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## ABSTRACT

An acoustic survey in the North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat was carried out in July-August 1987 by vessels from Denmark and Norway. This paper gives the distribution and the bundance estimates for mackerel.

Since 1985 an acoustic survey has been carried out in August in the eastern part of the North Sea and in Skagerrak and Kattegat. During these surveys relative high concentrations of mackerel have been observed.

In 1987 the area covered by the survey was extended. The north-eastern part of the North Sea was covered by RV "Eldjarn" and the eastern North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat by RV "Dana".

This report gives the distribution and abundance estimates of mackerel found during the two surveys.
2. Survey report RV "DANA" 31 July to 9 September

The acoustic survey on RV "Dana" was carried out as described by Degnbol et al. (1988).

The survey tracks are shown in fig. 1. The stratification used in the calculations of biomass are based on total depth within the sub-areas shown in fig. 2. The depth intervals used in the stratification are $0-20,20-40,40-60,60-100$, 100-300 and more then 300 m . The areas of the strata are given in table 1 together with number of nautical mile integrated.

The calculations of biomass are performed in accordance with Degnbol and Bangsborg (1985). Mean area back scattering values per strata are allocated to species and length groups according to the composition of the trawl catches and the target strength length relationships given in table 2 .

The positions of the trawl hauls is shown in fig. 1.
The estimated number and biomass of mackerel at age in each geographical unit are shown in table 3 and 4 . The observed mean weight at age are given in table 5 .

About $80 \%$ of the total number of mackerel were found in stratum M. The estimate in stratum $M$ is based on a very limit number of nautical miles integrated, covering only a small part the stratum.
3. Survey report RV "ELDJARN" 31 July to 11 August.

During the period 24 June to 11 August an acoustic survey was carried out by RV "Eldjarn" in the North Sea and Skagerrak . The distribution of mackerel was particular investigated during the period 31 July to 11 August. The survey grid and fishing stations are shown in fig. 3. The sub areas used in the calculations are shown in fig. 4. The areas of the sub areas are given in table 8.

During the survey 27 pelagic and 3 demersal trawl hauls were made to identify scattering layers. In addition handines for catching mackerel were tried at 90 stations. Usually two handlines were used for at least 10 minutes. For comparison the age distributions of mackerel caught by pelagic trawl and by handlines in the south eastern part of Division IVa are shown in table 6. The two age distributions are similar indicating that the two fishing methods sampled the mackerel stock the same way.

During the survey both the sonar and the echosounder were working. The echosounder was connected to a counter for integrating the echoes. By combining the informations from the sounder, sonar and catches by trawl and handline it was possible to assigne parts of the integrator values to mackerel when scrutinizing the echograms. Mean integrator values by sub-areas are given in table 7.

In sub-area $1-6$ (fig. 4) it was possible to spot mackerel on the echograms. Based on average length and weight of mackerel and the target strength relationship: ts $=20 * \log$ (L) - 77.2, the number and biomass were estimated within the different sub-areas (table 7 and 8).
4. Combined results.

Taking the mean of the two estimates in overlapping areas the total estimate for the area is 6954 millions mackerel or 1318 thousand tones. The Mackerel Working Group (Anon., 1988) estimates the Western Mackerel Stock to bee 2497 thousand tones in 1987 of which about $50 \%$ is present in the North Sea in third quarter. The North Sea Stock is assumed to be very weak (less then 100 thousand tones).

In the overlapping areas between the two surveys (RV "Eldjarn" sub-areas $3+4,5$ and 6; RV "Dana" strata $Z, T+O$ and $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{I})$ the estimates from RV "Eldjarn" are 110,545 and 609 millions (49 000, 239000 and 224000 tones), while the estimates from RV "Dana" are 39, 243 and 236 millions (18 000,88000 and 83000 tones). The two surveys did not cover exactly the same area. The figures given above for RV "Dana" are adjusted so that they refer to the same number of square nautical miles as given in table 7 for sub-areas $3+4,5$ and 6 .

The estimates from RV "Dana" are about $40 \%$ of RV "Eldjarn"s estimates. The fact that the compared areas are not exactly identical may cause some of the differences. The difference may also be due to differences in calculation procedure.

The echo integrator data from RV "Eldjarn" were divided into mackerel and not mackerel, by visual inspection of the echosounder paper combined with information from the catches by trawl and handline. The intergrater values were then raised to number of mackerel using the ts-length relationship and the obeserved length distributions. The critical points in this method are the allocation of integrator value to species and the target strength value for mackerel.

There are few measurement of mackerel target strength, all showing very low values. The applied value is rateher arbitrary due to the few measurements.

If e.q. herring schools are misclassified as mackerel, they will, because of the low target strength for mackerel, give rise to very high estimates of mackerel abundance.

In the method used on the data from RV "Dana" the species composition is set equal to the observed distribution in the trawl catches, and the estimated total number of fish is determined by the integrator output and the mean target strength for all species. In areas where mackerel is dominating, the biomass estimate is dependent on the applied target strength value for mackerel, while in areas where other species are dominating, the estimate is more dependent on the target strength for the dominating species than for mackerel.
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Table 1. Survey statistics. RV "Dana".

| stratum | area <br> NM**2 | no. NM <br> integrated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A | 2317 | 153 |
| B | 2911 | 212 |
| C | 988 | 90 |
| D | 1837 | 193 |
| E | 5228 | 472 |
| F | 5724 | 52 |
| I | 3516 | 341 |
| K | 4350 | 318 |
| M | 2172 | 48 |
| O | 4949 | 39 |
| P | 3072 | 164 |
| Q | 3871 | 67 |
| R | 3983 | 98 |
| S | 4075 | 59 |
| T | 4199 | 65 |
| U | 3767 | 111 |
| V | 3873 | 107 |
| X | 3980 | 89 |
| Y | 4100 | 62 |
| Z | 4192 | 21 |

Table 2. The species included in the calculations and the used target strength - length relationship. RV "Dana".

| Herring | $\mathrm{TS}=20.0 * \log (\mathrm{~L})-71.2$ | (Anon. 1983) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sprat | $\mathrm{TS}=20.0 * \log (\mathrm{~L})-71.2$ | $1)$ |
| Horse Mackerel | $\mathrm{TS}=20.0 * \log (\mathrm{~L})-71.2$ | 1 ) |
| Mackerel | $\mathrm{TS}=20.0 * \log (\mathrm{~L})-71.2$ | $2)$ |
| Gadoids | $T S=20.0 * \log (\mathrm{~L})-71.2$ | (Foote, 1986) |

1) The herring TS-length relationship.
2) 6 dB below the herring relationship.

Table 3. Estimated number (millions) of mackerel per age group and stratum. RV "Dana".

| Stra- <br> tum | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | Age <br> 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | 12 | 16 | 3 | 1 | + | 1 | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| B | 21 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| C | 5 | 3 | 2 | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| D | 31 | 26 | 12 | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 19 | 39 | 1 | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| K | 1 | + | 1 | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 0 |
| L | 2 | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 |
| M | 3091 | 1914 | 89 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O | 0 | 20 | 42 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | + | 0 | + |
| P | 6 | 12 | 9 | + | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Q | 18 | 15 | 16 | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |
| R | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |
| S | 45 | 20 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |
| T | 1 | 70 | 77 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| O | 5 | 118 | 48 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| V | + | 8 | 27 | + | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Y | 231 | 170 | 48 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1 | + | 0 |
| Z | 1 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| total | 3500 | 2462 | 420 | 48 | 58 | 29 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 3 |


| Stra- <br> tum | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $15+$ | total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| B | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| C | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| D | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5114 |
| O | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| P | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Q | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| T | + | + | + | 1 | 0 | 182 |
| U | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 195 |
| V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 45 |
| Y | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 484 |
| Z | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 48 |
| total | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6556 |

Table 4. Estimated biomass (tonnes) of mackerel per age and stratum. RV "Dana".

| Stratum | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $\overline{\text { A }}$ | 2447 | 4582 | 1023 | 361 | 246 | 416 | 268 | 0 |
| B | 4469 | 7776 | 1451 | 543 | 562 | 480 | 293 | 0 |
| C | 860 | 859 | 672 | 52 | 74 | 47 | 32 | 0 |
| D | 5226 | 7203 | 3640 | 153 | 154 | 98 | 67 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 3629 | 12434 | 216 | 81 | 147 | 93 | 64 | 0 |
| K | 222 | 104 | 250 | 49 | 0 | 125 | 66 | 64 |
| L | 237 | 93 | 249 | 103 | 64 | 92 | 39 | 33 |
| M | 403982 | 347122 | 23793 | 0 | 7535 | 0 | 839 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 5485 | 12736 | 1196 | 1724 | 1571 | 942 | 163 |
| P | 960 | 2892 | 2526 | 201 | 1585 | 672 | 586 | 591 |
| Q | 2869 | 3516 | 4634 | 21 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 |
| R | 1595 | 405 | 428 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 0 |
| S | 6387 | 4401 | 2432 | 184 | 291 | 232 | 0 | 0 |
| T | 156 | 20676 | 26043 | 6025 | 3666 | 3616 | 2393 | 401 |
| U | 938 | 30411 | 13937 | 3961 | 2200 | 2983 | 341 | 0 |
| V | 78 | 1825 | 8031 | 106 | 1031 | 1202 | 648 | 553 |
| Y | 34875 | 34292 | 11705 | 2135 | 4595 | 1491 | 1423 | 389 |
| Z | 415 | 208 | 11066 | 3183 | 455 | 222 | 1096 | 0 |

total 46934348447212483218357243271401090992194

| Stra- <br> tum | 9 | 10 | 11 | age <br> 12 | 13 | 14 | $15+$ | total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 48 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9460 |
| B | 118 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15861 |
| C | 15 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2634 |
| D | 32 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16620 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I | 31 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16739 |
| K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 881 |
| L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 909 |
| M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 783271 |
| O | 0 | 190 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24214 |
| P | 0 | 0 | 546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10558 |
| Q | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11359 |
| R | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2918 |
| S | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14068 |
| T | 0 | 535 | 144 | 341 | 341 | 599 | 0 | 64937 |
| U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 | 586 | 0 | 55722 |
| V | 265 | 371 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 14150 |
| Y | 51 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 91080 |
| Z | 1073 | 531 | 1121 | 537 | 0 | 531 | 1147 | 21586 |

total $1913162720171293 \quad 767171711871157154$

Table 5. Mean weight (gram) of Mackerel by age group and strata. RV "Dana".

| Stra- <br> tum | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | age <br> 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 198 | 282 | 348 | 381 | 599 | 470 | 627 | - | 640 | - | - | - |
| B | 211 | 285 | 387 | 412 | 610 | 562 | 656 | - | 640 | - | - | 920 |
| C | 181 | 268 | 311 | 529 | 610 | 644 | 665 | - | 640 | - | - | 920 |
| D | 167 | 274 | 307 | 500 | 610 | 655 | 665 | - | 640 | - | - | 920 |
| E | - | 192 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| I | 193 | 320 | 414 | 563 | 610 | 644 | 665 | - | 640 | - | - | 920 |
| K | 166 | 267 | 320 | 357 | - | 402 | 534 | 527 | - | - | - | - |
| L | 153 | 247 | 295 | 378 | 426 | 380 | 489 | 494 | - | - | - | - |
| M | 131 | 181 | 269 | - | 390 | - | 470 | - | - | - | - | - |
| O | - | 270 | 304 | 425 | 506 | 599 | 564 | 497 | - | 580 | 632 | - |
| P | 163 | 248 | 290 | 580 | 596 | 654 | 563 | 852 | - | - | 787 | - |
| Q | 155 | 233 | 281 | 440 | - | 457 | - | - | 380 | - | - | - |
| R | 148 | 205 | 253 | - | - | 453 | - | - | 380 | - | - | - |
| S | 143 | 216 | 258 | 366 | 302 | 334 | - | - | 460 | - | - | - |
| T | 204 | 297 | 337 | 398 | 625 | 645 | 525 | 592 | - | 750 | 577 | 797 |
| U | 203 | 258 | 2888 | 381 | 469 | 444 | 436 | - | - | - | - | - |
| V | 189 | 241 | 298 | 345 | 382 | 425 | 450 | 544 | 500 | 467 | - | - |
| Y | 151 | 202 | 242 | 254 | 306 | 283 | 279 | 322 | 385 | - | - | 480 |
| Z | 300 | 350 | 3744 | 495 | 500 | 395 | 745 | - | 680 | 673 | 710 | 680 |


| stra- |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tum | 13 | 14 | $15+$ |


| A | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | - | - | - |
| C | - | - | - |
| D | - | - | - |
| E | - | - | - |
| I | - | - | - |
| K | - | - | - |
| L | - | - | - |
| M | - | - | - |
| 0 | - | - | - |
| P | - | - | - |
| Q | - | - | - |
| R | - | - | - |
| S | - | - | - |
| T | 797 | 840 | - |
| U | 468 | 750 | - |
| V | - | - | 620 |
| Y | 450 | - | - |
| z | - | 673 | 708 |

Table 6 Age distributions (\%) of mackerel caught by pelagic trawl and handlines (IVa SE). RV "Eldjarn".

| Age | Trawl | Handline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | + | 2 |
| 2 | 13 | 16 |
| 3 | 45 | 38 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | 21 | 22 |
| 7 | 7 | 8 |
| 8 | 5 | 3 |
| 9 | 2 | 3 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 2 | 1 |
| 12 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 1 |
| $15+$ | 1 | 4 |
| N | 292 | 184 |

Table 7 Estimated biomass of mackerel in different areas surveyed by "Eldjarn" 31 July - 11 August 1987.

| Area | n.mile | mean <br> integrator <br> value | $N \times 10^{-6}$ | tons $\times 10^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 785 | 16 | 39 | 19 |
| 2 | 1500 | 13 | 60 | 29 |
| 3 | 1830 | 15 | 88 | 39 |
| 4 | 1120 | 6 | 22 | 10 |
| 5 | 6500 | 26 | 545 | 239 |
| 6 | 9250 | 18 | 609 | 224 |
| Sum |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 Estimated numbers of mackerel $\left(\times 10^{-6}\right)$ by yearclass and area. RV "Eldjarn".

|  | A R E A |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,2 | 3.4 .5 | 6 | SUM |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| 3 | 24 | 212 | 127 | 182 |
| 4 | 3 | 13 | 343 | 579 |
| 5 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 16 |
| 6 | 25 | 170 | 79 | 29 |
| 7 | 22 | 71 | 20 | 274 |
| 8 | 6 | 33 | 10 | 113 |
| 9 | 5 | 33 | 4 | 49 |
| 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 42 |
| 11 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 9 |
| 12 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 18 |
| 13 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| 14 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 |
| $15+$ | 2 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  |  | 21 |  |

Table 9 Agedistribution (\%) of mackerel obtained during the RV "Eldjarn" survey 24 June - 11 August 1987.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1,2 | $3,4,5$ | 6 | IVb | IIIa |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 51.9 | 92.0 |
| 2 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 7.0 |
| 3 | 24.0 | 32.3 | 56.4 | 12.3 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 5 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0 |
| 6 | 25.0 | 25.9 | 12.9 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 7 | 22.0 | 10.9 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 8 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0 |
| 9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 11 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 12 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 |
| $15+$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |
| L cm | 36.9 | 36.0 | 33.8 | 28.3 | 27.3 |
| W g | 485 | 439 | 367 | 212 | 169 |

# TOGTG FRA 870729 TIL 870908 

 CTD STAT = RRD FISK STAT = GRRN

Fig. 1. Survey tracks and positions of trawl hauls for RV "Dana".


Fig. 2. Strata used in the survey carried out by RV "Dana"
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Fig. 3. Survey grid and stations worked by RV "Eldjarn" during 31 July -11 August 1987.
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Fig. 4. Areas where mackerel was identified on the echograms from RV "Eldjarn".

