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1．PARTICIPANTS

| J Møller Jensen | Denmark |
| :--- | :--- |
| G P Nizovtsev | USSR |
| O V Pankratova | USSR |
| T K Pitt | Canada |
| V P Ponomarenko | USSR |
| C J Rørvik | Norway |
| E Smidt | Denmark |
| B Vaske（Chairman） | German Democratic Republic |

V M Nikolaev，ICES Statistician，also attended the meeting。

2．TERMS OF REFERENCE
At the 65 th Statutory Meeting of ICES it was decided（C．Res．1977／2：24） that the Working Group on Greenland Halibut in Region 1 should meet at Charlottenlund， 27 February to 3 March 1978 to：
a）assess TACs for 1979．To facilitate this，participants are urged to bring to the Working Group all relevant data；
b）identify and specify in detail shortcomings and gaps in data required for stock assessment work；
c）review and update the＂Review of Fish Resources＂given in the Appendix to the 1977 Working Group Report（Doc。 CoMol977／F：4 APPENDIX）。

## 3．NOMINAL CATCHES

The total nominal catches for the main fishing areas are given in Table 1 for the period 1967－77，including correct USSR catch figures for Greenland halibut in Subwarea $V$ for 1967－69．
From 1967 to 1977，the total catch of Greenland halibut in Region 1 was in the range of a minimum catch of 42119 tons in 1976 and a highest catch level of 123307 tons in 1970 。 The preliminary reported total catch in Region 1 for 1977 amounts to 43923 tons．

Tables 2，3，4，6， 7 and 8 present the nominal catches by country for each fishing area。 In Tables 5 and 9 the catches are summarised for Sub－areas I and II as well as for Sub－areas $V$ and XIV，respectively． The preliminary catch for 1977 in Sub－areas I and II is 28903 tons， representing a drop of 7171 tons from the amount taken in 1976。 The 1977 total catch for Sub－areas V and XIV increased from 6045 tons in 1976 to the level of 15020 tons，which is still lower than for the period 1967 to 1975.

4．GREENLAND HALIBUT IN SUB－AREAS I AND II
4．1 Catch per Unit Effort and Effort Data
Updated catch figures per hour trawling were presented by the USSR representative for the period 1965 to 1977 （Table 10）。 Since the 1977 Working Group meeting，these data were adjusted in order to take into account changes in the effectiveness of the trawls．

Using the total catches and the catch per unit effort values in the USSR trawl fishery，the effort for the total fishery was estimated （Table 10）．

The USSR trawl fishery shows a considerable decrease in the catch per unit effort in 1977 compared with the period before．According to information from the USSR representative，this decrease resulted probably from the combined effect of several factors，ioeo，the decline in the biomass of the stock，a higher concentration of the vessels on the fishing grounds and changes in the construction of the trawls used．Without the second and third factor，the copou．e．for 1977 could be expected to be above the actual 1977 level and somewhat lower than the 1976 catch per unit effort。
Figure 1 shows the trend in the copou。e。（1965－77）and in the total catches from 1950－77。

4．2 Virtual Population Analysis（VPA）
4．2．1 Age＿composition of landings
For the period 1970 to 1976 ，an additional age composition for the Norwegian longmine catch in 1976 was presented．The other age compositions remained unchanged。

For 1977，age compositions were available for the German Democratic Republic，the USSR and the Norwegian longsline catch，accounting for $86 \%$ of the total landings in Sub－areas I and II．
To obtain the age composition for the total trawl fishery in 1977，the German Democratic Republic and the USSR age compositions were raised to the total trawl landings．
The total age compositions for 1970－77 are given in Table 11.
4．2．2 Choice of input fishing mortalities for 1977
Since there were some doubts whether the total effort in 1977 was over－ estimated or not， 2 alternative approaches were chosen．
Alternative $1 \circ$ the total effort in 1977 is disregarded。
The input $F$ on age groups 7 to 16 in 1977 was chosen so that the cal－ culated mean $F\left(F_{8-13}\right)$ in 1976 fitted with the linear regression between unweighted mean $F$ values and total effort for the period 1970－74．This assumes that the 1976 total effort is correct．The fishing mortalities on age groups 3 to 6 were chosen so that the estimated stock composition for these age groups in 1977 was near the average for 1970－74．The relation between $\mathrm{F} 8-13$ and the total effort is shown in Figure 2 A ． The estimated fishing mortalities and stock sizes are given in Tables 12 and 13.

Alternative 2：the total effort in 1977 is correct．
The input fishing mortalities in 1977 were chosen so that the total effort and the corresponding $F 8=13$ in 1977 fit with the regression line between the same set of values for $1970=74$ 。 The relationship between fishing mortality and effort is shown in Figure 2B．This figure shows that the consequence of accepting the total effort in 1977 is that the total effort in 1975 and 1976 are underestimated．The results of the VPA are given in Tables 14 and 15．

4．3 Yield and Spawning Stock per Recruit
The two sets of yield and spawning stock per recruit curves shown in Figure 3 are based on the average fishing pattern in 1970－71 and the present fishing pattern for 1977。 Both fishing patterns are derived from the results of Alternative 1 in Section 4.2 .20 ，and the mean weights given in Table l7．The same set of curves based on the results from Alternative 2 were omitted，since they will be between those two shown in Figure 3．The two yield per recruit curves show
that the increasing proportion of the younger age groups in the catches have decreased the maximum yield per recruit from 0.64 kg to .0 .60 kg . Whether this change is mainly due to changes in the stock composition or to different fishing strategy is not clearo

For the present exploitation pattern the $F_{0 . l}$ and the $F_{\max }$ values correspond to 0.12 and 0.20 , respectivelyo Therefore, if Alternative 1 is right, the 1977 fishing mortality $\left(F_{8-13}=0.19\right)$ was very close to $F_{\text {max }}$ However, if Alternative 2 is right, the 1977 fishing mortality ( $F_{8-13}=0.39$ ) was nearly twice the fishing mortality corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$.

For the present fishing mortality, $F_{0.1}$ and $F_{\max }$ the corresponding sustainable yield and equilibrium spawning stock biomass were calculated assuming two different levels of average recruitment at age 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1970-74}=54 \times 10^{6} \text { fish (from Alternative 1) } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1970-74}=39 \times 10^{6} \text { fish (from Alternative 2). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The results are given in the following text table:

| R | F | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{R} \quad(\mathrm{kg})$ | Sustainable yield (tons) | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{kg})$ | Spawning stock biomass (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $54 \times 10^{6}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{77}=0.19$ | . 60 | 32400 | 2.4 | 130000 |
|  | $F_{0.1}=0.12$ | .56 | 30200 | 4.2 | 227000 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}=0.20$ | .60 | 32400 | 2.3 | 124000 |
| $39 \times 10^{6}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{77}=0.39$ | .56 | 21800 | 0.8 | 31000 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.12$ | . 56 | 21800 | 4.2 | 164000 |
|  | $F_{\max }=0.20$ | .60 | 23400 | 2.3 | 90000 |

Assuming that Alternative 2 is correct, it appears that the high present $F$ compared with $F_{\max }$ will not affect yield per recruit mucho The spawning stock, however, will be reduced to one third of the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{max}}$ level。 This reduction could have serious effects on recruitment. The spawning stock at the beginning of 1978 is estimated to be about 44000 tons, using Alternative 2 .

Under Alternative 1 , the spawning stock at the $F_{\text {max }}$ level is about 120000 tons, while the present spawning stock is about 100000 tons.

### 4.4 State of the Stock

Figure 4 shows the development in biomass of the total stock and the spawning stock from 1970 to the beginning of 1978 for the two alternatives.
Figure 5 shows the relation between biomass of the total stock and catch per hour trawling under the two alternatives. The fit of the 1970-74 values is slightly better for estimates based on Alternative l $\left(r^{2}=0.95\right)$ than on Alternative $2\left(r^{2}=0.89\right)$. Table 16 gives the same data as Figure 4 and in addition the estimated stock size from 1965-77 calculated from the relationship as shown in Figure 5 for Alternative l.

The Working Group felt that Alternative 1 was likely to be more correct than Alternative 2．It was recognised，however，that if Alternative 2 was in fact correct，continuously high catches could have serious consequences for the stock．
The mean surplus production of the stock in 1972－1977 is estimated by：

$$
\left[\sum_{i=1972}^{\sum} \mathrm{C}_{i}-\left(\mathrm{B}\left(\mathbb{N}_{4+}\right)_{1972}^{1977}-\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4+}\right)_{1978}\right)\right] / 6
$$

This gives an average surplus production of 34300 tons in the case of Alter－ native l，and 23200 tons in the case of Alternative 2．The average catch in the same period was 35652 tons．
Thus，if the total effort in 1977 is not biased compared with the total effort estimates in 1970－74，the stock is seriously overexploitedo If the whole TAC of 40000 tons in 1978 is taken，the situation will be even worse．In last year＇s report it was concluded that the stock had been in equilibrium with the catch since 1972。 This is also the consequence of Alternative 1 。

## 4．5 Total Allowable Catch（TAC）

Table 17 gives the input parameters in the catch prediction。 It was further assumed that the quota of 40000 tons in 1978 would be takeno The estimate of the total catch in 1977 from the age composition of the catch （Table ll）and the mean weights（Table l7）had to be adjusted by $7 \%$ to the reported 1977 catch．In accordance with this，the estimated catches from the catch prediction were increased by $7 \%$ 。
The catch predictions in 1979 were based on：
a）$F$ equal to $F_{\max }(=0.20)$ ，and
b）$F$ equal to $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(=0.12)$ 。
The results are given in Table 18.
Under both alternatives，these fishing mortalities would improve the spawning stock from 1979 to 1980 。However，the predicted catches varied from 8142 tons to 31247 tons．
The average of the two catch predictions under Alternative 1 is 25000 tons．
If Alternative 2 is right，a TAC of 25000 tons is slightly higher than the sustainable yield of 23400 tons estimated from the yield per recruit curve（Section 4．3）or the estimated surplus production of 23200 tons （Section 4．4）。 If the latter two figures are increased by 7\％，they are very close to 25000 tons．
Because of this，and Alternative 1 being believed to be more correct than Alternative 2，the Group felt that a TAC of 25000 tons for 1979 is acceptable。
Therefore，the Working Group recommends that the TAC for Greenland halibut in Sub－areas I and II for 1979 should be set at 25000 tons．

5．GREENLAND HALIBUT IN SUB＝AREAS V AND XIV
5．1 Catch per Unit Effort and Effort Data
Catch per unit effort data were available for the USSR trawl fishery in Division Va for the period 1967 to 1974 ．These data and the total landings from Sub－areas $V$ and XIV were used to calculate the total effort（Table 19）。 Furthermore，an attempt was made to fit these data by a general production model（Schaeferotype）。However，the number of years for which the data were available was too limited to produce a reliable yield curve，since at least a 5－year running average of effort was considered necessary．

## 5．2 Yield per Recruit

Yield per recruit curves were caiculated for different ages at first capture $t_{c}$（Figure 6）。 The age of recruitment to the stock $\left(t_{r}\right)$ and the maximum age（t $\lambda$ ）were taken as 4 and 18 years，respectivelyo The growth parameters（ $W_{\infty}, K,{ }^{\prime} t_{0}$ ）correspond to a calculated growth equation for the Iceland area，which is given in Doc。C。M。1975／F：24 by Krzykawskio
From Figure 6 it can be seen that the $F_{\max }$ point is very dependent on the value of $t_{c}$ used： 0.30 for $t_{c}=6,0.45$ for $t_{c}=8$ and 0.75 for $t_{c}=10$ ．Additionally，$F_{0}$ ，was estimated for each yield per recruit curve as follows： $0.18,0.20$ and 0.25 for $t_{c}=6,8$ and 10 ，respectively．

## 5．3 Cohort Analysis Based on Length Composition Data

## 5．3．1 Input data

For the Greenland halibut in the Iceland and East Greenland areas （Subareas $V$ and XIV）no age compositicn data which would allow the cohort analysis by age groups were available。 Trerefore，the Working Group made an attempt to estimate the average fishing mortality and stock size on the basis of the length compositions of the catches．
Length compositions of the catches were only available from the German Democratic Republic for the years 1970， 1971 and 1975．Since the cohort analysis based on length composition data requires an average length composition over several years of sampling，these three available years were combined to obtain an average length composition．This procedure assumes a relatively stable situation in the period 1970－75．The average length composition is included in Table 20。

The natural mortality was chosen at $M=0.15$ which corresponds with the value for Sub－areas $I$ and II。
Since the choice of the terminal $F / Z$ for starting the cohort analysis based on length has no critical influence on the results，a value of $F / Z=.80(F=.60)$ was assumed．

The following growth parameters considered to be representative were taken from Doc．CoM．1975／F：24：

$$
L_{\infty}=144 \quad K=0.05 \quad t_{0}=-0.93
$$

Mean weight at length values were derived from the relationship $w=0.004 \times \mathrm{L} 3.198$ which was calculated from the German Democratic Repurlic samples in 1975。

5．3．2 Results of the cohort analysis
The results of the cohort analysis based on length composition are given in Table 20。 The catch in weight is in quite good agreement with the average catches for the three years（Table 9）on which the analysis is based．

The stock size in number given per $2-c m$ groups in Table 20 is the estimated stock size at the beginning of the year，and not the number of fish which attain a given length during the year．The weighted and unweighted mean Fs are given at the bottom of Table 20．For Greenland halibut，equal to and bigger than 52 cm or 8 years old，both the unweighted and weighted mean $F s$ are between the $F_{0.1}$ and $F_{\max }$ found from the yield per recruit curve with $t_{c}=8$ years（Figure 6）．

## 5．4 Total Allowable Catch（TAC）

From the cohort on length the number of 8 year old fish in the stock is found，assuming that 8 year old fish are within a range from 7.5 years to 8.5 years．The number in stock for that age is：
$19714 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{lo} \mathrm{J}^{2}$ ．From the yield per recruit curve（Figure 6）with $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ 8 years，the corresponding yield to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}} 1=0.2$ is 0.68 kg 。Using this figure（ 0.68 ）and the number of 8 year old fish in the stock， the sustainable yield amounts to 13405 tons．
It should be noted，that the result is based on many assumptions and a very limited data base。 However，taking into account the catches in the previous 10 years（Table 9）and the copou。e。 data in Table 19， a catch of 15000 tons seems to be acceptable．
Therefore，the Working Group recommends the TAC for Greenland halibut in Sub－areas $V$ and XIV for 1979 of 15000 tons．

6．SHORTCOMINGS AND GAPS IN DATA REQUIRED FOR STOCK ASSESSMENT PURPOSES
The Working Group reviewed the quality of data available for Greenland halibut stock assessments and identified the following shortcomings and gaps：
a）age composition data are required for the catches from Sub－areas $V$ and XIV（by sex，if possible）；
b）more length composition data（by sex，if possible）are required for Sub－areas $I$ and II as well as for Sub－areas $V$ and XIV。 The countries should use the uniform length grouping and method of length measurement；
c）no recruitment information has been available to indicate the strength of incoming year classes．The $0-g r o u p$ surveys are not very useful to derive the strength of year classes；
d）more effort and catch per unit effort data are needed． Firstly，it would be desirable to have estimates of the copou．e．for different parts of the same fishing fleet． Secondly，copouoe。 data from different fleets fishing on the same stock are needed。

7．REFERENCE
Krzykawski，So，1975。＂Age and growth rate of the Greenland halibut，Reinhardtius hippoglossoides（Walbo），from the northern Atlantic＂。ICES，Doc。C．M．1975／F：24（mimeo。）。

Table l. Greenland Halibut. Total nominal catch by main fishing areas (metric tons).

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Division Va | Division Vb | Sub-area XIV | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 2198 | 6712 | 15357 | 30657 | 442 | 200 | 55566 |
| 1968 | 2488 | 8935 | 14745 | 21036 | 647 | 189 | 48040 |
| 1969 | 8393 | 25010 | 10386 | 23141 | 906 | 280 | 68116 |
| 1970 | 4011 | 70523 | 14950 | 30001 | - | 30 | 123907 |
| 1971 | 5413 | 62764 | 10857 | 15049 | 11 | 13913 | 108007 |
| 1972 | 8549 | 18873 | 15633 | 10666 | 417 | 15 | 389 |
| 1973 | 5667 | 16081 | 8190 | 7386 | 358 | 12719 | 50401 |
| 1974 | 5251 | 24660 | 7852 | 7866 | 325 | 28089 | 74043 |
| 1975 | 6495 | 28511 | 3166 | 3308 | 560 | 19627 | 61667 |
| 1976 | 2479 | 29610 | 3985 | 5448 | 324 | 273 | 42119 |
| $1977^{\pi F}$ | 2134 | 22481 | 4288 | 14523 | 285 | 212 | 43923 |

*) preliminary.

Table 2. Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Sub-area I.

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| German Dem.Rep. | 21) | $23^{\text {I) }}$ | 256 ${ }^{\text {1) }}$ | _1) | 14 ${ }^{\text {1) }}$ | 1) | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. of | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Norway | 1312 | 1488 | 689 | 1675 | 1951 | 3116 | 2947 | 2167 | 2160 | 1203 | 1108 |
| Poland | - | - | 5314 | - | 7 | 117 | - | 1 | - | 9 |  |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) |  |  | 5 | - | - | 949 | 995 | 732 | 550 | 665 | $665^{2}$ |
| USSR | $852^{1}$ | $977{ }^{\text {1) }}$ | 2134 | 2336 | 3441 | 4366 | 1700 | 2329 | 3774 | 600 | 360 |
| Total | 2198 | 2488 | 8393 | 4011 | 5413 | 8549 | 5667 | 5251 | 6495 | 2479 | 2134 |

\#) preliminary. 1) ${ }_{\text {from national statistics. }}{ }^{2)}$ assumed value equal to the 1976 nominal catch.

Table 3.
Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Division IIa.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country \& 1967 \& 1968 \& 1969 \& 1970 \& 1971 \& 1972 \& 1973 \& 1974 \& 1975 \& 1976 \& $1977{ }^{\text {\# }}$ ) <br>
\hline Faroe Islands German Dem.Rep. \& 928 ${ }^{1}$ \& - 1) \& 501 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ \& $21311)$ \& - $533^{1)}$ \& $10^{-1} 9$ ) \& 52 \& $\overline{6} 5$ \& 172 \& 2 \& 1641 <br>
\hline German Dem.Rep. \& 928
25 \& + ${ }^{1}$ \& 501

+ \& 2131 \& 353
3 \& 1069
3 \& 52
+ \& 656 \& 172 \& 354
17 \& 1641

22 <br>
\hline Norway \& 14404 \& 14744 \& 9885 \& 6408 \& 4974 \& 11715 \& 7861 \& 6593 \& 2265 \& 3490 \& 2462 <br>
\hline Poland \& - \& - \& - \& 6291 \& 5036 \& 2643 \& 137 \& 499 \& 66 \& 31 \& 95 <br>
\hline UK (Eng. \& Wales) \& - \& - \& - \& \& - \& 182 \& 118 \& 55 \& 107 \& 48 \& $48^{2}$ <br>
\hline USSR \& - \& - \& - \& 76 \& 491 \& 21 \& 22 \& - \& 515 \& 43 \& 20 <br>
\hline Total \& 15357 \& 14745 \& 10386 \& 14950 \& 10857 \& 15633 \& 8190 \& 7852 \& 3166 \& 3985 \& 4288 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4. Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Division IIb.

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 ${ }^{\text {¹) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| German Dem.Rep. | 151) | $233^{1)}$ | $30311)$ | $16598{ }^{\text {1) }}$ | $2582^{1)}$ | 5631) | 3902 | 5258 | 8295 | 8601 | 6545 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of |  |  | 71 | - |  | 563 | 34 | 17 | 47 | 12 | - 125 |
| Norway | 1812 | 6282 | 4282 | 7788 | 2541 | 1152 | 3181 | 31 | 433 | 1312 | 870 |
| Poland | - | - | - | 12971 | - 7234 | 5221 | 2003 | 4646 | 3579 | 3526 | 1292) |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) | - 1 ) |  | 6 | 6 | , | 131 | 122 | $\begin{array}{r}79 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 74 | 222 | 222 ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |
| USSR | $4885^{1)}$ | $2420{ }^{\text {( }}$ | 17626 | 33166 | 50407 | 11806 | 6839 | 14629 | 16083 | 15940 | 14590 |
| Total | 6712 | 8935 | 25010 | 70523 | 62764 | 18873 | 16081 | 24660 | 28511 | 29613 | 22481 |

\#) preliminary. 1) from national statistics. 2) assumed value equal to the 1976 nominal catch.

Table 5. Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Sub-areas I and II, 1967-1977. (Data for 1967-1976 from Bulletin Statistique.)

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | $1977{ }^{\text {²) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands German Dem.Rep. Germany, Fed.Rep.of | $-74^{1)}$ 38 | 257 ${ }^{1}$ | $\left.3788^{1}\right)$ 71 |  |  | ¢ <br> 3 <br> 3 | 3954 59 | 5914 88 | 8472 94 | 2 895 31 | 8 186 148 |
| Norway $\frac{\text { Trawl catch }}{\text { Long-line catch }}$ | $17 \overline{528}$ | 22514 | 14856 | $\begin{array}{rr}1 & 638 \\ 14 & 233\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 309 \\ 7 & 157\end{array}$ | 9656 6 | 10217 $3 \quad 772$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4656 \\ & 4135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 686 \\ 3 & 172 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} y & 4030 \\ y & 1975 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 747 \\ 1 & 693 \end{array}\right\} 4440$ |
| Poland | - | - | 5314 | 19262 | 12277 | 7981 | 2140 | 5146 | 3645 | 3566 | 224~) |
| UK (Fing. \& Wales) USSR | $5 \overline{737} 1$ | $3 \overline{397}^{\text {1) }}$ | $19 \quad 760$ |  |  | 1 16 16193 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 235 \\ 8 & 561\end{array}$ |  | 731 372 | $\begin{array}{r}935 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $935{ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| Total | 24267 | 26168 | 43789 | 89484 | 79034 | 43055 | 29938 | 37763 | 38172 | 36074 | 28903 |

Table 6. Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Division Va.

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188 | 41 | 2 | 373 | $\cdots$ |
| German Dem.Rep. | $5064{ }^{1}$ | $6247^{1)}$ | $7768{ }^{1}$ | $14958{ }^{1}$ | $3317^{1}$ | 159 ${ }^{1}$ | 320 | 388 | - | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of | 3890 | 1253 | 1488 | - | 882 | 1119 | 826 | 1786 | 887 | 1719 | 4541 |
| Iceland | 1 | 1 | 5856 | 7343 | 5020 | 4640 | 2115 | 2842 | 1212 | 1687 | 9982 |
| Norway | - | - | 54 | 338 | 369 | 186 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Poland | - | - | - | 1127 | 899 | 31 | - | 485 | - | - | - |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) |  |  |  | - | - | 2223 | 3648 |  | 1207 | 1669 | . . . |
| USSR | 21 1021) | $13535^{1)}$ | $7975{ }^{1}$ | 2113 | 3246 | 1128 | 289 | + 10 | 1 | 166 | . |
| Total | 30657 | 21036 | 23141 | 30001 | 15049 | 10666 | 7386 | 7866 | 3308 | 5448 | 14523 |

\#) preliminary. 1) from national statistics. 2) assumed value equal to the 1976 nominal catch.

Table 7. Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Division Vb .

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 ${ }^{\text {T) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands German Dem.Rep. | 218 ${ }^{\text {1) }}$ | $68^{10}$ | 855 ${ }^{\text {¹) }}$ | - | - | - |  | 7 147 | 91 | - ${ }^{2}$ | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of | 224 | 579 | 51 | - | 11 | 405 | 287 | 163 | 437 | 309 | 285 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 7 | - |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 18 | - | - |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 61 | 8 | + | 6 | ... |
| USSR | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 442 | 647 | 906 | - | 11 | 417 | 358 | 325 | 559 | 324 | 285 |

Table 8. Greenland Halibut. Nominal catch (metric tons) in Sub-area XIV.

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| German Dem.Rep. | - | - | $42^{\text {1) }}$ | $2981{ }^{1 /}$ | $3491{ }^{1)}$ | $7328{ }^{1)}$ | 8806 | 25266 | 16872 | - |  |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of | 200 | 187 | 183 | - | 270 | 5 | 7 | + | 64 | 191 | 212 |
| Greenland | - | 2 | + | - | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Iceland | - | - | 24 | 2 | + | - | 3 | 1 | + | 2 | - |
| Poland | - | - | - | 732 | 7910 | 7847 | 3122 | 1057 | 1054 | - | - |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | ... |
| USSR | - | - | 31 | 107 | 2240 | 205 | 776 | I 762 | 1634 | 74 | - |
| Total | 200 | 189 | 280 | 3822 | 13913 | 15389 | 12719 | 28089 | 19627 | 273 | 212 |

${ }^{\text {\# }}$ ) preliminary. ${ }^{\text {1) }}$ from national statistics.

Table 2. Greenland Halibut.
Nominal catch (metric tons) in Sub-areas $V$ and XIV, 1967-77. (Data for 1967-76 from Bulletin Statistique.)

| Country | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 ${ }^{\text {FI }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German Dem.Rep. | $5282^{1}$ | $6315^{1}$ | $8 \overline{665}$ ) | 4 <br> 17 <br> 122 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 316 \\ 6 & 808\end{array}$ | 1 7 1807 | 188 9126 | 25 801 | 8 16963 | 375 | $\cdots$ |
| Germany, Fed. Rep.of | 4314 | 2019 | 1686 | 17939 | 18163 | 7487 1529 | $\begin{array}{ll}9 & 126 \\ 1 & 120\end{array}$ | 25801 1949 | 16963 1 388 | $2 \overline{219}$ | $5{ }^{-}$ |
| Greenland <br> Iceland |  | 2 | + | - |  | $\begin{array}{r}1529 \\ \hline 6\end{array}$ | 1120 4 | 1949 2 | 1388 | 2219 | 5038 |
| Iceland | 1 | 1 | 5880 | 7345 | 5020 | 4640 | 2118 | 2843 | 1212 | 1 1 889 |  |
| Noland | - | - | - | 338 | 369 | 186 | - | 2 | + 21 | $\begin{array}{r}1689 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9982 |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) |  |  | - | 1859 | 8809 | 7878 | 3131 | 1542 | 1072 | - | - |
| USSR | $21 \overline{702}^{\text {1) }}$ | $13535^{1}$ | $8 \overline{-006}^{1}$ | 2220 | - | 2236 | 3710 | 2323 | 1209 | 1680 | ... |
| Tot |  |  |  | 2220 | 5486 | 1333 | 1066 | 1772 | 1634 | 74 | - |
|  | 31298 | 21872 | 24237 | 33823 | 28973 | 26473 | 20463 | 36280 | 23494 | 6045 | 15020 |

Table 10. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Total effort and catch per unit effort.

| Year | USSR catch/hour <br> trawling (tons) | Hours trawling <br> (USSR effort) | Total effort <br> (raised to the total catch) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 0.80 | 20853 | 43558 |
| 1966 | 0.77 | 12587 | 34084 |
| 1967 | 0.70 | 8196 | 34667 |
| 1968 | 0.65 | 5226 | 40258 |
| 1969 | 0.53 | 37283 | 82621 |
| 1970 | 0.53 | 67128 | 168838 |
| 1971 | 0.46 | 118128 | 171813 |
| 1972 | 0.37 | 43765 | 116365 |
| 1973 | 0.39 | 21951 | 76764 |
| 1974 | 0.40 | 42395 | 94408 |
| 1975 | 0.39 | 52236 | 97877 |
| 1976 | 0.40 | 41458 | 90185 |
| 1977 ma | 0.26 | 57577 | 111165 |

3) ${ }_{n}$
oreliminary. l)from national statistics:

Table 11. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Input data-catch in numbers by year and by age (thousands).

| AGE | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 |
| 4 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 461.0 | 19.0 | 276.0 | 334.0 |
| 5 | 526.0 | 80.0 | 1109.0 | 212.0 | 917.0 | 840.0 |
| 6 | 2792.0 | 4486.0 | 3521.0 | 1117.0 | 2519.0 | 2337.0 |
| 7 | 10464.0 | 12712.0 | 9605.0 | 3923.0 | 6204.0 | 6520.0 |
| 8 | 18562.0 | 12283.0 | 6438.0 | 3515.0 | 3838.0 | 4118.0 |
| 9 | 10034.0 | 6130.0 | 2775.0 | 2551.0 | 1834.0 | 2265.0 |
| 10 | 6671.0 | 4339.0 | 1734.0 | 1919.0 | 1942.0 | 1654.0 |
| 11 | 2517.0 | 2763.0 | 1368.0 | 1536.0 | 1622.0 | 1857.0 |
| 12 | 1250.0 | 1660.0 | 1234.0 | 1127.0 | 1338.0 | 1536.0 |
| 13 | 616.0 | 1044.0 | 675.0 | 716.0 | 734.0 | 1122.0 |
| 14 | 1164.0 | 300.0 | 200.0 | 251.0 | 531.0 | 600.6 |
| 15 | 266.0 | 123.0 | 40.0 | 70.0 | 137.0 | 276.0 |
| 16 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 56.0 | 79.0 | 98.0 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 58.0 | 742.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 830.0 | 2002.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2982. | 3191.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5824.0 | 4112.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 5002.0 | 2482.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3000.0 | 1590.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1350.0 | 1089.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 915.4 | 1044.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1212.0 | 844.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 698.0 | 585.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 526.0 | 377.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 254.0 | 51.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 104.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Fishing mortalities by year and by age (Alternative l).

ages-natural mortalities

| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 | .15 |
| .15 | .15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Stock in numbers (thousands) at beginning, of year (Alternative 1).

| AGE | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 52213.5 | 5.3508 .5 | 49306.1 | 53113.3 | 64168.7 | 54489.4 |
| 4 | 46607.0 | 44940.6 | 46055.2 | 424.38 .2 | 45715.0 | 55230.6 |
| 5 | 50734.7 | 40083.5 | 38580.7 | 39212.8 | 36509.3 | 39091.5 |
| 6 | 54056.0 | 43180.3 | 34426.0 | 32265.3 | 33554.3 | 30574.2 |
| 7 | 48950.0 | 43940.4 | 33013.8 | 26372.0 | 26736.2 | 26548.1 |
| 8 | 40698.4 | 324E4.3 | 26091.8 | 19553.9 | 19070.3 | 17282.2 |
| 9 | 24976.6 | 17966.3 | 16630.6 | 16513.0 | 13581.0 | 12867.4 |
| 10 | 16375.8 | 12261.9 | 9814.0 | 11748.4 | 11853.8 | 9932.7 |
| 11 | 7306.6 | 7955.3 | 6555.9 | 6844.0 | 8337.5 | 8407.0 |
| 12 | 3634.7 | 3969.2 | 4355.9 | 4378.7 | 4471.9 | 5677.2 |
| 13 | 1452.4 | 1976.4 | 1889.0 | 2610.5 | 2728.4 | 2614.8 |
| 14 | 1545.2 | 683.4 | 743.3 | 1003.9 | 1586.1 | 1670.9 |
| 15 | 344.0 | 324.0 | 312.3 | 455.1 | 632.4 | 875.7 |
| 16 | 40.8 | 54.4 | 165.6 | 231.8 | 327.0 | 417.7 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 52029.0 | 53875.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 46879.1 | 44781.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 47227.8 | 40258.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 32868.0 | 39880.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 24151.6 | 25529.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 16829.9 | 15409.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 11072.1 | 9871.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 8981.2 | 6761.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 7871.5 | 6481.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 5520.6 | 5240.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 3468.8 | 3632.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1218.5 | 2340.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 885.4 | 565.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 504.7 | 527.7 |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II. Fishing mortalities by year and by age (Alternative 2).

| AGE | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .00 | 0.00 | .00 |  |
| 4 | .00 | 0.00 | .81 | .00 | . 01 | .01 | . 00 | . 02 |  |
| 5 | .01 | . 00 | .84 | .01 | . 04 | .04 | .03 | . 07 |  |
| 6 | . 86 | . 14 | . 15 | . 05 | . 11 | . 13 | . 18 | . 13 |  |
| 7 | . 28 | . 42 | . 46 | . 23 | . 36 | . 42 | . 50 | . 35 |  |
| 8 | . 69 | . 58 | . 37 | . 29 | . 34 | . 41 | . 63 | . 39 |  |
| 9 | . 58 | . 48 | . 23 | . 23 | . 23 | .32 | . 57 | . 39 |  |
| 10 | . 58 | . 51 | . 22 | . 24 | . 26 | . 31 | . 31 | . 39 |  |
| 11 | . 47 | . 46 | . 28 | . 30 | . 30 | . 35 | . 26 | . 39 |  |
| 12 | . 46 | . 61 | . 38 | . 37 | . 44 | . 50 | . 45 | . 39 |  |
| 13 | . 58 | . 82 | . 50 | . 37 | . 41 | : 76 | . 42 | . 39 |  |
| 14 | 1.42 | . 60 | . 33 | . 33 | . 48 | . 65 | . 95 | . 39 |  |
| 15 | 1.76 | . 53 | . 14 | . 18 | . 28 | . 46 | . 60 | . 39 |  |
| 16 | . 56 | . 56 | .31 | . 27 | . 29 | .32 | . 30 | . 39 |  |
| MEAN | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOR } \\ .56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GES } 3= \\ .58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { AND } \\ .33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} =13 \\ .30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ( NOT } \\ .33 \end{gathered}$ | WEIGH <br> .45 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TED BY } \\ .44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STOCK IN } \\ & .39 \end{aligned}$ | ( NUMBERS) |

ages-natural mortalities

| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 16

Table 15. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Stock in numbers (thousands) at beginning of year (Alternative 2).

| AGE | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 43171.9 | 42152.6 | 34083.8 | 31453.8 | 45760.6 | 43756.8 |
| 4 | 37956.7 | 37158.4 | 36281.1 | 29341.3 | 27072.5 | 39386.5 |
| 5 | 43730.6 | 32638.1. | 31982.6 | 30800.2 | 25236.7 | 23045.8 |
| 6 | 49188.8 | 37151.8 | 28017.8 | 25500.2 | 26313.5 | 20871.9 |
| 7 | 45804.9 | 39751.4 | 27826.1 | 20857.5 | 21774.2 | 20316.6 |
| 8 | 39927.5 | 23759.7 | 22493.2 | 15038.5 | 14326.3 | 13017.0 |
| 9 | 24261.3 | 17306.6 | 14311.5 | 13420.1 | 9749.1 | 8788.4 |
| 10 | 16190.4 | 11645.2 | 9247.8 | 9753.4 | 9193.0 | 6696.0 |
| 11 | 7234.0 | 7796.4 | 6030.2 | 6356.9 | 6621.5 | 6118.3 |
| 12 | 3652.6 | 3906.9 | 4219.5 | 3926.7 | 4053.1 | 4201.4 |
| 13 | 1487.5 | 1991.8 | 1835.6 | 2493.3 | 2339.9 | 2255.1 |
| 14 | 1538.8 | 713.5 | 756.4 | 958.1 | 1485.4 | 1337.1 |
| 15 | 338.5 | 318.6 | 338.1 | 466.4 | 593.0 | 785.3 |
| 16 | 37.4 | 49.9 | 161.0 | 254.0 | 336.7 | 383.8 |
| AGE | 1976 | 1977 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 40817.4 | 43106.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 37641.4 | 35131.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 33590.7 | 32307.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 19057.5 | 28142.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 15802.0 | 13645.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 11475.0 | 8236.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 7406.5 | 5276.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 5473.3 | 3613.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 4236.1 | 3464.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 3553.3 | 2880.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2201.3 | 1941.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 910.7 | 1251.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 599.2 | 302.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 430.5 | 282.1 |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
The biomass of the recruited stock $B\left(N_{4+}\right)$ and the biomass of the spawning stock $B\left(N_{9+}\right)$, estimated from two alternative VPA runs. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{LR}}\left(\mathrm{N}_{4+}\right)$ is the biomass of the recruited stock as estimated by linear regression between the USSR catch per hour trawling and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{N}_{4+}\right)$ in Alternative 1 (Figure 5).

| Year | Alternative 1 |  | Alternative 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{LR}}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4+}\right) \\ & 10^{-3} \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4+}\right) \\ & 10^{-3} \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{9+}\right) \\ & 10^{-3} \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4+}\right) \\ & 10^{-3} \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{9+}\right) \\ & 10^{-3} \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  | 473 |
| 1966 |  |  |  |  | 455 |
| 1967 |  |  |  |  | 413 |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  | 383 |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  | 311 |
| 1970 | 316 | 126 | 299 | 124 | 311 |
| 1971 | 265 | 106 | 243 | 103 | 270 |
| 1972 | 229 | 96 | 200 | 89 | 216 |
| 1973 | 221 | 106 | 182 | 93 | 228 |
| 1974 | 226 | 110 | 175 | 89 | 234 |
| 1975 | 227 | 111 | 164 | 82 | 228 |
| 1976 | 219 | 103 | 146 | 67 | 234 |
| 1977 | 213 | 98 | 129 | 52 | 150 |
| 1978 | 221 | 101 | 124 | 44 |  |

Table 17. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
The input parameters in the catch prediction.
(In addition, the catch figures of 1977 were used. An average recruitment was used for 1978 and 1979.)

| Age | Mean weights | Fishing patterm 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Altermative 1 | Alternative 2 |
| 3 | 0.19 | 0.0068 | 0.0038 |
| 4 | 0.419 | 0.095 | 0.059 |
| 5 | 0.539 | 0.29 | 0.177 |
| 6 | 0.700 | 0.47 | 0.333 |
| 7 | 1.025 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 1.350 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 9 | 1.756 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 2.167 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 11 | 2.743 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 12 | 3.085 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 13 | 4.087 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 14 | 4.684 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 15 | 5.477 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 16 | 5.993 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Average recruitment at age 3 ('000) |  | 54000 | 39000 |
| Fishing mortality <br> on the fully exploited <br> age groups in 1977 |  | 0.19 | 0.39 |

Table 18. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Catch predictions for 1979 with the stock composition at the beginning of 1978 according to Alternatives 1 and 2 (Section 4.2.2.).

|  | Year | Catch | F | Biomass (in tons) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total stock | Spawning stock |
| Alternative 1 | 1977 <br> 1978 <br> 1979 <br> 1980 | $\begin{cases}28 & 903 \\ 40 & 642 \\ 31 & 247 \\ 19 & 422\end{cases}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0.19 \\ 0.26 \\ 0.20 & \left(=F_{\max }\right) \\ 0.12 & \left(=F_{0.1}\right) \end{array}$ | 213000 <br> 221000 <br> 216000 <br> 216000 <br> 229000 | $\begin{array}{r} 98000 \\ 101000 \\ 100000 \\ 105000 \\ 113000 \end{array}$ |
| Alternative 2 | 1977 <br> 1978 <br> 1979 <br> 1980 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r} 28903 \\ 39930 \\ 13 \\ 8 \end{array} 110\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.39 \\ & 0.60 \\ & 0.20\left(=F_{\max }\right) \\ & 0.12\left(=F_{0.1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 129000 <br> 124000 <br> 108000 <br> 118000 <br> 123000 | 52000 <br> 44000 <br> 31000 <br> 37000 <br> 40000 |

Table 12. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas $V$ and XIV.
USSR c.p.u.e. in Division Va and total effort in Sub-areas $V$ and XIV.

| Year | USSR catch/hour trawling (Va) | Total effort in Sub-area $V$ and <br> XIV (raised to total catch) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 1.7 | 18058 |
| 1968 |  |  |
| 1969 | 1.6 | 13670 |
| 1970 | 1.3 | 18644 |
| 1971 | 1.5 | 22548 |
| 1972 | 2.3 | 12597 |
| 1973 | 0.7 | 37819 |
| 1974 | 1.2 | 17052 |

Table 20. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas $V$ and XIV.
Cohort analysis based on length composition (1970-71-75).


| LENGTH | GF: | GATEHNI | EATEHWT | ETELVFM | STELKWT | [IELTA T | F | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 B | 9 | 3 | 1 | 11134 | 5022 | 0. $\because 1$ | 0.000 | 0. 150 |
| 40 - | 41 | 10 | 5 | 10718 | 5696 | 0. BE | 0.001 | 0. 151 |
| $42-$ | 43 | 16 | 10 | 10808 | 6403 | 0. 36 | 0.002 | 0. 152 |
| 44 | 45 | 54 | 89 | 9504 | 713 | 0. 404 | O. 006 | 0. 156 |
| $46-$ | 47 | 106 | 8 E | 9491 | 7887 | O. 412 | 0.012 | 0. 162 |
| $48-$ | 49 | 203 | 193 | 906 | $863 \%$ | 0. 421 | 0.023 | 0. 173 |
| $50-$ | 51 | 88 | 414 | 8610 | 9340 | 0. 430 | 0.046 | 0. 196 |
| 52- | 5 | 5160 | 689 | 8087 | 9745 | O. 440 | 0. 073 | 0. 223 |
| 54 | 5 | 572 | 794 | 7497 | 10408 | 0. 450 | O. 080 | 0. 230 |
| 56 | 57 | 575 | 896 | 6915 | 10779 | 0. 460 | 0. 086 | 0. 238 |
| $59-$ | 59 | 656 | 1144 | 634 | 11064 | 0. 471 | O. 110 | 0. 260 |
| $60-$ | 61 | 642 | 1243 | 5749 | 11174 | 0. 482 | O. 119 | 0. 269 |
| A2- | 67 | 604 | 1304 | 5175 | 1116 | 0. 494 | 0. 125 | 0. 275 |
| 64- | 65 | $69 \%$ | 16.5 | 4632 | 1106 | 0. 56 | O. 161 | 0. 311 |
| $66-$ | 67 | 412 | 1086 | 4059 | $106 \%$ | 0. 520 | 0. 108 | 0. 258 |
| 68 - | 69 | 440 | 1276 | 8643 | 10565 | 0. 58 | O. 130 | 0. 280 |
| $70-$ | 71 | 625 | 1989 | 32 z | 10256 | 0. 54E | 0. 214 | O. $3 \in 4$ |
| $72-$ | 73 | 714 | 2486 | 2715 | 9492 | 0. 563 | 0. 297 | O. 447 |
| 74 - | $7!$ | 672 | 25.54 | 2170 | 8248 | 0. 580 | 0. 56 | 0. 506 |
| 76- | 77 | $58 \%$ | 2202 | 1664 | $\operatorname{tas}$ | 0. 57 | 0. 370 | 0. 520 |
| 78- | 79 | 6 こ6 | 2819 | 1256 | 5世47 | 0. 615 | 0. 619 | 0.769 |
| 80- | 81 | 34 | 1629 | 802 | 90\% | O. 65 | 0. 505 | 0. 6.55 |
| 82 - | 8 | 274 | 1446 | 044 | 2870 | 0. 65 | 0. 637 | 0.787 |
| 84- | 85 | 170 | 969 | 3 E | 1897 | O. 678 | 0. 653 | 0.803 |
| EA - | 87 | 114 | 701 | 196 | 1215 | 0. 792 | 0. 767 | 0. 917 |
| BS - | 89 | 6 | 430 | 106 | 697 | Q. 727 | 0. 343 | 0.793 |
| $90-$ | INF | 5 | 391 | 69 | $48 \%$ |  | 0. 600 | 0.750 |

EUME

| AlL |  | 10108 | 2945 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $=9$ | 52 | 6304 | 27013 |
| $=9$ | 60 | 671 | 2981 |


| 15411 | 19865 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 66179 | 14834 |
| 366 | 106244 |

averages
0. $083 \quad 0.233$
0. 159
0. $20 \%$
0. 217
0. 367

Catch (in tons) per hour
trawling (USSR fishery)


Total catch

Figure 1. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II. Catch per hour trawling (USSR fishery) and total catch.


Figure 3. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
Yield and spawning stock per recruit curves. Based on Alternative 1 (Section 4.2.2.).


Figure 4. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II. The stock size (4 years and older) and the spawning stock ( 9 years and older) 1970-78.


Figure 5. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
The relation between catch per hour trawling in the USSR fishery and the estimated stock size of 4 years and older fish from the VPA.


Figure 6. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas $V$ and XIV.
Yield per recruit curves at different age at first capture ( $t_{c}$ ).


## APPENDIX

The following points should be noted in addition to the previous Appendix Report of the Working Group（Doc．C．M．IY＇7／F：4／APPENDIX）：

## Greenland Halibut in Sub－areas I and II

## Fisheries

The last paragraph in Chapter l．l．2 is to be replaced by：
The Norwegian long－line fishery is a seasonal one which usually starts in April／May and ends in August／September（Lahn－Johannessen， 1972）．The directed trawl fishery is conducted mainly in the autumn．Since 1969 the fishery on Greenland halibut has been mainly concentrated in Division IIb．In the period 1969 to 1977 on the average $68 \%$ of the total catches in Sub－areas I and II were taken in Division IIb．The largest concentrations are found on the slope of the continental shelf in the western Barents Sea at a depth of 350 to 700 m 。

## Greenland Halibut in Sub－areas $V$ and XIV

Life history
Greenland halibut spawn at the continental slope west of Ioeland between Reykjanes ridge and Dohrn bank，especially south of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$（Figure l）。（On the spawning of Greenland halibut in Icelandic waters，by A Sigurdsson， ICES，Doc．C．M．1977／F：28）。
Males of Greenland halibut seem to be recruited to the Icelandic long－ line fishery at the ages of $6-8$ years and dominate in the catches until they are 10 years old．The females on the other hand seem to be recruited to the same fishery at the ages of 6－11 years．They dominate in the catches of 11 years and older fish（Figure 2）。


Appendix Figure 1. Trawl stations taken in March 1977. The area is divided into four subareas.


Appendix Figure 2. Greenland halibut in Division Va.
The age distribution in the Icelandic
long-line catches in 1976.
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