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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the agreed record of conclusions of the fisheries consultations between the European Econamic Community and Norway, Brussels $26-28$ November 1986, the following statement concerning the Western mackerel stock was made:
"Noting the differing views of Norway and the Cormunity with respect to the stock, the Parties agreed jointly to study and elaborate more closely the mugratory pattern and area distribution of the fishery, for this stock".

A first meeting of a Norwegian-EEC joint scientific group on migration and distribution of mackerel (Western stock) was convened in Bergen from 11 to 13 November 1987 (Anon. 1988b).

In the Agreed Record of the EEC-Norway fisheries consultations, 30 November 1 December 1988, "... The parties agreed that scientists fram Norway and the Camunnty together should continue their work on all relevant aspects relating to the biology and migratory pattern of the Western mackerel stock".

### 1.1. Terms of reference

A second meeting of an EEC-Norweglan joint scientific group on magration and distribution of mackerel (Western stock) was convened fran 12 to 13 Decemioer 1989 in Brussels with the following agreed terms of reference:
"The study group should collect and update the most relevant infomation on stock and catch distribution, in particular for the most recent years, specified on seasons and year-classes. Relevant data for the North Sea stock should also be considered."

In order to facilitate the use of the information, the group decided to revise the previous report (Anon., 1988b) and to produce an updated, complete report.

### 1.2. Participants

E. Bakken

Institute of Marine Research, Bergen
E. Barnwall Fisheries Research Centre, Dublin
W.A. Dawson Fisheries Laboratory, Lowestoft
H. Dornheim Sea Fisheries Institute, Hamburg
A. Eltink (Chairman)

Netherlands Institute for Fishery Investigations, Ijmuiden
J.C. Guéguen

IFREMER, Nantes
S.A. Iversen
E. Kirkegaard
D.W. Skagen
M. Walsh

Institute of Marine Research, Bergen
Danish Institute for Fisheries and Marine Research, Charlottenlund
Institute of Marine Research, Bergen
Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen

### 1.3. Previous reports

The overall distribution of mackerel and the fishing areas during the 1960 s and early 1970s are described in ICES Cooperative Research Report $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} 37$ (1974). The distribution (Fig. 6 of that report) is, however, not given separately for the two stocks.

Distribution and migration for both the North Sea stock and the Western stock are given in ICES Cooperative Research Report $N^{\circ} 74$ (1978). Illustrations (Figs. 7, 8, 12 and 13) of main distribution areas, total distribution range, overwinterıng areas and spawning areas are presented.

The "Report of the Norwegian - EEC Joint Scientiflc Sub-group on Distribution of Shared Fish Stocks in the North Sea" (Anon., 1979) includes mackerel, but covers the North Sea only.

The distribution and migration of the Westem mackerel stock are described in various reports of the ICES Mackerel Workıng Group, notably in the 1981, 1986 and 1988 reports (Anon. 1981, 1986, 1988a). The Working Group reports and the report of the first meeting of the Norwegian-EEC joint scientific group on migration and distribution of Western mackerel (Anon., 1988b) constitute the main source of published data utilized by the present Norway-EEC Working Group.

A map of the ICES statistical area, with Sub-areas and Divisions, referred to in the text is given in Fig. 1.1.

### 1.4. Stock definntion

The ICES Mackerel Working Group in its 1986 report (Anon., 1986) discussed the basis for mackerel stock definition in detail. Two stocks of mackerel are identifled on the basis of their spawning grounds: a Western stock and a North Sea stock. While there is evidence that mackerel of Western origin recruit to the North sea spawning stock through the Channel and possibly also via the north of Scotland (Eltink et al. 1986), the Workung Group was unable to quantify the extent to which this occurs, and retained the view that there are two principal stocks within the total area of distribution (ICES Divisions IIa, IIIa, IVa-c, Va,b, VIa,b, VIIa-k, VIIIa,b,d,e).

At the present meeting, the joint EEC-Norway study group still accepts that there are two stocks; one spawning in the North Sea and one in the Western area.

## 2. SPAWNING AREAS

### 2.1. North Sea spawning area

Investigations in the mackerel spawning area in the North Sea have been carried out every summer since 1986 except in 1985 and 1987. Durang the early years only the northern part of the spawning area was investigated. Fram 1980 to 1988 the total spawning area was covered several times each year during the spawning period in order to estimate total egg production and slze of spawning stock. In 1989 the survey was limited and covered the main spawning area only once.

The spawning period in the North Sea falls between mid May and the end of July, with the main spawning during the second half of June. The spawning area during the periods 1977-1984 and 1986-1988 is shown in Fig. 2.1. The figure is based on data given in Iversen (1981, 1982), Iversen and Eltink (1983), Iversen and Westgard (1984), and Iversen et al. (1985, 1987, 1989). Spawning takes place within the delineated area every year, with the main spawning ( $>100$ eggs $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in at least 2 years) within the shaded area. The spawning area is well defined, and the size and location appear to be rather constant. The total spawning area seems, however, somewhat reduced since the early 1970s, when the spawning stock was much larger.

### 2.2. Western spawning area

Mackerel egg surveys for the Western stock have been conducted triennially since 1977 for stock assessment purposes. The Western spawning area for the 1977, 1980, 1983 and 1986 surveys combined is shown in figure 2.1. This stock has been observed spawning in the same area every year whth only minor changes in the distribution within the Western area spawning ground (Lockwood, Nichols and Dawson 1981; Lockwood et al. 1981; Thompson et al. 1984; Anon 1987a and Coambs et al. 1989.)

Western mackerel cormence spawning in March and continue through to July with a peak in May-June. In 1986 and 1987 the area to the north of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was also investigated, (Fig 2.1). Although same spawning takes place in this area it only constitutes between 5 and 10 percent of the total Western stock egg production, (Molloy and King 1987). This area was once again investigated in 1989, together with the rest of the western mackerel spawning ground. Although these data are still provisional, initial indication suggest the proportion of the Western stock spawning to the north of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ are no different to the proportions previously observed. The provisional egg data are not included in fig. 2.1, however, there is no evidence to suggest a shıft in distribution in 1989. The timing of peak spawning in 1989 was the same as in previous years.

## 3. DISTRIBUTION OF LARVAE AND JUVENILES LESS THAN ONE YEAR OLD

### 3.1. Larvae

Information was available about trends in the distribution, abundance and seasonal occurrence of larvae around the British Isles (Coombs and Mitchell 1981). In general, the main concentrations were found to be closely associated with the main spawning areas to the southwest of Ireland (See Fig. 2.1.). Smaller numbers of larvae were also located to the west of Ireland and Scotland.

In the North Sea there were some indications of a southerly shift in the distribution of larvae during the period 1948-1977. This shift in larvae distribution was also evident for larvae of other fish species and may have been caused by changing environmental conditions. It might also have been caused by decreases in the spawning stock in the northern part of the North Sea.

The larvae taken during the egg surveys conducted in recent years in both the North Sea and in the Western area are all closely associated with the main spawning areas (Fig. 2.1.). The dispersion and subsequent drift of the larvae away fram the spawning grounds has not yet been investigated.

Mackerel spawn over a fairly long period fram March to July. Growth during the first months is very rapid and a length of 22 am may be attained by the end of the year in which they were spawned. At this size they can be exploited in conmercial fisheries in their first winter. Consıderable numbers were taken during the winters of $1976 / 77-1981 / 82$, mainly in the flsherles conducted in Division VIIe, f (Anon., 1987b). Subsequent to this period, catches of this age group in this area decreased considerably but it is not possible to decide whether this was due to 1) the introduction of the protective box around Comwail, 2) the very poor year-classes of 1982 and 1983, 1985 and 1986 or, 3) a change in distribution of the juvenile fish or, 4) a combination of same of these. In recent years a small proportion of first-year mackerel of the 1987 year-class was caught to the west of the UK during the winter 1987/88. This age group is not taken in catches fram the North Sea.

The distribution of first-year mackerel during the winters of 1987/88, 1988/89 and 1989 (Oct-Dec), as inducated by research vessel surveys is shown in Figs. 3.1., 3.2. and 3.3. Fig. 3.1. is taken fram the 1989 ICES Mackerel Working Group (Anon., 1989a) supplemented by results from the 1988 IYF Survey (Anon., 1989b). Figs. 3.2, and 3.3. were compiled from sumular data not then available to the 1989 Working Group.

In the winter of $1987 / 88$ juveniles of the 1987 year-class were widely distributed along the continental shelf edge west of Ireland and the Celtic Sea and the western Channel (Fig. 3.1). Elsewhere they were scarce or absent fran the catches. The distribution and abundance were simılar to those of the strong 1984 year-class which showed a more northerly distribution than those of the previous four year-classes.

In the winter of $1988 / 89$ juveniles of the 1988 year-class were abundant to the west and south of Ireland and in the Bay of Biscay but absent from catches north of $59^{\circ}$ (Fig. 3.2). They were scarce or absent over most of the North Sea as in most recent years.

Preliminary data for the winter of 1989/90 indicate the 1989 year-class to have been present in catches west and south of Britain from the north to the south of the area sampled and with patches of nighest abundance near the shelf edge west and south of Ireland and west and southwest of Brittany (Fig. 3.3).

Fig. 3.4. shows the mean distribution of first winter juveniles fram winter research vessel surveys for all available years combined. Data for the North Sea Cover the years 1960-61 and 1967-1987 and were obtained fram the ICES International Young Fish Surveys (Walsh, 1974, 1977, 1979 and subsequent annual ICES reports of the IYFS). Data for western areas were obtained by combining results of Dutch, Scottısh, English and Irısh research vessel surveys for the winters 1981/82 to 1986/87 inclusive.

The data are intended to provide a generalized winter distribution pattern with which individual years may be compared. They indicate two main distribution centres in the North Sea, one in the east central North Sea, the other close to the Norwegian trench in the northern North Sea. These are considered to be the main nursery areas of the North Sea stock. To the west of Britaln the maln concentrations of juveniles were found over a wide area fram North Rona down into the Bay of Biscay but in greatest abundance near to the shelf edge south of Ireland and in the Western Channel and its approaches. These are considered to be juveniles of the western stock.

Very little is known about the distribution of this age group outside the
winter period.

## 4. DISTRIBUTION OF JUVENILES

### 4.1. Cammercial catch data

Information on the distribution of juvenile mackerel, defined here as 1 - and 2 -group, is poor. This is largely because these age groups are incampletely recruited to the directed mackerel fisheries. In addition, there are regulations in same areas designed to protect juvenile mackerel, including a 30 am minimum landing size in the North Sea, and since 1983, a closed area in parts of Sub-area VII. This means that the distribution of catches in the mackerel fisheries is an incomplete representation of the true distribution of these age groups.

With these reservations in mind, same positive indications of the distribution of concentrations of juvenile mackerel can be obtained fram the estimated catches in numbers at age in each ICES Division. These are given in Tables 4.1. and 4.2. for the years 1980-1988. Up to and including 1985, it was assumed for assessment purposes that all 1- and 2-group mackerel caught in the North Sea area (Sub-area IV, Divisions IIa and IIIa) belonged to the North Sea stock, and that all those caught in other areas belonged to the Western stock. Since 1986, it has been recognized that a proportion of the juveniles caught in the North Sea area may have originated from the Western stock (Anon., 1987b, 1988a).

Table 4.1. indicates that substantial quantities of l-group Western stock are caught in the Western areas (Sub-areas VII and VIII up to 1983; and Division VIa from 1982-1985). High percentages of l-group mackerel in Division IIIa were observed in all years from 1985 onwards. In the North Sea (Sub-area IV), increasing catches of l-group fish were observed in 1987 and 1988, whereas in the western areas (Sub-area VI, VII and VIII) fish of the youngest age group represented only a small part of the total catch.

Table 4.2. indicates that in the early 1980s, prior to the implementation of the closed area off Cornwall, the largest quantities of 2 -group mackerel were caught in the Western areas and particularly VIId-k. In most recent years significant landings of 2-group mackerel caught in northern areas have been recorded (e.g. VIa in 1986-87; IVa in 1986, 1987, 1988).

The closed area introduced in 1933 around the south-west of England is one area where juvenile mackerel have been consistently found since the change in adult distribution in the early eighties. A small fishery has taken place around this box. The catches taken have comprised predominantly juvemile fish, i.e. the 1 - to 2 -group mackerel from the winter fishery. The proportions of juveniles in the catches reflect the year-class strengths of the 1 - to 2 -group fish in the stock.

### 4.2. Survey data, l-group

Winter (4th and lst quarters)
Infomation on second-winter mackerel (1-group 4th quarter, 2-group 1st quarter) are available from English, Scottish, Irish, French and Dutch bottam trawl surveys in the Western area (Divisions VIa, Sub-areas VII and VIII) in the 4th quarter and from English and Scottish data in the lst quarter. These data are summarized in Dawson et al (1988) for the winters 1981/82 1987/88. Data fram the North Sea for these winters are given in reports of the International Young Fish Surveys carried out in February each year and for earlier winters in Walsh (1974, 1977 and 1979.

The main concentrations of second-winter mackerel during the four winters prior to $1985 / 86$ were found in the Celtic Sea (Divisions VIIg, h, j) and the western Channel (Division VIIe) with low concentrations west of Scotland and hardly any presence in the North Sea. In earlier years (Walsh, 1974) when the North Sea stock was stronger, second-winter mackerel were found mainly in the east-central North Sea but with a slightly wider distribution than that of first winter mackerel given in Fig. 3.4.

In the winters of both 1985/86 and 1986/87 second-winter mackerel, year-classes 1984 and 1985 respectively, were found in the Celtic Sea and western Channel as before, but were more abundant west of Scotland than in previous years. The 1984 year-class was abundant in the Skagerrak and the eastern part of Division IVa during October 1985. Distribution data for the winters of 1987/88 and 1988/89 are given in Figs. 4.1. and 4.2. respectively. The 1986 year-class in $1987 / 88$ was mainly concentrated in the Celtic sea and western Channel, while the 1987 year-class had a much wider distribution to the west of Britain with concentrations west of Scotland as well as further south.

## 2nd quarter

There are no survey data available for this quarter.
3rd quarter
There are no survey data available for the western area during this time period. Infomation on the abundance of l-group mackerel is available for the North Sea fram both Scottish and English botton trawl surveys since 1980 and fran acoustic surveys in the North Sea and the Skagerrak since 1985 (Kirkegaard 1986, Kirkegaard et al 1987, Kirkegaard et al. 1989, Degnbol et al. 1988).

Data from these sources indicate a relatively high abundance of l-group mackerel in the east central North Sea and the Skagerrak in same years. In particular, the year-classes 1984 and 1987 were abundant, while that of 1988 was not.

### 4.3. Survey data, 2-group

Infomation on the distribution of 2-group mackerel fram research vessel surveys indicates that the distribution of the immature part of the age group is almost identical to that of l-group mackerel.

### 4.4. Changes in distribution

The proportion of juveniles in the catch data indicates that juvenile Western mackerel have changed their distribution in the 1980s. Table 4.3. indicates that up to and including 1981 juvenile mackerel (0-2 group combined) were mainly found in Sub-areas VII and VIII, where they constituted up to half the catch in numbers in certain years. From 1982 to 1986 juvenile mackerel formed a higher proportion of the total catch in Division VIa, Indicating that the distribution was extended to the north in these years. In 1987 and 1988 the proportion of juveniles of the total catch in Division VIa was as before 1982.

The catch data as well as the survey data show that since 1985 large quantities of juvenile mackerel have been present in the eastern part of the North Sea and Skagerrak in summer and early winter. Since the relevant age groups do not appear to be present at other times of the year and they have not recrunted to the North Sea spawning stock, it may indicate that since 1985 there has been a migration of juvenile Western mackerel into the eastern North Sea and the Skagerrak.

## 5. DISTRIBUTION OF ADULTS

### 5.1. Fishery data

Catches of mackerel in Sub-areas VI, VII and VIII reflected the catches of the Western mackerel stock until 1977. During the subsequent years till 1981 mackerel taken in the western area (Sub-areas VI, VII and VIII cambined) included also mackerel of the North sea stock. A part of the catch was therefore allocated to the North Sea stock for assessment purposes. A proportion of the mackerel caught in the North sea area was simularly allocated to the Western stock. These allocations were based on Norwegian tagging data except for the 1986 catches (Anon. 1987b).

Fig. 5.1. indicates that during the period 1981-1988, an increasing proportion of mackerel was taken outside the western areas. This trend might be caused by a northward shift in distribution of the Western mackerel outside the spawning season (see also section 6).

Shifts in seasonal distribution of Western mackerel have been analysed by the ICES Mackerel Working Group and by various authors by examining changes in the main mackerel fisheries. A thorough examination of the changes is given in the 1985 Mackerel Working Group Report (Anon. 1985, p. 5-9) and in a paper by Walsh and Martin (1986). The results are summarized in Figs. 5.2. and 5.3. of the present report, together with the distribution of the mackerel fisheries in 1987 and 1988 (Anon., 1988a and 1989a).

Throughout the 1960s and 1970s, the main winter fisheries were concentrated in an area around the Comish Peninsula (Division VIIe, f). During the 1980s the fishery shifted progressively towards the west of the British Isles and further to the northwest and north of Scotland. Some fishing, however, continued in the western Channel.

There is also same evidence from the summer fishery indicating shifts in the distribution of the mackerel as indicated in Fig. 5.3. There has been a progressive northeast shift from around Shetland towards the Norwegian coast, with the exception of the period 1975-1978 (see section 6.3.). It should, however, be noted that the distribution of catches is influenced by both fisheries regulations and the distribution of the mackerel.

Figs. 5.4. and 5.5. show the distribution of mackerel fisheries by quarter in 1987 and 1988 (Anon., 1988a and 1989a).

The catches reflect where fishing occurred, but do not necessarily reflect the total distribution area of the mackerel. Some masreporting occurred in same quarters in the subdivision of Division VIa which is adjacent to Division IVa, and also in statistical rectangles in Division IIa adjacent to Division IVa. Catches reported in Division IVa may therefore be underestimates.

Catches of mackerel for the period 1978-1988 by quarter and area are listed in Table 5.1. The table shows the shift of the main fishery in the first and last quarter to the north and east. The shift is even more evident when catches are rearranged to take into account the seasonal nature of the fishery as shown in Table 5.2.

In the 1984/1985 and 1985/1986 winter seasons the fishery had shifted from Sub-area VII northwards to Division VIa. The increase in catches in the 4th quarter in Division IVa since 1986 might indicate a further shift of the early winter distribution towards the northern North Sea.

### 5.2 Fishery independent data

Information on the distribution of adult mackerel fran research vessel surveys is incamplete and it is therefore not possible to add significantly to what is obtained fram camercial catch data. It should be noted, however, that the surveys indicate that the total distribution of mackerel may be more widespread than indicated by the fisheries and that the distribution of cammercial catches may not in all instances reflect the precise distribution of the stock.

The following fishery-independent data on distribution of adult mackerel were available at the meeting:

| Survey | Time of year | Period | Area | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dutch <br> groundfish | Oct, Nov | $1980-89$ | IVb east, <br> IVc | A. Eltink <br> (pers.can.) |
| English <br> groundfish | Aug |  | $1977-89$ | IV |

North Sea and Western area egg survey
The egg surveys give a good picture of the distribution of adult mackerel during the spawning season (see Section 2).

## Groundfish surveys

The English and Scottish surveys are carried out in August as bottam trawl surveys. At this time of the year, water masses in the North Sea, except for the most shallow parts, are stratified with a warm surface and a cold bottom layer. Mackerel are normally found in the wanm surface layer, and this may influence catchabillty and make it doubtful to compare data from the different areas in the North Sea. Catches may also include an unknown proportion of North Sea mackerel. However, the data do not show any decrease in abundance as could be expected if the North Sea mackerel constitutes a major part of the catches.

The groundfish surveys show that adult mackerel are present over a large part of the North Sea during August with the main concentration in the northern and east central North Sea.

## Danish accoustic survey

From 1985 to 1988 the survey only covered the most eastern part of Division IVb and the Skagerrak-Kattegat area. The concentration of adult mackerel was found to be very low. In 1989 the survey area was extended further west but very few adult mackerel were caught.

## Norwegian acoustic survey

In 1987 and 1989 Norway conducted acoustic surveys together with Dermark. Norway covered a more northern area. In 1987, the year-classes of 1981, 1982 and, in particular, 1985 were daminant in the area. In 1989, the 1987 year-class was both daminant and widely distributed.

## Scottish acoustic survey

The target species in these surveys are herring and the catches of mackerel are very low. The only catches of adult mackerel were taken during the 1983 surveys in the area northwest of Scotland.

The International Young Fish Survey (IYFS)
Catches of adult mackerel are very low, and it is not possible to draw any conclusions about the distribution or migration of adult mackerel fram the data.

## Norwegian purse seine survey in 1995

The concentration of adult mackerel was found to be very low in the Skagerrak area. In Division IVa adult mackerel were caught throughout the surveyed area, in highest concentrtion in the northwestern part of the Division.

## 6. MIGRATION

### 6.1. Migration pattern of juveniles

The age of the juveniles discussed in this section is fram when they are 6 months old (first winter) to the age of maturity ( 2 year-olds).

Information available on the juvenile distributions can be found in many earlier reports (Anon., 1984a, 1984b, 1985, 1986, 1987b, 1988a, 1989a, Dawson et al, 1988, E. Kirkegaard 1986, E. Kirkegaard et al. 1987, E. Kirkegaard et al. 1989). Most of this infomation describes the distribution of juveniles in their first and second winters, both in the North Sea and the Western area (see Section 4). Same infomation on the distribution of juveniles in the third quarter is available from Danish acoustic surveys conducted in the North Sea. However, very little information is available on the distribution in the second quarter.

Both research vessel data and catch data have been summarized by quarter for the period fram the fourth quarter 1986 to the third quarter 1989 and their distribution and migration is illustrated for the first time in Fig. 6.1.

There is a very limited fishery in the Western area during the 3rd quarter, therefore it is difficult to know its main distribution with certainty during this period. While many juveniles are found in the northern and central North Sea and the Skagerrak during the auturn fishery in this area, it is not known what proportion of juveniles remain in the Western area.

The juveniles are distributed throughout the Continental Shelf in the Western area during their first winter. The main concentrations are found along the shelf edge and in the inshore areas to the west of Ireland, southwest of England and around the Brittany peninsula. The juveniles appear to became more widespread as they get older. It is thought that sane migrate through the Channel into the central North Sea and others migrate northwards along the 200 m contour west of Scotland into the northern North sea and the Skagerrak during the third quarter. After returning to the Western area for their second winter, they follow a similar migration in their second year when they begin to join the adults on their migration. They can then be found with the adults on their feeding grounds in the northern North Sea and off the Norwegian coast.

There is insufficient data to determine whether or not changes in distribution have taken place over the period described for the adults in Section 6.2.

### 6.2. Migration pattern of adults

The study group reviewed and discussed published information on mackerel migrations, in particular the reports of the ICES Mackerel Working Group, but also assessed the fishery data (Section 5.1.) and the tagging results (Section 6.3.) as means of determining migration patterns. In relation to this the shifts in distribution were given special consideration.

Adult Western stock mackerel migrate between areas of overwintering, spawning and feeding. The spawning area (Section 2), in general terms, remained the same during the last 10 years, while the overwintering area has shifted gradually northwards and the feeding area sanewnat eastwards in the latest years.

Figs. 6.2., 6.3. and 6.4. give a schematic outline of the change in migration pattern. The figures are based on similar illustrations presented in the ICES Cooperative Research Reports $N^{\circ}$ s 37 and 74 (see section 1.3.), by the ICES Mackerel Working Group (Anon. 1981, 3.1-3.4 and Anon. 1986 Fig. 4.1) and by Bakken and Westgard (1986), as well as charts of catch distribution by month for major fishing fleets available at the meeting.

The shift in overwintering area is reflected in the fishery as demonstrated in Section 5.1. Reported catches in the feeding area in Divisions VIa, IIa and IVa indicate that the extention of the area and the locations of the main concentration area varied during the period 1978-1988. During the late 1970s fishable concentrations of mackerel were mainly found northeast of Shetland in July-August. In the early 1980s fishing extended further north, even as far north as $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. In later years fishing indicates that the mackerel occurred further east in the southeastern part of Division IIa and the eastern part of Division IVa (Fig. 6.4.). Western stock mackerel are probably in late summer distributed over a wide area in Division IVa, but the fisheries indicate that a major part of the mackerel follows a migration route across the northern part of Division IVa, most likely north of $59^{\circ}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. In the most recent years the retum migration seems to start samewhat later than in earlier years. It should, however, be noted that the distribution and migration of mackerel in the feeding area seem to vary substantially, although the migration starts from a rather constant area of spawning.

The general migration pattern of adult mackerel in the most recent period, 1985-1988, is illustrated in Fig. 6.4. The migration pattem shown is the same as that indicated for the years 1985-1986 in the previous report of the study group, but the outlined area of feeding and the winter distribution are revised in the light of observations fram 1987 and 1988.

It should be noted that Figs. 6.2., 6.3. and 6.4. are presented to illustrate the general migration patterns and the main distribution areas over several years. For this reason, and also due to the lack of observation data, same aspects of the mackerel migration and distribution are not reflected in the figures, e.g. likely migrations through the English Channel and occurrence of mackerel feeding in the Bay of Biscay area.

### 6.3. Norwegian tagging experiments

Mackerel have been tagged by individually numbered steel tags every year since 1970, with two areas of release: one southwest of Ireland in May and one south of Norway in July-August (Harne 1980, Bakken and Westgard 1986, Iversen and Skagen 1989).

Data on tag returns have been used by the ICES Mackerel Working Group to allocate catches to stocks in Divisions IIa, IVa and VIa for the years up to and including 1984. Details on the method are found in the Mackerel Working Group reports.

The tagging experiments were continued except for the Western area in 1987. A number of mackerel tagged and released southwest of Ireland in May are recaptured during summer and autumn the same year. Figs. 6.5 and 6.6 show the location of such recaptures based on tag recoveries for which detailed information on fishing position are available. A migration of mackerel from the spawning area to the summer/autumn feeding area in the northern North Sea and off the Norwegian coast is indicated by the tagging experiments. It should, however, be noted that the location of recovery is influenced by the fishery and by the catch utilization since reliable data on fishing position are only obtainable when catches are screened by a tag detector. For a large proportion of the catches such detection is impossible. In addition, fishing regulations prohibit or restrict catches in certain areas. For these reasons, the lack of tag returns in other parts of the North sea and the Skagerrak do not necessarily imply that the feeding migration does not extend into these areas.

Bakken and Westgaird (1986) reviewed the Norwegian tag returns for the period 1971 to 1985. They concluded that the mixing of tags fram the two series was not uniform between areas, except for the years 1980, 1984 and 1985 when no significant difference between areas could be demonstrated. The proportion of tags from each release area tended to decrease with increasing distance from the release area, at least prior to 1980. A preliminary analysis of the data for the later years indicates that this difference has disappeared.

At the request of the Multispecies Working Group (Anon., 1988c), Iversen and Skagen (1989) estimated the percentage of the western mackerel stock which migrates into the North Sea in the feeding season, using tagging and catch data. It was noted that the main fishery since the early 1980s has shiftedgradually from the western Channel, south and west of Ireland towards the North Sea and the Norwegian Sea (Fig. 6.7). Since 1980, it appears that at least $80 \%$ of the mackerel caught in Divisions IVa and IIa have been of western stock (Fig. 6.8). The estimated percentage of the western stock which moves into Subarea IV in the 3 rd and 4th quarters is shown in Table 6.1. The table is based on the Norwegian tagging experiments southwest of Ireland in May for the period 1973 to 1981 inclusive. For the period 1982-1985 status quo has been assumed since the distribution of catches was similar in this period. For the years 1986 to 1987 , the values suggested by the Mackerel Working Group (Anon. 1987, 1988) have been used. In 1988 the main fishery in the 3rd quarter took place in Division IIa, while it moved into Division IVa in the 4th quarter. Therefore, to indicate the more northerly distribution in the 3rd quarter that year, only $40 \%$ was suggested and $70 \%$ in the 4 th quarter.

It should be noted that the tagging experiments are carried out north of the main spawning area just prior to the peak of the spawning and it might be questioned whether the behaviour of the tagged population is representative of that of the total Western stock.

## 7. DISTRIBUTION OF THE NORTH SEA STOCK

The migration pattern of the North Sea mackerel stock was investigated by tagging experiments and the distribution of the main fisheries between the mid 1960s and mid 1970s (Hamre 1978, 1980). The text table below and Fig. 7.1. (fram Hamre 1978) summarizes the knowledge for that period, when the North Sea stock was considerably more abundant than at present.

| Event | Time | Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| overwintering | Dec-Mar | Northwestern Norwegian trench <br> Western Shetland shelf |
| feeding | Apr-May | Northeastern North Sea |
| spawning | Jun | Central North Sea - Skagerrak |
| dispersion | Jul-Aug | North and east of Shetland (major <br> Component along Norwegian coast, <br> Skagerrak, Kattegat, western Baltic) |
| dispersion | Sep-Oct | Eastern North Sea |
| dispersion and <br> overwintering | Nov-Dec | Deeper waters, northern Norwegian <br> trench |

It is pointed out that the main purse seine fisheries developed in the late 1960s on very dense concentrations along the Norwegian Trench in the auturn before the mackerel descended to deeper water. Another major fishery occured in summer around the north and east of the Shetlands and in winter west to North Rona where it exploited a mixture of mackerel of North Sea and Western origin.

Since the mid 1970s the stock of North sea mackerel declined, and is at present very low. The spawning stock biamass was estimated to be 45,000 tonnes in 1986 and 37,000 tonnes in 1988 (Iversen et al., 1989). Presently, the size of the North Sea stock is very small compared to the Western stock; amounting to about $2 \%$ only in 1988. Clearly the catch of mackerel ( 221,700 tonnes in 1988) taken in the North Sea (i.e. Sub-area IV) is dominated by fish from the Western stock.

Due to the inferior size of the North sea stock, the distribution of the various age groups cannot be determined with any precision. The only exception is the distribution of the adults at the time of spawning, since this is shown by the egg distribution (Fig. 2.1. and Iversen et al. 1989).

0-group mackerel fran the North sea stock have not been observed in recent years, but same were caught in July 1989 off the northeast coast of England during a research cruise (E. Kirkegaard pers. can.)

1- and 2-group mackerel, presumably originating fran the North Sea stock, are recorded in bottan trawl catches in the International Youngfish Survey (see
Section 3).
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Table 4.1 Catch in numbers of 1-group mackerel in millions. Figures in parentheses are catch of 1 -group as percentage of all age groups. Catches less than 50000 are given as a plus.

| TCIS Divjsion | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  |  |  |  | $T_{1.8}$ | $T_{0.1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{170}{T}$ |
| Vb | - |  |  | - |  | $(1,3)$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(+)}{1}$ |
| IIIa | - | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.1 | - | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 26.2 |
|  | - | (15.2) | (17.4) | (0.4) | - | (42.6) | (25.6) | (21.4) | (82.9) |
| IVa, N of $59^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | - | $T_{0.6}$ | $T_{0.4}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{7 \cdot 3}$ | ${ }_{3}{ }_{5}$ | $22 T_{2}$ |
| IVa, $S$ of $59^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ |  | $\left.{ }^{(15}\right\|^{0)}$ | $(1,0)$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | (1, 5) | $1^{(0.8)}$ | $i^{(3.4)}$ |
| IVb | $T_{2.7}$ | $T_{1.6}$ | $(0.3)$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.2)$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ 0.8 \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| IVc | $\left(161^{3)}\right.$ | $(2,3)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.5)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (32.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (13.8) \end{gathered}$ | I | 1 |
| VIa, $N$ of $58^{\circ} N$, in winter | $T_{3.0}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0 \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.8 \\ & (18.3) \end{aligned}$ | $T_{6.4}$ | $T_{2.1}$ | T.9.9 |
| VIa remainder | ${ }^{(0} L^{7)}$ | 7.6 (1.4) | 47.3 (9.1) | 2.7 $(0.4)$ | 3.9 $(3.8)$ | 59.1 $(23.5)$ | $1^{(2)}$ | (0.5) | (3.3) |
| VIIa-c | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | $T$ | $T$ |
|  | (0.7) | (0.5) | (0.5) | (0.1) | (3.0) | (0.6) | (0.5) | 9.4 | 24.2 |
| VIId-k | 413.2 | 210.9 | 129.7 | 34.9 | 5.3 | 34.4 | 8.3 | (2.9) | (9.3) |
|  | (24.2) | (18.9) | (12.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIIIa, b | 67.6 | 46.8 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 | + | 2.0 | 0.5 |
|  | (55.5) | (37.2) | (9.7) | (3.4) | (0.9) | (25.3) | (3.7) | (1.0) | (0.00) |

Source

| Anon. $1981$ | Anon. 1984 b | Anon. 1984b | Anon. 1984 b | Anon. 1985 | Anon. 1986 | Anon. 1987b | Anon. 1988a | Anon. $1989 \text { a }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 |
| 6.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 3.6 |

Table 4.2 Catch in numbers of 2 -group mackerel in millions. Figures in
parentheses are catch of $2-$ group as percentage of all age groups. Catches less than 50000 are given as a plus.

| ICES Div | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIa | 0.1 | - | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(2.1)$ | - | $(3.5)$ | $(2.5)$ | $(0.2)$ | 0.4 | 29.5 | 3.4 | 17.6 |
| Vb | - | - | - | - | + | $(0.3)$ | $\left(151^{4)}\right.$ | $(3.4)$ | $(8.2)$ |
|  | - | - | - | - | $(0.2)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |



| IVb | $T$ | $T$ | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | $T$ | $T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.7 | 4.8 | $(0.8)$ | $(14.2)$ | $(7.4)$ | $(1.9)$ | $(11.8)$ | 1.1 | 13.1 |
| IVc | $(10.2)$ | $(7,1)$ | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | $(12.7)$ | $(30.7)$ |
|  | $I^{1}$ |  | $(9.8)$ | $(7.8)$ | $(4.6)$ | $(4.3)$ | $(30.1)$ |  | 1 |


| VIa, $N$ of $58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. in winter | $26.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.9 \\ (27.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.5 \\ (51.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0 \\ & (2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.7 \\ & (1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $T_{138.1}$ | $T_{27.6}$ | $T_{8.6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIa remainder | $(5,7)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.5 \\ & (7.4) \end{aligned}$ | 59.5 $(11.5)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.2 \\ & (16.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | 1.7 $(0.7)$ | $(46]^{2)}$ | $1^{(5.6)}$ | $(2.8)$ |


| VIIa-c | 11.3 | 20.0 | 1.7 | 17.7 | 0.5 | + | 25.4 | 2.7 | $T$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(10.6)$ | $(15.4)$ | $(3.3)$ | $(15.3)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(+)$ | $(16.2)$ | $(2.2)$ | 35.0 |
| VIId-k | 412.7 | 424.6 | 284.9 | 459.8 | 56.5 | 2.0 | 42.0 | 50.3 | $(13.5)$ |
|  | $(24.2)$ | $(38.0)$ | $(26.9)$ | $(44.6)$ | $(9.5)$ | $(1.5)$ | $(18.1)$ | $(26.2)$ | 1 |
| VIIIa, b | 21.8 | 21.0 | 5.1 | 39.6 | 6.9 | 0.1 | + | + | 0.7 |


| Source | Anon. | Anon, | Anon. | Anon. | Anon. | Anon. | Anon. | Anon. | Anon. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1981 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 b | 1988 a | 1989 |
| Tables | 6.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 |
|  | 6.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |  |  |

Table 4.3 Percentages of juvenile fish ( $0-2$ groups combined) and total number landed (all age groups) in ICES Division VIa, VII and VIII from 1972 to 1985 inclusive.

| Year | Percentage juveniles in catch (by number) |  | Total catch in nos $\times 10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VIa | VII + VIII | VIa | VII + VIII |
| 1972 | <0.5 | 7 | 30 | 395 |
| 1973 | 1 | 26 | 57 | 603 |
| 1974 | 2 | 16 | 73 | 712 |
| 1975 | 2 | 11 | 679 | 1301 |
| 1976 | 5 | 29 | 159 | 1670 |
| 1977 | 8 | 45 | 166 | 967 |
| 1978 | 1 | 37 | 309 | 1493 |
| 1979 | 1 | 26 | 434 | 1915 |
| 1980 | 6 | 49 | 436 | 1937 |
| 1981 | 8 | . 56 | 604 | 1372 |
| 1982 | 26 | 37 | 805 | 1157 |
| 1983 | 24 | 45 | 860 | 1236 |
| 1984 | 4 | 9 | 692 | 735 |
| 1985 | 21 | 16 | 922 | 239 |
| 1986 | 49 | 24 | 299 | 390 |
| 1987 | 6 | 20 | 488 | 313* |
| 1988 | 6 | 28 | $302+$ | 212* |

[^0]Table 5.1 Mackerel catch by year and area in thousands of tonnes Division Quarter $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1978 & 1979 & 1980 & 1981 & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985 & 1986 & 1987 & 1988\end{array}$

| IIa | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | + |
|  | 3 | 4 | - | 8 | 17 | 37 | 49 | 92 | 71 | 93 | 43 | 113 |
|  | 4 | - | - | - | - | + | + | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| IIIa | 1 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | + | - |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | + |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 6 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | + | + | + | + | 1 | + | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IVa | 1 | 4 | + | 2 | 2 | ) + | + | + | + | + | + | 9 |
|  | 2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 6 | ) 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+$ | + |
|  | 3 | 130 | 140 | 76 | 46 | ) 22 | 27 | 31 | 37 | 67 | 116 | 67 |
|  | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | ) 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 164 | 165 | 219 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{IVb}-\mathrm{c}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |  | - | - | - |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |  | + | + | 1 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 7 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | ) |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| VIa | 1 | 2 | 26 | 19 | 24 | 36 | 25 | 39 | 92 | 57 | 105 | 99 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 3 | 78 | 60 | 57 | 95 | 54 | 55 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 4 | 69 | 105 | 135 | 213 | 244 | 231 | 259 | 273 | 41 | 80 | 13 |
| VIIa-k | 1 | 124 | 185 | 197 | 119 | 150 | 115 | 111 | 51 | 77 | 79 | 48 |
|  | 2 | 42 | 17 | 38 | 50 | 41 | 51 | 41 | 18 | 44 | 15 | 15 |
|  | 3 | 14 | 20 | 33 | 25 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 4 | 152 | 157 | 99 | 66 | 32 | 65 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| VIIIa,$b, d, e$ | 1 | + | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $+$ | + | + | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | $+$ | + |
|  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | + |
|  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | + | $+$ | + |

Table 5.2 Catches in thousands of tonnes rearranged by season (catches in 3rd and 4th quarters added to catch in 1 st quarter following year)

Season $\quad$ Division IIa | Area |
| :---: |
| Division IIIa Division VIa |
| and IVa-c | Division VIIa-k

| $1978 / 79$ | 4 | 138 | 173 | 351 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1979 / 80$ | 0 | 150 | 184 | 374 |
| $1980 / 81$ | 8 | 83 | 216 | 251 |
| $1981 / 82$ | 17 | 48 | 344 | 241 |
| $1982 / 83$ | 37 | 23 | 323 | 163 |
| $1983 / 84$ | 49 | 28 | 325 | 186 |
| $1984 / 85$ | 39 | 34 | 356 | 67 |
| $1985 / 86$ | 72 | 44 | 347 | 81 |
| $1986 / 87$ | 95 | 241 | 147 | 86 |
| $1987 / 88$ | 44 | 299 | 180 | 55 |
| $1988^{*}$ | 116 | 286 | 15 | 13 |

*Includes only catches in 3rd and 4th quarters 1988 as the catch figure in the 1st quarter 1989 was not available to the group.

Table 6.1. Estimated percentages of Western mackerel by number present in the North Sea (Subarea IV).


ICES Fishing Areas


Figure 1.1 ICES statistical areas.


Figure 2.1 Mackerel spawning areas in the period 1977-1988. Shaded areas indicate $>100 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ in at least two of the years.


Figure 3.1 Distribution and abundance of first-winter mackerel (1987 year class) between October 1987 and March 1988 from research vessel surveys.


Figure 3.2 Distribution and abundance of first-winter mackerel (1988 year class) between October 1988 and March 1989 from research vessel surveys.


Figure 3.3 Distribution and abundance of first-winter mackerel (1989 year class) between October 1989 and December 1989 from research vessel surveys.


Figure 3．4 Distribution and abundance of first－winter mackerel（combined year classes） between October and March for the winters 1981／82－1986／87 for western areas and 1959／60－1960／61，1966／67－1986／87 for the North Sea and Skagerak．Data from research vessel surveys．


Figure 4.1 Distribution and abundance of second-winter mackerel (1986 year class) between October 1987 and March 1988 from research vessel surveys.


Figure 4.2 Distribution and abundance of second-winter mackerel (1987 year class) between October 1988 and March 1989 from research vessel surveys.


Figure 5.1 Catches in thousand tonnes of Western stock mackerel (1) and total mackerel catch in Sub-areas VI, VII and VIII (2).
(Data from Anon., 1988a and 1989a)


Figure 5.2 Shift in the position of the main Western mackerel stock fishery during winter. Compiled from Anon. (1985, 1988a and 1989a) and Walsh \& Martin (1986).


Figure 5.3 Shift in the position of the main summer fishery in which the Western mackerel stock have predominated in recent years.
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Figure 5.4 Distribution of mackerel fisheries, first - fourth quarter 1987.
(from Anon., 1988a)


Figure 5.5 Distribution of mackerel fisheries, first - fourth quarter 1988. (from Anon., 1989a)


Figure 6.1 Juvenile migration and distribution from both research and catch data covering the period 4th Quarter 1986-3rd Quarter 1989.


Figure 6.2 Schematic outline of the migration pattern of the adult Western mackerel stock in the late 1970 s .


Figure 6.3 Schematic outline of the migration pattem of the Western mackerel stock (adults) in the early 1980 s .


Figure 6.4 Schematic outline of the migration pattern of the Westerm mackerel stock (adults) in the later half of the 1980 s .


Figure 6.5 Number of tagged mackerel released in May and recaptured at known location later the same year, 1977-1981. Norwegian data.


Figure 6.6 Number of tagged mackerel released in May and recaptured at known location later the same year, 1982-1988.
Norwegian data.


Figure 6.7 Yearly catches of mackerel by area.


Figure 6.8 Fraction of Western mackerel in the catches and in the sea, third (a) and fourth (b) quarter.


Figure 7.1 Main mackerel fishing grounds of Norwegian purse seiners by season, 1965-1975. (From Hamre 1980).


[^0]:    * Includes catches from VIIId,e
    + Includes catches from VIb

