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#### Abstract

HERMOD is a model for the population dynamics and migration of the Norwegian Spring spawning herring that is being developed with the double purpose of being an independent singre species model and of being integrated into the Multispecies Model for the Barents Sea (MULTSPEC). This paper describes and discusses the presently used algorithms for maturation, recruitment, growth and migration, as well as the model's input and output options. A brief outline is given of the plans for further development.


## INTRODUCTION

The herring model HERMOD has part of its origin in a program written in 1972 for Johannes Hamre of the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, in order to simulate population dynamics in an exploited stock (Hauge, 1972). The program was later modified in order to describe the Norwegian Spring Spawning Herring Stock. That program introduced algorithms for density dependent growth and recruitment which are still included in HERMOD.

The purpose of writing HERMOD was to get a well documented basis for the further development of a single species model for the Norwegian spring spawning herring stock that both could function well on its own and could be easily integrated into the Multispecies Model for the Barents Sea, MULTSPEC.

Recently migration has been implemented in Hermod. Because the Norwegian spring spawning herring has changed its migration pattern several times since the 1950s and may be in the process of doing so again, the model is able to simulate two different sets of migration patterns. With this the model is almost ready to be integrated into the MULTSPEC model.

The development of HERMOD has received grants from the Norwegian research council during the years 1991-1994.

## ALGORITHMS

HERMOD is basically a Beverton and Holt model (Beverton and Holt, 1957) which starts in January, with numbers for each year class supplied by the user, and adjusts the numbers one month at a time, using information about mortality which is also supplied by the user.

## Growth

The presently used algorithm for growth in length has been taken over from earlier versions of the model. The basic idea is that growth should be density dependent. In order to facilitate this, the model compares two extreme stock situations: 1950 with a total stock biomass of 14 million tonnes, and 1970 with a total stock biomass of 83 thousand tonnes (based on VPA back calculations). In addition to the stock biomass, the mean length for each age group in each of those two years is known. Individual weight is determined by condition factors for each length group and each month. In January of each year the model runs, it calculates a new set of mean lengths by interpolating between the years 1950 and 1970, assuming a linear relationship between total stock biomass and mean lengths for the different age groups. The growth during the year for each age group will be the difference between the present mean length for that age group and the mean length for the next age group.

## Maturation and spawning stock

Sexual maturation is dependent on length alone. A sigmoid function determines the proportion of each length group that matures. The function presently used is:

$$
\text { NumberMaturing }=\frac{\text { Number }}{1+\text { Base }{ }^{(L M 50-M L)}}
$$

where Number is the total number of herring in the length group, $M L$ is the mean length of the length group, Base - is the base in the logarithmic expression and LM50 is the length where $50 \%$ of the herring is maturing.

In the present model Base $=9.0$ and $L M 50=31.2$. This gives a maturation curve as shown in Figure 1.

## Recruitment to the 0-group

Spawning takes place in March. The resulting number of larvae is calculated in January from the biomass of the spawning stock at that time, and the 0 -group herring are introduced into the model in June of each year the model runs. Between March and June no mortality is applied to the larvae, meaning that mortality of eggs and larvae before June must be taken care of by the recruitment function.

For the Norwegian spring spawning herring, the correlation between spawning stock and the number of larvae in June is weak. (Dragesund et al. 1980). In most years it seems that the mortality of the larvae is very high, giving poor or moderate recruitment even from large spawning stocks. But in some years, and much less frequently, the survival of the larvae seems to be very good, giving large year classes even from relatively small spawning stocks.

In order to simulate the relationship between spawning stock and recruits, two basic recruitment functions are available in the model.

Function 1:

$$
\text { Recruits }=31.0\left(1-e^{\left(e^{-1.75}-e^{0.5(P a r S L o c k-3.5)}\right)}\right)
$$

where Recruits is the number of recruits in individuals $\times 10^{-9}$ and ParStock is the spawning stock biomass in million tonnes. This function represents a curve that increases asymptotically towards $31.2 \times 10^{9}$ recruits (Figure 2).

Function 2 is more complicated, and internally in the program it consists of two functions:
Function 2A:

$$
\text { Recruits }=\frac{\text { ParStock }}{0.05 \cdot \text { ParStock }+0.09}
$$

This function represents a curve increasing asymptotically towards $20 \times 10^{9}$ recruits.

```
Recruits \(=10 \cdot\) ParStock
```

Function 2B represents a linear combination of parent stock biomass and number of recruits.
A combination of functions 2A and 2B is used to describe a periodicity in the success of the spawning in order to simulate the recruitment pattern that is observed in the stock. The asymptotic function $(2 \mathrm{~A})$ is used for seven years in a row, and for the 8th year the linear function 2B is used.

In addition to the choice of recruitment functions, the user can select "stochastic recruitment", which means that the number of larvae calculated is multiplied by a "success factor", which is randomly selected from a table of 28 possible numbers as given in Table 1, and represents the ratio between the observed and the predicted number of larvae in the periods 1950-69 and 1973 - 80. The data are partly based on Dragesund, Hamre and Ulltang (1980), but also unpublished information has been used.

When stochastic recruitment has been selected, function 2B is not used.
The recruitment functions presently used have been taken over from earlier versions of the model. The basis for selecting the specific relationships used is not documented, and it will be necessary to re-evaluate those functions. Also there is now available a longer time series of data which must be utilized.

## Migration

In the last version of HERMOD migration is implemented. The Norwegian Sea and the Barents Sea, which is the home range of this stock, have been divided into eighteen subareas (Figure 3). In the Barents Sea the areas are identical to those used by MULTSPEC, but in the Norwegian Sea new areas had to be defined. This has been done in such a way that the areas coincide roughly with the economic zones of the countries bordering the Norwegian Sea.

Matrices are constructed to describe the migration of the stock. The element $a_{i j}$ on row $i$ and column $j$ of a matrix tells how many migrates from area $i$ to area $j$. Since the elements in the last version of HERMOD represents parts they have values between zero and one. And because one time step is one month we need 12 matrices if we follow one specific herring throughout a year. But the route of a year depends on how old a herring is and whether it is mature or not, and seven migration routes have been described. This means we need one matrix pr. month pr. route. In addition two sets of matrices have been used in order to describe both the eventuality that the mature herring winters in the Ofotfjord/Tysfjord area in northern Norway, and the eventuality that it matures in the in the area east of Iceland like it did in the 1950s and early 1960s. The user may choose between these two sets of patterns which have been described as clockwise and anticlockwise. The migration is simulated by matrix multiplications between stock arrays and migration matrices.

An animation program has been made to visualize the migration patterns. This program uses the map in Figure 3.

## INPUT

The following parameters are stored on a file and can be changed by the user (Figure 4).

- input data file
- natural mortality
- fishing mortality/catch per year
- distribution of catch on months through the year - recruitment to fishery (length dependent)
- recruitment function (choice of two) - stochastic recruitment (yes or no)
- steepness of maturation curve
- length at which $50 \%$ are mature
- migration pattern (clockwise or anti clockwise)
- choice of four output reports (see output)
- number of years to run the model
- number of times to run the model (if stochastic recruitment has been selected)


## OUTPUT

Four types of output reports are possible: one rather comprehensive report, one condensed version, one containing length tables and one containing biomass tables

- The comprehensive report gives the following information: mean lengths and numbers of each age group for each month, biomass for the total stock and the spawning stock for each month, catch in numbers of each age group for each month, weight of total catch in each month, mean weight of the herring caught each month, and fishing mortality each month for the herring that is fully recruited.
- The condensed report is intended for use when the program is repeated many times to see the possible effect of stochastic recruitment, and gives the following information: successfactor, spawning stock, and catch for each run - mean and standard deviation of the same parameters for all the runs.
- The length tables are needed for input to the animation program which visualizes the migration of the total stock on a map of the Barents Sea and the Norwegian Sea (Figure 3).
- The biomass tables show the biomass predicted in the different areas during the year.

Example of the tables given in the comprehensive report and the condensed report is given in Tables 2 and 3 , respectively.

## DISCUSSION

The algorithm used for density dependent growth is rather primitive, and it only takes into account the biomass of the stock in the total area of distribution. Factors like geographical distribution, temperature, and availability of food in the present year and previous years are not taken into account. These factors may be as important as the total stock biomass, or even more important. In particular, local variations in density, temperature and availability of food may lead to quite different growth patterns for different components of the stock. In order to make the model more realistic, a new growth algorithm must be developed that takes into account the effects of temperature and food availability as well as density - or allows the user to com-
pensate for these effects in the parameter file. As described earlier in this paper, the user can choose from two separate sets of migration patterns. A combination of these two patterns is impossible at present but would have been advantageous as the whole stock may not change migration patterns simultaneously.

In the present version of the model maturation, weight, and recruitment to the fishery are all length dependent. Thus, too high mean lengths will give too early maturation, too high spawning stock and too high total biomass, and the year classes will enter the fishery at a too early age. Too low mean lengths will have the opposite effect. A good algorithm for growth is therefore essential for good simulation.

The algorithm for maturation is of the same kind as the one used by MULTSPEC for capelin (Tjelmeland and Bogstad, 1989). The constants in the algorithm may need adjustment, but this can best be done when a better growth algorithm has been worked out.

The algorithms used for recruitment are not well documented at present. In addition, the optional "stochastic" recruitment is highly speculative. It is necessary to go carefully through the historical material that is available in order to substantiate the relationships that are expressed in the model - or adjust the recruitment algorithms.

Experience with the model shows that it is necessary to be able to adjust the model to known start situations, and it may be necessary to add options that allow the user to control growth, maturation, and recruitment.

A new version of this model is under development. Natural mortality will be made length dependent, it will be possible to use a different fishing mortality for each year, and it will be possible to combine the two sets of migration patterns. An effort will be made to improve algorithms for growth, maturity, and recruitment - possibly by using more traditional and straight forward solutions than those in the present model. First of all though HERMOD must be readied for interfacing with MULTSPEC.
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Table 1. Spawning stock, observed number of recruits, predicted number of recruits, and ratio between observed and predicted number of recruits, using the recruitment functions 1 and 2 in HERMOD (Predicted 1 and Success 1, Predicted 2 and Success 2, respectively) for 28 years in the period 1950 1980. Note that the model has been in mode 'stochastic' during the calculation, so that only recruitment function 2 A has been used.

Spawning stock in thousand tonnes
Number of larvae actually observed * $10^{-9}$
Number of larvae predicted by recruitment function * $10^{-9}$
Success of spawning (observed number/predicted number)

| Year: | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spawning stock: | 9400 | 9100 | 8800 | 7100 | 7600 | 8800 | 8900 |
| Observed no. of recruits: | 97.4 | 26.1 | 27.9 | 21.0 | 16.9 | 9.2 | 11.1 |
| Predicted from r.func. 1: | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Predicted from r.func. 2 : | 16.8 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 16.6 |
| Success 1: | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Success 2: | 5.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Year: | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 |
| Spawning stock: | 10000 | 8500 | 7500 | 5500 | 4100 | 3300 | 2500 |
| Observed no. of recruits: | 9.7 | 13.7 | 75.0 | 47.5 | 18.3 | 7.2 | 26.3 |
| Predicted from r.func. 1: | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 28.6 | 21.4 | 16.1 | 10.9 |
| Predicted from r.func. 2: | 16.9 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 12.9 | 11.6 |
| Success 1: | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.4 |
| Success 2: | 0.6 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.3 |


| Year: | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 969 | 1973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spawning stock: | 3400 | 3700 | 2800 | 1400 | 280 | 90 | 110 |
| Observed no. of recruits: | 17.3 | 3.6 | 17.3 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Predicted from r.func. 1: | 16.8 | 18.8 | 12.8 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Predicted from r.func. 2 : | 13.1 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 8.7 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Success 1: | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 4.0 |
| Success 2: | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |


| Year: | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spawning stock: | 90 | 80 | 120 | 240 | 290 | 330 | 380 |
| Observed no. of recruits: | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Predicted from r.func. 1: | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Predicted from r.func. 2 : | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| Success 1: | 2.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Success 2: | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |



RESULTS
$= \pm=ニ=$

Year 1989，

Mean jengtins ior age groups


Stock
Numbers in millions of andividuals
Weignt and spawning stock in thousani tonnes

| Ago | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | Sep | Oct | No | Dec |
| 1 | 2 E | 259 | $25{ }^{\circ}$ | 254 | 251 | 262 | 259 | 256 | 254 | 251 |  |  |
| 2 | 143 | 141 | 137 | 132 | 251 | 248 | 24. | 243 | －40 | 231 | 248 | ${ }_{9}$ |
| 3 | 267 | 264 | 24？ | 222 | 131 | 130 | 128 | 127 | 125 | 120 | 114 | 3 |
| 4 | 150 | 148 | 133 | 118 | 219 | 217 | 214 | 212 | 210 | 198 | 114 | 113 |
| 5 | 6.997 | 692\％ | 612 F | 5426 | 5317 | 116 | 115 | 113 | 112 | 105 | 186 | 184 |
| 5 | 18 | 18 | 616 | 5426 14 | 5368 | 5310 | 5253 | 5i96． | 5140 | 4798 | 44 | 97 |
| $?$ | 10 | 10 | $\stackrel{1}{9}$ | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 1.3 | 412 | 4411 | 4429 |
| 9 | 15 | 15 | 13 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | ＋18 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | $\overline{7}$ | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 9 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | － | 6 |
| 10 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 9 |
| 11 | 44 | 42 | $3{ }^{2}$ | 34 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 1\％ | 18 |
| 1.7 | $4 i$ | 41 | 36 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 3 | 3 | － | 15 | 14 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | － 1 | 3 ？ | 31 | 31 | 3 | 3 C | 30 | 38 | \％ | 2 E |
| is | ？ 1 | 21 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | － | 1 | 28 | － | 26 |
| 15 | 31 | 31 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 1 E | 15 | 15 | ！ |  | 1 |
| Sum： | 8051 | 7964 | $709{ }^{\text {ciér }}$ | 6331 | 24 | 23 | － | 2－ | 15 | 12 | $i=$ | 1う |
| Weigint： | 25.1 .5 | 2930.4 | 2394 | 6331 | 6262 | E457 | 6387 | $631 \stackrel{\square}{8}$ | 6250 | 586 | ごて | 19 |
| Spawn： | 2875.7 | 2875.7 | 2875．7 | 1932.3 | 1925.1 | 2247.9 | 2396.5 | 2530．8 | 26178 | 2457 | 5502 | 5443 |
| ニニニニニ＝ | －＝＝＝$=$ | 2875.7 | 2875.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 250．0 | 2617.8 | 2457.8 | 2233.7 | 2130.8 |

Cazch
Numbers in millions of individuals
Weighe in thousand tonnes
Meari weight in grammes


| Age | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | こニニ＝ | Aug |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $?$ | 0 | 0 |  |  | － |  | Jul | Aug | Sen | Oct． | Nov | Dec |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | ？ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ！ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\underline{3}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $1 \frac{3}{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | j |
| 4 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 0 | $\square^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | $7-$ | 630 | 0 | ¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1： | 10 | $?$ | 0 |
| E | a | $\cdots$ | 6．3） | 0 | i | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | ${ }_{210}$ | 5 | 0 |
| 7 | $i$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | 0 | Ci | 0 | 0 | 0 | ＜ 1 | 270 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | ${ }_{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | $i$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | － | i | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | $\vdots$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | กٌ | ； | 0 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | C |
| 10 | 6. | $\cdots$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \％ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | \％ | $\stackrel{\text { ar }}{ }$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\square$ | $i$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | \％ | $\bar{i}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | i | $\because$ | 0 |
| 13 | － | 4 | 4 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | \％ | $\underline{2}$ | 2 | C | 0 |
| 14 | r． | $?$ | － | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | － | － | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 5 | $\Gamma$ |
| 15 | $r$ | $\vdots$ | \％ | 0 | $i$ | $\square$ | a | $\cdots$ | ！ | ？ | 5 | $\Gamma$ |
| Sum： | ？ | $7:$ |  | 0 | 0 | U＇ | 0 | i | ！ | 1 |  | 1 |
| Weighe： | Ci： |  | $2)^{\text {E，95 }}$ | 0 | \％ | \％ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | －r 1 | 5 | （ |
| M．wgne： |  | － | －Ei． | 0.0 | C．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1－6 | ：$-\frac{i}{i}$ |  | i： |
| F．mor：： | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | － | － 0.12 | 0.00 |  |  | 0 | O． | $\underline{-1}$ | －$-\frac{1}{4}$ | $0 . こ$ | C． 1 |
| ニーニーー | － |  | ． 12 | 0.00 | 1）．is | C．，心 | 0.00 | 0.05 | －1．${ }^{\text {j }}$ ¢ | － | 0．C： | 3．io |

Table 2．Part of a comprehensive report from HERMOD．
地
Printout from program hermod date 29-07-1994 time 09:41:03
$+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++$
areas: $1-18$ sexes: 1 ages: $0-15$ lengths: $4-45$
$+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++$
results
$======$
success $=$ observed/predicted number of larvae
catch $=$ catch during the year in thousand tonnes
sstock = spawning stock in march in thousand tonnes
tstock $=$ total stock in december in thousand tonnes
sumct $=$ catch during the year + total stock in december

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { run } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | success | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ \text { catch } \end{array}$ | sstock | success | $\begin{array}{r} 1993 \\ \text { catch } \end{array}$ | sstock | success | $\begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ \text { catch } \end{array}$ | sstock | $\begin{array}{r} \text { catch } \\ 1992-94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { tstock } \\ 1994 \end{array}$ | sumet $1994$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.1 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.3 | 2508 | 10127 | 2.3 | 4820 | 17301 | 4820 | 66487 | 71307 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.6 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.0 | 4774 | 17301 | 4774 | 129145 | 138739 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 1125 | 5661 | 5.8 | 2508 | 10127 | 3.2 | 4772 | 17301 | 4772 | 191240 | 205606 |
| 4 | 0.6 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.1 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.2 | 4796 | 17301 | 4796 | 253201 | 272363 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.4 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.3 | 4825 | 17301 | 4825 | 319923 | 343909 |
| 6 | 3.2 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.0 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.6 | 4950 | 17301 | 4950 | 394248 | 423184 |
| 7 | 3.2 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.2 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.6 | 4950 | 17301 | 4950 | 467225 | 501112 |
| 8 | 1.0 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.3 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.2 | 4825 | 17301 | 4825 | 533113 | 571824 |
| 9 | 0.6 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.1 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.6 | 4797 | 17301 | 4797 | 595791 | 639300 |
| 10 | 4.6 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.7 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.3 | 5039 | 17301 | 5039 | 676127 | 724674 |
| 11 | 1.6 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.3 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.2 | 4856 | 17301 | 4856 | 745390 | 798794 |
| 12 | 0.8 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.2 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.6 | 4812 | 17301 | 4812 | 808815 | 867031 |
| 13 | 0.6 | 1125 | 5661 | 2.1 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.6 | 4803 | 17301 | 4803 | 875007 | 938025 |
| 4 | 1.0 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.4 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.2 | 4841 | 17301 | 4841 | 942180 | 1010039 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.3 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.7 | 4766 | 17301 | 4766 | 1004347 | 1076972 |
| 16 | 0.7 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.6 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.1 | 4807 | 17301 | 4807 | 1067728 | 1145161 |
| 17 | 1.0 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.0 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.3 | 4820 | 17301 | 4820 | 1133354 | 1215606 |
| 18 | 0.6 | 1125 | 5661 | 4.6 | 2508 | 10127 | 2.1 | 4796 | 17301 | 4796 | 1196909 | 1283958 |
| 19 | 1.7 | 1125 | 5661 | 2.3 | 2508 | 10127 | 0.7 | 4863 | 17301 | 4863 | 1267165 | 1359076 |
| 20 | 0.7 | 1125 | 5661 | 0.6 | 2508 | 10127 | 1.3 | 4825 | 17301 | 4825 | 1332317 | 1429053 |
| mean: | 1.3 | 1125 | 5661 | 1.4 | 1383 | 4466 | 1.1 | 2329 | 7175 |  |  |  |
| st.dev.: | : 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { run } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | success | $\begin{array}{r} 1995 \\ \text { catch } \end{array}$ | sstock | success | $\begin{array}{r} 1996 \\ \text { catch } \end{array}$ | sstock | success | $\begin{array}{r} 1997 \\ \text { catch } \end{array}$ | sstock | $\begin{array}{r} \text { catch } \\ 1995-97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { tstock } \\ 1997 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sumct } \\ 1997 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.6 | 3496 | 9549 | 1.7 | 8034 | 32842 | 1.4 | 13163 | 53245 | 13163 | 1475438 | 1585337 |
| 2 | 1.3 | 3320 | 9526 | 0.1 | 7410 | 31493 | 0.7 | 11239 | 49645 | 11239 | 1563074 | 1684212 |
| 3 | 0.2 | 3261 | 9525 | 0.2 | 7390 | 31407 | 1.7 | 11615 | 48137 | 11615 | 1658082 | 1790834 |
| 4 | 1.3 | 3336 | 9537 | 5.8 | 7229 | 32101 | 1.4 | 10540 | 49336 | 10540 | 1763502 | 1906795 |
| 5 | 1.4 | 3520 | 9551 | 0.8 | 8047 | 32980 | 1.6 | 12604 | 53747 | 12604 | 1870614 | 2026511 |
| 6 | 1.6 | 3971 | 9613 | 0.3 | 9112 | 36592 | 1.7 | 14114 | 62542 | 14114 | 1977754 | 2147766 |
| 7 | 0.7 | 3924 | 9613 | 0.8 | 8880 | 36568 | 0.7 | 13488 | 61165 | 13488 | 2072726 | 2256225 |
| 8 | 0.3 | 3514 | 9551 | 0.6 | 7855 | 32977 | 1.6 | 11606 | 53499 | 11606 | 2146703 | 2341809 |
| 9 | 1.4 | 3341 | 9537 | 1.7 | 7396 | 32136 | 0.7 | 11198 | 49504 | 11198 | 2241106 | 2447410 |
| 10 | 1.4 | 4290 | 9657 | 1.3 | 10022 | 39157 | 1.0 | 15912 | 68812 | 15912 | 2365457 | 2587673 |
| 11 | 0.2 | 3674 | 9566 | 3.2 | 8384 | 33890 | 0.2 | 12741 | 57103 | 12741 | 2467628 | 2702584 |
| 12 | 0.2 | 3404 | 9545 | 4.6 | 7465 | 32582 | 0.2 | 10874 | 50758 | 10874 | 2539744 | 2785575 |
| 13 | 0.1 | 3474 | 9540 | 0.7 | 7988 | 32352 | 1.0 | 12535 | 53164 | 12535 | 2642550 | 2900916 |
| 14 | 0.3 | 3580 | 9559 | 1.7 | 8049 | 33446 | 0.1 | 11975 | 54886 | 11975 | 2725885 | 2996226 |
| 15 | 3.2 | 3292 | 9522 | 0.2 | 7339 | 31281 | 2.3 | 11307 | 49094 | 11307 | 2827338 | 3108987 |
| 16 | 1.0 | 3402 | 9542 | 1.0 | 7453 | 32425 | 4.6 | 10845 | 50863 | 10845 | 2905642 | 3198136 |
| 17 | 4.6 | 3480 | 9549 | 0.2 | 7855 | 32835 | 2.3 | 12486 | 52744 | 12486 | 3028849 | 3333829 |
| 18 | 0.3 | 3362 | 9537 | 1.0 | 7565 | 32125 | 1.0 | 11603 | 50184 | 11603 | 3121704 | 3438287 |
| 19 | 3.2 | 3711 | 9570 | 0.2 | 8559 | 34099 | 3.2 | 13553 | 57947 | 13553 | 3239942 | $3570078$ |
| 20 | 0.6 | 3469 | 9551 | 1.0 | 7766 | 32952 | 1.0 | 11795 | 52275 | 11795 | 3331697 | 3673628 |
| mean: | 1.4 | 3541 | 9557 | 1.4 | 4449 | 23755 | 1.4 | 4270 | 20621 |  |  |  |
| st.dev. : | : 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

concentrate of data, for all years and all runs
success $=$ observed/predicted•number of larvae
catch = catch during the year in thousand tonnes
sstock $=$ spawning stock in march in thousand tonnes
tstock $=$ total stock in december in thousand tonnes
sumct $=$ catch during the year + total stock in december
means = means over years and runs
st.dev. = standard deviations over years and runs

|  | success | catch | sstock | tstock |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | sumct

Table 3. Example of a condensed report from HERMOD.


Figure 1. Maturation curve based on the algorithm used in HERMOD (Base $=9.0$, LM50 $=31.2$ ).


Figure 2. The recruitment functions used in HERMOD.


Figure 3. The areas used for implementing migration in HERMOD.
31.2 ( start.bio.lm50 Length where $50 \%$ are mature. |


2 (start.bio.ralternative Recruitment alternative (1 or 2) /


true \{ start.bio.stochastic True if stochastic recruitment is wanted \}

cw | start.bio.migpattern Migration pattern. cw: clockwise, acw: anticlockwise


Figure 4. Part of the parameter file for HERMOD.

