estimate.

The text table below summarises the recruitment values used in the subsequent medium-term analysis (million). No short-term catch forecast was undertaken due to the non-availability of the 2002 EGFS and SGFS August survey indices.

| Year class | Age | XSA | GM(63-99) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1999 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 2 0 7 . 8}$ |  |
| 2000 | 2 | $\mathbf{4 8 5 . 8}$ |  |
| 2001 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 8 5 . 2}$ |  |
| 2002 | 0 |  | $\mathbf{2 5 8 9 5 . 6}$ |

Trends in spawning stock biomass, recruitment, and mean F since 1963 are given in Table 4.6.1 and Figure 4.6.1. Total mean F (2-6) has fluctuated around a mean of 0.94 . Recruitment shows considerable variation, with the current estimate of the 1999 year class indicating that it is the strongest since 1974, while the four preceding year classes and the two subsequent ones are all of below-average strength. Spawning biomass has fluctuated, with occasional peaks corresponding to the maturation of strong year classes. SSB has declined in recent years as a result of a high fishing mortality rate and low recruitment. The estimate for 2001 is the third lowest recorded. However, the 1999 year class has resulted in a rapid increase in SSB for the short term.

### 4.7 Short-Term Prognosis

No short-term forecast was undertaken at the WG meeting due to the non-availability of the August EGFS and SGFS survey indices for 2002. These indices will be available prior to the October ACFM meeting, and short-term forecasts will be carried out at an $a d h o c$ meeting of WGNSSK members immediately before the ACFM meeting.

As an aide memoire to participants at the ad hoc meeting, the following points should be specifically addressed in the short-term catch forecast for this stock:

- Check the mean weights-at-age taken forward in forecasts by stock and catch component, particularly for the 1999 year class. A three-year mean may not represent appropriate values for this year class;
- Discard proportions for the human consumption fisheries can be estimated as a recent three-year mean, which is the usual practice, or by relating discard proportions to the mean weight-at-age of fish in the stock (refer to documentation from the EU MATES contract interim report). Explore both approaches;
- The estimates of total fishing mortality on the dominant 1999 year class at age 1 in 2000 and age 2 in 2001 are relatively low (this is true also for the 1998 year class at age 1 in 2001). If this is solely a year-class effect, the incorporation of these values in the three-year means used for forecasting may inappropriately distort the exploitation pattern taken forward into prediction;
- Explore the potential effects of the EU technical conservation measures on mesh size, etc., enacted during 2002, including the 110 mm derogation in 2002;
- Refer to Section 1.10 of this report regarding the performance of forecasts for this stock.


### 4.8 Medium-Term Prognosis

Medium-term projections have been undertaken this year using a Beverton-Holt stock-recruitment curve (Table 4.8.1) and using default input values to the "Insens" program used to generate input files for forecasting (Table 4.8.2), ie mean weights- and F-at-age were calculated using a three-year mean, 1999-2001. F-at-age was scaled to the 2001 value of mean F.

The choice of stock-recruit curve is consistent with previous analyses.

The results of the projections for status quo F and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ are shown in Figure 4.8.1. In addition Figure 4.8.2 gives a summary diagram showing the probability that SSB is below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ for any of the stated values of fishing mortality over the next decade. At status quo F , there is a moderately high probability (greater than $40 \%$ ) that SSB will be below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in the medium term. This reflects a change from last year's medium-term prognosis, where the probability level was $c a$. $30 \%$. This change is due to the high variability in starting conditions for the simulations from year to year due to the extreme fluctuations in recruitment seen recently, and the high fishing mortality rate.

### 4.9 Biological Reference Points

A yield-per-recruit curve based on the inputs to the medium-term forecast (Table 4.8.2) is given in Figure 4.9.1, and the stock-recruitment plot is given in Figure 4.9.2. The reference points given on Figure 4.9.1 are based on the total yield-per-recruit curve assuming constant industrial fishing mortality. The maximum on the human consumption (landings only) yield-per-recruit curve occurs at a fishing mortality of ca. 0.25 in the human consumption fishery. The text table below gives the values of various biological reference points for this stock, as well as the 'lim' and PA reference points currently used by ACFM.

| $\mathbf{F}_{\max }$ | $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.25^{*}$ | 0.18 | 0.49 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 140000 t | 100000 t |

* corresponding to HC landings only

Figure 4.9.3 shows how the stock has performed in relation to the agreed PA values. In the majority of years, F has been above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ but SSB above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. In 2000, SSB is below $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ and F is above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. In 2001 and 2002, SSB is above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ due to maturation of the strong 1999 year class, and F remains above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

### 4.10 Quality of the Assessment

Figure 4.10 .1 shows a retrospective analysis of the assessments carried out since 1990 as adopted by ACFM relative to the current assessment. Over this period there is a strong tendency to over-estimate SSB and under-estimate F. It is likely that part of this problem is due to the inclusion of commercial cpue data in past assessments which are no longer used. The retrospective analysis presented in Figure 4.4.2 of the current assessment settings suggests that the problem of under-estimating F has been reduced, but as noted earlier, due to the auto-correlation of assessment errors, the present assessment is still likely to be in a period of under-estimation of F . Typical errors appear to be in the range of $10-20 \%$ for F and $5-10 \%$ for SSB.

The retrospective pattern for recruitment suggests that recent year-class estimates have been better than in the early 1990s. Because the survey indices for the 1999 year class are the largest in the time-series it is still difficult to estimate the size of this year class with any precision. The current estimate is higher than last year's because the survey indices continue to give a strong signal. It should be noted that new survey indices from the EGFS and SCFS will be available before the October ACFM meeting and it may be possible to refine the estimates of recent year classes.

Discussion of the forecasting performance in the assessment of this stock is given in Section 1.10.

### 4.11 Management Considerations

### 4.11.1 State of the stock

At present the biomass and catches from the stock are driven almost entirely by the 1999 year class, as most of the other cohorts are average or below. It is particularly noticeable that at the present rate of fishing mortality the spawning stock will be quickly eroded and that by 2004 there is a moderately high probability that it will be below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. This illustrates the fact that the only factor maintaining the stock above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is the random occurrence of very large year classes. A sequence of average or poor recruitment might easily result in stock collapse. Periods of poor recruitment have been more frequent from the 1980s and onwards.

The present exploitation pattern combined with the large 1999 year class means that discarding is very high. The yield-per-recruit analysis indicates that the total yield lost through discarding over the life of the year class is approximately equal to the accumulated landings of the cohort during its lifetime in the stock. This represents a very large amount of foregone catch.

### 4.11.2 Management issues

Haddock, while a principal target for some fleets, are taken in a mixed roundfish fishery. This means it is important to take into account the impact of management of haddock on other stocks, notably cod and whiting. The reverse, of course, is also true. Recent measures to protect North Sea cod, such as the closed area, and proposals to increase mesh size, will affect the haddock fishery. In the long term improvements in selectivity related to measures to protect cod should benefit the haddock fishery by reducing discards and increasing landings.

There is frequently debate about the extent to which the cod-haddock-whiting fisheries are linked. This linkage is not one-to-one, but it is also true that they are far from separate. It is possible for fishing vessels to increase their targeting of individual species, but this is never perfect and there will always be a significant by-catch of other roundfish. Hence, for example, measures to protect cod will require at least some reduction in the fishing mortality for haddock, and vice versa. This means that TACs for the three main roundfish species do need to be set in a way which acknowledges the fishery linkage, but it remains difficult to determine how close this linkage should be. This Working Group has explored one approach to address this problem (Section 1.4.6), although this still assumes a constant linkage within fleets.

Table 4.1.1 Nominal catch ( t ) of Haddock from Division IIIa and the North Sea 1990-2001, as officially reported to ICES and estimated by ACFM.
Division IIIa

| Country | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $2001^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 14 | 9 | 4 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Denmark | 3,812 | 1,600 | 1,458 | 1,576 | 2,523 | 2,501 | 3,168 | 1,012 | 1,033 | 1,590 |
| Germany | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 128 |
| Norway | 184 | 153 | 142 | 135 | 115 | 188 | 188 | 168 | $126^{*}$ | 148 |
| Sweden | 744 | 436 | 408 | 498 | 536 | 835 | 529 | 26 | 377 | 285 |
| Total reported | 4,754 | 2,198 | 2,013 | 2,228 | 3,179 | 3,529 | 3,896 | 1,389 | 1,527 | 2,158 |
| Unallocated | -358 | -239 | -180 | -37 | -37 | -128 | -137 | -29 | -42 | -255 |
| WG estimate of H.cons. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| landings | 4,396 | 1,959 | 1,833 | 2,191 | 3,142 | 3,401 | 3,759 | 1,360 | 1,485 | 1,903 |
| WG estimate of industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| by-catch | 4,604 | 2,415 | 2,180 | 2,162 | 2,925 | 610 | 275 | 334 | 617 | 218 |
| WG estimate of total catch | 9,000 | 4,374 | 4,013 | 4,353 | 6,067 | 4,011 | 4,034 | 1,694 | 2,102 | 2,121 |
| * Prin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Preliminary

Subarea IV

| Country | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 415 | 292 | 306 | 407 | 215 | 436 | 724 | 462 | 399 | 606 |
| Denmark | 1,476 | 3,582 | 3,208 | 2,902 | 2,520 | 2,722 | 2,608 | 2,104 | 1,670 | 2,407 |
| Faroe Islands | 13 | 25 | 43 | 49 | 13 | 9 | 43 | 55 | - | - |
| France | 508 | 960 | 587 | 441 | 369 | 548 | $427^{*}$ | $742^{*}$ | $1,152^{2^{*}}$ | $576^{1}$ |
| Germany | 764 | 348 | 1,829 | 1,284 | 1,769 | 1,462 | 1,314 | 565 | 342 | 681 |
| Netherlands | 148 | 192 | 96 | 147 | 110 | 480 | 275 | 110 | 119 | $274^{2}$ |
| Norway | 3,273 | 2,655 | 2,355 | 2,461 | 2,295 | 2,354 | 3,262 | 3,830 | $3,118^{*}$ | 1,877 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 18 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 13 | 12 |
| Sweden | 1,289 | 908 | 551 | 722 | 689 | 655 | 472 | 686 | 596 | 812 |
| UK (Engl. \& Wales) | 2,926 | 4,259 | 4,043 | 3,616 | 3,379 | 3,330 | 3,280 | 2,398 | 1,876 |  |
| UK (Isle of Man) | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 73 | 18 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | 39,896 | 66,799 | 73,793 | 63,411 | 63,542 | 61,098 | 60,3234 | 53,628 | 37,772 |  |
| UK(all) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32,544 |  |
| Total reported | 50,792 | 80,038 | 86,820 | 75,440 | 74,919 | 73,102 | 72,736 | 64,597 | 47,057 | 39,789 |
| Unallocated landings | 19,426 | -458 | -5923 | -127 | 1,115 | 5,993 | 4,665 | -388 | -973 | -831 |

WG estimate of H.cons.

| landings | 70,218 | 79,580 | 80,897 | 75,313 | 76,034 | 79,095 | 77,311 | 64,209 | 46,084 | 38,958 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| WG estimate of discards | 47,967 | 79,601 | 65,392 | 57,360 | 72,522 | 52,105 | 45,175 | 42,562 | 48,841 | 118,320 |

WG estimate of industrial

| by-catch | 10,816 | 10,741 | 3,561 | 7,747 | 5,048 | 6,689 | 5,101 | 3,834 | 8,133 | 7,879 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


${ }^{*}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{1}$ Includes IIa(EC). ${ }^{2}$ Note: Not included here 21 t of haddock reported in area unknown.

## Division IIIa and Subarea IV

|  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| WG estimate of | 138,001 | 174,296 | 153,863 | 144,773 | 159,671 | 141,900 | 131,621 | 112,299 | 105,160 | 167,278 |
| Total Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.1.2
Catches ('000 t) of Haddock from the North Sea and Division IIIa, 1963-2001. Figures are Working Group estimates.

|  |  | North Sea |  |  |  | Division IIIa |  | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | H.cons | Disc | Ind. BC | Total | H. cons. | Ind. BC | Total |  |
| 1963 | 68.4 | 189.0 | 13.7 | 271.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 271.6 |
| 1964 | 130.5 | 160.3 | 88.6 | 379.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 380.1 |
| 1965 | 161.6 | 62.2 | 74.6 | 298.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 299.4 |
| 1966 | 225.8 | 73.6 | 46.7 | 346.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 346.8 |
| 1967 | 147.4 | 78.1 | 20.7 | 246.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 246.7 |
| 1968 | 105.4 | 161.9 | 34.2 | 301.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 302.0 |
| 1969 | 330.9 | 260.2 | 338.4 | 929.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 930.5 |
| 1970 | 524.6 | 101.4 | 179.7 | 805.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 806.6 |
| 1971 | 235.4 | 177.5 | 31.5 | 444.4 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 446.7 |
| 1972 | 192.9 | 128.1 | 29.6 | 350.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 353.6 |
| 1973 | 178.6 | 114.7 | 11.3 | 304.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 307.7 |
| 1974 | 149.6 | 166.8 | 47.8 | 364.2 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 4.6 | 368.8 |
| 1975 | 146.6 | 260.4 | 41.4 | 448.4 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 454.5 |
| 1976 | 165.6 | 154.3 | 48.2 | 368.1 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 9.0 | 377.1 |
| 1977 | 137.3 | 44.3 | 35.0 | 216.6 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 9.8 | 226.4 |
| 1978 | 85.8 | 76.9 | 10.8 | 173.5 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 180.1 |
| 1979 | 83.1 | 41.7 | 16.4 | 141.2 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 146.0 |
| 1980 | 98.6 | 94.7 | 22.3 | 215.6 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 7.9 | 223.5 |
| 1981 | 129.6 | 60.1 | 17.1 | 206.8 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 10.3 | 217.1 |
| 1982 | 165.8 | 40.5 | 19.4 | 225.7 | 10.8 | 1.3 | 12.1 | 237.8 |
| 1983 | 159.3 | 65.9 | 13.1 | 238.3 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 15.2 | 253.5 |
| 1984 | 128.1 | 75.3 | 10.1 | 213.5 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 9.1 | 222.6 |
| 1985 | 158.5 | 85.4 | 6.0 | 249.9 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 258.1 |
| 1986 | 165.5 | 52.2 | 2.6 | 220.3 | 171.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 5.3 |

Table 4.1.3 Catches of Haddock from the North Sea and Division IIIa combined 1963-2001. Figures are Working Group estimates.

| Year | Wt. ( $1000 t$ ) |  |  |  | Nos.(millions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | H.cons | Disc | IBC | Total | H.cons | Disc | IBC |
| 1963 | 272 | 69 | 189 | 14 | 1685 | 182 | 1246 | 257 |
| 1964 | 380 | 131 | 160 | 89 | 1597 | 353 | 644 | 601 |
| 1965 | 299 | 162 | 62 | 75 | 1723 | 372 | 254 | 1097 |
| 1966 | 347 | 226 | 74 | 47 | 3135 | 408 | 490 | 2238 |
| 1967 | 247 | 148 | 78 | 21 | 1423 | 273 | 448 | 701 |
| 1968 | 302 | 106 | 162 | 34 | 1620 | 222 | 838 | 560 |
| 1969 | 931 | 331 | 260 | 339 | 4007 | 911 | 1203 | 1893 |
| 1970 | 807 | 525 | 101 | 180 | 3385 | 1247 | 515 | 1624 |
| 1971 | 447 | 237 | 177 | 32 | 2680 | 477 | 1282 | 921 |
| 1972 | 354 | 195 | 128 | 30 | 1735 | 434 | 760 | 541 |
| 1973 | 308 | 182 | 115 | 11 | 1290 | 456 | 660 | 174 |
| 1974 | 369 | 153 | 167 | 49 | 2414 | 365 | 1091 | 958 |
| 1975 | 455 | 151 | 260 | 43 | 2994 | 374 | 1862 | 758 |
| 1976 | 377 | 173 | 154 | 50 | 1667 | 412 | 788 | 466 |
| 1977 | 226 | 145 | 44 | 37 | 934 | 338 | 226 | 370 |
| 1978 | 180 | 92 | 77 | 12 | 1072 | 205 | 418 | 449 |
| 1979 | 146 | 87 | 42 | 17 | 1517 | 199 | 286 | 1032 |
| 1980 | 224 | 105 | 95 | 24 | 1506 | 233 | 541 | 732 |
| 1981 | 217 | 139 | 60 | 18 | 1368 | 288 | 298 | 782 |
| 1982 | 238 | 177 | 41 | 21 | 987 | 325 | 181 | 481 |
| 1983 | 254 | 167 | 66 | 20 | 1269 | 305 | 389 | 576 |
| 1984 | 223 | 135 | 75 | 13 | 878 | 258 | 412 | 208 |
| 1985 | 258 | 166 | 85 | 7 | 983 | 371 | 457 | 155 |
| 1986 | 226 | 169 | 52 | 4 | 760 | 376 | 308 | 76 |
| 1987 | 177 | 112 | 59 | 6 | 702 | 233 | 334 | 136 |
| 1988 | 176 | 108 | 62 | 5 | 662 | 258 | 362 | 42 |
| 1989 | 109 | 80 | 26 | 3 | 303 | 173 | 111 | 19 |
| 1990 | 93 | 56 | 33 | 5 | 331 | 114 | 192 | 25 |
| 1991 | 97 | 49 | 40 | 8 | 473 | 107 | 218 | 148 |
| 1992 | 138 | 75 | 48 | 15 | 780 | 163 | 267 | 350 |
| 1993 | 174 | 82 | 80 | 13 | 883 | 178 | 441 | 264 |
| 1994 | 154 | 83 | 65 | 6 | 615 | 171 | 347 | 98 |
| 1995 | 145 | 78 | 57 | 10 | 863 | 166 | 316 | 382 |
| 1996 | 160 | 79 | 73 | 8 | 882 | 171 | 340 | 372 |
| 1997 | 142 | 82 | 52 | 7 | 508 | 180 | 231 | 97 |
| 1998 | 132 | 81 | 45 | 5 | 442 | 178 | 212 | 52 |
| 1999 | 112 | 66 | 43 | 4 | 467 | 145 | 209 | 113 |
| 2000 | 105 | 48 | 49 | 9 | 577 | 102 | 328 | 147 |
| 2001 | 167 | 41 | 118 | 8 | 772 | 98 | 600 | 73 |
| Min. | 93 | 41 | 26 | 3 | 303 | 98 | 111 | 19 |
| Mean | 261 | 136 | 92 | 33 | 1331 | 303 | 515 | 512 |
| Max. | 931 | 525 | 260 | 339 | 4007 | 1247 | 1862 | 2238 |

Table 4.2.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Natural mortality and proportion mature-at-age.
TABLE $\qquad$ ; Haddock, North Sea and IIIa
Natural Mortality and proportion mature

| Age | Nat Mor\| | Mat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.050 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 1.650 | 0.010 |
| 2 | 0.400 | 0.320 |
| 3 | 0.250 | 0.710 |
| 4 | 0.250 | 0.870 |
| 5 | 0.200 | 0.950 |
| 6 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 7 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 8 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 9 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| $10+1$ | 0.200 | 1.000 |

Table 4.2.2
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Total international catch-at-age in numbers ('000s), 1963-2001




Table 4.2.3
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Mean weight-at-age in the stock, 1963-2001.

| \| Age | | 1963 | I | 1964 | । | 1965 | । | 1966 | । | 1967 | \| | 1968 | \| | 1969 | \| | 1970 | \| | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.012 | I | 0.011 | I | 0.010 | 1 | 0.010 | 1 | 0.011 | I | 0.010 | I | 0.011 | I | 0.013 | I | 0.011 |
| 1 | 0.123 | \| | 0.118 | । | 0.069 | 1 | 0.088 | 1 | 0.115 | । | 0.126 | । | 0.063 | । | 0.073 | , | 0.107 |
| 2 \| | 0.253 | I | 0.239 | 1 | 0.225 | I | 0.247 | 1 | 0.281 | 1 | 0.253 | I | 0.216 | 1 | 0.222 | 1 | 0.247 |
| 13 | 0.473 | I | 0.403 | I | 0.366 | I | 0.367 | I | 0.461 | I | 0.509 | I | 0.406 | I | 0.352 | 1 | 0.362 |
| 14 | 0.695 | I | 0.664 | I | 0.648 | I | 0.533 | I | 0.594 | I | 0.731 | I | 0.799 | 1 | 0.735 | I | 0.506 |
| 5 | 0.807 | I | 0.814 | 1 | 0.844 | I | 0.949 | I | 0.639 | I | 0.857 | I | 0.891 | I | 0.873 | 1 | 0.887 |
| 1 6 | 1.004 | I | 0.908 | I | 1.193 | 1 | 1.266 | , | 1.057 | I | 0.837 | I | 1.031 | , | 1.191 | , | 1.267 |
| 17 | 1.131 | । | 1.382 | । | 1.173 | । | 1.525 | 1 | 1.501 | I | 1.606 | I | 1.094 | । | 1.362 | I | 1.534 |
| 18 | 1.173 | I | 1.148 | 1 | 1.482 | I | 1.938 | 1 | 1.922 | I | 2.260 | I | 2.040 | 1 | 1.437 | 1 | 1.337 |
| \| 9 | 1.576 | I | 1.470 | I | 1.707 | I | 1.727 | , | 2.069 | , | 2.702 | I | 3.034 | , | 2.571 | , | 1.275 |
| \| $10+1$ | 1.825 | 1 | 1.781 | , | 2.239 | । | 2.889 | , | 2.348 | 1 | 2.073 | I | 3.264 | 1 | 3.899 | 1 | 2.058 |


| Age \| | 1972 | \\| | 1973 | । | 1974 | \| | 1975 | । | 1976 | \| | 1977 | \| | 1978 | \| | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.024 | I | 0.044 | , | 0.024 | , | 0.020 | \| | 0.013 | I | 0.019 | \| | 0.011 | I | 0.009 | 1 | 0.012 |  | 0.009 |
| 1 | 0.116 | , | 0.112 | 1 | 0.128 | + | 0.101 | । | 0.125 | I | 0.108 | I | 0.144 | I | 0.095 | I | 0.104 | I | 0.074 |
| 2 | 0.242 | I | 0.240 | 1 | 0.226 | 1 | 0.241 | I | 0.224 | I | 0.241 | I | 0.253 | I | 0.290 | I | 0.283 | I | 0.262 |
| 3 | 0.388 | I | 0.372 | । | 0.343 | , | 0.356 | I | 0.401 | 1 | 0.345 | 1 | 0.418 | I | 0.443 | 1 | 0.486 | I | 0.476 |
| 4 | 0.506 | 1 | 0.586 | 1 | 0.548 | । | 0.449 | I | 0.512 | , | 0.601 | 1 | 0.441 | 1 | 0.637 | I | 0.732 | I | 0.745 |
| 5 | 0.606 | I | 0.649 | 1 | 0.891 | 1 | 0.680 | 1 | 0.588 | I | 0.613 | I | 0.719 | I | 0.664 | 1 | 1.046 | I | 1.147 |
| 6 | 1.000 | 1 | 0.725 | 1 | 0.895 | , | 1.245 | I | 0.922 | 1 | 0.802 | , | 0.742 | । | 0.933 | I | 0.936 | । | 1.479 |
| 7 | 1.366 | , | 1.044 | 1 | 0.952 | 1 | 1.124 | I | 1.933 | 1 | 1.181 | I | 0.955 | I | 1.187 | I | 1.394 | I | 1.180 |
| 8 | 2.241 | I | 1.302 | । | 1.513 | , | 1.093 | । | 1.784 | 1 | 1.943 | I | 1.398 | I | 1.187 | , | 1.599 | I | 1.634 |
| \| 9 | 2.006 | 1 | 2.796 | । | 2.315 | । | 1.720 | I | 1.306 | । | 2.322 | I | 2.124 | , | 1.468 | I | 1.593 | 1 | 1.764 |
| \| 10+| | 1.684 | । | 1.828 | , | 2.639 | 1 | 2.420 | 1 | 2.430 | 1 | 1.812 | I | 2.158 | 1 | 2.374 | I | 2.143 | 1 | 1.709 |


| \| Age| | 1982 | I | 1983 | I | 1984 | 1 | 1985 | I | 1986 | \| | 1987 | \| | 1988 | \| | 1989 |  | 1990 |  | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| 0 | 0.011 | \| | 0.022 | । | 0.010 | । | 0.013 | \| | 0.025 | \| | 0.008 | \| | 0.024 | I | 0.027 | 1 | 0.044 | \| | 0.029 |
| \| 1 | 0.100 | I | 0.135 | । | 0.141 | । | 0.149 | I | 0.124 | 1 | 0.126 | I | 0.165 | 1 | 0.197 | \| | 0.194 | । | 0.177 |
| 2 | 0.292 | 1 | 0.297 | I | 0.300 | 1 | 0.279 | । | 0.242 | 1 | 0.265 | I | 0.217 | । | 0.300 | I | 0.292 | 1 | 0.320 |
| 13 | 0.460 | I | 0.448 | । | 0.489 | । | 0.480 | । | 0.397 | I | 0.406 | , | 0.417 | 1 | 0.372 | 1 | 0.430 | 1 | 0.472 |
| 4 | 0.784 | I | 0.651 | । | 0.670 | । | 0.668 | I | 0.613 | । | 0.615 | I | 0.589 | I | 0.605 | , | 0.473 | 1 | 0.639 |
| 5 | 1.166 | I | 0.915 | । | 0.805 | । | 0.857 | I | 0.863 | । | 1.029 | , | 0.748 | 1 | 0.811 | 1 | 0.771 | I | 0.650 |
| 6 | 1.441 | । | 1.214 | । | 1.097 | । | 1.049 | । | 1.257 | । | 1.276 | । | 1.284 | 1 | 0.982 | I | 0.967 | । | 1.042 |
| 7 | 1.672 | I | 1.162 | । | 1.100 | । | 1.459 | I | 1.195 | । | 1.433 | , | 1.424 | I | 1.364 | I | 1.167 | I | 1.232 |
| 18 | 1.456 | I | 1.920 | । | 1.868 | । | 1.833 | 1 | 1.715 | । | 1.529 | , | 1.551 | 1 | 1.655 | , | 1.529 | 1 | 1.481 |
| \| 9 | 2.634 | I | 1.376 | । | 2.425 | । | 2.124 | । | 1.525 | \| | 1.877 | I | 1.627 | 1 | 1.684 | I | 2.037 | 1 | 1.776 |
| \| 10+| | 2.156 | 1 | 1.725 | । | 2.050 | 1 | 2.043 | 1 | 2.607 | 1 | 2.217 | I | 2.363 | 1 | 2.229 | I | 2.618 | \| | 2.092 |


| Age ! | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 199 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.018 | \| | 0.010 | \| | 0.017 | \| | 0.013 | \| | 0.019 |  | 0.021 | \| | 0.023 | \| | 0.023 | \| | 0.048 |  | 0.021 |  |
| 1 | 0.107 | । | 0.115 | । | 0.116 | \| | 0.102 | । | 0.127 |  | 0.133 | I | 0.153 |  | 0.168 | \| | 0.119 |  | 0.109 |  |
| 2 | 0.306 | \| | 0.280 | । | 0.250 | । | 0.297 | । | 0.246 | I | 0.277 | । | 0.252 | \| | 0.243 | \| | 0.253 | \| | 0.216 |  |
| 3 | 0.486 | , | 0.447 | । | 0.419 | \| | 0.363 | । | 0.388 |  | 0.359 | I | 0.392 | \| | 0.361 | I | 0.367 | \| | 0.309 |  |
| 4 | 0.748 | - | 0.680 | , | 0.597 | I | 0.592 | । | 0.483 |  | 0.579 | । | 0.440 | । | 0.473 | । | 0.498 | । | 0.466 |  |
| 5 | 1.016 | । | 0.894 | । | 0.943 | I | 0.763 | \| | 0.780 |  | 0.615 | \| | 0.651 | \| | 0.498 | \| | 0.615 | \| | 0.697 |  |
| 6 | 0.896 | । | 1.173 | I | 1.208 | I | 1.099 | । | 0.870 | I | 0.909 | I | 0.760 | । | 0.680 | I | 0.650 | I | 0.754 |  |
| 7 | 1.395 | । | 1.102 | । | 1.570 | \| | 1.423 | । | 0.846 |  | 0.966 | । | 1.103 | I | 0.782 | \| | 1.100 | । | 0.971 |  |
| 8 | 1.537 | , | 1.592 | । | 1.469 | । | 1.685 | । | 1.833 | \| | 1.647 | I | 1.153 | । | 0.749 | । | 1.091 | । | 1.892 |  |
| 9 \| | 1.912 | । | 1.737 | । | 1.620 | \| | 1.873 | 1 | 2.025 |  | 2.247 | \| | 1.825 | । | 1.247 | । | 1.760 | \| | 1.198 |  |
| $10+1$ | 2.021 | । | 1.874 | । | 2.444 | । | 1.986 | 1 | 1.970 | \| | 2.388 | I | 2.352 | 1 | 1.780 | 1 | 2.054 | । | 2.252 |  |

Table 4.2.4
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Mean weight-at-age in the human consumption landings, 1963-2001.

| Age I | 1963 | I | 1964 | । | 1965 | । | 1966 | \| | 1967 | \| | 1968 | \| | 1969 | \| | 1970 | \| | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \\| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.233 | I | 0.221 | । | 0.310 | 1 | 0.301 | । | 0.260 | I | 0.256 | I | 0.178 | I | 0.242 | I | 0.256 |
| 2 | 0.326 | I | 0.313 | I | 0.357 | 1 | 0.384 | 1 | 0.404 | I | 0.361 | I | 0.302 | I | 0.310 | I | 0.335 |
| 3 | 0.512 | I | 0.459 | I | 0.410 | 1 | 0.416 | I | 0.510 | I | 0.591 | I | 0.506 | I | 0.403 | 1 | 0.399 |
| 4 | 0.715 | । | 0.695 | । | 0.679 | 1 | 0.553 | । | 0.614 | I | 0.761 | I | 0.870 | I | 0.786 | 1 | 0.524 |
| 15 | 0.817 | 1 | 0.870 | 1 | 0.907 | I | 0.995 | 1 | 0.645 | I | 0.863 | I | 0.984 | I | 0.949 | I | 0.905 |
| 6 | 1.009 | 1 | 0.934 | । | 1.242 | 1 | 1.288 | 1 | 1.063 | I | 0.846 | I | 1.065 | । | 1.235 | । | 1.281 |
| 7 | 1.131 | 1 | 1.386 | 1 | 1.182 | 1 | 1.529 | 1 | 1.501 | I | 1.610 | 1 | 1.102 | 1 | 1.370 | I | 1.534 |
| 8 | 1.173 | I | 1.148 | I | 1.482 | 1 | 1.938 | 1 | 1.922 | I | 2.260 | I | 2.040 | I | 1.437 | I | 1.337 |
| \| 9 | | 1.576 | 1 | 1.470 | 1 | 1.707 | , | 1.727 | 1 | 2.069 | । | 2.702 | , | 3.034 | 1 | 2.571 | । | 1.275 |
| \| $10+1$ | 1.825 | 1 | 1.781 | । | 2.239 | , | 2.889 | । | 2.348 | । | 2.073 | 1 | 3.264 | । | 3.899 | 1 | 2.058 |


| Age I | 1972 | \| | 1973 | \| | 1974 | \| | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 | I | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.244 | I | 0.225 | । | 0.275 | I | 0.258 | I | 0.250 | I | 0.286 | I | 0.275 | । | 0.274 | I | 0.299 | । | 0.339 |
| 2 | 0.329 | I | 0.315 | I | 0.320 | I | 0.345 | I | 0.344 | I | 0.362 | I | 0.356 | I | 0.361 | I | 0.367 | I | 0.385 |
| 3 | 0.421 | । | 0.406 | । | 0.389 | । | 0.408 | 1 | 0.467 | । | 0.396 | \\| | 0.457 | I | 0.468 | I | 0.526 | । | 0.525 |
| 4 | 0.523 | I | 0.606 | I | 0.585 | । | 0.487 | 1 | 0.516 | I | 0.614 | I | 0.470 | I | 0.642 | I | 0.750 | । | 0.754 |
| 5 | 0.609 | I | 0.663 | 1 | 0.908 | I | 0.686 | I | 0.614 | I | 0.630 | I | 0.725 | I | 0.668 | I | 1.056 | I | 1.149 |
| \| 6 | 1.003 | I | 0.726 | I | 0.954 | । | 1.248 | I | 0.923 | I | 0.817 | I | 0.789 | I | 0.935 | I | 0.934 | । | 1.481 |
| 7 | 1.366 | I | 1.044 | I | 0.963 | I | 1.124 | I | 1.933 | I | 1.181 | I | 0.956 | I | 1.187 | I | 1.392 | I | 1.180 |
| 8 | 2.241 | I | 1.302 | I | 1.513 | I | 1.094 | । | 1.784 | I | 1.943 | I | 1.398 | I | 1.187 | I | 1.599 | I | 1.634 |
| 9 | 2.006 | । | 2.796 | 1 | 2.315 | I | 1.720 | 1 | 1.306 | I | 2.322 | I | 2.124 | I | 1.468 | I | 1.592 | I | 1.764 |
| $10+1$ | 1.684 | । | 1.828 | 1 | 2.639 | 1 | 2.420 | 1 | 2.430 | I | 1.812 | I | 2.158 | I | 2.374 | I | 2.143 | I | 1.709 |


| \| Age | | 1982 | I | 1983 | I | 1984 | \| | 1985 | \| | 1986 | \| | 1987 | I | 1988 | \| | 1989 | \| | 1990 |  | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| 1 | | 0.300 | I | 0.312 | । | 0.281 | I | 0.277 | । | 0.276 | 1 | 0.274 | I | 0.258 | 1 | 0.310 | । | 0.308 | । | 0.319 |
| 2 \| | 0.364 | । | 0.387 | । | 0.376 | । | 0.359 | । | 0.351 | 1 | 0.345 | I | 0.324 | 1 | 0.388 | 1 | 0.379 | 1 | 0.377 |
| 13 | 0.507 | । | 0.482 | । | 0.515 | । | 0.502 | । | 0.433 | 1 | 0.451 | I | 0.445 | 1 | 0.415 | 1 | 0.484 | 1 | 0.480 |
| 4 | 0.818 | I | 0.663 | । | 0.677 | । | 0.671 | । | 0.613 | 1 | 0.622 | 1 | 0.619 | 1 | 0.617 | 1 | 0.516 | I | 0.643 |
| 5 \| | 1.237 | । | 0.925 | । | 0.810 | । | 0.871 | । | 0.863 | 1 | 1.029 | I | 0.752 | I | 0.810 | I | 0.802 | 1 | 0.653 |
| \| 61 | 1.441 | । | 1.243 | । | 1.097 | । | 1.051 | । | 1.257 | । | 1.276 | । | 1.284 | , | 0.982 | I | 1.039 | 1 | 1.042 |
| 17 | 1.672 | I | 1.162 | । | 1.100 | । | 1.459 | । | 1.195 | 1 | 1.433 | I | 1.424 | I | 1.361 | I | 1.191 | I | 1.232 |
| 18 | 1.456 | I | 1.920 | । | 1.868 | । | 1.833 | । | 1.715 | 1 | 1.529 | I | 1.551 | , | 1.653 | 1 | 1.543 | 1 | 1.481 |
| \| 9 | 2.634 | । | 1.376 | । | 2.425 | । | 2.124 | । | 1.525 | 1 | 1.877 | I | 1.627 | 1 | 1.684 | , | 2.037 | 1 | 1.776 |
| \| $10+1$ | 2.156 | । | 1.725 | । | 2.050 | । | 2.043 | । | 2.607 | 1 | 2.217 | \\| | 2.363 | 1 | 2.221 | 1 | 2.618 | 1 | 2.092 |


| Age \| | 1992 |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | \| | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |
| 1 | 0.336 | I | 0.326 |  | 0.288 | \| | 0.312 |  | 0.342 |  | 0.333 |  | 0.263 |  | 0.286 |  | 0.298 |  | 0.378 |
| 2 | 0.379 | I | 0.393 | । | 0.390 | । | 0.396 | । | 0.359 | । | 0.396 | \\| | 0.361 | \| | 0.347 | \| | 0.366 | । | 0.348 |
| 3 | 0.510 | । | 0.483 | । | 0.482 | \| | 0.421 | I | 0.462 |  | 0.412 | \| | 0.429 |  | 0.416 |  | 0.419 |  | 0.439 |
| 4 | 0.751 | I | 0.684 | । | 0.617 | । | 0.603 | । | 0.515 | । | 0.601 | \\| | 0.460 | । | 0.482 | I | 0.520 | I | 0.498 |
| 5 | 1.017 | । | 0.896 | । | 0.962 | । | 0.767 | । | 0.780 |  | 0.618 | । | 0.657 | I | 0.510 |  | 0.622 |  | 0.714 |
| 6 | 0.904 | I | 1.173 | I | 1.296 | I | 1.099 | I | 0.870 |  | 0.909 | I | 0.762 | I | 0.717 |  | 0.653 | । | 0.754 |
| 7 | 1.395 | I | 1.111 | । | 1.570 | \| | 1.423 | I | 0.846 |  | 0.966 | I | 1.103 |  | 0.782 |  | 1.100 | । | 0.976 |
| 8 | 1.538 | I | 1.592 | I | 1.469 | I | 1.685 | 1 | 1.833 | I | 1.647 | \\| | 1.153 | I | 0.749 |  | 1.091 |  | 1.922 |
| 9 | 1.912 | । | 1.737 | \| | 1.620 | \| | 1.873 | 1 | 2.025 | । | 2.247 | \| | 1.825 | \| | 1.247 | । | 1.760 | \| | 1.198 |
| $10+1$ | 2.021 | । | 1.874 | । | 2.444 | 1 | 1.986 | , | 1.970 | 1 | 2.388 | I | 2.352 | \| | 1.780 | । | 2.054 | । | 2.252 |

Table 4.2.5
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Mean weight-at-age of discards, 1963-2001.


| Age 1 | 1972 | \| | 1973 | \\| | 1974 | \\| | 1975 | \| | 1976 | \| | 1977 | \| | 1978 |  | 1979 | \| | 1980 | \| | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 I | 0.063 | I | 0.063 | I | 0.062 | I | 0.050 | । | 0.079 | 1 | 0.071 | I | 0.037 | 1 | 0.053 | , | 0.051 | 1 | 0.073 |
| \| 1 | | 0.139 | I | 0.131 | I | 0.145 | I | 0.123 | 1 | 0.176 | 1 | 0.196 | I | 0.180 | 1 | 0.118 | 1 | 0.149 | 1 | 0.160 |
| \| 2 | | 0.206 | I | 0.201 | । | 0.200 | I | 0.200 | 1 | 0.197 | । | 0.197 | I | 0.199 | I | 0.219 | I | 0.231 | 1 | 0.198 |
| \| 3 | | 0.237 | I | 0.235 | । | 0.233 | । | 0.257 | । | 0.237 | । | 0.216 | I | 0.222 | 1 | 0.242 | 1 | 0.274 | 1 | 0.290 |
| \| 4 | | 0.261 | I | 0.263 | । | 0.259 | । | 0.275 | 1 | 0.292 | 1 | 0.309 | । | 0.224 | 1 | 0.259 | 1 | 0.324 | 1 | 0.650 |
| \| 5 | | 0.321 | । | 0.321 | । | 0.321 | । | 0.348 | । | 0.337 | । | 0.347 | । | 0.265 | 1 | 0.340 | \| | 0.000 | , | 0.727 |
| \| 6 | | 0.321 | I | 0.321 | I | 0.321 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.284 | 1 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |
| \| 7 | | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 |
| 18 \| | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| 9 | | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| 10+| | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |


| \| Age | | 1982 | I | 1983 | \| | 1984 | \| | 1985 | \| | 1986 | \| | 1987 | \| | 1988 | \| | 1989 | \| | 1990 | \| | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.072 | I | 0.067 | I | 0.046 | 1 | 0.040 | I | 0.045 | 1 | 0.023 | I | 0.063 | I | 0.085 | I | 0.046 | I | 0.065 |
| 1 | 0.197 | । | 0.187 | । | 0.162 | । | 0.155 | 1 | 0.138 | । | 0.159 | I | 0.172 | I | 0.187 | 1 | 0.196 | 1 | 0.179 |
| 2 | 0.248 | I | 0.237 | I | 0.245 | I | 0.214 | 1 | 0.184 | I | 0.200 | I | 0.170 | I | 0.229 | I | 0.229 | I | 0.243 |
| 3 | 0.271 | I | 0.347 | 1 | 0.317 | I | 0.264 | 1 | 0.245 | I | 0.225 | I | 0.238 | I | 0.268 | I | 0.249 | I | 0.344 |
| 4 | 0.264 | \\| | 0.476 | । | 0.300 | । | 0.336 | 1 | 0.408 | । | 0.287 | । | 0.254 | । | 0.335 | 1 | 0.266 | 1 | 0.464 |
| 5 | 0.000 | I | 0.711 | I | 0.314 | 1 | 0.423 | 1 | 0.329 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.360 | I | 0.708 | I | 0.290 | 1 | 0.493 |
| 6 | 0.000 | I | 0.792 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.421 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.844 | 1 | 0.333 | 1 | 0.000 |
| 7 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| 8 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 2.572 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| 9 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | । | 3.048 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |


| \| Age | | 1992 | I | 1993 | I | 1994 | । | 1995 | I | 1996 | \| | 1997 | । | 1998 | \| | 1999 | \| | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.043 | , | 0.027 | \| | 0.044 | \| | 0.064 | \| | 0.046 | 1 | 0.063 | I | 0.041 | \| | 0.049 | I | 0.030 | I | 0.045 |
| 1 \| | 0.137 | \\| | 0.142 | 1 | 0.126 | 1 | 0.131 | । | 0.138 | 1 | 0.161 | I | 0.162 | 1 | 0.183 | । | 0.129 | 1 | 0.116 |
| 2 \| | 0.246 | , | 0.237 | । | 0.211 | I | 0.251 | 1 | 0.219 | 1 | 0.254 | I | 0.231 | 1 | 0.217 | I | 0.246 | I | 0.205 |
| 31 | 0.286 | I | 0.287 | । | 0.269 | । | 0.275 | 1 | 0.279 | 1 | 0.286 | I | 0.293 | 1 | 0.273 | 1 | 0.281 | 1 | 0.307 |
| 4 \| | 0.347 | I | 0.344 | 1 | 0.306 | 1 | 0.363 | 1 | 0.297 | 1 | 0.321 | I | 0.315 | 1 | 0.307 | 1 | 0.319 | 1 | 0.308 |
| 15 \| | 0.000 | I | 0.369 | I | 0.304 | I | 0.384 | I | 0.358 | 1 | 0.385 | I | 0.391 | 1 | 0.304 | I | 0.355 | I | 0.364 |
| \| 6 | | 0.415 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.270 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.428 | 1 | 0.250 | I | 0.287 | I | 0.000 |
| 7 \| | 0.000 | I | 0.369 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.411 |
| 18 \| | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.416 |
| \| 9 | | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |

Table 4.2.6 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Mean weight-at-age in the industrial by-catch, 1963-2001.


| Age I | 1972 | \| | 1973 | \| | 1974 | \| | 1975 | \| | 1976 | \| | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 \| | 0.023 | \| | 0.035 | I | 0.022 | I | 0.020 | I | 0.012 | 1 | 0.013 | \\| | 0.011 | \| | 0.009 | \| | 0.012 | \| | 0.009 |
| 1 | 0.067 | । | 0.068 | , | 0.058 | । | 0.039 | I | 0.046 | 1 | 0.042 | I | 0.040 | I | 0.039 | I | 0.039 | । | 0.040 |
| 2 \| | 0.136 | I | 0.141 | I | 0.150 | I | 0.173 | I | 0.181 | 1 | 0.184 | I | 0.174 | I | 0.177 | I | 0.176 | I | 0.176 |
| 3 | 0.255 | । | 0.246 | । | 0.260 | । | 0.275 | । | 0.304 | 1 | 0.307 | I | 0.286 | । | 0.285 | । | 0.268 | । | 0.371 |
| 4 | 0.288 | I | 0.327 | । | 0.359 | । | 0.267 | 1 | 0.473 | + | 0.490 | I | 0.372 | I | 0.384 | I | 0.623 | I | 0.467 |
| 5 | 0.231 | । | 0.396 | । | 0.579 | । | 0.413 | 1 | 0.360 | 1 | 0.352 | I | 0.473 | I | 0.461 | I | 0.722 | I | 0.858 |
| 6 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.277 | । | 0.585 | 1 | 0.725 | 1 | 0.442 | I | 0.411 | I | 0.735 | । | 1.102 | I | 1.200 |
| 17 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.447 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 1.234 | । | 0.456 | 1 | 1.234 | । | 1.591 | I | 1.234 |
| 8 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.585 | , | 0.000 | , | 1.315 | , | 1.315 | I | 1.315 | I | 0.000 | I | 1.315 |
| \| 9 | | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 1.319 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 1.796 | 1 | 1.319 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.000 | \\| | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | । | 1.400 | I | 1.400 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 1.400 |


| Age \| | 1982 | \\| | 1983 | । | 1984 | \| | 1985 | \| | 1986 | \| | 1987 | \| | 1988 | \| | 1989 | \| | 1990 | \| | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 0.010 | I | 0.008 | 1 | 0.009 | I | 0.009 | 1 | 0.010 | 1 | 0.006 | 1 | 0.018 | 1 | 0.015 | 1 | 0.005 | I | 0.027 |
| 1 \| | 0.040 | । | 0.047 | । | 0.045 | । | 0.043 | । | 0.040 | 1 | 0.038 | I | 0.077 | 1 | 0.165 | 1 | 0.104 | 1 | 0.058 |
| 2 \| | 0.206 | I | 0.173 | I | 0.211 | । | 0.186 | I | 0.186 | 1 | 0.258 | 1 | 0.196 | । | 0.251 | I | 0.229 | 1 | 0.206 |
| 31 | 0.379 | I | 0.428 | 1 | 0.414 | I | 0.371 | 1 | 0.375 | 1 | 0.442 | 1 | 0.274 | 1 | 0.347 | 1 | 0.506 | 1 | 0.357 |
| \| 4 | | 0.636 | I | 0.584 | I | 0.626 | । | 0.550 | । | 0.626 | 1 | 0.908 | 1 | 0.455 | I | 0.670 | I | 0.609 | I | 0.472 |
| 5 \| | 0.751 | I | 1.006 | I | 0.751 | I | 0.563 | \| | 1.259 | 1 | 1.171 | I | 0.549 | I | 0.923 | 1 | 0.842 | I | 0.477 |
| 6 \| | 1.225 | । | 1.225 | । | 1.225 | । | 0.565 | । | 1.225 | I | 1.225 | I | 1.225 | I | 1.065 | 1 | 0.829 | 1 | 1.225 |
| 7 \| | 1.233 | I | 1.234 | I | 1.234 | \| | 1.234 | I | 1.234 | I | 1.234 | I | 1.234 | I | 1.492 | I | 0.796 | 1 | 1.234 |
| 8 । | 1.315 | I | 1.315 | । | 1.315 | I | 1.315 | I | 1.315 | 1 | 1.315 | I | 1.315 | 1 | 1.315 | 1 | 0.956 | I | 1.315 |
| \| 9 | | 1.319 | I | 1.319 | । | 1.319 | । | 1.319 | I | 1.319 | , | 1.319 | 1 | 1.319 | I | 0.000 | I | 1.319 | 1 | 1.319 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 1.400 | । | 1.400 | । | 1.400 | I | 0.000 | I | 1.400 | । | 1.400 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |


| \| Age | | 1992 | I | 1993 | I | 1994 | I | 1995 | I | 1996 | \| | 1997 | I | 1998 | \| | 1999 | \| | 2000 | \| | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 \| | 0.015 | \\| | 0.008 | 1 | 0.011 | I | 0.012 | \| | 0.018 | 1 | 0.007 | \| | 0.020 | 1 | 0.018 | 1 | 0.058 | 1 | 0.014 |
| \| 1 | | 0.059 | , | 0.053 | । | 0.055 | I | 0.045 | । | 0.077 | 1 | 0.076 | , | 0.075 | 1 | 0.064 | 1 | 0.070 | 1 | 0.086 |
| \| 2 | | 0.217 | I | 0.206 | । | 0.155 | I | 0.193 | । | 0.136 | , | 0.149 | I | 0.166 | , | 0.177 | 1 | 0.113 | 1 | 0.133 |
| \| 3 | | 0.422 | I | 0.399 | 1 | 0.435 | I | 0.285 | I | 0.162 | , | 0.309 | I | 0.291 | 1 | 0.304 | 1 | 0.176 | 1 | 0.110 |
| \| 4 | | 0.552 | I | 0.521 | 1 | 0.595 | I | 0.387 | I | 0.264 | , | 0.419 | I | 0.351 | 1 | 0.416 | 1 | 0.370 | I | 0.353 |
| \| 5 | | 0.615 | I | 0.578 | I | 0.698 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | I | 0.601 | , | 0.453 | 1 | 0.309 | I | 0.203 | I | 0.431 |
| \| 6 | | 0.548 | I | 1.225 | 1 | 0.490 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |
| \| 7 | | 1.234 | I | 0.582 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| 8 | | 0.621 | I | 1.315 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |
| \| 9 | | 0.820 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | , | 0.000 | I | 0.000 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | I | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | । | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 |

Table 4.3.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Available tuning data.

Haddock in the North Sea/Skagerrak; Tuning data.

| 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCOSEI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325246 | 1665.021 | 160842.859 | 69033.234 | 14339.891 | 44151.660 | 2365.977 | 481.996 | 672.993 | 85.999 | 29.000 | 3.000 |
| 316419 | 542.986 | 83630.891 | 78815.422 | 17214.719 | 3039.951 | 8072.871 | 647.990 | 69.999 | 112.998 | 24.000 | 4.000 |
| 297227 | 210.001 | 131314.297 | 128306.000 | 26204.932 | 3392.990 | 500.999 | 2414.993 | 123.000 | 20.000 | 56.000 | 23.000 |
| 289672 | 344.996 | 10366.878 | 134259.797 | 55726.172 | 5180.690 | 701.958 | 101.994 | 578.965 | 14.999 | 21.999 | 1.000 |
| 297730 | 1444.967 | 31143.318 | 30968.578 | 118897.859 | 14296.881 | 681.995 | 144.999 | 39.000 | 229.998 | 1.000 | 9.000 |
| 333168 | 18101.430 | 29021.006 | 77288.734 | 30413.863 | 50114.895 | 6394.235 | 582.521 | 118.749 | 14.600 | 69.108 | 26.281 |
| 388085 | 422.095 | 120868.211 | 63391.047 | 49285.750 | 9426.073 | 14976.844 | 1593.925 | 253.625 | 18.044 | 8.020 | 38.094 |
| 382910 | 2052.204 | 29238.559 | 164839.219 | 33202.645 | 15993.386 | 2292.755 | 2846.266 | 308.427 | 46.979 | 19.404 | 9.192 |
| 425017 | 8265.012 | 33999.168 | 72603.500 | 155836.391 | 12894.806 | 4169.091 | 489.713 | 620.234 | 58.473 | 11.486 | 19.839 |
| 418734 | 137.900 | 43645.945 | 97730.797 | 19730.920 | 28882.715 | 1989.147 | 1174.107 | 198.915 | 284.601 | 30.602 | 16.321 |
| 377132 | 498.662 | 11575.792 | 201533.422 | 37421.008 | 4735.789 | 7414.681 | 718.065 | 290.026 | 80.007 | 70.006 | 27.002 |
| 355735 | 122.757 | 19003.758 | 19274.379 | 91069.766 | 8388.754 | 1091.295 | 1611.435 | 223.083 | 88.504 | 39.511 | 13.370 |
| 300076 | 712.190 | 35843.578 | 46489.320 | 9055.270 | 26705.223 | 1434.486 | 302.388 | 407.550 | 67.207 | 28.721 | 5.366 |
| 336675 | 2225.837 | 66143.555 | 30754.680 | 9530.928 | 1484.518 | 5028.135 | 307.511 | 122.391 | 183.010 | 42.406 | 10.676 |
| 300217 | 1231.550 | 30384.277 | 64732.898 | 8588.196 | 1511.942 | 290.016 | 1179.738 | 79.037 | 56.679 | 53.277 | 17.957 |
| 268413 | 2912.944 | 74523.461 | 88375.047 | 34996.895 | 2349.233 | 445.716 | 100.011 | 314.410 | 28.586 | 14.710 | 14.290 |
| 264738 | 3230.533 | 26626.006 | 125357.344 | 34126.902 | 10522.028 | 415.035 | 138.226 | 41.743 | 94.732 | 9.389 | 6.690 |
| 204545 | 236.434 | 67772.078 | 32300.982 | 70290.070 | 8734.379 | 2180.770 | 116.890 | 39.103 | 13.449 | 9.427 | 3.759 |
| 177092 | 1333.347 | 9191.870 | 123828.508 | 18532.246 | 17077.139 | 2161.283 | 707.006 | 83.724 | 11.556 | 8.436 | 11.111 |
| 166817 | 3108.574 | 30046.252 | 19165.139 | 59308.570 | 3917.753 | 4082.625 | 495.431 | 194.737 | 9.571 | 6.679 | 1.772 |
| 150361 | 38.313 | 12692.390 | 36812.770 | 12002.680 | 26564.220 | 1658.977 | 855.953 | 68.527 | 22.136 | 4.219 | 1.612 |
| 93796 | 3466.166 | 23253.381 | 35101.580 | 21990.903 | 6627.722 | 11164.055 | 690.476 | 456.286 | 56.023 | 12.211 | 0.497 |
| 69505 | 109.784 | 46421.874 | 13649.786 | 8497.452 | 5609.592 | 1760.584 | 2356.751 | 109.619 | 41.356 | 3.543 | 1.124 |
| 36135 | 60.238 | 3973.356 | 91164.700 | 4468.835 | 1720.130 | 798.976 | 272.547 | 262.936 | 27.294 | 17.750 | 1.445 |

Table 4.3.1 (Cont'd)
SCOLTR


## Table 4.3.1 (Cont'd)

ENGGFS

| 1977 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 53.480 | 6.681 | 3.206 | 6.163 | 0.925 | 0.072 | 0.091 | 0.013 |  |
| 100 | 35.827 | 13.688 | 2.617 | 0.239 | 2.220 | 0.214 | 0.005 | 0.074 |  |
| 100 | 87.551 | 29.554 | 5.461 | 0.872 | 0.109 | 0.437 | 0.035 | 0.004 |  |
| 100 | 37.402 | 62.331 | 16.731 | 2.570 | 0.273 | 0.043 | 0.142 | 0.022 |  |
| 100 | 153.746 | 17.319 | 43.910 | 7.557 | 0.742 | 0.064 | 0.003 | 0.060 |  |
| 100 | 28.134 | 31.547 | 7.979 | 11.800 | 1.026 | 0.236 | 0.098 | 0.014 |  |
| 100 | 83.193 | 21.821 | 10.952 | 2.143 | 2.174 | 0.266 | 0.041 | 0.014 |  |
| 100 | 22.846 | 59.933 | 6.159 | 3.078 | 0.417 | 0.478 | 0.103 | 0.013 |  |
| 100 | 24.587 | 18.656 | 23.819 | 2.111 | 0.698 | 0.196 | 0.128 | 0.041 |  |
| 100 | 26.600 | 14.973 | 4.472 | 3.383 | 0.278 | 0.175 | 0.038 | 0.036 |  |
| 100 | 2.241 | 28.193 | 4.310 | 0.533 | 0.687 | 0.048 | 0.033 | 0.003 |  |
| 100 | 6.074 | 2.856 | 18.353 | 1.549 | 0.160 | 0.279 | 0.040 | 0.012 |  |
| 100 | 9.429 | 8.168 | 1.446 | 3.968 | 0.252 | 0.030 | 0.060 | 0.014 |  |
| 100 | 28.188 | 6.645 | 1.983 | 0.286 | 0.878 | 0.048 | 0.027 | 0.013 |  |
| 100 | 26.333 | 11.505 | 0.961 | 0.231 | 0.048 | 0.219 | 0.005 | 0.006 |  |
| 100 | 82.774 | 19.688 | 9.774 | 0.584 | 0.049 | 0.012 | 0.084 | 0.004 |  |
| 100 | 13.578 | 24.609 | 5.859 | 1.665 | 0.059 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.009 |  |
| 100 | 94.297 | 8.066 | 9.020 | 0.839 | 0.283 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 100 | 17.993 | 38.310 | 4.452 | 3.403 | 0.278 | 0.092 | 0.007 | 0.000 |  |
| 100 | 19.917 | 8.310 | 14.570 | 1.217 | 0.830 | 0.071 | 0.054 | 0.000 |  |
| 100 | 13.032 | 14.863 | 4.334 | 6.607 | 0.227 | 0.216 | 0.027 | 0.006 |  |
| 100 | 5.302 | 8.891 | 5.681 | 1.347 | 1.418 | 0.083 | 0.046 | 0.003 |  |
| 100 | 210.984 | 5.572 | 2.830 | 1.233 | 0.423 | 0.405 | 0.014 | 0.012 |  |
| 100 | 31.023 | 84.112 | 1.525 | 0.550 | 0.247 | 0.113 | 0.118 | 0.000 |  |
| 100 | 0.372 | 9.635 | 32.493 | 1.023 | 0.279 | 0.118 | 0.045 | 0.019 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3.1 (Cont'd)

| SCOGFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 12.35 | 24.88 | 9.96 | 13.36 | 1.15 | 0.07 | 0.02 |  |
| 100 | 22.03 | 18.13 | 16.11 | 3.72 | 4.55 | 0.53 | 0.12 |  |
| 100 | 8.73 | 43.67 | 7.88 | 3.36 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.09 |  |
| 100 | 8.18 | 19.76 | 29.81 | 2.32 | 1.03 | 0.14 | 0.22 |  |
| 100 | 17.47 | 23.29 | 5.74 | 5.98 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.04 |  |
| 100 | 2.77 | 23.93 | 7.04 | 1.06 | 1.28 | 0.08 | 0.05 |  |
| 100 | 4.06 | 4.67 | 19.82 | 1.70 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.02 |  |
| 100 | 4.32 | 8.86 | 2.14 | 5.74 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.07 |  |
| 100 | 31.63 | 10.02 | 2.40 | 0.32 | 1.03 | 0.07 | 0.01 |  |
| 100 | 34.71 | 17.05 | 1.78 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.02 |  |
| 100 | 82.70 | 38.32 | 9.63 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.08 |  |
| 100 | 8.59 | 58.36 | 13.80 | 2.69 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.01 |  |
| 100 | 137.62 | 12.65 | 20.80 | 2.10 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.00 |  |
| 100 | 15.66 | 81.53 | 7.34 | 9.26 | 0.74 | 0.28 | 0.02 |  |
| 100 | 19.80 | 22.31 | 47.05 | 2.31 | 2.06 | 0.22 | 0.06 |  |
| 100 | 9.72 | 27.79 | 8.49 | 13.97 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.06 |  |
| 100 | 32.80 | 63.49 | 19.24 | 4.90 | 5.11 | 0.24 | 0.18 |  |
| 100 | 660.67 | 19.07 | 11.41 | 6.88 | 1.97 | 1.64 | 0.06 |  |
| 100 | 119.02 | 306.11 | 4.60 | 2.21 | 1.30 | 0.73 | 0.27 |  |
| 100 | 0.79 | 37.90 | 113.52 | 1.79 | 0.65 | 0.40 | 0.18 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 4.3.1 (Cont'd)

IBTS_Q1

| 1973 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0.99 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1136.1 | 136.1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 1146.3 | 355.8 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 105 | 556.4 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 139.4 | 66.5 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 352.8 | 105.9 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 468.2 | 212.4 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 863.7 | 388.6 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 267.7 | 637.6 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 537.6 | 253 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1 | 308.2 | 402.6 | 89.8 | 115.3 | 12.7 | 1.9 |
| 1 | 1067.7 | 221.3 | 130.9 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 4.6 |
| 1 | 228.5 | 828.4 | 105.1 | 33.8 | 4.3 | 7.2 |
| 1 | 584.5 | 251.1 | 285.9 | 17.2 | 6 | 2.1 |
| 1 | 917.3 | 328.8 | 47.2 | 61.1 | 4.7 | 2.6 |
| 1 | 100.7 | 671 | 97 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 2 |
| 1 | 217.6 | 97.4 | 273.7 | 16.8 | 2.1 | 4.7 |
| 1 | 217.4 | 139.1 | 33 | 50.4 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
| 1 | 678 | 133 | 24.8 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 2.4 |
| 1 | 1163 | 344.6 | 18.1 | 3 | 0.6 | 2 |
| 1 | 1254.3 | 540.8 | 154.5 | 8.9 | 1.1 | 1 |
| 1 | 228.7 | 503.9 | 98.3 | 23.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 1 | 1355.5 | 201.1 | 176.2 | 24.3 | 5.3 | 0.8 |
| 1 | 267.4 | 813.3 | 65.9 | 46.7 | 7.7 | 3.1 |
| 1 | 860.2 | 366.4 | 470.6 | 24.8 | 15.1 | 3.4 |
| 1 | 373.6 | 432.3 | 105.5 | 113.7 | 8.7 | 5.4 |
| 1 | 211.8 | 232.9 | 129.7 | 48.1 | 36.6 | 4.3 |
| 1 | 3702.1 | 107.8 | 49.9 | 25.4 | 15.6 | 10.3 |
| 1 | 887.6 | 2279 | 47.8 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 5.7 |
| 1 | 57 | 471.1 | 1308.4 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 3.8 |

Table 4.4.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. XSA tuning report file.


Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting applied
Power $=3$ over 20 years

Catchability analysis :
Catchability dependent on stock size for ages < 2

Regression type $=C$
Minimum of 5 points used for regression
Survivor estimates shrunk to the population mean for ages $<2$

Catchability independent of age for ages $>=3$

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean $F$
of the final 5 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk $=$. 500

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet $=$. 300
Prior weighting not applied

Tuning converged after 17 iterations
1

Regression weights
, .751, .820, .877, .921, .954, .976, .990, .997, 1.000, 1.000


## Table 4.4.1 (Cont'd)

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

| YEAR |  | 0 , |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } \\ & 1, \end{aligned}$ | 2 , |  | 3 , | 4, | 5, |  | 6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7, |  | 8, | 9, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  | 4.06E+07, | 3.49E+06, | 5.92E+05, | 5.42E+04, | 1.13E+04, | 2.50E+03, | $7.98 \mathrm{E}+03$, | 9.85E+02, | 5.79E+02, | 5.37E+02, |
| 1993 | , | 1.27E+07, | 5.13E+06, | $5.78 \mathrm{E}+05$, | 1.89E+05, | 1.35E+04, | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+03$, | 9.14E+02, | $2.13 \mathrm{E}+03$, | 3.96E+02, | 2.02E+02, |
| 1994 |  | $5.32 \mathrm{E}+07$, | 1.59E+06, | 8.28E+05, | 1.72E+05, | $5.17 \mathrm{E}+04$, | 4.24E+03, | 9.36E+02, | 3.41E+02, | 7.18E+02, | 1.92E+02, |
| 1995 |  | 1.25E+07, | 6.82E+06, | 2.61E+05, | 3.12E+05, | 4.53E+04, | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+04$, | 1.73E+03, | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+02$, | 1.06E+02, | 1.27E+02, |
| 1996 |  | 2.07E+07, | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+06$, | 1.18E+06, | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+05$, | 9.48E+04, | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+04$, | 4.63E+03, | 9.57E+02, | 8.80E+01, | 4.64E+01, |
| 1997 |  | 1.19E+07, | $2.54 \mathrm{E}+06$, | 2.67E+05, | 4.96E+05, | 3.14E+04, | 2.52E+04, | $3.38 \mathrm{E}+03$, | 1.03E+03, | 9.99E+01, | 2.50E+01, |
| 1998 |  | 9.41E+06, | 1.52E+06, | 4.28E+05, | 1.09E+05, | 1.98E+05, | 1.10E+04, | $6.67 \mathrm{E}+03$, | $1.06 \mathrm{E}+03$, | 2.27E+02, | $2.57 \mathrm{E}+01$, |
| 1999 |  | 1.11E+08, | 1.20E+06, | 2.56E+05, | 1.50E+05, | 4.07E+04, | $5.87 \mathrm{E}+04$, | $3.78 \mathrm{E}+03$, | $2.24 E+03$, | 4.38E+02, | 5.89E+01, |
| 2000 |  | 2.13E+07, | 1.42E+07, | 1.95E+05, | 7.21E+04, | $3.97 \mathrm{E}+04$, | 9.86E+03, | 1.20E+04, | 9.80E+02, | 3.88E+02, | 4.93E+01, |
| 2001 |  | 1.45E+06, | 2.73E+06, | 2.57E+06, | $5.83 \mathrm{E}+04$, | 1.79E+04, | 7.67E+03, | 2.62E+03, | 1.96E+03, | 2.96E+02, | 1.06E+02, |

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
$0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,1.85 \mathrm{E}+05,4.86 \mathrm{E}+05,1.21 \mathrm{E}+06,1.69 \mathrm{E}+04,6.09 \mathrm{E}+03,2.55 \mathrm{E}+03,7.35 \mathrm{E}+02,4.21 \mathrm{E}+02,6.56 \mathrm{E}+01$,
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
$1.69 \mathrm{E}+07,2.64 \mathrm{E}+06,4.39 \mathrm{E}+05,1.24 \mathrm{E}+05,3.78 \mathrm{E}+04,1.08 \mathrm{E}+04,3.42 \mathrm{E}+03,1.10 \mathrm{E}+03,3.22 \mathrm{E}+02,1.01 \mathrm{E}+02$,
Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
, 1.1050, .8328, .8849, .8076, .9017, .8906, .7878, .7010, .8185, .9437,

1
Log catchability residuals.


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age, | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -15.2701, | -15.3649, | -15.3649, | -15.3649, |
| S.E (Log q), | .2843, | .3205, | .4451, | .5454, |

## Table 4.4.1 (Cont'd)

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ dependent on year class strength
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Log q

| 0, | .69, | 4.939, | 16.98, | .96, | 20, | .23, | -17.13, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1, | 1.03, | -.364, | 15.70, | .93, | 20, | .23, | -15.67, |

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time. Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 2, | 1.00, | -.027, | 15.28, | .91, | 20, | .30, | -15.27, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | 1.01, | -.069, | 15.40, | .86, | 20, | .34, | -15.36, |
| 4, | .92, | .776, | 15.20, | .89, | 20, | .33, | -15.63, |
| 5, | .81, | 1.708, | 14.48, | .89, | 20, | .33, | -15.68, | 1

Fleet : IBTS_Q1

| Age | 1973, | 1974, | 1975, | 1976, | 1977, | 1978, | 1979, | 1980, | 1981 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 1, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 2 | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 3 | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 4 | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 5 | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99 |


| Age | , | 1982, | 1983, | 1984, | 1985, | 1986, | 1987, | 1988, | 1989, | 1990, | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | , | -. 65, | -. 59, | -.79, | -. 18, | -. 47, | -. 20 , | -. 13, | -.17, | -. 20, | 37 |
| 1 | , | -. 25 , | -. 46 , | -. 29 , | -. 12, | -. 25, | -. 25, | . 21, | -. 14, | -. 13, | . 34 |
| 2 | , | -. 08 , | -. 22 , | -. 02, | -. 29 , | -. 26 , | -.07, | . 11, | . 35 , | -. 20, | . 80 |
| 3 | , | -. 05, | -. 02, | -.09, | -. 38, | -. 10, | -. 04, | -. 02, | -. 10, | -. 05, | -. 78 |
| 4 | , | -. 17, | -. 34, | -. 21 , | -. 46 , | -. 15, | -. 27, | -. 48, | -. 25 , | -. 49, | -. 88 |
| 5 | , | -. 04 , | . 23 , | . 01 , | . 30 , | -. 04 , | . 02 , | -. 03, | . 26 , | . 60 , | -. 84 |
| Age | , | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001 |
| 0 | , | . 06 , | -.47, | -. 14, | -. 26 , | . 39, | . 07 , | -. 27 , | .13, | . 35, | . 29 |
| 1 | , | . 16 , | -. 27 , | -. 09, | -. 12, | . 52, | . 23 , | . 09 , | -. 45, | . 18 , | 19 |
| 2 | , | . 10 , | -. 26 , | -. 27 , | -. 16, | . 25 , | . 27 , | . 16, | -. 07 , | . 10 , | 38 |
| 3 | , | . 13, | -. 25 , | -.08, | -. 16, | . 31 , | -.01, | . 71, | . 10, | . 05 , | $-.12$ |
| 4 |  | -. 47, | -. 43, | -. 43, | . 07 , | . 03, | . 31 , | . 07 , | 1.00, | . 48 , | . 64 |
| 5 | , | . 64, | . 39, | -. 23 , | . 14, | . 55, | . 33 , | . 67, | . 39, | 1.32, | 94 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 2, | 3, | 4, | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -7.2134, | -7.4586, | -7.4586, | -7.4586, |
| S.E (Log q), | .3015, | .3223, | .5257, | .6615, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ dependent on year class strength

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Log $q$

| 0, | 1.00, | -.011, | 8.38, | .93, | 20, | .31, | -8.39, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1, | 1.06, | -.537, | 6.69, | .90, | 20, | .29, | -7.12, |

## Table 4.4.1 (Cont'd)

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 2, | .90, | 1.068, | 7.78, | .92, | 20, | .27, | -7.21, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | .98, | .157, | 7.54, | .87, | 20, | .33, | -7.46, |
| 4, | .89, | .655, | 7.77, | .79, | 20, | .48, | -7.45, |
| 5, | 1.13, | -.638, | 6.77, | .71, | 20, | .59, | -7.06, |

1


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | S.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $185188 .$, | .20, | .45, | 4, | 2.235, | .007 |

Age 1 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=2000$

| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, | N, | Scaled, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, | S.e, | Ratio, |  | Weights, | F |
| ENGGFS | , | 427867., | . 212, | . 299 , | 1.41, | 2, | . 458 , | . 085 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | $631545 .$, | . 220 , | . 080 , | . 36 , | 2, | . 425 , | . 058 |
| $P$ shrinkage mean | ' | 438715. | . 88 , |  |  |  | . 028 , | . 083 |
| F shrinkage mean | , | 276095., | . 50 , |  |  |  | . 089 , | .129 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $485845 .$, | .14, | .15, | 6, | 1.040, | .075 |

1
Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=1999$

| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, | N, | Scaled, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, | , | Weights, | F |
| ENGGFS | , | 1148384., | . 173, | . 097, | . 56, | 3, | . 510, | . 372 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 1546913. | . 196 , | . 080 , | . 41 , | 3 , | . 399 , | . 289 |
| F shrinkage mean | , | $541363 .$, | . 50 , |  |  |  | . 091 , | . 671 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $1207832 .$, | .13, | .13, | 7, | 1.048, | .357 |

## Table 4.4.1 (Cont'd)

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class $=1998$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, |  | Scaled, | Estimated |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  | Weights, |  |
| ENGGFS | , | 18562., | .170, | .235, | 1.38, | 4, | . 416 , | . 931 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 14485., | .180, | .104, | . 58, | 4, | . 383 , | 1.089 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 18659., | . 50, |  |  |  | . 201, | . 928 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $16899 .$, | .14, | .11, | 9, | .779, | .989 |

1
Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 3
Year class $=1997$

| Fleet, |  | Estimated, Survivors, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int, } \\ & \text { s.e, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ext, } \\ & \text { s.e, } \end{aligned}$ | Var, Ratio, |  | Scaled, Weights, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGGFS | , | 7015., | . 213, | .104, | . 49, | 5, | . 378, | . 750 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 7738., | .219, | .145, | . 66, | 5, | . 323 , | . 700 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 3930., | . 50, |  |  |  | .299, | 1.097 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $6089 .$, | .18, | .13, | 11, | .692, | .828 |

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 3

Year class $=1996$

| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, | N, | Scaled, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, | , | Weights, | F |
| ENGGFS | , | 2922., | . 297, | .108, | . 36 , | 6, | . 300 , | . 823 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 4486. | . 319, | . 157, | . 49 , | 6 , | . 232, | . 605 |
| F shrinkage mean | , | 1768., | . 50 , |  |  |  | . 468 , | 1.135 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $2551 .$, | .26, | .16, | 13, | .598, | .901 |

1
Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 3
Year class $=1995$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | S.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| $735 .$, | .35, | .17, | 13, | .471, | 1.072 |

## Table 4.4.1 (Cont'd)

| Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class $=1994$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, |  | Scaled, | Est |  |
|  |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  | Weights, |  |  |
| ENGGFS | , | 388., | .211, | .068, | . 32, |  | . 031 , |  |  |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 472., | . 221, | .089, | . 40 , | 6, | .025, |  |  |
| F shrinkage mean | , | 420., | . 50, |  |  |  | . 944 , |  |  |

1
Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 3
Year class $=1993$

| Fleet, |  | Estimated, Survivors, | Int, s.e, | Ext, s.e, | Var, Ratio, |  | Scaled, Weights, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGGFS | , | 56., | . 228, | .121, | . 53, | 6, | .029, | 1.419 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 83., | . 240 , | .163, | . 68 , | 6, | . 024 , | 1.137 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 66., | . 50, |  |  |  | . 947 , | 1.306 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| $66 .$, | .47, | .03, | 13, | .054, | 1.305 |

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 3
Year class = 1992

| Fleet, |  | Estimated, | Int, | Ext, | Var, |  | Scaled, | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Survivors, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  | Weights, | F |
| ENGGFS | , | 28., | . 262, | .041, | . 16 , | 6 , | . 006 , | 1.126 |
| IBTS_Q1 | , | 30., | . 278 , | .107, | . 39, | 6 , | . 005 , | 1.074 |
| F shrinkage mean |  | 29., | . 50, |  |  |  | . 990, | 1.101 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| $29 .$, | .49, | .01, | 13, | .011, | 1.101 |

Table 4.4.2
Haddock in IV and IIIa. XSA estimates of fishing mortality, 1963-2001

| Age I | 1963 | I | 1964 | I | 1965 | । | 1966 | । | 1967 | \| | 1968 | \| | 1969 | \| | 1970 | \| | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 \| | 0.002 | । | 0.043 | । | 0.072 | । | 0.070 | । | 0.002 | । | 0.002 | । | 0.017 | \| | 0.030 | \| | 0.012 |
| 1 | 0.124 | I | 0.058 | । | 1.363 | । | 1.303 | 1 | 0.263 | I | 0.052 | I | 0.022 | I | 0.500 | I | 0.474 |
| 2 | 0.805 | I | 0.454 | 1 | 0.416 | 1 | 0.831 | 1 | 1.081 | I | 0.578 | I | 0.655 | I | 1.038 | I | 0.659 |
| 13 | 0.670 | I | 1.175 | I | 0.509 | I | 0.360 | 1 | 0.415 | 1 | 0.898 | I | 1.376 | 1 | 1.150 | 1 | 0.798 |
| 4 | 0.761 | I | 0.756 | I | 0.985 | । | 0.779 | 1 | 0.372 | , | 0.307 | I | 1.287 | I | 1.269 | I | 0.871 |
| 15 | 0.880 | 1 | 0.884 | 1 | 1.299 | 1 | 1.240 | 1 | 1.014 | I | 0.508 | 1 | 0.814 | 1 | 0.711 | , | 0.864 |
| 6 | 0.508 | I | 1.263 | 1 | 1.021 | । | 1.310 | 1 | 1.326 | 1 | 0.808 | I | 1.626 | 1 | 1.437 | , | 0.686 |
| 7 | 0.827 | 1 | 0.622 | 1 | 0.872 | 1 | 1.082 | 1 | 1.139 | 1 | 0.597 | I | 1.000 | I | 0.709 | I | 1.017 |
| 18 | 0.777 | 1 | 0.839 | 1 | 0.498 | I | 0.970 | I | 1.945 | 1 | 0.659 | 1 | 0.951 | I | 1.059 | 1 | 1.285 |
| \| 9 | | 0.758 | 1 | 0.882 | 1 | 0.946 | 1 | 1.089 | 1 | 1.173 | , | 0.581 | , | 1.149 | , | 1.049 | , | 0.955 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.758 | 1 | 0.882 | 1 | 0.946 | । | 1.089 | 1 | 1.173 | 1 | 0.581 | 1 | 1.149 | । | 1.049 | 1 | 0.955 |


| Age \| | 1972 | \| | 1973 | \| | 1974 | \| | 1975 | \| | 1976 |  | 1977 |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.032 | \\| | 0.002 | , | 0.013 | । | 0.011 | \| | 0.030 | 1 | 0.013 | \| | 0.022 | \| | 0.035 | I | 0.074 | \| | 0.057 |
| 1 | 0.169 | \\| | 0.374 | , | 0.353 | । | 0.335 | । | 0.308 | 1 | 0.338 | , | 0.390 | । | 0.176 | । | 0.189 | । | 0.179 |
| 2 | 0.793 | I | 0.565 | 1 | 0.933 | । | 0.969 | I | 0.814 | 1 | 1.005 | । | 1.012 | I | 0.882 | I | 0.707 | 1 | 0.450 |
| 3 | 1.339 | , | 1.158 | । | 0.950 | । | 1.253 | 1 | 1.371 | , | 1.038 | 1 | 1.127 | । | 1.141 | \\| | 1.208 | I | 0.946 |
| 4 \| | 1.201 | I | 0.802 | 1 | 1.003 | । | 1.099 | , | 0.781 | 1 | 1.262 | I | 1.124 | । | 1.060 | । | 1.184 | 1 | 0.989 |
| 5 \| | 1.158 | I | 0.950 | । | 0.628 | । | 0.992 | 1 | 1.271 | 1 | 1.031 | I | 1.162 | 1 | 1.024 | 1 | 0.933 | 1 | 0.802 |
| \| 6 | | 0.859 | , | 1.098 | , | 0.880 | , | 0.820 | , | 1.064 | 1 | 0.989 | I | 1.036 | । | 1.167 | 1 | 0.986 | । | 0.604 |
| 7 | 0.684 | \\| | 0.882 | 1 | 1.125 | । | 1.567 | । | 0.393 | 1 | 0.924 | I | 1.146 | । | 0.616 | । | 1.283 | । | 1.010 |
| 8 | 0.471 | I | 1.146 | । | 0.405 | । | 0.998 | I | 0.839 | 1 | 0.487 | , | 0.853 | 1 | 0.940 | I | 0.655 | I | 1.096 |
| \| 9 | | 0.884 | I | 0.987 | । | 0.817 | । | 1.108 | 1 | 0.879 | 1 | 0.949 | 1 | 1.076 | 1 | 0.972 | । | 1.020 | 1 | 0.910 |
| \| $10+1$ | 0.884 | । | 0.987 | । | 0.817 | । | 1.108 | 1 | 0.879 | 1 | 0.949 | । | 1.076 | 1 | 0.972 | । | 1.020 | 1 | 0.910 |


| \| Age | | 1982 | \| | 1983 | I | 1984 | I | 1985 | 1 | 1986 | \| | 1987 | \| | 1988 | \| | 1989 | \\| | 1990 | \| | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 \| | 0.038 | I | 0.027 | I | 0.015 | I | 0.016 | 1 | 0.003 | 1 | 0.009 | I | 0.005 | \| | 0.004 | I | 0.006 | I | 0.013 |
| 1 \| | 0.174 | I | 0.151 | 1 | 0.125 | I | 0.206 | 1 | 0.128 | 1 | 0.119 | I | 0.137 | 1 | 0.106 | 1 | 0.195 | 1 | 0.156 |
| 2 \| | 0.431 | । | 0.660 | । | 0.668 | । | 0.614 | 1 | 1.017 | 1 | 0.902 | I | 0.796 | 1 | 0.656 | 1 | 1.121 | , | 0.781 |
| 31 | 0.816 | \\| | 1.021 | । | 0.997 | 1 | 0.955 | 1 | 1.240 | 1 | 1.045 | I | 1.302 | 1 | 0.987 | , | 1.162 | , | 1.034 |
| 4 \| | 0.880 | \\| | 1.162 | । | 1.142 | । | 1.103 | 1 | 1.281 | 1 | 1.082 | I | 1.109 | 1 | 1.179 | 1 | 1.152 | 1 | 0.864 |
| 51 | 0.641 | \\| | 1.214 | । | 1.223 | । | 1.026 | 1 | 1.057 | 1 | 0.822 | । | 1.104 | । | 0.691 | 1 | 0.936 | 1 | 0.884 |
| 6 \| | 0.748 | \\| | 0.799 | । | 1.093 | । | 1.076 | 1 | 0.713 | 1 | 1.143 | । | 0.741 | 1 | 0.772 | । | 0.533 | । | 0.640 |
| \| 7 | | 0.960 | , | 0.836 | I | 0.736 | । | 0.959 | 1 | 0.870 | 1 | 0.821 | I | 0.867 | 1 | 0.557 | , | 0.660 | । | 0.486 |
| \| 8 | | 1.108 | । | 0.563 | 1 | 0.600 | । | 0.640 | 1 | 0.659 | 1 | 1.304 | 1 | 0.641 | 1 | 0.749 | 1 | 0.470 | I | 0.710 |
| \| 9 | | 0.877 | । | 0.925 | । | 0.969 | । | 0.972 | । | 0.926 | 1 | 1.046 | I | 0.902 | 1 | 0.797 | । | 0.758 | । | 0.727 |
| \| 10+| | 0.877 | \\| | 0.925 | । | 0.969 | । | 0.972 | 1 | 0.926 | 1 | 1.046 | I | 0.902 | 1 | 0.797 | । | 0.758 | 1 | 0.727 |


| Age \| | 1992 | \| | 1993 |  | 1994 |  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.019 | \| | 0.031 | \| | 0.004 |  | 0.063 | \| | 0.048 |  | 0.009 | , | 0.007 | , | 0.003 |  | 0.007 |  | 0.007 |
| 1 | 0.148 | \| | 0.174 | \| | 0.154 |  | 0.106 | I | 0.084 |  | 0.129 | I | 0.134 | I | 0.168 |  | 0.058 |  | 0.075 |
| 2 | 0.740 | I | 0.811 | I | 0.576 | \| | 0.514 | I | 0.465 | । | 0.501 | I | 0.649 | । | 0.866 | । | 0.808 | । | 0.357 |
| 3 | 1.139 | । | 1.048 | । | 1.085 | , | 0.941 | । | 0.955 | I | 0.669 | \| | 0.731 | \| | 1.078 | \| | 1.144 | \| | 0.989 |
| 4 | 1.070 | I | 0.908 | । | 1.048 | \| | 1.043 | । | 1.076 | । | 0.802 | I | 0.965 | I | 1.167 | \| | 1.394 | \| | 0.828 |
| 5 | 0.805 | I | 0.971 | । | 0.694 | । | 0.914 | । | 1.101 | । | 1.128 | I | 0.865 | । | 1.387 | । | 1.125 | \| | 0.901 |
| 6 | 1.118 | I | 0.786 | । | 1.129 | । | 0.394 | । | 1.305 | । | 0.963 | I | 0.892 | I | 1.150 | \| | 1.615 | \| | 1.072 |
| 7 | 0.712 | I | 0.890 | । | 0.965 | । | 0.835 | \| | 2.061 | । | 1.311 | I | 0.680 | \| | 1.552 | \| | 0.998 | \| | 1.337 |
| 8 | 0.855 | । | 0.524 | । | 1.530 |  | 0.630 | । | 1.059 | \| | 1.159 | I | 1.148 | । | 1.984 | । | 1.098 |  | 1.305 |
| 9 | 0.923 | I | 0.831 | I | 1.086 | I | 0.772 | I | 1.342 | । | 1.088 | I | 0.924 | I | 1.468 | I | 1.262 | I | 1.102 |
| $10+1$ | 0.923 | \\| | 0.831 | । | 1.086 | । | 0.772 | । | 1.342 | । | 1.088 | । | 0.924 | । | 1.468 | । | 1.262 | । | 1.102 |

Table 4.4.3
Haddock in IV and IIIa. XSA estimates of population size, 1963-2001
Tuned Stock Numbers-at-age ( $10 * *-5$ ), 1963 to 2002, (numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors)





Table 4.5.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. RCT3 input data for haddock age 0.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HADDC } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IN IV, } \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | RCT3 In 2 | RCT3 INPUT VALUES |  | Age 0 | 14-Jun-02 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEARC | SS VPA | IBTS1 | IBTS2 E | S0 E | EGFS1 | EGFS2 | SGFS 0 | SGFS1 | SGFS2 |
| 1971 | 78285 | 395.1 | 876.3 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1972 | 21539 | 327.8 | 136.1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1973 | 72899 | 1136.1 | 355.8 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1974 | 133492 | 1146.3 | 556.4 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1975 | 11543 | 105 | 66.5 | -1 | -1 | 32.1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1976 | 16484 | 139.4 | 105.9 | -1 | 66.8 | 26.2 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1977 | 25757 | 352.8 | 212.4 | 534.8 | 136.9 | 94.6 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1978 | 39547 | 468.2 | 388.6 | 358.3 | 295.5 | 167.3 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1979 | 72151 | 863.7 | 637.6 | 875.5 | 623.3 | 349.1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1980 | 15653 | 267.7 | 253 | 374 | 173.2 | 29.8 | -1 | -1 | 996 |
| 1981 | 32480 | 537.6 | 402.6 | 1537.5 | 5315.5 | 109.5 | -1 | 2488 | 1611 |
| 1982 | 20625 | 308.2 | 221.3 | 281.3 | 218.2 | 261.6 | 1235 | 1813 | 788 |
| 1983 | 66982 | 1067.7 | 828.4 | 831.9 | 599.3 | 3238.2 | 2203 | 4367 | 2981 |
| 1984 | 17274 | 228.5 | 251.1 | 228.5 | 186.6 | 44.7 | 873 | 1976 | 574 |
| 1985 | 24053 | 584.5 | 328.8 | 245.9 | 149.7 | 743.1 | 818 | 2329 | 704 |
| 1986 | 49885 | 917.3 | 671 | 266 | 281.9 | 9 183.5 | 51747 | 2393 | 1982 |
| 1987 | 4202 | 100.7 | 97.4 | 22.4 | 28.6 | 614.5 | 277 | 467 | 214 |
| 1988 | 8442 | 217.6 | 139.1 | 60.7 | 81.7 | 719.8 | 406 | 886 | 240 |
| 1989 | 8706 | 217.4 | 133 | 94.3 | 66.4 | 49.6 | 432 | 1002 | 178 |
| 1990 | 28141 | 678 | 344.6 | 281.9 | 115 | 97.7 | 3163 | 1705 | 963 |
| 1991 | 27424 | 1163 | 540.8 | 263.3 | 196.9 | 9 58.6 | 3471 | 3832 | 1380 |
| 1992 | 40616 | 1254.3 | 503.9 | 827.7 | 246.1 | 190.2 | 8270 | 5836 | 2080 |
| 1993 | 12720 | 228.7 | 201.1 | 135.8 | 80.7 | 44.5 | 859 | 1265 | 734 |
| 1994 | 53185 | 1355.5 | 813.3 | 943 | 383.1 | 145.7 | 13762 | 8153 | 4705 |
| 1995 | 12518 | 267.4 | 366.4 | 180 | 83.1 | 43.3 | 1566 | 2231 | 849 |
| 1996 | 20667 | 860.2 | 432.3 | 199 | 149 | 56.8 | 1980 | 2779 | -1 |
| 1997 | 11955 | 373.6 | 232.9 | 130 | 89 | 28.3 | 972 | -1 | -1 |
| 1998 | 9430 | 211.8 | 107.8 | 53 | 56 | 15.2 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1999 | 110919 | 3702.1 | 2279 | 2110 | 841 | 325 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2000 | -1 | 887.6 | 471.1 | 310 | 96 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2001 | -1 | 57 | -1 | 3.7 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |

## File Locations:

W:\ACFM\WGNSSK\2002\Stock\Had-34\Final runs\Recruitment\HADIV00.RCT
W:\ACFM\WGNSSK\2002\Stock\Had-34\Final runs\Recruitment\HADIV01.RCT
W:\ACFM\WGNSSK\2002\Stock\Had-34\Final runs\Recruitment\HADIV02.RCT

## For RCT3 calibrations at ages 0 - $\mathbf{3}$ respectively

Table 4.6.1
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Stock summary table
Mean fishing mortality, biomass and recruitment, 1963-2001.


Min, max and geo. mean recruitment calculated over years 1963 to 1999
(Arithmetic mean recruitment 1963 - 1999 = 44846)
Biomass totals calculated at start of year.

```
Data read from file hadiv.rec
Beverton-Holt curve
Moving average term NOT fitted
Number of observations=, 39
Number of parameters =, 2
Residual mean square =, 1.2270
```

Coefficient of determination =, .0078
Parameter,s.d.
$\begin{array}{rr}610.9181, & 990.4547, \\ 49.8184, & 101.4527,\end{array}$

| Y/Class, | SSB, | Recruits, | Fit. rct, | residuals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963, | 137.20, | 2338.00, | 22327.63, | -2.2565 |
| 1964, | 420.00, | 9172.00, | 27207.71, | -1.0873 |
| 1965, | 526.10, | 26336.00, | 27802.26, | -. 0542 |
| 1966, | 432.20, | 68992.00, | 27289.40, | . 9275 |
| 1967, | 229.10, | 388112.00 | 24998.89, | 2.7425 |
| 1968, | 264.60, | 17103.00, | 25612.66, | -. 4038 |
| 1969, | 815.80, | 12196.00, | 28683.36, | -. 8552 |
| 1970, | 899.50, | 87764.00, | 28837.79, | 1.1130 |
| 1971, | 417.80, | 78285.00, | 27192.53, | 1.0574 |
| 1972, | 301.00, | 21539.00, | 26113.01, | -. 1926 |
| 1973, | 294.50, | 72899.00, | 26031.42, | 1.0298 |
| 1974, | 258.40, | 133492.00, | 25515.65, | 1.6547 |
| 1975, | 238.10, | 11543.00, | 25168.81, | -. 7795 |
| 1976, | 307.80, | 16484.00, | 26195.19, | -. 4632 |
| 1977, | 238.60, | 25757.00, | 25177.94, | . 0227 |
| 1978, | 132.30, | 39547.00, | 22109.49, | . 5815 |
| 1979, | 109.20, | 72151.00, | 20900.08, | 1.2390 |
| 1980, | 153.00, | 15653.00, | 22959.21, | -. 3831 |
| 1981, | 240.20, | 32480.00 , | 25206.95, | . 2535 |
| 1982, | 299.80, | 20625.00, | 26098.17, | -. 2354 |
| 1983, | 252.90, | 66982.00, | 25426.28, | . 9686 |
| 1984, | 199.00, | 17274.00, | 24341.28, | -. 3430 |
| 1985, | 240.90, | 24053.00, | 25219.53, | -. 0474 |
| 1986, | 221.50, | 49885.00, | 24846.62, | . 6970 |
| 1987, | 157.50, | 4202.00 , | 23121.47, | -1.7052 |
| 1988, | 159.30, | 8442.00 , | 23184.42, | -1.0103 |
| 1989, | 129.10, | 8706.00 , | 21960.59, | -. 9252 |
| 1990, | 81.40, | 28141.00, | 18880.02, | . 3991 |
| 1991, | 63.50, | 27424.00, | 17054.78, | . 4750 |
| 1992, | 101.20, | 40616.00 , | 20394.99, | . 6889 |
| 1993, | 133.30, | 12720.00, | 22154.96, | -. 5549 |
| 1994, | 153.00, | 53185.00, | 22959.21, | . 8401 |
| 1995, | 148.40, | 12518.00, | 22785.72, | -. 5990 |
| 1996, | 177.70, | 20666.00, | 23770.79, | -. 1400 |
| 1997, | 188.20, | 11933.00, | 24064.78, | -. 7014 |
| 1998, | 156.10, | 9409.00, | 23071.75, | -. 8969 |
| 1999, | 109.60, | 110671.00 | 20924.01, | 1.6657 |
| 2000, | 83.80, | 21334.00, | 19087.56, | . 1113 |
| 2001, | 210.70, | 1448.00, | 24614.95, | -2.8332 |

Table 4.8.2
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Input to medium-term forecasts

| Label | Value | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number-at-age |  |  |
| NO | 25895467 | 1.02 |
| N1 | 185199 | 0.45 |
| N2 | 485800 | 0.15 |
| N3 | 1207800 | 0.13 |
| N4 | 16899 | 0.14 |
| N5 | 6099 | 0.18 |
| N6 | 2600 | 0.26 |
| N7 | 699 | 0.35 |
| N8 | 400 | 0.47 |
| N9 | 100 | 0.47 |
| N10 | 0 | 0.49 |


| H.cons | selectivity |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| sH0 | 0 | 0 |
| sH1 | 0.001 | 0.62 |
| sH2 | 0.156 | 0.63 |
| sH3 | 0.64 | 0.05 |
| sH4 | 1.003 | 0.08 |
| sH5 | 1.089 | 0.12 |
| sH6 | 1.243 | 0.2 |
| sH7 | 1.292 | 0.33 |
| sH8 | 1.453 | 0.31 |
| sH9 | 1.277 | 0.14 |
| sH10 | 1.277 | 0.14 |


| Discard selectivity |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| sD0 | 0.001 | 0.94 |
| sD1 | 0.08 | 0.57 |
| SD2 | 0.438 | 0.36 |
| SD3 | 0.296 | 0.17 |
| SD4 | 0.117 | 0.56 |
| SD5 | 0.046 | 0.45 |
| SD6 | 0.036 | 1.45 |
| SD7 | 0.003 | 1.73 |
| SD8 | 0.01 | 1.73 |
| SD9 | 0 | 0 |
| SD10 | 0 | 0 |


| Weight | in the H.cons catch |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| WH0 | 0 | 0 |
| WH1 | 0.321 | 0.16 |
| WH2 | 0.354 | 0.03 |
| WH3 | 0.425 | 0.03 |
| WH4 | 0.5 | 0.04 |
| WH5 | 0.615 | 0.17 |
| WH6 | 0.708 | 0.07 |
| WH7 | 0.953 | 0.17 |
| WH8 | 1.254 | 0.48 |
| WH9 | 1.402 | 0.22 |
| WH10 | 2.029 | 0.12 |
|  |  |  |
| Weight | in | the discards |
| WD0 | 0.041 | 0.24 |
| WD1 | 0.143 | 0.25 |
| WD2 | 0.223 | 0.09 |
| WD3 | 0.287 | 0.06 |
| WD4 | 0.311 | 0.02 |
| WD5 | 0.341 | 0.09 |
| WD6 | 0.179 | 0.87 |
| WD7 | 0.137 | 1.73 |
| WD8 | 0.139 | 1.73 |
| WD9 | 0 | 0 |
| WD10 | 0 | 0 |


| IBC selectivity |  |  | Weight in the IBC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| SI0 | 0.005 | 0.2 | WI0 | 0.03 | 0.81 |  |
| SI1 | 0.019 | 0.95 | WI1 | 0.073 | 0.16 |  |
| SI2 | 0.084 | 0.89 | WI2 | 0.141 | 0.23 |  |
| SI3 | 0.134 | 0.73 | WI3 | 0.197 | 0.5 |  |
| SI4 | 0.01 | 1.2 | WI4 | 0.38 | 0.09 |  |
| SI5 | 0.003 | 1.41 | WI5 | 0.314 | 0.36 |  |
| SI6 | 0 | 1.73 | WI6 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SI7 | 0 | 0 | WI7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SI8 | 0 | 0 | WI8 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SI9 | 0 | 0 | WI9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SI10 | 0 | 0 | WI10 | 0 | 0 |  |

Table 4.8.2(Cont'd) Haddock in IV and IIIa. Input to medium-term forecasts

| Label | Value | CV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight in the stock |  |  |  |  |  |
| WSO | 0.031 | 0.49 |  |  |  |
| WS 1 | 0.132 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| WS 2 | 0.237 | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| WS 3 | 0.346 | 0.09 |  |  |  |
| WS 4 | 0.479 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| WS 5 | 0.603 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| WS 6 | 0.695 | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| WS 7 | 0.951 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| WS 8 | 1.244 | 0.47 |  |  |  |
| WS 9 | 1.402 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| WS10 | 2.029 | 0.12 |  |  |  |
| Natural mortality |  |  | Proportion mature |  |  |
| M0 | 2.05 | 0.03 | MT0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| M1 | 1.65 | 0.05 | MT1 | 0.01 | 0.1 |
| M2 | 0.4 | 0.07 | MT2 | 0.32 | 0.1 |
| M3 | 0.25 | 0.19 | MT3 | 0.71 | 0.1 |
| M4 | 0.25 | 0.12 | MT4 | 0.87 | 0.1 |
| M5 | 0.2 | 0.17 | MT5 | 0.95 | 0.1 |
| M6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | MT6 | 1 | 0.1 |
| M7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | MT7 | 1 | 0 |
| M8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | MT8 | 1 | 0 |
| M9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | MT9 | 1 | 0 |
| M10 | 0.2 | 0.1 | MT10 | 1 | 0 |
| Relative effort in |  |  |  |  |  |
| H.Cons fishery |  |  |  |  |  |
| HFO2 | 1 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| HFO3 | 1 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| HFO 4 | 1 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| Relative effort in industrial fishery |  |  |  |  |  |
| IF02 | 1 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| IF03 | 1 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| IF04 | 1 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| Recruitment in 2003 and 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| R03 | 258955 | 1.02 |  |  |  |
| R04 | 258955 | 1.02 |  |  |  |

## File location:

W:\ACFM\WGNSSK\2002\Stock\Had-34\Final runs\Medium-term\HADIV.SEN


Figure 4.4.1 Haddock in IV and III. IBTS Q1 abundance indices plotted against stock abundance estimated from a single-fleet XSA.


Figure 4.4.1 (cont'd) Haddock in IV and IIIa. EGFS abundance indices plotted against stock abundance estimated from a single-fleet XSA.


Figure 4.4.2 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Single-fleet XSA results.
a) single-fleet estimates of mean F and SSB in 2000 from exploratory runs at the previous Working Group (WG 01) and the current Working Group (WG 02). The earlier IBTS and EGFS stock estimates for 2000 showed closer agreement than the corresponding estimates made with the addition of a further year's data.

b) single-fleet estimates of mean F and SSB in 2001 from exploratory XSA runs undertaken at the current WG meeting.


Figure 4.4.3 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Retrospective XSA results.





Haddock in IV and IIIa. Contribution of surveys and shrinkage to XSA survivors estimates from the present (WG 2002) and previous (WG 2001) assessments.


Tuning Weights - WG 2001






Figure 4.8.1 (a) Haddock in IV and IIIa. Results of medium-term analysis. - Status quo F.

Haddock, North Sea and IIIa. Medium term analysis, 1.00*Esq. Number of simulation Stock-recruit
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Figure 4.8.1 (b) Haddock in IV and IIIa. Results of medium-term analysis - $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$

Haddock, North Sea and IIIa. Medium term analysis, .84*Fsq. Number of simulation Stock-recruit

Yield


Reruitment
SSB

 probability that SSB will be below $\mathbf{B}_{\text {PA }}$ for any combination of year and fishing mortality.


Figure 4.9.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Yield-per-recruit. Mean F on the $x$-axis refers to mean F in the human consumption fishery (landings plus discards). See comment in text regarding $\mathbf{F}_{\max }$


Figure 4.9.2
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Stock and recruitment


Figure 4.9.3
Haddock in IV and IIIa. Historical stock performance in relation to current PA values. Data before 1974 have been excluded to make the v-axis more readable.


Data file(s):C:\RWG\WGNSSK\2002\Had\XSA 2001 WG Update\hadiv.pa;C:\RWG\WGNSSK\2002\Had\XSA 2001 WG Update\HADIV.SUM Plotted on 18/06/2002 at 11:09:00

Figure 4.10.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




### 5.1 Whiting in Subarea IV and Division VIId

### 5.1.1 The fishery

Total nominal landings are given in Table 5.1.1.1 for the North Sea (Subarea IV) and Eastern Channel (Division VIId). Total international catches as estimated by the Working Group for the combined North Sea and Eastern Channel are shown in Table 5.1.1.2. Eastern Channel catches as used by the Working Group are also shown separately in Table 5.1.1.3. The yearrange of these data has been changed from 1960-2000 (as in the previous assessment) to 1980-2001: the reasons for this are discussed in Section 5.1.4.

In the North Sea, whiting are caught for human consumption in the mixed demersal fisheries of Scotland (seine and light trawl), England (seine and trawl), and France (inshore and offshore trawlers). They are also caught in the Dutch beam trawl and German trawl fisheries: in addition, French trawlers targeting saithe take a by-catch of whiting. The industrial by-catch of whiting is taken mostly in the Norway pout fishery.

In the Eastern Channel, whiting are caught both by inshore and offshore trawlers in a mixed demersal fishery, with vessels from this area sometimes moving into the North Sea.

### 5.1.1.1 ICES advice applicable to 2001 and 2002

The ICES advice in 2000 for the fishery in 2001 was to reduce fishing mortality to bring SSB above the proposed $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 315000 t . The stock was considered to be outside safe biological limits.

The ICES advice in 2001 for the fishery in 2002 was based on the perception that stock remained outside safe biological limits. Its advice was to recommend a reduction in fishing mortality to below 0.37 , corresponding to human consumption landings of less than 37000 t . ICES noted that further reductions might be necessary to achieve consistency with the recovery plan for cod.

The forecast catch levels provided by ACFM were divided between the North Sea (Subarea IV) and Eastern Channel (Division VIId) on the basis of $11.5 \%$ of human consumption landings coming from the latter area. This value represents an average split of the landings' distribution during the years immediately prior to the merging of VIId and IV whiting in assessments (1992-1996).

### 5.1.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002

The 2001 and 2002 TACs for Areas IIa (EC zone) and IV are 29700 t and 32358 t . The minimum mesh size for vessels fishing for cod in the mixed demersal fishery in this area was changed to 120 mm from the start of 2002 under EU regulations regarding the cod recovery plan, with a one-year derogation of 110 mm for vessels targeting other species such as whiting (see Section 2.1). Whiting are a by-catch in some Nephrops fisheries that use a smaller mesh size, although landings are restricted through by-catch regulations. Industrial fishing with small-meshed gear is permitted, subject to by-catch limits of protected species including whiting. The minimum landing size of whiting in the human consumption fishery from this area is 27 cm . Regulations applying to the Norway pout box prevent industrial fishing with small meshes in an area where the by-catch limits are likely to be exceeded.

The UK implemented a national regulation in the late summer of 2000, requiring the mandatory fitting of a square mesh panel in certain towed gears (Ferro and Graham, 2000). These measures are likely to affect the selectivity of whiting.

There is no separate TAC for Division VIId, landings from this Division are counted against the TAC for Divisions VIIb-k combined ( 21000 t in 2001 and 31700 t in 2002). Minimum mesh size for whiting in Division VIId is 80 mm , with a 27 cm minimum landing size.

### 5.1.1.3 The fishery in 2001

For the North Sea, the total international catches were 43300 t in 2001, of which 19400 t were human consumption landings, 16500 t discards, and 7400 t industrial by-catch. The human consumption landings were the lowest ever recorded, while both discards and industrial by-catch were around 4000 t above their lowest recorded levels. The total weight of the catch in each component in the North Sea decreased from 2000 to 2001. For the Eastern Channel, the total catch in 2001 ( 5800 t ) was the highest since 1994. The total North Sea and Eastern Channel human consumption landings of 25200 t in 2001 were $62 \%$ of the status quo forecast from the 2001 assessment.

### 5.1.2 Natural mortality, maturity, age compositions, mean weight-at-age

The natural mortality and maturity-at-age values as used are shown in Table 5.1.2.1. These are applied to the full year range of the assessment. The natural mortality values are rounded averages of estimates produced by a previous key run of the North Sea MSVPA (see Section 1.3.1.3 of the 1999 WG report: ICES CM 2000/ACFM:7). Updated natural mortality estimates are available from a recent run of MSVPA (WD 3), but these have not been used in this assessment as there has been insufficient time to evaluate their reliability. The maturity ogive is based on North Sea IBTS quarter 1 data, averaged over the period 1981-1985. This is undoubtedly an oversimplification and could lead to considerable error in the estimation of SSB and associated biological reference points. Section 1.13.1 discusses this generic problem and suggests potential approaches to alleviate it.

For North Sea catches, human consumption landings data and age compositions were provided by Scotland, the Netherlands, England, and France. Discard data were provided by Scotland and used to estimate total international discards. Other discard estimates do exist (Section 1.11.4), but were not made available to Working Group data collators. Since 1991 the age composition of the Danish industrial by-catch has been directly sampled, whereas it was calculated from research vessel survey data during the period 1985-1990. Norway provided age composition data for its industrial by-catch.

For eastern Channel catches, age composition data were supplied by England and France. No estimates of discards are available for whiting in the Eastern Channel, although given the relatively low numbers in the Channel catch compared to that in the North Sea, this is not considered to be a major omission. There is no industrial fishery in this area.

Numbers in the total international catch-at-age (North Sea and Eastern Channel combined) are presented in Tables 5.1.2.2, while Tables 5.1.2.3-5.1.2.5 give numbers-at-age for each of the human consumption landings, discards, and industrial by-catch components thereof. The corresponding mean weights-at-age are presented in Tables 5.1.2.6 5.1.2.9. The total catch mean weight-at-age was also used as the stock mean weight-at-age. The relative proportions by age in the total catch of the human consumption, discards, and industrial by-catch component are summarised in Figure 5.1.2.1. From this, it can be seen that the proportion of the total catch of age-1 whiting which has been landed has increased somewhat in recent years. Recent trends in mean weights-at-age in the different catch components are shown in Figure 5.1.2.2.

### 5.1.3 Catch, effort, and research vessel data

Catch and effort data from five commercial and six survey-vessel series were available to calibrate catch-at-age analyses. The number of years and ages available for each series are listed in Table 5.1.3.1.

Effort data are available for two Scottish commercial fleets, namely seiners (SCOSEI) and light trawlers (SCOLTR). Continuing concerns over the validity of effort data for these fleets meant that they could not reasonably be used for catch-at-age tuning.

The Scottish groundfish survey (SCOGFS) is carried out in August each year, and has historically covered depths of roughly 35 m to 200 m in the North Sea to the north of the Dogger Bank. It samples at most one survey station per statistical rectangle. In 1998 the coverage of this survey was extended into the central North Sea, but the index available to the Working Group has been modified so as to cover a consistent area throughout the time-series. In addition, data for 1998 onwards have been removed from the SCOGFS series in recent assessments, as these data were obtained using new gear on a new vessel. However, there is now no reason to believe that the new data are inconsistent with the old data, at any rate for those ages ( 1 and older) which are used in this assessment. For this reason, the full SCOGFS series has been available for this year's assessment.

The English groundfish survey (ENGGFS) is also carried out in August each year, and samples at most one station per rectangle. It covers depths of roughly 35 m to 200 m in the whole of the North Sea basin.

The time-series of the survey indices of whiting supplied by the French Channel Groundfish Survey (FRAGFS) has been revised this year. Last year, the Eastern Channel was split into five zones. Abundance indices were first calculated for each zone, and then averaged to obtain the final FRAGFS index. This procedure was not thought to be entirely satisfactory, as the level of sampling was inconsistent across geographical strata. This year, it was thought more appropriate first to raise abundance indices to the level of ICES rectangles, and then to average those to calculate the final abundance index.

An additional inconsistency was identified in the way whiting abundance indices were obtained in FRAGFS. Previously, only the hauls in which whiting were caught were used to derive abundance indices. This procedure is thought to bias these estimates. Therefore, the indices supplied this year were calculated on the basis of all the fishing
hauls, irrespective of the occurrence of whiting catches. Figure 5.1.3.12 suggests that there is a substantial difference between the old and the revisited time-series, for all age groups. In particular, the high peaks observed for the old series are substantially reduced in the new series.

The first quarter International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS Q1) is undertaken in February and March of each year, and covers depths of roughly 35 m and 200 m in the whole of the North Sea basin. It uses a higher density of survey stations than either the SCOGFS or the ENGGFS, with several hauls per statistical rectangle.

All the available tuning fleet data are listed in Table 5.1.3.2.

## Comparative analyses of research-vessel surveys for whiting

There is currently considerable concern over the utility of research-vessel surveys as indicators for North Sea whiting abundance. With this in mind, Needle (WD 2) presented a series of analyses investigating the consistency among different survey series, and how they compare with an assessment method based on catch-at-age data only: the findings of that paper are discussed here.

Normalised (that is, mean-standardised) survey indices by age are plotted in Figure 5.1.3.1, although there is little discernible pattern to these raw data. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of the survey indices by age are simple comparative tests of the consistency of different current survey series for whiting in IV and VIId. Figures 5.1.3.2 to 5.1.3.8 give these plots, with linear regression lines fitted through the points in each case: the reported statistics on the graphs are the $R^{2}$ goodness-of-fit measure, the slope of the fitted line, and the power of a $t$-test used to determine whether said slope is significantly different from zero $(P(t)<0.05)$. It can be seen that there is little correlation between the indices from different surveys, with the exception of the ENGGFS and SCOGFS surveys which are reasonably well-correlated at most ages and for which the regression slopes are significantly different from zero for all ages. There is also some correlation between the IBTS Q1 and SCOGFS surveys at ages 1 and $4-6$, but none between the IBTS Q1 and ENGGFS surveys except for age 6 . Finally, the FRAGFS survey has little in common with the other series, which may be explained by the fact that it covers only the Eastern Channel component of the stock.

In order to elucidate further the underlying population signal given by these surveys, a series of analyses were performed using the RCRV1A program (see Section 1.4). Relative trends arising from these analyses are given in Figures 5.1.3.9 (mean $F_{2-6}$ ), 5.1.3.10 (SSB), and 5.1.3.11 (recruitment). These plots also show the equivalent trends from the catch-only TSA (that is, no surveys) discussed in Section 5.1.4 below. The conclusions to be reached from these plots are rather tenuous, given the drawbacks of the method mentioned in Section 1.4, but they do tend to confirm much of what was suggested by the bivariate scatterplots mentioned above. In terms of smoothed trends, there would appear to be two different signals in mean $F_{2-6}$ : a decline until the mid-1990s followed by a rise (suggested by SCOGFS, ENGGFS, and FRAGFS), or continued decline (suggested by TSA and, to a lesser extent as it jumps upwards in the most recent year, IBTS Q1). The groupings are broadly similar for trends in relative SSB, while recruitment trends are extremely variable, particularly in recent years. It would seem to be characteristic of the RCRV1A method that only two out of these three summary statistics are ever in accord, which may explain the uncertainty over recruitment.

In conclusion: while there are certainly different population-trend signals in the whiting survey series, the English and Scottish groundfish surveys (and, to a lesser extent, the French groundfish survey) appear to be in accord, while the IBTS Q1 survey is more consistent with the catch-at-age data. Without a more detailed analysis of the methodology and characteristics of these surveys, it is difficult to know which is the most representative of whiting population dynamics: there are no empirical diagnostics on which to base such a decision. Section 1.13 .2 gives a recommendation as to how these questions might be addressed.

### 5.1.4 Catch-at-age analysis

It should be noted that the starting year for assessment data has been changed from 1960 to 1980. This was for two reasons: firstly, discard data (which form part of the catch data) for the years prior to 1978 were estimates only, and secondly, there is evidence of a change in recruitment "regime" around 1980, from high and variable to low and less variable. This does not change greatly the perceptions of recent stock dynamics, but does affect the shape of the stockrecruitment relationship and the calculation of biological reference points.

## (i) Separable VPA analysis

A separable VPA was run on the catch-at-age dataset with all but the most recent 10 years heavily downweighted (ages $0-12$, years 1980-2001), using a selection of 1.5 on the oldest true age (from exploratory runs) and terminal $F$ ( 0.6 on age 3) from previous assessments. The results given in Figure 5.1.4.1 (top plot) suggest that residuals are large on the $0: 1 \log$ catch ratios on the one hand, and on the $6: 7$ and older $\log$ catch ratios on the other. The former consist of partially recruited age groups subject to discarding in the human consumption fishery and taken as by-catch in the industrial fisheries, while the latter are poorly represented in the historical record and are likely to be subject to noise as a result. These considerations support the restriction of the age range for assessment to $1-8+$, as in the three most recent assessments. Figure 5.1.4.1 (lower plot) gives residuals for a separable VPA run on this reduced dataset (ages $1-8+$, other settings as above), which are appropriately small. All catch and tuning data were therefore limited to the $1-8+$ age range for all the assessment methods discussed below.

## (ii) Time-Series Analysis

The time-series approach to catch-at-age analysis was first applied to this stock in last year's assessment, because it was thought to best capture the uncertainty of the assessment. Technical details of the basic model may be found in Harvey (1989), Jones (1993), and Gudmundsson (1994), while the TSA implementation used here is discussed in the 1998 report of the Northern Shelf Demersal Working Group (ICES CM 1999/ACFM:1, Appendix 3), the 2001 report of the Methods Working Group (ICES CM 2002/D:01), Fryer et al (1998), and Fryer (2001).

The Kalman filter TSA algorithm is a recursive procedure that represents the variables of interest (stock numbers- and fishing mortalities-at-age) as unobserved state variables that evolve forward over time. Each year, observed catches-atage are used to update the estimates of the state variables. Year-class strength is assumed (in this implementation) to be distributed according to a Ricker stock-recruitment model. Model fitting proceeds by examination of standardised catch prediction errors (equivalent to model-fit residuals) and inflation of permitted variance on year-age pairs for which such errors are high. Each estimate of historical mean $F_{2-6}$ and stock numbers is produced with an associated standard error, allowing a statistical evaluation of the uncertainty in the assessment. A number of research-vessel tuning series can be incorporated. The model is also able to roll forward and produce estimates for all parameters for as many years as required following the last historical year.

The model used in last year's assessment was based only on catch-at-age data, and did not incorporate tuning indices from research-vessel surveys. There are a number of hypotheses which might suggest that catch-at-age data for whiting are questionable. One of these is that the Scottish pattern of discarding, which is used to generate discard estimates for other European fleets, might not be appropriate since these other fleets have much lower TACs for whiting than the Scottish fleet and are a priori more likely to discard whiting. Such concerns over the validity of recent whiting catch-atage data led the Working Group to explore the implications of TSA using catch-at-age data tuned by the principal available survey series: ENGGFS (1981-2001, since survey data cannot begin in the same year as catch data in TSA); SCOGFS (1982-2001); and IBTS Q1 (1981-2002). The FRAGFS survey was not used in this exercise because of pressure of time, but it is anticipated that it would show similar results. Parameter estimates from these runs are given in Table 5.1.4.1, while Figures 5.1.4.2 (stock summary time-series) and 5.1.4.3 (scatterplot of mean $F$ and SSB in 2001) show comparisons between these survey-tuned analyses and a no-survey TSA. From these outputs, there is clear evidence of persistent trends in catchability for all three surveys. It can also be seen that the survey-tuned TSA summaries (mean $F_{2-6}, \mathrm{SSB}$, and recruitment) all lie within the approximate pointwise $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the no-survey TSA. The implication is that TSA does not assign much weight to survey tuning indices: RCRV1A analyses (Section 5.1.3) suggest that the different surveys measure quite different relative trends in whiting population dynamics, but these does not alter the TSA estimates as much as might be expected. Although not strictly accurate, a first approximation to the weighting assigned to the catch and survey data can be obtained from the estimates of variance ( $\sigma_{\text {cath }}$ and $\sigma_{\text {survey }}$ ) in Table 5.1.4.1. The former is invariably smaller than the latter, suggesting that catch data are weighted more heavily in these TSA runs. Since they make no statistically significant difference to the assessment, there does not seem to be any reason to include survey tuning indices, and the no-survey TSA was accepted as the final assessment. Suspected problems with whiting catch-at-age data cast some doubt on the validity of this approach, but there is no real alternative in the absence of an appropriate survey-driven methodology.

## Final assessment

Input data for the final TSA assessment using catch data only are given in Tables 5.1.2.2 and 5.1.2.6. Model performance is evaluated by examination of standardised catch and survey prediction errors. The appropriate adjustments and their justifications for whiting in IV and VIId are given in Table 5.1.4.2. Two-year forecasts were generated.

Table 5.1.4.3 gives parameter estimates, comparing this year's assessment to last year's. The estimates are similar in general. There is some evidence of persistent changes ( $\sigma_{Y}=0.1083$ ) in the year effect on fishing mortality, and
transitory (or temporary) changes ( $\sigma_{F}=0.1279$ ) in the overall level of fishing mortality over time. There is also weak evidence of transitory changes in the fitted separable pattern over time ( $\sigma_{V}=0.0259$ ), but no evidence of permanent changes in the separable pattern $\left(\sigma_{U}=0.0000\right)$. Catch and recruitment data are fairly noisy $\left(\sigma_{\text {catch }}=0.0998, \sigma_{\text {rec }}=0.3751\right)$, although less so than in the previous assessment. The principal change is in the stockrecruitment model parameters, with the slope-at-the-origin of the fitted Ricker curve being much lower in this year's assessment: this implies lower recruitment for a given SSB. The algorithm needs initial estimates of $F$ in 1960 at ages 1, 2 , and 5 (the latter being the assumed age of full maturity), which is why the final values of these quantities are listed in the output.

Standardised catch prediction errors (equivalent to model residuals) are given in Table 5.1.4.4 and Figure 5.1.4.4, and do not show any significant trends or very large outliers after four points were down-weighted (see Table 5.1.4.2). It is noticeable, however, that prediction errors are generally negative in the late 1990s, and in the most recent year. Several TSA configurations analysed in last year's assessment concluded that the late 1990s negative prediction errors are a consequence of the catch-at-age data, rather than the TSA method itself. Stock trends and the fitted stock-recruitment curve are shown in Figure 5.1.4.5. TSA tabular outputs are presented in Tables 5.1.4.5 (numbers-at-age), 5.1.4.6 (standard error on numbers-at-age), 5.1.4.7 (variance-covariance matrix for forecast numbers-at-age in 2003), 5.1.4.8 (fishing mortality-at-age), 5.1.4.9 (standard error on log fishing mortality-at-age), and 5.1.4.10 (stock summary). It should be noted that the "actual catches" column in Table 5.1.4.10 refers to ages $1-12+$ only, and is thus not directly comparable with the total reported catch (ages $0-12+$ ) in Table 5.1.1.2.

Retrospective analyses are plotted in Figure 5.1.4.6. For significant evidence of retrospective bias, the current TSA estimate has to lie outwith the confidence intervals of the retrospective estimate. For mean $F_{2-6}$ and SSB this occurs only for the retrospective runs finishing in 1997 and 1998, and not at all for recruitment. The point estimates do not exhibit consistent bias either. Therefore, there seems little evidence of a significant retrospective bias in this assessment.

### 5.1.5 Recruitment estimates

The TSA implementation used here as the key assessment run generates predictions for all model parameters in 2002 and 2003. Recruitment predictions are based on a fitted Ricker stock-recruitment curve, and are $R(2002)=1949$ million, $R(2003)=2024$ million. The long-term (1980-2001) GM recruitment is 1931 million. These estimates are likely to be updated when the results of this year's ENGGFS and SCOGFS surveys become available in the autumn. RCT3 input data are presented in Table 5.1.5.1.

### 5.1.6 Historical stock trends

Long-term trends in fishing mortality, recruitment, and spawning biomass are given in Table 5.1.6.1 and plotted in Figure 5.1.6.1.

Fishing mortalities overall would appear to have been in a declining trend since the late 1980s. The current assessment indicates a decline in SSB from 1990 to 1998, falling to an historical low value in 1998 ( $\sim 144700$ t). However, that trend would now appear to have reversed and SSB is estimated to have increased over the most recent 2 years to a value in 2001 of $\sim 209000 \mathrm{t}$.

Estimates of all year classes between 1989 and 2001 fluctuate around the long-term (1980-2001) geometric mean of 1931 million fish.

### 5.1.7 Short-term forecasts

Short-term forecasts for 2002 and 2003 will be contingent on the Scottish and English survey results available in the autumn of 2002. These forecasts will therefore be produced by a Working Group subgroup meeting to be convened later in the year (see Section 1.2). A sample input file to catch forecast and sensitivity analysis is given in Table 5.1.7.1: this is an example only and will be updated at the subgroup. Sensitivity (whiiv.sen) and summary (whiiv.sum) files can be found on the ICES system at W:\acfm\wgnssk\2002\Stock\whg-47d\final runs\shortterm.

### 5.1.8 Medium-term projections

Medium-term projections will be addressed later in the year by a subgroup of this Working Group (see Section 5.1.7).

### 5.1.9 Biological reference points

Empirical biological reference points will be addressed later in the year by a subgroup of this Working Group (see Section 5.1.7).

ICES proposed the following PA reference points: $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=315000 \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=225000 \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.65$, and $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}=0.9$.

### 5.1.10 Quality of the assessment

(i) Previous meetings of this Working Group have concluded that the survey data and commercial catch data contain varying signals concerning the stock, and that there remain inconsistencies in the annual international catch-at-age distributions. Intersessional work to quantify the extent of these problems is required.
(ii) The starting year for assessment data has been changed from 1960 to 1980. This was for two reasons: firstly, discard estimates (which form part of the catch data) for the years prior to 1978 were not based on sampling, and secondly, there is evidence of a change in recruitment "regime" around 1980.
(iii) Data inconsistencies mean that any assessment produced will be extremely uncertain. An implementation (TSA) of the Kalman filter algorithm has been used as the assessment, as it is thought to best capture the uncertainty of the terminal-year estimates. Survey indices were not used to calibrate this assessment, as they did not make a significant difference to the outcome. It is hoped that a modified implementation, incorporating separate modelling of human consumption landings, discards, and industrial by-catch, will be presented to ACFM in its autumn meeting.
(iv) The historical pattern of stock size, fishing mortality, and recruitment resulting from this assessment is consistent with the pattern observed from the 2001 assessment. In terms of point estimates the perception of the more recent trajectory of mean $F_{2-6}$ has been revised upwards, but given the estimated uncertainty in this value it cannot be concluded that $F$ has significantly increased.
(v) It has not been possible to evaluate the success of implementation of UK or EU technical conservation measures in 2001 (see Section 2.1), nor whether such measures have been fully implemented in 2002. The effect on the whiting fishery of the spring cod closure in 2001 is also not quantified.
(vi) An appropriate time-series of discard data suitable for use in catch-at-age analysis is available only for Scottish catches. For assessment purposes, discards for other human consumption fleets are estimated by extrapolation from Scottish data: these data account for nearly $70 \%$ of human consumption landings. Data are also collected by other countries, but have not been made available to data collators.
(vii) No short-term or medium-term forecasts have been presented, due to the timing of the Working Group meeting. These will be produced by a subgroup meeting in the autumn.

### 5.1.11 Management considerations

Whiting are taken in a mixed roundfish fishery. This means it is important to take into account the impact of management of whiting on other stocks, notably cod and haddock, and vice versa. The fisheries for cod, haddock, and whiting are undoubtedly related, but there is uncertainty both over how closely, and over the degree to which fishing vessels can target particular species. Recent measures to protect North Sea cod, such as the closed area, and proposals to increase mesh size, have in all likelihood affected the whiting fishery, and will continue to do so. In the long-term improvements in selectivity related to measures to protect cod should benefit the whiting fishery by reducing discards and increasing landings, although in the medium term landings are likely to fall. This aspect is discussed in last year's Working Group report (ICES CM 2002/ACFM:01). Further discussions regarding fleet technical interactions can be found in Section 1.4.6.

### 5.2 Whiting in Division IIIa

Total landings are shown in Table 5.2.1.1.
No analytical assessment of this stock was possible.

Table 5.1.1.1 Nominal catch (in tonnes) of Whiting in Subarea IV and Division VIId, as officially reported to ICES.

Subarea IV

| Country | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $2001^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 1,030 | 944 | 1,042 | 880 | 843 | 391 | 268 | 529 | 536 | 454 |
| Denmark | 1,377 | 1,418 | 549 | 368 | 189 | 103 | 46 | 58 | 105 | 105 |
| Faroe Islands | 16 | 7 | 2 | 21 | - | 6 | 1 | 1 | -- |  |
| France | 5,071 | 5,502 | 4,735 | 5,963 | 4,704 | 3,526 | $1,908^{*}$ | $4,292^{1}$ | $2,529^{*^{1}}$ | $3,460^{* 1}$ |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 511 | 441 | 239 | 124 | 187 | 196 | 103 | 176 | 424 | 402 |
| Netherlands | 5,390 | 4,799 | 3,864 | 3,640 | 3,388 | 2,539 | 1,941 | 1,795 | 1,884 | $2,478^{2}$ |
| Norway | 232 | 130 | 79 | 115 | 66 | 75 | 64 | 68 | 33 | 44 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Sweden | 22 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| UK (E.\&W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Preliminary: year 2001, France 1998-2001.
${ }^{1}$ Includes Division IIa (EC).
${ }^{2}$ Not included here are 68 t reported into an unknown area.
${ }^{3}$ 1989-1994 revised. N. Ireland included with England and Wales.

## Division VIId

| Country | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $2001^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 66 | 74 | 61 | 68 | 84 | 98 | 53 | 48 | 65 | 75 |
| France | 5,414 | 5,032 | 6,734 | 5,202 | 4,771 | 4,532 | $4,495^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 32 | 6 | 14 | 67 |
| UK (E.\&W) | 419 | 321 | 293 | 280 | 199 | 147 | 185 | 135 | 118 | $\ldots$ |
| UK (Scotland) | 24 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | - | - | $\ldots$ |
| United Kingdom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 133 |
| Total | 5,923 | 5,429 | 7,088 | 5,551 | 5,056 | 4,779 | 4,765 | 189 | 197 | 142 |
| Unallocated | -178 | -214 | -463 | -161 | -104 | -156 | -167 | 4242 | 4101 | 5662 |
| W.G. estimate | 5,745 | 5,215 | 6,625 | 5,390 | 4,952 | 4,623 | 4,598 | 4,431 | 4,298 | 5,804 |

*Preliminary.

Subarea IV and Division VIId

|  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| W.G. estimate | 109,705 | 116,166 | 92,606 | 103,267 | 73,957 | 59,102 | 44,313 | 59,179 | 60,907 | 49,062 |

Table 5.1.1.2 Whiting in IV and VIId. Annual weight and numbers caught, years 1980-2001, ages 0-12+.

| Year | Weight (thousand tonnes) |  |  | Numbers (millions) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | H. cons. | Disc. | Ind. BC | Total | H. cons. | Disc. | Ind. BC |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 645 |
| 1980 | 224 | 101 | 77 | 46 | 1456 | 340 | 471 | 929 |
| 1981 | 192 | 90 | 36 | 67 | 1439 | 296 | 214 | 93 |
| 1982 | 140 | 81 | 27 | 33 | 778 | 271 | 173 | 333 |
| 1983 | 161 | 88 | 50 | 24 | 1358 | 290 | 370 | 697 |
| 1984 | 146 | 86 | 41 | 19 | 909 | 285 | 327 | 297 |
| 1985 | 106 | 62 | 29 | 15 | 688 | 176 | 231 | 280 |
| 1986 | 162 | 64 | 80 | 18 | 1207 | 225 | 583 | 399 |
| 1987 | 139 | 68 | 54 | 16 | 946 | 245 | 416 | 285 |
| 1988 | 133 | 56 | 28 | 49 | 1395 | 212 | 231 | 952 |
| 1989 | 124 | 45 | 36 | 43 | 883 | 172 | 280 | 431 |
| 1990 | 153 | 47 | 56 | 51 | 1294 | 177 | 539 | 578 |
| 1991 | 125 | 53 | 34 | 38 | 1611 | 199 | 242 | 1170 |
| 1992 | 110 | 52 | 31 | 27 | 863 | 182 | 216 | 465 |
| 1993 | 116 | 53 | 43 | 20 | 1231 | 174 | 343 | 714 |
| 1994 | 93 | 49 | 33 | 10 | 702 | 162 | 235 | 304 |
| 1995 | 103 | 46 | 30 | 27 | 2020 | 147 | 214 | 1659 |
| 1996 | 74 | 41 | 28 | 5 | 448 | 143 | 177 | 128 |
| 1997 | 59 | 36 | 17 | 6 | 293 | 131 | 101 | 61 |
| 1998 | 44 | 28 | 13 | 3 | 290 | 110 | 83 | 97 |
| 1999 | 59 | 30 | 24 | 5 | 456 | 117 | 179 | 160 |
| 2000 | 61 | 29 | 23 | 9 | 311 | 114 | 142 | 55 |
| 2001 | 49 | 25 | 16 | 7 | 498 | 102 | 114 | 282 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 44 | 25 | 13 | 3 | 290 | 102 | 83 | 55 |
| GM | 107 | 52 | 33 | 18 | 830 | 183 | 236 | 353 |
| AM | 117 | 56 | 37 | 24 | 958 | 194 | 267 | 496 |
| Max | 224 | 101 | 80 | 67 | 2020 | 340 | 583 | 1659 |

Table 5.1.1.3. Whiting in VIId. Annual weight and numbers caught, year 1980-2001, ages 0-12+.

| Year | Weight <br> (thousand tonnes) | Numbers (thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1980 | 9167 | 35509 |
| 1981 | 8932 | 34279 |
| 1982 | 7911 | 32952 |
| 1983 | 6936 | 29470 |
| 1984 | 7373 | 33413 |
| 1985 | 7390 | 19561 |
| 1986 | 5498 | 21143 |
| 1987 | 4671 | 18208 |
| 1988 | 4428 | 17922 |
| 1989 | 4156 | 16869 |
| 1990 | 3483 | 13648 |
| 1991 | 5718 | 17884 |
| 1992 | 5745 | 19398 |
| 1993 | 5215 | 17842 |
| 1994 | 6625 | 24049 |
| 1995 | 5390 | 18492 |
| 1996 | 4952 | 22360 |
| 1997 | 4623 | 22556 |
| 1998 | 4598 | 23047 |
| 1999 | 4431 | 18867 |
| 2000 | 4297 | 22087 |
| 2001 | 5804 | 28560 |
|  |  | 13648 |
| Min | 3483 | 22316 |
| GM | 5598 | 23096 |
| AM | 5788 | 35509 |
| Max | 9167 |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Natural mortality and proportion mature by age.

| Age | Natural <br> mortality | Maturity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.95 | 0.11 |
| 2 | 0.45 | 0.92 |
| 3 | 0.35 | 1.00 |
| 4 | 0.30 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 0.25 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 0.25 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.20 | 1.00 |
| $8+$ | 0.20 | 1.00 |

Table 5.1.2.2 Whiting in IV and VIId. Total catch numbers-at-age (thousands).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 265359 | 162899 | 192640 | 205646 | 323408 | 203321 | 576731 | 267051 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 416008 | 346343 | 114444 | 184746 | 175965 | 141716 | 167077 | 368229 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 286077 | 266517 | 245246 | 118412 | 124886 | 82037 | 169577 | 122748 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 90718 | 102295 | 88137 | 131508 | 49505 | 37847 | 46517 | 85240 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 52969 | 27776 | 26796 | 37231 | 59817 | 14420 | 13367 | 11392 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 10751 | 12297 | 6909 | 8688 | 13860 | 17445 | 3487 | 4556 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1152 | 3540 | 2082 | 1780 | 2964 | 3328 | 3975 | 928 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 767 | 326 | 484 | 930 | 613 | 904 | 569 | 1035 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A g e}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 430344 | 331672 | 253745 | 128507 | 239792 | 217539 | 163609 | 137481 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 307429 | 173676 | 505010 | 191193 | 165354 | 167577 | 147177 | 139010 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 179502 | 191942 | 129126 | 187195 | 89563 | 124287 | 90611 | 111489 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 39635 | 78464 | 86324 | 36830 | 93636 | 46543 | 47533 | 35728 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 17901 | 14367 | 32270 | 26209 | 11967 | 46136 | 17384 | 15161 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 2175 | 5050 | 2003 | 5519 | 6878 | 3946 | 17264 | 5159 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 544 | 516 | 735 | 542 | 2609 | 1519 | 998 | 4515 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 168 | 334 | 112 | 273 | 117 | 771 | 460 | 474 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A g e}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 72645 | 53408 | 71430 | 178079 | 66789 | 84121 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 113956 | 74200 | 44697 | 91355 | 124365 | 86178 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 98476 | 82944 | 42771 | 45627 | 63526 | 58908 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 48575 | 42154 | 36459 | 34175 | 23888 | 20559 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 14235 | 18492 | 17756 | 18528 | 16232 | 9177 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 4695 | 3358 | 6392 | 7547 | 8791 | 4814 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1294 | 1020 | 1426 | 2049 | 4322 | 2232 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 1113 | 460 | 407 | 676 | 1265 | 1268 |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.3 Whiting in IV and VIId. Human consumption landings numbers-at-age (thousands).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3656 | 4240 | 10890 | 10568 | 14388 | 2288 | 12879 | 11074 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 62405 | 69211 | 46703 | 68640 | 62693 | 51194 | 44500 | 72372 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 152570 | 104348 | 124656 | 67312 | 99204 | 57049 | 111527 | 70504 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 68422 | 78253 | 59393 | 101342 | 41277 | 32340 | 37287 | 73742 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 41430 | 23698 | 21376 | 31266 | 51745 | 12974 | 11285 | 10808 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 9911 | 12036 | 5664 | 8330 | 12735 | 16361 | 3379 | 4506 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1135 | 3530 | 2058 | 1730 | 2813 | 3238 | 3912 | 928 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 767 | 326 | 484 | 921 | 613 | 904 | 557 | 1004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A g e}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7462 | 8636 | 6949 | 11610 | 9603 | 5980 | 17126 | 8832 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 61360 | 28406 | 54361 | 43110 | 45154 | 29305 | 31660 | 28132 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 94163 | 77009 | 45423 | 91129 | 48838 | 64353 | 46217 | 58538 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 29147 | 44307 | 50603 | 26169 | 60806 | 33514 | 36814 | 28013 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 16556 | 9249 | 17747 | 21697 | 9956 | 34651 | 14169 | 13767 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 2158 | 3888 | 1407 | 4687 | 6223 | 2989 | 14706 | 4953 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 544 | 420 | 622 | 405 | 1496 | 1361 | 928 | 4401 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 164 | 249 | 110 | 273 | 110 | 771 | 446 | 467 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 12516 | 6522 | 17081 | 16689 | 15406 | 12257 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 26768 | 23543 | 19894 | 26966 | 31989 | 28499 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 47593 | 48237 | 25016 | 25863 | 28500 | 27332 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 36288 | 31904 | 24713 | 23792 | 14327 | 17518 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 12023 | 15824 | 14717 | 14708 | 11841 | 8640 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 4453 | 2957 | 5446 | 6660 | 6657 | 4506 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1116 | 1017 | 1213 | 1882 | 3774 | 2092 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 1113 | 443 | 301 | 591 | 1159 | 1249 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.4. Whiting in IV and VIId. Discard numbers-at-age (thousands).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 103203 | 50407 | 53753 | 152488 | 200589 | 154232 | 404604 | 158531 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 250735 | 96509 | 26922 | 85318 | 82563 | 48791 | 120492 | 202154 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 88399 | 57403 | 52349 | 33325 | 16815 | 15117 | 43479 | 34824 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 14135 | 7313 | 18230 | 23442 | 4437 | 2985 | 5242 | 9776 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 10795 | 1285 | 2972 | 4309 | 4495 | 761 | 627 | 582 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 786 | 149 | 343 | 295 | 1034 | 801 | 108 | 49 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 10 | 22 | 25 | 151 | 65 | 63 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 65021 | 150598 | 79488 | 76938 | 98967 | 124426 | 77783 | 46209 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 87197 | 36712 | 245129 | 77383 | 57629 | 101119 | 97847 | 77320 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 51135 | 61442 | 33194 | 74005 | 26527 | 49064 | 36762 | 48601 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 5877 | 21267 | 23488 | 4900 | 22976 | 8992 | 9528 | 6943 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 846 | 3276 | 12012 | 1828 | 1199 | 10709 | 2856 | 1318 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 17 | 103 | 253 | 89 | 350 | 519 | 2337 | 205 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 8 | 87 | 60 | 1064 | 131 | 7 | 113 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 30480 | 19347 | 29979 | 84613 | 33848 | 27570 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 82020 | 28837 | 18755 | 51740 | 75869 | 44645 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 48240 | 30616 | 16361 | 14422 | 23590 | 21930 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 11319 | 9175 | 10992 | 8844 | 2898 | 2528 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 2192 | 2392 | 2976 | 3077 | 2257 | 385 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 240 | 399 | 935 | 857 | 1548 | 268 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 179 | 2 | 213 | 166 | 474 | 140 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0 | 17 | 106 | 85 | 107 | 19 |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.5. Whiting in IV and VIId. Industrial by-catch numbers-at-age (thousands).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 158500 | 108252 | 127998 | 42591 | 108431 | 46801 | 159249 | 97446 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 102869 | 180623 | 40818 | 30789 | 30709 | 41731 | 2086 | 93704 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 45108 | 104767 | 68242 | 17775 | 8868 | 9871 | 14572 | 17420 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 8162 | 16729 | 10514 | 6723 | 3790 | 2522 | 3987 | 1722 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 744 | 2793 | 2448 | 1656 | 3577 | 685 | 1456 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 55 | 112 | 902 | 63 | 91 | 284 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 18 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 357861 | 172438 | 167308 | 39959 | 131221 | 87133 | 68701 | 82439 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 158872 | 108558 | 205521 | 70701 | 62571 | 37153 | 17670 | 33558 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 34205 | 53491 | 50508 | 22062 | 14198 | 10870 | 7632 | 4351 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4611 | 12890 | 12233 | 5761 | 9855 | 4037 | 1192 | 772 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 500 | 1842 | 2511 | 2684 | 812 | 776 | 359 | 76 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0 | 1060 | 342 | 743 | 305 | 437 | 222 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 89 | 26 | 78 | 49 | 27 | 64 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 29648 | 27539 | 24370 | 76777 | 17535 | 44294 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5168 | 21820 | 6047 | 12649 | 16508 | 13034 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2643 | 4091 | 1395 | 5342 | 11436 | 9646 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 968 | 1075 | 754 | 1539 | 6663 | 513 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 21 | 276 | 63 | 743 | 2134 | 152 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 2 | 2 | 12 | 30 | 586 | 40 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.6 Whiting in IV and VIId. Total catch mean weights-at-age (kg).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.075 | 0.083 | 0.061 | 0.107 | 0.089 | 0.094 | 0.105 | 0.077 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.176 | 0.168 | 0.184 | 0.191 | 0.188 | 0.192 | 0.183 | 0.148 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.252 | 0.242 | 0.253 | 0.273 | 0.271 | 0.284 | 0.255 | 0.247 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.328 | 0.321 | 0.314 | 0.325 | 0.337 | 0.332 | 0.318 | 0.297 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.337 | 0.379 | 0.376 | 0.384 | 0.382 | 0.402 | 0.378 | 0.375 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.458 | 0.411 | 0.478 | 0.426 | 0.391 | 0.435 | 0.475 | 0.379 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.458 | 0.444 | 0.504 | 0.452 | 0.463 | 0.494 | 0.468 | 0.542 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.572 | 0.72 | 0.735 | 0.537 | 0.567 | 0.439 | 0.625 | 0.584 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A g e}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.054 | 0.07 | 0.083 | 0.103 | 0.082 | 0.073 | 0.08 | 0.087 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.146 | 0.157 | 0.137 | 0.169 | 0.185 | 0.175 | 0.17 | 0.181 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.223 | 0.225 | 0.209 | 0.218 | 0.257 | 0.252 | 0.254 | 0.258 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.301 | 0.267 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.277 | 0.319 | 0.323 | 0.341 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.346 | 0.318 | 0.279 | 0.307 | 0.332 | 0.329 | 0.371 | 0.385 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.423 | 0.391 | 0.408 | 0.338 | 0.346 | 0.349 | 0.367 | 0.43 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.506 | 0.431 | 0.49 | 0.365 | 0.314 | 0.403 | 0.414 | 0.434 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.694 | 0.394 | 0.599 | 0.401 | 0.503 | 0.381 | 0.416 | 0.42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A g e}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.093 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.076 | 0.113 | 0.072 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.167 | 0.178 | 0.18 | 0.174 | 0.182 | 0.191 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.236 | 0.243 | 0.236 | 0.233 | 0.238 | 0.227 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.302 | 0.295 | 0.281 | 0.256 | 0.288 | 0.283 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.387 | 0.333 | 0.314 | 0.289 | 0.287 | 0.270 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.406 | 0.381 | 0.339 | 0.303 | 0.277 | 0.300 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.428 | 0.381 | 0.33 | 0.309 | 0.277 | 0.287 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.43 | 0.418 | 0.367 | 0.287 | 0.273 | 0.293 |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.7 Whiting in IV and VIId. Human consumption landings mean weights-at-age (kg).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.2038 | 0.1942 | 0.1863 | 0.1990 | 0.1942 | 0.1870 | 0.1886 | 0.1885 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.2391 | 0.2420 | 0.2304 | 0.2396 | 0.2310 | 0.2475 | 0.2297 | 0.2256 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2733 | 0.2915 | 0.2818 | 0.2825 | 0.2788 | 0.3069 | 0.2788 | 0.2856 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.3351 | 0.3308 | 0.3398 | 0.3317 | 0.3459 | 0.3370 | 0.3271 | 0.3096 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.3580 | 0.3776 | 0.3961 | 0.3829 | 0.3912 | 0.4081 | 0.3760 | 0.3811 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.4733 | 0.4114 | 0.4606 | 0.4290 | 0.4035 | 0.4428 | 0.4837 | 0.3808 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.4566 | 0.4449 | 0.5066 | 0.4522 | 0.4725 | 0.4983 | 0.4725 | 0.5422 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.5718 | 0.7198 | 0.7355 | 0.5384 | 0.5674 | 0.4385 | 0.6323 | 0.5928 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.1941 | 0.1783 | 0.2013 | 0.2040 | 0.1954 | 0.1952 | 0.1836 | 0.1718 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.2262 | 0.2260 | 0.2198 | 0.2496 | 0.2479 | 0.2509 | 0.2497 | 0.2554 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2559 | 0.2528 | 0.2600 | 0.2518 | 0.2903 | 0.2866 | 0.2974 | 0.2981 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.3276 | 0.2878 | 0.2921 | 0.3086 | 0.3068 | 0.3476 | 0.3454 | 0.3670 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.3515 | 0.3448 | 0.3349 | 0.3182 | 0.3425 | 0.3591 | 0.3927 | 0.3977 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.4248 | 0.3700 | 0.4493 | 0.3493 | 0.3577 | 0.3877 | 0.3823 | 0.4373 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.5064 | 0.4397 | 0.5225 | 0.3878 | 0.3828 | 0.4218 | 0.4128 | 0.4369 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.7017 | 0.4050 | 0.6012 | 0.4013 | 0.5027 | 0.3804 | 0.4117 | 0.4217 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.1700 | 0.1715 | 0.1642 | 0.1840 | 0.1659 | 0.1600 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.2220 | 0.2067 | 0.2090 | 0.2365 | 0.2264 | 0.2168 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2743 | 0.2607 | 0.2592 | 0.2702 | 0.2714 | 0.2682 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.3280 | 0.3140 | 0.3041 | 0.2801 | 0.3001 | 0.2857 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.4067 | 0.3476 | 0.3299 | 0.3024 | 0.2924 | 0.2692 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.4133 | 0.3977 | 0.3596 | 0.3139 | 0.3153 | 0.3033 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.4484 | 0.3807 | 0.3444 | 0.3175 | 0.2781 | 0.2909 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.4302 | 0.4205 | 0.4237 | 0.2951 | 0.2737 | 0.2944 |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.8. Whiting in IV and VIId. Discard mean weights-at-age (kg).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.1070 | 0.1310 | 0.0910 | 0.1140 | 0.1010 | 0.1050 | 0.1230 | 0.0900 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1660 | 0.1640 | 0.1820 | 0.1670 | 0.1620 | 0.1690 | 0.1660 | 0.1490 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2020 | 0.1970 | 0.2110 | 0.2350 | 0.2160 | 0.2130 | 0.1900 | 0.2060 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.2440 | 0.2300 | 0.2250 | 0.2640 | 0.2460 | 0.2380 | 0.2080 | 0.2050 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.2530 | 0.2890 | 0.2410 | 0.2900 | 0.2650 | 0.2420 | 0.2270 | 0.2630 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.2640 | 0.2520 | 0.2440 | 0.3170 | 0.2480 | 0.2530 | 0.1940 | 0.2570 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0000 | 0.2680 | 0.2610 | 0.2770 | 0.2780 | 0.2550 | 0.2170 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3650 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3110 | 0.2920 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0630 | 0.0830 | 0.0950 | 0.0890 | 0.0930 | 0.0870 | 0.0900 | 0.1020 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1460 | 0.1640 | 0.1300 | 0.1540 | 0.1730 | 0.1600 | 0.1510 | 0.1630 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.1810 | 0.1910 | 0.1830 | 0.1770 | 0.2100 | 0.2050 | 0.2030 | 0.2040 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.2100 | 0.2130 | 0.1860 | 0.2130 | 0.2150 | 0.2370 | 0.2300 | 0.2330 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.2190 | 0.2270 | 0.1960 | 0.2300 | 0.2410 | 0.2350 | 0.2440 | 0.2470 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.2350 | 0.2410 | 0.2490 | 0.2530 | 0.2450 | 0.2250 | 0.2540 | 0.2470 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0000 | 0.3510 | 0.3020 | 0.2680 | 0.2200 | 0.2130 | 0.3320 | 0.3320 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.2840 | 0.2210 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.1830 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.2900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0940 | 0.1250 | 0.0860 | 0.1000 | 0.1272 | 0.0844 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1510 | 0.1810 | 0.1730 | 0.1660 | 0.1669 | 0.1828 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.1980 | 0.2130 | 0.2040 | 0.1970 | 0.1946 | 0.2169 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.2250 | 0.2250 | 0.2280 | 0.2010 | 0.2262 | 0.2591 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.2810 | 0.2330 | 0.2340 | 0.2250 | 0.2086 | 0.2482 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.2650 | 0.2560 | 0.2240 | 0.2310 | 0.2191 | 0.2398 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.3040 | 0.6170 | 0.2470 | 0.2120 | 0.2223 | 0.2249 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.0000 | 0.3523 | 0.2063 | 0.2266 | 0.2640 | 0.2425 |  |  |

Table 5.1.2.9. Whiting in IV and VIId. Industrial by-catch mean weights-at-age (kg).

| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0510 | 0.0560 | 0.0380 | 0.0580 | 0.0530 | 0.0540 | 0.0540 | 0.0430 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1640 | 0.1410 | 0.1330 | 0.1480 | 0.1730 | 0.1500 | 0.1500 | 0.0850 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2810 | 0.2180 | 0.2320 | 0.3110 | 0.2890 | 0.2630 | 0.2620 | 0.1730 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.4120 | 0.3180 | 0.3200 | 0.4310 | 0.3430 | 0.3820 | 0.3810 | 0.2620 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.3800 | 0.4330 | 0.3660 | 0.6510 | 0.3900 | 0.4540 | 0.4550 | 0.4000 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.3890 | 0.5960 | 0.6740 | 0.5650 | 0.2280 | 0.5040 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.5610 | 0.6000 | 0.2840 | 0.6020 | 0.6000 | 0.5840 | 0.6000 | 0.6000 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 1.0000 | 0.8000 | 0.8400 | 0.8023 | 0.8959 | 0.8091 | 0.8000 | 0.8216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0500 | 0.0530 | 0.0730 | 0.1010 | 0.0660 | 0.0440 | 0.0420 | 0.0690 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1150 | 0.1370 | 0.1230 | 0.1360 | 0.1500 | 0.1550 | 0.1320 | 0.1590 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.1970 | 0.2240 | 0.1810 | 0.2130 | 0.2280 | 0.2590 | 0.2420 | 0.3100 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.2450 | 0.2850 | 0.1990 | 0.2690 | 0.2420 | 0.2640 | 0.3740 | 0.3730 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.3800 | 0.3440 | 0.2800 | 0.2650 | 0.3350 | 0.3080 | 0.5210 | 0.5110 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.5000 | 0.4820 | 0.3550 | 0.2790 | 0.2190 | 0.2350 | 0.5550 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.6000 | 0.3960 | 0.3350 | 0.3220 | 0.2550 | 0.3920 | 0.4400 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.8000 | 0.3854 | 0.4730 | 0.0000 | 0.2820 | 0.0000 | 0.5550 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0590 | 0.0480 | 0.0450 | 0.0270 | 0.0410 | 0.0402 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.1430 | 0.1440 | 0.1050 | 0.0770 | 0.1640 | 0.1643 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.2350 | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1460 | 0.2420 | 0.1323 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.2330 | 0.3210 | 0.3040 | 0.1960 | 0.2890 | 0.3200 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.3470 | 0.3480 | 0.2860 | 0.2860 | 0.3390 | 0.3510 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.2500 | 0.5880 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.3860 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.5880 | 0.0000 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 +}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |  |  |

Table 5.1.3.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Summary of available tuning series.

| Country | Fleet | Code | Year range | Age Range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scotland | Groundfish survey    <br> Seiners    <br> Light trawlers SCOGFS SCOSEI $1982-2001$ | $1978-2001$ <br> $1978-2001$ | $0-10$ |  |
|  |  | SCOLTR | $0-10$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Formerly IYFS. IBTS data have been revised this year by ICES.
${ }^{2}$ Scottish sub-set of IBTS data - discontinued in 1997.
${ }^{3}$ English sub-set of IBTS data - discontinued in 1996.

Table 5.1.3.2. Whiting in IV and VIId. Complete available tuning series.

| SCOSEI_IV |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325246 | 5345.92 | 14993.60 | 29307.94 | 43710.81 | 15390.20 | 1057.94 | 1408.92 | 200.99 | 36.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 |  |  |  |
| 316419 | 302.00 | 90749.85 | 41091.74 | 28124.23 | 14745.01 | 6083.68 | 676.92 | 155.75 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 297227 | 668.98 | 27032.33 | 73704.44 | 37657.65 | 11914.98 | 9367.98 | 2556.00 | 260.00 | 229.0 | 27.00 | 7.00 |  |  |  |
| 289672 | 93.00 | 8726.79 | 22243.64 | 25047.81 | 10551.99 | 2402.00 | 2084.00 | 374.00 | 41.00 | 4.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 297730 | 43.00 | 3720.99 | 7032.00 | 26194.14 | 13117.11 | 2713.03 | 539.01 | 277.00 | 81.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 333168 | 572.01 | 11565.39 | 14957.38 | 21690.02 | 34199.11 | 9830.62 | 2154.56 | 406.80 | 157.78 | 16.26 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 388035 | 296.72 | 4922.50 | 24015.61 | 20669.76 | 14985.59 | 21269.32 | 4715.24 | 959.96 | 87.28 | 49.59 | 6.94 |  |  |  |
| 381647 | 773.22 | 20067.84 | 20263.32 | 19695.99 | 8956.38 | 4795.86 | 8013.08 | 1362.79 | 333.95 | 17.89 | 5.96 |  |  |  |
| 425017 | 137.76 | 139498.17 | 48705.18 | 34509.26 | 11340.96 | 2624.40 | 1097.50 | 1771.08 | 215.94 | 7.27 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 418536 | 1358.85 | 13793.33 | 52715.14 | 38938.77 | 18440.26 | 3637.71 | 1096.91 | 297.74 | 348.42 | 15.88 | 3.97 |  |  |  |
| 377132 | 26.01 | 2502.07 | 28446.11 | 44869.26 | 12631.40 | 4071.61 | 678.72 | 63.97 | 20.99 | 16.99 | 2.00 |  |  |  |
| 355735 | 10.13 | 6878.80 | 15704.13 | 41407.43 | 23710.40 | 4769.04 | 1323.23 | 112.08 | 43.04 | 10.72 | 0.71 |  |  |  |
| 252732 | 184.88 | 14229.83 | 124635.82 | 27694.11 | 29920.98 | 14767.80 | 720.82 | 206.52 | 23.23 | 0.02 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 336675 | 886.65 | 11951.95 | 44964.26 | 63414.28 | 10436.10 | 8730.12 | 1742.93 | 195.19 | 93.63 | 0.00 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| 300217 | 426.21 | 16613.69 | 19452.01 | 21217.15 | 27961.87 | 2804.54 | 1958.07 | 564.87 | 32.42 | 3.39 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 268413 | 599.77 | 9563.69 | 31623.36 | 26012.82 | 12457.88 | 14446.11 | 899.25 | 332.18 | 153.13 | 7.51 | 8.25 |  |  |  |
| 264738 | 82.71 | 9235.94 | 21451.65 | 22570.72 | 11778.49 | 5530.94 | 5611.98 | 203.91 | 115.77 | 14.69 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 204545 | 26.01 | 8287.88 | 22152.73 | 30006.96 | 9018.67 | 3874.63 | 1373.44 | 1270.02 | 86.01 | 14.99 | 18.13 |  |  |  |
| 177092 | 223.90 | 5732.24 | 26020.51 | 21430.22 | 10505.52 | 3483.37 | 1031.27 | 295.71 | 289.16 | 28.12 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| 166817 | 175.60 | 6627.68 | 8974.45 | 16231.23 | 9922.01 | 4445.23 | 575.33 | 109.85 | 61.63 | 37.34 | 2.35 |  |  |  |
| 150361 | 14.45 | 3710.69 | 4694.83 | 6806.23 | 6840.32 | 3669.55 | 1417.13 | 243.74 | 12.81 | 1.89 | 12.27 |  |  |  |
| 93796 | 663.34 | 13384.17 | 13750.43 | 7009.42 | 6068.11 | 3461.79 | 1684.05 | 409.19 | 77.42 | 3.15 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| 69505 | 2.79 | 5176.09 | 11207.84 | 6458.23 | 2111.81 | 1971.96 | 835.64 | 297.65 | 89.60 | 6.92 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| 36135 | 929.75 | 606.97 | 6352.27 | 5592.05 | 1715.36 | 485.81 | 352.94 | 145.84 | 65.57 | 10.54 | 0.00 |  |  |  |


| SCOLTR_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 236944 | 7158.39 | 8785.46 | 19909.95 | 30722.31 | 14472.60 | 956.04 | 1612.07 | 635.03 | 72.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| 287494 | 368.00 | 171147.28 | 42910.40 | 23154.59 | 17995.66 | 4057.93 | 376.99 | 286.00 | 57.00 | 5.00 | 0.00 |
| 333197 | 869.00 | 20805.96 | 58381.99 | 38436.16 | 9525.06 | 9430.05 | 1864.01 | 144.00 | 145.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 |
| 251504 | 170.99 | 6576.46 | 19069.21 | 21549.75 | 9706.15 | 1777.02 | 1455.03 | 310.01 | 9.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| 250870 | 6390.16 | 5214.10 | 8196.98 | 26680.54 | 12944.74 | 3333.92 | 646.98 | 338.99 | 74.00 | 16.00 | 3.00 |
| 244349 | 20191.06 | 37495.68 | 17925.87 | 12535.31 | 19234.31 | 6123.52 | 1216.61 | 182.80 | 140.85 | 25.97 | . 00 |
| 240775 | 2553.17 | 38266.77 | 16048.09 | 10784.18 | 6306.82 | 9018.98 | 2371.19 | 478.59 | 13.13 | 30.29 | 5.05 |
| 267393 | 1221.65 | 28760.94 | 9368.37 | 7616.93 | 3085.79 | 1333.19 | 2901.19 | 443.13 | 173.09 | 13.85 | 0.00 |
| 279727 | 796.71 | 8138.43 | 8571.90 | 9577.94 | 4108.82 | 767.44 | 425.28 | 608.60 | 51.64 | 2.03 | 0.00 |
| 351131 | 599.52 | 18761.18 | 25933.34 | 16160.77 | 5954.48 | 1182.95 | 388.46 | 116.04 | 128.99 | 3.93 | 0.00 |
| 391988 | 60.00 | 2397.96 | 15778.77 | 22525.54 | 5127.73 | 1640.63 | 207.22 | 31.03 | 15.02 | 6.01 | 6.01 |
| 405883 | 491.80 | 20318.75 | 10051.62 | 21389.72 | 10836.81 | 2394.09 | 448.22 | 33.08 | 54.36 | 2.39 | 0.61 |
| 371493 | 371.48 | 3676.88 | 35321.99 | 7664.57 | 8960.09 | 3423.01 | 159.54 | 39.94 | 5.34 | 0.07 | 0.00 |
| 408056 | 688.42 | 8726.88 | 11908.03 | 22145.62 | 3192.25 | 2906.40 | 628.63 | 49.90 | 40.87 | 0.45 | 0.25 |
| 473955 | 1379.23 | 17580.58 | 14551.32 | 11822.72 | 15417.66 | 1500.40 | 1160.44 | 304.40 | 12.75 | 0.34 | 0.66 |
| 447064 | 614.45 | 16438.91 | 20513.15 | 14385.55 | 6590.76 | 10105.47 | 574.20 | 203.58 | 97.35 | 24.36 | 4.59 |
| 480400 | 1259.30 | 4132.65 | 15771.00 | 13004.65 | 6453.76 | 2710.23 | 2997.31 | 171.83 | 83.94 | 13.86 | 0.00 |
| 442010 | 208.07 | 9248.04 | 15886.83 | 19322.30 | 6261.60 | 2982.51 | 1092.21 | 1131.71 | 88.83 | 3.48 | 14.19 |
| 445995 | 188.32 | 6661.92 | 12461.08 | 13523.11 | 9223.33 | 3012.11 | 860.73 | 281.91 | 242.80 | 8.93 | 0.54 |
| 479449 | 100.18 | 2557.22 | 6767.92 | 15603.23 | 9463.72 | 4535.19 | 628.02 | 181.35 | 51.94 | 30.82 | 0.31 |
| 427868 | 39.44 | 5096.42 | 5350.24 | 8058.40 | 9506.50 | 4311.78 | 1728.79 | 275.71 | 57.74 | 12.20 | 2.67 |
| 329750 | 1274.23 | 26518.76 | 20672.07 | 9295.36 | 6705.67 | 4079.53 | 2051.46 | 487.24 | 40.79 | 7.35 | 0.10 |
| 280938 | 1.15 | 8384.66 | 16220.42 | 9287.05 | 3788.38 | 2621.24 | 1469.79 | 601.84 | 79.39 | 7.11 | 0.17 |
| 245489 | 2221.71 | 1303.16 | 11409.11 | 10419.00 | 3287.13 | 745.34 | 430.51 | 247.31 | 65.76 | 26.77 | 0.00 |

Table 5.1.3.2 contd. Whiting in IV and VIId. Complete available tuning series.

| FRATRB_IV |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## FRATRO_IV

| - 986 | 2001 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56099 | 19.48 | 1541.94 | 1891.94 | 7145.98 | 3782.82 | 599.91 | 157.52 | 39.03 | 2.14 |
| 71765 | 12.20 | 2507.72 | 4984.96 | 1271.29 | 5713.14 | 412.56 | 257.90 | 91.79 | 69.82 |
| 84052 | 0.31 | 2536.92 | 8981.89 | 3222.83 | 704.34 | 1320.59 | 122.85 | 55.31 | 0.54 |
| 88397 | 26.94 | 2958.16 | 3739.55 | 5628.95 | 1654.27 | 208.58 | 280.47 | 47.27 | 10.86 |
| 71750 | 37.70 | 3209.61 | 6169.85 | 3780.85 | 2456.12 | 365.14 | 28.65 | 43.61 | 1.65 |
| 67836 | 323.02 | 4464.91 | 6083.87 | 2864.37 | 1412.45 | 776.93 | 84.61 | 5.78 | 2.53 |
| 51340 | 355.02 | 3426.92 | 6498.04 | 1939.69 | 635.38 | 358.08 | 96.22 | 4.78 | 0.12 |
| 62553 | 937.84 | 3950.46 | 4586.36 | 4306.75 | 877.04 | 289.87 | 68.31 | 39.73 | 6.21 |
| 51241 | 86.53 | 7005.88 | 3298.43 | 1190.63 | 612.13 | 108.28 | 11.05 | 8.38 | 0.98 |
| 57823 | 262.76 | 6331.03 | 6125.08 | 2673.85 | 543.82 | 98.58 | 19.19 | 0.03 | 1.79 |
| 50163 | 577.46 | 5522.73 | 4742.85 | 3214.22 | 890.19 | 155.83 | 7.73 | 12.12 | 0.03 |
| 48904 | 266.77 | 1961.14 | 4676.60 | 3929.12 | 1020.11 | 220.78 | 18.01 | 3.07 | 0.02 |
| 38103 | 566.68 | 4893.44 | 1959.25 | 532.61 | 161.28 | 68.00 | 35.86 | 0.39 | 1.55 |
| -9 | 51.18 | 7651.96 | 2885.69 | 1452.71 | 960.37 | 500.08 | 133.31 | 45.54 | 30.71 |
| 30082 | 129.16 | 7366.57 | 8191.31 | 2452.95 | 1056.07 | 737.31 | 454.67 | 345.11 | 94.79 |
| 50846 | 3357.15 | 10766.56 | 15475.91 | 6922.60 | 3226.67 | 1700.58 | 637.70 | 344.65 | 127.90 |

Table 5.1.3.2 contd. Whiting in IV and VIId. Complete available tuning series.

| SCOGFS_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 102.00 | 653.00 | 971.00 | 972.00 | 224.00 | 60.00 | 16.00 |
| 100 | 210.00 | 563.00 | 578.00 | 407.00 | 511.00 | 116.00 | 17.00 |
| 100 | 442.00 | 1048.00 | 371.00 | 170.00 | 77.00 | 92.00 | 18.00 |
| 100 | 169.00 | 1577.00 | 973.00 | 247.00 | 63.00 | 36.00 | 18.00 |
| 100 | 406.00 | 1111.00 | 452.00 | 224.00 | 27.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 100 | 120.00 | 1405.00 | 1150.00 | 208.00 | 77.00 | 16.00 | 3.00 |
| 100 | 642.00 | 967.00 | 1606.00 | 452.00 | 70.00 | 19.00 | 2.00 |
| 100 | 427.00 | 4043.00 | 741.00 | 733.00 | 157.00 | 13.00 | 6.00 |
| 100 | 1943.00 | 2239.00 | 2053.00 | 248.00 | 255.00 | 47.00 | 5.00 |
| 100 | 1379.00 | 1769.00 | 950.00 | 759.00 | 51.00 | 40.00 | 9.00 |
| 100 | 2417.00 | 2925.00 | 1267.00 | 553.00 | 585.00 | 47.00 | 26.00 |
| 100 | 247.00 | 3169.00 | 1168.00 | 423.00 | 156.00 | 182.00 | 6.00 |
| 100 | 648.00 | 2635.00 | 950.00 | 254.00 | 57.00 | 34.00 | 23.00 |
| 100 | 1243.00 | 4176.00 | 2010.00 | 903.00 | 196.00 | 58.00 | 22.00 |
| 100 | 440.00 | 2888.00 | 3047.00 | 1215.00 | 460.00 | 43.00 | 15.00 |
| 100 | 317.00 | 1824.00 | 1434.00 | 1191.00 | 319.00 | 122.00 | 17.00 |
| 100 | 12302.00 | 4141.00 | 5426.00 | 649.00 | 321.00 | 131.00 | 62.00 |
| 100 | 15275.68 | 5409.65 | 2090.38 | 614.72 | 328.51 | 128.72 | 58.35 |
| 100 | 17076.44 | 6645.52 | 3329.07 | 675.66 | 202.25 | 130.20 | 81.17 |
| 100 | 116.72 | 3499.11 | 2450.75 | 844.39 | 207.17 | 51.32 | 48.49 |


| ENGGFS_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 28.4280 | 21.9533 | 7.4413 | 1.1092 | 0.2162 | 0.0908 | 0.0801 |
| 100 | 18.4407 | 24.7136 | 5.1506 | 1.0552 | 0.3447 | 0.0507 | 0.0224 |
| 100 | 35.4758 | 20.0635 | 7.1169 | 1.8985 | 0.8426 | 0.0572 | 0.0292 |
| 100 | 19.9030 | 35.3272 | 12.5080 | 4.8104 | 1.2045 | 0.3136 | 0.0576 |
| 100 | 34.9421 | 18.3141 | 28.8039 | 16.0519 | 0.6176 | 0.6163 | 0.0805 |
| 100 | 6.9320 | 27.7222 | 7.9339 | 8.5904 | 2.2201 | 0.3404 | 0.0491 |
| 100 | 71.6727 | 11.8533 | 10.8030 | 1.9061 | 1.6964 | 0.2421 | 0.0671 |
| 100 | 17.2520 | 50.6135 | 10.8181 | 3.0121 | 0.8888 | 0.7688 | 0.3781 |
| 100 | 19.9897 | 15.8783 | 17.0426 | 1.6727 | 0.9810 | 0.1817 | 0.1533 |
| 100 | 16.3337 | 15.1618 | 6.5920 | 3.8469 | 0.4060 | 0.1037 | 0.0144 |
| 100 | 13.7313 | 22.7627 | 13.0365 | 2.6871 | 2.0086 | 0.3516 | 0.1175 |
| 100 | 38.1694 | 18.8058 | 13.1596 | 4.5456 | 0.6450 | 0.1737 | 0.0180 |
| 100 | 116.9483 | 29.4743 | 11.7600 | 7.6937 | 1.6741 | 0.3448 | 0.0185 |
| 100 | 87.5315 | 19.0085 | 12.8360 | 3.8544 | 2.3182 | 0.3254 | 0.0461 |
| 100 | 16.7322 | 33.3038 | 7.6653 | 3.8177 | 1.0855 | 0.3710 | 0.0424 |
| 100 | 45.5048 | 26.5546 | 13.0698 | 3.0455 | 2.6101 | 0.4933 | 0.5888 |
| 100 | 25.2425 | 25.1038 | 9.6291 | 3.7504 | 1.1614 | 0.7417 | 0.1883 |
| 100 | 21.1433 | 30.5460 | 10.5944 | 2.4368 | 1.1239 | 0.3333 | 0.1139 |
| 100 | 36.2817 | 35.5060 | 23.7380 | 7.3607 | 1.8703 | 0.2508 | 0.1443 |
| 100 | 10.2940 | 12.3787 | 10.4401 | 7.3858 | 3.2250 | 0.5942 | 0.1659 |
| 100 | 59.8713 | 20.2926 | 9.7191 | 6.9873 | 5.4067 | 1.6755 | 0.4291 |
| 100 | 204.7684 | 16.4773 | 17.8866 | 4.0113 | 2.5565 | 1.2809 | 0.2800 |
| 100 | 132.5164 | 47.8886 | 21.8306 | 7.8158 | 3.0348 | 0.7707 | 0.7501 |
| 100 | 96.1504 | 70.2531 | 28.0310 | 7.4195 | 1.6467 | 0.4657 | 0.2880 |
| 100 | 99.9000 | 54.4500 | 14.7100 | 5.0800 | 1.2600 | 0.3300 | 0.3800 |

Table 5.1.3.2 contd. Whiting in IV and VIId. Complete available tuning series.

| IBTS_Q1_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 440.40 | 97.80 | 21.20 | 7.20 | 0.80 | 1.20 |
| 1 | 1267.70 | 81.80 | 25.40 | 4.70 | 0.60 | 0.30 |
| 1 | 504.70 | 382.30 | 19.70 | 8.00 | 1.10 | 0.10 |
| 1 | 57.60 | 132.90 | 27.40 | 5.30 | 0.60 | 0.20 |
| 1 | 219.70 | 19.70 | 10.00 | 10.20 | 0.50 | 0.30 |
| 1 | 263.70 | 104.30 | 33.50 | 10.70 | 4.20 | 0.20 |
| 1 | 1460.00 | 381.80 | 53.70 | 33.60 | 8.40 | 5.70 |
| 1 | 312.50 | 486.00 | 105.70 | 7.10 | 0.60 | 1.30 |
| 1 | 881.20 | 174.50 | 91.10 | 19.70 | 3.80 | 0.60 |
| 1 | 676.20 | 349.40 | 130.00 | 31.30 | 5.00 | 0.50 |
| 1 | 411.40 | 232.60 | 69.10 | 12.30 | 11.00 | 13.00 |
| 1 | 542.90 | 256.80 | 88.70 | 21.10 | 5.00 | 7.50 |
| 1 | 440.90 | 228.80 | 112.60 | 33.10 | 4.90 | 1.20 |
| 1 | 674.00 | 403.30 | 125.80 | 25.60 | 9.10 | 2.00 |
| 1 | 229.30 | 464.30 | 228.30 | 45.90 | 9.30 | 2.80 |
| 1 | 151.40 | 216.10 | 257.40 | 68.50 | 10.10 | 4.60 |
| 1 | 127.10 | 126.90 | 112.60 | 79.20 | 33.40 | 6.40 |
| 1 | 439.00 | 178.90 | 89.20 | 30.30 | 25.40 | 10.50 |
| 1 | 339.00 | 361.80 | 65.70 | 18.50 | 7.00 | 7.20 |
| 1 | 469.40 | 268.40 | 194.60 | 32.40 | 6.60 | 3.90 |
| 1 | 683.40 | 556.50 | 90.40 | 46.20 | 5.00 | 2.00 |
| 1 | 450.70 | 863.70 | 312.80 | 34.20 | 12.30 | 1.30 |
| 1 | 1446.10 | 538.60 | 414.80 | 109.90 | 12.00 | 5.10 |
| 1 | 518.90 | 862.40 | 198.20 | 91.60 | 17.00 | 3.60 |
| 1 | 1009.20 | 686.20 | 479.40 | 70.90 | 37.60 | 7.60 |
| 1 | 904.60 | 677.70 | 250.40 | 162.90 | 15.00 | 14.30 |
| 1 | 1088.20 | 523.70 | 244.50 | 65.50 | 59.00 | 11.40 |
| 1 | 721.00 | 637.00 | 179.80 | 66.60 | 11.60 | 8.90 |
| 1 | 678.60 | 448.50 | 239.40 | 58.10 | 11.90 | 5.60 |
| 1 | 502.40 | 486.00 | 244.70 | 69.70 | 23.10 | 9.80 |
| 1 | 287.90 | 342.10 | 162.50 | 60.40 | 18.00 | 9.20 |
| 1 | 556.10 | 161.30 | 125.50 | 54.10 | 15.50 | 9.30 |
| 1 | 676.30 | 305.40 | 94.70 | 57.50 | 25.80 | 11.10 |
| 1 | 756.60 | 537.40 | 182.10 | 53.00 | 20.00 | 14.70 |
| 1 | 647.10 | 594.80 | 296.10 | 97.70 | 25.70 | 26.00 |
| 1 | 547.70 | 339.70 | 261.50 | 62.60 | 20.50 | 9.80 |

Table 5.1.3.2 contd. Whiting in IV and VIId. Complete available tuning series.

| FRATRO_7D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 257794 | 2586.59 | 2249.77 | 7740.58 | 4462.98 | 804.35 | 198.40 | 19.35 |
| 188236 | 1954.81 | 5050.15 | 907.04 | 4606.14 | 331.43 | 218.34 | 53.97 |
| 215422 | 2233.10 | 7957.35 | 2551.70 | 536.69 | 1192.83 | 127.34 | 61.15 |
| 320383 | 2577.84 | 3916.35 | 6005.56 | 1489.83 | 216.08 | 342.97 | 50.48 |
| 257120 | 2491.70 | 5240.14 | 3362.65 | 2168.19 | 251.50 | 29.80 | 51.08 |
| 294594 | 4009.06 | 8176.54 | 3984.56 | 2625.40 | 1474.03 | 155.42 | 10.50 |
| 285718 | 5732.56 | 10924.16 | 3241.05 | 881.71 | 587.01 | 171.40 | 3.38 |
| 283999 | 3158.34 | 6542.83 | 8606.51 | 1676.81 | 442.49 | 123.89 | 79.06 |
| 286019 | 13931.57 | 7979.57 | 3268.93 | 1776.04 | 443.66 | 40.33 | 20.73 |
| 268151 | 6301.32 | 8449.94 | 5260.61 | 1217.42 | 263.53 | 62.53 | 8.18 |
| 274495 | 6140.12 | 6465.75 | 5465.37 | 1622.56 | 324.48 | 47.21 | 14.16 |
| 282216 | 3320.15 | 8143.54 | 6607.75 | 1974.21 | 450.88 | 58.75 | 8.43 |
| 291360 | 9921.00 | 6863.22 | 2384.88 | 781.09 | 264.61 | 104.76 | 15.31 |
| -9 | 5536.90 | 5976.23 | 2822.66 | 1672.18 | 702.49 | 343.31 | 69.31 |
| 215553 | 7096.32 | 7026.28 | 1733.97 | 1724.37 | 1374.95 | 876.77 | 674.78 |
| 163848 | 89.05 | 6101.35 | 10124.09 | 3975.55 | 2563.21 | 2302.84 | 1039.71 |

FRAGFS
7d

| 1988 | 2001 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0.75 | 1 |  |
| 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 24.7655 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 27 | 25.5589 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 27 | 17.9188 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 27 | 171.8887 | 26.2471 | 2.9367 | 0.4826 |
| 27 | 162.7344 | 42.7011 | 7.6562 | 0.8468 |
| 27 | 67.5271 | 17.0920 | 7.2220 | 1.1432 |
| 27 | 24.2509 | 68.9305 | 8.0918 | 1.4242 |
| 27 | 61.6837 | 17.8014 | 2.8242 | 0.2552 |
| 27 | 30.1222 | 27.3099 | 5.5307 | 1.0228 |
| 27 | 17.7579 | 50.1070 | 16.3448 | 2.5154 |
| 27 | 27.5217 | 12.3364 | 8.1936 | 4.5313 |
| 27 | 8.2441 | 70.8686 | 5.8216 | 0.9928 |
| 27 | 10.8169 | 64.2548 | 27.4501 | 2.5845 |
| 27 | 19.3729 | 15.1018 | 14.5698 | 1.4124 |

Table 5.1.3.2 contd. Whiting in IV and VIId. Complete available tuning series.


Table 5.1.4.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA parameter estimates for runs using survey-series calibration.

| Parameter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Initial fishing mortality | $F(1,1980)$ | 0.1008 | 0.1085 | 0.1070 |
|  | $F(2,1980)$ | 0.3352 | 0.4024 | 0.3980 |
|  | $F(5,1980)$ | 0.9324 | 1.2376 | 1.2204 |
| Survey selectivities | $\Phi(1)$ | 3.3567 | 1.0717 | 0.0138 |
|  | $\Phi(2)$ | 4.1522 | 2.0722 | 0.0328 |
|  | $\Phi(5)$ | 3.3456 | 1.9672 | 0.0257 |
| Standard deviations: |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing mortalities | $\sigma_{F}$ | 0.1107 | 0.1299 | 0.1272 |
|  | $\sigma_{U}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | $\sigma_{V}$ | 0.0611 | 0.0461 | 0.0000 |
|  | $\sigma_{Y}$ | 0.0789 | 0.0873 | 0.1502 |
| survey catchabilities | $\sigma_{\Omega}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | $\sigma_{\beta}$ | 0.2374 | 0.5309 | 0.4161 |
| Measurement | $\sigma_{\text {catch }}$ | 0.1343 | 0.0997 | 0.1072 |
|  | $\sigma_{\text {survey }}$ | 0.2882 | 0.2397 | 0.1593 |
| Recruitment | $\forall$ | 15.5701 | 18.2578 | 19.7469 |
|  | $\exists$ | 0.2812 | 0.3203 | 0.3622 |
|  | $c v_{\text {rec }}$ | 0.3405 | 0.3639 | 0.3384 |

[^5]Table 5.1.4.2. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA parameters settings for final assessment run.

| Parameter | Setting | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of full selection. | $a_{m}=5$ | Based on inspection of previous XSA runs. |
| Multipliers on variance matrices of measurements. | $B_{\text {landings }}(a)=2$ for ages 7, 8+ | Allows extra measurement variability for older ages with fewer catches. |
| Multipliers on variances for fishing mortality estimates. | $H(1)=2$ | Allows for more variable fishing mortalities for age 1 fish. |
| Downweighting of particular data points (implemented by multiplying the relevant $q$ by 3) | Catch values at age 1 in 1986, age 2 in 1990, age 4 in 2001, and age 7 in 2000. | Large values indicated by exploratory prediction error plots. |
| Recruitment. | Modelled by a Ricker mod be independent and nor $\exp \left(-\eta_{2} S\right)$, where $S$ is the the previous year. To allow with mean recruitment, a assumed. | , with numbers-at-age 1 assumed to ally distributed with mean $\eta_{1} S$ pawning stock biomass at the start of $w$ recruitment variability to increase constant coefficient of variation is |
| Large year classes. | No year classes sufficiently special modelling treatmen | large during 1980-2001 to warrant |

Table 5.1.4.3 Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA parameter estimates for last year's (left) and this year's (right) assessments. The latter are given with lower and upper estimation bounds: these are not empirical standard errors, but user-defined run-time limits that are required to obtain a converged assessment.

| parameter |  | Last year's | This year's assessment (1980-2001) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimate | Estimate | Lower bound | Upper bound |
| Initial fishing mortality | $F(1,1980)$ | 0.0995 | 0.1048 | 0.05 | 0.4 |
|  | $F(2,1980)$ | 0.3478 | 0.3637 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
|  | $F(5,1980)$ | 0.8973 | 1.1723 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| Standard deviations: fishing mortalities | $\sigma_{F}$ | 0.1492 | 0.1279 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
|  | $\sigma_{U}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
|  | $\sigma_{V}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0259 | 0.0 | 0.08 |
|  | $\sigma_{Y}$ | 0.1259 | 0.1083 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Measurement | $\sigma_{\text {catch }}$ | 0.1229 | 0.0998 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Recruitment | $\forall$ | 23.3877 | 17.9337 | 15.0 | 30.0 |
|  | $\exists$ | 0.3915 | 0.3131 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
|  | $c v_{\text {rec }}$ | 0.3760 | 0.3751 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## Notation:

$F(a, y) \quad$ Fishing mortality-at-age $a$ in year $y$
$\sigma_{F} \quad$ Transitory changes in overall fishing mortality
$\sigma_{U}$ Persistent changes in selection (age effect in fishing mortality)
$\sigma_{V}$ Transitory changes in the year effect in fishing mortality
$\sigma_{Y}$ Persistent changes in the year effect in fishing mortality
$\sigma_{\text {catch }}$ Standard error of catch-at-age data
$\forall \quad$ Ricker parameter (slope at the origin)
$\exists \quad$ Ricker parameter (curve dome occurs at $1 / \exists$ )
$c v_{\text {rec }}$ Standard error of recruitment data

Table 5.1.4.4. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA output: standardised catch prediction errors.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 0.9683 | -0.0877 | 0.0406 | -1.2886 | -0.8195 | -0.1138 | 0.8758 | -0.9479 |
| 1982 | 0.7895 | -1.4246 | 0.2339 | -1.1925 | -1.4163 | -0.1799 | -0.8887 | -1.2951 |
| 1983 | 0.3428 | -0.2785 | 1.0515 | 1.1008 | -0.1953 | 0.4425 | 0.2506 | 0.5974 |
| 1984 | 1.6590 | -0.4325 | -0.3151 | -0.0281 | 1.2308 | 1.3969 | 1.0070 | -0.3283 |
| 1985 | 0.1333 | -1.7428 | -1.4981 | -1.5464 | -1.2192 | 1.0822 | 0.2490 | 0.2369 |
| 1986 | 2.7896 | -0.1447 | 1.1441 | -0.1052 | -1.4744 | -1.0663 | -0.3890 | -1.8762 |
| 1987 | 0.3034 | 1.3463 | 0.3300 | 1.5671 | -0.8339 | 0.9893 | 0.3883 | 0.0144 |
| 1988 | 1.6040 | 1.6206 | -0.8250 | -0.8314 | -0.9979 | -0.6237 | -1.0352 | -1.9060 |
| 1989 | 0.3046 | -1.5582 | 1.0623 | 0.8125 | 1.2898 | 1.9763 | 1.0621 | 2.5114 |
| 1990 | 0.1143 | 2.0401 | -0.4540 | 0.8620 | 0.8851 | -1.1488 | -0.6601 | -0.7114 |
| 1991 | -1.0222 | -0.0474 | 0.5022 | -1.1220 | 0.3638 | 0.0815 | 0.3434 | 0.6235 |
| 1992 | 0.0390 | 0.5756 | -1.1842 | 1.2108 | -0.6858 | 0.8515 | 2.2728 | -0.5954 |
| 1993 | -0.0362 | -0.4263 | 0.9039 | -0.0548 | 2.2197 | 0.7055 | 0.1208 | 1.8883 |
| 1994 | -0.3860 | -0.5673 | -1.1687 | -0.5225 | -0.6031 | 2.7306 | -0.1250 | -0.8122 |
| 1995 | -0.4959 | -0.2080 | -0.0755 | -1.4739 | -1.4837 | -0.3700 | 0.6926 | -0.2698 |
| 1996 | -1.2203 | -0.4176 | -0.1550 | -0.4294 | -1.0624 | -0.5465 | -0.6132 | -0.5881 |
| 1997 | -1.4218 | -0.5012 | -0.1484 | -0.4793 | -0.6495 | -0.9598 | -0.9410 | -1.4640 |
| 1998 | -1.1208 | -0.8273 | -0.6775 | -0.1652 | -0.0811 | 0.5811 | 0.9054 | -0.4643 |
| 1999 | 0.9505 | 0.1216 | 0.7868 | 1.5529 | 0.2776 | 1.1798 | 0.2869 | 0.8672 |
| 2000 | -1.0654 | -0.4396 | -0.3809 | 0.1169 | 0.5566 | 1.5758 | 1.7543 | 1.2778 |
| 2001 | -0.7180 | 0.0669 | -2.1124 | -1.9527 | -1.7025 | -1.0791 | -1.2368 | -1.0204 |

Table 5.1.4.5. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA output: estimated numbers-at-age (units are $10^{-5}$ ).

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 44.2238 | 14.1721 | 5.8828 | 1.6083 | 0.8103 | 0.1769 | 0.0152 | 0.0116 |
| 1981 | 17.3284 | 15.2003 | 5.8038 | 1.8216 | 0.4501 | 0.1825 | 0.0438 | 0.0059 |
| 1982 | 19.1427 | 5.6061 | 6.7699 | 1.9855 | 0.5243 | 0.1098 | 0.0394 | 0.0107 |
| 1983 | 16.6568 | 6.1949 | 2.5677 | 2.7488 | 0.6925 | 0.1512 | 0.028 | 0.0145 |
| 1984 | 27.1113 | 5.1914 | 2.5395 | 0.8693 | 0.9608 | 0.2004 | 0.0411 | 0.0108 |
| 1985 | 18.2096 | 8.256 | 1.9874 | 0.7779 | 0.2284 | 0.2497 | 0.041 | 0.0123 |
| 1986 | 36.5293 | 5.8253 | 3.8874 | 0.6992 | 0.2359 | 0.0493 | 0.0505 | 0.0099 |
| 1987 | 31.1217 | 11.3726 | 2.4398 | 1.3572 | 0.1702 | 0.063 | 0.011 | 0.0132 |
| 1988 | 23.7056 | 10.3692 | 4.3569 | 0.7245 | 0.3062 | 0.0334 | 0.0113 | 0.0039 |
| 1989 | 36.7334 | 6.2584 | 4.1893 | 1.4905 | 0.1953 | 0.067 | 0.0067 | 0.0033 |
| 1990 | 19.5302 | 12.2944 | 2.5008 | 1.4962 | 0.4728 | 0.034 | 0.0136 | 0.0022 |
| 1991 | 18.3815 | 6.078 | 5.0918 | 0.7521 | 0.4169 | 0.1045 | 0.0077 | 0.0037 |
| 1992 | 17.6411 | 6.1602 | 2.4647 | 2.027 | 0.2309 | 0.1051 | 0.0297 | 0.0029 |
| 1993 | 19.5141 | 5.4399 | 2.6877 | 0.947 | 0.7584 | 0.065 | 0.0259 | 0.0092 |
| 1994 | 17.588 | 6.2749 | 2.1891 | 0.909 | 0.3019 | 0.2456 | 0.017 | 0.0091 |
| 1995 | 15.3541 | 5.8075 | 2.7788 | 0.7683 | 0.2681 | 0.08 | 0.0607 | 0.0073 |
| 1996 | 10.6443 | 5.1089 | 2.5788 | 1.057 | 0.2555 | 0.0733 | 0.0192 | 0.0171 |
| 1997 | 8.144 | 3.618 | 2.3335 | 1.0249 | 0.3759 | 0.0744 | 0.0185 | 0.0099 |
| 1998 | 12.5279 | 2.7718 | 1.7109 | 0.9883 | 0.4014 | 0.127 | 0.0257 | 0.0097 |
| 1999 | 18.5193 | 4.3349 | 1.3638 | 0.8092 | 0.4225 | 0.1542 | 0.0463 | 0.0137 |
| 2000 | 14.6481 | 6.1147 | 2.0751 | 0.6043 | 0.3307 | 0.166 | 0.0575 | 0.0239 |
| 2001 | 16.4482 | 5.1777 | 2.9181 | 0.9284 | 0.2452 | 0.1188 | 0.0558 | 0.0302 |
| 2002 | 19.4863 | 5.8271 | 2.636 | 1.4906 | 0.4469 | 0.1065 | 0.05 | 0.0382 |
| 2003 | 20.2373 | 6.855 | 2.9812 | 1.2937 | 0.6911 | 0.1859 | 0.0443 | 0.0386 |

Table 5.1.4.6. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA output: standard error on numbers-at-age (units are $10^{-5}$ ).

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 2.1096 | 0.6562 | 0.2934 | 0.0918 | 0.0463 | 0.013 | 0.0028 | 0.0014 |
| 1981 | 0.6805 | 0.7617 | 0.3145 | 0.1094 | 0.0305 | 0.0148 | 0.0053 | 0.001 |
| 1982 | 0.7095 | 0.2353 | 0.363 | 0.1181 | 0.0396 | 0.0101 | 0.006 | 0.0019 |
| 1983 | 0.5192 | 0.2433 | 0.1175 | 0.1475 | 0.0432 | 0.013 | 0.0039 | 0.002 |
| 1984 | 1.0164 | 0.1844 | 0.1155 | 0.0431 | 0.0512 | 0.0139 | 0.0051 | 0.0015 |
| 1985 | 0.6127 | 0.3488 | 0.0873 | 0.0431 | 0.0145 | 0.0156 | 0.0057 | 0.0019 |
| 1986 | 2.3977 | 0.2237 | 0.1846 | 0.0402 | 0.0173 | 0.0058 | 0.0071 | 0.0025 |
| 1987 | 1.3832 | 0.6046 | 0.1047 | 0.0685 | 0.013 | 0.0054 | 0.0021 | 0.0022 |
| 1988 | 0.8457 | 0.4963 | 0.272 | 0.0415 | 0.0226 | 0.0043 | 0.0021 | 0.0008 |
| 1989 | 2.4938 | 0.2763 | 0.2012 | 0.0968 | 0.0127 | 0.0056 | 0.0011 | 0.0005 |
| 1990 | 0.8449 | 0.9174 | 0.1428 | 0.0867 | 0.0361 | 0.0042 | 0.0023 | 0.0004 |
| 1991 | 0.7798 | 0.2972 | 0.3336 | 0.0528 | 0.0282 | 0.0104 | 0.0014 | 0.0007 |
| 1992 | 0.7266 | 0.2795 | 0.1364 | 0.1297 | 0.0186 | 0.0087 | 0.0036 | 0.0005 |
| 1993 | 0.9893 | 0.2578 | 0.1276 | 0.0554 | 0.0455 | 0.0058 | 0.0033 | 0.0012 |
| 1994 | 1.043 | 0.3551 | 0.1207 | 0.048 | 0.0196 | 0.0152 | 0.0024 | 0.0013 |
| 1995 | 0.7975 | 0.375 | 0.172 | 0.0491 | 0.017 | 0.0071 | 0.0066 | 0.0011 |
| 1996 | 0.5146 | 0.2869 | 0.1772 | 0.0683 | 0.0185 | 0.0062 | 0.0033 | 0.0027 |
| 1997 | 0.4732 | 0.1865 | 0.1378 | 0.0718 | 0.0248 | 0.0066 | 0.0028 | 0.0015 |
| 1998 | 1.1257 | 0.1714 | 0.0914 | 0.055 | 0.0268 | 0.0083 | 0.0027 | 0.0011 |
| 1999 | 2.2301 | 0.4174 | 0.0929 | 0.0447 | 0.023 | 0.0111 | 0.0039 | 0.0012 |
| 2000 | 2.5215 | 0.8824 | 0.255 | 0.0581 | 0.0257 | 0.0124 | 0.0069 | 0.0023 |
| 2001 | 4.9695 | 0.9587 | 0.5631 | 0.184 | 0.045 | 0.0214 | 0.0109 | 0.0056 |
| 2002 | 7.3903 | 1.8869 | 0.6026 | 0.3701 | 0.1201 | 0.0318 | 0.0154 | 0.0114 |
| 2003 | 7.6718 | 2.6239 | 1.0325 | 0.3696 | 0.2254 | 0.0699 | 0.0181 | 0.0154 |

Table 5.1.4.7. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA output: estimated variance-covariance matrix for numbers-at-age in 2003.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 58.856195 | 0.872859 | 1.038226 | 0.313761 | 0.187696 | 0.055408 | 0.01422 | 0.012657 |
| 2 | 0.872859 | 6.885024 | 0.320327 | 0.170148 | 0.101477 | 0.030124 | 0.007634 | 0.006788 |
| 3 | 1.038226 | 0.320327 | 1.066034 | 0.190958 | 0.120393 | 0.037131 | 0.009679 | 0.008642 |
| 4 | 0.313761 | 0.170148 | 0.190958 | 0.136619 | 0.06282 | 0.019617 | 0.005101 | 0.004549 |
| 5 | 0.187696 | 0.101477 | 0.120393 | 0.06282 | 0.050791 | 0.012513 | 0.003253 | 0.002897 |
| 6 | 0.055408 | 0.030124 | 0.037131 | 0.019617 | 0.012513 | 0.004891 | 0.001025 | 0.000915 |
| 7 | 0.01422 | 0.007634 | 0.009679 | 0.005101 | 0.003253 | 0.001025 | 0.000326 | 0.000241 |
| $8+$ | 0.012657 | 0.006788 | 0.008642 | 0.004549 | 0.002897 | 0.000915 | 0.000241 | 0.000236 |

Table 5.1.4.8. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA output: fishing mortality-at-age.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 0.1199 | 0.4342 | 0.7534 | 0.9478 | 1.2375 | 1.1458 | 1.3217 | 1.2639 |
| 1981 | 0.1745 | 0.3566 | 0.7103 | 0.9122 | 1.1595 | 1.272 | 1.3463 | 1.1406 |
| 1982 | 0.1753 | 0.3281 | 0.5357 | 0.7517 | 0.9793 | 1.1155 | 1.0319 | 0.9984 |
| 1983 | 0.2023 | 0.4326 | 0.7301 | 0.7353 | 0.974 | 1.0424 | 1.1792 | 1.1546 |
| 1984 | 0.2359 | 0.479 | 0.7932 | 1.003 | 1.0915 | 1.3288 | 1.2458 | 1.1983 |
| 1985 | 0.1848 | 0.3031 | 0.6604 | 0.8446 | 1.2005 | 1.3036 | 1.3584 | 1.2521 |
| 1986 | 0.2148 | 0.4199 | 0.6956 | 1.0811 | 1.0551 | 1.2483 | 1.3388 | 1.1851 |
| 1987 | 0.1395 | 0.5081 | 0.8538 | 1.1789 | 1.3618 | 1.4565 | 1.5379 | 1.5452 |
| 1988 | 0.3708 | 0.4335 | 0.6841 | 0.9656 | 1.1402 | 1.2672 | 1.1635 | 1.1456 |
| 1989 | 0.1309 | 0.4574 | 0.6772 | 0.8427 | 1.4746 | 1.3442 | 1.3067 | 1.3064 |
| 1990 | 0.2171 | 0.4147 | 0.8421 | 0.9694 | 1.236 | 1.2299 | 1.2206 | 1.2214 |
| 1991 | 0.1385 | 0.4513 | 0.5281 | 0.8814 | 1.11 | 0.9398 | 1.1657 | 1.1373 |
| 1992 | 0.222 | 0.3774 | 0.6059 | 0.6164 | 0.9965 | 1.1454 | 1.0197 | 1.0086 |
| 1993 | 0.1834 | 0.4425 | 0.727 | 0.8399 | 0.8362 | 1.0936 | 1.1449 | 1.1596 |
| 1994 | 0.1571 | 0.3628 | 0.6582 | 0.8807 | 1.063 | 1.1475 | 1.068 | 1.0412 |
| 1995 | 0.1491 | 0.3607 | 0.6122 | 0.774 | 1.02 | 1.1325 | 1.156 | 1.0834 |
| 1996 | 0.128 | 0.3336 | 0.5719 | 0.7323 | 0.9763 | 1.0927 | 1.069 | 1.0596 |
| 1997 | 0.1268 | 0.2955 | 0.5045 | 0.6275 | 0.8141 | 0.7814 | 0.8923 | 0.8265 |
| 1998 | 0.1108 | 0.2511 | 0.3769 | 0.5361 | 0.6735 | 0.7408 | 0.7384 | 0.6911 |
| 1999 | 0.1577 | 0.2869 | 0.4632 | 0.589 | 0.6611 | 0.7185 | 0.691 | 0.7341 |
| 2000 | 0.0898 | 0.2834 | 0.4478 | 0.594 | 0.769 | 0.8343 | 0.7823 | 0.7931 |
| 2001 | 0.0877 | 0.2251 | 0.3218 | 0.4311 | 0.5834 | 0.6165 | 0.6066 | 0.6227 |

Table 5.1.4.9. Whiting in IV and VIId. TSA output: standard error on log fishing mortality-at-age.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 0.1515 | 0.0713 | 0.06 | 0.0598 | 0.0573 | 0.0821 | 0.1124 | 0.1243 |
| 1981 | 0.1178 | 0.0883 | 0.0671 | 0.0556 | 0.0627 | 0.0813 | 0.1037 | 0.132 |
| 1982 | 0.1043 | 0.0632 | 0.083 | 0.0693 | 0.0727 | 0.093 | 0.1151 | 0.1319 |
| 1983 | 0.0662 | 0.0599 | 0.0618 | 0.073 | 0.0705 | 0.0979 | 0.1158 | 0.1294 |
| 1984 | 0.0986 | 0.0475 | 0.0537 | 0.0536 | 0.0597 | 0.0813 | 0.1121 | 0.1303 |
| 1985 | 0.0668 | 0.0664 | 0.0513 | 0.0591 | 0.0593 | 0.073 | 0.1072 | 0.1303 |
| 1986 | 0.2286 | 0.0566 | 0.0635 | 0.0505 | 0.0665 | 0.0867 | 0.0959 | 0.127 |
| 1987 | 0.1163 | 0.0827 | 0.0514 | 0.0546 | 0.0664 | 0.0941 | 0.1207 | 0.1132 |
| 1988 | 0.0684 | 0.0818 | 0.0767 | 0.0604 | 0.0758 | 0.1135 | 0.128 | 0.1334 |
| 1989 | 0.1623 | 0.0579 | 0.0747 | 0.0861 | 0.0712 | 0.1054 | 0.1293 | 0.1362 |
| 1990 | 0.0818 | 0.1321 | 0.0614 | 0.067 | 0.0809 | 0.1063 | 0.1245 | 0.1376 |
| 1991 | 0.0765 | 0.0728 | 0.1006 | 0.074 | 0.0747 | 0.1151 | 0.1283 | 0.136 |
| 1992 | 0.0772 | 0.082 | 0.0742 | 0.0943 | 0.0843 | 0.0969 | 0.124 | 0.1376 |
| 1993 | 0.1046 | 0.0697 | 0.0659 | 0.0716 | 0.0795 | 0.1014 | 0.1146 | 0.1332 |
| 1994 | 0.1171 | 0.0897 | 0.0686 | 0.0607 | 0.0674 | 0.0807 | 0.119 | 0.1266 |
| 1995 | 0.0999 | 0.0992 | 0.0839 | 0.069 | 0.0597 | 0.0823 | 0.0976 | 0.1292 |
| 1996 | 0.0666 | 0.091 | 0.0919 | 0.0784 | 0.069 | 0.0754 | 0.1119 | 0.1154 |
| 1997 | 0.0505 | 0.0844 | 0.0865 | 0.0891 | 0.0751 | 0.0924 | 0.1095 | 0.1214 |
| 1998 | 0.089 | 0.0847 | 0.0933 | 0.0866 | 0.0915 | 0.0907 | 0.1183 | 0.1324 |
| 1999 | 0.1737 | 0.1218 | 0.11 | 0.096 | 0.0901 | 0.1213 | 0.1194 | 0.1433 |
| 2000 | 0.1647 | 0.1553 | 0.1561 | 0.1425 | 0.1353 | 0.1429 | 0.1604 | 0.1702 |
| 2001 | 0.2554 | 0.2078 | 0.1869 | 0.2045 | 0.2033 | 0.2111 | 0.2125 | 0.215 |

 catch is thus not comparable with that reported in Tables 5.1.1.1 and 5.1.1.2.

| Year | Catch |  |  | Mean F (2-6) |  | SSB |  | TSB |  | Recruitment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Predicted | SE | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE |
| 1980 | 2.1871 | 2.1602 | 0.0946 | 0.9037 | 0.0295 | 5.0373 | 0.136 | 8.1888 | 0.2128 | 44.2238 | 2.1096 |
| 1981 | 1.8643 | 1.8708 | 0.0887 | 0.8821 | 0.0308 | 4.766 | 0.1462 | 6.2504 | 0.1647 | 17.3284 | 0.6805 |
| 1982 | 1.3731 | 1.416 | 0.0691 | 0.742 | 0.0308 | 3.691 | 0.1094 | 4.8127 | 0.119 | 19.1427 | 0.7095 |
| 1983 | 1.5166 | 1.4763 | 0.0548 | 0.7829 | 0.0305 | 3.2298 | 0.0755 | 4.9106 | 0.0955 | 16.6568 | 0.5192 |
| 1984 | 1.4238 | 1.4167 | 0.0502 | 0.9391 | 0.0298 | 2.615 | 0.0529 | 4.8406 | 0.1053 | 27.1113 | 1.0164 |
| 1985 | 0.9761 | 1.0388 | 0.0338 | 0.8625 | 0.0273 | 2.6954 | 0.0692 | 4.3457 | 0.0937 | 18.2096 | 0.6127 |
| 1986 | 1.5809 | 1.4314 | 0.1301 | 0.9 | 0.0311 | 2.7587 | 0.0685 | 6.2577 | 0.2602 | 36.5293 | 2.3977 |
| 1987 | 1.378 | 1.3709 | 0.0621 | 1.0718 | 0.0393 | 2.9192 | 0.09 | 5.1866 | 0.1436 | 31.1217 | 1.3832 |
| 1988 | 1.2759 | 1.3127 | 0.0588 | 0.8981 | 0.041 | 2.8518 | 0.0922 | 4.1122 | 0.1068 | 23.7056 | 0.8457 |
| 1989 | 1.2152 | 1.1641 | 0.0531 | 0.9592 | 0.0427 | 2.6199 | 0.0699 | 4.987 | 0.1887 | 36.7334 | 2.4938 |
| 1990 | 1.4906 | 1.2367 | 0.0821 | 0.9384 | 0.0425 | 2.7784 | 0.1235 | 4.3558 | 0.1508 | 19.5302 | 0.8449 |
| 1991 | 1.0726 | 1.0681 | 0.0554 | 0.7821 | 0.036 | 2.649 | 0.0898 | 4.4162 | 0.1226 | 18.3815 | 0.7798 |
| 1992 | 1.0644 | 1.0279 | 0.0472 | 0.7483 | 0.0348 | 2.5263 | 0.0714 | 3.9049 | 0.0956 | 17.6411 | 0.7266 |
| 1993 | 1.0884 | 1.0375 | 0.0434 | 0.7878 | 0.0328 | 2.2981 | 0.0594 | 3.6421 | 0.0955 | 19.5141 | 0.9893 |
| 1994 | 0.8987 | 0.9094 | 0.0421 | 0.8224 | 0.029 | 2.1987 | 0.0678 | 3.5363 | 0.1107 | 17.588 | 1.043 |
| 1995 | 0.8828 | 0.886 | 0.0481 | 0.7799 | 0.0291 | 2.2599 | 0.0807 | 3.5329 | 0.1107 | 15.3541 | 0.7975 |
| 1996 | 0.7214 | 0.731 | 0.0392 | 0.7414 | 0.0288 | 1.9659 | 0.0665 | 2.9152 | 0.0854 | 10.6443 | 0.5146 |
| 1997 | 0.5868 | 0.5902 | 0.0297 | 0.6046 | 0.0266 | 1.7081 | 0.0539 | 2.4192 | 0.0751 | 8.144 | 0.4732 |
| 1998 | 0.4325 | 0.4583 | 0.0191 | 0.5157 | 0.0267 | 1.447 | 0.052 | 2.5016 | 0.1307 | 12.5279 | 1.1257 |
| 1999 | 0.5728 | 0.5517 | 0.0239 | 0.5437 | 0.0415 | 1.5607 | 0.1001 | 2.8737 | 0.2383 | 18.5193 | 2.2301 |
| 2000 | 0.6082 | 0.6171 | 0.023 | 0.5857 | 0.0715 | 2.0372 | 0.2308 | 3.5994 | 0.4613 | 14.6481 | 2.5215 |
| 2001 | 0.4664 | 0.5134 | 0.0232 | 0.4356 | 0.0779 | 2.092 | 0.3411 | 3.2251 | 0.5903 | 16.4482 | 4.9695 |
| 2002 | NA | 0.6397 | 0.1022 | 0.4609 | 0.0983 | 2.3708 | 0.5072 | 3.9647 | 0.8754 | 19.4863 | 7.3903 |
| 2003 | NA | 0.7145 | 0.1417 | 0.4609 | 0.1102 | 2.6671 | 0.6346 | 4.3341 | 0.985 | 20.2373 | 7.6718 |
| Units: |  | $10^{-5}$ tonnes |  |  |  | $10^{-5}$ to |  | $10^{-5}$ to |  | $10^{-5}$ |  |

Table 5.1.5.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. RCT3 input.
RCT3 input values
$21 \quad 30$
'YC' 'VPA' 'IYFS1' 'IYFS2' 'EGFS0' 'EGFS1' 'EGFS2' 'SGFS0' 'SGFS1' 'SGFS2' 'DGFS0' 'DGFS1' 'DGFS2' 'GGFS1' 'GGFS2' 'IBQ21' 'SCQ21' 'SCQ22' 'IBQ40' 'IBQ41' 'ENQ40' 'ENQ41' 'ENQ42'

| 1971 | -1 | 332 | 763 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | -1 | 1156 | 496 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1973 | -1 | 322 | 153 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1974 | -1 | 893 | 535 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1975 | -1 | 679 | 219 | -1 | -1 | 74 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1976 | -1 | 418 | 293 | -1 | 220 | 52 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1977 | -1 | 513 | 183 | 284 | 247 | 71 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1978 | -1 | 457 | 391 | 184 | 201 | 125 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 62 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1979 | -1 | 692 | 485 | 355 | 353 | 288 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 330 | 131 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1980 | -1 | 227 | 232 | 199 | 183 | 79 | -1 | -1 | 97 | 166 | 205 | 105 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1981 | -1 | 161 | 126 | 349 | 277 | 109 | -1 | 65 | 58 | 1393 | 640 | 224 | -1 | 15.3 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1982 | -1 | 128 | 179 | 69 | 119 | 108 | 102 | 56 | 37 | 166 | 431 | 141 | 6.8 | 12.9 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1983 | -1 | 436 | 359 | 717 | 506 | 170 | 210 | 108 | 97 | 2649 | 1330 | 893 | 5.7 | 22.8 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1984 | -1 | 341 | 261 | 173 | 159 | 66 | 454 | 158 | 45 | 143 | 783 | 75 | 9.6 | 24.6 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1985 | -1 | 456 | 544 | 200 | 152 | 130 | 169 | 111 | 115 | 859 | 384 | 252 | 12.2 | 70.8 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1986 | -1 | 669 | 862 | 163 | 228 | 132 | 406 | 141 | 161 | 1784 | 2004 | 612 | 91 | 79.8 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1987 | -1 | 394 | 542 | 137 | 188 | 118 | 120 | 97 | 74 | 2883 | 1441 | 803 | 15.1 | 392.3 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1988 | -1 | 1465 | 887 | 382 | 295 | 129 | 642 | 404 | 205 | 629 | 1049 | 196 | 603.1 | 248.5 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1989 | -1 | 509 | 675 | 1170 | 194 | 77 | 427 | 224 | 95 | 1882 | 963 | 214 | 280.2 | 163.7 | -1 | -1 | 3856 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 19642 |
| 1990 | -1 | 1014 | 748 | 882 | 333 | 131 | 1943 | 177 | 127 | 5543 | 1552 | 310 | 324.3 | 73.3 | 1298 | 9490 | 4750 | -1 | 853 | -1 | 55276 | 26462 |
| 1991 | -1 | 916 | 524 | 167 | 266 | 96 | 1379 | 293 | 117 | 806 | 272 | 61 | 120.7 | -1 | 816 | 12976 | 4149 | 761 | 625 | 46826 | 45090 | 19474 |
| 1992 | -1 | 1087 | 637 | 455 | 251 | 106 | 2417 | 317 | 950 | 453 | 340 | 353 | -1 | 79 | 710 | 10467 | 3571 | 1219 | 807 | 94233 | 54210 | 26413 |
| 1993 | -1 | 721 | 457 | 252 | 305 | 237 | 247 | 2365 | 2010 | 2655 | 660 | -1 | 181.8 | 74.5 | 806 | 6540 | 7730 | 1326 | 1136 | 78871 | 61335 | 41715 |
| 1994 | -1 | 679 | 486 | 211 | 355 | 104 | 648 | 4176 | 3047 | 1795 | -1 | -1 | 104.7 | -1 | 1592 | 19161 | 4962 | 1318 | 1112 | 69848 | 107996 | 30330 |
| 1995 | -1 | 502 | 342 | 363 | 124 | 97 | 1243 | 2888 | 1434 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 627 | 4402 | 2260 | 2013 | -1 | 71328 | 36556 | -1 |
| 1996 | -1 | 288 | 162 | 103 | 203 | 179 | 440 | 1824 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 254 | 1407 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 29983 | -1 | -1 |
| 1997 | -1 | 556 | 305 | 599 | 165 | 218 | 317 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1998 | -1 | 676 | 537 | 2048 | 479 | 280 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 1999 | -1 | 757 | -1 | 1325 | 703 | 147 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2000 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 962 | 545 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2001 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 999 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |


Update?

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
\text { sgfs1 } & \text { sgfs2 } & \text { dgfs0 } & \text { dgfs1 } & \text { dgfs2 } & \text { ggfs1 } & \text { ggfs2 } & \text { IBQ21 } & \text { SCQ21 } & \text { SCQ22 } & \text { IBQ40 } & \text { IBQ41 } & \text { ENQ40 }
\end{array}
$$

$$
{ }^{*} N \text {-see below } *
$$

N/A N/A N/A
N/A
N/A N/A N/A
N/A N/A
/A

Table 5.1.5.1 cont. Whiting in IV and VIId. RCT3 input: notes.

| KEY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| index |  |  | Age |  |
|  | Survey |  |  |  |
| IYFS1 |  |  |  |  |
| IFFS2 | IBTS | 1 | 2 |  |
| EGFS0 | English GFS | 3 | 0 |  |
| EGFS1 | English GFS | 3 | 1 |  |
| EGFS2 | English GFS | 3 | 2 |  |
| SGFS0 | Scottish GFS | 3 | 0 |  |
| SGFS1 | Scottish GFS | 3 | 1 |  |
| SGFS2 | Scottish GFS | 3 | 2 |  |
| DGFS0 | Dutch GFS | 3 | 0 | Survey discontinued |
| DGFS1 | Dutch GFS | 3 | 1 | Survey discontinued |
| DGFS2 | Dutch GFS | 3 | 2 | Survey discontinued |
| GGFS1 | German GFS | 2 | 1 | Survey discontinued |
| GGFS2 | German GFS | 2 | 2 | Survey discontinued |
| IBQ21 | IBTS (provisional, length-based) | 2 | 1 | Survey discontinued |
| SCQ21 | IBTS (Scottish, age based) | 2 | 1 | Survey discontinued |
| SCQ22 | IBTS (Scottish, age based) | 2 | 2 | Survey discontinued |
| IBQ40 | IBTS (provisional, length-based) | 4 | 0 | Survey discontinued |
| IBQ41 | IBTS (provisional, length-based) | 4 | 1 | Survey discontinued |
| ENQ40 | IBTS (English, age-based) | 4 | 0 | Survey discontinued |
| ENQ41 | IBTS (English, age-based) | 4 | 1 | Survey discontinued |
| ENQ42 | IBTS (English, age-based) | 4 | 2 | Survey discontinued |

## Below are Scottish GFS indices since change in boat \& gear

NB Also expansion of area in 1999 survey, but these indices refer only to old area Use with care

| Yclass | SGFS0 | SGFS1 | SGFS2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  |  | 5426 |
| 1997 |  | 4141 | 2090 |
| 1998 | 12302 | 5410 | 3329 |
| 1999 | 15276 | 6646 | 2741 |
| 2000 | 17076 | 4146 | -1 |
| 2001 | 10315 | -1 | -1 |

Table 5.1.6.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Stock trends, 1980-2001.

| Year | Recruits | SSB | TSB | CATCH <br> Total | H. cons | Disc | IBC | MEAN F <br> Total | H. cons | Disc | IBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 4422.38 | 503.73 | 818.88 | 223.52 | 100.81 | 76.95 | 45.76 | 0.9037 | 0.6412 | 0.1956 | 0.0669 |
| 1981 | 1732.84 | 476.6 | 625.04 | 192.05 | 89.52 | 35.92 | 66.61 | 0.8821 | 0.6563 | 0.0773 | 0.1485 |
| 1982 | 1914.27 | 369.1 | 481.27 | 140.2 | 80.55 | 26.6 | 33.04 | 0.7421 | 0.5217 | 0.1022 | 0.1182 |
| 1983 | 1665.68 | 322.98 | 491.06 | 161.21 | 87.97 | 49.56 | 23.68 | 0.7829 | 0.592 | 0.1369 | 0.054 |
| 1984 | 2711.13 | 261.5 | 484.06 | 145.74 | 86.28 | 40.56 | 18.9 | 0.9391 | 0.7605 | 0.1205 | 0.0581 |
| 1985 | 1820.96 | 269.54 | 434.57 | 106.36 | 62.13 | 28.91 | 15.32 | 0.8624 | 0.7186 | 0.0832 | 0.0606 |
| 1986 | 3652.93 | 275.87 | 625.77 | 161.74 | 64.11 | 79.66 | 17.97 | 0.9 | 0.7072 | 0.1382 | 0.0545 |
| 1987 | 3112.17 | 291.92 | 518.66 | 138.77 | 68.3 | 54 | 16.48 | 1.0718 | 0.8686 | 0.1483 | 0.0549 |
| 1988 | 2370.56 | 285.18 | 411.22 | 133.47 | 56.1 | 28.15 | 49.22 | 0.8981 | 0.6935 | 0.1049 | 0.0997 |
| 1989 | 3673.34 | 261.99 | 498.7 | 123.75 | 45.19 | 35.85 | 42.71 | 0.9592 | 0.5613 | 0.1811 | 0.2168 |
| 1990 | 1953.02 | 277.84 | 435.58 | 153.45 | 46.9 | 55.84 | 50.72 | 0.9384 | 0.4906 | 0.2594 | 0.1884 |
| 1991 | 1838.15 | 264.9 | 441.62 | 124.98 | 53.02 | 33.64 | 38.31 | 0.7821 | 0.5404 | 0.1202 | 0.1215 |
| 1992 | 1764.11 | 252.63 | 390.49 | 109.7 | 52.19 | 30.61 | 26.9 | 0.7483 | 0.5398 | 0.1241 | 0.0844 |
| 1993 | 1951.41 | 229.81 | 364.21 | 116.17 | 53.2 | 42.87 | 20.1 | 0.7878 | 0.503 | 0.2108 | 0.074 |
| 1994 | 1758.8 | 219.87 | 353.63 | 92.61 | 49.24 | 33.01 | 10.35 | 0.8224 | 0.5879 | 0.2029 | 0.0315 |
| 1995 | 1535.41 | 225.99 | 353.29 | 103.27 | 46.44 | 30.26 | 26.56 | 0.7799 | 0.603 | 0.1503 | 0.0266 |
| 1996 | 1064.43 | 196.59 | 291.52 | 73.96 | 41.07 | 28.18 | 4.7 | 0.7414 | 0.5526 | 0.1794 | 0.0094 |
| 1997 | 814.4 | 170.81 | 241.92 | 59.1 | 35.92 | 17.22 | 5.97 | 0.6046 | 0.4494 | 0.1272 | 0.0281 |
| 1998 | 1252.79 | 144.7 | 250.16 | 44.31 | 28.46 | 12.71 | 3.14 | 0.5157 | 0.377 | 0.1265 | 0.0122 |
| 1999 | 1851.93 | 156.07 | 287.37 | 59.18 | 30.41 | 23.58 | 5.18 | 0.5437 | 0.3832 | 0.1305 | 0.03 |
| 2000 | 1464.81 | 203.72 | 359.94 | 60.91 | 28.81 | 23.21 | 8.89 | 0.5857 | 0.3646 | 0.133 | 0.0881 |
| 2001 | 1644.82 | 209.2 | 322.51 | 49.06 | 25.22 | 16.49 | 7.36 | 0.4356 | 0.3435 | 0.0696 | 0.0225 |
|  | age 1 | 000t | 000t | 000t | 000t | 000t | 000t | 2-6 | 2-6 | 2-6 | 2-6 |

Table 5.1.7.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Input to catch forecast and linear sensitivity analyses.


Table 5.1.7.1. cont. Whiting in IV and VIId. Input to catch forecast and linear sensitivity analyses.

```
Relative effort in industrial fishery
IF01 1.00 0.63
IFO2 1.00 0.63
IF03 1.00 0.63
Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 1510291 0.24
R04 1510291 0.24
Proportion of F before spawning = .00
Proportion of M before spawning = .00
```

Stock numbers in 2002 are TSA predictions. CVs on stock numbers in 2002 are calculated as s.e.(N(a)) / N(a). Catch component F-at-age is calculated as unscaled 3-year (1999-2001) arithmetic mean of partial $F$ estimated on the basis of reported numbers in each component. CVs are based on the standard error of the same 3 years. Catch component weight-at-age is calculated as the unscaled 3year (1999-2001) arithmetic mean of the estimated weights; CVs are based on the same data. Stock weight-at-age is assumed equal to catch weight-at-age: same calculations as above. Recruitment estimates are 10-year GM of TSA estimates. Multipliers on mortality are taken from the North Sea haddock SEN file - this will be updated.

Table 5.2.1.1. Nominal landings ( t ) of Whiting from Division IIIa as supplied by the Study Group on Division IIIa Demersal Stocks (ICES 1992b) and updated by the Working Group.

| Year |  | Denmark |  | Norway | Sweden | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 |  | 19,018 |  | 57 | 611 | 4 | 19,690 |
| 1976 |  | 17,870 |  | 48 | 1,002 | 48 | 18,968 |
| 1977 |  | 18,116 |  | 46 | 975 | 41 | 19,178 |
| 1978 |  | 48,102 |  | 58 | 899 | 32 | 49,091 |
| 1979 |  | 16,971 |  | 63 | 1,033 | 16 | 18,083 |
| 1980 |  | 21,070 |  | 65 | 1,516 | 3 | 22,654 |
|  | Total consumption | Total industrial | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 1,027 | 23,915 | 24,942 | 70 | 1,054 | 7 | 26,073 |
| 1982 | 1,183 | 39,758 | 40,941 | 40 | 670 | 13 | 41,664 |
| 1983 | 1,311 | 23,505 | 24,816 | 48 | 1,061 | 8 | 25,933 |
| 1984 | 1,036 | 12,102 | 13,138 | 51 | 1,168 | 60 | 14,417 |
| 1985 | 557 | 11,967 | 12,524 | 45 | 654 | 2 | 13,225 |
| 1986 | 484 | 11,979 | 12,463 | 64 | 477 | 1 | 13,005 |
| 1987 | 443 | 15,880 | 16,323 | 29 | 262 | 43 | 16,657 |
| 1988 | 391 | 10,872 | 11,263 | 42 | 435 | 24 | 11,764 |
| 1989 | 917 | 11,662 | 12,579 | 29 | 675 | - | 13,283 |
| 1990 | 1,016 | 17,829 | 18,845 | 49 | 456 | 73 | 19,423 |
| 1991 | 871 | 12,463 | 13,334 | 56 | 527 | 97 | 14,041 |
| 1992 | 555 | 10,675 | 11,230 | 66 | 959 | 1 | 12,256 |
| 1993 | 261 | 3,581 | 3,842 | 42 | 756 | 1 | 4,641 |
| 1994 | 174 | 5,391 | 5,565 | 21 | 440 | 1 | 6,027 |
| 1995 | 85 | 9,029 | 9,114 | 24 | 431 | 1 | 9,570 |
| 1996 | 55 | 2,668 | 2,723 | 21 | 182 | - | 2,926 |
| 1997 | 38 | 568 | 606 | 18 | 94 | - | 718 |
| 1998 | 35 | 847 | 882 | 16 | 81 | - | 979 |
| 1999 | 37 | 1,199 | 1,236 | 15 | 111 | - | 1,362 |
| 2000 | 59 | 386 | 445 | 17* | 138 | 1 | 622 |
| 2001* | 61 | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 27 | 29 | + | n/a |

[^6]Figure 5.1.2.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Proportions by age in estimated total catch of the human consumption landings, discards, and industrial by-catch components.


Figure 5.1.2.2. Whiting in IV and VIId. Trends in mean weight-at-age in three components of the fishery: human consumption landings, discards, and industrial by-catch.

Human consumption landings: Sub-area IV, ages 1-8+


Discards: Sub-area IV, ages 1-6


Industrial bycatch: Sub-area IV, ages 1-5


Figure 5.1.3.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Normalised (mean-standardised) trends in survey tuning indices by age.


Figure 5.1.3.2. Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 0, with fitted linear regression lines.


Figure 5.1.3.3. Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 1, with fitted linear regression lines.


Figure 5.1.3.4. Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 2, with fitted linear regression lines.


Figure 5.1.3.5 Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 2, with fitted linear regression lines.


Figure 5.1.3.6. Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 4, with fitted linear regression lines.


Figure 5.1.3.7 Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 5, with fitted linear regression lines.


Figure 5.1.3.8. Whiting in IV and VIId. Pairwise bivariate scatterplots of survey indices at age 6, with fitted linear regression lines.


Whiting in IV and VIId. Relative trends in mean F(2-6) from RCRV1A analyses of ScoGFS, EngGFS, IBTS Q1, and FraGFS, and a TSA run with no survey data. The latter are mean-standardised to facilitate comparison. Dotted lines show loess smoothers through each time-series, and these are repeated in the final plot.


Figure 5.1.3.10. Whiting in IV and VIId. Relative trends in SSB from RCRV1A analyses of ScoGFS, EngGFS, IBTS Q1, and FraGFS, and a TSA run with no survey data. The latter are mean-standardised to facilitate comparison. Dotted lines show loess smoothers through each time-series, and these are repeated in the final plot.

RCRV1A ScoGFS


RCRV1A FraGFS


RCRV1A EngGFS


TSA catch only


RCRV1A IBTS Q1



Whiting in IV and VIId. Relative trends in recruitment from RCRV1A analyses of ScoGFS, EngGFS, IBTS Q1, and FraGFS, and a TSA run with no survey data. The latter are mean-standardised to facilitate comparison. Dotted lines show loess smoothers through each time-series, and these are repeated in the final plot.


Figure 5.1.3.12. Whiting in IV and VIId. Comparison of old and new FRAGFS abundance indices.




Figure 5.1.4.1. Whiting in IV and VIId. Residuals for two separable VPA runs: using ages $0-12+$ (top plot), and ages 1-8+ (bottom plot).



Figure 5.1.4.2. Whiting in IV and VIId. Comparison of stock summaries from four TSA runs: with no survey data, and with data from the EngGFS, ScoGFS, and IBTS Q1 surveys. The results from the TSA run with no survey data are plotted with $\pm 2$ standard errors (equivalent to pointwise $95 \%$ confidence intervals). The vertical dashed line on each plot marks the present: all estimates thereafter are TSA forecasts. The filled circles on the Yield plot are observed catches.


Figure 5.1.4.3. Whiting in IV and VIId. SSB (2001) against mean $F_{2-6}$ (2001) from four TSA runs: no survey (filled circle) and three single-survey runs (open circles), along with the status quo WG prediction (asterisk) from last year's assessment (as amended by ACFM). Dotted lines give approximate pointwise $95 \%$ confidence intervals about the TSA (no survey) estimate.


Figure 5.1.4.4. Whiting in IV and VIId. Standardised catch prediction errors from a TSA run with no survey data, plotted by year (upper plot) and by year and age (lower plot). Dotted lines give the approximate $95 \%$ confidence interval for a normal distribution.



Figure 5.1.4.5. Whiting in IV and VIId. Upper plot: Summary diagrams from a TSA run with no survey data, with approximate pointwise $95 \%$ confidence intervals. The vertical dashed lines indicate the last year of catch data: all subsequent estimates are TSA forecasts. Filled circles on the yield plot show total reported catches (human consumption, discards, and industrial by-catch). Lower plot: Scatterplot of recruitment at age 1 against parental SSB, with TSA-estimated Ricker curve. Points are labelled with year classes (1980-2000)



Figure 5.1.4.6. Whiting in IV and VIId. Mean $\mathrm{F}(2-6)$ and SSB plots from retrospective TSA analyses. The thick line is the 2001 estimate: the thinner lines give the retrospective estimates with approximate pointwise $95 \%$ confidence intervals.



Figure 5.1.4.6. cont. Whiting in IV and VIId. Recruitment plots from retrospective TSA analyses. The thick line the 2001 estimate: the thinner lines give the retrospective estimates with approximate pointwise $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
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Figure 5.1.10 Whiting in IV and VIId. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




### 6.1 The Fishery

Saithe in the North Sea are mainly taken in a direct trawl fishery in deep water near the Northern Shelf edge and the Norwegian deeps (eg. W.D. 1). The majority of the catches are taken by Norwegian, French, and German trawlers. In the first half of the year the fishery are directed towards mature fish, while immature fish dominate in the catches the rest of the year. In recent years the French fishery deployed less effort along the Norwegian deeps, while the German and Norwegian fisheries have maintained their effort there. The main fishery developed in the beginning of the 1970s. Recently trawlers have also been targeting deepsea fish, and it is necessary to take account of that when tuning series are established. The fishery in Area VI consists largely of a directed French, German, and Norwegian deep-water fishery operating on the shelf edge, and a Scottish fishery operating inshore. In both areas most of the saithe do not enter the main fishery before age 3 , because the younger ages are staying in inshore waters.

### 6.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002

For 2001 ACFM considered the stock to be outside safe biological limits and recommended that F on the combined stock should be reduced by $20 \%$ from status quo, corresponding to landings of 97000 t in 2001 ( 87000 t in IV+IIIa and 9000 in VI).

For 2002 ACFM considered the stock to be inside safe biological limits and advised that fishing mortality in 2002 should be below $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, corresponding to landings less than 148000 t ( 135000 t in IV and IIIa and 13000 t in VI).

### 6.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002

Management of saithe is by TAC and technical measures. The agreed TAC for saithe in IV and IIIa for 2001 is 87000 t and in Divisions Vb, VI, XII, and XIV the TAC for 2001 is 9000 t . For 2002 the TACs were 135000 t and 14000 t , respectively.

Technical measures are described in Section 2.1.1.

### 6.1.3 The fishery in 2001

Recent nominal landings are given in Tables 6.1.1 and 6.1.2. The main part of the Working Group estimates of landings are shown in Figure 6.6.1. In 2001 the landings are estimated to be 89673 t in Areas IV and IIIa, which are about 2673 t above the TAC, and the landings in VI are estimated to be 8372 t , which are close to the TAC. Saithe are also taken as by-catch in the industrial fishery, but most of it is sorted out and delivered for human consumption. In 2001 a by-catch of about 3000 t was estimated to go to reduction purposes.

Since the fish are distributed inshore until they are 2-3 years old, discarding of young fish is assumed to be a small problem in this fishery. Problems with by-catches in other fisheries when saithe quotas are exceeded may cause discarding. This seems to have been a major problem the last two years. Data from SGDBI and Scotland indicate that the discard in the UK fleets in 2000 and 2001 was about 22000 t and 15000 t , respectively, mainly age 3 and age 4 (see Section. 1.11.4). French and German trawlers are targeting saithe and they have larger quotas, so the problem may be less in these fleets. The Norwegian trawlers move out of the area when the boat quotas are reached, and in addition the fishery is closed if the seasonal quota is reached. In 2000 the larger trawlers were fishing for four months in the North Sea while they were fishing six months in 2001.

### 6.2 Natural Mortality, Maturity, Age Compositions, Mean Weight-at-Age

A natural mortality rate of 0.2 was used for all ages in all years, and a maturity ogive based on biological sampling is used for all years (Table 6.2.1).

Total international age compositions are given in Table 6.2.2. Catch-at-age data for 2000 were updated with minor changes. Catch-at-age and weight-at-age data for 2001 were supplied by Denmark, Germany, France, Norway, UK (England), and UK (Scotland) for Area IV, amounting to about $97 \%$ of the reported total landings, and only UK(Scotland) for Area VI.

The mean weights-at-age in the landings are given in Table 6.2.3 and plotted in Figure 6.2.1. These are also used as stock mean weights. SOP corrections have been applied.

### 6.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data

The age composition of the fleets and surveys used by the Working Group are listed in Table 6.3.1. All fleets are targeting saithe along the Northern Shelf edge and along the western edge of the Norwegian deep, primarily at depths between 150-250 m. The German trawl fleet (GEROTB_IV) is described in W.D. 1. French large trawlers (FRATRB_IV) and French freezer trawlers (FRATRF_IV) mainly operate along the Northern Shelf in Subarea IV and Division VIa. For the French fleet (FRATRB_IV), the catch and effort time-series extends back to 1990. The Norwegian large trawlers (NORTRL_IV) operate in the North Sea for only part of the year, usually in the first and third quarters.

Effort by large French trawlers (FRATRB_IV), Norwegian trawlers (NORTRL_IV), and German trawlers (GEROTB_IV) in the North Sea has decreased in recent years, but in 2001 Norwegian trawlers increased their effort (Figure 6.3.1). French Freezer trawlers (FRATRF_IV) have also shown a slight increase in 2001 compared to 2000. The cpue for the Norwegian trawlers increased from 1997 to 1999 and decreased in 2000 and 2001, while the cpue for the other fleets increased from 1999 to 2001 (Figure 6.3.1). Normalised trends in tuning fleets by age are shown in Figure 6.3.2.

The fishery for saithe is a directed fishery. The fish are usually located using echosounding equipment, and their distribution is usually predictable in space and time and different age groups predominate in different areas. However, when the boats have set the trawl, they trawl for several hours and they are not guided by the echosounders in the same way. The use of commercial cpue data for tuning is of concern to the WG, since the different distribution of fishing effort in relation to the density and age composition of the stock could give conflicting signals of age-group abundance. However, the only fishery-independent surveys available for tuning are the English and Scottish Groundfish surveys and the Norwegian acoustic survey. Saithe are not well represented in the former two surveys and the time-series for the latter is only 7 years.

The Norwegian acoustic survey (NORACU_IV) is conducted in conjunction with the IBTS Q3 survey, covering the area north of $56^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ up to $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and directed towards saithe.

### 6.4 Catch-at-Age Analysis

### 6.4.1 Exploration of data

Preliminary XSA runs with the same settings as shown in the text table in Section 6.4 .2 were done with all single fleets and different combinations of fleets. Some of the results are shown in Figure 6.4.1, and the log catchability residuals from single-fleet runs are shown in Figure 6.4.2, which show that there may be some problem with slight trends for the older ages in some fleets. The catch numbers of age 2 are very small in the series because they are distributed inshore, and the diagnostics show that age 2 have very small $r^{2}$ and slopes different from 1. It was decided to take age 2 out of the tuning series.

Figure 6.4 .3 shows that the French fleets predict much lower numbers of age 3 than the other fleets. This may be due to the fact that the French fleets in later years have had very little effort south of $61^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ along the Norwegian deeps, and surveys show that we find the highest abundance of three-year-old fish in that area.

Last year's Working Group explored periods of tuning and found that tuning with a 20-year time span and tricubic taper gave the lowest standard errors of the weighted $\log ($ VPA $)$ populations. This setting was therefore chosen. The effect of shrinking was also explored last year, and we used a SE of 1.0 in the final assessment.

### 6.4.2

Final assessment

The settings of the final run are presented in the text table below:


The tuning converged after 35 iterations. Tuning diagnostics are given in Table 6.4.1, and the residuals are plotted in Figure 6.4.4. For age 1 and 2 the P shrinker has the greatest weight, while the estimation of survivors of the older ages are dominated by the commercial fleets. The surveys give some weight to age 3 and 4 (Figure 6.4.5).

Tables 6.4.2 and 6.4.3 list the fishing mortality and stock number by year and age, respectively. The VPA results are summarized in Table 6.6.1 and illustrated in Figure 6.6.1.

The results of the retrospective analysis are plotted in Figure 6.4.6. The tendency to overestimate $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ and underestimate SSB in recent years seems to have been reduced. The retrospective estimation of the recruits at age 1 is scattered and needs almost 10 years to converge.

### 6.5 Recruitment Estimates

The arithmetic mean of numbers-at-age 1 for the period 1967 - 1998 is 266 million and the geometric mean is 248 million.

No survey or other independent age 1 or 2 indices were available to the Working Group. The group therefore decided to use geometric means 1985-99 (202 684 thousand) to estimate recruitment for the year classes 1999, 2000, and 2001 for the short-term prediction because they have not been well estimated by catch data. This short-term GM was used as there is evidence of reduced recruitment in recent years (Figure 6.6.1). Numbers-at-age 2 and 3 were estimated using natural mortality and fishing mortality in 2000 and 2001. Year-class strength used for predictions are printed in bold and can be summarized as follows (numbers in thousands):

| Year class | Age in 2002 | XSA | GM(85-99) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1998 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 7 4} \mathbf{7 2 5}$ |  |
| 1999 | 3 | 113615 | $\mathbf{1 3 3 5 8 6}$ |
| 2000 | 2 | 118183 | $\mathbf{1 6 5 7 9 4}$ |
| 2001 | 1 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 8 4}$ |
| 2002 | 1 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 8 4}$ |

The historical trends are given in Table 6.6.1 and shown in Figure 6.6.1. For the combined area the landings peaked during the mid-1970s, dropped rapidly to 140000 t in 1980, increased again and exceeded 220000 t in 1985. During the last 10 years, the landings remained at a lower level with small variations between 93000 and 125000 t .

The mean $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ decreased continuously from 0.83 in 1986 to 0.25 in 2001. Recently, the SSB was estimated to have increased to about 247000 tonnes in 2001 from the lowest observed 92000 tonnes in the early 1990s. This increase is partly due to the good year classes 1994 and 1996 and to the decrease in fishing mortality. Since 1997 the fishing mortality has been below the $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, and since 1999 the SSB has been above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

### 6.7 Short-Term Forecast

Input data for the 2002-2004 prediction are given in Table 6.7.1. In 2002, numbers of ages 1 are GM(85-99) estimates, and ages 2 and 3 are GM estimates using respective Fs. The year classes 2001 and 2002 at age 1 were estimated by the short-term GM value of 202 millions. The exploitation pattern, the mean weights in the stock, and the catch is based on 1999-01 arithmetic means. The fishing pattern was scaled to $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ in 2001. A status quo prediction resulted in a catch of 131000 t in 2002. The Working Group considered that the TAC probably would be taken in 2002, so a TACconstrained prediction was run. Results of the prediction are given in Table 6.7.2 and in Figure 6.7.1. The assumption of TAC fishing mortality in 2002 and status quo fishing mortality in 2003 corresponds to landings of 149000 t in 2002 and 132000 t in 2003. As a consequence, spawning stock size is predicted to increase from 298000 t in 2002 to 338000 t in 2004.

Table 6.7.3 lists the contribution of the different recruiting year classes in the catch in 2003 and the spawning stock in 2004. $22 \%$ of the expected landings in 2003, and $24 \%$ of the predicted SSB in 2004 is made up of year classes for which GM(85-99) recruitment is assumed.

Figure 6.7.3 shows that the forecast for catch in 2002 is highly sensitive to the effort multiplier in 2003 (HF03), and that most of the variance comes from HF03 and the numbers of the year class 1999 and HF02. The forecast for the spawning stock in 2004 seems to be sensitive to the effort multiplier, while the 1998 and 1999 year-class strength and the effort multipliers give a high contribution to the variance. Figure 6.7 .2 shows that there may be a very low probability of being below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(200000 \mathrm{t})$ in 2004 when fishing at status quo in 2003. It is also seen that there will be about $50 \%$ probability that F will be above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ in 2003 with a catch of 132000 t .

### 6.8 Medium-Term Projections

Medium-term projections have been undertaken this year using a Ricker recruitment curve (Table 6.8.1) and using default input values to the "Insens" program, used to generate input files for forecasting (Table 6.7.1). Because mean weights have decreased in recent years, both mean weights- and F-at-age were calculated using a three-year mean, 1999-2001. F-at-age was scaled to the 2001 value of mean F . The status quo fishing mortality is well below $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, and the TAC in 2002 gives a fishing mortality of $1.17 *$ status quo. It was decided to run the medium-term prediction with a multiplier of 1.2, which is still well below $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. The results indicate that under this fishing scenario the median landings will increase to 180000 t after 10 years (Figure 6.8.1). The median SSB is projected to remain at around 300000 t for five years after which it is predicted to increase to about 380000 t . The contour plot suggests there is little probability of SSB falling below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ after ten years of fishing at $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ (Figure 6.8.2).

### 6.9 Biological Reference Points

The stock-recruitment plot including values of $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {current }}$ is given in Figure 6.9.1. The input parameters for the yield and biomass per recruit are listed in Table 6.9.1 and the results are shown in Figure 6.9.2. The mean weights in the stock and in the catch are assumed to be the same and represent the mean over the last 10 years. The exploitation pattern is calculated as the 1999-01 mean and scaled to $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ in 2000. The oldest age group is defined as a plus group. The different reference points and agreed management points are listed in the text table below:

| $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | 0.09 | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 0.60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.17 | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.40 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ | 0.49 | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | 106000 t |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}$ | $>0.49$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 200000 t |

Figure 6.9.3 shows the history of $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ versus SSB . In the period $1984-1998$ the SSB was below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, but the last four years SSB has been above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. The fishing mortality has shown a declining trend, and since 1997 it has been below $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

### 6.10 Comment on the Assessment

This assessment gives a reduction in fishing mortalities for the years 2000 and 1999 of $10 \%$ and $3 \%$, respectively, and a difference in the SSB for 2000 and 2001 of about $-6 \%$ and $+6 \%$, respectively. The general tendency of this assessment to overestimate F and underestimate SSB seems to be reduced (Figure 6.10.1).

The cpue data from the commercial fleets may be biased because the saithe are partly schooling, and it is possible to find the schools with echosounders; however, when they have set the trawl, they trawl for several hours and they are not guided by the echo sounders in the same way. According to Figure 6.3.2, the survey and the commercial fleets give similar estimates of relative year-class strength.

The log catchability residuals show trends for some age groups in some fleets, which have to be analysed further.
The assessment and the present stock and catch prediction suffer from the lack of a representative data series from surveys or commercial fleets for recruitment at ages 1-3. The assessment is therefore liable to be revised every year. When the reference points are revised in the near future, the Working Group should consider to run the assessment with age 3 as recruits.

Data from SGDBI and Scotland indicate that the discard of saithe in 2000 and 2001 have been considerable in the fleets not targeting saithe. This is possibly a source of bias in the assessment.

### 6.11 Management Consideration

The present assessment indicates that SSB has been above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and F has been below $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ since 1999. The fact that the forecast does not track recruitment fluctuations can lead to management problems.

In recent years low saithe quotas seem to have caused large discarding when fishing for other species. However, the larger overall TAC in 2002 may have reduced the problem.

Table 6.1.1. Nominal catch (in tonnes) of SAITHE in Subarea IV and Division IIIa, 1989-2001, as officially reported to ICES.

| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $2001{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 13 | 23 | 29 | 70 | 113 | 130 | 228 | 157 | 254 | 249 | 200 | 122 | 24 |
| Denmark | 6,550 | 5,800 | 6,314 | 4,669 | 4,232 | 4,305 ${ }^{1}$ | 4,388 | 4,705 | 4,513 | 3,967 |  | 3,529 | 3,575 |
| Faroe Islands | 739 | 1,650 | 671 | 2,480 | 2,875 | 1,780 ${ }^{1}$ | 3,808 | 617 | 158 | 1,298 |  |  |  |
| France | 30,761 ${ }^{1,2}$ | 29,892 ${ }^{1,2}$ | $14,795^{1,2}$ | 9,061 ${ }^{1}$ | 15,258 ${ }^{1}$ | $13,612^{1,2}$ | 11,224 ${ }^{1}$ | 12,336 | 10,932 | $11,786^{1}$ |  | 20,399 ${ }^{12}$ | 21,247 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Germany | 14,339 | 15,006 | 19,574 | 13,177 | 14,814 | 10,013 | 12,093 | 11,567 | 12,581 | 10,117 |  | 9,273 | 9,479 |
| Netherlands | 257 | 206 | 199 | 180 | 79 | 18 | 9 | 17 | 40 | 7 | $24,305{ }^{12}$ | 11 | 20 |
| Norway | 24,737 | 19,122 | 36,240 | 48,205 | 47,669 | 47,042 | 53,793 ${ }^{1}$ | 55,531 | 46,424 | 50,254 | 10,481 | 42,735 ${ }^{1}$ | 43,504 |
| Poland | 809 | 1,244 | 1,336 | 1,238 | $937{ }^{1}$ | 151 | 592 | 365 | 822 | 813 | 7 | 747 | 727 |
| Sweden | 797 | 838 | 1,514 | 3,302 | 4,955 | 5,366 | 1,891 | 1,771 | 1,647 | 1,857 | 56,150 | 1,421 | 1,510 |
| UK (E\&W) | 4,012 | 3,397 | 4,070 | 2,893 | 2,429 | 2,354 | 2,522 | 2,864 | 2,556 | 2,293 | 862 | 1,227 |  |
| UK (Scot.) | 9,190 | 7,703 | 8,602 | 6,881 | 5,929 | 5,566 | 6,341 | 5,848 | 6,329 | 5,353 | 1,929 ${ }^{1}$ | 5,484 |  |
| United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,874 |  | 6,282 |
| Kingdom | - | - | $116^{3}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,420 | 67 |  |

USSR

|  | 92,204 | 84,881 | 93,460 | 92,156 | 99,290 | 90,337 | 96,889 | 95,778 | 86,256 | 87,994 | 107,823 | 85,080 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unreported <br> landings | -172 | 3,199 | 5,121 | 187 | 5,840 | 12,098 | 16,525 | 14,458 | 17,006 | 12,983 | -175 | 1,945 |
| Landings <br> used by WG | 92,032 | 88,080 | 98,581 | 92,343 | 105,130 | 102,435 | 113,414 | 110,236 | 103,322 | 100,263 | 107,314 | 87,449 |
| TAC | 170,000 | 120,000 | 125,000 | 110,000 | 93,000 | 97,000 | 107,000 | 111,000 | 115,000 | 97,000 | 110,000 | 85,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
${ }^{2}$ Includes IIa(EC), IIIa-d(EC) AND IV.
${ }^{3}$ Includes Estonia.
Table 6.1.2 Nominal catch (tonnes) of SAITHE in Subarea VI, 1989-2001, as officially reported to ICES.

| Country | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | $1999^{1}$ | 2000 | $2001{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 15 | - | 6 | 2 | 2 | + | - | - ${ }^{4}$ | + | - |  | + | - |
| Denmark | 2 | - | $+$ | 1 | 2 | + | + | 1 | + | - | - | - | - |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | 24 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |
| France | 17,106 | 12,961 ${ }^{2}$ | 12,423 | 6,534 | 10,216 | 8,423 | 6,145 | 4,781 | 4,662 | 3,635 ${ }^{1}$ | $3,467^{13}$ | 3,314 ${ }^{13}$ | 5,176 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 2 | 275 | , | 685 | 222 | 524 | 321 | 1,012 | 492 | 506 | 250 | 305 | 466 |
| Ireland | 1,116 | 520 | 590 | 278 | 317 | 438 | 530 | 419 | 411 | 216 | 320 | 449 | 422 |
| Norway | 593 | 64 | 260 | 67 | 59 | $74^{1}$ | 35 | 34 | $26^{1}$ | 41 | 126 | $58^{1}$ | 92 |
| Spain | 72 | 70 | 31 | - | - |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | 13 | 54 | 23 | 3 |  |
| Portugal | 65 |  | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | + | - | - | - |
| UK (Engl. \& Wales \&N. |  | 855 | 593 | 540 | 799 | 744 | 317 | 708 | 294 | 526 | 503 | 276 |  |
| Iril) ${ }^{3}$ | 462 | 3,258 |  | 2,708 | 2,903 | 2,828 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK (Scotland) | 2,971 |  | 3,885 |  |  |  | 3,279 | 2,435 | 2,659 | 2,402 | 2,084 | 2,463 |  |
| UK (total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,522 |
| Russia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 25 | - |
| Total reported to ICES | 22,402 | 18,003 | 17,861 | 10,816 | 14,520 | 13,031 | 10,627 | 9,393 | 8,559 | 7,380 | 6,778 | 6,423 | 8,678 |
| Unallocated | 3,175 | 1,862 | -866 | 988 | -577 | -210 | 1,143 | 40 | 859 | 1,054 | 564 | -533 | -306 |
| Total figures used by WG | 25,577 | 19,865 | 16,995 | 11,804 | 13,943 | 12,821 | 11,770 | 9,433 | 9,418 | 8,434 | 7,342 | 5,890 | 8,372 |
| TAC | 30,000 | 29,000 | 22,000 | 17,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 16,000 | 13,000 | 12,000 | 10,900 | 7,500 | 7,000 | 9,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
${ }^{2}$ Includes Division Vb (EC).
${ }^{3}$ Reported by TAC area, $\mathrm{Vb}(\mathrm{EC})$, VI, XII and XIV.
$n / a=$ not available.

Table 6.2.1 Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa. Natural mortality and proportion mature.

| Age | at Mo | Mat. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 \| | 0.200 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.200 | 0.000 |
| 3 | 0.200 | 0.000 |
| 4 | 0.200 | 0.150 |
| 5 | 0.200 | 0.700 |
| 6 | 0.200 | 0.900 |
| 7 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 8 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 9 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| $10+1$ | 0.200 | 1.000 |

Table 6.2.2.: Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa. Catch numbers at age
Numbers*10**-3

|  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 174 | 36 | 234 | 594 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 8879 | 3832 | 2099 | 2261 | 11156 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 17330 | 23223 | 30235 | 37249 | 69809 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 16220 | 21231 | 17681 | 76661 | 57792 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 15531 | 13184 | 11057 | 15000 | 32737 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2303 | 6023 | 7609 | 12128 | 4736 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1594 | 429 | 5738 | 3894 | 4248 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 292 | 242 | 791 | 1792 | 2843 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 198 | 123 | 626 | 318 | 1874 |  |  |  |  |  |
| +gp | 183 | 145 | 150 | 267 | 774 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALNUM | 62530 | 68606 | 76022 | 149803 | 186562 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TONSLAND | 94514 | 116789 | 131882 | 236636 | 272481 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 430 | 4708 | 4753 | 335 | 270 | 2172 | 1253 | 916 | 1321 | 5457 |
| 2 | 23833 | 37832 | 19206 | 74231 | 34111 | 14125 | 20551 | 17756 | 24100 | 20644 |
| 3 | 48075 | 54332 | 66938 | 56987 | 207823 | 27461 | 35059 | 16332 | 17494 | 26178 |
| 4 | 66095 | 37698 | 33740 | 25864 | 53060 | 54967 | 27269 | 14216 | 12341 | 8339 |
| 5 | 25317 | 26849 | 14123 | 10319 | 11696 | 14755 | 18062 | 11182 | 9015 | 6739 |
| 6 | 21207 | 16061 | 20688 | 7566 | 6253 | 5490 | 3312 | 8699 | 6718 | 3675 |
| 7 | 3672 | 8428 | 14666 | 13657 | 3976 | 3777 | 1138 | 2805 | 5658 | 3335 |
| 8 | 2944 | 2000 | 5199 | 9357 | 5362 | 3447 | 1033 | 733 | 1150 | 3396 |
| 9 | 1641 | 1357 | 1477 | 3501 | 3586 | 3812 | 768 | 540 | 509 | 657 |
| +gp | 1607 | 2381 | 1955 | 2687 | 3490 | 4701 | 3484 | 2089 | 2302 | 2536 |
| TOTALNUM | 194822 | 191646 | 182744 | 204505 | 329627 | 134708 | 111927 | 75268 | 80608 | 80956 |
| TONSLAND | 275098 | 259602 | 309439 | 308926 | 361680 | 223395 | 166199 | 135967 | 142395 | 146092 |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| YEAR | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1970 | 312 | 206 | 231 | 322 | 787 | 32 | 3664 | 355 | 492 |
| 2 | 29570 | 36824 | 37387 | 9415 | 7227 | 31017 | 8762 | 9871 | 5764 | 13091 |
| 3 | 31895 | 28242 | 80933 | 134024 | 55435 | 31220 | 32578 | 22128 | 40808 | 46117 |
| 4 | 40587 | 20604 | 32172 | 55605 | 91223 | 97470 | 26408 | 30752 | 19583 | 29871 |
| 5 | 9174 | 26013 | 12957 | 13281 | 15186 | 13990 | 35323 | 13187 | 11322 | 7467 |
| 6 | 5978 | 5678 | 13011 | 4765 | 5381 | 3158 | 3828 | 10951 | 4714 | 3583 |
| 7 | 2145 | 4893 | 1657 | 3005 | 2603 | 1811 | 1908 | 1557 | 2776 | 1716 |
| 8 | 1454 | 1494 | 1252 | 682 | 1456 | 1240 | 1104 | 739 | 745 | 953 |
| 9 | 982 | 1036 | 335 | 399 | 445 | 910 | 776 | 419 | 281 | 367 |
| +gp | 1254 | 1327 | 646 | 742 | 900 | 700 | 680 | 488 | 364 | 458 |
| TOTALNUM | 125010 | 126423 | 180556 | 222147 | 180178 | 182304 | 111398 | 93755 | 86710 | 104117 |
| TONSLAND | 189861 | 197774 | 219642 | 226129 | 202758 | 180776 | 140778 | 117609 | 107945 | 115576 |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| YEAR | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 319 | 160 | 106 | 157 | 354 | 27 | 218 | 64 | 145 | 4 |
| 2 | 6679 | 10118 | 8033 | 4338 | 8963 | 12396 | 3706 | 6634 | 2692 | 2024 |
| 3 | 18404 | 37823 | 19958 | 26664 | 11066 | 15036 | 10363 | 9429 | 7064 | 17263 |
| 4 | 33614 | 20828 | 40194 | 26034 | 38861 | 19299 | 31017 | 13872 | 17295 | 18316 |
| 5 | 12753 | 11845 | 13034 | 14797 | 11786 | 30177 | 16367 | 26684 | 8940 | 23208 |
| 6 | 3193 | 3125 | 4297 | 3774 | 7731 | 3676 | 16077 | 8389 | 12339 | 3639 |
| 7 | 1524 | 1568 | 947 | 3494 | 3163 | 2640 | 2231 | 10070 | 3159 | 3586 |
| 8 | 696 | 1511 | 346 | 674 | 808 | 1012 | 1206 | 2346 | 3226 | 1461 |
| 9 | 518 | 814 | 427 | 552 | 210 | 291 | 567 | 891 | 641 | 1201 |
| +gp | 422 | 1026 | 794 | 800 | 491 | 288 | 277 | 657 | 441 | 308 |
| TOTALNUM | 78121 | 88817 | 88135 | 81284 | 83432 | 84843 | 82028 | 79037 | 55943 | 71011 |
| TONSLAND | 104147 | 119073 | 115255 | 125183 | 119669 | 112740 | 108699 | 114655 | 93566 | 98045 |
| SOPCOF \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 106 | 103 |

Table 6.2.3.: Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa. Catch weights at age and Stock weights at age (kg)

| YEAR <br> AGE | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0.5006 | 0.451 | 0.434 | 0.495 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.697 | 0.77 | 0.6086 | 0.6955 | 0.6101 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.9305 | 1.2784 | 0.9663 | 0.9414 | 0.8399 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.362 | 1.6521 | 1.5568 | 1.4408 | 1.348 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2.1035 | 1.9886 | 2.2614 | 2.0587 | 2.1775 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3.1858 | 3.0093 | 2.7133 | 2.718 | 2.936 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3.7541 | 4.0404 | 3.5588 | 3.5995 | 3.7657 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 5.3162 | 4.4278 | 4.4063 | 4.4632 | 4.6339 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 5.8905 | 6.1355 | 5.2203 | 5.6871 | 5.1725 |  |  |  |  |  |
| +gp | 7.719 | 7.4055 | 6.7675 | 6.8452 | 6.163 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOPCOFAC | 0.9999 | 1.0001 | 1.0001 | 0.9998 | 1.0001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.3281 | 0.1637 | 0.275 | 0.216 | 0.4588 | 0.4257 | 0.3548 | 0.4348 | 0.2586 | 0.2774 |
| 2 | 0.5488 | 0.4317 | 0.5093 | 0.5021 | 0.5156 | 0.4301 | 0.5165 | 0.406 | 0.421 | 0.5958 |
| 3 | 0.8082 | 0.8212 | 0.8608 | 0.8928 | 0.7024 | 0.7598 | 0.8215 | 1.1072 | 0.9546 | 0.9608 |
| 4 | 1.1958 | 1.4061 | 1.5606 | 1.4977 | 1.3092 | 1.256 | 1.3267 | 1.6228 | 1.8212 | 1.8211 |
| 5 | 1.961 | 1.641 | 2.3834 | 2.4904 | 2.2604 | 1.9348 | 2.1545 | 2.2381 | 2.3911 | 2.7175 |
| 6 | 2.3687 | 2.5709 | 2.7527 | 3.3002 | 3.0706 | 3.1107 | 3.3401 | 3.095 | 3.03 | 3.5868 |
| 7 | 3.7941 | 3.3571 | 3.4286 | 3.7647 | 4.0347 | 4.1618 | 4.5221 | 4.0504 | 4.0895 | 4.536 |
| 8 | 4.2276 | 4.6844 | 4.4977 | 4.2957 | 4.3833 | 4.6045 | 4.9005 | 5.2742 | 5.1262 | 5.4776 |
| 9 | 4.6304 | 4.8138 | 5.7128 | 5.5396 | 5.1117 | 4.8589 | 5.4494 | 6.3077 | 5.9393 | 6.9804 |
| +gp | 6.3263 | 6.4449 | 7.857 | 7.562 | 7.147 | 6.5419 | 7.4 | 7.9551 | 8.1476 | 8.7237 |
| SOPCOFAC | 0.9999 | 1 | 1 | 0.9999 | 1.0002 | 1 | 1.0001 | 1.0001 | 1.0001 | 1 |


| YEAR | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.2525 | 0.4126 | 0.3886 | 0.1487 | 0.6295 | 0.3711 | 0.5165 | 0.4264 | 0.2717 | 0.4794 |
| 2 | 0.5077 | 0.478 | 0.5009 | 0.555 | 0.5479 | 0.4181 | 0.6379 | 0.7263 | 0.7025 | 0.5571 |
| 3 | 1.0857 | 1.0276 | 0.7948 | 0.6632 | 0.6943 | 0.6739 | 0.7787 | 0.8954 | 0.8441 | 0.7913 |
| 4 | 1.5746 | 1.7178 | 1.6139 | 1.2654 | 1.0353 | 0.8763 | 0.981 | 1.0362 | 1.1958 | 1.1579 |
| 5 | 2.5293 | 2.1493 | 2.2966 | 1.9505 | 1.7944 | 1.8236 | 1.3859 | 1.4196 | 1.5828 | 1.7523 |
| 6 | 3.2202 | 3.1377 | 2.6899 | 2.7715 | 2.4316 | 3.0747 | 2.7907 | 1.9984 | 2.2472 | 2.3646 |
| 7 | 4.2069 | 3.6906 | 3.8959 | 3.4067 | 3.5717 | 4.2098 | 4.0238 | 3.9139 | 3.2419 | 3.1653 |
| 8 | 5.1251 | 4.6317 | 4.6647 | 4.9499 | 4.2094 | 5.33 | 5.2544 | 5.0175 | 4.8583 | 4.2221 |
| 9 | 5.9049 | 5.5053 | 6.183 | 5.8649 | 5.6506 | 6.1284 | 6.3221 | 6.4298 | 6.3149 | 6.0661 |
| +gp | 8.8232 | 8.4529 | 8.4735 | 8.8543 | 8.2184 | 8.6026 | 8.6489 | 8.4308 | 8.4162 | 8.1914 |
| SOPCOFAC | 1.0001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.9999 | 1.0001 | 1 | 0.9999 | 0.9997 | 0.9998 |


| YEAR | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.6189 | 0.3585 | 0.2866 | 0.5024 | 0.2797 | 0.4324 | 0.6027 | 0.5195 | 0.5634 | 0.5212 |
| 2 | 0.6299 | 0.7437 | 0.6975 | 0.7593 | 0.5103 | 0.4357 | 0.6594 | 0.5887 | 0.8033 | 0.7503 |
| 3 | 0.9641 | 0.8994 | 0.9439 | 1.0022 | 0.9668 | 0.9047 | 0.8917 | 0.8808 | 1.0274 | 0.8071 |
| 4 | 1.1893 | 1.2603 | 1.1188 | 1.2937 | 1.1873 | 1.1448 | 0.966 | 1.0605 | 1.1266 | 1.0791 |
| 5 | 1.6066 | 1.7544 | 1.601 | 1.8159 | 1.8068 | 1.4522 | 1.3925 | 1.2112 | 1.5389 | 1.3139 |
| 6 | 2.2417 | 2.6363 | 2.4337 | 2.5619 | 2.3678 | 2.5867 | 1.744 | 1.7537 | 1.6843 | 2.0754 |
| 7 | 3.6677 | 3.1851 | 3.6175 | 3.5549 | 2.9518 | 3.5556 | 2.9486 | 2.3374 | 2.5936 | 2.5983 |
| 8 | 4.3296 | 3.9798 | 4.7869 | 4.767 | 4.7053 | 4.5251 | 3.8829 | 3.4934 | 3.0842 | 3.5513 |
| 9 | 5.4125 | 5.0802 | 6.5479 | 5.2674 | 6.0922 | 6.1575 | 4.9955 | 4.8438 | 4.7733 | 4.2291 |
| +gp | 7.0455 | 6.8909 | 8.3256 | 7.8907 | 8.3821 | 8.8663 | 7.2273 | 6.7452 | 7.4615 | 6.6073 |
| SOPCOFAC | 1 | 0.9999 | 1 | 1.0001 | 1.0002 | 0.9998 | 1 | 1.0016 | 1.063 | 1.0333 |

Table 6.3.1.: Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa - Combined tuning data

## 105

| FRATRB_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21758 | 3379.574 | 2471.553 | 1405.54 | 304.063 | 290.298 | 32.728 | 14.813 |
| 15248 | 1381.383 | 2538.766 | 731.379 | 372.239 | 130.79 | 67.67 | 11.93 |
| 7902 | 717.161 | 1480.817 | 498.716 | 73.572 | 24.402 | 7.133 | 5.741 |
| 13527 | 3917.8 | 2253.44 | 1162.23 | 103.625 | 8.299 | 8.648 | 6.183 |
| 14417 | 1770.754 | 3652.84 | 1381.104 | 434.086 | 38.895 | 5.317 | 2.71 |
| 14632 | 3151.807 | 1682.869 | 921.653 | 225.695 | 70.393 | 24.088 | 13.317 |
| 16241 | 895.031 | 4286.247 | 1053.226 | 535.95 | 107.63 | 24.634 | 15.158 |
| 12903 | 1087.28 | 1914.745 | 3175.192 | 190.091 | 83.908 | 16.535 | 13.738 |
| 13559 | 799.753 | 2538.413 | 1870.453 | 1480.902 | 52.256 | 23.023 | 10.381 |
| 14588 | 852.467 | 1233.817 | 2666.699 | 620.174 | 399.661 | 24.212 | 13.688 |
| 8695 | 889.314 | 1993.229 | 1038.898 | 1195.148 | 214.774 | 180.514 | 31.751 |
| 6366 | 724.1021 | 1339.454 | 2372.881 | 269.951 | 144.906 | 25.554 | 29.28 |
| FRATRF_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19797 | 3676 | 2595 | 1377 | 262 | 251 | 28 | 11 |
| 18369 | 1133 | 2487 | 686 | 325 | 105 | 55 | 9 |
| 1868 | 188 | 374 | 110 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 8059 | 1920 | 1142 | 413 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 8650 | 863 | 1664 | 560 | 165 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| 8844 | 1305 | 788 | 494 | 128 | 43 | 16 | 8 |
| 7824 | 379 | 1790 | 345 | 182 | 37 | 9 | 5 |
| 6767 | 635 | 1148 | 1644 | 68 | 29 | 7 | 4 |
| 10031 | 627 | 2113 | 1362 | 988 | 35 | 14 | 6 |
| 11667 | 642 | 890 | 1783 | 375 | 229 | 14 | 8 |
| 10924 | 935.498 | 2211.278 | 981.59 | 1093.593 | 162.955 | 134.764 | 22.682 |
| 13631 | 1131.183 | 2057.669 | 3504.428 | 385.984 | 210.888 | 36.455 | 41.742 |
| NORTRL_IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18317 | 186 | 1290 | 658 | 980 | 797 | 261 | 60 |
| 28229 | 88 | 844 | 1345 | 492 | 670 | 699 | 119 |
| 47412 | 6624 | 12016 | 2737 | 2112 | 341 | 234 | 19 |
| 43099 | 4401 | 4963 | 8176 | 1950 | 2367 | 481 | 357 |
| 47803 | 20576 | 7328 | 2207 | 3358 | 433 | 444 | 106 |
| 66607 | 27088 | 21401 | 5307 | 1569 | 637 | 56 | 46 |
| 57468 | 5297 | 29612 | 3589 | 818 | 393 | 122 | 25 |
| 30008 | 2645 | 18454 | 2217 | 290 | 235 | 201 | 198 |
| 18402 | 3132 | 2042 | 2214 | 141 | 157 | 74 | 134 |
| 17781 | 649 | 2126 | 835 | 694 | 309 | 154 | 65 |
| 10249 | 804 | 781 | 924 | 519 | 203 | 63 | 12 |
| 28768 | 14348 | 4968 | 1194 | 518 | 203 | 51 | 56 |
| 35621 | 3447 | 9532 | 4031 | 1087 | 465 | 165 | 109 |
| 24572 | 7635 | 4028 | 2878 | 1018 | 526 | 365 | 252 |
| 30628 | 3939 | 16098 | 4276 | 926 | 251 | 72 | 203 |
| 32489 | 4347 | 9366 | 5412 | 833 | 1644 | 273 | 203 |
| 40400 | 3790 | 14429 | 4414 | 2765 | 1144 | 189 | 16 |
| 36026 | 2894 | 5266 | 9837 | 1419 | 892 | 299 | 72 |
| 24510 | 1376 | 8279 | 5454 | 5662 | 977 | 489 | 243 |
| 20570 | 783 | 2527 | 6741 | 2333 | 3573 | 1162 | 342 |
| 15520 | 284 | 1628 | 2054 | 4261 | 1066 | 1203 | 221 |
| 20593 | 4554 | 4982 | 6332 | 922 | 1224 | 506 | 388 |

Table 6.3.1.: Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa - Continued.

| GER_OTB_I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21167 | 1158 | 2359 | 1350 | 589 | 152 | 30 | 16 |
| 19064 | 510 | 3167 | 1081 | 517 | 257 | 148 | 41 |
| 21707 | 816 | 2475 | 3636 | 292 | 163 | 70 | 24 |
| 20153 | 591 | 2744 | 1395 | 1776 | 238 | 100 | 39 |
| 18596 | 284 | 1065 | 2264 | 943 | 1015 | 77 | 36 |
| 12223 | 542 | 2185 | 823 | 1216 | 242 | 325 | 38 |
| 11008 | 892 | 1329 | 2317 | 372 | 532 | 249 | 155 |
| NORACU |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 56244 | 4756 | 1214 | 174 | 161 |  |  |
| 1 | 21480 | 29698 | 6125 | 4593 | 1821 |  |  |
| 1 | 22585 | 16188 | 24939 | 3002 | 2472 |  |  |
| 1 | 15180 | 48295 | 13540 | 11194 | 1173 |  |  |
| 1 | 16933 | 21109 | 27036 | 4399 | 3590 |  |  |
| 1 | 34551 | 82338 | 14213 | 13842 | 3018 |  |  |
| 1 | 72108 | 28764 | 17405 | 3870 | 1091 |  |  |

Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Tuning diagnostics.


Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting applied Power $=3$ over 20 years

Catchability analysis :
Catchability dependent on stock size for ages < 3
Regression type $=C$
Minimum of 5 points used for regression
Survivor estimates shrunk to the population mean for ages < 3

Catchability independent of age for ages $>=7$

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean $F$
of the final 5 years or the 3 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk $=1.000$

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet $=$. 300

Prior weighting not applied

Tuning converged after 35 iterations
1

Regression weights
, .751, .820, .877, .921, .954, .976, .990, .997, 1.000, 1.000


## Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Continued.

1
XSA population numbers (Thousands)


1992 , $1.68 \mathrm{E}+05,1.92 \mathrm{E}+05,9.26 \mathrm{E}+04,7.16 \mathrm{E}+04,2.32 \mathrm{E}+04,7.80 \mathrm{E}+03,4.70 \mathrm{E}+03,2.19 \mathrm{E}+03,1.14 \mathrm{E}+03$, $1993,3.43 \mathrm{E}+05,1.37 \mathrm{E}+05,1.51 \mathrm{E}+05,5.92 \mathrm{E}+04,2.82 \mathrm{E}+04,7.45 \mathrm{E}+03,3.49 \mathrm{E}+03,2.47 \mathrm{E}+03,1.17 \mathrm{E}+03$, $1994,1.71 \mathrm{E}+05,2.81 \mathrm{E}+05,1.03 \mathrm{E}+05,8.97 \mathrm{E}+04,2.96 \mathrm{E}+04,1.24 \mathrm{E}+04,3.27 \mathrm{E}+03,1.44 \mathrm{E}+03,6.56 \mathrm{E}+02$, $1995,2.75 \mathrm{E}+05,1.40 \mathrm{E}+05,2.23 \mathrm{E}+05,6.62 \mathrm{E}+04,3.71 \mathrm{E}+04,1.24 \mathrm{E}+04,6.25 \mathrm{E}+03,1.82 \mathrm{E}+03,8.67 \mathrm{E}+02$, $1996,1.34 \mathrm{E}+05,2.25 \mathrm{E}+05,1.10 \mathrm{E}+05,1.58 \mathrm{E}+05,3.06 \mathrm{E}+04,1.69 \mathrm{E}+04,6.77 \mathrm{E}+03,1.95 \mathrm{E}+03,8.82 \mathrm{E}+02$, $1997,2.29 \mathrm{E}+05,1.09 \mathrm{E}+05,1.76 \mathrm{E}+05,8.03 \mathrm{E}+04,9.43 \mathrm{E}+04,1.44 \mathrm{E}+04,6.88 \mathrm{E}+03,2.68 \mathrm{E}+03,8.67 \mathrm{E}+02$, $1998, \quad 1.87 \mathrm{E}+05,1.87 \mathrm{E}+05,7.82 \mathrm{E}+04,1.30 \mathrm{E}+05,4.83 \mathrm{E}+04,4.99 \mathrm{E}+04,8.48 \mathrm{E}+03,3.24 \mathrm{E}+03,1.28 \mathrm{E}+03$, $1999, \quad 3.51 \mathrm{E}+05,1.53 \mathrm{E}+05,1.50 \mathrm{E}+05,5.47 \mathrm{E}+04,7.88 \mathrm{E}+04,2.47 \mathrm{E}+04,2.63 \mathrm{E}+04,4.92 \mathrm{E}+03,1.56 \mathrm{E}+03$, 2000 , $1.72 \mathrm{E}+05,2.87 \mathrm{E}+05,1.19 \mathrm{E}+05,1.14 \mathrm{E}+05,3.22 \mathrm{E}+04,4.03 \mathrm{E}+04,1.27 \mathrm{E}+04,1.24 \mathrm{E}+04,1.91 \mathrm{E}+03$, 2001, $1.44 \mathrm{E}+05,1.41 \mathrm{E}+05,2.32 \mathrm{E}+05,9.11 \mathrm{E}+04,7.79 \mathrm{E}+04,1.83 \mathrm{E}+04,2.19 \mathrm{E}+04,7.52 \mathrm{E}+03,7.27 \mathrm{E}+03$,

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
$0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,1.18 \mathrm{E}+05,1.14 \mathrm{E}+05,1.75 \mathrm{E}+05,5.80 \mathrm{E}+04,4.28 \mathrm{E}+04,1.17 \mathrm{E}+04,1.47 \mathrm{E}+04,4.83 \mathrm{E}+03$,
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:

$$
2.03 \mathrm{E}+05,1.71 \mathrm{E}+05,1.34 \mathrm{E}+05,8.30 \mathrm{E}+04,3.93 \mathrm{E}+04,1.60 \mathrm{E}+04,7.36 \mathrm{E}+03,3.03 \mathrm{E}+03,1.26 \mathrm{E}+03,
$$

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :

1


Log catchability residuals.


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7, | 8, | -12. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -13.9101, | -12.7885, | -12.4365, | -12.9317, | -13.6076, | -13.6076, | -13.6076, |
| S.E (Log q) | .5184, | .3169, | .2656, | .3953, | .6580, | .7399, | .4581, |

## Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Continued

Regression statistics :
Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 3, | 1.59, | -.751, | 15.15, | .16, | 12, | .85, | -13.91, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4, | 1.68, | -1.612, | 13.79, | .39, | 12, | .49, | -12.79, |
| 5, | 1.01, | -.052, | 12.45, | .80, | 12, | .28, | -12.44, |
| 6, | .73, | 2.013, | 12.07, | .87, | 12, | .25, | -12.93, |
| 7, | .78, | .920, | 12.57, | .66, | 12, | .51, | -13.61, |
| 8, | .79, | .902, | 12.73, | .68, | 12, | .49, | -14.00, |
| 9, | 1.10, | -.412, | 14.33, | .65, | 12, | .53, | -13.66, |

1


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7, | 8, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -14.0317, | -12.8894, | -12.6535, | -13.2532, | -13.9474, | -13.9474, |
| S.E (Log q), | .5423, | .3215, | .2640, | .4877, | .7762, | .7448, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 3, | 2.88, | -1.341, | 18.19, | .06, | 12, | 1.50, | -14.03, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4, | 1.72, | -1.638, | 14.01, | .38, | 12, | .51, | -12.89, |
| 5, | .94, | .356, | 12.54, | .83, | 12, | .26, | -12.65, |
| 6, | .67, | 2.317, | 12.08, | .85, | 12, | .27, | -13.25, |
| 7, | .75, | .895, | 12.71, | .60, | 12, | .59, | -13.95, |
| 8, | .93, | .213, | 13.85, | .54, | 12, | .65, | -14.27, |
| 9, | 1.14, | -.491, | 15.01, | .59, | 12, | .60, | -14.04, |

Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Continued
Fleet : NORTRL_IV

| Age | 1980, | 1981 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 4, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 5 | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 6 | , 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 7 | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 8, | 99.99, | 99.99 |
| 9, | 99.99, | 99.99 |


| Age | , | 1982, | 1983, | 1984, | 1985, | 1986, | 1987, | 1988, | 1989, | 1990, | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | , | . 66, | . 23, | 1.24, | . 80 , | -.79, | . 17, | . 81, | -.34, | . 02 , | 1.73 |
| 4 | , | -. 14, | -. 28 , | -. 06 , | . 54, | . 81, | . 45, | -. 29 , | -.17, | -. 27 , | . 24 |
| 5 | , | -. 43, | -. 08 , | -. 87, | -. 22 , | -. 30, | -. 14, | -.47, | -.69, | . 08 , | -. 42 |
| 6 | , | -. 21 , | . 36, | . 06 , | -.61, | -. 87 , | -1.02, | -1.27, | -. 26 , | . 46 , | -. 52 |
| 7 | , | -1.02, | . 71, | -. 53, | -1.20, | -1.31, | -.80, | -. 52, | . 16 , | -.05, | -. 88 |
| 8 | , | -1.07, | . 39, | . 01 , | -2.16, | -2.00, | -. 59, | -.62, | . 38 , | -.11, | -1.62 |
| 9 | , | -3.19, | . 36, | -.09, | -1.75, | -2.03, | -. 10, | . 35 , | . 29 , | -. 79 , | -. 47 |
| Age | , | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001 |
| 3 | , | . 39 , | 1.10, | . 57, | -.21, | .13, | -. 51, | -.02, | -1.11, | -1.61, | . 22 |
| 4 | , | . 51, | . 10, | . 94 , | . 59, | -.18, | -. 40 , | -.05, | -.18, | -1.14, | -. 05 |
| 5 | , | . 45, | . 15 , | . 30 , | . 22 , | -.03, | -. 29, | . 19, | . 09 , | . 03 , | . 00 |
| 6 | , | . 26, | . 62 , | -. 26 , | -.47, | . 33, | -. 22 , | . 35 , | . 36, | . 73 , | -. 37 |
| 7 | , | -.33, | . 56, | -. 46 , | . 96 , | . 20, | -.03, | . 15 , | . 58 , | . 28 , | -. 47 |
| 8 | , | -. 61, | . 72, | -. 93, | . 21 , | -. 41, | -.18, | . 50 , | 1.22, | . 43 , | -. 26 |
| 9 | , | -. 25 , | 1.24, | 1.30, | . 94, | -2.22, | -. 51, | . 80 , | 1.24, | . 66 , | -. 51 |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age, | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7, | 8, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -13.9487, | -12.5735, | -12.1626, | -12.3353, | -12.1554, | -12.1554, |
| S.E (Log q), | .8582, | .5346, | .2900, | .5328, | .5556, | .7998, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 3, | 1.09, | -.114, | 14.14, | .14, | 20, | .98, | -13.95, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4, | 1.20, | -.376, | 12.82, | .27, | 20, | .67, | -12.57, |
| 5, | 1.06, | -.303, | 12.25, | .74, | 20, | .32, | -12.16, |
| 6, | .73, | 1.413, | 11.62, | .73, | 20, | .37, | -12.34, |
| 7, | .86, | . .644, | 11.69, | .67, | 20, | .49, | -12.16, |
| 8, | .70, | 1.157, | 10.99, | .60, | 20, | .55, | -12.25, |
| 9, | 1.01, | -.021, | 12.11, | .25, | 20, | 1.15, | -12.05, |


| Age | , | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -.01, | -.03, | -.17, | . 42 , | -. 92, | . 38, | . 32 |
| 4 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | . 39, | -. 20, | . 10, | -. 21 , | -. 20, | . 14 , | . 00 |
| 5 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -. 02 , | . 04 , | -. 05, | -. 25 , | -. 17, | . 08 , | . 35 |
| 6 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | . 22 , | . 02, | -. 69, | . 00 , | . 16, | . 32, | -. 04 |
| 7 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -.13, | . 32, | -. 36, | -. 21, | . 28, | -. 10, | . 19 |
| 8 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -. 71 , | . 96 , | -. 26 , | -.03, | -. 53, | . 22 , | . 52 |
| 9 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -. 31, | . 34 , | -. 24 , | . 02 , | -. 05 , | . 01 , | . 06 |

## Table 6.4.1

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7, | 8, | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -15.0475, | -13.3203, | -12.8912, | -12.9452, | -13.0181, | -13.0181, | -13.0181, |
| S.E (Log q), | .4703, | .2212, | .1976, | .3306, | .2639, | .5869, | .2107, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean $Q$

| 3, | 1.36, | -.498, | 16.17, | .29, | 7, | .68, | -15.05, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4, | 1.26, | -.842, | 13.82, | .68, | 7, | .29, | -13.32, |
| 5, | 1.00, | .015, | 12.89, | .85, | 7, | .22, | -12.89, |
| 6, | .81, | .898, | 12.38, | .82, | 7, | .27, | -12.95, |
| 7, | .80, | 1.436, | 12.29, | .92, | 7, | .20, | -13.02, |
| 8, | .87, | .391, | 12.40, | .67, | 7, | .55, | -12.99, |
| 9, | .94, | .495, | 12.72, | .94, | 7, | .21, | -13.04, |


| Age | , | 1992, | 1993, | 1994, | 1995, | 1996, | 1997, | 1998, | 1999, | 2000, | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | . 26 , | -.01, | -. 44 , | . 01 , | -.59, | . 35, | . 43 |
| 4 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -1.16, | -. 36, | -. 29 , | . 31, | . 37 , | . 90 , | . 12 |
| 5 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -1.79, | . 00 , | . 20 , | . 28 , | . 49, | . 67, | . 01 |
| 6 | , | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -2.45, | . 70 , | . 20 , | . 34, | . 13, | . 75 , | . 17 |
| 7 | ' | 99.99, | 99.99, | 99.99, | -1.33, | . 87 , | 1.05, | -. 04 , | . 08 , | . 49, | -1.15 |
| 8 | , | No data | for t | is flee | t at t | is age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | , | No data | for t | his flee | t at th | is age |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

| Age , | 3, | 4, | 5, | 6, | 7 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean Log q, | -1.4235, | -.9911, | -1.1386, | -1.4409, | -1.6010, |
| S.E (Log q), | .3948, | .6560, | .8037, | 1.0759, | .9242, |

Regression statistics :

Ages with $q$ independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 3, | .87, | .322, | 2.74, | .57, | 7, | .37, | -1.42, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4, | .73, | .492, | 3.86, | .40, | 7, | .51, | -.99, |
| 5, | .70, | .558, | 4.02, | .42, | 7, | .60, | -1.14, |
| 6, | .48, | 1.373, | 5.90, | .59, | 7, | .48, | -1.44, |
| 7, | 1.68, | -.589, | -3.60, | .14, | 7, | 1.64, | -1.60, |

Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Continued
Terminal year survivor and $F$ summaries :
Age 1 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=2000$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| 118183., | .31, | 11.73, | 2, | 37.876, | .000 |

1
Age 2 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
Year class $=1999$

| Fleet, | Estimated, Survivors, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int, } \\ & \text { s.e, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ext, } \\ & \text { s.e, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Var, } \\ \text { Ratio, } \end{gathered}$ |  | N, Scaled, <br> , Weights, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \mathrm{F} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRATRB_IV | 1., | . 000, | . 000, | . 00, | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| FRATRF_IV | 1., | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| NORTRL_IV | 1., | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| GER_OTB_IV | 1., | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| NORACU , | 1., | . 000 , | . 000 , | . 00 , | 0 , | . 000 , | . 000 |
| P shrinkage mean | 133967., | . 37, , , |  |  |  | . 880 , | . 014 |
| F shrinkage mean | 34118., | 1.00, , , |  |  |  | . 120, | . 052 |

Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| $113615 .$, | .35, | 11.65, | 2, | 33.562, | .016 |

Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=1998$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $174725 .$, | .23, | .20, | 6, | .863, | .086 |

## Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Continued

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=1997$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | S.e, | Ratio, |  |  |
| $57999 .$, | .14, | .09, | 11, | .688, | .251 |

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=1996$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $42793 .$, | .10, | .12, | 16, | 1.144, | .399 |

1
Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=1995$


Weighted prediction :
Survivors, Int, Ext, N, Var, F
$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { at end of year, s.e, } & \text { s.e, } & \text { Ratio, } & \\ 11665 ., & .10, & .05, & 21, & .537,\end{array}$

## Table 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Continued

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class $=1994$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | S.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $14656 .$, | .10, | .08, | 26, | .830, | .200 |

1
Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7

Year class = 1993


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | , | Ratio, |  |
| $4832 .$, | .10, | .06, | 30, | .639, | .242 |

Age 9 catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7

Year class $=1992$


Weighted prediction :

| Survivors, | Int, | Ext, | N, | Var, | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at end of year, | s.e, | s.e, | Ratio, | Ration, |  |
| $4866 .$, | .11, | .05, | 34, | .494, | .202 |

Table 6.4.2 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Fishing mortality (F)-at-age

| YEAR |  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0025 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 0.068 | 0.0115 | 0.0065 | 0.0062 | 0.0572 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.1628 | 0.2548 | 0.1178 | 0.1521 | 0.2682 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.2632 | 0.3074 | 0.3145 | 0.4897 | 0.3729 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.3782 | 0.3551 | 0.2599 | 0.4828 | 0.3998 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 0.4836 | 0.2455 | 0.3574 | 0.507 | 0.2735 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.4161 | 0.1524 | 0.3913 | 0.3127 | 0.332 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0.2603 | 0.1004 | 0.4639 | 0.2016 | 0.3966 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 0.3893 | 0.1668 | 0.407 | 0.3426 | 0.3361 |  |  |  |  |  |
| +gp |  | 0.3893 | 0.1668 | 0.407 | 0.3426 | 0.3361 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FBAR 3-6 |  | 0.322 | 0.2907 | 0.2624 | 0.4079 | 0.3286 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR |  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|  | 1 | 0.0017 | 0.0174 | 0.0078 | 0.0017 | 0.0019 | 0.0167 | 0.0112 | 0.0035 | 0.0076 | 0.0276 |
|  | 2 | 0.132 | 0.2072 | 0.0916 | 0.1612 | 0.2326 | 0.1297 | 0.2159 | 0.2165 | 0.1197 | 0.1578 |
|  | 3 | 0.3712 | 0.499 | 0.688 | 0.4271 | 0.9117 | 0.2977 | 0.5441 | 0.2664 | 0.3437 | 0.1848 |
|  | 4 | 0.4397 | 0.5629 | 0.6749 | 0.6294 | 0.931 | 0.6556 | 0.5457 | 0.4434 | 0.3309 | 0.2729 |
|  | 5 | 0.2768 | 0.3202 | 0.4243 | 0.4464 | 0.6619 | 0.7383 | 0.4648 | 0.4519 | 0.5659 | 0.3033 |
|  | 6 | 0.4925 | 0.2838 | 0.4389 | 0.4244 | 0.5386 | 0.7724 | 0.3559 | 0.4279 | 0.5433 | 0.4763 |
|  | 7 | 0.3538 | 0.3695 | 0.4557 | 0.5875 | 0.4146 | 0.7476 | 0.3493 | 0.5838 | 0.5521 | 0.5755 |
|  | 8 | 0.4054 | 0.3317 | 0.4107 | 0.5976 | 0.4834 | 0.785 | 0.4643 | 0.3991 | 0.5056 | 0.7767 |
|  | 9 | 0.4202 | 0.3303 | 0.4382 | 0.5409 | 0.4825 | 0.776 | 0.3925 | 0.4738 | 0.538 | 0.6149 |
| +gp |  | 0.4202 | 0.3303 | 0.4382 | 0.5409 | 0.4825 | 0.776 | 0.3925 | 0.4738 | 0.538 | 0.6149 |
| FBAR 3-6 |  | 0.395 | 0.4165 | 0.5565 | 0.4818 | 0.7608 | 0.616 | 0.4776 | 0.3974 | 0.4459 | 0.3093 |
| YEAR |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
|  | 1 | 0.0061 | 0.0007 | 0.0005 | 0.0015 | 0.0017 | 0.0068 | 0.0002 | 0.0187 | 0.0025 | 0.0023 |
|  | 2 | 0.2045 | 0.1505 | 0.1033 | 0.0293 | 0.057 | 0.2203 | 0.0975 | 0.0718 | 0.037 | 0.1204 |
|  | 3 | 0.3894 | 0.3075 | 0.5727 | 0.6468 | 0.2405 | 0.37 | 0.3801 | 0.3797 | 0.4712 | 0.4592 |
|  | 4 | 0.4852 | 0.471 | 0.6951 | 1.046 | 1.411 | 0.8769 | 0.621 | 0.7617 | 0.6916 | 0.7726 |
|  | 5 | 0.5474 | 0.6711 | 0.6201 | 0.7055 | 0.9568 | 0.8692 | 0.9707 | 0.7443 | 0.7206 | 0.6242 |
|  | 6 | 0.4842 | 0.8005 | 0.8776 | 0.4876 | 0.7076 | 0.5229 | 0.6214 | 0.97 | 0.6586 | 0.5244 |
|  | 7 | 0.5707 | 0.9749 | 0.5748 | 0.5047 | 0.5437 | 0.55 | 0.7073 | 0.5585 | 0.7074 | 0.5355 |
|  | 8 | 0.5351 | 1.0635 | 0.7252 | 0.4947 | 0.492 | 0.5452 | 0.7893 | 0.6666 | 0.5748 | 0.5649 |
|  | 9 | 0.536 | 0.9561 | 0.7334 | 0.5349 | 0.7154 | 0.6641 | 0.8081 | 0.8143 | 0.5802 | 0.6291 |
| +gp |  | 0.536 | 0.9561 | 0.7334 | 0.5349 | 0.7154 | 0.6641 | 0.8081 | 0.8143 | 0.5802 | 0.6291 |
| FBAR 3-6 |  | 0.4766 | 0.5625 | 0.6914 | 0.7215 | 0.829 | 0.6597 | 0.6483 | 0.7139 | 0.6355 | 0.5951 |
| YEAR |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|  | 1 | 0.0021 | 0.0005 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0029 | 0.0001 | 0.0013 | 0.0002 | 0.0009 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.0392 | 0.0852 | 0.0321 | 0.0349 | 0.0451 | 0.134 | 0.0221 | 0.0492 | 0.0104 | 0.016 |
|  | 3 | 0.248 | 0.3233 | 0.2412 | 0.142 | 0.1175 | 0.0992 | 0.1583 | 0.072 | 0.0678 | 0.0856 |
|  | 4 | 0.7315 | 0.4928 | 0.6839 | 0.5704 | 0.3168 | 0.3085 | 0.3048 | 0.3292 | 0.183 | 0.2514 |
|  | 5 | 0.9356 | 0.6238 | 0.667 | 0.5822 | 0.5537 | 0.4362 | 0.469 | 0.4691 | 0.3666 | 0.3993 |
|  | 6 | 0.6027 | 0.6228 | 0.484 | 0.4086 | 0.7015 | 0.331 | 0.4399 | 0.4694 | 0.4126 | 0.2486 |
|  | 7 | 0.4434 | 0.6852 | 0.3855 | 0.9631 | 0.7269 | 0.5518 | 0.3437 | 0.5493 | 0.3223 | 0.2 |
|  | 8 | 0.4318 | 1.1263 | 0.3081 | 0.526 | 0.6112 | 0.5409 | 0.529 | 0.7483 | 0.3376 | 0.2419 |
|  | 9 | 0.701 | 1.4782 | 1.2712 | 1.2141 | 0.3051 | 0.4639 | 0.6749 | 0.9922 | 0.4646 | 0.2016 |
| +gp |  | 0.701 | 1.4782 | 1.2712 | 1.2141 | 0.3051 | 0.4639 | 0.6749 | 0.9922 | 0.4646 | 0.2016 |
| FBAR 3-6 |  | 0.6295 | 0.5156 | 0.519 | 0.4258 | 0.4224 | 0.2937 | 0.343 | 0.3349 | 0.2575 | 0.2462 |


| YEAR | F3-6 $99{ }^{*} * *$ |  | F3-6 97-** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.0004 | 0.0005 |
|  | 2 | 0.0252 | 0.0463 |
|  | 3 | 0.0752 | 0.0966 |
|  | 4 | 0.2545 | 0.2754 |
|  | 5 | 0.4116 | 0.428 |
|  | 6 | 0.3769 | 0.3803 |
|  | 7 | 0.3572 | 0.3934 |
|  | 8 | 0.4426 | 0.4795 |
|  | 9 | 0.5528 | 0.5594 |

Table 6.4.3 Saithe in IV,V!, And IIIa. Stock number-at-age (start of year)

| YEAR |  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 453734 | 438367 | 492275 | 270952 | 260836 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 149189 | 371486 | 358747 | 403008 | 221625 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 127453 | 114111 | 300679 | 291818 | 327909 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 77469 | 88669 | 72413 | 218817 | 205217 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 54511 | 48749 | 53386 | 43289 | 109786 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 6638 | 30577 | 27983 | 33704 | 21870 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 5177 | 3351 | 19585 | 16025 | 16620 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 1407 | 2795 | 2356 | 10843 | 9597 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 680 | 888 | 2070 | 1213 | 7256 |  |  |  |  |  |
| +gp |  | 621 | 1041 | 490 | 1008 | 2974 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | 876878 | 1100035 | 1329984 | 1290677 | 1183690 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR |  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|  | 1 | 273408 | 301448 | 678283 | 222257 | 157105 | 145287 | 124514 | 289321 | 192366 | 221833 |
|  | 2 | 213017 | 223459 | 242545 | 551031 | 181665 | 128382 | 116985 | 100810 | 236047 | 156300 |
|  | 3 | 171357 | 152838 | 148721 | 181201 | 383979 | 117871 | 92329 | 77184 | 66470 | 171452 |
|  | 4 | 205304 | 96795 | 75972 | 61194 | 96791 | 126329 | 71656 | 43870 | 48415 | 38592 |
|  | 5 | 115724 | 108284 | 45139 | 31671 | 26699 | 31235 | 53693 | 33993 | 23055 | 28473 |
|  | 6 | 60263 | 71839 | 64361 | 24178 | 16594 | 11276 | 12222 | 27617 | 17713 | 10719 |
|  | 7 | 13620 | 30151 | 44284 | 33975 | 12949 | 7928 | 4264 | 7010 | 14740 | 8423 |
|  | 8 | 9764 | 7828 | 17059 | 22987 | 15459 | 7004 | 3073 | 2462 | 3201 | 6948 |
|  | 9 | 5285 | 5330 | 4600 | 9263 | 10354 | 7805 | 2615 | 1582 | 1352 | 1581 |
| +gp |  | 5132 | 9286 | 6036 | 7034 | 9979 | 9487 | 11764 | 6058 | 6050 | 6031 |
| TOTAL |  | 1072875 | 1007258 | 1327000 | 1144790 | 911572 | 592603 | 493116 | 589907 | 609409 | 650351 |
| YEAR |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
|  | 1 | 357945 | 514738 | 440479 | 176446 | 212090 | 128172 | 192415 | 218332 | 156209 | 235324 |
|  | 2 | 176684 | 291278 | 421150 | 360447 | 144253 | 173353 | 104226 | 157507 | 175440 | 127572 |
|  | 3 | 109288 | 117900 | 205158 | 310979 | 286590 | 111565 | 113864 | 77405 | 120025 | 138423 |
|  | 4 | 116687 | 60618 | 70974 | 94738 | 133338 | 184481 | 63093 | 63746 | 43352 | 61343 |
|  | 5 | 24051 | 58810 | 30987 | 28998 | 27251 | 26626 | 62845 | 27762 | 24366 | 17774 |
|  | 6 | 17213 | 11390 | 24612 | 13646 | 11725 | 8571 | 9140 | 19491 | 10798 | 9704 |
|  | 7 | 5450 | 8684 | 4188 | 8378 | 6861 | 4731 | 4160 | 4020 | 6049 | 4575 |
|  | 8 | 3879 | 2522 | 2682 | 1930 | 4141 | 3261 | 2235 | 1679 | 1883 | 2441 |
|  | 9 | 2616 | 1860 | 713 | 1063 | 963 | 2073 | 1548 | 831 | 706 | 868 |
| +gp |  | 3306 | 2342 | 1355 | 1958 | 1920 | 1573 | 1335 | 954 | 903 | 1071 |
| TOTAL |  | 817119 | 1070142 | 1202298 | 998583 | 829132 | 644406 | 554861 | 571727 | 539729 | 599095 |
| YEAR |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|  | 1 | 167520 | 343177 | 170611 | 274809 | 133791 | 228808 | 186804 | 350528 | 172384 | 144353 |
|  | 2 | 192221 | 136865 | 280825 | 139589 | 224852 | 109219 | 187307 | 152745 | 286930 | 141005 |
|  | 3 | 92601 | 151334 | 102900 | 222652 | 110360 | 175983 | 78205 | 150001 | 119054 | 232483 |
|  | 4 | 71602 | 59163 | 89678 | 66189 | 158165 | 80342 | 130478 | 54652 | 114279 | 91081 |
|  | 5 | 23195 | 28208 | 29593 | 37054 | 30634 | 94332 | 48316 | 78761 | 32193 | 77915 |
|  | 6 | 7796 | 7451 | 12377 | 12435 | 16948 | 14417 | 49928 | 24748 | 40340 | 18268 |
|  | 7 | 4703 | 3494 | 3272 | 6246 | 6766 | 6880 | 8477 | 26330 | 12672 | 21863 |
|  | 8 | 2193 | 2471 | 1442 | 1822 | 1952 | 2678 | 3244 | 4922 | 12446 | 7516 |
|  | 9 | 1136 | 1166 | 656 | 867 | 882 | 867 | 1277 | 1565 | 1907 | 7270 |
| +gp |  | 913 | 1432 | 1193 | 1231 | 2049 | 851 | 616 | 1134 | 1301 | 1856 |
| TOTAL |  | 563880 | 734760 | 692548 | 762893 | 686400 | 714377 | 694651 | 845387 | 793505 | 743611 |


| YEAR | 2002 GM $67-99$ GM 85-99 |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $\mathbf{0}^{*}$ | 247556 | 202684 |
|  | 2 | $\mathbf{1 1 8 1 8 3}^{*}$ | 197800 | 168013 |
|  | 3 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 6 1 5 *}^{*}$ | 146629 | 136508 |
|  | 4 | 174725 | 84734 | 82595 |
|  | 5 | 57999 | 39871 | 34665 |
|  | 6 | 42793 | 17539 | 13263 |
|  | 7 | 11665 | 8264 | 5973 |
|  | 8 | 14656 | 3730 | 2409 |
| +gp | 9 | 4832 | 1723 | 1045 |
| TOTAL |  | 6108 |  |  |
|  |  | 544576 |  |  |

*overwritten by GM (85-99) in the prediction.

Table 6.6.1.: Saithe in IV,VI, and IIIa. Summary (without SOP correction)
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (with F shrinkage)
RECRUITS TOTALBIO TOTSPBIO LANDINGS YIELD/SSB FBAR 3-6

## Age 1

| 1967 | 453734 | 703788 | 150835 | 94514 | 0.6266 | 0.322 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1968 | 438367 | 1025921 | 211718 | 116789 | 0.5516 | 0.2907 |
| 1969 | 492275 | 1134482 | 263951 | 131882 | 0.4996 | 0.2624 |
| 1970 | 270952 | 1288446 | 311994 | 236636 | 0.7585 | 0.4079 |
| 1971 | 260836 | 1282557 | 429542 | 272481 | 0.6344 | 0.3286 |
| 1972 | 273408 | 1110185 | 474049 | 275098 | 0.5803 | 0.395 |
| 1973 | 301448 | 993206 | 534414 | 259602 | 0.4858 | 0.4165 |
| 1974 | 678283 | 1143610 | 554800 | 309439 | 0.5577 | 0.5565 |
| 1975 | 222257 | 1067899 | 471925 | 308926 | 0.6546 | 0.4818 |
| 1976 | 157105 | 917714 | 351358 | 361680 | 1.0294 | 0.7608 |
| 1977 | 145287 | 626026 | 262900 | 223395 | 0.8497 | 0.616 |
| 1978 | 124514 | 567657 | 267625 | 166199 | 0.621 | 0.4776 |
| 1979 | 289321 | 584472 | 240414 | 135967 | 0.5656 | 0.3974 |
| 1980 | 192366 | 543542 | 234130 | 142395 | 0.6082 | 0.4459 |
| 1981 | 221833 | 645400 | 239221 | 146092 | 0.6107 | 0.3093 |
| 1982 | 357945 | 686173 | 207456 | 189861 | 0.9152 | 0.4766 |
| 1983 | 514738 | 812799 | 210029 | 197774 | 0.9417 | 0.5625 |
| 1984 | 440479 | 841801 | 171294 | 219642 | 1.2822 | 0.6914 |
| 1985 | 176446 | 708438 | 153277 | 226129 | 1.4753 | 0.7215 |
| 1986 | 212090 | 690155 | 143753 | 202758 | 1.4105 | 0.829 |
| 1987 | 128172 | 495338 | 145489 | 180776 | 1.2425 | 0.6597 |
| 1988 | 192415 | 478854 | 143025 | 140778 | 0.9843 | 0.6483 |
| 1989 | 218332 | 458778 | 110095 | 117609 | 1.0682 | 0.7139 |
| 1990 | 156209 | 422485 | 97429 | 107945 | 1.1079 | 0.6355 |
| 1991 | 235324 | 457363 | 91930 | 115576 | 1.2572 | 0.5951 |
| 1992 | 167520 | 493259 | 93912 | 104147 | 1.109 | 0.6295 |
| 1993 | 343177 | 541357 | 100257 | 119073 | 1.1877 | 0.5156 |
| 1994 | 170611 | 552697 | 108291 | 115255 | 1.0643 | 0.519 |
| 1995 | 274809 | 697125 | 133786 | 125183 | 0.9357 | 0.4258 |
| 1996 | 133791 | 593832 | 154737 | 119669 | 0.7734 | 0.4224 |
| 1997 | 228808 | 621461 | 192718 | 112740 | 0.585 | 0.2937 |
| 1998 | 186804 | 634655 | 192791 | 108699 | 0.5638 | 0.343 |
| 1999 | 350528 | 694870 | 208498 | 114655 | 0.5499 | 0.3349 |
| 2000 | $202684^{*}$ | 786220 | 205198 | 93566 | 0.456 | 0.2575 |
| 2001 | $202684^{*}$ | 733763 | 247035 | 98045 | 0.3969 | 0.2462 |
| 2002 | $202684^{*}$ | 839000 | 298000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arith |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 266483 | 743895 | 231711 | 171171 | 0.8269 |

## *GM 85-99

input data for catch forecast, linear sensitivity analysis and medium-term analysis

| Label | Value | CV | Label | Value | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number-at-age |  |  | Weight in the stock |  |  |
| N1 | 202683 | 0.30 | WS1 | 0.54 | 0.05 |
| N2 | 165794 | 0.30 | WS2 | 0.71 | 0.16 |
| N3 | 133586 | 0.30 | wS3 | 0.91 | 0.12 |
| N4 | 174724 | 0.20 | WS 4 | 1.09 | 0.03 |
| N5 | 57999 | 0.20 | WS5 | 1.36 | 0.12 |
| N6 | 42793 | 0.20 | WS6 | 1.84 | 0.11 |
| N7 | 11665 | 0.20 | WS 7 | 2.51 | 0.06 |
| N8 | 14656 | 0.20 | WS8 | 3.38 | 0.08 |
| N9 | 4832 | 0.20 | WS 9 | 4.62 | 0.07 |
| N10 | 6107 | 0.20 | WS10 | 6.94 | 0.07 |
| H.cons selectivity |  |  | Weight in the HC catch |  |  |
| sH1 | 0.00 | 1.37 | WH1 | 0.54 | 0.05 |
| sH2 | 0.02 | 0.66 | WH2 | 0.71 | 0.16 |
| sH3 | 0.07 | 0.24 | WH3 | 0.91 | 0.12 |
| sH4 | 0.22 | 0.19 | WH4 | 1.09 | 0.03 |
| sH5 | 0.36 | 0.08 | WH5 | 1.36 | 0.12 |
| sH6 | 0.33 | 0.23 | WH6 | 1.84 | 0.11 |
| sH7 | 0.32 | 0.34 | WH7 | 2.51 | 0.06 |
| sH8 | 0.39 | 0.43 | WH8 | 3.38 | 0.08 |
| sH9 | 0.49 | 0.58 | WH9 | 4.62 | 0.07 |
| sH10 | 0.49 | 0.58 | WH10 | 6.94 | 0.07 |
| Natural mortality |  |  | Proportion mature |  |  |
| M1 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M2 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT2 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M3 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT3 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| M4 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT4 | 0.15 | 0.10 |
| M5 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT5 | 0.70 | 0.10 |
| M6 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT6 | 0.90 | 0.10 |
| M7 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT 7 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| M8 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT8 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| M9 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT9 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| M10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT10 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Relative effort |  |  | Year effect for natural mortality |  |  |
| in HC fishery |  |  |  |  |  |
| HF02 | 1.17 | 0.17 | K02 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| HFO3 | 1.00 | 0.17 | K03 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| HFO4 | 1.00 | 0.17 | K04 | 1.00 | 0.10 |

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 2026840.30
R04 $202684 \quad 0.30$

Proportion of F before spawning $=.00$
Proportion of M before spawning $=.00$
Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors.
These are overwritten at Age 2 Age 3

Table 6.7.2 Saithe, IV, VI, and IIIa
Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis. TAC constraint of 149000 tonnes applied.


|  | Mean F Ages |  |  |  | - ${ }^{1}$ | . 1 | - 1 | I |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | H.cons 3 to 6 | 0.291 | 0.151 | 0.201 | 0.251 | 0.301 | 0.341 | 0.401 | 0.441 |
| \| |  | \| | \| | I | \| | \| | \| | \| |  |
| \| | Effort relative to 2001 | । | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | I |  |
| \| | H.cons | 1.17\| | 0.601 | 0.801 | 1.001 | 1.201 | 1.401 | 1.61 \| | 1.801 |
| \| | Biomass | । | \| | I | \| | \| | \| | 1 | । |
| \| | Total 1 January | 8391 | 816। | 8161 | 816। | 8161 | 8161 | 8161 | 8161 |
| I | SSB at spawning time | 2981 | 3251 | 3251 | 3251 | 3251 | 3251 | 3251 | 3251 |
| \| |  | \| | \| | , | \| | \| | , | \| | \| |
| I | Catch weight (,000t) | \| | , | I | \| | \| | \| | । | \| |
| \| | H.cons | 149\| | 841 | 1091 | 132\| | 153\| | 1731 | 193\| | 211\| |
| \| |  | 1 | I | I | \| | \| | \| | \| | \| |
| \| | Biomass in year.... 2004 | I | , | I | \| | । | \| | \| | । |
| \| | Total 1 January | I | 8731 | 8451 | 818। | 7921 | 7691 | 7461 | 7261 |
| \| | SSB at spawning time | 1 | 3861 | 361 \| | 338। | 3171 | 2971 | 2771 | 2611 |

Detailed forecast table
F multiplier 2002=1.17 F multiplier 2003=1.00

| Age | Stock numbers |  | Catch numbers |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2002 | 2003 |
| 1 | 202683 | 202684 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 165794 | 165943 | 3820 | 3824 |
| 3 | 133586 | 132787 | 9009 | 8955 |
| 4 | 174724 | 102386 | 36669 | 21488 |
| 5 | 57999 | 114344 | 18319 | 36115 |
| 6 | 42793 | 33030 | 12565 | 9699 |
| 7 | 11665 | 25138 | 3279 | 7066 |
| 8 | 14656 | 6970 | 4904 | 2332 |
| 9 | 4832 | 8124 | 1920 | 3229 |
| $10+$ | 6107 | 5503 | 2427 | 2187 |

Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in predictions, and the relative (\%) contributions to landings and SSB (by weight) of these year classes

| Year-class |  |  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock No. (thousands) |  |  | 174725 | 202684 | 202684 | 202684 | 202684 |
| of |  | 1 year-olds |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sourc |  |  | VPA | GM | GM | GM | GM |
| Status Quo F: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% in | 2002 | landings | 26.8 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | - |
| \% in | 2003 |  | 31.2 | 14.9 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| \% in | 2002 | SSB | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - |
| \% in | 2003 | SSB | 31.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% in | 2004 | SSB | 32.3 | 19.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Saithe IIIa, IV and Via : Year-class \% contribution to
a) 2003landings

Table 6.8.1

```
Data read from file c:\work\pred\recruit.in
Ricker curve
Moving average term NOT fitted
IFAIL on exit from EO4FDF =, 5
Residual sum of squares=, 6.3729
Number of observations=, 34
Number of parameters =, 2
Residual mean square =, . }199
Coefficient of determination =, -.0336
Adj. coeff. of determination =, -.0659
IFAIL from E04YCF=, 0
Parameter Correlation matrix
, 1.0000,
, .8780, 1.0000,
Parameter,s.d.
    2.4059, .3847,
        .0031, .0006,
```

Y/Class,SSB,Recruits,Fit. rct,residuals,residuals,wt
1967, 150.80, 438.00, 226.30, .6604, .6604, 1.0000
1968, 211.70, 492.00, 262.55, .6281, .6281, 1.0000
1969, 264.00, 271.00, 277.96, -.0254, -.0254, 1.0000
1970, 312.00, 261.00, 282.67, -.0798, -.0798, 1.0000
1971, $429.50, ~ 273.00, ~ 269.37$, . 0134, .0134, 1.0000
1972, $474.00, \quad 301.00, \quad 258.63, \quad .1517, \quad .1517, \quad 1.0000$
1973, $534.40, \quad 678.00, \quad 241.35,1.0329,1.0329,1.0000$
1974, 554.80 , 222.00, 235.06, $-.0572, \quad-.0572, \quad 1.0000$
1975, 471.90, 157.00, 259.18, -.5013, -.5013, 1.0000
1976, $351.40,145.00,281.43,-.6631, \quad-.6631,1.0000$
1977, 262.90, 125.00, 277.76, -.7984, -.7984, 1.0000
1978, 267.60, 289.00, 278.60, .0367, .0367, 1.0000
1979, 240.40, 192.00, 272.52, -.3502, -.3502, 1.0000
1980, 234.10, 222.00, 270.67, -.1982, -.1982, 1.0000
1981, 239.20, 358.00 , 272.18, .2741, .2741, 1.0000
1982, 207.50 , $515.00, \quad 260.74, \quad .6806, \quad .6806, \quad 1.0000$
1983, 210.00, $440.00, \quad 261.83, \quad .5191, \quad .5191, \quad 1.0000$
1984, 171.30, 176.00, 241.08, -.3147, -.3147, 1.0000
1985, 153.30, 212.00, 228.25, -.0739, -.0739, 1.0000
1986, 143.80, 128.00, 220.57, -.5442, -.5442, 1.0000
1987, 145.50, 192.00, 222.00, -. 1452, $-.1452, \quad 1.0000$
1988, 143.00, 218.00, 219.90, -.0087, -.0087, 1.0000
1989, 110.10, 156.00, 187.67, -. 1848, -.1848, 1.0000
1990, 97.40 , 235.00, $172.75, \quad .3077, \quad .3077,1.0000$
1991, 91.90 , 168.00, 165.83, .0130, .0130, 1.0000
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}1992, & 93.90, & 343.00, & 168.38, & .7115, & .7115, & 1.0000 \\ 1993, & 100.30, & 171.00, & 176.29, & -.0305, & -.0305, & 1.0000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrr}1993, & 100.30, & 171.00, & 176.29, & -.0305, & -.0305, \\ 1994, & 108.30, & 275.00, & 185.64, & .3929, & .3929,\end{array}$
1995, 133.80, 134.00, 211.76, -.4576, -.4576, 1.0000
1996, 154.70, 229.00, 229.33, -.0014, -.0014, 1.0000
1997, 192.70, 187.00, 253.63, -.3048, -.3048, 1.0000
$\begin{array}{rlllrr}1998, & 192.80, & 351.00, & 253.68, & .3247, & .3247, \\ 1999, & 208.50, & 172.00, & 261.18, & -.4177, & -.4177,\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1999, & 208.50, & 172.00, & 261.18, & -.4177, & -.4177, & 1.0000 \\ 2000, & 205.20, & 144.00, & 259.72, & -.5898, & -.5898, & 1.0000\end{array}$

Table 6.9.1 Saithe,IV, VI, and IIIa
input data for yield per recruit analysis

| Label | Value | CV | Label | Value | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number-at-age |  |  | Weight in the stock |  |  |
| N1 | 202683 | 0.30 | WS1 | 0.47 | 0.05 |
| N2 | 165794 | 0.30 | WS2 | 0.66 | 0.16 |
| N3 | 133586 | 0.30 | wS3 | 0.93 | 0.12 |
| N4 | 174724 | 0.20 | WS 4 | 1.14 | 0.03 |
| N5 | 57999 | 0.20 | WS5 | 1.55 | 0.12 |
| N6 | 42793 | 0.20 | WS 6 | 2.21 | 0.11 |
| N7 | 11665 | 0.20 | WS 7 | 3.10 | 0.06 |
| N8 | 14656 | 0.20 | WS8 | 4.11 | 0.08 |
| N9 | 4832 | 0.20 | WS9 | 5.34 | 0.07 |
| N10 | 6107 | 0.20 | WS10 | 7.54 | 0.07 |
| H.cons selectivity |  |  | Weight in the HC catch |  |  |
| sH1 | 0.00 | 1.37 | WH1 | 0.47 | 0.05 |
| sH2 | 0.02 | 0.66 | WH2 | 0.66 | 0.16 |
| sH3 | 0.07 | 0.24 | WH3 | 0.93 | 0.12 |
| sH4 | 0.22 | 0.19 | WH4 | 1.14 | 0.03 |
| sH5 | 0.36 | 0.08 | WH5 | 1.55 | 0.12 |
| sH6 | 0.33 | 0.23 | WH6 | 2.21 | 0.11 |
| sH7 | 0.32 | 0.34 | WH7 | 3.10 | 0.06 |
| sH8 | 0.39 | 0.43 | WH8 | 4.11 | 0.08 |
| sH9 | 0.49 | 0.58 | WH9 | 5.34 | 0.07 |
| sH10 | 0.49 | 0.58 | WH10 | 7.54 | 0.07 |
| Natural mortality |  |  | Proportion mature |  |  |
| M1 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M2 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT2 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M3 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT3 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| M4 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT4 | 0.15 | 0.10 |
| M5 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT5 | 0.70 | 0.10 |
| M6 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT6 | 0.90 | 0.10 |
| M7 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT7 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| M8 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT8 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| M9 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT9 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| M10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | MT10 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Relative effort |  |  | Year effect for natural mortality |  |  |
| in HC fishery |  |  |  |  |  |
| HFO2 | 1.17 | 0.17 | K02 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| HFO3 | 1.00 | 0.17 | K03 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| HFO4 | 1.00 | 0.17 | K04 | 1.00 | 0.10 |

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004

| R03 | 202684 | 0.30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R04 | 202684 | 0.30 |

Proportion of $F$ before spawning $=.00$
Proportion of $M$ before spawning $=.00$
Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors.
These are overwritten at Age 2 Age 3

Figure 6.2.1 Saithe in IIIa, IV and Via. Mean weights at age.



Figure 6.3.1.: Saithe in IV, VIa and III. Trends in Effort and CPUE in commercial fleets.



Figure 6.3.2.: Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa. Normalised trends in tuning fleets by age.


Figure 6.4.1 Saithe in IV, VI and IIla Results from single fleet tunings and combined fleet tunings


Figure 6.4.2 Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa. Residuals from single fleet tunings.









Figure 6.4.3. Saithe in IIla, IV and Via. Numbers and F per age. Final tuning.



Figure 6.4.4 Saithe in IV, VI and IIIa. Residuals from final tuning.











Figure 6.4.5. Saithe IV, VIa and IIIa - Contribution of Commercial fleets, survey and shrinkage to tuned XSA



Figure 6.4.6 Saithe in IIIa, IV and Via. Retrospective analysis.




Figure 6.6.1 Saithe in Subarea IV, Division IIIa (Skagerrak) \& Subarea VI





Figure 6.7.1.: Saithe, IV, VI and IIIa. Short term forecast


Data from file:C:\work\pred\SAI46.SEN on 16/06/2002 at 10:46:12

Figure 6.7.2.: Saithe, IV, VI and IIIa. Probability profiles for short term forecast.


Figure 6.7.3.: Saithe, IV, VI and IIIa. Sensitivity analysis of short term forecast.


Figure 6.8.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Medium-term predictions using a Ricker Stock-Recruitment model.


Figure 6.8.2 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Summary of medium-term analysis. Contours show the probability of SSB being below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ for any combination of year and fishing mortality.


Figure 6.9.1 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Stock-recruitment plot.


Figure 6.9.2 Saithe in IV, VI, and IIIa. Yield per recruit.

```
        IV VI and Illa Saithe: Yield per Recruit
```



Figure 6.9.3


Figure 6.10.1 Saithe in IV, IIIa, and VI. Quality control of assessments generated by successive Working Groups.




Sole is mainly taken by beam trawlers in a mixed fishery with plaice in the southern part of the North Sea. Fishing by different countries is described below:

Belgium: The Belgian fleet operates out of 4 main ports: Oostende, Zeebrugge, Nieuwport, and Blankenberge. Out of a total fleet of 126 vessels, 115 use beam trawl exclusively and fish for sole and plaice. The fishing grounds change throughout the year depending on catch rates, although the central and southern North Sea (IVb,c) are the preferred fishing area of the Belgian fleet.

Denmark: The main Danish fishery is a directed one for sole using fixed nets although there is also a little effort using beam trawling, and some by-catch in otter trawlers.

Germany: The German sole fishery can be divided into three segments: 7 large beam trawl vessels $>30 \mathrm{~m}, 20-30$ Eurocutters, and a varying number of small shrimp beam trawl vessels catching sole during Q2 \& Q3.

The Netherlands: A high proportion of the fishing effort in the North Sea is by Dutch beam trawlers. The introduction of the Plaice Box in 1989 resulted in a change in the distribution pattern of beam trawl vessels $>300 \mathrm{HP}$ with an increase in activity outside and to the north of the Box.

UK: The English fleet consists of a large number of small otter trawlers fishing in the southern North Sea for sole mainly in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarters of the year. Sole is also taken as by-catch in the English beam trawl fishery ( 9 vessels) which fishes mainly for plaice with 120 mm mesh. About $70 \%$ of the total UK catch are landed abroad by Dutch vessels fishing on the UK register.

### 7.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002

For both 2001 and 2002 ACFM commented that sole was being harvested outside safe biological limits and recommended that fishing mortality should be reduced below the proposed $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 0.4. In 2001, the advice was for a catch of less than 17700 t , although the TAC was set at 19000 t . For 2002, the advice was for a reduction in F to 0.37 ( $20 \%$ reduction), corresponding to a catch of 14800 t , but the TAC was subsequently set at 16000 t .

ACFM noted that the stock is decreasing as the strong 1996 year class is fished out, and the following year classes are only of average strength. It is expected to fall below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in 2003 even if F is reduced.

The advice in recent years has been based on the objective to maintain the $\operatorname{SSB}$ above a $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 35000 t for this stock and below a $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 0.4. The $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ for this stock is considered by ICES to be 25000 t , the lowest observed biomass, but $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ is undefined.

### 7.1.2 Management applicable to 2002

The TAC for 2002 was 16000 t , which is about $11 \%$ above the maximum value recommended by ACFM.

In the technical measures applicable to the sole fishery before 2000 an exemption was made to use 80 mm mesh codend when fishing south of $55^{\circ}$ North. From January 2000, the exemption area extends from $55^{\circ}$ North to $56^{\circ}$ North, East of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ latitude. Fishing with this mesh size is permitted within that area, providing that the landings are comprised of at least $70 \%$ of a mix of species as defined in the new technical measures of the EU.

Some additional protection is given to sole from the closure of the plaice box along the Dutch and Danish coast. In the years 1989 to 1993 the box was closed in the second and third quarters of the year to all vessels using towed gears and with engine power larger than 300 HP . Since the second quarter of 1994 the box has been closed during all quarters.

The closed area in spring 2001 under the North Sea cod emergency regulations resulted in a redistribution of fishing activity for flatfish. In particular beam trawlers were forced to fish further west towards the Dogger Bank.

The Working Group estimate of landings in 2001 (19 849 t ) was $4 \%$ higher than the agreed TAC. Unallocated landings, which represent the difference between the figures reported to ICES and those supplied by WG members, have decreased considerably since 1993 (apart from 2001) and are now mainly caused by the change in the use of raising factors for converting gutted to live weight in landings reported to ICES by the Netherlands. Estimates of sole discards (EC PROJECT 98/097) are available for 1999-2001 for some fleets and indicate that proportions discarded by number amount to $27 \%$ by beam trawlers (excluding Netherlands where data was not yet available) and $32 \%$ from otter trawlers.

For recent years, the officially reported landing by various countries as well as Working Group estimates of the total landings are given in Table 7.1.1. A longer time-series of landings is given in Table 7.6.1 and plotted in Figure 7.6.1.

### 7.2 Age Composition, Weight-at-Age, Maturity, and Natural Mortality

Age compositions, mean weight-, and mean length-at-age in the catch were available on a quarterly or annual basis and by sex separately from Belgium, France, Germany, the Netherlands, and UK (England and Wales). The samples are thought to be representative of around $90 \%$ of the total landings in 2001. However, no samples are collected from national vessels which land abroad and this constitutes an increasing proportion of the total landings by some countries. The age compositions were combined separately by sex on a quarterly basis and then raised to the annual international total.

Revisions since the 2001 WG meeting:

- The data for 2000 were revised after the 2001 WG meeting to take account of age-reading errors, and the revised age composition was used by ACFM in October 2001.
- The English age compositions from 1986 onwards have been fully revised to exclude landings by flag vessels fishing on the UK register. These landings constitute up to $70 \%$ of the total English landings but are not sampled because they are landed abroad. The age composition of the foreign landings is expected to be different to the English landings because the fishery is mainly by beam trawlers taking juvenile sole and fishing in the eastern North Sea, whereas the English fishery is mainly by otter trawlers using $100-\mathrm{mm}$ nets in the Thames estuary, IVc. The revised age compositions are given in Table 7.2.1 and distributions-at-age plotted since 1996 in Figure 7.2.1. The changes have had a minor impact on numbers and weights, mainly on older age groups.

Weights-at-age in the catch are measured weights from the various national market sampling programmes of the landings. Weights-at-age in the stock are those of the 2 nd quarter in the landings. Revised weights-at-age in the catch and stock are given in Tables 7.2.2 and 7.2.3 and the trend in catch weights-at-age shown in Figure 7.2.2. No clear trends are evident over the last 6 years, although ages $2,3,5$, and 6 all show a slight decline in 2000.

As in all previous assessments, a knife-edged maturity-ogive was used in all years, assuming full maturation at age 3 (Table 7.2.4). The maturity-ogive is based on market samples of females from observations in the sixties and seventies. Natural mortality in the period 1957-1999 has been assumed constant over all ages at 0.1 (Table 7.2.4), except for 1963 where a value of 0.9 was used to take into account the effect of the severe winter (ICES CM 1979/G:10). In 1996 additional natural mortality was observed in the cold winter of 1995/1996 (ICES 1997e/Assess:6), but in the absence of a precise estimate, the standard value of 0.1 has been retained.

### 7.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data

Catch and effort data, used for tuning the assessment are given in Table 7.3.1. Effort in the Netherlands commercial beam trawl is total HP effort days and this has nearly doubled between 1978 and 1994 and has been relatively stable over the period 1996-2000. The English effort was previously based on the UK commercial beam trawl fleet fishing south of $56^{\circ}$ North to exclude vessels targeting plaice. This was largely based on effort by foreign beamers fishing on the UK register (flag vessels). A new tuning fleet was derived for otter trawlers which land most of the English catch of sole from IVc. Effort is in HP*hrs and excludes trips directed at cod or shrimps. Belgian effort data (Table 7.3.2) is from the beam trawl fleet and is in HP corrected hours. The effort of this fleet tends to be variable as it switches effort between Area VII and the North Sea. No age composition was available for this fleet and so it was not possible to include it in tuning.

The other 2 tuning fleets are Dutch research vessel surveys. The SNS (Sole Net Survey) is a coastal survey with a 6-m beam trawl carried out in October. The BTS (Beam Trawl Survey) is carried out in the southern and southeastern North Sea in August and September using an 8-m beam trawl.

- The BTS survey indices was revised in 1998 (ICES, 2002).

Available trends in commercial effort and cpue are listed in Table 7.3.2 and shown in Figure 7.3.1. The Dutch beam trawl cpue show a continuous decline since 1990 reaching a minimum in 1997. The good 1996 year class has resulted in an increase in cpue since 1998. The UK otter trawl cpue series also shows a historical low value for 1997 and 1998, but has increased as the 1996 year class has recruited to the UK fishery, one year later than for the Netherlands fleet. The Belgian data indicates a small increase in 2001.

Indices of survey abundance-at-age are shown in Figure 7.3.2. In general there is good consistency between the two surveys at ages up to 4, but greater variability on the older ages which are less well sampled in the surveys.

### 7.4 Catch-at-Age Analysis

General approaches and methods are described in Section 1.4.

### 7.4.1 Data exploration

The effect of the minor changes to the database by removing catches from English flag vessels was investigated by comparing this year's with last year's assessment, using the same settings as previously. The results are shown in Figure 7.4.1. There are very minor differences resulting from the data revisions but a substantial difference caused by the addition of the 2001 data.

A preliminary inspection of the quality of international catch-at-age data was carried out using separable VPA, with a reference age of 4 , terminal $F=0.5$, and terminal $S=0.8$. Except for ages $1 / 2$, log-catch ratios did not show any large residuals or trends (Table 7.4.1). As in previous assessments, the age range for the analyses was kept as 1-15+.

Exploratory runs have previously been carried out to look at fleet catchability trends, the influence of different fleets, ages, and year ranges. In general, no improvements were found in alternative tuning configurations compared to the one used in 2000. This year trial runs were made to look at the sensitivity of the analysis to different fleets used in tuning.

Single-fleet catchability: The fleet data were examined for trends in catchability by carrying out XSA for single fleets over the year range available for each fleet, (settings as last year's final run except for a weak shrinkage of 1.5). Trends in catchability (Figure 7.4.2a) were apparent in the Netherlands BT fleet before 1989, particularly at ages 2-7. This may be due to the change in fishing pattern following the introduction of the plaice box after 1989. The years before 1990 were therefore excluded from subsequent tuning runs. The English otter trawl fleet showed a negative trend from 1990 to 1993 and a positive trend from 1993 for the younger ages. The survey fleets showed no clear trends. In order to reduce the trends in the commercial beam trawl fleet, the tuning was run from 1990 without a taper on all fleets. Analyses in previous years have shown that the alternative downweighting of early years using a tricubic time taper gave very similar results to the use of a shortened year range with no taper.

Combined fleet catchability: When combined with other fleets, the pattern of log-catchability residuals for the Netherlands and surveys were not markedly different from single-fleet runs (Figure 7.4.2b). However, in the English otter trawl fleet log-catchability residuals increased considerably resulting in high SEs $(>0.5)$ at ages above 5 . The cpue trend from this fleet matches the Netherlands BT series and it is likely that the poor performance reflects the fact that it is sampling a different area and age range compared to the Netherlands fleet. Despite the relatively poor performance of the UK fleet, it was decided to retain it as it provides additional information on the stock. Plots of log cpue against log VPA population numbers were made for the most important age groups for separate fleets from the combined XSA run (Figure 7.4.3). The plots show reasonable relationships, but there is a wider scatter of the points in the English otter trawl cpue.

The sensitivity of the assessment to the tuning fleets was examined by carrying out trial runs with different combinations of vessels. The results are shown in Figures 7.4.4 and 7.4.5. The two commercial fleets run together resulted in lower fishing mortalities and correspondingly higher SSBs than the separate survey fleets or the two surveys taken together. A run with the addition of an increased age range in the BTS survey, slightly reduced F, and increased SSB was compared to the run with a shortened age range. The tuning diagnostics were similar for the two runs and it was decided to use the increased age range in the future.

Repeating last year's final assessment, without the additional year in the database but including the changes to the English age composition and tuning fleet, gave almost identical results compared to those of last year's Working Group.

The final XSA run was accepted as the same as last year. The only revisions were the change in the English commercial fleet from beam to inshore otter trawl and the extension of the age range in the Netherlands BTS. The inputs are shown below in comparison with last year.


Full tuning diagnostics for the final XSA are given in Table 7.4.2. The weighting given to fleets and to shrinkage is shown in Figure 7.4.6 and compared with previous year's assessments. There is considerable consistency across years. For age 1 (1999 year class), the two surveys are given $80 \%$ of the weight ( F -shrinkage and P-shrinkage taking only $15 \%$ and $5 \%$ ). For age 2, the surveys also contribute $74 \%$ to the weight, $11 \%$ coming from shrinkage and the remaining $15 \%$ from the two commercial fleets. From age group 3 onwards the commercial fleets start to contribute more, with the most weight given to the Netherlands commercial fleet. Although estimates of survivors from most of the tuning fleets appear to be quite consistent for all ages, the English otter trawl fleet tends to give slightly different estimates for most ages.

Retrospective analyses were run with a 10 year window to investigate the consistency in estimating $\mathrm{F}(2-8)$, SSB , and recruitment at age 1. The time-series of the tuning limit the retrospective analysis to only three runs (Figure 7.4.7). The results suggest that F has been underestimated in previous years, particularly in 2000, and SSB slightly overestimated.

The fishing mortality and stock numbers estimated by the final XSA are given in Tables 7.4.3 and 7.4.4 and plotted in Figure 7.6.1. The main impact of the new assessment is to revise upwards the exploitation pattern for the year 2000 from a mean F2-8 of 0.46 to 0.60 . In particular, the F on age 4, the strong 1996 year class, has been estimated significantly higher in 2000 compared with last year ( 0.71 compared with 0.46 ). The revised fishing mortality resulting from the addition of the 2001 data is unexpected for this stock which usually shows considerable stabilility from year to year. The reason for the change is unclear.

Average recruitment in the period 1957-1999 was 134 million (arithmetic mean) or 99 million (geometric mean) 1-yearold fish.

Recruitment indices were available from pre-recruit surveys carried out in 2001 and previous years, but not from the 2002 surveys which will not be completed until later in the year. As a result, no recruit estimates were made at the WG. A WG sub-group will meet in September to review the available indices and calculate recruitment estimates. The input files (s4rct01.txt, s4rct02.txt, and s4rct03.txt for VPA recruits at age 1, 2, and 3) are available in the directory: acfm\ wgnssk\2002\stock\sol-nsea\final run\recruitment.

The surveys and indices available are listed in the RCT3 input (Table 7.5.1). The DFS index is an area-weighted survey index combining the inshore surveys of Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, and UK. Revisions to the UK survey resulting from changes in the survey area have resulted in a revised DFS series from 1981 to 2000 (from 19810 gp and 1980 1 gp ). Since the UK survey has not been revised prior to 1981, the earlier DFS index should be omitted or downweighted from any analysis. The 0gp and 1gp indices for 1998 and 1999 were not available because bad weather had prevented the completion of the surveys in these years.

Year-class strength estimates available to the WG are shown below:

| Year <br> class | Age <br> in <br> 201 | XSA | RCT3 | GM <br> $(57-99)$ <br> Thousands |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Thousands | Thousands |

### 7.6 Historical Stock Trends

Historical trends in landings, recruitment, fishing mortality, and SSB are given in Table 7.6.1 and plotted in Figure 7.6.1.

Fishing mortality $\mathrm{F}(2-8)$ has more than trebled in the period 1957-1984, mainly because of the developing beam trawl fishery. It has exceeded the $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 0.4 in most years since 1970. F reached a peak in 1996, possibly as a result of the underestimate in M resulting from the cold winter of 1966. It has decreased since then, but remains at a relatively high level compared with the historical pattern.

Recruitment varies by a factor of 50 between the smallest and largest year classes although more generally, inter-annual variation is relatively low. Most of the strong year classes seem to have developed following cold winters $(1958,1963$, and 1996) and year classes recruited in recent years seem to be poor or near GM average.

A drastic decline in SSB in 1964 was caused by a high natural mortality in the strong winter of 1963-1964 when water temperatures were very low. After a 20-year period where SSB has varied between 22000 t and 50000 t , it increased sharply in 1990 and remained at a high level until 1994. Since 1994 it has declined from 74000 t to a historically low level of 21000 t in 1998 because of below-average recruitment, high fishing mortality, and also an estimated additional natural mortality in the 1995/1996 winter. The SSB showed a temporary increase following recruitment of the strong 1996 year class but has declined to below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 35000 t as this year class has been fished down.

### 7.7 Short-Term Prognosis

The short-term forecast will be carried out later in the year by the WG sub-group once full survey indices for 2001 become available. The input files for the assessment are available at ICES (acfm\wgnssk\2002\stock\sol-nsea\final runs $\backslash$ short term $\backslash$ [solivsum;solivsen;solivvcf].

## $7.8 \quad$ Medium-Term Prognosis

Medium-term forecasts will be made following the estimation of new recruitment indices by the WG.

Input data to the yield-per-recruit analysis are given in Table 7.9.1. Catch and stock weights were the long-term averages (1962-2001). The yield-per-recruit and stock and recruitment curves are given in Figures 7.9.1 and 7.9.2. $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ ( 0.52 ) is estimated to be $35 \%$ above $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$, which is close to last year's estimate. The calculated biological reference points together with the management reference points for this stock are shown below:

| $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}$ |  | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\text {pa }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.81 |  | not defined | 0.40 | $25,000 \mathrm{t}$ | $35,000 \mathrm{t}$ |

Figure 7.9.3 shows the relationship between SSBs and F values, plotted into zones according to the proposed precautionary reference points. The figure shows that F has been above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ during much of the period, but the SSB in 2001 is estimated to be below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

### 7.10 Quality of the assessment

Comparisons with previous WGs are shown in Figure 7.10.1. The main change in the assessment compared with last year is the apparent increase in F by about $23 \%$ in 2000 and $12 \%$ in the terminal year. The corresponding reduction in SSB for 2001 is $-17 \%$. The changes are particularly noticeable in view of the usual stability of the sole assessment from year to year. The changes are not driven by the minor revisions in the database or replacement of the English beam trawl tuning fleet with a more appropriate otter fleet. These changes were investigated and had a minor influence compared with the addition of the 2001 data. One possibility is an underestimate of natural mortality on ages exposed to the cold winter of 1996. Although it has not been possible to quantify the additional mortality, it was evident from surveys that there was a larger-than-expected decrease in numbers of young age classes on the continental coast.

The input data for sole indicates that:

- Quarterly catch-at-age data are available for around $90 \%$ of the landings
- Discarding is thought to be low (below $30 \%$ )
- commercial tuning fleets are well-sampled
- survey fleets cover main parts of the fishery
- historical data series are good

Other changes noted this year are:

- No recruitment estimates have been made pending the completion of Q3 surveys
- No short-term forecasts have been made

There is a shortage of representative data on effort and cpue of fisheries that exploit sole. The two commercial fleets, for which measured data have been used, are mixed fisheries for sole and plaice. The variable catch opportunities of the two species between years and the improved enforcement of management measures in recent years, affect the cpue's in this fishery and may bias the assessment.

### 7.11 Management Considerations

Sole is mainly caught in a mixed beam trawl fishery with plaice, using $80-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh in the southern North Sea. Simulations on the technical interactions between fleets (Section 1.4.6) indicate that at the low stock levels of both plaice and sole, management of sole at $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.4)$ could result in plaice stocks remaining below $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in the short term, irrespective of the reduction in effort in other flatfish fleets such as the Danish gillnetters. It would be necessary to reduce fishing mortality on sole to below 0.3 to allow plaice stocks to rebuild above the $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ of 300000 t . Although very preliminary, the simulations provide some indication of the interdependence of the two stocks.

A knife-edged maturity ogive is used for sole, implying maturity-at-age 3 . There is evidence from previous working documents that this may substantially overestimate the proportion of mature sole in some years. Consideration should be given to the use of maturity ogives based on annually available biological data such as length or weight.

The cod closure in the North Sea in 2001 affected the distribution and pattern of fishing activity of beam trawlers in the first quarter of the year. Effort was displaced westwards from around the plaice box towards the Dogger Bank and central North Sea. The impact of this change in fishing pattern has not been evaluated.

The sole stock is heavily dependent on recruiting year classes, and management measures which produced a reduction in the mortality on juvenile sole would benefit the stock in the long term. The continued use of $80-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh together with the MLS of 24 cm results in a high proportion of sole being landed which are immature. The maintenance of the plaice box is a measure which probably benefits sole by protecting juveniles in the main continental nursery areas.

Table 7.1.1 North Sea sole, official landings as reported to ICES, 1982-2001

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | France | Germany Netherlands UK (Engl. |  |  | Other countries | Total Unallocated |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WG } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | TAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fed. Rep. |  | Wales) |  | reported | landings |  |  |
| 1982 | 1,927 | 522 | 686 | 290 | 17,749 | 403 |  | 21,577 | 2 | 21,579 | 20,000 |
| 1983 | 1,740 | 730 | 332 | 619 | 16,101 | 435 |  | 19,957 | 4,970 | 24,927 | 20,000 |
| 1984 | 1,771 | 818 | 400 | 1,034 | 14,330 | 586 | 1 | 18,940 | 7,899 | 26,839 | 20,000 |
| 1985 | 2,390 | 692 | 875 | 303 | 14,897 | 774 | 3 | 19,934 | 4,314 | 24,248 | 22,000 |
| 1986 | 1,833 | 443 | 296 | 155 | 9,558 | 647 | 2 | 12,934 | 5,266 | 18,200 | 20,000 |
| 1987 | 1,644 | 342 | 318 | 210 | 10,635 | 676 | 4 | 13,829 | 3,539 | 17,368 | 14,000 |
| 1988 | 1,199 | 616 | 487 | 452 | 9,841 | 740 | 28 | 13,363 | 8,227 | 21,590 | 14,000 |
| 1989 | 1,596 | 1,020 | 312 | 864 | 9,620 | 1,033 | 50 | 14,495 | 7,311 | 21,806 | 14,000 |
| 1990 | 2,389 | 1,428 | 352 | 2,296 | 18,202 | 1,614 | 263 | 26,544 | 8,576 | 35,120 | 25,000 |
| 1991 | 2,977 | 1,307 | 465 | 2,107 | 18,758 | 1,723 | 271 | 27,608 | 5,905 | 33,513 | 27,000 |
| 1992 | 2,058 | 1,359 | 548 | 1,880 | 18,601 | 1,281 | 277 | 26,004 | 3,337 | 29,341 | 25,000 |
| 1993 | 2,783 | 1,661 | 490 | 1,379 | 22,015 | 1,149 | 298 | 29,775 | 1,716 | 31,491 | 32,000 |
| 1994 | 2,935 | 1,804 | 499 | 1,744 | 22,874 | 1,137 | 298 | 31,291 | 1,711 | 33,002 | 32,000 |
| 1995 | 2,624 | 1,673 | 640 | 1,564 | 20,927 | 1,040 | 312 | 28,780 | 1,687 | 30,467 | 28,000 |
| 1996 | 2,555 | 1,018 | 535 | 670 | 15,344 | 848 | 229 | 20,351 | 2,300 | 22,651 | 23,000 |
| 1997 | 1,519 | 689 | 99 | 510 | 10,241 | 479 | 204 | 13,741 | 1,160 | 14,901 | 18,000 |
| 1998 | 1,844 | 520 | 510 | 782 | 15,198 | 549 | 338 | 19,739 | 1,129 | 20,868 | 19,100 |
| 1999 | 1,919 | 828 | 357 | 1,458 | 16,283 | 645 | 501 | 21,991 | 1,484 | 23,475 | 22,000 |
| 2000 | 1,806 | 1,069 | 362 | 1,280 | 15,273 | 600 | 346 | 20,736 | 1,796 | 22,532 | 22,000 |
| 2001 | 1,874 | 773 | 370 | 958 | 11,547 | 596 | 310 | 16,428 | 3,421 | 19,849 | 19,000 |

Table 7.2.1 North Sea sole, catch numbers-at-age


Table 7.2.1 (Cont'd)

| YEAR | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 358 | 703 | 101 | 264 | 1041 | 1747 | 27 | 9 | 637 | 423 |
| 2 | 7594 | 12228 | 15380 | 22954 | 3542 | 22328 | 25031 | 8179 | 1209 | 29217 |
| 3 | 36759 | 12783 | 21540 | 28535 | 27966 | 12073 | 29292 | 41170 | 12511 | 3259 |
| 4 | 7075 | 16187 | 5487 | 11717 | 14013 | 15306 | 6129 | 16060 | 17781 | 6866 |
| 5 | 4965 | 4025 | 7061 | 2088 | 4819 | 7440 | 6639 | 2996 | 7297 | 8223 |
| 6 | 1565 | 2324 | 1922 | 3830 | 966 | 1779 | 4250 | 3222 | 1450 | 3661 |
| 7 | 523 | 994 | 1585 | 790 | 1909 | 319 | 1738 | 1747 | 2197 | 948 |
| 8 | 1232 | 765 | 658 | 907 | 550 | 1112 | 611 | 816 | 1409 | 886 |
| 9 | 4706 | 1218 | 401 | 508 | 425 | 256 | 646 | 241 | 367 | 766 |
| 10 | 120 | 3337 | 609 | 234 | 204 | 211 | 191 | 393 | 54 | 197 |
| 11 | 100 | 221 | 2363 | 252 | 195 | 93 | 235 | 154 | 415 | 107 |
| 12 | 492 | 297 | 104 | 1905 | 132 | 122 | 123 | 117 | 52 | 160 |
| 13 | 119 | 499 | 32 | 25 | 1320 | 108 | 106 | 103 | 52 | 92 |
| 14 | 922 | 110 | 305 | 84 | 39 | 852 | 68 | 73 | 32 | 21 |
| +gp | 1048 | 1326 | 1401 | 945 | 773 | 729 | 879 | 687 | 598 | 331 |
| TOTALNUM | 67578 | 57017 | 58949 | 75038 | 57894 | 64475 | 75965 | 75967 | 46061 | 55157 |
| TONSLAND | 21086 | 19309 | 17989 | 20773 | 17326 | 18003 | 20280 | 22598 | 15807 | 15403 |
| SOPCOF \% | 99 | 102 | 99 | 101 | 102 | 102 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 |
| YEAR | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2660 | 389 | 191 | 165 | 374 | 94 | 10 | 117 | 863 | 120 |
| 2 | 26435 | 34408 | 30734 | 16618 | 9363 | 29053 | 13219 | 46387 | 11939 | 13163 |
| 3 | 45746 | 41386 | 43931 | 43213 | 18497 | 22046 | 47182 | 18263 | 104454 | 25420 |
| 4 | 1843 | 21189 | 22554 | 20286 | 17702 | 8899 | 15232 | 22654 | 9767 | 77913 |
| 5 | 3535 | 624 | 8791 | 9403 | 7747 | 6512 | 4381 | 4624 | 9194 | 6724 |
| 6 | 4789 | 1378 | 741 | 3556 | 5515 | 3119 | 3882 | 1653 | 3349 | 3675 |
| 7 | 1678 | 1950 | 854 | 209 | 2270 | 1567 | 1551 | 1437 | 1043 | 1736 |
| 8 | 615 | 978 | 1043 | 379 | 110 | 903 | 891 | 647 | 1198 | 719 |
| 9 | 605 | 386 | 524 | 637 | 283 | 81 | 524 | 458 | 554 | 730 |
| 10 | 527 | 301 | 242 | 200 | 620 | 103 | 38 | 227 | 225 | 304 |
| 11 | 149 | 423 | 209 | 192 | 355 | 165 | 34 | 45 | 291 | 281 |
| 12 | 74 | 31 | 146 | 189 | 172 | 144 | 85 | 35 | 58 | 340 |
| 13 | 201 | 14 | 30 | 94 | 126 | 62 | 42 | 44 | 26 | 14 |
| 14 | 12 | 177 | 24 | 33 | 105 | 55 | 10 | 35 | 44 | 15 |
| +gp | 315 | 230 | 243 | 267 | 304 | 165 | 108 | 82 | 201 | 136 |
| TOTALNUM | 89184 | 103864 | 110257 | 95441 | 63543 | 72968 | 87189 | 96708 | 143206 | 131290 |
| TONSLAND | 21579 | 24927 | 26839 | 24248 | 18201 | 17368 | 21590 | 21805 | 35120 | 33513 |
| SOPCOF \% | 101 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 98 |
| YEAR | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 980 | 54 | 718 | 4801 | 172 | 1590 | 244 | 287 | 2340 | 880 |
| 2 | 6832 | 50451 | 7804 | 12767 | 18824 | 6047 | 56648 | 15762 | 15000 | 25722 |
| 3 | 44378 | 16768 | 87403 | 16822 | 16190 | 23651 | 15141 | 72470 | 32580 | 21491 |
| 4 | 16204 | 31409 | 13550 | 68571 | 16964 | 7325 | 14934 | 8187 | 42597 | 19781 |
| 5 | 38319 | 13869 | 18739 | 6308 | 27257 | 5108 | 3496 | 6111 | 3272 | 16650 |
| 6 | 2477 | 24035 | 5711 | 7307 | 3858 | 12793 | 1941 | 1212 | 2465 | 1420 |
| 7 | 3041 | 1489 | 11310 | 1995 | 4780 | 1201 | 4768 | 664 | 800 | 830 |
| 8 | 741 | 1184 | 464 | 6015 | 943 | 2326 | 794 | 1984 | 433 | 273 |
| 9 | 399 | 461 | 916 | 295 | 3305 | 333 | 1031 | 331 | 926 | 168 |
| 10 | 454 | 172 | 265 | 331 | 239 | 1437 | 238 | 492 | 301 | 505 |
| 11 | 162 | 293 | 73 | 58 | 287 | 31 | 410 | 43 | 218 | 61 |
| 12 | 224 | 101 | 211 | 67 | 149 | 114 | 43 | 175 | 49 | 60 |
| 13 | 116 | 75 | 76 | 48 | 50 | 20 | 59 | 8 | 101 | 11 |
| 14 | 6 | 108 | 41 | 20 | 100 | 23 | 12 | 35 | 8 | 51 |
| +gp | 218 | 93 | 242 | 144 | 163 | 63 | 84 | 59 | 33 | 32 |
| TOTALNUM | 114551 | 140562 | 147523 | 125549 | 93281 | 62062 | 99843 | 107820 | 101123 | 87935 |
| TONSLAND | 29341 | 31491 | 33002 | 30467 | 22651 | 14901 | 20868 | 23475 | 22532 | 19849 |
| SOPCOF \% | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 97 |

Table 7.2.2
At
North Sea sole. Catch weights-at-age
09:27

| YEARAGE |  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 2 | 0.154 | 0.145 | 0.162 | 0.153 | 0.146 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.177 | 0.178 | 0.188 | 0.185 | 0.174 |  |
|  | 4 | 0.204 | 0.22 | 0.228 | 0.235 | 0.211 |  |
|  | 5 | 0.248 | 0.254 | 0.261 | 0.254 | 0.255 |  |
|  | 6 | 0.279 | 0.273 | 0.301 | 0.277 | 0.288 |  |
|  | 7 | 0.29 | 0.314 | 0.328 | 0.301 | 0.319 |  |
|  | 8 | 0.335 | 0.323 | 0.321 | 0.309 | 0.304 |  |
|  | 9 | 0.436 | 0.388 | 0.373 | 0.381 | 0.346 |  |
|  | 10 | 0.394 | 0.401 | 0.391 | 0.363 | 0.372 |  |
|  | 11 | 0.432 | 0.409 | 0.438 | 0.436 | 0.369 |  |
|  | 12 | 0.471 | 0.502 | 0.417 | 0.428 | 0.397 |  |
|  | 13 | 0.631 | 0.287 | 0.437 | 0.442 | 0.478 |  |
|  | 14 | 0.437 | 0.578 | 0.412 | 0.427 | 0.45 |  |
|  | +gp | 0.533 | 0.577 | 0.589 | 0.578 | 0.551 |  |
| SOPCOFAC |  | 1.0402 | 1.005 | 1.0095 | 0.9936 | 1.0137 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.153 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0.155 | 0.163 | 0.175 | 0.169 | 0.177 | 0.192 |
|  | 3 | 0.165 | 0.171 | 0.213 | 0.209 | 0.19 | 0.201 |
|  | 4 | 0.208 | 0.219 | 0.252 | 0.246 | 0.18 | 0.252 |
|  | 5 | 0.241 | 0.258 | 0.274 | 0.286 | 0.301 | 0.277 |
|  | 6 | 0.295 | 0.309 | 0.309 | 0.282 | 0.332 | 0.389 |
|  | 7 | 0.32 | 0.323 | 0.327 | 0.345 | 0.429 | 0.419 |
|  | 8 | 0.321 | 0.387 | 0.346 | 0.378 | 0.399 | 0.339 |
|  | 9 | 0.334 | 0.376 | 0.388 | 0.404 | 0.449 | 0.424 |
|  | 10 | 0.349 | 0.44 | 0.444 | 0.425 | 0.472 | 0.498 |
|  | 11 | 0.347 | 0.397 | 0.439 | 0.459 | 0.541 | 0.456 |
|  | 12 | 0.394 | 0.433 | 0.475 | 0.48 | 0.526 | 0.389 |
|  | 13 | 0.435 | 0.444 | 0.403 | 0.458 | 0.521 | 0.519 |
|  | 14 | 0.373 | 0.49 | 0.447 | 0.397 | 0.491 | 0.442 |
|  | +gp | 0.476 | 0.578 | 0.644 | 0.528 | 0.499 | 0.591 |
| SOPCOFAC |  | 0.994 | 0.9918 | 0.9661 | 0.9592 | 0.9892 | 1.0225 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
|  | 1 | 0.169 | 0.146 | 0.164 | 0.129 | 0.143 | 0.147 |
|  | 2 | 0.204 | 0.208 | 0.192 | 0.182 | 0.19 | 0.188 |
|  | 3 | 0.252 | 0.238 | 0.233 | 0.225 | 0.222 | 0.236 |
|  | 4 | 0.334 | 0.346 | 0.338 | 0.32 | 0.306 | 0.307 |
|  | 5 | 0.434 | 0.404 | 0.418 | 0.406 | 0.389 | 0.369 |
|  | 6 | 0.425 | 0.448 | 0.448 | 0.456 | 0.441 | 0.424 |
|  | 7 | 0.532 | 0.552 | 0.52 | 0.529 | 0.512 | 0.43 |
|  | 8 | 0.485 | 0.567 | 0.559 | 0.595 | 0.562 | 0.52 |
|  | 9 | 0.558 | 0.509 | 0.609 | 0.629 | 0.667 | 0.562 |
|  | 10 | 0.481 | 0.569 | 0.602 | 0.56 | 0.658 | 0.622 |
|  | 11 | 0.472 | 0.644 | 0.661 | 0.648 | 0.538 | 0.731 |
|  | 12 | 0.577 | 0.399 | 0.678 | 0.683 | 0.736 | 0.607 |
|  | 13 | 0.597 | 0.547 | 0.532 | 0.62 | 0.668 | 0.605 |
|  | 14 | 0.677 | 0.642 | 0.582 | 0.645 | 0.598 | 0.643 |
|  | +gp | 0.647 | 0.67 | 0.679 | 0.678 | 0.684 | 0.581 |
| SOPCOFAC |  | 0.989 | 1.0189 | 0.9864 | 1.0104 | 1.0216 | 1.0188 |

Table 7.2.2 (Cont'd)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & \text { AGE } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.141 | 0.134 | 0.153 | 0.122 | 0.135 | 0.139 |
|  | 2 | 0.188 | 0.182 | 0.171 | 0.187 | 0.179 | 0.185 |
|  | 3 | 0.216 | 0.217 | 0.221 | 0.216 | 0.213 | 0.205 |
|  | 4 | 0.307 | 0.301 | 0.286 | 0.288 | 0.299 | 0.277 |
|  | 5 | 0.371 | 0.389 | 0.361 | 0.357 | 0.357 | 0.356 |
|  | 6 | 0.409 | 0.416 | 0.386 | 0.427 | 0.407 | 0.378 |
|  | 7 | 0.437 | 0.467 | 0.465 | 0.447 | 0.485 | 0.428 |
|  | 8 | 0.491 | 0.489 | 0.555 | 0.544 | 0.543 | 0.481 |
|  | 9 | 0.58 | 0.505 | 0.575 | 0.612 | 0.568 | 0.393 |
|  | 10 | 0.556 | 0.609 | 0.512 | 0.634 | 0.536 | 0.608 |
|  | 11 | 0.628 | 0.622 | 0.655 | 0.509 | 0.575 | 0.646 |
|  | 12 | 0.591 | 0.6 | 0.631 | 0.656 | 0.634 | 0.615 |
|  | 13 | 0.771 | 0.334 | 0.722 | 0.767 | 0.632 | 0.697 |
|  | 14 | 0.898 | 0.631 | 0.845 | 0.801 | 0.789 | 0.728 |
|  | +gp | 0.768 | 0.756 | 0.707 | 0.68 | 0.715 | 0.696 |
| SOPCOFAC |  | 1.0138 | 1.004 | 1.0034 | 0.9898 | 0.9937 | 0.9946 |
| YEAR <br> AGE |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.146 | 0.097 | 0.143 | 0.151 | 0.163 | 0.151 |
|  | 2 | 0.178 | 0.167 | 0.18 | 0.186 | 0.177 | 0.18 |
|  | 3 | 0.213 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.206 |
|  | 4 | 0.258 | 0.239 | 0.228 | 0.247 | 0.234 | 0.236 |
|  | 5 | 0.298 | 0.264 | 0.257 | 0.265 | 0.274 | 0.267 |
|  | 6 | 0.38 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.319 | 0.285 | 0.296 |
|  | 7 | 0.409 | 0.338 | 0.317 | 0.344 | 0.318 | 0.323 |
|  | 8 | 0.46 | 0.441 | 0.432 | 0.356 | 0.37 | 0.306 |
|  | 9 | 0.487 | 0.496 | 0.409 | 0.444 | 0.39 | 0.384 |
|  | 10 | 0.531 | 0.636 | 0.415 | 0.511 | 0.516 | 0.406 |
|  | 11 | 0.59 | 0.564 | 0.544 | 0.792 | 0.546 | 0.579 |
|  | 12 | 0.468 | 0.583 | 0.478 | 0.564 | 0.555 | 0.605 |
|  | 13 | 0.63 | 0.651 | 0.702 | 0.764 | 0.601 | 0.668 |
|  | 14 | 0.779 | 0.61 | 0.614 | 0.94 | 0.7 | 0.45 |
|  | +gp | 0.626 | 0.641 | 0.554 | 0.602 | 0.763 | 0.762 |
| SOPCOFAC |  | 0.985 | 0.9885 | 0.9879 | 0.9927 | 0.9886 | 0.9901 |

Table 7.2.3 North Sea sole, stock weights-at-age derived from $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter catch weights
10/06/2002 09:27


Table 7.2.3 (Cont'd)

|  |  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
|  | 2 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.133 | 0.127 | 0.133 | 0.154 | 0.133 | 0.133 | 0.148 | 0.139 |
|  | 3 | 0.193 | 0.2 | 0.203 | 0.185 | 0.191 | 0.191 | 0.193 | 0.195 | 0.203 | 0.184 |
|  | 4 | 0.27 | 0.285 | 0.268 | 0.267 | 0.278 | 0.262 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.294 | 0.254 |
|  | 5 | 0.359 | 0.329 | 0.348 | 0.324 | 0.345 | 0.357 | 0.335 | 0.35 | 0.357 | 0.301 |
|  | 6 | 0.411 | 0.435 | 0.386 | 0.381 | 0.423 | 0.381 | 0.409 | 0.34 | 0.447 | 0.413 |
|  | 7 | 0.429 | 0.464 | 0.488 | 0.38 | 0.495 | 0.406 | 0.417 | 0.411 | 0.399 | 0.447 |
|  | 8 | 0.476 | 0.483 | 0.591 | 0.626 | 0.487 | 0.454 | 0.474 | 0.475 | 0.494 | 0.522 |
|  | 9 | 0.583 | 0.51 | 0.567 | 0.554 | 0.587 | 0.332 | 0.486 | 0.419 | 0.481 | 0.548 |
|  | 10 | 0.593 | 0.583 | 0.559 | 0.589 | 0.547 | 0.512 | 0.454 | 0.463 | 0.511 | 0.557 |
|  | 11 | 0.57 | 0.601 | 0.632 | 0.517 | 0.681 | 0.639 | 0.829 | 0.705 | 0.716 | 0.532 |
|  | 12 | 0.531 | 0.721 | 0.731 | 0.734 | 0.646 | 0.582 | 0.658 | 0.788 | 0.557 | 0.566 |
|  | 13 | 0.791 | 0.741 | 0.873 | 0.74 | 0.743 | 0.634 | 0.533 | 0.723 | 0.797 | 0.469 |
|  | 14 | 0.611 | 0.68 | 0.952 | 0.642 | 0.941 | 0.691 | 0.85 | 0.62 | 0.489 | 1.109 |
|  | +gp | 0.691 | 0.719 | 0.7 | 0.673 | 0.888 | 0.671 | 0.696 | 0.736 | 0.765 | 0.665 |
| YEAR <br> AGE |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|  | 1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
|  | 2 | 0.156 | 0.128 | 0.143 | 0.151 | 0.147 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.131 | 0.139 | 0.144 |
|  | 3 | 0.194 | 0.184 | 0.174 | 0.179 | 0.178 | 0.19 | 0.173 | 0.187 | 0.185 | 0.185 |
|  | 4 | 0.257 | 0.229 | 0.209 | 0.24 | 0.208 | 0.225 | 0.234 | 0.216 | 0.226 | 0.223 |
|  | 5 | 0.307 | 0.265 | 0.257 | 0.253 | 0.274 | 0.252 | 0.267 | 0.259 | 0.264 | 0.263 |
|  | 6 | 0.398 | 0.293 | 0.326 | 0.321 | 0.268 | 0.303 | 0.281 | 0.296 | 0.275 | 0.319 |
|  | 7 | 0.406 | 0.344 | 0.349 | 0.365 | 0.321 | 0.319 | 0.328 | 0.34 | 0.287 | 0.327 |
|  | 8 | 0.472 | 0.482 | 0.402 | 0.357 | 0.375 | 0.325 | 0.273 | 0.322 | 0.337 | 0.421 |
|  | 9 | 0.5 | 0.437 | 0.494 | 0.545 | 0.402 | 0.36 | 0.336 | 0.369 | 0.391 | 0.41 |
|  | 10 | 0.551 | 0.576 | 0.341 | 0.46 | 0.401 | 0.385 | 0.334 | 0.418 | 0.269 | 0.502 |
|  | 11 | 0.498 | 0.564 | 0.436 | 0.411 | 0.47 | 0.581 | 0.488 | 0.424 | 0.332 | 0.396 |
|  | 12 | 0.443 | 0.504 | 0.519 | 0.715 | 0.548 | 0.635 | 0.306 | 0.499 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  | 13 | 0.602 | 0.681 | 0.473 | 0.694 | 0.628 | 0.715 | 0.549 | 0.566 | 0.688 | 0.604 |
|  | 14 | 0.672 | 0.579 | 0.695 | 0.584 | 0.641 | 0.717 | 0.483 | 0.684 | 1.071 | 0.568 |
|  | +gp | 0.612 | 0.67 | 0.498 | 0.661 | 0.81 | 0.654 | 0.641 | 0.627 | 0.634 | 1.016 |

Table 7.2.4 North Sea sole, maturity ogive and natural mortality

| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maturity | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Nat Mortality* | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

*Mortality on all ages in 1963=0.9

Table 7.3.1 North Sea sole, tuning fleets

North Sea Sole Sea

## 104

FLT01: NL Comm BT
19792001

| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 15 |  |  |


| 44.9 | 721.2 | 35400.6 | 12904.4 | 2096.5 | 2657.4 | 1490 | 641.6 | 177.2 | 323.3 | 104.9 | 85.5 | 77 | 53.7 | 476.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 45 | 938.3 | 11061 | 14294.5 | 4914.8 | 938.1 | 1731.7 | 1133.1 | 214.3 | 17 | 347.8 | 16.5 | 32.5 | 23.7 | 432.2 |
| 46.3 | 26036 | 2756 | 5720.5 | 6094.5 | 2265.5 | 586.6 | 531.3 | 439.4 | 98.9 | 15.3 | 102.4 | 56.9 | 4.4 | 173.2 |
| 57.3 | 24290.1 | 38683 | 1085.1 | 2638.3 | 3214.2 | 961.1 | 234.8 | 352.9 | 287.6 | 80.2 | 41.7 | 157.3 | 7.9 | 141.1 |
| 65.6 | 31274.7 | 36706.2 | 16386.3 | 375.1 | 768.9 | 1117.8 | 531.2 | 237.5 | 168.1 | 338.6 | 15 | 2 | 157.6 | 143.2 |
| 70.8 | 26976.3 | 37398.3 | 18212.1 | 6529 | 301.2 | 492 | 633.5 | 321.8 | 123.7 | 130.9 | 90.3 | 6.4 | 14.5 | 155.4 |
| 70.3 | 12923.7 | 34685.4 | 16979.4 | 7239.6 | 2536.8 | 146.5 | 285.1 | 426.8 | 84.9 | 68.7 | 113.3 | 61.9 | 9.1 | 134.5 |
| 68.2 | 8027 | 13755 | 13809.8 | 6353.7 | 4342.4 | 1712.2 | 71.8 | 223.4 | 405.6 | 211.1 | 124.6 | 73.4 | 88.5 | 247.6 |
| 68.5 | 23736.2 | 18618.8 | 6796 | 5209.3 | 2597.3 | 1136.9 | 580.1 | 44.4 | 67.4 | 70.1 | 83.3 | 29.7 | 31.2 | 122.1 |
| 76.3 | 12191.9 | 40595.2 | 12448.9 | 2982.9 | 2955.6 | 1274.8 | 652.4 | 384.5 | 30.4 | 25.4 | 42.7 | 26.1 | 3.2 | 60.9 |
| 61.6 | 40284.3 | 13165.6 | 17489.4 | 2688.9 | 1099.4 | 1134.4 | 409.4 | 333.9 | 161.6 | 8.9 | 22.7 | 16.2 | 10 | 40 |
| 71.4 | 9071.1 | 84629.7 | 7242 | 6586.7 | 1669.1 | 634.6 | 819.2 | 375.9 | 137.6 | 134.1 | 42.5 | 10.1 | 12.6 | 138.2 |
| 68.5 | 7336.6 | 17182.4 | 59754 | 4638.3 | 2137.6 | 682.7 | 312.1 | 392.3 | 156.6 | 98.4 | 180.5 | 6.3 | 6 | 48.1 |
| 71.1 | 5046.7 | 33880.5 | 11131 | 29835.9 | 1457.9 | 2081.2 | 446.1 | 218.6 | 274.8 | 75.7 | 164.1 | 66.4 | 3.9 | 109 |
| 76.9 | 39284.5 | 10948 | 24132 | 9625.4 | 18624 | 887.1 | 811.5 | 236.1 | 66.4 | 186.3 | 50.2 | 41.6 | 59.1 | 21.8 |
| 81.4 | 5389.9 | 69878.8 | 7411.7 | 13010.4 | 3104.8 | 8932.9 | 190 | 524.2 | 175.9 | 25.9 | 158.5 | 25.2 | 20.1 | 149.5 |
| 81.2 | 9778 | 11329.4 | 53488.8 | 2839.2 | 5128.8 | 896.5 | 4682.4 | 147.4 | 204.8 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 34.7 | 6.4 | 108.6 |
| 72.1 | 15843.4 | 9093.9 | 11170.8 | 21211.9 | 1570 | 3173.4 | 471.9 | 2773.8 | 160 | 190.5 | 85.7 | 23.3 | 62.4 | 99.5 |
| 72 | 4505.9 | 18426.8 | 4503.6 | 3329 | 9771.1 | 497.2 | 1800.4 | 94.6 | 1155.3 | 5.7 | 76.9 | 11.1 | 14.3 | 43.5 |
| 70.2 | 50570.7 | 9023.1 | 11123.1 | 1826.2 | 1145.6 | 3395 | 210.7 | 337 | 21.4 | 286.6 | 5.2 | 37.2 | 4.9 | 42.9 |
| 67.3 | 11820.5 | 55177.2 | 4152.6 | 4458.8 | 730.2 | 335.7 | 1526.8 | 133.4 | 362.5 | 6 | 126.7 | 2 | 21.5 | 30.1 |
| 67.7 | 12308.6 | 29559.5 | 21746.8 | 2046.1 | 1579.9 | 454.8 | 322.4 | 640.8 | 209.8 | 115.4 | 23.2 | 53.6 | 2.9 | 44 |
| 61.4 | 18723.6 | 13660.3 | 14969 | 13081 | 721 | 506 | 136 | 93 | 369 | 8 | 33.9 | 6.8 | 40.3 | 17.3 |

FLT02: UK Comm OT effort hphr's (000's)
1990

| 2001 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 15 |


| 6409.118 | 123.5 | 552.6 | 71.9 | 96.2 | 62.1 | 34 | 24.8 | 14.2 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 5.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6643.43 | 144 | 229.6 | 364.8 | 21.2 | 60.9 | 33.9 | 18.8 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 5279.325 | 59.9 | 223.5 | 88.9 | 94.9 | 10.2 | 21.9 | 13.3 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 0 |
| 5787.174 | 114.9 | 181.5 | 187.1 | 83.6 | 95.9 | 8.5 | 13.8 | 9.9 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 4913.329 | 14.1 | 2008.9 | 105.8 | 99.1 | 29.3 | 32.3 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| 4766.303 | 39.9 | 128.8 | 144.1 | 134.3 | 38.6 | 26.8 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 3352.814 | 38.3 | 65.7 | 73.3 | 75.5 | 43.7 | 23.6 | 11 | 13.6 | 0.6 | 3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 21.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2852.804 | 30.8 | 78.3 | 54.8 | 36.4 | 31.5 | 31.7 | 13.3 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1 |
| 1933.372 | 51.4 | 43.6 | 29.5 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 14.6 | 25.1 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 2184.136 | 17.9 | 89.7 | 33.1 | 13.4 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 1667.602 | 19.7 | 36.9 | 81.4 | 27.8 | 8.6 | 8 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 1446.031 | 25.2 | 44.6 | 16.9 | 30.9 | 9.7 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

FLT03: NL BTS
19852001

| 1 | 0.67 | 0.75 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.64 | 7.28 | 3.75 | 1.97 |
| 1 | 7.76 | 4.58 | 1.7 | 0.81 |
| 1 | 6.96 | 12.5 | 1.85 | 0.55 |
| 1 | 81.23 | 12.81 | 2.78 | 0.99 |
| 1 | 8.67 | 67.76 | 4.19 | 4.09 |
| 1 | 22.44 | 22.33 | 20.06 | 0.59 |
| 1 | 3.43 | 23.2 | 5.84 | 6.01 |
| 1 | 72.71 | 22.66 | 9.61 | 2.26 |
| 1 | 4.63 | 26.61 | 1.58 | 5.23 |
| 1 | 5.94 | 4.95 | 15.46 | 0.13 |
| 1 | 26.31 | 8.68 | 8.27 | 6.47 |
| 1 | 3.48 | 5.94 | 1.8 | 1.45 |
| 1 | 173.51 | 5.36 | 3.23 | 0.8 |
| 1 | 14.16 | 29.15 | 2 | 1.33 |
| 1 | 11.2 | 19.51 | 16.62 | 0.63 |
| 1 | 13.6 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 8.11 | 10.14 | 2.42 | 2.07 |

Table 7.3.1 (Cont'd)
FLT04: NL: SNS 19702001 $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0.67 & 0.75 \\ 1 & 4 & & \end{array}$

| 4938 | 745 | 204 | 31 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 613 | 1961 | 99 |  |


| 1410 | 341 | 161 | 0.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 4686 | 905 | 73 | 35 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| 1924 | 397 | 69 | 0.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 597 | 887 | 174 | 4 |


| 597 | 887 | 174 | 44 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1413 | 79 | 187 | 70 |
| 3724 | 762 | 77 | 85 |


| 3724 | 762 | 77 | 85 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1552 | 1379 | 267 | 27 |


| 104 | 388 | 325 | 60 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4483 | 80 | 99 | 45 |


| 1 | 4483 | 80 | 99 | 45 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 3739 | 1411 | 51 | 13 |


| 1 | 5098 | 1124 | 231 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 2640 | 1137 | 107 | 43 |


| 1 | 2359 | 1081 | 307 | 102 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 2151 | 709 | 159 | 59 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 3791 | 465 | 67 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1890 | 955 | 59 | 15 |


| 1 | 11227 | 594 | 284 | 81 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| 1 | 3052 | 5369 | 248 | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 2900 | 1078 | 907 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1265 | 2515 | 527 | 607 |


| 1 | 1265 | 2515 | 527 | 607 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| 1 | 11081 | 114 | 319 | 194 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1351 | 3489 | 46 | 166 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 559 | 475 | 943 | 10 |


| 1501 | 234 | 126 | 365 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 691 | 473 | 27 | 48 |


| 1 | 10132 | 143 | 231 | 51 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2876 | 1993 | 131 | 52 |


| 2876 | 1993 | 131 | 52 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1649 | 919 | 381 | 123 |


| 1 | 1649 | 919 | 381 | 12.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1735 | 150 | 189 | 95.7 |


| 1 | 1735 | 150 | 189 | 95.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 949 | 638 | 99 | 32 |

Table 7.3.2 North Sea sole, indices of effort and cpue

|  | Effort |  |  | CPUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Belgium | 2 UK-ot | 3 Netherlands | 4 Belgium | 5 UK-ot | 6 Netherlands |
| 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 29.8 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  |
| 1973 | 29.4 |  |  | 33.1 |  |  |
| 1974 | 32.2 |  |  | 23.7 |  |  |
| 1975 | 39.2 |  |  | 26.2 |  |  |
| 1976 | 44.7 |  |  | 24.5 |  |  |
| 1977 | 47.6 |  |  | 27.2 |  |  |
| 1978 | 50.3 |  | 44.3 | 25.9 |  | 375.8 |
| 1979 | 40.0 |  | 44.9 | 38.7 |  | 423.2 |
| 1980 | 35.2 |  | 45.0 | 30.9 |  | 282.1 |
| 1981 | 31.1 |  | 46.3 | 35.2 |  | 267.8 |
| 1982 | 34.9 |  | 57.3 | 44.7 |  | 309.8 |
| 1983 | 35.4 |  | 65.6 | 42.8 |  | 319.9 |
| 1984 | 42.8 |  | 70.8 | 35.2 |  | 307.3 |
| 1985 | 51.4 |  | 70.3 | 40.8 |  | 276.3 |
| 1986 | 42.5 |  | 68.2 | 38.8 |  | 213.4 |
| 1987 | 50.7 |  | 68.5 | 28.9 |  | 204.5 |
| 1988 | 53.0 |  | 76.3 | 19.2 |  | 235.9 |
| 1989 | 54.3 |  | 61.6 | 22.7 |  | 272.7 |
| 1990 | 64.7 | 6409.1 | 71.4 | 24.8 | 35.5 | 378.1 |
| 1991 | 74.3 | 6643.4 | 68.5 | 33.5 | 30.3 | 350.9 |
| 1992 | 67.7 | 5279.3 | 71.1 | 22.5 | 25.3 | 307.1 |
| 1993 | 71.1 | 5787.2 | 76.9 | 27.2 | 27.4 | 306.4 |
| 1994 | 60.0 | 4913.3 | 81.4 | 32.5 | 25.4 | 295.6 |
| 1995 | 46.5 | 4766.3 | 81.2 | 34.9 | 25.5 | 275.1 |
| 1996 | 64.9 | 3352.8 | 72.1 | 29.0 | 23.9 | 227.1 |
| 1997 | 47.2 | 2852.8 | 72.0 | 24.2 | 23.6 | 151.7 |
| 1998 | 43.6 | 1933.4 | 70.3 | 25.0 | 25.9 | 230.7 |
| 1999 | 55.7 | 2184.1 | 67.3 | 24.3 | 24.9 | 257.9 |
| 2000 | 49.3 | 1667.6 | 67.7 | 24.0 | 25.7 | 240.6 |
| 2001 | 45.5 | 1446.0 | 61.4 | 27.7 | 22.6 | 220.1 |

1 fishing hours in 1000 HP beam trawl units * 10E3
2 thous HP hours
3 million HP days beam trawl
$4 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{FH} 1000 \mathrm{HP}$ beam trawl
$5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{HP}$ hours
$6 \mathrm{~kg} / 1000 \mathrm{HP}$ day

Table 7.4.1 North Sea sole, Separable VPA output
Title : Sole in IV
At 13/06/2002 22:07
Separable analysis
from 1957 to 2001 on ages 1 to 14
with Terminal $F$ of .500 on age 4 and Terminal $S$ of .800
Initial sum of squared residuals was 1811.213 and
final sum of squared residuals is 412.141 after 150 iterations
Matrix of Residuals

| Years | 1981/82 | 2/83 | 1983/84 | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/88 | 1988/89 | 1989/90 | 1990/91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2 | -0.386 | 0.924 | -0.576 | -0.888 | -0.176 | -0.883 | -1.4 | -4.916 | -0.787 | 1.007 |
| 2/3 | 0.274 | 0.025 | 0.537 | 0.225 | 0.72 | -0.414 | 0.068 | 0.236 | 0.052 | -0.017 |
| 3/4 | 0.253 | 0.196 | 0.366 | 0.299 | 0.683 | 0.108 | -0.101 | 0.296 | 0.507 | 0.023 |
| 4/5 | 0.007 | 0.16 | 0.305 | 0.056 | 0.416 | 0.021 | -0.104 | 0.421 | 0.459 | -0.229 |
| 5/6 | -0.087 | 0.048 | -0.719 | 0.115 | 0.016 | -0.039 | -0.268 | 0.227 | -0.096 | 0.34 |
| 6/7 | 0.109 | -0.042 | -0.114 | 0.429 | -0.109 | 0.266 | -0.136 | 0.193 | -0.01 | 0.032 |
| $7 / 8$ | -0.004 | -0.159 | 0.265 | 0.215 | 0.314 | 0.174 | -0.031 | 0.31 | -0.059 | -0.02 |
| 8/9 | -0.232 | -0.415 | 0.089 | -0.284 | -0.209 | -0.626 | -0.231 | -0.075 | -0.257 | -0.071 |
| 9/10 | 0.118 | 0.195 | 0.293 | 0.558 | -0.126 | 0.451 | 0.362 | 0.487 | 0.674 | 0.405 |
| 10/11 | -0.892 | -1.237 | -0.719 | -1.114 | -1.627 | -0.196 | -0.228 | -1.459 | -1.19 | -1.334 |
| 11/12 | 0.109 | 1.055 | 0.88 | -0.315 | -0.044 | 0.338 | 0.25 | -0.409 | -0.317 | -0.369 |
| 12/13 | -1.219 | 0.393 | -0.879 | -0.724 | -0.468 | -0.304 | 0.068 | -0.473 | -0.494 | 0.473 |
| 13/14 | 1.791 | -0.379 | -0.715 | -0.503 | -0.247 | 0.28 | 1.412 | -0.208 | -0.071 | 0.337 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| Years | 1991/92 | 2/93 | 1993/94 | 1994/95 | 1995/96 | 1996/97 | 1997/98 | 1998/99 | 1999/** | 2000/** | TOT | WTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2 | -0.36 | -0.17 | -1.225 | 0.658 | 2.621 | -0.161 | 0.171 | -0.748 | -0.162 | 0.946 | -0.008 | 0.111 |
| 2/3 | -0.534 | -0.143 | 0.158 | -0.264 | 0.67 | 0.096 | -0.237 | 0.135 | 0.032 | -0.022 | 0 | 0.539 |
| 3/4 | 0.113 | 0.073 | -0.132 | -0.318 | -0.164 | -0.01 | 0.065 | -0.088 | 0.245 | -0.219 | 0 | 0.805 |
| 4/5 | 0.036 | -0.453 | -0.172 | -0.15 | 0.427 | 0.02 | -0.006 | -0.172 | 0.29 | -0.134 | 0 | 0.978 |
| 5/6 | 0.351 | -0.114 | 0.229 | 0.058 | 0.029 | -0.387 | 0.255 | 0.027 | 0.311 | -0.209 | 0 | 0.912 |
| 6/7 | -0.506 | -0.117 | 0.055 | 0.126 | -0.071 | -0.012 | 0.238 | -0.002 | -0.223 | -0.003 | 0 | 1 |
| $7 / 8$ | 0.391 | 0.551 | 0.702 | -0.053 | 0.481 | -0.207 | -0.099 | 0.049 | 0.022 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.776 |
| 8/9 | -0.047 | -0.093 | -0.385 | -0.414 | 0.157 | -0.078 | 0.122 | -0.139 | 0.181 | -0.084 | 0 | 0.967 |
| 9/10 | 0.21 | 0.634 | 0.264 | 0.518 | 0.092 | 0.075 | -0.013 | 0.097 | -0.135 | -0.038 | 0 | 0.902 |
| 10/11 | -0.56 | -0.682 | -0.35 | 0.069 | -0.854 | 0.297 | -0.014 | 0.096 | -0.326 | -0.022 | 0 | 0.51 |
| 11/12 | -0.054 | 0.257 | 0.04 | -0.416 | -1.045 | 0.181 | -0.665 | 0.209 | -0.361 | 0.632 | 0 | 0.498 |
| 12/13 | 0.056 | 0.149 | -0.734 | 0.225 | -0.509 | 0.493 | -0.409 | 0.273 | -0.406 | 0.065 | 0 | 0.443 |
| 13/14 | 0.57 | -0.134 | 0.336 | 0.85 | -0.8 | 0.071 | 0.203 | -0.099 | -0.212 | 0.032 | 0 | 0.4 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -63.935 |  |



# Table 7.4.2 North Sea sole: XSA tuning output 

```
Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1 14/06/2002 12:22
Extended Survivors Analysis
    Sole in IV
    cpue data from file fleet02
Catch data for 45 years. 1957 to 2001. Ages 1 to 15.
    Fleet First Last First Last Alpha Beta
```



```
Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting not applied
Catchability analysis :
Catchability dependent on stock size for ages < 3
```

```
Regression type = C
```

Regression type = C
Minimum of }5\mathrm{ points used for regression
Minimum of }5\mathrm{ points used for regression
Survivor estimates shrunk to the population mean for ages < 3
Survivor estimates shrunk to the population mean for ages < 3
Catchability independent of age for ages >= 7

```
Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean \(F\)
of the final 5 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk \(=\). 500
Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet \(=\). 300
Prior weighting not applied
Tuning converged after 22 iterations
Regression weights
            \(1.0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000 \quad 1.000\)
Fishing mortalities
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
1 & .003 & .001 & .013 & .054 & .004 & .006 & .002 & .004 & .020 & .012 \\
2 & .118 & .183 & .140 & .304 & .273 & .156 & .271 & .167 & .243 & .290 \\
3 & .432 & .416 & .484 & .444 & .689 & .573 & .627 & .579 & .536 & .572 \\
4 & .461 & .550 & .617 & .775 & .973 & .685 & .777 & .735 & .712 & .646 \\
5 & .511 & .808 & .660 & .578 & .722 & .793 & .731 & .759 & .654 & .595 \\
6 & .581 & .620 & .834 & .516 & .752 & .797 & .710 & .532 & .706 & .584 \\
7 & .591 & .742 & .592 & .699 & .669 & .487 & .698 & .496 & .718 & .481 \\
8 & .478 & .426 & .476 & .644 & .752 & .717 & .613 & .624 & .622 & .504 \\
9 & .560 & .547 & .605 & .559 & .797 & .575 & .721 & .494 & .593 & .462 \\
10 & .406 & .442 & .621 & .403 & 1.109 & .881 & .951 & .814 & 1.027 & .669 \\
11 & .486 & .441 & .302 & .233 & .645 & .344 & .590 & .382 & .956 & .513 \\
12 & .792 & .564 & .583 & .443 & 1.371 & .508 & .993 & .476 & .880 & .668 \\
13 & .369 & .592 & .995 & .222 & .615 & .572 & .475 & .430 & .493 & .431 \\
14 & .506 & .616 & .669 & .685 & .847 & .566 & .718 & .509 & .901 & .440
\end{tabular}

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

\section*{Table 7.4.2 continued}

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
\[
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
.7712 & .8131 & .8457 & .8911 & .9438 & .9627 & 1.0316 & 1.0798 & 1.1388
\end{array} 1.2867
\]
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
& \multicolumn{3}{c}{11} & 12 \\
YEAR & & AGE & 13 \\
1992 & \(4.42 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(4.31 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(3.95 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.59 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
1993 & \(8.63 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.46 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.77 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.47 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
1994 & \(2.94 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(5.02 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.27 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(8.84 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
1995 & \(2.93 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.97 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.54 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(4.24 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
1996 & \(6.34 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.10 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.14 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.84 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
1997 & \(1.12 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(3.01 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(4.82 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(5.60 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
1998 & \(9.67 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(7.18 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.64 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.46 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
1999 & \(1.42 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(4.85 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.41 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(9.22 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
2000 & \(3.72 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(8.80 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(2.73 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.42 \mathrm{E}+01\) \\
2001 & \(1.60 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(1.30 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(3.30 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(1.51 \mathrm{E}+02\)
\end{tabular}

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(5.05 \mathrm{E}+028.66 \mathrm{E}+016.01 \mathrm{E}+011.94 \mathrm{E}+01\)
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations: \(1.15 \mathrm{E}+037.74 \mathrm{E}+024.66 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.09 \mathrm{E}+02\)
Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
1.4156 & 1.4833 & 1.6449 & 1.7218
\end{array}
\]

Log catchability residuals.

\section*{Fleet : FLT01: NL Comm BT}


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline Mean Log \(q\) & -5.2926 & -5.1084 & -5.0829 & -5.2780 & -5.3638 & -5.3638 & -5.3638 & -5.3638 & -5.3638 & -5.3638 \\
\hline S.E(Log q) & . 2227 & . 2413 & . 2587 & . 2503 & . 2928 & . 4098 & . 3994 & . 7293 & 1.0996 & . 5844 \\
\hline Age & 13 & 14 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Mean Log \(q\) & -5.3638 & -5.3638 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline S.E(Log q) & . 4706 & . 2722 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 7.4.2 continued}

Regression statistics :
Ages with \(q\) dependent on year class strength
Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Log q
2 \begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
& .97 & .140 & 6.43 & .62 & 12 & .51
\end{tabular}

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
3 & .99 & .150 & 5.38 & .92 & 12 & .23 & -5.29 \\
4 & .98 & .196 & 5.21 & .92 & 12 & .25 & -5.11 \\
5 & .97 & .267 & 5.21 & .92 & 12 & .26 & -5.08 \\
6 & .93 & .828 & 5.54 & .94 & 12 & .24 & -5.28 \\
7 & .86 & 1.548 & 5.78 & .93 & 12 & .24 & -5.36 \\
8 & .83 & 1.390 & 5.83 & .87 & 12 & .32 & -5.43 \\
9 & .75 & 2.610 & 5.95 & .92 & 12 & .22 & -5.52 \\
10 & .81 & .587 & 5.64 & .49 & 12 & .61 & -5.39 \\
11 & .59 & 3.080 & 6.06 & .85 & 12 & .35 & -6.10 \\
12 & .91 & .425 & 5.32 & .69 & 12 & .55 & -5.31 \\
13 & .98 & .199 & 5.66 & .89 & 12 & .34 & -5.68 \\
14 & .93 & .854 & 5.34 & .94 & 12 & .25 & -5.44
\end{tabular}

\section*{Fleet : FLT02: UK Comm OT}


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline Mean Log \(q\) & -14.2593 & -14.1660 & -13.8724 & -13.6464 & -13.4101 & -13.4101 & -13.4101 & -13.4101 & -13.4101 & -13.4101 \\
\hline S.E(Log q) & . 5460 & . 5440 & . 7981 & . 7291 & . 7732 & . 9666 & . 8952 & . 9785 & 1.0530 & 1.0330 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{crr} 
Age & \multicolumn{1}{c}{13} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{14} \\
Mean Log q & -13.4101 & -13.4101 \\
S.E (Log q) & 1.1189 & 1.3771
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) dependent on year class strength

Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Log q
2 \begin{tabular}{llllllll}
2 & 1.55 & -1.500 & 18.10 & .42 & 12 & -15.75
\end{tabular}

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

\section*{Table 7.4.2 continued}

Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
3 & 1.20 & -.755 & 14.86 & .58 & 12 & .67 & -14.26 \\
4 & 2.08 & -3.740 & 17.90 & .55 & 12 & .77 & -14.17 \\
5 & 3.34 & -3.479 & 23.09 & .18 & 12 & 1.88 & -13.87 \\
6 & 2.73 & -3.589 & 21.51 & .30 & 12 & 1.38 & -13.65 \\
7 & 3.70 & -3.889 & 26.88 & .17 & 12 & 1.89 & -13.41 \\
8 & 3.95 & -2.566 & 29.26 & .07 & 12 & 3.05 & -13.23 \\
9 & 1.86 & -1.269 & 18.32 & .18 & 12 & 1.57 & -13.20 \\
10 & 3.68 & -1.757 & 29.71 & .04 & 12 & 2.87 & -12.95 \\
11 & 2.65 & -2.830 & 23.76 & .23 & 12 & 1.54 & -12.69 \\
12 & 2.27 & -3.088 & 21.71 & .37 & 12 & 1.05 & -12.62 \\
13 & 2.74 & -2.768 & 26.99 & .20 & 12 & 1.95 & -12.78 \\
14 & 1.17 & -.424 & 13.87 & .40 & 11 & 1.14 & -12.44
\end{tabular}

Fleet : FLT03: NL BTS
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Age & 1990 & 1991 & & \\
1 & -.24 & -.37 & & \\
2 & .63 & .15 & & \\
3 & -.10 & .09 & & \\
4 & -.43 & -.12 & & \\
5 & -.30 & -1.11 & & \\
6 & .89 & -1.00 & & \\
7 & -.41 & -.85 & & \\
8 & -1.11 & -1.19 & & \\
9 & -1.68 & 99.99 & & \\
10 & No data for this fleet at this age \\
11 & No data for this fleet at this age \\
12 & No data for this fleet at this age \\
13 & No data for this fleet at this age \\
14 & No data for this fleet at this age
\end{tabular}

Age \(199219931994 \quad 1995 \quad 1996 \quad 1997 \quad 1998 \quad 1999 \quad 2000 \quad 2001\)
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr}
-.26 & -.18 & .16 & .48 & .01 & .46 & -.09 & .16 & -.12 & -.01 \\
1.06 & -.29 & -.70 & .31 & -.65 & -.24 & .13 & .41 & -.53 & -.26 \\
.06 & -.81 & -.01 & .91 & -.07 & -.08 & -.01 & .45 & -.14 & -.28 \\
.27 & .65 & -2.07 & .48 & .67 & .48 & .43 & .21 & -.93 & .37 \\
-.38 & 1.12 & -.07 & -.33 & .19 & .84 & -1.15 & 1.58 & .00 & -.40 \\
-.96 & 1.23 & -.76 & .67 & .58 & -.33 & -1.57 & 1.36 & .12 & -.24 \\
-.56 & .49 & .08 & .91 & .18 & -.09 & .28 & 1.26 & -.54 & -.75 \\
-.08 & -.32 & -1.57 & .72 & -.14 & -1.32 & 99.99 & 1.48 & -1.19 & .13 \\
-1.23 & 1.49 & 99.99 & .68 & .40 & .59 & 99.99 & 99.99 & -.22 & 99.99
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline Mean Log \(q\) & -9.2192 & -9.7967 & -9.6534 & -10.0589 & -9.7904 & -9.7904 & -9.7904 \\
\hline S.E(Log q) & . 4072 & . 8057 & . 8273 & . 9575 & . 6631 & 1.0546 & 1.1241 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :
Ages with \(q\) dependent on year class strength
Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Log q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr}
1 & .56 & 3.154 & 10.08 & .84 & 12 & .29 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}\(\quad-8.89\)

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
3 & .95 & .325 & 9.33 & .79 & 12 & .40 & -9.22 \\
4 & 1.02 & -.050 & 9.78 & .49 & 12 & .86 & -9.80 \\
5 & 1.02 & -.060 & 9.65 & .50 & 12 & .88 & -9.65 \\
6 & .79 & .781 & 9.86 & .58 & 12 & .77 & -10.06 \\
7 & .98 & .072 & 9.77 & .61 & 12 & .68 & -9.79 \\
8 & .69 & 1.244 & 9.48 & .65 & 11 & .65 & -10.21 \\
9 & 1.06 & -.091 & 9.95 & .28 & 7 & 1.31 & -9.79
\end{tabular}

Table 7.4.2 continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet & FLT04: NL & : SNS & & & & & & & \\
\hline Age & 19901991 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & -. 23 . 11 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & . 34 . 32 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & . 06.95 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & . 74.53 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 6 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 7 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 8 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 9 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 10 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 11 & No data for & this f & fleet a & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 12 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 13 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 14 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline Age & 19921993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline 1 & .00 . 19 & -. 22 & -. 05 & . 08 & . 17 & . 16 & . 17 & -. 19 & -. 19 \\
\hline 2 & -. \(56-.13\) & . 31 & . 17 & . 08 & -. 04 & -. 18 & . 17 & -. 48 & . 01 \\
\hline , & -. 08 -1.09 & . 45 & -. 01 & -1.01 & . 54 & . 52 & -. 07 & -. 06 & -. 21 \\
\hline 4 & . 76.14 & -1.69 & . 55 & . 20 & . 67 & . 13 & -. 78 & -. 41 & -. 86 \\
\hline 5 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 7 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 10 & No data for & this f & fleet a & at this & & & & & \\
\hline 11 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 12 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & & & & & \\
\hline 13 & No data for & this f & fleet & at this & age & & & & \\
\hline 14 & No data for & this f & fleet a & at this & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crr} 
Age & \multicolumn{1}{c}{3} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{4} \\
Mean Log q & -5.5726 & -5.8343 \\
S.E(Log q) & .5988 & .7762
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :
Ages with \(q\) dependent on year class strength
Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Log \(q\)
\begin{tabular}{llllllll}
1 & .68 & 3.628 & 6.37 & .93 & 12 & .18 & -3.94 \\
2 & .59 & 2.683 & 7.64 & .81 & 12 & .31 & -4.92
\end{tabular}

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time. Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{llllllll}
3 & .83 & .834 & 6.55 & .71 & 12 & .50 & -5.57 \\
4 & .84 & .634 & 6.61 & .61 & 12 & .67 & -5.83
\end{tabular}

Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 1 Catchability dependent on age and year class strength
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fleet} & Estimated & Int & Ext & Var & N & Scaled & Estimated \\
\hline & Survivors & s.e & s.e & Ratio & & Weights & F \\
\hline FLT01: NL Comm BT & 1. & . 000 & . 000 & . 00 & & O . 000 & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: UK Comm OT & 1. & . 000 & . 000 & . 00 & 0 & - . 000 & . 000 \\
\hline FLT03: NL BTS & 71439. & . 300 & . 000 & . 00 & 1 & . 400 & . 012 \\
\hline FLT04: NL: SNS & 59116. & . 300 & . 000 & . 00 & & 1.400 & . 014 \\
\hline P shrinkage mean & 87394. & . 81 & & & & . 055 & . 010 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 115536. & . 50 & & & & . 146 & . 007 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
71826. & .19 & .14 & 4 & .746 & .012
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 7.4.2 continued}


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllrl} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
72791. & .15 & .09 & 8 & .618 & .290
\end{tabular}

Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age

Year class \(=1998\)
Fleet
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
Estimated & Int & Ext & Var & N Scaled & Estimated \\
Survivors & s.e & s.e & Ratio & Weights & F \\
32708. & .262 & .104 & .40 & 2 & .233 & .486 \\
33928. & .461 & .009 & .02 & 2 & .074 & .472 \\
24593. & .229 & .186 & .81 & 3 & .273 & .605 \\
23343. & .213 & .211 & .99 & 3 & .301 & .629 \\
24692. & .50 & & & & .119 & .603
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
26510. & .13 & .08 & 11 & .654 & .572
\end{tabular}

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1997\)
\begin{tabular}{lcccccccc} 
Fleet & Estimated & Int & Ext & Var & N Scaled Estimated \\
FLT01: & & NL Comm BT & 27108. & .205 & s.e & Ratio & Weights & F
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & \(N\) & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
20724. & .12 & .09 & 15 & .732 & .646
\end{tabular}

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age Year class \(=1996\)
Fleet
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FLT01: & NL Comm BT \\
FLT02: & UK Comm OT \\
FLT03: & NL BTS \\
FLT04: & NL: SNS
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Estimated & Int & & Ext & Var & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{N Scaled Estimated} \\
\hline Survivors & s.e & & S.e & Ratio & & Weights & \\
\hline 22203. & . 190 & & . 095 & . 50 & 4 & . 470 & . 538 \\
\hline 16927. & . 382 & & .187 & . 49 & 4 & . 096 & . 660 \\
\hline 20711. . 276 & & . 252 & . 91 & 5 & . 135 & . 5 & \\
\hline 18515. & . 214 & & . 121 & . 57 & 4 & . 130 & . 618 \\
\hline 14587. & . 50 & & & & & .169 & . 735 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

F shrinkage mean
eighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & \(N\) & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
19498. & .14 & .07 & 18 & .537 & .595
\end{tabular}

Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
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Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
1279. & .15 & .07 & 24 & .457 & .481
\end{tabular}

Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7 Year class = 1993
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fleet} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Estimated} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Int} & Ext \\
\hline & & vivors & & s.e & & s.e \\
\hline FLT01: NL Comm BT & & 399. & & . 182 & & . 070 \\
\hline FLT02: UK Comm OT & & 992. & & . 443 & & . 112 \\
\hline FLT03: NL BTS & 374. & . 407 & & & . 232 & . 57 \\
\hline FLT04: NL: SNS & & 373. & & . 216 & & . 271 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 273. & & . 50 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Weighted prediction :} \\
\hline Survivors Int & & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
\hline at end of year s.e & & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
\hline 396. & & . 09 & 27 & & . 526 & . 504 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class = 1992
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fleet} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Estimated} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Int} & Ext & Var & N & Scaled & Estimated \\
\hline & & Surv & vors & s.e & & s.e & Ratio & & Weights & F \\
\hline FLT01: & NL Comm BT & & 272. & . 194 & & . 087 & . 45 & 8 & 8.579 & . 462 \\
\hline FLT02: & UK Comm OT & & 645. & . 472 & & . 121 & . 26 & 8 & 8.103 & . 221 \\
\hline FLT03: & NL BTS & 309. & . 410 & & . 434 & 1.06 & 8 & . 065 & . 4 & \\
\hline FLT04: & NL: SNS & & 335. & . 205 & & . 056 & . 27 & 4 & . 015 & . 390 \\
\hline F shr & nkage mean & & 179. & . 50 & & & & & . 239 & . 639 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
272. & .17 & .10 & 29 & .562 & .462
\end{tabular}

Age 10 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class = 1991


Table 7.4.2 continued
Age 11 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class \(=1990\)
Fleet

FLT01: NL Comm BT
Estimated Int Ext Var N Scaled Estimated
Survivors s.e s.e Ratio Weights F

FLT02: UK Comm OT
FLTO4: NL: SNS
F shrinkage mean
Weighted prediction :
Survivors Int Ext N Var F
at end of year s.e
s.e Ratio
87. . 27


Age 12 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class \(=1989\)
Fleet

FLT01: NL Comm BT
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Estimated
Survivors}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Int} & Ext & Var & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{N} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Scaled Weights} & Estimated \\
\hline & & s.e & & s.e & Ratio & & & F \\
\hline & 75. & . 380 & & . 079 & . 21 & 11 & . 320 & . 565 \\
\hline & 178. & . 645 & & . 151 & . 23 & 11 & . 112 & . 278 \\
\hline 49. & . 346 & & . 248 & . 72 & 8 & . 010 & . 76 & \\
\hline & 61. & . 208 & & . 145 & . 69 & 4 & . 004 & . 662 \\
\hline & 43. & . 50 & & & & & . 554 & . 850 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
Survivors Int Ext N Var F
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
at end of year s.e & s.e & \multicolumn{3}{l}{ Ratio } \\
60. & .31 & .11 & 35 & .368 & .668
\end{tabular}

Age 13 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class \(=1988\)
Fleet
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
Estimated & Int & Ext & Var & N Scaled & Estimated \\
Survivors & s.e & s.e & Ratio & Weights & F \\
17. & .335 & .130 & .39 & 12 & .452 & .485 \\
85. & .621 & .130 & .21 & 12 & .110 & .117 \\
30. & .521 & .182 & .35 & 8 & .008 & .298 \\
33. & .279 & .184 & .66 & 3 & .001 & .274 \\
15. & .50 & & & & .428 & .519
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
19. & .27 & .11 & 36 & .399 & .431
\end{tabular}

Age 14 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class \(=1987\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fleet} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Estimated} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Int} & Ext & Var & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{N} & Scaled & Estimated \\
\hline & & Surv & vors & s.e & & s.e & Ratio & & Weights & F \\
\hline FLT01: & NL Comm BT & & 100. & . 232 & & . 050 & . 22 & 12 & . 663 & . 396 \\
\hline FLT02: & UK Comm OT & & 74. & . 591 & & . 182 & . 31 & 12 & . 069 & . 502 \\
\hline FLT03: & NL BTS & 122. & . 466 & & . 159 & . 34 & 7 & . 004 & . 33 & \\
\hline FLT04: & NL: SNS & & 117. & . 504 & & . 236 & . 47 & 2 & . 000 & . 347 \\
\hline F sh & inkage mean & & 66. & . 50 & & & & & . 264 & . 551 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllrl} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
88. & .21 & .05 & 34 & .252 & .440
\end{tabular}

Table 7.4.3 North Sea sole. Fishing mortality
Run title: Sole in IV
At 14/06/2002 12:23

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)


Table 7.4.3 continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Table 8 Fishing mortality ( \(F\) ) at age} \\
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.0184 & 0.0028 & 0.0028 & 0.0021 & 0.0024 & 0.0014 & 0 & 0.0011 & 0.0051 & 0.0018 \\
\hline 2 & 0.2312 & 0.3091 & 0.2855 & 0.3167 & 0.1436 & 0.2364 & 0.2364 & 0.1279 & 0.1364 & 0.09 \\
\hline 3 & 0.672 & 0.5978 & 0.7155 & 0.7204 & 0.6132 & 0.514 & 0.6513 & 0.5228 & 0.4149 & 0.4216 \\
\hline 4 & 0.5458 & 0.6742 & 0.6788 & 0.7623 & 0.6496 & 0.5978 & 0.7197 & 0.6678 & 0.5207 & 0.5516 \\
\hline 5 & 0.6411 & 0.3169 & 0.5822 & 0.5936 & 0.6587 & 0.4651 & 0.5891 & 0.4363 & 0.5552 & 0.7336 \\
\hline 6 & 0.5931 & 0.4896 & 0.6722 & 0.4356 & 0.7451 & 0.5359 & 0.4945 & 0.4073 & 0.5759 & 0.3974 \\
\hline 7 & 0.4349 & 0.453 & 0.5667 & 0.3549 & 0.4864 & 0.4269 & 0.4937 & 0.3034 & 0.4317 & 0.5908 \\
\hline 8 & 0.3617 & 0.4322 & 0.4137 & 0.4684 & 0.2848 & 0.3222 & 0.4075 & 0.3485 & 0.3955 & 0.5299 \\
\hline 9 & 0.396 & 0.3599 & 0.3859 & 0.4244 & 0.6793 & 0.3122 & 0.2794 & 0.3365 & 0.5018 & 0.3955 \\
\hline 10 & 0.4005 & 0.3108 & 0.3569 & 0.2215 & 0.8408 & 0.4962 & 0.2107 & 0.1676 & 0.2449 & 0.5029 \\
\hline 11 & 0.5408 & 0.5743 & 0.3281 & 0.4717 & 0.6662 & 0.4905 & 0.2671 & 0.3667 & 0.2992 & 0.4829 \\
\hline 12 & 0.4834 & 0.1803 & 0.3509 & 0.4913 & 0.9083 & 0.5524 & 0.447 & 0.4281 & 0.9967 & 0.5983 \\
\hline 13 & 0.2443 & 0.1392 & 0.2374 & 0.3554 & 0.63 & 0.8907 & 0.2714 & 0.3893 & 0.5775 & 0.6088 \\
\hline 14 & 0.4143 & 0.3137 & 0.3327 & 0.394 & 0.7483 & 0.5505 & 0.2959 & 0.3386 & 0.7465 & 0.6898 \\
\hline +gp & 0.4143 & 0.3137 & 0.3327 & 0.394 & 0.7483 & 0.5505 & 0.2959 & 0.3386 & 0.7465 & 0.6898 \\
\hline FBAR 2-8 & 0.4971 & 0.4676 & 0.5592 & 0.5217 & 0.5116 & 0.4426 & 0.5132 & 0.402 & 0.4329 & 0.4735 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Fishing mortality (F) at age} \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & FBAR 99-01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.0029 & 0.0008 & 0.0132 & 0.0537 & 0.0037 & 0.006 & 0.0022 & 0.0037 & 0.0205 & 0.0116 & 0.0119 \\
\hline 2 & 0.1181 & 0.1826 & 0.1401 & 0.304 & 0.2733 & 0.1555 & 0.2706 & 0.1669 & 0.2429 & 0.2898 & 0.2332 \\
\hline 3 & 0.4322 & 0.4157 & 0.4837 & 0.4435 & 0.6888 & 0.5735 & 0.6266 & 0.5788 & 0.536 & 0.5716 & 0.5621 \\
\hline 4 & 0.4611 & 0.5497 & 0.6167 & 0.7752 & 0.973 & 0.6846 & 0.7774 & 0.7354 & 0.7118 & 0.646 & 0.6977 \\
\hline 5 & 0.5109 & 0.8078 & 0.66 & 0.5776 & 0.7224 & 0.7935 & 0.7307 & 0.7588 & 0.6535 & 0.5946 & 0.669 \\
\hline 6 & 0.5812 & 0.6202 & 0.834 & 0.5155 & 0.7516 & 0.7974 & 0.7105 & 0.5318 & 0.7058 & 0.584 & 0.6072 \\
\hline 7 & 0.5909 & 0.7416 & 0.5922 & 0.6989 & 0.6687 & 0.4869 & 0.6981 & 0.4964 & 0.7178 & 0.4806 & 0.5649 \\
\hline 8 & 0.4779 & 0.4257 & 0.4757 & 0.6442 & 0.7516 & 0.7171 & 0.613 & 0.6244 & 0.6223 & 0.5041 & 0.5836 \\
\hline 9 & 0.5596 & 0.5469 & 0.6046 & 0.5587 & 0.7969 & 0.5749 & 0.7208 & 0.4939 & 0.5929 & 0.4623 & 0.5163 \\
\hline 10 & 0.4056 & 0.442 & 0.6207 & 0.403 & 1.1089 & 0.8808 & 0.9512 & 0.8141 & 1.0267 & 0.6688 & 0.8365 \\
\hline 11 & 0.486 & 0.4413 & 0.3021 & 0.2333 & 0.6454 & 0.3441 & 0.5897 & 0.3817 & 0.956 & 0.513 & 0.6169 \\
\hline 12 & 0.7916 & 0.5642 & 0.5825 & 0.4428 & 1.3706 & 0.5076 & 0.9933 & 0.4764 & 0.8801 & 0.6676 & 0.6747 \\
\hline 13 & 0.3694 & 0.5917 & 0.9953 & 0.2216 & 0.6151 & 0.5724 & 0.4753 & 0.4301 & 0.4931 & 0.4309 & 0.4514 \\
\hline 14 & 0.5059 & 0.6158 & 0.6686 & 0.6853 & 0.8466 & 0.5658 & 0.7179 & 0.509 & 0.9013 & 0.4396 & 0.6166 \\
\hline +gp & 0.5059 & 0.6158 & 0.6686 & 0.6853 & 0.8466 & 0.5658 & 0.7179 & 0.509 & 0.9013 & 0.4396 & \\
\hline FBAR 2-8 & 0.4532 & 0.5348 & 0.5432 & 0.5655 & 0.6899 & 0.6012 & 0.6324 & 0.5561 & 0.5986 & 0.5244 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7.4.4 North Sea sole, Stock numbers-at-age

Run title : Sole in IV
At 14/06/2002 12:23
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Table 10 & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Stock number at age (start of year)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Numbers*10**-3}} & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1957 & 1958 & 1959 & 1960 & & & & & & \\
\hline & AGE & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 165503 & 144953 & 559006 & 66859 & 115734 & & & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 78587 & 149753 & 131159 & 505810 & 60496 & & & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 106074 & 69762 & 133739 & 115197 & 446221 & & & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 70122 & 86327 & 55095 & 109573 & 90790 & & & & & \\
\hline & 5 & 25073 & 51424 & 64634 & 39958 & 83167 & & & & & \\
\hline & 6 & 25568 & 19109 & 37494 & 49946 & 27302 & & & & & \\
\hline & 7 & 37658 & 20353 & 13976 & 28439 & 37234 & & & & & \\
\hline & 8 & 15794 & 27874 & 15555 & 11499 & 21123 & & & & & \\
\hline & 9 & 7421 & 12642 & 20999 & 12148 & 8889 & & & & & \\
\hline & 10 & 46886 & 6230 & 9296 & 16552 & 9988 & & & & & \\
\hline & 11 & 1774 & 37308 & 4946 & 7112 & 12314 & & & & & \\
\hline & 12 & 1813 & 1447 & 28797 & 3775 & 5492 & & & & & \\
\hline & 13 & 327 & 1387 & 1204 & 23322 & 2926 & & & & & \\
\hline & 14 & 745 & 263 & 1058 & 993 & 18120 & & & & & \\
\hline & +gp & 3427 & 1966 & 3376 & 2431 & 2964 & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & TOTAL & 586770 & 630799 & 1080333 & 993614 & 942760 & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 \\
\hline & AGE & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 28345 & 23008 & 554353 & 121486 & 41181 & 75332 & 100099 & 50588 & 141484 & 41937 \\
\hline & 2 & 104720 & 25648 & 9354 & 501547 & 109925 & 37262 & 68163 & 89587 & 45397 & 126784 \\
\hline & 3 & 53827 & 93239 & 9997 & 8317 & 409015 & 87785 & 30210 & 45365 & 58307 & 35237 \\
\hline & 4 & 356400 & 42798 & 32591 & 7035 & 6489 & 242992 & 55001 & 14117 & 20643 & 28033 \\
\hline & 5 & 63944 & 266179 & 11945 & 24056 & 4845 & 4930 & 138893 & 26011 & 7707 & 10845 \\
\hline & 6 & 63454 & 43261 & 78761 & 7185 & 17009 & 3260 & 2602 & 79473 & 11752 & 5277 \\
\hline & 7 & 20238 & 47388 & 12175 & 54775 & 4141 & 11881 & 2440 & 1915 & 47997 & 7561 \\
\hline & 8 & 29939 & 13721 & 15021 & 8043 & 37672 & 3039 & 8925 & 1975 & 1418 & 32053 \\
\hline & 9 & 15043 & 23178 & 4034 & 11870 & 5797 & 28071 & 2027 & 6512 & 1555 & 1049 \\
\hline & 10 & 7248 & 11626 & 7260 & 2872 & 9290 & 4577 & 20599 & 1526 & 4760 & 1274 \\
\hline & 11 & 8096 & 5702 & 3728 & 5740 & 2228 & 7676 & 3630 & 14447 & 1106 & 3655 \\
\hline & 12 & 9514 & 5861 & 1680 & 2903 & 4597 & 1743 & 6366 & 3043 & 10255 & 840 \\
\hline & 13 & 3871 & 7680 & 1896 & 1313 & 2175 & 3710 & 1145 & 4980 & 2477 & 6981 \\
\hline & 14 & 2225 & 2399 & 1989 & 1265 & 882 & 1853 & 3026 & 958 & 3994 & 2014 \\
\hline & +gp & 14430 & 15701 & 3668 & 7541 & 7249 & 4575 & 4302 & 6596 & 7830 & 5889 \\
\hline 0 & TOTAL & 781294 & 627389 & 748451 & 765947 & 662494 & 518687 & 447427 & 347092 & 366682 & 309428 \\
\hline & YEAR & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
\hline & AGE & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 76954 & 106419 & 110814 & 41910 & 114341 & 140464 & 47052 & 11817 & 154662 & 149248 \\
\hline & 2 & 37547 & 69290 & 95623 & 100172 & 37670 & 102470 & 125435 & 42549 & 10684 & 139338 \\
\hline & 3 & 82978 & 26750 & 51064 & 71893 & 68805 & 30716 & 71479 & 89688 & 30720 & 8517 \\
\hline & 4 & 18162 & 40115 & 12045 & 25716 & 37908 & 35655 & 16309 & 36814 & 41991 & 15896 \\
\hline & 5 & 13230 & 9704 & 20900 & 5679 & 12123 & 20971 & 17703 & 8927 & 18034 & 21081 \\
\hline & 6 & 5547 & 7248 & 4951 & 12194 & 3153 & 6385 & 11898 & 9703 & 5228 & 9376 \\
\hline & 7 & 3403 & 3530 & 4348 & 2652 & 7391 & 1934 & 4085 & 6723 & 5715 & 3351 \\
\hline & 8 & 4628 & 2581 & 2249 & 2426 & 1648 & 4872 & 1446 & 2043 & 4422 & 3081 \\
\hline & 9 & 22141 & 3015 & 1608 & 1409 & 1333 & 968 & 3350 & 727 & 1073 & 2661 \\
\hline & 10 & 767 & 15557 & 1570 & 1074 & 792 & 802 & 633 & 2417 & 429 & 622 \\
\hline & 11 & 932 & 579 & 10903 & 841 & 749 & 522 & 525 & 391 & 1813 & 337 \\
\hline & 12 & 2521 & 748 & 314 & 7617 & 521 & 492 & 384 & 251 & 207 & 1246 \\
\hline & 13 & 483 & 1813 & 394 & 185 & 5080 & 346 & 329 & 231 & 116 & 138 \\
\hline & 14 & 4973 & 324 & 1166 & 326 & 144 & 3341 & 210 & 197 & 111 & 55 \\
\hline & +gp & 5640 & 3887 & 5340 & 3661 & 2842 & 2850 & 2710 & 1846 & 2061 & 871 \\
\hline 0 & TOTAL & 279903 & 291561 & 323288 & 277757 & 294500 & 352789 & 303550 & 214325 & 277264 & 355817 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7.4.4 continued


Table 7.5.1 North Sea sole. Indices of recruitment (input to RCT3)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year class & & VPA-1 & VPA-2 & VPA-3 & DFS INT-0 & SNS-1 & DFS INT-1 & SNS-2 & SNS-3 & Ger-3 & BTS-1 & BTS-2 \\
\hline & 1968 & 50588 & 45397 & 35237 & -11 & & -11 & 745 & 99 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1969 & 141484 & 126784 & 82978 & -11 & 4938 & -11 & 1961 & 161 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1970 & 41937 & 37547 & 26750 & -11 & 613 & -11 & 341 & 73 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1971 & 76954 & 69290 & 51064 & -11 & 1410 & -11 & 905 & 69 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1972 & 106419 & 95623 & 71893 & -11 & 4686 & -11 & 397 & 174 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1973 & 110814 & 100172 & 68805 & -11 & 1924 & -11 & 887 & 187 & 31.5 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1974 & 41910 & 37670 & 30716 & -11 & 597 & 2.86 & 79 & 77 & 16.3 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1975 & 114341 & 102470 & 71479 & 168.84 & 1413 & 6.95 & 762 & 267 & 34.4 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1976 & 140464 & 125435 & 89688 & 82.28 & 3724 & 9.69 & 1379 & 325 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1977 & 47052 & 42549 & 30720 & 33.80 & 1552 & 2.13 & 388 & 99 & 41.5 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1978 & 11817 & 10684 & 8517 & 96.87 & 104 & 2.27 & 80 & 51 & 1.9 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1979 & 154662 & 139338 & 98286 & 392.08 & 4483 & 48.21 & 1411 & 231 & 76.1 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1980 & 149248 & 134643 & 96684 & 404.00 & 3739 & 13.90 & 1124 & 107 & 77.1 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1981 & 153150 & 136045 & 90369 & 289.72 & 5098 & 14.06 & 1137 & 307 & 147.1 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1982 & 144182 & 130092 & 88477 & 330.38 & 2640 & 25.87 & 1081 & 159 & 77.8 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline & 1983 & 71321 & 64352 & 42421 & 115.96 & 2359 & 12.45 & 709 & 67 & 10.8 & -11 & 7.28 \\
\hline & 1984 & 81485 & 73574 & 57666 & 187.17 & 2151 & 3.32 & 465 & 59 & 29.8 & 2.64 & 4.58 \\
\hline & 1985 & 160722 & 145072 & 103630 & 292.92 & 3791 & 13.66 & 955 & 284 & 24.6 & 7.76 & 12.5 \\
\hline & 1986 & 73053 & 66012 & 47155 & 72.97 & 1890 & 6.19 & 594 & 248 & 20.3 & 6.96 & 12.81 \\
\hline & 1987 & 448821 & 406101 & 323331 & 527.45 & 11227 & 38.02 & 5369 & 907 & 66.9 & 81.23 & 67.76 \\
\hline & 1988 & 108878 & 98405 & 77684 & 56.08 & 3052 & 12.62 & 1078 & 527 & 86.4 & 8.67 & 22.33 \\
\hline & 1989 & 178585 & 160769 & 132949 & 62.77 & 2900 & 12.30 & 2515 & 319 & 54.1 & 22.44 & 23.2 \\
\hline & 1990 & 71371 & 64465 & 51832 & 22.54 & 1265 & 8.52 & 114 & 46 & 11.3 & 3.43 & 22.66 \\
\hline & 1991 & 352279 & 317823 & 239588 & 360.44 & 11081 & 17.66 & 3489 & 943 & 180.7 & 72.71 & 26.61 \\
\hline & 1992 & 69422 & 62765 & 49368 & 25.38 & 1351 & 10.60 & 475 & 126 & -11 & 4.63 & 4.95 \\
\hline & 1993 & 57347 & 51206 & 34189 & 25.01 & 559 & 6.12 & 234 & 27 & -11 & 5.94 & 8.68 \\
\hline & 1994 & 96501 & 82751 & 56970 & 74.25 & 1501 & 9.46 & 473 & 231 & 12.9 & 26.31 & 5.94 \\
\hline & 1995 & 48961 & 44138 & 34186 & 18.82 & 691 & 3.64 & 143 & 131 & 0.9 & 3.48 & 5.36 \\
\hline & 1996 & 279247 & 251160 & 173374 & 58.51 & 10132 & 19.92 & 1993 & 381 & 45.7 & 173.51 & 29.15 \\
\hline & 1997 & 119390 & 107797 & 82545 & 53.35 & 2875 & -11 & 919 & 189 & 13.6 & 14.16 & 19.51 \\
\hline & 1998 & 81109 & 73118 & 51891 & -11 & 1649 & -11 & 150 & 99 & -11 & 11.20 & 6.08 \\
\hline & 1999 & 121251 & 107487 & -11 & -11 & 1735 & 4.56 & 645 & -11 & -11 & 13.64 & 10.14 \\
\hline & \[
2000
\] & -11 & -11 & -11 & 16.15 & 958 & 3.07 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 8.11 & -11 \\
\hline & 2001 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 86.41 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline mean(68-98) & & 123366 & 110981 & 81705 & 167 & 3180 & 11 & 1044 & 229 & 48 & 30 & 17 \\
\hline DFS & & International & Demersal F & sh Survey - & - revised 0 \& & gp indices & WG2002 & & & & & \\
\hline BTS & & International & Beam Traw & Survey & & & & & & & & \\
\hline SNS & & Sole Net Sur & vey & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline GER & & German Sol & a survey & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
DFS: years in italics should not yet revised to include changes in English survey
}

Table 7.6.1 North Sea sole, Assessment Summary Table
Run title : Sole in IV
At 14/06/2002 12:23
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & RECRUITS Age 1 & TOTALBIO & TOTSPBIO & LANDINGS & YIELD/SSB & FBAR 2-8 \\
\hline 1957 & 165503 & 88541 & 78903 & 12067 & 0.1529 & 0.1369 \\
\hline 1958 & 144953 & 99676 & 85570 & 14287 & 0.167 & 0.1599 \\
\hline 1959 & 559006 & 116348 & 93191 & 13832 & 0.1484 & 0.1324 \\
\hline 1960 & 66859 & 138323 & 101245 & 18620 & 0.1839 & 0.1669 \\
\hline 1961 & 115734 & 156082 & 148954 & 23566 & 0.1582 & 0.1599 \\
\hline 1962 & 28345 & 156825 & 148786 & 26877 & 0.1806 & 0.1806 \\
\hline 1963 & 23008 & 150773 & 148403 & 26164 & 0.1763 & 0.2612 \\
\hline 1964 & 554353 & 68097 & 53583 & 11342 & 0.2117 & 0.2277 \\
\hline 1965 & 121486 & 122206 & 48953 & 17043 & 0.3482 & 0.2464 \\
\hline 1966 & 41181 & 113510 & 104785 & 33340 & 0.3182 & 0.2398 \\
\hline 1967 & 75332 & 109353 & 100874 & 33439 & 0.3315 & 0.3081 \\
\hline 1968 & 100099 & 99740 & 88922 & 33179 & 0.3731 & 0.3726 \\
\hline 1969 & 50588 & 83911 & 70373 & 27559 & 0.3916 & 0.4229 \\
\hline 1970 & 141484 & 72698 & 62942 & 19685 & 0.3128 & 0.3506 \\
\hline 1971 & 41937 & 72567 & 52377 & 23652 & 0.4516 & 0.4439 \\
\hline 1972 & 76954 & 64477 & 55733 & 21086 & 0.3783 & 0.393 \\
\hline 1973 & 106419 & 56341 & 41867 & 19309 & 0.4612 & 0.4519 \\
\hline 1974 & 110814 & 60119 & 42280 & 17989 & 0.4255 & 0.4624 \\
\hline 1975 & 41910 & 59312 & 43020 & 20773 & 0.4829 & 0.4617 \\
\hline 1976 & 114341 & 52828 & 43477 & 17326 & 0.3985 & 0.4046 \\
\hline 1977 & 140464 & 56024 & 36044 & 18003 & 0.4995 & 0.3817 \\
\hline 1978 & 47052 & 57670 & 38588 & 20280 & 0.5256 & 0.4934 \\
\hline 1979 & 11817 & 53012 & 46183 & 22598 & 0.4893 & 0.4609 \\
\hline 1980 & 154662 & 43731 & 36021 & 15807 & 0.4388 & 0.4427 \\
\hline 1981 & 149248 & 51264 & 24712 & 15403 & 0.6233 & 0.4483 \\
\hline 1982 & 153150 & 59895 & 34734 & 21579 & 0.6213 & 0.4971 \\
\hline 1983 & 144182 & 68312 & 42056 & 24927 & 0.5927 & 0.4676 \\
\hline 1984 & 71321 & 66105 & 45237 & 26839 & 0.5933 & 0.5592 \\
\hline 1985 & 81485 & 54664 & 42417 & 24248 & 0.5717 & 0.5217 \\
\hline 1986 & 160722 & 53181 & 35359 & 18201 & 0.5147 & 0.5116 \\
\hline 1987 & 73053 & 56705 & 30712 & 17368 & 0.5655 & 0.4426 \\
\hline 1988 & 448821 & 72076 & 40855 & 21590 & 0.5284 & 0.5132 \\
\hline 1989 & 108878 & 94893 & 35438 & 21805 & 0.6153 & 0.402 \\
\hline 1990 & 178585 & 113978 & 90485 & 35120 & 0.3881 & 0.4329 \\
\hline 1991 & 71371 & 103867 & 77951 & 33513 & 0.4299 & 0.4735 \\
\hline 1992 & 352279 & 104746 & 77076 & 29341 & 0.3807 & 0.4532 \\
\hline 1993 & 69422 & 99130 & 54977 & 31491 & 0.5728 & 0.5348 \\
\hline 1994 & 57347 & 85764 & 73922 & 33002 & 0.4464 & 0.5432 \\
\hline 1995 & 96501 & 71231 & 58674 & 30467 & 0.5193 & 0.5655 \\
\hline 1996 & 48961 & 51530 & 36917 & 22651 & 0.6136 & 0.6899 \\
\hline 1997 & 279247 & 49099 & 28516 & 14901 & 0.5226 & 0.6012 \\
\hline 1998 & 119390 & 62185 & 21053 & 20868 & 0.9912 & 0.6324 \\
\hline 1999 & 81109 * & 61458 & 43281 & 23475 & 0.5424 & 0.5561 \\
\hline 2000 & 121251* & 56847 & 40621 & 22532 & 0.5547 & 0.5986 \\
\hline 2001 & 80305* & 52322 & 32829 & 19849 & 0.6046 & 0.5244 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Arith.} \\
\hline Mean & 132910 & 80920 & 60864 & 22600 & 0.44 & 0.4163 \\
\hline 0 Units & (Thousands) & (Tonnes) & (Tonnes) & (Tonnes) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note * indices to be reviewed when Q3 surveys completed

Table 7.9.1 North Sea sole, Input data to yield-per-recruit
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & value & cv & Label & value & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number at age in 2002} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the catch} \\
\hline N1 & 98661 & 0.78 & WH1 & 0.125 & 0.4 \\
\hline N2 & 71550 & 0.19 & WH2 & 0.184 & 0.07 \\
\hline N3 & 72367 & 0.15 & WH3 & 0.213 & 0.09 \\
\hline N4 & 26491 & 0.13 & WH4 & 0.276 & 0.14 \\
\hline N5 & 20716 & 0.12 & WH5 & 0.33 & 0.16 \\
\hline N6 & 19491 & 0.14 & WH6 & 0.375 & 0.15 \\
\hline N7 & 1701 & 0.14 & WH7 & 0.423 & 0.18 \\
\hline N8 & 1278 & 0.15 & WH8 & 0.455 & 0.18 \\
\hline N9 & 396 & 0.16 & WH9 & 0.488 & 0.18 \\
\hline N10 & 272 & 0.17 & WH10 & 0.512 & 0.17 \\
\hline N11 & 504 & 0.22 & WH11 & 0.571 & 0.19 \\
\hline N12 & 87 & 0.27 & WH12 & 0.568 & 0.18 \\
\hline N13 & 59 & 0.31 & WH13 & 0.618 & 0.2 \\
\hline N14 & 19 & 0.27 & WH14 & 0.647 & 0.24 \\
\hline N15 & 142 & 0.21 & WH15 & 0.664 & 0.13 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Fishing mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the stock} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.011 & 0.66 & WS1 & 0.041 & 0.25 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.219 & 0.3 & WS2 & 0.135 & 0.18 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.527 & 0.1 & WS3 & 0.19 & 0.1 \\
\hline sH4 & 0.654 & 0.05 & WS4 & 0.259 & 0.16 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.627 & 0.12 & WS5 & 0.32 & 0.17 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.569 & 0.11 & WS6 & 0.367 & 0.17 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.53 & 0.17 & WS7 & 0.414 & 0.19 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.547 & 0.08 & WS8 & 0.441 & 0.21 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.484 & 0.07 & WS9 & 0.471 & 0.19 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.784 & 0.15 & WS10 & 0.493 & 0.19 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.578 & 0.43 & WS11 & 0.544 & 0.22 \\
\hline sH12 & 0.632 & 0.26 & WS12 & 0.562 & 0.24 \\
\hline sH13 & 0.423 & 0.04 & WS13 & 0.62 & 0.17 \\
\hline sH14 & 0.578 & 0.34 & WS14 & 0.642 & 0.28 \\
\hline sH15 & 0.578 & 0.34 & WS15 & 0.664 & 0.15 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline M2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT2 & 0 & 0.1 \\
\hline M3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT3 & 1 & 0.1 \\
\hline M4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT4 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT5 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT6 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT7 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT8 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M9 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT9 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M10 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT10 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M11 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT11 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M12 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT12 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M13 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT13 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M14 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT14 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M15 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT15 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort in} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year efect for natural mortality} \\
\hline HF02 & 1 & 0.07 & K02 & , & 0.1 \\
\hline HF03 & 1 & 0.07 & K03 & 1 & 0.1 \\
\hline HF04 & 1 & 0.07 & K04 & 1 & 0.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
R03 & 98661 & 0.78 \\
R04 & 98661 & 0.78
\end{tabular}

Proportion of F before spawning \(=0.00\)
Proportion of \(M\) before spawning \(=0.00\)
Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors except age \(1=\) GM

Figure 7.2.1 North Sea sole, Catch numbers-at-age since 1966


Figure 7.2.2 North Sea sole, trends in catch weights-at-age.



Figure 7.3.1 North Sea sole, Trends in effort and cpue



Figure 7.3.2 North Sea sole, Trends in survey indices at age.


Figure 7.4.1 North Sea sole: effect of changes to the catch-at-age database since WG 2001.


Fbar 2-8


Figure 7.4.2a Comparison between single-fleet XSA-runs and combined runs by fleet; F shrinkage 1.5.







Figure 7.4.2b \(\quad\) North Sea sole: log-catchability residuals by fleet for combined fleet XSA; F shrinkage 0.5.


Figure 7.4.3
North Sea sole. Log survey cpue adjusted to start of the year (x-axis) against log VPA population numbers.


Figure 7.4.4 North Sea sole. Estimates of SSB and F2-8 in 2001 under different XSA fleet options.

all: 2 commercial, 2 surveys, final run shrinkage 0.5
comm: 2 commercial fleets only
surveys - 2 surveys only
bts 1-9: Neth BT survey ages 1-9 only bts 1-6: Neth BT survey ages 1-6 only sns: Neth SNS survey predWG01: WG 2001 pred SSB at SQF

Figure 7.4.5 North Sea sole. Sensitivity of analyses to different XSA tuning options.



Figure 7.4.6 North Sea sole. Weighting of tuning fleets in 1999 (top), 2000 (middle), and 2001 (bottom).




Figure 7.4.7 North Sea sole. Retrospective analysis




Figure 7.6.1
Sole in Subarea IV (North Sea). Summary plots.





Figure 7.9.1 North Sea sole, Yield-per-recruit


Figure 7.9.2 North Sea sole. Spawning stock per recruit


Figure 7.9.3 North Sea sole. Precautionary Approach plot


Figure 7.10.1 North Sea sole. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




\section*{8.1}

The Fishery

There is a directed fishery for sole by small inshore vessels using trammel nets and trawls, who fish mainly along the English and French coasts and possibly exploit different coastal populations. There is also a directed fishery by English and Belgian beam trawlers who are able to direct effort to different ICES divisions. These vessels are able to fish for sole in the winter before the fish move inshore and become accessible to the local fleets. In cold winters, sole are particularly vulnerable to the offshore beamers when they aggregate in localised areas of deeper water. Effort from the beam trawl fleet can change considerably depending on whether the fleet moves to other areas or directs effort at other species such as scallops and cuttlefish. A third fleet is made up of French offshore trawlers fishing for mixed demersal species and taking sole as a by-catch.

\subsection*{8.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002}

In 2000 ACFM recommended to reduce F below \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\), corresponding to landings in 2001 of less than 4700 t . In 2001 ACFM considered the stock to be within safe biological limits, and recommended that fishing mortality should be maintained below the proposed \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\), corresponding to landings in 2002 of less than 5200 t , which was also set as TAC.

\subsection*{8.1.2 Management applicable to 2002}

Minimum mesh size for trawling is 80 mm . Under the EU legislation, for fisheries targeting sole in NEACF Regions 1 and 2 with static gears, the minimum mesh size should be 100 mm . Derogation for fisheries targeting sole in ICES Divisions VIId and IVc permit the use of static gears with a minimum mesh size of 90 mm .

\subsection*{8.1.3 Landings in 2001}

Landing data reported to ICES are shown in Table 8.1.1 together with the total landings estimated by the Working Group. The unallocated landings are mainly due to the misreporting by beam trawlers fishing from adjacent areas. There is also thought to be a considerable under-reporting by small vessels, which take up to \(60 \%\) of the landings in the Eastern Channel; however, it has not been possible to quantify the level of these for inclusion in the assessment.

The 2001 landings used by the Working Group were 4350 t , which is \(5 \%\) below the agreed TAC of 4600 t and around the catch predicted at status quo fishing mortality in 2001 (4 430 t).
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Year & TAC & WG Landings \\
\hline 2000 & 4100 & 3649 \\
2001 & 4600 & 4350 \\
2002 & 5200 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{8.2} Natural Mortality, Maturity, Age Compositions, and Weight-at-Age

Natural mortality was assumed constant over ages and years at 0.1 , and the maturity ogive used was knife-edged with sole regarded as fully mature at age 3 and older.

Age sampling for the period before 1980 was poor, but between 1981 and 1984 quarterly samples were provided by both Belgium and England. Since 1985, quarterly catch and weight-at-age compositions were available from Belgium, France, and England.

In previous years, stock weights were calculated from a smoothed curve of the catch weights interpolated to the \(1^{\text {st }}\) January. This year, second quarter catch weights were used as stock weights in order to be consistent with North Sea sole. Last year's assessment was re-run with the new stock weights for comparison. This resulted in slightly higher estimates of the spawning stock.

The age composition data and the mean weight-at-age in the catch and stock are shown in Tables 8.2.1 to 8.2.3 and Figure 8.2.1. There are some downward trends in weight for the older ages.

Discarding is expected to be similar as for North Sea sole.

Catch per unit effort and effort data are shown for 4 main commercial fleets in Table 8.3.1 and Figure 8.3.1. Effort increased from 1975 onwards and peaked in the late eighties. Since then, it has fluctuated around a higher level compared to the beginning of the time-series. cpue has fluctuated around a constant level over the time-series. The strong cpue increase in the French otter trawl fleet since 1999 can be explained by the increase in the recorded landings.

Abundance indices from the English beam trawl survey are shown in Table 8.3.2 and Figure 8.3.3. In 1999 a large increase in abundance for the \(3+\) fish was noticed as the strong 1996 year class recruited to the spawning stock. The abundance for the \(3+\) fish decreases again in 2000 but remains above the abundance of the previous years.

The English and French Young Fish Survey were combined into an International Young Fish Survey. The two surveys operate with the same gear (beam trawl) during the same period (September) in two different nursery areas. Previous analysis (Riou et al, 2001) has shown that asynchronous spawning occurs for flatfish in Division VIId. Therefore both surveys were combined based on weighting of the individual index with the area nursery surface sampled. Taking into account the low, medium, and high potential area of recruitment, the French YFS got a weight index of \(55 \%\) and the English YFS of \(45 \%\). Figure 8.3.2 gives the recruitment indices for the English, French, and International Young Fish Survey. Estimates between and within the two surveys are not always consistent.

\subsection*{8.4 Catch-at-Age Analysis}

\subsection*{8.4.1 Data screening}

Year range and age range: A separable analysis was run to examine the consistency of the age composition. The results are shown in Table 8.4.1. As last year, the residuals on ages \(1 / 2\) were high. There were high residuals at ages older than 11 , and these ages were subsequently combined into an \(11+\) group.

\subsection*{8.4.2 Exploratory XSA runs}

Three commercial fleets, i.e. the Belgian Beam Trawl fleet (BEL BT), the UK Beam Trawl fleet (UK BT), the French Otter Trawl fleet (FR OT), and two surveys, i.e. the UK Beam Trawl Survey (UK BTS) and the International Young Fish Survey (YFS) were available for the tuning (Table 8.4.2).

Each fleet was initially run separately, over the full year range and with low shrinkage (s.e. 1.5). The log-catchability residuals are plotted in Figure 8.4.1. Trends in log-catchability residuals for the final XSA are plotted in Figure 8.4.2.

French age composition data became available from 1986 onwards and French landings take half of the catch. Therefore the analysis started from 1986 onwards. Furthermore, there are some large catchability residuals in the years prior to 1986.

The French otter trawl fleet was excluded from the tuning series because of the observed increase in cpue, caused by the increase of reported landings.

Survivor estimates were shrunk towards the mean F over the last 5 years and 5 ages, as there was no further reason to restrict shrinkage to 4 years and 4 ages. Comparison of the two settings did not reveal any differences.

A run with surveys only in the tuning, resulted in lower estimates of the spawning stock and higher estimates of fishing mortality, especially in the last 5 years. However, this setting gave strong retrospective patterns (overestimation of SSB and underestimation of \(F\) ).

SSB vs. F estimates in 2001 for the single fleets and two XSA runs are shown in Figure 8.4.3. The UK and French commercial fleets predict low values of F and high values of SSB compared to the Belgian fleet. The surveys give contradicting information. However, the young fish survey uses only age 1 in the tuning.

\subsection*{8.4.3 Final XSA run}

The input parameters for the final runs used in the 2001 and 2002 assessment are compared below:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline year of assessment & 2001 & 2002 \\
\hline Assessment model & XSA & XSA \\
\hline BEL beamtrawl & 1986-2000 2-9 & \begin{tabular}{ll}
\(1986-\) & \(\mathbf{2 - 1 0}\) \\
2001 &
\end{tabular} \\
\hline UK beamtrawl & 1986-2000 \(\quad 2-10\) & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
1986- \\
2001 & 2-10
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline FR ottertrawl & 1991-2000 3-10 & not used \\
\hline UK BTS & \[
\text { 1986-2000 } \quad 1-6
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
1986- \\
2001 & 1-6
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline UK YFS & \[
1986-2000 \quad 1
\] & not used \\
\hline FR YFS & \[
1987-2000 \quad 1
\] & not used \\
\hline International YFS & & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
1987- & \\
2001 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Time-series weights & none & none \\
\hline Power model used for catchability Catchability plateau age &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { none } \\
& 7 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Surv. est. shrunk towards mean F s.e. of the means & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { years / } 4 \text { ages } \\
& 0.5
\end{aligned}
\] & 5 years / 5 ages 0.5 \\
\hline Min. stand. error for pop. estimates & \[
0.3
\] & \[
0.3
\] \\
\hline & none & none \\
\hline Number of iterations Convergence & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 57 \\
& \text { yes }
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The input fleets used in the final XSA run are given in Table 8.4.2 and tuning results using the selected parameters, in Table 8.4.3. Fishing mortality and stock number-at-age are presented in Table 8.4.4 and 8.4.5. Scaled weights for the commercial fleets, the surveys, and F shrinkage for the final run are presented in Figure 8.4.4. This figure gives also the scaled weights from last year's final run. In either cases, the surveys get more than \(50 \%\) of the weight-at-age 1 and less weight at older ages. In general, F shrinkage gets low weights for all ages greater than 2.

Last year, fishing mortality in 2000 was estimated to be 0.34 , while this year the fishing mortality in 2000 was estimated to be 0.45 .

A retrospective analysis using F shrinkage (s.e. 0.5) was taken over the full year range. Results are shown in Figure 8.4.5. The retrospective pattern is similar to the pattern in 2001. SSB tends to be slightly overestimated (1\%), while F tends to be underestimated ( \(8 \%\) ) (averages over the last three years).

\subsection*{8.5 Recruitment Estimates}

Recruit indices were available for 1- and 2-gp sole from the English beam trawl survey, which covers most of VIId in August, and for 0 - and 1-gp from English and French coastal young fish surveys. The latter two are combined in the International Young Fish Survey. The input file to RCT3 is given in Table 8.5.1 and the output in Tables 8.5.2-3.

2001 year class: One survey estimate was available (International Young Fish Survey) for the estimation of the 2001 year class at age 1. Estimates from the English beam trawl survey covering the whole of VIId were not available (as the survey is carried out in August). The YFS estimate gets a low weight ( \(10 \%\) ) in RCT3 (high standard errors and low r \({ }^{2}\) ). Therefore, the GM 82-99 ( 23.0 million) was used as an estimate for this year class.

2000 year class: The 2000 year class at age 2 was estimated at 43.9 million by XSA and 23.5 million by RCT3. The survey estimates in RCT3 received \(56 \%\) weighting. Since there is variable information coming from the XSA estimate for this year class together with high F shrinkage (which increased the XSA estimate), the RCT3 estimate for the 2000 year class was accepted.

The table below gives an overview of the estimates for year classes 2000-2001 obtained by the different methods.
\begin{tabular}{lccccc}
\hline Year class & \begin{tabular}{l} 
At age in \\
2002
\end{tabular} & XSA & GM 82-99 & RCT3 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Accepted \\
Estimate
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 0 0}\) & 2 & 43850 & 20026 & \(\underline{23454}\) & RCT3 \\
\(\mathbf{2 0 0 1}\) & 1 & - & \(\underline{23054}\) & 23717 & GM 1982-99 \\
\(\mathbf{2 0 0 2} \boldsymbol{\& 2 0 0 3}\) & recruits & - & \(\underline{23054}\) & - & GM 1982-99 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.6 Historical Stock Trends}

Trends in yield, fishing mortality, SSB, and recruitment are shown in Table 8.6.1 and Figure 8.6.1. Landings have been rather constant over the time-series. Fishing mortality has been variable over the period and peaked in the periods 198789 and 1996-99. There appears to be a general increase in recruitment in recent years.

\subsection*{8.7 Short-Term Forecast and Sensitivity Analysis}

The input data for the catch forecasts are given in Table 8.7.1. Stock numbers in 2002 were taken from the XSA output for age 3 and older, from RCT3 for age 2, and from the GM for age 1 and the recruits in 2003 and 2004. An exploitation pattern for the period 1999-2001 scaled to \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}(3-8)\) in 2001 was used ( \(\mathrm{F}_{3-8}=0.34\) ). Catch and stock weights-at-age were the mean for the period 1999-2001, and the proportions of \(M\) and \(F\) before spawning were set to zero.

The results of the status quo catch prediction are given in Table 8.7.2 and a detailed output by age in Table 8.7.3. The predicted status quo landings in 2002 are estimated to be 4860 t , compared to a TAC of 5200 t . The predicted status quo landings in 2003 are estimated to be 4720 t . At \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {sq }}\) spawning stock biomass is forecast to stay at the same level (from 14800 t in 2002 to 15100 t in 2004). A plot of the short-term yield and SSB is shown in Figure 8.7.3.

Figure 8.7.1 shows the sensitivity of the predicted yields in 2003 and the predicted biomasses in 2004 to the input parameters. They also show the partial variances (proportions), and how the variability in the input parameters contributes to the variance of the predicted yield and biomasses. The variability of the F multiplier in 2003 has a major influence on the variance and sensitivity of the yield in 2003. Spawning stock biomass in 2004 is most sensitive to the estimate of the 2001 year class, and the maturity and stock weights-at-age 5.

Probability profiles of SSB in 2004 assuming status quo F , and the probability that F in 2003 will exceed status quo F at different 2003 catch levels are given in Figure 8.7.2. The probability that SSB in 2004 will fall below the \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(8 \mathrm{kt})\) is very low at \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {sq }}\).

Table 8.7.4 shows the contribution of different year classes to the landings and SSB under status quo assumptions. The bulk of the landings in 2003 and SSB in 2004 will consist of the year classes 1998 to 2000.

\subsection*{8.8 Medium-term Projections}

Last year, medium-term analysis was carried out based on a Ricker stock-recruitment model. ACFM considered this stock-recruitment curve inappropriate for this stock and suggested to use the Ockham model. Comparison of both models is given in Figure 8.8.1. The main difference lies in the trajectory to the origin.

As the Aberdeen software does not allow for the Ockham model, and the Ricker curve was considered to be inappropriate, no medium-term analysis is presented.

\subsection*{8.9 Biological Reference Points}

The input data for the yield-per-recruit analysis are given in Table 8.9.1. Mean weights were taken from 1986-2001. Figure 8.9.1 shows the yield and SSB per recruit assuming status quo F in 2002. Figure 8.9.2 shows the relationship between stock and recruitment and gives the calculated reference points. The current level of \(\mathrm{F}_{3-8}\) is below \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) and above \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}\).

The precautionary reference points were not reviewed in this assessment. The management reference points proposed by ACFM are shown below together with the estimated reference points calculated from the recent assessment:
\begin{tabular}{ccc|cccc}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{c|}{ Management } & \multicolumn{4}{c}{ Estimated } \\
\hline \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{0.1}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\max }\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) \\
\hline 8000 t & 0.4 & 0.55 & 0.34 & 0.13 & 0.28 & 0.40 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Historical SSBs and F values are plotted in Figure 8.9.3 into zones according to the proposed precautionary reference points. Sole in VIId is considered to be within safe biological limits.

\subsection*{8.10 Comments on the Assessment}

Uncertainties in the current assessment are (1) under-reporting by important segments of the inshore fleet, since this fleet takes a major part of the landings of sole in VIId, (2) misreporting of beam trawl fleets fishing in adjacent areas, and (3) the poor quality of data at the youngest ages (because of a low sampling level), although data are improving over the last 5 years.

In order to cope with the problem of underreporting by some segments of the inshore fleet, a study should be carried out to investigate the magnitude of this problem.

There appears to be a decline in fishing mortality over the last three years, but this is not supported by a downward trend in effort.

There appears to be a general increase in recruitment in recent years, with strong year classes in 1996, 1998, and 1999. However, the latter strong year class is not well established yet.

\subsection*{8.11 Management Considerations}

The stock is considered within safe biological limits, although there is a tendency to underestimate F.

The cumulative probability distribution from the sensitivity analysis indicates that the probability of the spawning biomass being below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) in 2004 is small.

Sole is mainly taken in fisheries with plaice as a by-catch.

Table 8.1.1 Sole in VIId. Nominal landings (tonnes) as officially reported to ICES and used by the Working Group
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Belgium & France & & UK(E+W) & others & reported & Unallocated* & Total used by WG & TAC \\
\hline 1974 & 159 & 469 & & 309 & 3 & 940 & -56 & 884 & \\
\hline 1975 & 132 & 464 & & 244 & 1 & 841 & 41 & 882 & \\
\hline 1976 & 203 & 599 & & 404 & . & 1206 & 99 & 1305 & \\
\hline 1977 & 225 & 737 & & 315 & . & 1277 & 58 & 1335 & \\
\hline 1978 & 241 & 782 & & 366 & . & 1389 & 200 & 1589 & \\
\hline 1979 & 311 & 1129 & & 402 & . & 1842 & 373 & 2215 & \\
\hline 1980 & 302 & 1075 & & 159 & . & 1536 & 387 & 1923 & \\
\hline 1981 & 464 & 1513 & & 160 & . & 2137 & 340 & 2477 & \\
\hline 1982 & 525 & 1828 & & 317 & 4 & 2674 & 516 & 3190 & \\
\hline 1983 & 502 & 1120 & & 419 & . & 2041 & 1417 & 3458 & \\
\hline 1984 & 592 & 1309 & & 505 & . & 2406 & 1169 & 3575 & \\
\hline 1985 & 568 & 2545 & & 520 & . & 3633 & 204 & 3837 & \\
\hline 1986 & 858 & 1528 & & 551 & . & 2937 & 1087 & 4024 & \\
\hline 1987 & 1100 & 2086 & & 655 & . & 3841 & 1133 & 4974 & 3850 \\
\hline 1988 & 667 & 2057 & & 578 & . & 3302 & 680 & 3982 & 3850 \\
\hline 1989 & 646 & 1610 & & 689 & . & 2945 & 1242 & 4187 & 3850 \\
\hline 1990 & 996 & 1255 & & 742 & . & 2993 & 1067 & 4060 & 3850 \\
\hline 1991 & 904 & 2054 & & 825 & . & 3783 & 599 & 4382 & 3850 \\
\hline 1992 & 891 & 2187 & & 706 & 10 & 3794 & 348 & 4142 & 3500 \\
\hline 1993 & 917 & 1907 & & 610 & 13 & 3447 & 1064 & 4511 & 3200 \\
\hline 1994 & 940 & 2001 & & 701 & 15 & 3657 & 984 & 4641 & 3800 \\
\hline 1995 & 817 & 2248 & & 669 & 9 & 3743 & 840 & 4583 & 3800 \\
\hline 1996 & 899 & 2322 & & 877 & . & 4098 & 927 & 5025 & 3500 \\
\hline 1997 & 1306 & 1702 & & 933 & . & 3941 & 1042 & 4983 & 5230 \\
\hline 1998 & 541 & 1703 & ** & 803 & & 3047 & 647 & 3694 & 5230 \\
\hline 1999 & 880 & 2239 & ** & 769 & & 3888 & 350 & 4238 & 4700 \\
\hline 2000 & 1021 & 2171 & & 621 & & 3813 & -164 & 3649 & 4100 \\
\hline 2001 & 1313 & 2436 & & 816 & & 4565 & -215 & 4350 & 4600 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* Unallocated mainly due misreporting
** Preliminary
}

\section*{Table 8.2.1 Sole in VIId. Catch numbers at age (Numbers*10**3)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 155 & 0 & 24 & 49 & 49 & 9 & 95 & 163 & 1271 & 383 \\
\hline 2 & 2625 & 852 & 1977 & 3693 & 1264 & 3284 & 2227 & 3704 & 3092 & 7381 \\
\hline 3 & 5256 & 3452 & 3157 & 5211 & 5377 & 3827 & 7393 & 3424 & 6326 & 3796 \\
\hline 4 & 1727 & 3930 & 2610 & 1646 & 3273 & 3417 & 1648 & 4842 & 1257 & 4316 \\
\hline 5 & 570 & 897 & 1900 & 1027 & 925 & 2166 & 1219 & 1530 & 1654 & 585 \\
\hline 6 & 653 & 735 & 742 & 1860 & 790 & 1064 & 910 & 943 & 329 & 1003 \\
\hline 7 & 549 & 627 & 457 & 144 & 1087 & 1110 & 400 & 651 & 432 & 256 \\
\hline 8 & 240 & 333 & 317 & 158 & 156 & 828 & 268 & 218 & 293 & 257 \\
\hline 9 & 122 & 108 & 136 & 156 & 192 & 114 & 280 & 181 & 138 & 272 \\
\hline 10 & 83 & 89 & 99 & 69 & 216 & 163 & 84 & 270 & 139 & 95 \\
\hline +gp & 202 & 193 & 238 & 128 & 381 & 469 & 284 & 329 & 556 & 395 \\
\hline TOTALNUM & 12182 & 11216 & 11657 & 14141 & 13710 & 16451 & 14808 & 16255 & 15487 & 18739 \\
\hline TONSLAND & 3190 & 3458 & 3575 & 3837 & 4024 & 4974 & 3982 & 4187 & 4060 & 4382 \\
\hline SOPCOF \% & 97 & 99 & 99 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 99 & 100 \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 106 & 85 & 34 & 683 & 11 & 30 & 41 & 182 & 145 & 184 \\
\hline 2 & 4082 & 5225 & 783 & 2974 & 2055 & 1740 & 1814 & 3512 & 3787 & 6488 \\
\hline 3 & 8967 & 6716 & 6660 & 4558 & 7934 & 6444 & 5929 & 9126 & 5368 & 6615 \\
\hline 4 & 1886 & 5735 & 6152 & 5003 & 3081 & 5228 & 2890 & 3543 & 4914 & 1760 \\
\hline 5 & 2065 & 1057 & 3514 & 3090 & 3381 & 2157 & 1760 & 1406 & 1227 & 2671 \\
\hline 6 & 295 & 645 & 613 & 2052 & 1896 & 1840 & 651 & 945 & 577 & 798 \\
\hline 7 & 382 & 171 & 613 & 394 & 1332 & 992 & 654 & 379 & 376 & 319 \\
\hline 8 & 140 & 206 & 112 & 310 & 288 & 841 & 494 & 731 & 163 & 159 \\
\hline 9 & 184 & 123 & 154 & 95 & 351 & 255 & 394 & 379 & 380 & 65 \\
\hline 10 & 98 & 67 & 94 & 111 & 112 & 199 & 251 & 209 & 170 & 102 \\
\hline +gp & 237 & 145 & 278 & 247 & 375 & 298 & 354 & 389 & 292 & 304 \\
\hline TOTALNUM & 18442 & 20175 & 19007 & 19517 & 20816 & 20024 & 15232 & 20801 & 17399 & 19465 \\
\hline TONSLAND & 4142 & 4511 & 4643 & 4583 & 5025 & 4983 & 3694 & 4238 & 3649 & 4350 \\
\hline SOPCOF \% & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 98 & 100 & 93 & 94 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8.2.2 Sole in VIId. Catch weights at age (kg)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.102 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.09 & 0.135 & 0.095 & 0.102 & 0.106 & 0.121 & 0.114 \\
\hline 2 & 0.171 & 0.173 & 0.178 & 0.182 & 0.179 & 0.176 & 0.152 & 0.156 & 0.18 & 0.161 \\
\hline 3 & 0.225 & 0.23 & 0.234 & 0.23 & 0.212 & 0.236 & 0.226 & 0.193 & 0.24 & 0.211 \\
\hline 4 & 0.312 & 0.302 & 0.314 & 0.281 & 0.306 & 0.295 & 0.278 & 0.274 & 0.291 & 0.267 \\
\hline 5 & 0.386 & 0.404 & 0.38 & 0.368 & 0.362 & 0.353 & 0.358 & 0.295 & 0.351 & 0.349 \\
\hline 6 & 0.428 & 0.436 & 0.436 & 0.394 & 0.385 & 0.407 & 0.407 & 0.357 & 0.343 & 0.39 \\
\hline 7 & 0.439 & 0.435 & 0.417 & 0.516 & 0.435 & 0.412 & 0.458 & 0.391 & 0.469 & 0.415 \\
\hline 8 & 0.509 & 0.524 & 0.538 & 0.543 & 0.519 & 0.479 & 0.509 & 0.469 & 0.463 & 0.426 \\
\hline 9 & 0.502 & 0.537 & 0.529 & 0.594 & 0.501 & 0.463 & 0.551 & 0.516 & 0.489 & 0.433 \\
\hline 10 & 0.463 & 0.583 & 0.565 & 0.595 & 0.524 & 0.538 & 0.559 & 0.538 & 0.519 & 0.477 \\
\hline +gp & 0.6729 & 0.6283 & 0.7135 & 0.8005 & 0.6029 & 0.6192 & 0.6662 & 0.7047 & 0.5667 & 0.559 \\
\hline SOPCOFAC & 0.9713 & 0.991 & 0.9884 & 0.998 & 1.0044 & 1.0003 & 0.997 & 0.9974 & 0.9949 & 1.0004 \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.103 & 0.085 & 0.099 & 0.127 & 0.142 & 0.139 & 0.133 & 0.133 & 0.146 & 0.111 \\
\hline 2 & 0.153 & 0.148 & 0.151 & 0.174 & 0.167 & 0.155 & 0.16 & 0.153 & 0.143 & 0.154 \\
\hline 3 & 0.202 & 0.197 & 0.188 & 0.18 & 0.179 & 0.189 & 0.174 & 0.193 & 0.175 & 0.211 \\
\hline 4 & 0.267 & 0.245 & 0.236 & 0.233 & 0.23 & 0.233 & 0.236 & 0.219 & 0.223 & 0.28 \\
\hline 5 & 0.291 & 0.331 & 0.29 & 0.257 & 0.272 & 0.291 & 0.285 & 0.264 & 0.335 & 0.286 \\
\hline 6 & 0.399 & 0.374 & 0.354 & 0.332 & 0.323 & 0.341 & 0.341 & 0.285 & 0.379 & 0.329 \\
\hline 7 & 0.386 & 0.528 & 0.38 & 0.356 & 0.36 & 0.385 & 0.379 & 0.295 & 0.426 & 0.361 \\
\hline 8 & 0.455 & 0.54 & 0.505 & 0.38 & 0.403 & 0.401 & 0.412 & 0.347 & 0.431 & 0.361 \\
\hline 9 & 0.445 & 0.505 & 0.492 & 0.48 & 0.436 & 0.495 & 0.48 & 0.363 & 0.387 & 0.48 \\
\hline 10 & 0.461 & 0.742 & 0.496 & 0.49 & 0.461 & 0.469 & 0.432 & 0.379 & 0.461 & 0.488 \\
\hline +gp & 0.5576 & 0.6467 & 0.6155 & 0.6419 & 0.5852 & 0.6428 & 0.6043 & 0.5452 & 0.6841 & 0.5346 \\
\hline SOPCOFAC & 1.0006 & 1.0009 & 0.9997 & 1.0001 & 0.9999 & 0.978 & 0.9995 & 0.9348 & 0.9397 & 0.9999 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8.2.3 Sole in VIId. Stock weights at age (kg)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.059 & 0.07 & 0.067 & 0.065 & 0.07 & 0.072 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 \\
\hline 2 & 0.114 & 0.135 & 0.131 & 0.129 & 0.136 & 0.139 & 0.145 & 0.115 & 0.139 & 0.138 \\
\hline 3 & 0.167 & 0.197 & 0.192 & 0.192 & 0.198 & 0.203 & 0.223 & 0.184 & 0.231 & 0.224 \\
\hline 4 & 0.217 & 0.255 & 0.249 & 0.254 & 0.256 & 0.262 & 0.268 & 0.272 & 0.302 & 0.278 \\
\hline 5 & 0.263 & 0.309 & 0.304 & 0.315 & 0.309 & 0.318 & 0.365 & 0.324 & 0.39 & 0.377 \\
\hline 6 & 0.306 & 0.359 & 0.355 & 0.376 & 0.358 & 0.37 & 0.424 & 0.336 & 0.363 & 0.382 \\
\hline 7 & 0.347 & 0.406 & 0.403 & 0.436 & 0.403 & 0.417 & 0.476 & 0.469 & 0.464 & 0.408 \\
\hline 8 & 0.384 & 0.448 & 0.448 & 0.495 & 0.443 & 0.461 & 0.494 & 0.494 & 0.515 & 0.441 \\
\hline 9 & 0.418 & 0.487 & 0.49 & 0.554 & 0.48 & 0.5 & 0.566 & 0.559 & 0.561 & 0.468 \\
\hline 10 & 0.45 & 0.522 & 0.529 & 0.611 & 0.512 & 0.536 & 0.636 & 0.519 & 0.497 & 0.444 \\
\hline +gp & 0.53 & 0.6008 & 0.6265 & 0.7798 & 0.5761 & 0.6156 & 0.7536 & 0.7119 & 0.5588 & 0.6097 \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 \\
\hline 2 & 0.144 & 0.131 & 0.111 & 0.126 & 0.155 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.131 & 0.123 & 0.125 \\
\hline 3 & 0.199 & 0.188 & 0.159 & 0.128 & 0.175 & 0.167 & 0.16 & 0.159 & 0.148 & 0.179 \\
\hline 4 & 0.275 & 0.243 & 0.217 & 0.22 & 0.259 & 0.221 & 0.233 & 0.191 & 0.209 & 0.235 \\
\hline 5 & 0.301 & 0.356 & 0.278 & 0.234 & 0.286 & 0.265 & 0.296 & 0.275 & 0.402 & 0.263 \\
\hline 6 & 0.448 & 0.363 & 0.325 & 0.338 & 0.308 & 0.318 & 0.368 & 0.305 & 0.438 & 0.277 \\
\hline 7 & 0.398 & 0.531 & 0.371 & 0.365 & 0.367 & 0.372 & 0.353 & 0.366 & 0.395 & 0.324 \\
\hline 8 & 0.449 & 0.543 & 0.536 & 0.335 & 0.395 & 0.402 & 0.351 & 0.34 & 0.552 & 0.327 \\
\hline 9 & 0.416 & 0.546 & 0.483 & 0.633 & 0.435 & 0.559 & 0.44 & 0.448 & 0.444 & 0.423 \\
\hline 10 & 0.524 & 0.782 & 0.476 & 0.381 & 0.467 & 0.492 & 0.365 & 0.348 & 0.417 & 0.408 \\
\hline +gp & 0.5213 & 0.548 & 0.6305 & 0.6347 & 0.6355 & 0.6469 & 0.5589 & 0.4937 & 0.6854 & 0.5394 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8.3.1 Sole in VIId. Catch per unit effort and effort data
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{ Catch per unit effort } \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{ Year } & Belgium & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
UK
\end{tabular}} & France \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Beam trawl \\
(kg/10hr) \\
HP corr
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Trammel \\
(kg/day)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Beam trawl \\
\((\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr})\) \\
GRT corr *
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Trawl \\
\(\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}^{*} \mathrm{kw}{ }^{\star} 10-4\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } 1975 & 24.1 & & 11.5 & \\
1976 & 27.3 & & 10.5 & \\
1977 & 30.0 & & 11.0 & \\
1978 & 26.3 & & 9.1 & \\
1979 & 37.4 & & 8.3 & \\
1980 & 23.3 & & 15.2 & \\
1981 & 24.5 & & 13.7 & \\
1982 & 23.6 & & 11.2 & \\
1983 & 22.4 & & 21.4 & \\
1984 & 21.6 & & 13.3 & \\
1985 & 22.9 & 33.8 & 12.8 & \\
1986 & 33.5 & 38.9 & 10.9 & \\
1987 & 36.6 & 31.6 & 11.0 & \\
1988 & 15.9 & 33.8 & 11.3 & \\
1989 & 16.8 & 28.2 & 10.6 & \\
1990 & 25.9 & 20.2 & 11.9 & \\
1991 & 22.6 & 31.8 & 8.1 & 18.5 \\
1992 & 29.1 & 30.1 & 8.0 & 18.1 \\
1993 & 34.8 & 18.7 & 8.4 & 21.6 \\
1994 & 27.9 & 21.1 & 9.2 & 17.8 \\
1995 & 24.7 & 21.8 & 9.0 & 18.5 \\
1996 & 29.8 & 31.2 & 10.3 & 19.8 \\
1997 & 32.6 & 32.8 & 9.9 & 14.4 \\
1998 & 23.5 & 21.1 & 11.1 & 17.3 \\
1999 & 26.4 & 35.2 & 12.0 & 30.4 \\
2000 & 24.5 & 28.1 & 10.0 & 29.1 \\
2001 & 24.6 & 25.8 & 11.3 & 46.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Effort} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & Belgium & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{UK} & France \\
\hline & Beam trawl ('000 hr) HP corr & Trammel (days at sea) & Beam trawl
('000 hr) * & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Trawl } \\
\left(h^{*} k w^{*} 10-4\right)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1975 & 5.0 & & & \\
\hline 1976 & 6.6 & & & \\
\hline 1977 & 6.9 & & & \\
\hline 1978 & 8.2 & & & \\
\hline 1979 & 7.3 & & & \\
\hline 1980 & 12.8 & & 2.7 & \\
\hline 1981 & 19.0 & & 2.3 & \\
\hline 1982 & 23.9 & & 4.2 & \\
\hline 1983 & 23.6 & & 2.7 & \\
\hline 1984 & 28.0 & & 2.9 & \\
\hline 1985 & 25.3 & 6243 & 9.1 & \\
\hline 1986 & 23.5 & 5863 & 12.9 & \\
\hline 1987 & 27.1 & 7192 & 24.3 & \\
\hline 1988 & 38.5 & 6943 & 19.0 & \\
\hline 1989 & 35.7 & 8380 & 33.3 & \\
\hline 1990 & 30.3 & 13541 & 33.4 & \\
\hline 1991 & 24.3 & 12188 & 30.4 & 10689 \\
\hline 1992 & 22.0 & 8547 & 37.1 & 10519 \\
\hline 1993 & 20.0 & 9062 & 29.3 & 10217 \\
\hline 1994 & 25.2 & 10756 & 28.1 & 10609 \\
\hline 1995 & 24.2 & 10571 & 28.6 & 12384 \\
\hline 1996 & 25.0 & 8531 & 39.1 & 14088 \\
\hline 1997 & 30.9 & 10066 & 39.6 & 10921 \\
\hline 1998 & 18.1 & 10307 & 33.5 & 11707 \\
\hline 1999 & 21.4 & 7862 & 27.2 & 10625 \\
\hline 2000 & 30.5 & 6398 & 29.2 & 13779 \\
\hline 2001 & 32.4 & 6514 & 26.0 & 11376 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8.3.2 Sole in VIId. English beam trawl survey numbers per hr raised to 8 m beam trawl equivalent
(mean no/rectangle, averaged across rectangles).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10+ & 1+ & \(3+\) \\
\hline 1988 & 8.2 & 14.2 & 9.9 & 0.8 & 1.3 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & & 35.4 & 13.0 \\
\hline 1989 & 2.6 & 15.4 & 3.4 & 1.7 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 24.7 & 6.7 \\
\hline 1990 & 12.1 & 3.7 & 3.4 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 21.4 & 5.6 \\
\hline 1991 & 8.9 & 22.8 & 2.2 & 2.3 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 37.8 & 6.1 \\
\hline 1992 & 1.4 & 12.0 & 10.0 & 0.7 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 27.2 & 13.8 \\
\hline 1993 & 0.5 & 17.5 & 8.4 & 7.0 & 0.8 & 1.0 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 36.1 & 18.1 \\
\hline 1994 & 4.8 & 3.2 & 8.3 & 3.3 & 3.3 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 24.3 & 16.4 \\
\hline 1995 & 3.5 & 10.6 & 1.5 & 2.3 & 1.2 & 1.5 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 21.5 & 7.4 \\
\hline 1996 & 3.5 & 7.3 & 3.8 & 0.7 & 1.3 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 19.6 & 8.8 \\
\hline 1997 & 19.0 & 7.3 & 3.2 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 33.4 & 7.1 \\
\hline 1998 & 2.0 & 21.2 & 2.5 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 28.4 & 5.2 \\
\hline 1999 & 28.1 & 9.4 & 13.2 & 2.5 & 1.7 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 0.5 & 58.9 & 21.3 \\
\hline 2000 & 10.5 & 22.0 & 4.1 & 4.2 & 1.0 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 1.2 & 44.3 & 11.8 \\
\hline 2001 & 9.1 & 21.0 & 8.4 & 1.2 & 1.9 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 44.1 & 14.0 \\
\hline mean & 8.1 & 13.4 & 5.9 & 2.1 & 1.2 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 32.6 & 11.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{At 14/06/2002 10:09}

Separable analysis
from 1982 to 2001 on ages 1 to 14
with Terminal \(F\) of .450 on age 3 and Terminal \(S\) of .500
itial sum of squared residuals was 495.986 and
final sum of squared residuals is 93.070 after 98 iterations
Matrix of Residuals


Table 8.4.2
SOLE 7d,TUNING
106 belgian bt


UK BT
FR OT *
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
1991 & 2001 & \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 15 & 138.9 \\
10689 & 121.1 & 57.4 \\
10519 & 528.1 & 243.6 \\
10217 & 397.8 & 288.0 \\
10609 & 328.0 & 283.2 \\
12384 & 292.0 & 223.2 \\
14088 & 558.6 & 189.7 \\
10921 & 164.6 & 164.1 \\
11707 & 497.5 & 136.2 \\
10625 & 642.2 & 212.1 \\
13799 & 180.5 & 562.6 \\
11376 & 935.1 & 201.1
\end{tabular}

Sole in VIId. Tuning Fleets.

FR OT *
\begin{tabular}{rr}
1981 & 2001 \\
1 & 1 \\
2 & 15
\end{tabular}
2.3
1
2
1
1
\begin{tabular}{ll}
19.0 & 362.0 \\
33.3 & 310.0 \\
33.4 & 199.8 \\
\hline 30.4
\end{tabular}
30.4
37.1
29.3
28.1
28.6
39.1
39
33
37
39.6
33.5
\begin{tabular}{rr}
27.2 & 350.3 \\
29.0 & 298.9 \\
26.0 & 722.3
\end{tabular}
31.2
137.2
38.4
34.8
295.0
185.4
152.3
402.6
186.9
662.3
200.3
684.6
358.5
394.0
136.3
376.0
504.4
337.9
613.7
342.0
631.1
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrrr}
6.7 & 25.7 & 8.5 & 1.9 & 2.3 & 1.6 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 2.8 \\
10.1 & 3.3 & 14.1 & 1.8 & 1.8 & 1.9 & 4.5 & 1.1 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 2.3 \\
118.6 & 2.0 & 2.8 & 6.9 & 4.4 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.7 & 1.3 \\
26.1 & 30.1 & 2.6 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 1.5 \\
43.8 & 21.9 & 79.8 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 4.9 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 1.8 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
128.7 & 35.9 & 36.9 & 50.5 & 1.5 & 3.1 & 6.7 & 3.3 & 3.6 & 2.0 & 2.2 & 6.8 \\
206.4 & 142.6 & 26.8 & 21.0 & 54.1 & 2.1 & 0.6 & 4.8 & 1.5 & 2.2 & 4.7 & 3.5 \\
81.8 & 94.4 & 61.4 & 13.4 & 17.6 & 25.6 & 2.6 & 0.4 & 6.7 & 7.1 & 0.0 & 0.3 \\
369.7 & 44.0 & 81.7 & 60.5 & 12.7 & 10.8 & 42.6 & 2.5 & 1.1 & 5.0 & 6.8 & 34.5 \\
97.2 & 146.7 & 29.1 & 34.2 & 34.7 & 8.7 & 15.0 & 48.6 & 4.1 & 1.1 & 6.8 & 17.7 \\
287.8 & 12.3 & 45.9 & 7.5 & 11.0 & 16.3 & 4.1 & 2.7 & 12.7 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 7.4 \\
105.6 & 215.2 & 15.0 & 26.1 & 8.2 & 19.0 & 6.6 & 3.0 & 1.9 & 4.2 & 0.1 & 3.3 \\
357.3 & 56.9 & 86.8 & 8.6 & 17.7 & 7.4 & 5.0 & 5.5 & 1.9 & 2.1 & 3.5 & 4.6 \\
217.4 & 170.0 & 41.6 & 68.3 & 6.7 & 15.8 & 4.9 & 5.9 & 5.5 & 3.6 & 2.4 & 13.9 \\
291.6 & 140.5 & 124.3 & 24.4 & 51.3 & 7.2 & 13.1 & 2.6 & 5.9 & 6.1 & 1.2 & 10.8 \\
118.1 & 251.3 & 127.7 & 101.8 & 26.3 & 50.5 & 6.3 & 13.5 & 6.3 & 8.0 & 5.4 & 18.2 \\
239.9 & 64.2 & 180.2 & 75.3 & 71.0 & 16.6 & 33.1 & 4.0 & 10.4 & 1.7 & 5.4 & 12.1 \\
185.8 & 125.1 & 41.7 & 94.1 & 54.3 & 43.0 & 10.8 & 22.9 & 4.0 & 10.2 & 2.8 & 17.5 \\
214.2 & 87.8 & 64.8 & 25.3 & 54.0 & 26.7 & 14.8 & 7.1 & 7.7 & 1.4 & 5.1 & 8.5 \\
320.9 & 102.1 & 47.5 & 33.1 & 12.7 & 39.8 & 17.9 & 10.6 & 4.4 & 7.6 & 1.1 & 14.3 \\
219.6 & 236.2 & 92.8 & 39.5 & 42.0 & 12.5 & 29.7 & 25.8 & 10.8 & 3.0 & 6.6 & 10.0
\end{tabular}

Table 8.4.2 Sole in VIId. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{UK BTS} \\
\hline 1988 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75 & & & \\
\hline 1 & 6 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 8.2 & 14.2 & 9.9 & 0.8 & 1.3 & 0.6 \\
\hline 1 & 2.6 & 15.4 & 3.4 & 1.7 & 0.6 & 0.2 \\
\hline 1 & 12.1 & 3.7 & 3.4 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.2 \\
\hline 1 & 8.9 & 22.8 & 2.2 & 2.3 & 0.3 & 0.5 \\
\hline 1 & 1.4 & 12.0 & 10.0 & 0.7 & 1.1 & 0.3 \\
\hline 1 & 0.5 & 17.5 & 8.4 & 7.0 & 0.8 & 1.0 \\
\hline 1 & 4.8 & 3.2 & 8.3 & 3.3 & 3.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 1 & 3.5 & 10.6 & 1.5 & 2.3 & 1.2 & 1.5 \\
\hline 1 & 3.5 & 7.3 & 3.8 & 0.7 & 1.3 & 0.9 \\
\hline 1 & 19.0 & 7.3 & 3.2 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 0.5 \\
\hline 1 & 2.0 & 21.2 & 2.5 & 1.0 & 0.9 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 28.1 & 9.4 & 13.2 & 2.5 & 1.7 & 1.3 \\
\hline 1 & 10.49 & 22.03 & 4.15 & 4.24 & 1.03 & 0.58 \\
\hline 1 & 9.09 & 21.01 & 8.36 & 1.20 & 1.91 & 0.54 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{YFS**} \\
\hline 1987 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75 & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.07 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.17 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.14 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.54 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.38 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.22 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.03 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.70 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.28 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.15 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.03 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.10 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.35 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.31 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1.21 & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
* Not used in the assessment \\
** UK and French Young Fish Survey combined
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Tuning diagnostics}

Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1
14/06/2002 17:03

Extended Survivors Analysis
Sole in VIId
CPUE data from file d:lwgnssk\2002lvpaltun2.txt
Catch data for 20 years. 1982 to 2001 . Ages 1 to 11 .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet & \begin{tabular}{l}
Firs \\
year
\end{tabular} & Last year & First age & & Last age & & Alpha & Beta \\
\hline BEL BT & 1986 & 2001 & & 2 & & 10 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline UK BT & 1986 & 2001 & & 2 & & 10 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline UK BTS & 1988 & 2001 & & 1 & & 6 & 0.5 & 0.75 \\
\hline YFS & 1987 & 2001 & & 1 & & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Time series weights :

Tapered time weighting not applied
Catchability analysis:

Catchability independent of stock size for all ages

Catchability independent of age for ages \(>=7\)

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F of the final 5 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk \(=.500\)

Minimum standard error for population estimates derived from each fleet \(=.300\)

Prior weighting not applied

Tuning converged after 37 iterations

Regression weights
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Fishing mortalities} \\
\hline Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline 1 & 0.003 & 0.005 & 0.001 & 0.035 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.002 & 0.006 & 0.003 & 0.004 \\
\hline 2 & 0.143 & 0.191 & 0.054 & 0.135 & 0.125 & 0.099 & 0.064 & 0.23 & 0.142 & 0.143 \\
\hline 3 & 0.392 & 0.329 & 0.351 & 0.446 & 0.556 & 0.616 & 0.496 & 0.462 & 0.573 & 0.35 \\
\hline 4 & 0.378 & 0.415 & 0.501 & 0.429 & 0.544 & 0.781 & 0.548 & 0.551 & 0.431 & 0.329 \\
\hline 5 & 0.486 & 0.334 & 0.428 & 0.448 & 0.51 & 0.819 & 0.58 & 0.499 & 0.331 & 0.391 \\
\hline 6 & 0.318 & 0.243 & 0.294 & 0.422 & 0.484 & 0.511 & 0.55 & 0.629 & 0.347 & 0.331 \\
\hline 7 & 0.364 & 0.274 & 0.342 & 0.278 & 0.473 & 0.446 & 0.304 & 0.637 & 0.486 & 0.292 \\
\hline 8 & 0.265 & 0.304 & 0.259 & 0.258 & 0.299 & 0.548 & 0.371 & 0.578 & 0.551 & 0.346 \\
\hline 9 & 0.45 & 0.349 & 0.347 & 0.325 & 0.46 & 0.417 & 0.475 & 0.479 & 0.597 & 0.392 \\
\hline 10 & 0.471 & 0.26 & 0.436 & 0.402 & 0.693 & 0.456 & 0.828 & 0.44 & 0.363 & 0.277 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline YEAR & & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline & 1992 & \(3.51 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(3.21 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.91 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(6.30 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(5.64 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.14 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.31 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(6.32 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(5.34 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.74 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1993 & \(1.73 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 3.17E+04 & \(2.52 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.78 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(3.91 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(3.14 \mathrm{E}+03\) & 7.50E+02 & 8.26E+02 & \(4.38 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(3.08 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1994 & \(2.74 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 1.56E+04 & \(2.37 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.64 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.06 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.53 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.23 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(5.16 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(5.52 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.80 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1995 & \(2.11 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.47 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.33 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.51 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 8.99E+03 & 6.26E+03 & \(1.71 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.43 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(3.60 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(3.53 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1996 & \(2.15 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 1.84E+04 & \(1.95 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(7.72 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(8.90 \mathrm{E}+03\) & 5.19E+03 & \(3.72 \mathrm{E}+03\) & 1.17E+03 & \(1.00 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.36 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1997 & \(3.38 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 1.95E+04 & \(1.47 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.01 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(4.06 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(4.83 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.90 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.10 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(7.86 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(5.72 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1998 & \(1.99 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 3.05E+04 & \(1.59 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(7.20 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(4.20 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.62 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.62 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.68 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.10 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(4.69 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 1999 & \(3.33 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.80 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.59 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(8.79 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(3.76 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.13 \mathrm{E}+03\) & 8.45E+02 & \(1.75 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.05 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(6.17 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 2000 & \(5.67 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(3.00 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.29 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.48 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(4.58 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.07 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.03 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(4.04 \mathrm{E}+02\) & 8.89E+02 & \(5.87 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline & 2001 & \(4.87 \mathrm{E}+04\) & 5.12E+04 & \(2.35 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(6.61 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(8.68 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(2.98 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1.32 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(5.72 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(2.11 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(4.43 \mathrm{E}+02\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
```

0.00E+00 4.39E+04 4.01E+04 1.50E+04 4.30E+03 5.32E+03 1.94E+03 8.93E+02 3.66E+02 1.29E+02

```

Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\(2.50 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 2.14 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.61 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 8.67 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 4.75 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 2.70 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 1.58 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 9.41 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 5.87 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 3.69 \mathrm{E}+02\)
Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
\begin{tabular}{llllllllll}
0.4428 & 0.4181 & 0.3727 & 0.4393 & 0.4518 & 0.4565 & 0.4779 & 0.473 & 0.4445 & 0.3702
\end{tabular}

Log catchability residuals.

Fleet : BEL BT
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
Age & \multicolumn{6}{c}{1986} & 1987 \\
& 1988 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
& 2 & 0.25 & 0.79 & -0.52 & -2.35 & 1.36 & -0.53 \\
& 3 & 0.66 & -0.29 & -0.51 & -0.08 & 0.04 & 0.78 \\
& 4 & 0.14 & 0.3 & -0.81 & -0.45 & -0.2 & 0.07 \\
5 & -0.19 & 0.5 & -0.33 & 0.88 & -0.12 & -0.14 \\
& -0.17 & 0.82 & -0.27 & 0.22 & -0.31 & 0.67 \\
& 7 & -0.13 & 0.49 & -0.15 & 0.27 & 0.47 & -0.15 \\
& 8 & -0.24 & 0.09 & -0.88 & -0.29 & -0.26 & -0.08 \\
& 0 & 0.25 & -0.07 & -0.4 & -0.44 & 0.08 & -0.56 \\
& 10 & 0.18 & 1.19 & 0.77 & -1.5 & -0.17 & 0.19
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline & 1 & \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{No data for this fleet at this age} \\
\hline & 2 & 0.19 & 1.53 & -0.06 & -0.53 & 0.05 & -0.6 & -0.27 & 0.54 & 0.07 & 0.09 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.05 & 0.2 & -0.08 & -0.33 & -0.11 & 0.26 & -0.38 & -0.21 & 0.29 & -0.3 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.32 & -0.07 & 0.53 & -0.37 & 0.25 & 0.3 & 0.13 & 0.3 & 0.02 & -0.47 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.24 & -0.17 & 0.22 & -0.13 & -0.18 & 0.42 & -0.23 & 0.24 & -0.64 & -0.37 \\
\hline & 6 & -0.59 & -0.79 & 0.29 & 0.07 & 0.1 & 0.15 & -0.24 & -0.1 & -0.17 & 0.32 \\
\hline & 7 & -0.23 & -0.17 & 0.09 & -0.23 & 0.2 & 0.17 & -0.21 & 0.03 & -0.24 & -0.21 \\
\hline & 8 & -0.42 & -0.2 & 0.11 & -0.98 & -0.26 & -0.19 & 0.07 & -0.1 & 0.69 & -0.55 \\
\hline & 9 & -0.05 & 0.38 & -0.07 & -0.03 & 0.1 & -0.21 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.04 & -0.27 \\
\hline & 10 & -0.41 & -0.52 & 0.96 & -0.55 & 0.83 & -0.53 & -0.49 & -0.29 & -0.14 & -0.85 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
Mean Log q & -7.3309 & -5.7961 & -5.6732 & -5.5187 & -5.7302 & -5.6056 & -5.6056 & -5.6056 & -5.6056 \\
S.E(Log q) & 0.8952 & 0.3651 & 0.3662 & 0.3837 & 0.4197 & 0.2513 & 0.4534 & 0.2622 & 0.7341
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics:

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Regs.e Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2 & 1.07 & -0.11 & 7.15 & 0.17 & 16 & 0.99 & -7.33 \\
3 & 1.22 & -0.728 & 4.93 & 0.44 & 16 & 0.45 & -5.8 \\
4 & 0.82 & 1.033 & 6.29 & 0.7 & 16 & 0.3 & -5.67 \\
5 & 1.1 & -0.415 & 5.21 & 0.54 & 16 & 0.44 & -5.52 \\
6 & 0.79 & 1.102 & 6.17 & 0.67 & 16 & 0.33 & -5.73 \\
7 & 0.86 & 1.297 & 5.86 & 0.85 & 16 & 0.21 & -5.61 \\
8 & 1.15 & -0.634 & 5.67 & 0.57 & 16 & 0.46 & -5.82 \\
9 & 0.96 & 0.279 & 5.7 & 0.79 & 16 & 0.25 & -5.68 \\
10 & 9.28 & -2.12 & 3.47 & 0 & 16 & 6.09 & -5.69 \\
1 & & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

Fleet : UK BT
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
Age & \multicolumn{6}{c}{1986} & 1987 \\
& 1 & No data for this & fleet at this age & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
2 & -0.52 & 0.42 & 0.64 & -0.04 & 0.01 & 0.03 \\
3 & 0.32 & -0.1 & 0.47 & 0.15 & 0.38 & -0.19 \\
4 & 0.31 & 0.36 & 0.04 & 0.46 & 0.12 & 0.17 \\
5 & 0.03 & 0.48 & 0.52 & -0.4 & 0.35 & -1.19 \\
6 & 0.11 & -0.42 & 0.39 & 0.28 & -0.27 & -0.17 \\
7 & 0.52 & -0.42 & -0.17 & 0.42 & 0.01 & -1.07 \\
& -1.25 & 0.55 & 0.35 & -0.26 & 0.4 & -0.55 \\
& -0.6 & -1.06 & 0.64 & -0.2 & -0.12 & 0.35 \\
& -0.09 & -2.28 & -0.02 & 1.17 & 0.72 & -0.21
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Age} & & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline & 1 & \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{No data for this fleet at this age} \\
\hline & 2 & -0.37 & -0.3 & -1.31 & -0.22 & 0.42 & 0.29 & 0.21 & 0.61 & -0.16 & 0.3 \\
\hline & 3 & -0.16 & -0.46 & -0.25 & -0.71 & -0.34 & 0.25 & -0.12 & 0.19 & 0.28 & 0.3 \\
\hline & 4 & -0.57 & -0.14 & -0.47 & -0.15 & -0.64 & -0.11 & 0.04 & 0.19 & -0.04 & 0.44 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.41 & -0.39 & -0.21 & -0.24 & 0.07 & -0.39 & 0.3 & 0.23 & 0.05 & 0.38 \\
\hline & 6 & -0.8 & 0.14 & -0.31 & -0.08 & -0.15 & 0.26 & 0.08 & 0.49 & 0.02 & 0.42 \\
\hline & 7 & -0.31 & -0.67 & 0.39 & -0.42 & 0.01 & -0.07 & 0.35 & 0.53 & 0.47 & 0.42 \\
\hline & 8 & -0.78 & -0.03 & -0.51 & 0.49 & -0.27 & 0.24 & 0.28 & 0.54 & 0.48 & 1.34 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.31 & -0.24 & 0.33 & -0.06 & 0.61 & -0.29 & 0.52 & 0.3 & 0.85 & 1.15 \\
\hline & 10 & -0.07 & -0.33 & -0.13 & 0.59 & 0.08 & 0.74 & 0.15 & 0.22 & 0.36 & 1.22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
Mean Log q & -7.6943 & -6.9635 & -6.9 & -7.0093 & -6.9421 & -6.9985 & -6.9985 & -6.9985 & -6.9985 \\
S.E(Log q) & 0.4934 & 0.3404 & 0.3406 & 0.453 & 0.3459 & 0.481 & 0.6409 & 0.5901 & 0.8109
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics:

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Slope} & t-value & Intercept & RSquare & No Pts & Reg s.e & Mean Q \\
\hline & 2 & 0.97 & 0.111 & 7.77 & 0.45 & 16 & 0.49 & -7.69 \\
\hline & 3 & 1.05 & -0.202 & 6.83 & 0.54 & 16 & 0.37 & -6.96 \\
\hline & 4 & 1.16 & -0.694 & 6.54 & 0.56 & 16 & 0.4 & -6.9 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.71 & 1.731 & 7.45 & 0.71 & 16 & 0.3 & -7.01 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.78 & 1.453 & 7.15 & 0.76 & 16 & 0.26 & -6.94 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.78 & 1.156 & 7.09 & 0.66 & 16 & 0.37 & -7 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.72 & 1.26 & 6.91 & 0.59 & 16 & 0.45 & -6.93 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.79 & 0.817 & 6.75 & 0.53 & 16 & 0.46 & -6.84 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.64 & 1.085 & 6.54 & 0.4 & 16 & 0.51 & -6.87 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fleet : UK BTS
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr} 
Age & & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
& 1 & 99.99 & 99.99 & 0.54 & -0.15 & 0.43 & 0.34 \\
& 2 & 99.99 & 99.99 & 1.1 & 0.28 & -0.64 & 0.21 \\
& 3 & 99.99 & 99.99 & 0.67 & 0.64 & -0.43 & -0.34 \\
& 4 & 99.99 & 99.99 & -0.23 & 0.06 & 0.13 & 0.2 \\
& 5 & 99.99 & 99.99 & 0.43 & 0.12 & -0.08 & -0.24 \\
& 6 & 99.99 & 99.99 & 0.16 & -0.75 & -0.3 & 0.21 \\
7 & No data for this fleet at this age & & & \\
& 8 & No data for this fleet at this age & & & \\
& 9 & No data for this fleet at this age & & & \\
10 & No data for this fleet at this age & & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline & 1 & -1.49 & -1.81 & -0.01 & -0.04 & -0.08 & 1.16 & -0.57 & 1.56 & 0.05 & 0.06 \\
\hline & 2 & -0.26 & 0.16 & -0.91 & -0.13 & -0.21 & -0.28 & 0.31 & 0.13 & 0.41 & -0.17 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.17 & 0.09 & 0.16 & -0.92 & -0.3 & -0.16 & -0.56 & 0.6 & 0.21 & 0.17 \\
\hline & 4 & -0.56 & 0.73 & 0.11 & -0.21 & -0.66 & -0.17 & -0.23 & 0.49 & 0.42 & -0.1 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.01 & -0.03 & 0.44 & -0.39 & -0.26 & -1.16 & 0.16 & 0.86 & 0.06 & 0.07 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.35 & 0.49 & -0.87 & 0.32 & 0.03 & -0.47 & -0.96 & 1.38 & 0.43 & -0.02 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{7 No data for this fleet at this age} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{8 No data for this fleet at this age} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{9 No data for this fleet at this age} \\
\hline & 10 & data for & fleet a & age & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
Age & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
Mean Log q & -8.5777 & -7.48 & -7.8329 & -8.2481 & -8.1896 & -8.3281 \\
S.E(Log q) & 0.8865 & 0.49 & 0.4739 & 0.3881 & 0.4636 & 0.6312
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{llllllllll} 
Age & \multicolumn{2}{l}{ Slope } & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ t-value } & Intercept & RSquare & No Pts & Reg s.e & Mean Q \\
& & & & & & & & \\
& 1 & 0.54 & 1.404 & 9.37 & 0.43 & 14 & 0.46 & -8.58 \\
2 & 1.01 & -0.042 & 7.44 & 0.43 & 14 & 0.52 & -7.48 \\
& 3 & 0.86 & 0.469 & 8.11 & 0.48 & 14 & 0.42 & -7.83 \\
& 4 & 0.74 & 1.689 & 8.48 & 0.77 & 14 & 0.27 & -8.25 \\
& 5 & 0.98 & 0.085 & 8.2 & 0.53 & 14 & 0.47 & -8.19 \\
& 0.96 & 0.109 & 8.31 & 0.39 & 14 & 0.63 & -8.33
\end{tabular}

Fleet : YFS


\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Age & 1 \\
Mean Log q & -10.3576 \\
S.E(Log q) & 0.4957
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics:

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{llllllllll} 
Age & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Slope } & t-value & Intercept & RSquare & No Pts & Regs.e & Mean Q \\
1 & 1.79 & -1.548 & 10.46 & 0.23 & 15 & 0.85 & -10.36 \\
1 & & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 1 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=2000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int } \\
& \text { s.e }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext } \\
& \text { s.e }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var Ratio & N & \begin{tabular}{l}
Scaled \\
Weights
\end{tabular} & Estimated F \\
\hline BEL BT & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline UK BT & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline UK BTS & 46437 & 0.918 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.132 & 0.004 \\
\hline YFS & 25443 & 0.512 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.423 & 0.007 \\
\hline F shrinkage & 72309 & 0.5 & & & & 0.445 & 0.002 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rllllll} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
43850 & 0.33 & 0.45 & & 3 & 1.339 & 0.004
\end{tabular}

Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1999\)


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rllllll} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
40114 & 0.24 & 0.09 & & 6 & 0.392 & 0.143
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

\section*{Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age}

Year class \(=1998\)


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rlllllll} 
Survivors & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Int } & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
\begin{tabular}{rlrl} 
at end of year & s.e & s.e & \\
15012
\end{tabular} & 0.17 & 0.15 & & 9 & 0.911 & 0.35
\end{tabular}

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class = 1997
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet & & Int & Ext & Var & N & & Scaled & Estimated \\
\hline & & s.e & s.e & Ratio & & & Weights & F \\
\hline BEL BT & 3672 & 0.267 & 0.274 & 1.03 & & 3 & 0.262 & 0.376 \\
\hline UK BT & 6501 & 0.235 & 0.074 & 0.31 & & 3 & 0.328 & 0.229 \\
\hline UK BTS & 4225 & 0.27 & 0.105 & 0.39 & & 4 & 0.246 & 0.334 \\
\hline YFS & 4059 & 0.512 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0.039 & 0.345 \\
\hline F shrinkage & 2163 & 0.5 & & & & & 0.126 & 0.573 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rllllll} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & \multicolumn{2}{l}{\begin{tabular}{l} 
Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \\
4304 & 0.14 & 0.13 & & 12 & 0.922 & 0.329
\end{tabular}

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1996\)


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rllllll} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
5317 & 0.13 & 0.09 & & 15 & 0.728 & 0.391
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survivors & Int & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
\hline at end of year & s.e & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
\hline 1937 & 0.12 & 0.1 & & 18 & 0.782 & 0.331 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1994\)

\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Survivors & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Int } & Ext & N & & Var & \(F\) \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
893 & 0.12 & 0.08 & & 20 & 0.655 & 0.292
\end{tabular}

Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7

Year class = 1993
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet & 1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int } \\
& \text { s.e }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext } \\
& \text { s.e }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & N & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Scaled \\
Weights
\end{tabular} & Estimated F \\
\hline BEL BT & 272 & 0.203 & 0.082 & 0.4 & & 7 & 0.463 & 0.442 \\
\hline UK BT & 658 & 0.235 & 0.198 & 0.84 & & 7 & 0.286 & 0.207 \\
\hline UK BTS & 552 & 0.271 & 0.297 & 1.09 & & 6 & 0.076 & 0.242 \\
\hline YFS & 714 & 0.512 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0.005 & 0.192 \\
\hline F shrinkage & 251 & 0.5 & & & & & 0.171 & 0.471 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Survivors & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Int } & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & & Ratio & \\
366 & & 0.14 & 0.12 & & 22 & 0.828
\end{tabular}\(\quad 0.346\)

\section*{Table 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Continued}

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class = 1992


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rllllll} 
Survivors & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Int } & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & \multicolumn{2}{l}{\begin{tabular}{l} 
Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \\
129 & 0.15 & 0.11 & & 24 & 0.696 & 0.392
\end{tabular}

Age 10 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class = 1991
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fleet} & I & Int & Ext & Var & N & & Scaled & Estimated \\
\hline & & s.e & S.e & Ratio & & & Weights & F \\
\hline BEL BT & 262 & 0.183 & 0.108 & 0.59 & & 9 & 0.503 & 0.315 \\
\hline UK BT & 533 & 0.248 & 0.164 & 0.66 & & 9 & 0.251 & 0.167 \\
\hline UK BTS & 238 & 0.241 & 0.134 & 0.56 & & 6 & 0.048 & 0.342 \\
\hline YFS & 233 & 0.512 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0.004 & 0.349 \\
\hline F shrinkage & 231 & 0.5 & & & & & 0.193 & 0.351 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rllllll} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & \multicolumn{2}{l}{\begin{tabular}{l} 
Ratio
\end{tabular}} & \\
304 & 0.15 & 0.09 & & 26 & 0.633 & 0.277
\end{tabular}

Table 8.4.4 Sole in VIId. Fishing mortality at age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1991} \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.0126 & 0 & 0.0011 & 0.0038 & 0.0019 & 0.0008 & 0.0037 & 0.01 & 0.0299 & 0.0113 & \\
\hline 2 & 0.1846 & 0.0803 & 0.1114 & 0.2153 & 0.1156 & 0.1529 & 0.2533 & 0.1739 & 0.2378 & 0.2164 & \\
\hline 3 & 0.3218 & 0.349 & 0.4192 & 0.4206 & 0.4887 & 0.5277 & 0.5295 & 0.673 & 0.4439 & 0.4528 & \\
\hline 4 & 0.4705 & 0.3765 & 0.4293 & 0.3568 & 0.4509 & 0.5845 & 0.4016 & 0.7037 & 0.4936 & 0.5469 & \\
\hline 5 & 0.209 & 0.423 & 0.2801 & 0.2655 & 0.3096 & 0.539 & 0.3756 & 0.7074 & 0.487 & 0.3979 & \\
\hline 6 & 0.242 & 0.4023 & 0.6565 & 0.4303 & 0.299 & 0.6186 & 0.4029 & 0.4938 & 0.2803 & 0.5456 & \\
\hline 7 & 0.4613 & 0.3432 & 0.416 & 0.2219 & 0.4267 & 0.7793 & 0.4397 & 0.4981 & 0.3905 & 0.3261 & \\
\hline 8 & 0.3976 & 0.4992 & 0.2598 & 0.2196 & 0.3531 & 0.5941 & 0.3781 & 0.4045 & 0.3873 & 0.377 & \\
\hline 9 & 0.3371 & 0.2781 & 0.3456 & 0.1759 & 0.4001 & 0.4186 & 0.3614 & 0.4201 & 0.4289 & 0.6641 & \\
\hline 10 & 0.3303 & 0.3903 & 0.3928 & 0.2633 & 0.3487 & 0.6192 & 0.5505 & 0.6234 & 0.5854 & 0.5233 & \\
\hline +gp & 0.3303 & 0.3903 & 0.3928 & 0.2633 & 0.3487 & 0.6192 & 0.5505 & 0.6234 & 0.5854 & 0.5233 & \\
\hline FBAR 3-8 & 0.3504 & 0.3989 & 0.4101 & 0.3191 & 0.388 & 0.6072 & 0.4212 & 0.5801 & 0.4138 & 0.4411 & \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & AR 99-01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.0032 & 0.0052 & 0.0013 & 0.0346 & 0.0005 & 0.0009 & 0.0022 & 0.0058 & 0.0027 & 0.004 & 0.0041 \\
\hline 2 & 0.1434 & 0.1905 & 0.0544 & 0.1352 & 0.1246 & 0.0988 & 0.0645 & 0.2296 & 0.1424 & 0.1431 & 0.1717 \\
\hline 3 & 0.3922 & 0.3289 & 0.3505 & 0.4456 & 0.5563 & 0.616 & 0.4957 & 0.4625 & 0.5726 & 0.3501 & 0.4617 \\
\hline 4 & 0.3776 & 0.4146 & 0.5014 & 0.4285 & 0.5438 & 0.7808 & 0.5483 & 0.5513 & 0.4306 & 0.3286 & 0.4368 \\
\hline 5 & 0.486 & 0.3343 & 0.4276 & 0.4484 & 0.5101 & 0.8188 & 0.5802 & 0.4987 & 0.3306 & 0.3906 & 0.4066 \\
\hline 6 & 0.3179 & 0.2434 & 0.2936 & 0.4222 & 0.4841 & 0.5112 & 0.5496 & 0.6286 & 0.3471 & 0.3307 & 0.4355 \\
\hline 7 & 0.3645 & 0.2741 & 0.3417 & 0.2776 & 0.4729 & 0.4464 & 0.304 & 0.6375 & 0.4857 & 0.2924 & 0.4719 \\
\hline 8 & 0.2653 & 0.304 & 0.2591 & 0.2583 & 0.299 & 0.5481 & 0.3705 & 0.5778 & 0.5513 & 0.3457 & 0.4916 \\
\hline 9 & 0.45 & 0.3495 & 0.3474 & 0.3247 & 0.4601 & 0.4172 & 0.4747 & 0.4785 & 0.5966 & 0.3918 & 0.489 \\
\hline 10 & 0.4706 & 0.2597 & 0.4359 & 0.4018 & 0.6928 & 0.4558 & 0.8281 & 0.4403 & 0.3627 & 0.2771 & 0.36 \\
\hline +gp & 0.4706 & 0.2597 & 0.4359 & 0.4018 & 0.6928 & 0.4558 & 0.8281 & 0.4403 & 0.3627 & 0.2771 & \\
\hline FBAR 3-8 & 0.3672 & 0.3165 & 0.3623 & 0.3801 & 0.4777 & 0.6202 & 0.4747 & 0.5594 & 0.453 & 0.3397 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 8.4.5 Sole in VIId. Stock number at age}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 10 & Stock & mber at \\
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 \\
\hline AGE & & \\
\hline 1 & 12991 & 21786 \\
\hline 2 & 16372 & 11607 \\
\hline 3 & 20079 & 12317 \\
\hline 4 & 4837 & 13169 \\
\hline 5 & 3177 & 2734 \\
\hline 6 & 3194 & 2333 \\
\hline 7 & 1562 & 2269 \\
\hline 8 & 769 & 891 \\
\hline 9 & 448 & 468 \\
\hline 10 & 310 & 290 \\
\hline +gp & 753 & 626 \\
\hline TOTAL & 64492 & 68488 \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 \\
\hline AGE & & \\
\hline 1 & 35104 & 17275 \\
\hline 2 & 32127 & 31662 \\
\hline 3 & 29058 & 25187 \\
\hline 4 & 6304 & 17763 \\
\hline 5 & 5640 & 3911 \\
\hline 6 & 1139 & 3139 \\
\hline 7 & 1315 & 750 \\
\hline 8 & 632 & 826 \\
\hline 9 & 534 & 438 \\
\hline 10 & 274 & 308 \\
\hline +gp & 661 & 665 \\
\hline TOTAL & 112788 & 101924 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{* Replaced by GM (82-99)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{** Replaced by RCT3 estimate} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8.5.1 Sole in VIId. Input data for RCT3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{7D Sole (1year olds)} \\
\hline 4 & 21 & 2 & & & \\
\hline 1981 & 12991 & 1.881 & 0.2005 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1982 & 21786 & 2.6555 & 0.695 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1983 & 22175 & 11.887 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1984 & 13505 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1985 & 26959 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1986 & 11572 & -11 & 0.6595 & -11 & 14.2 \\
\hline 1987 & 27065 & 7.995 & 0.935 & 8.2 & 15.4 \\
\hline 1988 & 17148 & 1.1875 & 0.356 & 2.6 & 3.7 \\
\hline 1989 & 45403 & 12.588 & 1.152 & 12.1 & 22.8 \\
\hline 1990 & 35909 & 3.3285 & 1.8695 & 8.9 & 12 \\
\hline 1991 & 35104 & 1.3865 & 0.796 & 1.4 & 17.5 \\
\hline 1992 & 17275 & 1.281 & 0.615 & 0.5 & 3.2 \\
\hline 1993 & 27367 & 6.534 & 1.591 & 4.8 & 10.6 \\
\hline 1994 & 21096 & 8.1035 & 1.4635 & 3.5 & 7.4 \\
\hline 1995 & 21510 & 5.3135 & 0.339 & 3.5 & 7.3 \\
\hline 1996 & 33786 & 0.9865 & 0.5205 & 19 & 21.23 \\
\hline 1997 & 19934 & 1.942 & 0.559 & 2 & 9.44 \\
\hline 1998 & 33349 & 9.3725 & 0.854 & 28.14 & 22.03 \\
\hline 1999 & -11 & 2.7455 & 1.282 & 10.49 & 21.01 \\
\hline 2000 & -11 & 1.8475 & 0.8365 & 9.09 & -11 \\
\hline 2001 & -11 & 4.5135 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} \\
\hline yfs1
ebts1
ebts2 & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{7D Sole (2 year olds)} \\
\hline 4 & 21 & 2 & & & \\
\hline 1981 & 11607 & 1.881 & 0.2005 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1982 & 19712 & 2.6555 & 0.695 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1983 & 20042 & 11.887 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1984 & 12173 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1985 & 24347 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1986 & 10462 & -11 & 0.6595 & -11 & 14.2 \\
\hline 1987 & 24399 & 7.995 & 0.935 & 8.2 & 15.4 \\
\hline 1988 & 15361 & 1.1875 & 0.356 & 2.6 & 3.7 \\
\hline 1989 & 39873 & 12.588 & 1.152 & 12.1 & 22.8 \\
\hline 1990 & 32127 & 3.3285 & 1.8695 & 8.9 & 12 \\
\hline 1991 & 31662 & 1.3865 & 0.796 & 1.4 & 17.5 \\
\hline 1992 & 15550 & 1.281 & 0.615 & 0.5 & 3.2 \\
\hline 1993 & 24731 & 6.534 & 1.591 & 4.8 & 10.6 \\
\hline 1994 & 18439 & 8.1035 & 1.4635 & 3.5 & 7.4 \\
\hline 1995 & 19453 & 5.3135 & 0.339 & 3.5 & 7.3 \\
\hline 1996 & 30542 & 0.9865 & 0.5205 & 19 & 21.23 \\
\hline 1997 & 17998 & 1.942 & 0.559 & 2 & 9.44 \\
\hline 1998 & 30002 & 9.3725 & 0.854 & 28.14 & 22.03 \\
\hline 1999 & -11 & 2.7455 & 1.282 & 10.49 & 21.01 \\
\hline 2000 & -11 & 1.8475 & 0.8365 & 9.09 & -11 \\
\hline 2001 & -11 & 4.5135 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{yfs0} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{yfs1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{ebts1} \\
\hline ebts2 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ebts2

Table 8.5.2 Sole in VIId. RCT3 estimates at age 1


Table 8.5.3
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
S7DREC2.CSV
7D Sole (2 year olds),,,,,
Data for 4 surveys over 21 years : 1981 - 2001
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.
Yearclass = 2000

| Survey/ <br> Series | Slope | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inter- } \\ \text { cept } \end{gathered}$ | Std <br> Error | Rsquare | No. <br> Pts | Index Value | Predicted Value | Std <br> Error | WAP <br> Weights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yfs0, | 1.42 | 7.76 | . 96 | . 120 | 15 | 1.05 | 9.24 | 1.083 | . 055 |
| yfsl, | 2.84 | 8.32 | . 62 | . 300 | 15 | . 61 | 10.05 | . 690 | . 135 |
| ebts1, | . 53 | 9.12 | . 36 | . 460 | 12 | 2.31 | 10.34 | . 417 | . 369 |
|  |  |  |  |  | VPA | Mean = | 9.94 | . 381 | . 441 |

Yearclass = 2001

| Survey/ <br> Series | Slope | Intercept | Std Error | Rsquare | No. <br> Pts | Index <br> Value | Predicted Value | Std Error | WAP <br> Weights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { yfs0, } \\ & \text { yfs1, } \\ & \text { ebts1, } \\ & \text { ebts2, } \end{aligned}$ | 1.42 | 7.76 | . 96 | . 120 | 15 | 1.71 | 10.18 | 1.063 | . 114 |

$\operatorname{VPA} \operatorname{Mean}=\quad 9.94 \quad .381 \quad .886$

| Year | Weighted | Log | Int | Ext | Var | VPA | Log |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Average <br> Prediction | WAP | Std <br> Error | Std <br> Error | Ratio |  | VPA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 23454 | 10.06 | .25 | .15 | .37 |  |  |
| 2001 | 21280 | 9.97 | .36 | .08 | .04 |  |  |

```

Sole in VIId. Stock summary
\begin{tabular}{crrcc}
\hline Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Recruitment \\
Age 1 \\
thousands
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
SSB \\
tonnes
\end{tabular} & Landings & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Mean F \\
Ages 3-8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1982 & 12991 & 7779 & 3190 & 0.3504 \\
1983 & 21786 & 9542 & 3458 & 0.3989 \\
1984 & 22175 & 8991 & 3575 & 0.4101 \\
1985 & 13505 & 10045 & 3837 & 0.3191 \\
1986 & 26959 & 10641 & 4024 & 0.3880 \\
1987 & 11572 & 9576 & 4974 & 0.6072 \\
1988 & 27065 & 10552 & 3982 & 0.4212 \\
1989 & 17148 & 8289 & 4187 & 0.5801 \\
1990 & 45403 & 9859 & 4060 & 0.4138 \\
1991 & 35909 & 8890 & 4382 & 0.4411 \\
1992 & 35104 & 11241 & 4142 & 0.3672 \\
1993 & 17275 & 13274 & 4511 & 0.3165 \\
1994 & 27367 & 13121 & 4643 & 0.3623 \\
1995 & 21096 & 11208 & 4583 & 0.3801 \\
1996 & 21510 & 12435 & 5025 & 0.4777 \\
1997 & 33786 & 10505 & 4983 & 0.6202 \\
1998 & 19934 & 8603 & 3694 & 0.4747 \\
1999 & 33349 & 9636 & 4238 & 0.5594 \\
2000 & 56686 & 9707 & 3649 & 0.4530 \\
2001 & \(26084^{*}\) & 10472 & 4350 & 0.3397 \\
2002 & \(23054 * *\) & 14800 & & \\
\hline Average & 26179 & 10436 & 4174 & 0.4340 \\
\hline * RCT3 estimate & & & & \\
** GM 82-99 & & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 8.7.1 Sole in VIId
input data for catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number-at-age} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the stock} \\
\hline N1 & 23054 & 0.38 & WS1 & 0.05 & 0.00 \\
\hline N2 & 23454 & 0.25 & WS2 & 0.13 & 0.03 \\
\hline N3 & 40114 & 0.24 & WS3 & 0.16 & 0.10 \\
\hline N4 & 15012 & 0.17 & WS4 & 0.21 & 0.10 \\
\hline N5 & 4304 & 0.14 & WS5 & 0.31 & 0.25 \\
\hline N6 & 5317 & 0.13 & WS6 & 0.34 & 0.25 \\
\hline N7 & 1936 & 0.12 & WS7 & 0.36 & 0.10 \\
\hline N8 & 893 & 0.12 & WS8 & 0.41 & 0.31 \\
\hline N9 & 366 & 0.14 & WS9 & 0.44 & 0.03 \\
\hline N10 & 129 & 0.15 & WS10 & 0.39 & 0.10 \\
\hline N11 & 1206 & 0.15 & WS11 & 0.57 & 0.17 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.00 & 0.32 & WH1 & 0.13 & 0.14 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.13 & 0.15 & WH2 & 0.15 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.35 & 0.21 & WH3 & 0.19 & 0.09 \\
\hline sH4 & 0.33 & 0.02 & WH4 & 0.24 & 0.14 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.31 & 0.23 & WH5 & 0.29 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.33 & 0.19 & WH6 & 0.33 & 0.14 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.36 & 0.14 & WH7 & 0.36 & 0.18 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.37 & 0.10 & WH8 & 0.38 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.37 & 0.21 & WH9 & 0.41 & 0.15 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.27 & 0.02 & WH10 & 0.44 & 0.13 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.27 & 0.02 & WH11 & 0.59 & 0.14 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT1 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M2 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT3 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT4 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M5 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT 7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{in HC fishery} & & & \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.24 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.24 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HF0 4 & 1.00 & 0.24 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 \(23054 \quad 0.38\)
R04 \(23054 \quad 0.38\)

Proportion of \(F\) before spawning \(=.00\)
Proportion of \(M\) before spawning \(=.00\)

Table 8.7.2
Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.


Table 8.7.3 Sole in VIId
Detailed forecast tables.
Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons=1.00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Catch number} \\
\hline Age I & No. I & & Cons & Total| \\
\hline 11 & 23054। & | & 661 & 661 \\
\hline 21 & 23454| & | & 27041 & 27041 \\
\hline 31 & 40114| & | & 11252| & 112521 \\
\hline 41 & 15012| & | & 40161 & 40161 \\
\hline 51 & 43041 & | & 10821 & 10821 \\
\hline 61 & 53171 & | & 14191 & 14191 \\
\hline 71 & 19361 & | & 5531 & 5531 \\
\hline 81 & 8931 & | & 2641 & 2641 \\
\hline 91 & 3661 & | & 1081 & 108। \\
\hline 101 & 1291 & | & 291 & 291 \\
\hline 111 & 12061 & । & 2731 & 2731 \\
\hline Wt & 19। & | & 51 & 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00


Sole in VIId
Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in predictions, and the relative (\%) contributions to landings and SSB (by weight) of the se year classes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Year-class} & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Stock No. (thousands)} & 33349 & 56686 & 26084 & 23054 & 23054 \\
\hline of & & 1 year-o & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{Source} & & & & VPA & VPA & RCT3 & GM & GM \\
\hline & Statu & Quo F: & & & & & & \\
\hline & \% in & 2002 & landings & 20.0 & 44.4 & 8.4 & 0.2 & - \\
\hline & \% in & 2003 & & 15.2 & 35.1 & 21.2 & 7.7 & 0.2 \\
\hline & \% in & 2002 & SSB & 21.5 & 43.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & - \\
\hline & \% in & 2003 & SSB & 20.2 & 35.9 & 19.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline & \% in & 2004 & SSB & 15.1 & 35.3 & 17.1 & 18.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Table 8.9.1 Sole in VIId
input data for Yield-per-recruit
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number-at-age} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the stock} \\
\hline N1 & 23054 & 0.38 & WS1 & 0.05 & 0.14 \\
\hline N2 & 23454 & 0.25 & WS2 & 0.13 & 0.09 \\
\hline N3 & 40114 & 0.24 & WS3 & 0.18 & 0.16 \\
\hline N4 & 15012 & 0.17 & WS4 & 0.25 & 0.12 \\
\hline N5 & 4304 & 0.14 & WS5 & 0.32 & 0.16 \\
\hline N6 & 5317 & 0.13 & WS6 & 0.36 & 0.14 \\
\hline N7 & 1936 & 0.12 & WS 7 & 0.41 & 0.14 \\
\hline N8 & 893 & 0.12 & WS8 & 0.44 & 0.17 \\
\hline N9 & 366 & 0.14 & WS9 & 0.50 & 0.13 \\
\hline N10 & 129 & 0.15 & WS10 & 0.49 & 0.22 \\
\hline N11 & 1206 & 0.15 & WS11 & 0.60 & 0.12 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.00 & 0.32 & WH1 & 0.12 & 0.16 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.13 & 0.15 & WH2 & 0.16 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.35 & 0.21 & WH3 & 0.20 & 0.10 \\
\hline SH4 & 0.33 & 0.02 & WH4 & 0.26 & 0.11 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.31 & 0.23 & WH5 & 0.31 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.33 & 0.19 & WH6 & 0.36 & 0.10 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.36 & 0.14 & WH7 & 0.40 & 0.13 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.37 & 0.10 & WH8 & 0.44 & 0.13 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.37 & 0.21 & WH9 & 0.47 & 0.10 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.27 & 0.02 & WH10 & 0.50 & 0.16 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.27 & 0.02 & WH11 & 0.61 & 0.08 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT1 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M2 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT3 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT4 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M5 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{in HC fishery} \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.24 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.24 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO4 & 1.00 & 0.24 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 230540.38
R04 \(\quad 23054 \quad 0.38\)

Proportion of \(F\) before spawning \(=.00\)
Proportion of \(M\) before spawning \(=.00\)

Figure 8.2.1 Sole in VIId. Catch and stock weights at age



Figure 8.3.1 Sole in VIId. Trends in CPUE and effort for the main commercial fleets



Figure 8.3.2 Sole in VIId. Survey indices of recruitment (relative) for the English, French and combined Young Fish Survey



Figure 8.3.3 Sole in VIId. Relative abundance indices for the UK beam trawl survey


Figure 8.4.1 Sole in VIId. Trends in log catchability residuals (single fleets)


Figure 8.4.2 Sole in VIId. Trends in log catchability residuals (combined fleets)


Figure 8.4.3 Sole in VIId. Year estimates for single fleet runs and final XSA run.


Figure 8.4.4. Sole in VIId. Scaled weights for the commercial fleets, surveys and F shrinkage.



Figure 8.4.5 Sole in VIId. Retrospective pattern for the final run




Figure 8.6.1




Figure Sole,Eastern English Chan. Sensitivity analysis of short term forecast.


Figure 8.7.2 Sole in VIId. Probability plot


Figure 8.7.3 Sole in VIId. Short-term forecast

Figute Sole,Eastern English Chant. Short tern fotecast


Figure 8.8.1 Sole in VIId. Comparison between Ockham and Ricker stock-recruitment curve


Solviid.sum: calculation of Ockham parameter
Mean \(=, 2.50734 \mathrm{E}+04\)
Variance of mean \((\log\) scale \()=, 8.34901 \mathrm{E}-03\)
Residual sum-of-squares \(=, 2.85536 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Mean absolute residual \(=, 8.24219 \mathrm{E}+03\)
Residual variance \(=, 1.23862 \mathrm{E}+08\)
Akaike's Information Criterion \(=, 5.69689 \mathrm{E}+01\)


Solviid.sum: lognormal Ricker parameters
Initial estimate for alpha \(=, 6.9803 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Initial estimate for beta \(=, 1.0068 \mathrm{E}-04\)
Final estimate for alpha \(=, 6.9803 \mathrm{E}+00,+/-, 3.5776 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Final estimate for beta \(=, 1.0068 \mathrm{E}-04,+/-, 4.8359 \mathrm{E}-05\)
Variance-covariance matrix:
, 1, 2
\(1, \quad 1.27992 \mathrm{E}+01, \quad 1.69963 \mathrm{E}-04\)
2, \(1.69963 \mathrm{E}-04, \quad 2.33857 \mathrm{E}-09\)
Corr[alpha,beta] \(=, 0.98\)
RSS \(=, \quad 2.9598 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Mean absolute residual \(=, \quad 8.3803 \mathrm{E}+03\)
Residual variance \(=, \quad 1.5578 \mathrm{E}-01\)
Akaike (AIC) \(=, \quad 5.9005 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\(-2 \log\) likelihood \(=, \quad 1.8592 \mathrm{E}+01\)
IFAIL from nonlinear fit \(=, 0\)

Figure 8.9.1 Sole in VIId. Yield-per-recruit plot


Figure 8.9.2 Sole in VIId. Stock and recruitment plot

Eastern English Sole: Stock and Recruitment


Figure 8.9.3 Sole in VIId. PA plot


Figure 8.10.1 Sole in VIId. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




North Sea plaice is taken mainly in a mixed flatfish fishery by beam trawlers in the southern and southeastern North Sea. Directed fisheries are also carried out with seine and gillnet, and by beam trawlers in the central North Sea. Due to the minimum mesh size ( 80 mm in the mixed beam trawl fishery), large numbers of (undersized) plaice are discarded (see Section 9.1.3).

Fleets exploiting North Sea plaice have generally decreased in number of vessels in the last 10 years, partly due to the MAGP policy. However, in some instances these reductions have been compensated by reflagging vessels to other countries (see Section 9.1.3). The Dutch beam trawl fleet, one of the major operators in the mixed flatfish fishery in the North Sea, has seen a reduction in the number of vessels and also a shift towards two categories of vessels: 2000HP (the maximum engine power allowed) and 300 HP (the maximum engine power for vessels that are allowed to fish within the 12 -mile coastal zone and the plaice box). The overall effort level (expressed as HP days) has remained relatively constant.

Approximately \(60 \%\) of plaice landings from the UK (England and Scotland) quota is landed into the Netherlands by Dutch vessels fishing on the UK register. Vessels landing into other countries than the country they are registered in are referred to as 'flag' vessels. As described in the 2001 report of this Working Group (ICES CM 2002/ACFM:01), the fishing pattern of flag vessels can be very different from that of vessels registered in the same country.

\subsection*{9.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002}

In October 2000 ACFM considered the North Sea plaice stock to be outside safe biological limits. SSB was below the proposed \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) and fishing mortality was above the proposed \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\). The advice provided by ACFM was based on the Agreed Record of the EC/Norway consultation. ACFM considered that the agreed fishing mortality of \(\mathrm{F}=0.30\) was consistent with the precautionary approach and advised a reduction in fishing mortality in 2001 to \(\mathrm{F}=0.3\), corresponding to landings of 78000 t in 2001. ACFM noted that the observed reduced growth rate of the strong 1996 year class resulted in this year class becoming available to the fishery (in marketable size) one year later than normally expected. This could result in additional discard mortality.

In the 2001 autumn session, ACFM again stated that the stock is outside safe biological limits, with respect to both biomass and fishing mortality. In regard to the EU/Norway agreement, as a rebuilding measure a reduction of at least \(20 \%\) for F was recommended in order to achieve a value below 0.26 , which would correspond to a catch of less than 77000 t in 2002. ACFM stressed that the slow growth of the strong 1996 year class, resulting in additional discard mortality, and the delayed maturation of this year class, could adversely affect the rebuilding of the SSB. ACFM also stated that the first indications for the 2001 year class are that it is a strong year class. If this year class follows a similar pattern in growth and maturation as the 1996 year class, it may show delayed recruitment and high discard rates over an extended period.

\subsection*{9.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002}

The North Sea plaice TAC for 2001 was agreed at 78000 tonnes, which is the maximum quantity being in line with the ACFM recommendation. The TAC in 2002 was agreed at 77000 tonnes which is also in line with the ACFM recommendation.

In 1999, the EU and Norway have agreed to implement a long-term management plan for the plaice stock, which is consistent with the precautionary approach and is intended to constrain harvesting within safe biological limits and designed to provide for sustainable fisheries and greater potential yield. The plan shall consist of the following elements:
1. Every effort shall be made to maintain a minimum level of SSB greater than 210000 tonnes \(\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {lim }}\right)\).
2. For 2000 and subsequent years the Parties agreed to restrict their fishing on the basis of a TAC consistent with a fishing mortality of 0.3 for appropriate age groups as defined by ICES.
3. Should the SSB fall below a reference point of 300000 tonnes ( \(\boldsymbol{B}_{p a}\) ), the fishing mortality referred to under paragraph 2, shall be adapted in the light of scientific estimates of the conditions then prevailing. Such adaptation shall ensure a safe and rapid recovery of SSB to a level in excess of 300000 tonnes.
4. In order to reduce discarding and to enhance the spawning biomass of plaice, the Parties agreed that the exploitation pattern shall, while recalling that other demersal species are harvested in these fisheries, be improved in the light of new scientific advice from, inter alia, ICES.
5. The Parties shall, as appropriate, review and revise these management measures and strategies on the basis of any new advice provided by ICES."

The current Multi-annual guidance program (MAGP-IV) has defined national targets for EU fleet reductions in fleet capacity and/or days at sea.

Technical measures applicable to the plaice fishery in the North Sea in 2001 included mesh size regulations, minimum landing size, gear restrictions, and a closed area (the plaice box). Mesh size regulations for towed gears required that vessels fishing north of \(55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\) (or \(56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\) east of \(5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\), since January 2000) should have a minimum mesh opening of 100 mm , while to the south of this limit, where the majority of the plaice fishery takes place, an \(80-\mathrm{mm}\) mesh is allowed. In addition to this, a minor part of North Sea plaice fishery is affected by the additional cod recovery plan (EU regulation \(2056 / 2001\) ) that prohibits beam trawl fishery with a mesh size \(<120 \mathrm{~mm}\) in the area to the north of \(56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\), from 2002 onwards.

The minimum landing size for North Sea plaice is 27 cm . A closed area has been in operation since 1989 (the plaice box). Since 1995 this area was closed for all quarters. The closed area is only applicable for towed gears, but vessels smaller than 300 HP using towed gears have been exempted from the regulation. An additional technical measure concerning the fishing gear is the restriction of the aggregate beam length of beam trawlers to 24 m .

\subsection*{9.1.3 The fishery in 2001}

\section*{Landings}

Total landings of North Sea plaice in 2001 (Table 9.1.3) were estimated by the WG to be just over 80 thousand tonnes, which is at the same level as in the years 1996, 1997, 1999, and 2000. Unlike the previous years, the total landings in 2001 exceeded the TAC \((+5 \%)\). The text table below contrasts recent total landings (tonnes, estimated by the WG) with the agreed TAC.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Total WG landings & TAC \\
\hline 1997 & 83048 & 91000 \\
\hline 1998 & 71534 & 87000 \\
\hline 1999 & 80662 & 102000 \\
\hline 2000 & \(80662^{1}\) & 97000 \\
\hline 2001 & 81847 & 78000 \\
\hline 2002 & & 77000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The national uptake rates reported by the WG members indicated that for 2002 almost \(40 \%\) of the national quota was taken by the beginning of June 2002.

\section*{Discards}

Recent estimates of discarding show very high rates of discarding for plaice: almost \(40 \%\) in weight and almost \(70 \%\) in numbers.

Due to reduced growth rates, the strong 1996 year class has been undersized and subject to discarding for an extended period. This is believed to have caused an increase in discarding in recent years.

Discarding will have an impact on the catch-at-age matrix, thereby giving rise to an underestimate of fishing mortality. However, no time-series of discards estimates are available to incorporate in the assessment (see Section 1.11). Therefore, catch-at-age will be equated to landings-at-age in subsequent analyses.

Natural mortality and maturity-at-age were the conventional numbers as used in previous assessments (Table 9.2.1). Maturity is taken as a step function representing the difference in maturation of males and females and is assumed constant over time. Estimation of maturation was originally based on biological sampling of maturity and sex ratio. A working document was presented at the 2001 Working Group meeting on a time-series analysis of maturity data which showed considerable discrepancies to the conventional values, which are overestimating the mature stock. In the absence of a validated model describing the time trends in the maturity ogive, it was decided to postpone the evaluation of the effects of using the new estimates in this assessment. The analyses of maturity ogives are discussed in more detail in Section 1.13.1.

Age distributions were available from countries, contributing together up to \(68 \%\) of the official total landings in 2001. The age composition of the landings is presented in Table 9.2.2. Age compositions by sex and quarter were available from Belgium, England, and the Netherlands. Combined age compositions by quarter were available from Denmark and France. Age composition data from German landings were available for the first and second quarter, but these data have not been used in the assessment. No SOP-correction was applied.

The landings of the flag vessels registered in the UK and elsewhere were not sampled in 2001, so no age composition was available for this part of the catches. These landings, as for landings from other countries that do not provide age compositions, were raised to the international age composition. From 2002 onwards, following EU regulation (1639/2001), each country is obliged to sample landings from foreign vessels that land in their country. Therefore, more age compositions will become available to the Working Group next year.

As shown in Figure 9.2.1 the relative age compositions of the Dutch, English, and Danish landings have more or less the same distribution in 2000, with the highest catch numbers-at-age 4, the strong 1996 year class. This pattern has changed in 2001 showing significant difference between especially the Dutch and Danish age compositions. The Dutch landings comprise relatively more 2- and 3-year-old fish, whereas the Danish landings have a relatively high proportion of 6 -year-old plaice. A difference in age composition between these fleets is understandable taking into account the different gears used. However, it is surprising that \(20 \%\) of the Danish catches consists of the 95 year class, which appears to be a weak year class in all other commercial and survey fleets. To rule out errors due to age reading bias an otolith exchange between England, Denmark, and The Netherlands has been initiated. The results of this exchange will be available before the ACFM meeting in October 2002. During the Working Group meeting the effort distribution of the Danish fleet fishing for plaice was investigated to see if a change in fishing grounds could have caused the change in the relative age composition. No substantial differences were observed in the effort distribution, and it was concluded that this could not be the cause of the observed differences.

Mean weights-at-age in the catch were estimated from the market samples taken throughout the year (Table 9.2.3). Weights-at-age in the stock were first quarter weights (Table 9.2.4). Weight-at-age has varied considerably over time. For the most important age groups (4-6), weights appear to have decreased strongly in the past four years (Figure 9.2.2). A decrease in weights is also observed in the older age groups in 2001. This same trend is observed in the stock weights-at-age. The changes in weight-at-age can partially be explained by a changes in the sex ratio of the catches (see Figure 9.2.4). However, sex ratio changes were only observed in the first quarter, whereas weight-at-age decreased in all quarters. Weight-at-age in the stock for the most important age groups (4-6) has decreased by 4 to \(19 \%\) since 2000. The changes in stock weights-at-age between 2000 and 2001 is illustrated in Figure 9.2.3. This has a strong effect on the SSB estimates and the catch forecasts.

\subsection*{9.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data}

The following tuning data were available for North Sea plaice assessment:
- NL commercial beam trawl cpue
- UK commercial beam-trawl cpue, excluding all flag vessels
- Beam Trawl Survey (BTS)
- Sole Net Survey (SNS)
- Demersal Young Fish Survey (DFS)

The Dutch commercial beam-trawl cpue consists of the total catch-at-age by the Dutch (beam trawl) fleet and the effort in horsepower days (days absent from port times the horsepower of the vessel). The effort series are estimated by the Agricultural Economics Institute (LEI-DLO), except for the final year, which is a preliminary estimate by the WG. The
series are available for 1980 onwards and for the age 2 to 9 . In previous assessments, only the years 1989 onwards have been used because of strong patterns in log catchability residuals in the earlier years.

The UK commercial beam-trawl cpue is derived from the catch-at-age of the beam trawlers registered in England and Wales, but excluding Scottish registered vessels and Dutch flag vessels. Effort was calculated on a trip basis as hours fished multiplied by the horsepower (HP) of the vessel. The series is available for 1990 onwards and for the age 4 to 12 .

At the ACFM meeting in October 2001 and at this WG meeting the validity of the information provided by commercial tuning fleets was discussed. An upward trend in the cpue appears to have occurred in some fleet segments in recent years (WD-7). However, the relationship between cpue and abundance is questionable in recent years. The commercial cpue data may well be biased by the constraints imposed by the TAC regulation applicable to the fleets. Vessels that go out to sea may choose to direct their effort to non-quota species (e.g. dab, turbot, brill), thereby influencing the cpue for a quota species like plaice (WD-8). Therefore the WG decided to exclude commercial tuning fleets from the assessment.

The Beam Trawl Survey (BTS) was initiated in 1985 and was set up to obtain indices of the younger age groups of plaice and sole. However, due to its spatial distribution the BTS survey also catches considerable numbers of older plaice and sole. The survey is carried out in international cooperation and covers both inshore and offshore areas throughout the North Sea, Channel, and western waters of the UK. The Dutch survey is carried out using the RV ISIS. The fishing gear used is a pair of \(8-\mathrm{m}\) beam trawls with 40 mm stretched mesh cod-ends. The Dutch participation in the survey is used as a tuning series for the plaice assessment and consists of average catches in numbers by fishing hour. At the previous WG, age groups 1 to 4 were used for tuning the North Sea plaice assessment. The age range has been extended to 1 to 9 in the revision done by ACFM in October 2001, and for this year's assessment.

The Sole Net Survey (SNS) was carried out with RV Tridens until 1995. Since 1996 the RV ISIS is used for this survey. The gear used is a pair of \(6-\mathrm{m}\) beam trawls with 40 mm stretched mesh cod-ends. The stations fished are on transects perpendicular to the coast. This tuning fleet has a year range of 1982 to the present and an age range of 0 to 3 . Only the ages 1 to 3 are used for tuning the North Sea plaice assessment, the 0 -group index is used in the RCT3.

The Demersal Young Fish Survey (DFS) is an international survey carried out by The Netherlands, England, Belgium, and Germany. In the Wadden Sea and Scheldt estuaries a single light 3-meter beam trawl is used with a \(20-\mathrm{mm}\) cod-end and one light tickler chain from the shoes. The coastal area is fished with a pair of \(6-\mathrm{m}\) beam trawls rigged with a similar net as the 3 -meter beam trawl. The combined index is calculated as a mean of the international indices with a fixed weighting by country, which refers to the area covered. In 1998 and 1999 no estimates of the DFS were available due to bad weather conditions during the period of the survey and technical problems with one of the Dutch research vessels. Revisions to the UK survey resulting from changes in the survey area have resulted in a revised DFS series from 1981 to 2000. Since the UK survey has not been revised prior to 1981, the earlier DFS index should be omitted or down-weighted from any analysis. The revision of UK surveys hardly affects the combined DFS index due to the low area coverage (weighting) of the UK survey (Figure 9.3.1). The combined DFS index is only used for the RCT3 analysis and not for tuning the VPA.

The relative cpue and effort time-series of the commercial fleets are presented in Figure 9.3.2. The underlying data for these figures are presented in Tables 9.3.1 and 9.3.2. The fishing effort has decreased in the NL beam trawl since 1995, and since 1992 in the UK beam trawl. The cpue of the NL beam trawl fleet is more or less constant in recent years, whereas the cpue of the UK beam trawl fleet appears to be slightly higher in 2000 and 2001 as compared to 1999 (Figure 9.3.2). The latter pattern is also observed in the cpue data of the flag vessels (WD-7). The commercial fleets have not been used in the final XSA, for reasons explained above. The tuning fleet file used for the final XSA run is shown in Table 9.3.1. The commercial vessels were excluded by applying prior weighting.

The relative cpue (by age and ages combined) of the BTS and SNS surveys are presented in Figure 9.3.3. It is difficult to examine the overall trends in the plots by age group due to the occurrence of strong year classes. Overall there seems to be a slight decline in the cpue of both the BTS and the SNS.

\subsection*{9.4 Catch-at-Age Analyses}

\subsection*{9.4.1 Data exploration}

International catches-at-age were preliminarily examined using separable VPA, with a reference age of 4 , terminal \(\mathrm{F}=\) 0.65 , and terminal selectivity set to 0.65 . The diagnostics are presented in Table 9.4.1.1. Residuals in log-catch ratios were low apart from age \(1 / 2\) in some years, and for age \(5 / 6\) in the last year, but no consistent trends could be detected for these ages. The other residuals showed little variability and trends. The high residual for age \(5 / 6\) in the last year is
caused by the unexpected high catch numbers for age 6 in 2001. As in previous years, the age range for the analyses was set to 1-15+.

A number of exploratory XSA runs have then been carried out and are summarised in the table below:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Run & Fleet & Settings & Remarks \\
\hline 1 (a-f) & \begin{tabular}{rl} 
Single-fleet XSA runs: \\
- & NL beam trawl \\
- & UK beam trawl \\
- & BTS (age 1-9) \\
- & SNS (age 1-3) \\
\(-\quad\) SNS (age 1-5) \\
DFS (age 1)
\end{tabular} & No taper, no power, F shrinkage 1.5 & \\
\hline 2 & BTS, SNS (age 1-5), DFS (age 1) & No taper, no power, F shrinkage 0.5 & \\
\hline 3 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
BTS \& SNS (age 1-3) \\
(final run)
\end{tabular} & No taper, no power, F shrinkage 0.5 & \\
\hline 4 & BTS \& SNS (age 1-3) & No taper, no power, F shrinkage 0.5 & No Danish age composition \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Single-fleet XSA runs were carried out for all available tuning fleets, with a low shrinkage of 1.5 , no tuning window and no taper. Log-catchability residuals derived from these analyses are presented in Figure 9.4.1.1. Although the Dutch and UK beam trawl cpue data are not used for tuning the final XSA run for reasons explained in Section 9.3, the logcatchability plots are presented for comparison purposes.

For the Dutch beam trawl cpue, the log-catchability residuals for 2-year-olds are strongly negative in 1998 and 1999. This may indicate that catch numbers-at-age 2 are particularly underestimated in these years, possibly as a result of increased discarding. However, this pattern appeared to be more a year effect rather than a consistent trend. The UK beam trawl cpue shows low log-catchability residuals. The positive trend observed over 1991-1997 was followed by a downward trend in1998-2001 (Figure 9.4.1.1).

No obvious trends were observed in the catchability residuals for the BTS and SNS. These surveys have high log-q residuals compared to the commercial fleets, especially at ages 3,4 , and 5 in the SNS. The DFS residuals were not large and did not show a clear trend (Figure 9.4.1.1).

Multi-fleet XSAs (Runs 2-4) have been carried out for selected combinations of tuning fleets, using the whole range of observations for tuning without a time taper.

In Run 2 the additional DFS tuning fleet and the additional age groups in the SNS fleet were included. With these settings all three surveys showed strongly conflicting signals in the estimated survivors at younger ages. This is presumably caused by the fact that the SNS survey has a poor coverage for \(4+\) group plaice, and similarly the DFS for \(1+\) group plaice. It was decided not to add the DFS and the extra SNS age groups to the tuning fleets.

Therefore, in Run 3 only 2 tuning fleets were used: BTS (ages 1-9) and SNS ages (1-3). With these settings the difference between the surveys in estimated survivors at younger ages was largely reduced. It was decided that this would be the final run. This configuration is the same as used by ACFM in the revised North Sea plaice assessment of last year.

An additional run (Run 4) was carried out to assess the effect of the Danish age composition. In this run the Danish age composition data were left out and the Danish landings were raised to the age compositions provided by other countries.

Figure 9.4.1.2 shows the recruitment, SSB, and F trajectories and the plot of F-SSB in 2001, derived from single-fleet and multi-fleet XSA runs. There are discrepancies between the trajectories derived from the single-fleet runs (run 1). Both the SNS and BTS surveys have a relatively high SSB estimate and a low \(\mathrm{F}(2-10)\) estimate in the final year of the assessment. As the DFS survey only includes 1-group plaice, this survey does not supply much information for the estimation of SSB and F(2-10). The recruitment estimated in the final year using a single-fleet DFS tuning is lower than when estimated by tuning with the other fleets. The commercial beam trawl fleets are not included in the tuning of the final run. The final run shows a lower SSB than the BTS and SNS surveys separately due to shrinkage.

The Fbar(2-10) trajectories estimated in Run2 and Run3 are almost identical. A difference in SBB and recruitment in the final year is observed between these 2 runs. Using the DFS survey as a tuning fleet appears to estimate a lower recruitment in the final year. This is also observed in the single-fleet runs.

The effect of the Danish age compositions can be evaluated by comparing Run3 and Run4. Exclusion of the Danish age composition data hardly affects \(\operatorname{Fbar}(2-10)\) in the final year of the assessment, but it does affect the \(\operatorname{Fbar}(2-10)\) trajectory and the SSB in the final year. For reasons mentioned in Section 9.2, it was decided to keep the Danish age composition data in.

\subsection*{9.4.2 Final assessment}

The settings of the final XSA assessment are given in the text table below.

North Sea Plaice final assessment settings


As last year, the 1997 survey results for the year classes 1995 and 1996 in the BTS and SNS surveys were not used in the assessment and will not be used in RCT3, due to age reading problems in that year.

Diagnostics of the final run are presented in Table 9.4.2.1. Figure 9.4.2.1 shows the log-catchability residuals for the tuning fleets in the final run. Fishing mortality and stock numbers are shown in Tables 9.4.2.2 and 9.4.2.3. Weighting of the different data sources in the assessment is shown in Figure 9.4.2.2. The surveys account for most of the weight on ages 1-8.

The retrospective analysis is shown in Figure 9.4.2.3 and was carried out by chopping off one year at the end and without a tuning window. The analysis does not show a retrospective pattern in fishing mortality or SSB. A marked difference is observed in \(\operatorname{Fbar}(2-10)\) in 2000. In the last assessment year the increase in F is caused by the high F on ages 6 and 7. Increased discarding, not included in the assessment, may cause underestimation of fishing mortality at the youngest age groups.

\subsection*{9.5 Recruitment Estimates}

The GM for recruitment at age 1 is 410 million (arithmic mean 450 million). The 2002 data are not yet available for the BTS, SNS, and DFS surveys, which take place in the third quarter of the year. A WG-subgroup will meet in October to review the available indices and calculate recruitment estimates. The files for the input of the RCT3 are available at \acfm\wgnssk\2002\Stock\ple-nsea\final runs\recruitment .

The following text table summarises the recruitment as estimated by XSA, expressed as year-class strength at the respective ages in 2002.
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
Year class & Age in 2002 & XSA & RCT3 & GM(57-99) \\
\(\mathbf{1 9 9 8}\) & 4 & 106 & No estimate & 191 \\
\(\mathbf{1 9 9 9}\) & 3 & 173 & No estimate & 303 \\
\(\mathbf{2 0 0 0}\) & 2 & 246 & No estimate & 369 \\
\(\mathbf{2 0 0 1}\) & 1 & No estimate & No estimate & 410
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{9.6 Historical Stock Trends}

Figure 9.6 .1 shows the trends in landings, mean \(\mathrm{F}(2-10)\), SSB , and recruitment since 1957. The landings have gradually increased up to the late 1980s and rapidly declined until 1996, in line with the decrease in TAC. The landings have levelled off in the most recent years.

Fishing mortality increased until the late 1990s and appears to have decreased since 1997, with a marked increase in 2001. Current fishing mortality ( 0.41 ) is estimated to be substantially higher than in \(2000(0.31)\) and above \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.3)\).

The SSB increased to a peak in 1967 when the strong 1963 year class became mature. Since then, SSB declined to a level of 300 kt in the early 1980s. Due to the recruitment of the strong year classes 1981 and 1985, SSB again increased to a peak in 1989 and rapidly declined since then. SSB has increased in recent years and is currently above \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\), but still below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\).

Except for the occurrence of strong year classes (1963, 1981, 1985, and 1996), which coincided with cold winters, interannual variability in recruitment is relatively small. VPA estimates of recruitment show a periodic change with relative poor recruitment in the 1960s and relatively strong recruitment in the 1980s. The recruitment level in the early 1990s appears to be somewhat lower than in the 1980s. The 1996 year class appears to be strong and is currently estimated at 970 million. This year class is driving the development of the stock.

\subsection*{9.7 Short-Term Prognoses}

No short-term forecast was carried out. This will be done at the WG-subgroup in October. The input files are available at \acfm\wgnssk\2002\Stock\ple-nsea\final runs\short-term.

\subsection*{9.8 Medium-Term Prognoses}

No medium-term forecast was carried out. This will be done at the WG-subgroup in October. The input files are


\subsection*{9.9 Biological Reference Points}

Biological reference points have been calculated and are presented in Figure 9.9.1, Figure 9.9.2, and the text table below. The input for the yield-per-recruit analysis is shown in Table 9.9.1. The catch weights-at-age and stock weights-at-age were taken as a long-term mean. The yield-per-recruit analysis and SSB per recruit, based on the current exploitation pattern are shown in Figures 9.9.1 and 9.9.2. Figure 9.9.3 shows the precautionary approach plot.
\(\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}\) was revised downwards (0.29 last year). \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) was revised slightly upwards from 0.33 in last years assessment to 0.35 in this year's. Figure 9.4.2.3 indicates that SSB was below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}\) in the period 1994-1998 and exceeded this threshold only after 1999. The current estimation of \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\), which is defined as \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {loss }}\), is 144440 tonnes (SSB in 1997).
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Management point & Value & Reference point & Value \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) & 0.3 & \(\mathbf{F}_{\max }\) & 0.24 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}\) & 0.6 & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}\) & 0.68 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) & 300000 tonnes & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) & 0.35 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) & 210000 tonnes & \(\mathbf{F}_{0.1}\) & 0.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In general, the quality of the assessment can be affected by potential problems in commercial cpue data and by discarding.

At the October 2001 ACFM meeting, a working document was presented (WD-8) on further explorations into the assessment of North Sea Plaice. In this paper it was stated that inclusion of commercial cpue data in the tuning of the assessment could bias the assessment, due to differences in the spatial distributions of fleets or by the constraints imposed by the TAC regulation applicable to the fleets. Vessels may choose to direct their effort to other species like dab and brill and thereby influence the cpue of plaice or sole, as it is a mixed fishery.

Another working document was made available to the Working Group during the meeting (WD-7), which deals with trends in effort and cpue of plaice for a selection of beam trawl vessels. It was concluded that cpue has increased since 1999. The selection of vessels mainly consisted of flag vessels, which have relatively large individual quota's and are therefore less constrained by TAC regulations. These results do not solve the problem as to whether the observed trends in cpue are related to TAC or abundance.

For reasons mentioned above commercial tuning fleet data was not used in the final assessment.
Due to reduced growth rates, the strong 1996 year class has been undersized and subject to discarding for an extended period. This is believed to have caused an increase in discarding in recent years. Discarding of plaice in general is high due to the minimum mesh size \((80 \mathrm{~mm})\) that applies to the majority of the beam trawl fishery for plaice. This discarding will have an impact on the catch-at-age matrix, thereby giving rise to an underestimation of fishing mortality on the younger ages. Adjusting catch numbers-at-age for discards may contribute to improve the quality of this assessment and the accuracy of advised TACs. Although the collection of discard information has started, time-series are so far too short to attempt any adjustment of the catch data.

The cod closure in the North Sea in 2001 affected the distribution and pattern of fishing activity of beam trawlers in the first quarter of the year. Effort was displaced westwards from around the plaice box towards the Dogger Bank and central North Sea. The impact of this change in fishing pattern has not been evaluated and is not included in the assessment.

Contrary to previous assessments the current retrospective analysis (Figure 9.4.2.3) shows no retrospective pattern for this assessment. In general this (analytical) assessment shows a high consistency with last year's assessment as done by ACFM. The differences appear to be caused by changes in the age compositions by country (Figure 9.4.1.2). The shortand medium-term predictions will be carried out in October by a WG sub group when the survey data for the third quarter 2002 becomes available for inclusion in the predictions.

Although this assessment shows high consistency over the last years, the uncertainty on the impact of high levels of discarding remains.

\subsection*{9.11 Management Considerations}

Plaice is mainly caught by beam trawlers in a mixed fishery with sole, but also partly in a directed gillnet fishery. Section 1.4.6 shows some simulations on the technical interaction between different fleets. This preliminary analysis indicates that a rebuild of the plaice stock on the short term (above \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=300000 \mathrm{t}\) ) can only be realised in some scenarios with a reduced fishing mortality on sole \((<0.3)\) by the beam trawl fleet, without a special reduction in F for e.g. Danish gillnet fishery. This analysis is an indication for the interdependence of the two stocks.

The amounts of discards is a major problem for the plaice fishery, and an improvement to the exploitation pattern would be a major benefit for this stock. Plaice is mainly caught in a mixed beam trawl fishery with sole using \(80-\mathrm{mm}\) mesh in the southern North Sea. One of the reasons for high discarding of plaice is the fact that the mesh size of this fishery is desirable for sole, but not for plaice. This means it is important to stress that management measures intended for plaice will affect sole and vice versa. In relation to this, new technical measures introduced in January 2000 may affect the exploitation of the sole and plaice. The area where fishing with 80 mm is allowed has extended from \(55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\) to \(56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\), east of \(5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\).

Table 9.1.3 North Sea plaice. Nominal landings (tonnes) in Subarea IV as officially reported to ICES.
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrr}
\hline Country & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline Belgium & 7,951 & 7,093 & 5,765 & 5,223 & 5,592 & 6,160 & 7,620 & 6,369 \\
Denmark & 17,056 & 13,358 & 11,776 & 13,940 & 10,087 & 13,468 & 13,408 & 13,797 \\
France & 407 & 442 & 379 & 254 & 489 & 624 & 836 & 429 \\
Germany & 5,697 & 6,329 & 4,780 & 4,159 & 2,773 & 3,144 & 4,310 & 4,739 \\
Netherlands & 50,289 & 44,263 & 35,419 & 34,143 & 30,541 & 37,513 & 35,030 & \(33,290^{*}\) \\
Norway & 524 & 527 & 917 & 1,775 & 1,004 & 913 & 835 & 1,926 \\
Sweden & 6 & 3 & 5 & 10 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\
UK (E/W/NI) & 17,806 & 15,801 & 13,541 & 13,789 & 11,473 & 9,743 & \(\ldots\) & \\
UK (Scotland) & 9,943 & 8,594 & 7,451 & 8,345 & 8,442 & 7,318 & \(\ldots\) & \\
United Kingdom & & & & & & & 20,711 & 19,111 \\
Others & & & & & 1 & & & \\
\hline Total & 109,679 & 96,410 & 80,033 & 81,638 & 70,404 & 78,887 & 82,753 & 79,668 \\
Unallocated & 713 & 1,946 & 1,640 & 1,410 & 1,130 & 1,775 & 0 & 2,183 \\
\hline WG estimate & 110,392 & 98,356 & 81,673 & 83,048 & 71,534 & 80,662 & \(81,148^{1}\) & 81,847 \\
\hline TAC & 165,000 & 115,000 & 81,000 & 91,000 & 87,000 & 102,000 & 97,000 & 78,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Revised \(2002{ }^{*}\) not including 544t reported in unknown area

Table 9.2.1 North Sea plaice: natural mortality and maturity-at-age
\begin{tabular}{l|rrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline Age & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\
\hline Natural mortality & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
Maturity & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Haddendriz. \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ea}}\)
aNoritliadeat plaineryseatish numbers-at-age (thousands).


Table 9.2.3. North Sea plaice, catch weights at age (kg)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Catch w eights at age (kg)} \\
\hline YEAR & & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.217 & 0.315 & 0.256 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.211 & 0.253 & 0.25 & 0.242 & 0.232 & 0.232 & 0.267 & 0.294 & 0.286 & 0.318 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.248 & 0.286 & 0.273 & 0.282 & 0.27 & 0.279 & 0.298 & 0.31 & 0.318 & 0.356 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.3 & 0.319 & 0.312 & 0.321 & 0.348 & 0.322 & 0.331 & 0.333 & 0.356 & 0.403 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.4 & 0.399 & 0.388 & 0.385 & 0.436 & 0.425 & 0.366 & 0.359 & 0.419 & 0.448 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.541 & 0.533 & 0.487 & 0.471 & 0.484 & 0.547 & 0.517 & 0.412 & 0.443 & 0.514 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.57 & 0.624 & 0.628 & 0.539 & 0.559 & 0.597 & 0.59 & 0.573 & 0.499 & 0.542 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.692 & 0.667 & 0.7 & 0.663 & 0.624 & 0.662 & 0.596 & 0.655 & 0.672 & 0.607 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.777 & 0.715 & 0.737 & 0.726 & 0.69 & 0.738 & 0.686 & 0.658 & 0.744 & 0.699 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.959 & 0.86 & 0.841 & 0.615 & 0.813 & 0.837 & 0.75 & 0.694 & 0.762 & 0.724 \\
\hline & 11 & 0.995 & 0.92 & 0.89 & 0.792 & 0.858 & 0.87 & 0.817 & 0.81 & 0.78 & 0.818 \\
\hline & 12 & 1.1 & 1.033 & 0.954 & 0.857 & 0.843 & 0.902 & 0.939 & 0.838 & 0.892 & 0.848 \\
\hline & 13 & 1.187 & 1.004 & 0.938 & 0.974 & 0.943 & 0.95 & 0.936 & 1.022 & 0.941 & 0.922 \\
\hline & 14 & 1.41 & 1.182 & 1.098 & 0.878 & 1.018 & 1.032 & 0.973 & 0.863 & 1.021 & 1.004 \\
\hline +gp & & 1.54 & 1.276 & 1.204 & 1.121 & 1.08 & 1.214 & 1.201 & 1.179 & 1.128 & 1.133 \\
\hline SOPCOFAC & & 0.9665 & 1.0193 & 1.0075 & 1.0057 & 1.0182 & 1.0198 & 1.0291 & 1.0582 & 0.9744 & 1.0331 \\
\hline YEAR & & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
\hline & 1 & 0.246 & 0.272 & 0.285 & 0.249 & 0.265 & 0.254 & 0.244 & 0.235 & 0.238 & 0.237 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.296 & 0.316 & 0.311 & 0.3 & 0.295 & 0.323 & 0.315 & 0.311 & 0.286 & 0.274 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.352 & 0.344 & 0.354 & 0.33 & 0.338 & 0.353 & 0.369 & 0.349 & 0.344 & 0.329 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.428 & 0.405 & 0.405 & 0.42 & 0.375 & 0.38 & 0.397 & 0.388 & 0.401 & 0.416 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.493 & 0.486 & 0.476 & 0.495 & 0.513 & 0.418 & 0.438 & 0.429 & 0.473 & 0.505 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.541 & 0.539 & 0.554 & 0.587 & 0.594 & 0.556 & 0.491 & 0.474 & 0.545 & 0.558 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.608 & 0.605 & 0.609 & 0.636 & 0.641 & 0.647 & 0.609 & 0.55 & 0.588 & 0.604 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.646 & 0.627 & 0.693 & 0.703 & 0.705 & 0.721 & 0.687 & 0.675 & 0.662 & 0.642 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.674 & 0.677 & 0.707 & 0.783 & 0.741 & 0.715 & 0.776 & 0.796 & 0.772 & 0.725 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.785 & 0.729 & 0.779 & 0.853 & 0.813 & 0.791 & 0.781 & 0.871 & 0.931 & 0.869 \\
\hline & 11 & 0.841 & 0.978 & 0.849 & 0.854 & 0.851 & 0.898 & 0.886 & 0.818 & 0.943 & 0.95 \\
\hline & 12 & 0.901 & 0.907 & 0.971 & 0.983 & 0.928 & 0.97 & 0.983 & 0.894 & 0.848 & 0.931 \\
\hline & 13 & 0.9 & 0.942 & 1.002 & 0.953 & 1.019 & 0.855 & 1.039 & 1.083 & 1.015 & 0.933 \\
\hline & 14 & 0.964 & 0.983 & 1.04 & 1.138 & 1.009 & 1.063 & 0.933 & 1.044 & 1.308 & 1.179 \\
\hline +gp & & 1.192 & 1.079 & 1.224 & 1.264 & 1.159 & 1.165 & 1.094 & 1.115 & 1.248 & 1.236 \\
\hline SOPCOFAC & & 1.0283 & 1.0508 & 1.0369 & 1.0624 & 1.0254 & 1.0016 & 0.9643 & 0.9983 & 1.0136 & 1.0175 \\
\hline YEAR & & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 2 & 0.262 & 0.25 & 0.263 & 0.264 & 0.269 & 0.249 & 0.254 & 0.28 & 0.285 & 0.286 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.311 & 0.3 & 0.283 & 0.29 & 0.304 & 0.3 & 0.278 & 0.309 & 0.298 & 0.294 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.424 & 0.383 & 0.375 & 0.337 & 0.347 & 0.351 & 0.352 & 0.332 & 0.317 & 0.306 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.514 & 0.515 & 0.491 & 0.462 & 0.425 & 0.402 & 0.453 & 0.392 & 0.366 & 0.365 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.608 & 0.604 & 0.613 & 0.577 & 0.488 & 0.504 & 0.512 & 0.533 & 0.447 & 0.455 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.664 & 0.677 & 0.684 & 0.678 & 0.675 & 0.583 & 0.608 & 0.603 & 0.597 & 0.528 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.712 & 0.771 & 0.725 & 0.729 & 0.751 & 0.728 & 0.699 & 0.67 & 0.692 & 0.671 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.738 & 0.815 & 0.837 & 0.804 & 0.853 & 0.829 & 0.813 & 0.792 & 0.761 & 0.747 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.84 & 0.893 & 0.916 & 0.9 & 0.921 & 0.826 & 0.936 & 0.819 & 0.826 & 0.843 \\
\hline & 11 & 0.983 & 0.913 & 0.981 & 1.001 & 0.948 & 0.996 & 0.964 & 0.923 & 1.044 & 0.93 \\
\hline & 12 & 1.045 & 0.984 & 1.026 & 0.95 & 1.063 & 1.015 & 1.041 & 0.952 & 1.098 & 0.944 \\
\hline & 13 & 1.174 & 1.24 & 1.112 & 1.071 & 1.078 & 1.045 & 1.137 & 1.157 & 1.117 & 1 \\
\hline & 14 & 0.97 & 1.209 & 1.25 & 1.139 & 1.074 & 1.127 & 1.115 & 1.084 & 0.991 & 0.976 \\
\hline +gp & & 1.177 & 1.167 & 1.214 & 1.215 & 1.11 & 1.15 & 1.038 & 0.994 & 1.094 & 1.026 \\
\hline SOPCOFAC & & 1.0062 & 0.9938 & 0.9844 & 0.9799 & 0.9877 & 0.9875 & 0.9848 & 0.9854 & 0.9846 & 0.9634 \\
\hline YEAR & & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 0.251 & 0.249 & 0.229 & 0.272 & 0.24 & 0.208 & 0.152 & 0.245 & 0.228 & 0.238 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.263 & 0.273 & 0.263 & 0.277 & 0.28 & 0.271 & 0.26 & 0.253 & 0.267 & 0.267 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.29 & 0.289 & 0.286 & 0.301 & 0.307 & 0.313 & 0.31 & 0.28 & 0.284 & 0.289 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.318 & 0.326 & 0.339 & 0.338 & 0.355 & 0.364 & 0.394 & 0.355 & 0.314 & 0.301 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.341 & 0.356 & 0.397 & 0.402 & 0.42 & 0.457 & 0.497 & 0.455 & 0.432 & 0.357 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.425 & 0.423 & 0.449 & 0.454 & 0.486 & 0.524 & 0.607 & 0.547 & 0.5 & 0.403 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.531 & 0.518 & 0.502 & 0.528 & 0.499 & 0.603 & 0.633 & 0.63 & 0.684 & 0.544 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.605 & 0.631 & 0.611 & 0.611 & 0.589 & 0.616 & 0.695 & 0.682 & 0.71 & 0.667 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.715 & 0.721 & 0.732 & 0.734 & 0.72 & 0.683 & 0.7 & 0.752 & 0.751 & 0.752 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.755 & 0.775 & 0.787 & 0.881 & 0.854 & 0.803 & 0.8 & 0.608 & 0.831 & 0.785 \\
\hline & 11 & 0.843 & 0.806 & 0.936 & 0.865 & 0.928 & 0.907 & 0.975 & 0.75 & 0.843 & 0.793 \\
\hline & 12 & 0.945 & 0.903 & 0.948 & 0.923 & 0.933 & 0.957 & 1.078 & 0.933 & 0.749 & 0.777 \\
\hline & 13 & 0.994 & 0.846 & 1.034 & 0.918 & 0.923 & 0.884 & 0.888 & 1.031 & 0.853 & 0.875 \\
\hline & 14 & 0.928 & 0.919 & 0.92 & 0.943 & 0.829 & 1.1 & 0.907 & 0.936 & 1.013 & 0.86 \\
\hline +gp & & 1.098 & 1.046 & 1.131 & 1.104 & 0.739 & 1.076 & 0.943 & 1.093 & 1.102 & 0.892 \\
\hline SOPCOFAC & & 0.9818 & 0.9767 & 0.9738 & 0.9935 & 0.9846 & 0.992 & 0.9842 & 0.986 & 0.9711 & 0.9923 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.2.4. North Sea plaice, stock weights at age derived from first quarter catch weights
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Stock weights at age (kg)} \\
\hline YEAR & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.141 & 0.175 & 0.175 & 0.175 \\
\hline 2 & 0.187 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.203 & 0.2 & 0.203 & 0.25 & 0.248 \\
\hline 3 & 0.258 & 0.232 & 0.228 & 0.246 & 0.243 & 0.246 & 0.265 & 0.258 & 0.261 & 0.305 \\
\hline 4 & 0.306 & 0.29 & 0.276 & 0.274 & 0.301 & 0.281 & 0.301 & 0.297 & 0.311 & 0.363 \\
\hline 5 & 0.424 & 0.378 & 0.373 & 0.333 & 0.403 & 0.442 & 0.344 & 0.344 & 0.369 & 0.413 \\
\hline 6 & 0.573 & 0.54 & 0.477 & 0.43 & 0.455 & 0.528 & 0.532 & 0.39 & 0.41 & 0.489 \\
\hline 7 & 0.684 & 0.663 & 0.645 & 0.516 & 0.503 & 0.585 & 0.592 & 0.565 & 0.468 & 0.512 \\
\hline 8 & 0.806 & 0.788 & 0.673 & 0.601 & 0.565 & 0.65 & 0.362 & 0.621 & 0.636 & 0.583 \\
\hline 9 & 0.873 & 0.882 & 0.845 & 0.722 & 0.581 & 0.703 & 0.667 & 0.679 & 0.732 & 0.696 \\
\hline 10 & 1.335 & 0.961 & 0.973 & 0.578 & 0.848 & 0.833 & 0.746 & 0.635 & 0.747 & 0.707 \\
\hline 11 & 1.074 & 1.097 & 0.999 & 0.79 & 0.949 & 0.907 & 0.791 & 0.772 & 0.771 & 0.817 \\
\hline 12 & 1.24 & 1.261 & 1.255 & 0.843 & 0.704 & 1.007 & 0.919 & 0.741 & 0.898 & 0.847 \\
\hline 13 & 1.141 & 1.246 & 1.201 & 1.072 & 1.052 & 0.898 & 0.81 & 0.995 & 0.839 & 0.941 \\
\hline 14 & 1.8 & 1.403 & 1.62 & 0.721 & 1.056 & 0.976 & 0.938 & 0.907 & 1.155 & 0.936 \\
\hline +gp & 1.619 & 1.678 & 1.46 & 1.234 & 1.216 & 1.221 & 1.17 & 1.179 & 1.175 & 1.102 \\
\hline YEAR & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.175 & 0.175 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.16 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 \\
\hline 2 & 0.274 & 0.264 & 0.234 & 0.275 & 0.217 & 0.25 & 0.242 & 0.243 & 0.229 & 0.25 \\
\hline 3 & 0.321 & 0.322 & 0.304 & 0.294 & 0.281 & 0.309 & 0.336 & 0.303 & 0.307 & 0.282 \\
\hline 4 & 0.401 & 0.38 & 0.375 & 0.417 & 0.332 & 0.364 & 0.367 & 0.363 & 0.372 & 0.378 \\
\hline 5 & 0.473 & 0.468 & 0.437 & 0.483 & 0.484 & 0.405 & 0.411 & 0.414 & 0.444 & 0.473 \\
\hline 6 & 0.534 & 0.521 & 0.524 & 0.544 & 0.55 & 0.551 & 0.467 & 0.459 & 0.524 & 0.536 \\
\hline 7 & 0.579 & 0.566 & 0.57 & 0.61 & 0.593 & 0.627 & 0.547 & 0.543 & 0.582 & 0.57 \\
\hline 8 & 0.606 & 0.583 & 0.629 & 0.668 & 0.658 & 0.69 & 0.63 & 0.667 & 0.651 & 0.624 \\
\hline 9 & 0.655 & 0.617 & 0.652 & 0.704 & 0.694 & 0.667 & 0.704 & 0.764 & 0.778 & 0.707 \\
\hline 10 & 0.759 & 0.69 & 0.69 & 0.762 & 0.743 & 0.759 & 0.773 & 0.826 & 1.025 & 0.849 \\
\hline 11 & 0.815 & 0.926 & 0.774 & 0.83 & 0.784 & 0.818 & 0.848 & 0.894 & 0.947 & 0.91 \\
\hline 12 & 0.869 & 0.899 & 0.932 & 0.886 & 0.875 & 0.909 & 0.939 & 0.88 & 0.838 & 0.866 \\
\hline 13 & 0.849 & 0.961 & 1.017 & 0.874 & 0.972 & 0.838 & 0.959 & 1.127 & 1.209 & 1.114 \\
\hline 14 & 0.971 & 0.977 & 0.962 & 1.07 & 1.158 & 1.055 & 1.024 & 1.041 & 1.194 & 1.218 \\
\hline +gp & 1.237 & 0.998 & 1.113 & 1.217 & 1.107 & 1.116 & 1.119 & 1.255 & 1.31 & 1.324 \\
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.131 \\
\hline 2 & 0.242 & 0.211 & 0.203 & 0.208 & 0.195 & 0.194 & 0.212 & 0.215 & 0.245 & 0.208 \\
\hline 3 & 0.265 & 0.248 & 0.242 & 0.243 & 0.253 & 0.265 & 0.238 & 0.248 & 0.272 & 0.263 \\
\hline 4 & 0.381 & 0.329 & 0.338 & 0.31 & 0.336 & 0.33 & 0.315 & 0.282 & 0.281 & 0.275 \\
\hline 5 & 0.49 & 0.494 & 0.464 & 0.452 & 0.44 & 0.401 & 0.426 & 0.362 & 0.342 & 0.34 \\
\hline 6 & 0.589 & 0.559 & 0.571 & 0.536 & 0.533 & 0.503 & 0.467 & 0.484 & 0.421 & 0.4 \\
\hline 7 & 0.631 & 0.624 & 0.649 & 0.635 & 0.692 & 0.573 & 0.547 & 0.553 & 0.555 & 0.463 \\
\hline 8 & 0.679 & 0.712 & 0.692 & 0.656 & 0.779 & 0.711 & 0.644 & 0.616 & 0.648 & 0.64 \\
\hline 9 & 0.726 & 0.754 & 0.787 & 0.764 & 0.888 & 0.747 & 0.706 & 0.759 & 0.713 & 0.658 \\
\hline 10 & 0.828 & 0.791 & 0.898 & 0.869 & 0.971 & 0.817 & 0.897 & 0.837 & 0.769 & 0.762 \\
\hline 11 & 0.981 & 0.824 & 0.932 & 0.955 & 0.953 & 1.009 & 0.937 & 0.791 & 1.051 & 0.855 \\
\hline 12 & 1.066 & 1.011 & 1.042 & 0.906 & 1.107 & 1.018 & 1.009 & 0.968 & 1.154 & 0.99 \\
\hline 13 & 1.182 & 1.13 & 1.235 & 1.068 & 1.153 & 1.019 & 1.065 & 1.215 & 1.022 & 0.982 \\
\hline 14 & 0.897 & 1.257 & 1.127 & 1.108 & 1.126 & 1.214 & 1.135 & 0.899 & 1.09 & 0.86 \\
\hline +gp & 1.197 & 1.124 & 1.235 & 1.308 & 1.354 & 1.114 & 0.972 & 0.857 & 1.084 & 0.928 \\
\hline & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 1 & 0.131 & 0.131 & 0.131 & 0.124 & 0.124 & 0.124 & 0.124 & 0.124 & 0.124 & 0.124 \\
\hline 2 & 0.262 & 0.257 & 0.222 & 0.245 & 0.245 & 0.217 & 0.205 & 0.211 & 0.224 & 0.213 \\
\hline 3 & 0.266 & 0.264 & 0.249 & 0.265 & 0.282 & 0.254 & 0.269 & 0.251 & 0.236 & 0.247 \\
\hline 4 & 0.3 & 0.301 & 0.302 & 0.311 & 0.329 & 0.342 & 0.362 & 0.346 & 0.29 & 0.273 \\
\hline 5 & 0.316 & 0.328 & 0.366 & 0.401 & 0.39 & 0.442 & 0.471 & 0.436 & 0.409 & 0.331 \\
\hline 6 & 0.402 & 0.391 & 0.41 & 0.451 & 0.464 & 0.491 & 0.578 & 0.524 & 0.468 & 0.406 \\
\hline 7 & 0.501 & 0.491 & 0.467 & 0.52 & 0.49 & 0.563 & 0.588 & 0.591 & 0.687 & 0.519 \\
\hline 8 & 0.575 & 0.595 & 0.548 & 0.607 & 0.572 & 0.586 & 0.657 & 0.68 & 0.742 & 0.615 \\
\hline 9 & 0.696 & 0.646 & 0.679 & 0.705 & 0.689 & 0.684 & 0.676 & 0.696 & 0.707 & 0.772 \\
\hline 10 & 0.751 & 0.737 & 0.752 & 0.836 & 0.845 & 0.771 & 0.709 & 0.639 & 0.864 & 0.801 \\
\hline 11 & 0.844 & 0.805 & 0.912 & 0.739 & 0.906 & 0.913 & 1.004 & 0.764 & 0.872 & 0.792 \\
\hline 12 & 0.886 & 0.942 & 0.961 & 0.885 & 0.973 & 0.865 & 1.092 & 0.898 & 0.744 & 0.767 \\
\hline 13 & 0.998 & 0.866 & 1.027 & 0.827 & 0.9 & 0.898 & 0.788 & 1.185 & 0.818 & 0.826 \\
\hline 14 & 0.859 & 0.912 & 0.846 & 0.913 & 0.781 & 1.287 & 1.175 & 0.839 & 1.082 & 0.957 \\
\hline +gp & 1.078 & 1.101 & 1.02 & 1.128 & 0.87 & 1.052 & 0.829 & 1.102 & 1.081 & 0.986 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NL Beam Trawl \& English Beam Trawl NOT used in tuning of final XSA run
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Plaice Sub-area IV 104} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{NL Beam Trawl (1)} \\
\hline 1989 & 2001 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & 9 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 72.5 & 40443 & 73696 & 131915 & 23064 & 9634 & 5240 & 2715 & 947 & \\
\hline 71.1 & 21956 & 60038 & 49862 & 76521 & 12187 & 3682 & 1790 & 1161 & \\
\hline 68.5 & 27501 & 42376 & 53152 & 30697 & 34092 & 6879 & 1954 & 1137 & \\
\hline 71.1 & 24271 & 44306 & 31854 & 27165 & 12219 & 9485 & 2464 & 993 & \\
\hline 76.9 & 27552 & 46536 & 31333 & 19705 & 10984 & 6040 & 3611 & 1025 & \\
\hline 81.4 & 30194 & 48106 & 35901 & 15371 & 7938 & 6174 & 2866 & 1929 & \\
\hline 81.2 & 22519 & 43505 & 33883 & 14453 & 6575 & 3418 & 1549 & 931 & \\
\hline 72.1 & 26600 & 27628 & 20922 & 13980 & 5313 & 3644 & 1366 & 944 & \\
\hline 72 & 23098 & 45655 & 18156 & 6884 & 4337 & 2016 & 975 & 460 & \\
\hline 70.3 & 15288 & 32486 & 26751 & 6389 & 2290 & 1359 & 669 & 314 & \\
\hline 67.3 & 4341 & 76295 & 18251 & 11058 & 2999 & 998 & 833 & 506 & \\
\hline \(67.7 *\) & 8973 & 16995 & 72228 & 5789 & 3880 & 735 & 336 & 214 & \\
\hline 61.4 & 16227 & 22535 & 19715 & 40807 & 2745 & 1759 & 390 & 196 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{English Beam Trawl (2) (excl flag vessels)} \\
\hline 1990 & 2001 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & 12 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 102.3 & 2764 & 9488 & 1786 & 1133 & 722 & 842 & 251 & 170 & 98 \\
\hline 123.6 & 2711 & 3538 & 6599 & 1325 & 837 & 427 & 610 & 226 & 183 \\
\hline 151.5 & 2909 & 4446 & 2787 & 3674 & 968 & 558 & 485 & 497 & 166 \\
\hline 146.6 & 3436 & 3060 & 2530 & 923 & 1876 & 635 & 400 & 357 & 255 \\
\hline 131.4 & 3038 & 2890 & 1772 & 1252 & 593 & 850 & 431 & 189 & 160 \\
\hline 105 & 3574 & 1657 & 1475 & 1020 & 620 & 332 & 378 & 287 & 143 \\
\hline 82.9 & 1105 & 1579 & 890 & 836 & 543 & 388 & 207 & 274 & 163 \\
\hline 76.3 & 1253 & 844 & 1066 & 599 & 686 & 505 & 211 & 148 & 229 \\
\hline 68.8 & 1623 & 892 & 617 & 598 & 347 & 415 & 317 & 134 & 110 \\
\hline 68.6 & 1011 & 1045 & 457 & 327 & 367 & 258 & 224 & 193 & 98 \\
\hline 57.8 & 3655 & 865 & 575 & 255 & 141 & 201 & 108 & 103 & 146 \\
\hline 54.1 & 794 & 2436 & 481 & 336 & 134 & 93 & 112 & 49 & 91 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{BTS (3)} \\
\hline 1985 & 2001 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.66 & 0.75 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 9 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 130 & 180 & 38.8 & 11.8 & 1.4 & 1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 660.2 & 131.8 & 50.9 & 8.9 & 3.3 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 225.1 & 765 & 33.1 & 4.8 & 2 & 1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 605.1 & 139.9 & 173.2 & 9.2 & 2.7 & 0.7 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 426.6 & 332.6 & 38.6 & 47.3 & 5.9 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 107 & 99.8 & 57.7 & 24.8 & 7.6 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
\hline 1 & 184.4 & 122.1 & 28.5 & 11.9 & 4.3 & 5.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 172.8 & 125.7 & 27.3 & 5.6 & 3.2 & 2.7 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 122.6 & 181 & 38.8 & 6.1 & 1 & 0.8 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 141.7 & 65.7 & 37.4 & 11.9 & 3.2 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 1 & 0.4 \\
\hline 1 & 249.4 & 43.6 & 14.2 & 8.3 & 1.2 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 1.1 & 0.2 \\
\hline 1 & 215.8 & 206.8 & 22.8 & 4.8 & 3.7 & 0.9 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & -11 & -11 & 19.9 & 2.8 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 337 & 433.1 & 47.3 & 8.9 & 1.5 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline 1 & 298.9 & 133.1 & 181.8 & 4 & 2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 275.9 & 72.9 & 32.4 & 23 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 219 & 84.2 & 19.5 & 10.8 & 9.5 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{SNS (3)} \\
\hline 1982 & 2001 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.66 & 0.75 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 3 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 70108 & 8503 & 1146 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 34884 & 14708 & 308 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 44667 & 10413 & 2480 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 27832 & 13789 & 1584 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 93573 & 7558 & 1155 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 33426 & 33021 & 1232 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 36672 & 14430 & 13140 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 37238 & 14952 & 3709 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 24903 & 7287 & 3248 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 57349 & 11149 & 1507 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 48223 & 13742 & 2257 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 22184 & 9484 & 988 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 18225 & 4866 & 884 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 24900 & 2786 & 415 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 24663 & 10377 & 1189 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & -11 & -11 & 1393 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 33391 & 29431 & 5739 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 35188 & 9235 & 14347 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 23028 & 2489 & 905 & & & & & & \\
\hline & 10193 & 2416 & 356 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(*) Revised at ACFM oct 2001
(1) Effort is specified in Hpdays (*100,000), catch numbers in thousands. Source: RIVO
(2) Effort is specified in HP fishing hours (million), catch numbers in thousands. Source: CEFAS
(3) Source: RIVO

Table 9.3.2. North Sea plaice: effort and CPUE trends for the NL and UK commercial fleets
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Effort} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CPUE} \\
\hline & NL beam-trawlers & English beam-trawlers & NL beam-trawlers & UK beam-trawlers \\
\hline Year & HP days * 100000 & HP days *million & & \\
\hline 1979 & 44.3 & & 1693 & \\
\hline 1980 & 45 & & 1729 & \\
\hline 1981 & 46.3 & & 1853 & \\
\hline 1982 & 57.3 & & 1707 & \\
\hline 1983 & 65.6 & & 1441 & \\
\hline 1984 & 70.8 & & 1439 & \\
\hline 1985 & 70.3 & & 1511 & \\
\hline 1986 & 68.2 & & 1651 & \\
\hline 1987 & 68.4 & & 1440 & \\
\hline 1988 & 76.2 & & 1194 & \\
\hline 1989 & 72.5 & & 1379 & \\
\hline 1990 & 71.1 & 102.3 & 1104 & 86 \\
\hline 1991 & 68.5 & 123.6 & 1022 & 70 \\
\hline 1992 & 71.1 & 151.5 & 745 & 59 \\
\hline 1993 & 76.9 & 146.6 & 656 & 51 \\
\hline 1994 & 81.4 & 131.4 & 626 & 47 \\
\hline 1995 & 81.2 & 105.0 & 565 & 49 \\
\hline 1996 & 72.1 & 82.9 & 510 & 46 \\
\hline 1997 & 72 & 76.3 & 492 & 55 \\
\hline 1998 & 70.3 & 68.8 & 451 & 55 \\
\hline 1999 & 67.3 & 68.6 & 577 & 45 \\
\hline 2000 & 67.7 (1) & 57.8 & 536 & 68 (2) \\
\hline 2001 & 61.4 (3) & 54.1 & 550 & 61 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(1) Updated at ACFM meeting october 2001
(2) Revised 2002
(3) Provisional

Table 9.4.1.1 North Sea Plaice: Separable VPA output
Title : Plaice in IV
At \(13 / 06 / 2002\) 14:54

Separable analysis
from 1957 to 2001 on ages 1 to 14
with Terminal \(F\) of .650 on age 4 and Terminal \(S\) of .450

Initial sum of squared residuals was 2722.354 and
final sum of squared residuals is 765.024 after 150 iterations
Matrix of Residuals
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Years, & \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{\(1981 / 82,1982 / 83,1983 / 84,1984 / 85,1985 / 86,1986 / 87,1987 / 88,1988 / 89,1989 / 90,1990 / 91\),} \\
\hline 1/ 2, & -1.782, & -. 154, & -. 660, & -3.154, & -2.705, & -. 645, & -7.323, & -8.565, & -. 015, & . 280 , \\
\hline 2/ 3, & . 469 , & . 280 , & . 209, & . 299, & . 542 , & . 472, & . 153, & -. 830 , & . 242 , & . 390, \\
\hline 3/ 4, & . 504 , & . 637, & . 540 , & . 273, & . 518, & . 127, & . 410, & -.089, & -. 336, & -. 098, \\
\hline 4/ 5, & . 069 , & . 178 , & . 169 , & -. 187, & . 312 , & -. 475, & . 248 , & -.413, & -. 503, & -. 069 , \\
\hline 5/6, & . 181 , & .165, & . 014, & . 244 , & -. 122, & . 097 , & . 395, & . 201, & -. 139, & . 285 , \\
\hline 6/7, & -. 182, & -. 016, & -. 158, & -. 048 , & -. 002 , & -. 146, & . 081 , & . 073, & -. 056 , & . 055 , \\
\hline 7/ 8, & -. 072 , & -. 087, & -.411, & -. 124, & -. 047 , & -. 077, & . 156 , & . 056 , & . 046 , & -. 045 , \\
\hline 8/ 9, & . 131, & . 089 , & -.199, & . 192, & -.015, & . 072, & -. 111, & . 367 , & . 087 , & -. 021 , \\
\hline 9/10, & -. 239, & -. 140, & -. 319, & -. 017, & -. 070 , & -. 265 , & -. 225 , & . 010, & -.093, & . 028 , \\
\hline 10/11, & -. 417, & -.129, & . 007 , & -. 002 , & -. 203, & -. 158, & . 031, & -.139, & -.012, & -. 346 , \\
\hline 11/12, & . 323, & -. 224, & . 352 , & . 016 , & -. 007 , & . 274 , & . 030 , & . 191, & . 369, & . 225, \\
\hline 12/13, & -. 066 , & -. 273, & . 381 , & -. 056 , & . 007 , & . 088 , & -. 123, & . 210, & . 097 , & -. 038, \\
\hline 13/14, & . 106 , & . 150 , & . 137 , & -. 193, & -.019, & . 487 , & -. 258 , & . 019, & . 208, & -. 161, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Years, \(1991 / 92,1992 / 93,1993 / 94,1994 / 95,1995 / 96,1996 / 97,1997 / 98,1998 / 99,1999 / * *, 2000 / * *\),
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1/ 2, & . 180, & . 685, & . 876, & -. 106, & 1.783, & -. 251, & -. 337, & -. 386, & -. 203, & 1.294, \\
\hline 2/ 3, & . 593, & . 304 , & . 460 , & . 256 , & . 602, & . 365 , & . 421, & -. 516, & -.612, & 342, \\
\hline 3/ 4, & . 100, & -. 083, & -. 080 , & -. 263 , & . 403 , & . 120, & -. 003 , & . 373, & -. 424, & -. 070, \\
\hline 4/5, & -. 158, & -. 515, & -. 278, & -. 373, & . 134 , & -. 159, & -. 095, & . 087 , & . 015 , & 151, \\
\hline 5/ 6, & . 208, & . 118, & . 078, & -. 336, & . 224 , & . 043 , & . 256 , & . 187, & . 039, & -. 539, \\
\hline 6/7, & . 308, & . 026 , & -. 287, & -. 451, & -. 260 , & -. 085, & -. 090, & . 039, & . 158 , & -.031, \\
\hline 7/8, & . 164, & . 156 , & -. 100, & . 145 , & . 048 , & . 237, & -.091, & . 053 , & . 034 , & -. 242, \\
\hline 8/ 9, & . 076 , & . 031 , & . 093 , & . 095 , & -. 027, & . 087 , & -.109, & -. 067 , & . 088 , & -. 005 , \\
\hline 9/10, & -. 123, & -. 130, & -. 236 , & . 204 , & -. 238, & . 053 , & -. 009 , & -. 215, & .191, & -.012, \\
\hline 10/11, & -. 135, & -. 159, & -. 079, & -. 064 , & -. 317, & . 096 , & -. 066 , & -. 154, & . 146 , & -. 004 , \\
\hline 11/12, & -. 007 , & . 270 , & . 414, & . 287 , & . 374 , & . 027 , & . 095 , & . 075 , & -.151, & -. 045 , \\
\hline 12/13, & -. 241, & -. 327, & -. 095 , & . 195, & . 018, & -. 322, & -. 053, & . 037 , & -. 122, & . 465 , \\
\hline 13/14, & -. 567, & . 465 , & . 460 , & . 302 , & -. 399, & -. 308, & . 154 , & . 071, & -. 097 , & . 176 , \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output


Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting not applied
Catchability analysis :
Catchability independent of stock size for all ages
Catchability independent of age for ages >= 10
Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F
of the final 5 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk = . 500

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet \(=.300\)
Prior weighting applied :
Fleet Weight
NL Beam . 00
FLTO2: E . 00
BTS \(\quad 1.00\)
SNS \(\quad 1.00\)
Tuning converged after 25 iterations
Regression weights
, \(1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000\)
Fishing mortalities
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 1, & . 009, & . 013, & . 006 , & . 025, & . 004 , & . 001 , & . 001 , & . 003, & . 011, & . 014 \\
\hline 2, & . 137, & . 171, & . 206 , & . 194 , & . 168 , & . 194 , & . 037, & . 033, & . 087 , & . 144 \\
\hline 3, & . 382 , & . 450, & . 490, & . 608, & . 537, & . 535, & . 478, & . 241, & . 182, & . 338 \\
\hline 4, & . 505, & . 575, & . 718 , & . 773 , & . 749 , & . 761 , & . 705 , & . 498, & . 383 , & . 313 \\
\hline 5, & . 743 , & . 723 , & . 612, & . 751 , & . 752 , & . 914, & . 659, & . 663, & . 312, & . 338 \\
\hline 6, & . 718 , & . 666 , & . 626 , & . 569, & . 673, & . 738 , & . 637, & . 547, & . 515, & . 641 \\
\hline 7, & . 739, & . 632, & . 804 , & . 617, & . 695, & . 629, & . 588 , & . 555, & . 289 , & . 649 \\
\hline 8 , & . 512, & . 619, & . 621 , & . 492, & . 575, & . 478 , & . 481 , & . 509, & . 362 , & . 367 \\
\hline 9, & . 483, & . 488, & . 600 , & . 426, & . 548, & . 534, & . 398 , & . 534, & . 367 , & . 466 \\
\hline 10, & . 501, & . 520, & . 584, & . 335 , & . 540, & . 486 , & . 395 , & . 449, & . 327 , & . 450 \\
\hline 11, & . 448, & . 508, & . 471 , & . 395 , & . 365 , & . 399 , & . 324 , & . 360 , & . 233, & . 329 \\
\hline 12, & . 442 , & . 485, & . 479, & . 359, & . 381 , & . 462 , & . 380 , & . 388, & . 454 , & . 403 \\
\hline 13, & . 658, & . 679, & . 562 , & . 275, & . 344 , & . 506 , & . 378 , & . 345 , & . 328 , & . 381 \\
\hline 14, & 540, & . 548 , & . 568 , & . 357 , & . 453, & . 495 , & . 391 , & . 377 , & 308, & 384 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)}

XSA population numbers (Thousands)

\(1992, \quad 4.03 \mathrm{E}+05,3.62 \mathrm{E}+05,2.82 \mathrm{E}+05,1.92 \mathrm{E}+05,1.46 \mathrm{E}+05,6.85 \mathrm{E}+04,5.94 \mathrm{E}+04,1.83 \mathrm{E}+04,8.78 \mathrm{E}+03,5.98 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1993,2.85 \mathrm{E}+05,3.62 \mathrm{E}+05,2.85 \mathrm{E}+05,1.74 \mathrm{E}+05,1.05 \mathrm{E}+05,6.27 \mathrm{E}+04,3.02 \mathrm{E}+04,2.57 \mathrm{E}+04,9.93 \mathrm{E}+03,4.90 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1994,2.43 \mathrm{E}+05,2.55 \mathrm{E}+05,2.76 \mathrm{E}+05,1.65 \mathrm{E}+05,8.86 \mathrm{E}+04,4.61 \mathrm{E}+04,2.92 \mathrm{E}+04,1.45 \mathrm{E}+04,1.25 \mathrm{E}+04,5.51 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1995, \quad 3.27 \mathrm{E}+05,2.18 \mathrm{E}+05,1.88 \mathrm{E}+05,1.53 \mathrm{E}+05,7.27 \mathrm{E}+04,4.35 \mathrm{E}+04,2.23 \mathrm{E}+04,1.18 \mathrm{E}+04,7.08 \mathrm{E}+03,6.21 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1996,2.84 \mathrm{E}+05,2.89 \mathrm{E}+05,1.63 \mathrm{E}+05,9.25 \mathrm{E}+04,6.39 \mathrm{E}+04,3.10 \mathrm{E}+04,2.23 \mathrm{E}+04,1.09 \mathrm{E}+04,6.53 \mathrm{E}+03,4.18 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1997, \quad 9.70 \mathrm{E}+05,2.56 \mathrm{E}+05,2.21 \mathrm{E}+05,8.61 \mathrm{E}+04,3.96 \mathrm{E}+04,2.72 \mathrm{E}+04,1.43 \mathrm{E}+04,1.01 \mathrm{E}+04,5.54 \mathrm{E}+03,3.42 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1998,3.15 \mathrm{E}+05,8.77 \mathrm{E}+05,1.91 \mathrm{E}+05,1.17 \mathrm{E}+05,3.64 \mathrm{E}+04,1.44 \mathrm{E}+04,1.18 \mathrm{E}+04,6.90 \mathrm{E}+03,5.64 \mathrm{E}+03,2.94 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(1999,2.20 \mathrm{E}+05,2.85 \mathrm{E}+05,7.64 \mathrm{E}+05,1.07 \mathrm{E}+05,5.23 \mathrm{E}+04,1.70 \mathrm{E}+04,6.87 \mathrm{E}+03,5.92 \mathrm{E}+03,3.86 \mathrm{E}+03,3.43 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(2000,2.47 \mathrm{E}+05,1.99 \mathrm{E}+05,2.50 \mathrm{E}+05,5.43 \mathrm{E}+05,5.88 \mathrm{E}+04,2.44 \mathrm{E}+04,8.92 \mathrm{E}+03,3.57 \mathrm{E}+03,3.22 \mathrm{E}+03,2.05 \mathrm{E}+03\), \(2001,2.76 \mathrm{E}+05,2.21 \mathrm{E}+05,1.65 \mathrm{E}+05,1.88 \mathrm{E}+05,3.35 \mathrm{E}+05,3.89 \mathrm{E}+04,1.32 \mathrm{E}+04,6.05 \mathrm{E}+03,2.25 \mathrm{E}+03,2.02 \mathrm{E}+03\),

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,2.46 \mathrm{E}+05, \quad 1.73 \mathrm{E}+05,1.06 \mathrm{E}+05,1.25 \mathrm{E}+05, \quad 2.16 \mathrm{E}+05,1.85 \mathrm{E}+04, \quad 6.24 \mathrm{E}+03, \quad 3.79 \mathrm{E}+03\),
1.28E+03,

Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\(4.02 \mathrm{E}+05,3.60 \mathrm{E}+05,2.98 \mathrm{E}+05,1.96 \mathrm{E}+05,1.11 \mathrm{E}+05,5.89 \mathrm{E}+04, \quad 3.41 \mathrm{E}+04,2.10 \mathrm{E}+04, \quad 1.38 \mathrm{E}+04\),
\(9.46 \mathrm{E}+03\),

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :


Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(1.16 \mathrm{E}+03,8.70 \mathrm{E}+02\), 8.60E+02, 4.02E+02,

Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations: , 6.45E+03, 4.51E+03, 3.04E+03, 2.09E+03,

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
.7910, .7986, .8339, .8718,
1

Log catchability residuals.

Fleet : NL Beam Trawl


Table 9.4.2 1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 8, \\
Mean Log q, & -6.9488, & -5.9239, & -5.6428, & -5.6493, & -5.7377, & -5.8281, & -6.1197, \\
S.E (Log q), & .6279, & .3164, & .2360, & .2651, & .2584, & .2556, & .2426,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time. Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 3.74, & -1.753, & -8.73, & .04, & 13, & 2.17, & -6.95, \\
3, & 1.50, & -1.721, & 2.63, & .52, & 13, & .44, & -5.92, \\
4, & 1.18, & -1.470, & 4.45, & .85, & 13, & .27, & -5.64, \\
5, & 1.00, & .016, & 5.66, & .88, & 13, & .28, & -5.65, \\
6, & .88, & 1.121, & 6.30, & .89, & 13, & .23, & -5.74, \\
7, & .79, & 2.731, & 6.69, & .94, & 13, & .16, & -5.83, \\
8, & .82, & 2.001, & 6.69, & .92, & 13, & .18, & -6.12, \\
9, & .87, & .923, & 6.60, & .82, & 13, & .25, & -6.27,
\end{tabular}

Fleet : FLT02: English Beam
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & , & 1982, & 1983, & 1984, & 1985, & 1986, & 1987, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 \\
\hline 1 & & No data & for & his fl & et at th & his age & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & & No data & for & his fl & t at & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & & No data & for & his fl & t at & his age & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99 & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 34, & -. 52 \\
\hline 5 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 19, & -. 22 \\
\hline 6 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 33, & 13 \\
\hline 7 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99 & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 08 , & -. 39 \\
\hline 8 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 22 , & -. 20 \\
\hline 9 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 01 , & -. 68 \\
\hline 10 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 59, & -. 08 \\
\hline 11 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 27 , & -. 53 \\
\hline 12 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 69, & . 07 \\
\hline Age & & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 1 & & No data & for & is fle & et at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & & No data & for t & is fle & et at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & & No data & for & is fle & t at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & & -. 29 , & . 04 , & . 14 , & . 63, & . 18, & . 47, & . 50, & . 03, & -. 20, & -. 63 \\
\hline 5 & & -. 13, & -. 15 , & . 02 , & -. 05 , & . 27 , & . 27 , & . 40 , & . 20 , & -.09, & -. 72 \\
\hline 6 & & -. 21, & -. 21 , & -. 16 , & -.09, & . 02, & . 45, & . 60, & . 09 , & . 12, & -. 40 \\
\hline 7 & & . 00, & -. 72 , & -. 20 , & . 01, & . 08, & . 24 , & . 52, & . 45, & -.01, & . 10 \\
\hline 8 & & -. 41, & -. 01 , & -. 48 , & -. 06 , & . 16, & . 51 , & . 31 , & . 54, & . 19, & -. 32 \\
\hline 9 & & -. 45, & -. 41 , & -. 19, & -. 42 , & .11, & . 61, & . 44 , & . 41, & . 44, & . 14 \\
\hline 10 & & -. 27 , & -. 22 , & -.13, & -. 27 , & -. 14 , & . 14, & . 76, & . 28 , & . 18 , & 36 \\
\hline 11 & & . 03, & . 06 , & -. 26 , & . 29, & . 10, & . 18, & . 30, & . 74, & . 12, & -. 11 \\
\hline 12 & & -. 58, & -.03, & -. 04 , & . 20 , & . 45, & . 51, & . 48, & . 44, & 1.14, & . 48 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Mean Log \(q\), & -8.6310, & -7.9884, & -7.6316, & -7.4104, & -7.2561, & -7.0436, & -6.9762, & -6.9762, \\
\hline S.E(Log q), & . 4062 , & . 3008 , & . 3006 , & . 3396 , & . 3445 , & 4273, & . 3592 , & 3327, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrl}
4, & 1.89, & -2.475, & 5.57, & .44, & 12, & .63, & -8.63, \\
5, & 1.37, & -2.839, & 6.70, & .85, & 12, & .32, & -7.99, \\
6, & 1.33, & -2.005, & 6.67, & .79, & 12, & .35, & -7.63, \\
7, & 1.53, & -2.603, & 6.10, & .71, & 12, & .42, & -7.41, \\
8, & 1.43, & -1.772, & 6.40, & .63, & 12, & .45, & -7.26, \\
9, & 2.00, & -2.541, & 5.32, & .39, & 12, & .70, & -7.04, \\
10, & 2.55, & -3.339, & 4.88, & .32, & 12, & .66, & -6.98, \\
11, & 1.53, & -1.341, & 6.42, & .39, & 12, & .48, & -6.92, \\
12, & -4.57, & -3.471, & 10.70, & .04, & 12, & 1.62, & -6.78,
\end{tabular}

Fleet : BTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & , & 1982, & 1983, & 1984, & 1985, & 1986, & 1987, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 \\
\hline 1 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 94, & -. 18, & -. 41, & . 53, & . 51, & -.85, & -. 32 \\
\hline 2 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 14, & -. 30, & . 55, & -. 35, & . 52, & -. 36, & -. 10 \\
\hline 3 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 26 , & . 05 , & -. 31, & . 36 , & -. 38, & . 04 , & -. 30 \\
\hline 4 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 33, & -. 26 , & -. 69, & -. 19, & . 50, & . 62, & -. 08 \\
\hline 5 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 56, & -. 14, & -. 30, & . 19, & . 66 , & . 10, & 29 \\
\hline 6 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 21 , & -. 63, & -. 29, & -. 29 , & -. 03, & -. 37, & 1.01 \\
\hline 7 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 06 , & . 03 , & -. 33, & -. 38, & -. 05 , & -. 71, & -. 54 \\
\hline 8 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 26 , & -. 93, & -. 94, & -. 92, & . 54, & . 05 , & -. 27 \\
\hline 9 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 40 , & -. 28 , & -. 28 , & -. 17 , & -. 25 , & . 16 , & -. 35 \\
\hline 10 & & No dat & for th & is flee & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 11 & & No dat & for th & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 12 & & No dat & for t & is flee & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & , & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 1 & , & -. 38, & -. 38, & -. 08 , & . 20 , & . 19, & 99.99, & . 53, & . 77, & . 58 , & 24 \\
\hline 2 & , & -. 09, & . 30 , & -. 34 , & -. 60, & . 66, & 99.99, & . 19, & . 13, & -. 07, & . 01 \\
\hline 3 & , & -. 25, & . 13, & . 16, & -. 34, & . 22 , & -. 22 , & . 75 , & . 54 , & -. 10, & -. 08 \\
\hline 4 & , & -. 49, & -. 25 , & . 57, & . 32 , & . 26 , & -. 20, & . 61, & -. 24 , & -. 20, & . 05 \\
\hline 5 & , & . 07 , & -. 78 , & . 48, & -. 21, & 1.05, & -1.27, & . 64, & . 57, & -. 84, & . 04 \\
\hline 6 & , & . 96 , & -. 20 , & -. 06 , & . 21 , & . 62, & -. 01 , & 1.12, & -1.06, & -. 75 , & -. 44 \\
\hline 7 & , & . 38 , & . 37 , & . 81, & . 26 , & 99.99, & . 02 , & -. 51, & . 01, & 1.17, & -. 58 \\
\hline 8 & , & . 00 , & . 02, & 1.51, & 1.72, & .16, & -. 53, & -. 15, & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99 \\
\hline 9 & & -. 17, & -. 29 , & . 94 , & . 70 , & .17, & 99.99, & . 21 , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99 \\
\hline 10 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 11 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 12 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age , & 1, & 2, & 3 , & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7 , & 8 , \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{9} \\
\hline Mean Log \(q\), & -7.2950, & -7.7091, & -8.6481, & -9.5280, & -10.2060, & -10.5262, & -10.6814, & -10.5855, \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{10.8019,} \\
\hline S.E(Log q) , & . 5212, & . 3659 , & . 3292, & . 4055 , & . 6153, & . 6276 , & . 5171, & , .8127, \\
\hline .4201, & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrr} 
Age, & \multicolumn{1}{c}{1,} & 2, & 3 \\
Mean Log q, & -2.4674, & -3.6286, & -4.9494, \\
S.E(Log q), & .3468, & .3708, & .7802,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr}
1, & 1.27, & -1.265, & -.39, & .56, & 19, & .43, \\
2, & .79, & 1.504, & 5.54, & .76, & 19, & .28, \\
3, & .76, & .860, & 6.80, & .42, & 20, & .60,
\end{tabular}

Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)

Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 1 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=2000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00, & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & \(311905 .\), & . 537, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 225 , & . 011 \\
\hline SNS & , & \(116226 .\), & . 356 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 512 , & . 029 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 868248., & . 50 , & & & & . 263 , & . 004 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(246233 .\), & .26, & .68, & 3, & 2.660, & .014
\end{tabular}

Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int, & Ext,
s.e, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Var, } \\
\text { Ratio, }
\end{gathered}
\] & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & , & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00, & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1. & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 210356., & . 309 , & . 267 , & . 86, & 2, & . 351 , & 120 \\
\hline SNS & , & 135700., & . 260 , & . 444 , & 1.71, & 2, & . 494 , & .180 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & \(244290 .\), & . 50 , & & & & . 155, & . 104 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(173366 .\), & .18, & .22, & 5, & 1.169, & .144
\end{tabular}

Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1998\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & Ext,
s.e, & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated \\
F
\end{tabular} \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & , & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & \[
\text { . } 00 \text {, }
\] & 0, & .000, & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1 & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 113773., & . 228, & . 226, & . 99, & 3, & . 469 , & . 318 \\
\hline SNS & , & \(104954 .\), & . 247 , & . 489 , & 1.98, & 3, & . 387 , & . 341 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(106250 .\), & .16, & .20, & 7, & 1.249, & .338
\end{tabular}

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1997\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & Ext,
s.e, & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & , & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00, & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & .000 \\
\hline BTS & & 135373., & . 201, & . 113, & . 56 , & 4, & . 534, & . 291 \\
\hline SNS & , & 154897., & . 247 , & . 161 , & . 65 , & 3, & . 330 , & . 259 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & \(53066 .\), & . 50, & & & & . 136 , & . 622 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)
Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(124586 .\), & .15, & .16, & 8, & 1.044, & .313
\end{tabular}

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1996\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int, & Ext,
s.e, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & , & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 257428. & . 210, & . 168, & . 80 , & 4, & . 595, & . 291 \\
\hline SNS & , & \(356331 .\), & . 344 , & . 347 , & 1.01, & 2, & . 178, & . 219 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 92631., & . 50 , & & & & . 227, & . 663 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(18541 .\), & .23, & .19, & 8, & .812, & .641
\end{tabular}

Year class \(=1994\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & Ext,
s.e, & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & Estimated F \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00, & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & , & 1., & . 000, & . 000 , & . 00, & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & , & 4930., & . 271, & . 221, & . 82, & 7, & . 478, & . 769 \\
\hline SNS & , & 7886. & . 248 , & . 172 , & . 69 , & 3, & . 073, & . 544 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & , & 7716. & . 50 , & & & & . 449, & . 553 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(6238 .\), & .26, & .15, & 11, & .579, & .649
\end{tabular}

Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1993\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int, & Ext,
s.e, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00, & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1. & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 5415. & . 277, & . 354 , & 1.28, & 7, & . 472 , & . 270 \\
\hline SNS & , & 3149., & . 248, & . 232 , & . 94 , & 3, & . 067 , & . 428 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)
F shrinkage mean , 2709., .50,, , . . 461, . 483
Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & S.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(3793 .\), & .27, & .24, & 11, & .890, & .367
\end{tabular}

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1992\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & & Scaled, Weights, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated \\
F
\end{tabular} \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & , & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & 000 \\
\hline BTS & , & 1391., & . 307 , & .249, & . 81 , & 7, & . 291, & . 435 \\
\hline SNS & , & 1123., & . 248 , & .142, & . 57, & 3, & . 031, & . 516 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 1238., & . 50, & & & & . 678, & . 478 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(1277 .\), & .35, & .11, & \(11^{\prime}\), & .308, & .466
\end{tabular}

Age 10 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1991\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & , & Weights, & F \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & , & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 1024., & . 299, & .193, & . 65, & 7, & . 199 , & . 499 \\
\hline SNS & , & 1467. & . 248 , & .173, & . 70 , & 3, & . 026 , & . 373 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & , & 1195., & . 50 , & & & & . 776 , & . 441 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, &, & Ratio, & \\
\(1165 .\), & .39, & .08, & 11, & .196, & .450
\end{tabular}

Age 11 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10
Year class \(=1990\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & , & Weights, & F \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & , & 1., & . 000 , & . 000, & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & , & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 930., & . 307 , & . 105 , & . 34, & 8 , & . 196 , & . 310 \\
\hline SNS & , & 1387., & . 247 , & .197, & . 80 , & 3, & . 021 , & . 218 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 845., & . 50, & & & & . 783 , & . 337 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{crrrrr} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(870 .\), & .40, & .06, & 12, & .140, & .329
\end{tabular}

Age 12 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10
Year class \(=1989\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & Ext,
s.e, & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & 1 & . 000, & . 000, & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & 1 & . 000 , & . 000, & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & 927. & . 314, & . 108, & . 34 , & 8, & . 300 , & . 378 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.4.2.1 North Sea Plaice Final XSA output (continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SNS & , & 932., & .247, & .207, & . 84, & 3, & .020, & . 377 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & , & 830., & . 50, & & & & .680, & . 414 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Weighted prediction : & & & \\
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(860 .\), & .35, & .06, & 12, & .159, & .403
\end{tabular}

Age 13 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10
Year class \(=1988\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ext, \\
s.e,
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1., & . 000, & . 000, & . 00, & 0, & . 000, & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1., & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline BTS & & 400., & . 282, & . 124, & . 44, & 8, & . 089, & . 383 \\
\hline SNS & , & 404., & . 247 , & . 101 , & . 41, & 3 , & . 013 , & . 379 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 402., & . 50, & & & & . 897 , & . 381 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(402 .\), & .45, & .03, & 12, & .067, & .381
\end{tabular}

Age 14 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10
```

Year class = 1987

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & Ext,
s.e, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated \\
F
\end{tabular} \\
\hline NL Beam Trawl & & 1. & . 000, & . 000 , & .00, & 0, & .000, & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: English Beam & & 1. & . 000 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & .000 \\
\hline BTS & & 453. & . 297, & . 181, & . 61, & 9, & . 150 , & . 275 \\
\hline SNS & , & 322. & . 247 , & . 165, & . 67, & 3 , & . 008 , & 369 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 286., & . 50, & & & & . 842 , & . 407 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(307 .\), & .42, & .13, & 13, & .309, & .384
\end{tabular}

Table 9.4.2.2 North Sea plaice: F derived from final XSA run
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{At 14/06/2002} & \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{15:46} \\
\hline & Table & 8 & Fishin & g mort & lity & at age & & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969 & 1970 & 1971 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0002 & 0.0001 & \\
\hline & 2 & 0.007 & 0.0159 & 0.0557 & 0.0113 & 0.0157 & 0.023 & 0.0405 & 0.0737 & 0.0633 & 0.0974 & \\
\hline & & 0.1215 & 0.0804 & 0.1811 & 0.2006 & 0.0862 & 0.1366 & 0.1925 & 0.2458 & 0.3399 & 0.2253 & \\
\hline & 4 & 0.2837 & 0.3654 & 0.3271 & 0.3402 & 0.3561 & 0.1898 & 0.2276 & 0.2332 & 0.4825 & 0.3462 & \\
\hline & 5 & 0.4067 & 0.3498 & 0.4523 & 0.3403 & 0.3692 & 0.4779 & 0.2915 & 0.306 & 0.3965 & 0.3828 & \\
\hline & 6 & 0.3365 & 0.4344 & 0.3794 & 0.4034 & 0.3296 & 0.3521 & 0.3291 & 0.3691 & 0.4942 & 0.3605 & \\
\hline & 7 & 0.2697 & 0.3221 & 0.3554 & 0.2909 & 0.3574 & 0.2943 & 0.2468 & 0.3033 & 0.4806 & 0.4165 & \\
\hline & 8 & 0.2474 & 0.2985 & 0.2428 & 0.2944 & 0.2474 & 0.2618 & 0.2695 & 0.201 & 0.271 & 0.2971 & \\
\hline & & 0.2306 & 0.277 & 0.2535 & 0.2157 & 0.3369 & 0.2151 & 0.177 & 0.3246 & 0.1854 & 0.4301 & \\
\hline & 10 & 0.2077 & 0.2365 & 0.2113 & 0.388 & 0.2366 & 0.2338 & 0.2142 & 0.2279 & 0.2839 & 0.2844 & \\
\hline & 11 & 0.2082 & 0.311 & 0.2666 & 0.213 & 0.2751 & 0.1982 & 0.245 & 0.297 & 0.1823 & 0.2963 & \\
\hline & 12 & 0.2091 & 0.2278 & 0.2406 & 0.2806 & 0.2725 & 0.2031 & 0.2051 & 0.2776 & 0.2621 & 0.2511 & \\
\hline & 13 & 0.2426 & 0.2704 & 0.2265 & 0.3222 & 0.3034 & 0.1945 & 0.1697 & 0.2203 & 0.2567 & 0.2488 & \\
\hline & 14 & 0.2201 & 0.2652 & 0.2402 & 0.2846 & 0.2856 & 0.2093 & 0.2026 & 0.2701 & 0.2346 & 0.3029 & \\
\hline & +gp & 0.2201 & 0.2652 & 0.2402 & 0.2846 & 0.2856 & 0.2093 & 0.2026 & 0.2701 & 0.2346 & 0.3029 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{FBAR} & 2-10 & 0.2345 & 0.2645 & 0.2732 & 0.2761 & 0.2595 & 0.2427 & 0.221 & 0.2539 & 0.333 & 0.3156 & \\
\hline & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 0.0101 & 0.0025 & 0.0052 & 0.0031 & 0.0092 & 0.0072 & 0.0028 & 0.0031 & 0.0016 & 0.0006 & \\
\hline & 2 & 0.1673 & 0.1729 & 0.051 & 0.0755 & 0.1242 & 0.2305 & 0.1631 & 0.1712 & 0.1867 & 0.1961 & \\
\hline & 3 & 0.2714 & 0.3941 & 0.4544 & 0.1673 & 0.2734 & 0.2084 & 0.3762 & 0.4847 & 0.6454 & 0.5577 & \\
\hline & 4 & 0.3653 & 0.519 & 0.4788 & 0.4428 & 0.3781 & 0.3501 & 0.3877 & 0.5048 & 0.597 & 0.565 & \\
\hline & 5 & 0.3779 & 0.4929 & 0.5493 & 0.5269 & 0.3166 & 0.5816 & 0.4332 & 0.6185 & 0.4623 & 0.5381 & \\
\hline & 6 & 0.4098 & 0.3629 & 0.397 & 0.5401 & 0.3548 & 0.3189 & 0.4618 & 0.6494 & 0.439 & 0.3899 & \\
\hline & 7 & 0.3584 & 0.3461 & 0.3286 & 0.3933 & 0.4166 & 0.3299 & 0.3143 & 0.5844 & 0.4281 & 0.3916 & \\
\hline & 8 & 0.4589 & 0.3949 & 0.3933 & 0.383 & 0.3379 & 0.3776 & 0.2809 & 0.3368 & 0.3657 & 0.4266 & \\
\hline & 9 & 0.2731 & 0.445 & 0.3604 & 0.4245 & 0.2965 & 0.3316 & 0.28 & 0.3569 & 0.221 & 0.3301 & \\
\hline & 10 & 0.3872 & 0.2987 & 0.5112 & 0.3388 & 0.339 & 0.2869 & 0.2651 & 0.4223 & 0.2535 & 0.2308 & \\
\hline & 11 & 0.2541 & 0.292 & 0.3306 & 0.3954 & 0.3312 & 0.3386 & 0.2462 & 0.3356 & 0.2705 & 0.3062 & \\
\hline & 12 & 0.3376 & 0.2543 & 0.4534 & 0.4148 & 0.3292 & 0.3271 & 0.2312 & 0.3565 & 0.3279 & 0.3556 & \\
\hline & 13 & 0.2502 & 0.308 & 0.3153 & 0.5431 & 0.3866 & 0.263 & 0.2448 & 0.4111 & 0.2035 & 0.344 & \\
\hline & 14 & 0.3012 & 0.3204 & 0.3954 & 0.4247 & 0.3374 & 0.3102 & 0.254 & 0.3776 & 0.2558 & 0.3142 & \\
\hline & +gp & 0.3012 & 0.3204 & 0.3954 & 0.4247 & 0.3374 & 0.3102 & 0.254 & 0.3776 & 0.2558 & 0.3142 & \\
\hline FBAR & 2-10 & 0.341 & 0.3807 & 0.3916 & 0.3658 & 0.3152 & 0.3351 & 0.3292 & 0.4588 & 0.3999 & 0.4029 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 0.0034 & 0.0022 & 0.0002 & 0.0002 & 0.0014 & 0 & 0 & 0.0033 & 0.0041 & 0.0038 & \\
\hline & 2 & 0.1403 & 0.1464 & 0.1335 & 0.1516 & 0.1601 & 0.0829 & 0.0332 & 0.1016 & 0.0981 & 0.1364 & \\
\hline & 3 & 0.685 & 0.5124 & 0.5096 & 0.4463 & 0.5155 & 0.4027 & 0.3305 & 0.3026 & 0.284 & 0.3462 & \\
\hline & 4 & 0.6335 & 0.7244 & 0.422 & 0.6855 & 0.5177 & 0.7061 & 0.4328 & 0.5102 & 0.5262 & 0.5642 & \\
\hline & 5 & 0.5405 & 0.5319 & 0.5879 & 0.4345 & 0.686 & 0.7287 & 0.6597 & 0.5552 & 0.7093 & 0.6994 & \\
\hline & 6 & 0.4598 & 0.4413 & 0.448 & 0.4571 & 0.5883 & 0.5939 & 0.6147 & 0.5437 & 0.5359 & 0.807 & \\
\hline & 7 & 0.3889 & 0.3812 & 0.3669 & 0.3772 & 0.4832 & 0.5474 & 0.5673 & 0.5029 & 0.4113 & 0.5739 & \\
\hline & 8 & 0.3609 & 0.3428 & 0.4247 & 0.3237 & 0.4018 & 0.3864 & 0.4755 & 0.4787 & 0.3399 & 0.4493 & \\
\hline & 9 & 0.394 & 0.3073 & 0.347 & 0.3348 & 0.3802 & 0.304 & 0.4776 & 0.308 & 0.3706 & 0.4054 & \\
\hline & 10 & 0.3985 & 0.4322 & 0.3223 & 0.3012 & 0.4006 & 0.3946 & 0.3633 & 0.4494 & 0.231 & 0.4065 & \\
\hline & 11 & 0.2632 & 0.3755 & 0.3079 & 0.2324 & 0.3517 & 0.3252 & 0.3368 & 0.3128 & 0.3084 & 0.288 & \\
\hline & 12 & 0.297 & 0.4428 & 0.3127 & 0.3948 & 0.3628 & 0.3041 & 0.4832 & 0.3554 & 0.2227 & 0.4006 & \\
\hline & 13 & 0.396 & 0.3738 & 0.2611 & 0.2985 & 0.5159 & 0.269 & 0.3555 & 0.3984 & 0.2476 & 0.2424 & \\
\hline & 14 & 0.3507 & 0.3875 & 0.311 & 0.3131 & 0.4035 & 0.3202 & 0.4045 & 0.3659 & 0.2907 & 0.3657 & \\
\hline & +gp & 0.3507 & 0.3875 & 0.311 & 0.3131 & 0.4035 & 0.3202 & 0.4045 & 0.3659 & 0.2907 & 0.3657 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{FBAR} & 2-10 & 0.4446 & 0.4244 & 0.3958 & 0.3902 & 0.4593 & 0.4608 & 0.4394 & 0.4169 & 0.3896 & 0.4876 & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & FBAR 99-01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & & 0.0089 & 0.0128 & 0.0061 & 0.0252 & 0.0041 & 0.001 & 0.0007 & 0.0026 & 0.0113 & 0.0138 & 0.0092 \\
\hline & & 0.1367 & 0.1706 & 0.206 & 0.1936 & 0.1681 & 0.1939 & 0.0371 & 0.0326 & 0.0875 & 0.1436 & 0.0879 \\
\hline & & 0.3823 & 0.45 & 0.4897 & 0.6084 & 0.5371 & 0.5345 & 0.4785 & 0.2414 & 0.1822 & 0.3376 & 0.2537 \\
\hline & & 0.505 & 0.5746 & 0.7181 & 0.7734 & 0.7489 & 0.7613 & 0.7051 & 0.4979 & 0.3826 & 0.3128 & 0.3978 \\
\hline & & 0.7432 & 0.7233 & 0.6115 & 0.751 & 0.7525 & 0.9138 & 0.659 & 0.6625 & 0.3123 & 0.3384 & 0.4377 \\
\hline & & 0.7182 & 0.6658 & 0.6258 & 0.5693 & 0.6733 & 0.7378 & 0.637 & 0.5469 & 0.5149 & 0.6412 & 0.5677 \\
\hline & & 0.7394 & 0.6317 & 0.8038 & 0.6175 & 0.6947 & 0.6295 & 0.5885 & 0.555 & 0.2889 & 0.6494 & 0.4978 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.5116 & 0.619 & 0.6206 & 0.492 & 0.5753 & 0.4776 & 0.4806 & 0.509 & 0.3617 & 0.3666 & 0.4124 \\
\hline & & 0.4835 & 0.4879 & 0.5999 & 0.4261 & 0.5484 & 0.5337 & 0.3975 & 0.5343 & 0.3666 & 0.4662 & 0.4557 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.5009 & 0.5198 & 0.5837 & 0.3349 & 0.5396 & 0.4865 & 0.3948 & 0.4486 & 0.3274 & 0.45 & 0.4087 \\
\hline & 11 & 0.4485 & 0.5077 & 0.4715 & 0.3951 & 0.3651 & 0.3987 & 0.3237 & 0.3597 & 0.233 & 0.3287 & 0.3071 \\
\hline & 12 & 0.4415 & 0.4853 & 0.479 & 0.3592 & 0.3808 & 0.462 & 0.3801 & 0.3885 & 0.4543 & 0.4026 & 0.4151 \\
\hline & 13 & 0.6577 & 0.6787 & 0.5615 & 0.2754 & 0.3437 & 0.5057 & 0.3778 & 0.3448 & 0.3279 & 0.3813 & 0.3513 \\
\hline & 14 & 0.5399 & 0.548 & 0.5682 & 0.3567 & 0.4528 & 0.4951 & 0.3905 & 0.3774 & 0.3081 & 0.3842 & 0.3565 \\
\hline & +gp & 0.5399 & 0.548 & 0.5682 & 0.3567 & 0.4528 & 0.4951 & 0.3905 & 0.3774 & 0.3081 & 0.3842 & \\
\hline FBAR & 2-10 & 0.5245 & 0.5381 & 0.5843 & 0.5296 & 0.582 & 0.5854 & 0.4865 & 0.4476 & 0.3138 & 0.4117 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.4.2.3 North Sea plaice: stock numbers at age derived from the final XSA ri
Run title : Plaice in IV
At 14/06/2002 15:46
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tabl } \\
& \text { YEA: } \\
& \text { AGE }
\end{aligned}
\]} & e 10 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Stock } \\
1963
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { number } \\
1964
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { at age } \\
1965
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(start of year)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1969} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Numbers*10**} \\
\hline & & 1962 & & & & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & & 1970 & 1971 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 318796 & 315179 & 1021863 & 309561 & 305362 & 277218 & 245491 & 327458 & 370424 & 275456 \\
\hline & 2 & 325180 & 288458 & 285186 & 924619 & 280102 & 276303 & 250837 & 222130 & 296294 & 335102 \\
\hline & 3 & 329695 & 292192 & 256879 & 244056 & 827253 & 249505 & 244319 & 217955 & 186707 & 251647 \\
\hline & 4 & 284203 & 264194 & 243963 & 193923 & 180687 & 686691 & 196936 & 182356 & 154230 & 120261 \\
\hline & 5 & 157481 & 193636 & 165878 & 159169 & 124874 & 114515 & 513934 & 141915 & 130678 & 86139 \\
\hline & 6 & 75892 & 94876 & 123496 & 95485 & 102478 & 78112 & 64253 & 347436 & 94560 & 79541 \\
\hline & 7 & 40290 & 49048 & 55599 & 76462 & 57718 & 66691 & 49702 & 41836 & 217335 & 52198 \\
\hline & 8 & 43334 & 27837 & 32159 & 35261 & 51720 & 36530 & 44958 & 35135 & 27952 & 121614 \\
\hline & 9 & 26835 & 30615 & 18689 & 22825 & 23768 & 36540 & 25440 & 31070 & 26002 & 19289 \\
\hline & 10 & 19213 & 19281 & 20999 & 13124 & 16646 & 15355 & 26663 & 19285 & 20321 & 19547 \\
\hline & 11 & 14870 & 14124 & 13771 & 15382 & 8056 & 11888 & 10997 & 19473 & 13893 & 13842 \\
\hline & 12 & 12626 & 10926 & 9364 & 9544 & 11248 & 5536 & 8823 & 7789 & 13092 & 10477 \\
\hline & 13 & 9766 & 9269 & 7873 & 6661 & 6523 & 7750 & 4089 & 6503 & 5339 & 9115 \\
\hline & 14 & 5646 & 6933 & 6400 & 5679 & 4367 & 4358 & 5773 & 3122 & 4721 & 3737 \\
\hline & +gp & 7359 & 15288 & 25994 & 23116 & 19162 & 16900 & 17340 & 17146 & 14547 & 15558 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{19}{*}{Total} & & 1671185 & 1631857 & 2288112 & 2134867 & 2019966 & 1883893 & 1709555 & 1620610 & 1576097 & 1413523 \\
\hline & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & YEA: & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975 & 1976 & 1977 & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
\hline & AGE & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 234544 & 541811 & 451872 & 335629 & 324487 & 471109 & 429732 & 444124 & 659445 & 424196 \\
\hline & 2 & 249225 & 210100 & 489044 & 406756 & 302756 & 290925 & 423214 & 387750 & 400606 & 595759 \\
\hline & 3 & 275065 & 190761 & 159921 & 420513 & 341296 & 241943 & 209049 & 325316 & 295650 & 300745 \\
\hline & 4 & 181772 & 189723 & 116391 & 91864 & 321879 & 234955 & 177741 & 129853 & 181292 & 140297 \\
\hline & 5 & 76974 & 114149 & 102167 & 65244 & 53385 & 199551 & 149803 & 109136 & 70922 & 90298 \\
\hline & 6 & 53154 & 47730 & 63092 & 53373 & 34856 & 35197 & 100939 & 87888 & 53200 & 40417 \\
\hline & 7 & 50186 & 31926 & 30045 & 38381 & 28139 & 22118 & 23152 & 57555 & 41540 & 31032 \\
\hline & 8 & 31143 & 31731 & 20437 & 19571 & 23435 & 16786 & 14390 & 15298 & 29031 & 24497 \\
\hline & 9 & 81761 & 17808 & 19344 & 12479 & 12074 & 15125 & 10412 & 9832 & 9884 & 18223 \\
\hline & 10 & 11352 & 56302 & 10326 & 12207 & 7386 & 8122 & 9823 & 7120 & 6226 & 7171 \\
\hline & 11 & 13309 & 6974 & 37789 & 5604 & 7871 & 4761 & 5516 & 6818 & 4223 & 4372 \\
\hline & 12 & 9313 & 9340 & 4712 & 24569 & 3415 & 5114 & 3071 & 3902 & 4411 & 2916 \\
\hline & 13 & 7375 & 6012 & 6554 & 2709 & 14683 & 2223 & 3336 & 2205 & 2472 & 2875 \\
\hline & 14 & 6431 & 5196 & 3998 & 4326 & 1424 & 9026 & 1546 & 2363 & 1323 & 1825 \\
\hline & +gp & 14755 & 13773 & 10805 & 12644 & 9674 & 7179 & 11803 & 8591 & 5616 & 5077 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{18}{*}{Total} & & 1296359 & 1473337 & 1526497 & 1505869 & 1486759 & 1564136 & 1573526 & 1597752 & 1765842 & 1689699 \\
\hline & YEA: & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline & AGE & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 1024371 & 589445 & 607487 & 527246 & 1244290 & 538557 & 562115 & 405934 & 395899 & 401173 \\
\hline & 2 & 383588 & 923717 & 532197 & 549574 & 476957 & 1124288 & 487306 & 508622 & 366105 & 356750 \\
\hline & 3 & 443060 & 301638 & 721957 & 421384 & 427310 & 367717 & 936326 & 426525 & 415744 & 300319 \\
\hline & 4 & 155794 & 202084 & 163510 & 392418 & 244007 & 230914 & 222428 & 608773 & 285173 & 283183 \\
\hline & 5 & 72151 & 74816 & 88609 & 97013 & 178904 & 131560 & 103126 & 130552 & 330713 & 152452 \\
\hline & 6 & 47703 & 38027 & 39769 & 44536 & 56847 & 81520 & 57441 & 48243 & 67799 & 147222 \\
\hline & 7 & 24762 & 27253 & 22132 & 22990 & 25512 & 28561 & 40728 & 28107 & 25344 & 35895 \\
\hline & 8 & 18982 & 15187 & 16844 & 13876 & 14266 & 14239 & 14949 & 20896 & 15381 & 15199 \\
\hline & 9 & 14469 & 11972 & 9753 & 9968 & 9084 & 8637 & 8754 & 8408 & 11715 & 9907 \\
\hline & 10 & 11853 & 8828 & 7967 & 6238 & 6453 & 5620 & 5767 & 4914 & 5591 & 7318 \\
\hline & 11 & 5151 & 7200 & 5185 & 5222 & 4176 & 3912 & 3427 & 3628 & 2837 & 4016 \\
\hline & 12 & 2913 & 3582 & 4475 & 3448 & 3746 & 2658 & 2557 & 2214 & 2401 & 1886 \\
\hline & 13 & 1849 & 1958 & 2082 & 2962 & 2102 & 2358 & 1775 & 1427 & 1404 & 1739 \\
\hline & 14 & 1844 & 1126 & 1219 & 1451 & 1988 & 1136 & 1630 & 1125 & 867 & 992 \\
\hline & +gp & 7108 & 3430 & 4949 & 4121 & 3874 & 4643 & 5279 & 5324 & 4314 & 3670 \\
\hline Total & & 2215596 & 2210264 & 2228134 & 2102446 & 2699515 & 2546318 & 2453607 & 2204694 & 1931288 & 1721720 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & YEA: & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & GMST 57-99 & AMST 57-99 \\
\hline & AGE & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 403247 & 285412 & 242906 & 327156 & 283668 & 969979 & 315197 & 219964 & 247211 & 275903 & 0 & 410210 & 450048 \\
\hline & 2 & 361607 & 361629 & 254959 & 218464 & 288650 & 255623 & 876825 & 285015 & 198510 & 221180 & 246232 & 369238 & 405766 \\
\hline & 3 & 281645 & 285385 & 275898 & 187751 & 162883 & 220758 & 190533 & 764466 & 249627 & 164571 & 173366 & 303463 & 334709 \\
\hline & 4 & 192214 & 173874 & 164650 & 152982 & 92456 & 86141 & 117042 & 106842 & 543353 & 188251 & 106250 & 191194 & 212304 \\
\hline & 5 & 145744 & 104964 & 88567 & 72658 & 63876 & 39559 & 36404 & 52322 & 58762 & 335331 & 124586 & 109908 & 125658 \\
\hline & 6 & 68542 & 62718 & 46078 & 43477 & 31025 & 27234 & 14353 & 17042 & 24407 & 38908 & 216315 & 60680 & 71191 \\
\hline & 7 & 59437 & 30242 & 29163 & 22299 & 22262 & 14318 & 11784 & 6869 & 8924 & 13197 & 18541 & 35972 & 42601 \\
\hline & 8 & 18297 & 25675 & 14549 & 11812 & 10882 & 10056 & 6904 & 5919 & 3568 & 6049 & 6238 & 22582 & 26947 \\
\hline & 9 & 8775 & 9925 & 12509 & 7078 & 6535 & 5539 & 5644 & 3863 & 3220 & 2249 & 3793 & 14887 & 18260 \\
\hline & 10 & 5976 & 4896 & 5514 & 6213 & 4182 & 3417 & 2939 & 3432 & 2048 & 2019 & 1277 & 10161 & 12881 \\
\hline & 11 & 4410 & 3277 & 2634 & 2783 & 4022 & 2206 & 1901 & 1792 & 1983 & 1336 & 1165 & 6875 & 9026 \\
\hline & 12 & 2724 & 2548 & 1784 & 1488 & 1696 & 2526 & 1340 & 1244 & 1131 & 1421 & 870 & 4789 & 6333 \\
\hline & 13 & 1143 & 1585 & 1419 & 1000 & 940 & 1049 & 1440 & 829 & 763 & 650 & 860 & 3252 & 4341 \\
\hline & 14 & 1235 & 536 & 728 & 732 & 687 & 603 & 572 & 893 & 531 & 498 & 402 & 2235 & 3048 \\
\hline & +gp & 3092 & 2142 & 1559 & 1194 & 3030 & 1956 & 2258 & 1733 & 1704 & 1511 & 1237 & & \\
\hline Total & & 1558088 & 1354810 & 1142917 & 1057086 & 976793 & 1640964 & 1585135 & 1472226 & 1345743 & 1253073 & 901133 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.6.1 North Sea plaice: summary table derived from the final XSA run
```

Run title : Plaice in IV
At 14/06/2002 15:46
Table 16 Summary (without SOP correction)
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
RECRUITS \\
Age1
\end{tabular} & TOTALBIO & TOTSPBIO & LANDINGS & YIELD/SSB & FBAR2-10 \\
\hline 1957 & 296163 & 457371 & 354623 & 70563 & 0.199 & 0.1973 \\
\hline 1958 & 429983 & 443677 & 340635 & 73354 & 0.2153 & 0.2118 \\
\hline 1959 & 433434 & 457564 & 345186 & 79300 & 0.2297 & 0.2266 \\
\hline 1960 & 405321 & 497691 & 368309 & 87541 & 0.2377 & 0.2469 \\
\hline 1961 & 359379 & 461922 & 352876 & 85984 & 0.2437 & 0.2331 \\
\hline 1962 & 318796 & 564453 & 446568 & 87472 & 0.1959 & 0.2345 \\
\hline 1963 & 315179 & 547151 & 439971 & 107118 & 0.2435 & 0.2645 \\
\hline 1964 & 1021863 & 624814 & 422929 & 110540 & 0.2614 & 0.2732 \\
\hline 1965 & 309561 & 580476 & 414347 & 97143 & 0.2344 & 0.2761 \\
\hline 1966 & 305362 & 587956 & 416378 & 101834 & 0.2446 & 0.2595 \\
\hline 1967 & 277218 & 590817 & 492995 & 108819 & 0.2207 & 0.2427 \\
\hline 1968 & 245491 & 548160 & 456089 & 111534 & 0.2445 & 0.221 \\
\hline 1969 & 327458 & 526231 & 418263 & 121651 & 0.2908 & 0.2539 \\
\hline 1970 & 370424 & 525781 & 399555 & 130342 & 0.3262 & 0.333 \\
\hline 1971 & 275456 & 500463 & 372329 & 113944 & 0.306 & 0.3156 \\
\hline 1972 & 234544 & 495110 & 375773 & 122843 & 0.3269 & 0.341 \\
\hline 1973 & 541811 & 487952 & 334689 & 130429 & 0.3897 & 0.3807 \\
\hline 1974 & 451872 & 467120 & 308775 & 112540 & 0.3645 & 0.3916 \\
\hline 1975 & 335629 & 494777 & 319976 & 108536 & 0.3392 & 0.3658 \\
\hline 1976 & 324487 & 450400 & 314437 & 113670 & 0.3615 & 0.3152 \\
\hline 1977 & 471109 & 478249 & 329126 & 119188 & 0.3621 & 0.3351 \\
\hline 1978 & 429732 & 473260 & 322472 & 113984 & 0.3535 & 0.3292 \\
\hline 1979 & 444124 & 472177 & 309162 & 145347 & 0.4701 & 0.4588 \\
\hline 1980 & 659445 & 484995 & 294826 & 139951 & 0.4747 & 0.3999 \\
\hline 1981 & 424196 & 485383 & 304879 & 139747 & 0.4584 & 0.4029 \\
\hline 1982 & 1024371 & 556041 & 297266 & 154547 & 0.5199 & 0.4446 \\
\hline 1983 & 589445 & 543696 & 320424 & 144038 & 0.4495 & 0.4244 \\
\hline 1984 & 607487 & 553363 & 320866 & 156147 & 0.4866 & 0.3958 \\
\hline 1985 & 527246 & 540174 & 352734 & 159838 & 0.4531 & 0.3902 \\
\hline 1986 & 1244290 & 639897 & 352695 & 165347 & 0.4688 & 0.4593 \\
\hline 1987 & 538557 & 619441 & 380880 & 153670 & 0.4035 & 0.4608 \\
\hline 1988 & 562115 & 609214 & 361820 & 154475 & 0.4269 & 0.4394 \\
\hline 1989 & 405934 & 569537 & 401080 & 169818 & 0.4234 & 0.4169 \\
\hline 1990 & 395899 & 533438 & 372664 & 156240 & 0.4193 & 0.3896 \\
\hline 1991 & 401173 & 444048 & 314900 & 148004 & 0.47 & 0.4876 \\
\hline 1992 & 403247 & 416321 & 278667 & 125190 & 0.4492 & 0.5245 \\
\hline 1993 & 285412 & 366360 & 244831 & 117113 & 0.4783 & 0.5381 \\
\hline 1994 & 242906 & 300164 & 205694 & 110392 & 0.5367 & 0.5843 \\
\hline 1995 & 327156 & 275331 & 183125 & 98356 & 0.5371 & 0.5296 \\
\hline 1996 & 283668 & 256034 & 162533 & 81673 & 0.5025 & 0.582 \\
\hline 1997 & 969979 & 320489 & 144440 & 83048 & 0.575 & 0.5854 \\
\hline 1998 & 315197 & 362313 & 207727 & 71534 & 0.3444 & 0.4865 \\
\hline 1999 & 219964 & 367098 & 213813 & 80662 & 0.3773 & 0.4476 \\
\hline 2000 & 247211 & 345498 & 263154 & 81148 & 0.3084 & 0.3138 \\
\hline 2001 & 275903 & 318730 & 240637 & 81847 & 0.3401 & 0.4117 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Arith.} \\
\hline Mean & 441671 & 480914 & 330558 & 116144 & 0.3681 & 0.3738 \\
\hline 0 Units & (Thousands) & (Tonnes) & (Tonnes) & (Tonnes) & & \\
\hline 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 9.9.1 North Sea plaice Input to sensitivity analysis}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number at age} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the stock} \\
\hline N1 & 412696 & 0.43 & WS1 & 0.127 & 0.03 \\
\hline N2 & 246231 & 0.68 & WS2 & 0.228 & 0.09 \\
\hline N3 & 173366 & 0.22 & WS3 & 0.259 & 0.05 \\
\hline N4 & 106250 & 0.2 & WS4 & 0.312 & 0.09 \\
\hline N5 & 124586 & 0.16 & WS5 & 0.385 & 0.14 \\
\hline N6 & 216315 & 0.24 & WS6 & 0.453 & 0.13 \\
\hline N7 & 18540 & 0.23 & WS7 & 0.535 & 0.13 \\
\hline N8 & 6238 & 0.26 & WS8 & 0.62 & 0.09 \\
\hline N9 & 3793 & 0.27 & WS9 & 0.692 & 0.05 \\
\hline N10 & 1277 & 0.35 & WS10 & 0.77 & 0.08 \\
\hline N11 & 1165 & 0.39 & WS11 & 0.855 & 0.09 \\
\hline N12 & 870 & 0.4 & WS12 & 0.909 & 0.11 \\
\hline N13 & 859 & 0.35 & WS13 & 0.92 & 0.13 \\
\hline N14 & 401 & 0.45 & WS14 & 0.956 & 0.17 \\
\hline N15 & 1237 & 0.42 & WS15 & 1.016 & 0.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H. cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.01 & 0.67 & WH1 & 0.231 & 0.13 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.093 & 0.61 & WH2 & 0.269 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.267 & 0.23 & WH3 & 0.295 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH4 & 0.419 & 0.23 & WH4 & 0.337 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.461 & 0.31 & WH5 & 0.407 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.598 & 0.15 & WH6 & 0.479 & 0.13 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.524 & 0.26 & WH7 & 0.564 & 0.11 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.434 & 0.14 & WH8 & 0.644 & 0.06 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.48 & 0.03 & WH9 & 0.728 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.43 & 0.04 & WH10 & 0.793 & 0.09 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.323 & 0.04 & WH11 & 0.871 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH12 & 0.437 & 0.28 & WH12 & 0.917 & 0.1 \\
\hline sH13 & 0.37 & 0.15 & WH13 & 0.931 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH14 & 0.375 & 0.08 & WH14 & 0.939 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH15 & 0.375 & 0.08 & WH15 & 1.023 & 0.12 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT1 & 0 & 0.1 \\
\hline M2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT2 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\
\hline M3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT3 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\
\hline M4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT4 & 1 & 0.1 \\
\hline M5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT5 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT6 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT7 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT8 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M9 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT9 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M10 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT10 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M11 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT11 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M12 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT12 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M13 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT13 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M14 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT14 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline M15 & 0.1 & 0.1 & MT15 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\multicolumn{3}{c}{ Recruitment in 2003 \& 2004} \\
R03 & 412697 & 0.43 \\
R04 & 412697 & 0.43
\end{tabular}

Relative effort in HC fishery
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
HF02 & 1 & 0.18 \\
HF03 & 1 & 0.18 \\
HF04 & 1 & 0.18
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Year effect for natural mortality \\
K02 & 1 & 0.1 \\
K03 & 1 & 0.1 \\
K04 & 1 & 0.1
\end{tabular}




Figure 9.2.3 North Sea plaice: mean weights in the catch by age for 2000 and 2001


Figure 9.2.4. North Sea plaice: comparison of catch numbers by sex and by country between 2000-2001.


Figure 9.3.1 North Sea plaice: Comparison of the international DFS indices before and after revision of the UK series





Figure 9.3.3 North Sea plaice: Survey indices by age and combined, scaled to average for each fleet


Figure 9.4.1.1 North Sea plaice: Log-catchability residuals derived from single-fleet XSA (shrinkage 1.5).


Figure 9.4.1.2 North Sea plaice: \(\mathrm{SSB}, \mathrm{F}_{2-10}\), and recruitment estimated by single-fleet and combined fleet XSAs. Single-fleet runs 1a-f and final run. Combined fleet runs 2-4 and ACFM final run









Figure 9.4.2.1 North Sea plaice: Log catchability residuals of final XSA run.


Figure 9.4.2.2 North Sea Plaice: weighting of tuning fleets






Figure 9.6.1





Figure 9.9.1 North Sea Plaice: Stock recruitment North Sea Plaice: Stock and Recruitment



Figure 9.9.2 North Sea Plaice: Yield-per-recruit

North Sea Plaice: Yield per Recruit


Figure 9.9.3 North Sea Plaice: Precautionary approach plot


Figure 9.10.1 Plaice in IV. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




The fishery is dominated by Denmark, with Danish landings accounting for more than \(90 \%\) of the total. A directed plaice fishery is carried out during summer by Danish seiners. Plaice is also an important catch for otter trawlers and gillnetters, often within a mixed cod-plaice fishery.

\subsection*{10.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002}

ACFM recommended for 2001 to reduce or maintain fishing mortality below the proposed \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.73\right)\), corresponding to landings in 2001 of less than 9400 t , and also to maintain spawning stock biomass above \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=24\right.\) \(000 \mathrm{t}) . \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) was set to the value of \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) in 1998. \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) was set to a smoothed value of \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {loss }}\). Neither \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}\) nor \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) were defined.

In its October 2001 meeting, ACFM recommended landings in 2002 being less than 8500 t .

This advice has been contested by the fishing industry that found that recruitment has been better in recent years than reflected by the assessment. Furthermore the industry noted that catches and cpue have increased since 2000, while the effort had remained at a constant level. As a result the European Commission (December 2001 Fisheries Council) accepted a commitment to seek additional advice from ICES regarding TACs for 2002 on this particular stock.

Additional analyses have been performed in February and March 2001, both by the Danish Institute of Fisheries Research (DIFRES), and by the ICES secretariat (OD1. and OD2., this report). ACFM concluded that the TAC 2002 could be increased by up to \(32 \%\) without increased risks for the stock.

\subsection*{10.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002}

The use of any beam trawl in the Kattegat is prohibited. Minimum mesh size is 90 mm for towed gears, and 100 mm for fixed gears. The minimum landing size is 27 cm .

The 2001 TAC was 11750 tonnes ( 9 400t in Skagerrak and 2350 t in Kattegat), which was lower than the constant TAC of 14000 tonnes applied since 1992. Because of cod by-catch considerations, the 2002 TAC was initially set at 8000 tonnes ( 6400 t in Skagerrak and 1600 t in Kattegat). At the time of the Working Group meeting, the revised ACFM advice had been provided to the Commission, but no decision concerning the increase of the TAC had been taken yet.

\subsection*{10.1.3 Catches in 2001}

Plaice landings in 2001 were the highest observed since 1993 (11560 t). The landings have matched the TAC for the first time since TACs were set in 1987. The official landings reported to ICES are given in Table 10.1.1. The annual landings used by the Working Group, available since 1972, are given by country for Kattegat and Skagerrak separately in Table 10.1.2 and 10.1.3. At the beginning of this period, landings were mostly taken in Kattegat, but from the mid1970s, the major proportion of the landings have been taken in Skagerrak. In 2001, around \(80 \%\) of the landings were taken in Skagerrak.

The total landings have been estimated for 2001 according to ICES official tables (Belgian, Norwegian, and German landings) and national statistics (Danish and Swedish landings). Minor revisions for Norwegian catch data for 1997-99 were reported to ICES in 2002.

No quantitative information on mis-reporting is available, but it is not suspected to be major in this fishery.

Some discard estimates in the Skagerrak (Danish seiners 1999-2000, Danish otter trawlers 1999-2001, Danish Nephrops trawlers 2000-2001, Danish beam trawlers 2000, Swedish otter and Nephrops trawlers 2001) were available from the report of the Study Group on Discards and By-Catch Information (ICES CM 2002/ACFM:9). These indicate that the otter trawlers catch and discard the highest amount of small fish. However, these data are not related to the number of fish retained, nor are they age-disaggregated. Discard estimates cannot be included in the assessment.

Catch-at-age and mean weight-at-age information were provided by Denmark only and are available for the period 1978-2001. The sampling scheme was broken down by quarter, landing harbours, and fishing area. The total international catches-at-age have been estimated for Kattegat and Skagerrak separately since 1984. The catch numbers-at-age and the mean catch weight-at-age are presented in Figures 10.2.1 and 10.2.2, and in Tables 10.2.1 and 10.2.2.

The distribution of catches-at-age in 2001 differs from what has been observed during the last decade. The fishery exploits traditionally three age classes (ages 4 to 6 ). In 2001 the catches of these three age classes were comparable to those in 2000, but the fishery also caught larger amounts of fish aged 2 and 3 (Figure 10.2.1).

Mean catch weights-at-age of Kattegat plaice have remained stable over years for all age groups (Figure 10.2.2). By contrast, decreasing trends in weights-at-age have been observed in the Skagerrak for age groups 8-11+ since 1984, with a historical minimum reached in 1997. However, the low values perceived in year 1997 for plaice aged \(8+\) could be due to the low number of large fish being sampled in the most recent years. The variability of growth for plaice is observed to be higher in the Kattegat and Skagerrak than in the North Sea (U. Nielsen, pers. comm..).

Weights-at-age in the stock were assumed equal to those of the catch.

A natural mortality of 0.1 per year was assumed for all years and ages. A knife-edge maturity distribution was employed: age group 2 was assumed to be immature, whereas age 3 and older plaice were assumed mature. Analysis of maturity ogives is discussed in Working Document 6 and Section 1.13.1.

\subsection*{10.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data}

Three Danish fleets, i.e., trawlers, gillnetters, and Danish seiners, are available for tuning. The age-disaggregated indices were derived by merging logbook statistics supplying catch weight per market category with the age distribution within these categories available from the market sampling. Fishing effort has been defined as standardised days fishing. The standardisation of effort by vessel length is obtained by modelling log-cpue using a GLM approach, with (log-) vessel length (continuous variable), year (discrete variable), and quarter (discrete variable) taken as external factors. A \(15-\mathrm{m}\) vessel is used as the reference fishing unit. This procedure explicitly splits some important sources of variability that underlie cpue dynamics, and is therefore preferred to simple linear regression of log-cpue versus loglength.

The trends in fishing effort and cpue of the tuning fleets are presented in Figure 10.3.1. The fishing effort appears to have been fairly stable over the last decade. There has been a decrease in the fishing effort of towed-geared fleets since 1990, but this trend has been reversing since 1998. The fishing effort of gillnetters has steeply increased over 19901994, and steadily decreased since then. But change in effort is more to be due to an extension of the database. All commercial fleets show increase in both the yield and the cpue in 2001. Highest values and increases are observed for the Danish seiners. The tuning fleet data are provided in Table 10.3.1.

Data from four surveys were available this year. IBTS survey data for Kattegat and Skagerrak for the first quarter were provided by Sweden for the period 1992-2002, as numbers-per-age and hour on a haul-by-haul basis.

Two Danish bottom trawl surveys are also available. They are conducted by the vessel 'Havfisken' in Kattegat, Belt sea, and Western Baltic in the first and fourth quarter of each year. They were made available to the WG for the first time last year, but were not used in assessment because of the short time-series. Since last year, inter-sessional work has been conducted on the earlier years of the 'Havfisken' survey data to address problems associated with exclusion of hauls in which no plaice were caught, and with the use of ALKs from areas outside of IIIa. Furthermore, through the use of commercial ALKs from IIIa applied to survey length compositions, it has also been possible to extend the series of indices by one and two years (first and fourth quarter survey, respectively). Since the last WG assessment was conducted, the two 'Havfisken' surveys have been both revised and extended. The new indices available thus cover the period 1996-2002 for the first quarter survey (except 1998), and 1994-2001 for the fourth quarter survey.

The IBTS survey in the third quarter has been made available by Sweden to the WG for the first time this year. It covers the years 1995 to 2001, but no survey was performed in 2000. The survey indices of the IBTS and 'Havfisken' surveys first quarter were shifted from February to the preceding December to allow for full use of the available data. Very few plaice aged 7-9 were caught during the surveys and these ages were removed from the analysis. Despite the short timeseries, all surveys converge in showing that the latest year classes (1997 to 1999) are the highest of their time-series (Figure 10.3.2).

\subsection*{10.4.1 Data exploration}

The catch information in the age groups used in the VPA were restricted to ages \(2-11+\), as age 1 plaice account for less than \(1 \%\) of the total catch number. International catches-at-age were preliminarily examined using separable VPA, with a reference age of 6 , terminal \(\mathrm{F}=1.1\) (corresponding to the mean \(97-99 \mathrm{~F}\) at age 6 estimated in last year's assessment), and terminal selectivity set to unity (Table 10.4.1). Large residuals in log-catch ratios were detected for some ages and some years, but without consistent trends. In particular, no evidence could be seen for decreasing the age of the plusgroup, in spite of the low amount of catches of ages 8 and over.

As an initial exploration of the fleet data available for tuning, XSA runs with low shrinkage (1.5) were made using each fleet individually. Diagnostics from these runs, in particular the log-catchability residuals, were investigated to determine whether the fleets were appropriate for inclusion in the final XSA run (Figure 10.4.1).

High residuals were observed for age 2 caught by commercial fleets. Residuals are low for young ages in the surveys, but are more variable for ages older than 4 . The three new surveys appear to contain reasonable information. No significant trends were observed for the seven fleets available. The whole age-range was thus kept for each of these fleets. The level of estimated F and SSB differs widely between fleets (Figure 10.4.2). The commercial fleets show close estimates of relatively low SSB and low F, whereas the surveys tend to higher levels of both F and SSB.

Various combined-fleet XSA runs have been performed. They all use the same XSA settings as last year (no power model applied on young ages, and tricubic taper weighting on twenty years applied on tuning fleets, shrinkage 0.5 ).

Run 1 used the whole age-range and cpue time-series of the three commercial and the four survey fleets.

Run 2 used the same configuration as last year (three commercial fleets with all ages, and IBTS first quarter ages 4 to 6 only). The level of fishing mortality it outputs is unchanged compared to Run 1, but the SSB is estimated much lower. The difference arises mostly from the age 3 estimates (1998 year class). This year class is estimated to be very high by all the survey indices, but this age class is usually incompletely recruited in the fishery, and might be underestimated by the commercial tuning fleets. Furthermore, the commercial fleets used for the tuning account for the major part of the landings, and are thus not completely independent of the catch-at-age matrix. To investigate the influence of these issues, two additional runs have been performed.

Run 3 uses commercial data only. Results are very close to Run 2 . The level of SSB estimated is comparable to the commercial single-fleet estimates, but the level of F is higher, because of a high weight of the shrinkage on old ages.

Finally, Run 4 uses the four surveys only, and outputs high levels of both SSB and F. However, in spite of the advantage of using only independent information for the tuning, this run has not been kept as the final run, for two reasons. First, the surveys themselves show differences in results, even if they converge on the general trends. Second, the Danish surveys cover only the Kattegat. The final run used in the assessment is thus Run 1.

\subsection*{10.4.2 Final assessment}

The configuration of the final XSA assessment was similar to last year concerning the XSA settings, but differed concerning the tuning fleets. Parameters are given below (changes compared to last year are highlighted).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline year of assessment & 2001 & 2002 \\
\hline Assessment model & XSA & XSA \\
\hline DK gillnet & 1987-2000 2-10 & 1987-2001 2-10 \\
\hline DK trawlers & 1987-2000 2-10 & 1987-2001 2-10 \\
\hline DK seiners & 1987-2000 2-10 & 1987-2001 2-10 \\
\hline * IBTS Q1 & 1992-2001 5-7 & 1992-2002 1-7 \\
\hline IBTS Q3 & not used & 1995-2001 1-6 \\
\hline * Havfisken Q1 & not used & 1996-2002 1-6 \\
\hline Havfisken Q4 & not used & 1994-2001 1-6 \\
\hline Time series weights & Tricubic over 20 yrs & Tricubic over 20 yrs \\
\hline Power model used for catchability Catchability plateau age & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { not used } \\
8 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { not used } \\
8
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Surv. est. shrunk towards mean \(F\) s.e. of the means & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { years / } 5 \text { ages } \\
& 0.5
\end{aligned}
\] & 5 years / 5 ages 0.5 \\
\hline Min. stand. error for pop. estimates & 0.3 & 0.3 \\
\hline Prior weighting & none & none \\
\hline Number of iterations & 24 & 25 \\
\hline Convergence & yes & yes \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* surveys indices backshifted in the assessment

Plots of the log-catchability residuals are shown in Figure 10.4.3. The observed change in catchability for the Danish seiners at old ages after 1997 could not really be explained. This will be investigated before the next Working Group meeting. Figure 10.4 .4 shows the weighting in tuning fleets and shrinkage, as derived from the assessment. Overall, the weight of shrinkage is low for the most exploited ages. The surveys account for \(74 \%\) of the estimation for the recruiting age, and for around \(50 \%\) of the ages 3 to 5 estimates. The relationship between logcpue and log-abundance for the various tuning fleets is shown in Figure 10.4.5.

Retrospective VPA runs are carried only to 1999, because of the short survey time-series (Figure 10.4.6). The pattern is still very variable, as in all previous assessments. The addition of the new surveys significantly improved the retrospective pattern for the recruitment. Differences in final SSB estimates between one assessment year and the next is less than \(10 \%\). But the retrospective pattern for the fishing mortality estimate remains worrying. Similar patterns are observed for all preliminary run configurations. Additional trials have been conducted, to investigate whether changing the plus group age range in the catch-at-age matrix, or the age range of the reference F would improve the retrospective pattern, but these only lead to minor changes. The reasons for such variability are difficult to assess. The hypothesis of old fish migrating into the North Sea is not supported by tagging experiments. It seems that the selection pattern up to age 7 is relatively constant from one year to another, but that the year effect is highly variable.

The VPA results are given in Tables 10.4.2-10.4.5. The fishing mortality (age 4-8) estimated for 2001 is 0.86 , which is above \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.73)\). The fishing mortality increases up to age 8 . Total and spawning stock biomass in 2001 are estimated to be significantly higher than in 2000 ( 95000 t and 55000 t , respectively). The current XSA run has revised the estimate of \(F\) in 2000 from 0.82 to 1.29 . This change appears to be the result of the 2001 catch data, all exploratory runs resulted in inflated estimates of F in 2000. The general perception of the stock in 2002 is that the increase of catches at young ages is not related to a drastic change in the exploitation pattern, but to the arrival in the fishery of one year class above average (1997) and two very strong year classes (1998-1999). The year classes 1997 and 1998 already participated in the spawning stock biomass in 2001. The fishing mortality is still high, but it is mostly directed at the mature stock, rather than at young fish.

\subsection*{10.5 Recruitment Estimates}

The abundance indices from the IBTS and Danish surveys in Kattegat and Skagerrak are given in Table 10.3.1. The four time-series indicate that the year classes 1998 and 1999 are the highest in the time-series. These surveys participate
already significantly in the XSA estimates for these year classes, and the influence of shrinkage is low. Further trials of estimating young ages were conducted with RCT3 software, and using the additional information provided by survey indices at age 1 . However, to estimate recent year-class strengths with RCT3, recent points are removed from the calibration. Consequently the time-series are relatively short. The RCT3 results have not been considered relevant, and they are not presented here. The recruitment in 2002 is thus set at the 1978-1999 geometric mean ( 46 millions).

\subsection*{10.6 Historical Trends}

The historical trends in the fisheries are presented in Tables 10.1.1 and 10.4.5 and in Figure 10.6.1.
Since 1978, landings have declined from 27000 to 9000 tonnes in the late nineties. Landings in 2001 were the highest since 1992. The fishing mortality has consistently remained at a high level of 0.6-1.2 over the period of assessment, with extreme values observed in 1988, 1997, 1999, and 2000. SSB and recruitment have fluctuated around a mean since 1980, and have increased since 2000. SSB and recruitment estimated in 2001 are among the highest of the time period.

\subsection*{10.7 Short-Term Forecast}

The inputs used for the predictions are given in Table 10.7.1. Stock sizes for age 3 and older are taken from the estimated number of survivors from the XSA. The age 2 recruitments in 2002, 2003, and 2004 (year classes 2000-2002) are taken as the geometric average over the 1978-1999 period ( 46 millions). The mean weights-at-age are taken as the average for the years 1999-2001. The exploitation pattern is calculated as the average F over 1999-2001, and then rescaled to the 2001 value of \(\mathrm{F}(4-8)=0.86\).

The status quo predictions result in catches of 14200 and 20800 tonnes in 2002 and 2003, respectively (Table 10.7.2). These values are very different from those derived last year. The estimate of SSB over 2002-2004, at status quo F, remains over 60000 tonnes. The short-term yield and SSB are shown in Figure 10.7.1.

The sensitivity coefficients and the probability distribution of the forecast and are shown in Figures 10.7.2 and 10.7.3. The prediction of yield is sensitive to the estimated abundance and mean weight-at-age of year classes 1998 and 1999, whereas the prediction of biomass relies mostly on the stock weight, abundance, and maturity. In comparison to previous assessments, the SSB estimate is less sensitive to the predicted strength of year classes to come (Figure 10.7.2). Overall, the probability of falling below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(24000 \mathrm{t})\) in 2004 when fishing at status quo is very small (Figure 10.7.3).

The contribution of the various year classes in a status quo short-term forecast are shown in Table 10.7.3. Year classes 1998 and 1999, which are estimated by XSA, are expected to provide the largest contribution to landings predicted in year 2003 ( \(76 \%\) ), and also to the spawning stock biomass in year 2004 ( \(62 \%\) ). The contribution of the year classes 2001 and 2002, which have been set to the geometric mean calculated over 1978-1999, contribute to \(35 \%\) only of the SSB predicted in 2004.

\subsection*{10.8 Medium-Term Forecast}

Medium-term analyses based on the Ricker stock-recruitment relationship were performed last year. The Ricker model is inappropriate for this stock: the position of the dome of the Ricker curve to the left of the historically-observed SSB range is not consistent with precautionary management advice (Figure 10.8.1). The Ockham model combines geometric mean recruitment over the SSB range and a linear decline to the origin at lower SSB, and thus incorporates simple averaging (suitable in the absence of a clear stock-recruitment relationship) with hypothesised reduced recruitment at low SSB.

The software WGMTERMC from the Aberdeen suite, traditionally used for the medium-term projections, does not allow for alternative stock-recruitment relationships, such as the Ockham curve. Therefore medium-term analyses are not presented here.

A yield-per-recruit analysis was carried out (Figure 10.9.1). The stock and recruitment plot is given in Figure 10.9.2. The values of the biological and precautionary reference points are presented in the following table:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{0.1}\) & 0.11 & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}\) & N/A \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}\) & 0.22 & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {pa }}\) & 0.73 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) & 1.14 & \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) & N/A \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}\) & \(>1.5\) & \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {pa }}\) & 24000 t \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}\) and \(\mathbf{F}_{0.1}\) remain at the same level as last year. \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) has increased by \(30 \%\) compared to last year. It is noticeable that for this stock \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) is much higher than \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}\). Figure 10.9.3 shows historical and projected trends in F and SSB , in relation to \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) and \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\). It may be observed that the current F is above \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\), while SSB is above \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\).

\subsection*{10.10 Comments on the Assessment}
- Major changes have been made this year in comparison to last year, regarding the tuning fleets. Three new surveys have been included. The overall influence of the commercial fleets data has decreased. The tuning of the most abundant age group relies now for more than \(50 \%\) on data fully independent of the catch-at-age matrix. This is considered as a major improvement for the assessment.
- These surveys give different scales of results, even if they converge towards the same trends.Two surveys cover only one part of the stock distribution area (Kattegat). This prevented assessing the stock with survey tuning only.
- The inclusion of the new surveys has significantly improved the retrospective pattern for the recruitment. The retrospective pattern and the quality control plot for fishing mortality remain worrying (Figure 10.10.1). The variability does not arise from the tuning and XSA settings choice, as it has been observed for all assessment runs. This might come from a major underestimation of discards and mis-reporting. This might also come from fishery-independent reasons. The variability of the natural mortality is unknown. However, it is possible that, as for observed growth, natural mortality is more variable in the Skagerrak-Kattegat than in the North Sea, because of more variability in the temperature and salinity. Should it be true, this would lead to mis-estimation of the fishing mortality. Further investigations should be carried out before the next Working Group meeting.
- It seems that major changes have occurred in 2001, after a relative stability of the stock during the nineties. A problem identified in this assessment is the uncertainty of the ages 3 and 4 estimates (year classes 1998 and 1997). All fleets show survivors estimates higher than in the previous years, but at different scales. It is thus likely that these year classes are stronger than during the last decade, but their exact strength is still unknown. The 1997 year class seems to be above average, while the 1998 and 1999 year classes appear to be the strongest of the time period. The SSB estimate in 2002 is uncertain, and could be overestimated, as shown in the retrospective pattern.
- Some problems have been identified with the commercial tuning data (changes in the database coverage at the beginning of the time period for gillnetters, high catchability residuals observed for old ages at the end of the time period for Danish seiners). These issues did not lead to changes in the XSA tuning settings in comparison to previous assessments. But further analyses will be conducted during the next Working Group meeting, to decide whether these settings are still relevant.
- The assessment results do not fully support the views contained in the revised advice provided by ACFM to the European Commission in March 2002. This revised advice stated that the increase in catch at young ages in 2001 was partly due to a drastic change in the exploitation pattern. Such a change has not been detected in the current assessment. The increase in catches seems now to arise only from the increase in recruitment. This discrepancy between the two advices supports the point of the difficulty to revise advice with incomplete new data.

Compared to the neighbour stock of plaice in the North Sea, the stock of plaice in Kattegat and Skagerrak seems able to sustain higher levels of fishing mortality. This might come from a favourable exploitation pattern on the young ages (less than 4).

The estimation of fishing mortality might be biased by unaccounted discard and natural mortality. This suggests that the estimation of F is not very precise.

The short-term forecast in the status quo scenario estimates catches in 2002 at 14200 tonnes. This is \(77 \%\) higher than the actual TAC for 2002 ( 8000 tonnes). If the fishing continues at status quo in 2002, this will lead to greater levels of discards or misreporting.

Table 10.1.1 Plaice in IIIa. Official landings in tonnes as reported to ICES and WG estimates, 1972-2001
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Denmark} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Sweden} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Germany} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Belgium} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Norway} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Total} \\
\hline & Official & WG est. & Official & WG est. & Official & WG est. & Official & WG est. & Official & WG est. & Official & Unalloc. & WG est. & TAC \\
\hline 1972 & & 20,599 & & 418 & & 77 & & & & 3 & & & 21,097 & \\
\hline 1973 & & 13,892 & & 311 & & 48 & & & & 6 & & & 14,257 & \\
\hline 1974 & & 14,830 & & 325 & & 52 & & & & 5 & & & 15,212 & \\
\hline 1975 & & 15,046 & & 373 & & 39 & & & & 6 & & & 15,464 & \\
\hline 1976 & & 18,738 & & 228 & & 32 & & 717 & & 6 & & & 19,721 & \\
\hline 1977 & & 24,466 & & 442 & & 32 & & 846 & & 6 & & & 25,792 & \\
\hline 1978 & & 26,068 & & 405 & & 100 & & 371 & & 9 & & & 26,953 & \\
\hline 1979 & & 20,766 & & 400 & & 38 & & 763 & & 9 & & & 21,976 & \\
\hline 1980 & & 15,096 & & 384 & & 40 & & 914 & & 11 & & & 16,445 & \\
\hline 1981 & & 11,918 & & 366 & & 42 & & 263 & & 13 & & & 12,602 & \\
\hline 1982 & & 10,506 & & 384 & & 19 & & 127 & & 11 & & & 11,047 & \\
\hline 1983 & & 10,108 & & 489 & & 36 & & 133 & & 14 & & & 10,780 & \\
\hline 1984 & & 10,812 & & 699 & & 31 & & 27 & & 22 & & & 11,591 & \\
\hline 1985 & & 12,625 & & 699 & & 4 & & 136 & & 18 & & & 13,482 & \\
\hline 1986 & & 13,115 & & 404 & & 2 & & 505 & & 26 & & & 14,052 & \\
\hline 1987 & & 14,173 & & 548 & & 3 & & 907 & & 27 & & & 15,658 & 19,250 \\
\hline 1988 & & 11,602 & & 491 & & 0 & & 716 & & 41 & & & 12,850 & 19,750 \\
\hline 1989 & & 7,023 & & 455 & & 0 & & 230 & & 33 & & & 7,741 & 19,000 \\
\hline 1990 & & 10,559 & & 981 & & 2 & & 471 & & 69 & & & 12,082 & 13,000 \\
\hline 1991 & & 7,546 & & 737 & & 34 & & 315 & & 68 & & & 8,700 & 11,300 \\
\hline 1992 & & 10,582 & & 589 & & 117 & & 537 & & 106 & & & 11,931 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1993 & & 10,419 & & 462 & & 37 & & 326 & & 79 & & & 11,323 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1994 & & 10,330 & & 542 & & 37 & & 325 & & 91 & & & 11,325 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1995 & 9,722 & 9,722 & 470 & 470 & 48 & 48 & 302 & 302 & 224 & 224 & 10,766 & 0 & 10,766 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1996 & 9,593 & 9,641 & 465 & 465 & 31 & 11 & & & 428 & 428 & 10,517 & 28 & 10,545 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1997 & 9,505 & 9,504 & 499 & 499 & 39 & 39 & & & 249 & 249 & 10,292 & -1 & 10,291 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1998 & 7,918 & 7,918 & 393 & 393 & 22 & 21 & & & 98 & 98 & 8,431 & -1 & 8,430 & 14,000 \\
\hline 1999 & 7,983 & 7,983 & 373 & 394 & 27 & 27 & & & 336 & 336 & 8,719 & 21 & 8,740 & 14,000 \\
\hline 2000 & 8,324 & 8,324 & 401 & 414 & 15 & 15 & & & 67 & 67 & 8,807 & 13 & 8,820 & 14,000 \\
\hline 2001 & 11,112 & 11,114 & 385 & 385 & 1 & 0 & & & 61 & 61 & 11,559 & 1 & 11,560 & 11,750 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|rrrrrr|r|}
\hline Year & Denmark & Sweden & Germany & Belgium & Norway & Total \\
\hline 1972 & 15,504 & 348 & 77 & & 15,929 \\
1973 & 10,021 & 231 & 48 & & 10,300 \\
1974 & 11,401 & 255 & 52 & & 11,708 \\
1975 & 10,158 & 296 & 39 & & 10,493 \\
1976 & 9,487 & 177 & 32 & & 9,696 \\
1977 & 11,611 & 300 & 32 & & 11,943 \\
1978 & 12,685 & 312 & 100 & & 13,097 \\
1979 & 9,721 & 333 & 38 & & 5,935 \\
1980 & 5,582 & 313 & 40 & & 4,101 \\
1981 & 3,803 & 256 & 42 & & 2,974 \\
1982 & 2,717 & 238 & 19 & 3,650 \\
1983 & 3,280 & 334 & 36 & 3,671 \\
1984 & 3,252 & 388 & 31 & 3,386 \\
1985 & 2,979 & 403 & 4 & 2 & 2,674 \\
1986 & 2,470 & 202 & 2 & & 3,156 \\
1987 & 2,846 & 307 & 3 & & 2,030 \\
1988 & 1,820 & 210 & 0 & & 1,744 \\
1989 & 1,609 & 135 & 0 & & 2,034 \\
1990 & 1,830 & 202 & 2 & & 2,021 \\
1991 & 1,737 & 265 & 19 & & 2,377 \\
1992 & 2,068 & 208 & 101 & & 1,469 \\
1993 & 1,294 & 175 & 0 & & 1,774 \\
1994 & 1,547 & 227 & 0 & & 1,387 \\
1995 & 1,254 & 133 & 0 & & 2,542 \\
1996 & 2,337 & 205 & 0 & & 2,478 \\
1997 & 2,198 & 255 & 25 & 1,981 \\
1998 & 1,786 & 185 & 10 & 10 & & 1,891 \\
1999 & 1,510 & 161 & 20 & & 2,329 \\
2000 & 1,644 & 184 & 10 & & & \\
2001 & 2,069 & 260 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* years 1972-1990 landings refers to IIIA
\begin{tabular}{|rrrrrr|r|}
\hline Year & Denmark & Sweden & Germany & Belgium & Norway & Total \\
\hline 1972 & 5,095 & 70 & & & 3 & 5,168 \\
1973 & 3,871 & 80 & & & 6 & 3,957 \\
1974 & 3,429 & 70 & & & 5 & 3,504 \\
1975 & 4,888 & 77 & & & 6 & 4,971 \\
1976 & 9,251 & 51 & & 717 & 6 & 10,025 \\
1977 & 12,855 & 142 & & 846 & 6 & 13,849 \\
1978 & 13,383 & 94 & & 371 & 9 & 13,857 \\
1979 & 11,045 & 67 & & 763 & 9 & 11,884 \\
1980 & 9,514 & 71 & & 914 & 11 & 10,510 \\
1981 & 8,115 & 110 & & 263 & 13 & 8,501 \\
1982 & 7,789 & 146 & & 127 & 11 & 8,073 \\
1983 & 6,828 & 155 & & 133 & 14 & 7,130 \\
1984 & 7,560 & 311 & & 27 & 22 & 7,920 \\
1985 & 9,646 & 296 & & 136 & 18 & 10,096 \\
1986 & 10,645 & 202 & & 505 & 26 & 11,378 \\
1987 & 11,327 & 241 & & 907 & 27 & 12,502 \\
1988 & 9,782 & 281 & & 716 & 41 & 10,820 \\
1989 & 5,414 & 320 & & 230 & 33 & 5,997 \\
1990 & 8,729 & 779 & & 471 & 69 & 10,048 \\
1991 & 5,809 & 472 & 15 & 315 & 68 & 6,679 \\
1992 & 8,514 & 381 & 16 & 537 & 106 & 9,554 \\
1993 & 9,125 & 287 & 37 & 326 & 79 & 9,854 \\
1994 & 8,783 & 315 & 37 & 325 & 91 & 9,551 \\
1995 & 8,468 & 337 & 48 & 302 & 224 & 9,379 \\
1996 & 7,304 & 260 & 11 & & 428 & 8,003 \\
1997 & 7,306 & 244 & 14 & & 249 & 7,813 \\
1998 & 6,132 & 208 & 11 & & 98 & 6,449 \\
1999 & 6,473 & 233 & 7 & & 336 & 7,049 \\
2000 & 6,680 & 230 & 5 & & 67 & 6,982 \\
2001 & 9,045 & 125 & & & 61 & 9,231 \\
\hline & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 10.2.1 Plaice in IIIa. Catch numbers-at-age (Numbers* 10 **-3)

At 14/06/2002 10:37
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 2 & 489 & 1105 & 362 & 190 & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & 15692 & 9789 & 4772 & 4048 & & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & 39531 & 29655 & 16353 & 13098 & & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & 24919 & 20807 & 12575 & 10970 & & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & 8011 & 7646 & 6033 & 4306 & & & & & & \\
\hline 7 & 620 & 2514 & 2393 & 1427 & & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & 63 & 170 & 949 & 546 & & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & 63 & 75 & 203 & 213 & & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & 48 & 50 & 54 & 119 & & & & & & \\
\hline +gp & 60 & 55 & 50 & 97 & & & & & & \\
\hline TOTALNUM & 89496 & 71866 & 43744 & 35014 & & & & & & \\
\hline TONSLAND & 26953 & 21976 & 16445 & 12602 & & & & & & \\
\hline SOPCOF \% & 102 & 104 & 106 & 103 & & & & & & \\
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 2 & 526 & 1481 & 2154 & 1400 & 375 & 623 & 101 & 1012 & 3147 & 2309 \\
\hline 3 & 2067 & 9715 & 12620 & 8641 & 4366 & 4227 & 3052 & 3844 & 8748 & 8611 \\
\hline 4 & 9204 & 8630 & 11140 & 21798 & 14749 & 12400 & 12037 & 7102 & 8623 & 9583 \\
\hline 5 & 10602 & 8026 & 4463 & 6232 & 19193 & 17710 & 13783 & 6255 & 9718 & 4663 \\
\hline 6 & 5554 & 2673 & 2183 & 1715 & 4477 & 10205 & 6860 & 2708 & 3222 & 2893 \\
\hline 7 & 1851 & 925 & 985 & 698 & 633 & 2089 & 2745 & 1171 & 981 & 892 \\
\hline 8 & 758 & 531 & 904 & 260 & 274 & 373 & 946 & 549 & 481 & 306 \\
\hline 9 & 301 & 257 & 695 & 197 & 154 & 242 & 322 & 254 & 349 & 156 \\
\hline 10 & 113 & 96 & 337 & 168 & 141 & 125 & 136 & 136 & 155 & 87 \\
\hline +gp & 48 & 106 & 120 & 156 & 98 & 190 & 156 & 236 & 273 & 137 \\
\hline TOTALNUM & 31024 & 32440 & 35601 & 41265 & 44460 & 48184 & 40138 & 23267 & 35697 & 29637 \\
\hline TONSLAND & 11047 & 10780 & 11591 & 13482 & 14052 & 15658 & 12850 & 7741 & 12082 & 8700 \\
\hline SOPCOF \% & 102 & 101 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 2 & 904 & 1038 & 1411 & 446 & 4527 & 529 & 563 & 687 & 1223 & 2946 \\
\hline 3 & 3858 & 3505 & 6919 & 2277 & 5353 & 4733 & 6710 & 2704 & 3937 & 9172 \\
\hline 4 & 11759 & 10088 & 8016 & 6606 & 7971 & 6379 & 8219 & 8432 & 8302 & 9399 \\
\hline 5 & 17427 & 13233 & 9859 & 11530 & 5283 & 9465 & 6856 & 8520 & 11212 & 11001 \\
\hline 6 & 4297 & 6891 & 8002 & 6622 & 4751 & 5104 & 2971 & 7419 & 3599 & 4744 \\
\hline 7 & 1033 & 1657 & 2780 & 4929 & 1812 & 3072 & 791 & 1301 & 888 & 410 \\
\hline 8 & 296 & 376 & 448 & 853 & 1355 & 1369 & 385 & 380 & 139 & 102 \\
\hline 9 & 115 & 104 & 111 & 137 & 151 & 849 & 234 & 77 & 17 & 19 \\
\hline 10 & 27 & 47 & 38 & 65 & 23 & 114 & 170 & 106 & 7 & 14 \\
\hline +gp & 115 & 69 & 55 & 51 & 45 & 36 & 64 & 43 & 29 & 33 \\
\hline TOTALNUM & 39831 & 37008 & 37639 & 33516 & 31271 & 31650 & 26963 & 29669 & 29353 & 37840 \\
\hline TONSLAND & 11931 & 11323 & 11325 & 10766 & 10545 & 10291 & 8430 & 8740 & 8820 & 11560 \\
\hline SOPCOF \% & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 101 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 101 & 103 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.2.2 Plaice in IIIa. Catch and stock weight-at-age (kg)

At 14/06/2002 10:37
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
YEAR & & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
& & & & & \\
AGE & & & & & \\
& 2 & 0.236 & 0.222 & 0.261 & 0.23 \\
& 3 & 0.248 & 0.255 & 0.274 & 0.263 \\
& 4 & 0.268 & 0.267 & 0.306 & 0.296 \\
& 5 & 0.322 & 0.297 & 0.345 & 0.357 \\
& 6 & 0.417 & 0.378 & 0.414 & 0.432 \\
& 7 & 0.598 & 0.451 & 0.579 & 0.537 \\
& 8 & 0.752 & 0.655 & 0.64 & 0.671 \\
& 9 & 0.818 & 0.922 & 0.753 & 0.813 \\
& 10 & 0.914 & 1.02 & 0.811 & 0.912 \\
& +gp & 0.843 & 1.044 & 0.91 & 0.999 \\
SOPCOFAC & 1.0159 & 1.039 & 1.0625 & 1.0268
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrr} 
\\
YEAR & & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
& & & & & & & & & & & \\
AGE & 2 & 0.27 & 0.285 & 0.282 & 0.278 & 0.25 & 0.322 & 0.252 & 0.274 & 0.292 & 0.263 \\
& 3 & 0.301 & 0.274 & 0.299 & 0.282 & 0.277 & 0.28 & 0.267 & 0.263 & 0.288 & 0.27 \\
& 4 & 0.286 & 0.293 & 0.304 & 0.308 & 0.284 & 0.281 & 0.268 & 0.282 & 0.294 & 0.259 \\
& 5 & 0.318 & 0.356 & 0.372 & 0.354 & 0.31 & 0.292 & 0.29 & 0.32 & 0.337 & 0.274 \\
& 6 & 0.386 & 0.423 & 0.403 & 0.437 & 0.384 & 0.363 & 0.35 & 0.376 & 0.397 & 0.365 \\
& 7 & 0.544 & 0.483 & 0.406 & 0.544 & 0.531 & 0.527 & 0.475 & 0.466 & 0.498 & 0.492 \\
& 8 & 0.704 & 0.531 & 0.383 & 0.68 & 0.707 & 0.711 & 0.567 & 0.635 & 0.684 & 0.584 \\
& 9 & 0.813 & 0.647 & 0.36 & 0.737 & 0.85 & 0.904 & 0.755 & 0.741 & 0.775 & 0.67 \\
& 10 & 0.912 & 0.986 & 0.443 & 0.755 & 0.903 & 1.036 & 0.833 & 0.825 & 0.951 & 0.882 \\
+ gp & 0.986 & 1.184 & 1.061 & 0.914 & 1.099 & 1.084 & 1.193 & 1.002 & 1.15 & 1.08 \\
SOPCOFAC & 1.0184 & 1.006 & 1.0009 & 1.0012 & 0.9997 & 0.9996 & 1.0002 & 0.9999 & 1.0004 & 1.0006
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrr} 
& & & & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 \\
YEAR & & & & & & & & & & & \\
AGE & & & & & & & & & \\
& 2 & 0.309 & 0.267 & 0.275 & 0.263 & 0.266 & 0.3 & 0.26 & 0.271 & 0.257 & 0.257 \\
& 3 & 0.31 & 0.272 & 0.263 & 0.301 & 0.268 & 0.294 & 0.25 & 0.271 & 0.262 & 0.272 \\
& 4 & 0.272 & 0.271 & 0.272 & 0.303 & 0.294 & 0.283 & 0.28 & 0.29 & 0.276 & 0.29 \\
& 5 & 0.28 & 0.295 & 0.289 & 0.289 & 0.384 & 0.299 & 0.327 & 0.29 & 0.302 & 0.322 \\
& 6 & 0.336 & 0.338 & 0.33 & 0.328 & 0.399 & 0.341 & 0.398 & 0.294 & 0.355 & 0.31 \\
& 7 & 0.5 & 0.441 & 0.381 & 0.368 & 0.436 & 0.41 & 0.464 & 0.336 & 0.388 & 0.425 \\
& 8 & 0.646 & 0.566 & 0.516 & 0.499 & 0.43 & 0.465 & 0.515 & 0.37 & 0.517 & 0.589 \\
& 9 & 0.817 & 0.712 & 0.658 & 0.736 & 0.561 & 0.445 & 0.587 & 0.656 & 0.857 & 0.836 \\
& 10 & 0.804 & 0.802 & 0.766 & 0.752 & 0.87 & 0.531 & 0.641 & 0.567 & 0.97 & 0.679 \\
+gp & 0.976 & 1.168 & 0.979 & 1.022 & 0.957 & 0.76 & 0.863 & 0.831 & 0.967 & 0.818 \\
SOPCOFAC & 0.9999 & 0.9991 & 1.0001 & 1.0015 & 1.0113 & 1.0003 & 1.0016 & 1 & 1.0061 & 1.0251
\end{tabular}

Table 10.3.1
Plaice IIIa. Tuning data by fleet
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{107} \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Danish Gillnetters} \\
\hline 1987 & 2001 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & 11 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4189 & 20592 & 169059 & 650916 & 1071313 & 803165 & 286784 & 58777 & 33991 & 18818 & 24877 \\
\hline 4005 & 27444 & 168504 & 529771 & 606818 & 410016 & 309311 & 134000 & 55393 & 19492 & 23977 \\
\hline 3807 & 18882 & 63447 & 175206 & 186617 & 129661 & 111415 & 85514 & 44764 & 24564 & 43810 \\
\hline 4215 & 64308 & 246880 & 272984 & 362432 & 157274 & 62094 & 42383 & 38230 & 20604 & 41001 \\
\hline 3817 & 43034 & 181507 & 242271 & 148622 & 168826 & 68492 & 32399 & 14923 & 11663 & 17809 \\
\hline 4897 & 67456 & 350855 & 854331 & 1065380 & 260669 & 108795 & 39021 & 18755 & 5675 & 21064 \\
\hline 5666 & 4846 & 80411 & 339540 & 652443 & 591404 & 199282 & 42122 & 12860 & 3774 & 2597 \\
\hline 11610 & 93332 & 788950 & 992744 & 1280086 & 1145581 & 443000 & 78443 & 26304 & 7859 & 14155 \\
\hline 10023 & 93997 & 320239 & 744931 & 1661991 & 911912 & 979462 & 185418 & 30434 & 13976 & 10309 \\
\hline 9765 & 431700 & 632571 & 858288 & 762350 & 711940 & 291167 & 215022 & 22193 & 3298 & 8388 \\
\hline 8131 & 67268 & 468037 & 544401 & 912161 & 684171 & 509591 & 271094 & 101874 & 19323 & 7745 \\
\hline 7188 & 52000 & 481000 & 803000 & 854000 & 380000 & 112000 & 63000 & 42000 & 31000 & 15000 \\
\hline 7071 & 62000 & 183000 & 698000 & 841000 & 1001000 & 206000 & 70000 & 21000 & 13000 & 9000 \\
\hline 7424 & 44000 & 250000 & 847000 & 1044000 & 439000 & 93000 & 19000 & 4000 & 1000 & 6000 \\
\hline 7885 & 257408 & 421089 & 734508 & 1514962 & 901478 & 101935 & 32356 & 4397 & 3983 & 4543 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Danish Trawlers} \\
\hline 1987 & 2001 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & 11 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 33420 & 255915 & 1177661 & 2468347 & 2379126 & 1046122 & 215078 & 50415 & 32514 & 24420 & 37438 \\
\hline 30635 & 108178 & 839066 & 1906117 & 1819047 & 700988 & 226895 & 75481 & 23885 & 20953 & 22426 \\
\hline 33956 & 430316 & 927355 & 1291748 & 1026225 & 456678 & 165557 & 71803 & 37576 & 18121 & 35819 \\
\hline 38820 & 1181442 & 2311097 & 2020630 & 2065160 & 631904 & 200416 & 85590 & 45586 & 22634 & 42975 \\
\hline 37834 & 660031 & 2459249 & 2424238 & 1085399 & 580774 & 151470 & 52786 & 31364 & 18475 & 27441 \\
\hline 35071 & 324054 & 1244765 & 2463167 & 3594631 & 910595 & 232058 & 62318 & 14226 & 3014 & 12454 \\
\hline 30019 & 172192 & 866648 & 2265364 & 2200206 & 1312213 & 455227 & 82231 & 15921 & 12071 & 15309 \\
\hline 29400 & 506609 & 1815439 & 1886714 & 2177012 & 1785146 & 732729 & 113303 & 17909 & 12336 & 11983 \\
\hline 26124 & 262364 & 791718 & 1217689 & 2119319 & 1052643 & 706432 & 144496 & 23084 & 11096 & 8823 \\
\hline 28100 & 1044742 & 1432920 & 1503021 & 1053244 & 772862 & 329651 & 235696 & 24501 & 4352 & 9874 \\
\hline 26046 & 166014 & 1234787 & 1637715 & 1843447 & 841073 & 352324 & 143468 & 96237 & 15809 & 6255 \\
\hline 25254 & 210000 & 1613000 & 1953000 & 1285000 & 495000 & 120000 & 54000 & 36000 & 23000 & 9000 \\
\hline 26773 & 223000 & 761000 & 1739000 & 1403000 & 1024000 & 212000 & 58000 & 10000 & 11000 & 8000 \\
\hline 28994 & 514000 & 1392000 & 2182000 & 2529000 & 762000 & 168000 & 25000 & 6000 & 3000 & 6000 \\
\hline 27548 & 1213134 & 2297369 & 2297400 & 2241237 & 982424 & 99667 & 19672 & 6921 & 4216 & 5405 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Danish Seiners} \\
\hline 1987 & 2001 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & 11 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 7913 & 97426 & 1157332 & 4050596 & 5227390 & 2536790 & 426009 & 72398 & 40925 & 20944 & 22943 \\
\hline 6975 & 466750 & 1343996 & 3116463 & 3368983 & 1446989 & 521283 & 158464 & 47106 & 16431 & 19006 \\
\hline 9627 & 334835 & 1483241 & 3030013 & 2733969 & 1193297 & 477612 & 171227 & 76749 & 33563 & 39868 \\
\hline 9420 & 1116082 & 3542256 & 3431384 & 3748325 & 1097119 & 299716 & 116328 & 81119 & 32922 & 60674 \\
\hline 8963 & 515012 & 2426848 & 3289407 & 1838074 & 1057052 & 265606 & 88516 & 42174 & 17972 & 28587 \\
\hline 8820 & 106267 & 791895 & 4199036 & 6819566 & 1725235 & 324760 & 77400 & 27070 & 4686 & 17868 \\
\hline 7398 & 139121 & 509253 & 1721085 & 2800822 & 1649545 & 413535 & 89601 & 21958 & 5718 & 3978 \\
\hline 7268 & 336892 & 1620907 & 1883228 & 2514844 & 1977352 & 552285 & 69993 & 19937 & 4536 & 4288 \\
\hline 6818 & 195908 & 569871 & 1348638 & 2282155 & 1664669 & 1118605 & 153081 & 23915 & 11391 & 8384 \\
\hline 6407 & 949342 & 1363113 & 1878662 & 980782 & 913661 & 327089 & 230807 & 22762 & 3019 & 6502 \\
\hline 5785 & 165538 & 1193786 & 1794123 & 2572264 & 1359436 & 909634 & 392850 & 278160 & 26736 & 5420 \\
\hline 5526 & 144000 & 2251000 & 2489000 & 2044000 & 884000 & 231000 & 109000 & 61000 & 49000 & 14000 \\
\hline 6058 & 173000 & 721000 & 2487000 & 2755000 & 2425000 & 367000 & 103000 & 16000 & 36000 & 9000 \\
\hline 5917 & 286000 & 1240000 & 2954000 & 4300000 & 1202000 & 334000 & 46000 & 3000 & 1000 & 3000 \\
\hline 6173 & 1534686 & 3619758 & 3159809 & 3377381 & 1347729 & 137169 & 33892 & 5948 & 4204 & 4928 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.3.1. (continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Havfisken_q4} \\
\hline 1994 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & \\
\hline 1 & 6 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.87 & 10.51 & 5.88 & 0.37 & 0.99 & 0.03 \\
\hline 1 & 1.67 & 10.33 & 3.77 & 0.19 & 1.10 & 0.06 \\
\hline 1 & 2.48 & 37.87 & 11.07 & 0.36 & 0.42 & 0.10 \\
\hline 1 & 11.14 & 11.27 & 4.32 & 1.25 & 0.64 & 0.36 \\
\hline 1 & 17.85 & 14.80 & 5.19 & 3.50 & 0.00 & 0.11 \\
\hline 1 & 89.27 & 33.15 & 7.70 & 0.27 & 0.60 & 0.65 \\
\hline 1 & 99.71 & 121.08 & 15.63 & 0.00 & 0.47 & 0.47 \\
\hline 1 & 52.84 & 99.58 & 29.67 & 1.70 & 0.49 & 0.82 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Havfisken_q1_shifted} \\
\hline 1995 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.99 & 1 & & & \\
\hline 1 & 5 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 23.26 & 26.79 & 7.00 & 1.69 & 0.81 & \\
\hline 1 & 11.52 & 20.47 & 4.77 & 1.03 & 0.67 & \\
\hline 1 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 & \\
\hline 1 & 25.82 & 22.27 & 2.92 & 1.25 & 0.15 & \\
\hline 1 & 196.46 & 47.55 & 9.06 & 1.88 & 1.64 & \\
\hline 1 & 127.68 & 74.02 & 6.68 & 1.71 & 1.41 & \\
\hline 1 & 45.73 & 78.31 & 32.05 & 2.30 & 0.44 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{IBTSQ1_Shifted} \\
\hline 1991 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.99 & 1 & & & \\
\hline 1 & 6 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 4.17 & 9.29 & 6.44 & 1.62 & 0.38 & 0.08 \\
\hline 1 & 6.50 & 6.02 & 5.78 & 5.11 & 2.03 & 0.22 \\
\hline 1 & 8.50 & 6.48 & 1.89 & 1.09 & 1.19 & 0.25 \\
\hline 1 & 4.48 & 10.40 & 4.20 & 1.13 & 0.85 & 0.40 \\
\hline 1 & 17.05 & 13.35 & 4.90 & 1.54 & 0.46 & 0.13 \\
\hline 1 & 6.86 & 12.90 & 3.26 & 1.14 & 0.12 & 0.04 \\
\hline 1 & 8.06 & 8.00 & 4.24 & 1.48 & 0.32 & 0.12 \\
\hline 1 & 17.31 & 9.14 & 2.59 & 2.32 & 0.13 & 0.07 \\
\hline 1 & 57.85 & 30.98 & 10.31 & 3.08 & 1.71 & 0.17 \\
\hline 1 & 42.45 & 73.24 & 16.92 & 2.91 & 1.76 & 0.65 \\
\hline 1 & 11.71 & 46.89 & 31.90 & 9.37 & 1.71 & 1.27 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{IBTSQ3} \\
\hline 1995 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.83 & 0.92 & & & \\
\hline 1 & 6 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 7.52 & 9.71 & 10.01 & 2.93 & 1.62 & 0.86 \\
\hline 1 & 8.78 & 16.62 & 6.60 & 2.04 & 0.73 & 0.35 \\
\hline 1 & 15.15 & 18.42 & 9.22 & 2.54 & 0.88 & 0.54 \\
\hline 1 & 18.51 & 20.86 & 5.13 & 3.77 & 0.47 & 0.00 \\
\hline 1 & 46.59 & 46.17 & 13.90 & 1.50 & 1.51 & 0.28 \\
\hline 1 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 & -9.00 \\
\hline 1 & 7.75 & 81.52 & 49.97 & 7.53 & 2.72 & 0.95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.1

Separable analysis
from 1978 to 2001 on ages 2 to 10
with Terminal \(F\) of 1.100 on age 6 and Terminal S of 1.000
Initial sum of squared residuals was 391.961 and
final sum of squared residuals is 65.935 after 87 iterations
Matrix of Residuals
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
\\
Years & 1978/79 \(1979 / 80 \quad 1980 / 81\) \\
Ages
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrrr} 
\\
Years & \(1981 / 821982 / 83\) & \(1983 / 84\) & \(1984 / 85\) & \(1985 / 86\) & \(1986 / 87\) & \(1987 / 88\) & \(1988 / 89\) & \(1989 / 90\) & \(1990 / 91\) \\
& & & & & & & & & & & \\
\(2 / 3\) & -0.621 & -1.521 & -0.327 & -0.142 & 0.398 & -0.526 & 0.191 & -2.31 & -0.285 & 0.337 \\
\(3 / 4\) & -0.009 & -0.982 & 0.722 & -0.243 & 0.077 & -0.09 & -0.239 & -0.478 & 0.089 & 0.282 \\
\(4 / 5\) & 0.677 & 0.248 & 1.166 & 0.558 & 0.447 & 0.445 & 0.32 & 0.657 & 0.197 & 0.608 \\
\(5 / 6\) & 0.536 & 0.875 & 1.193 & 0.327 & 0.115 & 0.687 & 0.714 & 0.966 & 0.512 & 0.518 \\
\(6 / 7\) & -0.08 & 0.459 & 0.111 & -0.334 & 0.032 & 0.08 & 0.262 & 0.221 & 0.06 & -0.316 \\
\(7 / 8\) & -0.293 & -0.085 & -0.866 & -0.141 & -0.022 & -0.151 & -0.266 & 0.058 & -0.073 & -0.443 \\
\(8 / 9\) & -0.622 & -0.573 & -1.445 & -0.284 & -0.758 & -0.847 & -1.191 & -0.557 & -0.781 & -0.798 \\
\(9 / 10\) & 0.067 & 0.193 & -0.801 & 0.336 & -0.29 & -0.139 & -0.098 & -0.272 & -0.091 & 0.213 \\
& & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
TOT & 0 & 0 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & 1991/92 & 1992/93 & 1993/94 & 1994/95 & 1995/96 & 1996/97 & 1997/98 & 1998/99 & 1999/00 & 2000/01 & TOT \\
\hline 2 & 2/3 & 1.031 & 0.243 & -0.31 & 1.194 & -1.087 & 1.984 & -1.221 & 0.392 & -0.474 & -0.674 & 0.001 \\
\hline 3 & 3/4 & 0.278 & -0.318 & -0.196 & 0.756 & -0.809 & 0.867 & -0.22 & 0.717 & -0.861 & -0.5 & 0.001 \\
\hline 4 & 4/5 & -0.359 & 0.177 & 0.304 & -0.019 & 0.32 & 0.465 & -0.151 & 0.45 & -0.482 & -0.291 & 0 \\
\hline 5 & 5/6 & -0.305 & 0.602 & 0.159 & 0.1 & 0.352 & -0.006 & 0.339 & -0.349 & -0.156 & 0.163 & 0 \\
\hline 6 & 6/7 & -0.18 & -0.185 & -0.252 & -0.631 & -0.085 & -0.399 & 0.083 & -0.307 & 0.055 & 0.568 & 0 \\
\hline 7 & 7/ 8 & -0.109 & -0.128 & 0.146 & 0.061 & -0.091 & -0.564 & 0.283 & -0.417 & 0.148 & 0.552 & 0 \\
\hline 8 & 8/9 & -0.54 & -0.396 & -0.245 & -0.228 & 0.03 & -0.631 & -0.341 & 0.216 & 0.706 & 0.062 & 0 \\
\hline 9 & 9/10 & 0.924 & 0.131 & 0.223 & -0.203 & 0.795 & -0.188 & 0.28 & 0.062 & 0.831 & -0.986 & 0 \\
\hline & TOT & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -5.781 \\
\hline & WTS & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Fishing Mortalities (F)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrr} 
& 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 & & & & & & \\
F-value: & 1.0625 & 1.134 & 1.1456 & 0.9432 & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
& 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1.1005 \\
F-value: & 1.1345 & 0.9342 & 1.1843 & 0.8378 & 0.7864 & 1.0728 & 1.323 & 1.0263 & 1.3917 & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
& 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
F-value: & 1.0585 & 1.0748 & 1.0798 & 1.1881 & 0.9843 & 1.574 & 1.2239 & 1.8589 & 1.3945 & 1.1
\end{tabular}

Selection-at-age (S)

Table 10.4.2 Plaice in IIIa. Diagnostic from the final run
```

Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1
14/06/2002 10:36
Extended Survivors Analysis
Plaice IIIa VPA data,2002 WG,ANON,COMBSEX,PLUSGROUP
cpue data from file ple3afl2.dat
Catch data for 24 years. 1978 to 2001. Ages 2 to 11.
Fleet, First, Last, First, Last, Alpha, Beta
year, year, age , age
Danish Gillnetters , 1987, 2001, 2, 10, .000, 1.000
Danish Trawlers , 1987, 2001, 2, 10, .000, 1.000
Danish Seiners , 1987, 2001, 2, 10, .000, 1.000
Havfisken_Q4 , 1994, 2001, 1, 6, .830, .920
Havfisken_Q1_shifted, 1995, 2001, 1, 5, .990, 1.000
IBTSQ1_Shífted , 1991, 2001, 1, 6, .990, 1.000
IBTSQ3 , 1995, 2001, 1, 6, .830, .920
Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting applied
Power = 3 over 20 years
Catchability analysis :
Catchability independent of stock size for all ages
Catchability independent of age for ages >= 8
Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean F
of the final 5 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk = . 500
Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet = . 300
Prior weighting not applied
Tuning converged after 25 iterations
1
Regression weights
,.751, .820, . 877, .921, .954, .976, .990, .997, 1.000, 1.000
Fishing mortalities
Age, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
2, .021, .031, .043, .012, .128, .012, .014, .012, .010, .020

```

\section*{Table 10.4.2. (continued)}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr}
4, & .290, & .350, & .294, & .392, & .402, & .303, & .445, & .335, & .307,
\end{tabular} .240

1
XSA population numbers (Thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline YEAR & , & 2, & & 3, & 4, & & 5, & 6 , & & 7, & 8, \\
\hline 9, & & 10, & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1992 & , & 4.54E+04, & 4.38E+04, & 4.91E+04, & \(3.16 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 7.27E+03, & 1.79E+03, & 5.06E+02, & \(2.00 \mathrm{E}+02\), & 4.51E+01, & \\
\hline 1993 & , & 3.53E+04, & 4.02E+04, & 3.59E+04, & 3.32E+04, & 1.20E+04, & \(2.49 \mathrm{E}+03\), & 6.41E+02, & \(1.77 \mathrm{E}+02\), & 7.15E+01, & \\
\hline 1994 & , & 3.50E+04, & 3.10E+04, & 3.30E+04, & \(2.29 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 1.75E+04, & 4.31E+03, & 6.77E+02, & \(2.23 \mathrm{E}+02\), & 6.09E+01, & \\
\hline 1995 & , & 3.81E+04, & \(3.04 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 2.14E+04, & 2.23E+04, & 1.14E+04, & 8.21E+03, & 1.26E+03, & 1.86E+02, & 9.59E+01, & \\
\hline 1996 & , & 3.96E+04, & \(3.40 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(2.53 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(1.31 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 9.18E+03, & 3.98E+03, & 2.74E+03, & 3.28E+02, & 3.82E+01, & \\
\hline 1997 & , & 4.64E+04, & 3.16E+04, & 2.57E+04, & 1.53E+04, & 6.83E+03, & 3.79E+03, & 1.87E+03, & 1.19E+03, & 1.53E+02, & \\
\hline 1998 & , & 4.40E+04, & 4.15E+04, & \(2.41 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 1.72E+04, & 4.86E+03, & 1.33E+03, & \(5.07 \mathrm{E}+02\), & \(3.94 \mathrm{E}+02\), & 2.69E+02, & \\
\hline 1999 & , & \(6.19 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(3.93 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(3.11 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 1.40E+04, & 9.02E+03, & 1.57E+03, & 4.49E+02, & 9.25E+01, & 1.34E+02, & \\
\hline 2000 & , & 1.33E+05, & 5.53E+04, & 3.30E+04, & 2.02E+04, & 4.52E+03, & 1.10E+03, & 1.83E+02, & 4.49E+01, & 1.05E+01, & \\
\hline 2001 & , & 1.55E+05, & 1.19E+05, & 4.63E+04, & 2.19E+04, & 7.57E+03, & \(6.69 \mathrm{E}+02\), & 1.53E+02, & 3.32E+01, & \(2.44 \mathrm{E}+01\), & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,1.37 \mathrm{E}+05,9.92 \mathrm{E}+04,3.30 \mathrm{E}+04,9.38 \mathrm{E}+03,2.34 \mathrm{E}+03,2.15 \mathrm{E}+02,4.12 \mathrm{E}+01,1.20 \mathrm{E}+01\),
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\(5.46 \mathrm{E}+04,4.34 \mathrm{E}+04,3.13 \mathrm{E}+04,1.90 \mathrm{E}+04,7.71 \mathrm{E}+03,2.20 \mathrm{E}+03,6.70 \mathrm{E}+02,2.15 \mathrm{E}+02,8.43 \mathrm{E}+01\),

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :

1
Log catchability residuals.

Fleet : Danish Gillnetters
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & , & 1987, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & , & -.17, & .19, & -.81, & . 22 , & . 29 & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & , & . 21, & . 31, & -.57, & . 02 , & -. 27 & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & , & . 50, & . 72 , & -. 43, & . 03, & -. 75 & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & , & . 45 , & . 45 , & -. 43 , & -. 02 , & -. 86 & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & , & . 26 , & . 20 , & -. 38, & -. 30 , & -. 21 & & & & & \\
\hline 7 & , & . 14 , & . 36, & -.01, & -. 43 , & -. 16 & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & , & -.47, & . 23 , & . 01 , & -. 16 , & -. 11 & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & , & -. 10, & . 24, & . 16, & . 10, & . 26 & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & , & .13, & . 41 , & . 44, & . 29 , & . 24 & & & & & \\
\hline Age & , & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997 , & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 2 & , & . 59 , & -1.94, & . 32, & . 37 , & 1.94, & . 05 , & -.03, & -. 18, & -1.34, & . 22 \\
\hline 3 & , & . 48 , & -1.05, & . 86 & . 03 , & .67, & .63, & . 51, & -. 44, & -. 52, & -. 82 \\
\hline 4 & , & . 19, & -. 54, & -. 12, & . 21, & . 22 , & -. 11, & . 53, & . 10, & . 17 , & -. 40 \\
\hline 5 & , & . 14 , & -. 68, & -. 33, & .19, & -. 14, & . 28 , & . 01 , & . 42, & . 16, & . 33 \\
\hline 6 & & -. 37, & -. 22 , & -. 77 & -. 29 , & -. 37, & . 37 , & . 05 , & . 78 , & . 53, & . 39 \\
\hline 7 & & -. 15, & . 09 , & -. 40 , & -. 16 , & -. 77 , & . 51, & -. 18, & . 67 , & . 12, & . 34 \\
\hline 8 & & -. 08 , & -. 39, & -. 44 , & -. 03 , & -.85, & . 24 , & . 26, & . 71 , & . 05 , & . 56 \\
\hline 9 & & . 10, & -. 28 , & -.61, & . 16 , & -1.03, & -.31, & -.13, & 1.05, & -.55, & -. 03 \\
\hline 10 & & . 42 , & -. 52, & -. 38, & -. 04 , & -.64, & .13, & -.01, & . 10, & -. 18, & . 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.2. (continued)

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{rcccccccc} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 9, & 9,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 1.86, & -.805, & 6.66, & .08, & 15, & 1.78, & -8.63, \\
3, & 6.90, & -2.067, & -16.05, & .01, & 15, & 3.78, & -6.80, \\
4, & 3.51, & -1.808, & -6.16, & .05, & 15, & 1.23, & -5.64, \\
5, & .99, & .013, & 4.70, & .40, & 15, & .41, & -4.68, \\
6, & 1.58, & -1.146, & 1.20, & .29, & 15, & .71, & -4.06, \\
7, & 1.22, & -1.015, & 2.90, & .69, & 15, & .50, & -3.77, \\
8, & 1.36, & -1.916, & 2.54, & .75, & 15, & .53, & -3.59, \\
9, & 1.08, & -.442, & 3.56, & .78, & 15, & .58, & -3.68, \\
10, & .88, & 1.301, & 3.69, & .93, & 15, & .29, & -3.60,
\end{tabular}

\section*{Fleet : Danish Trawlers}


\section*{Table 10.4.2. (continued)}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{rcccccccc} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 9, & 9,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 1.30, & -.602, & 7.48, & .29, & 15, & .84, & -8.28, \\
3, & 1.83, & -1.760, & 3.50, & .32, & 15, & .62, & -6.75, \\
4, & 2.79, & -3.184, & -1.86, & .25, & 15, & .50, & -5.96, \\
5, & 1.09, & -.282, & 4.90, & .49, & 15, & .34, & -5.32, \\
6, & 1.49, & -1.340, & 3.09, & .43, & 15, & .51, & -5.02, \\
7, & 1.28, & -1.121, & 4.21, & .62, & 15, & .58, & -4.98, \\
8, & 1.42, & -1.962, & 4.41, & .69, & 15, & .60, & -5.03, \\
9, & 1.37, & -2.205, & 5.13, & .79, & 15, & .57, & -5.18, \\
10, & 1.41, & -2.518, & 5.13, & .80, & 15, & .53, & -4.92,
\end{tabular}

Fleet : Danish Seiners
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr} 
Age, & 1987, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 \\
2, & -.82, & .91, & -.43, & .71, & .35 \\
3, & -.01, & .33, & .15, & .37, & -.03 \\
4, & .30, & .54, & .10, & .37, & -.39 \\
5, & .18, & .38, & .11, & .29, & -.42 \\
6, & -.21, & -.07, & -.07, & -.14, & -.20 \\
7, & -.78, & -.36, & -.17, & -.35, & -.34 \\
8, & -1.30, & -.56, & -.62, & -.35, & -.36 \\
9, & -.96, & -.88, & -.63, & -.35, & .05 \\
10, & -.80, & -.72, & -.57, & -.45, & -.59
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr} 
Age, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, \\
2, & -1.11, & -.41, & .50, & -.07, & 1.58, & -.27, & -.31, & -.56, & -.81, \\
3, & -.80, & -.98, & .54, & -.51, & .36, & .40, & .81, & -.42, & -.19, \\
4, & -.20, & -.57, & -.41, & -.20, & .03, & .03, & .53, & .13, & .26, \\
5, & .19, & -.72, & -.41, & -.33, & -.69, & .43, & -.08, & .54, & .58, \\
6, & -.05, & -.44, & -.73, & -.28, & -.68, & .42, & .18, & .84, & .78, \\
7, & -.33, & -.12, & -.40, & -.32, & -.91, & .75, & .13, & .72, & .95, \\
8, & -.39, & -.30, & -.49, & -.24, & -.76, & .55, & .67, & .85, & .76, \\
9, & -.52, & -.42, & -.82, & -.10, & -.98, & .63, & .10, & .53, & -1.01, \\
10, & -.76, & -.77, & -.87, & -.27, & -.71, & .39, & .31, & .87, & -.36,
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 10.4.2. (continued)}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{rcccccccc} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 9, & 9,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope , t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 1.13, & -.239, & 6.56, & .26, & 15, & .91, & -7.06, \\
3, & 1.08, & -.168, & 4.89, & .33, & 15, & .60, & -5.30, \\
4, & 2.34, & -1.651, & -3.94, & .13, & 15, & .72, & -4.25, \\
5, & 1.29, & -.493, & 1.60, & .23, & 15, & .61, & -3.45, \\
6, & 2.78, & -2.013, & -7.36, & .12, & 15, & 1.23, & -3.08, \\
7, & 1.59, & -1.585, & .39, & .43, & 15, & .85, & -3.09, \\
8, & 1.66, & -2.046, & 1.00, & .50, & 15, & .91, & -3.18, \\
9, & .95, & .303, & 3.56, & .79, & 15, & .57, & -3.46, \\
10, & .88, & .790, & 3.56, & .81, & 15, & .50, & -3.45,
\end{tabular}

\section*{Fleet : Havfisken_Q4}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 2 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 43, & -.55, & . 81, & -.66, & -. 34, & . 13, & . 65, & . 32 \\
\hline 3 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & . 10, & -. 49 , & . 56 , & -. 31, & -.39, & -. 04 , & . 33, & . 21 \\
\hline 4 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & -. 78, & -. 92, & -. 44 , & . 70, & 1.92, & -1.00, & 99.99, & . 36 \\
\hline 5 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & . 04 , & . 33, & -.31, & . 39, & 99.99, & . 40 , & -.34, & -. 49 \\
\hline 6 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & -3.19, & -1.81, & -1.23, & 1.00, & -. 29 , & 1.72, & 1.92, & 1.32 \\
\hline 7 & , No data & for t & is fle & t at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & , No data & for t & is fle & \(t\) at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & , No data & for t & is fle & \(t\) at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & No data & for t & is fle & \(t\) at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrrrr} 
Age, & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6 \\
Mean Log q, & -7.5605, & -8.3484, & -10.2787, & -9.4723, & -9.4206, \\
S.E (Log q), & .5656, & .3719, & 1.0868, & .3884, & 1.8448,
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.2. (continued)
 1

\section*{Fleet : Havfisken_Q1_shifted}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & , & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 2 & & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 17, & -.03, & 99.99, & -. 16, & . 26 , & -. 07 , & -. 15 \\
\hline 3 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 33, & -.07, & 99.99, & -. 75, & . 33, & -. 32, & . 49 \\
\hline 4 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 41 , & -. 24 , & 99.99, & . 05 , & . 09 , & -.09, & -. 20 \\
\hline 5 & , & 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 00 , & .11, & 99.99, & -1.66, & 1.42, & . 75 , & -. 63 \\
\hline 6 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & s age & & & & & \\
\hline 7 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrrr} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5 \\
Mean Log q, & -7.3152, & -8.5272, & -9.3716, & -9.3446, \\
S.E(Log q), & .1734, & .4774, & .2356, & 1.0835,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope , t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 1.12, & -.828, & 6.86, & .92, & 6, & .20, & -7.32, \\
3, & .79, & .571, & 9.00, & .66, & 6, & .41, & -8.53, \\
4, & 2.04, & -1.486, & 8.42, & .34, & 6, & .43, & -9.37, \\
5, & -2.57, & -.604, & 10.90, & .01, & 6, & 2.98, & -9.34,
\end{tabular}

1

Table 10.4.2. (continued)

\section*{Fleet : IBTSQ1_Shifted}

\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr} 
Age, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, \\
2, & -.73, & -.40, & .09, & .23, & .27, & -.48, & -.29, & .58, & .68, \\
3, & -.07, & -1.11, & .12, & .11, & -.31, & .02, & -.73, & .59, & .75, \\
4, & .37, & -.81, & -.74, & .10, & -.36, & -.21, & .44, & .36, & .22, \\
5, & .77, & -.14, & -.04, & -.44, & -1.49, & -.17, & -1.69, & 1.58, & 1.09, \\
6, & .02, & -.40, & -.58, & -.98, & -2.11, & .04, & -.67, & .57, & 2.41, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrrrr} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6 \\
Mean Log q, & -8.0727, & -8.6613, & -9.1476, & -9.4619, & -9.3562, \\
S.E (Log q), & .4580, & .5789, & .5776, & 1.0623, & 1.3269,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :
Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & .72, & 1.412, & 8.86, & .76, & 11, & .31, & -8.07, \\
3, & .67, & 1.079, & 9.33, & .57, & 11, & .38, & -8.66, \\
4, & .80, & .351, & 9.40, & .27, & 11, & .48, & -9.15, \\
5, & .57, & .668, & 9.64, & .23, & 11, & .62, & -9.46, \\
6, & -3.63, & -1.239, & 7.62, & .01, & 11, & 4.67, & -9.36,
\end{tabular}

1
Fleet : IBTSQ3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 2 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -.59, & . 01 , & -.14, & . 04 , & . 49, & 99.99, & . 15 \\
\hline 3 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 18 , & -. 27, & .14, & -.71, & . 24 , & 99.99, & . 42 \\
\hline 4 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 30, & -. 22 , & -. 10, & . 48 , & -.79, & 99.99, & . 34 \\
\hline 5 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & . 09 , & -.38, & . 08, & -1.10, & . 70, & 99.99, & . 59 \\
\hline 6 & , 99.99, & 99.99, & 99.99, & -.08, & -. 91, & . 48 , & 99.99, & -.05, & 99.99, & . 53 \\
\hline 7 & , No data & for t & is fle & at thi & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & , No data & for t & is fle & at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & , No data & for t & is fle & at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 10 & , No data & for t & is fle & at th & is age & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.2. (continued)

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrrrr} 
Age, & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6 \\
Mean Log q, & -7.5902, & -8.0360, & -8.7682, & -8.8439, & -8.4892, \\
S.E (Log q), & .3508, & .4190, & .4795, & .6719, & .5827,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & .77, & 1.018, & 8.37, & .83, & 6, & .27, & -7.59, \\
3, & .77, & .789, & 8.65, & .75, & 6, & .34, & -8.04, \\
4, & 1.09, & -.089, & 8.64, & .22, & 6, & .58, & -8.77, \\
5, & .69, & .300, & 9.12, & .20, & 6, & .51, & -8.84, \\
6, & -1.32, & -1.218, & 9.82, & .09, & 5, & .73, & -8.49,
\end{tabular}

1

Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
```

Year class = 1999

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & , & Weights, & F \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 171340., & . 979, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 030, & . 016 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 163119., & . 651, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 069, & . 017 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 272702., & . 798 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 046 , & . 010 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 , & 188417., & . 601, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 081, & . 015 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 117623., & . 300 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 325 , & . 024 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shífted & 150223., & . 480, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 127, & . 018 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 \({ }^{-}\), & 158893., & . 380 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 203, & . 017 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean , & 78653., & . 50, & & & & .119, & . 035 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(137308 .\), & .17, & .11, & 8, & .659, & .020
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.2. (continued)
Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1998\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & F \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 37290., & . 543, & . 239 , & . 44 , & 2 , & . 059, & . 210 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 62035., & . 333 , & . 072, & . 22 , & 2 , & . 157, & . 132 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 80215., & . 456 , & . 411, & . 90 , & 2, & . 084, & . 103 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 139860., & . 330 , & . 203, & . 61, & 2 , & . 160, & . 061 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 106585. & . 259, & . 243, & . 94 , & 2 , & . 258 , & . 079 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shifted & 190780. & . 377 , & . 029, & . 08 , & 2 , & . 122, & . 045 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 & 150905. & . 453, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1 , & . 085 , & . 056 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean , & 58713., & . 50 , & & & & . 076, & . 139 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(99184 .\), & .13, & .14, & 14, & 1.038, & .084
\end{tabular}

1
Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
```

Year class = 1997

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Estimated } \\
& \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 21959., & . 324 , & . 064 , & . 20, & 3 , & .093, & . 342 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 25857., & . 223, & . 084 , & . 38, & 3 , & . 195, & . 297 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 27870. & . 276 , & . 104 , & . 38 , & 3 , & . 128, & . 278 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 43540., & . 318 , & . 066 , & . 21 , & 3, & . 092, & . 187 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 31991., & . 197, & . 170, & . 87 , & 3 , & . 248, & . 246 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shifted & 69505., & . 320 , & .117, & . 37 , & 3, & . 092, & . 121 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 , & 50853., & . 307 , & . 071, & . 23 , & 2, & .101, & . 162 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 20672., & . 50, & & & & . 051, & . 359 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(32965 .\), & .10, & .08, & 21, & .860, & .240
\end{tabular}

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1996\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 11010., & . 257, & . 142, & . 55, & 4, & .119, & . 668 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 9261., & . 184, & . 115, & . 63, & 4, & . 229, & . 756 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 10102., & . 242 , & .149, & . 62 , & 4, & . 128, & . 711 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 6929., & . 264 , & . 148, & . 56 , & 3 , & .111, & . 920 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & \(8644 .\), & . 195, & . 110, & . 57 , & 4, & .175, & . 793 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shifted & 11238., & . 311 , & . 242 , & . 78 , & 4, & . 070 , & . 658 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 & 11602., & . 274 , & . 146 , & . 53, & 3 , & .091, & . 643 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean , & 8328., & . 50, & & & & . 078, & . 814 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(9383 .\), & .09, & .05, & 27, & .589, & .749
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.2. (continued)
1
Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1995\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated \\
F
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 3079., & \[
.261
\] & \[
.072,
\] & \[
.28,
\] & 5, & .143, & . 902 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 2818. & . 190, & . 094 , & . 49, & 5, & . 256, & 956 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 2966., & . 256, & . 140, & . 55, & 5, & . 136, & . 925 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 1668., & . 268 , & . 208, & . 78 , & 5, & . 076 , & 1.310 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 2256., & . 256 , & . 279, & 1.09, & 3 , & . 067 , & 1.099 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shifted & 2814., & . 342 , & . 450, & 1.32, & 5, & . 050 , & . 957 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 , & 2113., & . 292 , & . 319, & 1.09, & 4, & . 095, & 1.143 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 1397., & . 50, & & & & . 175, & 1.442 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & S.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(2340 .\), & .12, & .08, & 33, & .680, & 1.075
\end{tabular}

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1994\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Ext,
s.e, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 314., & . 345, & . 055, & .16, & 6, & . 218, & . 807 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 311., & . 314 , & . 084, & . 27 , & 6, & . 217, & . 813 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 305., & . 417, & . 112, & . 27, & 6, & .130, & . 823 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 , & 319., & . 280 , & . 318, & 1.13, & 5, & . 022, & . 798 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 237., & . 216, & . 230 , & 1.07, & 3 , & . 024 , & . 973 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shifted & 489., & . 375 , & . 446 , & 1.19, & 5, & . 014, & . 586 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 , & 286., & . 252 , & . 154 , & . 61 , & 4, & . 019, & . 860 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 111., & . 50, & & & & . 358, & 1.504 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(215 .\), & .21, & .11, & 36, & .516, & 1.034
\end{tabular}

1
Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
```

Year class = 1993

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & F \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 67., & . 377, & . 070, & .19, & 7, & . 212, & . 896 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 55., & . 397, & . 054 , & .14, & 7, & . 184, & 1.022 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 68., & . 516, & . 088, & .17, & 7, & . 108, & . 886 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 60., & . 334 , & . 352 , & 1.05, & 4, & . 003, & . 958 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 40. & . 265 , & . 344 , & 1.30, & 3 , & . 004 , & 1.233 \\
\hline IBTSQ1 Shifted & 35. & . 326 , & . 299, & . 92 , & 5, & .003, & 1.323 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.2. (continued)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
IBTSQ3 & \(29 .\), & .252, & .171, & .68, \\
F shrinkage mean, & 27. & \(.50, \ldots\), & .480, & 1.531
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
41., & .27, & .10, & 39, & .361, & 1.211
\end{tabular}

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 8 Year class = 1992
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & 12. & . 418, & . 048, & .11, & 8, & . 202, & 913 \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 21. & . 418, & . 043, & . 10, & 8, & . 209, & . 622 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 15. & . 535, & . 097, & . 18, & 8, & . 130, & . 785 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 11. & . 280 , & . 211, & . 75, & 5, & . 002, & . 949 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q1_shifted, & 11. & . 267 , & . 241, & . 90 , & 2, & . 001, & . 985 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shifted & 10. & . 373, & . 150, & . 40 , & 5, & . 001, & 1.028 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 & 12. & . 325 , & . 123, & . 38 , & 3 , & . 001 , & . 909 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 9., & . 50 , & & & & . 455, & 1.129 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(12 .\), & .27, & .07, & 40, & .276, & .920
\end{tabular}

1
Age 10 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 8
```

Year class = 1991

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated \\
F
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Danish Gillnetters & Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.e, } \\
& 289,
\end{aligned}
\] & S.e,
.108, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ratio, } \\
.37,
\end{gathered}
\] & 9, & weights,
.336, & F \\
\hline Danish Trawlers & 11., & . 347 , & . 086, & . 25 , & 9, & . 213, & . 773 \\
\hline Danish Seiners & 7., & . 447 , & . 197, & . 44, & 9, & . 127, & 1.090 \\
\hline Havfisken_Q4 & 8. & . 305, & . 205, & . 67, & 4, & . 001, & 1.015 \\
\hline Havfisken Q1 shifted, & 13., & . 304, & . 087 , & . 29, & 2, & . 001, & . 709 \\
\hline IBTSQ1_Shífted & 7. & . 351 , & . 256 , & . 73 , & 5 , & . 001 , & 1.073 \\
\hline IBTSQ3 & 11. & . 393 , & . 238 , & . 61, & 3 , & . 001 , & . 786 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 8., & . 50, & & & & . 321, & 1.003 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
Survivors, Int, Ext, N, Var, F
at end of year, s.e, s.e, Ratio,
9., .21, .05, 42, .256, .923

Table 10.4.3
Plaice in IIIa. Fishing mortalities-at-age

At 14/06/2002 10:37
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)
\begin{tabular}{lrcccc} 
Table 8 & \multicolumn{5}{c}{ Fishing mortality ( F ) at age } \\
YEAR & & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
& & & & & \\
AGE & & & & & \\
& 2 & 0.0084 & 0.0257 & 0.0111 & 0.0078 \\
& 3 & 0.2335 & 0.2058 & 0.1327 & 0.1487 \\
& 4 & 0.7571 & 0.7969 & 0.5479 & 0.5627 \\
& 5 & 1.0753 & 1.0747 & 0.8465 & 0.7786 \\
& 6 & 1.0199 & 1.0636 & 0.9627 & 0.7009 \\
& 7 & 0.5951 & 0.9543 & 1.0673 & 0.5502 \\
& 8 & 0.2824 & 0.2829 & 1.0973 & 0.6559 \\
& 9 & 0.4844 & 0.5608 & 0.5648 & 0.6834 \\
& 10 & 0.6945 & 0.791 & 0.9124 & 0.6768 \\
& + gp & 0.6945 & 0.791 & 0.9124 & 0.6768 \\
FBAR & \(4-8\) & 0.746 & 0.8345 & 0.9044 & 0.6497
\end{tabular}

Table 8 Fishing mortality (F) at age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 2 & 0.0115 & 0.0166 & 0.0326 & 0.0305 & 0.0107 & 0.0191 & 0.0032 & 0.0162 & 0.0462 & 0.049 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.0988 & 0.2684 & 0.1721 & 0.1591 & 0.113 & 0.1434 & 0.1103 & 0.1454 & 0.1697 & 0.1543 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.5155 & 0.6523 & 0.4945 & 0.4438 & 0.3935 & 0.4706 & 0.664 & 0.3566 & 0.4911 & 0.2535 \\
\hline & 5 & 1.126 & 1.05 & 0.746 & 0.5034 & 0.7842 & 1.0215 & 1.3402 & 0.7794 & 1.0437 & 0.4764 \\
\hline & 6 & 1.0771 & 0.8687 & 0.8174 & 0.6364 & 0.733 & 1.2029 & 1.4306 & 0.9476 & 1.1141 & 0.9295 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.6587 & 0.4406 & 0.8292 & 0.5922 & 0.4508 & 0.8155 & 1.1797 & 0.9167 & 1.0006 & 0.9842 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.5633 & 0.3503 & 0.9114 & 0.4728 & 0.4316 & 0.4635 & 0.9963 & 0.6893 & 1.1439 & 0.8987 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.8317 & 0.3333 & 0.9336 & 0.4437 & 0.5037 & 0.7482 & 0.8269 & 0.7071 & 1.1945 & 1.4555 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.8556 & 0.6111 & 0.8518 & 0.5317 & 0.583 & 0.8854 & 1.1757 & 0.9172 & 1.182 & 1.0056 \\
\hline & +gp & 0.8556 & 0.6111 & 0.8518 & 0.5317 & 0.583 & 0.8854 & 1.1757 & 0.9172 & 1.182 & 1.0056 \\
\hline FBAR & 4-8 & 0.7881 & 0.6724 & 0.7597 & 0.5297 & 0.5586 & 0.7948 & 1.1222 & 0.7379 & 0.9587 & 0.7084 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8 Fishing mortality (F) at age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2001 FBAR 99-01} \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 2 & 0.0212 & 0.0314 & 0.0433 & 0.0124 & 0.1279 & 0.0121 & 0.0135 & 0.0117 & 0.0097 & 0.0202 & 0.0139 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.0973 & 0.0961 & 0.2678 & 0.0821 & 0.1809 & 0.1715 & 0.1865 & 0.0751 & 0.0778 & 0.0843 & 0.0791 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.2901 & 0.3498 & 0.2945 & 0.3917 & 0.4021 & 0.3026 & 0.4448 & 0.335 & 0.3075 & 0.24 & 0.2942 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.8671 & 0.5423 & 0.602 & 0.7859 & 0.5513 & 1.0485 & 0.5442 & 1.0268 & 0.8789 & 0.7491 & 0.8849 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.9714 & 0.924 & 0.6561 & 0.9494 & 0.785 & 1.5382 & 1.0297 & 2.0017 & 1.8114 & 1.0745 & 1.6292 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.9291 & 1.2026 & 1.1314 & 0.9976 & 0.6521 & 1.9115 & 0.9842 & 2.0501 & 1.8764 & 1.0343 & 1.6536 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.9533 & 0.958 & 1.1903 & 1.2453 & 0.7341 & 1.4606 & 1.6008 & 2.2037 & 1.6055 & 1.2106 & 1.6733 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.9285 & 0.9651 & 0.7426 & 1.4842 & 0.6617 & 1.3877 & 0.9808 & 2.0767 & 0.5082 & 0.9196 & 1.1682 \\
\hline & 10 & 0.9907 & 1.1758 & 1.0678 & 1.2473 & 1.0023 & 1.5267 & 1.0939 & 1.7977 & 1.2075 & 0.9232 & 1.3095 \\
\hline & +gp & 0.9907 & 1.1758 & 1.0678 & 1.2473 & 1.0023 & 1.5267 & 1.0939 & 1.7977 & 1.2075 & 0.9232 & \\
\hline FBAR & 4-8 & 0.8022 & 0.7953 & 0.7749 & 0.874 & 0.6249 & 1.2523 & 0.9207 & 1.5235 & 1.296 & 0.8617 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.4
Plaice in IIIa. Estimated population numbers-at-age

At 14/06/2002 10:37
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
Table 10 & \multicolumn{4}{l}{ Stock number at age (start of year) } & Numbers*10**-3 \\
YEAR & & 1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 \\
& & & & & \\
AGE & & & & \\
& 2 & 61661 & 45790 & 34422 & 25729 \\
& 3 & 79225 & 55328 & 40382 & 30802 \\
4 & 78264 & 56759 & 40751 & 32000 \\
& 5 & 39763 & 33213 & 23149 & 21318 \\
6 & 13172 & 12276 & 10260 & 8984 \\
7 & 1453 & 4298 & 3834 & 3545 \\
& 8 & 269 & 725 & 1497 & 1193 \\
& 173 & 184 & 495 & 452 \\
& 10 & 101 & 96 & 95 & 254 \\
+gp & 125 & 105 & 87 & 206 \\
TOTAL & 274206 & 208774 & 154973 & 124484
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 10 S & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Stock number at age (start of year)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Numbers*10**-3} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{19881989}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1990} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1991} \\
\hline YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 2 & 248503 & 94318 & 70514 & 48961 & 37159 & 34607 & 33106 & 66183 & 73274 & 50795 \\
\hline 3 & 323100 & 43387 & 83934 & 61755 & 42970 & 33266 & 30721 & 29859 & 58922 & 63308 \\
\hline 4 & 424020 & 18935 & 30017 & 63942 & 47658 & 34728 & 26080 & 24894 & 23361 & 44993 \\
\hline 5 & 516495 & 12979 & 8924 & 16564 & 37122 & 29093 & 19628 & 12148 & 15770 & 12936 \\
\hline 6 & 6885 & 4841 & 4110 & 3830 & 9059 & 15333 & 9479 & 4649 & 5042 & 5025 \\
\hline 7 & 74033 & 2729 & 1837 & 1642 & 1834 & 3939 & 4166 & 2051 & 1631 & 1497 \\
\hline 8 & 81850 & 1889 & 1589 & 725 & 822 & 1057 & 1577 & 1159 & 742 & 543 \\
\hline 9 & 9560 & 953 & 1204 & 578 & 409 & 483 & 602 & 527 & 526 & 214 \\
\hline 10 & 207 & 221 & 618 & 428 & 336 & 224 & 207 & 238 & 235 & 144 \\
\hline +gp & - 87 & 242 & 218 & 396 & 232 & 337 & 235 & 410 & 410 & 225 \\
\hline TOTAL & - 127710 & 180494 & 202965 & 198821 & 177602 & 153067 & 125799 & 142118 & 179913 & 179679 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 10 St & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Stock number at age (start of year)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Numbers*10**-3} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{19981999}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2000} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2001} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{2002 GM 78-99}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{AM 78-99} \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 2 & 45377 & 35303 & 35043 & 38061 & 39649 & 46387 & 44003 & 61863 & 133086 & 154847 & 0 & 46318 & 48669 \\
\hline 3 & 43764 & 40199 & 30956 & 30366 & 34015 & 31570 & 41470 & 39280 & 55322 & 119258 & 137308 & 41525 & 44026 \\
\hline 4 & 49092 & 35930 & 33039 & 21429 & 25310 & 25686 & 24063 & 31141 & 32970 & 46313 & 99184 & 33465 & 36004 \\
\hline 5 & 31596 & 33235 & 22915 & 22270 & 13106 & 15319 & 17174 & 13955 & 20156 & 21935 & 32965 & 19634 & 21303 \\
\hline 6 & 7269 & 12012 & 17484 & 11356 & 9183 & 6833 & 4858 & 9018 & 4523 & 7573 & 9383 & 8003 & 8769 \\
\hline 7 & 1795 & 2490 & 4314 & 8209 & 3976 & 3790 & 1328 & 1570 & 1102 & 669 & 2340 & 2654 & 2998 \\
\hline 8 & 506 & 641 & 677 & 1259 & 2739 & 1874 & 507 & 449 & 183 & 153 & 215 & 945 & 1104 \\
\hline 9 & 200 & 177 & 223 & 186 & 328 & 1189 & 394 & 93 & 45 & 33 & 41 & 373 & 461 \\
\hline 10 & 45 & 71 & 61 & 96 & 38 & 153 & 269 & 134 & 10 & 24 & 12 & 154 & 194 \\
\hline +gp & 191 & 104 & 87 & 74 & 74 & 48 & 100 & 53 & 43 & 57 & 29 & & \\
\hline TOTAL & 179835 & 160162 & 144799 & 133306 & 128418 & 132850 & 134165 & 157555 & 247441 & 350862 & 281478 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.4.5 Plaice in IIIa. Historical trends in SSB, recruitment, and F-bar from the final XSA run
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Run title : Pla & aice IIIa VPA & data & 2002WG & ANON & COMBSEX & PLUSGROUP \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{At 14/06/2002 10:37} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Table 16 Summary (without SOP correction)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{RECRUITS TOTALBIO} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Age 2} \\
\hline 1978 & 61661 & 74881 & 60329 & 26953 & 0.4468 & 0.746 \\
\hline 1979 & 45790 & 56724 & 46558 & 21976 & 0.472 & 0.8345 \\
\hline 1980 & 34422 & 48460 & 39476 & 16445 & 0.4166 & 0.9044 \\
\hline 1981 & 25729 & 38492 & 32575 & 12602 & 0.3869 & 0.6497 \\
\hline 1982 & 48503 & 39808 & 26713 & 11047 & 0.4136 & 0.7881 \\
\hline 1983 & 94318 & 54427 & 27546 & 10780 & 0.3913 & 0.6724 \\
\hline 1984 & 70514 & 61376 & 41491 & 11591 & 0.2794 & 0.7597 \\
\hline 1985 & 48961 & 60755 & 47144 & 13482 & 0.286 & 0.5297 \\
\hline 1986 & 37159 & 52175 & 42885 & 14052 & 0.3277 & 0.5586 \\
\hline 1987 & 34607 & 48139 & 36996 & 15658 & 0.4232 & 0.7948 \\
\hline 1988 & 33106 & 36324 & 27981 & 12850 & 0.4592 & 1.1222 \\
\hline 1989 & 66183 & 41332 & 23198 & 7741 & 0.3337 & 0.7379 \\
\hline 1990 & 73274 & 54972 & 33576 & 12082 & 0.3598 & 0.9587 \\
\hline 1991 & 50795 & 49051 & 35692 & 8700 & 0.2438 & 0.7084 \\
\hline 1992 & 45377 & 53841 & 39819 & 11931 & 0.2996 & 0.8022 \\
\hline 1993 & 35303 & 45727 & 36301 & 11323 & 0.3119 & 0.7953 \\
\hline 1994 & 35043 & 41429 & 31792 & 11325 & 0.3562 & 0.7749 \\
\hline 1995 & 38061 & 39738 & 29728 & 10766 & 0.3621 & 0.874 \\
\hline 1996 & 39649 & 39000 & 28453 & 10545 & 0.3706 & 0.6249 \\
\hline 1997 & 46387 & 40450 & 26533 & 10291 & 0.3879 & 1.2523 \\
\hline 1998 & 44003 & 37462 & 26021 & 8430 & 0.324 & 0.9207 \\
\hline 1999 & 61863 & 44013 & 27248 & 8740 & 0.3208 & 1.5235 \\
\hline 2000 & 133086 & 66103 & 31899 & 8820 & 0.2765 & 1.296 \\
\hline 2001 & 154847 & 95540 & 55745 & 11560 & 0.2074 & 0.8617 \\
\hline 2002 & 46318* & & 79256 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Arith.} \\
\hline Mean & 56610 & 50842 & 35654 & 12487 & 0.3524 & 0.8538 \\
\hline 0 Units & (Thousand & (Tonnes) & (Tonnes) & (Tonnes) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
*GM (1978-99)
}

SSB 2002 estimated using average wt at age in the stock (1998-2000)

Table 10.7.1 Plaice in IIIa. Input data for catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number-at-age} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the stock} \\
\hline N2 & 46317 & 0.32 & WS2 & 0.26 & 0.03 \\
\hline N3 & 137308 & 0.17 & WS3 & 0.27 & 0.02 \\
\hline N4 & 99184 & 0.14 & WS 4 & 0.28 & 0.03 \\
\hline N5 & 32964 & 0.10 & WS5 & 0.31 & 0.05 \\
\hline N6 & 9382 & 0.09 & WS 6 & 0.32 & 0.10 \\
\hline N7 & 2340 & 0.12 & WS 7 & 0.38 & 0.12 \\
\hline N8 & 214 & 0.21 & WS8 & 0.49 & 0.23 \\
\hline N9 & 40 & 0.27 & WS9 & 0.78 & 0.14 \\
\hline N10 & 11 & 0.27 & WS10 & 0.74 & 0.28 \\
\hline N11 & 28 & 0.21 & WS11 & 0.87 & 0.09 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH2 & 0.01 & 0.71 & WH2 & 0.26 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.06 & 0.37 & WH3 & 0.27 & 0.02 \\
\hline SH4 & 0.21 & 0.12 & WH4 & 0.28 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.62 & 0.15 & WH5 & 0.31 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH6 & 1.14 & 0.06 & WH6 & 0.32 & 0.10 \\
\hline sH7 & 1.16 & 0.09 & WH7 & 0.38 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH8 & 1.18 & 0.08 & WH8 & 0.49 & 0.23 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.82 & 0.53 & WH9 & 0.78 & 0.14 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.92 & 0.12 & WH10 & 0.74 & 0.28 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.92 & 0.12 & WH11 & 0.87 & 0.09 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M2 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT3 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT 4 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M5 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{in HC fishery} & & & \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.27 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.27 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO4 & 1.00 & 0.27 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 46318 0.32
R04 46318 0.32

```

Proportion of F before spawning \(=.00\)
Proportion of \(M\) before spawning \(=.00\)
Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors.

Plaice in IIIa. Short-term catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from the linear analysis.


Table 10.7.2. (Continued). Detailed forecast tables
Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons=1.00


Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00

 (by weight) of these year classes.


\section*{Plaice (IIIa): Year-class \% contribution to}
a ) 2003 landings

b ) 2004 SSB





Figure 10.3.2 Plaice in IIIa. Relative survey indices by age.


Figure 10.4.1 Plaice IIIa. log residuals by fleet and age (Single-fleet XSA runs. Shrinkage 1.5)


Figure 10.4.2 Plaice in IIIa. SSB versus F 4-8 in 2001. Single-fleet runs (shrinkage 1.5) and combined-fleet runs (shrinkage 0.5).

Run 1: all fleets. Run 2 : same configuration as in WG 2001. Run 3 : commercial fleets only. Run 4 : surveys only. WG2001 : status quo forecast from the WG 2001.


Figure 10.4.3 Plaice IIIa. \(\log\) residuals by fleet and age in the final run


Figure 10.4.4 Plaice IIIa. weighting in tuning fleets.



Figure 10.4.5 Plaice in IIIa. Log cpue vs \(\log \mathrm{N}\) xsa, final run


Figure 10.4.6 Plaice in IIIa. Retrospective pattern for the final XSA run.




Figure 10.6.1
Plaice in Division IIIa. Stock trends plot.




Figure 10.7.1 Plaice in IIIa. Short-term forecast.

Plaice in IIIa. Short term forecast


Figure 10.7.2 Plaice in IIIa. Probability profiles for the short-term forecast.
Plaice in IIIa. Probability profiles for chort term forecact.


Figure 10.7.3
Plaice in IIIa. Sensitivity analysis for the short-term


PLE3A.SUM: lognormal Ricker parameters

Initial estimate for alpha \(=, 1.1323 \mathrm{E}+01\) Initial estimate for beta \(=, 5.8011 \mathrm{E}-02\)

Final estimate for alpha \(=, 1.1323 \mathrm{E}+01,+/-\) , 3.7628E+00
Final estimate for beta \(=, 5.8011 \mathrm{E}-02,+/-\) ,9.2329E-03

Variance-covariance matrix:
, 1, 2
\(1,1.41586 \mathrm{E}+01,3.36951 \mathrm{E}-02\)
\(2,3.36951 \mathrm{E}-02,8.52458 \mathrm{E}-05\)
Corr[alpha,beta] \(=, 0.97\)
\(\mathrm{RSS}=, 2.8826 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Mean absolute residual \(=, 1.8228 \mathrm{E}+01\)
Residual variance \(=, 1.3103 \mathrm{E}-01\)
Akaike (AIC) \(=, 6.7492 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\(-2 \log\) likelihood \(=, 1.7722 \mathrm{E}+01\)
IFAIL from nonlinear fit \(=\), 0


PLE3A.SUM: calculation of Ockham parameter

Mean \(=, 5.06907 \mathrm{E}+01\)
Variance of mean (log scale) \(=\), 9.53056E-03

Residual sum-of-squares \(=, 4.40312 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Mean absolute residual \(=, 2.10520 \mathrm{E}+01\)
Residual variance \(=, 1.07015 \mathrm{E}+03\)
Akaike's Information Criterion \(=\), \(6.59156 \mathrm{E}+01\)

Ockham fit for IIIa_ Skagerrak-Kattegat Plaice


Figure 10.9.1 Plaice in IIIa. Yield-per-recruit.


Figure 10.9.2 Plaice in Division IIIa. Stock-recruitment plot.


Figure 10.9.3 Plaice in Division IIIa. Precautionary approach plot.


Figure 10.10.1 Plaice in IIIa. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




\subsection*{11.1 The Fishery}

Plaice is caught all year in a mixed fishery with sole by Belgian and UK offshore beam trawlers and French inshore trawlers. During the winter plaice in VIId is a seasonal target for some French offshore trawlers and the Belgium beam trawlers.

\subsection*{11.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002}

ACFM advice for 2001 and 2002 was that the stock was harvested outside safe biological limits.
The fishing mortality in 2001 should be reduced to less than the proposed \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.45)\), corresponding to landings in 2001 of less than 4400 t .

The fishing mortality in 2002 should be reduced to less than the proposed \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.45)\), corresponding to landings in 2002 of less than 5800 t .

The precautionary fishing mortality and biomass reference points proposed by ACFM are as follows:
\(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=5600 \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=8000 \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{lim}}=0.54, \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.45\).

\subsection*{11.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002}

There is no separate TAC for VIId plaice which at present is managed together with Area VIIe. The TAC in 2001 and 2002 were set respectively to 6000 t and 6690 t . for the combined areas. Technical conservation measures include a minimum mesh size of 80 mm for trawling and minimum landing size \((27 \mathrm{~cm})\).

\subsection*{11.1.3 The fishery in 2001}

Landings data as reported to ICES together with the total landings estimated by the Working Group are shown in Table 11.1.1. No correction was made for SOP discrepancies which have been very low since 1992.

Landings peaked at 10400 t in 1988 and have declined to 5266 t in 2001 (Figure 11.6.1). This was significantly below the 6500 t predicted at status quo F from last year's assessment. France contributed \(61 \%\) of the official landings in 2001, followed by Belgium ( \(27 \%\) ) and UK ( \(12 \%\) ).

The first quarter is the most important for the fisheries and the landings (in weights) in 2001 for this period was \(41 \%\) of the annual total, compared to \(44 \%\) in 2000.

\subsection*{11.2 Natural Mortality, Maturity, Age Composition and Mean Weight-at-age}

The natural mortality was assumed to be constant over ages and years at 0.10 as for the North Sea stock (Table 11.2.1). The maturity ogive used is similar to that for VIIe plaice and is the same for all years (Table 11.2.1).

Quarterly catch numbers and weights were available for a range of years depending on country; the availability is presented in the text table below. Levels of sampling prior to 1985 were poor and these data are considered to be less reliable. In 2001 international landings covered by market sampling schemes represented the majority of the total landings.
\begin{tabular}{l|ll} 
Country & Numbers & Weights-at-age \\
\hline Belgium & \(1981-2001\) & \(1986-2001\) \\
France & \(1989-2001\) & \(1989-2001\) \\
UK & \(1980-2001\) & \(1989-2001\)
\end{tabular}

The age composition of the landings is shown in Table 11.2.2 and in Figure 11.2.1. It appears that during 2001 there has been a shift in the age composition as the fishery has targeted mostly 3 -year-old plaice in the \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter, 2 -year-old
plaice in the \(2^{\text {nd }}\) quarter, and 1-year-old plaice during the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarters. The result is that a great number of 1 -year-old plaice has been landed at the end of 2001.

The mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the stock are given in the Tables 11.2.3 and 11.2.4. The mean weight-at-age in the stock has been revised as the method used for smoothing in previous years was not adequate. The revision has been made from the year 1990 and consisted in replacing the weight in the stock by the \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter weight in the catches. The largest difference is observed in 1999 (Figure 11.2.2). The difference in historical SSB is shown in Figure 11.2.3. This revision has changed the SSB estimate for 2001 from an increase over 2000 to a decrease. No trends appear in the weights from 1990 (Figure 11.2.4) except during the last year, where an increase in weight for all ages is observed.

The data do not include discards (they are not sampled for this stock) although they are probably quite substantial.

\subsection*{11.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data}

Commercial effort and cpue data are available from three commercial fleets covering inshore and offshore trawlers. French effort data for 1999 has been provided and effort data for 2000 has been revised as the new French database is now fully documented and operational. Trends in effort and cpue are shown in Table 11.3.1 and Figure 11.3.1 (see also overview Section 2.3). All fleets show a decline in cpue from 1988/89 to 1996. Since then all the cpue have increased from 1997 to 2000 and decreased in 2001. Effort increased in all fleets from 1983 to 1989. The UK effort has been declining since 1994, French effort has remained stable with a peak in 2000, and the Belgian effort has increased sharply over the last 4 years.

Survey data were obtained since 1988 from two trawls surveys covering most of VIId. These were the English beam trawl survey (BTS) in August and French otter trawl ground fish survey (GFS) in October. Recruit survey estimates for 0 and 1-group fish were also available from coastal research surveys in VIId, the English and the French YFS.

UK beam trawl survey samples the main flatfish distribution area in Division VIId and provides a stratified index for ages 1 to 6 .

In the previous years the Eastern Channel was split into five zones and abundance indices were first calculated for each zone, and then averaged to obtain the final GFS index. This procedure was not thought to be entirely satisfactory, as the level of sampling was inconsistent across geographical strata. A new procedure was developed based on raising abundance indices to the level of ICES rectangles, and then by averaging those to calculate the final abundance index. Figure 11.3.2 suggests that there is only little difference between the old and the revisited abundance indices. The French GFS series for plaice has been revised in 2002 in the same way as for whiting.

In 2000, the Working Group rejected the English YFS for plaice in VIId, and in 2001 the Working Group rejected the French YFS. These two surveys operate with the same gear (beam trawl) during the same period (September) in two different nursery areas. Previous analyses (Riou et al, 2001) have shown that asynchronous spawning occurs for flatfish in Division VIId. In last year's report it was proposed to analyse the accuracy of a combined YFS. The combination consisted in weighting the individual index with the area nursery surface sampled by the survey. The map that has been used for the calculation is shown in Figure 11.3.3, and taking into account the low, medium, and high potential area of recruitment, the French YFS has a weight index of \(55 \%\) and the English YFS a weight index of \(45 \%\).

The range of ages and years used in each fleet is shown in the input file for tuning (Table 11.3.1).

\subsection*{11.4 Catch-at-Age Analysis}

\subsection*{11.4.1 Exploration of data}

As previously the analysis was carried out with XSA. A number of trial runs were made to select the most appropriate model for the data and a multi-stage process was used to select the final tuning options:
a) Catch data : A separable VPA has been run to explore the characteristics of the catch data. Figures 11.4.1a and 11.4.1b show a long-term trend in catch structure pattern for one-year-old plaice. During the 80 's very few 1-year-old plaice were caught, whereas from the early 90 's these plaice were landed. From 1990, F ratios from this age group are around 1 , leading to the conclusion that this age is more uniformly represented in the landings.
b) Trends in catchability were examined for residual trends by fleet and age. Trends were examined using XSA with single-fleet tuning runs with low (1.5) shrinkage (Figure 11.4.2). Although there are some trends in catchability all of
the fleets and ages were included in the final analysis. The new International YFS appears to be very stable and shows very little noise in the historical series.
c) Choice of age to be treated as recruits: A run was made with the combined fleets where all ages were not treated as recruits (constant catchabitity). There is borderline evidence for negative slopes at age 1 from the UK beam trawl survey and age 2 from the French trawlers. The other two series with data for 1-year-old fish (French GFS and YFS) have very low \(\mathrm{R}^{2}\) values and non-significant slopes. There are no consistent trends over all fleets for a given age, therefore no power model was used.

The SSB and Fbar(2-6) estimates for 2002 for a selection of exploratory runs are given in Figure 11.4.4. The scatter of points from the tuning fleets reflects the conflicting information provided by the surveys and the commercial fleets. The surveys generally give an optimistic view of the fishery with the exception of the YFS, although it only samples age 1 and has little weight in the final run. The commercial tuning fleets produce estimates of F and SSB in 2001 which are close to the final run. The use of a low shrinkage (2.0) gives more influence to the surveys. It should be noted that the use of 3 commercial tuning fleets gives them a strong influence in the final run, compared to the use of a single commercial tuning fleet with all surveys that give a very high influence to the surveys. The three commercial fleets were chosen as they cover different parts of the Channel.

\subsection*{11.4.2 Final assessment}

The following table summarises the final XSA configuration used this year, in comparison to that used last year.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline stock area & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
plaice \\
VIId
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline year of assessment & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2001} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2002} \\
\hline Assessment model & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{XSA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{XSA} \\
\hline UK inshore trawl & 1988-2000 & 2-9 & 1988-2001 & 2-9 \\
\hline BEL beamtrawl & 1988-2000 & 2-9 & 1988-2001 & 2-9 \\
\hline FR trawlers & 1989-2000 & 2-9 & 1989-2001 & 2-9 \\
\hline UK BTS & 1988-2000 & 1-6 & 1988-2001 & 1-6 \\
\hline FR GFS & 1988-2000 & 1-5 & 1988-2001 & 1-5 \\
\hline INT YFS & not used & & 1988-2001 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


The list of tuning fleets, input parameters, and output from the final run are shown in Table 11.4.1. Fishing mortality and stock numbers are in Tables 11.4.2 and 11.4.3, respectively. The weights of tuning categories are presented in Figure 11.4.5. Surveys dominate the tuning weighting for younger ages whilst commercial fleets dominate for older ages. The weight of F shrinkage is nearly the same for all ages.

There is a high degree of consistency between the current assessment and the preceding two years (Figure 11.10.1). Estimates of SSB and F, in particular the very high estimate of F in 1997, have been repeated.

Retrospective analysis was carried out using final XSA options with a strong shrinkage of 0.5 and truncating the timeseries. Like the 2000 WG the full (1988-) year range was used, thus maintaining consistency with the final run. There was a period of overestimation of fishing mortality until 1996 and a period of underestimation of fishing mortality in the recent years (Figure 11.4.6).

\subsection*{11.5 Recruitment Estimates}

Research vessel survey indices of 0 - and 1 -year-olds were available and are shown in Table 11.5.1.
Year class 2001 : RCT3 estimates of 1-year-olds (Table 11.5.2) is largely influenced by the VPA mean as \(\mathrm{R}^{2}\) of the 2 surveys is very poor and the recruitment estimates are different. The recruitment estimate for the 2001 year class (1-year-old in 2002) is therefore taken as the GM recruitment (23 427).

Year class 2000 : RCT3 estimates of 2-year-olds (Table 11.5.3) in 2002 is largely influenced by the UK BTS, getting \(50 \%\) of the weight and having an \(R^{2}\) value of 0.67 . The French GFS and the YFS get very little weight. For estimates of 2 -year-olds, there is a conflicting signal coming from XSA and RCT3 as surveys did not predict a good 2000 year class and the commercial fleets have landed a large amount of 1-year-olds in the second part of 2001. The XSA values have thus been used.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\cline { 3 - 6 } \multicolumn{2}{c|}{} & RCT3 & XSA & GM \(_{80-99}\) & AM \(_{80-99}\) \\
\hline Year-Class & Age in 2002 & Weighted average & & & \\
\hline 2000 & 2 & 22160 & \(\underline{31628}\) & 20378 & 21956 \\
\hline 2001 & 1 & 21455 & & \(\underline{23427}\) & 25108 \\
\hline 2002 & Recruit & & - & \(\underline{23427}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- numbers are * \(10^{-3}\). Underlined values are those accepted by the Working Group.

\subsection*{11.6 Historical Stock Trends}

Trends in fishing mortality, SSB, and recruitment are shown in Table 11.6.1 and Figure 11.6.1. Fishing mortality has fluctuated widely in the past 10 years. After a peak in 1997 there has been a sharp decrease to the current year (0.48). This decrease of fishing mortality is not accompanied by a decrease in effort in the commercial tuning fleets. SSB increased rapidly in 1987 following recruitment of the strong 1985 year class. Since 1990 SSB has declined steeply until 1992 and is now below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\). Recruitment has been close to the GM level of 24 million of 1-year-olds since 1987.

\subsection*{11.7 Short-Term Prognoses}

The input data for the catch forecasts are given in Table 11.7.1. Stock numbers in 2001 were taken from the VPA for age 2 and older and the GM of 23.4 million was used for age 1 in 2001, 2002, and 2003. The exploitation pattern used was the mean F-at-age over 1999-2001, rescaled to mean \(\mathrm{F}(2-6)\) in 2001. Catch and stock weights-at-age were the mean for the period 1999-2001, and proportions of M and F before spawning were set to zero. The results of the status quo catch prediction are given in Table 11.7.2 and Figure 11.7.1.

The predicted catch in 2002 is estimated to be 5180 t with a SSB of 7490 t for the same year. This compares with a figure of \(6210 t\) forecast for the catch and \(8700 t\) for the SSB made last year. Continuing with the same level of F implies an increase in catch to 5970 t in 2003 and a predicted SSB of 10210 t in 2004.

Figure 11.7.2 shows the sensitivity analysis of the short-term prediction. The parameter which dominates the uncertainty surrounding the estimate of landings in 2003 is the F multiplier, taking the largest share ( \(41 \%\) ). Uncertainty in the estimate of SSB in 2004 is largely driven by uncertainty in the estimates of the 2 -year-olds in the current assessment. Figure 11.7 .3 shows the probability profiles for the status quo short-term prediction, the left panel shows the probability that \(\mathrm{F}_{2002}>\mathrm{F}_{2001}\) for a given catch, while the right panel shows the probability that SSB in 2004 will be above a certain point. The probability that SSB 2004 will be below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(8000 \mathrm{t})\) is about \(20 \%\).

\subsection*{11.8 Medium-Term Prognoses}

Medium-term analyses based on the Ricker stock-recruitment relationship were performed last year. The Ricker model is inappropriate for this stock: the position of the dome of the Ricker curve to the left of the historically-observed SSB
range is not consistent with precautionary management advice (Figure 11.8.1). The Ockham model combines geometric mean recruitment over the SSB range and a linear decline to the origin at lower SSB, and thus incorporates simple averaging (suitable in the absence of a clear stock-recruitment relationship) with hypothesised reduced recruitment at low SSB.

The software WGMTERMC from the Aberdeen suite, traditionally used for the medium-term projections, does not allow for alternative stock-recruitment relationships, such as the Ockham curve. Therefore medium-term analyses are not presented here.

\subsection*{11.9 Biological Reference Point}

The catch and stock weights used for the yield-per-recruit were the average for 1999-2001 as for the short-term prediction, but next year the use of long-term weights will be more accurate. The YPR curve is shown in Figure 11.9.1. The PA plot ( SSB vs F ) is given in Figure 11.9.2. The current estimate is that the stock is below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\), and fishing mortality has recently come below \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}\).

The available reference points are :
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{c}{ Estimated reference points } \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\max }\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{0.1}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {low }}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) \\
.19 & .11 & 0.35 & .87 & 0.57 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{c}{ Management reference points } \\
\hline \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}\) & \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) & \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) & \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) \\
0.54 & 0.45 & 5600 t & 8000 t \\
& & &
\end{tabular}

The current assessment has made minor changes to the estimated reference points.

\subsection*{11.10 Quality of the Assessment}

The conflicting estimation of the 2000 year class between XSA ( 31.7 millions) and RCT3 ( 20.4 millions) gives a higher uncertainty to the recruitment estimates. This uncertainty can be partly resolved by the estimation of UK BTS in August as this survey has the most important weight in estimating Age 2.

The revision of stock weight as \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter weight has modified the recent estimates of SSB (Figure 11.2.3) by increasing SSB in 1999 and decreasing SSB in 2000.

Different signals from the tuning fleets are reason for concern and should be investigated.
The apparent decrease in fishing mortality is not accompanied by a decrease in fishing effort.
This assessment doesn't include discards.

\subsection*{11.11 Management Consideration}

The current estimate of SSB and subsequent predictions are uncertain, due in particular to the problems with the conflicting signal on the recruitment.

The level of any discarding of plaice in VIId is an unknown but is considered likely to be significant.
The TAC is set for Division VIId and VIIe combined.

Table 11.1.1 Plaice in VIId. Nominal landings (tonnes) as officially reported to ICES, 1976-2001.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Belgium & Denmark & France & UK (E+W) & Others & Total reported & Unallocated & Total as used by WG \\
\hline 1976 & 147 & \(1^{1}\) & 1,439 & 376 & - & 1,963 & - & 1,963 \\
\hline 1977 & 149 & \(81^{2}\) & 1,714 & 302 & - & 2,246 & - & 2,246 \\
\hline 1978 & 161 & \(156{ }^{2}\) & 1,810 & 349 & - & 2,476 & - & 2,476 \\
\hline 1979 & 217 & \(28^{2}\) & 2,094 & 278 & - & 2,617 & - & 2,617 \\
\hline 1980 & 435 & \(112^{2}\) & 2,905 & 304 & - & 3,756 & -1,106 & 2,650 \\
\hline 1981 & 815 & - & 3,431 & 489 & - & 4,735 & 34 & 4,769 \\
\hline 1982 & 738 & - & 3,504 & 541 & 22 & 4,805 & 60 & 4,865 \\
\hline 1983 & 1,013 & - & 3,119 & 548 & - & 4,680 & 363 & 5,043 \\
\hline 1984 & 947 & - & 2,844 & 640 & - & 4,431 & 730 & 5,161 \\
\hline 1985 & 1,148 & - & 3,943 & 866 & - & 5,957 & 65 & 6,022 \\
\hline 1986 & 1,158 & - & 3,288 & 828 & \(488{ }^{2}\) & 5,762 & 1,072 & 6,834 \\
\hline 1987 & 1,807 & - & 4,768 & 1,292 & - & 7,867 & 499 & 8,366 \\
\hline 1988 & 2,165 & - & 5,688 \({ }^{2}\) & 1,250 & - & 9,103 & 1,317 & 10,420 \\
\hline 1989 & 2,019 & + & 3,265 \({ }^{1}\) & 1,383 & - & 6,667 & 2,091 & 8,758 \\
\hline 1990 & 2,149 & - & 4,170 \({ }^{1}\) & 1,479 & - & 7,798 & 1,249 & 9,047 \\
\hline 1991 & 2,265 & - & 3,606 \({ }^{1}\) & 1,566 & - & 7,437 & 376 & 7,813 \\
\hline 1992 & 1,560 & 1 & 3,099 & 1,553 & 19 & 6,232 & 105 & 6,337 \\
\hline 1993 & 0,877 & \(+{ }^{2}\) & 2,792 & 1,075 & 27 & 4,771 & 560 & 5,331 \\
\hline 1994 & 1,418 & + & 3,199 & 993 & 23 & 5,633 & 488 & 6,121 \\
\hline 1995 & 1,157 & - & 2,598 \({ }^{2}\) & 796 & 18 & 4,569 & 561 & 5,130 \\
\hline 1996 & 1,112 & - & 2,630 \({ }^{2}\) & 856 & + & 4,598 & 795 & 5,393 \\
\hline 1997 & 1,161 & - & 3,077 & 1,078 & + & 5,316 & 991 & 6,307 \\
\hline 1998 & 854 & - & 3,276 \({ }^{23}\) & 700 & + & 4,830 & 932 & 5,762 \\
\hline 1999 & 1,306 & - & 3,388 \({ }^{23}\) & 743 & + & 5,437 & 889 & 6,326 \\
\hline 2000 & 1,315 & - & 3,513 \({ }^{2}\) & 752 & + & 5,580 & 434 & 6,014 \\
\hline 2001 & 1,346 & - & 3,265 \({ }^{2}\) & 655 & + & 5,266 & - & 5,266 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Estimated by the Working Group from combined Division VIId+e
\({ }^{2}\) Includes Division VIIe
\({ }^{3}\) Provisional

Table 11.2.1 Plaice in VIId. Natural mortality and proportion mature
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Natural \\
Mortality
\end{tabular} & Maturity \\
\hline 1 & 0.100 & 0.000 \\
2 & 0.100 & 0.150 \\
3 & 0.100 & 0.530 \\
4 & 0.100 & 0.960 \\
5 & 0.100 & 1.000 \\
6 & 0.100 & 1.000 \\
7 & 0.100 & 1.000 \\
8 & 0.100 & 1.000 \\
9 & 0.100 & 1.000 \\
\(10+\) & 0.100 & 1.000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.2.2 Plaice in VIId. Catch numbers-at-age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10+ \\
\hline 1980 & 53 & 2644 & 1451 & 540 & 490 & 75 & 45 & 44 & 4 & 103 \\
\hline 1981 & 16 & 2446 & 6795 & 2398 & 290 & 159 & 51 & 42 & 56 & 200 \\
\hline 1982 & 265 & 1393 & 6909 & 3302 & 762 & 206 & 96 & 62 & 21 & 88 \\
\hline 1983 & 92 & 3030 & 3199 & 5908 & 931 & 226 & 92 & 122 & 4 & 101 \\
\hline 1984 & 350 & 1871 & 7310 & 2814 & 1874 & 533 & 236 & 101 & 34 & 100 \\
\hline 1985 & 142 & 5714 & 6195 & 4883 & 413 & 612 & 164 & 99 & 139 & 50 \\
\hline 1986 & 679 & 4884 & 7034 & 3663 & 1458 & 562 & 254 & 69 & 19 & 34 \\
\hline 1987 & 25 & 8499 & 7508 & 3472 & 1257 & 430 & 442 & 154 & 105 & 77 \\
\hline 1988 & 16 & 5011 & 18813 & 4900 & 1118 & 541 & 439 & 127 & 105 & 174 \\
\hline 1989 & 826 & 3638 & 7227 & 9453 & 2672 & 588 & 288 & 179 & 81 & 197 \\
\hline 1990 & 1632 & 2627 & 8746 & 5983 & 3603 & 801 & 243 & 203 & 178 & 231 \\
\hline 1991 & 1542 & 5860 & 5445 & 4524 & 2437 & 1681 & 286 & 120 & 113 & 125 \\
\hline 1992 & 1665 & 6193 & 4450 & 1725 & 1187 & 1044 & 698 & 200 & 116 & 118 \\
\hline 1993 & 740 & 7606 & 3817 & 1259 & 542 & 468 & 334 & 287 & 102 & 152 \\
\hline 1994 & 1242 & 3633 & 6968 & 3111 & 850 & 419 & 312 & 267 & 275 & 312 \\
\hline 1995 & 2592 & 4340 & 2933 & 2928 & 922 & 228 & 277 & 225 & 122 & 258 \\
\hline 1996 & 1119 & 4847 & 3606 & 1547 & 1436 & 488 & 179 & 176 & 165 & 347 \\
\hline 1997 & 550 & 4246 & 7189 & 3434 & 1080 & 752 & 464 & 199 & 114 & 306 \\
\hline 1998 & 464 & 4400 & 8629 & 3419 & 537 & 143 & 136 & 81 & 52 & 188 \\
\hline 1999 & 741 & 1758 & 12104 & 6460 & 1043 & 171 & 86 & 81 & 38 & 111 \\
\hline 2000 & 1383 & 6214 & 4284 & 7241 & 1652 & 307 & 82 & 27 & 42 & 98 \\
\hline 2001 & 2682 & 4159 & 4380 & 2141 & 1985 & 310 & 87 & 22 & 13 & 73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.2.3 Plaice in VIId. Weight in the catch
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10+ \\
\hline 1980 & 0.309 & 0.312 & 0.499 & 0.627 & 0.787 & 1.139 & 1.179 & 1.293 & 1.475 & 1.557 \\
\hline 1981 & 0.239 & 0.299 & 0.373 & 0.464 & 0.712 & 0.87 & 0.863 & 0.897 & 0.992 & 1.174 \\
\hline 1982 & 0.245 & 0.271 & 0.353 & 0.431 & 0.64 & 0.795 & 1.153 & 1.067 & 1.504 & 1.355 \\
\hline 1983 & 0.266 & 0.296 & 0.349 & 0.42 & 0.542 & 0.822 & 0.953 & 1.144 & 0.943 & 1.591 \\
\hline 1984 & 0.233 & 0.295 & 0.336 & 0.402 & 0.508 & 0.689 & 0.703 & 0.945 & 1.028 & 1.427 \\
\hline 1985 & 0.254 & 0.278 & 0.301 & 0.427 & 0.502 & 0.57 & 0.557 & 1.081 & 0.849 & 1.421 \\
\hline 1986 & 0.226 & 0.306 & 0.331 & 0.406 & 0.546 & 0.486 & 0.629 & 0.871 & 1.446 & 1.579 \\
\hline 1987 & 0.251 & 0.282 & 0.36 & 0.477 & 0.577 & 0.783 & 0.735 & 1.142 & 1.268 & 1.515 \\
\hline 1988 & 0.292 & 0.268 & 0.321 & 0.432 & 0.56 & 0.657 & 0.77 & 0.908 & 1.218 & 1.328 \\
\hline 1989 & 0.201 & 0.268 & 0.321 & 0.37 & 0.473 & 0.648 & 0.837 & 0.907 & 1.204 & 1.519 \\
\hline 1990 & 0.201 & 0.256 & 0.326 & 0.378 & 0.483 & 0.61 & 0.781 & 0.963 & 1.159 & 1.31 \\
\hline 1991 & 0.225 & 0.277 & 0.311 & 0.39 & 0.454 & 0.556 & 0.745 & 1.087 & 0.924 & 1.602 \\
\hline 1992 & 0.182 & 0.277 & 0.352 & 0.429 & 0.509 & 0.585 & 0.701 & 0.837 & 0.85 & 1.195 \\
\hline 1993 & 0.22 & 0.272 & 0.336 & 0.432 & 0.507 & 0.591 & 0.741 & 0.82 & 0.934 & 1.156 \\
\hline 1994 & 0.243 & 0.27 & 0.288 & 0.356 & 0.466 & 0.576 & 0.686 & 0.928 & 0.969 & 1.287 \\
\hline 1995 & 0.218 & 0.271 & 0.313 & 0.39 & 0.485 & 0.688 & 0.612 & 0.806 & 1.15 & 1.298 \\
\hline 1996 & 0.221 & 0.3 & 0.29 & 0.396 & 0.475 & 0.643 & 0.764 & 0.934 & 1.057 & 1.312 \\
\hline 1997 & 0.199 & 0.252 & 0.298 & 0.332 & 0.442 & 0.577 & 0.801 & 0.894 & 1.055 & 1.395 \\
\hline 1998 & 0.159 & 0.244 & 0.267 & 0.381 & 0.502 & 0.762 & 0.839 & 0.981 & 0.986 & 1.379 \\
\hline 1999 & 0.197 & 0.245 & 0.235 & 0.306 & 0.461 & 0.751 & 0.768 & 0.868 & 0.885 & 1.508 \\
\hline 2000 & 0.182 & 0.256 & 0.314 & 0.37 & 0.44 & 0.607 & 0.768 & 0.972 & 0.975 & 1.193 \\
\hline 2001 & 0.215 & 0.252 & 0.303 & 0.37 & 0.447 & 0.642 & 0.876 & 1.008 & 1.144 & 1.223 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.2.4 Plaice in VIId. Weight in the stock
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10+ \\
\hline 1981 & 0.11 & 0.216 & 0.317 & 0.414 & 0.506 & 0.594 & 0.677 & 0.756 & 0.83 & 1.042 \\
\hline 1982 & 0.105 & 0.208 & 0.308 & 0.406 & 0.502 & 0.596 & 0.687 & 0.776 & 0.862 & 1.118 \\
\hline 1983 & 0.097 & 0.192 & 0.286 & 0.379 & 0.47 & 0.56 & 0.648 & 0.735 & 0.821 & 1.169 \\
\hline 1984 & 0.082 & 0.164 & 0.248 & 0.333 & 0.42 & 0.507 & 0.596 & 0.686 & 0.777 & 1.086 \\
\hline 1985 & 0.084 & 0.171 & 0.259 & 0.348 & 0.44 & 0.533 & 0.628 & 0.725 & 0.824 & 1.206 \\
\hline 1986 & 0.101 & 0.205 & 0.311 & 0.42 & 0.532 & 0.646 & 0.763 & 0.882 & 1.004 & 1.313 \\
\hline 1987 & 0.122 & 0.242 & 0.361 & 0.479 & 0.596 & 0.712 & 0.826 & 0.939 & 1.051 & 1.306 \\
\hline 1988 & 0.084 & 0.168 & 0.254 & 0.34 & 0.427 & 0.514 & 0.603 & 0.692 & 0.783 & 0.952 \\
\hline 1989 & 0.079 & 0.162 & 0.25 & 0.342 & 0.439 & 0.541 & 0.648 & 0.759 & 0.874 & 1.211 \\
\hline 1990 & 0.085 & 0.23 & 0.322 & 0.346 & 0.465 & 0.549 & 0.748 & 0.899 & 0.979 & 1.766 \\
\hline 1991 & 0.065 & 0.219 & 0.275 & 0.335 & 0.375 & 0.472 & 0.633 & 1.057 & 1.022 & 1.502 \\
\hline 1992 & 0.088 & 0.241 & 0.336 & 0.421 & 0.477 & 0.521 & 0.634 & 0.713 & 0.741 & 1.229 \\
\hline 1993 & 0.108 & 0.258 & 0.296 & 0.379 & 0.493 & 0.539 & 0.573 & 0.699 & 0.787 & 1.056 \\
\hline 1994 & 0.165 & 0.198 & 0.276 & 0.331 & 0.383 & 0.493 & 0.603 & 0.903 & 0.781 & 1.15 \\
\hline 1995 & 0.058 & 0.257 & 0.286 & 0.354 & 0.442 & 0.707 & 0.531 & 0.703 & 1.092 & 1.194 \\
\hline 1996 & 0.178 & 0.229 & 0.263 & 0.347 & 0.354 & 0.474 & 0.536 & 0.907 & 0.958 & 1.126 \\
\hline 1997 & 0.059 & 0.202 & 0.256 & 0.266 & 0.417 & 0.53 & 0.665 & 0.686 & 0.972 & 1.364 \\
\hline 1998 & 0.072 & 0.203 & 0.273 & 0.361 & 0.53 & 0.67 & 0.629 & 0.656 & 0.915 & 1.107 \\
\hline 1999 & 0.072 & 0.172 & 0.213 & 0.351 & 0.429 & 0.644 & 0.76 & 0.782 & 0.593 & 1.166 \\
\hline 2000 & 0.068 & 0.184 & 0.204 & 0.246 & 0.355 & 0.554 & 0.693 & 0.817 & 0.89 & 1.131 \\
\hline 2001 & 0.093 & 0.206 & 0.274 & 0.338 & 0.404 & 0.624 & 0.844 & 0.989 & 1.153 & 1.405 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.3.1 Plaice in VIId. Tuning fleets


FLTO2: BELGIAN BEAM TRAWL ( HP corr) all gears age comp [rev: 15/06/00-EB] (Catch: Unknown) (Effort: Unknown)
19812001
110.001 .00

210
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr}
24.4 & 285.9 & 1126.5 & 593.3 & 67.3 & 21.6 & 8.3 & 7.1 & 13.3 & 14.1 \\
29.8 & 147.8 & 1065.4 & 688.2 & 187.2 & 55.1 & 21.1 & 6.5 & 4.6 & 4.0 \\
26.4 & 476.7 & 654.3 & 1384.5 & 165.0 & 52.2 & 23.0 & 31.6 & 1.3 & 1.4 \\
35.4 & 92.0 & 1570.4 & 712.1 & 467.5 & 134.3 & 61.0 & 28.2 & 5.4 & 6.8 \\
33.4 & 557.2 & 1125.3 & 1115.1 & 93.9 & 197.2 & 52.9 & 31.9 & 5.3 & 6.1 \\
30.8 & 700.6 & 1141.8 & 667.8 & 269.9 & 145.9 & 60.3 & 11.3 & 5.6 & 6.4 \\
49.3 & 1944.8 & 1639.7 & 889.0 & 343.1 & 92.7 & 154.5 & 41.1 & 28.0 & 14.1 \\
48.9 & 773.0 & 4264.6 & 1301.8 & 237.1 & 109.9 & 113.2 & 35.8 & 25.4 & 24.0 \\
43.8 & 73.6 & 1733.7 & 2950.5 & 973.4 & 212.8 & 113.1 & 61.1 & 21.7 & 0.1 \\
38.5 & 372.1 & 2687.5 & 1942.8 & 1007.0 & 184.8 & 43.9 & 50.5 & 13.1 & 14.0 \\
32.8 & 595.4 & 1689.2 & 1149.4 & 1089.5 & 698.4 & 86.9 & 36.0 & 58.9 & 1.7 \\
30.9 & 889.8 & 1031.7 & 403.8 & 277.6 & 282.1 & 159.7 & 58.2 & 60.7 & 6.7 \\
28.2 & 488.8 & 684.2 & 274.3 & 197.6 & 121.6 & 74.7 & 62.8 & 10.6 & 19.3 \\
32.8 & 424.6 & 1259.2 & 1426.5 & 268.0 & 132.6 & 109.5 & 75.5 & 90.0 & 37.6 \\
31.7 & 39.8 & 591.9 & 925.2 & 396.5 & 82.0 & 140.1 & 82.6 & 26.1 & 0.7 \\
32.6 & 259.3 & 689.3 & 541.5 & 503.7 & 137.6 & 46.4 & 49.9 & 38.4 & 44.4 \\
39.7 & 0.0 & 287.3 & 931.8 & 570.2 & 295.7 & 143.7 & 37.3 & 27.7 & 11.2 \\
23.6 & 164.6 & 900.7 & 616.6 & 122.0 & 39.0 & 40.0 & 18.2 & 18.4 & 13.7 \\
27.6 & 40.7 & 1687.7 & 1366.6 & 370.5 & 67.5 & 25.4 & 13.5 & 14.0 & 12.7 \\
37.0 & 60.4 & 369.7 & 529.0 & 235.4 & 43.4 & 12.1 & 5.9 & 10.4 & 1.5 \\
40.2 & 422.58 & 1759.9 & 1085.0 & 705.3 & 119.4 & 26.5 & 9.3 & 7.6 & 26.9
\end{tabular}

FLTO3: FRENCH TRAWLERS (EFFORT H*KW*10-4) 1989-90 DERAISED 1991-98 TRUE (Catch: Unknown) (Effort: Unknown)
19892001
\(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0.00 & 1.00\end{array}\)
210
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr}
6983 & 1190.1 & 1635.9 & 1643.2 & 466.2 & 73.5 & 34.3 & 34.1 & 19.3 & 16.1 \\
8395 & 698.2 & 1876.1 & 1289.5 & 728.3 & 153.7 & 42.6 & 33.1 & 46.5 & 14.4 \\
10689 & 1938.7 & 1474.1 & 1430.0 & 399.5 & 255.2 & 41.0 & 17.6 & 11.9 & 9.9 \\
10519 & 1802.9 & 1396.1 & 370.2 & 269.4 & 230.7 & 143.5 & 21.2 & 12.1 & 11.6 \\
10217 & 2124.4 & 1118.2 & 268.4 & 56.0 & 73.4 & 48.7 & 32.3 & 14.3 & 4.6 \\
10609 & 1034.2 & 2271.2 & 476.4 & 177.6 & 69.5 & 48.2 & 48.3 & 32.0 & 25.0 \\
12384 & 1354.7 & 686.5 & 578.5 & 95.4 & 21.4 & 19.5 & 27.5 & 21.8 & 28.2 \\
14476 & 1133.3 & 1283.9 & 352.7 & 317.5 & 98.8 & 43.6 & 33.3 & 34.6 & 36.9 \\
10921 & 1396.2 & 3536.0 & 1155.4 & 139.0 & 170.7 & 88.3 & 50.8 & 22.4 & 28.2 \\
11707 & 1446.0 & 3541.9 & 1534.4 & 205.4 & 29.8 & 20.2 & 17.8 & 6.9 & 8.2 \\
10625 & 1139.1 & 5654.6 & 2456 & 254.4 & 36.1 & 24.8 & 23.5 & 4.4 & 16.6 \\
13779 & 2757.4 & 1634 & 3110.4 & 781.5 & 130.9 & 21.2 & 6.1 & 12.9 & 19.9 \\
11376 & 2113.6 & 1726.3 & 663.1 & 642.5 & 81.3 & 21.6 & 1.4 & 1.2 & 16.4
\end{tabular}

Table 11.3.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning fleets
```

FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL SURVEY true age 6 [rev: 23/5/01-RM] (Catch: Unknown) (Effort: Unknown)
1988 2001
1 1 0.50 0.75
1 6

| 26.5 | 31.3 | 43.8 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 1.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.3 | 12.1 | 16.6 | 19.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 1.8 |
| 11.8 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 3.2 |
| 16.5 | 12.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.9 |
| 3.2 | 13.4 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 8.3 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| 11.3 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| 13.2 | 11.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 33.1 | 13.5 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 11.4 | 27.3 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 11.3 | 14.1 | 15.9 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 13.2 | 21.0 | 14.4 | 13.8 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| 17.9 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 1.9 |

FLT05: French GFS [option 2] true age 5 [rev: 6/6/02-JV] (Catch: Unknown) (Effort: Unknown)
1988 2001
1 1 0.75 1.00
1 5

| 8.0 | 17.6 | 9.9 | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.5 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 23.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 19.2 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| 5.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 4.9 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 4.5 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 35.5 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 12.5 | 14.0 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 8.5 | 4.6 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 |
| 10.3 | 12.8 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| 7.4 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 |

FLT06: Intl YFS [rev: 6/6/02-JV] (Catch: Unknown) (Effort: Unknown)
1987 2001
1 1 0.50 0.75
1 1
1.44
1.32
0.58
0.71
0.62
1.78
0.84
0.79
1.68
0.66
0.82
0.8
0.76
0.48
0.83

```

\section*{Table 11.4.1 Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic}


Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting not applied

Catchability analysis :
Catchability independent of stock size for all ages
Catchability independent of age for ages >= 7

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean \(F\)
of the final 5 years or the 3 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk \(=\). 500

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet \(=.300\)

Prior weighting not applied

Tuning had not converged after 30 iterations

Total absolute residual between iterations
29 and \(30=.00019\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1, & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 8, & 9 \\
\hline Iteration 29, & . 0776 , & . 2635 , & . 5115, & . 5936 , & . 6118, & . 4313, & . 4421 , & . 4281, & . 4251 \\
\hline Iteration 30, & . 0776 , & . 2634 , & . 5115, & . 5935, & . 6118, & . 4313, & . 4421 , & . 4281, & . 4250 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1
Regression weights
, \(1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000\)
\begin{tabular}{rlllllllll}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Fishing mortalities \\
Age, \\
1992,
\end{tabular} & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
1, & .065, & .061, & .079, & .115, & .039, & .015, & .033, & .036, & .067,
\end{tabular} .078

\section*{Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic}

1
XSA population numbers (Thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & AGE & & & \\
\hline YEAR , & 1, & 2, & 3 , & 4, & 5, \\
\hline
\end{tabular} 7,
\(1992,2.79 \mathrm{E}+04,1.82 \mathrm{E}+04,8.44 \mathrm{E}+03,3.99 \mathrm{E}+03,3.06 \mathrm{E}+03,2.32 \mathrm{E}+03,1.92 \mathrm{E}+03,5.63 \mathrm{E}+02,2.55 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(1993,1.32 \mathrm{E}+04,2.37 \mathrm{E}+04,1.06 \mathrm{E}+04,3.40 \mathrm{E}+03,1.97 \mathrm{E}+03,1.64 \mathrm{E}+03,1.10 \mathrm{E}+03,1.07 \mathrm{E}+03,3.19 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(1994, \quad 1.73 \mathrm{E}+04,1.13 \mathrm{E}+04,1.42 \mathrm{E}+04,5.92 \mathrm{E}+03,1.88 \mathrm{E}+03,1.26 \mathrm{E}+03,1.04 \mathrm{E}+03,6.80 \mathrm{E}+02,6.99 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(1995, \quad 2.51 \mathrm{E}+04,1.45 \mathrm{E}+04,6.72 \mathrm{E}+03,6.23 \mathrm{E}+03,2.40 \mathrm{E}+03,8.92 \mathrm{E}+02,7.45 \mathrm{E}+02,6.46 \mathrm{E}+02,3.62 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(1996,3.05 \mathrm{E}+04,2.02 \mathrm{E}+04,8.95 \mathrm{E}+03,3.29 \mathrm{E}+03,2.85 \mathrm{E}+03,1.29 \mathrm{E}+03,5.90 \mathrm{E}+02,4.10 \mathrm{E}+02,3.70 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(1997, \quad 3.83 \mathrm{E}+04,2.65 \mathrm{E}+04,1.37 \mathrm{E}+04,4.67 \mathrm{E}+03,1.51 \mathrm{E}+03,1.21 \mathrm{E}+03,7.07 \mathrm{E}+02,3.64 \mathrm{E}+02,2.04 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(1998, \quad 1.48 \mathrm{E}+04,3.41 \mathrm{E}+04,2.00 \mathrm{E}+04,5.55 \mathrm{E}+03,9.58 \mathrm{E}+02,3.38 \mathrm{E}+02,3.82 \mathrm{E}+02,1.99 \mathrm{E}+02,1.40 \mathrm{E}+02\), 1999 , \(2.20 \mathrm{E}+04,1.30 \mathrm{E}+04,2.67 \mathrm{E}+04,9.85 \mathrm{E}+03,1.77 \mathrm{E}+03,3.56 \mathrm{E}+02,1.70 \mathrm{E}+02,2.17 \mathrm{E}+02,1.03 \mathrm{E}+02\), \(2000, \quad 2.23 \mathrm{E}+04,1.92 \mathrm{E}+04,1.01 \mathrm{E}+04,1.27 \mathrm{E}+04,2.76 \mathrm{E}+03,6.06 \mathrm{E}+02,1.60 \mathrm{E}+02,7.20 \mathrm{E}+01,1.19 \mathrm{E}+02\), 2001 , \(3.78 \mathrm{E}+04,1.89 \mathrm{E}+04,1.15 \mathrm{E}+04,5.03 \mathrm{E}+03,4.56 \mathrm{E}+03,9.30 \mathrm{E}+02,2.56 \mathrm{E}+02,6.64 \mathrm{E}+01,3.95 \mathrm{E}+01\),

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,3.16 \mathrm{E}+04,1.31 \mathrm{E}+04,6.24 \mathrm{E}+03,2.51 \mathrm{E}+03,2.24 \mathrm{E}+03,5.47 \mathrm{E}+02,1.49 \mathrm{E}+02,3.92 \mathrm{E}+01\),
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\(2.39 \mathrm{E}+04,2.03 \mathrm{E}+04,1.37 \mathrm{E}+04,6.43 \mathrm{E}+03,2.50 \mathrm{E}+03,1.04 \mathrm{E}+03,5.27 \mathrm{E}+02,2.89 \mathrm{E}+02,1.41 \mathrm{E}+02\),
Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline , & . 3676 , & . 3654 , & . 4520, & . 5201, & . 5259, & . 6676 , & . 7403 , & . 7907 , & 1.0833, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Log catchability residuals.

Fleet : FLTO1: UK INSHORE TR
Age , 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991
No data for this fleet at this age
.14, -1.64, -.73, . 48
.27, -.35, -.32, . 47
-.15, .64, -.41, . 41
.20, .42, -.05, -. 03
.07, .68, .24, . 03
-.24, .43, .39, -. 32
-.62, -.47, .43, -.42
\(-.01,-.62, \quad .39,-.64\)

Age , 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
No data for this fleet at this age
\(.43, .20, .33, \quad .26, \quad .59, \quad .22,-.25,-.01, \quad .34,-.37\)
.55, -.02, .02, .04, -.46, .28, -.33, .39, .05, -.61
\(.77,-14,-07,-.09,-.70,-74,-13,-.47, \quad .56,-16\)
.35, .07, .13, -.11, -.46, -.67, -.24, -.02, .28, . 14
.33, -.06, -.17, -.24, -.44, -.32, -.12, -.53, .57, -. 04
.34, .14, -.10, -.39, -.98, .14, .65, -.74, .62, . 07
.45, .29, -.25, -.17, -.30, .19, .32, .15, .50, 1.01

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 8, \\
Mean Log q, & -12.1190, & -11.5913, & -11.6166, & -11.5615, & -11.5492, & -11.6662, & -11.6662, \\
S.E (Log q), & .5933, & .3668, & .4821, & .3036, & .3554, & .4903, & .4703,
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic
Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time. Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 1.48, & -.625, & 13.21, & .12, & 14, & .90, & -12.12, \\
3, & .99, & .069, & 11.56, & .66, & 14, & .38, & -11.59, \\
4, & .80, & .970, & 11.07, & .67, & 14, & .39, & -11.62, \\
5, & .82, & 1.484, & 10.92, & .86, & 14, & .24, & -11.56, \\
6, & .87, & 1.044, & 10.95, & .84, & 14, & .31, & -11.55, \\
7, & .99, & .058, & 11.61, & .70, & 14, & .50, & -11.67, \\
8, & 1.43, & -2.158, & 14.06, & .68, & 14, & .58, & -11.59, \\
9, & 1.10, & -.537, & 12.24, & .69, & 14, & .49, & -11.59,
\end{tabular}


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age , & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 8, & 9 \\
\hline Mean Log q, & -7.7374, & -5.7292, & -5.1214, & -5.0583, & -5.3644, & -5.4998, & -5.4998, & -5.4998, \\
\hline S.E(Log q) , & 1.1337, & . 5903, & . 4794 , & . 5242 , & . 4764 , & . 4456 , & . 2650 , & 6283, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 2.63, & -.591, & 4.33, & .01, & 13, & 3.06, & -7.74, \\
3, & 1.21, & -.519, & 4.92, & .33, & 14, & .74, & -5.73, \\
4, & 1.37, & -1.080, & 3.77, & .42, & 14, & .65, & -5.12, \\
5, & 1.46, & -1.240, & 3.74, & .38, & 14, & .75, & -5.06, \\
6, & 1.13, & -.587, & 5.14, & .61, & 14, & .55, & -5.36, \\
7, & 1.16, & -.810, & 5.35, & .68, & 14, & .52, & -5.50, \\
8, & 1.02, & -.181, & 5.57, & .91, & 14, & .27, & -5.58, \\
9, & 1.35, & -1.068, & 5.47, & .43, & 14, & .84, & -5.45,
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{Fleet : FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE} \\
\hline Age & , & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & , & No data & for t & his fle & et at t & his age & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & , & 99.99, & -.08, & -. 24 , & . 57 & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & , & 99.99, & -. 25 , & -.05, & . 10 & & & & & & \\
\hline 4 & , & 99.99, & -. 04 , & -. 01 , & . 29 & & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & , & 99.99, & . 46 , & .11, & -. 24 & & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & , & 99.99, & . 06 , & . 34 , & -. 13 & & & & & & \\
\hline 7 & , & 99.99, & -.10, & . 15, & -. 43 & & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & , & 99.99, & . 23, & . 25 , & -. 47 & & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & , & 99.99, & 1.03, & . 97 , & \(-.36\) & & & & & & \\
\hline Age & , & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 1 & , & No data & for th & is fle & t at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & , & . 33, & . 24 , & . 23, & . 08, & -.64, & -. 47, & -.77, & . 06 , & . 41, & . 28 \\
\hline 3 & , & . 24, & -. 32, & . 16 , & -. 49, & -. 34, & . 64, & . 11, & . 41, & -. 14, & -. 07 \\
\hline 4 & , & -. 45, & -. 63, & -. 52, & -. 58, & -. 59, & . 85, & . 72, & . 76, & . 39, & -. 18 \\
\hline 5 & , & -. 18, & -1.36, & -. 07 , & -1.14, & -.17, & . 19, & . 76, & . 49, & . 91 , & . 25 \\
\hline 6 & , & . 32, & -. 58, & -. 38, & -1.42, & -. 33, & . 80 , & . 07 , & . 35 , & . 88 , & . 02 \\
\hline 7 & , & . 15, & -. 39, & -. 39, & -1.06, & -. 23, & . 92, & -. 31, & . 93, & . 58 , & . 17 \\
\hline 8 & , & -. 54, & -. 80, & .11, & -. 59, & -.03, & . 90, & . 26 , & . 52, & . 01 , & -1.22 \\
\hline 9 & , & -. 23 , & -. 37 , & -. 33, & -. 25 , & . 12 , & . 67 , & -. 37 , & -. 41 , & . 24 , & -. 85 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 8 , & 9 \\
\hline Mean Log \(q\), & -11.6375, & -10.8784, & -10.8554, & -11.2125, & -11.5387, & -11.7276, & -11.7276, & -11.7276, \\
\hline S.E(Log q) , & . 4162 , & . 3210 , & . 5519, & . 6626 , & . 6036 , & . 5686 , & . 5960 , & 5816, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
2, & 3.32, & -2.064, & 15.83, & .07, & 13, & 1.23, & -11.64, \\
3, & .74, & 1.608, & 10.51, & .78, & 13, & .22, & -10.88, \\
4, & .72, & 1.347, & 10.28, & .68, & 13, & .39, & -10.86, \\
5, & 1.04, & -.110, & 11.34, & .42, & 13, & .72, & -11.21, \\
6, & 1.14, & -.465, & 12.16, & .51, & 13, & .71, & -11.54, \\
7, & 1.59, & -1.869, & 14.91, & .47, & 13, & .83, & -11.73, \\
8, & .96, & .186, & 11.61, & .69, & 13, & .59, & -11.83, \\
9, & .83, & .900, & 10.64, & .71, & 13, & .49, & -11.74,
\end{tabular}

1

Fleet : FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Age, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 \\
1, & .72, & -1.21, & -.51, & .15 \\
2, & .50, & -.30, & -.64, & .05 \\
3 &. & .72, & .29, & -.48, \\
4 & .04, & .55, & -.09, & .14 \\
5 &. & .62, & -.11, & .06, \\
6 & .06, & .22, & .13, & -.03
\end{tabular}

No data for this fleet at this age No data for this fleet at this age 9 , No data for this fleet at this age

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & , & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
\hline 1 & , & .23, & -.67, & .03, & -.01, & -. 10, & . 58, & .47, & . 07 , & . 23 , & . 02 \\
\hline 2 & , & .17, & -. 04 , & . 12 , & -. 75, & -. 08, & -.29, & .14, & . 45, & . 62 , & . 06 \\
\hline 3 & , & .03, & -. 22 , & . 25 , & -. 20, & -1.36, & -.45, & -.44, & .11, & . 96 , & . 41 \\
\hline 4 & , & . 46, & -.36, & . 47, & -. 07 , & -1.10, & -1.10, & .10, & -.47, & . 69, & . 74 \\
\hline 5 & , & . 67, & -.08, & . 15, & -.41, & -. 58, & -1.01, & -.87, & -.23, & . 59, & . 99 \\
\hline 6 & , & . 94 , & -.01, & -. 40, & -.41, & -. 26 , & -.95, & -. 37, & -.35, & . 66 , & . 77 \\
\hline 7 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 8 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline 9 & & No dat & for t & is fle & at t & is age & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr} 
Age , & 1, & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, \\
Mean Log q, & -7.5598, & -7.1149, & -7.0743, & -6.8729, & -6.5834, \\
S.E (Log q), & .5101, & .3984, & .5853, & .5926, & .5935,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Age, Slope} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Intercept,} & re, & Pts, & s.e, & Mean Q \\
\hline 1, & . 57, & 1.967, & 8.63, & . 63, & 14, & . 26 , & -7.56, \\
\hline 2, & . 84, & . 532, & 7.55, & . 49, & 14, & . 35 , & -7.11, \\
\hline 3 , & . 77 , & . 909 , & 7.64, & . 57 , & 14, & . 45 , & -7.07, \\
\hline 4, & . 68 , & 1.593, & 7.49, & . 68, & 14, & . 38 , & -6.87, \\
\hline 5, & . 64, & 2.218, & 7.07, & . 76 , & 14, & . 33, & -6.58, \\
\hline 6, & . 85, & . 779, & 6.70, & . 70 , & 14, & . 45, & -6.64, \\
\hline
\end{tabular} 1
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Fleet & FLT05: French GFS \([0\) & \\
Age, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991 \\
1, & -.15, & -.44, & -.81, & -1.43 \\
2 & .89, & .17, & -1.48, & -.77 \\
3 & .54, & -.28, & -.33, & -.73 \\
4 & .45, & -.50, & .12, & -.56 \\
5, & .77, & -1.35, & .34, & -.45
\end{tabular}

No data for this fleet at this age
No data for this fleet at this age
No data for this fleet at this age
9 , No data for this fleet at this age
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr} 
Age, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001 \\
1, & .94, & 1.47, & -.09, & -.49, & -.83, & .98, & .91, & .12, & .33, & -.52 \\
2, & -.41, & .57, & -.08, & -.05, & -.61, & .20, & .42, & .29, & 1.14, & -.27 \\
3, & .20, & .86, & -.88, & .09, & -1.55, & .96, & .04, & .68, & .83, & -.44 \\
4 & -.77, & .79, & -1.00, & .10, & -1.21, & .24, & .19, & .68, & 1.09, & .37 \\
5, & -.49, & .49, & -.59, & -.25, & -.21, & .29, & 99.99, & .45, & 1.41, & -.40
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchab
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrrrr} 
Age , & 1, & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5 \\
Mean Log q, & -7.8664, & -8.0075, & -8.1892, & -8.5103, & -8.6043, \\
S.E (Log q), & .8370, & .6884, & .7457, & .6992, & .7121,
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic
```

Regression statistics :
Ages with q independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope , t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q

| 1, | 1.94, | -.669, | 5.84, | .04, | 14, | 1.66, | -7.87, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2, | .49, | 1.908, | 8.95, | .54, | 14, | .31, | -8.01, |
| 3, | .74, | .824, | 8.54, | .46, | 14, | .56, | -8.19, |
| 4, | .75, | .897, | 8.59, | .52, | 14, | .53, | -8.51, |
| 5, | 1.73, | -1.013, | 9.05, | .15, | 13, | 1.23, | -8.60, |

```
```

Fleet : FLT06: Intl YFS [rev

```
Fleet : FLT06: Intl YFS [rev
    Age , 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991
    Age , 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991
        1 , .26, -.05, .04, -.25
        1 , .26, -.05, .04, -.25
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        No data for this fleet at this age
        , No data for this fleet at this age
        , No data for this fleet at this age
Age , 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
Age , 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
    .54, .54, .22, .62, -.55, -.58, .36, -.09, -.54, -.51
    .54, .54, .22, .62, -.55, -.58, .36, -.09, -.54, -.51
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
```

    No data for this fleet at this age
    ```

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time

Age , 1
Mean Log q, -10.1007,
S.E(Log q), .4376,

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age, Slope , t-value , Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
1, \(3.41,-2.160,10.28, ~ .06,14,1.32,-10.10\),

1

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic
Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 1 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=2000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & Ext, s.e, & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline FLT01: UK INSHORE TR, & 1. & . 000, & . 000, & . 00 , & 0, & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM, & 1 & . 000 , & . 000, & . 00 , & 0 , & . 000 , & . 000 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 1 & . 000 , & . 000, & . 00 , & 0, & . 000 , & .000 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 32144 & . 528, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 254 , & . 076 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [o, & 18875. & . 866 , & . 000, & . 00 , & 1, & . 094 , & . 127 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 18914 & . 453 , & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 345 , & . 127 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean , & 65337. & . 50 , & & & & . 306 , & . 038 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(31628 .\), & .27, & .36, & 4, & 1.321, & .078
\end{tabular}

1
Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(13125 .\), & .18, & .11, & 9, & .585, & .263
\end{tabular}

Age 3 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & Survivors, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & , & Weights, & F \\
\hline FLT01: UK INSHORE TR, & 4118., & . 327 , & . 379, & 1.16, & 2, & . 185, & . 699 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM , & 7398. & . 548 , & . 659, & 1.20, & 2, & . 067 , & . 447 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 6675. & . 268 , & . 215 , & . 80 , & 2, & . 268 , & . 485 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 9415., & . 292, & . 156 , & . 53, & 3, & . 190, & . 366 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [0, & 7780. & . 458, & . 492 , & 1.07, & 3, & . 082 , & . 429 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & \(5704 .\), & . 453, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 066 , & . 548 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean , & \(4619 .\), & . 50, & & & & . 142, & . 643 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(6239 .\), & .14, & .13, & 14, & .872, & .512
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , \({ }^{\text {, }}\) & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & \\
\hline FLT01: UK INSHORE TR, & 2395., & . 285, & . 068 , & . 24 , & 3, & .190, & . 615 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM, & 2197., & . 382 , & . 379 , & . 99 , & 3, & . 123, & . 656 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & \(2260 .\), & . 250 , & . 067 , & . 27 , & 3, & . 220, & . 642 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 4735., & . 275 , & . 112, & . 41 , & 4, & .179, & . 358 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [0, & 4245., & . 405, & .145, & . 36, & 4, & .091, & . 392 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 3592., & . 453, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 047 , & . 449 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 1070., & . 50, & & & & . 151, & 1.066 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(2513 .\), & .13, & .13, & 19, & .949, & .594
\end{tabular}

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1996\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Estimated } \\
& \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline FLTO1: UK INSHORE TR, & 2736., & . 245 , & . 098, & . 40 , & 4, & . 315, & . 525 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM , & 1422., & .359, & . 275 , & . 77, & 4 , & . 132, & . 845 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 2637., & . 288 , & .236, & . 82 , & 4 , & .143, & . 540 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 4321., & . 313, & . 178 , & . 57 , & 5 , & .138, & . 363 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [o, & 2734. & .430, & . 326 , & . 76 , & 5, & . 082, & . 525 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 1254., & . 453, & .000, & . 00 , & 1, & . 020 , & . 919 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 1090., & . 50, & & & & .170, & 1.005 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & \\
\(2238 .\), & .14, & .12, & 24, & .851, & .612
\end{tabular}

1
Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1995\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Estimated } \\
\mathrm{F}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline FLTO1: UK INSHORE TR, & 566., & . 244 , & .095, & . 39 , & 5, & . 342 , & 419 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM , & 461., & . 359, & . 132, & . 37 , & 4, & . 168, & . 495 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 676., & . 378 , & . 200, & . 53, & 5, & . 127, & . 362 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 938., & . 366 , & . 188, & . 51, & 6, & . 151, & . 273 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [0, & 1366., & . 461, & . 331, & . 72, & 5, & . 033, & . 196 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 315., & .453, & .000, & . 00 , & 1 , & . 007 , & . 661 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 277., & . 50, & & & & .173, & . 725 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(547 .\), & .15, & .10, & 27, & .660, & .431
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.1 (continued)- Plaice in VIId. Tuning diagnostic

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline FLT01: UK INSHORE TR, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { vors, } \\
& 190 .,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.e, } \\
& .251,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.e, } \\
& .112,
\end{aligned}
\] & Ratio, & 6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Weights, } \\
& .305,
\end{aligned}
\] & F
.362 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM & 122. & . 316 , & .143, & . 45 , & 6 & . 240, & . 518 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 220., & . 376 , & .151, & . 40, & 6 & . 158 , & . 319 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 215., & .368, & .179, & . 49 , & 6 & .079, & . 326 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [0, & 205., & .467, & .188, & . 40 , & 5 & .018, & . 339 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 278., & .453, & .000, & . 00 , & 1 & .003, & . 261 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean , & 79., & . 50, & & & & .197, & . 715 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & S.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
149., & .16, & .09, & 31, & .562, & .442
\end{tabular}

1
Age 8 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & Int,
s.e, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Estimated } \\
& \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline FLT01: UK INSHORE TR, & 63., & . 266, & . 262 , & . 98 , & 7, & . 246, & . 288 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM, & 43., & . 240 , & .093, & . 39, & 7, & . 408, & . 399 \\
\hline FLTO3: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 26., & . 372 , & . 355 , & . 95 , & 7, & . 138, & . 592 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 23., & . 377 , & . 134 , & . 35, & 6, & . 033, & . 646 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [o, & 30., & . 415, & . 413, & 1.00, & 4, & . 002 , & . 532 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 49., & .453, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 001 , & . 357 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 25., & . 50, & & & & .171, & . 605 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(39 .\), & .15, & .11, & 33, & .688, & .428
\end{tabular}

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 7
Year class \(=1992\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var, Ratio, & N, & Scaled, Weights, & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated \\
F
\end{tabular} \\
\hline FLT01: UK INSHORE TR, & 29., & .257, & .166, & . 65, & 8, & . 296 , & . 359 \\
\hline FLT02: BELGIAN BEAM , & 24., & . 232 , & . 158 , & . 68, & 8, & . 329, & . 423 \\
\hline FLT03: FRENCH TRAWLE, & 18., & . 344 , & .239, & . 69, & 8, & .169, & . 529 \\
\hline FLT04: UK BEAM TRAWL, & 14., & . 382 , & .137, & . 36 , & 6, & . 023, & . 618 \\
\hline FLT05: French GFS [0, & 22. & .416, & . 365 , & . 88 , & 5, & . 004 , & . 453 \\
\hline FLT06: Intl YFS [rev, & 40., & . 453, & . 000 , & . 00 , & 1, & . 001 , & . 269 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & 23., & . 50, & & & & .178, & . 435 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(23 .\), & .15, & .08, & 37, & .512, & .425
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.2 Plaice in VIId. Fishing mortality-at-age
Run title : Plaice in VIId (run: XSAAEDB01/X01)
At 14/06/2002 10:44
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & YEAR & 1980 & 1981 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 0.0022 & 0.0013 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 0.1675 & 0.1183 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 0.279 & 0.7294 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 0.3375 & 0.886 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 5 & 0.6177 & 0.2722 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 6 & 0.4144 & 0.366 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 7 & 0.3991 & 0.4875 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 8 & 0.2538 & 0.7049 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 9 & 0.3567 & 0.5214 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & +gp & 0.3567 & 0.5214 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & FBAR 2-6 & 0.3632 & 0.4743 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 0.0111 & 0.0049 & 0.0148 & 0.005 & 0.0119 & 0.0008 & 0.0006 & 0.0548 & 0.0955 & 0.0776 & \\
\hline & 2 & 0.1347 & 0.1523 & 0.1162 & 0.3137 & 0.2134 & 0.1814 & 0.2063 & 0.1741 & 0.2205 & 0.5073 & \\
\hline & 3 & 0.4974 & 0.4555 & 0.5773 & 0.5992 & 0.6957 & 0.5178 & 0.6669 & 0.4544 & 0.704 & 0.8326 & \\
\hline & 4 & 0.8596 & 0.939 & 0.8229 & 0.8597 & 0.7685 & 0.7947 & 0.6718 & 0.7476 & 0.7472 & 0.8774 & \\
\hline & 5 & 0.6942 & 0.5524 & 0.7896 & 0.2321 & 0.5971 & 0.5774 & 0.5655 & 0.8608 & 0.6318 & 0.6935 & \\
\hline & 6 & 0.2818 & 0.3982 & 0.6285 & 0.5692 & 0.4992 & 0.3095 & 0.4647 & 0.5833 & 0.6019 & 0.6057 & \\
\hline & 7 & 0.3494 & 0.1751 & 0.8314 & 0.353 & 0.4335 & 0.827 & 0.5265 & 0.4277 & 0.449 & 0.3942 & \\
\hline & 8 & 1.8586 & 0.8852 & 0.2643 & 0.9191 & 0.2192 & 0.4519 & 0.5251 & 0.3744 & 0.5375 & 0.37 & \\
\hline & 9 & 0.834 & 0.4879 & 0.577 & 0.6163 & 0.3851 & 0.5315 & 0.5629 & 0.6672 & 0.6913 & 0.5759 & \\
\hline & +gp & 0.834 & 0.4879 & 0.577 & 0.6163 & 0.3851 & 0.5315 & 0.5629 & 0.6672 & 0.6913 & 0.5759 & \\
\hline 0 & FBAR \(\begin{array}{r}2-6 \\ 1\end{array}\) & 0.4935 & 0.4995 & 0.5869 & 0.5148 & 0.5548 & 0.4762 & 0.515 & 0.5641 & 0.5811 & 0.7033 & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & FBAR 99-** \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 0.0647 & 0.0607 & 0.0786 & 0.115 & 0.0394 & 0.0152 & 0.0335 & 0.036 & 0.0674 & 0.0776 & 0.0603 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.4433 & 0.4116 & 0.4147 & 0.3793 & 0.2903 & 0.1843 & 0.1456 & 0.1538 & 0.4148 & 0.2634 & 0.2773 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.8086 & 0.4781 & 0.7247 & 0.6135 & 0.5508 & 0.8034 & 0.6063 & 0.6471 & 0.5936 & 0.5115 & 0.5841 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.6068 & 0.493 & 0.8033 & 0.6816 & 0.6806 & 1.4837 & 1.0444 & 1.1702 & 0.9205 & 0.5935 & 0.8947 \\
\hline & 5 & 0.5231 & 0.3422 & 0.6452 & 0.5172 & 0.7542 & 1.3957 & 0.8896 & 0.9701 & 0.9893 & 0.6118 & 0.8571 \\
\hline & 6 & 0.642 & 0.3559 & 0.4287 & 0.3129 & 0.5045 & 1.0544 & 0.5879 & 0.7027 & 0.7612 & 0.4313 & 0.6317 \\
\hline & 7 & 0.4813 & 0.3832 & 0.3782 & 0.4961 & 0.3839 & 1.1694 & 0.4681 & 0.759 & 0.7768 & 0.4421 & 0.6593 \\
\hline & 8 & 0.4676 & 0.3298 & 0.532 & 0.4563 & 0.5997 & 0.8555 & 0.5592 & 0.4991 & 0.5012 & 0.4281 & 0.4761 \\
\hline & 9 & 0.6507 & 0.4095 & 0.5339 & 0.438 & 0.6322 & 0.8872 & 0.4953 & 0.4918 & 0.4635 & 0.425 & 0.4601 \\
\hline & +gp & 0.6507 & 0.4095 & 0.5339 & 0.438 & 0.6322 & 0.8872 & 0.4953 & 0.4918 & 0.4635 & 0.425 & \\
\hline 0 & FBAR 2-6 & 0.6048 & 0.4161 & 0.6033 & 0.5009 & 0.5561 & 0.9843 & 0.6548 & 0.7288 & 0.7359 & 0.4823 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.4.3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Run title : Plaice in VIld (run: XSAAEDB01/X01)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{At 14/06/2002 10:44} \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)} \\
\hline & Table 10 & Stock number & ge (start & & Numbers*10 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1980 & 1981 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 25536 & 12863 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 18027 & 23055 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 6266 & 13796 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 1982 & 4290 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 5 & 1118 & 1280 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 6 & 232 & 545 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 7 & 144 & 139 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 8 & 206 & 87 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 9 & 14 & 145 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & +gp & 360 & 515 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & TOTAL & 53885 & 56715 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 25201 & 19917 & 25025 & 29678 & 60223 & 31260 & 26464 & 16293 & 18828 & 21713 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 11623 & 22550 & 17935 & 22311 & 26719 & 53846 & 28261 & 23931 & 13957 & 15484 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 18535 & 9192 & 17522 & 14448 & 14752 & 19530 & 40638 & 20805 & 18193 & 10130 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 6020 & 10199 & 5275 & 8901 & 7180 & 6657 & 10530 & 18875 & 11951 & 8142 & & & \\
\hline & 5 & 1600 & 2306 & 3608 & 2096 & 3409 & 3013 & 2721 & 4867 & 8087 & 5122 & & & \\
\hline & 6 & 882 & 723 & 1201 & 1482 & 1504 & 1698 & 1530 & 1399 & 1862 & 3890 & & & \\
\hline & 7 & 342 & 602 & 439 & 580 & 759 & 826 & 1127 & 870 & 706 & 923 & & & \\
\hline & 8 & 77 & 218 & 457 & 173 & 368 & 445 & 327 & 603 & 513 & 408 & & & \\
\hline & 9 & 39 & 11 & 82 & 318 & 62 & 268 & 256 & 175 & 375 & 271 & & & \\
\hline & +gp & 162 & 274 & 239 & 114 & 111 & 195 & 423 & 423 & 484 & 299 & & & \\
\hline 0 & TOTAL 1 & 64482 & 65993 & 71782 & 80100 & 115089 & 117738 & 112277 & 88239 & 74955 & 66382 & & & \\
\hline & YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & GMST 80-99 & AMST 80 \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{AGE} \\
\hline & 1 & 27942 & 13212 & 17281 & 25073 & 30481 & 38310 & 14818 & 22044 & 22317 & 37774 & 0 & 23427 & 25108 \\
\hline & 2 & 18180 & 23699 & 11250 & 14455 & 20222 & 26516 & 34141 & 12967 & 19241 & 18878 & 31628 & 20378 & 21956 \\
\hline & 3 & 8437 & 10559 & 14209 & 6724 & 8951 & 13687 & 19954 & 26707 & 10061 & 11499 & 13125 & 14074 & 15652 \\
\hline & 4 & 3986 & 3401 & 5923 & 6228 & 3294 & 4669 & 5546 & 9847 & 12652 & 5028 & 6239 & 6294 & 7145 \\
\hline & 5 & 3064 & 1966 & 1880 & 2400 & 2850 & 1509 & 958 & 1766 & 2765 & 4560 & 2513 & 2412 & 2781 \\
\hline & 6 & 2317 & 1643 & 1263 & 892 & 1295 & 1213 & 338 & 356 & 606 & 930 & 2238 & 1080 & 1313 \\
\hline & 7 & 1921 & 1103 & 1042 & 745 & 590 & 707 & 382 & 170 & 160 & 256 & 547 & 580 & 706 \\
\hline & 8 & 563 & 1074 & 680 & 646 & 410 & 364 & 199 & 217 & 72 & 66 & 149 & 333 & 402 \\
\hline & 9 & 255 & 319 & 699 & 362 & 370 & 204 & 140 & 103 & 119 & 39 & 39 & 151 & 223 \\
\hline & +gp & 258 & 474 & 789 & 762 & 774 & 543 & 504 & 299 & 277 & 236 & 163 & & \\
\hline 0 & TOTAL & 66921 & 57449 & 55016 & 58286 & 69238 & 87722 & 76981 & 74475 & 68269 & 79267 & 56641 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.5.1 Plaice in VIId. Input to RCT3
7D PLAICE - VPA / Indices all * per 100
5162
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
YEARCLASS' & VPA age 1' & VPA age 2' & VPA age 3' & 'yfs0' \\
1986 & 31260 & 28261 & 20805 & -11 \\
1987 & 26464 & 23931 & 18193 & 1168 \\
1988 & 16293 & 13957 & 10130 & 556 \\
1989 & 18828 & 15484 & 8437 & 397 \\
1990 & 21713 & 18180 & 10559 & 342 \\
1991 & 27942 & 23699 & 14209 & 436 \\
1992 & 13212 & 11250 & 6724 & 404 \\
1993 & 17281 & 14455 & 8951 & 370 \\
1994 & 25073 & 20222 & 13687 & 869 \\
1995 & 30481 & 26516 & 19954 & 687 \\
1996 & 38310 & 34141 & 26707 & 407 \\
1997 & 14818 & 12967 & -11 & 223 \\
1998 & 22044 & -11 & -11 & 530 \\
1999 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 381 \\
2000 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 514 \\
2001 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 374
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
'yfs1' & 'bts1' & 'gfs0' & 'gfs1' \\
134 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
118 & 2647 & -11 & 1033 \\
62 & 231 & 19 & 408 \\
66 & 516 & 16 & 270 \\
57 & 1175 & 10 & 173 \\
159 & 1653 & 10 & 2379 \\
78 & 322 & 66 & 1916 \\
74 & 833 & 438 & 517 \\
189 & 1132 & 362 & 491 \\
68 & 1320 & 136 & 447 \\
67 & 3310 & 2360 & 3549 \\
66 & 1140 & 890 & 1253 \\
60 & 1130 & 768 & 848 \\
55 & 1319 & 103 & 1026 \\
62 & 1791 & 1590 & 738 \\
-11 & -11 & 461 & -11
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 11.5.2}
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
recpl7d.txt
7D PLAICE - VPA AGE 1 / indices all * per 100
Data for 5 surveys over 16 years : 1986 - 2001
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting applied
power = 3 over 20 years
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

```
Yearclass \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Std } \\
\text { Error }
\end{gathered}
\] & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline yfs0 & 1.68 & -. 35 & . 68 & . 205 & 12 & 5.95 & 9.63 & . 803 & . 068 \\
\hline yfs1 & 2.66 & -1.80 & 1.09 & . 093 & 13 & 4.03 & 8.92 & 1.309 & . 026 \\
\hline bts1 & . 55 & 6.18 & . 26 & . 642 & 12 & 7.19 & 10.13 & . 303 & . 479 \\
\hline gfs0 & . 86 & 5.71 & 1.73 & . 041 & 11 & 4.64 & 9.68 & 2.028 & . 011 \\
\hline gfs1 & 1.66 & -1.07 & 1.57 & . 047 & 12 & 6.93 & 10.41 & 1.832 & . 013 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 10.00 & . 330 & . 404 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Yearclass = 2000
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Std } \\
\text { Error }
\end{gathered}
\] & Rsquare & No. Pts & Index Value & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline yfs0 & 1.69 & -. 38 & . 68 & . 209 & 12 & 6.24 & 10.15 & . 804 & . 072 \\
\hline yfs1 & 2.87 & -2.69 & 1.18 & . 081 & 13 & 4.14 & 9.19 & 1.411 & . 023 \\
\hline bts1 & . 56 & 6.08 & . 26 & . 641 & 12 & 7.49 & 10.30 & . 317 & . 463 \\
\hline gfs0 & . 87 & 5.57 & 1.76 & . 040 & 11 & 7.37 & 12.00 & 2.237 & . 009 \\
\hline gfs1 & 1.70 & -1.40 & 1.62 & . 045 & 12 & 6.61 & 9.84 & 1.899 & . 013 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 10.00 & . 333 & . 420 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Yearclass \(=2001\)

\begin{tabular}{lccccccc}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Year \\
Class
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Weighted \\
Average \\
Prediction
\end{tabular} & Log & WAP & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Int \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & VPA
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{c} 
Log \\
1999
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 11.5.3 Plaice in VIId. RCT3 ouput for Age 2}
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file : recpl7d2.in
7D PLAICE - VPA AGE 2 / indices all * per 100
Data for 5 surveys over 16 years : 1986 - 2001
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as .00
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

```
    Yearclass \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & Std Error & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & Std Error & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline yfs0 & 1.68 & -. 57 & . 74 & . 194 & 11 & 5.95 & 9.44 & . 864 & . 059 \\
\hline yfs1 & 2.38 & -. 78 & . 94 & . 131 & 12 & 4.03 & 8.78 & 1.132 & . 034 \\
\hline bts1 & . 51 & 6.27 & . 25 & . 674 & 11 & 7.19 & 9.97 & . 293 & . 513 \\
\hline gfs0 & . 75 & 6.34 & 1.50 & . 058 & 10 & 4.64 & 9.81 & 1.763 & . 014 \\
\hline gfs1 & 1.29 & 1.26 & 1.25 & . 078 & 11 & 6.93 & 10.22 & 1.450 & . 021 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 9.86 & . 351 & . 359 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yearclass \(=2000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & Std Error & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & WAP Weights \\
\hline yfs0 & 1.68 & -. 57 & . 74 & . 194 & 11 & 6.24 & 9.94 & . 855 & . 061 \\
\hline yfs 1 & 2.38 & -. 78 & . 94 & . 131 & 12 & 4.14 & 9.06 & 1.108 & . 037 \\
\hline bts1 & . 51 & 6.27 & . 25 & . 674 & 11 & 7.49 & 10.13 & . 298 & . 504 \\
\hline gfs0 & . 75 & 6.34 & 1.50 & . 058 & 10 & 7.37 & 11.85 & 1.930 & . 012 \\
\hline gfs1 & 1.29 & 1.26 & 1.25 & . 078 & 11 & 6.61 & 9.79 & 1.443 & . 022 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 9.86 & . 351 & . 365 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yearclass = 2001

\begin{tabular}{lccccccc}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Year \\
Class
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Weighted \\
Average \\
Prediction
\end{tabular} & Log & WAP & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Int \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & VPA
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{c} 
Log \\
1999
\end{tabular}

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file : recpl7d3.in
7D PLAICE - VPA AGE 3 / indices all * per 100
Data for 5 surveys over 16 years : 1986 - 2001
Regression type \(=C\)
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as .00
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.
Yearclass \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & Index Value & \begin{tabular}{l}
Predicted \\
Value
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WAP } \\
& \text { Weights }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline yfs 0 & 2.39 & -5.50 & . 93 & . 194 & 10 & 5.95 & 8.71 & 1.125 & . 050 \\
\hline yfs1 & 3.68 & -7.07 & 1.55 & . 081 & 11 & 4.03 & 7.72 & 1.894 & . 018 \\
\hline bts1 & . 60 & 5.28 & . 30 & . 694 & 10 & 7.19 & 9.62 & . 359 & . 489 \\
\hline gfs0 & . 44 & 7.47 & . 77 & . 271 & 9 & 4.64 & 9.52 & . 918 & . 075 \\
\hline gfs1 & 1.13 & 2.01 & 1.10 & . 149 & 10 & 6.93 & 9.85 & 1.293 & . 038 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 9.49 & . 437 & . 331 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yearclass \(=2000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & Index Value & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline yfs0 & 2.39 & -5.50 & . 93 & . 194 & 10 & 6.24 & 9.42 & 1.095 & . 054 \\
\hline yfs1 & 3.68 & -7.07 & 1.55 & . 081 & 11 & 4.14 & 8.16 & 1.849 & . 019 \\
\hline bts1 & . 60 & 5.28 & . 30 & . 694 & 10 & 7.49 & 9.81 & . 366 & . 486 \\
\hline gfs0 & . 44 & 7.47 & . 77 & . 271 & 9 & 7.37 & 10.72 & 1.036 & . 061 \\
\hline gfs1 & 1.13 & 2.01 & 1.10 & . 149 & 10 & 6.61 & 9.47 & 1.284 & . 039 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 9.49 & . 437 & . 341 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yearclass = 2001

\begin{tabular}{lccccccc} 
Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Weighted \\
Average \\
Class
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Log \\
WAP
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Int \\
Std
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & VPA & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Log \\
VPA
\end{tabular} \\
1999 & 13355 & 9.50 & .25 & .14 & .31 & & \\
2000 & 16132 & 9.69 & .26 & .16 & .41 & & \\
2001 & 13386 & 9.50 & .38 & .27 & .52 & &
\end{tabular}

Table 11.6.1 Plaice in VIId. Stock summary
```

Run title : Plaice in VIId (run: XSAAEDB01/X01)

```
```

At 14/06/2002 10:44

```
Table 16 Summary (without SOP correction)

Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)


\footnotetext{
1
}

Table 11.7.1 Plaice in VIId. Input for short-term prediction


Data from file:C:\mediumterm\new \PLAVIID. SEN on 17/06/2002 at 19:19:19

Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.


Table 11.7.3 Plaice in VIId. Short-term prediction (detailed output)

Detailed forecast tables.

Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons=1.00


Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Catch number} \\
\hline Age 1 & k No. I & & Cons | & Total| \\
\hline 1। & 234271 & | & 9811 & 981। \\
\hline 21 & 20264। & | & 35961 & 35961 \\
\hline 31 & 232901 & | & 78321 & 78321 \\
\hline 41 & 76951 & | & 35761 & 35761 \\
\hline 51 & 2903| & | & 13081 & 13081 \\
\hline 61 & 12021 & | & 4301 & 4301 \\
\hline 71 & 1267| & I & 4691 & 4691 \\
\hline 81 & 3031 & | & 861 & 861 \\
\hline 91 & 94| & | & 261 & 261 \\
\hline 101 & 1291 & | & 361 & 361 \\
\hline Wt & 171 & | & 61 & 61 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 11.2.1 Plaice in VIId. Numbers-at-age in the landings


Figure 11.2.2 Plaice in VIId. Comparison between previous and revised mean stock weight


Figure 11.2.3 Plaice in VIId. Influence of stock weight revision on historical estimates of SSB


Figure 11.2.4



Figure 11.3.1


Plaice in VIId Catch per unit effort relative to mean


Figure 11.3.2 Plaice in VIId. Influence of the French GFS index revision per age group



Figure 11.4.1

Figure 11.4.1a - F ratio for Age 1, 2 and 3


Figure 11.4.1b - F ratio for Age 1 to 9


Figure 11.4.2 Plaice in VIId. Log q residuals per fleet and age (single-fleet XSA, F shrinkage \(=1.5\), no taper, no power model)





Figure 11.4.3 Plaice in VIId. Log q residuals from final run.











Figure 11.4.4 Plaice in VIId. Estimates of terminal Fbar (2-6) against estimates of terminal SSB for a range of XSA tuning configurations.


Figure 11.4.5

2000 Final run


2001 Final run





Figure 11.6.1
Plaice in Division VIId. Historical trends in landings, fishing mortality, recruitment, and spawning stock biomass.





Figure 11.7.1 Plaice in VIId. Short-term forecast


\section*{Figure 11.7.2 Plaice in VIId. Sensitivity analysis of short-term prediction}


Figure 11.7.3 Plaice in VIId. Probability profiles for short-term forecast.


SSB 2004


Figure 11.8.1 Plaice in VIId. Comparison between Ockham and Ricker stock-recruitment curves

PLAVIID.SUM: calculation of Ockham parameter
Mean \(=, 2.38127 \mathrm{E}+04\)
Variance of mean \((\log\) scale \()=, 6.74361 \mathrm{E}-03\)
Residual sum-of-squares \(=, 2.83232 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Mean absolute residual \(=, 7.34916 \mathrm{E}+03\)
Residual variance \(=, 1.14621 \mathrm{E}+08\)
Akaike's Information Criterion = ,
6.26365E+01PLAVIID.SUM: calculation of

LOESS Smoother parameter

\section*{PLAVIID.SUM: lognormal Ricker parameters}

Initial estimate for alpha \(=, 7.8740 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Initial estimate for beta \(=, 1.1704 \mathrm{E}-04\)
Final estimate for alpha \(=, 7.8740 \mathrm{E}+00,+/-\) ,2.3052E+00
Final estimate for beta \(=, 1.1704 \mathrm{E}-04,+/-, 3.1587 \mathrm{E}-\)
05
Variance-covariance matrix:
, 1, 2
\(1, \quad 5.31400 \mathrm{E}+00, \quad 6.98911 \mathrm{E}-05\)
\(2, \quad 6.98911 \mathrm{E}-05, \quad 9.97768 \mathrm{E}-10\)
Corr[alpha,beta] \(=, 0.96\)
RSS \(=, \quad 2.6919 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Mean absolute residual \(=, \quad 7.0804 \mathrm{E}+03\)
Residual variance \(=, \quad 1.2819 \mathrm{E}-01\)
Akaike (AIC) \(=, \quad 6.4586 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\(-2 \log\) likelihood \(=, \quad 1.6456 \mathrm{E}+01\)
IFAIL from nonlinear fit \(=, 0\)

Ockham fit for VIId Plaice


Ricker (lognormal) fit for VIId Plai


Figure 11.9.1


Figure 11.9.2 Plaice in Division VIId. Stock-recruitment plot


Figure 11.10.1 Plaice in VIId. Quality control of assessments generated by successive Working Groups.




\subsection*{12.1 The Fishery}

The fishery is mainly by Danish and Norwegian (large) vessels using small mesh trawls in the northern North Sea at Fladen Ground and along the edge of the Norwegian Trench. Main fishing seasons are \(1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}\), and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarters of the year. The fishery targets both Norway pout and blue whiting. Distribution of Danish Norway pout landings by ICES quarter square and quarter of the year for year 2000 and 2001 are shown in Figures 12.1.1-4.

\subsection*{12.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001 and 2002}

There is no management objective set for this stock. With present fishing mortality levels the status of the stock is more determined by natural processes and less by the fishery. The ACFM advice for 2001 and 2002 was that the stock was considered to be within safe biological limits and the stock could on average sustain current fishing mortality.

There is a need to ensure that the stock remains high enough to provide food for a variety of predator species. Bycatches of other species should also be taken into account in management of the fishery. Existing measures to protect other species should be maintained.

Biological reference points for the stock have been set by ICES at \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=90000 \mathrm{t}\) as the lowest observed biomass and \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=150000 \mathrm{t}\), which should be maintained. The present (1996-2002) TAC of 220000 t should be maintained.

\subsection*{12.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002}

In 1996-2002 the TAC was set to 220000 t . In managing this fishery by-catches of other species have been taken into account. Existing technical measures such as the closed Norway pout box, minimum mesh size in the fishery, and bycatch regulations to protect other species have been maintained.

\subsection*{12.1.3 The Fishery in 2001 and 2002}

Nominal landings of Norway pout as officially reported to ICES are given in Table 12.1.1. Annual landings as provided by Working Group members are shown in Table 12.1.2, and trends in yield are shown in Figures 12.6.1-2.

The total yearly landings in 1998-99 were between 80-100 000 t , increasing in year 2000 to nearly 200000 t , mainly based on fishery on the strong 1999 year class (age 1) (Table 12.2.1).

Landings in 2001 decreased to around 65000 t , which are the lowest yearly landings since 1966. While \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter 2001 landings were well above average, the landings for \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter 2001 were much below average. This is mainly because the industrial fishing fleets in the second half year of 2001 primarily targeted sandeels in the North Sea, and partly due to the weak 2000 year class of Norway pout (Figure 12.6.1). The strong 1999 year class contributed less to the landings in 2001 relative to the landings in year 2000 due to mortality, Table 12.2.1. The 0 -group landings of the 2001 Norway pout year class, having average year-class strength, were relatively small in \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter 2001 . The effort in the Norway pout fishery has been historically low in 2001, especially in \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter of the year.

Landings in the \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter of 2002 were below average within the last 5 year period (Table 12.2.1), and here effort for Norway pout was also historically low compared to previous years.

Highest catches are in general taken in the \(1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}\), and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarters of the year (Table 12.1.3).

\subsection*{12.1.4 Fleet developments}

The fishing effort and number of vessels as well as the catch rates per vessel size category of the Danish trawlers participating in the Norway pout fishery for the years 1982-2001 are shown in Figure 12.3.2. The number of small vessels in the fleet is reduced and the relative number of large vessels has increased in the latest years.

\subsection*{12.2 Natural Mortality, Maturity, Age Composition, and Mean Weight-at-Age}

Age compositions were available from Norway and Denmark. Catch-at-age is shown in Table 12.2.1. Mean weight-atage in the catch was estimated as a weighted average of Danish and Norwegian data (Table 12.2.2). The mean weights-
at-age in the catches are very variable between years and seasons, and also between countries, for the same age groups in the same year. The same mean weight-at-age in the stock, proportion mature, and natural mortality are used for all years (Table 12.2.3). Mean weight in catch is not used as an estimator of weight in the stock, partly because the smallest 0 -group fish are not fully recruited to the fishery in the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter of the year. The natural mortality is set to 0.4 for all age groups in all seasons, which results in an annual natural mortality of 1.6 for all age groups.

Exploratory runs were made with revised input data for natural mortality based on the results from two papers presented to the Working Group in 2001, Sparholt et al. (2002a,b; in press). This is further described in Sections 12.4 and 12.1011.

\subsection*{12.3 Catch, Effort, and Research Vessel Data}

The assessment uses the combined catch and effort data from the commercial Danish and Norwegian small-meshed trawler fleets fishing mainly in the northern North Sea.

\subsection*{12.3.1 Method of effort standardization of the commercial fishery tuning fleet}

Background descriptions of the commercial fishery tuning series used and methods of effort standardization of the commercial fishery between different vessel size categories and national commercial fleets are given in the 1996 Working Group report (ICES CM 1997/Assess:6). In previous years the effort has been standardized by vessel category (to a standard 175 GRT vessel) only using the catch rate proportions between vessel size categories within the actual year.

In the present (and in the 2001) assessment the output of the regression analyses, using time-series from 1987-2002, has been applied to the Danish and Norwegian commercial fishery as well. Effort standardization of both the Danish and the Norwegian part of the commercial fishery tuning series is performed by applying standardization factors to reported catch and effort data for the different vessel size categories. The standardization factors are obtained from regression of cpue indices by vessel size category over years of the Danish commercial fishery tuning fleet. The number of small vessels in the Danish Norway pout fishing fleet has decreased significantly, and the relative number of large vessels has increased in the latest years (Table 12.3.1; Figure 12.3.2). Furthermore, no trends in cpue were found between vessel categories over time (Figure 12.3.2). For these reasons the cpue indices used in the regression has been obtained from pooled catch and effort data over the years 1994-2002 by vessel category in order to obtain and include estimates for all vessel categories, also for the latest years where no observations exists for the smallest vessels groups. The combined cpue-values by vessel category for 1994-2002, which have been used in the regression, are shown in Figure 12.3.3. Results and parameter estimates from the yearly regression analyses on cpue versus GRT for the different Danish vessel size categories used in the effort standardization of both the Norwegian and Danish commercial fishery are shown in Table 12.3.2. The upper table of Table 12.3.2 gives regression results with the combined cpue-values for the period 1994-2002 which have been used in the assessment. For comparison purposes results and parameters from the regression of disaggregated data by year (1994-2002), i.e. not combined data, are shown in the lower table.

The assessment was run both with and without the new standardization method (regression). The differences in results of output SSB, TSB, and F between the two assessment runs are shown in Figure 12.4.4. It appears that the differences are small.

\subsection*{12.3.2 Norwegian effort data}

In 1997, Norwegian effort data were revised as described in Sections 13.1.3.1 and 1.3.2 of the 1997 Working Group report (ICES CM 1998/Assess:7). Furthermore, in the 2000 assessment Norwegian average GRT and effort data for 1998-99 were corrected because data from ICES Area IIa were included for these years in the 1998-99 assessments. Observed average GRT and effort for the Norwegian commercial fleets are given in Table 12.3.3.

\subsection*{12.3.3 Danish effort data}

Table 12.3.1 shows cpue data by vessel size category and year for the Danish commercial fishery in Area IVa for fishing trips the where total catch included at least \(70 \%\) Norway pout and blue whiting per trip. The comparative trends in effort, vessel number, and cpue for different vessel size categories for the Danish commercial fishery given in Figure 12.3.2 shows a relative reduction in the number and effort of small vessels and an increase for the larger vessels in the fleet in the latest years. Minor revisions (up-dating) of the Danish effort and catch data used in the effort standardization and as input to the tuning fleets have been made for the 2001 assessment.

\subsection*{12.3.4} Standardized effort data

The resulting combined and standardized Danish and Norwegian effort for the commercial fishery used in the assessment is presented in Table 12.3.4, and combined cpue indices by age and quarter for the commercial fishery tuning fleet are shown in Table 12.3.5 and Figure 12.3.1. Seasonal trends in effort and landings of the combined tuning fleet are shown in Figures 12.3.4-5. The seasonal variation in effort data is one reason for performing a seasonal VPA. This is further described in Section 12.10.

\subsection*{12.3.5 Research vessel data}

Survey indices series of abundance of Norway pout by age and quarter were available from the IBTS and the EGFS and SGFS, Table 12.3.6 and Figure 12.3.1. The SGFS data from 1998-2001 was used with caution as a new survey design (new vessel from 1998 and new gear and extended survey area from 1999) was introduced. The 0 -group indices from this survey were not used in the assessment. The same trends for the \(1+\)-group is observed for the SGFS as for the EGFS for which reason the SGFS survey index for the age groups 1-3 was included in the SXSA.

Research vessel indices from the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter IBTS are also presented in Table 12.3.6, but for comparison purposes only. These survey data are not used in the assessment. This is further described in Section 12.4 and 12.10.

\subsection*{12.4 Catch-at-Age Analyses}

The SXSA (奋easonal Extended Survivors Analysis: Skagen (1993)) was used to estimate quarterly stock numbers and fishing mortalities for Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerrak. The parameter settings and options of the SXSA were the same this year as in last year's assessment (Table 12.4.1). No time taper or shrinkage was used in the catch-atage analysis.

In the SXSA the catchability, r, per age and quarter and fleet was assumed to be constant within the period 1983-2002 where the estimated catchability, rhat, is a geometric mean over years by age, quarter, and tuning fleet. Tuning was performed over the period 1983 to 2002 producing \(\log\) residual \((\log (\mathrm{Nhat} / \mathrm{N}))\) stock numbers and survivor estimates by year, quarter, age, and tuning fleet. The contributions from the various age groups to the survivor estimates by year and quarter and fleet were in the SXSA combined to an overall survivors estimate, shat, estimated as the geometric mean over years of \(\log\) (shat) weighted by the exponential of the inverse cumulated fishing mortality as described in Skagen (1993).

The three surveys and the seasonally (by quarter) divided commercial fleets were all used in the tuning (Table 12.4.1). The data time-series for the tuning fleets used in the SXSA are given in Tables 12.3.4-12.3.6. The \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter IBTS was not used in tuning as it contains shorter time-series than the SGFS and the EGFS and because it is not an independent tuning fleet of the separate SGFS and EGFS tuning fleets.

Table 12.4.1 contains the SXSA parameter settings, options, and input data used in the SXSA. Tables 12.4.2-12.4.4 present the estimated stock numbers, the fishing mortalities, and the diagnostics, respectively, from the SXSA output. A summary of the results is shown in Table 12.6.1 and in Figures 12.6.1-12.6.2. Total stock biomass is given for \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter of the year because this is the biomass including 0 -groups available for the commercial fishery.

The log residual stock numbers by year for each tuning fleet are least variable for 1- and 2-year-old fish, as the precision in the estimated catch is higher for these age groups (Fig. 12.4.1). There are no apparent trends in the residuals with time for the commercial tuning fleets. Estimated SSQ residuals by tuning fleet and season (Figure 12.4.2) indicate large inter-annual variations with a large sum of squared residuals for commercial fishery in some years for \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter. The surveys, especially the EGFS, show large variations in SSQ, while the values for SGFS and 1Q IBTS are lower and more stable. There might be a slight trend in the residuals for the 1Q IBTS and the existence of two slightly different levels for the 3Q SGFS over time.

In order to investigate this an exploratory run of the SXSA was made in the 2001 assessment, using the cosine time taper option in the SXSA, down-weighting the period 1983 to 1991 (both years included; 12 cohorts). The trends in the log residual stock numbers for the 1Q IBTS and the 3Q SGFS disappeared and no trends were introduced in the other tuning fleets (not shown) in the output from this run. The resulting SSB and F for this run compared to those for the run with standard settings were not very different. In 2001 the Working Group decided to use the assessment with standard settings (without time taper) as in previous years, which was also decided by the Working Group for this year's assessment.

The estimated weighting factors for computing survivors by tuning fleet used in the tuning process in the final run were evenly distributed over the different cpue series, with a general tendency towards most weight being given to the cpue data from the commercial fishery (Figure 12.4.2; Table 12.4.4.). The commercial fishery was used in tuning in each quarter of the year, while survey weighting was only used for the \(1^{\text {st }}\) and \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter of the year. For several age groups and seasons approximately the same weight was given to the IBTS and SGFS surveys as the weight given to the commercial fishery. Relatively high weight is given to SGFS age 3.

Retrospective analyses have been made for SSB, recruitment, and fishing mortality estimated by the SXSA, Figure 12.4.3. The method used was running the SXSA by sequential exclusion of the more recent assessment year. The analyses revealed no tendencies in over- or under-estimating the SSB, recruitment, and fishing mortality in the last year. In nearly all cases the estimates converged rapidly. The SXSA seems to estimate recruitment well.

As in 2001 an exploratory run of the SXSA was made in 2002 with revised input data for natural mortality based on the results from two papers presented to the Working Group in 2001, Sparholt et al. (2002a,b; in press in ICES J. Mar. Sci.). The results of this are shown in Figure 12.4.5. This will be further commented on in Section 12.10-11.

\subsection*{12.5 Recruitment Estimates}

The long-term average recruitment (age 0 , \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter) is 133 billions (arithmetric mean) and 113 billions (geometric mean) for the period 1974-2001 (Table 12.6.1). Recruitment is highly variable and influences SSB and TSB rapidly, due to the short life span of the species.

The SXSA shows that recruitment in 1997-98 was well below the long-term averages, while the 1996 and 1999 year classes were well above average. Recruitment in 2000 was historically low within the last 12 years period, and much below the long-term average. Recruitment in 2001 was close to the long-term average.

\subsection*{12.6 Historical Stock Trends}

Historical trends in stock biomass (SSB, TSB), landings, recruitment, and fishing mortality of Norway pout for the period 1974-2002 are presented in Table 12.6.1-2 and Figures 12.6.1-12.6.2. The historical data from 1974 to 1982 are obtained from previous Working Group reports. The present assessment covers the period 1983-2002.

Spawning stock biomass decreased in the mid-1980s to around 100000 t after having reached peaks at above 350000 t in 1983-84, but has since slowly increased again fluctuating between 150000 t and 350000 t .

Trends in annual landings are also shown in Table 12.1.2 for the period 1961-2001, where data before 1983 are obtained from previous Working Group reports. The long-term averages in landings were in the period 1959-66 below 100000 t rising to a level around 375000 t in the period 1967-84 and falling again to between 75-200 000 t in the period 1985-2000. Yield was historically low in 2001. The seasonal distribution of the landings by country, Table 12.1.3, show that catches in all years were highest in the \(1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}\), and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarters of the year.

The fishing mortality has during the period 1974-2000 decreased from a level fluctuating between 1-2 from 1974-1986 to a level between \(0.75-0.3\) in the period 1987-2000. The fishing mortality in 2001 was historically low ( \(\mathrm{F}=0.2\) ), especially in the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarters of the year (Tab. 12.3.4).

\subsection*{12.7 Short-Term Predictions (Forecasts)}

No forecast is given for this stock. Catch predictions for 0 - and 1 -groups are important as the fishery targets the 0 -group already in the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and (especially in) the \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter of the year, as well as the 1 -group in the \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter of the following year. Deterministic catch forecasts are uncertain, due to the catch possibilities being largely dependent on the size of a few year classes, the large dependence on the strength of the recruiting 0 -group year class that is unknown for 2002, and the added uncertainty in the assessment and forecast arising from variations in natural mortality (Sparholt et al. 2002a,b; in press ICES J. Mar. Sci.). Traditional catch prediction for traditional TAC-based management for 2002 will therefore be uncertain and will not cover the important year classes in the future fishery.

\subsection*{12.8 Medium-term Predictions}

No medium-term predictions are given for this stock (see also Section 12.9 and 12.10).

Figures 12.9 .1 and 12.9.2 show recruitment-SSB-plots, yield-per-recruit plots, and pa-plots for Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerrak.
\(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) is 90000 t
\(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=150000 \mathrm{t}\)
\(\mathbf{F}_{\text {low }}=0.23\)
\(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}=0.67\)
\(\mathbf{F}_{\text {high }}=1.21\)

In 1997-2001 a precautionary limit reference point for SSB was proposed, based on the lowest observed level of SSB where the stock has produced strong year classes, i.e. the level of below-average recruitment. \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}=0.67\), which represents the exploitation level where the stock has a \(50 \%\) chance of replacing itself (Fig. 12.9.1), is a little above the F-level in 1999-2000 around 0.5-0.6 and much above the present F-level in 2001 and \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter 2002.

\subsection*{12.10 Quality of the Assessment and Comments to the Assessment}

\subsection*{12.10.1 Seasonal VPA}

The reasons for performing seasonal VPA are that there are seasonal differences in the fishery and in the fishing pattern (and most likely also in the natural mortality). If the ratio between F and M varies between seasons, then seasonal and annual VPAs will produce different results. The seasonal patterns and variations over years in effort and catch in the Danish and Norwegian Norway pout fishery appear from Figures 12.3.4 and 12.3.5. Comparisons between annual and seasonal assessments were performed for Norway pout in 1997 (ICES CM 1998/Assess:7). The annual VPA had a tendency to estimate the lower stock numbers.

\subsection*{12.10.2 Recruitment}

It should be noted that there seems to be two levels of the stock-recruitment-relationship for the stock (Fig. 12.9.1), a level well above and one well below recruitment around 125 billions. There are no periodical or historical trends to explain these two levels. Evaluation of the stock-recruitment relationship for this stock and the factors and biological processes affecting it, as well as fisheries interactions should be performed in order to investigate the possibilities for producing a realistic stock-recruitment-model and realistic medium-term predictions for this stock. Recruitment is highly variable and influences SSB and TSB rapidly, due to the short life span of the species.

For the SXSA recruitment estimates are available from the EGFS and SGFS surveys carried out in August (Tab. 12.3.6), as well as the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter IBTS (Tab. 12.3.6) and the commercial fishery in the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarters of the year (Table 12.3.5). The SGFS recruitment indices from 1998-2001 should be used with caution as a new survey design (new vessel from 1998 and new gear and extended survey area from 1999) was introduced. Historically, the EGFS estimates the strong year classes as 1 -group better than as 0 -group. Recruitment indices are also available for the IBTS \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter survey for the period 1991-2001. This new time-series seems to estimate 0 -groups better than the EGFS alone and it gives a longer time-series than the new SGFS alone; however, it contains shorter time-series than the EGFS and the full time-series of the SGFS used as separate tuning time-series and, furthermore, it is not independent of EGFS and SGFS. The 0 -group are recruited to the \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter commercial fishery that tends to predict strong year classes well as 0 -group. However, no information on the strength of the 2002 year class is available at the time of the assessment, i.e. the recruitment in 2002 is unknown.

\subsection*{12.10.3 Survey tuning fleets in the assessment}

As the IBTS \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter survey contains shorter time-series than the SGFS and the EGFS, and because it is not an independent tuning fleet of the separate SGFS and EGFS tuning fleets it has so far not been used as tuning fleet in the assessment. However, future exploratory assessment runs should be made using the 3Q IBTS fleet as tuning fleet instead of 3Q EGFS and 3Q EGFS, as the 3Q IBTS is now starting to contribute with a relatively long data time-series from 1991 and onwards. Furthermore, the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter IBTS survey is together with the other surveys (IBTS 1Q, EGFS, SGFS) evaluated as survey tuning fleets with respect to variance in abundance indices and with respect to their performance of describing trends in the Norway pout stock carried out in an EU Tender Project, EVARES (Evaluation). This project will be finalized within 2002.

\subsection*{12.10.4 Research results on population dynamics parameters (e.g. natural mortality)}

Investigations on population dynamics (natural mortality, distribution, and spawning and maturity as well as growth patterns) of Norway pout in the North Sea are ongoing. An exploratory run of the SXSA model was made with revised input data for natural mortality by age, based on the results from two papers presented to the Working Group in 2001, Sparholt et al. (2002a,b; in press in ICES J. Mar. Sci. 2002). The resulting SSB, TSB ( \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter of year), TSB ( \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter of year), and F for this run compared to those for the run with standard settings are shown in Figure 12.4.5. It appears that the implications of these revised input data are very significant. This year is the second assessment year where exploratory runs with revised natural mortality values have been performed. The scientific background for the revised natural mortality estimates have been positively evaluated in a peer-reviewed journal. The Working Group therefore suggests that assessments with partly the traditional settings (constant \(M\) ) and partly new assessments with the revised values for M are made in at least a 3-year period in order to compare the output and the performance of the assessments before the Working Group decides on adoption of the revised values for M to be used in the assessment.

It appears from the quality control diagrams made from the results of the performed assessment on the Norway pout stock in the North Sea and Skagerrak (Figure 12.10.1) that the estimates of the SSB, recruitment, and the average fishing mortality of the 1 - and 2 -group are consistent with the estimates of previous years' assessments; besides, the new standardization procedure has resulted in slightly higher estimates of SSB and slightly lower estimates of F in the latest years of the assessment period.

\subsection*{12.11 Management Considerations}

There is no management objective set for this stock. With the present fishing mortality levels the status of the stock is more determined by natural processes and less by the fishery. However, there is a need to ensure that the stock remains high enough to provide food for a variety of predator species. The stock can on average sustain the current F , which is low. The average recruitment in 2001 has - despite the very low recruitment in 2000 - resulted in only a moderate decrease in SSB from 2001 to \(1^{\text {st }}\) quarter 2002, reaching a level around 200000 t as in year 2000. Consequently, the relatively high spawning stock biomass level in recent years (except for 1999) will probably be maintained in the first part of 2002, based on the average 2001 year class and also older fish from the strong 1999 year. However, recruitment in 2002 is unknown at the time of assessment. This should be taken into account when setting a TAC. In managing this fishery, by-catches of other species should be taken into account. Existing measures to protect other species should be maintained.

It may be more appropriate to formulate reference points based on total stock biomass (TSB) or based on estimates of total mortality from surveys for use within management of this stock.

\subsection*{12.12 Norway Pout in Division VIa}

\subsection*{12.12.1 Catch trends and assessment}

Landings of Norway pout from Division VIa as reported to ICES are given in Table 12.12.1 and Figure 12.12.1. Reported landings in 2001 were 3200 t , which is well below the series average of 11000 t (1974-2001). No data are available on by-catches in this fishery. Since no age compositions are available, data are insufficient for an assessment of this stock.

\subsection*{12.12.2 Stock identity}

ACFM (October 2001) asked the Working Group to verify the justification of treating Division VIa as a management area for Norway pout and sandeel separately from IV and IIIa. Preliminary results from an analysis of regionalized survey data on Norway pout maturity, presented in a Working Document to the 2000 meeting of the Working Group (Larsen et al. in ICES C.M.2001/ACFM:07), gave no evidence for a stock separation in the whole northern area.

The WG considers that the extent of the data that is available on VIa Norway pout should be assessed before the discussion on the merging of the VIa stock with the North Sea stock is finalized.

Table 12.1.1 Nominal landings (tonnes) of Norway pout from the North Sea and Skagerrak / Kattegat, ICES areas IV and IIIa in the period 1995-2001, as officially reported to ICES.

\section*{Norway pout}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr} 
Division Illa \\
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & \(\mathbf{1 9 9 9}\) & \(\mathbf{2 0 0 0}\) & \(\mathbf{2 0 0 1 * *}\) \\
\hline Denmark & 67.841 & 57.529 & 34.746 & 11.080 & 7.194 & 14.545 & 13.619 \\
Sweden & 68 & 237 & 2 & & & 133 & 744 \\
\hline Total IIla & 67.909 & 57.766 & 34.748 & 11.080 & 7.194 & 14.678 & 14.363 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Norway pout}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Division IVa & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & \(\mathbf{1 9 9 8}\) & \(\mathbf{1 9 9 9}\) & \(\mathbf{2 0 0 0}\) & \(\mathbf{2 0 0 1 * *}\) \\
\hline Country & 141687 & 95708 & 106.958 & 42.154 & 39.319 & 133.149 & 44.818 \\
\hline Denmark & 7669 & 5717 & 7.033 & 4.707 & 2.534 & & \\
Faroe Islands & 34 & & & & & & \\
Germany & 114 & & 35 & & & & \\
Netherlands & 110017 & 90042 & 39.006 & 22.213 & 44.841 & \(48061^{*}\) & 16.835 \\
Norway & & & + & & & & \\
Sweden & & 74 & & & & & \\
UK (Scotland) & 259.521 & 191.541 & 153.032 & 69.074 & 86.694 & 181.210 & 61.653 \\
\hline Total IVa & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001** \\
\hline Denmark & 28.584 & 3.531 & 1.794 & 3.258 & 5.299 & 158 & 632 \\
\hline Faroe Islands & 1.180 & 1.857 & & & & & \\
\hline Germany & & & & & & 2 & \\
\hline Netherlands & 17 & 5 & 50 & 2 & & 3 & \\
\hline Norway & 14 & 139 & & 57 & & 34 & \\
\hline UK (E/W/NI) & & & & & & + & \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & + & + & + & & & & \\
\hline United Kingdom & & & & & & & + \\
\hline Total IVb & 29795 & 5532 & 1844 & 3317 & 5299 & 197 & 632 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr} 
Norway pout Division IVc \\
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001** \\
\hline Denmark & & & & & 514 & 182 & 304 \\
Netherlands & & & & & & \\
UK (E/W/NI) & & & & & & \\
UK (Scotland) & & & & & & \\
United Kingdom & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total IVc & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline Denmark & 238112 & 156768 & 143498 & 56492 & 52326 & 148034 & 59373 \\
\hline Faroe Islands & 8849 & 7574 & 7033 & 4707 & 2534 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Norway & 110031 & 90181 & 39006 & 22270 & 44841 & 48095 & 16835 \\
\hline Sweden & 68 & 237 & 2 & & & 133 & 744 \\
\hline Netherlands & 131 & 5 & 85 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
\hline Germany & 34 & & & & & 2 & \\
\hline UK & & 74 & & & & & \\
\hline Total nominal landings & 357225 & 254839 & 189624 & 83471 & 99701 & 196267 & 76952 \\
\hline By-catch of other species and other & -120425 & -91039 & -19924 & -3671 & -7701 & -11867 & -11352 \\
\hline WG estimate of total landings (IV+IIlaN) & 236800 & 163800 & 169700 & 79800 & 92000 & 184400 & 65600 \\
\hline Agreed TAC & 180000 & 220000 & 220000 & 220000 & 220000 & 220000 & 220000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* provisional
** provisional
+ Landings less than 1
n/a not available
}

Table 12.1.2 Norway pout annual landings ('000 t) in the North Sea and Skagerrak (not incl. Kattegat, IIIaS) by country, for 1961-2001 (Data provided by Working Group members). (Norwegian landing data include landings of by-catch of other species).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Denmark} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Faroes} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Norway} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Sweden} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{UK} \\
\text { (Scotland) } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Others} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & North & Skagerrak & & & & & & \\
\hline & Sea & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1961 & 20.5 & - & - & 8.1 & - & - & - & 28.6 \\
\hline 1962 & 121.8 & - & - & 27.9 & - & - & - & 149.7 \\
\hline 1963 & 67.4 & - & - & 70.4 & - & - & - & 137.8 \\
\hline 1964 & 10.4 & - & - & 51.0 & - & - & - & 61.4 \\
\hline 1965 & 8.2 & - & - & 35.0 & - & - & - & 43.2 \\
\hline 1966 & 35.2 & - & - & 17.8 & - & - & + & 53.0 \\
\hline 1967 & 169.6 & - & - & 12.9 & - & - & + & 182.5 \\
\hline 1968 & 410.8 & - & - & 40.9 & - & - & + & 451.7 \\
\hline 1969 & 52.5 & - & 19.6 & 41.4 & - & - & + & 113.5 \\
\hline 1970 & 142.1 & - & 32.0 & 63.5 & - & 0.2 & 0.2 & 238.0 \\
\hline 1971 & 178.5 & - & 47.2 & 79.3 & - & 0.1 & 0.2 & 305.3 \\
\hline 1972 & 259.6 & - & 56.8 & 120.5 & 6.8 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 444.8 \\
\hline 1973 & 215.2 & - & 51.2 & 63.0 & 2.9 & 13.0 & 0.6 & 345.9 \\
\hline 1974 & 464.5 & - & 85.0 & 154.2 & 2.1 & 26.7 & 3.3 & 735.8 \\
\hline 1975 & 251.2 & - & 63.6 & 218.9 & 2.3 & 22.7 & 1.0 & 559.7 \\
\hline 1976 & 244.9 & - & 64.6 & 108.9 & + & 17.3 & 1.7 & 437.4 \\
\hline 1977 & 232.2 & - & 50.9 & 98.3 & 2.9 & 4.6 & 1.0 & 389.9 \\
\hline 1978 & 163.4 & - & 19.7 & 80.8 & 0.7 & 5.5 & - & 270.1 \\
\hline 1979 & 219.9 & 9.0 & 21.9 & 75.4 & - & 3.0 & - & 329.2 \\
\hline 1980 & 366.2 & 11.6 & 34.1 & 70.2 & - & 0.6 & - & 482.7 \\
\hline 1981 & 167.5 & 2.8 & 16.6 & 51.6 & - & + & - & 238.5 \\
\hline 1982 & 256.3 & 35.6 & 15.4 & 88.0 & - & - & - & 395.3 \\
\hline 1983 & 301.1 & 28.5 & 24.5 & 97.3 & - & + & - & 451.4 \\
\hline 1984 & 251.9 & 38.1 & \(19.1{ }^{1}\) & 83.8 & - & 0.1 & - & 393.0 \\
\hline 1985 & 163.7 & 8.6 & 9.9 & 22.8 & - & 0.1 & - & 205.1 \\
\hline 1986 & 146.3 & 4.0 & 6.6 & 21.5 & - & - & - & 178.4 \\
\hline 1987 & 108.3 & 2.1 & 4.8 & 34.1 & - & - & - & 149.3 \\
\hline 1988 & 79.0 & 7.9 & 1.5 & 21.1 & - & - & - & 109.5 \\
\hline 1989 & 95.7 & 4.2 & 0.8 & 65.3 & + & 0.1 & 0.3 & 166.4 \\
\hline 1990 & 61.5 & 23.8 & 0.9 & 77.1 & + & - & - & 163.3 \\
\hline 1991 & 85.0 & 32.0 & 1.3 & 68.3 & + & - & + & 186.6 \\
\hline 1992 & 146.9 & 41.7 & 2.6 & 105.5 & + & - & 0.1 & 296.8 \\
\hline 1993 & 97.3 & 6.7 & 2.4 & 76.7 & - & - & + & 183.1 \\
\hline 1994 & 97.9 & 6.3 & 3.6 & 74.2 & , & - & + & 182.0 \\
\hline 1995 & 138.1 & 46.4 & 8.9 & 43.1 & 0.1 & + & 0.2 & 236.8 \\
\hline 1996 & 74.3 & 33.8 & 7.6 & 47.8 & 0.2 & 0.1 & + & 163.8 \\
\hline 1997 & 94.2 & 29.3 & 7.0 & 39.1 & + & + & 0.1 & 169.7 \\
\hline 1998 & 39.8 & 13.2 & 4.7 & 22,1 & - & - & + & 79.8 \\
\hline 1999 & 41.0 & 6.8 & - & 44.2 & + & - & - & 92.0 \\
\hline 2000 & 127.0 & 9.3 & - & 48.0 & 0.1 & - & + & 184.4 \\
\hline 2001 & 40.6 & 7.5 & - & 16.8 & 0.7 & + & + & 65.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.3 Norway Pout, North Sea and Skagerak. National landings ( t ) by quarter of year 1989-2002.
(Data provided by Working Group members. Norwegian landing data include landings of by-catch of other species).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Quarter Area} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Denmark} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Norway} & Total \\
\hline & & IIIIaN & Illas & Div. Illa & IVaE & IVaW & IVb & IVc & Div. IV & Div. IV + IllaN & IVaW & Div. IV & Div. IV + Illan \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1989} & 1 & 194 & 67 & 261 & 6.213 & 6.058 & 8 & - & 12.279 & 12.473 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 301 & 21 & 322 & 793 & 47 & 725 & - & 1.566 & 1.867 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 1.917 & 303 & 2.220 & 13.876 & 16.361 & 3.479 & - & 33.717 & 35.634 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 1.772 & 910 & 2.681 & 7.802 & 31.892 & 8.403 & - & 48.097 & 49.869 & & & \\
\hline & Total & 4.184 & 1.300 & 5.484 & 28.684 & 54.359 & 12.615 & - & 95.659 & 99.842 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1990} & 1 & 323 & 33 & 356 & 16.171 & 4.613 & 594 & & 21.377 & 21.700 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 6.770 & 366 & 7.136 & 2.682 & 283 & 3.768 & - & 6.732 & 13.502 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 12.616 & 2.696 & 15.312 & 6.253 & 2.041 & 138 & - & 8.432 & 21.048 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 4.059 & 466 & 4.525 & 7.341 & 17.506 & 81 & - & 24.928 & 28.987 & & & \\
\hline & Total & 23.768 & 3.561 & 27.329 & 32.446 & 24.443 & 4.580 & - & 61.469 & 85.237 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1991} & 1 & 139 & 53 & 191 & 17.007 & 10.331 & 37 & - & 27.375 & 27.514 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 1.918 & 694 & 2.613 & 183 & 231 & 92 & - & 506 & 2.424 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 23.467 & 4.101 & 27.568 & 3.119 & 11.042 & 299 & - & 14.460 & 37.927 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 6.571 & 1.719 & 8.290 & 14.584 & 27.693 & 332 & - & 42.609 & 49.180 & & & \\
\hline & Total & 32.094 & 6.567 & 38.662 & 34.894 & 49.297 & 760 & - & 84.950 & 117.044 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1992} & 1 & 2.330 & 619 & 2.950 & 29.701 & 8.862 & 1.096 & - & 39.659 & 41.989 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 9.235 & 1.684 & 10.919 & 1.610 & 264 & 1.529 & - & 3.403 & 12.638 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 22.586 & 817 & 23.402 & 9.908 & 34.053 & 6.465 & - & 50.426 & 73.012 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 7.561 & 263 & 7.824 & 4.102 & 47.704 & 1.630 & 2 & 53.439 & 61.000 & & & \\
\hline & Total & 41.713 & 3.383 & 45.095 & 45.321 & 90.883 & 10.720 & 2 & 146.926 & 188.639 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1993} & 1 & 319 & 30 & 350 & 16.471 & 6.581 & 151 & - & 23.203 & 23.522 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 1.052 & 77 & 1.129 & 594 & 102 & 802 & - & 1.498 & 2.550 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 3.629 & 531 & 4.161 & 7.461 & 25.072 & 409 & - & 32.941 & 36.570 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 1.728 & 406 & 2.133 & 10.685 & 28.994 & 9 & - & 39.688 & 41.416 & & & \\
\hline & Total & 6.729 & 1.044 & 7.773 & 35.210 & 60.748 & 1.371 & - & 97.330 & 104.058 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1994} & 1 & 568 & 75 & 643 & 18.660 & 3.588 & 533 & - & 22.781 & 23.350 & & & \\
\hline & 2 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 511 & 170 & - & - & 681 & 685 & & & \\
\hline & 3 & 2.137 & 74 & 2.211 & 5.674 & 12.604 & 493 & - & 18.772 & 20.908 & & & \\
\hline & 4 & 3.623 & 116 & 3.739 & 5.597 & 49.935 & 91 & - & 55.622 & 59.246 & & & \\
\hline & Total & 6.332 & 265 & 6.598 & 30.442 & 66.298 & 1.117 & - & 97.857 & 104.189 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1995} & 1 & 576 & 9 & 585 & 19.421 & 1.336 & 7 & - & 20.764 & 21.339 & 15521 & 15521 & 36.860 \\
\hline & 2 & 10.495 & 290 & 10.793 & 2.841 & 30 & 3.670 & - & 6.540 & 17.035 & 10639 & 10639 & 27.674 \\
\hline & 3 & 20.563 & 976 & 21.540 & 13.316 & 17.681 & 11.445 & - & 42.442 & 63.004 & 5790 & 5790 & 68.794 \\
\hline & 4 & 14.748 & 2.681 & 17.430 & 10.812 & 56.159 & 1.426 & - & 68.396 & 83.145 & 11131 & 11131 & 94.276 \\
\hline & Total & 46.382 & 3.956 & 50.347 & 46.390 & 75.205 & 16.547 & - & 138.142 & 184.524 & 43.081 & 43081 & 227.605 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1996} & 1 & 1.231 & 164 & 1.395 & 6.133 & 3.149 & 658 & 2 & 9.943 & 11.174 & 10604 & 10604 & 21.778 \\
\hline & 2 & 7.323 & 970 & 8.293 & 1.018 & 452 & 1.476 & - & 2.946 & 10.269 & 4281 & 4281 & 14.550 \\
\hline & 3 & 20.176 & 836 & 21.012 & 7.119 & 17.553 & 1.517 & - & 26.188 & 46.364 & 27466 & 27466 & 73.830 \\
\hline & 4 & 5.028 & 500 & 5.528 & 9.640 & 25.498 & 42 & - & 35.180 & 40.208 & 5466 & 5466 & 45.674 \\
\hline & Total & 33.758 & 2.470 & 36.228 & 23.910 & 46.652 & 3.692 & 2 & 74.257 & 108.015 & 47.817 & 47817 & 155.832 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1997} & 1 & 2.707 & 460 & 3.167 & 6.203 & 2.219 & 7 & - & 8.429 & 11.137 & 4183 & 4183 & 15.320 \\
\hline & 2 & 5.656 & 200 & 5.857 & 141 & , & 45 & & 185 & 5.842 & 8466 & 8466 & 14.308 \\
\hline & 3 & 16.432 & 649 & 17.081 & 19.054 & 21.024 & 740 & - & 40.818 & 57.250 & 21546 & 21546 & 78.796 \\
\hline & 4 & 4.464 & 1.042 & 5.505 & 6.555 & 38.202 & 7 & & 44.765 & 49.228 & 4884 & 4884 & 54.112 \\
\hline & Total & 29.259 & 2.351 & 31.610 & 31.953 & 61.445 & 799 & - & 94.197 & 123.456 & 39.079 & 39079 & 162.535 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1998} & 1 & 1.117 & 317 & 1.434 & 7.111 & 2.292 & - & - & 9.403 & 10.520 & 8913 & 8913 & 19.433 \\
\hline & 2 & 3.881 & 103 & 3.984 & 131 & 5 & 124 & - & 259 & 4.140 & 7885 & 7885 & 12.025 \\
\hline & 3 & 6.011 & 406 & 6.417 & 7.161 & 1.763 & 2.372 & - & 11.297 & 17.308 & 3559 & 3559 & 20.867 \\
\hline & 4 & 2.161 & 677 & 2.838 & 1.051 & 17.752 & 77 & - & 18.880 & 21.041 & 1778 & 1778 & 22.819 \\
\hline & Total & 13.171 & 1.503 & 14.673 & 15.454 & 21.811 & 2.573 & - & 39.838 & 53.009 & 22.135 & 22135 & 75.144 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1999} & 1 & 4 & 12 & 15 & 2.769 & 1.246 & 1 & - & 4.016 & 4.020 & 3021 & 3021 & 7.041 \\
\hline & 2 & 1.568 & 36 & 1.605 & 953 & 361 & 418 & - & 1.731 & 3.300 & 10321 & 10321 & 13.621 \\
\hline & 3 & 3.094 & 109 & 3.203 & 7.500 & 3.710 & 2.584 & - & 13.794 & 16.887 & 24449 & 24449 & 41.336 \\
\hline & 4 & 2.156 & 517 & 2.673 & 3.577 & 16.921 & 928 & 1 & 21.426 & 23.583 & 6385 & 6385 & 29.968 \\
\hline & Total & 6.822 & 674 & 7.496 & 14.799 & 22.237 & 3.931 & 1 & 40.968 & 47.790 & 44.176 & 44176 & 91.966 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2000} & 1 & 0 & 11 & 12 & 3.726 & 1.038 & - & - & 4.764 & 4.765 & 5440 & 5440 & 10.205 \\
\hline & 2 & 929 & 15 & 944 & 684 & 22 & 227 & - & 933 & 1.862 & 9779 & 9779 & 11.641 \\
\hline & 3 & 7.380 & 139 & 7.519 & 1.708 & 5.613 & 515 & - & 7.836 & 15.216 & 28428 & 28428 & 43.644 \\
\hline & 4 & 947 & 209 & 1.157 & 1.656 & 111.732 & 76 & - & 113.464 & 114.411 & 4334 & 4334 & 118.745 \\
\hline & Total & 9.257 & 375 & 9.631 & 7.774 & 118.406 & 818 & - & 126.998 & 136.255 & 47.981 & 47981 & 184.236 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2001} & 1 & 198 & 104 & 302 & 7.341 & 9.734 & 103 & 72 & 17.250 & 17.448 & 3838 & 3838 & 21.286 \\
\hline & 2 & 2.010 & 163 & 2.174 & 31 & 30 & 269 & - & 330 & 2.340 & 9268 & 9268 & 11.608 \\
\hline & 3 & 1.714 & 293 & 2.006 & 15 & 154 & 191 & - & 360 & 2.074 & 2263 & 2263 & 4.337 \\
\hline & 4 & 2.995 & 64 & 3.059 & 2.553 & 19.826 & 329 & - & 22.708 & 25.703 & 1426 & 1426 & 27.129 \\
\hline & Total & 6.917 & 624 & 7.541 & 9.940 & 29.744 & 892 & 72 & 40.648 & 47.565 & 16.795 & 16795 & 64.360 \\
\hline 2002 & 1 & - & 1 & 1 & 4.869 & 1.660 & 114 & - & 6.643 & 6.644 & 1896 & 1896 & 8.540 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.2.1 NORWAY POUT in the North Sea and Skagerrak. Catch in numbers-at-age by quarter (millions). SOP is given in tons. Data for 1990 were estimated within the SXSA program used in the 1996 assessment.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & Year & 1984 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1985 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1986 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2,231 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 678 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5,572 \\
\hline 1 & & 2,759 & 2,252 & 5,290 & 3,492 & 2,264 & 857 & 1,400 & 2,991 & 396 & 260 & 1,186 & 1,791 \\
\hline 2 & & 1,375 & 1,165 & 1,683 & 734 & 1,364 & 145 & 793 & 174 & 1,069 & 87 & 245 & 39 \\
\hline 3 & & 143 & 269 & 8 & 0 & 192 & 13 & 19 & 0 & 72 & 3 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline 4+ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline SOP & & 56790 & 56532 & 152291 & 110942 & 57464 & 15509 & 62489 & 92017 & 37889 & 7657 & 45085 & 89993 \\
\hline Age & Year & 1987 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1988 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1989 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 8 & 227 & 0 & 0 & 741 & 3,146 & 0 & 0 & 151 & 4,854 \\
\hline 1 & & 2,687 & 1,075 & 1,627 & 2,151 & 249 & 95 & 183 & 632 & 1,736 & 678 & 1,672 & 1,741 \\
\hline 2 & & 401 & 60 & 171 & 233 & 700 & 73 & 250 & 405 & 48 & 133 & 266 & 93 \\
\hline 3 & & 12 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 20 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 13 \\
\hline 4+ & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline SOP & & 33894 & 15435 & 38729 & 60847 & 22181 & 3559 & 21793 & 61762 & 15379 & 13234 & 55066 & 82880 \\
\hline Age & Year & 1990 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1991 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1992 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 20 & 993 & 0 & 0 & 734 & 3,486 & 0 & 0 & 879 & 954 \\
\hline 1 & & 1,840 & 1,780 & 971 & 1,181 & 1,501 & 636 & 1,519 & 1,048 & 3,556 & 1,522 & 3,457 & 2,784 \\
\hline 2 & & 584 & 572 & 185 & 116 & 1,336 & 404 & 215 & 187 & 1,086 & 293 & 389 & 267 \\
\hline 3 & & 20 & 19 & 6 & 4 & 93 & 19 & 22 & 18 & 118 & 20 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 4+ & & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline SOP & & 28287 & 39713 & 26156 & 45242 & 42776 & 20786 & 62518 & 64380 & 64224 & 27973 & 114122 & 96177 \\
\hline Age & Year & 1993 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1994 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1995 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 96 & 1,175 & 0 & 0 & 647 & 4,238 & 0 & 0 & 700 & 1,692 \\
\hline 1 & & 1,942 & 813 & 1,147 & 1,050 & 1,975 & 372 & 1,029 & 1,148 & 3,992 & 1,905 & 2,545 & 3,348 \\
\hline 2 & & 699 & 473 & 912 & 445 & 591 & 285 & 421 & 134 & 240 & 256 & 47 & 59 \\
\hline 3 & & 15 & 58 & 19 & 2 & 56 & 29 & 71 & 0 & 6 & 32 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 4+ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline SOP & & 36206 & 29291 & 62290 & 53470 & 34575 & 15373 & 53799 & 79838 & 36942 & 28019 & 69763 & 97048 \\
\hline Age & Year & 1996 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1997 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1998 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 724 & 2,517 & 0 & 0 & 109 & 343 & 0 & 0 & 94 & 339 \\
\hline 1 & & 535 & 560 & 1,043 & 650 & 672 & 99 & 3,090 & 1,922 & 261 & 210 & 411 & 531 \\
\hline 2 & & 772 & 201 & 1,002 & 333 & 325 & 131 & 372 & 207 & 690 & 310 & 332 & 215 \\
\hline 3 & & 14 & 38 & 37 & 0 & 79 & 119 & 105 & 35 & 47 & 18 & 2 & 13 \\
\hline \(4+\) & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 24 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline SOP & & 21888 & 13366 & 74631 & 46194 & 15320 & 8708 & 78809 & 54100 & 19562 & 12026 & 20866 & 22830 \\
\hline Age & Year & 1999 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2000 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2001 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & 0 & 41 & 1127 & 0 & 0 & 73 & 302 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 368 \\
\hline 1 & & 202 & 318 & 1298 & 576 & 653 & 280 & 1368 & 4616 & 220 & 133 & 122 & 267 \\
\hline 2 & & 128 & 220 & 338 & 160 & 185 & 207 & 266 & 245 & 845 & 246 & 27 & 439 \\
\hline 3 & & 73 & 93 & 35 & 23 & 3 & 48 & 20 & 6 & 35 & 100 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 4+ & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline SOP & & 7833 & 12535 & 41445 & 30497 & 10207 & 11589 & 44173 & 119001 & 21400 & 11778 & 4630 & 26565 \\
\hline Age & Year & 2002 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & & 310 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & & 192 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & & 17 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4+ & & 0 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline SOP & & 8549 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.2.2 NORWAY POUT in the North Sea and Skagerrak. Mean weights (grams) at age in catch, by quarter 1983-2002, from Danish and Norwegian catches combined. Data for 1974 to 1982 are assumed to be the same as in 1983.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year Quarter of year & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1983 \\
1
\end{array}
\] & 2 & 3 & 4 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1984 \\
1
\end{array}
\] & 2 & 3 & 4 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1985 \\
1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Age 0} & & & 4,00 & 6,00 & & & 6,54 & 6,54 & & & 8,37 & 6,23 \\
\hline & 7,00 & 15,00 & 25,00 & 23,00 & 6,55 & 8,97 & 17,83 & 20,22 & 7,86 & 12,56 & 23,10 & 26,97 \\
\hline & 22,00 & 34,00 & 43,00 & 42,00 & 24,04 & 22,66 & 34,28 & 35,07 & 22,7 & 28,81 & 36,52 & 40,90 \\
\hline & 40,00 & 50,00 & 60,00 & 58,00 & 39,54 & 37,00 & 34,10 & 46,23 & 45,26 & 43,38 & 58,99 & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & 41,80 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year Quarter of year} & 1986 & & & & 1987 & & & & 1988 & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Age 0} & & & & 7,20 & & & 5,80 & 7,40 & & & 9,42 & 7,91 \\
\hline & 6,69 & 14,49 & 28,81 & 26,90 & 8,13 & 12,59 & 20,16 & 23,36 & 9,23 & 11,61 & 26,54 & 30,60 \\
\hline & 29,74 & 42,92 & 43,39 & 44,00 & 28,26 & 31,51 & 34,53 & 37,32 & 27,31 & 33,26 & 39,82 & 43,31 \\
\hline & 44,08 & 55,39 & 47,60 & & 52,93 & & & 46,60 & 38,38 & & & \\
\hline & 82,51 & & & & 63,09 & & & & 69,48 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Year \\
Quarter of year
\end{tabular}} & 1989 & & & & 1990 & & & & 1991 & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Age 0} & & & 7,48 & 6,69 & & & 6,40 & 6,67 & & & 6,06 & 6,64 \\
\hline & 7,98 & 13,49 & 26,58 & 26,76 & 6,51 & 13,75 & 20,29 & 28,70 & 7,85 & 12,95 & 30,95 & 30,65 \\
\hline & 26,74 & 28,70 & 35,44 & 34,70 & 25,47 & 25,30 & 32,92 & 38,90 & 20,54 & 28,75 & 44,28 & 43,10 \\
\hline & 39,95 & 44,39 & & 46,50 & 37,72 & 40,35 & 39,40 & 52,94 & 35,43 & 49,87 & 67,25 & 59,37 \\
\hline & & & & & 68,00 & & & & 44,30 & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Year \\
Quarter of year
\end{tabular}} & 1992 & & & & 1993 & & & & 1994 & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Age} & & 8,00 & 6,70 & 8,14 & & & 4,40 & 8,14 & & & 5,40 & 8,81 \\
\hline & 8,78 & 11,71 & 26,52 & 27,49 & 9,32 & 14,76 & 25,03 & 26,24 & 8,56 & 15,22 & 29,26 & 31,23 \\
\hline & 25,73 & 31,25 & 42,42 & 44,14 & 24,94 & 30,58 & 35,19 & 36,44 & 25,91 & 29,27 & 38,91 & 49,59 \\
\hline & 41,80 & 49,49 & 50,00 & 50,30 & 46,50 & 48,73 & 55,40 & 70,80 & 42,09 & 46,88 & 53,95 & \\
\hline & 43,90 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year Quarter of year} & 1995 & & & & 1996 & & & & 1997 & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Age } & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4\end{array}\)} & & & 5,01 & 7,19 & & & 3,88 & 5,95 & & & 3,61 & 10,18 \\
\hline & 7,70 & 10,99 & 25,37 & 24,6 & 8,95 & 12,06 & 27,81 & 28,09 & 7,01 & 11,69 & 20,14 & 22,11 \\
\hline & 24,69 & 22,95 & 33,40 & 39,57 & 21,47 & 25,72 & 40,90 & 38,81 & 23,11 & 26,40 & 31,13 & 32,69 \\
\hline & 50,78 & 37,69 & 45,56 & 57,00 & 37,58 & 37,94 & 50,44 & 56,00 & 39,11 & 34,47 & 44,03 & 38,62 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year Quarter of year} & 1998 & & & & 1999 & & & & 2000 & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|ll} 
Age & 0 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 4
\end{tabular}} & & & 4,82 & 8,32 & & & 2,84 & 7,56 & & & 7,21 & 13,86 \\
\hline & 8,76 & 12,55 & 23,82 & 24,33 & 8,98 & 12,40 & 22,16 & 25,60 & 10,05 & 15,65 & 23,76 & 22,98 \\
\hline & 22,16 & 25,27 & 31,73 & 30,93 & 25,84 & 24,15 & 32,66 & 37,74 & 19,21 & 25,14 & 38,90 & 34,48 \\
\hline & 34,84 & 32,18 & 44,92 & 33,24 & 36,66 & 35,24 & 43,98 & 51,63 & 32,10 & 41,30 & 39,61 & 50,04 \\
\hline & 42,40 & 40,00 & & & 46,57 & 46,57 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year Quarter of year} & 2001 & & & & 2002 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|ll}
\hline Age & 0 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 4
\end{tabular}} & & & 6,34 & 7,90 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 8,34 & 16,79 & 27,00 & 30,01 & 8,58 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 21,50 & 23,57 & 39,54 & 35,51 & 27,83 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 39,84 & 37,63 & 54,20 & 55,70 & 32,30 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.2.3 Norway pout. Mean weight at age in the stock, proportion mature and natural mortality.
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr|r|r}
\hline Age & \multicolumn{4}{c|}{ Weight (g) } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Proportion \\
mature
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
M (per \\
quarter)
\end{tabular} \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & Q1 & Q2 & Q 3 & Q 4 & & 0.4 \\
\hline 0 & - & - & 4.0 & 6.0 & 0.0 & 0.4 \\
1 & 7.0 & 15.0 & 25.0 & 23.0 & 0.1 & 0.4 \\
2 & 22.0 & 34.0 & 43.0 & 42.0 & 1.0 & 0.4 \\
3 & 40.0 & 50.0 & 60.0 & 58.0 & 1.0 & 0.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.1 Danish cpue data (tonnes/day fishing) and fishing activities by vessel category for 1986-2001. Non-standardized cpue-data for the Danish part of the commercial tuning fleet. (Logbook information).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Vessel GRT & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline 51-100 & 10.83 & 11.73 & 20.27 & 14.58 & 10.03 & 12.56 & 31.75 & 31.00 & 24.80 & 29.53 & - & 20.00 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 101-150 & 19.49 & 20.70 & 18.83 & 19.59 & 17.38 & 24.14 & 26.42 & 23.72 & 26.76 & 38.96 & 20.48 & 22.68 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 151-200 & 22.97 & 22.26 & 22.71 & 23.17 & 25.60 & 28.22 & 34.20 & 27.36 & 31.52 & 34.73 & 22.05 & 27.45 & 16.85 & 12.43 & 29.13 & - \\
\hline 201-250 & 25.20 & 25.63 & 30.44 & 26.10 & 24.87 & 29.74 & 36.00 & 27.76 & 40.59 & 39.34 & 24.96 & 30.59 & 19.68 & 26.69 & 48.55 & 25.35 \\
\hline 251-300 & 25.12 & 26.10 & 23.29 & 26.14 & 21.30 & 28.15 & 31.90 & 32.05 & 36.98 & 38.84 & 31.43 & 32.55 & 17.48 & 23.98 & 45.92 & 20.02 \\
\hline 301- & 26.63 & 32.73 & 38.81 & 28.58 & 24.96 & 36.48 & 42.60 & 34.89 & 44.91 & 57.90 & 39.14 & 43.01 & 32.32 & 31.00 & 64.33 & 52.95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.2 Results of regression of Danish CPUE-data used for effort standardization of the Danish and Norwegian fishery (commercial) tuning fleet. Parameter estimates from regressions of \(\operatorname{In}(C P U E)\) versus \(\ln (A v e r\). GRT) by year together with estimates of standardized CPUE to the group of Danish 175 GRT industrial trawlers. Data for 1994-2002 combined. Lower table (shown for comparison purposes): Data for 1994-2002 not combined. Not used.

Regression models: CPUE=b*GRT \({ }^{a}=>\ln (C P U E)=\ln (b)+a * \ln ((G R T-50))\)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline Year & Slope & Intercept & R-Square & CPUE(175 tonnes) \\
\hline 1987 & 0,39 & 3,51 & 0,98 & 22,75 \\
1988 & 0,22 & 8,81 & 0,71 & 25,27 \\
1989 & 0,28 & 5,91 & 1,00 & 22,91 \\
1990 & 0,37 & 3,32 & 0,91 & 20,24 \\
1991 & 0,40 & 3,79 & 0,96 & 25,98 \\
1992 & 0,10 & 20,74 & 0,56 & 33,69 \\
1993 & 0,05 & 23,23 & 0,31 & 29,33 \\
1994 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
1995 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
1996 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
1997 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
1998 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
1999 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
2000 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
2001 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
2002 & 0,18 & 13,92 & 0,80 & 32,99 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline Year & Slope & Intercept & R-Square & CPUE(175 tonnes) \\
\hline 1987 & 0,39 & 3,51 & 0,98 & 22,75 \\
1988 & 0,22 & 8,81 & 0,71 & 25,27 \\
1989 & 0,28 & 5,91 & 1,00 & 22,91 \\
1990 & 0,37 & 3,32 & 0,91 & 20,24 \\
1991 & 0,40 & 3,79 & 0,96 & 25,98 \\
1992 & 0,10 & 20,74 & 0,56 & 33,69 \\
1993 & 0,05 & 23,23 & 0,31 & 29,33 \\
1994 & 0,24 & 10,48 & 0,92 & 34,05 \\
1995 & 0,19 & 15,44 & 0,77 & 39,55 \\
1996 & 0,48 & 2,36 & 0,92 & 23,89 \\
1997 & 0,29 & 7,33 & 0,92 & 29,03 \\
1998 & 0,65 & 0,68 & 0,74 & 15,74 \\
1999 & 1,05 & 0,09 & 0,88 & 14,22 \\
2000 & 0,90 & 0,41 & 0,93 & 30,79 \\
2001 & 1,52 & 0,01 & 0,68 & 12,55 \\
2002 & 1,66 & 0,00 & 0,94 & 8,08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.3 Effort in days fishing and average GRT of Norwegian vessels fishing for Norway pout by quarter, 1983-2002.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Quarter 1} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Quarter 2} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Quarter 3} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Quarter 4} \\
\hline & Effort & Aver. GRT & Effort & Aver. GRT & Effort & Aver. GRT & Effort & Aver. GRT \\
\hline 1983 & 293 & 167,6 & 1168 & 168,4 & 2039 & 159,9 & 552 & 171,7 \\
\hline 1984 & 509 & 178,5 & 1442 & 141,6 & 1576 & 161,2 & 315 & 212,4 \\
\hline 1985 & 363 & 166,9 & 417 & 169,1 & 230 & 202,8 & 250 & 221,4 \\
\hline 1986 & 429 & 184,3 & 598 & 148,2 & 195 & 197,4 & 222 & 226,0 \\
\hline 1987 & 412 & 199,3 & 555 & 170,5 & 208 & 158,4 & 334 & 196,3 \\
\hline 1988 & 296 & 216,4 & 152 & 146,5 & 73 & 191,1 & 590 & 202,9 \\
\hline 1989 & 132 & 228,5 & 586 & 113,7 & 1054 & 192,1 & 1687 & 178,7 \\
\hline 1990 & 369 & 211,0 & 2022 & 171,7 & 1102 & 193,9 & 1143 & 187,6 \\
\hline 1991 & 774 & 196,1 & 820 & 180,0 & 1013 & 179,4 & 836 & 187,7 \\
\hline 1992 & 847 & 206,3 & 352 & 181,3 & 1030 & 202,2 & 1133 & 199,8 \\
\hline 1993 & 475 & 227,5 & 1045 & 206,6 & 1129 & 217,8 & 501 & 219,8 \\
\hline 1994 & 436 & 226,5 & 450 & 223,5 & 1302 & 212,0 & 686 & 211,4 \\
\hline 1995 & 545 & 223,6 & 237 & 233,8 & 155 & 221,7 & 297 & 218,1 \\
\hline 1996 & 456 & 213,6 & 136 & 219,9 & 547 & 208,3 & 132 & 207,2 \\
\hline 1997 & 132 & 202,4 & 193 & 218,9 & 601 & 194,8 & 218 & 182,3 \\
\hline 1998 & 497 & 192,6 & 272 & 213,6 & 263 & 176,8 & 203 & 193,8 \\
\hline 1999 & 267 & 173,0 & 735 & 180,1 & 1165 & 187,4 & 229 & 166,9 \\
\hline 2000 & 294 & 197,1 & 348 & 180,7 & 929 & 205,3 & 196 & 219,3 \\
\hline 2001 & 252 & 203,4 & 297 & 192,9 & 130 & 165 & 65 & 219,4 \\
\hline 2002 & 98 & 208,6 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.4 Norway pout. Combined Danish and Norwegian fishing effort (standardised) to be used in the assessment.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Quarter 1} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Quarter 2} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Quarter 3} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Quarter 4} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Year total} \\
\hline Year & Norway & Denmark & Total & Norway & Denmark & Total & Norway & Denmark & Total & Norway & Denmark & Total & Norway & Denmark & Total \\
\hline 1987 & 441 & 1127 & 1568 & 547 & 31 & 578 & 197 & 1194 & 1391 & 355 & 1637 & 1992 & 1540 & 3989 & 5530 \\
\hline 1988 & 315 & 883 & 1198 & 144 & 13 & 156 & 75 & 417 & 492 & 617 & 1894 & 2511 & 1150 & 3207 & 4357 \\
\hline 1989 & 146 & 777 & 923 & 485 & 195 & 680 & 1093 & 1749 & 2841 & 1701 & 2284 & 3985 & 3424 & 5005 & 8429 \\
\hline 1990 & 406 & 992 & 1397 & 2002 & 87 & 2089 & 1162 & 463 & 1625 & 1185 & 1653 & 2838 & 4754 & 3195 & 7949 \\
\hline 1991 & 824 & 1319 & 2143 & 833 & 33 & 866 & 1027 & 485 & 1512 & 869 & 1724 & 2593 & 3553 & 3561 & 7114 \\
\hline 1992 & 866 & 2092 & 2959 & 354 & 17 & 371 & 1051 & 1530 & 2581 & 1154 & 1242 & 2396 & 3424 & 4882 & 8306 \\
\hline 1993 & 483 & 1234 & 1718 & 1056 & 37 & 1094 & 1145 & 1560 & 2705 & 508 & 1671 & 2179 & 3193 & 4503 & 7696 \\
\hline 1994 & 464 & 1265 & 1729 & 477 & 74 & 551 & 1364 & 617 & 1981 & 718 & 1227 & 1945 & 3023 & 3183 & 6206 \\
\hline 1995 & 578 & 809 & 1387 & 254 & 99 & 353 & 164 & 853 & 1017 & 313 & 1487 & 1800 & 1309 & 3249 & 4558 \\
\hline 1996 & 478 & 579 & 1057 & 144 & 185 & 329 & 571 & 760 & 1331 & 138 & 1240 & 1378 & 1331 & 2764 & 4095 \\
\hline 1997 & 137 & 394 & 531 & 204 & 17 & 221 & 617 & 1244 & 1861 & 220 & 1121 & 1341 & 1178 & 2776 & 3954 \\
\hline 1998 & 509 & 446 & 955 & 285 & 34 & 319 & 264 & 562 & 826 & 208 & 457 & 665 & 1266 & 1499 & 2765 \\
\hline 1999 & 266 & 305 & 571 & 740 & 56 & 796 & 1185 & 387 & 1572 & 226 & 733 & 959 & 2417 & 1481 & 3898 \\
\hline 2000 & 303 & 303 & 606 & 351 & 75 & 426 & 966 & 221 & 1187 & 207 & 1903 & 2110 & 1827 & 2502 & 4329 \\
\hline 2001 & 261 & 441 & 702 & 304 & 15 & 319 & 128 & 48 & 176 & 69 & 541 & 610 & 762 & 1045 & 1807 \\
\hline 2002 & 102 & 386 & 488 & & & & & & & & & & 102 & 386 & 488 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.5 CPUE indices ('000s per fishing day) by age and quarter from Danish and Norwegian commercial fishery (CF) in the North Sea (Area IV, commercial tuning fleet).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{CF, 1st quarter} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{CF, 2nd quarter} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{CF, 3rd quarter} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{CF, 4th quarter} \\
\hline & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group \\
\hline 1982 & . & 2144,5 & 169,0 & 87,9 & . & 1705,7 & 144,3 & 12,1 & 30,3 & 1320,2 & 86,5 & 12,4 & 368,4 & 1050,5 & 16,0 & 0,0 \\
\hline 1983 & . & 1524,2 & 470,0 & 5,4 & . & 1044,9 & 706,5 & 5,5 & 74,3 & 969,6 & 262,0 & 2,8 & 604,9 & 972,9 & 85,9 & 1,7 \\
\hline 1984 & & 1137,9 & 566,8 & 59,1 & & 1518,0 & 784,9 & 181,1 & 0,2 & 990,2 & 314,9 & 1,5 & 462,0 & 723,1 & 152,1 & 0,0 \\
\hline 1985 & & 877,1 & 528,2 & 74,3 & & 1310,5 & 221,5 & 20,3 & 2,6 & 599,0 & 339,0 & 8,3 & 183,6 & 809,5 & 47,2 & 0,0 \\
\hline 1986 & & 108,5 & 292,9 & 19,8 & & 267,9 & 89,3 & 3,0 & 0,0 & 531,1 & 109,7 & 2,7 & 892,9 & 277,1 & 5,9 & 0,0 \\
\hline 1987 & . & 1699,6 & 253,8 & 7,7 & . & 1856,4 & 103,8 & 0,0 & 5,8 & 1139,5 & 118,6 & 0,0 & 110,9 & 1073,3 & 115,5 & 2,5 \\
\hline 1988 & . & 205,2 & 583,1 & 16,4 & . & 525,6 & 457,7 & 0,0 & 48,2 & 372,4 & 508,9 & 0,0 & 1173,6 & 251,6 & 161,3 & 0,0 \\
\hline 1989 & . & 1860,8 & 52,1 & 7,6 & . & 1019,8 & 214,9 & 9,6 & 2,4 & 386,0 & 69,6 & 0,0 & 1184,7 & 488,1 & 22,6 & 3,2 \\
\hline 1990 & . & 1063,6 & 450,8 & 25,7 & . & 865,0 & 258,2 & 14,7 & 9,5 & 571,0 & 126,6 & 7,2 & 444,1 & 394,5 & 39,7 & 2,3 \\
\hline 1991 & . & 692,9 & 623,0 & 43,3 & . & 484,3 & 458,2 & 22,0 & 50,2 & 668,2 & 44,0 & 1,0 & 1005,4 & 397,3 & 71,5 & 6,6 \\
\hline 1992 & . & 1128,6 & 360,6 & 39,6 & & 2686,5 & 619,9 & 53,4 & 13,0 & 1010,4 & 144,0 & 0,4 & 190,3 & 1103,2 & 105,9 & 1,0 \\
\hline 1993 & . & 1120,3 & 403,0 & 7,9 & . & 689,2 & 431,6 & 52,7 & 3,9 & 384,4 & 328,5 & 6,9 & 426,5 & 474,2 & 203,0 & 0,8 \\
\hline 1994 & . & 1100,2 & 340,7 & 32,6 & & 675,7 & 517,0 & 52,4 & 93,9 & 519,3 & 203,1 & 35,6 & 1950,6 & 590,1 & 68,9 & 0,0 \\
\hline 1995 & . & 2846,0 & 171,0 & 4,0 & . & 3179,5 & 726,3 & 90,1 & 117,6 & 1860,5 & 38,5 & 2,9 & 198,3 & 1701,8 & 32,9 & 1,7 \\
\hline 1996 & . & 365,0 & 730,6 & 13,2 & . & 121,1 & 408,5 & 115,7 & 121,8 & 346,2 & 714,4 & 27,4 & 1063,4 & 472,0 & 241,7 & 0,2 \\
\hline 1997 & . & 988,8 & 479,3 & 146,6 & . & 435,0 & 593,0 & 540,5 & 1,9 & 1254,0 & 154,0 & 56,4 & 75,0 & 1344,0 & 152,5 & 25,8 \\
\hline 1998 & & 149,9 & 722,7 & 49,3 & & 182,8 & 756,7 & 54,8 & 31,0 & 318,7 & 349,3 & 1,1 & 232,4 & 773,4 & 322,0 & 20,0 \\
\hline 1999 & . & 351,0 & 224,6 & 128,0 & & 280,3 & 230,0 & 116,8 & 0,0 & 725,5 & 213,5 & 21,9 & 1084,5 & 515,2 & 166,6 & 24,1 \\
\hline 2000 & & 1077,6 & 304,8 & 4,5 & & 575,3 & 426,9 & 113,6 & 20,0 & 894,8 & 206,9 & 17,2 & 121,9 & 2174,1 & 114,5 & 2,8 \\
\hline 2001 & . & 299,9 & 1195,2 & 49,9 & . & 216,0 & 662,1 & 312,0 & 30,5 & 369,2 & 142,7 & 6,3 & 557,3 & 321,6 & 718,4 & 1,5 \\
\hline 2002 & . & 633,1 & 393,3 & 34,2 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.6
Research vessel indices (cpue in catch in number per trawl hour) of abundance for Norway pout.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{IBTS/IYFS \({ }^{1}\) February} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{EGFS \({ }^{2,3}\) August} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{SGFS \({ }^{4}\) August} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{IBTS 3 \({ }^{\text {rd }}\) Quarter \({ }^{1}\)} \\
\hline & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group \\
\hline 1970 & 35 & 6 & - & - & - & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & & - \\
\hline 1971 & 1,556 & 22 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1972 & 3,425 & 653 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1973 & 4,207 & 438 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1974 & 25,626 & 399 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1975 & 4,242 & 2,412 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1976 & 4,599 & 385 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1977 & 4,813 & 334 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1978 & 1,913 & 1,215 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1979 & 2,690 & 240 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1980 & 4,081 & 611 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1,928 & 346 & 12 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1981 & 1,375 & 557 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 185 & 127 & 9 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1982 & 3,315 & 403 & - & 6,594 & 2,609 & 39 & 77 & 8 & 991 & 44 & 22 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1983 & 2,331 & 663 & 9 & 6,067 & 1,558 & 114 & 0.4 & 13 & 490 & 91 & 1 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1984 & 3,925 & 802 & 58 & 457 & 3,605 & 359 & 14 & 2 & 615 & 69 & 9 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1985 & 2,109 & 1,423 & 71 & 362 & 1,201 & 307 & 0 & 5 & 636 & 173 & 5 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1986 & 2,043 & 384 & 23 & 285 & 717 & 150 & 80 & 38 & 389 & 54 & 9 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1987 & 3,023 & 469 & 65 & 8 & 552 & 122 & 0.9 & 7 & 338 & 23 & 1 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1988 & 127 & 760 & 13 & 165 & 102 & 134 & 21 & 14 & 38 & 209 & 4 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1989 & 2,079 & 260 & 178 & 1,530 & 1,274 & 621 & 20 & 2 & 382 & 21 & 14 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1990 & 1,320 & 773 & 46 & 2,692 & 917 & 158 & 23 & 58 & 206 & 51 & 2 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1991 & 2,497 & 677 & 129 & 1,509 & 683 & 399 & 6 & 10 & 732 & 42 & 6 & 7,383 & 1,105 & 222 & 3 \\
\hline 1992 & 5,121 & 902 & 33 & 2,885 & 6,193 & 1,069 & 157 & 12 & 1,715 & 221 & 24 & 2,588 & 4,366 & 640 & 48 \\
\hline 1993 & 2,681 & 2,644 & 259 & 5,699 & 3,278 & 1,715 & 0 & 2 & 580 & 329 & 20 & 3,953 & 1,861 & 597 & 53 \\
\hline 1994 & 1,868 & 375 & 67 & 7,764 & 1,305 & 112 & 7 & 136 & 387 & 106 & 6 & 3,196 & 704 & 102 & 14 \\
\hline 1995 & 5,941 & 785 & 77 & 7,546 & 6,174 & 387 & 14 & 37 & 2,438 & 234 & 21 & 1,762 & 4,527 & 317 & 42 \\
\hline 1996 & 912 & 2,635 & 234 & 3,274 & 1,262 & 303 & 2 & 127 & 412 & 321 & 8 & 4,554 & 763 & 362 & 12 \\
\hline 1997 & 9,752 & 1,474 & 670 & 1,103 & 5,579 & 364 & 32 & 1 & 2,154 & 130 & 32 & 490 & 3,521 & 169 & 40 \\
\hline 1998 & 1,006 & 5,343 & 300 & 2,684 & 411 & 248 & 0 & 2,628 & 938 & 1,027 & 5 & 2,931 & 806 & 743 & 11 \\
\hline 1999 & 3,527 & 597 & 667 & 6,358 & 1,930 & 88 & 26 & 3,603 & 1,784 & 180 & 37 & 7,844 & 2,367 & 201 & 94 \\
\hline 2000 & 8,097 & 1,533 & 65 & 2,005 & 6,261 & 141 & 2 & 2,094 & 6,656 & 207 & 23 & 1,644 & 7,868 & 282 & 11 \\
\hline 2001 & 1,304 & 2,861 & 235 & 3,547 & 970 & 667 & 5 & 756 & 727 & 710 & 26 & 2,084 & 1,279 & 860 & 27 \\
\hline 2002 & 1791 & 809 & 880 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) International Bottom Trawl Survey, arithmetic mean catch in no./h in standard area.
\({ }^{2}\) English groundfish survey, arithmetic mean catch in no./h, 22 selected rectangles within Roundfish areas 1, 2, and 3.
\({ }^{3}\) 1982-91 EGFS numbers adjusted from Granton trawl to GOV trawl by multiplying by 3.5.
\({ }^{4}\) Scottish groundfish surveys, arithmetic mean catch no./h. Survey design changed in 1998 and 2000. 0-group indices not used from this survey. input data used in the SXSA.

SURVIVORS ANALYSIS OF: Norway pout in 2002
Run: npns3a02 (NP3B02) (Summary from NP3A02)

\section*{The following parameters were used:}

Year range:
Seasons per year:
The last season in the last year is season :
Youngest age: 0; Oldest age: 3;
(Plus age: 4)
\[
1983-2002
\]

4
1
Recruitment in season:
Spawning in season:
The following fleets were included:
Fleet 1:
Fleet 2:
Fleet 3:
Fleet 4:
commercial
ibts-1q
egfs
sgfs

\section*{The following options were used:}

1: Inv. catchability:
2: Indiv. shats:
2
(1: Direct; 2: Using z)
3: Comb. shats:
(1.

4: Fit catches: 0
(0: No fit; 1: No SOP corr; 2: SOP corr.)
(0: No; 1: No SOP corr; 2: SOP corr; 3: Sep. F)
6: Weighting of rhats: 0
(0: Manual)
7: Weighting of shats.
(0: Manual; 1: Linear; 2: Log.)
8: Handling of the plus group:
(1: Dynamic; 2: Extra age group)

\section*{Data were input from the following files:}

Catch in numbers:
Weight in catch:
Weight in stock:
Natural mortalities:
Maturity ogive:
Tuning data (cpue):
Weighting for rhats:
canum. qrt
weca. qrt
west.qrt
natmor.qrt
matprop.qrt
tuning.xsa
rweigh.xsa

Table 12.4.2

\section*{Seasonal extended survivor analysis (SXSA) of Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerrak. Stock numbers, SSB, and TSB at start of season.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Year \\
Season \\
AGE
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1983} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1984} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{1985} \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 153165. & 102304. & * & * & 78866. & 52864. & * & * & 57107. & 38275. \\
\hline 1 & 108827. & 69504. & 45095. & 25459. & 66390. & 42243. & 26472. & 13414. & 33609. & 20675. & 13157. & 7673. \\
\hline 2 & 13608. & 8059. & 4392. & 1655. & 13548. & 7956. & 4380. & 1558. & 6133. & 2994. & 1889. & 617. \\
\hline 3 & 114. & 64. & 35. & 10. & 799. & 418. & 60. & 34. & 443. & 140. & 83. & 40. \\
\hline 4+ & 6. & 3. & 0. & 0 . & 0. & 0 . & 0 . & 0 . & 23. & 15. & 10. & 7. \\
\hline SSN & 24611. & & & & 20986. & & & & 9960. & & & \\
\hline SSB & 380466 . & & & & 376509. & & & & 177452. & & & \\
\hline TSN & 122555. & 77631. & 202686. & 129428. & 80737. & 50618. & 109778. & 67870. & 40208. & 23824. & 72246. & 46612. \\
\hline TSB & 1066077. & 1319938. & 1930984. & 1269459. & 794763. & 925075. & 1169218. & 693102. & 389192. & 419764. & 643561. & 434360. \\
\hline Year & 1986 & & & & 1987 & & & & 1988 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline AGE 0 & * & * & 110121. & 73816. & * & * & 32236. & 21602. & * & * & 88447. & 58681. \\
\hline 1 & 25101. & 16501. & 10848. & 6301. & 44919. & 27910. & 17828. & 10619. & 14295. & 9378. & 6209. & 4012. \\
\hline 2 & 2695. & 931. & 553. & 170. & 2757. & 1520. & 970. & 510. & 5357. & 3018. & 1963. & 1111. \\
\hline 3 & 271. & 122. & 80. & 49. & 82. & 45. & 30. & 20. & 151. & 85. & 57. & 38. \\
\hline \(4+\) & 31. & 18. & 12. & 8. & 38. & 25. & 17. & 11. & 17. & 11. & 8. & 5. \\
\hline SSN & 5507. & & & & 7370. & & & & 6955. & & & \\
\hline SSB & 89435. & & & & 97524. & & & & 134852. & & & \\
\hline TSN & 28098. & 17573. & 121614. & 80344. & 47797. & 29500. & 51080. & 32762. & 19820. & 12492. & 96682. & 63847. \\
\hline TSB & 247573. & 286321. & 740244. & 597769. & 380514. & 473963. & 618152. & 396434. & 224907. & 248162. & 596808. & 493229. \\
\hline Year & 1989 & & & & 1990 & & & & 1991 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 99506. & 66571. & * & * & 94330. & 63215. & * & * & 165660. & 110444. \\
\hline 1 & 36759. & 23219. & 15009. & 8692. & 40650. & 25742 . & 15798. & 9795. & 41561. & 26630. & 17330. & 10373. \\
\hline 2 & 2172. & 1417. & 841. & 345. & 4401. & 2472 . & 1189. & 645. & 5599. & 2660. & 1452 . & 797. \\
\hline 3 & 413. & 272. & 177. & 115. & 155. & 88. & 43. & 24. & 338. & 150. & 85. & 39. \\
\hline \(4+\) & 29. & 19. & 13. & 9. & 72. & 40. & 27. & 18. & 25. & 12. & 8. & 5. \\
\hline SSN & 6290. & & & & 8694. & & & & 10118. & & & \\
\hline SSB & 91656. & & & & 135552. & & & & 167172. & & & \\
\hline TSN & 39373. & 24927. & 115546. & 75732. & 45279. & 28342. & 111387. & 73697. & 47523. & 29452. & 184536. & 121659. \\
\hline TSB & 323241. & 411133. & 820034. & 620521. & 391646. & 476830. & 825986. & 633074. & 429007. & 498052. & 1163452. & 936966. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 12.4.2 (Cont'd)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & 1992 & & & & 1993 & & & & 1994 & & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Season AGE} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 77065. & 50938. & * & * & 60943. & 40772. & * & * & 231158. & 154421. \\
\hline 1 & 71179. & 44801. & 28785. & 16465. & 33364. & 20774. & 13260. & 7949. & 26369. & 16059. & 10459. & 6169. \\
\hline 2 & 6095. & 3196. & 1903. & 957. & 8757. & 5298. & 3164. & 1374. & 4469. & 2512. & 1450. & 628. \\
\hline 3 & 381. & 159. & 90. & 60. & 423. & 271. & 134. & 75. & 557. & 328. & 196. & 73. \\
\hline \(4+\) & 15. & 8. & 5. & 3. & 41. & 27. & 18. & 12. & 57. & 38. & 25. & 17. \\
\hline SSN & 13609. & & & & 12557. & & & & 7719. & & & \\
\hline SSB & 200006. & & & & 235210. & & & & 142215. & & & \\
\hline TSN & 77671. & 48164. & 107848. & 68423. & 42585. & 26371. & 77519. & 50183. & 31451. & 18935. & 243289. & 161307. \\
\hline TSB & 648435. & 789079. & 1115117. & 727974. & 445403. & 506851. & 719400. & 489506. & 308337. & 344769 . & 1260223. & 1099005. \\
\hline Year & 1995 & & & & 1996 & & & & 1997 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline AGE & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 72968. & 48339. & * & * & 175308. & 116919. & * & * & 51013. & 34106. \\
\hline 1 & 100041. & 63792. & 41201. & 25534. & 31017. & 20354. & 13185. & 7984. & 76313. & 50604. & 33839. & 20154. \\
\hline 2 & 3195. & 1945. & 1094. & 695. & 14375. & 9003. & 5871. & 3115. & 4819. & 2964. & 1880. & 956. \\
\hline 3 & 311. & 204. & 111. & 72. & 418. & 269. & 149. & 70. & 1815. & 1152. & 675. & 367. \\
\hline 4+ & 60. & 41. & 27. & 18. & 58. & 39. & 26. & 17. & 58. & 39. & 26. & 18. \\
\hline SSN & 13571. & & & & 17952. & & & & 14324. & & & \\
\hline SSB & 156145. & & & & 357896. & & & & 235323. & & & \\
\hline TSN & 103608. & 65981. & 115401. & 74658. & 45867. & 29664. & 194539. & 128106. & 83006. & 54759. & 87433. & 55600. \\
\hline TSB & 786404. & 1035476. & 1375581. & 910681. & 553305. & 627018. & 1292225. & 1020039. & 716092. & 919630. & 1171369. & 729571. \\
\hline Year & 1998 & & & & 1999 & & & & 2000 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline AGE & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 76539. & 51229. & * & * & 203023. & 136057. & * & * & 50462. & 33766. \\
\hline 1 & 22581. & 14923. & 9831. & 6253. & 34062. & 22667. & 14934. & 8947. & 90279. & 59981. & 39977. & 25678. \\
\hline 2 & 11936. & 7436. & 4731. & 2899. & 3757. & 2413. & 1438. & 687. & 5526. & 3553. & 2212. & 1265. \\
\hline 3 & 471. & 277. & 171. & 113. & 1767. & 1125. & 678. & 426. & 330. & 219. & 107. & 55. \\
\hline 4+ & 229. & 147. & 79. & 53. & 100. & 67. & 45. & 30. & 287. & 192. & 129. & 86. \\
\hline SSN & 14894. & & & & 9031. & & & & 15170. & & & \\
\hline SSB & 310060 . & & & & 182800. & & & & 214010. & & & \\
\hline TSN & 35217. & 22783. & 91350. & 60547. & 39687. & 26272. & 220117. & 146147. & 96421. & 63945. & 92887. & 60850. \\
\hline TSB & 452323. & 498747. & 765597. & 579517. & 397394. & 482040 . & 1287932. & 1075702. & 782769. & 1042207. & 1302806. & 849504. \\
\hline Year & 2001 & & & & 2002 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline AGE & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 106750. & 71531. & * & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 22387. & 14827. & 9830. & 6490. & 47647. & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & 13433. & 8312. & 5371. & 3578. & 4132. & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & 648. & 405. & 190. & 126. & 2039. & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4+ & 90. & 60. & 40. & 27. & 102. & & & & & & & \\
\hline SSN & 16409. & & & & 11037. & & & & & & & \\
\hline SSB & 342128. & & & & 211512. & & & & & & & \\
\hline TSN & 36557. & 23605. & 122181. & 81752. & 53919. & & & & & & & \\
\hline TSB & 483167. & 528660. & 915085. & 736038. & 511688. & & & & & & & \\
\hline 536 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.4.3 Seasonal extended survivor analysis (SXSA) of Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerrak. Partial fishing mortalities by fleet.

Partial fishing mortality for fleet commercial
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & 1983 & & & & 1984 & & & & 1985 & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{3} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{4} \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.004 & 0.032 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.052 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.022 \\
\hline 1 & 0.048 & 0.032 & 0.169 & 0.226 & 0.052 & 0.067 & 0.272 & 0.369 & 0.085 & 0.051 & 0.137 & 0.602 \\
\hline 2 & 0.122 & 0.203 & 0.542 & 0.318 & 0.130 & 0.193 & 0.591 & 0.772 & 0.307 & 0.060 & 0.662 & 0.406 \\
\hline 3 & 0.170 & 0.196 & 0.795 & 1.600 & 0.242 & 1.206 & 0.176 & 0.000 & 0.689 & 0.121 & 0.325 & 0.000 \\
\hline 4+ & 0.000 & 1.807 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.039 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.085 & 0.118 & 0.355 & 0.272 & 0.091 & 0.130 & 0.432 & 0.570 & 0.196 & 0.056 & 0.400 & 0.504 \\
\hline Year & 1986 & & & & 1987 & & & & 1988 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.096 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.013 & * & * & 0.010 & 0.067 \\
\hline 1 & 0.019 & 0.019 & 0.141 & 0.409 & 0.075 & 0.048 & 0.117 & 0.277 & 0.021 & 0.012 & 0.036 & 0.209 \\
\hline 2 & 0.616 & 0.119 & 0.711 & 0.317 & 0.192 & 0.049 & 0.236 & 0.740 & 0.171 & 0.030 & 0.166 & 0.553 \\
\hline 3 & 0.379 & 0.029 & 0.095 & 0.000 & 0.194 & 0.000 & 0.013 & 0.351 & 0.174 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline 4+ & 0.124 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.033 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.318 & 0.069 & 0.426 & 0.363 & 0.134 & 0.048 & 0.176 & 0.508 & 0.096 & 0.021 & 0.101 & 0.381 \\
\hline Year & 1989 & & & & 1990 & & & & 1991 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.002 & 0.092 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.019 & * & * & 0.005 & 0.039 \\
\hline 1 & 0.059 & 0.036 & 0.144 & 0.273 & 0.056 & 0.087 & 0.077 & 0.157 & 0.045 & 0.029 & 0.112 & 0.130 \\
\hline 2 & 0.027 & 0.120 & 0.466 & 0.383 & 0.174 & 0.322 & 0.206 & 0.242 & 0.333 & 0.201 & 0.196 & 0.326 \\
\hline 3 & 0.018 & 0.027 & 0.032 & 0.146 & 0.168 & 0.298 & 0.182 & 0.222 & 0.394 & 0.165 & 0.373 & 0.752 \\
\hline \(4+\) & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.181 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.335 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.043 & 0.078 & 0.305 & 0.328 & 0.115 & 0.204 & 0.142 & 0.199 & 0.189 & 0.115 & 0.154 & 0.228 \\
\hline Year & 1992 & & & & 1993 & & & & 1994 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.014 & 0.023 & * & * & 0.002 & 0.036 & * & * & 0.003 & 0.034 \\
\hline 1 & 0.062 & 0.042 & 0.156 & 0.226 & 0.073 & 0.049 & 0.110 & 0.173 & 0.095 & 0.029 & 0.126 & 0.252 \\
\hline 2 & 0.240 & 0.117 & 0.280 & 0.400 & 0.101 & 0.114 & 0.416 & 0.478 & 0.173 & 0.147 & 0.419 & 0.293 \\
\hline 3 & 0.451 & 0.164 & 0.014 & 0.041 & 0.043 & 0.294 & 0.186 & 0.033 & 0.129 & 0.113 & 0.549 & 0.000 \\
\hline 4+ & 0.275 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.005 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.151 & 0.080 & 0.218 & 0.313 & 0.087 & 0.081 & 0.263 & 0.325 & 0.134 & 0.088 & 0.272 & 0.273 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 12.4.3 (Cont'd)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & 1995 & & & & 1996 & & & & 1997 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.012 & 0.043 & * & * & 0.005 & 0.026 & * & * & 0.003 & 0.012 \\
\hline 1 & 0.049 & 0.037 & 0.078 & 0.171 & 0.021 & 0.034 & 0.100 & 0.103 & 0.011 & 0.002 & 0.117 & 0.122 \\
\hline 2 & 0.095 & 0.172 & 0.053 & 0.108 & 0.067 & 0.027 & 0.228 & 0.138 & 0.085 & 0.055 & 0.269 & 0.299 \\
\hline 3 & 0.022 & 0.207 & 0.033 & 0.052 & 0.041 & 0.186 & 0.344 & 0.005 & 0.054 & 0.133 & 0.206 & 0.121 \\
\hline 4+ & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.072 & 0.105 & 0.065 & 0.140 & 0.044 & 0.031 & 0.164 & 0.121 & 0.048 & 0.029 & 0.193 & 0.211 \\
\hline Year & 1998 & & & & 1999 & & & & 2000 & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.001 & 0.008 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.010 & * & * & 0.002 & 0.011 \\
\hline 1 & 0.014 & 0.017 & 0.052 & 0.108 & 0.007 & 0.017 & 0.111 & 0.081 & 0.009 & 0.006 & 0.042 & 0.242 \\
\hline 2 & 0.072 & 0.052 & 0.089 & 0.094 & 0.042 & 0.116 & 0.328 & 0.323 & 0.041 & 0.073 & 0.156 & 0.263 \\
\hline 3 & 0.128 & 0.083 & 0.011 & 0.152 & 0.051 & 0.105 & 0.064 & 0.068 & 0.010 & 0.306 & 0.257 & 0.139 \\
\hline 4+ & 0.043 & 0.221 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.006 & 0.003 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.043 & 0.034 & 0.070 & 0.101 & 0.025 & 0.067 & 0.219 & 0.202 & 0.025 & 0.039 & 0.099 & 0.252 \\
\hline Year & 2001 & & & & 2002 & & & & & & & \\
\hline Season & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & * & * & 0.000 & 0.006 & * & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0.012 & 0.011 & 0.015 & 0.051 & 0.008 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2 & 0.079 & 0.036 & 0.006 & 0.160 & 0.058 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 3 & 0.068 & 0.346 & 0.007 & 0.009 & 0.010 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4+ & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & & & & & & & \\
\hline F ( 1-2) & 0.046 & 0.024 & 0.011 & 0.105 & 0.033 & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Log inverse catchabilities, fleet no: 1} commercial
 AGE
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr}
0 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 15.448 & 11.649 \\
1 & 10.765 & 10.408 & 9.955 & 9.384 \\
2 & 9.348 & 8.815 & 8.991 & 8.883 \\
3 & 9.348 & 8.815 & 8.991 & 8.883
\end{tabular}

Log inverse catchabilities, fleet no: 2

\section*{ibts-1q}


\section*{Log inverse catchabilities, fleet no: \\ 3}
egfs
Year Season 0
1
2
3
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
* & * & 3.845 \\
* & * & 2.234 \\
* & * & 1.656
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{llrl}
\star & * & 2.234 & * \\
\star & \star & 1.656 & * \\
* & * & * & *
\end{array}
\]

\section*{Log inverse catchabilities, fleet no: 4}
sgfs

Year
Season
AGE

1983-2002 (third quarter of year):
\(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{rrrr}* & * & * & * \\ \text { * } & \text { * } & 3.023 & *\end{array}\)

1983-2002 (third quarter of year); (The same for all years; estimated and held constant by year as option in SXSA)
\begin{tabular}{rllrl}
0 & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) \\
1 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 3.023 & \(*\) \\
2 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 2.373 & \(*\) \\
3 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 2.373 & \(*\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 12.4.4 (Cont'd.).}

Weighting factors for computing survivors:
Fleet no:
commercial


\section*{Weighting factors for computing survivors:}

Fleet no:
ibts-1q
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Year \\
Season & \(1983-2002\) & (first quarter of \\
\hline
\end{tabular} Season
0
1
2
3
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
& \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) \\
1.614 & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) \\
1.817 & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) \\
1.127 & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Weighting factors for computing survivors: \\ Fleet no 3}
egfs

\begin{tabular}{rllrl}
0 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 0.823 & \(*\) \\
1 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 1.327 & \(\star\) \\
2 & \(*\) & \(*\) & 1.032 & \(\star\) \\
3 & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\)
\end{tabular}

Weighting factors for computing survivors:
Fleet no:
sgfs


Table 12.6.1 Norway pout in Subarea IV and Division IIIa. Trends in Recruitment (0-group beginning of \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter), SSB (beginning of the year), Yield and average fishing mortality for 1-and 2-group. Values from 1974-1982 are based on previous assessments and are the same as given in previous years' reports.
\begin{tabular}{crrcc}
\hline Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Recruitment \\
Age 0 \\
thousands
\end{tabular} & SSB & Landings & Mean F \\
& 176000000 & 171000 & 735800 & Ages 1-2 \\
\hline 1974 & 212000000 & 208000 & 559700 & 1.840 \\
1975 & 198000000 & 200000 & 437400 & 1.206 \\
1976 & 102000000 & 242000 & 389900 & 1.204 \\
1977 & 201000000 & 241000 & 270100 & 0.835 \\
1978 & 233000000 & 198000 & 329200 & 0.907 \\
1979 & 61000000 & 332000 & 482700 & 1.006 \\
1980 & 306000000 & 278000 & 238500 & 1.233 \\
1981 & 238000000 & 174000 & 395300 & 0.777 \\
1982 & 153165000 & 380466 & 451400 & 1.016 \\
1983 & 78866000 & 376509 & 393000 & 0.830 \\
1984 & 57107000 & 177452 & 205100 & 1.223 \\
1985 & 110121000 & 89435 & 178400 & 1.156 \\
1986 & 32236000 & 97524 & 149300 & 1.176 \\
1987 & 88447000 & 134852 & 109500 & 0.866 \\
1988 & 99506000 & 91656 & 166400 & 0.599 \\
1989 & 94330000 & 135552 & 163300 & 0.754 \\
1990 & 165660000 & 167172 & 186600 & 0.660 \\
1991 & 77065000 & 200006 & 296800 & 0.686 \\
1992 & 60943000 & 235210 & 183100 & 0.762 \\
1993 & 231158000 & 142215 & 182000 & 0.756 \\
1994 & 72968000 & 156145 & 236800 & 0.767 \\
1995 & 175308000 & 357896 & 163800 & 0.382 \\
1996 & 51013000 & 235323 & 169700 & 0.360 \\
1997 & 76539000 & 310060 & 79800 & 0.481 \\
1998 & 203023000 & 182800 & 92000 & 0.248 \\
1999 & 50462000 & 214010 & 184400 & 0.513 \\
2000 & 106750000 & 342128 & 65600 & 0.415 \\
2001 & 132559536 & 211512 & & 0.186 \\
2002 & & 216618 & 267700 & 0.816 \\
\hline Average & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.6.2 Norway pout in Subarea IV and Division IIIa. Yield and spawning biomass per Recruit Freference points:
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Fish Mort \\
Ages 1-2
\end{tabular} & Yield/R & SSB/R \\
\hline Average Current & 0.816 & 1.827 & 9.984 \\
\(\mathbf{F}_{\max }\) & \(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}\) & & \\
\(\mathbf{F}_{0.1}\) & \(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}\) & & \\
\(\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}\) & \(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 12.12.1 \\ Norway pout in Division VIa}

Officially reported landings (tonnes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 \\
\hline Denmark & 5849 & 28180 & 3316 & 4348 & 5147 & 7338 & 14147 & 24431 & 6175 & 9549 \\
\hline Faroes & 376 & 11 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Germany & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & - \\
\hline Netherlands & - & - & - & - & 10 & - & - & 7 & 7 & - \\
\hline Norway & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Poland & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline UK (E+W) & - & - & - & - & 1 & - & 1 & - & - & - \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & 517 & 5 & - & - & - & - & + & - & 140 & 13 \\
\hline Total & 6742 & 28196 & 3316 & 4348 & 5158 & 7338 & 14148 & 24439 & 6322 & 9562 \\
\hline Country & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & & & & & & \\
\hline Denmark & 7186 & 4624 & 2005 & 3214 & & & & & & \\
\hline Faroes & - & - & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline Germany & - & - & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline Netherlands & - & 1 & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline Norway & - & - & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poland & - & - & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline UK (E+W) & - & - & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & - & - & - & & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & 7186 & 4625 & 2005 & 3214 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Plot of landings from the Danish Norway pout fishery by ICES Statistical rectangle and quarter of year.
Year: 2001. Quarter: 1-2. The numbers indicate landings (catch) in 10 tons per ICES square.

Danish Norway pout landings in 2001 quarter 1
Total landings: 17552 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 2195 ton


Danish Norway pout landings in 2001 quarter 2
Total landings: 2503 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 709 ton


Plot of landings from the Danish Norway pout fishery by ICES Statistical rectangle and quarter of year. Year: 2001. Quarter: 3-4. The numbers indicate landings (catch) in 10 tons per ICES square.

Danish Norway pout landings in 2001 quarter 3
Total landings: 2365 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 655 ton


Danish Norway pout landings in 2001 quarter 4
Total landings: 25767 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 9091 ton


Figure 12.1.3 Plot of landings from the Danish Norway pout fishery by ICES Statistical rectangle and quarter of year.
Year: 2000. Quarter: 1-2. The numbers indicate landings (catch) in 10 tons per ICES square.

Danish Norway pout landings in 2000 quarter 1
Total landings: 4776 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 1435 ton


Danish Norway pout landings in 2000 quarter 2
Total landings: 1877 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 402 ton


Plot of landings from the Danish Norway pout fishery by ICES Statistical rectangle and quarter of year. Year: 2000. Quarter: 3-4. The numbers indicate landings (catch) in 10 tons per ICES square.

Danish Norway pout landings in 2000 quarter 3
Total landings: 15356 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 3173 ton


Danish Norway pout landings in 2000 quarter 4
Total landings: 114621 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 55133 ton


Figure 12.3.1 Trends in CPUE (normalized) by quarterly commercial tuning fleet and survey tuning fleet used in the Norway pout SXSA Assessment for each age group and all age groups together.


Figure 12.3.2 Development in the fleet structure and effort and catch rates by vessel category in GRT participating in the Danish Norway pout fishery during the last 20 years (1982-2002). (Logbook Data provided by the Working Group).





Figure 12.3.3 CPUE-values from the Danish Norway pout fishing fleet used in the regression for standardisation of effort for the Danish and Norwegian commercial tuning fleet. CPUE-values for the period 1994-2002 are calculated as overall averages over years based on summed effort and catch data by vessel category over years.


Figure 12.3.4 Development in seasonal and yearly fishing effort for the combined Danish and
Norwegian commercial tuning fleet included in the Norway Pout assessment.
Standardized fishing effort.


Figure 12.3.5 Development in seasonal and yearly landings of the Danish commercial fleet participating in the Danish Norway Pout fishery in the North Sea and Skagerrak.


Figure 12.4.1 Log residual stock numbers \((\log (N h a t / N))\) per age group divided by fleet and season. SXSA-Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerak.



Weighting factors for computing survivors and summed of squared (SSQ) residual stock number for commercial fishery (by season) and for the survey series summed for all age groups. Output from seasonal extended survivors analysis (SXSA). Commercial fishery fleet (CF), IBTS, EGFS, SGFS.
(For comparison it should be noticed that only some of the fleets include SSQ for the 0-group).




Figure 12.4.3 Retrospective analyses of SSB and Recruitment and \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ann}(1-2)}\). No shrinkage used.
SXSA - Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerrak




Figure 12.4.4 Difference in trends in annual fishing mortality as average for age 1 and 2, and stock biomass, in assessment partly with tuning fleet standardization as in previous years (accepted assessment in 2001) and with revised tuning fleet standardization (RTF=Revised Tuning Fleet Standardization) used in the accepted assessment in 2002.




Figure 12.4.5 Difference in trends in annual fishing mortality as average for age 1 and 2, and stock biomass, in assessment partly with natural mortalities as in previous years (accepted assessment in 2002) and with revised natural mortalities applied (NM=New Mortalities).





Figure 12.6.1 Norway pout in Subarea IV and Division IIIa. Historical trends in landings yield, annual fishing mortality, recruitment, and spawning stock biomass.





Figure 12.6.2 Trends in yield, SSB and TSB for Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerrak during the period 1983-2001.


Figure 12.9.1 Recruitment / SSB plot used to calculate F(pa). SXSA - Norway pout in the North Sea and Skagerak. Period: 1974-2001




Figure 12.9.2 Norway pout in Subarea IV and Division IIIa. Biological reference points.


Figure 12.10.1 Norway pout in IV. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.




Figure 12.12.1 Norway pout in Division VIa. Catch trends


\subsection*{13.1 Sandeel in Subarea IV}

\subsection*{13.1.1 Fishery and stock definition}

Sandeel is taken by trawlers using small mesh gear. The fishery is seasonal, taking place mostly in the spring and summer. Most of the sandeel catch consists of Ammodytes marinus, although small quantities of other Ammodytoidei spp. are caught as well. There is little by-catch of protected species.

Sandeels are largely stationary after settlement and the North Sea sandeel fishery must be considered as exploiting a complex of local populations. Recruitment to local areas may not only be related to the local stock, as interchange between areas seems to take place during the early phases of life before settlement. For assessment purposes, the European continental shelf was divided into four regions for sandeel assessment purposes up to 1995: Division IIIa (Skagerrak), northern North Sea, southern North Sea, and Shetland Islands and Division IVa. These divisions were based on regional differences in growth rate and evidence for a limited movement of adults between divisions (e.g. ICES CM 1977/F:7, ICES CM 1991/Assess:14.). The two North Sea divisions were revised in 1995, and it was decided to amalgamate the two stocks into a single stock unit with two fleets, one fleet in the northern North Sea and one in the southern North Sea. The Shetland sandeel stock is assessed separately. ICES assessments have used these stock definitions since 1995.

Based on the distribution and simulated dispersal of larval stages, Wright et al. (1998) suggest that the North Sea stock could be split into six areas, including the Shetland population. Assessments have tentatively been made for some of the areas (Pedersen et al. 1999) and there was high correlation between the results from the study and the one-area assessment made by the WG.

\subsection*{13.1.1.1 ACFM advice applicable to 2001}

There is no management objective set for this stock. There is a need to ensure that the stock remains high enough to provide food for a variety of predator species. The ACFM advice for 2001 was that the stock can sustain the current fishing mortality and that the fishing mortality should not be allowed to increase because the consequences of removing a larger fraction of the food-biomass for other biota are unknown. Management of fisheries should try to prevent local depletion of sandeel aggregations, particularly in areas where predators congregate.

In the light of studies linking low sandeel availability to poor breeding success of kittiwake, ICES advised a closure for 2000 of the sandeel fisheries east of Scotland (Figure 13.1.1.1). All commercial fishing was excluded, except for a maximum of 10 boat days in each of May and June for stock monitoring purposes. The closed area will be maintained for three years with an evaluation every year.
\(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) is determined as 430000 t and \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}} 600000 \mathrm{t}\). No F reference points are given.

\subsection*{13.1.1.2 Management applicable to 2001 and 2002}

The TAC was set to 1020000 tonnes for 2001 and 2002.

Technical measures for the sandeel fishery include a minimum percentage of the target species at \(95 \%\) for meshes \(<16\) mm , or a minimum of \(90 \%\) target species and maximum \(5 \%\) of the mixture of cod, haddock, and saithe for 16 to 31 mm meshes.

\subsection*{13.1.1.3 The fishery in 2001}

The sandeel fishery in 2001 had a rather low catch in the first half-year where the 1 -group and older fish are targeted; however, due to very high catches of the 0 -group in the second half of the year the total landings in 2001 were slightly higher than the arithmetic mean of the catches in the last 20 years.

Official landings statistics of sandeel by country and area of the North Sea are presented in Table 13.1.1.1. These are slightly higher than the landings provided by the Working Group members (Table 13.1.1.2). Industrial species are not sorted by species before processing and it is assumed that the landings consist of one species only in the calculation of the official landings. For Norway and Sweden, the official landings and the WG estimated landings are the same. For

Denmark, the WG estimate of landings is based on samples for species composition taken by the Fishery Inspectors for control of the by-catch regulation. At least one sample ( \(10-15 \mathrm{~kg}\) ) per 1000 tons landings is taken and these samples are used to estimate the average species composition by area (ICES rectangles) and month. This species/area/period key, logbook data (spatial distribution), and landings slip data (quantity) are used to derive the Danish WG estimates of landings of sandeel and by-catch of other species (further information can be found in ICES, 1994/Assess:7; Dalskov, 2002).

The landings of sandeel in the North Sea in 2001, as estimated by the Working Group members were 862000 t , of which \(73 \%\) were landed by the Danish fishery (Table 13.1.1.2). Norway, and in the later years Sweden has had significant landings as well. The catch history is shown in Figure 13.1.1.2. The sandeel fishery was developed in the beginning of the fifties and rose to a peak in 1997 ( 1.1 million t ).

Total international standardized effort (see Section 13.1.3) peaked in 1989, decreased until 1994, and was followed by an increase until 1998 (Figure 13.1.1.2). The effort in 2001 is \(74 \%\) of the highest observed effort. cpue has fluctuated without a clear trend throughout the period.

Figure 13.1.1.1 shows the areas for which catches are tabulated in Tables 13.1.1.3 and 13.1.1.4. Compared to the average of the 5 previous years, a much larger fraction of the catch in 2001 was taken from Area 2a (Table 13.1.1.3). The fishery had relatively small landings in the first half of 2001 followed by relatively high landings in the second half year, mainly from Areas 2 a and 2 b . The 0 -group constituted \(99 \%\) of the catch numbers in the second half-year, against an average of \(47 \%\) for the period 1981-2000.

Figure 13.1.1.3 shows the distribution of catches for 2001 by quarter and ICES statistical rectangle based on logbook data from Danish, Norwegian, and Scottish vessels. A catch of " 0.0 " in a rectangle indicates a very small catch or, for Danish data, that no sandeel was found in a sample from an industrial catch in the rectangle.

The catches for 2002 are not included in this assessment, but provisional Danish landings statistics for the period until the end of May show very high landings. Danish landings were at 446000 tonnes, compared to a mean value of 320 000 t in the same period for the years 1998-2001. For the first two weeks of June, the Danish landings were approximately 65000 tonnes/week, mainly determined by processing capacity.

\subsection*{13.1.2 Natural mortality, maturity, age composition, mean weight-at-age}

Estimates of natural mortality and maturity-at-age used in the assessment are given in Table 13.1.2.1. Values for natural mortalities are the same as used since 1989 (ICES CM 1989/Asssess:13). MSVPA (ICES CM 2002/D:04) estimates of natural mortalities are relatively stable in the period covered by this assessment. The values used in this assessment are quite similar to the MSVPA M, except for the 0 -group where MSVPA estimates a value of approximately 1.2 for the second half of the year. This assessment uses a value of 0.8 for the whole year for the 0 -group.

The proportion mature is assumed constant over the whole period with \(100 \%\) mature from age 2 . Recent research indicates, however, that there are large regional variations in age at maturity of Ammodytes marinus in the North Sea (see e.g. Jensen et al. 2001). Whilst sandeels in some areas seem to spawn at age 2 or older, sandeels in other regions seem to mature and spawn at age 1 . As the decision to spawn at age 1 or 2 is an annual event, it is likely that there are large regional and annual variations in the fraction of the populations of the sandeels that contribute to the spawning. The age at maturity keys used in the assessment might thus considerably underestimate the spawning biomass of sandeels in the North Sea. Analysis of proportion mature is discussed further in Section 13.1.1.1.

Historically, assessments were done separately for the northern and southern North Sea. In recent years, the assessment has been done for the whole North Sea, but data are still compiled separately for the two areas. The catch numbers and weight-at-age data for the northern North Sea were constructed by combining Danish and Norwegian data by half-year. Prior to 1996, the Norwegian age composition data were based on Danish ALK's. Catch numbers and weight-at-age for the southern North Sea are based on Danish age compositions.

The mean weights-at-age in the catch for the southern and northern North Sea are given in Tables 13.1.2.2-13.1.2.3. The mean weight-at-age in the catch used in the assessment (Table 13.1.2.4) is the mean of these values weighted by catch numbers.

The mean weight-at-age in the stock (Table 13.1.2.5) was copied from the mean weight in the catch first half-year, and an arbitrary chosen weight at 1 gram was used for the 0 -group.

The fishing fleets catch sandeels in different parts of the North Sea during the year, and the fishing pattern changes from year to year (see Table 13.1.1.3 13.1.1.4, 13.1.1.5). Because sandeels, Ammodytes marinus, in the North Sea possibly consist of a number of sub-populations the industrial fishery targets different parts of the sandeel populations during the year and between years. There seem to be significant spatial and temporal variations in emergence behaviour (e.g. Rindorf et al. 2000) and growth (e.g. Pedersen et al. 1999; Wright et al. 1998) of sandeels in the North Sea. Furthermore, there are age/length dependent variations in the burrowing behaviour of sandeels (Kvist et al. 2001). The information about age compositions in the catches and the age and weight relationships thus represent average values over time and space and reflect the variability in emergence behaviour and growth. For example, weight-at-age of sandeels seems to vary both between years and between Danish and Norwegian catches (Table 13.1.2.2 and 13.1.2.3).

The effect of variations in the biological data on the performance of the assessments has not yet been analysed. Such an analysis requires information about spatial and temporal variations in emergence and growth. A new sampling programme for such data for the Danish industrial fleet was initiated in 1999 in which a part of the fleet is monitored in detail (Jensen et al. 2001). In 1999, information about catches of sandeel was collected on a trawl haul basis from 17

Danish vessels. In total 231 samples was taken from 49 grounds, corresponding to \(2.6 \%\) of the Danish landings of sandeel in the North Sea in 1999. This sampling programme was continued in 2000 to 2001 with the same sampling level. Basic analysis of the data from 1999-2000 is not completed and data have not yet been used for estimation of assessment catch-at-age numbers. Data for 2001 sampling were used together with data from the routine sampling for estimation of catch-at-age numbers in 2001. Due to the new sampling program, the number of fish measured and aged has increased by a factor of around 10 compared to previous years.

\subsection*{13.1.3 Catch, effort, and research vessel data}

Effort data from the southern and northern North Sea were treated as two independent fleets. The effort data for the southern North Sea prior to 1999 are only available for Danish vessels, although since 1999 Norwegian vessels have also provided effort data. The tuning fleet used for the northern North Sea is a mixture of Danish and Norwegian vessels, even though separate national fleets would have been preferable. Such separation is, however, not suitable, due to the use of a common Danish ALK for the period before 1996. Total international standardised effort was estimated as described in the WG report from 1996 (ICES 1996/Assess:6). Input data for these calculations are given in Tables 13.1.3.1, 13.1.3.2, and 13.1.3.3. The results of the regressions used to standardise effort to a 200 GRT vessel are given in Table 13.1.3.4. Total international effort is given in Tables 13.1.3.5 and 13.1.3.6 by area and combined in Figure 13.1.1.2. Table 13.1.3.7 gives cpue data by fleet raised to total international effort level.

The cpue given as total catch weight per effort shows a high correlation between the fleets over the years (Figure 13.1.3.1). cpue as number per effort by age group (Figure 13.1.3.2) shows a weak correlation between the fleets for the 0 -group and a strong correlation for ages 1 and 2. cpue of the 0 -group in 2001 is the highest in the shown time-series.

There are no survey time-series available for this stock.

\subsection*{13.1.4 Catch-at-age analysis}

The Seasonal XSA (SXSA) developed by Skagen (1993) has previously been used for stock assessment of sandeel. Annual XSA was tried at last years' WG where it was concluded that the two approaches gave similar results. For a standardization of methodology, it was decided to shift to XSA this year, if ACFM had no strong views against it. Therefore, XSA is used for the final assessment this year and SXSA is only included as an explorative run.

\subsection*{13.1.4.1 Exploration of data}

A number of exploratory runs were made to evaluate the sensitivity of the assessment to both the data and the assessment model. XSA runs were first performed to investigate temporal trend in catchability using single fleet tuning (run 1-4) with data from the period 1983-2001, no taper, light shrinkage of 1.5, and minimum standard error of fleet estimate set to 0.1 for a maximum influence on the terminal population and F. The log-catchability residuals (Figure 13.1.4.1) from these runs indicate no trend in catchability over the years. The residuals for the oldest ages in all four single fleet runs are very small, which might be a result of the few age-groups and a relatively weak signal in the timeseries for other ages. First half-year fleets, in which most of the catch is taken, have in general smaller residuals.

Overview Table of explorative runs.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \hline Run & Fleets & \begin{tabular}{l} 
F-Shrinkage S.E. \\
(default over 5 years and 3 ages)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Catchability \\
independent of age
\end{tabular} & Power model \\
\hline 1 & North, 1 half-year & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & No \\
\hline 2 & South, 1 half-year & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & No \\
\hline 3 & North, 2 half-year & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & No \\
\hline 4 & South, 2 half-year & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & No \\
\hline 5 & All & 0.5 & \(>=3\) & No \\
\hline 6 & All & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Yes, age 0 \& 1 (pop. \\
shrinkage)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 7 & All & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & No \\
\hline 8 & All & 1.5 & \(>=3\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Yes, age 0 \& 1 (no \\
pop. shrinkage)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 9 & All & 5.0 & No \\
\hline FINAL & All & \(1.5(5\) years and 2 ages) & \(>=3\) & no \\
\hline 11 & SXSA & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

XSA's default settings were applied in run 5 for which the retrospective analysis results are shown in Figure 13.1.4.2. The estimates of SSB and recruits are highly variable from one year to the next. A tuning (run 7) with shrinkage S.E. at 1.5 (light shrinkage) gave a much more consistent retrospective pattern. No F-shrinkage (run 9) gave slightly more variable recruit estimates in the retrospective analysis, and light F shrinkage was chosen for the final run. All the retrospective analyses were done over the full year range of tuning data.

Stock-size-dependent catchability was analysed in run 6 , where age 0 and 1 were treated as recruits. The slopes for age 0 and 1 were significantly different from 1 according to the tuning statistic output for only parts of the fleets (Southern fleet age 1 in the first half-year and age 0 in the second half-year). Population shrinkage might be inappropriate for a stock like sandeel with highly variable recruitment, and the effect of no population shrinkage for age 0 and 1 was tried in run 8 . The retrospective recruitment estimates for both runs were slightly more variable than for the tuning without stock size dependent catchability (run 7). Stock-size-dependent catchability was not chosen for the final run.

For all the explorative runs catchability was assumed age-dependent for all true ages. The effect of setting catchability to be equal for ages 2 and 3 was not significant (hardly detectable), and age 2 was chosen as lower age for ageindependent catchability in the final run. Tuning converged after 26 iterations when age 2 was used as lower catchability age. None of the explorative runs with age 3 as lower age converged.

For all the explorative runs with F-shrinkage, the shrinkage was done over 3 ages. This was an error, as the much lower \(F\) for age 0 is then included in the calculation of average F. Estimated SSB in the years with tuning data becomes slightly lower when just 2 ages are used for shrinkage, as the average F from shrinkage becomes higher. For years without tuning data, where the "backward extension" method is used for estimation of terminal F, the difference in SSB was up to a factor two for tuning using 2 or 3 ages.

Figure 13.1.4.3 shows SSB and F in the 2001 as estimated from the exploratory runs. Three of the single fleet tuning runs give estimates of SSB and F far from the other methods. The Southern fleet, first half-year gives results similar to the runs where all the fleets are included. F-shrinkage (S.E. 0.5) used in run 5 and population shrinkage used in run 6 both give a relatively high SSB. The remaining methods with light or no shrinkage, including SXSA, give similar results with respect to SSB and F-bar.

\subsection*{13.1.4.2 Final assessment}

The assessment used the XSA method (Table 13.1.4.1) with the following settings for tuning:
Tuning settings for Sandeel in the North Sea


The log-catchability residuals show no clear trends (Figure 13.1.4.4), however, the southern fleet first half-year has three positive residuals for age 1 in the most recent years.

The plot of tuning weights (Figure 13.1.4.5) shows that F-shrinkage has a high weight for the 0 -group, even though a low F-shrinkage (S.E. \(=1.5\) ) was used. This indicates that 0 -group cpue is a rather poor predictor of recruitment. The first half-year fleets get the highest weight for the ages \(1-3\), which is in line with the relatively higher catches taken in this period. The Northern fleet gets the highest weight for the 1-group, while age 3 survivors are mainly estimated from the southern fleet cpue.

Figure 13.1.4.6 shows the relationship between \(\log\) stock numbers and log cpue for age 0 (second half-year fleets) and ages 1-4+ (first half-year tuning fleets). Ages 1-4+ give correlation coefficients in the range \(0.19-0.94\), with the highest values from the southern fleet, which takes the greatest part of the landings. The low correlation coefficients (0.16-0.31) for the 0 -group emphasise that commercial cpue data are not a good predictor for recruitment.

The retrospective analysis, Figure 13.1.4.7, shows that the SSB estimates converge rapidly and show no signs of bias in the most recent estimates. The retrospective average F is more variable, but without a trend. Recruitment to the fishery takes place in the third quarter and the stock number estimated is based on commercial cpue data only, which makes the estimate of recruits highly variable and uncertain in the terminal year.

In contrast to SXSA, XSA is able to estimate terminal F for years without tuning data by the so-called "backward extension" method. However, due to the low numbers of age groups the method was not seen reliable for this stock and output are just presented for years with tuning data. Last year's WG report had included outputs from old assessments covering the period 1976-1982. These data have not been included in the stock summary graphs this year.

Fishing mortality-at-age is given in Table 13.1.4.2, stock numbers-at-age in Table 13.1.4.3, and stock summary in Table 13.1.4.4 and Figure 13.1.4.8.

The recruitment estimate for 2001 is the highest ever seen (Figure 13.1.4.8). A fishery well above average (of mainly the same cohort) in the first 5 months of 2002 supports the estimated very high recruitment. Both the northern and the southern tuning fleet estimate a very high recruitment (Table 13.1.4.1), however, the Southern tuning fleet estimates recruitment seven times higher than the Northern fleet. Historically, the 0 -group fishery has mainly taken place in the Northern area and this fleet also gets the highest weight in the overall estimation of recruitment. This weighting and a
relatively high weight on the F-shrinkage "fleet" produce a common XSA estimate close to the estimate from the Northern fleet.

\subsection*{13.1.5 Recruitment estimates}

As no recruitment estimates from surveys are available, recruitment estimates are based exclusively on commercial catch-at-age data. The tuning diagnostics indicate that the 0 -group cpue is a rather poor predictor of recruitment. Very high landings in the period up to mid-June, 2002 of mainly the same cohort confirm, however, a high 2001 recruitment such that it is likely that the recruitment in 2001 was well above average.

\subsection*{13.1.6 Historical stock trends}

Landings have fluctuated without a clear trend in the assessment period (Figure 13.1.4.8). Fishing mortality in 2001 is lower than F in 2000, but higher than the average F in the assessment period.

Recruitment fluctuates without a clear pattern. The recruitments in 1997-2000 were below average for the period 19832000, but the preliminary recruitment estimate for 2001 is the highest observed. The 2001 recruitment is estimated from commercial cpue, which historically has been a poor predictor. Very high landings in 2002 confirm, however, a high 2001 recruitment.

Spawning stock biomass has fluctuated around a level of 1 million \(t\) in the assessment period. After the peak in 1998 (due to the large 1996 year class) the SSB reached a local minimum 2000 and has increased slightly in 2001 (620 000 t). SSB has been estimated above \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(600000 \mathrm{t})\) since 1992, however, the SSB's for the last two years are close to \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\).

\subsection*{13.1.7 Catch forecasts}

The high natural mortality of sandeel and the few year classes in the fishery make the stock size and catch opportunities largely dependent on the size of the incoming year classes. Recruits (age 0 ) have not appeared in the fishery at the time of the WG. Traditional deterministic forecasts are therefore not considered appropriate.

\subsection*{13.1.8 Biological reference points}

In 1998 ACFM proposed that \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) be set at 430000 t , the lowest observed SSB. The \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) was estimated at 600000 t , approximately \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}} * 1.4\). This means that if SSB is estimated to be at \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\), then the probability that the true SSB is less than \(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\) will be less than \(5 \%\) (assuming that estimated SSB is \(\log\) normal distributed with a CV of 0.2 ). No fishing mortality reference points are given. These reference points are based on an assessment using another tuning method than used in this WG. Due to the few age groups, SSB is highly dependent on the terminal F and thereby tuning method. Even though the previously used SXSA and XSA give similar results, an update of the reference points is needed.

Figure 13.1.8.1 shows the relationship between \(\operatorname{Fbar}(1-2)\) and \(\operatorname{SSB}\) in relation to \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) for the period 1983-2001. SSB in 2001 is estimated to be \(3 \%\) above the \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\). SSB has not been below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) since 1991 and never below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}\).

The stock-recruitment scatter plot (Figure 13.1.8.2) shows no clear relationship between stock and recruitment over the observed stock sizes. The largest estimated recruitment (in 2001) comes from a SSB close to \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\).

The yield-per-recruit plot (Figure 13.1.8.3) indicates that maximum yield-per-recruit is obtained by a higher fishing mortality than historically observed. A higher F does however reduce the SSB-per-recruit considerably.

\subsection*{13.1.9 Quality of the assessment}

This year (annual) XSA tuning was chosen for the assessment, where seasonal XSA has been used previously. The results from last year's WG showed similar results for the two approaches, and this year's assessment confirmed similar results for the two methods (Figure 13.1.9.1). In general, XSA gives a lower F and a higher SSB. Recruitment in 2001 is estimated a factor 2 higher by the SXSA method.

The relatively poor correlation between the tuning indices and the stock size is perhaps a reflection of the fact that we are assessing several sub-stocks as a single unit.

The assessment appears to be internally consistent, however, with large log-catchability residuals. No bias was seen in the retrospective analysis for F and SSB.

The low number of age groups makes the assessment highly sensitive to estimated terminal fishing mortalities for the oldest age (age 3). This in combination with an assumed constant and poorly-determined proportion mature makes the SSB estimate uncertain.

The very high recruitment estimate for 2001 is based exclusively on commercial catch-at-age data. The tuning diagnostics indicate that the 0 -group cpue is a rather poor predictor of recruitment and the estimate must be seen as very preliminary. High catch rates in 2002 do however confirm a high recruitment.

The natural mortality of the 0 -group is well below the MSVPA estimates such that the absolute recruitment numbers might be seriously underestimated. The estimated average 0 -group fishing mortality on just 0.03 is therefore an overestimate.

\subsection*{13.1.10 Management considerations}

There is a need to ensure that the sandeel stock remains high enough to provide food for a variety of predator species. Fishing mortality should not be allowed to increase because the consequences of removing a larger fraction of the foodbiomass for other biota are unknown.

The sandeel fishery in the first half of the year consists mainly of age 1 and older fish. The 0 -group recruits to the fishery in the third quarter. Historically the 0 -group has mainly been taken in the northern Subareas. However, in 2001 the main catches were taken in the southern part. The estimated fishing mortality for the 0 -group, which represents the entire stock is very low, but locally fishing mortality might be much higher. Management of fisheries should try to prevent local depletion of sandeel aggregations, particularly in areas where predators congregate.

\subsection*{13.2 Sandeel in Subarea IIIa}

Sandeels in IIIa are considered to include a number of species of Ammodytoidei spp. as for the North Sea. The dominance of Ammodytes marinus in the North Sea is, however, not that pronounced in IIIa, so that traditionally onespecies assessment is not feasible.

The catches in 2001 were 25500 t , which is a small increase compared to the values in 1998-2000, but below the average of 32000 t for the period 1989-2001 (Table 2.2.1).

\subsection*{13.3 Sandeel at Shetlands}

\subsection*{13.3.1 Catch trends}

The sandeel population adjacent to the Shetlands has been exploited since the early 1970s. The grounds fished are close inshore and the vessels involved are generally small and local. Seasonal closures were introduced in 1989 following a decline in SSB and recruitment and poor breeding success of sandeel-dependent seabird populations, and the fishery was closed completely from 1991-1994. A restricted fishery has operated since 1995. Landings in 2001 were 1264 tonnes (Table 13.1.1.3), which is \(40 \%\) of the average of the previous three years and far less than the the 7000 t TAC.

\subsection*{13.3.2 Management in 2001-2003}

The fishery re-opened at the start of the 1998 season with a TAC of 7000 t , limited licensing, and seasonal closures. The fishery is closed during the months of June and July to avoid the possibility of the fishery having an impact on the availability of 0 -group sandeels to Shetlands seabird populations during their chick-rearing season.

Management of the Shetland fishery is based on a three-year multi-annual regime which is agreed among the main stakeholders. These include the Scottish Executive, fishing industry representatives, local government authorities, and NGOs. The regime agreed to cover the period 2001-2003 is effectively the same as the one for 1998-2000.

ACFM (October 2001) suggested that the management plan be evaluated before the agreed end date. The evaluation has been carried out and all interest groups have agreed to the continuation of the current measures.

\subsection*{13.3.3 Assessment}

The main problem in trying to assess the stock using traditional catch-at-age analyses is that the fishing mortality is very low compared to natural mortality, and as a result VPA-like methods tend to fail. A separable model for survey data only (Cook 1997), was applied at the 2001 meeting of the WG for the years 1985-2000 (ICES C.M. 2002/ACFM:01). The results showed that total mortality is more stable than the highly fluctuating recruitment and biomass. The assessment carried out last year suggested that the SSB was at a relatively low level and that recent recruitment has been poor. SSB was likely to decrease in the short term.

In the current WG no attempt was made to update the assessment, as this is only done every third year.

\subsection*{13.4 Sandeel in Division VIa}

\subsection*{13.4.1 Catch trends}

Landings of sandeel in Division VIa as officially reported to ICES are given in Table 13.4.1, and trends in landings are shown in Figure 13.4.1. In 2001 landings were 295 t , which is an insignificant quantity compared to the long-term average of 11000 t (1981-2001).

\subsection*{13.4.2 Assessment}

As with the fishery at Shetland, management of this fishery is on a three-yearly basis, with the management measure that effort is being agreed and then kept in place for a three-year period. No age composition samples were obtained from the fishery since 1999, so it is not possible to provide an updated assessment for this stock. However, it can be seen from the catch and effort data (Figure 13.4.1) that the catch trends in former years are closely related to the amount of annual effort, and the recent decrease in landings corresponds to a similar reduction in fishing effort. On this basis it seems likely that recent exploitation of this stock has been at a very low level.

\subsection*{13.4.3 Stock identity}

ACFM (October 2001) asked the Working Group to verify the the justification of treating Division VIa as a management area for Norway pout and sandeel separately from IV and IIIa. The latest investigation of population structure in Ammodytes marinus in the North Sea and neighbouring waters is given by Wright et al. (1998). The investigation suggests there exist 5 sub-populations of sandeels in the North Sea (Area IV), one population around Shetland (Areas Vb 1 and Vb 2 ), and one population in Area VIa. The analysis was based on information about distribution of the spawning population and pre-settled fish, information from the commercial fishery on sandeels, and information about the length of the larval stage and the dispersal pattern of larvae between spawning areas. The dispersal pattern of larvae was simulated by use of a hydrographic model. The separation of spawning populations between Areas VIa and IV was substantiated by the information available, i.e. there is only little interchange of larvae between these two areas. The separation between Areas IV and III was not investigated in the same detail, primarily because data on distribution of sandeels in Area III is even more sparse than in the other areas mentioned. The study indicated that there did not appear to be a clear separation between the spawning populations in Area IV and Area IIIa, i.e. there seemed to be a substantial transport of larvae between Area IV and Area IIIa. The extent of transport of larvae between the two areas was not described in detail.

The information about the distribution pattern of the different life stages of sandeels has been significantly improved in recent years (see Section 13.2). Further, hydrographic models have been developed (see e.g. Schrum et al. 2000) that can perform more spatially and temporally resolved analyses of dispersal of larvae than the model that was used by Wright et al. (1998, see also Proctor et al. 1998). It would therefore be valuable to explore larval drift between smaller as well as larger aggregations of post-settled fish, in order to verify the present perception of the population structure of sandeels in the North Sea and adjacent waters, and in order to be able to define the spatial scale relevant for evaluating the effect of the sandeel fishery on the sandeel populations.

In conclusion, the available information suggests that Area VIa should be considered as a separate stock unit for sandeel assessment. On the other hand, Areas IV and IIIa might be combined to one stock unit. Up to now, there has not been made any assessment of sandeels in Area III. Further, historical biological data for this area are sparse and would have to be evaluated before a decision is made about treating sandeels in Areas IV and IIIa as one stock.

Table 13.1.1.1 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Official landings reported to ICES

SANDEELS IVa
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & \(2001^{*}\) \\
\hline Denmark & 48,495 & 12,367 & 26,498 & 23,138 & 3,388 & 4,742 & 1,058 \\
Faroe Islands & 2,888 & 15 & 11,221 & 11,000 & 6,582 & & \\
Norway & 195,220 & 61,593 & 98,386 & 172,887 & 44,620 & \(11,522^{*}\) & 4,130 \\
Sweden & + & - & - & 55 & 495 & 55 & - \\
UK (E/W/NI) & 560 & 550 & - & - & - & - & - \\
UK (Scotland) & 1,451 & 1,311 & 3,463 & 5,742 & 4,195 & 4,781 & - \\
United Kingdom & & & & & & & 970 \\
\hline Total & 248,614 & 75,836 & 139,568 & 212,822 & 59,280 & 21,100 & 6,158 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Preliminary.
SANDEELS IVb
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & \(2001^{*}\) \\
\hline Denmark & 766,430 & 607,290 & 731,184 & 603,491 & 503,572 & 533,905 & 638,657 \\
Faroe Islands & 4,597 & 5,008 & - & - & - & & \\
Ireland & - & - & - & - & 389 & - & - \\
Norway & 68,270 & 99,109 & 252,177 & 170,737 & 142,969 & \(107,493^{*}\) & 183,370 \\
Sweden & - & - & - & 8,465 & 21,920 & 27,867 & 46,537 \\
UK (E/W/NI) & 2,020 & 1,130 & 2,575 & - & - & - & - \\
UK (Scotland) & 6,386 & 6,688 & 20,554 & 18,008 & 7,280 & 5,978 & - \\
United Kingdom & & & & & & & - \\
\hline Total & 847,703 & 719,225 & \(1,006,490\) & 800,701 & 676,130 & 675243 & 868564 \\
\hline\({ }^{*}\) Preliminary. & & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

SANDEELS IVc
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Country & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & \(2001^{*}\) \\
\hline Denmark & 4,752 & 1,481 & 3,163 & 9,674 & 10,356 & 11,993 & 7,177 \\
Netherlands & - & - & - & + & + & - & - \\
UK (E/W/NI) & - & - & - & - & - & + & - \\
\hline Total & 4,752 & 1,481 & 3,163 & 9,674 & 10,356 & 11,993 & 7,177 \\
\hline\({ }^{*}\) Preliminary. & & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

Summary table official landings
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline Total IV tonnes & \(1,101,069\) & 796,542 & \(1,149,221\) & \(1,023,197\) & 745,766 & 708,336 & 881,899 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{By-catch and other landings}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline Area IV tonnes: official-WG & 183,169 & 19,598 & 11,439 & 18,797 & 10,628 & 9,187 & 20,288 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Summary table - landing data provided by Working Group members
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline Total IV - tonnes & 917,900 & 776,944 & \(1,137,782\) & \(1,004,400\) & 735,138 & 699,149 & 861,611 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.1.2. SANDEEL in the North Sea. Landings ('O00 t), 1952-2001.
(Data provided by Working Group members.)
\begin{tabular}{crrrrrrrrrr}
\hline Year & Denmark & Germany Faroes Ireland Netherlands & Norway Sweden & UK & Total \\
\hline 1952 & 1.6 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1.6 \\
1953 & 4.5 & + & - & - & - & - & - & - & 4.5 \\
1954 & 10.8 & + & - & - & - & - & - & - & 10.8 \\
1955 & 37.6 & + & - & - & - & - & - & - & 37.6 \\
1956 & 81.9 & 5.3 & - & - & + & 1.5 & - & - & 88.7 \\
1957 & 73.3 & 25.5 & - & - & 3.7 & 3.2 & - & - & 105.7 \\
1958 & 74.4 & 20.2 & - & - & 1.5 & 4.8 & - & - & 100.9 \\
1959 & 77.1 & 17.4 & - & - & 5.1 & 8.0 & - & - & 107.6 \\
1960 & 100.8 & 7.7 & - & - & + & 12.1 & - & - & 120.6 \\
1961 & 73.6 & 4.5 & - & - & + & 5.1 & - & - & 83.2 \\
1962 & 97.4 & 1.4 & - & - & - & 10.5 & - & - & 109.3 \\
1963 & 134.4 & 16.4 & - & - & - & 11.5 & - & - & 162.3 \\
1964 & 104.7 & 12.9 & - & - & - & 10.4 & - & - & 128.0 \\
1965 & 123.6 & 2.1 & - & - & - & 4.9 & - & - & 130.6 \\
1966 & 138.5 & 4.4 & - & - & - & 0.2 & - & - & 143.1 \\
1967 & 187.4 & 0.3 & - & - & - & 1.0 & - & - & 188.7 \\
1968 & 193.6 & + & - & - & - & 0.1 & - & - & 193.7 \\
1969 & 112.8 & + & - & - & - & - & - & 0.5 & 113.3 \\
1970 & 187.8 & + & - & - & - & + & - & 3.6 & 191.4 \\
1971 & 371.6 & 0.1 & - & - & - & 2.1 & - & 8.3 & 382.1 \\
1972 & 329.0 & + & - & - & - & 18.6 & 8.8 & 2.1 & 358.5 \\
1973 & 273.0 & - & 1.4 & - & - & 17.2 & 1.1 & 4.2 & 296.9 \\
1974 & 424.1 & - & 6.4 & - & - & 78.6 & 0.2 & 15.5 & 524.8 \\
1975 & 355.6 & - & 4.9 & - & - & 54.0 & 0.1 & 13.6 & 428.2 \\
1976 & 424.7 & - & - & - & - & 44.2 & - & 18.7 & 487.6 \\
1977 & 664.3 & - & 11.4 & - & - & 78.7 & 5.7 & 25.5 & 785.6 \\
1978 & 647.5 & - & 12.1 & - & - & 93.5 & 1.2 & 32.5 & 786.8 \\
1979 & 449.8 & - & 13.2 & - & - & 101.4 & - & 13.4 & 577.8 \\
1980 & 542.2 & - & 7.2 & - & - & 144.8 & - & 34.3 & 728.5 \\
1981 & 464.4 & - & 4.9 & - & - & 52.6 & - & 46.7 & 568.6 \\
1982 & 506.9 & - & 4.9 & - & - & 46.5 & 0.4 & 52.2 & 610.9 \\
1983 & 485.1 & - & 2.0 & - & - & 12.2 & 0.2 & 37.0 & 536.5 \\
1984 & 596.3 & - & 11.3 & - & - & 28.3 & - & 32.6 & 668.5 \\
1985 & 587.6 & - & 3.9 & - & - & 13.1 & - & 17.2 & 621.8 \\
1986 & 752.5 & - & 1.2 & - & - & 82.1 & - & 12.0 & 847.8 \\
1987 & 605.4 & - & 18.6 & - & - & 193.4 & - & 7.2 & 824.6 \\
1988 & 686.4 & - & 15.5 & - & - & 185.1 & - & 5.8 & 892.8 \\
1989 & 824.4 & - & 16.6 & - & - & 186.8 & - & 11.5 & 1039.1 \\
1990 & 496.0 & - & 2.2 & - & 0.3 & 88.9 & - & 3.9 & 591.3 \\
1991 & 701.4 & - & 11.2 & - & - & 128.8 & - & 1.2 & 842.6 \\
1992 & 751.1 & - & 9.1 & - & - & 89.3 & 0.5 & 4.9 & 854.9 \\
1993 & 482.2 & - & - & - & - & 95.5 & - & 1.5 & 579.2 \\
1994 & 603.5 & - & 10.3 & - & - & 165.8 & - & 5.9 & 785.5 \\
1995 & 647.8 & - & -- & - & - & 263.4 & - & 6.7 & 917.9 \\
1996 & 601.6 & - & 5.0 & - & - & 160.7 & - & 9.7 & 776.9 \\
1997 & 751.9 & - & 11.2 & - & - & 350.1 & - & 24.6 & 1137.8 \\
1998 & 617.8 & - & 11.0 & - & + & 343.3 & 8.5 & 23.8 & 1004.4 \\
1999 & 500.1 & - & 13.2 & 0.4 & + & 187.6 & 22.4 & 11.5 & 735.1 \\
2000 & 541.0 & - & - & - & + & 119.0 & 28.4 & 10.8 & 699.1 \\
2001 & 630.8 & - & - & - & - & 183.0 & 46.5 & 1.3 & 861.6 \\
\hline \(7=3\) & - & & & & & &
\end{tabular}
\(+=\) less than half unit.
\(570^{-=}\)no information or no catch.

Table 13.1.1.3 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Monthly landings (ton) by Denmark, Norway and Scotland from each area defined in Fig 13.1.1.1


Table 13.1.1.4 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Annual landings ('O00 t) by area of the North Sea .
Data provided by Working Group members (Denmark, Norway and Scotland).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Area} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Sampling area} \\
\hline Year & 1A & 1B & 1C & 2A & 2B & 2C & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & Shetland & Northern & Southern \\
\hline 1972 & 98.8 & 28.1 & 3.9 & 24.5 & 85.1 & 0.0 & 13.5 & 58.3 & 6.7 & 28.0 & 0 & 130.6 & 216.3 \\
\hline 1973 & 59.3 & 37.1 & 1.2 & 16.4 & 60.6 & 0.0 & 8.7 & 37.4 & 9.6 & 59.7 & 0 & 107.6 & 182.4 \\
\hline 1974 & 50.4 & 178.0 & 1.7 & 2.2 & 177.9 & 0.0 & 29.0 & 27.4 & 11.7 & 25.4 & 7.4 & 386.6 & 117.1 \\
\hline 1975 & 70.0 & 38.2 & 17.8 & 12.2 & 154.7 & 4.8 & 38.2 & 42.8 & 12.3 & 19.2 & 12.9 & 253.7 & 156.5 \\
\hline 1976 & 154.0 & 3.5 & 39.7 & 71.8 & 38.5 & 3.1 & 50.2 & 59.2 & 8.9 & 36.7 & 20.2 & 135.0 & 330.6 \\
\hline 1977 & 171.9 & 34.0 & 62.0 & 154.1 & 179.7 & 1.3 & 71.4 & 28.0 & 13.0 & 25.3 & 21.5 & 348.4 & 392.3 \\
\hline 1978 & 159.7 & --50 & & 346.5 & --70 & & 42.5 & 37.4 & 6.4 & 27.2 & 28.1 & 163.0 & 577.2 \\
\hline 1979 & 194.5 & 0.9 & 61.0 & 32.3 & 27.0 & 72.3 & 34.1 & 79.4 & 5.4 & 44.3 & 13.4 & 195.3 & 355.9 \\
\hline 1980 & 215.1 & 3.3 & 119.3 & 89.5 & 52.4 & 27.0 & 90.0 & 30.8 & 8.7 & 57.1 & 25.4 & 292 & 401.2 \\
\hline 1981 & 105.2 & 0.1 & 42.8 & 151.9 & 11.7 & 23.9 & 59.6 & 63.4 & 13.3 & 45.1 & 46.7 & 138.1 & 378.9 \\
\hline 1982 & 189.8 & 5.4 & 4.4 & 132.1 & 24.9 & 2.3 & 37.4 & 75.7 & 6.9 & 74.7 & 52.0 & 74.4 & 479.2 \\
\hline 1983 & 197.4 & - & 2.8 & 59.4 & 17.7 & - & 57.7 & 87.6 & 8.0 & 66.0 & 37.0 & 78.2 & 419.0 \\
\hline 1984 & 337.8 & 4.1 & 5.9 & 74.9 & 30.4 & 0.1 & 51.3 & 56.0 & 3.9 & 60.2 & 32.6 & 91.8 & 532.8 \\
\hline 1985 & 281.4 & 46.9 & 2.8 & 82.3 & 7.1 & 0.1 & 29.9 & 46.6 & 18.7 & 84.5 & 17.2 & 79.7 & 513.5 \\
\hline 1986 & 295.2 & 35.7 & 8.5 & 55.3 & 244.1 & 2.0 & 84.8 & 22.5 & 4.0 & 80.3 & 14.0 & 375.1 & 457.4 \\
\hline 1987 & 275.1 & 63.6 & 1.1 & 53.5 & 325.2 & 0.4 & 5.6 & 21.4 & 7.7 & 45.1 & 7.2 & 395.9 & 402.8 \\
\hline 1988 & 291.1 & 58.4 & 2.0 & 47.0 & 256.5 & 0.3 & 37.6 & 35.3 & 12.0 & 102.2 & 4.7 & 384.8 & 487.6 \\
\hline 1989 & 228.3 & 31.0 & 0.5 & 167.9 & 334.1 & 1.5 & 125.3 & 30.5 & 4.5 & 95.1 & 3.5 & 492.4 & 526.3 \\
\hline 1990 & 141.4 & 1.4 & 0.1 & 80.4 & 156.4 & 0.6 & 61.0 & 45.5 & 13.8 & 85.5 & 2.3 & 219.5 & 366.7 \\
\hline 1991 & 228.2 & 7.1 & 0.7 & 114.0 & 252.8 & 1.8 & 110.5 & 22.6 & 1.0 & 93.1 & + & 372.9 & 458.9 \\
\hline 1992 & 422.4 & 3.9 & 4.2 & 168.9 & 67.1 & 0.3 & 101.2 & 20.1 & 2.8 & 54.4 & 0 & 176.7 & 668.6 \\
\hline 1993 & 196.5 & 21.9 & 0.1 & 26.2 & 164.9 & 0.3 & 88.0 & 26.6 & 3.9 & 48.7 & 0 & 276.0 & 301.9 \\
\hline 1994 & 157.0 & 108.6 & - & 61.7 & 203.4 & 2.7 & 175.0 & 16.0 & 2.8 & 42.0 & 0 & 489.7 & 279.5 \\
\hline 1995 & 322.4 & 43.9 & 147.4 & 86.7 & 169.5 & 1.0 & 59.4 & 26.6 & 5.3 & 55.8 & 1.3 & 421.2 & 496.8 \\
\hline 1996 & 310.5 & 18.6 & 31.2 & 40.8 & 153.0 & 4.5 & 134.1 & 12.7 & 3.0 & 52.5 & 1 & 341.2 & 419.5 \\
\hline 1997 & 352.0 & 53.3 & 8.9 & 92.8 & 390.5 & 1.2 & 112.9 & 18.1 & 4.7 & 88.6 & 2.4 & 566.8 & 535.8 \\
\hline 1998 & 282.2 & 58.3 & 2.0 & 90.3 & 395.3 & 1.0 & 40.6 & 34.5 & 4.2 & 63.4 & 5.2 & 497.2 & 480.7 \\
\hline 1999 & 266.7 & 32.6 & 0.1 & 132.8 & 167.9 & 0.0 & 48.0 & 16.9 & 2.7 & 27.2 & 4.2 & 248.7 & 446.4 \\
\hline 2000 & 226.1 & 29.2 & 0.0 & 87.2 & 139.9 & 0.3 & 111.7 & 20.4 & 8.3 & 43.3 & 4.3 & 281.0 & 385.4 \\
\hline 2001 & 239.9 & 13.0 & 1.6 & 263.0 & 177.9 & 0.1 & 49.6 & 12.4 & 7.3 & 49.0 & 1.3 & 242.2 & 571.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Sampling areas: \(\quad\) Northern - Areas 1B, 1C, 2B, 2C, 3.
Southern - Areas 1A, 2A, 4, 5, 6.

Table 13.1.1.5 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Monthly landings (t) by Denmark, Norway and Scotland. (Data provided by Working Group members).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Month & Denmark & Norway & Scotland & Total \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1997} & Mar & 15,343 & 23,005 & & 38,348 \\
\hline & Apr & 88,690 & 52,642 & & 141,332 \\
\hline & May & 208,647 & 71,951 & 8,029 & 288,627 \\
\hline & Jun & 276,974 & 107,270 & 11,581 & 395,825 \\
\hline & Jul & 136,708 & 35,369 & 2,396 & 174,473 \\
\hline & Aug & 22,394 & 22,811 & & 45,205 \\
\hline & Sept & 2,490 & 24,448 & & 26,938 \\
\hline & Oct & 640 & 13,067 & & 13,707 \\
\hline & Nov & 0 & & & 0 \\
\hline & Total & 751,886 & 350,563 & 22,007 & 1,124,456 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1998} & Mar & 14,729 & 9,332 & & 24,061 \\
\hline & Apr & 130,629 & 60,852 & 2,359 & 193,840 \\
\hline & May & 191,407 & 80,885 & 8,246 & 280,538 \\
\hline & Jun & 204,102 & 77,929 & 7,933 & 289,964 \\
\hline & Jul & 56,586 & 29,457 & & 86,043 \\
\hline & Aug & 17,894 & 43,084 & & 60,978 \\
\hline & Sept & 2,395 & 37,331 & & 39,726 \\
\hline & Oct & 17 & 4,503 & & 4,520 \\
\hline & Nov & & & & 0 \\
\hline & Total & 617,759 & 343,373 & 18,538 & 979,670 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1999} & Mar & 6,851 & 8,496 & 479 & 15,826 \\
\hline & Apr & 115,596 & 24,149 & 1,854 & 141,599 \\
\hline & May & 202,813 & 56,961 & 6,578 & 266,352 \\
\hline & Jun & 97,284 & 14,478 & 434 & 112,197 \\
\hline & Jul & 49,333 & 13,245 & 0 & 62,578 \\
\hline & Aug & 19,044 & 27,823 & 2,043 & 48,910 \\
\hline & Sept & 6,217 & 26,366 & 88 & 32,672 \\
\hline & Oct & 2,567 & 15,738 & 0 & 18,305 \\
\hline & Nov & 405 & 332 & & 737 \\
\hline & Total & 500,110 & 187,589 & 11,476 & 699,175 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{2000} & Mar & 7,524 & 3,325 & 687 & 11,536 \\
\hline & Apr & 126,644 & 44,879 & 1,436 & 172,959 \\
\hline & May & 195,866 & 48,292 & 6,400 & 250,558 \\
\hline & Jun & 150,394 & 20,089 & 1,677 & 172,160 \\
\hline & Jul & 60,126 & 1,923 & & 62,049 \\
\hline & Aug & 247 & 113 & 560 & 921 \\
\hline & Sept & 184 & 393 & & 577 \\
\hline & Oct & 3 & & & 3 \\
\hline & Total & 540,988 & 119,015 & 10,759 & 670,763 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{} & Mar & 10,684 & 1,481 & 144 & 12,310 \\
\hline & Apr & 95,723 & 14,922 & 295 & 110,940 \\
\hline & May & 183,757 & 31,231 & 589 & 215,577 \\
\hline & Jun & 127,292 & 10,124 & 0 & 137,416 \\
\hline & Jul & 106,654 & 18,403 & 0 & 125,057 \\
\hline & Aug & 65,021 & 60,192 & 236 & 125,449 \\
\hline & Sep & 33,741 & 32,583 & 0 & 66,324 \\
\hline & Oct & 7,910 & 14,054 & 0 & 21,963 \\
\hline & Nov & 30 & 0 & 0 & 30 \\
\hline & Total & 630,811 & 182,991 & 1,264 & 815,066 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.2.1 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Natural mortality and proportion mature.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Proportion \\
mature
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Natural \\
mortality
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 0 & 0.0 & 0.8 \\
1 & 0.0 & 1.2 \\
2 & 1.0 & 0.6 \\
3 & 1.0 & 0.6 \\
\(4+\) & 1.0 & 0.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.2.2 SANDEEL, Northern North Sea. Mean weight \((\mathrm{g})\) in the catch by country and combined. Age group 4++ is the 4-plus group used in assessment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Year} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Age} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Denmark } \\
& \hline \text { Half-year } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Norway \\
Half-year
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\text { Combined }}{\text { Half-year }}
\]}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1997} & 0 & - & 4.63 & - & 1.58 & - & 1.71 \\
\hline & 1 & 4.20 & 9.12 & 5.97 & 7.69 & 4.94 & 8.11 \\
\hline & 2 & 6.23 & 23.93 & 8.77 & 9.86 & 7.95 & 10.15 \\
\hline & 3 & 10.67 & 10.65 & 12.25 & 28.06 & 11.76 & 23.96 \\
\hline & 4 & 14.73 & 14.02 & 16.97 & - & 15.53 & 14.02 \\
\hline & 5 & 17.94 & 17.94 & - & - & 17.94 & 17.94 \\
\hline & 5+ & - & - & 30.90 & - & 30.90 & - \\
\hline & 6 & 19.26 & 18.56 & - & - & 19.26 & 18.56 \\
\hline & 7 & 21.57 & 18.55 & - & - & 21.57 & 18.55 \\
\hline & 4++ & 18.07 & 17.19 & 29.36 & - & 24.64 & 17.19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1998} & 0 & - & 2.84 & - & 2.23 & - & 2.48 \\
\hline & 1 & 4.03 & 10.31 & 4.89 & 3.82 & 4.24 & 3.91 \\
\hline & 2 & 8.11 & 18.33 & 9.24 & 10.56 & 8.73 & 11.13 \\
\hline & 3 & 12.72 & 20.33 & 14.42 & 20.14 & 14.21 & 20.15 \\
\hline & 4 & 15.41 & 26.40 & 31.00 & 12.75 & 28.60 & 13.39 \\
\hline & 5 & 19.90 & - & - & - & 19.90 & - \\
\hline & 5+ & - & - & 49.94 & - & 49.94 & - \\
\hline & 6 & 16.62 & - & - & - & 16.62 & - \\
\hline & 7 & 18.37 & - & - & - & 18.37 & - \\
\hline & 4++ & 16.59 & 26.40 & 36.61 & 12.75 & 33.61 & 13.39 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{1999} & 0 & - & 4.08 & - & 2.67 & - & 3.07 \\
\hline & 1 & 6.72 & 18.52 & 3.87 & 2.90 & 6.53 & 7.78 \\
\hline & 2 & 10.89 & - & 7.12 & 10.43 & 8.08 & 10.43 \\
\hline & 3 & 17.47 & 24.15 & 11.65 & - & 13.20 & 24.15 \\
\hline & 4 & 20.07 & - & 20.72 & - & 20.57 & - \\
\hline & 5 & 22.23 & - & - & - & 22.23 & - \\
\hline & 5+ & - & - & 37.82 & - & 37.82 & - \\
\hline & 6 & 26.11 & - & - & - & 26.11 & - \\
\hline & 7 & 27.00 & - & - & - & 27.00 & - \\
\hline & 8+ & 30.33 & - & - & - & 30.33 & - \\
\hline & 4++ & 21.30 & - & 27.14 & - & 25.68 & - \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{2000} & 0 & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & 1 & 6.41 & 14.92 & 8.46 & - & 6.78 & 14.92 \\
\hline & 2 & 7.44 & 17.95 & 8.05 & - & 7.90 & 17.95 \\
\hline & 3 & 12.68 & 19.18 & 11.17 & - & 11.86 & 19.18 \\
\hline & 4 & 18.49 & 22.62 & - & - & 18.49 & 22.62 \\
\hline & 4+ & - & - & 21.92 & - & 21.92 & - \\
\hline & 5 & 19.37 & 25.37 & - & - & 19.37 & 25.37 \\
\hline & 6 & 18.41 & 18.41 & - & - & 18.41 & 18.41 \\
\hline & 4++ & 18.60 & 22.67 & 21.92 & - & 19.66 & 22.67 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{2001} & 0 & 1.89 & 2.48 & 1.62 & 3.28 & 1.68 & 3.10 \\
\hline & 1 & 5.48 & 9.73 & 7.21 & 9.07 & 6.29 & 9.61 \\
\hline & 2 & 10.10 & 17.00 & 15.63 & 17.61 & 11.78 & 17.50 \\
\hline & 3 & 11.55 & - & 19.81 & 9.07 & 15.82 & 9.07 \\
\hline & 4 & 13.09 & - & 25.45 & - & - & - \\
\hline & 5 & 16.93 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & 5+ & & & 8.03 & & & \\
\hline & 6 & 21.04 & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline & 4++ & 15.20 & - & 9.18 & - & 11.58 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.2.3 SANDEEL, Southern North Sea. Mean weight (g) in the catch (Denmark) Age group 4++ is the 4-plus group used in assessment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Age} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Half-year} \\
\hline & & 1 & 2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{1997} & 0 & - & 4.72 \\
\hline & 1 & 6.52 & 7.99 \\
\hline & 2 & 10.92 & 13.54 \\
\hline & 3 & 11.81 & 14.73 \\
\hline & 4 & 16.19 & 16.74 \\
\hline & 5 & - & 23.33 \\
\hline & 6 & 17.05 & 20.01 \\
\hline & 4++ & 16.27 & 18.88 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{1998} & 0 & - & 2.79 \\
\hline & 1 & 5.54 & 3.01 \\
\hline & 2 & 8.38 & 12.65 \\
\hline & 3 & 10.64 & 11.57 \\
\hline & 4 & 12.05 & 17.23 \\
\hline & 5 & 15.59 & 14.87 \\
\hline & 6 & 17.82 & - \\
\hline & 7 & 18.28 & - \\
\hline & 4++ & 13.21 & 17.14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{1999} & 0 & - & 5.42 \\
\hline & 1 & 5.52 & 10.02 \\
\hline & 2 & 9.27 & 11.05 \\
\hline & 3 & 13.50 & 16.85 \\
\hline & 4 & 16.84 & 15.59 \\
\hline & 5 & 22.23 & 9.16 \\
\hline & 6 & 20.95 & 21.38 \\
\hline & 7 & - & 21.38 \\
\hline & 4++ & 18.33 & 15.68 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{2000} & 0 & 1.72 & 1.66 \\
\hline & 1 & 6.16 & 6.61 \\
\hline & 2 & 9.56 & 13.68 \\
\hline & 3 & 14.42 & 15.74 \\
\hline & 4 & 15.41 & 18.06 \\
\hline & 5 & 16.66 & 19.60 \\
\hline & 6 & 19.82 & 19.75 \\
\hline & 7 & 18.69 & 19.75 \\
\hline & 8+ & 19.88 & - \\
\hline & 4++ & 15.93 & 18.34 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{2001} & 0 & 1.75 & 2.40 \\
\hline & 1 & 4.22 & 9.51 \\
\hline & 2 & 7.93 & 17.00 \\
\hline & 3 & 12.57 & - \\
\hline & 4 & 16.19 & - \\
\hline & 5 & 16.71 & - \\
\hline & 6 & 17.73 & - \\
\hline & 7 & 21.56 & - \\
\hline & 8+ & - & - \\
\hline & 4++ & 16.76 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.2.4 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Mean weight in the catch


Table 13.1.2.5 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Mean weight in the stock
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Stock weights at age (kg)} \\
\hline YEAR & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & \\
\hline 0 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & \\
\hline 1 & 0.0050 & 0.0041 & 0.0042 & 0.0042 & 0.0047 & 0.0044 & 0.0044 & 0.0043 & 0.0043 & \\
\hline 2 & 0.0129 & 0.0138 & 0.0128 & 0.0131 & 0.0128 & 0.0148 & 0.0135 & 0.0133 & 0.0132 & \\
\hline 3 & 0.0169 & 0.0163 & 0.0188 & 0.0163 & 0.0160 & 0.0158 & 0.0196 & 0.0176 & 0.0170 & \\
\hline +gp & 0.0248 & 0.0210 & 0.0221 & 0.0278 & 0.0212 & 0.0192 & 0.0183 & 0.0193 & 0.0206 & \\
\hline YEAR & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline 0 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 & 0.0010 \\
\hline 1 & 0.0041 & 0.0045 & 0.0063 & 0.0071 & 0.0068 & 0.0056 & 0.0050 & 0.0056 & 0.0064 & 0.0044 \\
\hline 2 & 0.0131 & 0.0127 & 0.0130 & 0.0154 & 0.0100 & 0.0094 & 0.0085 & 0.0088 & 0.0086 & 0.0085 \\
\hline 3 & 0.0172 & 0.0164 & 0.0146 & 0.0200 & 0.0145 & 0.0118 & 0.0120 & 0.0134 & 0.0133 & 0.0135 \\
\hline +gp & 0.0212 & 0.0213 & 0.0187 & 0.0209 & 0.0211 & 0.0216 & 0.0163 & 0.0222 & 0.0170 & 0.0152 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.3.1 SANDEEL. Northern North Sea. Danish CPUE data (t/day fishing) by half year
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline First half year & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ Vessel size (GRT) } \\
& \multicolumn{7}{c}{} \\
\cline { 2 - 8 } Year & \(0-50\) & \(50-100\) & \(100-150\) & \(150-200\) & \(200-250\) & \(250-300\) & \(>300\) \\
\cline { 2 - 8 } & 11.2 & 17.2 & 31.8 & 26.7 & 47.6 & 40.8 & 25.8 \\
1983 & 11.1 & 17.1 & 23.6 & 23.9 & 31.6 & 36.4 & 41.3 \\
1984 & 14.6 & 24.8 & 33.4 & 32.1 & 44.4 & 55.5 & 19.7 \\
1985 & 12.1 & 17.2 & 35.7 & 51.2 & 57.9 & 67.2 & 55.8 \\
1986 & 21.0 & 32.0 & 45.5 & 50.2 & 63.9 & 57.4 & 71.8 \\
1987 & 23.7 & 37.8 & 67.0 & 66.5 & 78.6 & 79.9 & 113.0 \\
1988 & 19.0 & 25.6 & 34.4 & 42.5 & 48.0 & 47.8 & 75.3 \\
1989 & 16.3 & 25.2 & 36.7 & 41.0 & 49.6 & 51.4 & 76.2 \\
1990 & 14.5 & 21.6 & 27.3 & 27.8 & 29.5 & 27.4 & 39.7 \\
1991 & 16.7 & 25.5 & 38.4 & 42.5 & 47.6 & 47.5 & 72.2 \\
1992 & 16.6 & 24.6 & 36.3 & 34.7 & 60.6 & 46.9 & 76.9 \\
1993 & 14.9 & 19.3 & 33.6 & 36.5 & 47.2 & 51.1 & 51.8 \\
1994 & 26.9 & 32.0 & 53.9 & 61.8 & 75.0 & 87.9 & 102.5 \\
1995 & 19.6 & 29.5 & 49.5 & 57.8 & 61.0 & 66.9 & 73.6 \\
1996 & 16.5 & 21.1 & 35.9 & 39.1 & 36.7 & 40.0 & 56.2 \\
1997 & 24.9 & 34.9 & 51.4 & 56.1 & 76.8 & 58.9 & 90.4 \\
1998 & 16.9 & 24.4 & 28.7 & 44.6 & 52.8 & 54.3 & 64.8 \\
1999 & 24.2 & 27.3 & 22.7 & 34.9 & 35.2 & 47.3 & 67.4 \\
2000 & 17.5 & 33.2 & 32.8 & 40.0 & 50.7 & 54.5 & 71.2 \\
2001 & 19.4 & 29.7 & 28.6 & 40.1 & 36.9 & 36.5 & 55.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Second half year \\
& \multicolumn{7}{l}{ Vessel size (GRT) } \\
Year & \(0-50\) & \(50-100\) & \(100-150\) & \(150-200\) & \(200-250\) & \(250-300\) & \(>300\) \\
\cline { 2 - 8 } 1982 & - & 17.7 & 33.6 & 46.7 & 19.9 & - & - \\
1983 & 17.9 & 25.7 & 31.0 & 32.9 & 44.5 & 34.3 & 57.1 \\
1984 & 113.2 & 22.0 & 21.5 & 35.2 & - & 28.3 & 24.0 \\
1985 & 21.6 & 23.5 & 25.8 & 39.6 & 60.7 & 33.3 & - \\
1986 & 17.1 & 27.5 & 50.2 & 50.0 & 77.9 & 74.0 & 80.7 \\
1987 & 21.3 & 31.8 & 23.9 & 24.3 & 42.6 & 25.4 & 46.3 \\
1988 & 16.8 & 21.3 & 30.0 & 32.4 & 38.0 & 33.1 & 43.9 \\
1989 & 16.6 & 22.3 & 23.6 & 27.3 & 28.3 & 35.6 & 25.0 \\
1990 & 17.6 & 32.5 & 29.4 & 34.1 & 40.4 & 32.6 & 53.3 \\
1991 & 15.1 & 26.3 & 40.8 & 44.8 & 54.4 & 51.3 & 72.5 \\
1992 & 20.4 & 25.4 & 35.2 & 38.2 & 53.6 & 50.9 & 52.1 \\
1993 & 18.5 & 21.4 & 26.5 & 27.5 & 38.8 & 47.9 & 59.0 \\
1994 & 24.3 & 31.5 & 42.7 & 53.5 & 59.8 & 65.8 & 74.6 \\
1995 & 21.9 & 34.6 & 46.1 & 53.8 & 58.6 & 62.7 & 68.6 \\
1996 & 15.3 & 30.6 & 41.9 & 37.8 & 47.4 & 44.9 & 47.3 \\
1997 & 14.1 & 26.2 & 32.5 & 34.1 & 40.2 & 33.6 & 43.3 \\
1998 & 12.4 & 18.9 & 14.9 & 27.8 & 33.1 & 31.1 & 38.5 \\
1999 & 17.4 & 29.5 & 17.3 & 31.9 & 39.8 & 37.3 & 42.3 \\
2000 & 22.4 & 20.4 & 22.4 & 30.1 & 50.2 & 42.3 & 54.5 \\
2001 & 24.4 & 35.7 & 29.4 & 47.3 & 49.5 & 51.0 & 66.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.3.2 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Norwegian effort data

Northern area
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Fishing days } & & \multicolumn{2}{c}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Mean gross register tonnage \\
(Av. GRT pr. trip)
\end{tabular}} \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } \cline { 5 - 6 } \cline { 5 - 6 } & & Jan-Jun & Jul-Dec & & Jan-Jun
\end{tabular}

Southern area
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Fishing days} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Mean gross register tonnage (Av. GRT pr. trip)} \\
\hline & Jan-Jun & Jul-Dec & Jan-Jun & Jul-Dec \\
\hline 1999 & 521 & 10 & 262 & 316 \\
\hline 2000 & 111 & n/a & 259 & n/a \\
\hline 2001 & 137.8 & n/a & 295 & n/a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.3.3 SANDEEL. Southern North Sea. Danish CPUE data (t/day fishing) by half year
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline First half year & \multicolumn{8}{c}{ Vessel size (GRT) } \\
Year & \cline { 2 - 8 } & \(0-50\) & \(50-100\) & \(100-150\) & \(150-200\) & \(200-250\) & \(250-300\) \\
1982 & 16.1 & 26.9 & 43.1 & 47.2 & 59.2 & 53.2 & 59.6 \\
\cline { 2 - 8 } 183 & 17.0 & 20.6 & 36.3 & 44.4 & 49.1 & 51.2 & 50.9 \\
1984 & 19.9 & 26.3 & 42.6 & 50.4 & 60.9 & 56.4 & 60.1 \\
1985 & 13.8 & 21.2 & 35.5 & 43.4 & 49.8 & 49.1 & 56.3 \\
1986 & 23.2 & 31.4 & 41.1 & 49.8 & 58.9 & 58.4 & 69.4 \\
1987 & 23.9 & 33.9 & 53.9 & 67.4 & 76.1 & 76.4 & 115.5 \\
1988 & 19.2 & 26.8 & 42.9 & 52.3 & 60.0 & 56.6 & 82.8 \\
1989 & 19.4 & 24.5 & 43.3 & 52.3 & 58.9 & 55.2 & 74.3 \\
1990 & 20.0 & 20.8 & 30.4 & 33.7 & 39.8 & 35.7 & 49.1 \\
1991 & 27.0 & 30.0 & 49.5 & 50.3 & 62.8 & 60.7 & 92.8 \\
1992 & 18.4 & 23.4 & 53.1 & 63.2 & 83.8 & 82.4 & 115.9 \\
1993 & 17.2 & 18.1 & 38.1 & 40.2 & 58.6 & 60.9 & 89.5 \\
1994 & 24.6 & 29.0 & 59.1 & 59.5 & 75.2 & 78.9 & 96.6 \\
195 & 23.6 & 33.2 & 63.7 & 63.5 & 68.0 & 80.0 & 100.8 \\
1996 & 23.4 & 25.3 & 40.9 & 48.4 & 58.8 & 56.4 & 84.1 \\
1997 & 32.2 & 36.7 & 60.1 & 55.9 & 86.5 & 90.3 & 124.9 \\
1998 & 20.0 & 27.1 & 40.7 & 44.7 & 58.0 & 60.9 & 87.7 \\
1999 & 19.7 & 28.2 & 38.2 & 43.5 & 55.0 & 52.3 & 66.0 \\
2000 & 21.6 & 26.9 & 33.9 & 36.1 & 56.7 & 59.1 & 74.9 \\
2001 & 16.4 & 25.0 & 35.8 & 35.2 & 47.7 & 52.0 & 65.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Second half year} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Vessel size (GRT)} \\
\hline Year & 0-50 & 50-100 & 100-150 & 150-200 & 200-250 & 250-300 & >300 \\
\hline 1982 & - & 20.3 & 37.5 & 40.5 & & 27.9 & \\
\hline 1983 & 15.1 & 21.3 & 25.1 & 32.4 & 45.4 & 34.0 & 34.7 \\
\hline 1984 & 12.7 & 16.4 & 26.9 & 34.2 & 36.5 & 40.2 & 40.9 \\
\hline 1985 & 13.2 & 19.5 & 26.0 & 35.8 & 36.2 & 38.2 & 39.4 \\
\hline 1986 & 18.4 & 25.2 & 32.5 & 44.5 & 45.8 & 51.8 & 55.5 \\
\hline 1987 & 16.2 & 22.6 & 41.4 & 45.8 & 49.3 & 45.6 & 75.4 \\
\hline 1988 & 18.8 & 29.3 & 29.9 & 31.1 & 38.6 & 31.1 & 44.0 \\
\hline 1989 & 26.7 & 26.2 & 27.0 & 38.3 & 38.0 & 29.3 & 40.4 \\
\hline 1990 & 27.9 & 32.8 & 36.4 & 41.3 & 48.3 & 45.2 & 42.7 \\
\hline 1991 & 21.4 & 26.8 & 41.8 & 49.4 & 65.1 & 53.7 & 98.3 \\
\hline 1992 & 21.3 & 28.7 & 36.7 & 42.6 & 44.8 & 39.1 & 58.3 \\
\hline 1993 & 20.2 & 22.7 & 30.8 & 35.6 & 45.3 & 39.3 & 51.8 \\
\hline 1994 & 28.6 & 38.9 & 50.4 & 54.3 & 60.7 & 56.9 & 65.2 \\
\hline 1995 & 28.6 & 42.2 & 50.2 & 53.3 & 72.4 & 60.8 & 73.9 \\
\hline 1996 & 22.9 & 23.3 & 56.3 & 69.4 & 81.0 & 87.5 & 123.6 \\
\hline 1997 & 22.9 & 25.9 & 35.5 & 41.7 & 54.8 & 51.0 & 74.9 \\
\hline 1998 & 12.8 & 17.9 & 19.1 & 36.5 & 36.5 & 32.7 & 40.0 \\
\hline 1999 & - & - & - & 26.2 & 34.3 & 33.9 & 37.2 \\
\hline 2000 & 18.7 & 19.6 & 30.6 & 29.4 & 38.1 & 36.9 & 53.0 \\
\hline 2001 & 19.7 & 32.7 & 46.0 & 56.3 & 59.5 & 56.4 & 77.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CPUE data for the 0-150 GRT groups in 1999, second half year have not been used as
effort has been less than totally 7 fishing days

Table 13.1.3.4 SANDEEL North Sea, Danish cpue data. Parameter estimates from regressions of \(\ln (A v\). cpue)

\[
\text { cpue }=\mathrm{b} * \mathrm{GRT}^{\mathrm{a}}
\]

Northern North Sea
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Jan-Jun } & & Jul-Dec \\
\hline Year & SLOPE & INTERCEPT & R-square & cpue & SLOPE & INTERCEPT & R-square & cpue \\
\hline 1987 & 0.57 & 3.60 & 0.98 & 75.2 & 0.20 & 11.22 & 0.58 & 31.9 \\
1988 & 0.48 & 3.58 & 0.95 & 46.4 & 0.36 & 5.06 & 0.96 & 33.9 \\
1989 & 0.55 & 2.54 & 0.98 & 47.5 & 0.23 & 8.11 & 0.87 & 27.3 \\
1990 & 0.33 & 5.13 & 0.95 & 29.4 & 0.33 & 6.37 & 0.89 & 37.3 \\
1991 & 0.52 & 2.99 & 0.97 & 46.5 & 0.58 & 2.31 & 0.99 & 49.4 \\
1992 & 0.55 & 2.55 & 0.94 & 47.0 & 0.41 & 5.05 & 0.96 & 43.7 \\
1993 & 0.54 & 2.40 & 0.97 & 40.9 & 0.43 & 3.86 & 0.90 & 37.4 \\
1994 & 0.54 & 4.02 & 0.96 & 70.3 & 0.45 & 5.20 & 0.98 & 56.1 \\
1995 & 0.54 & 3.36 & 0.99 & 57.8 & 0.45 & 5.15 & 1.00 & 55.5 \\
1996 & 0.44 & 3.72 & 0.95 & 38.9 & 0.43 & 4.30 & 0.96 & 42.3 \\
1997 & 0.47 & 5.11 & 0.95 & 62.6 & 0.40 & 4.24 & 0.96 & 35.6 \\
1998 & 0.54 & 2.66 & 0.97 & 45.9 & 0.44 & 2.73 & 0.89 & 27.7 \\
1999 & 0.33 & 6.78 & 0.76 & 39.3 & 0.33 & 5.75 & 0.79 & 33.2 \\
2000 & 0.49 & 3.49 & 0.97 & 47.9 & 0.37 & 5.26 & 0.80 & 37.2 \\
2001 & 0.33 & 6.60 & 0.92 & 38.3 & 0.35 & 7.33 & 0.90 & 47.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Southern North Sea
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc}
\multicolumn{3}{c}{ Jan -Jun } & & Jul-Dec \\
\hline Year & SLOPE & INTERCEPT & R-square & cpue & SLOPE & INTERCEPT & R-square & cpue \\
\hline 1987 & 0.58 & 3.28 & 0.97 & 71.7 & 0.55 & 2.54 & 0.95 & 47.4 \\
1988 & 0.55 & 3.00 & 0.97 & 54.7 & 0.27 & 8.17 & 0.91 & 34.4 \\
1989 & 0.53 & 3.18 & 0.96 & 52.6 & 0.15 & 15.33 & 0.69 & 33.7 \\
1990 & 0.34 & 5.93 & 0.92 & 35.8 & 0.20 & 14.18 & 0.94 & 41.8 \\
1991 & 0.45 & 5.54 & 0.93 & 58.8 & 0.54 & 3.23 & 0.93 & 56.3 \\
1992 & 0.74 & 1.41 & 0.96 & 70.6 & 0.34 & 6.85 & 0.95 & 42.5 \\
1993 & 0.64 & 1.67 & 0.93 & 51.0 & 0.37 & 5.56 & 0.94 & 38.5 \\
1994 & 0.55 & 3.60 & 0.96 & 67.8 & 0.32 & 10.23 & 0.99 & 55.6 \\
1995 & 0.55 & 3.71 & 0.97 & 69.6 & 0.36 & 8.88 & 0.97 & 60.1 \\
1996 & 0.48 & 4.14 & 0.93 & 53.3 & 0.68 & 1.97 & 0.93 & 73.8 \\
1997 & 0.51 & 5.17 & 0.92 & 76.7 & 0.44 & 4.67 & 0.93 & 48.3 \\
1998 & 0.54 & 3.06 & 0.96 & 54.1 & 0.47 & 2.61 & 0.93 & 30.9 \\
1999 & 0.46 & 4.19 & 0.98 & 48.5 & 0.52 & 1.86 & 0.91 & 29.4 \\
2000 & 0.47 & 3.99 & 0.93 & 48.7 & 0.38 & 4.81 & 0.91 & 35.4 \\
2001 & 0.51 & 2.92 & 0.98 & 44.5 & 0.50 & 3.87 & 0.98 & 56.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Tabel 13.1.3.5 SANDEEL in the Northern North Sea. Fishing effort (days fishing times scaling factors for each vessel category to represent days fishing for a vessel of 200 GRT)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Norweigian} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Danish} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Mean CPUE (t/day)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total internat. catch ('000t)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Derived internat. effort ('000 days)} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Standardized \\
Fishing days
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Catch sampled } \\
& \text { for fishing } \\
& \text { effort ('000t) } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { CPUE } \\
& \text { (t/day) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Catch sampled for fishing effort ('000 t) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CPUE } \\
& \text { (t/day) }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{First half-year} \\
\hline 1976 & 593 & 11.1 & 18.7 & - & - & 18.7 & 110.3 & 5.90 \\
\hline 1977 & 2061 & 50.4 & 24.4 & - & - & 24.5 & 276.0 & 11.27 \\
\hline 1978 & 1761 & 44.9 & 25.5 & & & 25.5 & 109.7 & 4.30 \\
\hline 1979 & 1451 & 29.6 & 20.4 & - & - & 20.4 & 47.7 & 2.34 \\
\hline 1980 & 2733 & 112.8 & 41.3 & - & - & 41.3 & 220.9 & 5.35 \\
\hline 1981 & 1804 & 42.8 & 23.7 & - & - & 23.7 & 93.3 & 3.94 \\
\hline 1982 & 1231 & 26.9 & 21.9 & 13.5 & 34.9 & 26.2 & 62.3 & 2.38 \\
\hline 1983 & 338 & 8.7 & 25.7 & 17.4 & 28.9 & 27.8 & 54.5 & 1.96 \\
\hline 1984 & 139 & 3.5 & 25.2 & 54.1 & 41.2 & 40.2 & 74.1 & 1.84 \\
\hline 1985 & 382 & 8.7 & 22.8 & 47.4 & 46.7 & 43.0 & 69.9 & 1.63 \\
\hline 1986 & 1565 & 60.4 & 38.6 & 154.1 & 54.7 & 50.2 & 221.3 & 4.41 \\
\hline 1987 & 2235 & 122.9 & 55.0 & 213.2 & 75.2 & 67.8 & 360.9 & 5.32 \\
\hline 1988 & 3599 & 143.8 & 40.0 & 158.1 & 46.4 & 43.3 & 332.0 & 7.66 \\
\hline 1989 & 4200 & 146.9 & 35.0 & 267.3 & 47.5 & 43.1 & 435.2 & 10.11 \\
\hline 1990 & 2304 & 58.6 & 25.4 & 94.9 & 29.4 & 27.9 & 148.7 & 5.34 \\
\hline 1991 & 1748 & 67.7 & 38.7 & 210.6 & 46.5 & 44.6 & 282.2 & 6.33 \\
\hline 1992 & 1217 & 53.7 & 44.1 & 124.0 & 47.0 & 46.1 & 151.2 & 3.28 \\
\hline 1993 & 1579 & 70.7 & 44.8 & 133.8 & 40.9 & 42.2 & 189.0 & 4.48 \\
\hline 1994 & 2709 & 130.1 & 48.0 & 299.6 & 70.3 & 63.6 & 413.4 & 6.50 \\
\hline 1995 & 3442 & 208.6 & 60.6 & 143.2 & 57.8 & 59.5 & 348.5 & 5.86 \\
\hline 1996 & 2034 & 100.9 & 49.6 & 107.1 & 38.9 & 44.1 & 203.1 & 4.61 \\
\hline 1997 & 3493 & 254.9 & 73.0 & 207.4 & 62.6 & 68.3 & 456.5 & 6.68 \\
\hline 1998 & 2623 & 220.8 & 84.2 & 144.2 & 45.9 & 69.1 & 364.8 & 5.28 \\
\hline 1999 & 2158 & 77.4 & 35.9 & 49.1 & 39.3 & 37.2 & 137.2 & 3.68 \\
\hline 2000 & 2299 & 104.5 & 45.5 & 163.1 & 47.9 & 47.0 & 271.1 & 5.77 \\
\hline 2001 & 648 & 44.6 & 68.8 & 67.5 & 38.3 & 50.4 & 88.5 & 1.75 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Second half-year} \\
\hline 1976 & 108 & 2.0 & 18.5 & - & - & 18.5 & 44.9 & 2.43 \\
\hline 1977 & 445 & 11.8 & 26.5 & - & - & 26.5 & 110.0 & 4.15 \\
\hline 1978 & 811 & 22.5 & 27.6 & - & - & 27.8 & 53.3 & 1.92 \\
\hline 1979 & 1688 & 52.2 & 30.9 & - & - & 30.9 & 147.7 & 4.78 \\
\hline 1980 & 1117 & 33.1 & 29.6 & - & - & 29.5 & 71.1 & 2.41 \\
\hline 1981 & 398 & 7.9 & 19.6 & - & - & 19.9 & 44.9 & 2.26 \\
\hline 1982 & - & - & - & 1.8 & 32.3 & 33.0 & 12.0 & 0.36 \\
\hline 1983 & 65 & 2.4 & 36.9 & 12.3 & 36.6 & 37.3 & 23.7 & 0.64 \\
\hline 1984 & - & - & - & 10.7 & 29.6 & 30.2 & 17.7 & 0.59 \\
\hline 1985 & - & - & - & 16.4 & 38.0 & 38.8 & 16.8 & 0.43 \\
\hline 1986 & 555 & 21.8 & 39.3 & 96.1 & 60.2 & 57.4 & 153.8 & 2.68 \\
\hline 1987 & 1585 & 68.1 & 43.0 & 5.5 & 31.9 & 42.1 & 76.9 & 1.83 \\
\hline 1988 & 922 & 26.9 & 29.2 & 41.5 & 33.9 & 32.0 & 71.4 & 2.23 \\
\hline 1989 & 589 & 11.5 & 19.5 & 44.9 & 27.3 & 25.7 & 57.2 & 2.23 \\
\hline 1990 & 718 & 22.8 & 31.8 & 65.8 & 37.3 & 35.9 & 70.8 & 1.97 \\
\hline 1991 & 942 & 30.3 & 32.2 & 96.0 & 49.4 & 45.3 & 90.7 & 2.00 \\
\hline 1992 & 24 & 1.5 & 63.6 & 48.0 & 43.7 & 44.3 & 25.5 & 0.58 \\
\hline 1993 & 972 & 30.7 & 31.6 & 59.4 & 37.4 & 35.4 & 87.0 & 2.46 \\
\hline 1994 & 785 & 35.7 & 45.5 & 90.8 & 56.1 & 53.1 & 76.4 & 1.44 \\
\hline 1995 & 1018 & 53.3 & 52.3 & 77.6 & 55.5 & 54.2 & 72.6 & 1.34 \\
\hline 1996 & 752 & 42.9 & 57.0 & 93.3 & 42.3 & 47.0 & 140.7 & 3.00 \\
\hline 1997 & 1545 & 95.7 & 61.9 & 25.7 & 35.6 & 56.4 & 121.5 & 2.16 \\
\hline 1998 & 2265 & 114.4 & 50.5 & 34.6 & 27.7 & 45.2 & 148.5 & 3.28 \\
\hline 1999 & 1638 & 77.8 & 47.5 & 43.7 & 33.2 & 42.3 & 125.2 & 2.96 \\
\hline 2000 & & - & - & 20.7 & 37.2 & 37.2 & 10.0 & 0.27 \\
\hline 2001 & 1497 & 122.0 & 81.6 & 49.9 & 47.3 & 71.7 & 153.8 & 2.15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.3.6 Sandeels Southern North Sea. Derived standardized international effort calculated from total catches and standardized CPUE based on Danish and Norweigian data (from 1999- )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{First half year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Second half year} \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { CPUE } \\
& \text { (t/day) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total Int'l catch } \\
& (' 000 \mathrm{t}) \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & Total int'l effort
('000 days) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { CPUE } \\
& \text { (t/day) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total Int'I catch } \\
& (' 000 \mathrm{t}) \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & Total int'l effort ('000 days) \\
\hline 1982 & 48.2 & 427 & 8.85 & 35.7 & 52.6 & 1.47 \\
\hline 1983 & 42.8 & 360 & 8.41 & 33.9 & 59.3 & 1.75 \\
\hline 1984 & 50.5 & 461 & 9.13 & 32.9 & 71.1 & 2.16 \\
\hline 1985 & 41.9 & 417 & 9.95 & 33.6 & 110.6 & 3.29 \\
\hline 1986 & 53.7 & 386 & 7.20 & 44.1 & 75.5 & 1.71 \\
\hline 1987 & 71.7 & 298 & 4.15 & 47.4 & 105.1 & 2.22 \\
\hline 1988 & 54.7 & 462 & 8.45 & 34.4 & 33.4 & 0.97 \\
\hline 1989 & 52.6 & 506 & 9.62 & 33.7 & 18.5 & 0.55 \\
\hline 1990 & 35.8 & 342 & 9.54 & 41.8 & 24.0 & 0.57 \\
\hline 1991 & 58.8 & 327 & 5.55 & 56.3 & 132.3 & 2.35 \\
\hline 1992 & 70.6 & 621 & 8.80 & 42.5 & 73.0 & 1.72 \\
\hline 1993 & 51.0 & 268 & 5.25 & 38.5 & 34.2 & 0.89 \\
\hline 1994 & 67.8 & 226 & 3.34 & 55.6 & 47.6 & 0.86 \\
\hline 1995 & 69.6 & 429 & 6.17 & 60.1 & 67.6 & 1.12 \\
\hline 1996 & 53.3 & 293 & 5.49 & 73.8 & 138.7 & 1.88 \\
\hline 1997 & 76.7 & 421 & 5.49 & 48.3 & 138.2 & 2.86 \\
\hline 1998 & 54.1 & 448 & 8.28 & 30.9 & 42.8 & 1.39 \\
\hline 1999 & 48.5 & 432 & 8.91 & 29.4 & 35.9 & 1.22 \\
\hline 2000 & 48.9 & 360 & 7.36 & 35.4 & 53.0 & 1.50 \\
\hline 2001 & 45.8 & 433 & 9.45 & 56.0 & 184.8 & 3.30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.3.7. SANDEEL in the North Sea. Tuning datal.
Total international standadized effort and catch at age numbers (millions).
\begin{tabular}{llllllllllll} 
Year & Effort & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 & Age 4+ & Effort & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3
\end{tabular}

Northen North Sea, 1st half-year
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
1976 & 5.90 & 237 & 5697 & 1130 & 445 & 155 \\
1977 & 11.27 & 3686 & 24307 & 2351 & 516 & 144 \\
1978 & 4.30 & 0 & 6127 & 2338 & 573 & 144 \\
1979 & 2.34 & 0 & 2335 & 1328 & 242 & 12 \\
1980 & 5.35 & 17 & 13394 & 8865 & 1050 & 827 \\
1981 & 3.94 & 17 & 5505 & 4109 & 904 & 174 \\
1982 & 2.38 & 2 & 3518 & 2132 & 556 & 85 \\
1983 & 1.96 & 0 & 5684 & 1215 & 89 & 12 \\
1984 & 1.84 & 0 & 11692 & 1647 & 153 & 5 \\
1985 & 1.63 & 1 & 2688 & 3292 & 1002 & 480 \\
1986 & 4.41 & 7 & 23934 & 2600 & 200 & 0 \\
1987 & 5.32 & 0 & 26236 & 10855 & 350 & 155 \\
1988 & 7.66 & 2453 & 9855 & 25922 & 1319 & 26 \\
1989 & 10.11 & 6124 & 56661 & 2219 & 3385 & 0 \\
1990 & 5.34 & 0 & 13101 & 3907 & 578 & 175 \\
1991 & 6.33 & 0 & 41855 & 2342 & 908 & 318 \\
1992 & 3.28 & 137 & 9871 & 4056 & 486 & 305 \\
1993 & 4.48 & 1112 & 15768 & 2635 & 1023 & 646 \\
1994 & 6.50 & 398 & 28490 & 7225 & 5954 & 2156 \\
1995 & 5.86 & 0 & 36140 & 3360 & 1091 & 145 \\
1996 & 4.61 & 0 & 11524 & 5385 & 761 & 301 \\
1997 & 6.68 & 2434 & 67038 & 3640 & 5254 & 1206 \\
1998 & 5.28 & 2278 & 6667 & 33216 & 2039 & 410 \\
1999 & 3.68 & 265 & 2118 & 3491 & 5086 & 1023 \\
2000 & 5.77 & 0 & 22887 & 8810 & 1420 & 1470 \\
2001 & 1.75 & 87 & 6434 & 2408 & 472 & 1035
\end{tabular}

Northern North Sea, 2nd half-year
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
1976 & 2.43 & 6126 & 648 & 84 & 368 & 36.6 \\
1977 & 4.15 & 3067 & 2856 & 913 & 142 & 141.1 \\
1978 & 1.92 & 7820 & 1001 & 307 & 39 & 1.9 \\
1979 & 4.78 & 44203 & 1310 & 433 & 66 & 9.5 \\
1980 & 2.41 & 8349 & 1173 & 214 & 19 & 7.5 \\
1981 & 2.26 & 9128 & 346 & 94 & 14 & 6 \\
1982 & 0.36 & 6530 & 65 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1983 & 0.64 & 7911 & 303 & 316 & 19 & 0 \\
1984 & 0.59 & 0 & 1207 & 121 & 43 & 0 \\
1985 & 0.43 & 349 & 109 & 239 & 89 & 11 \\
1986 & 2.68 & 7105 & 7077 & 473 & 0 & 0 \\
1987 & 1.83 & 455 & 5768 & 198 & 0 & 0 \\
1988 & 2.23 & 13196 & 1283 & 340 & 119 & 17 \\
1989 & 2.23 & 3380 & 4038 & 274 & 0 & 0 \\
1990 & 1.97 & 12107 & 1670 & 342 & 51 & 15 \\
1991 & 2.00 & 13616 & 866 & 28 & 8 & 3 \\
1992 & 0.58 & 6797 & 48 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1993 & 2.46 & 26960 & 1004 & 112 & 34 & 22 \\
1994 & 1.44 & 457 & 829 & 1211 & 396 & 24.7 \\
1995 & 1.34 & 4046 & 3374 & 338 & 26 & 2 \\
1996 & 3.00 & 31817 & 1706 & 1772 & 136 & 55.3 \\
1997 & 2.16 & 2431 & 11346 & 633 & 25 & 1.9 \\
1998 & 3.28 & 35220 & 10005 & 1837 & 79 & 0.6 \\
1999 & 2.96 & 33653 & 694 & 551 & 58 & 0.0 \\
2000 & 0.27 & 0 & 467 & 84 & 24 & 46.1 \\
2001 & 2.15 & 46385 & 771 & 73 & 134 & 0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
1.47 & 5039 & 4718 & 490 & 344 & 40 \\
1.75 & 9298 & 240 & 2806 & 513 & 2 \\
2.16 & 0 & 9423 & 92 & 577 & 43.8 \\
3.29 & 11940 & 1896 & 3229 & 2234 & 298 \\
1.71 & 112 & 5350 & 293 & 241 & 18 \\
2.22 & 298 & 3095 & 6664 & 196 & 51 \\
0.97 & 0 & 0 & 234 & 2084 & 68 \\
0.55 & 1 & 1619 & 165 & 35 & 123 \\
0.57 & 597 & 1438 & 477 & 71 & 21 \\
2.35 & 12115 & 11411 & 344 & 111 & 0 \\
1.72 & 134 & 3903 & 382 & 157 & 34 \\
0.89 & 838 & 1037 & 953 & 266 & 87 \\
0.86 & 0 & 4093 & 322 & 198 & 137 \\
1.12 & 0 & 3166 & 2789 & 307 & 157 \\
1.88 & 2088 & 2031 & 4080 & 536 & 1023 \\
2.86 & 198 & 15238 & 536 & 406 & 136 \\
1.39 & 1142 & 738 & 2673 & 209 & 65 \\
1.22 & 1322 & 203 & 58 & 1392 & 166 \\
1.50 & 6659 & 3601 & 496 & 339 & 330 \\
3.30 & 73443 & 819 & 15 & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.4.1 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Tuning diagnostics

PLEASE NOTE: Due to the very high stock numbers there were format errors in "Estimated survivors". For the production of this output, catch data have been given as millions (normally thousands)

Lowestoft VPA Version 3.1
17/06/2002 9:02
Extended Survivors Analysis
Sandeel in IV
cpue data from file fleet.dat
Catch data for 19 years. 1983 to 2001. Ages 0 to 4.
Fleet First Last First Last Alpha Beta
North IV 1.half year
South IV 1.half year
North IV 2.half year
South IV 2.half year \begin{tabular}{lllllll}
1983 & 2001 & 0 & 3 & .500 & .750
\end{tabular}

Time-series weights :
Tapered time weighting not applied

Catchability analysis :
Catchability independent of stock size for all ages
Catchability independent of age for ages \(>=2\)

Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean \(F\)
of the final 5 years or the 2 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk \(=1.500\)

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet \(=\). 300
Prior weighting not applied

Tuning converged after 26 iterations
\begin{tabular}{cccccccccccc} 
Regression weights \\
1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000 \\
\\
Fishing mortalities \\
Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 13.1.4.1 cont'd}

XSA population numbers (Thousands)
\begin{tabular}{lrlll} 
& \multicolumn{4}{c}{ AGE } \\
YEAR & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
& & & \\
1992 & \(3.49 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.79 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(5.59 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(5.07 \mathrm{E}+03\) \\
1993 & \(8.03 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(1.52 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(7.34 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.00 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
1994 & \(8.80 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.42 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.40 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.67 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
1995 & \(3.82 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.95 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(7.11 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(1.03 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
1996 & \(2.15 \mathrm{E}+06\) & \(1.69 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(7.38 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.93 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
1997 & \(3.48 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(9.41 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.70 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(2.03 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
1998 & \(4.18 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(1.54 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(2.03 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(1.40 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
1999 & \(6.60 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(1.63 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.17 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(5.44 \mathrm{E}+04\) \\
2000 & \(6.33 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(2.73 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(3.00 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(9.55 \mathrm{E}+03\) \\
2001 & \(5.34 \mathrm{E}+06\) & \(2.80 \mathrm{E}+05\) & \(4.79 \mathrm{E}+04\) & \(5.08 \mathrm{E}+03\)
\end{tabular}

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\[
0.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.32 \mathrm{E}+06 \quad 4.48 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.44 \mathrm{E}+04
\]

Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\[
6.78 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 2.51 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 5.26 \mathrm{E}+04 \quad 1.33 \mathrm{E}+04
\]

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
\[
.7623 \quad .6143 \quad .6323 \quad .7911
\]

Log catchability residuals.
Fleet : North IV 1.half year
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
0 & No data for this fleet at this age & & & & & \\
1 & & .64 & .21 & -.02 & -.54 & .24 & -.12 & .39 & .28 \\
2 & -1.45 & .42 & .69 & .05 & -.48 & 1.33 & -.80 & .03 & -.15 \\
3 & -.73 & -2.24 & 1.22 & -1.32 & -.99 & -1.83 & 1.14 & -.29 & -.13
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline 0 & No dat & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{for this fleet at this age} \\
\hline 1 & -. 50 & . 51 & -. 05 & . 18 & . 08 & -. 24 & -. 49 & -1.29 & . 14 & . 07 \\
\hline 2 & . 04 & -. 98 & . 50 & -1.02 & -. 19 & -. 38 & . 49 & . 41 & 1.15 & . 37 \\
\hline 3 & 37 & -. 62 & 56 & -. 14 & -1.32 & 73 & 39 & 27 & 30 & 1.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Age & \multicolumn{1}{c}{1} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{2} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{3} \\
Mean Log q & -3.7293 & -3.4733 & -3.4733 \\
S.E (Log q) & .4629 & .7348 & 1.0261
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 13.1.4.1 cont'd}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{crrrrrrrr} 
Age & Slope & t-value & Intercept & RSquare & No Pts & Reg s.e & Mean Q \\
1 & 1.11 & -.562 & 2.74 & .59 & 19 & .53 & -3.73 \\
2 & 1.36 & -.957 & .84 & .30 & 19 & 1.00 & -3.47 \\
3 & 1.92 & -1.672 & -1.69 & .16 & 19 & 1.84 & -3.66
\end{tabular}

Fleet : South IV 1.half year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & \\
\hline 0 & No dat & for & S fle & at & is ag & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & -1.46 & . 53 & -. 52 & -. 17 & -1.05 & -1.39 & . 46 & . 40 & . 15 & \\
\hline 2 & . 13 & -1.23 & . 99 & . 24 & . 15 & -. 06 & -. 39 & . 55 & . 99 & \\
\hline 3 & -. 16 & . 26 & -. 05 & -. 08 & . 09 & . 34 & -. 41 & . 24 & . 02 & \\
\hline Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
\hline 0 & No dat & for & s fle & at & is ag & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & . 59 & -. 86 & . 76 & . 48 & . 05 & -. 02 & -. 31 & . 80 & . 61 & . 95 \\
\hline 2 & -. 38 & . 23 & -. 20 & -. 76 & . 37 & -. 53 & -. 14 & -. 21 & . 17 & . 06 \\
\hline 3 & -. 23 & . 15 & . 08 & -. 19 & . 29 & -. 20 & . 04 & -. 01 & -. 04 & -. 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrr} 
Age & \multicolumn{1}{c}{1} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{2} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{3} \\
Mean Log q & -3.9974 & -3.1272 & -3.1272 \\
S.E (Log q) & .7476 & .5450 & .1999
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrr} 
Age & Slope & t-value & Intercept & RSquare & No Pts & Reg & s.e & Mean Q \\
1 & .64 & 2.201 & 7.07 & .68 & 19 & .43 & -4.00 \\
2 & .89 & .575 & 3.95 & .63 & 19 & .50 & -3.13 \\
3 & .87 & 3.121 & 3.97 & .97 & 19 & .14 & -3.13
\end{tabular}

Fleet : North IV 2.half year
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & \\
0 & .95 & 99.99 & -2.25 & -.28 & -1.63 & .35 & -.19 & .42 & .36 \\
1 & .20 & .39 & -.54 & .03 & 1.12 & .40 & .73 & .58 & -.85 & \\
2 & .22 & .64 & 1.54 & .67 & -1.63 & .30 & .43 & .51 & -1.51 \\
3 & .75 & -.59 & 2.15 & 99.99 & 99.99 & -1.12 & 99.99 & .13 & -1.91 & \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
0 & 1.79 & .92 & -2.70 & .39 & -.10 & -.53 & 1.62 & 1.18 & 99.99 & -.29 \\
1 & -2.77 & -.34 & -.71 & .69 & -.08 & .43 & 1.73 & -.83 & .69 & -.85 \\
2 & -3.69 & -1.78 & 2.07 & -.06 & .97 & .76 & -.08 & .60 & 1.53 & -1.51 \\
3 & 99.99 & -1.66 & 1.21 & -.56 & -.83 & -1.62 & -.53 & -2.15 & 1.12 & 1.36
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 13.1.4.1 cont'd}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Age & 0 & 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\
Mean Log q & -4.6971 & -4.6699 & -4.9963 & -4.9963 \\
S.E(Log q) & 1.2514 & .9819 & 1.4193 & 1.3708
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
Age Slope t-value Intercept RSquare No Pts Reg s.e Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
0 & 1.37 & -.652 & 1.46 & .17 & 17 & 1.74 & -4.70 \\
1 & 1.15 & -.329 & 3.54 & .23 & 19 & 1.15 & -4.67 \\
2 & 2.20 & -1.033 & -2.05 & .04 & 19 & 3.12 & -5.00 \\
3 & 5.73 & -2.064 & -15.45 & .01 & 15 & 6.91 & -5.28
\end{tabular}

Fleet : South IV 2.half year
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 \\
0 & 1.86 & 99.99 & 1.02 & -2.12 & -.40 & 99.99 & -4.99 & .46 & 1.91 \\
1 & -1.59 & .69 & -.25 & -.24 & -.16 & 99.99 & .84 & 1.18 & 1.09 \\
2 & .48 & -1.76 & 1.21 & -.17 & .86 & -.11 & .58 & 1.22 & -.01 \\
3 & 2.12 & -.11 & 2.43 & .77 & .24 & 1.70 & .81 & .84 & -.29
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr} 
Age & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
0 & -1.33 & .32 & 99.99 & 99.99 & -.52 & -1.48 & .90 & .68 & 2.09 & 1.59 \\
1 & .13 & .26 & .87 & .33 & .09 & -.02 & -.48 & -1.63 & .56 & -1.68 \\
2 & -.71 & .56 & .36 & 1.39 & 1.44 & -.52 & .33 & -1.58 & .76 & -4.34 \\
3 & .90 & .59 & .13 & 1.25 & .18 & .05 & .48 & 1.10 & 1.24 & 99.99
\end{tabular}

Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrrr} 
Age & 0 & 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{2} & 3 \\
Mean Log q & -6.5471 & -4.2142 & -4.1710 & -4.1710 \\
S.E(Log q) & 1.8982 & .8873 & 1.3874 & 1.1155
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrr} 
Age & Slope & t-value & Intercept & RSquare & No Pts & Reg & s.e & Mean Q \\
0 & .48 & 1.896 & 10.18 & .50 & 15 & .83 & -6.55 \\
1 & .69 & 1.249 & 6.76 & .51 & 18 & .60 & -4.21 \\
2 & .60 & 1.293 & 6.83 & .38 & 19 & .82 & -4.17 \\
3 & 1.22 & -.750 & 2.03 & .43 & 18 & .93 & -3.37
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 13.1.4.1 cont'd}

Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 0 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=2001\)
\begin{tabular}{lrcccccc} 
Fleet & Estimated & Int & Ext & Var & N & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Scaled \\
Survivors
\end{tabular} & S.e
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & Ratio & \\
2319076. & .87 & .59 & 3 & .676 & .034
\end{tabular}

Age 1 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=2000\)
Fleet
North IV 1.half year South IV 1.half year North IV 2.half year South IV 2.half year
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
Estimated & Int & Ext & Var \\
Survivors & s.e & s.e & Ratio \\
48275. & .475 & .000 & .00 \\
116300. & .767 & .000 & .00 \\
19077. & 1.007 & .000 & .00 \\
16231. & .827 & 1.435 & 1.74 \\
69871. & 1.50 & &
\end{tabular}

F shrinkage mean
69871. 1.50
.089
Estimated
Scaled Estim
Weights
Weights
.599
116300. .767 . 000 .00 1 . 181 . 293
\(\begin{array}{ccccccc}19077 . & 1.007 & .000 & .00 & 1 & .105 & 1.123\end{array}\)
16231. .827 1.435 1.74 2 .155 1.235
1.235
.449
Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & S.e & S.e & & Ratio & \\
44809. & .34 & .39 & 6 & 1.138 & .633
\end{tabular}

Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{lccccccc} 
Fleet & Estimated & Int & Ext & Var & N & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Scaled \\
Survivors
\end{tabular} & S.e
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors & Int & Ext & N & Var & F \\
at end of year & s.e & s.e & & Ratio & \\
14435. & .27 & .32 & 11 & 1.181 & .600
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 13.1.4.1 cont'd}


Table 13.1.4.2 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Fishing mortality at age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Fishing mortality (F) at age} \\
\hline YEAR & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 0 & 0.0278 & 0.0000 & 0.0123 & 0.0170 & 0.0048 & 0.0258 & 0.0149 & 0.0262 & 0.0445 & 0.0301 \\
\hline 1 & 0.1619 & 0.4739 & 0.2102 & 0.2468 & 0.2949 & 0.2690 & 0.8548 & 0.5808 & 0.5471 & 0.4412 \\
\hline 2 & 0.5411 & 0.2361 & 1.6583 & 0.6794 & 0.4285 & 1.5237 & 0.5182 & 1.0963 & 1.0092 & 0.4295 \\
\hline 3 & 0.5971 & 0.6287 & 1.1571 & 0.4214 & 0.3317 & 0.7258 & 1.5267 & 0.7885 & 0.5081 & 0.5758 \\
\hline +gp & 0.5971 & 0.6287 & 1.1571 & 0.4214 & 0.3317 & 0.7258 & 1.5267 & 0.7885 & 0.5081 & 0.5758 \\
\hline FBAR 1-2 & 0.3515 & 0.3550 & 0.9343 & 0.4631 & 0.3617 & 0.8964 & 0.6865 & 0.8386 & 0.7782 & 0.4354 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{crcccccccc} 
YEAR & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 \\
AGE & & & & & & & & & \\
& 0 & 0.0531 & 0.0008 & 0.0159 & 0.0239 & 0.0113 & 0.1391 & 0.0823 & 0.0158 \\
& 1 & 0.2962 & 0.3705 & 0.4780 & 0.3206 & 0.3321 & 0.3829 & 0.4945 & 0.5402 \\
& 2 & 0.4120 & 0.5980 & 0.2857 & 0.6905 & 0.3694 & 0.7191 & 0.6000 & 1.1754 \\
+gp & 3 & 0.4155 & 0.6266 & 0.4965 & 0.4473 & 0.7723 & 0.7572 & 0.6705 & 0.7131 \\
\hline FBAR 1-2 & 0.4155 & 0.6266 & 0.4965 & 0.4473 & 0.7723 & 0.7572 & 0.6705 & 0.7131 & 0.6323 \\
F & 0.3541 & 0.4843 & 0.3819 & 0.5056 & 0.3508 & 0.5510 & 0.5473 & 0.8578 & 0.6166
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.4.3 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Stock numbers at age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Stock number at age (start of year)} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Numbers*10**-6} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1988} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1989} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1990} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1991} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1992} \\
\hline YEAR & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 0 & 937219 & 267035 & 1501430 & 637127 & 232976 & 773114 & 339972 & 732943 & 881410 & 348656 \\
\hline 1 & 103122 & 409584 & 119987 & 666399 & 281442 & 104178 & 338537 & 150493 & 320817 & 378795 \\
\hline 2 & 127150 & 26418 & 76804 & 29288 & 156816 & 63118 & 23976 & 43373 & 25357 & 55912 \\
\hline 3 & 4668 & 40619 & 11449 & 8028 & 8148 & 56068 & 7548 & 7837 & 7953 & 5073 \\
\hline +gp & 1152 & 1355 & 1934 & 182 & 1719 & 7163 & 5521 & 2243 & 2523 & 2835 \\
\hline TOTAL & 1173313 & 745010 & 1711604 & 1341024 & 681101 & 1003641 & 715554 & 936888 & 1238060 & 791270 \\
\hline YEAR & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 0 & 802584 & 879747 & 382363 & 2145685 & 347538 & 417682 & 660096 & 633442 & 5339951 & - \\
\hline 1 & 152015 & 341991 & 394990 & 169095 & 941391 & 154397 & 163303 & 273156 & 280160 & 2319042 \\
\hline 2 & 73391 & 34047 & 71115 & 73767 & 36961 & 203426 & 31710 & 29997 & 47935 & 44808 \\
\hline 3 & 19971 & 26677 & 10275 & 29328 & 20295 & 14020 & 54393 & 9551 & 5082 & 14434 \\
\hline +gp & 6772 & 8399 & 5074 & 9191 & 4824 & 6604 & 5627 & 13535 & 9419 & 4229 \\
\hline TOTAL & 1054734 & 1290862 & 863816 & 2427065 & 1351009 & 796129 & 915128 & 959681 & 5682547 & 2382512 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13.1.4.4 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Stock summary.
\begin{tabular}{crrcc}
\hline Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Recruitment \\
Age 0
\end{tabular} & SSB & Landings & Mean F \\
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
thousands
\end{tabular} & tonnes & tonnes & \\
\hline 1983 & 937219328 & 1746479 & 530640 & 0.3515 \\
1984 & 267035072 & 1054563 & 750040 & 0.3550 \\
1985 & 1501430144 & 1239700 & 707105 & 0.9343 \\
1986 & 637127296 & 519749 & 685950 & 0.4631 \\
1987 & 232975712 & 2177245 & 791050 & 0.3617 \\
1988 & 773113728 & 1960427 & 1007304 & 0.8964 \\
1989 & 339971808 & 572160 & 826835 & 0.6865 \\
1990 & 732942592 & 758347 & 584912 & 0.8386 \\
1991 & 881409536 & 522139 & 898959 & 0.7782 \\
1992 & 348655904 & 877877 & 820140 & 0.4354 \\
1993 & 802584256 & 1403708 & 576932 & 0.3541 \\
1994 & 879747072 & 988379 & 770747 & 0.4843 \\
1995 & 382363008 & 1407776 & 915043 & 0.3819 \\
1996 & 2145684608 & 1356703 & 776126 & 0.5056 \\
1997 & 347538240 & 692029 & 1114044 & 0.3508 \\
1998 & 417682368 & 2013825 & 1000375 & 0.5510 \\
1999 & 660095936 & 1135217 & 718668 & 0.5473 \\
2000 & 633442240 & 614597 & 692498 & 0.8578 \\
2001 & 5339951000 & 619656 & 858619 & 0.6166 \\
2002 & & \(640558^{*}\) & & \\
\hline Average & 961103676 & 1140030 & 790841 & 0.5658 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* calculated using the 2001 weight in the stock

Table 13.4.1
Sandeel, Division VIa
Landings (tonnes), 1981-2001, as officially reported to ICES.
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline Country & 1981 & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988 & 1989 & 1990 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
Denmark & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
UK, Scotland & 5972 & 10786 & 13051 & 14166 & 18586 & 24469 & 14479 & 24465 & 18785 & 16515 \\
\hline Total & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline Country & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
Denmark & - & - & 80 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
UK, Scotland & 8532 & 4935 & 6156 & 10627 & 7111 & 13257 & 12679 & 5320 & 2627 & - \\
United Kingdom & & & & & & & & & & 5771 \\
\hline Total & 8532 & 4935 & 6236 & 10627 & 7111 & 13257 & 12679 & 5320 & 2627 & 5771 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Country \\ 2001}

Denmark
UK, Scotland
United Kingdom 295
Total 295

Preliminary data for 2001

Figure 13.1.1.1 Sandeel sampling and aggregation


Figure 13.1.1.2 SANDEEL in the North Sea, Total international landings, effort and cpue.


Effort and CPUE


North Sea sandeel landings in 2001 quarter 1
Total landings: 10828 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 2165 ton


North Sea sandeel landings in 2001 quarter 2
Total landings: 407361 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 44238 ton


Figure 13.1.1.3 (continued) Quarterly catches of Sandeel by ICES rectangle ('000 tonnes).

North Sea sandeel landings in 2001 quarter 3
Total landings: 205651 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 46628 ton


North Sea sandeel landings in 2001 quarter 4
Total landings: 7939 ton
Max landings per rectangle: 1597 ton


Figure 13.1.3.1 SANDEEL in the North Sea, CPUE (ton/day) by fleet


Figure 13.1.3.2 SANDEEL in the North Sea, Normalized CPUE by age group and year



Age 2


Age 3

\(\longrightarrow\) - Northern, 1st half-year
\(\rightarrow-\) Southern, 1st half-year
- Northern, 2nd half-year
\(\rightarrow\) Southern, 2nd half-year

Figure 13.1.4.1 Sandeel in the North Sea. Log catchability residuals by single fleet exploraty runs.




South, 2 half-year


Figure 13.1.4.2 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Retrospective analysis of SSB and recruitment, 1983-2001 (Run 5 Fshrinkage \(=0.5\) ))



Figure13.1.4.3 Sandeel in the North Sea. Overview of exploratory runs


Figure 13.1.4.4 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Log catchability residuals by fleet - final run





Figure 13.1.4.5 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Tuning weights


Figure 13.1.4.6
Sandeel in the North Sea. Relation between numbers estimated by XSA and CPUE of tuning fleets.










Figure 13.1.4.7 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Retrospective analysis of SSB, recruitment, and Fbar 1983-2001. Final assessment



Fbar 1-2


Figure 13.1.4.8 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Stock summary





Figure 13.1.8.1 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Precautionary approach plot


Figure 13.1.8.2 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Stock recruitment plot.


Figure 13.1.8.3 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Yield-per-recruit


Figure 13.1.9.1 SANDEEL in the North Sea. Comparison of XSA and SXSA output from the 2001 assessment


Fbar (age 1-2)



Figure 13.1.10.1 Sandeel in IV. Quality control of assessments generated by successive working groups.
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\subsection*{1.1 Participants}

A subgroup of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak (WGNSSK) met at the Netherlands Institute of Fisheries Research in IJmuiden on 7 and 8 October 2002 with the following participants:

Martin Pastoors (chair)
J. Rasmus Nielsen

John Casey
Hans-Joachim Rätz
Sieto Verver
Knut Korsbrekke
Coby Needle

Netherlands
Denmark
England
Germany
Netherlands
Norway
Scotland

\subsection*{1.2 Terms of Reference}

The subgroup of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak was to:
a) provide catch options for 2003 for the following stocks:
1) cod in Sub-area IV and Division IIIaN (Skagerrak), and Division VIId,
2) haddock in Sub-area IV and Division IIIa,
3) whiting and plaice in Sub-area IV, Division IIIa, and Division VIId,
4) sole in Sub-area IV and Division VIId,

The assessment should take into account the technical interactions among the stocks due to the mixed-species fisheries and the new management measures coming into force in 2000;

The additional subgroup was considered necessary because the important autumn surveys for the listed stocks only become available in august and september and therefore cannot be addressed by the WG meeting in June.

\subsection*{1.3 Material and methods}

\subsection*{1.3.1 Research vessel data}

The following research vessel data was made available to the WG:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline Survey & Acronym & Species \\
\hline English Groundfish Survey & EGFS & Cod, Haddock, Whiting, (saithe) \\
\hline Scottish Groundfish Survey & SGFS & Cod, Haddock, Whiting, (saithe) \\
\hline Beam trawl survey & BTS & Plaice, Sole \\
\hline Sole Net Survey & SNS & Plaice, Sole \\
\hline German Solea survey & DFS & Plaice, Sole, Cod, Whiting \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The German contribution to the Demersal Fish Survey has for the first time been made available for cod and whiting.

\subsection*{1.3.2 Methods}

The methods applied by the subgroup were the same as routinely applied by the WG:
- RCT3 for recruitment estimation
- WGFRANSW for short term predictions
- WGMTERMC for medium term predictions

In addition, new software was made available to carry out assessments on survey data only (Needle 2002). This software is based on earlier version developed by Robin Cook (Cook 1997) and was applied to whiting, cod and plaice in the subgroup, basically as a quality check of the XSA or TSA assessments. SURBA 2.00 (Survey-Based Assessment, version 2.00) is a recent development of RCRV1A, migrating the code to Compaq Visual Fortran and extending its functionality and flexibility. RCRV1A was an implementation by Robin Cook (FRS Marine Laboratory) of the separable survey model described in Cook (1997). In brief, it assumed that fishing mortality \(\mathbf{F}=\left\lfloor F_{a, y}\right\rfloor\) is separable into an age effect \(\mathbf{s}=\left\lfloor s_{y}\right\rfloor\) and a year effect \(\mathbf{f}=\left\lfloor f_{y}\right\rfloor\), so that \(\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{f}\). It estimated these \(\mathbf{s}\) and \(\mathbf{f}\) parameters, along with a year-class effect \(\mathbf{r}\), by minimising the sum-of-squares differences between observed and fitted survey-derived abundance, using an assumed fixed vector of catchabilities-at-age \(\mathbf{q}=\left[q_{a}\right]\). Since these abundances are relative indices only, the model can only be used to estimate relative rather than absolute population numbers. However, these can be used to indicate the population trends suggested by each particular survey.

RCRV1A had apparently been written in some haste, and aspects of its development had been left uncompleted. In particular, weights, proportion mature and natural mortality at age were all assumed to be time-invariant, and ad hoc assumptions had to be made about survey catchabilites \(\mathbf{q}\) and age weightings \(\mathbf{w}\). SURBA has addressed these and other issues as follows.
1. Weights, proportion mature and natural mortality are read into the program as arrays, thus allowing variation through time as well as by age.
2. Catchabilities \(\mathbf{q}\) may be entered manually, which is appropriate if there is information on what the empirical catchability-at-age of the survey in question is likely to be. However, this information will be lacking for many surveys. To introduce some element of rigour into a process which would otherwise be entirely ad hoc, SURBA currently allows the option of searching over a range of values of \(q_{1}, q_{2}\) and \(q_{3}\) (that is, the catchabilities on the three youngest ages) for appropriate combinations: these are taken to be those values of catchability which generate positive selectivities that are within 2 standard deviations of a user-supplied selectivity ogive (generally obtained from catch-at-age analyses). The suitable combination with the lowest RSS is then used. This catchability "search" should be thought of more as an informal guide than as a formal statistical algorithm, and requires further work to be made rigorous.
3. Estimation age weightings \(\mathbf{w}\) may also be entered manually. Alternatively, they can be calculated as the inverse of the variance of the survey index at that age, so that \(w_{a}=(n-1) / \sum_{y}\left(I_{a, y}-\bar{I}\right)^{2}\) where \(I_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}\) is the index value at age \(a\) in year \(y\), and \(n\) is the number of years in the survey time-series.
4. Problems arise if the model-fitting algorithm encounters zero index values, in that unfeasibly-high values of \(F\) are generated. To circumvent this, SURBA fills in such zero values with the lowest non-zero value at that age in the survey time-series.
5. In RCRV1A, summary statistics (SSB, TSB, yield) were mean-standardised before output. This mean standardisation did not include the last year, although the last-year value was printed. In SURBA, mean standardisation is done over the full time-series. Furthermore, mean \(F\) is now calculated from the \(F_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}}\) array, rather than from scaled selectivity vectors.

SURBA is currently under development, and beta-test versions are available from the author (Coby Needle: c.needle@marlab.ac.uk).

\subsection*{2.1 Survey data}

Time series of data for recruitment estimates are available for 20 fleets. However, up-dated survey indices are only available for IYFS 1 and 2-year olds, EGFS 0,1 and 2 -year-olds, and for SGFS 1 and 2-year olds. Only these indices are used for estimates of recent year-classes. Updated indices are also available for 0 and 1 -group cod from the the Q4 German and Q1 German surveys, although as has been the recent practice, these data have not been used for predicting recruitment since the survey coverage is restricted to a limited area of the German Bight.

\section*{\(2.2 \quad\) Recruitment estimates}

Average (geometric mean) recruitment at age 1 over the period 1963-1999 was 311 million. The GM recruitment in the recent period (1987-1998) is 171 million 1 - year old fish.

Input data for RCT3 analysis are shown in Table 2.2.1.

Since the estimates of the 1999 and earlier year-classes were derived using the year-class-dependent (power) model in XSA, the WG accepted the estimates of stock numbers at age and F in 2001 for age groups 2 and older.

Using RCT3, research vessel survey data for 1-year old fish were regressed against VPA population numbers for year classes back to and including 1970 to compare the estimate of 1 -groups in 2001 ( 2000 year-class) with the XSA estimate and to estimate recruitment at age 1 in 2002 (2001 year-class). The results are presented in Table 2.2.2.

Year-class 2000: The estimate of the 2000 year-class at age 1 derived from XSA is 74 million, the second lowest in the time series after the 1997 year-class.

Year-class 2001: The estimate of the 2001 year-class using the whole time series of survey data regressed against VPA population numbers at age 1 is 167 million 1 -year-old cod. This is approximately equal to the short-term (1987-1998) GM of 171 million

Year-class 2002. The only estimate for the 2002 year-class is derived from the EGFS_Q3 survey 0 -group index, which historically is not a precise estimator of year-class strength at age 1 . One third of the weighted RCT3 estimate is ascribed to the 0 -group survey. The sub-group therefore argued that ignoring the information provided by the survey in favour of a GM estimate would be inappropriate and hence the RCT3 estimate of 127 million was accepted as input to predictions.

Year-class 2003. The WG chose the short term GM of 171 million as input to the short term predictions although the choice of value will have little influence on the estimate of SSB in 2004 since only \(1 \%\) of 1 -group cod are considered mature.

Working group estimates of year-class strength used for the prediction can be summarised as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year class & \begin{tabular}{c} 
XSA Estimate \\
(Millions age 1)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
RCT3 estimate \\
(millions at age 1)
\end{tabular} & Short-term GM \\
\hline 2000 & \(\underline{\mathbf{7 4}}\) & 87 & 171 \\
\hline 2001 & - & \(\underline{\mathbf{1 6 7}}\) & 171 \\
\hline 2002 & - & \(\underline{\mathbf{1 2 7}}\) & 171 \\
\hline 2003 & - & - & \(\underline{\mathbf{1 7 1}}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Values used for input to prediction are underlined in bold.

\subsection*{2.3 Short term forecast}

The input data for the status quo prediction are given in Table 2.3.1. Mean weight at age is the average for the period 1999-2001. Fishing mortalities at age are the un-scaled mean values for the same period. An un-scaled mean was used since the assessment estimated \(\mathrm{F}(2-8)\) in 2001 at a low level compared to the recent period. While there may have been a reduction in F in 2001 because of the measures implemented under the cod recovery plan in that year, this assessment
has a retrospective bias in underestimating F and overestimating N in the terminal year. The Sub-group therefore felt that the use of an un-scaled mean exploitation pattern would be more appropriate than one scaled to a low terminal mean F .

Population numbers at the start of 2002 are XSA survivor estimates, except for age 1, which was derived from RCT3. Note that the un-scaled mean F (1.11), is rather large compared to the terminal F from XSA (0.81). This will give relatively higher landings for 2002 and fewer survivors to 2003, compared to a scaled value, since no changes have been made to survivor estimates from XSA.

The results of predictions for 2003 are given in Tables 2.3 .2 (management options) and 2.3.3 (detailed tables). The predicted status quo landings are \(76,600 \mathrm{t}\) for 2002 , and \(71,000 \mathrm{t}\) for 2003 . Under these conditions spawning biomass is estimated to be \(38,000 \mathrm{t}\) at the start of 2002, 35,000 t in 2003 and 33,000 at the start of 2004. The detailed output tables (Table 2.3.3) and Table 2.3 .4 confirm that the landings in 2003 and SSB in 2004 will not be dominated by any particular year-class.

The results of sensitivity analyses of the short-term prediction are presented in Figure 2.3.1 and probability profiles in Figure 2.3.2. The sensitivity plots indicate that yield in 2003 and SSB in 2004 are primarily sensitive to the assumed fishing mortality rate in 2003. Figure 2.3.2 indicates there is almost \(100 \%\) probability that SSB will remain below about \(60,000 \mathrm{t}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}=70,000 \mathrm{t}\right)\) in 2004 at status quo F .

\subsection*{2.4 Medium term projections}

The Sub-group undertook medium-term projections of landings and SSB for a range of fishing mortalities over a 10 year period. The input values are given in Table 2.4.1, and are the same as for the short-term forecast, except that mean weight at age is the average over the period 1992-2001. The projections were carried forward for 10 years using the software WGMTERMC and assuming a Shepherd stock-recruit model fit. This was the model previously assumed for North Sea cod and the one used to calculate precautionary reference points for cod. Figure 2.4.1 displays trajectories of catch, recruits and SSB at status quo F expressed as percentiles ( \(10,25,50,75\), and 90 ).

The trajectories under status quo fishing mortality indicate that the recruitment, yield and SSB are predicted to decline over the ten-year period. Figure 2.4.2. (contour plot) illustrates probability trajectories of the SSB being below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) as a function of time and fishing mortality. A reduction in the fishing mortality to \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.65)\) results in a \(30 \%\) probability that the SSB will not exceed the \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) level of 150000 t by 2011 . Even if F is reduced by \(50 \%\), there is still a \(5 \%\) chance that SSB will remain below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) in 2011.

\subsection*{2.5 Comments}

The current prognosis for North Sea cod looks grim. Since 1996, recruitment appears to have been at or below the recent (post 1986) average. Fishing mortality remains high and above \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim. }}\). The Sub-group is concerned that the reduced TACs in recent years which have been agreed in order to bring about a reduction in fishing mortality have not succeeded inn doing so. Indeed they may have simply resulted in an increase in unreported catch for whatever reason. The net effect will undoubtedly give a perception of a reduction in stock size simply because beyond the most recent 23 years, the VPA is almost wholly determined by the input catch at age data, which if under-estimated will result in an underestimation of stock size.

The short-term predictions are based on an un-scaled exploitation pattern based on the mean F at age over 1999-2001. These values are then applied to survivor estimates from XSA in 2002 that are based on terminal estimates of F from XSA which are lower. The result is likely to be over-prediction of landings for 2002, and reduced survivors to 2003 leading to underestimates of stock size and hence SSB in 2003 and 2004. The TAC for 2002 is \(56,400 \mathrm{t}\) and the predicted landings are 76,600 t .

The medium-term projections indicate that significant reductions in fishing mortality are required to give the stock a high probability of recovering to \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) within 10 years. The significant reductions are required in order to allow SSB to rebuild and generate higher recruitment, since currently, F is too high, the exploitation pattern is poor and the stock is at its lowest level and on the descending limb of the stock-recruit relationship.

Table 2.2.1. Cod in IIla, IV and VIId. Input data for RCT3.
CODIV RCT3 INPUT VALUES; AGE 1*
'YEARCLASS' 'VPA' IYFS1' 'IYFS2' 'EGFSO' 'EGFS1' 'EGFS2' 'SGFS1' 'SGFS2' 'DGFSO' 'DGFS1' 'DGFS2' 'FRGSF' 'GGFS1' 'GGFS2' IBQ21' 'SCQ21' 'SCQ22' IBQ40' IBQ41' 'GQ40' 'GQ11'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1970 & 782003 & 9830 & 3450 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 9040 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1971 & 910808 & 410 & 1060 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 130 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1972 & 173496 & 3800 & 950 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 160 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1973 & 319648 & 1470 & 620 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 360 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1974 & 263657 & 4030 & 1990 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 800 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1975 & 486359 & 790 & 320 & -1 & -1 & 447 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 780 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1976 & 246421 & 3670 & 2930 & -1 & 6270 & 1250 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2820 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1977 & 839198 & 1290 & 930 & 1389 & 2284 & 580 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 2720 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1978 & 488156 & 990 & 1480 & 1256 & 2423 & 670 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 450 & 3110 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1979 & 525424 & 1690 & 2550 & 1855 & 5084 & 1386 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 16380 & 1120 & 3550 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1980 & 899522 & 290 & 670 & 1023 & 1136 & 290 & -1 & 351 & 4320 & 4690 & 160 & 1410 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1981 & 314766 & 920 & 1660 & 7424 & 3237 & 1096 & 614 & 78 & 17680 & 8300 & 230 & 2320 & -1 & 350 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1982 & 618498 & 390 & 800 & 255 & 1540 & 475 & 325 & 391 & 2690 & 2180 & 160 & 900 & 590 & 240 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1983 & 324685 & 1520 & 1760 & 9510 & 6122 & 1189 & 819 & 1143 & 12150 & 12130 & 310 & 4300 & 260 & 2240 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1984 & 596292 & 90 & 360 & 38 & 430 & 115 & 66 & 104 & 130 & 360 & 20 & 90 & 230 & 260 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1985 & 158611 & 1700 & 2880 & 828 & 3438 & 1065 & 801 & 695 & 14360 & 11120 & 800 & 950 & 1540 & 1140 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1986 & 716254 & 880 & 610 & 121 & 1422 & 407 & 219 & 288 & 3700 & 4150 & 170 & 230 & 700 & 950 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1987 & 281821 & 360 & 630 & 38 & 836 & 248 & 162 & 135 & 3620 & 1780 & 220 & 210 & 200 & 720 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1988 & 197054 & 1310 & 1520 & 1678 & 2285 & 504 & 561 & 490 & 1660 & 1660 & 190 & 420 & 9020 & 1470 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1989 & 274077 & 340 & 410 & 598 & 608 & 155 & 114 & 154 & 1370 & 920 & 70 & 60 & 1190 & 620 & -1 & -1 & 3140 \\
\hline 1990 & 133933 & 240 & 450 & 383 & 752 & 159 & 303 & 193 & 2350 & 720 & 110 & -1 & 1550 & 360 & 850 & 1490 & 5330 \\
\hline 1991 & 168552 & 1300 & 1990 & 4840 & 2440 & 650 & 642 & 749 & 3980 & 4540 & 70 & -1 & 1340 & -1 & 3630 & 19080 & 14460 \\
\hline 1992 & 305284 & 1270 & 440 & 1684 & 742 & 295 & 347 & 334 & 1160 & 170 & 90 & -1 & -1 & 450 & 1100 & 4820 & 3410 \\
\hline 1993 & 147360 & 1480 & 2210 & 377 & 2637 & 1277 & 1158 & 1443 & 2410 & 4690 & -1 & -1 & 3080 & 1430 & 3200 & 2030 & 20470 \\
\hline 1994 & 323413 & 970 & 800 & 2134 & 1028 & 668 & 475 & 356 & 6350 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 430 & -1 & 1960 & 4270 & 5660 \\
\hline 1995 & 226023 & 350 & 690 & 26 & 619 & 284 & 318 & 278 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 370 & 770 & 1920 \\
\hline 1996 & 170710 & 4000 & 2640 & 4122 & 4044 & 1396 & 999 & 2134 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 7580 & 2830 & -1 \\
\hline 1997 & 407921 & 270 & 160 & 4.9 & 118 & 55 & 104 & 102.7 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 1998 & 57961 & 210 & 380 & 389 & 367 & 197 & 440 & 237 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1999 & -1 & 660 & 880 & 95 & 953 & 528 & 700 & 409 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 2000 & -1 & 270 & 319 & 40 & 174 & 146 & 69 & 120 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & - \\
\hline 2001 & -1 & 755 & -1 & 26 & 789 & -1 & 274 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \\
\hline 2002 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 19 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{* \({ }^{\text {not used }}\)} \\
\hline Yclass & VPA & IYFS 1 & IYFS2 & egfo & egfs 1 & egfs2 & sgfs 1 & sgfs2 & dgfs0 & dgfs 1 & dgfs2 & frgsf & ggfs 1 & ggfs2 & IBQ21 & SCQ21 & SCQ22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 2.2.2. Results of RCT3}
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
rct3in1.csv
COD IV,RCT3 INPUT VALUES; AGE 1*100; ,r,r,01-Oct-02,r,r,r,r,r,r,r,
Data for 20 surveys over 33 years : 1970-2002
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting applied
power = 3 over 20 years
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of }5\mathrm{ points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass = 1999} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{I-----------Regression----------I} \\
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline IYFS1 & . 84 & 6.75 & . 58 & . 549 & 29 & 6.49 & 12.19 & . 659 & . 039 \\
\hline IYFS2 & . 74 & 7.26 & . 24 & . 873 & 29 & 6.78 & 12.31 & . 278 & . 218 \\
\hline EGFS 0 & . 44 & 9.58 & . 74 & . 427 & 22 & 4.56 & 11.58 & . 859 & . 023 \\
\hline EGFS1 & . 61 & 7.98 & . 17 & . 936 & 23 & 6.86 & 12.19 & . 191 & . 421 \\
\hline EGFS2 & . 69 & 8.17 & . 28 & . 840 & 24 & 6.27 & 12.48 & . 319 & . 165 \\
\hline SGFS1 & 1.02 & 6.21 & . 57 & . 560 & 18 & 6.55 & 12.86 & . 661 & . 039 \\
\hline SGFS2 & . 83 & 7.31 & . 51 & . 611 & 19 & 6.02 & 12.31 & . 581 & . 050 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean & 12.22 & . 609 & . 045 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass = 2001} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{I-----------Regression----------I} \\
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & Rsquare & \begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
Pts
\end{tabular} & Index Value & Predicted & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Std } \\
\text { Error }
\end{gathered}
\] & WAP \\
\hline IYFS1 & . 78 & 7.04 & . 52 & . 604 & 29 & 6.63 & 12.24 & . 612 & . 077 \\
\hline EGFS0 & . 41 & 9.74 & . 69 & . 467 & 22 & 3.30 & 11.08 & . 866 & . 039 \\
\hline EGFS1 & . 59 & 8.14 & . 14 & . 954 & 23 & 6.67 & 12.06 & . 166 & . 723 \\
\hline SGFS1 & . 97 & 6.41 & . 50 & . 625 & 18 & 5.62 & 11.85 & . 590 & . 083 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.16 & . 608 & . 078 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass = 2002} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{I-----------Regression----------I} \\
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline EGFSO & . 39 & 9.82 & . 66 & . 496 & 22 & 3.00 & 10.99 & . 862 & . 337 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.14 & . 614 & . 663 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccccccc}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Year \\
Class
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Weighted \\
Average \\
Prediction
\end{tabular} & Log & WAP & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Int \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & Var & Vatio
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table_2.3.1 Cod, North sea}

Input data for Short-Trem catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline Number & at age & & Weight & the st & \\
\hline N1 & 167309 & 0.17 & WS1 & 0.66 & 0.09 \\
\hline N2 & 31659 & 0.21 & WS2 & 0.99 & 0.05 \\
\hline N3 & 34712 & 0.13 & WS 3 & 1.90 & 0.18 \\
\hline N4 & 5200 & 0.13 & WS 4 & 3.40 & 0.07 \\
\hline N5 & 385 & 0.19 & WS5 & 5.50 & 0.09 \\
\hline N6 & 751 & 0.19 & WS 6 & 7.41 & 0.01 \\
\hline N7 & 80 & 0.35 & WS 7 & 9.16 & 0.06 \\
\hline N8 & 40 & 0.39 & WS 8 & 10.37 & 0.03 \\
\hline N9 & 12 & 0.47 & WS 9 & 11.52 & 0.05 \\
\hline N10 & 8 & 0.46 & WS10 & 11.92 & 0.04 \\
\hline N11 & 4 & 0.49 & WS11 & 12.40 & 0.20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.07 & 0.16 & WH1 & 0.66 & 0.09 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.63 & 0.24 & WH2 & 0.99 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH3 & 1.17 & 0.21 & WH3 & 1.90 & 0.18 \\
\hline sH4 & 1.31 & 0.17 & WH4 & 3.40 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH5 & 1.17 & 0.16 & WH5 & 5.50 & 0.09 \\
\hline sH6 & 1.18 & 0.05 & WH6 & 7.41 & 0.01 \\
\hline sH7 & 1.23 & 0.05 & WH7 & 9.16 & 0.06 \\
\hline sH8 & 1.07 & 0.23 & WH8 & 10.37 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.95 & 0.12 & WH9 & 11.52 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH10 & 1.13 & 0.05 & WH10 & 11.92 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH11 & 1.13 & 0.05 & WH11 & 12.40 & 0.20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.80 & 0.13 & MT1 & 0.01 & 0.10 \\
\hline M2 & 0.35 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.05 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.25 & 0.18 & MT3 & 0.23 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT 4 & 0.62 & 0.10 \\
\hline M5 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT5 & 0.86 & 0.10 \\
\hline M6 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT 6 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M7 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{in HC fishery} & & & \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.15 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.15 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO4 & 1.00 & 0.15 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Recruitment in } 2003 \text { and } 2004 \\ \text { R03 } & 126736 \\ \text { R04 } & 0.54\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { R03 } & 126736 & 0.54 \\ \text { R04 } & 171009 & 0.64\end{array}\)

Proportion of F before spawning \(=.00\)
Proportion of M before spawning \(=.00\)
Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors.
These are overwritten at Age 1 with estimate from RCT3
Data from file:C:\WGNSSK 2002\Cod\m_l_a\codiv.sen on 08/10/2002 at 09:05:09

Table 2.3.2.Cod North sea
Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.


\section*{Table_2.3.3 Cod North Sea}

Detailed forecast tables.

Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons \(=1.00\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Catch number} \\
\hline | Age | & ck No. | & | H.Cons | & Total| \\
\hline - & ------+ & +--- & -+ \\
\hline | 1 | & 1673091 & | 74961 & 74961 \\
\hline | 21 & 316591 & | 127731 & 127731 \\
\hline 131 & 347121 & | 217081 & 217081 \\
\hline | 41 & 52001 & | 3515| & 3515 \\
\hline | 51 & 3851 & | 2451 & 2451 \\
\hline 161 & 751 | & 14801 & 4801 \\
\hline 71 & 801 & 1521 & 521 \\
\hline 81 & 401 & - 241 & 241 \\
\hline 91 & 121 & 171 & 71 \\
\hline | 10 | & 81 & 151 & 51 \\
\hline | 11| & 41 & 121 & 21 \\
\hline | Wt | & 2341 & 771 & 771 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Catch number} \\
\hline | Age | & ck No. | & | & Cons I & Total| \\
\hline 1| & 126736| & | & 56781 & 56781 \\
\hline 21 & 70305 1 & | & 283651 & 283651 \\
\hline 31 & 11835 & | & 7401| & 74011 \\
\hline 41 & 83741 & | & 56601 & 56601 \\
\hline 51 & 11491 & | & 7301 & 7301 \\
\hline 61 & 98। & | & 631 & 631 \\
\hline 71 & 1901 & | & 124| & 1241 \\
\hline 81 & 191 & | & 12 | & 121 \\
\hline 91 & 11| & | & 61 & 61 \\
\hline 101 & 41 & | & 21 & 21 \\
\hline 11| & 31 & | & 21 & 21 \\
\hline | Wt | & 213| & | & 711 & 711 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Cod in Illa, IV and Vild
Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in

\section*{predictions, and the reative (\%) contibions to landings and SSB (by weight) of these year classes}


\section*{Table_2.4.2 Cod, North Sea}

Input data for medium-term predictions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{\text {CV }}\)} \\
\hline Number at & age & & Weight & the st & \\
\hline N1 & 167309 & 0.17 & WS1 & 0.67 & 0.08 \\
\hline N2 & 31659 & 0.21 & WS2 & 1.03 & 0.07 \\
\hline N3 & 34712 & 0.13 & WS3 & 2.11 & 0.15 \\
\hline N4 & 5200 & 0.13 & WS 4 & 3.91 & 0.12 \\
\hline N5 & 385 & 0.19 & WS5 & 6.19 & 0.12 \\
\hline N6 & 751 & 0.19 & WS 6 & 8.07 & 0.07 \\
\hline N7 & 80 & 0.35 & WS 7 & 9.64 & 0.05 \\
\hline N8 & 40 & 0.39 & WS 8 & 10.75 & 0.05 \\
\hline N9 & 12 & 0.47 & WS 9 & 12.01 & 0.05 \\
\hline N10 & 8 & 0.46 & WS10 & 12.80 & 0.07 \\
\hline N11 & 4 & 0.49 & WS11 & 13.31 & 0.13 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.07 & 0.16 & WH1 & 0.67 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.63 & 0.24 & WH2 & 1.03 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH3 & 1.17 & 0.21 & WH3 & 2.11 & 0.15 \\
\hline SH4 & 1.31 & 0.17 & WH4 & 3.91 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH5 & 1.17 & 0.16 & WH5 & 6.19 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH6 & 1.18 & 0.05 & WH6 & 8.07 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH7 & 1.23 & 0.05 & WH7 & 9.64 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH8 & 1.07 & 0.23 & WH8 & 10.75 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.95 & 0.12 & WH9 & 12.01 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH10 & 1.13 & 0.05 & WH10 & 12.80 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH11 & 1.13 & 0.05 & WH11 & 13.31 & 0.13 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.80 & 0.13 & MT1 & 0.01 & 0.10 \\
\hline M2 & 0.35 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.05 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.25 & 0.18 & MT3 & 0.23 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT4 & 0.62 & 0.10 \\
\hline M5 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT5 & 0.86 & 0.10 \\
\hline M6 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M7 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.20 & 0.18 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Year effect for} & tura \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{in HC fishery} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{002 0.10} \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.15 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.15 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO 4 & 1.00 & 0.15 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 1267360.54
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { R04 } & 171009 \quad 0.64\end{array}\)
Proportion of \(F\) before spawning \(=.00\)
Proportion of \(M\) before spawning \(=.00\)

Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors.
These are overwritten at Age 1
Data from file:C:\WGNSSK 2002\Cod\m_l_a\codivmt.sen on 08/10/2002 at 08:36:13
}

Figure 2.3.1.
Figure NS,North sea. Sensitivity analysis of short term forecast.


Figure 2.3.2

Figure NS,North sea. Probability profiles for short term forecast.



Data from file:C:\WGNSSK 2002\Cod\m_1_a\codiv.sen on 08/10/2002 at 09:05:49

Figure 2.3.3

Figure NS,North sea. Short term forecast

\(\qquad\)

\section*{Figure 2.4.1}

NS cod, North sea. Medium term analysis, \(1.00 *\) Fsq. Number of simulations=500.





Figure 2.4.2


\subsection*{3.1 Survey data}

The English Groundfish Survey (EGFS) covers the whole of the North Sea in August-September each year to about 200 m depth using a fixed station design of 75 standard tows with the GOV trawl The Scottish Groundfish survey (SGFS) is undertaken during August each year using a fixed station design using the GOV trawl. Coverage was restricted to the northern part of the North Sea corresponding to the more northerly distribution of haddock, but since 1998 it has been extended into the central North Sea. The indices currently presented for this survey correspond to trawl stations within the area of the old survey coverage to maintain consistency of the time series. The International quarter 1 Bottom trawl survey (IBTS Q1), covers the whole of the North Sea using fixed stations of at least two tows per rectangle with the GOV trawl.

The IBTS quarter 1 survey is treated as if it takes place at the end of the preceding year, by appropriate adjustments of the age and year ranges. The English and Scottish groundfish surveys for 2002 are the new information to the subgroup relative to the working group.

The 1999 year class is the dominating year class in both surveys and the age 3 index is the highest on record (since 1977 for the EGFS and since 1982 for the SCOGFS).

The 2000 year class indices at age 2 is closer to average, but the yearclass appears relatively stronger in the SCOGFS than in the EGFS.

The 2001 year class EGFS index at age 0 was the lowest on record. The age 1index from that survey in 2002 is also the lowest observed. The age 1 index from the SCOGFS was also very low in the 2002 survey.

The 0 -group index from the 2002 EGFS is the second lowest while the 0 -group index from the SCOGFS is a more optimistic, but is difficult to evaluate due to the changes introduced to the survey in 1998.

\subsection*{3.2 Recruitment estimates}

The EGFS and SCOGFS survey indices where updated with the august 2002 numbers. Due to the previous changes to the SCOGFS, only EGFS and IBTS Q1 indices were used. Attempts should be made at the next working group meeting to include SCGFS indices from 1998 and forwards as input to the recruitment estimates.

The input tables to the RCT3 predictions are given in tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. The RCT3 output is shown in tables 3.2.3 and 3.2.4.

The XSA and RCT3 estimates of year class 2000 at age 2 is very close to each other and they are slightly below the the geometric mean for the period 1966-1999.

The XSA estimate of the 2001 year class at age 1 is around \(30 \%\) lower than the corresponding RCT3 estimate. But both estimates are more than an order of magnitude lower than the geometric mean.

The RCT3 estimate of the 2002 year class at age 0 is an order of magnitude lower than the geometric mean. The SCOGFS is not used as input to the RCT3, but the results point to a somewhat stronger year class.

Estimates of year class strength is summarised in the following table. Values used as input to predictions are underlined:
\begin{tabular}{cccrr}
\hline Year class & Age in 2002 & XSA & RCT3 & GM \\
\hline 2000 & 2 & \(\underline{\mathbf{4 8 5 8}}\) & 4846 & 5088 \\
2001 & 1 & \(\underline{\mathbf{1 8 5 2}}\) & 2682 & 33092 \\
2002 & 0 & & \(\underline{\mathbf{2 8 2 1 5}}\) & 258956 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The mean weights and F at age were calculated using a three year mean, 1999-2001. F at age was scaled to the 2001 value of mean \(F\) by keeping the 3 year average industrial bycatch \(F\) and scaling the human consumption and discard \(F\).

The input is shown in table 3.3.1.
The output from the short term forecast is shown in table 3.3 .2 and 3.3.3. Assuming a status quo F results in an expected total catch in 2002 of 271 thousand tonnes. The predicted human consumption landings of 174 thousand tonnes is far above the TAC for 2002 (104 thousand tonnes). Continuing at the status quo F in 2003 corresponds to a total catch of 145 thousand tonnes and leaving a spawning stock of 115 thousand tonnes in 2004.

The sensitivity analysis is visualized in figure 3.3 .1 and probability profiles are shown in figure 3.3 .2 and 3.3.3. The prediction is most sensitive to variation in the relative effort in the human consumption fishery, but is also sensitive to human consumptions selectivity at age 4 (the 1999 year class in 2003) and industrial bycatch selectivity at age 3 (see section 3.5).

\subsection*{3.4 Medium term prediction}

A medium term prediction was made using the input files used by the working group, but with the stock numbers at age in 2002 replaced with the current recruitment updates. The results are visualized in figures 3.4.1 and 3.4.2. The pattern is similar to the one produced by the working group, but with a more serious decline in SSB due to the introduction of one more weak year class (2002 year class).

\subsection*{3.5 Comments}

The 1999 year class is the dominating year class in all the surveys and it should be noted that the last survey Z \(\left(\log \left(\mathrm{I}_{2002}\right)-\log \left(\mathrm{I}_{2001}\right)\right)\) for this year class is the lowest observed in the SCOGFS and the second lowest in the EGFS survey (at age 2 to 3 ). The latest survey Z's for the 2000 year class is the second lowest for (age 1 to 2 ) both surveys. See Table 3.5.1. This could be indications of some improvement in the overall mortality pattern and could be related to the technical measures introduced in 2000 . There was not enough time during the 2 day subgroup meeting to look into this in further detail.

The XSA estimate of F at age 3 in 2001 in the industrial bycatch is as high as 0.31 which is considered unrealistic. This could be caused by age reading problems in industrial bycatches of haddock ("spillover" from the 1999 year class to the 1998 year class). This has the effect that the 3 year mean selectivity pattern used in the short term prediction has a relatively high F at age 3 in the industrial bycatches \((\mathrm{F}=0.13)\). And since the 1999 year class is 3 years old in 2002 the prediction of industrial bycatch is likely to be an overestimate. The overall selectivity patterns used for the youngest age groups is influenced by the overall low fishing mortality estimated for the 1999 year class. Because of the very low recruitment estimates for the 2001 and 2002 year classes the effect on the short term prediction of overall stock size is reduced.

Table 3.1.1 Haddock in IV and IIIa. Survey indices.
ENGGFS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1977 & 2002 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75 & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & 5 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 100 & 53.480 & 6.681 & 3.206 & 6.163 & 0.925 & 0.072 & 0.091 & 0.013 \\
\hline 100 & 35.827 & 13.688 & 2.617 & 0.239 & 2.220 & 0.214 & 0.005 & 0.074 \\
\hline 100 & 87.551 & 29.554 & 5.461 & 0.872 & 0.109 & 0.437 & 0.035 & 0.004 \\
\hline 100 & 37.402 & 62.331 & 16.731 & 2.570 & 0.273 & 0.043 & 0.142 & 0.022 \\
\hline 100 & 153.746 & 17.319 & 43.910 & 7.557 & 0.742 & 0.064 & 0.003 & 0.060 \\
\hline 100 & 28.134 & 31.547 & 7.979 & 11.800 & 1.026 & 0.236 & 0.098 & 0.014 \\
\hline 100 & 83.193 & 21.821 & 10.952 & 2.143 & 2.174 & 0.266 & 0.041 & 0.014 \\
\hline 100 & 22.846 & 59.933 & 6.159 & 3.078 & 0.417 & 0.478 & 0.103 & 0.013 \\
\hline 100 & 24.587 & 18.656 & 23.819 & 2.111 & 0.698 & 0.196 & 0.128 & 0.041 \\
\hline 100 & 26.600 & 14.973 & 4.472 & 3.383 & 0.278 & 0.175 & 0.038 & 0.036 \\
\hline 100 & 2.241 & 28.193 & 4.310 & 0.533 & 0.687 & 0.048 & 0.033 & 0.003 \\
\hline 100 & 6.074 & 2.856 & 18.353 & 1.549 & 0.160 & 0.279 & 0.040 & 0.012 \\
\hline 100 & 9.429 & 8.168 & 1.446 & 3.968 & 0.252 & 0.030 & 0.060 & 0.014 \\
\hline 100 & 28.188 & 6.645 & 1.983 & 0.286 & 0.878 & 0.048 & 0.027 & 0.013 \\
\hline 100 & 26.333 & 11.505 & 0.961 & 0.231 & 0.048 & 0.219 & 0.005 & 0.006 \\
\hline 100 & 82.774 & 19.688 & 9.774 & 0.584 & 0.049 & 0.012 & 0.084 & 0.004 \\
\hline 100 & 13.578 & 24.609 & 5.859 & 1.665 & 0.059 & 0.017 & 0.000 & 0.009 \\
\hline 100 & 94.297 & 8.066 & 9.020 & 0.839 & 0.283 & 0.020 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\
\hline 100 & 17.993 & 38.310 & 4.452 & 3.403 & 0.278 & 0.092 & 0.007 & 0.000 \\
\hline 100 & 19.917 & 8.310 & 14.570 & 1.217 & 0.830 & 0.071 & 0.054 & 0.000 \\
\hline 100 & 13.032 & 14.863 & 4.334 & 6.607 & 0.227 & 0.216 & 0.027 & 0.006 \\
\hline 100 & 5.302 & 8.891 & 5.681 & 1.347 & 1.418 & 0.083 & 0.046 & 0.003 \\
\hline 100 & 210.984 & 5.572 & 2.830 & 1.233 & 0.423 & 0.405 & 0.014 & 0.012 \\
\hline 100 & 31.023 & 84.112 & 1.525 & 0.550 & 0.247 & 0.113 & 0.118 & 0.000 \\
\hline 100 & 0.372 & 9.635 & 32.493 & 1.023 & 0.279 & 0.118 & 0.045 & 0.019 \\
\hline 100 & 0.919 & 1.329 & 7.596 & 20.400 & 0.183 & 0.033 & 0.051 & 0.032 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.1.1 (Cont'd)
SCOGFS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1982 & 2002 & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.75 & & & & \\
\hline 0 & 5 & & & & & & \\
\hline 100 & 12.35 & 24.88 & 9.96 & 13.36 & 1.15 & 0.07 & 0.02 \\
\hline 100 & 22.03 & 18.13 & 16.11 & 3.72 & 4.55 & 0.53 & 0.12 \\
\hline 100 & 8.73 & 43.67 & 7.88 & 3.36 & 0.55 & 0.65 & 0.09 \\
\hline 100 & 8.18 & 19.76 & 29.81 & 2.32 & 1.03 & 0.14 & 0.22 \\
\hline 100 & 17.47 & 23.29 & 5.74 & 5.98 & 0.36 & 0.27 & 0.04 \\
\hline 100 & 2.77 & 23.93 & 7.04 & 1.06 & 1.28 & 0.08 & 0.05 \\
\hline 100 & 4.06 & 4.67 & 19.82 & 1.70 & 0.27 & 0.23 & 0.02 \\
\hline 100 & 4.32 & 8.86 & 2.14 & 5.74 & 0.31 & 0.04 & 0.07 \\
\hline 100 & 31.63 & 10.02 & 2.40 & 0.32 & 1.03 & 0.07 & 0.01 \\
\hline 100 & 34.71 & 17.05 & 1.78 & 0.21 & 0.05 & 0.16 & 0.02 \\
\hline 100 & 82.70 & 38.32 & 9.63 & 0.48 & 0.08 & 0.03 & 0.08 \\
\hline 100 & 8.59 & 58.36 & 13.80 & 2.69 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.01 \\
\hline 100 & 137.62 & 12.65 & 20.80 & 2.10 & 0.53 & 0.02 & 0.00 \\
\hline 100 & 15.66 & 81.53 & 7.34 & 9.26 & 0.74 & 0.28 & 0.02 \\
\hline 100 & 19.80 & 22.31 & 47.05 & 2.31 & 2.06 & 0.22 & 0.06 \\
\hline 100 & 9.72 & 27.79 & 8.49 & 13.97 & 0.66 & 0.56 & 0.06 \\
\hline 100 & 32.80 & 63.49 & 19.24 & 4.90 & 5.11 & 0.24 & 0.18 \\
\hline 100 & 660.67 & 19.07 & 11.41 & 6.88 & 1.97 & 1.64 & 0.06 \\
\hline 100 & 119.02 & 306.11 & 4.60 & 2.21 & 1.30 & 0.73 & 0.27 \\
\hline 100 & 0.79 & 37.90 & 113.52 & 1.79 & 0.65 & 0.40 & 0.18 \\
\hline 100 & 21.49 & 6.75 & 26.32 & 69.31 & 0.70 & 0.37 & 0.18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.1.1 (Cont'd)
IBTS_Q1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1973 & 2001 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.99 & 1 & & & \\
\hline 0 & 5 & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1136.1 & 136.1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 1146.3 & 355.8 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 105 & 556.4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 139.4 & 66.5 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 352.8 & 105.9 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 468.2 & 212.4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 863.7 & 388.6 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 267.7 & 637.6 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 537.6 & 253 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\hline 1 & 308.2 & 402.6 & 89.8 & 115.3 & 12.7 & 1.9 \\
\hline 1 & 1067.7 & 221.3 & 130.9 & 20.9 & 21.2 & 4.6 \\
\hline 1 & 228.5 & 828.4 & 105.1 & 33.8 & 4.3 & 7.2 \\
\hline 1 & 584.5 & 251.1 & 285.9 & 17.2 & 6 & 2.1 \\
\hline 1 & 917.3 & 328.8 & 47.2 & 61.1 & 4.7 & 2.6 \\
\hline 1 & 100.7 & 671 & 97 & 12.7 & 13.6 & 2 \\
\hline 1 & 217.6 & 97.4 & 273.7 & 16.8 & 2.1 & 4.7 \\
\hline 1 & 217.4 & 139.1 & 33 & 50.4 & 3.2 & 1.8 \\
\hline 1 & 678 & 133 & 24.8 & 4.2 & 8.4 & 2.4 \\
\hline 1 & 1163 & 344.6 & 18.1 & 3 & 0.6 & 2 \\
\hline 1 & 1254.3 & 540.8 & 154.5 & 8.9 & 1.1 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 228.7 & 503.9 & 98.3 & 23.3 & 1.6 & 0.8 \\
\hline 1 & 1355.5 & 201.1 & 176.2 & 24.3 & 5.3 & 0.8 \\
\hline 1 & 267.4 & 813.3 & 65.9 & 46.7 & 7.7 & 3.1 \\
\hline 1 & 860.2 & 366.4 & 470.6 & 24.8 & 15.1 & 3.4 \\
\hline 1 & 373.6 & 432.3 & 105.5 & 113.7 & 8.7 & 5.4 \\
\hline 1 & 211.8 & 232.9 & 129.7 & 48.1 & 36.6 & 4.3 \\
\hline 1 & 3702.1 & 107.8 & 49.9 & 25.4 & 15.6 & 10.3 \\
\hline 1 & 887.6 & 2279 & 47.8 & 10.9 & 7.2 & 5.7 \\
\hline 1 & 57 & 471.1 & 1308.4 & 8.7 & 6.7 & 3.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 3.2.1}

HADDOCK IN IV, RCT3 INPUT VALUES Age 0 07.okt. 02
\begin{tabular}{lrr}
5 & 32 & 2 \\
\hline & YEARCLASS
\end{tabular} 'ENQ41' 'ENQ42


\section*{Table 3.2.2}

HADDOCK IN IV, RCT3 INPUT VALUES Age 1 07.okt. 02
\(\begin{array}{lll}5 & 32 & 2 \\ \mathbf{I V F A R C L A S S}^{\prime}\end{array}\)
'ENQ41' 'ENQ42


\section*{Table 3.2.3}
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
rct3 0.txt
HADDÖCK IN IV, RCT3 INPUT VALUES Age 0 07.okt.02
Data for 5 surveys over 32 years : 1971 - 2002
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting applied
power = 3 over 20 years
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . }2
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

```
Yearclass = 2000
    I-----------Regression----------I I------------Prediction----------I
Survey/ Slope Inter- Std Rsquare No. Index Predicted Std WAP
Series cept Error Pts Value Value Error Weights
IYFS1 .91 6.47 . 26 . 922 29 6.79 12.68 . 302 . 187
IYFS2 1.04 6.15 . 30 . 900 29 6.16 12.55 . 345 . 143
EGFSO . 76 8.10 .26 .924 23 5.74 12.47 . 296 . 195
EGFS1 1.027 .17 . 21 .950 24 4.57 11.85 . 238 . 300
EGFS2 .93 8.53 . 29 .905 25 4.34 12.57 . 335 . 152
Yearclass = 2001
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline IYFS1 & . 90 & 6.52 & . 25 & . 929 & 29 & 4.06 & 10.19 & . 354 & . 294 \\
\hline EGFS0 & . 76 & 8.12 & . 25 & . 931 & 23 & 1.55 & 9.29 & . 405 & . 225 \\
\hline EGFS1 & 1.02 & 7.20 & . 20 & . 955 & 24 & 2.66 & 9.91 & . 292 & . 432 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 12.25 & . 868 & . 049 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Yearclass = 2002
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline EGFS0 & . 75 & 8.15 & . 24 & . 936 & 23 & 2.32 & 9.89 & . 368 & . 850 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.26 & . 875 & . 150 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccccccc} 
Year & Weighted \\
Class & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Logerage \\
Arediction
\end{tabular} & WAP & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Int \\
Std
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & VPA & Log \\
Error
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 3.2.4}
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
rct3 1.txt
HADDŌCK IN IV, RCT3 INPUT VALUES Age 1 07.okt.02
Data for 5 surveys over 32 years : 1971 - 2002
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting applied
power = 3 over 20 years
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . }2
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

```
Yearclass = 2000
    I-----------Regression----------I I------------Prediction----------I
Survey/ Slope Inter- Std Rsquare No. Index Predicted Std WAP
Series cept Error Pts Value Value Error Weights
IYFS1 .92 4.38 . 26 .922 29 6.79 10.61 . 305 . 193
IYFS2 1.05 4.03 .32 .893 29 6.16 10.48 . 361 . 137
EGFS0 . 77 6.00 .27 .920 23 5.74 10.41 .307 . 190
EGFS1 1.03 5.07 .21 .950 24 4.57 9.78 . 240 . 310
EGFS2 .94 6.43 .30 .899 25 4.34 10.50 .349 . 147
Yearclass = 2001
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline IYFS 1 & . 91 & 4.41 & . 26 & . 928 & 29 & 4.06 & 8.11 & . 358 & . 297 \\
\hline EGFS0 & . 76 & 6.02 & . 26 & . 926 & 23 & 1.55 & 7.20 & . 422 & . 214 \\
\hline EGFS1 & 1.02 & 5.11 & . 20 & . 955 & 24 & 2.66 & 7.83 & . 295 & . 439 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 10.18 & . 873 & . 050 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass = 2002} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{I-----------Regression----------I} \\
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline EGFS0 & . 76 & 6.04 & . 25 & . 932 & 23 & 2.32 & 7.80 & . 385 & . 840 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 10.19 & . 880 & . 160 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccccccc}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Year \\
Class
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Weighted \\
Average \\
Prediction
\end{tabular} & WAP & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Lnt \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & VPA & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Log \\
VPA
\end{tabular} \\
2000 & 28894 & 10.27 & .13 & .15 & 1.28 & \\
\(\mathbf{2 0 0 1}\) & \(\mathbf{2 6 8 2}\) & 7.89 & .20 & .36 & 3.32 & \\
\hline 2002 & 3588 & 8.19 & .35 & .88 & 6.18 &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 3.3.1}

Haddock,North Sea and IIIa
input data for catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number at age} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the stock} \\
\hline N0 & 2821500 & 0.85 & WS0 & 0.03 & 0.49 \\
\hline N1 & 185188 & 0.45 & WS1 & 0.13 & 0.24 \\
\hline N2 & 485845 & 0.15 & WS2 & 0.24 & 0.08 \\
\hline N3 & 1207832 & 0.13 & WS3 & 0.35 & 0.09 \\
\hline N4 & 16899 & 0.14 & WS4 & 0.48 & 0.04 \\
\hline N5 & 6089 & 0.18 & WS5 & 0.60 & 0.17 \\
\hline N6 & 2551 & 0.26 & WS6 & 0.70 & 0.08 \\
\hline N7 & 735 & 0.35 & WS 7 & 0.95 & 0.17 \\
\hline N8 & 421 & 0.47 & WS8 & 1.24 & 0.47 \\
\hline N9 & 66 & 0.47 & WS9 & 1.40 & 0.22 \\
\hline N10 & 29 & 0.49 & WS10 & 2.03 & 0.12 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Weight in the HC} & catch \\
\hline sH0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WH0 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline sH1 & 0.00 & 0.73 & WH1 & 0.32 & 0.16 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.13 & 0.72 & WH2 & 0.35 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.49 & 0.21 & WH3 & 0.43 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH4 & 0.78 & 0.28 & WH4 & 0.50 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.84 & 0.21 & WH5 & 0.62 & 0.17 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.96 & 0.25 & WH6 & 0.71 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH7 & 1.00 & 0.22 & WH7 & 0.95 & 0.17 \\
\hline sH8 & 1.13 & 0.32 & WH8 & 1.25 & 0.48 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.99 & 0.14 & WH9 & 1.40 & 0.22 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.99 & 0.14 & WH10 & 2.03 & 0.12 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Discard selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the discards} \\
\hline sD0 & 0.00 & 0.71 & WD0 & 0.04 & 0.24 \\
\hline sD1 & 0.06 & 0.67 & WD1 & 0.14 & 0.25 \\
\hline sD2 & 0.33 & 0.45 & WD2 & 0.22 & 0.09 \\
\hline sD3 & 0.23 & 0.34 & WD3 & 0.29 & 0.06 \\
\hline sD4 & 0.09 & 0.47 & WD4 & 0.31 & 0.02 \\
\hline sD5 & 0.04 & 0.49 & WD5 & 0.34 & 0.09 \\
\hline sD6 & 0.03 & 1.44 & WD6 & 0.18 & 0.87 \\
\hline sD7 & 0.00 & 1.73 & WD7 & 0.14 & 1.73 \\
\hline sD8 & 0.01 & 1.73 & WD8 & 0.14 & 1.73 \\
\hline sD9 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WD9 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline sD10 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WD10 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Industrial selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in Ind. bycatch} \\
\hline sIO & 0.01 & 0.46 & WIO & 0.03 & 0.81 \\
\hline sI1 & 0.02 & 0.31 & WI1 & 0.07 & 0.16 \\
\hline sI2 & 0.08 & 1.19 & WI2 & 0.14 & 0.23 \\
\hline sI3 & 0.13 & 1.14 & WI3 & 0.20 & 0.50 \\
\hline sI4 & 0.01 & 0.67 & WI4 & 0.38 & 0.09 \\
\hline sI5 & 0.00 & 0.92 & WI5 & 0.31 & 0.36 \\
\hline sI6 & 0.00 & 1.73 & WI6 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline sI7 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WI7 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline sI8 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WI8 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline sI9 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WI9 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline sI10 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WI10 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M0 & 2.05 & 0.03 & MT0 & 0.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M1 & 1.65 & 0.05 & MT1 & 0.01 & 0.10 \\
\hline M2 & 0.40 & 0.07 & MT2 & 0.32 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.25 & 0.19 & MT3 & 0.71 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.25 & 0.12 & MT4 & 0.87 & 0.10 \\
\hline M5 & 0.20 & 0.17 & MT5 & 0.95 & 0.10 \\
\hline M6 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M7 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Year ef
K02} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{in HC fishery} & & & \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.22 & & 1.00 & 0.21 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.22 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.21 \\
\hline HFO4 & 1.00 & 0.22 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 3.3.1 (Cont'd)}


Human consumption + discard Fs are obtained from mean exploitation pattern over 1999 to 2001. This is scaled to give a value for mean \(F\) (ages 2 to 6) equal to that in 2001, i.e. 0.783 Fs are distributed between consumption and discards by mean proportion retained over 1999 to 2001. N.B. Above value for H.cons+Disc ref \(F\) is value for both catch categories combined.

Bycatch Fs are obtained from mean exploitation pattern over 1999 to 2001.,
This is scaled to give a value for mean \(F\) (ages 2 to 6) equal to that in 2001, i.e. 0.046
Data from file:C:\2002had_b\shortterm\hadiv.sen on 08/10/2002 at 14:32:03

\section*{Table 3.3.2}

Haddock, North Sea and IIIa
Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Year} \\
\hline 2002 & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{2003} \\
\hline I & | & , & । & & | & | & \\
\hline 0.781 & 0.391 & 0.471 & 0.551 & 0.581 & 0.631 & 0.711 & 0.781 \\
\hline 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\
\hline I & I & I & I & | & I & | & \\
\hline । & & I & 1 & । & । & । & \\
\hline 1.001 & 0.501 & 0.601 & 0.701 & 0.741 & 0.801 & 0.901 & 1.001 \\
\hline 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Biomass & I & 1 & | & | & | & | & | & | & \\
\hline Total 1 January & I & 5721 & 3181 & 318। & 3181 & 3181 & 3181 & 3181 & 318 | \\
\hline SSB at spawning time & | & 3471 & 2211 & 2211 & 2211 & 2211 & 2211 & 2211 & 2211 \\
\hline & | & I & | & । & । & । & । & । & \\
\hline Catch weight (,000t) & | & । & 1 & । & । & 1 & । & । & \\
\hline H.cons & | & 174| & 731 & 84। & 951 & 991 & 104| & 113| & 1221 \\
\hline Discards & | & 731 & 101 & 121 & 131 & 141 & 15। & 161 & 171 \\
\hline Ind BC & | & 231 & 71 & 71 & 61 & 61 & 61 & 61 & 61 \\
\hline Total Landings & | & 1981 & 791 & 911 & 1011 & 1051 & 111| & 1191 & 1281 \\
\hline Total Catch & | & 2711 & 891 & 1021 & 114| & 119| & 125 \| & 135 \| & 1451 \\
\hline & | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | & \\
\hline Biomass in year.... 2004 & | & I & I & I & | & | & । & । & \\
\hline Total 1 January & I & I & 6261 & 6121 & 6001 & 5951 & 5881 & 5771 & 5681 \\
\hline SSB at spawning time & | & | & 169| & 1561 & 1441 & 1401 & 134| & 124 | & 115 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Est. Coeff. of Variation
Biomass Total 1 January SSB at spawning time

Catch weight
H.cons Discards Ind BC

Biomass in year.... 2004 Total 1 January SSB at spawning time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline | & | & | & | & | & & | & \\
\hline | & | & | & | & & & | & \\
\hline | & | & | & | & I & & | & \\
\hline । & & & । & & & | & \\
\hline 0.121 & 0.281 & 0.281 & 0.281 & 0.281 & 0.281 & 0.281 & 0.281 \\
\hline 0.161 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 \\
\hline | & I & | & | & | & & | & \\
\hline I & & I & 1 & & & \| & \\
\hline 0.261 & 0.481 & 0.421 & 0.391 & 0.381 & 0.361 & 0.351 & 0.331 \\
\hline 0.321 & 0.501 & 0.451 & 0.411 & 0.401 & 0.391 & 0.371 & 0.361 \\
\hline 1.131 & 0.891 & 0.891 & 0.891 & 0.891 & 0.891 & 0.891 & 0.891 \\
\hline | & I & | & | & | & & | & \\
\hline I & & । & । & । & & । & \\
\hline | & 0.811 & 0.831 & 0.841 & 0.851 & 0.861 & 0.871 & 0.891 \\
\hline । & 0.361 & 0.371 & 0.371 & 0.371 & 0.371 & 0.381 & 0.381 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.3.3

Haddock, North Sea and IIIa
Detailed forecast tables.

Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons+disc=1.00
F multiplier Indust=1.00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Catch number} \\
\hline | Age | & ck No. | & | H.Cons | & scards | & catch & Total| \\
\hline | 0 | & 2821500| & 101 & 01 & 59861 & 59861 \\
\hline 11 & 185188। & 1881 & 54561 & 1672| & 72161 \\
\hline 21 & 4858451 & | 405781 & 1038041 & 264231 & 1708051 \\
\hline 31 & 1207832| & | 3584931 & \(170120 \mid\) & 978371 & 626451 \\
\hline 41 & 168991 & | 79161 & 8721 & 1011 & 88901 \\
\hline 51 & 60891 & | 3134| & 1341 & 111 & 32791 \\
\hline 61 & 2551 & | 1437| & 391 & 01 & 14761 \\
\hline 71 & 7351 & | 4271 & 11 & 01 & 4291 \\
\hline 81 & 421| & I 2621 & 21 & 01 & 2631 \\
\hline 91 & 661 & 1381 & 01 & 01 & 381 \\
\hline 101 & 291 & | 171 & 01 & 01 & 171 \\
\hline | Wt | & 5721 & | 174| & 731 & 231 & 2711 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons+disc=1.00
F multiplier Indust=1.00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} \\
\hline Age I & tock No. | \\
\hline 01 & 258956001 \\
\hline 1| & 3614141 \\
\hline 21 & 327651 \\
\hline 31 & 1892161 \\
\hline 41 & 3988491 \\
\hline 51 & 54751 \\
\hline 61 & 20661 \\
\hline 71 & 7771 \\
\hline 81 & 221| \\
\hline 91 & 111| \\
\hline 10| & 291 \\
\hline Wt 1 & 3181 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Table 3.5.1}

Survey Z by yearclass. Fleet: ENGGFS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Age} \\
\hline 1 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 0-1 & 1-2 & 2-3 & 3-4 & 4-5 & 5-6 & 6-7 \\
\hline |Yearclass| & & & & & & & \\
\hline |1971 & & & & & & & 0.251 \\
\hline 11972 & & & & & & 1.951 & \\
\hline 11973 & & & & & 1.481 & 1.661 & 0.691 \\
\hline 11974 & & & & 1.021 & 1.621 & 1.15 & 0.851 \\
\hline 11975 & & & 2.591 & 0.781 & 1.01 & & \\
\hline 11976 & & 0.941 & 1.101 & 1.171 & \(1.50 \mid\) & -0.51| & 2.301 \\
\hline 11977 & 1.361 & 0.921 & 0.751 & 1.25 & 1.131 & 1.791 & 1.391 \\
\hline 11978 & | 0.19 | & 0.571 & 0.791 & 1.991 & \(1.34 \mid\) & 0.991 & 0.921 \\
\hline 11979 & | \(0.34 \mid\) & 0.351 & 1.311 & 1.691 & 1.51 & 1.311 & 1.18| \\
\hline 11980 & 0.771 & 0.771 & 1.321 & 1.631 & 0.741 & 1.61| & \\
\hline | 1981 & | 1.58| & 1.061 & \(1.27 \mid\) & 1.481 & 1.361 & 1.791 & 1.101 \\
\hline 11982 & \(|0.25|\) & 1.26। & 1.07| & 2.021 & 1.721 & 0.221 & 1.391 \\
\hline 11983 & | 0.331 & 0.921 & 1.95 & 1.591 & 0.901 & 1.54। & 1.791 \\
\hline 11984 & \(10.20 \mid\) & 1.431 & 2.131 & 1.201 & 1.671 & 0.001 & 1.10 \\
\hline 11985 & | 0.501 & 1.251 & 1.021 & 1.821 & 1.61 & & \\
\hline 11986 & \(|-0.06|\) & 0.431 & 1.53| & 1.51 | & 1.391 & 1.01 | & 2.081 \\
\hline 11987 & \(|-0.24|\) & 0.681 & 1.61 | & 1.761 & 1.61 & & \\
\hline 11988 & \(|-0.30|\) & 1.421 & 2.15 & 1.531 & 0.921 & & \\
\hline 11989 & | \(0.35 \mid\) & 1.941 & 0.501 & 2.271 & 1.10 & 0.691 & \\
\hline 11990 & \(10.90 \mid\) & 0.16| & 1.771 & 1.781 & 1.13 & 0.591 & 2.121 \\
\hline 11991 & | 0.291 & 1.21। & 1.941 & 1.101 & 1.39 & 0.951 & 2.201 \\
\hline 11992 & | 1.21| & 1.001 & 0.981 & 1.41| & 1.35 & 1.551 & 1.341 \\
\hline 11993 & | \(0.52 \mid\) & 0.601 & 1.291 & 1.681 & 1.01 & 1.781 & \\
\hline 11994 & 10.901 & 0.971 & 0.791 & 1.54| & 1.25 & 1.231 & 1.831 \\
\hline 11995 & | 0.771 & 0.651 & 1.17| & 1.161 & 1.32 & 0.921 & 0.341 \\
\hline 11996 & | 0.291 & 0.961 & 1.531 & 1.61 | & 0.74 & 0.841 & \\
\hline 11997 & | \(0.38 \mid\) & 1.14| & 1.64| & 0.681 & 2.13 & | & \\
\hline 11998 & |-0.05| & \(1.30 \mid\) & 0.401 & 1.721 & & | & \\
\hline 11999 & | \(0.92 \mid\) & 0.951 & 0.471 & | & & | & \\
\hline 12000 & | 1.17| & 0.241 & | & | & & | & \\
\hline 12001 & |-1.27| & & & | & & | & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Survey Z by yearclass. Fleet: SCOGFS


Figure 3.2.1 Haddock. Regression of EGFS 0-group index agains XSA 0-group estimate in numbers (top) and log numbers (bottom)



Figure 3.3.1

Figure Haddock,North Sea and IIIa. Sensitivity analysis of short term forecast.


Figure 3.3.2
Figure Haddock,North Sea and IIIa. Probability profiles for short term forecast.


Figure 3.3.3
Figure Haddock,North Sea and IIIa. Short term forecast


Data from file:C:\2002had_b\shortterm\hadiv.sen on 08/10/2002 at 11:52:29

Figure 3.4.1


Figure 3.4.2
Haddock,North Sea and IIIa. Medium term analysis, 1.00*Fsq. Number of simulation





\subsection*{4.1 Survey data}

Since the Working Group meeting in June 2002, additional survey data has been collated and made available for the Scottish (ScoGFS) and English (EngGFS) late summer groundfish surveys. The availability of these data provided an impetus for the Subgroup to analyse the extant survey indices in rather more detail than had been done previously. This was done using SURBA (Version 2.00), a new assessment method based on a separable model of fishing mortality as indicated by research-vessel survey indices, and which has been described in detail elsewhere (see Section 1.3 and Needle 2002). SURBA runs were performed for the ScoGFS, EngGFS and IBTS survey series, using manual catchability in all cases and either manual (EngGFS) or inverse-variance (ScoGFS and IBTS) age weighting.

Summary output plots for these three SURBA runs are given in Figures 4.1.1 to 4.1.3, while the summaries are compared with the mean-standardised equivalents from the final no-survey TSA run (see below) in Figure 4.1.4. The trends for relative SSB can be grouped into three distinct periods. From the early to mid 1980s, all estimates rise to a peak around 1981, before falling. From the mid-1980s to the mid-1990s the estimates are divergent, with the TSA SURBA estimates falling, ScoGFS rising, EngGFS steady with a slight rise, and IBTS domed. The estimates are consistent again from the mid-1990s onwards, with all showing a decline then a rise. The important point to note is that there is evidence from a number of different sources for a moderate recent recovery of SSB in this whiting stock. The picture for mean \(F_{2-6}\) is more confused: EngGFS and IBTS show decline then rise, while TSA and ScoGFS show quite steady decline. Changes in recent fishing mortality are therefore more difficult to characterise with any confidence.

\subsection*{4.2 Historical TSA assessments revisited}

Four different TSA assessments were presented in the 2002 Working Group report, one using catch-at-age data alone and three using catch-at-age data tuned by each of the major survey series in turn (ScoGFS, EngGFS, and IBTS). In preparation for the subgroup meeting, the runs using the ScoGFS and EngGFS were redone in order to accommodate the newly-available 2002 survey data, while a TSA run tuned by the FraGFS series is presented here for the first time. Summary statistics from these five TSA runs are compared in Figures 4.2.1 and 4.2.2. As was the case in the original WG report, these show that incorporating survey series in the TSA runs makes no significance difference to the outcome. There is therefore nothing in the model diagnostics to imply the use of anything other than the no-survey TSA assessment. However, catch-at-age data for North Sea whiting are prone to considerable inaccuracies from various misreporting biases, and an assessment based entirely on such data must be viewed with caution.

\subsection*{4.3 Recruitment estimates}

Forecasts of abundance at age-1 in 2002 and 2003 are available from each of the five TSA runs presented above. They are listed below, along with the geometric mean (GM) of the 1980-2001 recruitment time-series. It would be possible to produce RCT3 estimates for these surveys, but there seems little reason to do so since the most recent survey data are already included in the tuned TSA assessments.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline Source & \(R(2002)\) (millions) & \(R(2003)\) (millions) \\
\hline TSA (no surveys) & 1949 (se 739) & 2024 (se 767) \\
TSA (ScoGFS) & \(2073(\) se 759) & \(2085(\) se 762) \\
TSA (EngGFS) & 1838 (se 618) & 1909 (se 644) \\
TSA (IBTS Q1) & \(1887(\) se 407) & 1998 (se 664) \\
TSA (FraGFS) & 2056 (se 741) & \(2092(\) se 751) \\
GM (1980 - 2001) & 1931 & 1931 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Estimated recruitment thus ranges from 1838 million to 2073 million in 2002, and from 1909 million to 2092 million in 2003. In each case the GM is near the midpoint of the range (midpoint for \(2002=1956\) million, midpoint for \(2003=\)

2001 million). The Subgroup decided to use the TSA (no survey) estimates for both year-classes: these are constrained by the same Ricker stock-recruitment model which was used to constrain the historical assessment, so the assessment and forecast methodologies are consistent.

\subsection*{4.4 Short-term forecasts}

Population numbers at 1 January 2002 for the catch forecast were taken directly from the TSA results for all ages. CVs for these estimates were approximated by their TSA-estimated log standard errors. The TSA estimates were also used for recruiting year-class abundance in 2003 and 2004.

Estimates of fishing mortality-at-age are plotted in Figure 4.4.1. Status quo fishing mortalities were the unscaled values of \(F\)-at-age in 2001. TSA is a statistical time-series method which has already smoothed the estimates of \(F\) to a certain extent, so to smooth further by taking a three-year mean (scaled or unscaled) would be inappropriate and inconsistent. \(F\)-at-age for landings, discards and industrial bycatch in each year was apportioned from the total \(F\) s-at-age, using the ratio of component catch-at-age to the total catch-at-age in each year for each age. The CVs of these \(F\)-values were estimated using standard errors from the period 1999-2001. As there seemed to be little trend in these data (Figure 4.4.2), mean weights-at-age in landings, discards and total catch were taken to be the average values over the period 1999-2001 (3 years) for short-term forecasts and sensitivity analyses, and 1992-2001 (10 years) for medium-term forecasts and equilibrium analyses. The coefficient of variation used for year-classes recruiting in 2002 and 2003 was the standard deviation of the TSA forecasts for log recruitment in those years. The CV on the human-consumption and industrial bycatch fishing-mortality multipliers was calculated as the CV of the relevant catch component's mean \(F_{2-6}\) for 1999-2001.

Input data are shown in Table 4.4.1. The results of the forecast assuming status quo F during 2001 are shown in Table 4.4.2 (detailed) and Table 4.4.3 (management options). The predicted landings and SSB at status quo \(F\) are given below (along with coefficients of variation from WGFRANSW in parentheses), together with figures for 2001:
\begin{tabular}{|l|llll|ll|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ Year } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Catches } & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{ Biomass } \\
\cline { 2 - 7 } & Landings (kt) & Discards (kt) & Bycatch (kt) & Source & SSB (kt) & Source \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
2001 & 25.2 & 16.5 & 7.4 & WG estimates & 209.2 & TSA \\
2002 & \(35.8(0.15)\) & \(18.4(0.24)\) & \(6.1(0.82)\) & SQ forecast & \(237.0(0.17)\) & TSA \\
2003 & \(41.1(0.16)\) & \(20.7(0.27)\) & \(6.8(0.82)\) & SQ forecast & \(270.0(0.21)\) & SQ forecast \\
2004 & - & - & - & - & \(294.5(0.23)\) & SQ forecast \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The approximate \(90 \%\) confidence interval for 2003 status quo human consumption landings is [ \(31,000 \mathrm{t}, 53,000 \mathrm{t}\) ] (from WGFRANSW outputs).

The proportionate contributions of the 1998-2002 year classes to the status quo landings and discards predictions for 2002 and 2003, and to the corresponding spawning biomass predictions for 2002-2004, are given in Table 4.4.4. The prediction of landings in 2003 is largely shared between the 1998 (19\%), 1999 ( \(25 \%\) ), 2000 ( \(22 \%\) ) and 2001 ( \(21 \%\) ) year-classes at age-1, all estimated by TSA. The spawning biomass forecast for 2004 is dominated by the TSA estimates of the 2001 (28\%) and 2002 (41\%) age-1 abundances.

Inputs to a sensitivity analysis of the status quo catch prediction are shown in Table 4.4.1 and the results presented in Figure 4.4.3. These indicate that the prediction of landings for human consumption in 2003 is most sensitive to the year effects on natural mortality in 2002 and fishing mortality in 2003 , while the majority ( \(61 \%\) ) of the variance of this prediction is provided by the population estimates in 2001 for ages \(1-4\). SSB in 2004 is sensitive to a combination of assumed natural mortality at age-1, the multiplier on natural mortality and the forecast recruitment in 2003, and the assumed maturity and stock weight values at age-2: much of the variance of this prediction is explained by the forecast recruitment in 2003 ( \(46 \%\) ) and the estimated year-class size in 2001 ( \(21 \%\) ).

Cumulative probability distributions are presented in Figure 4.4.4. For the probability of \(F\) in 2003 being below status quo \(F\) to be 0.5 or less, landings in 2002 should be \(c a .41,000 \mathrm{t}\) or less. The probability of SSB remaining below \(\boldsymbol{B}_{p a}\) \((315,000 \mathrm{t})\) in 2003 is \(c a .60 \%\). Short-term forecasts for landings and spawning stock biomass are presented in Figure 4.4.5.

Yield and biomass per recruit values are given in Figure 4.4.6. The stock and recruitment scatterplot is shown in Figure 4.4.7.

\subsection*{4.5 Medium-term projections}

WGMTERMC was used to run medium term stock projections over a period of 10 years, for 1000 simulations and for a range of \(F\)-multipliers. Projections were based on the Ricker stock-recruitment model estimated by TSA: this formulation was used as it conformed to perceptions about density-dependent mortality in the species.

Stochastic trends are given in Figure 4.5.1a for the status quo projection. The projections rise steadily for yield, recruits and SSB, driven largely by a relatively low status quo \(F\) and a compensatory stock-recruitment model. Figure 4.5.1b shows the contour distribution of the probability of SSB remaining below \(\boldsymbol{B}_{p a}\) for a range of \(F\)-multipliers - this probability is estimated to be between \(30 \%\) and \(50 \%\) by 2011 at status quo \(F\).

\section*{4.6 Comments}

In the forecasts presented above, no account has been taken of the possible effects of the various technical management measures implemented in recent years as part of the cod recovery plan. The principal hindrance to the analyses that would be necessary to attempt such an evaluation has been the lack of empirically-derived selectivity-model parameters. Work to address this lack is currently being pursued in various participating institutes, but could not be completed in time for the Subgroup meeting. This must be viewed as an intersessional priority.

Current fishing-mortality for whiting is estimated to be relatively low, which leads in turn to moderately optimistic short- and medium-term forecasts. However, these do not take into account biological interactions with competing species such as cod, haddock and herring. For this reason, the forecasts must be viewed as conjectural. In addition, the mixed nature of the demersal whitefish fishery in the North Sea means that management considerations for whiting must be driven to a large extent by measures deemed necessary for other species, principally cod.

Table 4.4.1. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Input data for catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis, using a short-term (3-year) mean for weights-at-age.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline Number & at age & & Weight & the st & \\
\hline N1 & 1948630 & 0.38 & WS1 & 0.09 & 0.26 \\
\hline N2 & 582710 & 0.32 & WS2 & 0.18 & 0.05 \\
\hline N3 & 263600 & 0.23 & WS3 & 0.23 & 0.02 \\
\hline N4 & 149060 & 0.25 & WS4 & 0.28 & 0.06 \\
\hline N5 & 44690 & 0.27 & WS5 & 0.28 & 0.04 \\
\hline N6 & 10650 & 0.30 & WS6 & 0.29 & 0.05 \\
\hline N7 & 5000 & 0.31 & WS 7 & 0.29 & 0.06 \\
\hline N8 & 3820 & 0.30 & WS8 & 0.28 & 0.04 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.01 & 0.26 & WH1 & 0.17 & 0.07 \\
\hline SH2 & 0.07 & 0.08 & WH2 & 0.23 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.15 & 0.28 & WH3 & 0.27 & 0.01 \\
\hline SH4 & 0.37 & 0.08 & WH4 & 0.29 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.55 & 0.03 & WH5 & 0.29 & 0.06 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.58 & 0.05 & WH6 & 0.31 & 0.02 \\
\hline SH7 & 0.57 & 0.09 & WH7 & 0.29 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.61 & 0.09 & WH8 & 0.29 & 0.04 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Discard selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the discards} \\
\hline sD1 & 0.03 & 0.47 & WD1 & 0.10 & 0.21 \\
\hline sD2 & 0.12 & 0.20 & WD2 & 0.17 & 0.06 \\
\hline sD3 & 0.12 & 0.16 & wD3 & 0.20 & 0.06 \\
\hline sD4 & 0.05 & 0.57 & WD4 & 0.23 & 0.13 \\
\hline sD5 & 0.02 & 0.60 & WD5 & 0.23 & 0.09 \\
\hline sD6 & 0.03 & 0.65 & WD6 & 0.23 & 0.05 \\
\hline sD7 & 0.04 & 0.40 & WD7 & 0.22 & 0.03 \\
\hline sD8 & 0.01 & 0.76 & WD8 & 0.24 & 0.08 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Industrial selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in Ind. bycatch} \\
\hline sI1 & 0.05 & 0.48 & WI1 & 0.04 & 0.22 \\
\hline sI2 & 0.03 & 0.08 & WI2 & 0.14 & 0.37 \\
\hline sI3 & 0.05 & 0.25 & WI3 & 0.17 & 0.35 \\
\hline sI4 & 0.01 & 1.26 & WI 4 & 0.27 & 0.24 \\
\hline sI5 & 0.01 & 1.06 & WI5 & 0.33 & 0.11 \\
\hline SI6 & 0.01 & 1.41 & WI6 & 0.13 & 1.73 \\
\hline sI7 & 0.00 & 1.73 & WI7 & 0.20 & 1.73 \\
\hline sI8 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WI8 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Proportion matur} & \\
\hline M1 & 0.95 & 0.10 & MT1 & 0.11 & 0.10 \\
\hline M2 & 0.45 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.92 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.35 & 0.10 & MT3 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.30 & 0.10 & MT4 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M5 & 0.25 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.25 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{in HC fishery} & & & \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.05 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.05 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO4 & 1.00 & 0.05 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Relative effort in industrial fishery} \\
\hline IF02 & 1.00 & 0.77 & & & \\
\hline IF03 & 1.00 & 0.77 & & & \\
\hline IF04 & 1.00 & 0.77 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Recruitment in 2003 and 2004} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{R03 2023730} & 0.38 & & & \\
\hline R04 & 2074920 & 0.38 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Proportion of F before spawning \(=.00\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Proportion of M before spawning \(=.00\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.4.2. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Input data for medium-term projections and equilibrium calculations, using a longer-term (10-year) mean for weights-at-age.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline Numbe & at age & & Weigh & n the st & \\
\hline N1 & 1948630 & 0.38 & WS1 & 0.09 & 0.14 \\
\hline N2 & 582710 & 0.32 & WS2 & 0.18 & 0.04 \\
\hline N3 & 263600 & 0.23 & WS3 & 0.24 & 0.05 \\
\hline N4 & 149060 & 0.25 & WS 4 & 0.30 & 0.09 \\
\hline N5 & 44690 & 0.27 & WS5 & 0.33 & 0.13 \\
\hline N6 & 10650 & 0.30 & WS6 & 0.35 & 0.14 \\
\hline N7 & 5000 & 0.31 & WS7 & 0.36 & 0.17 \\
\hline N8 & 3820 & 0.30 & WS8 & 0.38 & 0.20 \\
\hline H. con & selectivi & & Weigh & n the HC & catch \\
\hline sH1 & 0.01 & 0.26 & WH1 & 0.18 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.07 & 0.08 & WH2 & 0.23 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.15 & 0.28 & WH3 & 0.28 & 0.05 \\
\hline sH4 & 0.37 & 0.08 & WH4 & 0.32 & 0.09 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.55 & 0.03 & WH5 & 0.34 & 0.14 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.58 & 0.05 & WH6 & 0.37 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.57 & 0.09 & WH7 & 0.37 & 0.16 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.61 & 0.09 & WH8 & 0.39 & 0.19 \\
\hline Disca & d selectiv & & Weigh & n the di & ards \\
\hline sD1 & 0.03 & 0.47 & WD1 & 0.10 & 0.16 \\
\hline sD2 & 0.12 & 0.20 & WD2 & 0.17 & 0.07 \\
\hline sD3 & 0.12 & 0.16 & WD3 & 0.20 & 0.03 \\
\hline sD4 & 0.05 & 0.57 & WD4 & 0.23 & 0.07 \\
\hline sD5 & 0.02 & 0.60 & WD5 & 0.24 & 0.08 \\
\hline sD6 & 0.03 & 0.65 & WD6 & 0.24 & 0.06 \\
\hline sD7 & 0.04 & 0.40 & WD7 & 0.29 & 0.42 \\
\hline sD8 & 0.01 & 0.76 & WD8 & 0.28 & 1.24 \\
\hline Indus & rial selec & ivity & Weigh & n Ind. b & catch \\
\hline sI1 & 0.05 & 0.48 & WI1 & 0.05 & 0.27 \\
\hline sI2 & 0.03 & 0.08 & WI2 & 0.14 & 0.20 \\
\hline sI3 & 0.05 & 0.25 & WI3 & 0.22 & 0.24 \\
\hline SI4 & 0.01 & 1.26 & WI4 & 0.29 & 0.20 \\
\hline sI5 & 0.01 & 1.06 & WI5 & 0.36 & 0.23 \\
\hline sI6 & 0.01 & 1.41 & WI6 & 0.22 & 1.02 \\
\hline sI7 & 0.00 & 1.73 & WI7 & 0.17 & 1.38 \\
\hline sI8 & 0.00 & 0.00 & WI8 & 0.08 & 2.24 \\
\hline Natur & 1 mortalit & & Propo & on matur & \\
\hline M1 & 0.95 & 0.10 & MT1 & 0.11 & 0.10 \\
\hline M2 & 0.45 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.92 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.35 & 0.10 & MT3 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.30 & 0.10 & MT4 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M5 & 0.25 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.25 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.20 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{in HC fishery} \\
\hline HF02 & 1.00 & 0.05 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.05 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HF04 & 1.00 & 0.05 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Relative effort in industrial fishery} \\
\hline IF02 & 1.00 & 0.77 & & & \\
\hline IF03 & 1.00 & 0.77 & & & \\
\hline IF04 & 1.00 & 0.77 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Recruitment in 2003 and 2004} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{R03 2023730} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.38} & & \\
\hline R04 & 2074920 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.38} & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Proportion of F before spawning \(=.00\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.4.3. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.
a. Effort multipliers \(0.1-0.7\) on human consumption and discard mortality.


Table 4.4.3. cont. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.
b. Effort multipliers \(0.8-1.3\) and \(1.52\left(\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{F}_{p a}\right)\) on human consumption and discard mortality. Forecasts corresponding to \(\boldsymbol{F}_{s q}\) and \(\boldsymbol{F}_{p a}\) are highlighted in bold face.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Year} \\
\hline & 2002 | & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{2003} \\
\hline Mean F Ages & & & & । & & & & \\
\hline H.cons+disc 2 to 6 & 0.411 & 0.331 & 0.371 & 0.41 | & 0.451 & 0.501 & 0.541 & 0.63 \\
\hline Ind BC 2 to 6 & 0.021 & 0.021 & 0.021 & 0.02 | & 0.021 & 0.021 & 0.021 & 0.02 \\
\hline | & I & | & | & | & & । & । & \\
\hline Effort relative to 2001 & 1 & 1 & I & । & & & & \\
\hline H.cons+disc & 1.001 & 0.801 & 0.901 & 1.00 | & 1.101 & 1.201 & 1.301 & 1.52 \\
\hline Ind BC & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.00 | & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.00 \\
\hline Biomass & । & | & | & | & । & | & | & \\
\hline Total 1 January & 3961 & 4361 & 4361 & 436 & 4361 & 4361 & 4361 & 436 \\
\hline SSB at spawning time & 2371 & 2701 & 2701 & 2701 & 2701 & 2701 & 2701 & 270 \\
\hline । \({ }^{\text {a }}\) (,000t) & । & 1 & I & I & 1 & | & | & \\
\hline Catch weight (,000t) & & & I & । & I & I & I & \\
\hline I H.cons & 35.81 & 33.91 & 37.51 & 41.1 | & 44.51 & 47.81 & 51.01 & 57.6 \\
\hline Discards & 18.41 & 16.91 & 18.81 & 20.71 & 22.61 & 24.41 & 26.21 & 30.1 \\
\hline Ind BC & 6.11 & 6.91 & 6.91 & 6.81 & 6.81 & 6.71 & 6.71 & 6.5 \\
\hline Total Landings & 42.01 & 40.81 & 44.41 & 47.9 | & 51.21 & 54.51 & 57.61 & 64.2 \\
\hline Total Catch & 60.41 & 57.71 & 63.21 & 68.61 & 73.81 & 78.91 & 83.81 & 94.3 \\
\hline | & | & I & I & I & | & | & | & \\
\hline Biomass in year.... 2004 & & I & 1 & । & & I & I & \\
\hline Total 1 January & I & 4751 & 4701 & 466 | & 461 | & 4561 & 4521 & 443 \\
\hline | SSB at spawning time & | & 3041 & 2991 & 294 & 2901 & 2851 & 2811 & 272 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{| Year} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{| 2002 | 2003} \\
\hline Effort relative to 2001 & 1 & | & I & | & I & I & I & \\
\hline H.cons+disc & 1.001 & 0.801 & 0.901 & \(1.00 \mid\) & 1.101 & 1.201 & 1.301 & 1.52 \\
\hline I Ind BC & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & \(1.00 \mid\) & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.001 & 1.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{,} & | & | & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{| Est. Coeff. of Variation} & 1 & | & I & | & | & | & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & & 1 & | & | & | & | & & \\
\hline Biomass & I & I & I & । & I & । & I & \\
\hline Total 1 January & 0.221 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SSB at spawning time} & 0.171 & 0.211 & 0.211 & 0.21 | & 0.211 & 0.211 & 0.211 & 0.21 \\
\hline & | & | & | & | & | & | & | & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Catch weight} \\
\hline H.cons & 0.151 & 0.161 & 0.161 & 0.16 & 0.161 & 0.161 & 0.161 & 0.16 \\
\hline Discards & 0.241 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 0.281 \\
& 0.821
\end{aligned}
\]} & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.271 & 0.27 \\
\hline Ind BC & 0.821 & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{- \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
0.82
\]} & 0.821 & 0.821 & 0.821 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.82} \\
\hline I & I & I & & & 1 & I & I & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Biomass in year.... 2004
Total 1 January} & I & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { | } \\
0.23 \mid \\
0.23 \mid
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
0.23 \mid \\
0.231
\end{array}
\]} & I & & - । & । & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.24} \\
\hline & I & & & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.241 & \\
\hline | SSB at spawning time & । & & & 0.23 | & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.4.3. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Detailed forecast tables.
Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons=1.00
F multiplier Indust=1.00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Catch number} \\
\hline | Age | & ck No. | & | H.Cons & cards | & catch & Total| \\
\hline 11 & 1948630। & | 15762 | & 351601 & 557721 & \(106694 \mid\) \\
\hline 21 & 5827101 & | 31356| & 495771 & 144071 & 953401 \\
\hline 31 & 2636001 & 285991 & 230331 & 101731 & 618041 \\
\hline 41 & 1490601 & | 388081 & 56041 & 1163| & 455751 \\
\hline 51 & 446901 & 166491 & 7281 & 3031 & 176801 \\
\hline 61 & 106501 & | 4111| & 2421 & 361 & 43891 \\
\hline 71 & 50001 & | 1952| & 1301 & 01 & 20831 \\
\hline 81 & 38201 & | 1597| & 231 & 01 & 1620 | \\
\hline | Wt | & 3961 & | 361 & 181 & 61 & 601 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00
F multiplier Indust \(=1.00\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Populations} \\
\hline Age | & ck No. \\
\hline 11 & 20237301 \\
\hline 21 & 6901311 \\
\hline 31 & 2966911 \\
\hline 41 & 134617 | \\
\hline 51 & 717621 \\
\hline 61 & 194291 \\
\hline 71 & 44801 \\
\hline 81 & 3910| \\
\hline Wt & 4361 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Catch number
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 163691 & 365151 & 579211 & 110805| \\
\hline 371371 & 587161 & 170631 & 112916| \\
\hline 321891 & 259241 & 114501 & 695631 \\
\hline 350481 & 50611 & 10501 & 411591 \\
\hline 267341 & 11691 & 4871 & 283901 \\
\hline 75001 & 4421 & 651 & 80071 \\
\hline 17491 & 117| & 01 & 18661 \\
\hline 1634 & 241 & 0 & 1658 \\
\hline 411 & 211 & 71 & 691 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId}

Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in
predictions, and the relative (\%) contributions to landings and SSB (by weight) of these year classes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year-class} & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Stock No. (millions)} & 1852 & 1465 & 1649 & 1949 & 2024 \\
\hline of & 1 & year-olds & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Source} & TSA & TSA & TSA & TSA & TSA \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Status Quo F:} \\
\hline \% in & 2002 & landings & 31.3 & 21.6 & 19.9 & 7.3 & - \\
\hline \% in & 2003 & landings & 19.0 & 25.0 & 21.5 & 20.9 & 6.7 \\
\hline \% in & 2002 & SSB & 17.3 & 25.9 & 41.2 & 7.9 & - \\
\hline \% in & 2003 & SSB & 7.5 & 13.8 & 25.6 & 42.9 & 7.2 \\
\hline \% in & 2004 & SSB & 3.1 & 6.2 & 14.2 & 27.8 & 40.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. : Year-class \% contribution to


Figure 4.1.1. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Summary output plots for SURBA analysis of the ScoGFS series (manual catchability, inverse-variance age weighting). See Needle (2002) for description of methodology.

\section*{Whiting in IV, ScoGFS, 1985-2002, 1-6}







Figure 4.1.2. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Summary output plots for SURBA analysis of the EngGFS series (manual catchability, manual age weighting). See Needle (2002) for description of methodology.

Whiting in IV, EngGFS, 1977-2002, 1-6







Figure 4.1.3. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Summary output plots for SURBA analysis of the IBTS series (manual catchability, inverse-variance age weighting). See Needle (2002) for description of methodology.

Whiting in IV, IBTS, 1967-2002, 1-6





Figure 4.1.4. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Comparison of relative SSB (upper plot) and mean \(F_{2-6}\) (lower plot) for three SURBA runs (ScoGFS, EngGFS and IBTS) and the final no-survey TSA run.



Figure 4.2.1. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Comparison of stock summaries from five TSA runs: with no survey data, and with data from the EngGFS, ScoGFS, FraGFS and IBTS Q1 surveys. The results from the TSA run with no survey data are plotted with \(\pm 2\) standard errors (equivalent to pointwise \(95 \%\) confidence intervals). The vertical dashed line on each plot marks the present: all estimates thereafter are TSA forecasts. The filled circles on the Yield plot are observed catches.


Figure 4.2.2. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. SSB (2001) against mean \(F_{2-6}\) (2001) from five TSA runs: no survey (filled circle) and four single-survey runs (open circles), along with the status quo WG prediction (asterisk) from last year's assessment (as amended by ACFM). Dotted lines give approximate pointwise \(95 \%\) confidence intervals about the TSA (no survey) estimate.


Figure 4.4.1. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Estimated fishing mortality-at-age from the no-survey TSA assessment, ages 1-8+.


Figure 4.4.2. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Mean catch weights-at-age, ages 1-8+.


Figure 4.4.3. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Sensitivity analysis of the short-term forecast. Notation is defined in Section 1.3.


Data from file:C:\Working FilesINS 2002\Whiting\forecasts\whiiv.sen on 07/10/200

Figure 4.4.4. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Probability profiles for status quo forecast.



Data from file:C:\Working Files\NS 2002\Whiting\forecasts\whiiv.sen on 07/10/200
Figure 4.4.5. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Short-term forecast.


Figure 4.4.7. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Yield-per-recruit.


Figure 4.4.8. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Stock-recruitment scatterplot.
IV Whiting: Stock and Recruitment


Figure 4.5.1. Whiting in Sub-Area IV and Division VIId. Medium-term projections based on a Ricker stockrecruitment model (WGMTERMC with 1000 iterations).
a. Projected values at status quo \(F\). The solid line on the stock-recruitment plot is the TSA-estimated Ricker fit. On the other figures, the lines shown are the \(10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}\) and \(90^{\text {th }}\) percentiles of stochastic projections.

Whiting, IV. Medium term analysis, \(1.00 * F s q\). Number of simulations=500.




b. Contour plot of the probability of SSB falling below \(315,000 \mathrm{t}\).


\subsection*{5.1 Survey data}

Data from 2002 for the two Dutch research vessel surveys were available for the subgroup. The SNS (Sole Net Survey) is a coastal survey with a 6- m beam trawl carried out in October. The BTS (Beam Trawl Survey) is carried out in the southern and south-eastern North Sea covering both inshore and offshore areas in August and September using an 8-m beam trawl. The BTS survey indices were revised in 1998 (ICES, 2002).

The DFS index is an area weighted survey index combining the inshore surveys of Netherlands, Belgian, Germany and UK. Revisions to the UK survey resulting from changes in the survey area have resulted in a revised DFS series from 1981 to 2000 (from 1981 0gp and 1980 lgp ). Since the UK survey has not been revised prior to 1981, the earlier DFS index should be omitted or down-weighted from any analysis. The 0 gp and 1 gp indices for 1998 and 1999 were not available because bad weather had prevented the completion of the surveys in these years. The DFS survey indices for 2002 were not available for this subgroup in October 2002. Indices from 2001 have been used in RCT3.

The German survey is a beam trawl survey carried out in May during the sole spawning season in the inshore area of the German Bight. The survey uses 7 m beam trawls with 80 mm mesh cod-ends and age groups younger than 3 are not sampled. Survey indices from 2001 and 2002 for the German survey have been used in RCT3.

\subsection*{5.2 Recruitment estimates}

Average recruitment in the period 1957-1999 was 134 million (arithmetic mean) or 99 million (geometric mean) 1-year-old-fish.

Recruitment indices were available from pre-recruit surveys carried out in 2002 and previous years. The survey indices available are listed in the RCT3 input table (Table 5.1).

The options used in RCT3 are the same as those used in previous years: regression type \(=\mathrm{C}\), tapered time weighting not applied, survey weighting not applied, final estimates shrunk towards the mean, the minimum S.E. for any survey is taken as 0.2 , and a minimum of 3 points are used for regression. The 'RCT outputs from regressions on ages 1,2 and 3 and are shown in Tables 5.2a,b,c

The 1999 year class (as age 3 in 2002, in millions) was estimated as 73 by XSA and 58 by RCT3. Long term GM (1957-1999) at age 3 is 66 . As the surveys receive \(74 \%\) of the weight in the XSA for the 1999 year class the XSA result was accepted. Consequently, this suggests the 1999 year class to be above long term average.

The 2000 year class (as age 2 in 2002, in millions) was estimated as 72 in XSA and 50 in RCT3. Both estimates are below long term (1957-1999) GM at age 2 at 87 . The RCT3 estimate of the 2000 year class is based on more independent observations (estimates) of the year class strength than the XSA estimate and, furthermore, the 2000 year class is not yet fully recruited to the fishery. Consequently, the RCT3 result was accepted. This suggests the 2000 year class being well below the long term average recruitment.

The 2001 year class (as age 1 in 2002, in millions) was estimated as 197 in RCT3. This is well above the long term GM (99). No XSA estimate for this year class is available, and all survey indices available for the year class are included in the RCT3. On this basis the RCT3 estimate was accepted. This estimate indicate a strong 2001 year class.

Year class strength used for predictions is in bold and underlined and can be summarized as follows:
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Year \\
Class
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Age \\
in 2002
\end{tabular} & XSA & RCT3 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
GM \\
\((1957-1999)\) \\
Thousands
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & Thousands & Thousands & \\
1999 & 3 & & & 65590 \\
2000 & 2 & \(\underline{\mathbf{7 2 7 9 1}}\) & 57628 & 87336 \\
2001 & 1 & 71826 & \(\underline{\mathbf{4 9 6 8 0}}\) & 98661 \\
2002 & 0 & & \(\underline{\mathbf{9 9 7 0 3 3}}\) & \(\underline{98661}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For the current prediction, population survivors at the start of 2002 for age 1 were from RCT3, and also age 2 was estimated by RCT3. Ages 3 and older were taken from the XSA output. Fishing mortality at age were the average for the years 1999-2001, scaled to the reference \(\mathrm{F}_{(2-8)}\) in 2001 of 0.52 . Weight at age in the catch and in the stock are averages for the years 1999-2001. Maturity-ogive and natural mortality was the same as in the XSA and the long-term GM recruitment ( 99 millions) was assumed for age 1 in 2003. The input data are shown in Table 5.3.

The management options table is given in Table 5.4 and the detailed predictions for \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}\) are presented in Table 5.5. The options are also illustrated in Figure 5.3.

Yield and SSB at status quo F: Assuming a status quo F results in an expected catch in 2002 of 16,800 t (compared with a TAC of \(16,000 t\) and ACFM advice for a TAC of \(14,800 \mathrm{t}\) ). The yield in 2003 is expected to be \(18,100 \mathrm{t}\) at status \(q u o\). The sensitivity of the short term forecast to the various input parameters are shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2. This forecast is particularly sensitive to the estimates of \(F\) on the 2001 year class being relatively strong (Figure 5.1) generating nearly \(85 \%\) of the total variance.

The SSB in 2002 is predicted to be \(32,300 \mathrm{t}\) compared with \(36,100 \mathrm{t}\) in last year's assessment. At status quo it is expected to fall to \(25,700 \mathrm{t}\) in \(2003\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=25,000 \mathrm{t}\right)\) and there is a \(50 \%\) probability that the SSB will fall below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) in 2004 (Figure 5.2). It should be noted that the dynamics in the SSB is forced by the big variation in recruitment and by the knife edge maturity ogive.

The proportional contributions of recent year classes to catch in 2003 and SSB in 2004 are given in Table 5.6. More than half the yield in 2003 is dependent on year classes 1999 and 2001 which are based on RCT3 and XSA estimates. Similarly, \(75 \%\) of the SSB in 2004 is dependent on these two year classes where the 2001 year class is dominating with more than \(60 \%\).

\subsection*{5.4 Medium term projections}

Medium term predictions were made for a period of 10 years, to estimate percentiles of the distribution of the predicted yields, SSB and recruitment at a status quo level of fishing mortality.

The input values for the medium term predictions are presented in Table 5.3. Catch and stock weights were the average for the past ten years.

A Ricker stock-recruitment curve was used for medium term projections as in last year's sole assessment. WGMTERMC was run using status quo fishing mortality, \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {sq }}\). Figure 5.4 shows the trajectory of yields and SBB with associated \(10,2550,75\) and 90 percentiles for the status quo projection. The plots indicate that the 50 percentile of yield remains close to \(20,000 \mathrm{t}\) over the medium term. SSB is expected to remain close to \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) of \(35,000 \mathrm{t}\) ( \(50 \%\) percenttile). The contour plot (Figure 5.4b) suggests that at \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.4)\), there is a \(25 \%\) probability of the SSB falling below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) over the medium term.

Table 5.1 North Sea sole, Indices of recruitment (input data for RCT3).
Sole North Sea
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 'yc' & 'VPA-1' & 'VPA-2' & 'VPA-3' & 'DFS-0' & 'SNS-1' & 'DFS-1' & 'SNS-2' & 'SNS-3' & 'Solea-3' & 'BTS-1' & 'BTS-2' \\
\hline 1968 & 50588 & 45397 & 35237 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 745 & 99 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1969 & 141484 & 126784 & 82978 & -11 & 4938 & -11 & 1961 & 161 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1970 & 41937 & 37547 & 26750 & -11 & 613 & -11 & 341 & 73 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1971 & 76954 & 69290 & 51064 & -11 & 1410 & -11 & 905 & 69 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1972 & 106419 & 95623 & 71893 & -11 & 4686 & -11 & 397 & 174 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1973 & 110814 & 100172 & 68805 & -11 & 1924 & -11 & 887 & 187 & 31.5 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1974 & 41910 & 37670 & 30716 & -11 & 597 & 2.86 & 79 & 77 & 16.3 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1975 & 114341 & 102470 & 71479 & 168.84 & 1413 & 6.95 & 762 & 267 & 34.4 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1976 & 140464 & 125435 & 89688 & 82.28 & 3724 & 9.69 & 1379 & 325 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1977 & 47052 & 42549 & 30720 & 33.8 & 1552 & 2.13 & 388 & 99 & 41.5 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1978 & 11817 & 10684 & 8517 & 96.87 & 104 & 2.27 & 80 & 51 & 1.9 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1979 & 154662 & 139338 & 98286 & 392.08 & 4483 & 48.21 & 1411 & 231 & 76.1 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1980 & 149248 & 134643 & 96684 & 404 & 3739 & 13.9 & 1124 & 107 & 77.1 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1981 & 153150 & 136045 & 90369 & 289.72 & 5098 & 14.06 & 1137 & 307 & 147.1 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1982 & 144182 & 130092 & 88477 & 330.38 & 2640 & 25.87 & 1081 & 159 & 77.8 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1983 & 71321 & 64352 & 42421 & 115.96 & 2359 & 12.45 & 709 & 67 & 10.8 & -11 & 7.28 \\
\hline 1984 & 81485 & 73574 & 57666 & 187.17 & 2151 & 3.32 & 465 & 59 & 29.8 & 2.64 & 4.58 \\
\hline 1985 & 160722 & 145072 & 103630 & 292.92 & 3791 & 13.66 & 955 & 284 & 24.6 & 7.76 & 12.5 \\
\hline 1986 & 73053 & 66012 & 47155 & 72.97 & 1890 & 6.19 & 594 & 248 & 20.3 & 6.96 & 12.81 \\
\hline 1987 & 448821 & 406101 & 323331 & 527.45 & 11227 & 38.02 & 5369 & 907 & 66.9 & 81.23 & 67.76 \\
\hline 1988 & 108878 & 98405 & 77684 & 56.08 & 3052 & 12.62 & 1078 & 527 & 86.4 & 8.67 & 22.33 \\
\hline 1989 & 178585 & 160769 & 132949 & 62.77 & 2900 & 12.3 & 2515 & 319 & 54.1 & 22.44 & 23.2 \\
\hline 1990 & 71371 & 64465 & 51832 & 22.54 & 1265 & 8.52 & 114 & 46 & 11.3 & 3.43 & 22.66 \\
\hline 1991 & 352279 & 317823 & 239588 & 360.44 & 11081 & 17.66 & 3489 & 943 & 180.7 & 72.71 & 26.61 \\
\hline 1992 & 69422 & 62765 & 49368 & 25.38 & 1351 & 10.6 & 475 & 126 & -11 & 4.63 & 4.95 \\
\hline 1993 & 57347 & 51206 & 34189 & 25.01 & 559 & 6.12 & 234 & 27 & -11 & 5.94 & 8.68 \\
\hline 1994 & 96501 & 82751 & 56970 & 74.25 & 1501 & 9.46 & 473 & 231 & 12.9 & 26.31 & 5.94 \\
\hline 1995 & 48961 & 44138 & 34186 & 18.82 & 691 & 3.64 & 143 & 131 & 0.9 & 3.48 & 5.36 \\
\hline 1996 & 279247 & 251160 & 173374 & 58.51 & 10132 & 19.92 & 1993 & 381 & 45.7 & 173.51 & 29.15 \\
\hline 1997 & 119390 & 107797 & 82545 & 53.35 & 2875 & -11 & 919 & 189 & 13.6 & 14.16 & 19.51 \\
\hline 1998 & 81109 & 73118 & 51891 & -11 & 1649 & -11 & 150 & 99 & 3.2 & 11.2 & 6.08 \\
\hline 1999 & 121251 & 107487 & 72791 & -11 & 1735 & 4.56 & 645 & 175 & 16.3 & 13.64 & 10.14 \\
\hline 2000 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 16.15 & 958 & 3.07 & 361 & -11 & -11 & 8.11 & 3.6 \\
\hline 2001 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 86.41 & 7093 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 22.8 & -11 \\
\hline 2002 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline mean & 122024 & 109710 & 80414 & 154 & 3187 & 12 & 1011 & 223 & 43 & 27 & 16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 5.2a North Sea sole, Recruitment estimates at age 1}

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file : s4rct101.txt

Sole North Sea - Age1., , , , , , ,

Data for 8 surveys over 34 years : 1968 - 2001
Regression type \(=C\)
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied

Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

Yearclass \(=1999\)


Yearclass \(=2000\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survey/ Series & Slope & Intercept & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & Rsquare & \begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
Pts
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & WAP Weights \\
\hline DFS-0 & 1.35 & 5.30 & 1.20 & . 294 & 23 & 2.84 & 9.15 & 1.368 & . 017 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 76 & 5.66 & . 26 & . 881 & 31 & 6.87 & 10.90 & . 276 & . 419 \\
\hline DFS-1 & 1.33 & 8.41 & . 62 & . 616 & 24 & 1.40 & 10.27 & . 682 & . 069 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 79 & 6.35 & . 43 & . 727 & 32 & 5.89 & 11.02 & . 456 & . 154 \\
\hline SNS-3 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Solea- & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline BTS-1 & . 66 & 9.92 & . 38 & . 763 & 16 & 2.21 & 11.37 & . 417 & . 184 \\
\hline BTS-2 & 1.13 & 8.67 & . 50 & . 634 & 17 & 1.53 & 10.40 & . 590 & . 092 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 11.49 & . 695 & . 066 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yearclass \(=2001\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Inter- } \\
\text { cept }
\end{gathered}
\] & Std Error & Rsquare & No. Pts & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DFS-0 & 1.35 & 5.30 & 1.20 & . 294 & 23 & 4.47 & 11.36 & 1.287 & . 029 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 76 & 5.66 & . 26 & . 881 & 31 & 8.87 & 12.43 & . 280 & . 602 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{DFS-1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{SNS-2} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{SNS-3} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Solea-} \\
\hline BTS-1 & . 66 & 9.92 & . 38 & . 763 & 16 & 3.17 & 12.00 & . 417 & . 271 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{BTS-2} \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 11.49 & . 695 & . 098 \\
\hline Year & Weight & & Log & Int & Ext & Var & VPA & Log & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Class} & Avera & & WAP & Std & Std & Ratio & & VPA & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Prediction} & Error & Error & & & & \\
\hline 1999 & 8786 & & 1.38 & . 17 & . 09 & . 30 & 0121252 & 11.71 & \\
\hline 2000 & 5561 & & 0.93 & . 18 & . 17 & . 88 & & & \\
\hline 2001 & 19703 & & 2.19 & . 22 & . 19 & . 79 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 5.2b North Sea sole, Recruitment estimates at age 2}
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
s4rct201.txt
Sole North Sea - Age2.,r,r,r,,'
Data for 8 surveys over 34 years : 1968-2001

```
    Regression type \(=C\)
    Tapered time weighting not applied
    Survey weighting not applied
    Final estimates shrunk towards mean
    Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
    Minimum of 3 points used for regression
    Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.
    Yearclass \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Std } \\
\text { Error }
\end{gathered}
\] & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Predicted } \\
\text { Value }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & WAP Weights \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{DFS-0} \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 76 & 5.58 & . 25 & . 890 & 30 & 7.46 & 11.24 & . 266 & . 394 \\
\hline DFS-1 & 1.31 & 8.30 & . 58 & . 654 & 23 & 1.72 & 10.55 & . 633 & . 070 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 79 & 6.26 & . 44 & . 731 & 31 & 6.47 & 11.37 & . 457 & . 134 \\
\hline SNS-3 & 1.08 & 5.88 & . 58 & . 602 & 31 & 5.17 & 11.48 & . 613 & . 074 \\
\hline Solea- & . 89 & 8.47 & . 75 & . 520 & 23 & 2.85 & 11.02 & . 810 & . 043 \\
\hline BTS-1 & . 66 & 9.80 & . 40 & . 753 & 15 & 2.68 & 11.57 & . 446 & . 141 \\
\hline BTS-2 & 1.12 & 8.56 & . 51 & . 648 & 16 & 2.41 & 11.27 & . 561 & . 089 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 11.38 & . 706 & . 056 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Yearclass \(=2000\)
    I-----------Regression----------- I
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survey/ Series & Slope & Intercept & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & Rsquare & \begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
Pts
\end{tabular} & Index Value & Predicted Value & Std Error & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DFS-0 & 1.35 & 5.20 & 1.20 & . 295 & 23 & 2.84 & 9.05 & 1.364 & . 017 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 76 & 5.56 & . 26 & . 883 & 31 & 6.87 & 10.80 & . 273 & . 426 \\
\hline DFS-1 & 1.32 & 8.31 & . 62 & . 618 & 24 & 1.40 & 10.17 & . 679 & . 069 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 79 & 6.25 & . 43 & . 729 & 32 & 5.89 & 10.91 & . 455 & . 154 \\
\hline SNS-3 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Solea-} \\
\hline BTS-1 & . 66 & 9.80 & . 39 & . 753 & 16 & 2.21 & 11.26 & . 429 & .173 \\
\hline BTS-2 & 1.12 & 8.58 & . 50 & . 641 & 17 & 1.53 & 10.29 & . 582 & . 094 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 11.38 & . 695 & . 066 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Yearclass = 2001
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Inter- } \\
\text { cept }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & Rsquare & No. Pts & Index Value & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DFS-0 & 1.35 & 5.20 & 1.20 & . 295 & 23 & 4.47 & 11.25 & 1.283 & . 029 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 76 & 5.56 & . 26 & . 883 & 31 & 8.87 & 12.32 & . 277 & . 616 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{DFS-1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{SNS-2} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{SNS-3} \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Solea-} \\
\hline BTS-1 & . 66 & 9.80 & . 39 & . 753 & 16 & 3.17 & 11.90 & . 429 & . 257 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{BTS-2} \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 11.38 & . 695 & . 098 \\
\hline Year & Weight & & Log & Int & Ext & Var & VPA & Log & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Class} & Avera & & WAP & Std & Std & Ratio & & VPA & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Prediction} & Error & Error & & & & \\
\hline 1999 & 7877 & & 11.27 & . 17 & . 09 & & 9107488 & 11.59 & \\
\hline 2000 & 4968 & & 0.81 & . 18 & . 17 & . 87 & & & \\
\hline 2001 & 17800 & & 2.09 & . 22 & . 19 & . 79 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5.2c North Sea sole, Recruitment estimates at age 3
```

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
s4rct301.txt
Sole North Sea - Age3.,,,,,,,,,
Data for 8 surveys over 34 years : 1968-2001
Regression type = C
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.

```
Yearclass \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & Std Error & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & WAP Weights \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{DFS-0} \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 76 & 5.27 & . 27 & . 872 & 30 & 7.46 & 10.92 & . 288 & . 373 \\
\hline DFS-1 & 1.32 & 7.97 & . 61 & . 630 & 23 & 1.72 & 10.24 & . 660 & . 071 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 79 & 5.96 & . 45 & . 714 & 31 & 6.47 & 11.06 & . 471 & . 139 \\
\hline SNS-3 & 1.05 & 5.72 & . 55 & . 621 & 31 & 5.17 & 11.17 & . 581 & . 091 \\
\hline Solea- & . 89 & 8.17 & . 76 & . 508 & 23 & 2.85 & 10.72 & . 817 & . 046 \\
\hline BTS-1 & . 70 & 9.41 & . 47 & . 698 & 15 & 2.68 & 11.29 & . 521 & . 114 \\
\hline BTS-2 & 1.13 & 8.24 & . 50 & . 671 & 16 & 2.41 & 10.97 & . 547 & . 103 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 11.07 & . 697 & . 064 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yearclass = 2000

Yearclass \(=2001\)


Table 5.3 North Sea Sole, Input data for the short term catch forecast and linear sensitivity analysis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline Number & age & & Weight & the st & \\
\hline N1 & 197033 & 0.79 & WS1 & 0.05 & 0.00 \\
\hline N2 & 49680 & 0.19 & WS2 & 0.14 & 0.05 \\
\hline N3 & 72791 & 0.15 & WS3 & 0.19 & 0.01 \\
\hline N4 & 26510 & 0.13 & WS 4 & 0.22 & 0.02 \\
\hline N5 & 20723 & 0.12 & WS5 & 0.26 & 0.01 \\
\hline N6 & 19498 & 0.14 & WS6 & 0.30 & 0.07 \\
\hline N7 & 1702 & 0.14 & WS 7 & 0.32 & 0.09 \\
\hline N8 & 1278 & 0.15 & WS8 & 0.36 & 0.15 \\
\hline N9 & 396 & 0.16 & WS9 & 0.39 & 0.05 \\
\hline N10 & 272 & 0.17 & WS10 & 0.40 & 0.30 \\
\hline N11 & 504 & 0.22 & WS11 & 0.38 & 0.12 \\
\hline N12 & 87 & 0.27 & WS12 & 0.47 & 0.33 \\
\hline N13 & 59 & 0.31 & WS13 & 0.62 & 0.10 \\
\hline N14 & 19 & 0.27 & WS14 & 0.77 & 0.34 \\
\hline N15 & 142 & 0.21 & WS15 & 0.76 & 0.29 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{H.cons selectivity} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Weight in the HC catch} \\
\hline sH1 & 0.01 & 0.66 & WH1 & 0.15 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.22 & 0.30 & WH2 & 0.18 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.53 & 0.10 & WH3 & 0.21 & 0.03 \\
\hline SH4 & 0.65 & 0.05 & WH4 & 0.25 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.63 & 0.12 & WH5 & 0.28 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.57 & 0.11 & WH6 & 0.32 & 0.06 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.53 & 0.17 & WH7 & 0.36 & 0.10 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.55 & 0.08 & WH8 & 0.39 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.48 & 0.07 & WH9 & 0.40 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.78 & 0.15 & WH10 & 0.37 & 0.20 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.58 & 0.43 & WH11 & 0.50 & 0.09 \\
\hline sH12 & 0.63 & 0.26 & WH12 & 0.54 & 0.22 \\
\hline sH13 & 0.42 & 0.04 & WH13 & 0.65 & 0.08 \\
\hline sH14 & 0.58 & 0.34 & WH14 & 0.73 & 0.35 \\
\hline sH15 & 0.58 & 0.34 & WH15 & 0.76 & 0.09 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Natural mortality} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Proportion mature} \\
\hline M1 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT1 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M2 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT3 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT4 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M5 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M12 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT12 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M13 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT13 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M14 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT14 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M15 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT15 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year effect for natural mortality}} \\
\hline in HC & hery & & & & \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.07 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.07 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO 4 & 1.00 & 0.07 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Recruitment in 2003 and 2004} \\
\hline R03 & 98661 & 0.79 & & & \\
\hline R04 & 98661 & 0.79 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Proportion of F before spawning \(=.00\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Proportion of M before spawning \(=.00\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{These are overwritten at age 1 and 2} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5.4 North Sea sole, Management options
Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.


Table 5.5 North Sea sole, Detailed forecast tables


Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00


\section*{North Sea sole}

Stock numbers of recruits and their source for recent year classes used in predictions, and the relative (\%) contributions to landings and SSB (by weight) of these year classes


North Sea sole : Year-class \% contribution to


Figure 5.1 North Sea sole, Sensitivity analysis short-term forecast

Figure Sole,North Sea. Sensitivity analysis of short term forecast.




Data from file:C:\rn\VPA-FOR\SOLIV.SEN on \(07 / 10 / 2002\) at 14:32:26

Figure 5.2 North Sea sole, Probability profiles for short term forecast.

Figure Sole,North Sea. Probability profiles for short term forecast.


Figure 5.3 North Sea sole Short term forecast

Figure Sole,North Sea. Short term forecast


Data from file:C:\rn\VPA-FOR\SOLIV.SEN on 07/10/2002 at 14:35:03

Figure 5.4a North Sea sole, Medium term analysis

Sole, North Sea. Medium term analysis, \(1.00 *\) Fsq. Number of simulations=500.





Figure 5.4b


\subsection*{6.1 Survey data}

The additional survey data available for North Sea plaice at the subgroup was:
- Beam trawl survey (BTS) 2002, ages 1 - 9
- Beam trawl survey TRIDENS (extended area), 1996-2001, ages 1 - 9
- Sole net survey (SNS) 2002, ages 0-3
- German contribution to the Demersal Fish Survey 2002, age 0

The BTS is traditionally calculated for the standard survey area, which is covered by the research vessel ISIS. This series is available for the years 1985-2002. It covers ages 1 to 9 , however the older ages (age 6 onwards) are considered to be less well sampled because the standard index area does not cover the distributional areas of these age groups.

Since 1996 the research vessel Tridens is also engaged in the BTS survey and it covers the more offshore areas in the southern and central North Sea. This survey has now been made available to the WG for the first time. The method of calculation of the index is similar to the standard BTS index; i.e. average number per haul per ICES square and then the mean from the squares.

\subsection*{6.2 Final assessment}

The assessment of North Sea plaice has been revised compared to the assessment accepted by the WG in june 2002. There are two reasons for this change:
- problems discovered in the Danish age reading of market data in 2001
- the number of significant digits of the survey data in the tuning fleet file was too low, which resulted in unreliable behaviour at the older ages (almost binary data).

The problems in the age-reading of the Danish samples in 2001 were already mentioned in the WG report (ICES 2003) and are further analysed in WD S1 (Bolle 2002). The final assessment presented here is the same as the final run in the WG report (ICES 2003), except that the Danish catch at age data were removed (run: WG2002-DK). At the WG, the German catch at age data were already removed due to SoP errors.

Input to the assessment is presented in tables 6.2.1 to 6.2.3. For input data that is not presented in tables, the same data has been used as in the WGNSSK report. The general effect of removing the Danish age composition in 2001 is that the total international age composition is more heavily influenced by the Dutch age composition which consists predominantly of smaller fish.

Table 6.2.4 documents the tuning data where the number of significant digits of the BTS index has been increased to three. The commercial fleets are no longer listed as tuning fleets as they were not used in the assessment.

The F-SSB 2001 phase plot is presented in figure 6.2.1. The time trends of F and SSB for three different configurations of the XSA assessment are presented in figure 6.2.2 in comparison with the ACFM 2001 assessment (ICES 2002).

Tuning diagnostics are presented in table 6.2.5. Log catchability residuals are shown in figure 6.2 .3 for both fleets combined. Relative differences in fleet-wise survivor estimates from the weighted survivor estimates are shown in figure 6.2.4. These indicate that the shrinkage is pulling the survivor estimates down for ages 4 to 6 and up for ages 1 and 2.

Fishing mortality at age and stock numbers at age are presented in tables 6.2.6 and 6.2.7, and the stock summary in figure 6.2 .5 and table 6.2.8. The assessment is more consistent with the assessment that was accepted by ACFM in October 2001 compared to the WG assessment that included the Danish age compositions for 2001.

A comparison of historical assessments of this stock is presented in figure 6.2.6.

Apart from a new final assessment run, which is presented in section 6.2, the subgroup considered a number of exploratory analysis in order to validate the results of the final run with additional information and with alternative assessment models. This can be considered as a kind of sensitivity analysis. The following explorations were carried out:
- Retrospective analysis of the final with different levels of shrinkage
- Application of the ICA model (Patterson 1998) to the data as used in the final run.
- Application of the Surba model version 2.00 (Needle 2002) on the standard BTS survey and on the extended BTS TRIDENS survey.
- Analysis of fishing effort of the Dutch and English beam trawl fleets.

\subsection*{6.3.1 Retrospective analysis}

A retrospective analysis using a shrinking window approach was carried out using the data as presented in the final assessment. Shrinkage was varied between 0.5 (high shrinkage) and 2.0 (low shrinkage) in steps of 0.5 .

Figure 6.3 .1 shows that the retrospective patterns in F are heavily influenced by shrinkage. Applying a low shrinkage results in a very much reduced retrospective pattern on F. The effects of shrinkage on SSB is relatively small in recent years but was very large in the late 1980s when using low shrinkage. A lower shrinkage weight, therefore, does not improve the historic retrospective pattern for SSB, but rather makes it marginally worse. Trends in recruitment appear to be less affected by shrinkage.

Figure 6.3 .2 compares runs made with shrinkage CVs of 0.5 and 2.0 (based on the WG assessment!). In each case the left hand figure plots the proportional contribution of the assessment information, the right hand figure the estimated F in the final year. In the right hand figures the open circle is the F shrinkage the square the SNS and the diamond the BTS surveys. It can be seen that if the shrinkage is used at the oldest ages we have a flat topped exploitation pattern. In the low shrinkage situation we have a dome shaped low F scenario at the oldest ages.

A lower shrinkage weight does improve the historic retrospective pattern for fishing mortality but also introduces a very low (artificial?) F at age at the oldest assessment ages in order to make the populations consistent with the CPUE data from the surveys. F at age is also more variable because individual cohorts are released from the averaging constraints. The older ages are included in the Fbar \((2-10)\) and therefore have a strong effect on that average.

At the younger ages there is much less of an effect (see the bottom plot in Figure 6.3.2) of shrinkage. This results from the information contributed by the surveys dominating estimates at those ages.

The effect of shrinkage on the estimated population number and SSB at age is illustrated in Figure 6.3.3. The figures show that the dominant effect of the shrinkage is on the abundance and biomass of age 5 in 2001, the 1996 year class. In terms of the difference in biomass estimated by the models, the size of this year class dominates the uncertainty (bottom figure of Figure 6.3.3).

In the catch at age data the 1996 year class is \(3 x\) the magnitude of the previous 5 year classes at age 4 and \(4 x\) at age 5 . In the "heavily shrunk" assessment the year class is estimated to be 5 x and 7 x respectively and in the "light shrinkage" assessment 7 x and 10 x . Any prediction based on the light shrinkage assessment could be over estimating the contribution of this year class to the population and recent catch at age data.

In conclusion: the lower shrinkage gives a better retrospective pattern, predominantly on fishing mortality. However, by reducing shrinkage, the assessments is less well behaved in terms of estimating the size of the 1996 year class and fishing mortality at older ages. Therefore, the default shrinkage option is retained for purposes of consistency with preivous assessments.

\subsection*{6.3.2 ICA}

Results of an ICA assessment on the new catch at age data, assuming a six year separable pattern and reference age 4 and with manual weighting of the survey indices, is shown in figure 6.3.4. Apperently, ICA indicates that F has remained high and that SSB is lower than indicated by XSA. This indicates that the outcome of this assessment is sensitive to the model used.

Surba models (Needle 2002) were applied to the standard BTS index (figure 6.3.5) and to the extended BTS TRIDENS series (figure 6.3.6). The results are considered preliminary but do give support to the hypothesis that most age groups plaice tend to be more offshore in recent years. The SSB estimated using the standard index gives increases due to the strong 1985 year class and persisted for a number of years. The 1996 year class appears to give only a single peak which indicates that either they have been fished or they have migrated out of the survey area. With the new TRIDENS BTS survey, we now have the complementary picture which indicates an increase in SSB over the years 1996-2001, although also with a peak value in 1998 like on the standard BTS index. The index values for extended BTS survey 2002 are not yet available but will hopefully be available during ACFM.

\subsection*{6.3.4 Effort trends}

Trends in fishing effort of the Dutch (top) and English (bottom) beam trawl fleets is shown in figure 6.3.7. Fishing effort decreased from 1994 to 2001, which could corroborate a decrease in fishing mortality.

\subsection*{6.4 Recruitment estimates}

Input to the RCT3 analysis is presented in table 6.4.1. Results for ages 1 to 3 are presented in tables \(6.4 .2-6.4 .4\). The Geometric mean recruitment is 410 million and the arithmetric mean is 450 million.

The 1999 year class in 2002 (at age 3) is estimated to be at 173 million in XSA and 190 million in RCT3. It is therefore substantially lower than the average recruitment at age 3 ( 303 million). The XSA estimate is used for prediction purposes because the survey receive a relatively high weight ( \(84 \%\) ) in the tuning of the assessment.

The 2000 year class in 2002 (at age 2) is estimated at 246 million in XSA and 201 in RCT3. Again the yearclass is thought to be lower than the average yearclass strength at age 2 ( 369 million). The XSA estimate is used for prediction purposes because the survey receive a relatively high weight \((73 \%)\) in the tuning of the assessment.

The 2001 year class in 2002 (at age 1) is estimated to be strong in the RCT3 analysis: 650 million. The RCT3 estimate is used for prediction purposes because the surveys are consistent in estimating a strong yearclass and they receive a weight of around \(73 \%\) in the RCT3 analysis.

The first indications of the 2002 year class at age 1 come from one survey only (SNS 0-group). Although this survey indicates a somewhat strong yearclass, the information is considered to be too unreliable to base the recruitment estimation on. Therefore the GM recruitment ( 410 million) is used in the predictions.

The recruitment information can be summarized as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|ccc|}
\hline yearclass & \begin{tabular}{c} 
age in \\
\(\mathbf{2 0 0 2}\)
\end{tabular} & XSA & RCT3 & \(\mathbf{G M}^{*}\) \\
\hline 1999 & 3 & \(\underline{\mathbf{1 7 3}}\) & 190 & 303 \\
2000 & 2 & \(\underline{\mathbf{2 4 6}}\) & 201 & 369 \\
2001 & 1 & & \(\underline{\mathbf{6 5 0}}\) & 410 \\
2002 & recruits & & & \(\underline{\mathbf{4 1 0}}\) \\
\({ }^{*}\) GM 1957-1999
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.5 Short term forecast}

The input for the short term forecast is given in table 6.5.1. Weight at age in the stock and weight at age in the catch are taken as the average over the last 3 years. The exploitation pattern was taken as the mean value of the last three years and scaled to the average F over 2001 ( 0.38 ). Population numbers at ages 2 and older are XSA survivor estimates. Numbers at age 1 are estimated from RCT3. The recruitment of the 2002 yearclass was taken as the long term geometric mean (1957-1999).

A management option table for status quo fishing mortality in 2001 in presented in table 6.5.2 Detailed tables for for F status quo are given in table 6.5.3 A detailed deterministic plot of the catch forecast is given in figure 6.5.1 At status quo fishing mortality in 2002 and 2003 the SSB is expected to be at around 269,000 tonnes in 2003 and 2004.

The yield at status quo F is expected to be around 96,000 tonnes in 2002 , about \(7 \%\) lower than the predicted value for 2002 from last years status quo forecast. The status quo catch prediction for 2002 is substantially higher than the TAC for 2002 ( 77,000 tonnes). The yield in 2003 is predicted to be 93,000 tonnes at status quo F.

A sensitivity analysis has been made to indentify the different sources of uncertainty underlying the predictions (figure 6.5.2) About \(70 \%\) of the variability of the SSB in 2004 is explained by the numbers at age 1 and 2 in 2002.

The probability profiles relative to the short term forecast are given in figure 6.5.3.At the current yield of around 96,000 tonnes, the probability that F is higher that \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}\) is around \(55 \%\). The probability that SSB will fall below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}(210,000\) tonnes) is predicted to be slightly higher than \(10 \%\). The risk of falling below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(300,000\) tonnes \()\) is around \(75 \%\).

\subsection*{6.6 Medium term projections}

A 10 year average was used for the catch weigth at age and stock weight at age. A traditional Shepherd stock recruit curve was used to fit the model. The estimated parameters and the residuals from the fit were exported to the inputfile for the WGTERMC program. Figure 6.6 .1 shows the stock-recruitment fit and the medium term forecasts at \(\mathbf{F}_{\text {sq }}\). Both landings and SSB are predicted to remain stable for the next years with fishing at the current F (0.38). The apparent discrepancy between the medium and short term forecast is a result of the strong 2001 yearclass which will take the stock to around the maximum of the SRR curve at status quo F.

Figure 6.6.2 shows the probability of \(\operatorname{SSB}\) to fall below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) over the next 10 years. At \(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) the probability of remaining below \(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) is around \(50 \%\) in 2011.

\subsection*{6.7 Comments}

This plaice assessment is considered to be problematic. Different assessment methods give different results and the calibration data that are currently used (surveys only) do not cover the whole stock area.

The shrinkage option does have a strong effect on the XSA assessment. This results from the fact that as fishing mortality is reduced convergence in the VPA decreases and we require better information for the CPUE data. The WG has rejected the use of commercial CPUE data which has less uncertainty but unknown bias and used the survey series with greater noise and, hopefully, no bias.

Although the WG has reduced the range of ages used for tuning the age range of the assessment has not been reduced. Therefore the estimates of F and N at the oldest ages, where the survey has more noise, are increasingly estimated from the average fishing mortality. The majority of those ages do not significantly affect the estimated SSB although they do have a big influence to the mean F, the age range of which has also remained unchanged.

The two main effects of the shrinkage are to:
1) impose a flat topped selection pattern at the oldest ages
2) reduce the abundance of the 1996 year class.

The question is open as whether there is evidence to support the strong reduction in F on older ages from the low shrinkage run. Effort has been shown to decrease since 1994 but it still cannot be explained that this would affect the oldest ages only.

The newly developed survey index series (BTS TRIDENS) appears to deliver very useful information and should be expanded in the future. Also there may be scope to developed a combined BTS index for the whole distribution area, if the relative efficiencies of the vessels can be estimated.

Work has been ongoing to estimate the age compositions and CPUE at age for the so-called flag-vessels, i.e. vessels registered in the UK but fishing with a predominantly Dutch crew and from Dutch companies. Just before the subgroup, the relevant information for the years 1991-2001 was obtained from the fishermen's organization, but unfortunately there was not sufficient time to work up the basic data for this subgroup. This information is thought to improve the quality of the total international age compositions of plaice.

The North Sea Commission has reviewed the assessment of North Sea plaice. The following items have been put forward by the commission:
- The commission had a long discussion of changes in fishing mortality. Very high values were shown in some figures in the report and questions were asked as to how F could be as high as was shown when there had been a decrease in effort, the imposition of the plaice box, and restrictive TACs?
- There was discussion of the changes in fishing mortality for any given year as observed in the retrospective analyses. It was pointed out that it was not uncommon to find such discrepancies, as it is inherent in the process of retrospective analysis.
- It was considered to be especially important to have estimates of discards for plaice. In addition, there would be value in carrying out more widely-based surveys which would include adult fish as well as juveniles Additional, more detailed CPUE data were also required, though there were difficulties in using CPUE data to evaluate stock size because of the effects of ITQs and other regulatory measures.
- The biomass limit reference point for plaice was discussed. It has been set at the lowest known biomass for plaice at the time. It is not known whether this is a danger point for plaice, or that it represents a biological limit beyond which the stock will be threatened. New limit reference points are due to be considered by ICES in 2003. There was a strong feeling within the meeting that this issue was important, and that the fishing industry should be involved in the discussions.
- Previous advice on plaice gives the impression that uncertainties are small. For example, one year, ICES advised changing the TAC from 78000 t to 77000 t , giving the impression that it was statistically possible to differentiate the two numbers. Scientists would like to provide advice incorporating the uncertainties, but managers and politicians prefer to have advice without the uncertainties.

The subgroup considered that the comments by the North Sea Commission were valid and that the discussion often mimick the discussion that are carried out in the WGNSSK.

Table 6.2.1. North Sea plaice. Catch numbers at age.
At 17/09/2002 11:41
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Table & Catch & numbers at & age & & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Numbers*10**-3} & & \\
\hline & YEAR, & 1957, & 1958, & 1959, & 1960, & 1961, & & & & & \\
\hline & 1, & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & & & & & \\
\hline & 2, & 4315, & 7129, & 16556, & 5959, & 2264, & & & & & \\
\hline & 3, & 59818, & 22205, & 30427, & 61876, & 33392, & & & & & \\
\hline & 4, & 44718, & 62047, & 25489, & 51022, & 67906, & & & & & \\
\hline & 5, & 31771, & 34112, & 41099, & 21321, & 32699, & & & & & \\
\hline & 6 , & 8885, & 19594, & 22936, & 27329, & 12759, & & & & & \\
\hline & 7, & 11029, & 8178, & 13873, & 14186, & 14680, & & & & & \\
\hline & 8, & 9028, & 8000, & 6408, & 9013, & 9748, & & & & & \\
\hline & 9, & 4973, & 6110, & 6596, & 5087, & 5996, & & & & & \\
\hline & 10, & 4300, & 4093, & 5360, & 4711, & 3446, & & & & & \\
\hline & 11, & 2580, & 4530, & 3386, & 3418, & 3621, & & & & & \\
\hline & 12, & 1312, & 1740, & 3564, & 2391, & 2887, & & & & & \\
\hline & 13, & 787, & 1110, & 1507, & 1966, & 1743, & & & & & \\
\hline & 14, & 875, & 528, & 869, & 1014, & 1345, & & & & & \\
\hline & +gp, & 1005, & 1147, & 1494, & 1653, & 1618, & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & TOTALNUM, & 185396, & 180523, & 179564, & 210946, & 194104, & & & & & \\
\hline & TONSLAND, & 70563, & 73354, & 79300, & 87541, & 85984, & & & & & \\
\hline & SOPCOF \%, & 111, & 106, & 102, & 101, & 102, & & & & & \\
\hline & YEAR, & 1962, & 1963, & 1964, & 1965, & 1966, & 1967, & 1968, & 1969, & 1970, & 1971, \\
\hline & 1, & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & 0 , & 0, & 3, & 76, & 19, \\
\hline & 2, & 2147, & 4340, & 14708, & 9858, & 4144, & 5982, & 9474, & 15017, & 17294, & 29591, \\
\hline & 3, & 35876, & 21471, & 40486, & 42202, & 65009, & 30304, & 40698, & 45187, & 51174, & 48282, \\
\hline & 4, & 66779, & 76926, & 64735, & 53188, & 51488, & 112917, & 38140, & 36084, & 56153, & 33475, \\
\hline & 5, & 50060, & 54364, & 57408, & 43674, & 36667, & 41383, & 123619, & 35585, & 40686, & 26059, \\
\hline & 6 , & 20628, & 31799, & 37091, & 30151, & 27370, & 22053, & 17139, & 102014, & 35074, & 22903, \\
\hline & 7, & 9060, & 12848, & 15819, & 18361, & 16500, & 16175, & 10341, & 10410, & 78886, & 16913, \\
\hline & 8, & 9035, & 6833, & 6595, & 8554, & 10784, & 8004, & 10102, & 6086, & 6311, & 29730, \\
\hline & 9, & 5257, & 7047, & 3980, & 4213, & 6467, & 6728, & 3925, & 8192, & 4185, & 6414, \\
\hline & 10, & 3428, & 3863, & 3804, & 4015, & 3336, & 3045, & 4891, & 3739, & 4778, & 4602, \\
\hline & 11, & 2659, & 3591, & 3066 , & 2807, & 1843, & 2033, & 2273, & 4760, & 2202, & 3377, \\
\hline & 12, & 2266, & 2117, & 1905, & 2221, & 2552, & 968, & 1556, & 1796, & 2871, & 2213, \\
\hline & 13, & 2001, & 2089, & 1518, & 1745, & 1624, & 1303, & 607, & 1223, & 1150, & 1910, \\
\hline & 14, & 1061, & 1536, & 1300, & 1338, & 1032, & 783, & 1007, & 703, & 939, & 929, \\
\hline & +gp, & 1386, & 3396, & 5293, & 5461, & 4541, & 3043, & 3031, & 3871, & 2900, & 3879, \\
\hline 0 & TOTALNUM, & 211643, & 232220, & 257708, & 227788, & 233357, & 254721, & 266803, & 274670, & 304679, & 230296, \\
\hline & TONSLAND, & 87472, & 107118, & 110540, & 97143, & 101834, & 108819, & 111534, & 121651, & 130342, & 113944, \\
\hline & SOPCOF \%, & 97, & 102, & 101, & 101, & 102, & 102, & 103, & \[
106,
\] & 97, & 103, \\
\hline & YEAR, & 1972, & 1973, & 1974, & 1975, & 1976, & 1977, & 1978, & 1979, & & 1981, \\
\hline & 1, & 2233, & 1268, & 2223, & 981, & \[
2820
\] & \[
3220
\] & 1143, & 1318, & \[
979,
\] & 253, \\
\hline & 2, & 36528, & 31733, & 23120, & 28124, & 33643, & 56969, & 60578, & 58031, & 64904, & 100927, \\
\hline & 3, & 62199, & 59099, & 55548, & 61623, & 77649, & 43289, & 62343, & 118863, & 133741, & 122296, \\
\hline & 4, & 52906, & 73065, & 42125, & 31262, & 96398, & 66013, & 54341, & 48962, & 77523, & 57604, \\
\hline & 5, & 23043, & 42255, & 41075, & 25419, & 13779, & 83705, & 50102, & 47886, & 24974, & 35745, \\
\hline & 6 , & 16998, & 13817, & 19666, & 21188, & 9904, & 9142, & 35510, & 39932, & 17982, & 12414, \\
\hline & 7, & 14380, & 8885, & 8005, & 11873, & 9120, & 5912, & 5940, & 24228, & 13761, & 9564, \\
\hline & 8, & 10903, & 9848, & 6321, & 5923, & 6391, & 5022, & 3352, & 4161, & 8458, & 8092, \\
\hline & 9, & 18585, & 6084, & 5568, & 4106, & 2947, & 4061, & 2419, & 2807, & 1864, & 4874, \\
\hline & 10, & 3467, & 13829, & 3931, & 3337, & 2020, & 1927, & 2176, & 2333, & 1326, & 1406, \\
\hline & 11, & 2841, & 1680, & 10118, & 1741, & 2111, & 1301, & 1145, & 1849, & 952, & 1097, \\
\hline & 12, & 2538, & 1995, & 1634, & 7935, & 911, & 1357, & 603, & 1113, & 1173, & 830, \\
\hline & 13, & 1553, & 1516, & 1686, & 1080, & 4478, & 489, & 689, & 707, & 433, & 796, \\
\hline & 14, & 1591, & 1355, & 1242, & 1424, & 388, & 2290, & 330, & 707, & 284, & 468, \\
\hline & +gp, & 3661, & 3603, & 3369, & 4178, & 2644, & 1827, & 2525, & 2579, & 1209, & 1306, \\
\hline 0 & TOTALNUM, & 253426, & 270032, & 225631, & 210194, & 265203, & 286524, & 283196, & 355476, & 349563, & 357672, \\
\hline & TONSLAND, & 122843, & 130429, & 112540, & 108536, & 113670, & 119188, & 113984, & 145347, & 139951, & 139747, \\
\hline & SOPCOF \%, & 103, & 105, & 104, & 106, & 103, & 100, & 96, & 100, & 101, & 102, \\
\hline & YEAR, & 1982, & 1983, & 1984, & 1985, & 1986, & 1987, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991, \\
\hline & 1, & 3334, & 1214, & 108, & 121, & 1674, & 0 , & 0 , & 1261, & \[
1550,
\] & 1461, \\
\hline & 2, & 47776, & 119695, & 63252, & 73552, & 67125, & 85123, & 15146, & 46757, & 32533, & 43266, \\
\hline & 3, & 209007, & 115034, & 274209, & 144316, & 163717, & 115951, & 250675, & 105929, & 97766, & 83603, \\
\hline & 4, & 69544, & 99076, & 53549, & 185203, & 93801, & 111239, & 74335, & 231414, & 110997, & 116155, \\
\hline & 5, & 28655, & 29359, & 37468, & 32520, & 84479, & 64758, & 47380, & 52909, & 159814, & 72961, \\
\hline & 6 , & 16726, & 12906, & 13661, & 15544, & 24049, & 34728, & 25091, & 19247, & 26757, & 77557, \\
\hline & 7, & 7589, & 8216, & 6465, & 6871, & 9299, & 11452, & 16774, & 10567, & 8129, & 14910, \\
\hline & 8, & 5470, & 4193, & 5544, & 3650 , & 4490, & 4341, & 5381, & 7561, & 4216, & 5233, \\
\hline & 9, & 4482, & 3013, & 2720, & 2698, & 2733, & 2154, & 3162, & 2120, & 3451, & 3141, \\
\hline & 10, & 3706, & 2947, & 2088, & 1543, & 2026, & 1743, & 1671, & 1692, & 1097, & 2325, \\
\hline & 11, & 1134, & 2144, & 1307, & 1030, & 1178, & 1033, & 932, & 927, & 716, & 956, \\
\hline & 12, & 712, & 1219, & 1143, & 1070, & 1084, & 663, & 932, & 630, & 456, & 592, \\
\hline & 13, & 575, & 581, & 455, & 727, & 806, & 529, & 505, & 446, & 293, & 356, \\
\hline & 14, & 519, & 344, & 310, & 371, & 628, & 296, & 516, & 328, & 208, & 289, \\
\hline & +gp, & 2007, & 1052, & 1262, & 1057, & 1228, & 1214, & 1677, & 1557, & 1038, & 1073, \\
\hline 0 & TOTALNUM, & 401236, & 400993, & 463541, & 470273, & 458317, & 435224, & 444177, & 483345, & 449021, & 423878, \\
\hline & TONSLAND, & 154547, & 144038, & 156147, & 159838, & 165347, & 153670, & 154475, & 169818, & 156240, & 148004, \\
\hline & SOPCOF \%, & 101, & 99, & 98, & 98, & 99, & 99, & 98, & 99, & 98, & 96, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.1 (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & YEAR, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001, \\
\hline & 1, & 3410, & 3461, & 1394, & 7751, & 1104, & 892, & 196, & 549, & 2634, & 4773, \\
\hline & 2, & 43954, & 53949, & 45148, & 36575, & 42496, & 42855, & 30401, & 8689, & 15819, & 37092, \\
\hline & 3, & 85120, & 98375, & 101617, & 81398, & 64382, & 86948, & 68920, & 155971, & 39550, & 52428, \\
\hline & 4, & 72494, & 72286, & 80236, & 78370, & 46359, & 43669, & 56329, & 39857, & 164330, & 45725, \\
\hline & 5, & 72703, & 51405, & 38542, & 36499, & 32130, & 22541, & 16713, & 24112, & 14993, & 88273, \\
\hline & 6, & 33406, & 29001, & 20388, & 17953, & 14460, & 13518, & 6432, & 6829, & 9343, & 7156, \\
\hline & 7, & 29547, & 13472, & 15323, & 9772, & 10605, & 6362, & 4986, & 2783, & 2130, & 4487, \\
\hline & 8 , & 6970, & 11272, & 6399, & 4366, & 4528, & 3632, & 2506, & 2246, & 1030, & 1167, \\
\hline & 9, & 3200, & 3645, & 5368, & 2336, & 2624, & 2179, & 1761, & 1521, & 940, & 637, \\
\hline & 10, & 2240, & 1888, & 2319, & 1682, & 1659, & 1252, & 912, & 1180, & 544, & 599, \\
\hline & 11, & 1516, & 1241, & 942, & 864, & 1170, & 690, & 500, & 515, & 392, & 313, \\
\hline & 12, & 925, & 932, & 646, & 427, & 511, & 889, & 403, & 381, & 393, & 493, \\
\hline & 13, & 524, & 743, & 580, & 229, & 260, & 396, & 431, & 230, & 203, & 245, \\
\hline & 14, & 490, & 215, & 300, & 209, & 238, & 224, & 176, & 267, & 134, & 183, \\
\hline & +gp, & 1233, & 864, & 646, & 342, & 1054, & 730, & 697, & 520, & 431, & 611, \\
\hline 0 & TOTALNUM, & 357732, & 342749, & 319848, & 278773, & 223580, & 226777, & 191363, & 245650, & 252866, & 244182, \\
\hline & TONSLAND, & 125190, & 117113, & 110392, & 98356, & 81673, & 83048, & 71534, & 80662, & 81148, & 81847, \\
\hline & SOPCOF \%, & 98, & 98, & 97, & 99, & 98, & 99, & 98, & 99, & 97, & 99, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.2. North Sea plaice. Catch weight at age (kg).


Table 6.2.3. North Sea plaice. Stock weight at age (kg).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR, & 1957, & 1958, & 1959, & 1960, & 1961, & & & & & \\
\hline 1, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & & & & & \\
\hline 2, & . 2000, & . 2000, & .1460, & . 1900, & . 1260, & & & & & \\
\hline 3, & . 2680, & .1970, & . 1940, & . 2080, & . 2020, & & & & & \\
\hline 4, & . 2380 , & . 2260 , & . 2400 , & . 2400 , & . 2540 , & & & & & \\
\hline 5, & . 3250, & . 3030, & . 3290 , & . 3640 , & . 3370 , & & & & & \\
\hline 6, & . 4850 , & . 4420 , & . 4700 , & . 4690 , & . 4830, & & & & & \\
\hline 7, & . 7190 , & . 5770 , & . 6500, & .6330, & . 5790 , & & & & & \\
\hline 8, & .6820, & . 7780 , & . 6860, & . 7260 , & . 6910, & & & & & \\
\hline 9, & . 8440 , & . 7930 , & . 9080 , & . 8450 , & . 7790 , & & & & & \\
\hline 10, & .9180, & . 9450 , & .8970, & . 9180, & . 9110, & & & & & \\
\hline 11, & 1.1370, & 1.0810, & . 9010 , & .9750, & .9470, & & & & & \\
\hline 12, & 1.1820, & . 7850 , & 1.1380, & 1.1260, & 1.0790, & & & & & \\
\hline 13, & 1.3850, & 1.0420, & 1.4100, & 1.1480, & 1.1840, & & & & & \\
\hline 14, & 1.4800, & 1.6150, & . 9450 , & 1.3730, & 1.1860, & & & & & \\
\hline +gp, & 1.5850, & 2.1590, & 1.3400, & 1.5220, & 1.4240, & & & & & \\
\hline YEAR, & 1962, & 1963, & 1964, & 1965, & 1966, & 1967, & 1968, & 1969, & 1970, & 1971, \\
\hline 1, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & .1410, & . 1410 , & .1750, & . 1750 , & . 1750, \\
\hline 2, & .1870, & . 2000 , & . 2000 , & . 2000, & . 2000, & . 2030, & . 2000 , & . 2030, & . 2500 , & . 2480 , \\
\hline 3, & . 2580, & . 2320 , & . 2280, & . 2460 , & . 2430 , & . 2460 , & . 2650 , & . 2580 , & . 2610 , & . 3050 , \\
\hline 4, & . 3060 , & . 2900 , & . 2760 , & . 2740 , & . 3010 , & . 2810, & . 3010 , & . 2970, & . 3110 , & . 3630 , \\
\hline 5, & . 4240 , & . 3780 , & . 3730, & . 3330, & . 4030, & . 4420 , & . 3440 , & . 3440 , & . 3690 , & . 4130, \\
\hline 6, & . 5730, & . 5400, & . 4770 , & . 4300 , & . 4550, & . 5280, & . 5320, & . 3900 , & . 4100, & . 4890, \\
\hline 7, & . 6840, & . 6630, & . 6450, & . 5160, & . 5030, & . 5850, & . 5920, & . 5650, & . 4680, & . 5120, \\
\hline 8, & . 8060 , & . 7880 , & . 6730, & .6010, & . 5650, & . 6500, & . 3620 , & . 6210, & . 6360, & . 5830, \\
\hline 9, & . 8730, & . 8820 , & . 8450, & . 7220 , & . 5810, & . 7030, & . 6670, & . 6790, & . 7320 , & . 6960, \\
\hline 10, & 1.3350, & . 9610 , & . 9730, & . 5780 , & . 8480 , & .8330, & . 7460 , & .6350, & . 7470 , & . 7070, \\
\hline 11, & 1.0740, & 1.0970, & . 9990 , & . 7900 , & . 9490 , & . 9070 , & . 7910 , & . 7720 , & . 7710 , & . 8170, \\
\hline 12, & 1.2400, & 1.2610, & 1.2550, & . 8430, & . 7040 , & 1.0070, & . 9190, & . 7410, & . 8980, & . 8470 , \\
\hline 13, & 1.1410, & 1.2460, & 1.2010, & 1.0720, & 1.0520, & . 8980, & . 8100, & . 9950 , & . 8390, & . 9410 , \\
\hline 14, & 1.8000, & 1.4030, & 1.6200, & . 7210 , & 1.0560, & . 9760 , & . 9380, & . 9070 , & 1.1550, & . 9360 , \\
\hline +gp, & 1.6190, & 1.6780, & 1.4600, & 1.2340, & 1.2160, & 1.2210, & 1.1700, & 1.1790, & 1.1750, & 1.1020, \\
\hline YEAR, & 1972, & 1973, & 1974, & 1975, & 1976, & 1977, & 1978, & 1979, & 1980, & 1981, \\
\hline 1, & .1750, & .1750, & .1700, & . 1700 , & . 1700, & .1600, & .1500, & . 1500, & . 1500, & . 1500, \\
\hline 2, & . 2740 , & . 2640 , & . 2340 , & . 2750 , & . 2170 , & . 2500 , & . 2420 , & . 2430 , & . 2290, & . 2500, \\
\hline 3, & . 3210, & . 3220 , & . 3040 , & . 2940, & . 2810, & . 3090 , & . 3360 , & . 3030 , & . 3070 , & . 2820, \\
\hline 4, & . 4010, & . 3800 , & . 3750 , & . 4170 , & . 3320 , & . 3640 , & . 3670 , & . 3630 , & . 3720 , & . 3780 , \\
\hline 5, & . 4730, & . 4680 , & . 4370 , & . 4830, & . 4840 , & . 4050 , & . 4110, & . 4140, & . 4440 , & . 4730 , \\
\hline 6 , & . 5340, & . 5210, & . 5240, & . 5440, & . 5500, & . 5510, & . 4670 , & . 4590 , & . 5240, & . 5360, \\
\hline 7, & . 5790 , & . 5660 , & . 5700 , & . 6100, & . 5930, & . 6270, & . 5470, & . 5430, & . 5820, & . 5700, \\
\hline 8, & . 6060, & . 5830, & . 6290, & .6680, & . 6580, & . 6900, & . 6300, & . 6670, & . 6510, & . 6240, \\
\hline 9, & . 6550, & . 6170, & . 6520, & . 7040 , & . 6940, & . 6670, & . 7040 , & . 7640 , & . 7780 , & . 7070 , \\
\hline 10, & . 7590 , & . 6900, & . 6900, & . 7620 , & . 7430 , & . 7590 , & . 7730 , & . 8260 , & 1.0250, & . 8490 , \\
\hline 11, & . 8150 , & . 9260 , & . 7740 , & . 8300 , & . 7840 , & . 8180, & . 8480 , & . 8940 , & . 9470 , & . 9100, \\
\hline 12, & .8690, & .8990, & . 9320 , & .8860, & . 8750 , & . 9090 , & . 9390 , & . 8800 , & . 8380 , & . 8660 , \\
\hline 13, & . 8490 , & . 9610 , & 1.0170, & . 8740 , & . 9720 , & .8380, & .9590, & 1.1270, & 1.2090, & 1.1140, \\
\hline 14, & . 9710, & . 9770 , & . 9620 , & 1.0700, & 1.1580, & 1.0550, & 1.0240, & 1.0410, & 1.1940, & 1.2180, \\
\hline +gp, & 1.2370, & . 9980 , & 1.1130, & 1.2170, & 1.1070, & 1.1160, & 1.1190, & 1.2550, & 1.3100, & 1.3240, \\
\hline YEAR, & 1982, & 1983, & 1984, & 1985, & 1986, & 1987, & 1988, & 1989, & 1990, & 1991, \\
\hline 1, & . 1500 , & . 1500, & . 1500, & . 1500 , & . 1500, & . 1500, & . 1500 , & . 1500, & . 1500 , & .1310, \\
\hline 2, & . 2420, & . 2110, & . 2030, & . 2080, & . 1950, & . 1940, & . 2120 , & . 2150, & . 2450 , & . 2080, \\
\hline 3, & . 2650 , & . 2480 , & . 2420 , & . 2430, & . 2530 , & . 2650 , & . 2380 , & . 2480 , & . 2720 , & . 2630, \\
\hline 4, & . 3810 , & . 3290 , & . 3380 , & . 3100 , & . 3360 , & . 3300, & . 3150 , & . 2820, & . 2810, & . 2750, \\
\hline 5, & . 4900 , & . 4940 , & . 4640 , & . 4520 , & . 4400 , & . 4010, & . 4260 , & . 3620 , & . 3420 , & . 3400 , \\
\hline 6 , & . 5890, & . 5590, & . 5710, & . 5360, & . 5330, & . 5030, & . 4670 , & . 4840 , & . 4210, & . 4000 , \\
\hline 7, & .6310, & . 6240, & . 6490, & . 6350, & . 6920, & . 5730, & . 5470, & . 5530, & . 5550, & . 4630, \\
\hline 8, & . 6790, & . 7120 , & . 6920, & . 6560, & . 7790 , & . 7110, & . 6440 , & . 6160, & . 6480, & . 6400, \\
\hline 9, & . 7260 , & . 7540 , & . 7870 , & . 7640 , & . 8880, & . 7470, & . 7060 , & . 7590 , & . 7130, & . 6580, \\
\hline 10, & . 8280 , & . 7910 , & . 8980, & . 8690 , & . 9710 , & . 8170, & . 8970, & . 8370 , & . 7690 , & . 7620, \\
\hline 11, & . 9810, & . 8240 , & . 9320 , & . 9550, & . 9530, & 1.0090, & . 9370 , & . 7910 , & 1.0510, & . 8550 , \\
\hline 12, & 1.0660, & 1.0110, & 1.0420, & . 9060 , & 1.1070, & 1.0180, & 1.0090, & . 9680 , & 1.1540, & . 9900 , \\
\hline 13, & 1.1820, & 1.1300, & 1.2350, & 1.0680, & 1.1530, & 1.0190, & 1.0650, & 1.2150, & 1.0220, & . 9820 , \\
\hline 14, & . 8970, & 1.2570, & 1.1270, & 1.1080, & 1.1260, & 1.2140, & 1.1350, & . 8990, & 1.0900, & . 8600 , \\
\hline +gp, & 1.1970, & 1.1240, & 1.2350, & 1.3080, & 1.3540, & 1.1140, & . 9720, & . 8570, & 1.0840, & . 9280, \\
\hline YEAR, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001, \\
\hline 1, & .1310, & .1310, & .1310, & .1240, & . 1240, & .1240, & .1240, & . 1240, & .1240, & .1240, \\
\hline 2, & . 2620, & . 2570 , & . 2220, & . 2450 , & . 2450 , & . 2170 , & . 2050, & . 2110, & . 2240 , & . 2130, \\
\hline 3, & . 2660, & . 2640 , & . 2490 , & . 2650 , & . 2820 , & . 2540 , & . 2690 , & . 2510, & . 2360 , & . 2470, \\
\hline 4, & . 3000, & . 3010 , & . 3020 , & . 3110, & . 3290 , & . 3420 , & . 3620 , & . 3460 , & . 2900 , & . 2750, \\
\hline 5, & . 3160, & . 3280 , & . 3660 , & . 4010 , & . 3900 , & . 4420, & . 4710 , & . 4360 , & . 4090, & . 3320 , \\
\hline 6 , & . 4020, & . 3910, & . 4100 , & . 4510 , & . 4640 , & . 4910, & . 5780 , & . 5240 , & . 4680 , & . 4510, \\
\hline 7, & . 5010, & . 4910 , & . 4670 , & . 5200, & . 4900 , & . 5630, & . 5880, & . 5910, & . 6870, & . 5580, \\
\hline 8, & . 5750, & . 5950, & . 5480, & . 6070, & . 5720 , & . 5860, & . 6570, & . 6800, & . 7420 , & . 6410, \\
\hline 9, & . 6960 , & . 6460 , & . 6790 , & . 7050 , & . 6890, & . 6840, & . 6760 , & . 6960 , & . 7070 , & . 8060, \\
\hline 10, & . 7510, & . 7370 , & . 7520 , & . 8360 , & . 8450 , & . 7710 , & . 7090 , & . 6390, & . 8640 , & . 8160, \\
\hline 11, & . 8440 , & .8050, & . 9120, & . 7390 , & . 9060 , & . 9130, & 1.0040, & . 7640 , & . 8720 , & . 8050 , \\
\hline 12, & . 8860 , & . 9420 , & . 9610, & . 8850, & . 9730, & . 8650, & 1.0920, & . 8980 , & . 7440 , & . 6980, \\
\hline 13, & . 9980 , & . 8660 , & 1.0270, & . 8270, & . 9000 , & . 8980 , & . 7880 , & 1.1850, & . 8180, & . 7840, \\
\hline 14, & . 8590 , & . 9120, & . 8460 , & . 9130, & . 7810 , & 1.2870, & 1.1750, & . 8390, & 1.0820, & . 8110, \\
\hline +gp, & 1.0780, & 1.1010, & 1.0200, & 1.1280, & . 8700, & 1.0520, & .8290, & 1.1020, & 1.0810, & . 9860 , \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.4. North Sea plaice. Tuning fleets.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Plaice in the North Sea (Area IV)
102} \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{BTS} \\
\hline 1985 & 2001 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.66 & 0.75 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 9 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 129.989 & 179.993 & 38.808 & 11.819 & 1.406 & 1.036 & 0.375 & 0.166 & 0.103 & 0.249 \\
\hline 1 & 660.199 & 131.772 & 50.870 & 8.929 & 3.267 & 0.476 & 0.384 & 0.113 & 0.070 & 0.210 \\
\hline 1 & 225.136 & 764.984 & 33.071 & 4.786 & 2.034 & 0.993 & 0.345 & 0.094 & 0.084 & 0.312 \\
\hline 1 & 605.146 & 139.901 & 173.211 & 9.217 & 2.676 & 0.726 & 0.408 & 0.054 & 0.077 & 0.197 \\
\hline 1 & 426.607 & 332.611 & 38.599 & 47.259 & 5.871 & 0.822 & 0.356 & 0.590 & 0.122 & 0.064 \\
\hline 1 & 106.988 & 99.835 & 57.675 & 24.814 & 7.567 & 0.822 & 0.224 & 0.339 & 0.212 & 0.135 \\
\hline 1 & 184.375 & 122.078 & 28.548 & 11.854 & 4.289 & 5.667 & 0.267 & 0.219 & 0.112 & 0.106 \\
\hline 1 & 172.833 & 125.658 & 27.269 & 5.609 & 3.177 & 2.656 & 1.136 & 0.259 & 0.053 & 0.091 \\
\hline 1 & 122.602 & 180.976 & 38.785 & 6.128 & 1.020 & 0.810 & 0.616 & 0.425 & 0.136 & 0.051 \\
\hline 1 & 141.702 & 65.665 & 37.422 & 11.934 & 3.186 & 0.653 & 0.824 & 0.970 & 0.377 & 0.058 \\
\hline 1 & 249.426 & 43.591 & 14.181 & 8.274 & 1.159 & 0.867 & 0.356 & 1.076 & 0.218 & 0.109 \\
\hline 1 & 215.771 & 206.779 & 22.799 & 4.803 & 3.671 & 0.899 & 0.047 & 0.172 & 0.142 & 0.124 \\
\hline 1 & -11 & -11 & 19.913 & 2.778 & 0.233 & 0.396 & 0.174 & 0.118 & 0.002 & 0.038 \\
\hline 1 & 336.998 & 433.129 & 47.252 & 8.854 & 1.451 & 0.733 & 0.140 & 0.103 & 0.131 & 0.066 \\
\hline 1 & 298.886 & 133.073 & 181.779 & 4.047 & 2.032 & 0.104 & 0.076 & 0.029 & 0.019 & 0.077 \\
\hline 1 & 275.860 & 72.927 & 32.376 & 23.029 & 0.653 & 0.184 & 0.518 & 0.019 & 0.000 & 0.038 \\
\hline 1 & 219.044 & 84.159 & 19.480 & 10.811 & 9.495 & 0.411 & 0.128 & 0.052 & 0.032 & 0.205 \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{SNS} \\
\hline 1982 & 2001 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0.66 & 0.75 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 3 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 70108 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 34884 & 147 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 44667 & 104 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 27832 & 137 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 93573 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 33426 & 330 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 36672 & 144 & 0131 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 37238 & 149 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 24903 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 57349 & 111 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 48223 & 3137 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 22184 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 18225 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 24900 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 24663 & 103 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & -11 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 33391 & 294 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 35188 & & 5143 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 23028 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 10193 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 6.2.5. North Sea plaice. XSA diagnostics}


Terminal population estimation :
Survivor estimates shrunk towards the mean \(F\)
of the final 3 years or the 5 oldest ages.
S.E. of the mean to which the estimates are shrunk \(=.500\)

Minimum standard error for population
estimates derived from each fleet \(=\). 300
Prior weighting not applied
Tuning converged after 28 iterations
Regression weights
, \(1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000,1.000\)
Fishing mortalities
Age, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
1, & .009, & .013, & .006, & .025, & .005, & .001, & .001, & .002, & .009, & .017 \\
2, & .137, & .171, & .207, & .198, & .170, & .223, & .039, & .033, & .080, & .153 \\
3, & .381, & .450, & .490, & .611, & .553, & .544, & .587, & .255, & .184, & .362 \\
4, & .503, & .572, & .717, & .773, & .755, & .808, & .728, & .714, & .413, & .299 \\
5, & .740, & .718, & .606, & .749, & .752, & .932, & .746, & .707, & .567, & .361 \\
6, & .717, & .660, & .617, & .560, & .669, & .737, & .665, & .694, & .581, & .516 \\
7, & .738, & .629, & .789, & .602, & .674, & .622, & .588, & .601, & .424, & .541 \\
8, & .508, & .617, & .616, & .475, & .550, & .453, & .471, & .508, & .411, & .385 \\
9, & .479, & .482, & .596, & .421, & .517, & .494, & .367, & .517, & .366, & .427 \\
10, & .495, & .512, & .572, & .332, & .528, & .442, & .350, & .397, & .311, & .372 \\
11, & .446, & .497, & .460, & .383, & .360, & .386, & .281, & .304, & .197, & .264 \\
12, & .436, & .481, & .463, & .346, & .364, & .452, & .362, & .319, & .356, & .361 \\
13, & .648, & .664, & .552, & .262, & .326, & .471, & .366, & .322, & .250, & .348 \\
14, & .511, & .533, & .546, & .348, & .422, & .458, & .350, & .360, & .280, & .333
\end{tabular}

XSA population numbers (Thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & AGE & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { YEAR } \\
& 10,
\end{aligned}
\] & & 1, & 2, & & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6 , & 7 , & & 8, & 9 , \\
\hline 1992 & , & 4.03E+05, & 3.62E+05, & 2.82E+05, & 1.93E+05, & 1.46E+05, & \(6.86 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 5.95E+04, & \(1.84 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 8.84E+03, & \(6.03 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \\
\hline 1993 & , & 2.85E+05, & 3.62E+05, & \(2.86 \mathrm{E}+05\), & 1.75E+05, & 1.05E+05, & \(6.31 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 3.03E+04, & \(2.57 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 1.00E+04, & 4.95E+03, & \\
\hline 1994 & , & 2.38E+05, & 2.54E+05, & \(2.76 \mathrm{E}+05\), & 1.65E+05, & 8.91E+04, & 4.65E+04, & 2.95E+04, & 1.46E+04, & 1.26E+04, & \(5.59 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \\
\hline 1995 & , & 3.24E+05, & \(2.14 \mathrm{E}+05\), & 1.87E+05, & 1.53E+05, & 7.28E+04, & 4.40E+04, & 2.27E+04, & \(1.21 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 7.15E+03, & \(6.27 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \\
\hline 1996 & , & 2.50E+05, & 2.85E+05, & 1.59E+05, & 9.19E+04, & 6.39E+04, & 3.12E+04, & \(2.27 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(1.13 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(6.83 \mathrm{E}+03\), & 4.25E+03, & \\
\hline 1997 & , & 9.26E+05, & 2.25E+05, & 2.18E+05, & 8.28E+04, & 3.91E+04, & 2.72E+04, & 1.44E+04, & \(1.05 \mathrm{E}+04\), & \(5.88 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \(3.69 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \\
\hline 1998 & , & 3.12E+05, & 8.37E+05, & 1.63E+05, & \(1.14 \mathrm{E}+05\), & 3.34E+04, & 1.39E+04, & 1.18E+04, & 7.01E+03, & \(6.03 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \(3.24 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \\
\hline 1999 & , & 2.40E+05, & 2.82E+05, & 7.29E+05, & \(8.21 \mathrm{E}+04\), & 5.00E+04, & 1.43E+04, & \(6.47 \mathrm{E}+03\), & 5.93E+03, & 3.96E+03, & 3.78E+03, & \\
\hline 2000 & , & \(3.06 \mathrm{E}+05\), & 2.17E+05, & \(2.47 \mathrm{E}+05\), & \(5.11 \mathrm{E}+05\) & 3.64E+04, & 2.23E+04, & \(6.48 \mathrm{E}+03\), & \(3.21 \mathrm{E}+03\), & 3.23E+03, & 2.14E+03, & \\
\hline 2001 & , & 3.02E+05, & 2.75E+05, & 1.81E+05, & 1.86E+05, & 3.06E+05, & 1.87E+04, & 1.13E+04, & 3.84E+03, & 1.93E+03, & 2.03E+03, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(0.00 \mathrm{E}+00,2.68 \mathrm{E}+05,2.13 \mathrm{E}+05,1.14 \mathrm{E}+05,1.25 \mathrm{E}+05,1.93 \mathrm{E}+05,1.01 \mathrm{E}+04,5.94 \mathrm{E}+03,2.37 \mathrm{E}+03,1.14 \mathrm{E}+03\),
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\(4.04 \mathrm{E}+05,3.61 \mathrm{E}+05,2.97 \mathrm{E}+05,1.94 \mathrm{E}+05,1.09 \mathrm{E}+05,5.76 \mathrm{E}+04,3.38 \mathrm{E}+04,2.09 \mathrm{E}+04,1.38 \mathrm{E}+04,9.55 \mathrm{E}+03\),
Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
.4034, .4158, .4233, .4645, .5462, .5971, .6391, .6899, .7173, .7366,
Table 6.2.5 (Cont'd)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR & , & 11, & & 12, & 13, & 14, \\
\hline 1992 & , & 4.43E+03, & 2.75E+03, & 1.16E+03, & 1.29E+03, & \\
\hline 1993 & , & 3.33E+03, & \(2.57 \mathrm{E}+03\), & 1.61E+03, & \(5.47 \mathrm{E}+02\), & \\
\hline 1994 & , & 2.69E+03, & 1.83E+03, & 1.44E+03, & 7.50E+02, & \\
\hline 1995 & , & 2.86E+03, & 1.53E+03, & 1.04E+03, & \(7.48 \mathrm{E}+02\), & \\
\hline 1996 & , & 4.07E+03, & 1.76E+03, & 9.81E+02, & 7.26E+02, & \\
\hline 1997 & , & 2.27E+03, & \(2.57 \mathrm{E}+03\), & 1.11E+03, & \(6.41 \mathrm{E}+02\), & \\
\hline 1998 & , & 2.15E+03, & 1.40E+03, & 1.48E+03, & \(6.26 \mathrm{E}+02\), & \\
\hline 1999 & , & 2.07E+03, & 1.47E+03, & 8.79E+02, & 9.29E+02, & \\
\hline 2000 & , & 2.30E+03, & 1.38E+03, & 9.64E+02, & 5.77E+02, & \\
\hline 2001 & , & 1.42E+03, & 1.71E+03, & 8.76E+02, & \(6.79 \mathrm{E}+02\), & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Estimated population abundance at 1st Jan 2002
\(1.26 \mathrm{E}+03,9.85 \mathrm{E}+02,1.08 \mathrm{E}+03,5.59 \mathrm{E}+02\),
Taper weighted geometric mean of the VPA populations:
\[
6.54 \mathrm{E}+03,4.60 \mathrm{E}+03,3.10 \mathrm{E}+03,2.14 \mathrm{E}+03,
\]

Standard error of the weighted Log(VPA populations) :
. .7706, .7733, .8040, .8455,

Log catchability residuals.


Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Age & 1, & 2, & 3, & 4, & 5, & 6, & 7, & 8, & 9 \\
\hline Mean Log q, & -7.3094, & -7.7228, & -8.6327, & -9.4921, & -10.1471, & -10.4666, & -10.7557, & -10.7889, & -11.1043, \\
\hline S.E(Log q), & .5021, & . 3725 , & . 3728 , & . 4022 , & .5684, & . 5952 , & .7124, & . 8576, & 1.0499, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
1, & 1.66, & -1.317, & 3.67, & .22, & 16, & .81, & -7.31, \\
2, & .74, & 1.818, & 9.06, & .78, & 16, & .26, & -7.72, \\
3, & .95, & .297, & 8.85, & .67, & 17, & .36, & -8.63, \\
4, & 1.11, & -.546, & 9.20, & .64, & 17, & .45, & -9.49, \\
5, & 1.00, & -.011, & 10.14, & .59, & 17, & .59, & -10.15, \\
6, & .92, & .340, & 10.48, & .58, & 17, & .57, & -10.47, \\
7, & 1.33, & -.840, & 11.01, & .30, & 17, & .96, & -10.76, \\
8, & .68, & 1.288, & 10.33, & .52, & 17, & .57, & -10.79, \\
9, & .74, & .580, & 10.54, & .27, & 16, & .80, & -11.10,
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 6.2.5 (Cont'd)}
```

Fleet : SNS
Age , 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991
, -.13, -.28, -.06, -. 39, -.04, -. 23, -.18, .16, -.22, .61

```

```

    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    No data for this fleet at this age
    , No data for this fleet at this age
    , No data for this fleet at this age
    , No data for this fleet at this age
    Age , 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001
. .43, .01, -.02, .00, .24, 99.99, .32, .63, -.03, -. 83
.54, .19, -.10, -.49, .52, 99.99, .39, .31, -.70, -. 91
.45, -.34, -.39, -.67, . 50, .34, 2.07, 1.26, -.47, -.97
No data for this fleet at this age
No data for this fleet at this age
No data for this fleet at this age
No data for this fleet at this age
, No data for this fleet at this age
, No data for this fleet at this age

```
Mean log catchability and standard error of ages with catchability
independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time
\begin{tabular}{crrr} 
Age , & 1, & 2, & 3 \\
Mean Log q, & -2.4796, & -3.6402, & -4.9360, \\
S.E (Log q), & .3496, & .4062, & .8204,
\end{tabular}

Regression statistics :

Ages with \(q\) independent of year class strength and constant w.r.t. time.

Age, Slope, t-value, Intercept, RSquare, No Pts, Reg s.e, Mean Q
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr}
1, & 1.26, & -1.156, & -.21, & .54, & 19, & .44, & -2.48, \\
2, & .79, & 1.334, & 5.59, & .70, & 19, & .31, & -3.64, \\
3, & .84, & .503, & 6.20, & .35, & 20, & .70, & -4.94,
\end{tabular}

Terminal year survivor and \(F\) summaries :
Age 1 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age


Age 2 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1999\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & S.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & F \\
\hline BTS & , & 215265., & . 308, & . 269, & . 87, & 2, & . 366 , & . 152 \\
\hline SNS & , & 141624., & . 272 , & .437, & 1.61, & 2, & . 471, & 222 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & , & 680593., & . 50, & & & & . 163, & . 051 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
Survivors, Int, Ext, N, Var, F
at end of year, s.e, s.e, \(\quad\), Ratio, 153

Table 6.2.5 (Cont'd)
```

Year class = 1998

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & F \\
\hline BTS & , & 115756., & . 241, & . 246 , & 1.02, & 3, & . 457, & . 358 \\
\hline SNS & , & 109459., & . 259, & . 494, & 1.91, & 3, & . 384 , & . 375 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 121934., & . 50, & & & & .159, & . 343 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & ,' & Ratio, & \\
\(114234 .\), & .17, & .20, & 7, & 1.200, & .362
\end{tabular}

Age 4 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1997\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & S.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & F \\
\hline BTS & , & 138108., & . 209, & . 123, & .59, & 4, & . 533, & . 274 \\
\hline SNS & , & 158358., & . 259, & . 165 , & . 64, & 3, & . 323 , & . 243 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 50553., & . 50, & & & & .144, & . 621 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(124871 .\), & .16, & .17, & 8, & 1.096, & .299
\end{tabular}

Age 5 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors & In & & Ext, & Var, & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Scaled, \\
Weights
\end{tabular} & Estimated \\
\hline BTS & , & 233024., & 21 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& .160,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 210, \\
& .73,
\end{aligned}
\] & 4, & .594, & . 308 \\
\hline SNS & , & 340164. , & . 37 & & . 350 , & . 94 , & 2, & . 156, & . 221 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{F shrinkage mean} & 86835., & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{. \(50,1,1\)} & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{. 250 ,} & . 677 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Weighted prediction :} \\
\hline Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F & & & & \\
\hline at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & & & & & \\
\hline 193039., & . 19, & . 25 , & 7, & 1.303, & . 361 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Age 6 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1995\)


Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & ', & Ratio, & \\
\(10092 .\), & .26, & .19, & 8, & .728, & .516
\end{tabular}

Age 7 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1994\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline leet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Estimated } \\
& \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BTS & , & 5697., & . 287, & . 217, & . 76 , & 7, & . 439, & . 559 \\
\hline SNS & , & 7533., & . 259, & . 171, & . 66 , & 3, & . 070, & . 449 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & , & 5966., & . 50, & & & & . 491, & . 540 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(5943 .\), & .28, & .12, & 11, & .416, & .541
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.5 (Cont'd)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & & Scaled, Weights, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Estimated } \\
& \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BTS & , & 3180., & . 326, & . 341 , & 1.05, & 8, & . 432, & 299 \\
\hline SNS & , & 2059., & . 259, & . 225 , & . 87 , & 3, & . 044 , & . 431 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 1875., & . 50, & & & & . 524 , & . 465 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & S.e, & Ratio, & \\
2365. & .30, & .21, & 12, & .709, & .385
\end{tabular}

Age 9 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1992\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & Int, s.e, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Var, \\
Ratio,
\end{tabular} & & Scaled, Weights & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Estimated } \\
& \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BTS & , & 1090., & . 373, & . 255 , & .68, & 9, & . 360, & . 442 \\
\hline SNS & , & 1016., & . 259, & . 147, & . 57 , & 3, & . 023, & . 468 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 1169., & . 50, & & & & . 617, & . 418 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(1136 .\), & .34, & .13, & 13, & .375, & .427
\end{tabular}

Age 10 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and dependent on age
Year class \(=1991\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline , & & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & & Weights, & F \\
\hline BTS & , & 1013., & . 341 , & . 226, & . 66, & 8, & . 230, & . 446 \\
\hline SNS & , & 1621., & . 259 , & .179, & . 69 , & 3, & . 024 , & . 301 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 1342., & . 50, & & & & . 746 , & . 354 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(1263 .\), & .38, & .11, & 12, & .286, & .372
\end{tabular}

Age 11 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10
Year class \(=1990\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fleet,} & & Estimated, & Int, & Ext, & Var, & N, & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline & & Survivors, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & , & Weights, & F \\
\hline BTS & , & 906., & . 359, & . 150, & . 42, & 9, & . 228, & . 284 \\
\hline SNS & , & 1589., & . 259, & . 203, & . 78 , & 3, & . 021, & . 172 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & , & 997., & . 50, & & & & . 751 , & . 261 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted prediction :
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr} 
Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F \\
at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & Ratio, & \\
\(985 .\), & .38, & .06, & 13, & .169, & .264
\end{tabular}

Age 12 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10


Table 6.2.5 (Cont'd)

Age 13 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10 Year class \(=1988\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Fleet,} & Estimated, Survivors, & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Int,} & Ext, & Var, & & Scaled, & Estimated \\
\hline BTS & , & 239., & 33 & & . 496, & 1.47, & 9, & . 126, & . 680 \\
\hline SNS & , & 574., & . 25 & & . 101, & . 39, & 3 , & . 016, & . 341 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 633., & & , , , , & & & & . 857 , & . 313 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Weighted prediction :} \\
\hline Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F & & & & \\
\hline at end of year, & s.e, & s.e, & , & Ratio, & & & & & \\
\hline 559., & .43, & . 28 , & 13, & . 640, & . 348 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Age 14 Catchability constant w.r.t. time and age (fixed at the value for age) 10
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fleet, & & Estimated, Survivors, & In & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ext, } \\
& \text { s.e, }
\end{aligned}
\] & Var, Ratio, & & Scaled, Weights, & Estimated \\
\hline BTS & , & 936., & . 36 & & . 255 , & . 70, & 9, & . 092, & . 171 \\
\hline SNS & , & 459., & . 25 & & . 162 , & .63, & 3 , & .011, & . 322 \\
\hline F shrinkage mean & & 407., & & , , , , & & & & . 897, & . 356 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Weighted prediction :} \\
\hline Survivors, & Int, & Ext, & N, & Var, & F & & & & \\
\hline at end of year, 440 . & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.e, } \\
& .45,
\end{aligned}
\] & s.e,
.22, & 13, & Ratio,
\[
.483,
\] & . 333 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.6. North Sea plaice. Fishing mortality at age.


Table 6.2.6 (Cont'd)


Table 6.2.7. North Sea plaice. Stock numbers at age (thousands).
Run title : Plaice in IV
At 30/09/2002 15:35
Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 10 & Stock & number at & age (star & ct of year) & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Numbers*10**-3} & & \\
\hline YEAR, & 1957, & 1958, & 1959, & 1960, & 1961, & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1, & 296163, & 429984, & 433436, & 405322, & 359381, & & & & & \\
\hline 2, & 179756, & 267980, & 389065, & 392189, & 366751, & & & & & \\
\hline 3, & 320995, & 158545, & 235697, & 336292, & 349199, & & & & & \\
\hline 4, & 195756, & 233548, & 122336, & 184324, & 245431, & & & & & \\
\hline 5, & 127849, & 134591, & 152302, & 86448, & 118250, & & & & & \\
\hline 6, & 64211, & 85461, & 89334, & 98714, & 57940, & & & & & \\
\hline 7, & 62540, & 49649, & 58690, & 59016, & 63324, & & & & & \\
\hline 8 , & 48951, & 46097 , & 37145, & 39909, & 39905, & & & & & \\
\hline 9, & 29767, & 35705, & 34100, & 27514, & 27537, & & & & & \\
\hline 10, & 26976, & 22203, & 26495, & 24581, & 20057, & & & & & \\
\hline 11, & 13657, & 20319, & 16197, & 18875, & 17761, & & & & & \\
\hline 12, & 7639, & 9903, & 14076, & 11435, & 13828, & & & & & \\
\hline 13, & 3889, & 5664, & 7306, & 9346, & 8072, & & & & & \\
\hline 14, & 4906, & 2770, & 4069, & 5177, & 6587, & & & & & \\
\hline +gp, & 5622, & 6004, & 6978, & 8419, & 7904, & & & & & \\
\hline TOTAL, & 1388676, & 1508422, & 1627225, & 1707562, 17 & 1701927, & & & & & \\
\hline YEAR, & 1962, & 1963, & 1964, & 1965, & 1966, & 1967, & 1968, & 1969, & 1970, & 1971, \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{AGE} \\
\hline 1, & 318799, & 315180, & 1021876, & 309564, & 305368, & 277223, & 245500, & 327470, & 370435, & 275472, \\
\hline 2, & 325181, & 288461, & 285187, & 924631, & 280105, & 276309, & 250842, & 222138, & 296305, & 335111, \\
\hline 3, & 329696, & 292194, & 256882, & 244057, & 827264, & 249508, & 244324, & 217959, & 186714, & 251657, \\
\hline 4, & 284205, & 264195, & 243964, & 193925, & 180688, & 686701, & 196938, & 182361, & 154234, & 120268, \\
\hline 5, & 157481, & 193637, & 165879, & 159170, & 124876, & 114517, & 513943, & 141917, & 130683, & 86142, \\
\hline 6 , & 75893, & 94877, & 123497, & 95486, & 102479, & 78114, & 64254, & 347444, & 94562, & 79545, \\
\hline 7, & 40290, & 49048, & 55600 , & 76463, & 57718, & 66692, & 49703, & 41836, & 217342, & 52200, \\
\hline 8, & 43334, & 27838, & 32159, & 35261, & 51721, & 36531, & 44959, & 35137, & 27953, & 121620, \\
\hline 9, & 26835, & 30616, & 18689, & 22826, & 23769, & 36541, & 25441, & 31071, & 26004, & 19290, \\
\hline 10, & 19213, & 19281, & 20999, & 13124, & 16646, & 15355, & 26664, & 19286, & 20322, & 19548, \\
\hline 11, & 14871, & 14124, & 13772, & 15382, & 8056, & 11889, & 10998, & 19474, & 13894, & 13843, \\
\hline 12, & 12626, & 10926, & 9364, & 9545, & 11248, & 5536, & 8823, & 7789, & 13093, & 10477, \\
\hline 13, & 9766, & 9269, & 7873, & 6661, & 6524, & 7750, & 4089, & 6504, & 5339, & 9116, \\
\hline 14, & 5646, & 6933, & 6400, & 5679, & 4367, & 4358, & 5773, & 3122 , & 4721, & 3737, \\
\hline +gp, & 7359, & 15288, & 25995, & 23116, & 19163, & 16900, & 17341, & 17147, & 14547, & 15559, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
1
\]
\[
\text { Table } 10
\]

Stock number at age (start of year)
Numbers*10**-3


AGE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 234574, & 541864, & 451917, & 335705, & 324555, & 471281, & 429861, & 444315, & 659486, & 424278, \\
\hline 249239, & 210127, & 489093, & 406797, & 302825, & 290987, & 423370, & 387867, & 400779, & 595796, \\
\hline 275073, & 190775, & 159945, & 420557, & 341332, & 242005, & 209105, & 325457, & 295756, & 300901, \\
\hline 181781, & 189731, & 116403, & 91886, & 321918, & 234988, & 177797, & 129904, & 181420, & 140393, \\
\hline 76980, & 114157, & 102174, & 65255, & 53404, & 199587, & 149833, & 109187, & 70968, & 90413, \\
\hline 53157, & 47735, & 63099, & 53379, & 34866, & 35215, & 100971, & 87916, & 53246, & 40458, \\
\hline 50189, & 31929, & 30050, & 38388, & 28145, & 22127, & 23168, & 57584, & 41565, & 31074, \\
\hline 31144, & 31734, & 20439, & 19575, & 23441, & 16791, & 14398, & 15313, & 29058, & 24520, \\
\hline 81767, & 17809, & 19347, & 12481, & 12078, & 15131, & 10416, & 9839, & 9898, & 18247, \\
\hline 11353, & 56307, & 10327, & 12209, & 7388, & 8126, & 9828, & 7124, & 6233, & 7183, \\
\hline 13310, & 6974, & 37794, & 5605, & 7873, & 4763, & 5519, & 6823, & 4227, & 4378, \\
\hline 9313, & 9341, & 4713, & 24573, & 3416, & 5116, & 3072, & 3905, & 4415, & 2919, \\
\hline 7375, & 6013, & 6555, & 2710, & 14687, & 2224, & 3338, & 2206, & 2475, & 2879, \\
\hline 6432, & 5196, & 3999, & 4327, & 1425, & 9029, & 1547, & 2365, & 1324, & 1827, \\
\hline 14756, & 13774, & 10807, & 12646, & 9677, & 7182, & 11809, & 8597, & 5622, & 5084, \\
\hline 9644 & 73468 & 2666 & 06093 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(1296444,1473468,1526661,1506093,1487030,1564553,1574034,159\)
Stock number at age (start of year)
Numbers*10**
Table 10
YEAR,
1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989,
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrr}
1024429, & 589588, & 607625, & 527444, & 1244422, & 538723, & 562781, & 406684, & 396763, & 401395, \\
383662, & 923771, & 532326, & 549699, & 477136, & 1124407, & 487457, & 509225, & 366783, & 357531, \\
443094, & 301706, & 722005, & 421501, & 427424, & 367879, & 936434, & 426662, & 416289, & 300933, \\
155935, & 202114, & 163571, & 392461, & 244113, & 231016, & 222575, & 608871, & 285297, & 283676, \\
72238, & 74943, & 88636, & 97068, & 178943, & 131656, & 103218, & 130685, & 330802, & 152564, \\
47808, & 38106, & 39884, & 44561, & 56897, & 81555, & 57528, & 48327, & 67920, & 147302, \\
24800, & 27348, & 22203, & 23094, & 25534, & 28606, & 40760, & 28186, & 25419, & 36004, \\
19019, & 15221, & 16930, & 13941, & 14361, & 14259, & 14990, & 20925, & 15452, & 15268, \\
14489, & 12006, & 9784, & 10045, & 9142, & 8723, & 8773, & 8445, & 11742, & 9971, \\
11875, & 8847, & 7998, & 6265, & 6523, & 5672, & 5844, & 4930, & 5625, & 7342, \\
5162, & 7219, & 5202, & 5250, & 4201, & 3975, & 3475, & 3698, & 2852, & 4046, \\
2918, & 3592, & 4493, & 3463, & 3771, & 2681, & 2614, & 2257, & 2465, & 1899, \\
1852, & 1963, & 2090, & 2978, & 2116, & 2381, & 1795, & 1479, & 1443, & 1796, \\
1848, & 1129, & 1224, & 1459, & 2003, & 1148, & 1651, & 1144, & 914, & 1027, \\
7121, & 3439, & 4967, & 4143, & 3902, & 4694, & 5346, & 5412, & 4548, & 3801, \\
2216248, & 2210992, & 2228938, & 2103374, & 2700488, & 2547377, & 2455242, & 2206930, & 1934313, & 1724556,
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.7 (Cont'd)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline YEAR, & 1992, & 1993, & 1994, & 1995, & 1996, & 1997, & 1998, & 1999, & 2000, & 2001, & 2002, & GMST 57-99 & AMST 57-99 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 1, & 403264, & 284693, & 238459, & 323671, & 250311, & 926430, & 312257, & 240475, & 306314, & 301655, & 0, & 409130, & 448568, \\
\hline 2, & 361808, & 361644, & 254309, & 214440, & 285496, & 225441, & 837420, & 282356, & 217068, & 274659, & 268409, & 367493, & 403995, \\
\hline 3, & 282352, & 285567, & 275912, & 187162, & 159243, & 217904, & 163222, & 728810, & 247221, & 181364, & 213238, & 301841, & 333163, \\
\hline 4, & 192769, & 174514, & 164814, & 152994, & 91923, & 82847, & 114461, & 82131, & 511091, & 186073, & 114234, & 189803, & 211655, \\
\hline 5, & 146191, & 105467, & 89146, & 72807, & 63887, & 39077, & 33423, & 49986, & 36402, & 306138, & 124871, & 109613, & 125590, \\
\hline 6 , & 68643, & 63122, & 46532, & 44000, & 31160 , & 27244, & 13917, & 14345, & 22293, & 18676, & 193039, & 60469, & 71179, \\
\hline 7, & 59510, & 30334, & 29528, & 22710, & 22736, & 14440, & 11793, & 6474, & 6484, & 11285, & 10092, & 36002, & 42647, \\
\hline 8, & 18395, & 25741, & 14632, & 12142, & 11254, & 10484, & 7014, & 5928, & 3211, & 3841, & 5943, & 22673, & 26997, \\
\hline 9, & 8837, & 10015, & 12569, & 7153, & 6834, & 5876, & 6032, & 3963, & 3227, & 1925, & 2365, & 14984, & 18305, \\
\hline 10, & 6034, & 4952, & 5594, & 6267, & 4250, & 3688, & 3244, & 3783, & 2139, & 2026, & 1136, & 10255, & 12920, \\
\hline 11, & 4431, & 3329, & 2685, & 2856, & 4070, & 2267, & 2146, & 2068, & 2300, & 1418, & 1263, & 6948, & 9054, \\
\hline 12, & 2752, & 2568, & 1832, & 1534, & 1762, & 2570, & 1395, & 1466, & 1381, & 1709, & 985, & 4839, & 6352, \\
\hline 13, & 1155, & 1610, & 1437, & 1043, & 981, & 1109, & 1480, & 879, & 964 , & 876, & 1077, & 3283, & 4354, \\
\hline 14, & 1287, & 547, & 750, & 748, & 726, & 641, & 626, & 929, & 577, & 679, & 559, & 2262, & 3059, \\
\hline +gp, & 3223, & 2187, & 1607, & 1221, & 3203, & 2079, & 2472, & 1803, & 1850, & 2260, & 1906, & & \\
\hline TOTAL, & 1560651, & 1356288, & 1139806, & 1050749, & 937837, & 1562097, & 1510902, & 1425395, & 1362522, & 1294583, & 939119, & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6.2.8. North Sea plaice. Assessment summary
Run title : Plaice in IV
,
At 30/09/2002 15:35
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Table 16 Summary (without SOP correction) \\
& Terminal Fs derived using XSA (With F shrinkage)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline , & RECRUITS, Age 1 & TOTALBIO, & TOTSPBIO, & LANDINGS, & YIELD/SSB, & FBAR & 2-10, \\
\hline 1957, & 296163, & 457372, & 354624 , & 70563, & . 1990, & & . 1973, \\
\hline 1958, & 429984 , & 443678, & 340635, & 73354, & . 2153, & & . 2118, \\
\hline 1959, & 433436, & 457565, & 345186, & 79300, & . 2297, & & . 2266 , \\
\hline 1960, & 405322, & 497693, & 368310, & 87541, & . 2377, & & . 2469 , \\
\hline 1961, & 359381, & 461924, & 352877, & 85984, & . 2437 , & & . 2331, \\
\hline 1962, & 318799, & 564456 , & 446570, & 87472, & . 1959, & & . 2345 , \\
\hline 1963, & 315180, & 547155, & 439974, & 107118, & . 2435 , & & . 2645 , \\
\hline 1964, & 1021876, & 624820, & 422932, & 110540, & . 2614 , & & . 2732, \\
\hline 1965, & 309564 , & 580482, & 414351, & 97143, & . 2344 , & & . 2761 , \\
\hline 1966, & 305368 , & 587964 , & 416384 , & 101834, & . 2446 , & & . 2594 , \\
\hline 1967, & 277223, & 590826, & 493003, & 108819, & . 2207 , & & . 2427 , \\
\hline 1968, & 245500 , & 548171, & 456098 , & 111534, & . 2445 , & & . 2210, \\
\hline 1969, & 327470 , & 526244 , & 418273, & 121651, & . 2908 , & & . 2538, \\
\hline 1970, & 370435, & 525798, & 399568, & 130342, & . 3262 , & & . 3330 , \\
\hline 1971, & 275472, & 500485 , & 372346, & 113944, & . 3060 , & & . 3156 , \\
\hline 1972, & 234574 , & 495140, & 375795, & 122843, & . 3269 , & & . 3410 , \\
\hline 1973, & 541864 , & 487993, & 334716, & 130429, & . 3897 , & & . 3807 , \\
\hline 1974, & 451917, & 467172, & 308810, & 112540, & . 3644 , & & . 3915 , \\
\hline 1975, & 335705, & 494851, & 320025, & 108536, & . 3391 , & & . 3657 , \\
\hline 1976, & 324555 , & 450487, & 314499 , & 113670, & . 3614 , & & . 3151 , \\
\hline 1977, & 471281, & 478374, & 329206, & 119188, & . 3620 , & & . 3349 , \\
\hline 1978, & 429861 , & 473420, & 322583, & 113984 , & . 3533 , & & . 3290 , \\
\hline 1979, & 444315 , & 472381, & 309301, & 145347, & . 4699 , & & . 4585 , \\
\hline 1980, & 659486 , & 485234, & 295023, & 139951, & . 4744, & & . 3995 , \\
\hline 1981, & 424278, & 485652, & 305108, & 139747, & . 4580 , & & . 4024 , \\
\hline 1982, & 1024429, & 556355 , & 297558, & 154547, & . 5194, & & . 4439 , \\
\hline 1983, & 589588 , & 544031, & 320724, & 144038, & . 4491 , & & . 4236, \\
\hline 1984, & 607625, & 553751, & 321214, & 156147, & . 4861 , & & . 3948 , \\
\hline 1985, & 527444 , & 540599, & 353101, & 159838, & . 4527 , & & . 3892 , \\
\hline 1986, & 1244422, & 640392, & 353138, & 165347, & . 4682 , & & . 4578, \\
\hline 1987, & 538723, & 619952, & 381332, & 153670, & . 4030 , & & . 4595, \\
\hline 1988, & 562781 , & 609838, & 362315, & 154475, & . 4264 , & & . 4381 , \\
\hline 1989, & 406684 , & 570285, & 401635, & 169818, & . 4228, & & . 4160 , \\
\hline 1990, & 396763 , & 534565, & 373504 , & 156240, & . 4183, & & . 3887 , \\
\hline 1991, & 401395 , & 445009 , & 315671 , & 148004, & . 4689 , & & . 4861 , \\
\hline 1992, & 403264 , & 417333, & 279556, & 125190, & . 4478 , & & . 5219, \\
\hline 1993, & 284693 , & 367158, & 245697 , & 117113, & . 4767 , & & . 5345, \\
\hline 1994, & 238459 , & 300384, & 206567 , & 110392, & . 5344 , & & . 5788, \\
\hline 1995, & 323671 , & 274744, & 183541, & 98356, & . 5359, & & . 5244 , \\
\hline 1996, & 250311, & 251025, & 162560, & 81673, & . 5024 , & & . 5744 , \\
\hline 1997, & 926430 , & 307565 , & 140553, & 83048, & . 5909, & & .5839, \\
\hline 1998, & 312257, & 345068, & 198560, & 71534, & . 3603 , & & . 5046 , \\
\hline 1999, & 240475 , & 349792, & 198719, & 80662 , & . 4059 , & & . 4918, \\
\hline 2000, & 306314 , & 335900, & 244434, & 81148, & . 3320 , & & . 3707 , \\
\hline 2001, & 301655 , & 319699, & 230644 , & 81847, & . 3549 , & & . 3796 , \\
\hline 2002, & 650000 & & 250000 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Arith.


\footnotetext{
* RCT3
** Assuming mean weight 1999-2001
}

Table 6.4.1 North Sea plaice. Input to the RCT3 analysis.

Plaice North Sea-1-Y-Rcr.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{936} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{'VPA-2' \({ }^{36}\) 'VPA-3' \({ }^{36}\)}} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{2} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{'BTS-2'} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{'BTS-3'} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{'comb DFS/YFS-0" 'comb DFS/YFS-1'}} \\
\hline 'yc' & VPA-1' & & & 'SNS-0' & 'SNS-1' & 'SNS-2' & 'SNS-3' & 'BTS-1' \({ }^{\text {' }}\) & & & & \\
\hline 1967 & 245500 & 222138 & 186714 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 2813 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1968 & 327470 & 296305 & 251657 & -11 & -11 & 9450 & 1008 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1969 & 370435 & 335111 & 275073 & -11 & 8032 & 23848 & 4484 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1970 & 275472 & 249239 & 190775 & 3678 & 18101 & 9584 & 1631 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1971 & 234574 & 210127 & 159945 & 6705 & 6437 & 4191 & 1261 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1972 & 541864 & 489093 & 420557 & 9242 & 57238 & 17985 & 10744 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1973 & 451917 & 406797 & 341332 & 5451 & 15648 & 9171 & 791 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1974 & 335705 & 302825 & 242005 & 2193 & 9781 & 2274 & 1720 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1975 & 324555 & 290987 & 209105 & 1151 & 9037 & 2900 & 435 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1976 & 471281 & 423370 & 325457 & 11544 & 19119 & 12714 & 1577 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1977 & 429861 & 387867 & 295756 & 4378 & 13924 & 9540 & 456 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1978 & 444315 & 400779 & 300901 & 3252 & 21681 & 12084 & 785 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1979 & 659486 & 595796 & 443094 & 27835 & 58049 & 16106 & 1146 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1980 & 424278 & 383662 & 301706 & 4039 & 19611 & 8503 & 308 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 154 \\
\hline 1981 & 1024429 & 923771 & 722005 & 31542 & 70108 & 14708 & 2480 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 633.51 & 286.65 \\
\hline 1982 & 589588 & 532326 & 421501 & 23987 & 34884 & 10413 & 1584 & -11 & -11 & 39 & 456.51 & 160.16 \\
\hline 1983 & 607625 & 549699 & 427424 & 36722 & 44667 & 13789 & 1155 & -11 & 180 & 51 & 432.42 & 116.62 \\
\hline 1984 & 527444 & 477136 & 367879 & 7958 & 27832 & 7558 & 1232 & 130 & 132 & 33 & 263.33 & 100.94 \\
\hline 1985 & 1244422 & 1124407 & 936434 & 47385 & 93573 & 33021 & 13140 & 660 & 765 & 173 & 717.73 & 268.55 \\
\hline 1986 & 538723 & 487457 & 426662 & 8818 & 33426 & 14429 & 3709 & 225 & 140 & 39 & 345.13 & 188.55 \\
\hline 1987 & 562781 & 509225 & 416289 & 21270 & 36672 & 14952 & 3248 & 605 & 333 & 58 & 465.11 & 105.29 \\
\hline 1988 & 406684 & 366783 & 300933 & 15598 & 37238 & 7287 & 1507 & 427 & 100 & 29 & 330.73 & 135.02 \\
\hline 1989 & 396763 & 357531 & 282352 & 24198 & 24903 & 11149 & 2257 & 107 & 122 & 27 & 462.70 & 128.61 \\
\hline 1990 & 401395 & 361808 & 285567 & 9559 & 57349 & 13742 & 988 & 184 & 126 & 39 & 468.23 & 150.72 \\
\hline 1991 & 403264 & 361644 & 275912 & 17120 & 48223 & 9484 & 884 & 173 & 181 & 37 & 495.57 & 131.09 \\
\hline 1992 & 284693 & 254309 & 187162 & 5398 & 22184 & 4866 & 415 & 123 & 66 & 14 & 356.84 & 74.09 \\
\hline 1993 & 238459 & 214440 & 159243 & 9226 & 18225 & 2786 & 1189 & 142 & 44 & 23 & 263.03 & 30.50 \\
\hline 1994 & 323671 & 285496 & 217904 & 27901 & 24900 & 10377 & 1393 & 249 & 207 & 20 & 444.90 & 37.74 \\
\hline 1995 & 250311 & 225441 & 163222 & 13029 & 24663 & -11 & 5739 & 216 & -11 & 47 & 184.47 & 116.73 \\
\hline 1996 & 926430 & 837420 & 728810 & 91713 & -11 & 29431 & 14347 & -11 & 433 & 182 & 572.38 & 152.64 \\
\hline 1997 & 312257 & 282356 & 247221 & 15363 & 33391 & 9235 & 905 & 337 & 133 & 32 & 156.64 & -11 \\
\hline 1998 & 240475 & 217068 & 181364 & 22720 & 35188 & 2489 & 356 & 299 & 73 & 19 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline 1999 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 39201 & 23028 & 2416 & 263 & 276 & 84 & 16 & -11 & 13.92 \\
\hline 2000 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 24185 & 10193 & 1047 & -11 & 219 & 42 & -11 & 184.61 & 5.21 \\
\hline 2001 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 101291 & 30265 & -11 & -11 & 572 & -11 & -11 & 499.55 & -11 \\
\hline 2002 & -11 & -11 & -11 & 29905 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 & -11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6.4.2 North Sea plaice. Results from the RCT3 analysis at age 1.

Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
p4rct1.csv
Plaice North Sea - 1-Y-Rcr., , , , , , ,
Data for 9 surveys over 36 years : 1967-2002
Regression type \(=C\)
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass \(=1998\)} \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I---- } \\
& \text { Slope }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-----Regression----------I} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{I-----------Prediction} \\
\hline Survey/ & & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 71 & 6.40 & . 58 & . 357 & 28 & 10.03 & 13.51 & . 614 & . 066 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 85 & 4.29 & . 42 & . 478 & 28 & 10.47 & 13.23 & . 448 & . 125 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 87 & 4.97 & . 39 & . 523 & 29 & 7.82 & 11.80 & . 448 & . 125 \\
\hline SNS-3 & 1.00 & 5.55 & . 88 & . 188 & 31 & 5.88 & 11.44 & . 965 & . 027 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.30 & 5.84 & . 66 & . 319 & 13 & 5.70 & 13.25 & . 755 & . 044 \\
\hline BTS-2 & . 71 & 9.43 & . 26 & . 765 & 14 & 4.30 & 12.48 & . 304 & . 272 \\
\hline BTS-3 & . 82 & 9.97 & . 31 & . 695 & 16 & 3.00 & 12.42 & . 355 & . 198 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.97 & . 418 & . 143 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass \(=2000\)} \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Slope} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Intercept} & essi & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
Pts
\end{tabular}} & & Pr & ction & \\
\hline Survey/ Series & & & Std Error & Rsquare & & Index Value & Predicted Value & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 81 & 5.39 & . 69 & . 286 & 29 & 10.09 & 13.60 & . 735 & . 058 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 95 & 3.26 & . 49 & . 411 & 29 & 9.23 & 12.05 & . 538 & . 108 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 81 & 5.52 & . 37 & . 558 & 30 & 6.95 & 11.19 & . 458 & . 149 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.56 & 4.34 & . 81 & . 243 & 14 & 5.39 & 12.77 & . 908 & . 038 \\
\hline BTS-2 & . 72 & 9.35 & . 26 & . 781 & 15 & 3.76 & 12.07 & . 312 & . 321 \\
\hline comb D & 1.62 & 3.44 & . 47 & . 528 & 17 & 5.23 & 11.88 & . 562 & . 099 \\
\hline comb D & 1.10 & 7.83 & . 44 & . 566 & 16 & 1.79 & 9.80 & . 787 & . 051 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.95 & . 423 & . 175 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



Table 6.4.3 North Sea plaice. Results from the RCT3 analysis at age 2.
Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file : p4rct2.csv
Plaice North Sea - 2-Y-Rcr.,,,,,,,,
Data for 9 surveys over 36 years : 1967-2002
Regression type \(=C\)
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Yearclass = 1998} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{I-----------Prediction} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & Rsquare & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. } \\
& \text { Pts }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Index \\
Value
\end{tabular} & Predicted Value & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 72 & 6.24 & . 58 & . 354 & 28 & 10.03 & 13.41 & . 622 & . 066 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 86 & 4.13 & . 43 & . 477 & 28 & 10.47 & 13.13 & . 451 & . 125 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 88 & 4.83 & . 40 & . 524 & 29 & 7.82 & 11.69 & . 449 & . 126 \\
\hline SNS-3 & 1.00 & 5.44 & . 88 & . 189 & 31 & 5.88 & 11.34 & . 964 & . 027 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.31 & 5.69 & . 67 & . 319 & 13 & 5.70 & 13.15 & . 759 & . 044 \\
\hline BTS-2 & . 72 & 9.28 & . 27 & . 758 & 14 & 4.30 & 12.37 & . 311 & . 263 \\
\hline BTS-3 & . 82 & 9.85 & . 31 & . 698 & 16 & 3.04 & 12.35 & . 354 & . 204 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.86 & . 419 & . 145 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass \(=2000\)} \\
\hline & & ----R & ess & & - & & re & ction & \\
\hline Survey/ & Slope & Inter- & Std & Rsquare & No. & Index & Predicted & Std & WAP \\
\hline Series & & cept & Error & & Pts & Value & Value & Error & Weights \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 82 & 5.22 & . 70 & . 284 & 29 & 10.09 & 13.50 & . 742 & . 109 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 96 & 3.11 & . 50 & . 411 & 29 & 9.23 & 11.94 & . 540 & . 206 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.57 & 4.22 & . 81 & . 244 & 14 & 5.39 & 12.66 & . 910 & . 073 \\
\hline comb D & 1.64 & 3.21 & . 48 & . 520 & 17 & 5.23 & 11.76 & . 573 & . 183 \\
\hline comb D & 1.10 & 7.71 & . 44 & . 571 & 16 & 1.79 & 9.69 & . 784 & . 098 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 12.85 & . 425 & . 332 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{lccccccc} 
Year & Weighted \\
Class & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Logerage \\
Arediction
\end{tabular} & WAP & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Int \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ext \\
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Var \\
Ratio
\end{tabular} & VPA & Log \\
& & & & & VPA \\
1998 & 274326 & 12.52 & .16 & .20 & 1.52 & 217068 & 12.29 \\
1999 & 242933 & 12.40 & .18 & .28 & 2.53 & \\
2000 & 201336 & 12.21 & .25 & .45 & 3.34 & \\
\hline 2001 & 595265 & 13.30 & .31 & .44 & 2.07 & \\
2002 & No valid surveys & & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 6.4.4 North Sea plaice. Results from the RCT3 analysis at age 3.
Analysis by RCT3 ver3.1 of data from file :
p4rct3.csv
Plaice North Sea - 3-Y-Rcr., ,, ,, ,, , ,
Data for 9 surveys over 36 years : 1967 - 2002
Regression type \(=C\)
Tapered time weighting not applied
Survey weighting not applied
Final estimates shrunk towards mean
Minimum S.E. for any survey taken as . 20
Minimum of 3 points used for regression
Forecast/Hindcast variance correction used.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass \(=1998\)} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inter- } \\
& \text { cept }
\end{aligned}
\] & Std Error & Rsquare & \begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
Pts
\end{tabular} & Index Value & Predicted Value & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 75 & 5.63 & . 61 & . 363 & 28 & 10.03 & 13.20 & . 651 & . 066 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 91 & 3.39 & . 45 & . 476 & 28 & 10.47 & 12.90 & . 478 & . 122 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 89 & 4.47 & . 38 & . 563 & 29 & 7.82 & 11.43 & . 437 & . 146 \\
\hline SNS-3 & . 96 & 5.51 & . 83 & . 228 & 31 & 5.88 & 11.17 & . 903 & . 034 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.34 & 5.26 & . 67 & . 355 & 13 & 5.70 & 12.93 & . 760 & . 048 \\
\hline BTS-2 & . 77 & 8.79 & . 29 & . 760 & 14 & 4.30 & 12.11 & . 333 & . 251 \\
\hline BTS-3 & . 89 & 9.37 & . 34 & . 697 & 16 & 3.04 & 12.08 & . 383 & . 190 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.63 & . 441 & . 143 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass = 1999} \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Slope} & & essi & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
Pts
\end{tabular}} & & Pr & ct & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & & Intercept & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & Rsquare & & Index Value & Predicted Value & \begin{tabular}{l}
Std \\
Error
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 84 & 4.81 & . 70 & . 304 & 29 & 10.58 & 13.68 & . 760 & . 058 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 99 & 2.58 & . 51 & . 423 & 29 & 10.04 & 12.48 & . 533 & . 118 \\
\hline SNS-2 & . 83 & 5.00 & . 37 & . 585 & 30 & 7.79 & 11.51 & . 417 & . 193 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.53 & 4.16 & . 77 & . 289 & 14 & 5.62 & 12.79 & . 865 & . 045 \\
\hline BTS-2 & . 77 & 8.79 & . 28 & . 778 & 15 & 4.44 & 12.21 & . 312 & . 345 \\
\hline comb D & 1.19 & 7.07 & . 47 & . 573 & 16 & 2.71 & 10.28 & . 694 & . 070 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean = & 12.61 & . 444 & . 171 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Yearclass \(=2000\)} \\
\hline & I & -----R & essio & & -I & & Pred & tion & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Survey/ \\
Series
\end{tabular} & Slope & Intercept & Std Error & Rsquare & No. Pts & Index Value & Predicted Value & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Std } \\
& \text { Error }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WAP \\
Weights
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SNS-0 & . 84 & 4.81 & . 70 & . 304 & 29 & 10.09 & 13.27 & . 748 & . 118 \\
\hline SNS-1 & . 99 & 2.58 & . 51 & . 423 & 29 & 9.23 & 11.68 & . 551 & . 217 \\
\hline BTS-1 & 1.53 & 4.16 & . 77 & . 289 & 14 & 5.39 & 12.44 & . 864 & . 088 \\
\hline comb D & 1.82 & 1.89 & . 56 & . 478 & 17 & 5.23 & 11.40 & . 665 & . 149 \\
\hline comb D & 1.19 & 7.07 & . 47 & . 573 & 16 & 1.79 & 9.19 & . 843 & . 093 \\
\hline & & & & & VPA & Mean \(=\) & 12.61 & . 444 & . 335 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Weighted & Log & Int & Ext & Var VPA & Log \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Class} & Average & WAP & Std & Std & Ratio & VPA \\
\hline & Prediction & & Error & Error & & \\
\hline 1998 & 209992 & 12.25 & . 17 & . 21 & 181364 & 12.11 \\
\hline 1999 & 189842 & 12.15 & . 18 & . 30 & 2.62 & \\
\hline 2000 & 158723 & 11.97 & . 26 & . 48 & 3.46 & \\
\hline 2001 & 486055 & 13.09 & . 33 & . 47 & 2.08 & \\
\hline 2002 & No valid & urveys & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Label & Value & CV & Label & Value & CV \\
\hline Number & at age & & Weight & the st & \\
\hline N1 & 650000* & 0.41 & WS1 & 0.12 & 0.00 \\
\hline N2 & 268409 & 0.75 & WS2 & 0.22 & 0.03 \\
\hline N3 & 213237 & 0.34 & WS3 & 0.25 & 0.03 \\
\hline N4 & 114234 & 0.20 & WS4 & 0.30 & 0.12 \\
\hline N5 & 124870 & 0.17 & WS5 & 0.39 & 0.14 \\
\hline N6 & 193039 & 0.25 & WS6 & 0.48 & 0.08 \\
\hline N7 & 10091 & 0.26 & WS 7 & 0.61 & 0.11 \\
\hline N8 & 5943 & 0.28 & WS8 & 0.69 & 0.07 \\
\hline N9 & 2364 & 0.30 & WS9 & 0.74 & 0.08 \\
\hline N10 & 1135 & 0.34 & WS10 & 0.77 & 0.15 \\
\hline N11 & 1262 & 0.38 & WS11 & 0.81 & 0.07 \\
\hline N12 & 985 & 0.38 & WS12 & 0.78 & 0.13 \\
\hline N13 & 1076 & 0.39 & WS13 & 0.93 & 0.24 \\
\hline N14 & 558 & 0.43 & WS14 & 0.91 & 0.16 \\
\hline N15 & 1906 & 0.45 & WS15 & 1.06 & 0.06 \\
\hline H.cons & selectivi & & Weight & the HC & atch \\
\hline sH1 & 0.01 & 0.80 & WH1 & 0.24 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH2 & 0.08 & 0.74 & WH2 & 0.26 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH3 & 0.25 & 0.39 & WH3 & 0.29 & 0.03 \\
\hline sH4 & 0.44 & 0.30 & WH4 & 0.33 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH5 & 0.50 & 0.24 & WH5 & 0.42 & 0.11 \\
\hline sH6 & 0.55 & 0.08 & WH6 & 0.51 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH7 & 0.48 & 0.12 & WH7 & 0.64 & 0.07 \\
\hline sH8 & 0.40 & 0.05 & WH8 & 0.70 & 0.02 \\
\hline sH9 & 0.40 & 0.07 & WH9 & 0.77 & 0.04 \\
\hline sH10 & 0.33 & 0.11 & WH10 & 0.74 & 0.16 \\
\hline sH11 & 0.23 & 0.13 & WH11 & 0.79 & 0.06 \\
\hline sH12 & 0.32 & 0.21 & WH12 & 0.80 & 0.14 \\
\hline sH13 & 0.28 & 0.20 & WH13 & 0.91 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH14 & 0.30 & 0.10 & WH14 & 0.92 & 0.12 \\
\hline sH15 & 0.30 & 0.10 & WH15 & 1.03 & 0.12 \\
\hline Natura & mortalit & & Propor & matur & \\
\hline M1 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT1 & 0.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M2 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT2 & 0.50 & 0.10 \\
\hline M3 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT3 & 0.50 & 0.10 \\
\hline M4 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT4 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline M5 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT5 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M6 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT6 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M7 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT7 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M8 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT8 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M9 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT9 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M10 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT10 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M11 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT11 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M12 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT12 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M13 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT13 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M14 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT14 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline M15 & 0.10 & 0.10 & MT15 & 1.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Relative effort} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Year effect for natural mortality} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{in HC fishery} \\
\hline HFO2 & 1.00 & 0.16 & K02 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO3 & 1.00 & 0.16 & K03 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline HFO 4 & 1.00 & 0.16 & K04 & 1.00 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Recruitment in 2003 and 2004
R03 4091290.41
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { R04 } & 409129 \quad 0.41\end{array}\)

Proportion of \(F\) before spawning \(=.00\)
Proportion of \(M\) before spawning \(=.00\)
Stock numbers in 2002 are VPA survivors. *N1 replaced by RCT3 value

\subsection*{6.5.2 North Sea Plaice}

Catch forecast output and estimates of coefficient of variation (CV) from linear analysis.


\subsection*{6.5.3 North Sea Plaice}

Detailed forecast tables.

Forecast for year 2002
F multiplier H.cons=1.00


Forecast for year 2003
F multiplier H.cons=1.00


Figure 6.2.1. North Sea plaice. Phase plot of SSB and \(\mathrm{F}(2-10)\) in 2001. Comparison of single fleet XSA runs with low shrinkage (BTS, SNS, UK beam, NL beam) with the survey fleets combined runs, as carried out by WGNSSK 2002 and by the subgroup (NEW).


Figure 6.2.2. North Sea plaice. Comparison of time trends in different assessment configurations. ACFM 2001 is the XSA assessment accepted by ACFM in 2001. WG2002 is the XSA accepted by the WG in 2001. WG2002-DK is the same but with the Danish age compositions removed from the catches. \(N E W\) is the proposed new final run with Danish age compositions removed and BTS survey indices reported to three decimal digits.



Figure 6.2.3. North Sea plaice. Log catchability residuals of the proposed new final XSA run.


Figure 6.2.4. North Sea plaice. Relative difference of fleet-wise survivor estimates from the weighted survivor estimates. Shrinkage has a negative difference for ages 4-6 which results in lower stock numbers than estimated by the surveys.


Figure 6.2.5. North Sea plaice. Stock summary.


Figure 6.2.6. North Sea plaice. Comparison of historical performance of the assessments as accepted by ACFM including the newly proposed final assessment.




Figure 6.3.1 North Sea plaice. Retrospective analysis using shrinking window at different levels of shrinkage.









Figure 6.3.2 North Sea plaice. Retrospective analysis using shrinking window at different levels of shrinkage. Comparison of F at age resulting from the WGNSSK 2002 assessment of North Sea plaice with high and low shrinkage. Left - Contribution from the survey or shrinkage estimates in the final weighted average. Right - The estimates of F at age from the three sources and the overall average. The bottom figure compares the overall means from the two models. ( top shr 0.5 ; bottom shr 2.0)



Figure 6.3.3. North Sea plaice. Comparison of F at age resulting from the WGNSSK 2002 assessment with high and low shrinkage. Top - Population numbers at age. Centre - SSB at age. Bottom - Cumulative SSB at age. In the bottom figure the open circles indicate the cumulative SSB at age with age 5 replaced by the estimate from \(\mathrm{cv}=0.5\).




Figure 6.3.4. North Sea plaice. Comparison of an ICA run to the final XSA run.



Plaice in IV, BTS, 1985-2001







Plaice in IV, BTS TRIDENS, 1996-2001


Figure 6.3.7. North Sea plaice. Trends in fishing effort of the Dutch (top) and English (bottom) beam trawl fleets.



Figure 6.5.1 North Sea plaice, short term forecast


Data from file:N:\Rivop\subgroup\stock\plelshortterm\PLEIV.SEN on 08/10/2002 at


Data from file:N:\Rivop\subgroup\stock\ple\shortterm\PLEIV.SEN on 08/10/2002 at

\section*{Figure 6.5.3 North Sea Plaice: Probability profiles for short-term forecast}
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Data from file:N:\Rivop\subgroup\stock\ple\shortterm\PLEIV.SEN on 08/10/2002 at

\section*{Figure 6.6.1 North Sea Placie: Medium term analysis}

Plaice,North Sea. Medium term analysis, 1.00*Fsq. Number of simulations=500.






\subsection*{7.1 Supplementary comment to recruitment and short term predictions}

Norway pout recruitment estimates have in previous years assessments been based on fishery data from the combined Danish and Norwegian commercial trawl fleet targeting Norway pout in \(3^{\text {rd }}\) and \(4^{\text {th }}\) quarter of the year as well as on \(0-\) group indices from the English Groundfish Survey (EGFS) in the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter of the year. Additional recruitment data are available from the Scottish Groundfish Survey (SGFS) and from the combined IBTS \(3^{\text {rd }}\) quarter survey which so far has not been used in assessment.

At the time of this October 2002 subgroup group meeting the catch at age data for \(3{ }^{\text {rd }}\) quarter of the year for the Danish and Norwegian commercial fishery targeting Norway pout are not yet available.

In table 12.6.1 (updated data) 0-group survey indices for the 2002 year class from the EGFS and the Scottish Groundfish Survey (SGFS) are presented.

The EGFS 20020 -group index is very much the same as in 2001, where the 2001 year class in this years assessment has been assessed as an average year class. The 2002 SGFS 0 -group index also indicates that the 2002 year class is in between the very large 1999 year class and the historical low 2000 year class.

The combined IBTS \(3{ }^{\text {rd }}\) quarter indices are not yet available to the working group. These data gives basis for a qualified guess of an about average Norway pout 2002 year class, i.e. about average recruitment in 2002.

Table 7.1 (updated October 2002) Research vessel indices (CPUE in catch in number per trawl hour) of abundance for Norway pout.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{IBTS/IYFS \({ }^{1}\) February} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{EGFS \({ }^{2,3}\) August} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{SGFS \({ }^{4}\) August} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{IBTS \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Quarter \({ }^{\text {I }}\)} \\
\hline & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group & 0-group & 1-group & 2-group & 3-group \\
\hline 1970 & 35 & 6 & - & - & - & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & & - \\
\hline 1971 & 1,556 & 22 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1972 & 3,425 & 653 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1973 & 4,207 & 438 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1974 & 25,626 & 399 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1975 & 4,242 & 2,412 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1976 & 4,599 & 385 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1977 & 4,813 & 334 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1978 & 1,913 & 1,215 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1979 & 2,690 & 240 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1980 & 4,081 & 611 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 1,928 & 346 & 12 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1981 & 1,375 & 557 & - & - & - & - & - & - & 185 & 127 & 9 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1982 & 3,315 & 403 & - & 6,594 & 2,609 & 39 & 77 & 8 & 991 & 44 & 22 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1983 & 2,331 & 663 & 9 & 6,067 & 1,558 & 114 & 0.4 & 13 & 490 & 91 & 1 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1984 & 3,925 & 802 & 58 & 457 & 3,605 & 359 & 14 & 2 & 615 & 69 & 9 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1985 & 2,109 & 1,423 & 71 & 362 & 1,201 & 307 & 0 & 5 & 636 & 173 & 5 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1986 & 2,043 & 384 & 23 & 285 & 717 & 150 & 80 & 38 & 389 & 54 & 9 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1987 & 3,023 & 469 & 65 & 8 & 552 & 122 & 0.9 & 7 & 338 & 23 & 1 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1988 & 127 & 760 & 13 & 165 & 102 & 134 & 21 & 14 & 38 & 209 & 4 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1989 & 2,079 & 260 & 178 & 1,530 & 1,274 & 621 & 20 & 2 & 382 & 21 & 14 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1990 & 1,320 & 773 & 46 & 2,692 & 917 & 158 & 23 & 58 & 206 & 51 & 2 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1991 & 2,497 & 677 & 129 & 1,509 & 683 & 399 & 6 & 10 & 732 & 42 & 6 & 7,383 & 1,105 & 222 & 3 \\
\hline 1992 & 5,121 & 902 & 33 & 2,885 & 6,193 & 1,069 & 157 & 12 & 1,715 & 221 & 24 & 2,588 & 4,366 & 640 & 48 \\
\hline 1993 & 2,681 & 2,644 & 259 & 5,699 & 3,278 & 1,715 & 0 & 2 & 580 & 329 & 20 & 3,953 & 1,861 & 597 & 53 \\
\hline 1994 & 1,868 & 375 & 67 & 7,764 & 1,305 & 112 & 7 & 136 & 387 & 106 & 6 & 3,196 & 704 & 102 & 14 \\
\hline 1995 & 5,941 & 785 & 77 & 7,546 & 6,174 & 387 & 14 & 37 & 2,438 & 234 & 21 & 1,762 & 4,527 & 317 & 42 \\
\hline 1996 & 912 & 2,635 & 234 & 3,274 & 1,262 & 303 & 2 & 127 & 412 & 321 & 8 & 4,554 & 763 & 362 & 12 \\
\hline 1997 & 9,752 & 1,474 & 670 & 1,103 & 5,579 & 364 & 32 & 1 & 2,154 & 130 & 32 & 490 & 3,521 & 169 & 40 \\
\hline 1998 & 1,006 & 5,343 & 300 & 2,684 & 411 & 248 & 0 & 2,628 & 938 & 1,027 & 5 & 2,931 & 806 & 743 & 11 \\
\hline 1999 & 3,527 & 597 & 667 & 6,358 & 1,930 & 88 & 26 & 3,603 & 1,784 & 180 & 37 & 7,844 & 2,367 & 201 & 94 \\
\hline 2000 & 8,097 & 1,533 & 65 & 2,005 & 6,261 & 141 & 2 & 2,094 & 6,656 & 207 & 23 & 1,644 & 7,868 & 282 & 11 \\
\hline 2001 & 1,304 & 2,861 & 235 & 3,547 & 970 & 667 & 5 & 756 & 727 & 710 & 26 & 2,084 & 1,279 & 860 & 27 \\
\hline 2002 & 1791 & 809 & 880 & 3,677 & 780 & 40 & 11 & 2,559 & 1192 & 151 & 123 &  & & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) International Bottom Trawl Survey, arithmetic mean catch in no./h in standard area.
\({ }^{2}\) English groundfish survey, arithmetic mean catch in no./h, 22 selected rectangles within Roundfish areas 1, 2, and 3
1982-91 EGFS numbers adjusted from Granton trawl to GOV trawl by multiplying by 3.5 .
\({ }^{4}\) Scottish groundfish surveys, arithmetic mean catch no./h. Survey design changed in 1998 and 2000. 0-group indices not used from this survey.

The Subgroup of the 2002 Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak makes the following recommendations:
1. The poor quality of discard estimation is a major uncertainty in the assessment of several stocks under the remit of this Working Group. Discard data are either not available, or are inappropriately collated and raised. The lack of such data for stocks in which discarding of young fish is a significant factor must seriously degrade the quality of advice stemming from these assessments. It is therefore strenuously recommended that efforts be made to collate discard time-series for stocks for which none have hitherto been available, or revise the discard collation and raising methodology if one already exists.
2. Survey-based assessment methods, of which SURBA is but one example, would appear to be useful techniques for investigating the dynamics of fisheries independently of the commercial catch and CPUE data. However, the precise behaviour of such methods and their response to violations in model assumptions (such as survey catchability trends or catch misreporting) is currently unknown, and cannot be evaluated using real data sampled from populations for which the true distributions are themselves unknown. The WG therefore endorses the recommendation of the 2002 WG on the Assessment of Northern Shelf Demersal Stocks, that a study group (SGSURBA) be initiated to investigate the theoretical properties and practical behaviour of survey-based assessment methods. It would be beneficial for the proposed SG also to evaluate and revise (if necessary) the current index-generation methodologies, and complementary techniques such as absolute abundance estimates via swept-area considerations.
3. The current timing of the WG meeting in June has made necessary the formation of this Subgroup, in order to be able to utilise the 2002 survey data from Scottish, English and Dutch late summer survey series. This is illogical and self-defeating for two main reasons: the extra meeting incurs an unnecessary expense and additional workload for the participants, and the long gap between the historical assessment and forecast meetings can lead to some inconsistency of approach between the two. In addition, there is no valid reason to have the WG meeting in June at all. The Subgroup therefore recommends that the WG meeting be moved to a date in September, possibly at the same time as with the WG on the Assessment of Mackerel, Horse Mackerel, Sardine and Anchovy. This might necessitate a change of location for the WGNSSK so as to avoid overloading the facilities at ICES headquarters, but the experience of the 2001 meeting in Hamburg proved that this can be done with little difficulty and that it can in fact be beneficial to all concerned.

\section*{Working documents}

WD S1 Bolle, L. (2002) Ageing problems in plaice: the results of the otolith exchange initiated at the WGNSSK meeting in June, 2002.

WS S2 Pastoors, M.A. \& C.M. Darby (2002) Retrospective analysis of the North Sea plaice assessment.

WD S3 Pastoors, M.A., L. Bolle \& C. Needle (2002) North Sea plaice assessment: a new final assessment.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ VIId age length keys are used for IV

[^1]:    *) estimated over 10 years only due to software limitation

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ No prediction of bycatches given in the ACFM advice

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ (www.ices.dk - /reports/ACFM/2002/SGDBI/datafiles/northseaandskagerrak)

[^4]:    ${ }^{1)}$ Directed fisheries in VIIIa

[^5]:    Notation:
    $F(a, y) \quad$ Fishing mortality-at-age $a$ in year $y$
    $\Phi(a) \quad$ Survey selectivity at age $a$
    $\sigma_{F} \quad$ Transitory changes in overall fishing mortality
    $\sigma_{U} \quad$ Persistent changes in selection (age effect in fishing mortality)
    $\sigma_{V} \quad$ Transitory changes in the year effect in fishing mortality
    $\sigma_{Y} \quad$ Persistent changes in the year effect in fishing mortality
    $\sigma_{\Omega} \quad$ Transitory changes in survey catchability
    $\sigma_{\beta} \quad$ Persistent changes in survey catchability
    $\sigma_{\text {catc } h} \quad$ Standard error of catch-at-age data
    $\sigma_{\text {survey }} \quad$ Standard error of survey data
    $\forall \quad$ Ricker parameter (slope at the origin)
    $\exists \quad$ Ricker parameter (curve dome occurs at $1 / \exists$ )
    $c v_{\text {rec }} \quad$ Standard error of recruitment data

[^6]:    *Preliminary.

