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## Executive Summary

The ICES herring assessment working group (HAWG) met for 7 days in March 2011 to assess the state of 7 herring stocks and 3 sprat stocks. The working group conducted update assessments for four of the herring stocks. No analytical assessments were carried out for the remaining four herring stocks although available survey and/or fishery data were examined. No update assessments were possible for any of the sprat stocks.

The SSB of North Sea autumn spawning herring in autumn 2010 was estimated as 1.30 million $t . F_{2-6}$ in 2010 was estimated at 0.12 , below the target $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ of 0.2 . The year classes from 2002 are estimated to be among the weakest since the late 1970s. In particular, the most recent year class, 2010, was estimated to be about $80 \%$ higher than 2008, but still lower than long term average. Best estimates of catches in 2010 were 187000 t , a slight increase from 168000 t in 2009. The Western Baltic spring spawning stock's SSB is now estimated around 95000 t and has declined substantially in the last three years. Fishing mortality in 2009 was 0.30 , closer to the proxy for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}(0.25)$ than in previous years. Recruitment has declined consistently from 2003 to 2008. When maturing, these poor year classes are expected to have a reducing effect on the spawning stock biomass. The Celtic Sea autumn and winter spawning stock has continued to increase, and remains in a state of recovery. SSB in 2010 was estimated as $114000 t$, and mean $F_{2-5}$ remained at a very low estimate (0.08). Catch in 2009/2010 was among the lowest in the time series ( 8300 t ). Two strong and two weak year classes have recruited recently. West of Scotland autumn spawning stock's SSB (in 2010) was estimated as 62000 t . The stock is currently fluctuating at a low level and is being exploited below estimated Fmsy. Recruitment has been low since 1998. Catch in 2010 was 19900 t , a slight increase from 2009. West of Ireland (Division VIaS and VIIb,c) autumn- and winter/spring-spawning stock cannot be assessed analytically because no tuning data are yet available. However, there are indications that the stock is at a low level, with a series of low recruitments. Current levels of SSB and F are unknown. Catch in 2010 was 10200 t , not very different from the catch in 2009 ( 10400 t). Irish Sea autumn spawning herring was not assessed analytically. Survey indicators and exploratory assessments suggest increasing SSB, whilst stable fishing effort suggests a stable or declining F. Catches (4900 tin 2010) have been close to TAC level in recent years. Catches of the Clyde spring spawning stock were 300 t in 2010, but no sampling or other information was available.

Given the poor datasets, no reliable estimates of stock status of North Sea sprat were possible. Catches in 2010 were 144000 t at the level of the catches in 2009 (133 000 t ). The data available for sprat in Division IIIa were too sparse to perform an assessment. The total landings were 10700 t in 2010, compared to 9200 t in 2009. Sprat in VIId,e catch had almost doubled a level of 4400 t compared to the catches in 2009 $(2700 \mathrm{t})$. No assessment of this stock was possible. For the first time a presentation of Celtic Sea sprat was made in the group. The total catches in 2010 was estimated to 8 100 t . There was not performed assessment on sprat in this eco-region as further work is required to determine stock structures and identity.

A generic term of reference was to consider the Fmsy framework in the preliminary drafting of advice, which the group did for all stocks, where this was possible.

The working group commented on special requests from MFSDSG and SIASM in the section dealing with ecosystem related issues.

The working group also commented on the quality and availability of data, the problems with estimating the amounts of discarded fish, the use of the data system INTERCATCH, and provided an overview of some of the roles of herring in the ecosystem.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Participants
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Niels Hintzen
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Mark Paine
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Contact details for each participant are given in Annex 1.

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

2010/2/ACOM06 The Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of $62^{\mathbf{o}} \mathbf{N}$ (HAWG), chaired by Maurice Clarke, Ireland and Lotte Worsøe Clausen*, Denmark, will meet at ICES Headquarters, 16-24 March 2011 to:
a ) compile the catch data of North Sea and Western Baltic herring on 17-18 March
b ) address generic ToRs for Fish Stock Assessment Working Groups 19-25 March (see table below).

The assessments will be carried out on the basis of the Stock Annex in National Laboratories, prior to the meeting. This will be coordinated as indicated in the table below.

Material and data relevant for the meeting must be available to the group no later than 3 weeks prior to the starting date.

HAWG will report by 4 April 2011 for the attention of ACOM.

| Fish <br> Stoc <br> $\mathbf{k}$ | Stock Name | Stock Coord. | Assesss. <br> Coord. 1 | Assess. <br> Coord. 2 | Perform <br> assessment | Advice |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| her- <br> $3 a 22$ | Herring in Division IIIa and <br> Subdivisions 22-24 (West- <br> ern Baltic Spring spawners) | Denmark | Germany | Denmark | Y | Update |
| her- <br> $47 d 3$ | Herring in Subarea IV and <br> Division IIIa and VIId | Germany | NL | UK (Scot- <br> land) | Y | Update |


|  | (North Sea Autumn spawners) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| herirls | Herring in Division VIIa South of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and VIIg,h,j,k (Celtic Sea and South of Ireland) | Ireland | Ireland |  | Y | Update |
| herirlw | Herring in Divisions VIa (South) and VIIb,c | Ireland | Ireland |  | Y | Same advice as last year |
| hernirs | Herring in Division VIIa North of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea) | UK (Northern Ireland) | UK (Northern Ireland) |  | Y | Same <br> advice <br> as last <br> year |
| hervian | Herring in Division VIa (North) | UK (Scotland) | UK S |  | Y | Update |
| spr- <br> kask | Sprat in Division IIIa <br> (Skagerrak - Kattegat) | Norway | Denmark | - | Y | Same <br> advice <br> as last <br> year |
| spr- <br> nsea | Sprat in Subarea IV (North Sea) | Denmark | Denmark | Norway | Y | Update |
| spr- <br> eche | Sprat in Division VIId, | Norway | - | - | N | Catch statistics only |
| spr- celt | Sprat in the Celtic Seas |  |  |  |  | Collate data |

The Generic Terms of Reference for all working groups are presented below:
a) Produce a first draft of the advice on the fish stocks and fisheries under considerations according to ACOM guidelines and implementing recommendations from WKMSYREF.
b) Update, quality check and report relevant data for the working group:
i) Load fisheries data on effort and catches (landings, discards, bycatch, including estimates of misreporting when appropriate) in the INTERCATCH database by fisheries/fleets. Data should be provided to the data coordinators at deadlines specified in the ToRs of the individual groups. Data submitted after the deadlines can be incorporated in the assessments at the discretion of the Expert Group chair;
ii ) Abundance survey results;
iii ) Environmental drivers.
iv ) Propose specific actions to be taken to improve the quality of the data (including improvements in data collection).
c) Produce an overview of the sampling activities on a national basis based on the INTERCATCH database and report the use of InterCatch;
d) In cooperation with the Secretariat, update the description of major regulatory changes (technical measures, TACs, effort control and management plans) and comment on the potential effects of such changes including the effects of newly agreed management and recovery plans.
e) For each stock update the assessment by applying the agreed assessment method (analytical, forecast or trends indicators) as described in the stock annex. If no stock annex is available this should be prepared prior to the meeting.
f) Produce a brief report of the work carried out by the Working Group. This report should summarise for the stocks and fisheries where the item is relevant:
i) Input data (including information from the fishing industry and NGO that is pertinent to the assessments and projections);
ii ) Where misreporting of catches is significant, provide qualitative and where possible quantitative information and describe the methods used to obtain the information;
iii ) Stock status and 2012 catch options;
iv ) Historical performance of the assessment and brief description of quality issues with the assessment;
v ) Mixed fisheries overview and considerations;
vi ) Species interaction effects and ecosystem drivers;
vii ) Ecosystem effects of fisheries;
viii ) Effects of regulatory changes on the assessment or projections;
g) Where appropriate, check for the need to reopen the advice in autumn based on the new survey information and the guidelines in AGCREFA (2008 report).
h) For the stocks where the advice is marked 'collate data', available data should be collected and presented as far as possible. If information is available for more than or only part of the area, the header for the stock can be adapted (please discuss with the secretariat).
a) In the EG report please indicate how advice for this stock can be given in future; both what timing (data availability over the year) and analytical / trends based assessment options are concerned.
b) A draft advice sheet should be produced that presents available information and informs about the status of the stock assessment possibilities.

Special request ToR 2) Identify elements of the EGs work that may help determine status for the 11 Descriptors set out in the Commission Decision.

Special request ToR 3) Provide views on what good environmental status (GES) might be for those descriptors, including methods that could be used to determine status.

Special request ToR 4) Take note of and comment on the Report of the Workshop on the Science for area-based management: Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning in Practice (WKCMSP).

Special request ToR 5) Provide information that could be used in setting pressure indicators that would complement biodiversity indicators currently being developed by the Strategic Initiative on Biodiversity Advice and Science (SIBAS). Particular consideration should be given to assessing the impacts of very large renewable energy plans with a view to identifying/predicting potentially catastrophic outcomes.

Special request ToR 6) Identify spatially resolved data, for e.g. spawning grounds, fishery activity, habitats, etc.

The TORs are addressed in the sections shown in the text table below.

| ToR | Stock | Addressed in Section |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 a) -g$)$ | Herring in Subarea IV and <br> Division IIIa and VIId (North Sea <br> Autumn spawners) | Section 2 |
| 1 a -g$)$ | Herring in Division IIIa and <br> Subdivisions 22-24 (Western <br> Baltic Spring spawners) | Section 3 |
| 1 a -g$)$ | Herring in Division VIIa South of <br> $52^{\circ}$ 30' N and VIIg,h,j,k (Celtic <br> Sea and South of Ireland) | Section 4 |
| 1 a) -g) | Herring in Division VIa (North) | Section 5 |
| 1 b) -f$)$ | Herring in Divisions VIa (South) <br> and VIIb,c | Section 6 |
| 1 b) - f) | Herring in Division VIIa North of <br> $52^{\circ}$ 30' N (Irish Sea) | Section 7 |
| 1 a) - g) | Sprat in Subarea IV (North Sea) | Section 8 |
| 1 b) - f) | Sprat in Division IIIa (Skagerrak - <br> Kattegat) | Section 9 |
| Collate data | Sprat in the Celtic Seas | Section 10 |
| 1 b) - c) and f) | Sprat in Division VIId,e | Section 11 |
| Collate data | Stocks with limited data | Section 11 |
| SR ToR 2 | - | Section 1.8 |
| SR ToR 3 | - | Section 1.8 |
| SR ToR 4 | - | Section 1.8 |
| SR ToR 5 | - | Section 1.8 |
| SR ToR 6 | - | Section 1.8 |

### 1.3 Working Group's response to special requests

Two special requests were received by HAWG in 2011. Both came from other ICES groups, the Marine Strategy Framework Directive Steering Group (MSFDSG) and the Strategic Initiative on Area Based Science and Management (SIASM).

## From MFSDSG came the following TORs:

Identify elements of the EGs work that may help determine status for the 11 Descriptors set out in the Commission Decision.

Provide views on what good environmental status (GES) might be for those descriptors, including methods that could be used to determine status.

From SIASM, came the following TORs:
Take note of and comment on the Report of the Workshop on the Science for areabased management: Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning in Practice (WKCMSP).

Provide information that could be used in setting pressure indicators that would complement biodiversity indicators currently being developed by the Strategic Initiative on Biodiversity Advice and Science (SIBAS). Particular consideration should be
given to assessing the impacts of very large renewable energy plans with a view to identifying/predicting potentially catastrophic outcomes.

Identify spatially resolved data, for e.g. spawning grounds, fishery activity, habitats, etc.

As these TORs relate to the ecosystem, they are dealt with in Section 1.8.

### 1.4 Reviews of groups or projects important for the WG

HAWG was briefed throughout the meeting about other groups and projects that were of relevance to their work. Some of these briefings and/or groups are described below.

### 1.4.1 Meeting of the Chairs of Assessment Related Expert Groups [WGCHAIRS]

HAWG was informed about the WGCHAIRS meeting in January 2010. A wide array of initiatives being led by the ACOM leadership was communicated to working group chairs. The presentation focused on the following main outcome relevant for HAWG:

Recommendations: Guidelines on to Experts Groups on drafting recommendations has been made available, and chairs are encouraged to follow them. ICES advice is provided by ACOM and it is important to minimise the risk of other text being interpreted as ICES advice.

WD; documentation: There had been incidences where WD's in EG reports were used as basis for advice but were not available to the reviewers. It was stressed that WD should if used when making draft advice, it must be assured that the WD is available for other than HAWG members, either fully documented as part of the HAWG report, or included as an annex to the HAWG report, or provided with a link in the HAWG report to a site where the information in the WD can be accessed.

Marine Strategy Framework Directive: A new ACOM-SCICOM steering group has been set up: MSFDSG; the group mainly wants feedback on elements of HAWG work that may help determine status for 11 descriptors identified by the Commission and HAWG's view on what Good Environmental Status (GES) might be for those descriptors and evaluation methods.

Marine Spatial Planning: Another ACOM-SCICOM steering group has been set up: SIASAM (Strategic Initiative on Area Based Science and Management); this group mainly wants feedback from HAWG on the report of the WG on Science for areabased management: Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning in Practice. Furthermore HAWG will have to identify spatially resolved data for e.g. spawning grounds, fishery activity, habitats, etc

Ecosystem Drivers and biodiversity: Progress has been made towards incorporating ecosystem drivers in ICES advice e.g. through the integrated assessments WGs. However, there are more people working on increasing ecosystem knowledge compared with how to use this knowledge in providing advice. In addition, as long as the users of the advice manage fisheries on a single species basis, the incentives are not there. Multispecies and integrated advice is requested in non-traditional fisheries management fora, such as marine spatial planning. Working at a finer spatial resolution might make help in this regard, but the heavy workload remains a problem. It is certainly not straightforward to include ecosystem drivers, few cause and effect rela-
tionship have withstood the test of time. It was suggested that expertise should be shared between EG and that the benchmarking process could be a way to speed up the process of providing integrated advice.

MP evaluations: Formal management strategy evaluation or evaluations of management plans are to be done outside of assessment EG's in special EGs set up specifically for that purpose. STECF has developed guidelines on how to evaluate management plans and ICES will work with STECF to evaluate 4 cod management plans in 2011. An evaluation of the ability to achieve biomass, F and catch targets is relatively simple with FLR, but the evaluation becomes more complicated when more factors have to be taken into account.

Inter Catch: The HAWG is the top-student of the class using it for all our stocks; there are still some EG's which aren't using InterCatch of various reasons (lack of time; alternative databases e.g. Fishframe). COST developed some code facilitating to export data into InterCatch

PGCCDBS: The importance of EG's including the PGCCDBS table of data-related issues in the report was stressed. When filling in the data table, it is also useful if EGs indicate not only what data they need, but also what data they are unlikely to use (e.g. age data for grenadiers). All chairs highlighted that it is important for ICES to close the loop with the PGCCDBS, i.e. the recommendations from the PGCCDBS should be considered by the EGs and implemented, but this may have to wait a benchmark assessment. ICES could also forward PGCCDBS recommendations to clients and member states. PGCCDBS requested EG's to apply the score card to detect bias in sampling, which was decided to be a generic part of benchmark assessments. PGCCDBS was asked to consider the best way to present sampling intensity information in EG reports and to advise on minimum and target sampling intensity for collecting biological information.

WKFRAME II: The WGCHAIRS had a joint session with WKFRAME2 during which the importance of having appropriate pa reference points was stressed. WKFRAME2 is further referred in section 1.4.8.

Table of Contents for EG reports: The table of content of assessment EG report was briefly discussed. A few new standard sections have been added to the report format: Intercatch section, EC data tables, in a particular format, as an annex, Recommendations, Data contact person for PGCDBS and new species: describe data and studies available.

Benchmarks: Benchmarks for 2011 and 2012 were presented. A general discussion of the benchmark process did result in a request to the Secretariat will prepare a description of the process to choose benchmark species. This will be distributed to Chairs for their comment and input. It was stressed that it is important to ensure that the benchmark group has the expertise to review the assessment method used (e.g. GADGET for tusk).

Advice format: Minor changes in the advice sheet were proposed for 2011. Most importantly for the EG's was that the hierarchical system of advice was made clear; if an agreed Management plan exists it has the highest priority. If such a plan does not exist, then the transition or MSY and PA should be applied. New traffic lights were introduced with quantitative symbols (colour) and qualitative (grey scale).

### 1.4.2 Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys [WGIPS]

The Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS, formerly PGHERS) met at the Marine Institute, Bergen, Norway from 17.01.11 - 21.01.11 under the chairman Karl-Johan Stæhr (DTU-Aqua, Hirtshals, Denmark) to: coordinate acoustic and larvae surveys in the North Sea, Malin Shelf and Western Baltic; combine recent survey results for assessment purposes and to clarify parameters influencing these calculations. The group consisted of 11 participants from seven different nations.

Review of larvae surveys in 2009/2010: six survey métiers were covered in the North Sea. The herring larvae sampling period was still in progress at the time of WGIPS meeting, thus sample examination and larvae measurements have not yet been completed. The information necessary for the larvae abundance index calculation will be ready for, and presented at the Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG) meeting in March 2011. The same is true for larvae surveys from the Baltic.

Results from larvae survey in the Irish Sea indicate a similar distribution pattern for 2010 as seen in previous years, with the highest abundance of herring larvae to the east and north of the Isle of Man. A difference in distribution pattern is, however, evident to the north of the Isle of Man with a westward expansion not routinely observed. The point estimate of production in the north eastern Irish Sea was slightly below the time series average.

North Sea, West of Scotland and Malin Shelf summer acoustic surveys in 2010: Seven acoustic surveys were carried out during late June and July 2010 covering the North Sea, West of Scotland and Malin Shelf area. The estimate of the North Sea autumn spawning herring spawning stock is at 3.0 million tonnes. This is $15 \%$ higher than the estimate from the previous year ( 2.6 million tonnes).

The West of Scotland estimate of SSB from the Scottish survey is 308055 tonnes, a $47 \%$ decrease on the previous year. The Scottish survey values are used in the assessment. The estimate for the whole of the VIaN area, from the combined survey of Scottish, Irish and Northern Irish vessels, is 253000 tonnes. This was lower than the estimate from the previous year. The combined survey detected a strong 2009 yearclass that dominates the estimate of immature fish. The strong 2007 year-class observed in the previous year showed up in the estimate again this year.

This is the third year of the synoptic survey, covering what is currently considered the Malin Shelf population of herring. This provided an estimate comprising four herring stocks to the west of the British Isles: the West of Scotland in Division VIaN; the Clyde; Divisions VIaS and VIIb, c; and the Irish Sea. The SSB estimate was 303000 tonnes and is largely dominated by the West of Scotland estimate. Compared to the previous year, when no 0-group fish were detected, a strong year class of 0-group fish, dominating the numbers and biomass of immature fish of the Malin Shelf population, was observed this year.

The estimates of western Baltic spring-spawning herring SSB were 101000 tonnes and 981 million herring, which is lower than last year's estimate. The stock is dominated by 1- and 2-ring fish; however, this year's estimated abundance of 1- and 2ringers is considerably less than previous years, dating back to 2002.

Sprat: The total abundance in 2010 of North Sea sprat provided an estimated biomass of 354000 tonnes. This is a decrease by nearly $50 \%$ in terms of biomass when compared to last year and is at a medium-level in the 2000-2010 time series. In terms of abundance, it is the sixth highest estimate. In 2006-2008, there was a downward trend
in North Sea sprat. The majority of the stock consists of mature sprat. The sprat stock is dominated by 1 - and 2 -year old fish representing more than $90 \%$ of the biomass.

In Division IIIa, sprat was abundant in both the Kattegat and Skagerrak (44F9). The biomass has significantly decreased to 18500 tonnes, about half of the estimate from 2009.

Western Baltic acoustic surveys in autumn 2010: A joint German-Danish acoustic survey was carried out with RV "Solea" in the western Baltic in October 2010. The estimate of western Baltic spring-spawning herring is about 208900 tonnes in Subdivisions $22-24$. As in former years, young herring dominated the abundance estimates. However, total abundance and biomass estimates increased significantly, compared to the record low values from 2009.

The estimated total sprat stock is around 109900 tonnes. The present high estimates of sprat in number and biomass are caused by a strong, new year class, which is about 5 times greater than in 2009.

### 1.4.3 Study Group on the evaluation of assessment and management strategies of the western herring stocks [SGHERWAY]

SGHERWAY was convened in 2008 to explore and evaluate the series of recommendations produced by the EU funded WESTHER project (Q5RS-2002-01056) which suggested that, in the current stock assessment setup for herring to the west of the British Isles, two of the basic assumptions of stock assessment are violated.

Currently the herring to the west of the British Isles are fished, managed and assessed separately as four ICES stocks 1: VIa North; 2: VIaS and VIIb,c; 3: VIIaN and 4: Celtic Sea and VIIj. Analytical assessments for VIa North are accepted by ICES in most years and have been accepted for the Celtic Sea and VIIj ICES stock for the last two. Analytical assessments have been rejected by ICES for VIaS and VIIb,c or VIIaN for many years.

A combined ICA assessment of the three stocks VIaN, VIaS/VIIb,c and VIIaN (the Malin Shelf metapopulation) was explored and its utility for advisory purposes investigated. It was found that the combined ICA assessment gives important information on the Malin Shelf metapopulation, though it is unlikely to be useful for management advice purposes because it does not provide sufficient information on the status of the individual components.

Alternative management strategies for the Malin shelf metapopulation were investigated to show how it could be sustainably managed, approaching MSY levels. The tools evaluated did not, under all conditions, suffice to manage the components of the metapopulation sustainably. The results showed that managing metapopulations is only possible with detailed information on fisheries independent data. However, whenever subcomponents of the metapopulation differ considerably in abundance, sustainable management is impossible for the smallest subcomponent. The VIIaN ICES stock should therefore continue to be assessed and managed separately. Where there is uncertainty of stock identification fishing mortality should be kept at low levels. Should identification rates increase, fishing mortality may also be increased.

The evaluation of the utility of a synoptic acoustic survey in the summer for the Hebrides, Malin and Irish shelf areas was based on results of a combined survey programme in 2008 and 2009, and an analysis of time-series of existing surveys in the area. The survey covers all areas in which mixing of the various western herring stocks is likely to occur at that time and could be used to establish time-series for the
constituent components of the Malin Shelf stock complex. However, such time-series will not be available for a number of years. The amount of mixing between stocks cannot be estimated by the current sampling regime in the Malin Shelf survey. Consequently, a sampling programme has been developed to allow proper identification of fish population origins, making use of otolith and body shape techniques. Analyses will be compared to fish of known spawning origin collected during the EU project WESTHER. This sampling programme has been initiated in the 2010 synoptic acoustic survey.

### 1.4.4 JAKFISH

The EU FP7 research project JAKFISH (Judgement and Knowledge in Fisheries Involving Stakeholders, 2008-2011) was aimed at addressing the potential mismatch experienced between certain policy problems and the scientific tools to solve them, and on a lack of transparency in the soundness of scientific results. In particular the project has aimed at "investigating the roles that scientists play to help formulating policies, and how governance approaches can be developed which enable policy decisions to address uncertainty and complexity based on research and with the participation of stakeholders." This research is articulated around a number of casestudies involving participatory modelling with stakeholders around management issues. The management of WBSS herring was thus chosen as a relevant case study.

A series of HCRs were tested in the case study (Figure 1.4.4) and a comparison between the HCR suggested in the Non-Paper (Target $\mathrm{F}=0.25$, and $\mathrm{F}=0$ if $\mathrm{SSB}<110 \mathrm{kT}$,) and the preferred HCR suggested during the JAKFISH collaborative process (Target $\mathrm{F}=0.25$, and sloped F if $\mathrm{SSB}<110 \mathrm{kT}$, lower panel in Figure 4.1.1) showed that the NonPaper approach provided a quicker recovery of SSB during the first years of implementation - as expected given that the low level of current SSB (around 110kT) would lead to immediate fishery closure. However, over the long-term (average simulation results for the period 2018-2032), no significant difference in the results could be observed between both HCR with regard to average SSB level, risk of SSB falling below 110 kT and average yield. However, the agreed scenario in JAKFISH reduced significantly the average inter-annual variability in yield in the long-term.


Figure 1.4.4 Various HCR shapes tested upper panel: Constant TAC rule, middle panel: Target $F$ stepwise approach, lower panel: Target F sloped approach.

Involving stakeholders in an "extended peer review" process has acted as a natural and positive driving force for changing the whole perspective in fisheries management, from top-down short-term advice to bottom-up long-term commitment. The need to justify and explain the reasoning behind the scientific models, the outcomes of which will directly impact the livelihood of the stakeholders involved, leads to an auto-evaluation of the quality and soundness of the scientific knowledge (illustrated by the pedigree matrices), which in turns focuses the attention towards the most uncertain but important factors. This drives a natural and shared understanding that these factors should then be accounted for in the models, but with large confidence intervals around parameter values and related natural processes, and that the policy decisions should account for the potential risks linked to them. And if scientific uncertainty cannot be resolved, then the management must adapt to it and be precautionary. In some simulations being run with the same underlying dynamic of the herring stock, but with assumed perfect levels of knowledge, the uncertainty in management outcomes was considerably reduced, and higher yields were allowed. The participatory modelling process makes it easier to understand this fact, and for it to then be accepted.

### 1.4.5 Planning Group on commercial catch, discards and biological sampling [PGCCDBS]

PGCCDBS is the ICES forum for planning and co-ordination of collection of data for stock assessment purposes. It coordinates and initiates the development of methods and adopts sampling standards and guidelines. Many activities in this group are closely linked to the activities of the DCF, and DG MARE of the European Commission is a member of PGCCDBS to ensure coordination with the DCF activities. Stock assessment requires data covering the total removal from the fish stocks and the PG serves as a forum for coordination with non-EU member countries where appropriate.

Last year's recommendations and intersessional work were reviewed. Most of them were concluded with success and those not concluded gave rise to developments carried out during this year.

The intersessional work was related to developing a strategy for the analysis of be-tween-reader variation of ageing and maturity staging, the further development of a forum for age readers, the review of relevant conferences and self-sampling programmes, as well as creating an overview page on past age-reading workshops and exchanges.

The Group reviewed reports from relevant Expert Groups with respect to recommendations addressed to PGCCDBS. As a feedback mechanism from data users (mainly assessment WGs and benchmark assessment WKs) to the PG, 'data contact persons' have been nominated with a set of tasks to report on data problems and function as link between data collectors and data users. PGCCDBS acts as an advisory group on the further development of InterCatch. It did work best in the cases where the contact person was a member of both the AWG and PGCCDBS, which is the case for HAWG. HAWG 2009 appointed Lotte Worsøe Clausen (DTU Aqua) as contact person for the PGCCDBS and she is continuing this task in 2011.

Recent changes in data collection (e.g. through the revised EU DCF) were reviewed and the need for workshops was defined.

The methodological workshops WKACCU, WKPRECISE and WKMERGE previously initiated by PGCCDBS have provided valuable general knowledge in how catch sampling programs can be designed and the reports are beneficial for countries aiming to improve the current situation. PGCCDBS further stresses the need to establish a methodological support system for catch sampling and suggests that a series of workshops be set up and the findings presented in a reference book, as this is missing at the present time. The main aim with the series of workshops would be to provide countries with enough support to design and implement scientifically sound and transparent sampling programs enabling quality assessment of estimates used for stock assessment.

### 1.4.6 WKHERMP

The workshop on the evaluation of the long-term management plan for North Sea herring [WKHERMP] was set up by ICES to answer a request from the EU and Norway on the future of the management plan for North Sea autumn spawning herring. There were nine participants of the workshop that took place in March 2011. The approach of WKHERMP was one of a qualitative assessment of the questions from EU/Norway within the framework of the herring assessment working group and the previous investigations of the North Sea herring management plan (e.g. WKHMP
which met in 2008). All of the considerations were carried out within a single stock and single species approach and did not consider multispecies interactions or the role of herring within the North Sea ecosystem.

WKHERMP found no substantive changes to the biology or ecology of herring to suggest that the simulations from WKHMP 2008 were no longer applicable (recruitment, growth, maturity, migrations). Although the fishing behaviour of some fleets may have recently altered, these potential changes were judged unlikely to impact on other aspects of the management plan. The quality of the stock assessment may have changed in recent years. This change in quality could have implications in terms of understanding the signal to noise ratio from the assessment and the functioning of the simulations of the management plan.

The management plan was evaluated. The management plan appears to operate well in relation to the objectives of consistency with the precautionary approach and a rational exploitation pattern, but not in relation to achieving stable and high yield. The main weakness appears to be the $15 \%$ IAV limit on TAC change which leads to unnecessarily restricted TACs when the stock is improving.

A scientific analysis of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ should be carried out. Although it is no longer used for management considerations nor part of the management plan, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is widely used in the classification of the stock status thus it is important to the industry.

The current $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ of 0.25 is consistent with the MSY approach under the current low recruitment regime. The management plan is also considered consistent with the MSY approach, although the trade-off between stability and high yield will limit the maximising of yield in some circumstances.

There is no basis to further adjust the harvest control rule to account for recruitment variability or trends.

In view of the exceptional increase in the estimated SSB in 2010, WKHERMP noted that it was better to have a management plan that is able to be responsive to large changes in the biology of the stock, or assessment uncertainty, than mechanisms for within-year revisions within the management plan.

WKHERMP recommend that further work on the management plan be carried out in 2011, prior to the December decisions by the EU and Norway, to develop mechanisms that avoid the unwanted side-effects of the present plan. This work cannot be carried out during the 2011 herring assessment working group.

### 1.4.7 WKWATSUP

The overall outcome of WKWATSUP was a TAC setting procedure alternative to the procedures suggested evaluated by the joint request from the EC Commission and Norway. WKWATSUP suggest that the TAC should first be set for the WBSS according to the FMSY or FMSY transition framework for WBSS alone. If the NSAS is greatly impacted by management of the WBSS, this rule needs to be re-evaluated. Following this, the fraction taken in the eastern part of the North Sea (parts of Sub Divisions IVb and IVaE) should be subtracted from the total TAC for the WBSS before sharing the TAC between Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24. Subsequently the best estimates of the proportions of the NSAS and WBSS in the catch by fleet should be used to calculate the combined catch options in compliance with the targeted catch for WBSS.

The 50:50 share of the WBSS TAC between Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 was not specifically evaluated by WKWATSUP. It was viewed as a political choice and thus all evaluations of TAC setting procedures were performed applying a 50:50 share of the TAC between Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24, though using three different approaches as how to include the share taken in the North Sea. WKWATSUP recommends a seasonal closure of the herring fishery in parts of the eastern North Sea. However, until such is implemented, the suggested approach by the WKWATSUP mentioned above should be applied.

WKWATSUP showed that the selection patterns of the C and F fleets were very different and thus choices about the share between Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 are likely to have an impact on the sustainable exploitation of the stock.

WKWATSUP summarised the existing knowledge on migrations and area distributions for NSAS and WBSS based on literature and recent catch and survey data. The general migration routes are known, however, an end-to-end spatial lifecycle-closure model could be developed, encompassing active migrations of spawning components and larval drift, to investigate the connection, interactions and spatial distribution of herring. There are large amounts of empirical data available with which to verify the model, although the paucity of knowledge about overwintering and feeding locations and processes will challenge its construction.

WKWATSUP reviewed the sampling for stock proportions in the mixed catches of herring. There was clearly a mis-match between sampling intensity and catch distribution, particularly in relation to the part of the WBSS that migrates into the eastern North Sea during summer feeding migrations and WKWATSUP made recommendations as how to improve the sampling scheme.

The methodology currently used to estimate stock proportions at age in the mixed catches of herring was evaluated and recent development using a statistical modelling approach was presented by WKWATSUP. Some problems are still unresolved, but the group recommends further refinement and peer-review of this approach with an incitement to apply the approach during the next HAWG.

### 1.4.8 WKFRAME II and WCFRAME

In 2011, WKFRAME II, the Workshop on Implementing the ICES Fmsy Framework met for 4 days in January. Some aspects of the report were dealt with by ACOM Web Conference (WCFRAME) in March, giving guidance on various aspects of advice provision in 2011.

WKFRAME considered the ICES MSY HCR in some detail, suggesting alternative forms, particularly in relation to Blim. WKFRAME suggested that adopting a singular approach will make it possible to give advice using the ICES MSY framework which is consistent with both the PA and MSY approaches. WCFRAME did not give definitive guidance on what action should be taken for stocks that are below Blim, but suggested that each case should be dealt with using expert judgement.

WCFRAME has established that ICES advice for 2012 will take an hierarchical approach to advice. Agreed management plans will take precedence. A list of such plans has been provided by ACOM. For this working group, there are three such plans: North Sea herring long term management plan, the VIa North herring long term management plan and the Celtic Sea herring rebuilding plan.

In 2011 the transition scheme will be step 2 of 5 steps towards achieving Fmsy by 2015. The scheme to be followed for advice for 2012 is provided in the text table below.

|  | IF | MSY | Transition to MSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICES 2010 + WKFRAME- 2 | SSB $_{\text {2012 }} \mathbf{~ > ~}=$ MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {triger }}$ | Fadv=Fmsy | ```- and F F2011 <= Fmsy then: Fadv=Fmsy (no transition required) - and F2011 > Fmsy then: Fadv=min{(0.6*F}\mp@subsup{F}{2010}{}+0.4*Fmsy); Fpa where: F}\mp@subsup{F}{2010}{}\mathrm{ is current year estimate of }\mp@subsup{F}{2010}{``` |
| ICES 2010 WKFRAME 2 | SSB $_{2012}<$ MSY $^{\text {crigger }}$ | Fadv=Fmsy* SSB $_{2012} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | Fadv $=\min \left\{\left(0.6^{*} \mathrm{~F}_{2010}+0.4^{*}\left(\mathrm{Fmsy}^{*} \mathrm{SSB}_{2012} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}\right)\right.\right.$ ); Fpa $\}$ where: $F_{2010}$ is current year estimate of $F_{2010}$ |
| ICES 2010 | SSB $_{2012}$ <<< MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ (e.g below $B_{\text {lim }}$ ) and/or signs of $R$ failure | Fadv=Fmsy*SSB ${ }_{2012} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | More rapid transition; application of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY-HCR }}$ as soon as possible and additional conservation measures if appropriate; $\mathrm{F}=0$ |

WKFRAME also considered an MSY approach to be applied in cases where no analytical assessment is available. The group provided some guidance on how to proceed with advice formulation in such cases. This approach should be useful to HAWG in drafting advice for sprat stocks and also some data-poor herring stocks such as the Clyde herring.

### 1.5 Commercial catch data collation, sampling, and terminology

### 1.5.1 Commercial catch and sampling: data collation and handling

## Input spreadsheet and initial data processing

Since 1999 (catch data 1998), the Working Group members have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data. The current version used for reporting the 2009 catch data was v1.6.4. These data were then further processed with the SALLOC-application (Patterson, 1998). This program gives the required standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set. This allows recalculation of data in the future, or storage and analyses in other tools like InterCatch (see section 1.5.4), choosing the same (subjective) decisions currently made by the WG. Ideally, all data for the various areas should be provided on the standard spreadsheet and processed similarly, resulting in a single output file for all stocks covered by this working group. National catch data submission was due by 24th February 2011. All nations generally deliver their data in due time or only a very few days later. All nations submitted catch and sampling data via the official exchange spreadsheets, and some of them loaded data into the InterCatch database.

More information on data handling transparency, data archiving and the current methods for compiling fisheries assessment data are given in Stock Annex 3. To facilitate a long-term data storage, the group stores all relevant catch and sampling data in a separate "archive" folder on the ICES network, which is updated annually. This collection is supposed to be kept confidential as it will contain data on misreporting and unallocated catches, and will be available for WG members on request. Table 1.5.1 gives an overview of data available at present, and the source of the data. Members are encouraged to use the latest-version input spreadsheets if the re-entering of catch data is required. Figure 1.5 .1 shows the separation of areas applied to data in the archive.

### 1.5.2 Sampling

## Quality of sampling for the whole area

The level of catch sampling by area is given in the table below for all herring stocks covered by HAWG (in terms of fraction of catch sampled and number of age readings per $1000 t$ catch). There is considerable variation between areas. Further details of the sampling quality can be found by stock in the respective sections in the report.

| Area | Official Catch | Sampled Catch | Age Readings | Age Readings <br> per 1000t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa(E) | 9586 | 3825 | 82 | 9 |
| IVa(W) | 108973 | 98142 | 6516 | 60 |
| IVb | 29547 | 23546 | 1708 | 58 |
| IVc | 3691 | 1674 | 30 | 8 |
| VIId | 22832 | 14709 | 1581 | 69 |
| VIIa(N) | 4894 | 3719 | 1517 | 310 |
| VIa(N) | 22510 | 14294 | 1909 | 85 |
| IIIa | 37229 | 33376 | 6795 | 5 |
| Celtic, VIIj | 8370 | 8370 | 2528 | 302 |
| VIa(S), VIIb,c | 7423 | 7423 | 2006 | 270 |

## The EU sampling regime

HAWG has recommended for years that sampling of commercial catches should be improved for most of the stocks. The EU directive for the collection of fisheries data was implemented in 2002 for all EU member states (Commission Regulation 1639/2001). The provisions in the "data directive" define specific sampling levels per 1000 tons catch. The definitions applicable for herring and the area covered by HAWG are given below:

| Area | sampling level per 1000 т catch |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baltic area (IIIa (S) and IIIb-c) | 1 sample of which | 100 fish measured and | 50 aged |
| Skagerrak (IIIa (N)) | 1 sample | 100 fish measured | 100 aged |
| North Sea (IV and VIId): | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |
| NE Atlantic and Western Channel ICES | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |
| Subareas II, V, VI, VII (excluding d) VIII, |  |  |  |
| IX, X, XII, XIV |  |  |  |

There are some exemptions to the above mentioned sampling rules if e.g. landings of a specific EU member states are less than $5 \%$ of the total EU-quota for that particular species.

The process of setting up bilateral agreements for sampling landings into foreign ports started in 2005. However, there is scope for improvement, and more of these agreements have to be negotiated, especially between EU and non-EU countries, to reach a sufficient sampling coverage of these landings. Besides this, HAWG notes the absence of formal agreements or procedures on the exchange of data collected from samples from foreign vessels landing into different states. HAWG decided that in the absence of guidance, this should be resolved on a case by case basis, but preferred to receive guidance from PGCCDBS (see also Section 1.4.6).

Given the diversity of the fleets harvesting most stocks assessed by HAWG, an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different metiers is more important to the quality of catch-at-age data than a sufficient overall sampling level. The WG therefore recommends that all metiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including bycatches in the industrial fisheries), that catches landed abroad should be sampled, and information on these samples should be made available to the national laboratories.

### 1.5.3 Terminology

The WG noted that the use of "age", "winter rings" and "rings" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings" or "ringers" instead of "age" throughout the report. It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". Further elaboration on the rationale behind this can be found in the Stock Annex 3.

### 1.5.4 Intercatch

InterCatch is a web-based system for handling fish stock assessment data. National fish stock catches are imported to InterCatch. Stock coordinators then allocate sampled catches to unsampled catches, aggregate to stock level and download the output. The InterCatch stock output can then be used as input for the assessment models." Stock coordinators used InterCatch for the first time at the 2007 Herring Assessment Working Group. Comparisons between InterCatch and conventional used systems (e.g., Salloc and spreadsheets) were carried out annually since 2007. During HAWG 2011, InterCatch was fully operational. The comparison is available for a collection of stocks (her_47d3, her_vian, her_3a22, her_irls, her_irlw, her nirs). Maximum discrepancies between the systems are presented in Table 1.5.2. These are in general small. A five percent difference occurs in the mean weight-at-age for 0 -wr herring for NSAS. In absolute numbers these are 7.5 (Salloc) to 7.8 gram (InterCatch). The overall landings calculated by both procedures for North Sea herring stock matches by 4 t . InterCatch was for the first time also used for the stock in the Baltic Sea. While CATON matches well between the conventional system and IC, larger discrepancies up to $8 \%$ occur in 1-ringers.

In principle, the stock coordinators found that InterCatch is a helpful tool that it has the potential to reduce errors and work load of the stock coordinators. Many improvements have been implemented. The output files from InterCatch become more comparable to the information from the conventional systems than in former years, but information on catch by rectangle and length distribution is still not included.

During HAWG, there was no time for a more detailed comparison at the area level. This may be done by correspondence between stock-coordinators and ICES InterCatch team.

### 1.6 Methods Used

### 1.6.1 ICA

"Integrated Catch-at-age Analysis" (ICA: Patterson, 1998; Needle, 2000) combines a statistical separable model of fishing mortality for recent years with a conventional VPA for the more distant past. Population estimates are tuned by abundance or

CPUE indices from commercial fisheries or research-vessel surveys, which may be age-structured or not as required. ICA is run using FLICA which performed the same analysis as the original version but from an FLR platform (Fisheries Library in R). FLICA was used to assess all herring stocks in HAWG with the exception of herring in VIaS and VIIb,c.

### 1.6.2 FLXSA and FLICA [recent developments of XSA and ICA in R] and SURBA

The FLR (Fisheries Library in R) system (www.flr-project.org) is an attempt to implement a framework for modelling integrated fisheries systems including population dynamics, fleet behaviour, stock assessment and management objectives. The stock assessment tools in FLR can also be used on their own in the WG context. The combination of the statistical and graphical tools in R with the stock assessment aids the exploration of input data and results.

This year new diagnostic plots were developed to show anomalies in stock weights at age, as well as to show time trends at age for, e.g., stock weights or catch weights. In addition, functions have been developed to produce the standard graph output used within the advice sheets and to estimate reference points. It should be noted however that these reference points should be interpreted as proxies.

Exploratory survey-based analysis was conducted using the SURBA software package for the Irish Sea. SURBA is a development of the RCRV1A model of Cook, 1997. It assumes a separable model of fishing mortality, and generates relative estimates for population abundance (and absolute estimates for fishing mortality) by minimising the sum-of-squares differences between observed and fitted survey-derived abundance. The method is described in detail in Needle (2003) and the software is available on the ICES network. SURBA has been used to produce comparative stock analyses in several ICES assessment Working Groups (e.g., WGNSSK, WGNSDS, WGCSE), and has been scrutinised by the ICES Working Group on Methods of Fish Stock Assessment (WGMG, 2003 and 2004). The version of the software available to HAWG 2010 was Version 3.0.

### 1.6.3 FLR and MFDP

Short-term predictions for the North Sea used a code developed in R. The method was developed in 2009 and intensively compared to the MFSP approach. The Western Baltic Spring Spawner forecast used the standard projection routines developed under FLR package Flash (version 2.0.0 Tue Mar 24 09:11:58 2009). Other short-term predictions were carried out using the MFDP v.1a software and MSYPR that was developed several years ago in the HAWG (Skagen; WD to HAWG 2003).

### 1.6.4 Medium term projections

Performing medium term projections is no longer viewed as a task for the Herring Assessment Working Group. In the future, medium term projections will be performed during specifically designed working groups.

### 1.6.5 Fmsy management simulations

For the medium term projections to outline Fmsy in Section 1.3, the HCS10 software was used. This is a medium term projection program designed for exploring harvest control rules, without doing a full assessment as part of the annual simulation loop. The program is a recently revised and updated version of the HCM/HCS software
that has been used for evaluation of management plans in the past (mackerel, blue whiting in particular). It has an age based population model in the background with stochastic recruitments but fixed weights and maturities, an 'observation' (assessment) model that produces a noisy basis for management decisions, a management rule module with various options, and an implementation module that translates management decisions into real removals, again with noise. Yield and biomass per recruit is calculated as a by-product.

For the present purpose, the program was run over 50 years with a range of fixed fishing mortalities as the management decision rule, with no modifications.

The program with manual and example files is available from the author, and in the HAWG 2010 SharePoint site.

### 1.6.6 Separable VPA

In situations where no tuning data exist, the WG uses separable VPA, implemented in the Lowestoft Package (Darby and Flatman, 1994). This is a VPA that assumes that fishing mortality can be separated into year and age effects. HAWG screens over terminal fishing mortalities in a realistic range.

### 1.6.7 Repository setup for HAWG

To increase the efficiency and verifiability of the data and code used to perform the assessments as well as the short term forecasts within HAWG a repository system was set up in 2009. Within this repository, all stocks own a subfolder where they can store their data and code to run the assessments. At the same time, there is one common folder, used by all assessments, that ensures that the FLR libraries used are identical for all stocks, as well as the output generated to evaluate the performance of the assessment.

The repository is public and can be found at: http://code.google.com/p/hawg/. Contributing to the repository is not possible for outsiders as a password is required. Downloading data and code is possible to the public. The repository is maintained by members of the WG.

### 1.6.8 Taylor plots

HAWG has this year pioneered the use of the "Taylor diagram" as a way to visualize and interpret the outputs from a stock-assessment model. Taylor diagrams (Taylor 2001) are common tools in the climate sciences used to examine the outputs of global circulation models. Such models typically produce vast quantities of data (terabytes or more) at high temporal and spatial scales: comparing these outputs against observations can thus be a challenging task. In response, the community has developed a series of techniques to allow the ready comparison of observations and modeled values. Whilst fisheries science and stock assessments do not generate the same quantities of data, the same methods can readily be applied to aid in the interpretation of our models (Payne 2011).

One of the most common of these is the so-called Taylor-diagram (Taylor 2001). The diagram is based on the realization that there is a mathematical relationship between four of the most commonly employed metrics for evaluating model performance: the correlation coefficient between the observed and modeled data, $r$, the standard deviations of the modeled, $\sigma_{m}$ and observed data, $\sigma_{0}$, and the centered root-mean-square of the difference between the observed and modeled data, $E^{\prime}$. The relationship between
these four values mimics the law of cosines and therefore can be used to construct a plot. More details are available in (Taylor 2001) and (Payne 2011).

An example of such a diagram applied to the WBSS assessment is shown in Figure 1.6.1. The key to interpreting the figure is to understand that it is not a standard Cartesian plot, but rather a radial plot, where points are specified according to their angle from the vertical, and distance (radius) from the origin. The angular direction in this case represents the correlation coefficient - note that the scale is non-linear. The distance from the origin represents the standard deviation of the observations, normalized by the standard deviation of the modeled values. The points therefore should be as close as possible to the 1.0 point on the horizontal axis - this is the point where the correlation coefficient is 1.0 and the variability of the model and the observations are the same: the closer to this reference point a set of observations lies, the better the agreement between the model and the observations.

In the case of the WBSS diagram (Figure 1.x.1), we can see that it is the GerAS survey that has the best agreement between the modeled and actual observations. The GerAS points are also closely grouped together, suggesting similarities in their properties and agreement with the model. The HERAS time series show poorer agreement with the models - the age 3,4 , and 5 values are closely grouped, but we note that the age 6 values show appreciably poorer agreement with the model. The N20 index appears to be fitted well by the model.

These results are in agreement with the general understanding of this assessment (Payne 2009; HAWG 2008), and whilst there is nothing new in these results, we have essentially reduced dozens of output figures down to a single diagram. The Taylor diagram therefore offers a simple and concise way to summarise the outputs of an assessment (Payne 2011) and has been used throughout this report for that purpose.

### 1.6.9 Two-stage Biomass Model

A two-Stage Biomass model for the assessment of Irish Sea VIIa(N) herring given additional variance in the recruitment index (Roel et al. 2009) addresses the problem of the high uncertainty in the assessment of Irish Sea herring, which to some extent may be related to the presence of juvenile Celtic Sea herring in both the fishery and the survey area. In the absence of a Celtic Sea herring recruitment index, the biomass model limits recruitment variability in Irish Sea herring on the basis of information available for other herring stocks and estimates an additional variance which represents variability related to the presence of Celtic Sea juveniles.

The model is fitted to biomass indices of 1-ringer fish and to aggregated biomass indices for the 2-rings+ from Northern Ireland acoustic surveys.

Limitations in the age-composition data and potential interannual variation in the selection pattern of the fishery favour an assessment method, such as the two-stage biomass method, which is based on a simplified age structure and does not require separability assumptions.

### 1.6.10 VIT Software

The VIT software (Lleonart and Salat, 1997) is extensively used for Mediterranean stocks for which only one year of catch at age data exists. The model fits a rudimentary VPA to catch at age data from a single year (pseudo-cohort analysis) and derives from it, population abundances, F at age and a yield per recruit curve.

### 1.7 Discarding and unaccounted mortality by Pelagic fishing Vessels

In many fisheries, fish, invertebrates and other animals are caught as by-catch and returned to the sea, a practice known as discarding. Most animals do not survive this procedure. Reasons for discarding are various and usually have economic or operational drivers:

- Fish smaller than the minimum landing size
- Quota for this specific species has already been taken
- Fish of undesired quality, size (high-grading) or low market value
- By-caught species of no commercial value
- Insufficient time for processing in relation to incoming catch

Theoretically, the use of modern fish finding technology used to find schools of fish should result in low by-catch. However, if species mixing occurs in pelagic schools (most notable of herring and mackerel), non-target species might be discarded. Releasing unwanted catch from the net (slipping, now generally prohibited in the North Sea) or pumping unsorted catch overboard also results in discarding.

In the area considered by HAWG, three nations reported on discard observations from fleets in 2010. Scotland incorporated discards in the catch data by stock. The discard figures were raised to national landings (based on the spatial and temporal distribution of the fleet by metier), and used in the assessment of North Sea autumn spawning (see Section 2.2) and VIaN (see Section 5.2) herring. The Netherlands estimated herring discards from sorting of approximately 600 tonnes (CV=65\%) in 2009 but sampling was not at a high enough resolution to allocate the catch in individual stocks (Helmond \& van Overzee WD). This estimate is for all Dutch flagged vessels across the entire ICES area. The fleet has total landings is over 300000 tonnes of fish per year in the ICES area. The estimates were based on observer trips and in 2010 included observations from Pelagic Freezer Trawler vessels from the Netherlands, Germany and England. These discards are the processing (sorted) discards and have been routinely monitored since 2003. Observers also report flushing of the tanks by pelagic vessels. It is difficult to robustly estimate the biomass of fish released in this manner. It could be considered tank slippage. The best estimate for the Dutch fleet was 4300 tonnes in 2010, which is similar to last year (Helmond \& van Overzee WD). From 2006 to 2010 less than $5 \%$ of hauls observed were discarded directly from the tanks. There appears to be no size selection for landed herring compared to discarded herring in the Dutch fleet.

Germany runs an observer programme which reported no discard of herring from pelagic vessels in 2010. At least six trips carried observers. Ireland also conducts a discard observer scheme for herring fisheries, though to date, no instances of discarding have been reported.

No other nations reported on discards of herring in the pelagic fisheries, either because they did not occur, catches were not sampled for discards or there were difficulties with raising procedures. There were no other studies on unaccounted fishing mortality in herring presented to HAWG.

The inclusion of discarded catch is considered to reduce bias of the assessment and thus give more realistic values of fishing mortality and biomass. However, they might also increase the uncertainty in the assessment because the sampling level for discards is usually lower than that for landings (Dickey-Collas et al. 2007). This low sampling rate is caused by the large number of different metiers in the pelagic fishery and the difficulty of predicting behaviour of the fisheries (in terms of target species
and spatial and temporal distribution). Raising discard estimates to the national landings might result in a higher bias than an area based estimate of discards from the total international fleet, if sampling is insufficient. HAWG therefore recommends that the development of methods for estimating discards should be fleet based, rather than on a national basis. Recent regulations have been introduced to constrain discarding and slippage of catch in EU waters. Discarding has been illegal in Norwegian waters for many years and the requirements for the reporting of slippage are currently under review. Slippage events are counted against quota in Norway.

## Conclusion

HAWG has no evidence that discarding of herring is a major problem at present for the estimation of population dynamics of herring, for the conservation of the stocks covered by HAWG, or for the ecosystem as a whole.

### 1.8 Ecosystem considerations, MSFD and SIASM for sprat and herring

### 1.8.1 Ecosystem drivers for fisheries advice

The traditional ICES approach to fisheries science and management has focused on single species dynamics without considering environmental or ecosystem interactions of drivers. The system is generally assumed to be stable and much management advice is given based on the assumption of equilibrium in the system and stationarity in the relationships. These assumptions are not appropriate, especially for herring or sprat stocks (Nash et al., 2009). Thus ICES needs to consider environmental variability, the impact of environmental drivers and changes in productivity and carrying capacity.

Whilst progress has been made towards incorporating ecosystem drivers in advice, e.g. through integrated assessment WGs, finding appropriate ecosystem drivers for implementation into fisheries advice is an area that needs to be further developed by ICES over the coming years. This includes gaining more knowledge on the ecosystem drivers than on how to apply these in advice. Moreover, very few cause-and-effect relationships have withstood the test of time (Myers, 1998) and may not even exist in ecosystems which are also likely to exhibit alternative stable states.

In principle all life stages of herring and sprat may be affected by environmental drivers: eggs, larvae, juveniles and adults. The drivers may be of biotic (predators, inter and intra specific competitors, prey, human exploitation) or abiotic nature (natural or anthropogenically induced environmental effects such as hydrographical, climatic, chemical influences, etc.).

However, significant shifts in the environment that can affect any herring life stages are often called regime changes. But detecting structural breaks in processes is an ambitious task. This might be the reason that in the past HAWG has struggled to detect broad scale shifts and study their implication on herring and sprat stocks. However, new informative techniques have been developed more recently that allow judging the complex nature of such structural breaks or shifts (Groeger et al., 2011) and coupled bio-physical have been developed to simulate changes in the system (Hinrichsen et al., 2011). Moreover, the proposed shiftograms, alertograms and concentrograms allow for early warnings, given changes in one or a number of variables as well as larger concentrations of shift signals.

HAWG will use the North Sea herring as a case study to show how despite much research into drivers, HAWG can still not provide a robust approach, other than qualitative statements, for incorporating drivers into the advice.

North Sea herring has provided clear evidence that the paradigm of a single stockrecruitment relationship that has prevailed for the past 60 years (Bailey and Steele, 1992) is clearly invalid. Instead, the interaction of stock and recruitment must be viewed as being more fluid, changing gradually or periodically depending on ecosystem changes (regime shifts). In trying to project the productivity of North Sea herring forward, it is clear that recruitment adds the most uncertainty to the estimates of future yield and of the potential to reach biomass reference points within a specific time-frame (Dickey-Collas et al., 2010a).

Beside broad scale changes generating regime shifts, it is obvious that North Sea herring dynamics co-vary with environmental variability (Payne et al., 2009; Groeger et al., 2010; Dickey-Collas et al., 2010). Whilst the direct mechanisms are not known (Nash and Dickey-Collas, 2005; Brunel, 2010) and the spatial and temporal scales of covariance with the environment are still unclear (Petitgas et al., 2009; Röckmann et al., 2011; Fässler et al., in press) the productivity and distribution of herring have been shown to vary with the environment.

Variability in advection from the spawning grounds to the nursery grounds has long been thought to be a crucial factor (Corten, 1986; Bartsch et al., 1989; Munk and Christensen, 1990), but unequivocal support for this hypothesis has not been forthcoming (Dickey-Collas et al., 2009). Physiological modelling of temperature-specific food requirements suggest that the spawning periods utilized are the most favourable ones for larval growth and survival (Hufnagl et al., 2009). Indeed, changes in the planktonic system have been suggested as critical for recruitment (Cushing, 1992; Payne et al., 2009), but clear evidence is lacking. Variations in bottom temperature near the spawning grounds (Nash and Dickey-Collas, 2005; Payne et al., 2009), predation by jellyfish (Lynam et al., 2005), bottom-up processes (Hufnagl et al., 2009), and competition with other species (Corten, 1986) have been proposed as mechanisms that also affect recruitment. Groeger et al. (2010) suggests the changes in productivity are linked, with a forward lag of two and five years, to North Atlantic climatic indices. Other factors may also affect growth and survival of larval and juvenile herring (disease, storms, contaminants), but with some exceptions (Tjelmeland and Lindstrom, 2005) it has not been possible to include any environmental factors in recruitment models that can be used in routine assessments.

There is evidence for changes in the growth of North Sea herring. In populations experiencing large changes in abundance, density-dependent regulation of growth might occur, because of reduced competition for food when stock size is smaller (Melvin and Stephenson, 2007). Before and during the collapse (from the late 1940s to the early 1980s), length-at-age increased markedly (approx 2 cm at age 3) for the Orkney/Shetland, Banks, and Downs components (Dickey-Collas et al., 2010a). During the period of stock recovery, weight-at-age decreased and these declines were correlated significantly and inversely with stock size in Downs herring (Shin and Rochet, 1998). In contrast no density dependent growth was detected in the Celtic Sea herring (Lynch, 2010). More generally, strong herring year classes have grown poorly in recent years, suggesting that density-dependent mechanisms are operating.

Whereas most of the variations in size-at-age observed can be explained by densitydependent mechanisms, there are also indications of environmental effects. Modelling the growth of juvenile herring during the period of stock decline (1961-1981),

Heath et al. (1997) explained the interannual variability in growth rate (superimposed on the main trend of density-dependent growth) by environmental fluctuations (hydrographic conditions and plankton abundance). For juvenile and adult life stages, Brunel and Dickey-Collas (2010) established that temperature significantly explained variations in growth between cohorts of North Sea herring from the mid-1980s. Cohorts experiencing warmer conditions throughout their lifetime attained higher growth rates, but had a shorter life expectancy and smaller asymptotic size. There is, however, no current model to disentangle the various causes of variability in historical growth.

The environment also influences the migration of North Sea herring (Dickey-Collas et al 2010b; 2010c; Röckmann et al., 2011). There are currently no models to help either fully investigate these processes or understand how changes will affect the assessment or management of North Sea herring. Likewise the impact on herring on the North Sea ecosystem is difficult to predict (Dickey-Collas et al., 2010a). It is highly probable that the herring population impacts on the cod productivity (Speirs et al. 2010; Fauchald, 2010) with simulations suggesting that the cod stock cannot recover with a large herring population in the North Sea (Speirs et al., 2010).

Stock-recruitment relationships are no longer being considered as stationary (Chaput et al., 2005; Stige et al., 2006). It is still unclear as to whether North Sea autumn spawning herring has a stock to recruit or recruitment to stock relationship (Cushing and Bridger, 1966; Nash et al., 2009; Groeger et al., 2010). The former would imply that spawning biomass and the environment jointly influence the productivity and carrying capacity of the stock, whereas the later would suggest that it is only the environment that impacts on the dynamics. Determining whether a stock to recruit or recruit to stock relationship exists will influence choices about the most appropriate management of a stock in a variable environment. Brunel et al. (2010) assumed a stock to recruit relationship and they suggest that environmental harvest control rules (eHCRs) are beneficial when the environmental signal is strong and the environmental conditions are worsening, but in situations with little change, there is no appreciable benefit to developing eHCRs. The current North Sea herring rule was adjusted in 2008 to account for the lower productivity of the stock and developing these eHCRs does require an underlying understanding of the processes, which currently are lacking.

Thus it is clear from the North Sea herring example that despite many studies into environmental drivers of stock productivity leading to much improved understanding of the cause of variability in production, at present the potential to include this understanding into the provision of management advice it limited. HAWG considers that information on the environmental drivers of productivity of the stocks is very patchy, and mostly lacking (Table 1.8.1). HAWG acknowledges that this area requires targeted research efforts.

### 1.8.2 The marine strategy framework directive (MSFD)

As of 1 September 2010 under the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) the EU Commission published a catalogue of criteria and methodological standards on good environmental status (GES) of marine waters (Commission Decision: notified under document C(2010) 5956; text with EEA relevance; 2010/477/EU; L 232/14 Official Journal of the European Union of 2.9.2010). The Annex of this document is divided in two parts where Part A contains the "General conditions of application of the criteria for good environmental status" and Part B the "Criteria for good environmental status relevant to the descriptors of Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC"
where Part B includes a list of 11 descriptors that are (bold denotes of relevance to HAWG):

1. Descriptor 1: Biological diversity is maintained. The quality and occurrence of habitats and the distribution and abundance of species are in line with prevailing physiographic, geographic and climate conditions.
2. Descriptor 2: Non-indigenous species introduced by human activities are at levels that do not adversely alter the ecosystem.
3. Descriptor 3: Populations of all commercially exploited fish and shellfish are within safe biological limits, exhibiting a population age and size distribution that is indicative of a healthy stock.
4. Descriptor 4: All elements of the marine food webs, to the extent that they are known, occur at normal abundance and diversity and levels capable of ensuring the long-term abundance of the species and the retention of their full reproductive capacity.
5. Descriptor 5: Human-induced eutrophication is minimised, especially adverse effects thereof, such as losses in biodiversity, ecosystem degradation, harmful algal blooms and oxygen deficiency in bottom waters.
6. Descriptor 6: Sea-floor integrity is at a level that ensures that the structure and functions of the ecosystems are safeguarded and benthic ecosystems, in particular, are not adversely affected.
7. Descriptor 7: Permanent alteration of hydrographical conditions does not adversely affect marine ecosystems.
8. Descriptor 8: Concentrations of contaminants are at levels not giving rise to pollution effects.
9. Descriptor 9: Contaminants in fish and other seafood for human consumption do not exceed levels established by Community legislation or other relevant standards.
10. Descriptor 10: Properties and quantities of marine litter do not cause harm to the coastal and marine environment.
11. Descriptor 11: Introduction of energy, including underwater noise, is at levels that do not adversely affect the marine environment.

ICES has now been asked

- to identify elements of the EGs work that may help determine status for the 11 descriptors set out in the Commission Decision
- to provide views on what good environmental status might be for those descriptors, including methods that could be used to determine status.

Given this request, among the above 11 descriptors HAWG felt that it could comment on five ( $1,3,4,6$ and 11 as shown in bold in the list above).

Descriptor 1 - Biodiversity. HAWG regularly carries out assessments that are linked to the three sub-categories "Species Level", "Habitat Level" and "Ecosystem Level". Related to "Species Level" HAWG assesses/determines annually

- the distributional range and pattern of the various herring and sprat stocks and stock components dealt with in the WG
- the population size and biomass including the status of the recruitment and the spawning stock biomass (SSB)
- the population condition including demographic characteristics (e.g. length size, age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity rates, natural and fishing mortality rates) the population genetic structure to identify stock units

Because of the current single species nature of the HAWG assessments, with respect to "Habitat level" only marginal work is done to estimate habitat distribution, habitat extent and habitat condition. The work here focuses mainly on detecting the abiotic habitat conditions related to specific herring components and locations during scientific surveys (egg and larvae stages, spawning sites; IHLS, IBTS, etc). Similarly only marginal work is done regarding the ecosystem structure ("Ecosystem level").

Descriptor 3 -commercial fish. HAWG annually explores the status of herring and sprat stocks in the study area. The primary indicator for the level of pressure of the fishing activity is the fishing mortality ( F ). To achieve or maintain good environmental status it is assessed where fishing mortality is in relation to FMSY, and for many stocks whether the management plans conform to the MSY approach. To achieve this goal long term management plans are already established for some of the herring stocks in the study area. The F values are usually estimated from appropriate analytical assessments based on the analysis of catch (taken as all removals from the stock, including discards and unaccounted catch) at age and ancillary information. Where the knowledge of the population dynamics of the stock do not allow to carry out simulations, scientific judgement of $F$ values associated to the yield-per-recruit curve $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{R})$, combined with other information on the historical performance of the fishery or on the population dynamics of similar stocks, is used. The value for the indicator that reflects FMSY is determined based on the ICES MSY framework rules which includes the analysis of observed historical trends of the indicator combined with other information on the historical performance of the fishery.

As part of the annual stock assessments performed by HAWG the reproductive capacities of the herring stocks are determined using the Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB). The SSB values are usually estimated from appropriate analytical assessments based on the analysis of catch at age information. Where an analytical assessment allows the estimation of SSB, these values can be compared to appropriate reference points of stock status.
HAWG is aware that WGIPS has been asked to comment in 2011 on the appropriateness of the acoustic survey for determining the indicators on population age and size distribution (Proportion of fish larger than the mean size of first sexual maturation; Mean maximum length across all species found in research vessel surveys; $95 \%$ percentile of the fish length distribution observed in research vessel surveys). HAWG will wait for the input of WGIPS before it will comment on this descriptor 3.3.

Descriptor 4 -food webs. HAWG studies the dynamics of important forage fish, herring and sprat. Thus it provides important data on the dynamics of populations relevant to the ecosystems around Denmark, the North Sea and the waters around the UK and Ireland.

Descriptor 6 - sea bed integrity. Although most pelagic fisheries do not often impact the sea bed, HAWG recommends that an assessment of the potential pressure on the seabed by pelagic fishing be carried out. HAWG also views indicators of the state of gravel beds as important.

Descriptor 11 - Introduction of energy. HAWG acknowledges recent studies on the effect of marine noise on pelagic fish. It cannot provide expertise on this matter but pelagic fish have been studied with regards to this matter.

### 1.8.3 The strategic initiative on area based science and management (SIASM).

ACOM and SCICOM have setup a Strategic Initiative on Area-based Science and Management (SIASM). The steering Group of SIASM held a workshop on Marine Spatial Planning in 2010, which produced a concrete work programme. Working closely with the ICES Data Centre and other relevant groups, SIASM aims to define and quantify viable ecosystem features necessary to deliver goods and services, and to define and quantify its vulnerability, cumulative impacts, and synergies. SIASM will translate this capacity into advice, and communicate it to clients, Member Countries, stakeholders, and the scientific community. However, the last paragraphs of the 2010 Marine Spatial Planning Workshop report summarize the potential spatial planning needs; in a set of questions it is pointed out how ICES WGs can contribute; the bullet points relevant to HAWG are:

- ICES should define scenarios and set priorities for both pressures and ecosystems status. These should reflect the needs of planners, managers and decisionmakers. Has or can the WG considered, identified or developed priorities or scenarios (or behaviour or ecosystem models that could be used) in terms of natural or anthropogenic pressures and/or ecosystem status, function, structure, and/or process that could be helpful in setting good environmental status (MSFD-GES) or for marine spatial planning.
- ICES should identify what indicators are available for assessment purposes and suggest ones where these are lacking and also identify which species and habitats need protection, i.e. what are the key species and habitats. Has or can the WG identify indicators for assessing which species or habitats need protection or which might be key indicator species for assessing the effects of human activities. Particular consideration should be give to assessing the impacts of very large renewable energy plans with a view to identifying/predicting the potentially catastrophic outcomes. For such plans tipping point/carrying capacity analyses, models and indicators are needed.
- ICES should also prepare spawning site maps, fishery activity maps and habitat maps covering system function and process, methods to assess resistance and resilience of ecosystems (vulnerability mapping), assessment of connectivity (e.g. life history traits), carrying capacity, impacts (including cumulative) and potential synergies. Can the WG provide or identify where any such maps may exist? Suggestions on how such maps could be generated or where data for their production could be found should also be provided.
- ICES should prepare a spatial/temporal map of fisheries management/regulation under the CFP or national regulation - scale/extent/duration/ closures/restrictions etc. In addition the maps showing the areas of each of the RAC would be helpful. This will facilitate the incorporation of fisheries management into the planning process at an early stage. Has the WG prepared or is it aware of the existence of such maps or could it provide data / information that assist in their preparation?

Given this, the following 2011 ToRs to ICES EGs which have been added by SIASM and were circulated by ICES are welcome by HAWG:
(1) take note of and comment on the Report of the Workshop on the Science for areabased management: Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning in Practice (WKCMSP) http://www.ices.dk/reports/SSGHIE/2011/WKCMSP11.pdf
(2) provide information that could be used in setting pressure indicators that would complement biodiversity indicators currently being developed by the Strategic

Initiative on Biodiversity Advice and Science (SIBAS). Particular consideration should be given to assessing the impacts of very large renewable energy plans with a view to identifying/predicting potentially catastrophic outcomes.
(3) identify spatially resolved data, for e.g. spawning grounds, fishery activity, habitats, etc.

In addressing point (2) of the SIASM ToRs, HAWG could provide catch (by rectangle and quarter) and VMS (geo-referenced at different time intervals) data comprising information from fishing activities that can be used to set pressure indicators.

In addressing point (3) of the SIASM ToRs, HAWG could further provide:

- data from larvae surveys including IHLS data sets (North Sea) and data derived from the Rügen herring larvae surveys (Baltic Sea). These data contain spatially resolved biological information related to the spawning grounds of herring (larvae abundances, length frequencies of larvae, etc) plus spatially resolved habitat information
- IBTS data that contain spatially resolved survey catch and effort data; the IBTS data sets also include MIK data as well as spatially resolved habitat information
- Acoustic data originating from various surveys in the study area of HAWG.


### 1.9 Pelagic Regional Advisory Council [Pelagic RAC]

In 2011, the Pelagic RAC sent a communication to the HAWG regarding its perception of status of several stocks. HAWG has considered these issues, and pays attention to them below. HAWG provides information that is used by ACOM, and only ACOM is mandated to provide advice for ICES. Hence this section cannot be considered as ICES advice.

## North Sea

For the North Sea herring, the RAC questioned the utility of the 15\% TAC fluctuation constraint. The RAC also expressed its concern that the provision that $50 \%$ of the IIIa TAC can be caught in Subarea IV contributes additional mortality to the North Sea stock. Finally the RAC noted that 44600 t of Norwegian spring spawning herring were caught in IV, and expressed the concern that these fish may in fact be North Sea herring.

WKHMP discussed the management plan for North Sea herring and found it working well in relation to the objectives of consistency with the precautionary approach and a rational exploitation pattern, but not in relation to achieving stable and high yield. The main weakness appears to be the $15 \%$ IAV limit on TAC change which leads to unnecessarily restricted TACs when the stock is improving.

HAWG views unpredictable management decisions, e.g. the provision that $50 \%$ of the IIIa TAC can be taken in Subarea IV, as being difficult to handle. The provision of scientifically sound catch options in a complicated management area is impaired by these changes to the management regime.

Regarding the potential catch of NSAS under the NSS TAC, IMR analysed data from 2009, from the spawning season. The result of the analyses show that the herring caught from $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $59^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in January-April without any doubts all belongs to the NSS herring stock. Compared with the North Sea herring caught in area 42 in May-July, the NSS herring is significantly larger at the same age. In fact the largest herring at the same age are the ones migrating to the southernmost spawning grounds in 2009.

This has also been demonstrated in the period 1995-2000, when the NSS herring also visited the southernmost spawning grounds.

## Western Baltic spring spawners

For western Baltic spring spawning herring, the RAC members having been involved in the JAKFISH project have questioned the knowledge base for determining catch compositions in areas where WBSS herring is caught together in a mix with North Sea herring. It was questioned whether the sampling scheme in area IIIa reflects the distribution of catches in time and space well enough to provide a solid basis for extrapolating observed mixing percentages to fleet scale. Also it was questioned whether there was enough evidence to justify strong advice about introducing additional conservation measures to prevent catches of WBSS herring in the transfer area (IVa East) from increasing.
The WKWATSUP reviewed the sampling for stock proportions in the mixed catches of herring. There was clearly a mismatch between sampling intensity and catch distribution, particularly in relation to the part of the WBSS that migrates into the Eastern North Sea during summer feeding migrations and the WKWATSUP made recommendations as how to improve the sampling scheme which have been forwarded to PGCCDBS in 2011.

A closure of parts of the Eastern North Sea during the summer feeding migration period for WBSS could potentially protect the part of the SSB which migrates to this area. However, this needs to be scientifically examined and validated before any firm recommendations can be put forward concerning this matter.

The RAC noted that fishermen targeting herring in area IIIa observe much more herring then they would expect based on the WBSS herring assessment. They have suggested that local stocks have re-established themselves in area IIIa.

Several local stocks have been identified in the area (Bekkevold et al., 2005, 2007) and it is not unlikely, that fishermen may target these while fishing in the IIIa area. The size of these local stocks is not assessed in the current assessment of herring in the IIIa area. The presence of local stock components in IIIa may call for a modification of the current sampling strategy if those components are to be given higher priority to be included in the assessment of the stock mixing in the area. It is however important to notice that the local stock component in IIIa is likely to be less than $5 \%$ of the all herring present in the area but more robust estimates should be provided in the future to confirm those estimates.

In the case of herring in VIaS/VIIbc the RAC disagrees with the HAWG perception that the stock has decreased to a low level. The RAC noted that the industry does not share the perception that the stock is at a low level, and that experience from the fishing grounds suggests that herring abundance is high. HAWG continues to try to improve estimation of the status of this stock, see Section 6. These efforts include:

- Age reading analyses
- Efforts to split the MSHAS survey abundances
- Exploration of alternative assessments.


### 1.10 Data coordination through PGCCDBS and/or the Regional Coordination Meeting (RCM)

## Assessment Working Group (AWG) recommendations

During HAWG 2010, Lotte Worsøe Clausen (DTU Aqua) compiled all issues relevant to PGCCDBS in the table "Stock Data Problems Relevant to Data Collection" (included it in the HAWG 2010 report). The PGCCDBS reviewed AWG reports with respect to recommendations addressed to PGCCDBS. The relevant recommendations for HAWG and the PGCCDBS response is listed in the below table.

| AWG/WK | Stock | Data problem | How to be addressed/ by whom | PGCCDBS Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAWG | Western <br> Baltic <br> spring- <br> spawning <br> herring | Sampling of mixed stock in Transfer area: Not adequate sampling of the mixed stock in the transfer area (IVaE); this results in a transfer of old, heavy NSS into IIIa (as the VS split gives them the ID 'spring'), inflating the SSB. | Sampling of herring from the Transfer area should be covering all quarters and the entire ALK; but in particular in the Transfer area, so the entire SD IVaE Age-Length Key is not applied to the transfer area. Stock ID should be performed following an agreed protocol. PGCCDBS should recommend a bilateral agreement between Norway, Sweden and Denmark to facilitate this sampling. The DCF should hold financing opportunities for this work. | PGCCDBS recommends that National Laboratories should have a Data Compilation workshop to consider stock separation and assessment data quality.. |
| HAWG | Clyde herring | Catches have increased in 2009; no sampling performed on this stock? | Sampling of age-weight-length information needed. <br> Should be a part of the DCF for relevant countries | In general, data delivery to EGs is a national responsibility. Problems with this should be taken up with National Delegates and/or ACOM members. Data Compilations Lists from RCMs could in future provide EGs with an overview of existing data. |
| HAWG | ViaS/VIIb c herring | Consider effect mixed autumn and spring | Interreader calibration within Ireland | Expertise of interpretation of |


| AWG/WK | Stock | Data problem | How to be <br> addressed/ by <br> whom | PGCCDBS <br> Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | spawners on interpre- <br> tation of winter ring |  | winter ring with <br> differimg birth <br> dates available in <br> Ireland |

## Stock Data Problems Relevant to Data Collection

HAWG identified the following issues for further discussion by the PGCCDBS in relation to stock data problems relevant to data collection. These are listed in the below text-table.

| Stock | Data Problem | How to be addressed in DCR | By who |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stock name | Data problem <br> identification | Description of data problem <br> and recommend solution | Who should take care of <br> the recommended <br> solution and who <br> should be notified on <br> this data issue. |
| HERAS survey <br> Combined <br> acoustic; all <br> countries | Stock ID on mixed <br> catches | Incorporate splitting <br> methodology and sampling of <br> individuals for this in the <br> survey design. Get all <br> participating countries to split <br> their herring into stock ID's. | WGIPS + recommendation <br> by PGCCDBS |
| WBSS | Stock ID on mixed <br> catches | Increase and/or redesign <br> sampling for spawning data in <br> herring catches in ICES area <br> IVa and IIIa and 22-24 | PGCCDBS to re-iterate <br> this through the DCF to <br> the National laboratories |
| Sprat in the <br> Celtic Seas <br> (Subareas VI <br> and VII) | Discrepancy <br> between WG data <br> and official <br> recorded data | Discrepancies between the WG <br> historical data on catches of <br> sprat in this eco-region and the <br> FishStat impairs the <br> impression of the historical <br> exploration of sprat in the eco- <br> region. The National <br> laboratories will be <br> approached by HAWG to <br> check historical data. | National laboratories need <br> to check this and report <br> back to HAWG. In the <br> future, these catches <br> should be part of the data <br> exchange sheet |
| Sprat in North <br> Sea | Maintaining the <br> sprat acoustic <br> survey of the North <br> Sea | HAWG is planning a <br> benchmark assessment of <br> North Sea sprat in 2013. The <br> acoustic survey will probably <br> form an important component <br> of the assessment. Thus the <br> acoustic survey of the North <br> Sea should maintain at least <br> both herring and sprat as the <br> target species of the survey. | WGIPS and national <br> laboratories |


| Stock | Data Problem | How to be addressed in DCR | By who |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Herring in <br> VIaS, VIIb, c | Age reading of <br> stock components | The effect of possible changes <br> of autumn, winter and spring <br> spawning components in <br> VIaS/VIlbc, will have an <br> impact on the catch at age data. <br> Investigate the effect that the <br> interpretation of the last winter <br> ring may have in this mixed <br> stock, bearing in mind that the <br> birth date is the 1st January. | National Laboratories and <br> PGCCDBS |
| North Sea <br> Sprat | Commercial landing <br> are too poorly <br> sampled. (quarter 4 <br> with most catches: <br> 0.1 samples per 1000 <br> tonnes instead of <br> the recommended <br> level of 0.5 samples <br> per 1000 tonnes) | Increase sampling commercial <br> catches, particularly with <br> regards to spatiotemporal <br> coverage | Recommendation by <br> PGCCDBS to follow <br> sampling <br> recommendations by the |
| Clyde herring | Poor sampling has <br> been performed for <br> this stock for years | Sampling of age-weight-length <br> information needed | PGCCDBS: this sampling <br> should be a part of the |
| DCF for relevant countries |  |  |  |$|$| DCF |
| :--- |


| Stock | Data Problem | How to be addressed in DCR | By who |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All | HAWG is <br> concerned about the <br> lack of information <br> on discarding levels <br> in the herring <br> fisheries. Currently <br> only one nation <br> reports its discard <br> for inclusion in the <br> assessment. This <br> nation is about to <br> lose its pelagic <br> observer <br> programme (see <br> above point) | All efforts should be made to <br> maintain observer coverage <br> across fleets that catch a <br> substantial proportion of <br> pelagic fish and to report on <br> the observed discard levels. | PGCCDBS |
| North Sea, <br> VIaN and VIaS <br> \& VIIb,c | With the addition of <br> a new VIa MIK <br> survey to the <br> collection of surveys <br> that provide <br> potential indices, <br> there is a <br> requirement for <br> understanding the <br> catchability of small <br> larvae with this <br> gear, based on <br> experimental <br> observations. This <br> has implications for <br> both the new VIaN <br> survey and for our <br> understanding of <br> the current IBTSO <br> (North Sea) survey. | Standard MIK net mesh should <br> be tested along with a finer <br> mesh to determine the <br> selectivity curve | WGIBTS |

### 1.11 Stock overview

The WG was able to perform analytical assessment for 4 of the 9 stocks investigated. Results of the assessments are presented in the subsequent sections of the report and are summarized below and in Figures 1.11.1-1.11.3.
North Sea autumn spawning herring (her-47d3) is the largest stock assessed by HAWG. The spawning stock biomass was low in the late 1970s and the fishery was closed for a number of years. This stock began to recover until the mid-1990s, when it appeared to decrease again rapidly. A management scheme was adopted to halt this decline. Based on the WG assessment the stock is classified as being at full reproductive capacity and is being harvested sustainably but below $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mSY }}$ and management plan target. The SSB in autumn 2010 was estimated at 1.30 million tonnes, at the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ value. F2-6 in 2010 was estimated at 0.12, below the target F2-6 of 0.20 . Recruitment appears still in the same low regime since 2002. An increase in SSB is expected throughout 2011, and under the management plan also in 2012 and 2013 to levels above 2 million tonnes. SSB is expected to be well above $B_{\text {trigger, }}$ and therefore also $B_{p a}$, in 2011 and 2012.

Western Baltic Spring Spawners (her-3a22) is the only spring spawning stock assessed within this WG. It is distributed in the eastern part of the North Sea, the Skagerrak, the Kattegat and the Subdivisions 22, 23 and 24. Within the northern area, the stock mixes with North Sea autumn spawners. Our assessment found that SSB had a $9.5 \%$ decrease from 2009, and has been estimated around 95,000 tonnes for 2010. This is the lowest value observed for the whole time series. Beside this, fishing mortality in 2010 has been estimated 0.30, thus considerably smaller than in 2009 ( 0.52 ), but it is still higher than $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}(0.25$ ). The increase in 2010 recruitment brings it back to long term average (approx. 4 billion), however the uncertainty on the estimate remains high.

Herring in the Celtic Sea and VIIj (her-irls): The herring fisheries to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division VIIj have been considered to exploit the same stock. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been combined since 1982. The update assessment, conducted in 2010, showed a further small increase of SSB (114 000 tonnes) supporting previous indications of a recovery of the stock. According to our assessment the stock continues to be above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, and mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-5}$, although slightly higher than in 2009, remains at historical low level. Short term projections under the rebuilding plan show a rather stable trajectory of the stock for the next year.

Herring in VIa North (her-vian): The stock was larger in the 1960s when the productivity of the stock was higher. The stock experienced a heavy fishery in the mid-70s following closure of the North Sea fishery. The fishery was closed before the stock collapsed. It was opened again along with the North Sea. In the mid 1990s there was substantial area misreporting of catch into this area and sampling of catch deteriorated. Area misreporting was reduced to a very low level and information on catch has improved, and in recent years misreporting has remained relatively low. In the absence of precautionary reference points other than Blim, the state of the stock cannot be evaluated. SSB in 2010 has been estimated at approximately 61500 tonnes, a $23 \%$ decrease from 2009. Fishing mortality in 2010 was estimated 0.27 ( $+19 \%$ on 2009), still above the F target of the management plan (0.25). Recruitment continues to be low since 1998. WG considerations remain mostly unchanged from previous years, the stock is currently fluctuating at a low level.

Herring in VIa South and VIIbc (her-irlw) are considered to consist of a mixture of autumn- and winter/spring-spawning fish. The winter/spring-spawning component is distributed in the northern part of the area. The main decline in the overall stock since 1998 appears to have taken place on the autumn-spawning component, and this is particularly evident on the traditional spawning grounds in VIIb. However, there are indications that the stock is on a historically low level. The current levels of SSB and F are not precisely known, as there is no tuned assessment available for this stock, but in all our explorations SSB has been estimated below Bpa and Blim. Estimates of F for recent years are likely to exceed 0.5 , though current F is unknown. In 2010 recruitment appears to increase regardless the terminal $F$ values used, but no sign of recovery has been found for this stock.

Herring in the Irish Sea (her-nirs) comprises two spawning groups (Manx and Mourne). This stock complex experienced a very low biomass level in the late 1970s with an increase in the mid-1980s after the introduction of quotas. The stock then declined from the late 1980s onwards. During this time period the contribution of the Mourne spawning component declined. An increase in activity on the Mourne spawning area has been observed since 2006. In the past decade there have been problems in assessing the stock, partly as a consequence of the variability in spawning migrations and mixing with the Celtic Sea stock. Acoustic surveys indicate a significant increase in $1+$ herring biomass in the Irish Sea since 2007, maintained throughout 2010. There is evidence from surveys that recent recruitment has increased. Trends in SSB have increased while catches have been relatively stable since the 1980 s , and close to TAC levels in recent years.

North Sea Sprat (spr-nsea) is a short-lived species, mainly targeted by the Danish fleet in the North Sea. The catches are usually dominated by recruits (age 1) but 2010 catches reported a considerable contribution of larger fish (age 2-5+). The stock is known to experience large fluctuations in size. The stock biomass estimated from the acoustic surveys (HERAS) was 376,000 tonnes in 2010, as compared to the peak estimate of the time series in 2009 ( 556,000 tonnes). The state of the stock is uncertain; no analytical assessment is available for North Sea sprat.
Sprat in IIIa (spr-kask) is mostly caught by a small-meshed industrial fishery. Sprat cannot be fished without by-catches of herring except in years with high sprat abundance or low herring recruitment. For this reason the sprat fishery in IIIa is controlled by a herring by-catch quota ( 6,659 tonnes in 2011) as well as by-catch percentage limits. No major changes in fishing technology or fishing patterns have been reported. Reliable landings data for this area are available since 1996. Landings have been rather stable during the last few years. The state of the stock is uncertain; no analytical assessment is available for sprat in IIIa.

Sprat in the Celtic Sea (spr-irls): The stock structure of sprat populations in this ecoregion (Subareas VI and VII) is not clear, and further work for the identification of management units for sprat is certainly required. Most sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregions are caught by small pelagic vessels that also target herring, mainly Irish and Scottish vessels. No advice or TAC has been given in 2009-2010 for sprat in this ecoregion. The quality and amount of information available for sprat is rather heterogeneous across this composite area. Landed biomass, but not biological information on the catch, is available from 1970s in some areas (i.e., VIa and VIIa), while acoustic surveys started in 1991, with some gaps in the timeseries. Technical problem affected the reliability of 2010 acoustic data. Groundfish surveys, could provide relative estimates of recruitment, but further work is certainly needed. Preliminary data explora-
tion gives the broad picture that in recent years sprat biomasses have been approximately $25 \%$ of those observed in the early 1990s. The state of the stock is uncertain; no analytical assessment is available for sprat in the Celtic Sea ecoregion.

### 1.12 Benchmark process

HAWG has made some strategic decision regarding the future benchmarking of its stocks (Table 1.12). In 2012 it is proposed to benchmark North Sea and Irish Sea herring stocks. In 2013 it is proposed to benchmark the western Baltic spring spawning herring stock. In 2014 it is proposed to explore a combined assessment of the North Sea and western Baltic stocks, in the context of a state space framework.

It is expected that many of the methodological developments that will be explored in North Sea/western Baltic joint process may have utility for the western herring stocks. It is the ambition of HAWG to explore such approaches in a joint assessment of the western stocks from 2015 onwards.

However some of the individual western stocks should be benchmarked before 2015. This process is expected to solve some of the problems with tuning data and other inputs as well as choosing the most appropriate model for each. Both VIaN and the VIaS/VIIbc stocks would benefit from benchmarking. Before this happens it is necessary to successfully split the Malin Shelf survey (MSHAS) according to season of spawning. By 2014, 5 synoptic MSHAS surveys will be available and a benchmark of VIaS/VIIbc could be attempted if the splitting is complete.

Table 1.12. HAWG schedule of benchmarks in future.

| Stock | Ass status | Latest <br> benchmark | Benchmark <br> next year | Planning <br> Year +2 | Further <br> planning | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NSAS | Update | 2006 | Yes | No | Consider <br> NSAS/WBSS <br> joint <br> assessment <br> in <br> benchmark <br> after 2014 |  |
| WBSS | Update | 2008 | N | Yes | Consider <br> NSAS/WBSS <br> joint <br> assessment <br> in <br> benchmark <br> after 2014 |  |
| VIaN | Update | 2005 | NO | No | 2014/2015 | Consider <br> stock <br> mixing <br> with <br> VIaS/VIIbc |
| Celtic Sea | Update | 2008 | No | No | After 2012 | Consider <br> stock <br> mixing <br> withVIIaN |


| VIaS/VIIbc | Exploratory | Never | No | No | $2014 / 2015$ | Consider <br> stock <br> mixing <br> with <br> VIaS/VIIbc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VIIaN | Exploratory | Never | Yes | No |  | Consider <br> stock <br> mixing <br> with Celtic <br> Sea |
| Sprat NS | None | 2009 | No | Yes | 2013 or 2014 | 9 years of <br> acoustics <br> then <br> available |
| Sprat IIIa | None | 2009 | No | Yes | 2013 or 2014 | 9 years of <br> acoustics <br> then <br> available |
| Sprat <br> Celtic | None | Never | No | No |  | Need to <br> evaluate <br> stock <br> identity |

## NSAS / Irish Sea Benchmark planning

Preliminary discussions regarding the upcoming benchmark of the North Sea autumn spawning and Irish sea herring stocks were held. A number of key themes and issues were identified as a result of these discussions, and were agreed to form the basis for the benchmark process.

The most important concept expressed was that all work needs to be performed in a collaborative, collegiate manner, both between institutes contributing to the assessments and between the stocks. HAWG has suffered in the past from an "archipelago" approach, where work was performed in a manner that was isolated from others that could potentially benefit from it. Such an approach is not viewed as sustainable, particularly when the individuals involved move on. It was therefore agreed that as much work as possible needs to be generic and collaborative, involving a broad group of people, thereby ensuring both ownership of the assessment and the sustainability of the approach.

However, concerns were also raised about the technical capacity of the group and the skills available. The modern trend in stock assessment is a move away from the deterministic VPA approach towards more mathematically and computationally intensive statistical models: such changes can present challenges in terms of the skill levels involved in the group. HAWG agreed that the approach described above should be tailored to ensure that all members of the group can participate. Assessment work must not be forced back into the "archipelago" trap by the fact that only a small group of people have the ability to use and develop the assessment tools. Collaboration and cooperation, even if it occurs at the expense of a more advanced assessment, are therefore essential.

Finally, the importance of uncertainties in all data sources was emphasized by the group. Wherever possible, effort should be put into providing all data with an estimate the associated precision. Whilst it may not be possible to fully utilize these esti-
mates in the current assessment models at the moment, an understanding of the uncertainty involved provides an invaluable basis for assessing the significance of both trends and inter-annual variations.

A preliminary "brainstorming" exercise was performed during the course of HAWG to identify lines of investigation that need to be pursued during the course of the benchmarking process. The collaborative approach taken above provided the basis for this exercise, with scientists representing all stocks in HAWG working together to find a common approach to the common issues at hand. Five main lines of investigation were identified, and individuals within the group took responsibility each of these "work packages". i.e.

1. Stochastic Catch (Niels Hintzen, IMARES)

- Spatial and temporal sampling coverage of catches, including fleets
- Catch reporting issues
- Improved estimation of discarding

2. Understanding Surveys (Norbert Rohlf, BSH)

- Assessment of the validity of survey indices, including underlying assumptions
- Use of spatial information from surveys
- Treatment of outliers in index calculations
- Accuracy and precision of survey estimates

3. Assessment \& Forecast Methods (Mark Payne, DTU Aqua)

- Development of new assessment methodology
- Multifleet-multistock assessment methods
- Incorporation of uncertainties into the assessment
- Refinement of short-term forecasting algorthims
- Improve clarity and communication
- Incorporation and propagation of uncertainties

4. Life History (Mark Dickey-Collas, IMARES)

- Including process based understanding
- Improved understanding of natural mortality at all life stages
- Understanding variation in growth
- Understanding variability in maturity
- Species interactions (competition, predation)
- Understanding migration patterns

5. Stock Structure (Lotte Worsoe Clausen, DTU Aqua / Emma Hatfield, Marine Scotland)

- Integration of stock component dynamics into assessment
- Dynamics of the stock components
- Inter-stock and component mixing
- Development of component resolved survey indices

The HAWG benchmark group also developed several recommendations viewed to be of critical importance to a successful benchmark of these stocks.

- Geostatistics. Many of the questions raised, particularly around the surveys, are best solved taking into account both the spatial and statistical nature of the raw data we have available. However, the working group currently lacks expertise in the field of geostatistics. HAWG therefore requests that ICES and interested institutes provide such expertise to the benchmark process
- Pre-meeting. ICES has set the benchmark meeting for North Sea and Irish Sea herring down for January 2012. However, the HAWG 2011 meeting was the first opportunity that the group had to discuss the proposed benchmark. Given the short lead-in time and the desire for all work to be collaborative in nature, a pre-meeting was therefore viewed as essential. HAWG there requests ICES to establish a three-day data compilation and model development workshop prior to the main benchmark meeting.


### 1.13 Structure of the report

The report details the available information on the catch, fisheries and biology of the stocks and then the stock assessments, the projections, the quality of the assessments and management considerations for each stock. This information and analyses are given in chapters for each of the seven major stocks considered by HAWG. Despite this structure, it is important to realise that there are many links between the stocks and/or areas. (e.g., North Sea and herring caught in IIIa; VIaN herring and the North Sea; VIaS, VIIbc, Irish Sea and VIaN herring and Celtic Sea and Irish Sea herring).
In 2011 HAWG carried out four assessments:
(1) Western Baltic spring spawning herring,
(2) North Sea autumn spawning herring,
(3) VIaN autumn spawning herring and
(4) Celtic Sea autumn and winter spawning herring.

These were update assessments in 2011. Irish Sea herring and North Sea sprat were exploratory assessments. One stock with poor data (IIIa sprat) is described in Section 9. Section 10 covers sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregion, including sprat in VIIde. Section 11 covers with limited data (no catch at age sampling) and no current ongoing research. These are Clyde herring (part of VIaN) and herring in the English/Bristol Channel (VIIe,f) and herring in Subarea VIII. A new addition to the group in 2011 was sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregion. The group has compiled available data, but did not draft advice. The group has sought assistance from SIMWG, the Stock Identification ICES WG, on stock structure of sprat populations in this ecoregion.

Medium term predictions have not been performed in 2011. This is because work is now focussing on developing the Fmsy framework for the stocks.

### 1.14 Recommendations

Table 1.5.1 Available disaggregated data for the HAWG per March 2011. X: Multiple spreadsheets (usually .xls); W: WG-data national input spreadsheets (xls); D: Disfad inputs and Alloc-outputs (ascii/txt); I: Intercatch input


Table 1.5.1: Available disaggregated data for the HAWG per March 2011. continued

| West of Scotland (VIa(N)) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (her_vian) | 1957-1972 | x |  |  |  | provided by John Simmonds, Mar. 2004 |  |  |
|  | 1997 | X |  |  |  | provided by Ken Patterson, Mar. 2002 |  |  |
|  | 1998 | X |  |  |  | provided by Ken Patterson, Mar. 2002 |  |  |
|  | 1999 |  | W | D |  | provided by Paul Fernandes, M ar. 2000, W inclu | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2000 |  | W | D |  | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2001, W includ | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2001 |  | W | D |  | provided by Emma Hatfield, M ar. 2002, W includ | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2002 |  | W | D |  | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2003, W includ | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2003 |  | W | D |  | provided by Emma Hatfield, M ar. 2004, W includ | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2004 |  | W | D |  | provided by John Simmonds, M ar. 2005, W inclu | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2005 |  | W | D |  | provided by Emma Hatfield, M ar. 2006, W includ | ded in North Sea |  |
|  | 2006 |  | W | D |  | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2007 |  |  |
|  | 2007 |  | W | D | I | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2008 |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | W | D | I | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2009 |  |  |
|  | 2009 |  | W | D | I | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2010 |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | W | D | I | provided by Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2011 |  |  |
| West of Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (her_irlw) | 1999 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2000 |  |  |
|  | 2000 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2001 |  |  |
|  | 2001 |  |  | D |  | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2002 |  |  |
|  | 2002 |  |  | D |  | provided by Ciaran Kelly, Mar. 2003 |  |  |
|  | 2003 |  |  | D |  | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2004 |  |  |
|  | 2004 |  |  | D |  | provided by Maurice Clarke, Mar. 2005 |  |  |
|  | 2005 |  |  | D |  | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2006 |  |  |
|  | 2006 |  |  | D | I | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2007 |  |  |
|  | 2007 |  | W |  | I | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2008 |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | W |  | I | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2009 |  |  |
|  | 2009 |  | W |  | I | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2010 |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | W |  | I | provided by Afra Egan, Mar. 2011 |  |  |
| Sprat in IIIa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (spr_kask) | 1999 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2000 |  |  |
|  | 2000 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2001 |  |  |
|  | 2001 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Askgaard Worsøe, Mar. 2002 |  |  |
|  | 2002 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2003 |  |  |
|  | 2003 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2004 |  |  |
|  | 2004 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2005 |  |  |
|  | 2005 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |  |  |
|  | 2006 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Mikael van Deurs, Mar. 2007 |  |  |
|  | 2007 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2008 |  |  |
|  | 2008 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2009 |  |  |
|  | 2009 |  | W |  | I | provided by Cecilie Kvamme, Mar. 2010 |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | W |  | 1 | provided by Cecilie Kvamme, Mar. 2011 |  |  |
| Sprat in the North Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (spr_nsea) | 1999 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2000 |  |  |
|  | 2000 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2001 |  |  |
|  | 2001 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Askgaard Worsøe, Mar. 2002 |  |  |
|  | 2002 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2003 |  |  |
|  | 2003 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2004 |  |  |
|  | 2004 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2005 |  |  |
|  | 2005 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |  |  |
|  | 2006 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Mikael van Deurs, Mar. 2007 |  |  |
|  | 2007 | X | (W) | D | I | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2008 |  |  |
|  | 2008 | X | (W) | D | I | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2009 |  |  |
|  | 2009 |  | W |  | I | provided by Cecilie Kvamme, Mar. 2010 |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | W |  | I | provided by Cecilie Kvamme, Mar. 2011 |  |  |
| Sprat in VIId \& e |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (spr_ech) | 1999 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, M ar. 2000 |  |  |
|  | 2000 | X | (W) |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2001 |  |  |
|  | 2001 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Askgaard Worsøe, M ar. 2002 |  |  |
|  | 2002 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2003 |  |  |
|  | 2003 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2004 |  |  |
|  | 2004 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, M ar. 2005 |  |  |
|  | 2005 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Lotte Worsøe Clausen, Mar. 2006 |  |  |
|  | 2006 | X | (W) | D |  | provided by Mikael van Deurs, Mar. 2007 |  |  |
|  | 2007 | X | (W) | D | I | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2008 |  |  |
|  | 2008 | X | (W) | D | 1 | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar. 2009 |  |  |
|  | 2009 |  | W |  | I | provided by Cecilie Kvamme, Mar. 2010 |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  | W |  | I | provided by Cecilie Kvamme, Mar. 2011 |  |  |
| National Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany: Western Bal | 1991-2000 | X |  |  |  | provided by Tomas Gröhsler, Mar. 2001 (with sa | mpling) |  |
| Germany: North Sea | 1995-1998 |  | W |  |  | provided by Christopher Zimmermann, Mar 2001 | (without sampli |  |
| Norway: Sprat | 1995-1998 |  | W |  |  | provided by Else Torstensen, Mar 2001 (without | sampling) |  |
| Sweden | 1990-2000 |  | W |  |  | provided by Johan Modin, M ar 2001 (without sa | mpling) |  |
| UK/England \& Wales | 1985-2000 | X |  |  |  | database output provided by M arinelle Basson, M | Mar. 2001 (without | ut sampling) |
| UK/Scotland | 1990-1998 |  | W |  |  | provided by Sandy Robb/Emma Hatfield, Mar. 2002 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.5.2 Comparison of CANUM and WECA-estimates from conventional systems and InterCatch, by stock and age-group (winter-rings).

| 2010 | canum |  |  | 2010 weca |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wr | sallocl | InterCat | $\begin{array}{ll}  & \% \\ \text { tch } & \text { difference } \end{array}$ |  | wr |  | sallocl | InterCatch | \% <br> difference |
| 1 | 10074 | 10246 | 98 |  | 1 |  | 0.082 | 0.082 | 100 |
| 2 | 20340 | 20472 | 99 |  | 2 |  | 0.155 | 0.155 | 100 |
| 3 | 16331 | 16404 | 100 |  | 3 |  | 0.188 | 0.188 | 100 |
| 4 | 9958 | 9931 | 100 |  | 4 |  | 0.213 | 0.213 | 100 |
| 5 | 14608 | 14523 | 101 |  | 5 |  | 0.234 | 0.234 | 100 |
| 6 | 6322 | 6284 | 101 |  | 6 |  | 0.239 | 0.240 | 100 |
| 7 | 4322 | 4304 | 100 |  | 7 |  | 0.237 | 0.237 | 100 |
| 8 | 5389 | 5411 | 100 |  | 8 |  | 0.240 | 0.240 | 100 |
| 9+ | 13199 | 13125 | 101 |  | 9+ |  | 0.255 | 0.255 | 100 |
| Sum | 100544 | 100700 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Sea (47d3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | CANUM | CANUM | Proportion | 2010 |  | WECA | WECA | Proportion |  |
| wr | Salloc | IC | Match (\%) | wr |  | Salloc | IC | Match (\%) |  |
| 0 | 574895 | 574065 | 100.1\% | 0 |  | 0.008 | $0.008$ | $95.4 \%$ |  |
| 1 | 280728 | 273118 | 102.8\% | 1 |  | 0.057 | 0.057 | 99.4\% |  |
| 2 | $293887$ | $288432$ | $101.9 \%$ | 2 |  | $0.129$ | $0.129$ | $100.1 \%$ |  |
| 3 | $236804$ | $232813$ | 101.7\% | 3 |  | $0.167$ | $0.167$ | 99.8\% |  |
| 4 | 126241 | $124393$ | $101.5 \%$ | 4 |  | $0.191$ | $0.191$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| 5 | 83893 | $84779$ | 99.0\% | 5 |  | $0.220$ | $0.222$ | $99.4 \%$ |  |
| 6 | 61542 | $60365$ | 102.0\% | 6 |  | $0.219$ | 0.220 | 99.8\% |  |
| 7 | $33305$ | $33382$ | $99.8 \%$ | 7 |  | $0.216$ | $0.216$ | $99.8 \%$ |  |
| 8 | 59142 | 59718 | $99.0 \%$ | 8 |  | 0.233 | 0.235 | $99.5 \%$ |  |
| 9+ | $54533$ | $54050$ | $100.9 \%$ | 9+ |  | $0.244$ | $0.246$ | $99.0 \%$ |  |
| Sum | $1804971$ | $1785115 \quad 1$ | 101.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Baltic (3a22)

| 2010 | CANUM | CANUM | Proportion | 2010 | WECA | WECA | Proportion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wr | Salloc | IC | Match (\%) | wr | Salloc | IC | Match (\%) |
| 0 | 12448 | 12394 | $100.4 \%$ | 0 | 0.009 | 0.009 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 1 | 68683 | 75083 | $91.5 \%$ | 1 | 0.050 | 0.046 | $108.1 \%$ |
| 2 | 134822 | 136419 | $98.8 \%$ | 2 | 0.075 | 0.077 | $97.9 \%$ |
| 3 | 83113 | 82970 | $100.2 \%$ | 3 | 0.109 | 0.109 | $100.3 \%$ |
| 4 | 47664 | 46833 | $101.8 \%$ | 4 | 0.136 | 0.135 | $100.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 29968 | 29979 | $100.0 \%$ | 5 | 0.164 | 0.165 | $99.7 \%$ |
| 6 | 18181 | 18589 | $97.8 \%$ | 6 | 0.179 | 0.181 | $99.3 \%$ |
| 7 | 11680 | 10996 | $106.2 \%$ | 7 | 0.199 | 0.198 | $100.8 \%$ |
| $8+$ | 11745 | 11262 | $104.3 \%$ | $8+$ | 0.205 | 0.206 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Sum | 418304 | 424525 | $98.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |


| Her IRLW |  | WG Excel | Intercatch | $\%$ Deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Ring | 10241 | 10241 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 1 | 1271.08 | 1271.08 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 2 | 13507.11 | 13507.112 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 3 | 20127.1 | 20127.096 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 4 | 6541.323 | 6541.32 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 5 | 7588.488 | 7588.489 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 6 | 6780.425 | 6780.426 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 7 | 2562.748 | 2562.754 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 8 | 660.631 | 660.634 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 9 | 189.285 | 189.287 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 1 | 0.104 | 0.10 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 2 | 0.131 | 0.13 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 3 | 0.168 | 0.17 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 4 | 0.189 | 0.19 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 5 | 0.201 | 0.20 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 6 | 0.212 | 0.21 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 7 | 0.218 | 0.22 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 8 | 0.226 | 0.23 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 9 | 0.229 | 0.23 | $-0.17 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  | $\%$ <br> HER IRLS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | WG Excel | Intercatch | Deviation |  |
| Canum | 1 | 24768.46 | 2468.46 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 2 | 20928.557 | 20928.521 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 3 | 8183.303 | 8183.263 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 4 | 15916.593 | 15916.566 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 5 | 4845.902 | 4845.928 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Canum | 6 | 11592.351 | 11592.38 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 1 | 0.075 | 0.0748 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 2 | 0.108 | 0.1080 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 3 | 0.129 | 0.1293 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 4 | 0.142 | 0.1419 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 5 | 0.155 | 0.1546 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Weca | 6 | 0.159 | 0.1587 | $-0.12 \%$ |


| Her-nirs |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Caton | Ring | WG Excel | Intercatch \% Deviation |  |
| Canum | 1 | 9587.941 | 9589.083 | 0.01 |
| Canum | 2 | 17627 | 17685.46 | 0.33 |
| Canum | 3 | 6679.087 | 6710.468 | 0.47 |
| Canum | 4 | 6200.597 | 6302.952 | 1.65 |
| Canum | 5 | 3200.051 | 3235.585 | 1.11 |
| Canum | 6 | 924.6077 | 943.156 | 2.01 |
| Canum | 7 | 370.4796 | 373.105 | 0.71 |
| Canum | 8 | 145.6063 | 145.606 | 0.00 |
| Canum | $9+$ | 39.29986 | 39.299 | 0.00 |
| Weca | 1 | 0.052644 | 0.05265 | 0.01 |
| Weca | 2 | 0.10642 | 0.10646 | 0.04 |
| Weca | 3 | 0.131259 | 0.13125 | -0.01 |
| Weca | 4 | 0.145252 | 0.14524 | -0.01 |
| Weca | 5 | 0.152546 | 0.15256 | 0.01 |
| Weca | 6 | 0.163615 | 0.16362 | 0.00 |
| Weca | 7 | 0.175143 | 0.17501 | -0.08 |
| Weca | 8 | 0.163147 | 0.16315 | 0.00 |
| Weca | $9+$ | 0.203658 | 0.20366 | 0.00 |

Table 1.8.1. Studies known to HAWG of environmental drivers influencing recruitment, growth, migration, predation by and predation of herring or sprat, the timing of spawning and studies of incorporating environmentally influenced changes in productivity into management.

| Stock | recruitment | growth | migration | predation on her/sprat | predation by her/sprat | time of spawning | managing productivity changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Sea herring | X | X | x | X | X | x | X |
| Western Baltic SS herring | X | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| VIaN herring |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| VIaS herring |  | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| VIIaN herring |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Celtic Sea herring |  | X | X | X |  | X | X |
| North Sea sprat | X | X |  | X | X |  |  |
| IIIa sprat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1.5.1 ICES areas as used for the assessment of herring stocks south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Area names in italics indicate the area separation applied to the commercial catch and sampling data kept in long term storage. "Transfer area" refers to the transfer of Western Baltic Spring Spawners caught in the North Sea to the Baltic Assessment.


Figure 1.6.1. Example of a Taylor diagram (Taylor 2001, Payne 2011), in this case for WBSS herring. The plot is not Cartesian but rather polar in nature: the angular axis plots the correlation coefficient between observations and the modeled values. The radial axis represents the standard deviation of the observations normalized by the standard deviation of the modeled values. The point corresponding to 1.0 on the horizontal axis represents a perfect fit between the model and the observations - the closer to this point the better. Points are labeled according to the survey and the age of the time series. All time series are truncated to allow comparison on a common basis.


Figure 1.11.1 WG estimates of catch (yield) of the herring stocks presented in HAWG 2011.


Figure 1.11.1 (cont). WG estimates of catch (yield) of the herring and sprat stocks presented in HAWG 2011.


Figure 1.11.2 Spawning stock biomass estimates of the 4 herring stocks for which analytical assessments were presented in HAWG 2011.


Figure 1.11.3 Estimates of mean $F$ of the 4 herring stocks for which analytical assessments were presented in HAWG 2011.

### 2.1 The Fishery

### 2.1.1 ICES advice and management applicable to 2010 and 2011

According to the management plan agreed between the EU and Norway, adopted in December 1997 and amended in November 2007, efforts should be made to maintain the SSB of North Sea Autumn Spawning herring above 800000 tonnes.

The EU-Norway agreement on management of North Sea herring was updated in 2008, to adapt to the present reduced recruitment, accounting for the results of WKHMP (ICES 2008/ACOM:27). The management plan is given in Stock Annex 3.

The main changes were a reduced target F for juveniles and a higher trigger biomass for reducing the adult F . The revised rule specifies fishing mortalities for juveniles ( $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ ${ }_{1}$ ) and for adults ( $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ ) not to be exceeded, at 0.05 and 0.25 respectively, when the SSB is above 1.5 million tonnes. The current agreement has a constraint on year-to-year change of $15 \%$ in TAC, when the SSB is above 800000 t .

An iterative procedure is needed to find a fishing mortality and a corresponding SSB in the TAC year (see Stock Annex 3).

The final TAC adopted by the management bodies for 2010 was 164300 t for Area IV and Division VIId, whereof not more than 15319 t should be caught in Division IVc and VIId. For 2011, the total TAC was increased by $22 \%$ to 216539 t ( 200000 t for the A-Fleet), including a TAC of 26536 t for Division IVc and VIId.
The by-catch TAC for fleet B in the North Sea was 13587 t for 2010 and is increased by $22 \%$ to 16539 t for 2011, in line with the TAC for the human consumption fleet. As North Sea autumn spawners are also caught in Division IIIa, regulations for the fleets operating in this area have to be taken into account for the management of the WBSS stock (see Section 3). Catches of herring in the Thames estuary are not included in the TAC. For a definition of the different fleets harvesting North Sea herring see the Stock Annex and Section 2.7.2.

### 2.1.2 Catches in 2010

Total landings and estimated catches are given in the Table 2.1.1 for the North Sea and for each Division in Tables 2.1.2 to 2.1.5. Total Working Group (WG) catches per statistical rectangle and quarter are shown in Figures 2.1.1 $(a-d)$, the total for the year in Figure 2.1.1(e). Each nation provided most of their catch data (either official landings or Working Group catch) by statistical rectangle.

The catch figures in Tables 2.1.1-2.1.5 are mostly provided by WG members and may or may not reflect national catch statistics. These figures can therefore not be used for legal purposes. Denmark and Norway provided information on by-catches of herring in the industrial fishery. These are taken in the small-meshed fishery (B-fleet) under an EU quota by Denmark and are included in the A-fleet figures for Norway. Catch estimates of herring taken as bycatch by other small-mesh fisheries in the North Sea may be an underestimate. The total WG catch of all herring caught in the North Sea in 2010 amounted to 174600 t .

Landings of herring taken as bycatch in the Danish small-meshed fishery in the North Sea have decreased by $7 \%$ to 9071 t as compared to last year (Table 2.1.6). These in-
dustrial herring catches were much lower than the by-catch TAC set by the EU (13 587 t).

In the Norwegian industrial fishery, herring by-catch has increased in 2010 to 4451 t (compared to 3576 t last year).

Official catches by the human consumption fishery were 165500 t in 2010. This is an overshoot of $1 \%$ of the TAC. Working Group catches in the human consumption fishery were in the same order of magnitude in 2010 (165 600 t , increase by $6 \%$ from last year).

In the southern North Sea and the eastern Channel, the total catch sums to 26520 t . The separate TAC for this area was 15319 t , so landings in IVc and VIId overexploit the TAC by $73 \%$. This is a large change compared to 2009, when landings ( 21923 t ) were in good accordance with the TAC (23 567 t ).

The total North Sea TAC and catch estimates for the years 2005 to 2010 are shown in the table below (adapted from Table 2.1.6). Since the introduction of yearly bycatch ceilings in 1996, these ceilings have never been exceeded.

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| TAC HC ('000 t) | 535 | 455 | 341 | 201 | 171 | 164 |
| "Official" landings HC (‘000 t) $)^{1}$ | 547 | 478 | 354 | 219 | 157 | 166 |
| Working Group catch HC (‘000 t) | 617 | 498 | 381 | 236 | 156 | 166 |
| Excess of landings over TAC HC (‘000 t) | 83 | 43 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 1 |
| By-catch ceiling ('000 t) ${ }^{2}$ | 50 | 42 | 32 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| Reported by-catches ('000 t) ${ }^{3}$ | 22 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Working Group catch North Sea (‘000 t) | 639 | 511 | 388 | 245 | 166 | 175 |

$\mathrm{HC}=$ human consumption fishery
1 Landings might be provided by WG members to HAWG before the official landings become available; they may then differ from the official catches and cannot be used for management purposes. Norwegian by-catches included in this figure.
2 by-catch ceiling for EU industrial fleets only, Norwegian by-catches included in the HC figure.
3 provided by Denmark only.

### 2.1.3 Regulations and their effects

Landings taken in the North Sea but reported from other areas such as Divisions IIa and IIIa and from Division VIaN have further decreased in 2010. The estimate of the total amount of catch in the North Sea (A- and B-Fleet) does not exceed the total TAC. While the B-Fleet has not taken the TAC in 2010 (and has never done), the catch in the human consumption fishery is approximately the same as the TAC.

Following the apparent recovery of the NSAS herring, some regulatory measures were amended: A licence scheme introduced in 1997 by UK/Scotland to reduce misreporting between the North Sea and VIaN was relaxed. The minimal amount of target species in the EU industrial fisheries in IIIa has been reduced to $50 \%$ (for sprat, blue whiting and Norway pout).

In 2011, half of the EU quota for Division IIIa could be taken in the North Sea and Norway can take up to $50 \%$ of its quota for Division IIIa in Norwegian waters of the North Sea.

In the North Sea, Norway can take up to 50000 t of its quota in EU-waters in Divisions IVa and IVb.

### 2.1.4 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns.

There have been no major changes to fish technology and fishing patterns of the fleets that target North Sea herring. While the majority of catches is still taken in Subdivision IVaW, the proportion of catches taken in Division IVb have reduced by roughly $50 \%$. Increasing fuel prices may have had an impact on the travelled distance during shipping trips, resulting in fishing activities somewhat closer to the coastline or home port.

In 2007, the Danish administrations introduced an ITQ system to regulate industrial fisheries. This has led to a consolidation of the fleet, resulting in fewer vessels being active. Due to this restructuring of the fleet, pelagic vessels that earlier did not take part in industrial fisheries have now became heavily engaged, while previously the human consumption fleet and industrial fleet had been mostly separate. This allowed vessel owners to be more flexible in weighing the benefits of one fishery against the other, but also put them in a position of being more exposed to the risk of sanctions against them in terms of losing $1 / 12$ of their human consumption quota when not complying with the maximum limits of the amount of herring bycatch that they are allowed to hold on board.

Another change in the NSAS herring fishery was the substantial decline in misreporting of catch. Area misreporting (from IV to VI; and from IV into IIIa) seems to have ceased. Most of the previous unaccounted catches from the stock have been reduced, if not eliminated. Part of this can be explained by newly introduced national legislation in Denmark in 2009.

### 2.2 Biological composition of the catch

Biological information (numbers, weight, catch (SOP) at age and relative age composition) on the catch as obtained by sampling of commercial catches is given in Tables 2.2.1 to 2.2.5. Data are given for the whole year and by quarter. Except in cases where the necessary data are missing, data are displayed separately by area for herring caught in the North Sea, Western Baltic spring spawners (only in IVaE), and the total NSAS stock, including catches in Division IIIa.
Biological information on the NSAS caught in Division IIIa was obtained using splitting procedures described in Section 3.2 and in the Stock Annex 2. Note that splitting was only applied to the WG catch, following the correction of area misreporting.

The Tables are laid out as follows:

- Table 2.2.6: Total catches of NSAS (SOP figures), mean weights- and numbers-at-age by fleet
- Table 2.2.7: Data on catch numbers-at-age and SOP catches for the period 19952010 (herring caught in the North Sea)
- Table 2.2.8: WBSS taken in the North Sea (see below)
- Table 2.2.9: NSAS caught in Division IIIa
- Table 2.2.10: Total numbers of NSAS
- Table 2.2.11: Mean weights-at-age, separately for the different Divisions where NSAS are caught, for the period 2000-2010.
Note that SOP catch estimates may deviate in some instances slightly from the WG catch used for the assessment.


### 2.2.1 Catch in numbers-at-age

The total number of herring taken in the North Sea (1.5 billion fish) and the total number of NSAS ( 1.8 billion fish) have increased by $7 \%$ and $12 \%$, as compared to last year. 0- and 1-ringers contributed $40 \%$ of the total catch in numbers of NSAS in 2010 (Table 2.2.5). In 2010, 0 - and 1-ringer catch has further decreased by $1 \%$ and $14 \%$, respectively, as compared to 2009. Most of these herring are still taken in the B-Fleet. Catches of 0- and 1-ringers are mostly taken in Divisions IVb and IVc, where they amount to almost $80 \%$ of the catch in numbers. Roughly $30 \%$ of the total catch by number in the North Sea consist of the age group 4+ winter ringers.

Western Baltic and local Division IIIa spring-spawners (WBSS) are taken in the eastern North Sea during the summer feeding migration (see Stock Annex 3 and Section 3.2.2). These catches are included in Table 2.1.1 and listed as IIIa type. Table 2.2.8 specifies the estimated catch numbers of WBSS caught in the North Sea, which are transferred from the North Sea assessment to the assessment of Division IIIa/Western Baltic in 1995-2010. After splitting the herring caught in the North Sea and IIIa between stocks, the total catch of North Sea Autumn spawners was 187600 tonnes.

| Area | Allocated | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa West | 108960 | - | 13 | 108973 |
| IVa East | 9586 | - | - | 9586 |
| IVb | 29548 | - | - | 29548 |
| IVc/VIId | 26520 | - | - | 26520 |
|  | Total catch in th | North Sea |  | 174627 |
|  | Autumn spawners caught in Division IIIa (SOP) |  |  | 13759 |
|  | Baltic spring spawners caught in the North Sea (SOP) |  |  | -774 |
|  | Blackwater spring spawning herring |  |  | -85 |
|  | Other spring spawners |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total catch NSAS used for the assessment |  |  | 187612 |

### 2.2.2 Other Spring-spawning herring in the North Sea

Norwegian spring-spawners and local fjord-type spring spawning herring are taken in Division IVa (East) close to the Norwegian coast under a separate TAC. These catches are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figures given in Tables 2.1.1 to 2.1.6, but are listed separately in the respective catch tables. Along with the increasing biomass of these spring spawning herring, the catches have increased to 56 900 t in 2010 ( 44560 t in 2009).

Blackwater herring are caught in the Thames estuary under a separate quota and included in the catch figure for England \& Wales. Catches were only 85 t in 2010.

In recent years no larger quantities of spring spawners were reported from routine sampling of commercial catch taken in the west.

### 2.2.3 Data revisions

No data revisions were applied in this year's assessment.

### 2.2.4 Quality of catch and biological data, discards

As in previous years, some nations provided information on misreported and unallocated catches of herring in the North Sea and adjacent areas. The Working Group
catch, which include estimates of all fleets (and discards and misreported or unallocated catches; see Section 1.5), was estimated to be in the same order of magnitude as the official catch.

Information on discards is low in 2010. The final figure for discards as used in the assessment was only $13 t$, based on the raised discards for one fleet. As discards are likely to occur in all national fisheries, this figure may be an underestimate. Discard data have not been consistently available for the whole time series and are only included in the assessment when reported. Besides discarded catches, also considerable loss of herring may occur during catch processing, e.g. flushing of tanks and slippage from the net. Little information is available about the amount of this loss, but is thought to amount to larger quantities.

The amount of sampling of the commercial landings has improved in 2010 and covers $81 \%$ of the total catch (2009: 70\%). The number of herring weighed and measured has increased considerably and is twice as high as in 2009 (Table 2.2.12). It should be observed that "sampled catch" in Table 2.2.12 refers to the proportion of the reported catch to which sampling was applied. This figure is limited to $100 \%$ but might in fact exceed the official landings due to sampling of discards, unallocated and misreported catches.

More important than a sufficient overall sampling level is an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different metiers (here defined as each combination of fleet/nation/area and quarter). Of 85 different reported metiers, 37 were sampled in 2010. The recommended sampling level of more than 1 sample per 1000 t catch has been met for 16 metiers, ( 12 in 2009). For age readings (recommended level $>25$ fish aged per 1000 t catch) also 16 metiers appear to be sampled sufficiently (2009: 13).

In the human consumption fishery, Divisions IVc and VIId were not sampled in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter at all. The amount of samples representing catches in the B-Fleet is insufficient. Only two metiers were sampled in an adequate manner.

On the other hand, some of the metiers yielded very little catch. In 38 metiers the catch is below 1000 t . The total catch in these metiers sums to 3782 t , so the remaining 48 metiers represent 170800 t of the official catch (almost $98 \%$ ). Of these 48 metiers, 18 were sampled and 11 of them fulfil the recommended level of more than 1 sample per 1000 t catch and than 25 age readings per 1000 t catch.
However, the catch of France, Lithuania, and the Faroe Islands from the North Sea has not been sampled. According to the DCF regulations, some catches of UK (England) and Sweden were landed into and sampled by other nations.

The WG recommends that all metiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including bycatches in the industrial fisheries), and that catches landed abroad should be sampled based on criteria provided above, and information on these samples should be made available to the national laboratories (see Section 1.5).

### 2.3 Fishery independent information

### 2.3.1 Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea (HERAS), West of Scotland VIa(N) and the Malin Shelf area (MSHAS) in June-July 2010

Seven surveys were carried out during late June and July covering most of the continental shelf north of $52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Sea and to the west of Scotland and Ireland to a northern limit of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The eastern edge of the survey area was bounded by the Norwegian, Danish, Swedish and German coastline and to the west by the shelf edge
between 200 and 400 m depth. The individual surveys and the survey methods are given in the report of the Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS; ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). The vessels, areas and dates of cruises are given in Table 2.3.1.1 and in Figure 2.3.1.1.

The global survey results provide spatial distributions of herring abundance by number and biomass-at-age by statistical rectangle and distributions of mean weight- and proportion mature-at-age.

The North Sea autumn spawning herring spawning stock was estimated at 3.0 million tonnes and 14200 million herring (Table 2.3.1.2). In term of biomass this is $15 \%$ higher compared to the previous year. The strong 2000 year class of herring is now incorporated in the $9+$ group. The abundance of the 2006 year class (age 3 this year) is consistent with a strong estimate of fish at age 2 last year, indicating that the 2007 estimate of age 0 fish was more precise than previously assumed. The current estimate also confirms a healthy 2008 year class already observed in the previous year.

The spatial distribution of mature and immature autumn spawning herring is shown in Figures 2.3.1.2 and 2.3.1.3 respectively. The distribution of adult herring in the North Sea is still concentrated in the areas close to the Fladen grounds, but seems to stretch out somewhat towards the north, east of the Shetland Islands.

The time series of abundance of North Sea autumn spawning herring is given in Table 2.3.1.3.

### 2.3.2 International Herring Larvae Surveys in the North Sea (IHLS)

Herring larvae surveys were conducted in September and December 2010 and in January 2011. They cover stations in the Orkney/Shetland area, Buchan and the central North Sea in the second half of September. The southern North Sea was surveyed on three occasions in December 2010 and January 2011 (Figures 2.3.2.1-2.3.2.4). The survey effort in vessel days and numbers of samples taken is comparable to previous years.

As anticipated, newly hatched larvae spatial distributions varied between areas and time periods. The total number of newly hatched larvae decreased in all observed areas, with the exception of the southern North Sea. Some abundance estimates are influenced by larvae patchiness, especially in the December survey in the southern North Sea (Table 2.3.2.1, Figure 2.3.2.5). However, the overall abundance of newly hatched larvae in all three observations in the southern North Sea in 2010 is high and comparable to last year. The total estimates for the two most recent years in this area are the highest on record and the proportion of Downs offspring in the total larvae abundance has a strong increasing trend for at least the last five years.
The updated MLAI time-series is shown in Table 2.3.2.1. Based on this year's abundance estimates, the MLAI for the whole North Sea is the second highest on record (Figure 2.3.2.6).

Detailed information on survey results are given in the Report of the Herring Larvae Surveys in the North Sea (Rohlf \& Gröger, WD 10).

### 2.3.3 International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS-Q1)

The International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) started out as a young herring fish survey in 1966 with the objective of obtaining annual recruitment indices of 1- ringers for the combined North Sea herring stock. The IBTS catches provide recruitment indi-
ces not only for herring, but for sprat and demersal species as well. In addition to the 1-ringer abundance, the IBTS catches also indicate abundances of 2-5+ ringer herring. At night-time, additional sampling is carried out using a fine-meshed 2 metre ring net (MIK ring net) and from these catches the abundance of large herring larvae (0ringers) is estimated. Hence, the sampling during IBTS affords an extended series of herring abundance indices ( 0 to $5+$ ringers).

### 2.3.3.1 The 0-ringer abundance (IBTSO survey)

The total abundance of 0-ringers in the survey area is used as recruitment index for the stock. This year's IBTS0 index is based on 586 depth-integrated hauls with the ring-net. Due to research vessel problems, the Swedish sampling in the SkagerrakKattegat area (IIIa) was not carried out this year. Index values are calculated as described in the WG report of 1996 (ICES 1996/ACFM:10), however, for the 2010 year class without information from IIIa. The series of estimates is shown in Table 2.3.3.1. The new index value of 0-ringer abundance of the 2010 year class is estimated at 77.0.

The index estimate is the same as last year's estimate for the 2009 year class. This is about $70 \%$ of the long term mean, and shows a continuation of the series of relatively poor recruitments starting from the 2002 year class. The 0-ringers caught in 2011 were predominantly found in a dense concentration off the Scottish coast and in the Moray Firth while abundances in southern areas of the North Sea were low (Figure 2.3.3.1). This pattern of distribution differs from the preceding two year classes, where concentrations were seen further from the coast and extended further to the south. Note that there is no distributional data for the Skagerrak/Kattegat for 2011. Concentrations of Downs herring larvae were apparent from ring net catches in the area of the English Channel, however, due to their small size (many below 12 mm mean length) most of these will not contribute to the recruitment index at a scale comparable to estimates based on larger larvae (> 20 mm ). Hence, these small larvae are not included in the standard procedure of index estimation and not illustrated in the Figure 2.3.3.1.

A long term trend in the distributional patterns of 0-ringers is apparent from the changes in absolute and relative abundance of 0-ringers in the western part of the North Sea, as illustrated in Figure 2.3.3.2. In this figure the relative abundance is given as the number of 0-ringers in the area west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ relative to the total number of 0 -ringers in the given year class. Since the year class 1982, when the relative abundance was $25 \%$, a general increase in abundance has been seen for the western part. In the last decade, the majority of 0 -ringers have been distributed in this area. The proportion for the present year class is $81 \%$.

### 2.3.3.2 The 1 to $5+$ ringer herring abundances (IBTS-1 to $5+$ indices)

## 1-ringer abundance

The 1-ringer recruitment estimate (IBTS-1 index) is based on trawl catches in the entire survey area. The time series for year classes 1977 to 2009 is shown in Table 2.3.3.2. This year's 1-ringer catches indicate a stronger recruitment of the 2009 year class, 51\% above the long term mean. Figure 2.3.3.3 illustrates the spatial distribution of 1ringers as estimated by trawling in February 2009, 2010 and 2011. Across years, the main areas of 1-ringer distribution are in the German Bight and south of Dogger Bank. For the 2009 year class, high abundances in the area off the German Bight and in an area off the Swedish coast contributed to the relatively high index estimate.

The Downs herring hatch later than the autumn spawned herring and generally appear as a smaller sized group during the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS. A recruitment index of smaller sized 1-ringers is calculated based on abundance estimates of herring $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ (ICES CM 2000/ ACFM:12 and ICES CM 2001/ ACFM:12). Table 2.3.3.2 includes abundance estimates of 1-ringer herring smaller than 13 cm , calculated as the standard index but is in this case for herring $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ only. Indices for these small 1-ringers are given both for the total area or the area excluding Division IIIa, and their relative proportions are also shown. In the time-series, the proportion of 1-ringers smaller than 13 cm (of total catches) is in the order of $20 \%$, and the contribution from Division IIIa to the overall abundance of $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ herring varies markedly during the period (Table 2.3.3.2). About 45\% of this year's group of 1-ringers is smaller than 13 cm .

## 2-5+ ringer abundances

Table 2.3.3.3 shows the time-series of abundance estimates of 2-5+ ringers from the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS for the period 1983-2011. The present 2011 index for 2-ringers is $60 \%$ of the long term mean; this estimate is strongly influenced by high catches along the Swedish coast. The 3-5+ ringer indices are dominated by a single large catch in the English Channel and are all well above their long term means.

### 2.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

### 2.4.1 Mean weights-at-age

Table 2.4.1.1 shows the historic mean weights-at-age (winter ringers, wr) in the North Sea stock during the 3rd quarter in Divisions IV and IIIa from the North Sea acoustic survey (HERAS) as well as the mean weights-at-age in the catch from 1996 to 2010 for comparison. The data for 2010 were sourced from Table 2.3.1.2. and Table 2.2.2. In the third quarter most fish are approaching their peak weights just prior to spawning.

In 2010, almost all age groups have lower mean weights-at-age when compared to 2009. Only 5-ringers in the acoustic survey were slightly higher in mean weight and 1-ringers in the catch have increased as well. This pattern was observed in both the acoustic survey and catch data indicating that these increased weights are not merely survey noise.

Generally, mean weight of the older fish (4+wr) in the acoustic survey has been declining since 1996. In 2009, sizeable increases in weight for the 4 - to 7 -ringers have been observed. However, the general tendency of declining weights-at-age seems to have continued in 2010 (Figure 2.4.1.1).

Variations in size-at-age in North Sea herring can to a large extent be explained by density dependent mechanisms but also seem to be affected by environmental effects to some degree (reviewed in Dickey-Collas et al., 2010). In particular, it has been noted that the very strong 2000 year class, which was competing with an already large herring stock biomass, has been growing slower than other year classes throughout. This was still evident in 2010 where this cohort is included in the 9+ group.

### 2.4.2 Maturity ogive

The percentages at age of North Sea autumn spawning herring that were considered mature in 2010 were estimated from the North Sea acoustic survey (Table 2.4.2.1). The method and justification for the use of values derived from a single year's data was described fully in ICES (1996/ACFM:10).

For 2-ringers the proportions mature in 2010 was $45 \%$ which is very low compared to the most recent years, but not unprecedented in the time series (Table 2.4.2.1). The very large 2000 year class was the most recent to display such delayed maturity emphasising the negative relation between year class size, and its growth and therefore maturity. However, only a small drop in the weights of 2-ringers in 2010 was observed in both the survey and the catches, and such a dramatic drop in maturity was therefore not expected. It is striking that immature 2-wr herring show increasing mean weights and lengths in the last two years (Figure 2.4.2.1). This indicates that their weight increases but maturity is delayed.

The reliability of the maturity estimates was investigated through the relevant national institutes. HAWG is satisfied that the estimates are reliable. The potential for errors in maturity staging has been addressed by WGIPS in 2008 (then PGHERS) and is not considered to be problematic now (ICES CM 2008/LRC:01). A further workshop on maturity staging of herring is also planned later in 2011.

However, the low proportion of mature 2-wr herring has a large impact on the SSB estimate in the assessment. It is approximately 150000 t , when compared to estimates derived from last year's proportion of $90 \%$ mature fish in that age group.

Compared to 2009 the 3-ringers were considered slightly less mature at $90 \%$ and 4 ringers were all still fully mature in the 2010 survey (Table 2.4.2.1.).

### 2.5 Recruitment

Information on the development in North Sea herring recruitment comes from the International Bottom Trawl Surveys, from which IBTS0 and the IBTS-1 indices are available. Further, the ICA assessment provides estimates of the recruitment of herring in which information from the catch and from all fishery independent indices is incorporated.

### 2.5.1 Relationship between 0 -ringer and 1 -ringer recruitment indices

The estimation of 0-ringer abundance (IBTS0 index) predicts the year class strength one year before the strength is estimated from abundance of 1-ringers (IBTS-1 index). The relationship between year class estimates from the two indices is illustrated in Figure 2.5.1 and described by the fitted linear regression. Last year's prediction of the 2009 year class was somewhat lower than this year's IBTS-1wr index of the year class (circled in the figure). In the past there was generally good agreement between the indices in their description of temporal trends in recruitment (Figure 2.5.2), but in recent years (the 2009 and the 2006-2007 year classes) the predicted levels of recruitment deviate. Among possible explanations for this deviation is the underestimation of the Downs component by the IBTS0 index as discussed in an earlier report (ICES 2009/ACOM 03, sections 2.3.3.1-2).

### 2.5.2 Trends in recruitment from the assessment

Abundances of recruiting North Sea herring are estimated from the assessment (see the temporal trend of recruitment in Figure 2.6.3.1). The recruitment declined during the 60s and the 70s, followed by a marked increase in the early 80s. After the strong 1985 year class recruitment declined again until the appearance of the strong year classes 1998-2000. During the following years the recruitment declined. The recent observations of 1- and 2-ringer abundances indicate some increase in recruitment since the low of the 2002-2004 year classes.

### 2.5.3 North Sea short-term recruitment forecast using the CDARM model

At HAWG 2010 the Climate-Driven ARIMAX Recruitment Model (CDARM)

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-B) R \mu \neq & \frac{\omega_{1}}{\left(1-\delta_{1,1} B-\delta_{1,2} B^{2}-\delta_{1,4} B^{4}\right)}{ }^{4} B(\quad) \mathrm{NAO}_{\mathrm{t}-5} \\
& +\frac{\omega_{2}}{\left(1-\delta_{2,1} B-\delta_{2,2} B^{2}\right)}(1-B) \mathrm{AMO}_{\mathrm{t}-3}  \tag{1}\\
& +\varepsilon_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

developed by Gröger et al. (2010) has been updated in 2010 using the recruitment information for years 1970 to 2009 to give new parameter estimates (see text table below). To cross-check this updated model's performance with reality, forecasts for years 2010, 2011 and 2012 have been performed before the 2010 assessments began. Whilst the forecasts for years 2010 and 2011 were added to Table 2.5.3.1 (last two grey shaded cells), the forecast for 2012 was not as this has been indicated to be relatively uncertain by cross-iteration diagnostics (Gröger et al., 2010).

| Type | Variable associated | Parameter | Estimate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intercept |  | $\mu$ | 17168.9 |
| Overall regression factor | Winter NAO | $\omega_{1}$ | -4848780 |
| Denominator factor | Winter NAO | $\delta_{1,1}$ | -0.56909 |
| Denominator factor | Winter NAO | $\delta_{1,2}$ | -0.45183 |
| Denominator factor | Winter NAO | $\delta_{1,4}$ | -0.02623 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Overall regression factor | Winter AMO | $\omega_{2}$ | 29090041 |
| Denominator factor | Winter AMO | $\delta_{2,1}$ | 0.47168 |
| Denominator factor | Winter AMO | $\delta_{2,2}$ | -0.87219 |

In equation (1) $B^{l}=y_{t-l}$ is a shift parameter of order $l$ and $(1-B)^{d}=\left(y_{t}-y_{t-d}\right)$ a differentiation parameter of order $d$. The CDARM parameter estimates for this time period are given in the text table above. As per convention, autoregressive (AR) terms of exogenous input variables appear in the denominator of rational transfer functions, while moving average (MA) terms would appear in the numerator. In this case the CDARM model contains only AR terms for both, winter NAO and winter AMO. The three denominator factors $\delta_{1,1}, \delta_{1,2}$, and $\delta_{1,4}$ for winter NAO arise from the fact that winter NAO has been identified as an AR subset model of order 3 in a previous step (AR order $\mathrm{p}=(124)$; see equation (1)), whereas the two denominator factors $\delta_{2,1}$ and $\delta_{2,2}$ for winter AMO originate from the fact that winter AMO has been identified as an AR subset model of order 2 in a previous step (AR order $\mathrm{p}=(12)$; see equation (1)).
The estimated recruitment index time series (TS) in Table 2.5.3.1 consists of ex-ante predictions (hindcasts) for years 1970 to 2009 and ex-post (forward) forecasts for years 2010 and 2011. The ex-post forecasts for years 2010 and 2011 correspond highly with the recruitment values modelled from ICA.

The CDARM SAS code (version 9.24) including all data (recruitment, winter NAO, winter AMO) for period 1960 to 2009 is archived in the sharepoint system of HAWG 2011.

### 2.6 Assessment of North Sea herring

### 2.6.1 Data exploration and preliminary results

North Sea herring was classed as an update assessment in 2011 by ACOM. A benchmark assessment is scheduled for the beginning of 2012, before the regular herring Working Group meeting in 2012. The choice of assessment model, catch and survey weightings and the length of separable period were not explored in 2011, and for justification of the approach refer to the benchmark assessment (ICES CM 2006/ACFM:20) and Simmonds (2003; 2009). Following the benchmark investigation in 2006, the tool for the assessment of North Sea herring is FLICA.

Acoustic (HERAS ages 1-9+), bottom trawl (IBTS-Q1 ages 1-5+), IBTS0 and MLAI larvae (IHLS) surveys are available for the assessment of North Sea autumn spawning herring. The surveys and the years for which they are available are given in Table 2.6.1. In recent years, including the most recent assessment, it has been observed that the indices for IBTS-Q1 are noisy when used in the assessment. The WG still shares the opinion however that the assessment is best executed including all surveys (Simmonds, 2009).

This year's assessment is an update assessment. The input data and the performance of the assessment have been carefully scrutinised to check for potential problems, but no changes to the methods or development of the model took place in 2011. From these analyses it was noted that the proportion mature of 2 wr fish was estimated to be low, while the weight of these fish was only on average 10 grams smaller than last year's 2 wr fish. The 2 wr fish in 2009 were regarded amongst the heaviest in the time series. Further discussion on the low maturity of the 2 wr fish in 2010 is given in Section 2.4.2. The diagnostics do not indicate any significant pattern or unreliable data points (Figure 2.6.1.1 to Figure 2.6.1.16).
The assessment fit to the acoustic survey (ages 4-9+) over the years 2005-2009 has resulted in larger residuals, a pattern also observed and described in preceding assessment reports. However, this year's indices have a markedly better fit to the assessment, discontinuing the period of negative residuals patterns. One possible explanation of the lack of fit in the acoustic survey could be if the herring population has moved partly out of the survey area. This has, however, not been studied yet. The IBTS survey continues to result in a noisy signal, especially for the 2 wr herring (Figure 2.6.1.17). The internal consistency of the IBTS survey is low.

The MLAI index remains high, at a level just below that observed in 2009. The 2010 estimate represents, together with the 2009 estimate, the highest values in the entire time series. As anticipated, the stock assessment did not fit this value well (Figures 2.6.1.16 to 2.6.1.18). A possible explanation for the high MLAI index is the contribution of the expanding Downs component in recent years. The underlying model assumes constant contribution from each spawning component to the MLAI index. However, with the increased contribution of the Downs component this assumption might be violated in the more recent period. The WG decided to keep this value in the final stock assessment. In the 2006 benchmark assessment it was concluded that one of the reasons for the relatively stable assessment was the balance of the major
sources of information, with each potentially delivering short periods with bias but in combination providing a balance of errors.

Overall the catch residuals are small.

Figures 2.6.1.19 to 2.6.1.21 show retrospective estimates of SSB , recruitment, mean $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ 6, selectivity pattern and year class cohorts, by removing one year of data at a time, up to 10 years in total. A revision of SSB was observed in the 2010 assessment where the 2006 year class was perceived to be markedly different from the perception in the two prior years. The perception of the 2006 year class has not changed this year, however, the perception of the 2007 and 2008 year classes has changed, increasing the estimated size of these two year classes in turn leading to an upward revision in SSB. One possible explanation for the changing perception might be found in the contrast in the catch data. The juvenile fishing mortality is low (below $0.05 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) and the IBTSQ1 is regarded as a noisy index, therefore the information does not show a very clear pattern. Year classes are only targeted by the main adult fishery from the 2 wr and onwards. Hence, from 2wr the perception might become more reliable as more data are available. The changing perception of SSB is also reflected in the analytical retrospective pattern of F , showing downward revisions over the past years. The retrospective estimates of recruitment in the years 2008 and 2009 (the 2007 and 2008 year classes) deviate more than observed in the rest of the retrospective pattern, as was already pointed out above. Figure 2.6.1.21 shows the retrospective pattern of the number per cohort. This pattern is consistent over the years as well, with exception for the 2007 and 2008 year classes. Selectivity seems to have shifted towards younger ages while reducing selectivity on older ages (Figure 2.6.1.19). It should be noted, however, that estimates of fishing mortality on all ages are low and that the contrast available in the catch data, used to estimate selectivity, therefore is low as well. As fishing mortality is now estimated to be similar to natural mortality, the importance of natural mortality estimates increases.

Figure 2.6.1.22 shows the 'otolith' plot, representing the uncertainty of the fit of the assessment model in terminal F and SSB. The $99 \%$ confidence interval of SSB indicates that the stock is above Blim and the mean indicates a biomass just above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

Further data screening of the input data on mature - immature biomass ratios, survey CPUEs, proportion of catch numbers- and weights-at-age and proportion of IBTS and acoustic survey ages have been executed, as well as correlation coefficient analyses for the acoustic and IBTS survey (see Figures 2.6.1.23 to 2.6.1.30). It was observed that the estimates of weight-at-age in the catch have gone down in the 2010 assessment while weights-at-age in the stock for ages 2-7 have increased over the past three years. The weights-at-age used in the assessment are taken as average weights-at-age, as estimated in the acoustic survey, over the past three years. No further issues were raised by this exercise.

### 2.6.2 Exploratory Assessment for NS herring

No exploratory assessment was carried out for North Sea herring this year.

### 2.6.3 Final Assessment for NS herring

In accordance with the settings described in the Stock Annex, the final assessment of North Sea herring was carried out by fitting the integrated catch-at-age model (ICA, in the FLR environment - version 1.4-12 - 08 October 2009 15:16:26). The input data
and model settings are shown in Tables 2.6.3.1-2.6.3.11, the ICA output is presented in Tables 2.6.3.13-2.6.3.21, the stock summary in Table 2.6.3.12 and Figure 2.6.3.1 and model fit and parameter estimates in Table 2.6.3.21. Diagnostics of the catch for the separable period are shown in Figure 2.6.3.2. Figure 2.6.3.3 shows the agreed management plan including the biomass trigger points and contains the $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ estimates of the past 9 years, as well as including the prognosis for 2011.
The spawning stock at spawning time in 2010 is estimated at approximately 1.30 million tonnes [1.1, 1.5 million tonnes ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ )] below the revised estimated 1.44 million tonnes in 2009. The reduction is primarily due to the low maturity of 2 wr in the population. The estimate of 0 wr fish in 2010 ( 2009 year class) is estimated to be at approximately 3.9 billion [1.59, 5.18 billion ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ )], above the long term geometric mean (see Table 2.6.3.14 and Figure 2.6.3.4). The perception of the year class strength of the 2008 year class has been revised to have a similar size to the 2009 year class. Both the 2008 and 2009 year class are estimated to be approximately 1.5 times above the low recruitment observed in the years 2002 till 2008. The strong 2000 year class is still in the population, but in the plusgroup at age 9 wr in 2010, but its influence on the population is small. The 2007 year class ( 2 wr in 2010) is now estimated to be $59 \%$ larger than the estimate from the HAWG 2010 stock assessment (see Section 2.10). Mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ in 2010 is estimated at approximately 0.12 [ $\left.0.096,0.143 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}(95 \% \mathrm{CI})\right]$, which is below the management agreement target F , while mean $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}$ is 0.02 , also below the agreed target. The updated assessment estimated an $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ of 0.10 in 2009, lower than estimated in the 2010 stock assessment.

### 2.6.4 State of the Stock

| Spawning <br> biomass in <br> relation to <br> precautionary <br> limits | Fishing mortality <br> in relation to <br> precautionary <br> limits | Fishing mortality <br> in relation to <br> FMSY target | Fishing mortality <br> in relation to <br> agreed target | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| At full <br> reproductive <br> capacity | Harvested <br> sustainably | Below target | Below target |  |

Based on the most recent estimates of SSB and fishing mortality, ICES classifies the stock as being at full reproductive capacity and is being harvested sustainably but below Fmsy and management plan target. The SSB in autumn 2010 was estimated at 1.30 million $t$, just above $B_{\text {pa. }} F_{2-6}$ in 2010 was estimated at 0.12 , below the target $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ of 0.2. The 2008 and 2009 year classes are estimated above the long term recruitment geometric mean.

### 2.7 Short term predictions

Short term predictions for the years 2011, 2012 and 2013 were done with code developed in R software, mimicking the MFDP programme. In 2009 the results of both methods were extensively compared to ensure that they both gave identical results. In the short term predictions, recruitment is assumed constant for the years 2012 and 2013 within the same recruitment regime since 2002 (geometric mean of 2001 to 2009 year classes).

For the intermediate year, no overshoot for the A fleet was assumed, as the catches equalled the TAC in 2010. Negotiations between the EU and Norway resulted in the allowance of $50 \%$ of the TAC in the Kattegat-Skagerrak area to be taken in the North Sea. Therefore, the TAC by the A fleet is increased by 15000 tonnes. For the B-fleet
the agreed by-catch ceiling in 2011 has been used. For the $C$ and $D$ fleets, the fraction of North Sea autumn spawning herring caught in IIIa vs. the fraction of western Baltic spring spawning herring in the same area is used to derive C and D fleet catches, based on projected TAC's in IIIa for these fleets. See Table 2.7.1-2.7.11 for other inputs. The procedure followed is described in Stock Annex 2 and Annex 3 and has been the same for several years now.

The five scenarios presented (Table 2.7.12) are based on an interpretation of the harvest control rule or other options and are only illustrative:
a) No fishing;
b) The EU-Norway management plan
c) A roll over TAC from 2011 to 2012 of 200 kt for the A fleet;
d) The EU-Norway Harvest Control Rule as implemented within the management plan (no restriction on TAC change);
e) A $15 \%$ decrease in the A fleet TAC between 2011 and 2012;

Since the current management plan only stipulates overall fishing mortalities for juveniles and adults, making fleet-wise predictions for four fleets that are more or less independent provides different options for 2012. The consequence of other combinations of catch options can be explored on request.

For options b, c, d and e, the C and D fleets are assumed to have a North Sea autumn spawner catch for 2011 of 3.9 and 1.7 thousand tonnes respectively. In 2012 and 2013 they are assumed to have a North Sea autumn spawner catch of 5.1 and 0.6 thousand tonnes respectively. All predictions are for North Sea autumn spawning herring only. The results are presented in Table 2.7.12.

### 2.7.1 Comments on the short-term projections

HAWG assumed recruitment survival to not have changed since 2002. Therefore, the recruitment in the forecasted years is assumed to be similar to the year classes 2001 to 2009. An increase in SSB is expected from 2010 to 2011, mainly driven by the maturing 2007 year class. The SSB is expected to increase under the management plan both in 2012 and further in 2013 to levels above 2 million tonnes. SSB is expected to be well above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger, }}$ and therefore also $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, in 2011 and 2012.

The estimated impact of the juvenile fishery is assumed to be low. It has not been investigated to what extent changes in natural mortality would affect the current advice, or if indeed such changes are taking place. Some of the important predator stocks are currently in a rebuilding condition. In the projections it is expected that the 2007 year class matures similarly to the average 3 wr fish, as they do not show signs of lower growth rates

The predicted catch according to the management plan for 2011 implies an increase in TAC of $15 \%$.

### 2.8 Medium term predictions and HCR simulations

Medium term predictions were not done.

### 2.9 Precautionary and Limit Reference Points and FMSY targets

The precautionary reference points for this stock were adopted in 1998.

## The Blim

The 1998 Study Group on Precautionary Approach to Fisheries Management determined reference points for North Sea herring that were adopted by ICES (ICES CM 1998/ACFM:10). The Blim (800 000 tonnes) was set at a level below which the recruitment may become impaired and was also the formally used MBAL. In 2007, WKREF (ICES CM 2007/ACFM:05) explored limit reference points for North Sea herring and concluded that there is no basis for changing Blim. In 2011, WKHERMP agreed that there was still no basis for changing Blim. A low risk of SSB falling below Blim was therefore the basis of ICES precautionary advice.

## Fpa and Bpa

The targets used in the management plan (which began in 1997) were recommended by the Study Group on Precautionary Approach to Fisheries Management and adopted by ICES as the precautionary reference points (ICES CM 1998/ACFM:10). This means that the precautionary reference points were taken from the already existing management plan. In the management plan, the target fishing mortalities were intended as targets and not as bounds. They were based on an investigation of risk to falling below 800000 t SSB, FmSY and consideration of fisheries on both juvenile and adult herring (ICES CM 1997/ACFM:08).

## B trigger

The B trigger of the management plan (ВмgTtrigger) was changed in November 2008 from 1.3.million to 1.5 million tonnes after evaluation and consultation with the stakeholders. Thus currently the $B_{m G T t r i g g e r ~ a n d ~} B_{p a}$ are different at 1.5 million tonnes and 1.3 million tonnes respectively. The lower Btrigger of 800000 tonnes relates to the Blim (see above). BmgTtriger is a harvest rule parameter and is not a reference point by which to judge stock status.

## FMSY target and trigger for new advisory framework

ACOM agreed with HAWG that Fmsy for this stock was 0.25 . This was decided in 2010. This choice was supported by WKFRAME2. There is no ICES MSY framework biomass trigger point for this stock, as the management plan is thought to have primacy over the ICES MSY framework when providing advice.

HAWG considers that the parameters of the management plan conform to the MSY approach, although the limit on annual TAC change may not maximise yield.

### 2.10 Quality of the assessment

The assessment this year was classified as an update, following the procedures and settings specified in Stock Annex 3. In previous years, the assessment of North Sea herring has been regarded as relatively consistent, and the diagnostics indicate a similar classification for this year. The perception of the 2007 and 2008 year classes however has changed in comparison to last year's assessment. These changes in perception have altered the time series resulting in increased SSB and lower Fs, and therefore introducing retrospective bias.

Extra attention was given to the cluster of negative residuals in the acoustic survey (HERAS), ages 4-9+ over the past 5 years. The reason for this cluster of residuals is not clear. This year, however, the residuals in the last year were small and positive.

This year the larval index (MLAI) was the second highest of the series. In recent years there has been a conflict between the assessment model and the MLAI index, resulting in a sequence of positive residuals. The spawning component abundance index (SCAI: Payne 2010; see Section 2.12), originally designed to estimate the abundances by spawning component, can also be used as an alternative way to process the same larval survey data. This newly generated time series also indicated a high larval abundance, supporting the results of the MLAI and indicating that more work needs to be done to understand the results of this larval survey.

The information from the IBTS-Q1 survey continues to be noisy. The HAWG is still of the opinion however that the assessment is best executed including all surveys (Simmonds, 2009). As noted in Section 3.2.1, sampling for splitting the catches between NSAS and WBSS in IVaE is still problematic. However, sampling was considered sufficient to base the calculation of the split in the transfer area on 2010 samples only. The impact on the assessment of split factors has not been explored.

The data from the stock summary table is compared with the stock summary from the 2010 assessment and the first year (intermediate year) of the 2011 short term prediction. The projected $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ for 2010 for the intermediate year, from HAWG 2010 was 0.12 (see text table below). The estimated $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ from this Working Group for 2010 is also 0.12. HAWG 2010 assumed no over-catch, however, reallocating spring and autumn spawners to respectively IIIa and IV has resulted in higher catches in 2010. The projected biomass of herring in 2010 is very similar to the 2011 estimate. This is caused by the lower maturity of the maturing 2 wr cohort offsetting the large increase in the estimates of the 2007 and 2008 year classes. The 2007 and 2008 year class are now estimated to be $59 \%$ and $29 \%$ greater in abundance respectively than estimated in 2010 (Figure 2.10.1). There has been very little change in the perception of the 2006 year class, which was revised up in the 2010 assessment. Thus in the last two stock assessments, it has been estimates of recruitment that have affected substantially the quality of the assessment.
A likely explanation for this change in quality is the impact of the increasing Downs component resulting in an underestimation of the IBTS0 index (see ICES 2009/ACOM 03 , sections 2.3.3.1-2). This highlights the importance of understanding the productivity and biology of North Sea herring for the effective provision of operational advice to populate the management plan (see Dickey-Collas et al., 2010).

| Year | 2010 AsSESSMENT |  |  |  | 2011 ASSESSMENT |  |  |  | Percentage change in ESTIMATE 2011/2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rec | SSB | Catch | $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ | Rec | SSB | Catch | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$-6 | Rec | SSB | Catch | $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ |
| 2008 | 16409 | 1038 | 258 | 0.22 | 26079 | 1206 | 258 | 0.19 | +59\% | +16\% | - | -14\% |
| 2009 | 29751 | 1289 | 168 | 0.11 | 38290 | 1442 | 168 | 0.10 | +28\% | +12\% | - | -9\% |
| 2010* | 26719 | 1317 | 165 | 0.12 | 38849 | 1301 | 188 | 0.12 | +45\% | -1\% | +14\% | 0\% |

### 2.11 Herring in Division IVc and VIId (Downs Herring).

Over many years the Working Group has attempted to assess the contribution of winter spawning Downs herring to the overall population of North Sea herring. Since 1985, there has been a separate TAC for herring in Divisions IVc and VIId as part of the total North Sea TAC.

Historically, the TAC for herring in IVc and VIId has been set as a proportion of the total North Sea TAC and this has varied between 6 and $16 \%$ since 1986. The proportion has been relatively high, particularly between 2002 and 2005. However, ICES in 2005 expressed concerns regarding Downs herring and recommended that the proportion used to determine the TAC should be set to the long term average of the proportions used since 1986 (around 11\%). For 2010, it was set at 15319 tonnes and at 26 536 tonnes for 2011, which represents respectively $9 \%$ and $13.5 \%$ of the total human consumption TAC for Divisions IVc and VIId (Figure 2.11.1).

The persistent tendency to overfish the Downs TAC was markedly reduced since 2005 (Figure 2.11.2), but in 2010, landings were $80 \%$ higher than the TAC and amounted to 26520 tonnes.

Historically, the Downs herring has been considered highly sensitive to overexploitation (Burd, 1985; Cushing, 1968; 1992). It expresses different growth dynamics and recruitment patterns to the more northern spawning components. However, recent studies indicate that in recent years, the Downs component has come to make up the largest component of the stock (see Section 2.12). Furthermore, the directed fishery in Q4 and Q1 targets aggregations of spawning herring. Preliminary studies undertaken by HAWG (ICES CM 2006/ACFM:20) based on population profiles suggested that total mortality ( $Z$ ) was significantly higher for the 1998 and 1999 year classes of Downs herring compared to herring caught in the northern part of the North Sea.

Downs herring is also taken in other herring fisheries in the North Sea and mixes with other components of North Sea herring in the summer whilst feeding. There is also a summer industrial fishery in the eastern North Sea exploiting Downs and North Sea autumn spawning herring juveniles. Tagging experiments in the eastern North Sea (Aasen et al., 1962) estimated that around 15\% of those catches comprised Downs recruits. Otolith microstructure studies of catches from the northern North Sea suggested that the proportion of Downs herring may vary considerably from year to year ( 26 to $60 \%$ ) and may also vary between fleets (Bierman et al., 2010).
The proportion of the autumn and winter spawning components in recruiting year classes of North Sea herring has been traditionally monitored through the abundance of different sized fish in the IBTS. The 1-ring fish from Downs spawning sites (winter) are believed to be smaller than those from the more northern, autumn spawning sites. The separation of this smaller sized components has been set as $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$. Both the total abundance and the relative proportion of this smaller size component has, on average, been relatively high for the year classes 1995 to 2002 although there is considerable variation between year classes (Table 2.3.3.2 and Figure 2.11.3). For example, these values suggest that around $70 \%$ of the 2002 year class came from Downs production (Figure 2.11.4). Since then the level of contribution by the Downs component has generally been lower. However, for the 2009 year class contribution seem to be at a high level with $48 \%$ and the abundance estimate shows a level comparable to the 2002 year class (Figure 2.11.4).

As mentioned in Section 2.3.3.1 the ring net hauls for 0-ringers during the IBTS in this area also include Downs herring larvae. However, at the time of the IBTS survey (January/February) these herring larvae are relatively small compared to larvae from other stocks. Therefore these small larvae (separated as $<20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) have until now been excluded from the standard estimation of 0-ringer recruitment (IBTS0 index). Furthermore, recent studies showed that the daily mortality rates of newly hatched larvae of North Sea herring have increased over the time series and there are
uncertainties on the mortality level for these small larvae (Fässler et al., 2011 (WD04) and Section 2.12).

Since 2007, the IBTS 1st quarter survey area has been extended to the eastern English Channel, and both additional GOV hauls and ring-net sampling are carried out in this area to provide more information on Downs herring (ICES CM 2007/ACFM:11). However, the time series of data including this improved coverage of Downs herring larval distributions is not of sufficient length and consistency to be incorporated in the IBTS0 index estimation. The possibilities and consequences of including these larvae in the IBTS0 index were investigated during the HAWG meeting in 2009 (ICES 2009/ACOM 03, Section 2.3.3.1 and 2.10.2).

Since 2007, acoustic data recorded in January show large herring schools along the French coast. Figure 2.11 .5 shows the catch composition (percentage by age) of the pelagic hauls carried out on these schools. Every year, the 3 wr and 4 wr dominate the catch; in 2011, these age-groups represent respectively $50 \%$ and $36 \%$. The mean density of these shoals of herring, which were regularly found during the survey in a localised area, could, however, not be precisely estimated, and could not be raised to the whole area due to the spatial heterogeneity. Furthermore, large schools close to the coast in shallow and inaccessible waters were detected with a horizontal echo sounder.

In conclusion, the TAC is set up to conserve the spawning aggregation of Downs herring. Because of the uncertainties concerning the status of, and recruitment to, this component of the North Sea herring stock in future years, HAWG recommends that the IVc-VIId TAC should be maintained at $11 \%$ of the total North Sea TAC (as recommended by ICES). This recommendation should be seen as an interim measure prior to the development of a more robust harvest control rule for setting the TAC of Downs herring, supported by increased research effort into the dynamics of this component in fisheries in the central and northern North Sea. Any new approach should provide an appropriate balance of F across stock components and be similarly conservative until the uncertainty about contribution of the Downs herring to the catch in all fisheries in the North Sea is reduced. Possible methods are discussed by Kell et al. (2009).

### 2.12 North Sea spawning components

The North Sea autumn-spawning herring stock is generally understood as representing a complex of multiple spawning components (Cushing, 1955; Harden Jones, 1968; Iles and Sinclair, 1982; Heath et al., 1997). Most authors distinguish four major components, each defined by distinct spawning times and sites (Iles and Sinclair, 1982; Corten, 1986; Heath et al., 1997). Three of the components spawn in August/September: the Orkney-Shetland component spawns around the islands that give it its name, the Buchan component to the east of Scotland; the Banks component off the English coast in the central North Sea. The Downs component spawns in the English Channel during December. Although the different components mix outside the spawning season and are exploited together, each component is thought to have a high degree of population integrity (Iles and Sinclair, 1982) and, therefore, could be expected to have relatively unique population dynamics.

Monitoring and maintaining the diversity of local populations is widely viewed as critical to the successful management of marine fish stocks. Changes in the relative composition of the combined stock can give rise to differences in exploitation rates between the components (Bierman et al., 2010) and the associated risk of local deple-
tions (Kell et al., 2009). Maintaining such spatial diversity within a stock should provide resilience to both anthropogenic and natural stressors (Harden Jones, 1968; McPherson et al., 2001; Secor et al., 2009).
The spawning component abundance index (SCAI: Payne 2010) was developed to characterise the relative dynamics of the individual North Sea spawning components. Briefly, the SCAI is a statistical model designed to analyse the larval abundance indices (LAIs) produced by the IHLS. Typically these time series are plagued by missing observations, high sampling noise and differences in the spawning intensity between surveys. The SCAI model, however, is robust to these problems, gives a good fit to the data, and proves capable of both handling and predicting missing observations well. Furthermore, the sum of the fitted abundance indices across all components proves a good proxy for the biomass of the total stock, even though the model utilizes information at the individual-component level.

The SCAI indices show that there are appreciable differences in the dynamics of the individual components (Figure 2.12.1). The Orkney-Shetland component appears to have recovered faster from historic depletion events than the other components, whereas the Downs component has been the slowest. The Orkney-Shetland, Buchan and Banks components show broadly similar dynamics, with peaks in abundance occurring during the late 1980s-early 1990s and again in the early 2000s. The Downs component, however, appears to have a different set of dynamics: recovery from the 1970s stock collapse was much slower in this component, and the late 1980s peak displayed by the other three components is relatively weak. In recent times, however, the Downs component has grown dramatically to the point where it is now the largest component in the stock.
The SCAI indices can also be used to look at the relative composition of the stock (Figure 2.12.2). The composition of the stock has changed appreciably over time. The largest fraction of the total SSB in the past 35 years has generally been represented by the Orkney-Shetland component (on average $50 \%$ ), but the ratio has ranged between 25 and $80 \%$. In recent years, however, the Downs component has increased rapidly and in 2011 now represents more than $50 \%$ of the combined stock.
The environment at the spawning grounds of the northern components is very similar (Röckmann et al., 2011) in terms of the trends and variability of salinity and temperature. The environment at the Downs spawning area is very different from the northern grounds, with different absolute temperatures and different trends and variability. These differences are driven by the northern North Sea being influenced by far field effects and the southern North by more local processes (Berx \& Hughes, 2009; Hjøllo et al., 2009). The mortality of the larvae is also different (Figure 2.12.3) with different trends over time (Fassler et al., in press). This provides further evidence to support the hypothesis of Cushing (1992) that the dynamics of the components differed.

### 2.13 Management Considerations

Based on the most recent estimates of SSB and fishing mortality, ICES classifies the stock as being at full reproductive capacity and is being harvested sustainably, below target fishing mortality for the management plan.

The stock is managed according to the EU-Norway Management agreement which was updated in November 2008 (see Stock Annex 3). In 2008, WKHMP examined the performance of this management plan and the plan is consistent with the precautionary approach. In 2011, WKHERMP re-examined the management plan. WKHERMP
concluded that the management plan appears to operate well in relation to the objectives of consistency with the precautionary approach and a rational exploitation pattern, but not in relation to achieving simultaneous stable and high yield. The main weakness appears to be the $15 \%$ IAV limit on TAC change which leads to restricted TACs when the stock is improving. The current $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ of 0.25 is consistent with the MSY approach under the current low recruitment regime. The management plan is also considered consistent with the MSY approach, although the trade-off between stability and high yield will limit the maximising of yield in some circumstances. There is no basis to further adjust the harvest control rule to account for recruitment variability or trends. WKHERMP recommended that further work on the management plan be carried out in 2011, prior to the December decisions by the EU and Norway, to develop mechanisms that avoid the unwanted side-effects of the present plan.

The fishing mortality is reliably estimated by the stock assessment. Fishing mortality is now below the target set by the management plan. The estimation of SSB is currently less precise as a result of revisions to recruiting year classes estimates (year classes 2006, 2007 and 2008). This revises the numbers of fish in the stock upwards. These revisions are thought to be due to the relative increase in the Downs component, reducing the precision of the estimates of recruitment from the surveys (see Section 2.5). The 2007 year class ( 2 wr in 2010) was unusually immature ( $45 \%$ compared to the expected $75-85 \%$ ). The reasons for this are unknown (see Section 2.4.2). Thus the SSB in 2010 is smaller than anticipated if average maturity was assumed. However, when this year class is fully mature it is expected to contribute to a further increase in the SSB in 2011 and 2012.

The current estimates of the 2008 and 2009 year classes are above the geometric mean of the time series and the year class of 2007 and 2008 have been revised upwards. HAWG still considers the stock to be in a low productivity phase as the survival ratio between newly hatched larvae and recruits is still much lower than prior to 2001. The management plan has proved to be an effective tool for maintaining sustainable exploitation and conserving the North Sea herring stock in this lower productivity regime.

North Sea herring and western Baltic spring spawning herring are managed under mixed quotas in some areas of the North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat. With the decline of the WBSS herring, conservation of this stock needs to be considered when setting TACs. With the mixing of stocks within a fishery, primacy of consideration should be given to protection of the stock most vulnerable to exploitation in the area of overlap. Hence ICES recommended that the TAC setting for IIIa consider the requirements for MSY of western Baltic spring spawners before those of North Sea autumn spawning herring (ACOM and WKWATSUP).

Catches in the transfer area in IVa (east) are generally assumed to be dominated by western Baltic spring spawners. The current method of estimation (vertebral counts) is not considered completely robust.

The options selected for the C- and D-fleets are compatible with the advised exploitation of western Baltic spring spawners of 3.9 and 1.7 thousand tonnes of North Sea autumn spawning herring for C and D fleets respectively.
The North Sea autumn spawning herring stock also includes the Downs herring component (herring in Divisions IVc and VIId). The Downs stock has increased greatly in recent years (see Section 2.12). The management of this component was
discussed in detail in 2007 (ICES CM 2007 ACFM:11). There is no update to this advice.

### 2.14 Ecosystem considerations

Herring spawning and nursery areas, being near the coasts, are particularly sensitive and vulnerable to anthropogenic influences. The most serious of these are the extraction of marine sand and gravel and the development of coastal wind farms. Herring abandon and then repopulate spawning grounds and a lack of spawning in recent years does not mean that the spawning ground is not required to maintain a resilient herring population.

Herring is considered to have a major impact on most other fish stocks as predator and is itself as prey for fish, seabirds and sea mammals in the North Sea area (DickeyCollas et al., 2010). Recent work using process-oriented length-based ecosystem modelling (Speirs et al., 2010) and correlative approaches (Fauchald, 2010) suggests a link between a large herring biomass and the repression of the North Sea cod recovery. This suggests that through herring predation on cod eggs and larvae, a strong cod recruitment is unlikely with the current state of the North Sea ecosystem.

The human consumption fisheries for herring are considered relatively clean, with little by-catch of other fish, charismatic mega-fauna and almost no disturbance of the sea bed. The evidence from observer programmes suggest that discarding of herring is not widespread. Juvenile herring are caught as a bycatch of industrial fisheries and these vessels catch a range of fish species. Most of these bycatches are monitored and included in the catch statistics.

### 2.15 Changes in the environment

This stock has recently produced seven below average year classes in a row, which has never been observed before (Payne et al., 2009). The 2008 and 2009 recruitments are at the geometric mean of the series, but the survival ratio between newly hatched larvae and the recruits suggests that herring are still in a lower productivity phase. The change in survival rate co-varies with an increase in the mortality rate of the very young larvae (Fassler et al., in press). The specific reasons for this are not known but there appears to be a similarity in the trend with the inverse of stock biomass and temperature. The pattern in the recruitment time series also shows a correlation to the climatic forcing of the North Atlantic, via the NAO (North Atlantic Oscillation) and the AMO (Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation; Gröger et al., 2010). It is thought that the climatic signal integrates many of the local processes affecting the larvae including changes in temperature, salinity, water column stability, turbulence, primary production and zooplankton community. Whilst studies of the specific processes are ongoing, the apparent correlation with the climate can be used to investigate future trends in recruitment.

The environment also influences the growth of individual North Sea herring. Most of the variations in size-at-age observed can be explained by density-dependent mechanisms; however, temperature also plays a role. Temperature significantly explains the variation in growth between cohorts of North Sea herring since the mid-1980s (Brunel and Dickey-Collas, 2010). Cohorts experiencing warmer conditions throughout their lifetime attain higher growth rates, but have shorter life expectancy and smaller asymptotic size, and vice-versa for herring experiencing colder conditions.

Table 2.1.1: Herring caught in the North Sea (Sub-area IV and Division VIId). Catch in tonnes by country, 2001 - 2010. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - | 23 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Denmark ${ }^{6}$ | 67096 | 70825 | 78606 | 99037 | 128380 |
| Faroe Islands | 1082 | 1413 | 627 | 402 | 738 |
| France | 24880 | 25422 | 31544 | 34521 | 38829 |
| Germany | 29779 | 27213 | 43953 | 41858 | 46555 |
| Netherlands | 51293 | 55257 | 81108 | 96162 | 81531 |
| Norway ${ }^{1}$ | 75886 | 74974 | 112481 | 137638 | 156802 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 458 |
| Sweden | 3695 | 3418 | 4781 | 5692 | 13464 |
| USSR/Russia | - | - | - | - | 99 |
| UK (England) | 14582 | 13757 | 18639 | 20855 | 25311 |
| UK (Scotland) | 26719 | 30926 | 40292 | 45331 | 73227 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | 1018 | 944 | 2010 | 2656 | 2912 |
| Unallocated landings | 27362 | 31552 | 31875 | 48898 | 57788 |
| Total landings | 323392 | 335724 | 445921 | 533058 | 626101 |
| Discards | - | 17093 | 4125 | 17059 | 12824 |
| Total catch | 323392 | 352817 | 450046 | 550117 | 638925 |
| Estimates of the parts of the catches which have been allocated to spring spawning stocks |  |  |  |  |  |
| IIIa type (WBSS) | 6449 | 6652 | 2821 | 7079 | 7039 |
| Thames estuary ${ }^{2}$ | 107 | 60 | 84 | 62 | 74 |
| Others ${ }^{3}$ | 1097 | 0 | 308 | 0 | 0 |
| Norw. Spring Spawners ${ }^{4}$ | 7108 | 4069 | 979 | 452 | 417 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Belgium | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Denmark ${ }^{6}$ | 102322 | 84697 | 62864 | 46238 | 45869 |
| Faroe Islands | 1785 | 2891 | 2014 | 1803 | 3014 |
| France | 49475 | 24909 | 30347 | 18114 | 17745 |
| Germany | 40414 | 14893 | 8095 | 5368 | 7670 |
| Netherlands | 76315 | 66393 | 23122 | 24552 | 23872 |
| Norway ${ }^{1}$ | 135361 | 100050 | 59321 | 50445 | 46816 |
| Lithuania | - | - | - | - | 90 |
| Sweden | 10529 | 15448 | 13840 | 5299 | 4395 |
| Russia | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (England) | 22198 | 15993 | 11717 | 652 | 10770 |
| UK (Scotland) | 48428 | 35115 | 16021 | 14006 | 14373 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | 3531 | 638 | 331 | - | - |
| Unallocated landings | 18764 | 26641 | 17151 | -726 | 0 |
| Total landings | 509125 | 387669 | 244823 | 165751 | 174614 |
| Discards | 1492 | 93 | 224 | 91 | 13 |
| Total catch | 510617 | 387762 | 245047 | 165842 | 174627 |
| Estimates of the parts of the catches which have been allocated to spring spawning stocks |  |  |  |  |  |
| IIIa type (WBSS) | 10954 | 1070 | 124 | 3941 | 774 |
| Thames estuary ${ }^{2}$ | 65 | 2 | 7 | 48 | 85 |
| Others ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norw. Spring Spawners ${ }^{4}$ | 626 | 685 | 2721 | 44560 | 56900 |

${ }^{1}$ Catches of Norwegian spring spawners removed (taken under a separate TAC).
${ }^{2}$ Landings from the Thames estuary area are included in the North Sea catch figure for UK (England).
${ }^{3}$ Caught in the whole North Sea, partly included in the catch figure for The Netherlands
4 These catches (including some local fjord-type Spring Spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area.
5 may include misreported catch from VIaN and discards
6 Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery

Table 2.1.2: Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division IVa West. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark 1 | 17770 |  | 26422 |  | 48358 |  | 48128 |  | 80990 |  |
| Faroe Islands | 192 |  | - |  | 95 |  | - |  |  |  |
| France | 8164 |  | 10522 |  | 11237 |  | 10941 |  | 13474 |  |
| Germany | 17753 |  | 15189 |  | 25796 |  | 17559 |  | 22278 |  |
| Netherlands | 17503 | 3 | 18289 |  | 25045 |  | 43876 |  | 36619 |  |
| Norway | 11653 |  | 10836 |  | 34443 |  | 36119 |  | 66232 |  |
| Poland | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 458 |  |
| Sweden | 1418 |  | 2397 |  | 2647 |  | 2178 |  | 8261 |  |
| Russia | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 99 |  |
| UK (England) | 12283 |  | 10142 |  | 12030 |  | 13480 |  | 15523 |  |
| UK (Scotland) | 25105 |  | 30014 |  | 39970 |  | 43490 |  | 71941 |  |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 1018 |  | 944 |  | 2010 |  | 2656 |  | 2912 |  |
| Unallocated landings | 24725 | 2 | 14201 | 2 | 14115 | 2 | 28631 | 2 | 39324 | 2 |
| M isreporting from VIa North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Landings | 137584 |  | 138956 |  | 215746 |  | 247058 |  | 358111 |  |
| Discards |  |  | 17093 |  | 4125 |  | 15794 |  | 10861 |  |
| Total catch | 137584 |  | 156049 |  | 219871 |  | 262852 |  | 368972 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| Denmark 1 | 60462 |  | 45948 |  | 28426 |  | 16550 |  | 25092 |  |
| Faroe Islands | 580 |  | 1118 |  | 2 |  | 288 |  | 1110 |  |
| France | 18453 |  | 8570 |  | 13068 |  | 7067 |  | 6412 |  |
| Germany | 18605 |  | 4985 |  | 498 |  | - |  | 505 |  |
| Netherlands | 39209 |  | 42622 |  | 11634 |  | 11017 |  | 13593 |  |
| Norway | 38363 |  | 40279 |  | 40304 |  | 25926 |  | 38897 |  |
| Lithuania | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 90 |  |
| Sweden | 4957 |  | 7658 |  | 7025 |  | 1435 |  | 2310 |  |
| Russia | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| UK (England) | 12031 |  | 11833 |  | 8355 |  | 578 |  | 7384 |  |
| UK (Scotland) | 47368 |  | 35115 |  | 14727 |  | 10249 |  | 13567 |  |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 3531 |  | 638 |  | 331 |  | - |  | - |  |
| Unallocated landings | 10981 | 2 | 22215 |  | 14952 |  | -977 |  | 0 |  |
| M isreporting from VIa North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Landings | 253048 |  | 220981 |  | 139322 |  | 72133 |  | 108960 |  |
| Discards | 1492 |  | 93 |  | 194 |  | 91 |  | 13 |  |
| Total catch | 254540 |  | 221074 |  | 139516 |  | 72224 |  | 108973 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
${ }^{2}$ May include misreported catch from VIaN and discards
${ }^{3}$ Including $1057 \boldsymbol{t}$ of local spring spawners

Table 2.1.3: Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division IVa East. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denmark 1 | 18466 | 17846 | 7401 | 16278 | 5761 |
| Faroe Islands | 890 | 1365 | 359 | - | 738 |
| France | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany | - | 81 | 54 | 888 | - |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | - | - |
| Norway 2 | 56904 | 63482 | 62306 | 100443 | 89925 |
| Sweden | 517 | 568 | 1529 | 1720 | 3510 |
| Unallocated landings | 0 | 3959 | 9988 | 0 | 0 |
| Total landings | 76777 | 87301 | 81637 | 119329 | 99934 |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{7 6 7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 3 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 9 3 4}$ |
| Norw. Spring Spawners 4 | 7108 | 4069 | 979 | 452 | 417 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Denmark 1 | 8614 | 2646 | 1587 | 499 | - |
| Faroe Islands | 975 | 577 | 400 | 700 | 719 |
| France | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany | 34 | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | 263 | - | - | - |
| Norway 2 | 90065 | 54424 | 17474 | 6981 | 7362 |
| UK (Scotland) | 83 | - | - | - | - |
| Sweden | 2857 | 640 | - | 1735 | 1505 |
| Unallocated landings | 0 | -96 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Total landings | 102628 | 58454 | 19461 | 9915 | 9586 |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | $\mathbf{5 8 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 8 6}$ |  |
| Norw. Spring Spawners 4 | 626 | 685 | 2721 | 44560 | 56900 |

${ }^{1}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
${ }^{2}$ Catches of Norwegian spring spawning herring removed (taken under a separate TAC)
${ }^{3}$ Negative unallocated catches due to misreporting into other areas
${ }^{4}$ These catches (including some fjord-type spring spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathbf{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area

Table 2.1.4: Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division IVb. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark 1 | 30277 | 26387 | 22574 | 33857 | 41423 |
| Faroe Islands | - | 48 | 173 | 402 | - |
| France | 7796 | 4214 | 7918 | 10592 | 10205 |
| Germany | 8340 | 7577 | 12116 | 13823 | 14381 |
| Netherlands | 24160 | 13154 | 19115 | 23649 | 10038 |
| Norway | 7329 | 656 | 15732 | 1076 | 645 |
| Sweden | 1760 | 453 | 605 | 1794 | 1694 |
| UK (England) | 814 | 317 | 2632 | 2864 | 3869 |
| UK (Scotland) | 1614 | 289 | 322 | 1841 | 1286 |
| Unallocated landings 3 | -22885 | 4052 | -2401 | 8300 | 10233 |
| Total landings | 59205 | 57147 | 78786 | 98198 | 93774 |
| Discards 2 |  |  |  | 1265 | 1963 |
| Total catch | 59205 | 57147 | 78786 | 99463 | 95737 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Denmark 1 | 32277 | 35990 | 32230 | 29164 | 19671 |
| Faroe Islands | 200 | 1196 | 1612 | 815 | 1185 |
| France | 17385 | 8421 | 9687 | 4316 | 2349 |
| Germany | 14222 | 2205 | 2415 | 1061 | 1994 |
| Netherlands | 13363 | 8550 | 904 | 3164 | 830 |
| Norway | 6933 | 5347 | 1543 | 17538 | 557 |
| Sweden | 2715 | 7150 | 6815 | 2129 | 580 |
| UK (England) | 4924 | 577 | 833 | 2 | 1577 |
| UK (Scotland) | 977 | - | 1293 | 3757 | 805 |
| Unallocated landings 3 | 2364 | -203 | -904 | -166 | 0 |
| Total landings | 95360 | 69233 | 56428 | 61780 | 29548 |
| Discards 2 |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| Total catch | 95360 | 69233 | 56458 | 61780 | 29548 |

${ }^{1}$ Including any by-catches in the industrial fishery
${ }^{2}$ Discards partly included in unallocated landings
${ }^{3}$ Negative unallocated catches due to misreporting from other areas
${ }^{4}$ May include discards. Negative unallocated due to misreporting into other areas

Table 2.1.5: Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division IVc and VIId. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2001 |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - |  | 23 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Denmark | 583 |  | 170 | 273 | 774 | 206 |
| France | 8750 |  | 10686 | 12389 | 12988 | 15150 |
| Germany | 3686 |  | 4366 | 5987 | 9588 | 9896 |
| Netherlands | 9630 |  | 23814 | 36948 | 28637 | 34874 |
| UK (England) | 1485 |  | 3298 | 3977 | 4511 | 5919 |
| UK (Scotland) | - |  | 623 | - | - | - |
| Unallocated landings | 25522 | 3 | 5336 | 8170 | 9963 | 8231 |
| Total landings | 49656 |  | 50318 | 67749 | 68473 | 74282 |
| Discards 2 |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | 49656 |  | 50318 | 67749 | 68473 | 74282 |
| Coastal spring spawners | 147 | 4 | 60 | 84 | 62 | 74 |
| included above 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 2006 |  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Belgium | 3 |  | 1 | - | - | - |
| Denmark | 969 |  | 113 | 621 | 25 | 1106 |
| Faroe Islands | 30 |  | - | - | - | - |
| France | 13637 |  | 7918 | 7592 | 6731 | 8984 |
| Germany | 7553 |  | 7703 | 5182 | 4307 | 5171 |
| Netherlands | 23743 |  | 14958 | 10584 | 10371 | 9449 |
| UK (England) | 5243 |  | 3583 | 2529 | 72 | 1809 |
| UK (Scotland) | - |  | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Unallocated landings | 5419 |  | 4725 | 3103 | 417 | 0 |
| Total landings | 56597 |  | 39001 | 29612 | 21923 | 26520 |
| Discards 2 | - |  | - | - |  |  |
| Total catch | 56597 |  | 39001 | 29612 | 21923 | 26520 |
| Coastal spring spawners | 65 |  | 2 | 7 | 48 | 85 |
| included above 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Landings from the Thames estuary area are included in the North Sea catch figure for UK (England)
${ }^{2}$ Discards partly included in unallocated landings
${ }^{3}$ May include misreported catch and discards
${ }^{4}$ Thames/Blackwater herring landings: 107 t , others included in the catch figure for The Netherlands

Table 2.1.6 ("The Wonderful Table") HERRING in Subarea IV, Division VIId and Division IIIa. Figures in thousand tonnes.

1 IVa,b and EC zone of III. 2 Provided by Working Group members. 3 Incomplete, only some countries providing discard information. 4 Includes spring spawners not
included in assessment. 5 Based on $\mathrm{F}=0.3$ in directed fishery only; TAC advised for IVc, VIId subtracted. $6130-180$ for spring spawners in all areas. 7 Based on sum--
included in assessment. 5 Based on $\mathrm{F}=0.3$ in directed fishery only; TAC advised for IV c , VIId subtracted. $6130-180$ for spring spawners in all areas. 7 Based on sum-of
products (number x mean weight at age). \& Status quo F catch for fleet A. 9 The catch should not exceed recent catch levels. 10 During the middle of 1996 revised to $50 \%$
of its original agreed TAC. 11 Included in IVa,b. 12 Managed in accordance with autumn spawners. 13 Fleet D and E are merged from 1999 onwards. 14 These catches
(including local fjord-type Spring Spawners) are taken by Norway under a sep arate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area. 15 See catch option tables for different fleets.

Table 2.2.1: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea and Div IIIa in 2010. Catch in numbers (millions) at age (CANUM), by quarter and division.

|  | IIIa | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIld | IVa \& | IVc \& | Total | Herring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NSAS | all | WBBS | NSAS |  |  |  |  | IVb | VIld | NSAS | caught in the |
| WR |  |  |  | only |  |  |  |  | NSAS |  |  | North Sea |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarters: 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 476.8 | 49.5 | 0.0 | 476.8 | 49.5 | 574.9 | 526.3 |
| 1 | 197.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 51.8 | 22.1 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 75.9 | 8.3 | 281.3 | 84.3 |
| 2 | 43.3 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 143.9 | 41.0 | 4.4 | 41.9 | 197.0 | 46.3 | 286.7 | 243.4 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 16.3 | 0.5 | 15.7 | 145.2 | 29.3 | 4.2 | 38.6 | 190.2 | 42.8 | 233.3 | 233.5 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 82.2 | 25.8 | 1.1 | 9.4 | 112.8 | 10.5 | 123.4 | 124.3 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 56.8 | 16.8 | 0.2 | 7.8 | 75.2 | 8.0 | 83.3 | 83.6 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 35.4 | 9.9 | 2.5 | 11.7 | 48.7 | 14.2 | 62.9 | 63.4 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 20.2 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 6.9 | 26.3 | 7.6 | 34.0 | 34.2 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 38.1 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 50.9 | 8.2 | 59.1 | 59.4 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 40.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 5.6 | 48.3 | 7.0 | 55.3 | 56.0 |
| Sum | 289.6 | 54.7 | 3.8 | 50.9 | 613.5 | 637.7 | 73.3 | 129.2 | 1302.0 | 202.5 | 1794.1 | 1508.3 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 14.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 38.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 43.5 | 4.8 |
| 2 | 30.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 20.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 24.4 | 2.2 | 56.9 | 26.6 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 33.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 37.7 | 5.5 | 43.4 | 43.3 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 11.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 28.0 | 1.3 | 29.3 | 29.5 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 10.3 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Sum | 69.1 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 89.8 | 34.7 | 5.0 | 11.0 | 134.4 | 15.9 | 219.4 | 151.1 |


| Quarter: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 52.7 |
| 1 | 40.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 15.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 0.1 | 58.5 | 18.4 |
| 2 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 73.8 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.3 | 0.1 | 99.4 | 91.4 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 61.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 75.9 | 0.3 | 76.3 | 76.2 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 24.7 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 12.1 | 12.2 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 10.3 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 13.2 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 13.6 |
| Sum | 48.5 | 27.8 | 1.0 | 26.8 | 206.4 | 76.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 310.0 | 0.7 | 359.2 | 311.7 |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 326.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 326.2 | 0.2 | 372.0 | 326.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 59.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 12.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 77.5 | 18.4 |
| 2 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 41.1 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 56.9 | 0.3 | 61.9 | 57.2 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 41.2 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | $55.8{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 0.4 | 56.2 | 56.7 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 31.0 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $43.0{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 0.1 | 43.1 | 43.3 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 30.9 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $44.6{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 0.1 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 17.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $24.5{ }^{\text { }}$ | 0.2 | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $13.5{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 0.1 | 13.6 | 13.7 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 19.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 25.2 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.1 | 25.2 | 25.2 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 20.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | $23.2{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 0.1 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Sum | 109.6 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 9.5 | 222.9 | 398.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 631.2 | 1.4 | 742.2 | 633.8 |


| Quarter: 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 49.3 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 49.3 | 135.2 | 132.2 |
| 1 | 59.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 20.9 | 13.9 | 6.8 | 0.2 | $35.6{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 7.1 | 101.8 | 42.6 |
| 2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 8.7 | 15.3 | 2.5 | 41.3 | $24.4{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 43.8 | 68.4 | 68.2 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 33.3 | $20.8{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 36.7 | 57.4 | 57.4 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 14.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 8.1 | $17.1^{\text {F }}$ | 9.0 | 26.1 | 26.4 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 7.2 | $13.5{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 7.3 | 20.8 | 21.0 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 9.5 | 10.9 " | 11.7 | 22.6 | 22.7 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 5.9 | $3.0^{*}$ | 6.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 6.8 | $8.0{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 7.7 | 15.7 | 15.8 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 4.1 | $10.3{ }^{\text {F }}$ | 5.4 | 15.7 | 15.9 |
| Sum | 62.4 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 94.4 | 127.5 | 67.9 | 116.5 | 226.5 | 184.4 | 473.3 | 411.7 |

Table 2.2.2: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea and Div IIIa in 2010. Mean weight-at-age (kg) in the catch (WECA), by quarter and division.

|  | Illa NSAS | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIld | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { IVa \& } \\ \text { IVb } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IVc \& } \\ \text { VIId } \end{gathered}$ | Total NSAS | Herring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | all |  |  | North Sea |
| Quarters: 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| 1 | 0.051 | 0.080 | 0.068 | 0.087 | 0.051 | 0.037 | 0.113 | 0.076 | 0.039 | 0.057 | 0.072 |
| 2 | 0.077 | 0.131 | 0.132 | 0.137 | 0.134 | 0.095 | 0.150 | 0.136 | 0.145 | 0.129 | 0.138 |
| 3 | 0.122 | 0.154 | 0.157 | 0.166 | 0.176 | 0.166 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 0.167 |
| 4 | 0.149 | 0.201 | 0.200 | 0.195 | 0.182 | 0.186 | 0.187 | 0.192 | 0.187 | 0.191 | 0.192 |
| 5 | 0.191 | 0.201 | 0.206 | 0.223 | 0.229 | 0.189 | 0.205 | 0.224 | 0.204 | 0.220 | 0.222 |
| 6 | 0.221 | 0.210 | 0.211 | 0.220 | 0.237 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.222 | 0.207 | 0.219 | 0.219 |
| 7 | 0.216 | 0.223 | 0.219 | 0.216 | 0.235 | 0.210 | 0.207 | 0.220 | 0.207 | 0.216 | 0.217 |
| 8 | 0.205 | 0.248 | 0.236 | 0.236 | 0.232 | 0.231 | 0.222 | 0.236 | 0.223 | 0.233 | 0.234 |
| 9+ | 0.000 | 0.235 | 0.235 | 0.252 | 0.265 | 0.243 | 0.209 | 0.250 | 0.216 | 0.244 | 0.245 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 1 | 0.016 | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.052 | 0.060 | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.055 | 0.020 | 0.019 | 0.047 |
| 2 | 0.072 | 0.129 | 0.129 | 0.119 | 0.107 | 0.037 | 0.102 | 0.119 | 0.045 | 0.090 | 0.113 |
| 3 | 0.117 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.148 | 0.133 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.148 | 0.134 | 0.146 | 0.146 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.153 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.161 | 0.153 | 0.161 | 0.154 | 0.153 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.188 | 0.188 | 0.159 | 0.171 | 0.175 | 0.174 | 0.163 | 0.174 | 0.164 | 0.163 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.203 | 0.207 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.206 | 0.189 | 0.195 | 0.196 |
| 7 | 0.231 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.160 | 0.172 | 0.192 | 0.191 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.170 | 0.169 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.177 | 0.173 | 0.195 | 0.192 | 0.180 | 0.192 | 0.180 | 0.180 |
| 9+ | 0.000 | 0.235 | 0.235 | 0.223 | 0.204 | 0.202 | 0.200 | 0.228 | 0.200 | 0.214 | 0.215 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 1 | 0.018 | 0.063 | 0.063 | 0.074 | 0.066 | 0.076 | 0.000 | 0.073 | 0.076 | 0.035 | 0.073 |
| 2 | 0.076 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.129 | 0.132 | 0.129 | 0.103 | 0.130 | 0.123 | 0.125 | 0.130 |
| 3 | 0.117 | 0.151 | 0.151 | 0.156 | 0.156 | 0.169 | 0.134 | 0.156 | 0.138 | 0.156 | 0.156 |
| 4 | 0.149 | 0.208 | 0.208 | 0.191 | 0.163 | 0.219 | 0.160 | 0.191 | 0.175 | 0.191 | 0.191 |
| 5 | 0.187 | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.214 | 0.230 | 0.242 | 0.175 | 0.212 | 0.192 | 0.212 | 0.212 |
| 6 | 0.221 | 0.209 | 0.209 | 0.205 | 0.193 | 0.219 | 0.191 | 0.204 | 0.194 | 0.205 | 0.204 |
| 7 | 0.197 | 0.228 | 0.228 | 0.206 | 0.212 | 0.257 | 0.192 | 0.212 | 0.197 | 0.213 | 0.212 |
| 8 | 0.205 | 0.261 | 0.261 | 0.222 | 0.203 | 0.225 | 0.195 | 0.224 | 0.203 | 0.225 | 0.224 |
| 9+ | 0.000 | 0.235 | 0.235 | 0.226 | 0.205 | 0.234 | 0.202 | 0.228 | 0.205 | 0.228 | 0.228 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 1 | 0.074 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.088 | 0.043 | 0.067 | 0.000 | 0.075 | 0.067 | 0.075 | 0.075 |
| 2 | 0.111 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.160 | 0.137 | 0.143 | 0.141 | 0.153 | 0.141 | 0.149 | 0.153 |
| 3 | 0.134 | 0.157 | 0.157 | 0.192 | 0.194 | 0.188 | 0.167 | 0.191 | 0.167 | 0.189 | 0.190 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.224 | 0.219 | 0.223 | 0.185 | 0.222 | 0.187 | 0.221 | 0.222 |
| 5 | 0.194 | 0.223 | 0.223 | 0.247 | 0.241 | 0.250 | 0.196 | 0.245 | 0.199 | 0.243 | 0.245 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.234 | 0.253 | 0.248 | 0.211 | 0.239 | 0.211 | 0.237 | 0.238 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.233 | 0.233 | 0.248 | 0.249 | 0.273 | 0.207 | 0.248 | 0.208 | 0.246 | 0.247 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.266 | 0.266 | 0.264 | 0.268 | 0.271 | 0.216 | 0.265 | 0.217 | 0.263 | 0.265 |
| 9+ | 0.000 | 0.235 | 0.235 | 0.273 | 0.272 | 0.262 | 0.213 | 0.271 | 0.214 | 0.267 | 0.270 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter: 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 0.072 | 0.104 | 0.104 | 0.099 | 0.051 | 0.040 | 0.113 | 0.080 | 0.042 | 0.073 | 0.074 |
| 2 | 0.084 | 0.149 | 0.149 | 0.138 | 0.137 | 0.139 | 0.150 | 0.137 | 0.150 | 0.146 | 0.145 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.187 | 0.187 | 0.186 | 0.171 | 0.173 | 0.172 | 0.178 | 0.172 | 0.175 | 0.174 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.183 | 0.180 | 0.191 | 0.191 | 0.183 | 0.191 | 0.186 | 0.185 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.205 | 0.197 | 0.193 | 0.207 | 0.204 | 0.207 | 0.206 | 0.205 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.225 | 0.225 | 0.210 | 0.198 | 0.207 | 0.211 | 0.208 | 0.210 | 0.212 | 0.209 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.209 | 0.209 | 0.210 | 0.185 | 0.214 | 0.209 | 0.208 | 0.210 | 0.211 | 0.209 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.213 | 0.213 | 0.214 | 0.202 | 0.233 | 0.224 | 0.213 | 0.225 | 0.221 | 0.219 |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.234 | 0.234 | 0.234 | 0.234 | 0.250 | 0.213 | 0.234 | 0.221 | 0.230 | 0.230 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.3: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2010. Mean length-at-age (cm) in the catch, by quarter and division.

|  | Illa | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | IVa \& |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NSAS | all | WBSS |  |  |  |  | IVb | VIld |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | all |  |
| Quarters: 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 10.5 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 13.8 |
| 1 | n.d. | 20.6 | n.d. | 21.8 | 18.4 | 18.1 | 23.3 | 20.8 | 18.2 |
| 2 | n.d. | 24.1 | n.d. | 24.8 | 24.8 | 22.4 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 25.3 |
| 3 | n.d. | 26.1 | n.d. | 27.0 | 27.2 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 26.6 |
| 4 | n.d. | 28.1 | n.d. | 28.4 | 28.3 | 27.6 | 27.5 | 28.4 | 27.5 |
| 5 | n.d. | 28.7 | n.d. | 29.5 | 30.0 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 29.6 | 28.2 |
| 6 | n.d. | 28.5 | n.d. | 29.4 | 29.7 | 28.7 | 28.4 | 29.4 | 28.5 |
| 7 | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 29.3 | 30.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 29.4 | 28.5 |
| 8 | n.d. | 30.7 | n.d. | 30.0 | 30.4 | 29.5 | 28.6 | 30.1 | 28.7 |
| 9+ | n.d. | 29.2 | n.d. | 30.2 | 30.5 | 29.7 | 28.7 | 30.1 | 28.9 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quarter: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 |
| 1 | n.d. | 19.3 | n.d. | 18.8 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 16.0 |
| 2 | n.d. | 24.2 | n.d. | 24.8 | 24.2 | 18.6 | 23.5 | 24.7 | 19.3 |
| 3 | n.d. | 26.3 | n.d. | 27.3 | 26.3 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 27.2 | 25.5 |
| 4 | n.d. | 27.8 | n.d. | 27.7 | 27.8 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 27.8 | 27.0 |
| 5 | n.d. | 28.2 | n.d. | 28.2 | 29.5 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 28.5 | 27.1 |
| 6 | n.d. | 28.4 | n.d. | 28.1 | 29.0 | 28.2 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.2 |
| 7 | n.d. | 28.7 | n.d. | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.5 | 28.2 |
| 8 | n.d. | 30.2 | n.d. | 29.4 | 29.6 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 29.5 | 28.4 |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 28.7 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.9 | 28.6 |


| Quarter: 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 |
| 1 | n.d. | 18.5 | n.d. | 20.0 | 19.0 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 19.9 |
| 2 | n.d. | 24.0 | n.d. | 24.1 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 23.5 | 24.2 |
| 3 | n.d. | 25.9 | n.d. | 26.0 | 26.5 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 26.0 |
| 4 | n.d. | 28.1 | n.d. | 27.5 | 27.0 | 28.9 | 26.8 | 27.5 |
| 5 | n.d. | 28.1 | n.d. | 28.3 | 29.0 | 29.4 | 27.1 | 28.3 |
| 6 | n.d. | 28.5 | n.d. | 28.3 | 28.6 | 29.2 | 28.2 | 28.4 |
| 7 | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 28.5 | 28.3 | 29.9 | 28.2 | 28.7 |
| 8 | n.d. | 31.1 | n.d. | 28.9 | 29.0 | 29.2 | 28.4 | 29.2 |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 29.1 | n.d. | 28.9 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 28.6 | 28.3 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Quarter: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| 1 | n.d. | 19.0 | n.d. | 21.7 | 16.0 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 18.6 |
| 2 | n.d. | 23.9 | n.d. | 25.8 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 25.5 | 25.1 |
| 3 | n.d. | 26.1 | n.d. | 27.8 | 28.0 | 27.1 | 26.5 | 27.8 | 26.5 |
| 4 | n.d. | 28.0 | n.d. | 29.2 | 29.1 | 28.9 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 27.4 |
| 5 | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 30.0 | 30.3 | 29.7 | 27.9 | 30.1 | 27.9 |
| 6 | n.d. | 28.2 | n.d. | 29.7 | 30.8 | 30.0 | 28.4 | 30.0 | 28.5 |
| 7 | n.d. | 29.1 | n.d. | 30.1 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 28.4 | 30.2 | 28.4 |
| 8 | n.d. | 31.0 | n.d. | 30.6 | 31.3 | 30.3 | 28.6 | 30.8 | 28.6 |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 28.9 | n.d. | 30.8 | 30.6 | 30.4 | 28.7 | 30.6 | 28.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Quarter: $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 0.0 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 13.8 |
| 1 | n.d. | 23.5 | n.d. | 23.5 | 19.3 | 18.4 | 23.3 | 21.8 |
| 2 | n.d. | 26.5 | n.d. | 26.2 | 25.0 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 25.5 |
| 3 | n.d. | 27.7 | n.d. | 28.2 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 26.8 | 27.5 |
| 4 | n.d. | 28.6 | n.d. | 28.6 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 27.6 | 28.5 |
| 5 | n.d. | 29.2 | n.d. | 29.6 | 28.3 | 27.7 | 28.4 | 29.8 |
| 6 | n.d. | 29.7 | n.d. | 29.8 | 26.4 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 29.3 |
| 7 | n.d. | 29.0 | n.d. | 29.0 | 27.4 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 28.3 |
| 8 | n.d. | 29.6 | n.d. | 29.8 | 28.5 | 29.6 | 28.6 | 29.9 |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 30.6 | n.d. | 30.6 | 30.6 | 29.9 | 28.7 | 30.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.5 |

Table 2.2.4: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea and Div IIIa in 2010. Catches (tonnes) at-age (SOP figures), by quarter and division.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IIIa } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | IVa(E) all | IVa(E) WBSS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IVa(E) } \\ & \text { NSAS } \end{aligned}$ | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIld | IVa \& IVb | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IVc \& } \\ \text { VIId } \end{gathered}$ | Total NSAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  | only |  |  |  |  | NSAS |  |  |
| Quarters: 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 4.3 |
| 1 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 16.1 |
| 2 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 19.7 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 26.8 | 6.7 | 36.8 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 24.2 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 31.7 | 7.1 | 38.9 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 21.7 | 2.0 | 23.7 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 12.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 16.8 | 1.6 | 18.5 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 2.9 | 13.8 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 7.4 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 12.0 | 1.8 | 13.8 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 10.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 13.6 |
| Sum | 13.8 | 9.6 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 108.2 | 29.6 | 3.7 | 22.7 | 146.6 | 26.4 | 186.8 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 5.2 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 6.3 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 4.5 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Sum | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 12.9 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 17.5 | 2.2 | 22.5 |

Quarter: 2

| Quarter. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| 1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |
| 2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 9.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ |
| 3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 9.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9}$ |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 7}$ |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ |
| 2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 10.6 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 . 7}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 . 3}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |


| Quarter: $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| 1 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.3 | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ |
| 2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 6.6 | $\mathbf{9 . 9}$ |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 6.3 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.7 | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.5 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 1.2 | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2}$ |

Table 2.2.5: North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2010. Percentage age composition (based on numbers, 3+ group summarised), by quarter and division.

|  | IIIa | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(E) | IVa(W) | IVb | IVc | VIId | IVa \& | IVc \& | tal | g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NSAS | all | WBSS | NSAS |  |  |  |  | IVb | VIId | NSAS | caught in the |
| WR |  |  |  | only |  |  |  |  | NSAS |  |  | North Sea |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarters: 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 16.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 74.8\% | 67.5\% | 0.0\% | 36.6\% | 24.4\% | 32.0\% | 34.9\% |
| 1 | 68.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.7\% | 3.9\% | 8.4\% | 3.5\% | 11.1\% | 0.2\% | 5.8\% | 4.1\% | 15.7\% | 5.6\% |
| 2 | 15.0\% | 22.3\% | 0.9\% | 23.9\% | 23.4\% | 6.4\% | 6.0\% | 32.5\% | 15.1\% | 22.9\% | 16.0\% | 16.1\% |
| 3 | 0.1\% | 29.7\% | 13.8\% | 30.9\% | 23.7\% | 4.6\% | 5.8\% | 29.9\% | 14.6\% | 21.1\% | 13.0\% | 15.5\% |
| 4 | 0.1\% | 10.5\% | 26.2\% | 9.3\% | 13.4\% | 4.0\% | 1.6\% | 7.3\% | 8.7\% | 5.2\% | 6.9\% | 8.2\% |
| 5 | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 10.3\% | 3.1\% | 9.3\% | 2.6\% | 0.3\% | 6.0\% | 5.8\% | 4.0\% | 4.6\% | 5.5\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 13.8\% | 6.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.6\% | 3.4\% | 9.1\% | 3.7\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.2\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 7.2\% | 3.8\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 5.3\% | 2.0\% | 3.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 9.3\% | 5.4\% | 6.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 5.6\% | 3.9\% | 4.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.9\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 17.8\% | 13.0\% | 6.5\% | 0.3\% | 2.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.7\% | 3.5\% | 3.1\% | 3.7\% |
| Sum 3+ | 0.2\% | 74.0\% | 98.5\% | 72.1\% | 68.1\% | 15.3\% | 15.4\% | 67.4\% | 42.4\% | 48.6\% | 36.3\% | 43.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 43.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 9.9\% |
| 1 | 55.9\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 2.7\% | 2.3\% | 24.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 7.7\% | 19.8\% | 3.2\% |
| 2 | 43.9\% | 26.2\% | 0.0\% | 28.3\% | 22.6\% | 3.9\% | 37.9\% | 2.6\% | 18.2\% | 13.6\% | 25.9\% | 17.6\% |
| 3 | 0.2\% | 32.6\% | 0.0\% | 35.2\% | 37.0\% | 3.1\% | 17.2\% | 42.8\% | 28.1\% | 34.8\% | 19.8\% | 28.7\% |
| 4 | 0.0\% | 11.8\% | 31.6\% | 10.2\% | 17.8\% | 31.7\% | 3.8\% | 10.3\% | 20.8\% | 8.3\% | 13.4\% | 19.6\% |
| 5 | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 10.3\% | 3.3\% | 7.9\% | 6.6\% | 1.7\% | 4.3\% | 7.2\% | 3.5\% | 4.7\% | 6.8\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 12.9\% | 4.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 6.3\% | 17.4\% | 0.9\% | 14.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 10.2\% | 3.3\% | 5.2\% | 1.9\% | 3.0\% | 7.9\% | 4.2\% | 6.3\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.3\% | 4.3\% | 5.3\% | 7.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% | 5.6\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% | 5.3\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 21.7\% | 7.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 4.3\% | 11.8\% | 1.1\% | 9.4\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% |
| Sum 3+ | 0.2\% | 69.9\% | 100.0\% | 67.4\% | 74.7\% | 50.9\% | 37.5\% | 97.4\% | 68.0\% | 78.7\% | 47.4\% | 69.3\% |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 68.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.7\% | 16.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 82.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 7.6\% | 2.6\% | 27.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 7.0\% | 16.3\% | 5.9\% |
| 2 | 16.6\% | 21.9\% | 0.0\% | 22.7\% | 35.7\% | 14.9\% | 27.3\% | 2.7\% | 29.5\% | 9.0\% | 27.7\% | 29.3\% |
| 3 | 0.2\% | 33.0\% | 0.0\% | 34.2\% | 29.6\% | 7.4\% | 14.9\% | 44.7\% | 24.5\% | 37.0\% | 21.2\% | 24.4\% |
| 4 | 0.3\% | 9.1\% | 21.3\% | 8.7\% | 9.9\% | 2.5\% | 9.6\% | 9.9\% | 8.0\% | 9.8\% | 6.9\% | 8.0\% |
| 5 | 0.1\% | 1.7\% | 4.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.5\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 18.9\% | 7.7\% | 4.3\% | 1.4\% | 7.6\% | 16.4\% | 3.9\% | 14.1\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% |
| 7 | 0.1\% | 4.4\% | 10.2\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 7.8\% | 1.3\% | 6.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 5.4\% | 12.5\% | 5.1\% | 3.4\% | 2.4\% | 3.1\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 14.1\% | 33.0\% | 13.4\% | 4.6\% | 0.1\% | 3.7\% | 11.3\% | 4.3\% | 9.3\% | 3.7\% | 4.4\% |
| Sum 3+ | 0.7\% | 75.8\% | 100.0\% | 74.9\% | 56.7\% | 13.7\% | 45.3\% | 97.3\% | 47.6\% | 83.9\% | 41.3\% | 47.9\% |


| Quarter: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 41.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 81.8\% | 84.1\% | 0.0\% | 51.7\% | 14.3\% | 50.1\% | 51.5\% |
| 1 | 53.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.2\% | 1.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.3\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.5\% | 10.4\% | 2.9\% |
| 2 | 4.3\% | 27.3\% | 2.7\% | 30.3\% | 18.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.7\% | 26.3\% | 9.0\% | 22.5\% | 8.3\% | 9.0\% |
| 3 | 0.1\% | 28.3\% | 43.7\% | 26.4\% | 18.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 29.0\% | 8.8\% | 24.5\% | 7.6\% | 8.9\% |
| 4 | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 25.0\% | 6.0\% | 13.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 7.3\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% | 5.8\% | 6.8\% |
| 5 | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 10.8\% | 3.1\% | 13.8\% | 3.4\% | 1.4\% | 5.1\% | 7.1\% | 4.5\% | 6.0\% | 7.1\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 6.8\% | 12.2\% | 6.1\% | 7.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 12.6\% | 3.9\% | 10.6\% | 3.3\% | 3.9\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 4.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 7.1\% | 2.1\% | 6.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.2\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 8.5\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 6.9\% | 4.0\% | 5.9\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.6\% | 9.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 5.6\% | 3.7\% | 4.8\% | 3.1\% | 3.7\% |
| Sum 3+ | 0.1\% | 71.0\% | 95.1\% | 68.0\% | 75.8\% | 13.6\% | 9.5\% | 73.7\% | 36.4\% | 62.8\% | 31.1\% | 36.6\% |


| Quarter: 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 65.1\% | 72.5\% | 0.0\% | 36.6\% | 26.7\% | 28.6\% | 32.1\% |
| 1 | 94.9\% | 14.1\% | 0.0\% | 16.3\% | 22.1\% | 10.9\% | 10.1\% | 0.2\% | 15.7\% | 3.8\% | 21.5\% | 10.3\% |
| 2 | 0.4\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 9.2\% | 12.0\% | 3.6\% | 35.5\% | 10.8\% | 23.7\% | 14.5\% | 16.6\% |
| 3 | 0.0\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 10.3\% | 8.2\% | 4.9\% | 28.6\% | 9.2\% | 19.9\% | 12.1\% | 13.9\% |
| 4 | 0.0\% | 19.6\% | 28.9\% | 18.1\% | 15.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 7.0\% | 7.6\% | 4.9\% | 5.5\% | 6.4\% |
| 5 | 0.0\% | 12.3\% | 18.1\% | 11.4\% | 12.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 6.2\% | 6.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 5.1\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 10.4\% | 6.5\% | 9.4\% | 1.4\% | 3.2\% | 8.2\% | 4.8\% | 6.4\% | 4.8\% | 5.5\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 5.9\% | 3.7\% | 2.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 5.1\% | 1.3\% | 3.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 15.2\% | 9.5\% | 7.8\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 5.8\% | 3.5\% | 4.2\% | 3.3\% | 3.8\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 14.6\% | 21.5\% | 13.5\% | 10.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% | 4.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.9\% |
| Sum 3+ | 0.0\% | 78.4\% | 100.0\% | 74.9\% | 68.7\% | 12.0\% | 13.8\% | 64.4\% | 36.9\% | 45.7\% | 35.5\% | 41.0\% |

Table 2.2.6: Total catch of herring caught in the North Sea and Div. IIIa: North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS). Catch in numbers (millions) at mean weight-at-age (kg) by fleet, and SOP catches ( ${ }^{\prime} 000 \mathrm{t}$ ). SOP catch might deviate from reported catch as used for the assessment.


Table 2.2.7: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of North Sea herring, 1995-2010. SG Rednose's revisions for 1995-2001 are included.

| Year/rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 6294 | 484 | 1319 | 818 | 244 | 122 | 57 | 43 | 69 | 29 | 9480 |
| 1996 | 1795 | 645 | 488 | 516 | 170 | 57 | 22 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 3723 |
| 1997 | 364 | 174 | 565 | 428 | 285 | 109 | 31 | 12 | 19 | 6 | 1993 |
| 1998 | 208 | 254 | 1084 | 525 | 267 | 179 | 89 | 14 | 17 | 4 | 2642 |
| 1999 | 968 | 73 | 487 | 1034 | 289 | 134 | 70 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 3096 |
| 2000 | 873 | 194 | 516 | 453 | 636 | 212 | 82 | 36 | 15 | 3 | 3019 |
| 2001 | 1025 | 58 | 678 | 473 | 279 | 319 | 92 | 39 | 18 | 2 | 2982 |
| 2002 | 319 | 490 | 513 | 913 | 294 | 136 | 164 | 47 | 34 | 7 | 2917 |
| 2003 | 347 | 172 | 1022 | 507 | 809 | 244 | 106 | 121 | 37 | 8 | 3375 |
| 2004 | 627 | 136 | 274 | 1333 | 517 | 721 | 170 | 100 | 70 | 22 | 3970 |
| 2005 | 919 | 408 | 203 | 487 | 1326 | 480 | 577 | 116 | 108 | 39 | 4664 |
| 2006 | 844 | 72 | 354 | 309 | 475 | 1017 | 257 | 252 | 65 | 44 | 3689 |
| 2007 | 553 | 46 | 142 | 413 | 284 | 307 | 628 | 147 | 133 | 23 | 2677 |
| 2008 | 713 | 148 | 260 | 183 | 199 | 137 | 118 | 215 | 74 | 43 | 2090 |
| 2009 | 533 | 98 | 253 | 108 | 96 | 88 | 40 | 58 | 112 | 34 | 1421 |
| 2010 | 526 | 84 | 243 | 234 | 124 | 84 | 63 | 34 | 59 | 56 | 1508 |

Table 2.2.8: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of WBSS Herring taken in the North Sea, and transferred to the assessment of the spring spawning stock in IIIa, 1995-2010.

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 11.0 | 14.9 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 57.8 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| 1997 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| 1998 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 47.0 |
| 1999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 29.3 |
| 2000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 37.6 |
| 2001 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 39.9 |
| 2002 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 14.8 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 40.8 |
| 2003 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 15.7 |
| 2004 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 27.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 52.3 |
| 2005 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 44.8 |
| 2006 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 14.0 | 22.4 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 62.2 |
| 2007 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 6.3 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 17.2 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.8 |

Table 2.2.9: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of NSAS taken in IIIa, and transfered to the assessment of NSAS, 1995-2010. SG Rednose's revisions and revision of 2002 splitting are included.

| Year/rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1145 | 1181 | 147 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2487 |
| 1996 | 516 | 961 | 154 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1649 |
| 1997 | 68 | 305 | 125 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 521 |
| 1998 | 51 | 729 | 145 | 25 | 19 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 977 |
| 1999 | 598 | 231 | 133 | 39 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1017 |
| 2000 | 232 | 978 | 115 | 20 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1377 |
| 2001 | 808 | 557 | 140 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1521 |
| 2002 | 411 | 345 | 48 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 811 |
| 2003 | 22 | 445 | 182 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 682 |
| 2004 | 88 | 71 | 180 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 380 |
| 2005 | 96 | 307 | 159 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 590 |
| 2006 | 35 | 150 | 50 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 253 |
| 2007 | 68 | 189 | 77 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 339 |
| 2008 | 86 | 87 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| 2009 | 117 | 78 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| 2010 | 49 | 197 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 |

Table 2.2.10: Catch at age (numbers in millions) of the total NSAS stock 1995-2010. SG Rednose's revisions and the revision of 2002 splitting are included.

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995 | 7438 | 1665 | 1444 | 817 | 232 | 119 | 55 | 41 | 69 | 29 | 11909 |
| 1996 | 2311 | 1606 | 642 | 526 | 172 | 58 | 23 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 5368 |
| 1997 | 431 | 480 | 688 | 447 | 285 | 109 | 31 | 12 | 19 | 6 | 2507 |
| 1998 | 260 | 978 | 1220 | 538 | 276 | 176 | 89 | 15 | 17 | 4 | 3572 |
| 1999 | 1566 | 304 | 616 | 1059 | 294 | 136 | 69 | 28 | 10 | 2 | 4084 |
| 2000 | 1105 | 1172 | 623 | 463 | 647 | 213 | 82 | 36 | 15 | 2 | 4358 |
| 2001 | 1833 | 614 | 806 | 477 | 274 | 312 | 89 | 37 | 17 | 2 | 4463 |
| 2002 | 730 | 835 | 553 | 903 | 284 | 133 | 161 | 46 | 33 | 7 | 3687 |
| 2003 | 369 | 617 | 1204 | 517 | 820 | 243 | 106 | 120 | 37 | 8 | 4042 |
| 2004 | 716 | 207 | 439 | 1326 | 520 | 726 | 171 | 101 | 71 | 22 | 4298 |
| 2005 | 1016 | 716 | 355 | 486 | 1318 | 480 | 576 | 115 | 108 | 39 | 5209 |
| 2006 | 879 | 222 | 401 | 311 | 465 | 999 | 253 | 249 | 63 | 44 | 3885 |
| 2007 | 621 | 236 | 219 | 412 | 283 | 308 | 628 | 147 | 132 | 23 | 3009 |
| 2008 | 798 | 235 | 332 | 185 | 199 | 137 | 118 | 215 | 74 | 43 | 2336 |
| 2009 | 650 | 176 | 259 | 107 | 93 | 86 | 38 | 53 | 110 | 33 | 1606 |
| 2010 | 575 | 281 | 287 | 233 | 123 | 83 | 63 | 34 | 59 | 55 | 1794 |

Table 2.2.11: Comparison of mean weight (kg) at age (rings) in the catch of adult North Sea her-
ring (by Div.) and NSAS caught in Div. IIIa in 2000-2010. SG Rednose's revisions are included.


Table 2.2.11 continued: Comparison of mean weight ( kg ) at age (rings) in the catch of adult North Sea herring (by Div.) and NSAS caught in Div. IIIa in 2000 - 2010. SG Rednose's revisions are included.

| Div. | Age (Rings) |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| IVa \& IVb | 2000 | 0.125 | 0.162 | 0.185 | 0.210 | 0.227 | 0.258 | 0.275 | 0.263 |
|  | 2001 | 0.129 | 0.156 | 0.180 | 0.202 | 0.217 | 0.242 | 0.275 | 0.285 |
|  | 2002 | 0.119 | 0.157 | 0.177 | 0.203 | 0.219 | 0.228 | 0.253 | 0.253 |
|  | 2003 | 0.113 | 0.163 | 0.178 | 0.190 | 0.210 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.255 |
|  | 2004 | 0.122 | 0.147 | 0.187 | 0.210 | 0.227 | 0.233 | 0.247 | 0.266 |
|  | 2005 | 0.121 | 0.157 | 0.172 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.242 | 0.269 | 0.265 |
|  | 2006 | 0.123 | 0.150 | 0.174 | 0.187 | 0.222 | 0.239 | 0.238 | 0.269 |
|  | 2007 | 0.149 | 0.155 | 0.165 | 0.196 | 0.192 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.257 |
|  | 2008 | 0.142 | 0.182 | 0.185 | 0.188 | 0.226 | 0.220 | 0.262 | 0.275 |
|  | 2009 | 0.142 | 0.183 | 0.217 | 0.221 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 0.253 | 0.277 |
|  | 2010 | 0.136 | 0.167 | 0.192 | 0.224 | 0.222 | 0.220 | 0.236 | 0.250 |
| IVc \& VIId | 2000 | 0.106 | 0.133 | 0.150 | 0.180 | 0.194 | 0.203 |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 0.113 | 0.138 | 0.171 | 0.167 | 0.171 | 0.168 | 0.180 |  |
|  | 2002 | 0.108 | 0.123 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.187 | 0.219 | 0.208 |  |
|  | 2003 | 0.103 | 0.127 | 0.144 | 0.168 | 0.176 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.227 |
|  | 2004 | 0.099 | 0.113 | 0.135 | 0.162 | 0.184 | 0.191 | 0.186 | 0.224 |
|  | 2005 | 0.122 | 0.132 | 0.139 | 0.170 | 0.207 | 0.228 | 0.237 | 0.245 |
|  | 2006 | 0.119 | 0.125 | 0.153 | 0.152 | 0.178 | 0.205 | 0.209 | 0.219 |
|  | 2007 | 0.129 | 0.131 | 0.154 | 0.158 | 0.173 | 0.196 | 0.209 | 0.218 |
|  | 2008 | 0.120 | 0.157 | 0.156 | 0.173 | 0.188 | 0.192 | 0.215 | 0.247 |
|  | 2009 | 0.156 | 0.162 | 0.197 | 0.197 | 0.211 | 0.192 | 0.219 | 0.244 |
|  | 2010 | 0.145 | 0.167 | 0.187 | 0.204 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.223 | 0.216 |
| Total | 2000 | 0.122 | 0.159 | 0.180 | 0.202 | 0.217 | 0.247 | 0.275 | 0.263 |
| North Sea | 2001 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.177 | 0.198 | 0.213 | 0.238 | 0.267 | 0.288 |
| Catch | 2002 | 0.118 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.199 | 0.214 | 0.228 | 0.250 | 0.252 |
|  | 2003 | 0.104 | 0.158 | 0.174 | 0.184 | 0.205 | 0.222 | 0.232 | 0.256 |
|  | 2004 | 0.100 | 0.138 | 0.183 | 0.201 | 0.216 | 0.228 | 0.246 | 0.272 |
|  | 2005 | 0.099 | 0.153 | 0.166 | 0.208 | 0.223 | 0.240 | 0.257 | 0.278 |
|  | 2006 | 0.122 | 0.145 | 0.172 | 0.181 | 0.220 | 0.237 | 0.235 | 0.262 |
|  | 2007 | 0.149 | 0.152 | 0.164 | 0.194 | 0.190 | 0.224 | 0.235 | 0.252 |
|  | 2008 | 0.141 | 0.180 | 0.181 | 0.183 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.256 | 0.273 |
|  | 2009 | 0.145 | 0.181 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.239 | 0.243 | 0.248 | 0.273 |
|  | 2010 | 0.138 | 0.167 | 0.192 | 0.222 | 0.219 | 0.217 | 0.234 | 0.245 |

Values for total NS catch updated in 2006 for the years 2001-2005 due to an incorrect allocation of fish in the plus group in the Danish catches and new information of misreporting from the UK.

Table 2.2.12: Sampling of commercial landings of North Sea herring (Div. IV and VIId) in 2010 by quarter. Sampled catch means the proportion of the reported catch to which sampling was applied. It is limited by $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ but might exceed the official landings due to sampling of discards, unallocated and misreported catches. It is not possible to judge the quality of the sampling by this figure alone. Note that only one nation sampled their by-catches in the industrial fishery (Denmark, fleet B). Metiers are each reported combination of nation/fleet/area/quarter.

| Country (fleet) | Quarter | No of metiers | Metiers sampled | Sampled Catch \% | Official Catch | No. of samples | No. fish aged | No. fish measured | $>1$ sample per 1 kt catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark (A) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 12782 | 2 | 53 | 256 | n |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 2807 | 1 | 32 | 176 | n |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 18914 | 13 | 341 | 1634 | n |
|  | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 2294 | 3 | 53 | 411 | y |
| total |  | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 36798 | 19 | 479 | 2477 | n |
| Denmark (B) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 55\% | 161 | 2 | 5 | 5 | y |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 52\% | 3051 | 10 | 346 | 537 | y |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 82\% | 5569 | 30 | 138 | 189 | $y$ |
| total |  | 10 | 4 | 69\% | 9071 | 42 | 489 | 731 | $y$ |
| England | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| and Wales* | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 100\% | 8964 | 34 | 850 | 4166 | V |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 99\% | 1756 | 2 | 50 | 297 | y |
| total |  | 9 | 3 | 99\% | 10771 | 36 | 900 | 4463 | y |
| Faroe | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 1829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Island | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 1185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 3013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| France | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 1312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 8970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 7423 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 12 | 0 | 0\% | 17747 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Germany | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 210 | 6 | 529 | 2625 | y |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 141 | 3 | 352 | 356 | V |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 51\% | 618 | 2 | 237 | 238 | V |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 99\% | 6702 | 39 | 920 | 13754 | y |
| total |  | 8 | 6 | 95\% | 7671 | 50 | 2038 | 16973 | $y$ |
| Lithuania | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Netherlands | 1 | 2 | 1 | 69\% | 789 | 6 | 150 | 903 | v |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 98\% | 3092 | 34 | 850 | 6582 | V |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 11099 | 30 | 750 | 3887 | y |
|  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | 8892 | 8 | 200 | 1107 | n |
| total |  | 10 | 8 | 99\% | 23873 | 78 | 1950 | 12479 | y |
| Norway | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 2531 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | 28169 | 23 | 711 | 1518 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 42\% | 2572 | 1 | 30 | 52 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 91\% | 13544 | 13 | 398 | 799 | n |
| total |  | 11 | 5 | 89\% | 46816 | 37 | 1139 | 2369 | n |
| Scotland | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 92\% | 2525 | 7 | 589 | 1375 | y |
|  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 97\% | 11459 | 17 | 1301 | 4690 | y |
|  | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 9 | 3 | 93\% | 14384 | 24 | 1890 | 6065 | y |
| Sweden | 2 | 3 | 1 | 68\% | 3405 | 8 | 1032 | 1032 | y |
|  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 5 | 1 | 53\% | 4395 | 8 | 1032 | 1032 | $y$ |
| grand total |  | 85 | 37 | 81\% | 174628 | 294 | 9917 | 46589 | y |
| Period total | 1 | 17 | 5 | 69\% | 19814 | 16 | 737 | 3789 | n |
| Period total | 2 | 21 | 10 | 96\% | 40485 | 76 | 3566 | 11039 | y |
| Period total | 3 | 24 | 11 | 80\% | 66168 | 107 | 3855 | 15204 | V |
| Period total | 4 | 23 | 11 | 76\% | 48161 | 95 | 1759 | 16557 | y |
| Total for stock 2010 |  | 85 | 37 | 81\% | 174628 | 294 | 9917 | 46589 | $y$ |
| Human Cons. only |  | 75 | 33 | 82\% | 165557 | 252 | 9428 | 45858 | $y$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total for stock 2008 |  | 93 | 29 | 76\% | 227895 | 217 | 8663 | 36232 | n |
| Total for stock 2009 |  | 76 | 29 | 70\% | 166566 | 170 | 5444 | 20654 | y |
| Human Cons. only 2009 |  | 66 | 26 | 69\% | 156797 | 143 | 4804 | 19477 | n |

Table 2.3.1.1: Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea, West of Scotland VIa(N) and the Malin Shelf area in June-July 2010. Vessels, areas and cruise dates.

| Vessel | Period | Area | Rectangles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Celtic <br> Explorer (IR) | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { Jun - } 07 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $53^{\circ}-56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 12^{\circ}-7^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 35D8-D9, 36D8-D9, 37D9-E1, 38D9-E1, } \\ & \text { 39E0-E2, 40E0-E2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Charter west Sco (SCO) | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \text { June - } 17 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-60^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 4^{\circ}- \\ & 10^{\circ} \mathrm{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41E0-E3, 42E0-E3, 43E0-E3, 44E0-E3, } \\ & 45 \mathrm{E} 0-\mathrm{E} 4,46 \mathrm{E} 2-\mathrm{E} 5,47 \mathrm{E} 2-\mathrm{E} 5,48 \mathrm{E} 4-\mathrm{E} 5 \text {, } \\ & 49 \mathrm{E} 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Johan Hjort (NOR) | $3 \text { July - } 2$ <br> August | $57^{\circ}-62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2^{\circ}-5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43F2-F5, 44F2-F5, 45F2-F5, 46F2-F4, } \\ & \text { 47F2-F4, 48F2-F4, 49F2-F4, 50F2-F4, } \\ & \text { 51F2-F4, 52F2-F4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Scotia (SCO) | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \text { June -18 } \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime}-62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46E6-F1, 47E6-F1, 48E6-F1, 49E6-F1, } \\ & \text { 50E7-F1, 51E6-F1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Tridens (NED) | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \text { June - } 23 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54^{\circ}-58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}- \\ & 2^{\circ} / 6^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37E9-F1, 38E8-F1, 39E8-F1, 40E8-F5, } \\ & \text { 41E7-F5, 42E7-F2, 43E7-F1, 44E6-F1, } \\ & \text { 45E6-F1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Solea (GER) | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \text { June - } 13 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | $52^{\circ}-56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, Eng to Den/Ger coasts | 33F1-F4, 34F2-F4, 35F2-F4, 36F0-F7, 37F2-F8, 38F2-F7, 39F2-F7, 40F6-F7 |
| Dana (DEN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 03 July- } 23 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | Kattegat and North of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, east of $6^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 41 F6-F7, 41G1-G2, 42F6-F7, 42G0-G2, 43F6-G1, 44F6-G1, 45F8-G1, 46F9-G0 |

Table 2.3.1.2: Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea, West of Scotland VIa(N) and the Malin Shelf area in June-July 2010. Total numbers (millions of fish) and biomass (thousands of tonnes) of North Sea autumn spawning herring in the area surveyed in the pelagic acoustic surveys, with mean weight and mean length by age ring.

| Age (ring) | Numbers | Biomass | Maturity | weight(g) | Length (cm) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 12,226 | 69 | 0.00 | 5.7 | 9.4 |
| 1 | 14,577 | 560 | 0.01 | 38.4 | 16.9 |
| 2 | 4,237 | 583 | 0.45 | 137.7 | 24.9 |
| 3 | 4,216 | 772 | 0.90 | 183.1 | 27.1 |
| 4 | 2,453 | 562 | 1.00 | 229.2 | 28.9 |
| 5 | 1,246 | 305 | 0.98 | 244.9 | 29.4 |
| 6 | 1,332 | 311 | 1.00 | 233.1 | 29.0 |
| 7 | 688 | 163 | 1.00 | 237.4 | 29.2 |
| 8 | 1,110 | 280 | 1.00 | 251.9 | 29.7 |
| $9+$ | 1,619 | 407 | 1.00 | 251.2 | 29.6 |
| Immature | 29,473 | 985 |  | 33.4 | 14.5 |
| Mature | 14,231 | 3,027 |  | 212.7 | 28.2 |
| Total | 43,705 | 4,011 | 0.33 | 91.8 | 19.0 |

Table 2.3.1.3: Estimates of North Sea autumn spawners (millions) at age from acoustic surveys, 1986-2010. For 1986 the estimates are the sum of those from the Division IVa summer survey, the Division IVb autumn survey, and the Divisions IVc, VIId winter survey. The 1987 to 2010 estimates are from the summer survey in Divisions IVa,b and IIIa excluding estimates of Division IIIa/Baltic spring spawners. For 1999 and 2000 the Kattegat was excluded from the results because it was not surveyed.

| Years / Age (rings) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ | Total | SSB <br> ('000t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1,639 | 3,206 | 1,637 | 833 | 135 | 36 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 7,542 | 942 |
| 1987 | 13,736 | 4,303 | 955 | 657 | 368 | 77 | 38 | 11 | 20 | 20,165 | 817 |
| 1988 | 6,431 | 4,202 | 1,732 | 528 | 349 | 174 | 43 | 23 | 14 | 13,496 | 897 |
| 1989 | 6,333 | 3,726 | 3,751 | 1,612 | 488 | 281 | 120 | 44 | 22 | 16,377 | 1,637 |
| 1990 | 6,249 | 2,971 | 3,530 | 3,370 | 1,349 | 395 | 211 | 134 | 43 | 18,262 | 2,174 |
| 1991 | 3,182 | 2,834 | 1,501 | 2,102 | 1,984 | 748 | 262 | 112 | 56 | 12,781 | 1,874 |
| 1992 | 6,351 | 4,179 | 1,633 | 1,397 | 1,510 | 1,311 | 474 | 155 | 163 | 17,173 | 1,545 |
| 1993 | 10,399 | 3,710 | 1,855 | 909 | 795 | 788 | 546 | 178 | 116 | 19,326 | 1,216 |
| 1994 | 3,646 | 3,280 | 957 | 429 | 363 | 321 | 238 | 220 | 132 | 13,003 | 1,035 |
| 1995 | 4,202 | 3,799 | 2,056 | 656 | 272 | 175 | 135 | 110 | 84 | 11,220 | 1,082 |
| 1996 | 6,198 | 4,557 | 2,824 | 1,087 | 311 | 99 | 83 | 133 | 206 | 18,786 | 1,446 |
| 1997 | 9,416 | 6,363 | 3,287 | 1,696 | 692 | 259 | 79 | 78 | 158 | 22,028 | 1,780 |
| 1998 | 4,449 | 5,747 | 2,520 | 1,625 | 982 | 445 | 170 | 45 | 121 | 16,104 | 1,792 |
| 1999 | 5,087 | 3,078 | 4,725 | 1,116 | 506 | 314 | 139 | 54 | 87 | 15,107 | 1,534 |
| 2000 | 24,735 | 2,922 | 2,156 | 3,139 | 1,006 | 483 | 266 | 120 | 97 | 34,928 | 1,833 |
| 2001 | 6,837 | 12,290 | 3,083 | 1,462 | 1,676 | 450 | 170 | 98 | 59 | 26,124 | 2,622 |
| 2002 | 23,055 | 4,875 | 8,220 | 1,390 | 795 | 1,031 | 244 | 121 | 150 | 39,881 | 2,948 |
| 2003 | 9,829 | 18,949 | 3,081 | 4,189 | 675 | 495 | 568 | 146 | 178 | 38,110 | 2,999 |
| 2004 | 5,183 | 3,415 | 9,191 | 2,167 | 2,590 | 317 | 328 | 342 | 186 | 23,722 | 2,584 |
| 2005 | 3,113 | 1,890 | 3,436 | 5,609 | 1,211 | 1,172 | 140 | 127 | 107 | 16,805 | 1,868 |
| 2006 | 6,823 | 3,772 | 1,997 | 2,098 | 4,175 | 618 | 562 | 84 | 70 | 20,199 | 2,130 |
| 2007 | 6,261 | 2,750 | 1,848 | 898 | 806 | 1,323 | 243 | 152 | 65 | 14,346 | 1,203 |
| 2008 | 3,714 | 2,853 | 1,709 | 1,485 | 809 | 712 | 1,749 | 185 | 270 | 20,355 | 1,784 |
| 2009 | 4,655 | 5,632 | 2,553 | 1,023 | 1,077 | 674 | 638 | 1,142 | 578 | 31,526 | 2,591 |
| 2010 | 14,577 | 4,237 | 4,216 | 2,453 | 1,246 | 1,332 | 688 | 1,110 | 1,619 | 43,705 | 3,027 |

Table 2.3.2.1: North Sea herring - MLAI time-series and estimated abundances of herring larvae $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ long ( $<11 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the SNS), by standard sampling area and time periods. The number of larvae are expressed as mean number per ICES rectangle * $10^{9}$

|  | Orkney/ <br> Shetland |  | Buchan |  | Central North Sea |  |  | Southern North Sea |  |  | MLAI <br> Assess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | $1-15$ <br> Sep. | $16-30$ <br> Sep. | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16- \\ & 30 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16- \\ & 30 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $1-15$ Oct. | $\begin{aligned} & 16- \\ & 31 \\ & \text { Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16- \\ & 31 \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1972 | 1133 | 4583 | 30 |  | 165 | 88 | 134 | 2 | 46 |  |  |
| 1973 | 2029 | 822 | 3 | 4 | 492 | 830 | 1213 |  |  | 1 | 12.9 |
| 1974 | 758 | 421 | 101 | 284 | 81 |  | 1184 |  | 10 |  | 7.9 |
| 1975 | 371 | 50 | 312 |  |  | 90 | 77 | 1 | 2 |  | 2.8 |
| 1976 | 545 | 81 |  | 1 | 64 | 108 |  |  | 3 |  | 2.5 |
| 1977 | 1133 | 221 | 124 | 32 | 520 | 262 | 89 | 1 |  |  | 6.1 |
| 1978 | 3047 | 50 |  | 162 | 1406 | 81 | 269 | 33 | 3 |  | 7.3 |
| 1979 | 2882 | 2362 | 197 | 10 | 662 | 131 | 507 |  | 111 | 89 | 13.8 |
| 1980 | 3534 | 720 | 21 | 1 | 317 | 188 | 9 | 247 | 129 | 40 | 9.3 |
| 1981 | 3667 | 277 | 3 | 12 | 903 | 235 | 119 | 1456 |  | 70 | 13.5 |
| 1982 | 2353 | 1116 | 340 | 257 | 86 | 64 | 1077 | 710 | 275 | 54 | 19.9 |
| 1983 | 2579 | 812 | 3647 | 768 | 1459 | 281 | 63 | 71 | 243 | 58 | 25.5 |
| 1984 | 1795 | 1912 | 2327 | 1853 | 688 | 2404 | 824 | 523 | 185 | 39 | 45.8 |
| 1985 | 5632 | 3432 | 2521 | 1812 | 130 | 13039 | 1794 | 1851 | 407 | 38 | 70.1 |
| 1986 | 3529 | 1842 | 3278 | 341 | 1611 | 6112 | 188 | 780 | 123 | 18 | 36.5 |
| 1987 | 7409 | 1848 | 2551 | 670 | 799 | 4927 | 1992 | 934 | 297 | 146 | 64.8 |
| 1988 | 7538 | 8832 | 6812 | 5248 | 5533 | 3808 | 1960 | 1679 | 162 | 112 | 128.5 |
| 1989 | 11477 | 5725 | 5879 | 692 | 1442 | 5010 | 2364 | 1514 | 2120 | 512 | 126.9 |
| 1990 |  | 10144 | 4590 | 2045 | 19955 | 1239 | 975 | 2552 | 1204 |  | 165.0 |
| 1991 | 1021 | 2397 |  | 2032 | 4823 | 2110 | 1249 | 4400 | 873 |  | 87.9 |
| 1992 | 189 | 4917 |  | 822 | 10 | 165 | 163 | 176 | 1616 |  | 40.5 |
| 1993 |  | 66 |  | 174 |  | 685 | 85 | 1358 | 1103 |  | 28.6 |
| 1994 | 26 | 1179 |  |  |  | 1464 | 44 | 537 | 595 |  | 20.0 |
| 1995 |  | 8688 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 74 | 230 | 164 | 20.5 |
| 1996 |  | 809 |  | 184 |  | 564 |  | 337 | 675 | 691 | 40.6 |
| 1997 |  | 3611 |  | 23 |  |  |  | 9374 | 918 | 355 | 53.1 |
| 1998 |  | 8528 |  | 1490 | 205 | 66 |  | 1522 | 953 | 170 | 66.6 |
| 1999 |  | 4064 |  | 185 |  | 134 | 181 | 804 | 1260 | 344 | 55.7 |
| 2000 |  | 3352 | 28 | 83 |  | 376 |  | 7346 | 338 | 106 | 37.4 |
| 2001 |  | 11918 |  | 164 |  | 1604 |  | 971 | 5531 | 909 | 123.4 |
| 2002 |  | 6669 |  | 1038 |  |  | 3291 | 2008 | 260 | 925 | 104.5 |
| 2003 |  | 3199 |  | 2263 |  | 12018 | 3277 | 12048 | 3109 | 1116 | 250.6 |
| 2004 |  | 7055 |  | 3884 |  | 5545 |  | 7055 | 2052 | 4175 | 308.9 |
| 2005 |  | 3380 |  | 1364 |  | 5614 |  | 498 | 3999 | 4822 | 183.8 |
| 2006 | 6311 | 2312 |  | 280 |  | 2259 |  | 10858 | 2700 | 2106 | 112.8 |
| 2007 |  | 1753 |  | 1304 |  | 291 |  | 4443 | 2439 | 3854 | 161.0 |
| 2008 | 4978 | 6875 |  | 533 |  | 11201 |  | 8426 | 2317 | 4008 | 180.5 |
| 2009 |  | 7543 |  | 4629 |  | 4219 |  | 15295 | 14712 | 1689 | 466.7 |
| 2010 |  | 2362 |  | 1493 |  | 2317 |  | 7493 | 13230 | 8073 | 380.4 |

Table 2.3.3.1 North Sea herring. Density and abundance estimates of 0-ringers caught in February during the IBTS. Values given for year classes by areas are density estimates in numbers per square metre. Total abundance is found by multiplying density by area and summing up.

| Area | North west | North east | Central west | Central east | South west | South east | Div. <br> IIIa | South' <br> Bight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IBTS-0 } \\ & \text { index } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area $\mathrm{m}^{2} \times 10^{9}$ | 83 | 34 | 86 | 102 | 37 | 93 | 31 | 31 |  |
| Year class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no. in $10^{9}$ |
| 1976 | 0.054 | 0.014 | 0.122 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 17.1 |
| 1977 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.05 | 0.015 | 0.056 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.034 | 13.1 |
| 1978 | 0.176 | 0.031 | 0.061 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.074 | 0 | 52.1 |
| 1979 | 0.061 | 0.195 | 0.262 | 0.408 | 0.226 | 0.143 | 0.099 | 0.053 | 101.1 |
| 1980 | 0.052 | 0.001 | 0.145 | 0.115 | 0.089 | 0.339 | 0.248 | 0.187 | 76.7 |
| 1981 | 0.197 | 0 | 0.289 | 0.199 | 0.215 | 0.645 | 0.109 | 0.036 | 133.9 |
| 1982 | 0.025 | 0.011 | 0.068 | 0.248 | 0.29 | 0.309 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 91.8 |
| 1983 | 0.019 | 0.007 | 0.114 | 0.268 | 0.271 | 0.473 | 0.339 | 0.377 | 115 |
| 1984 | 0.083 | 0.019 | 0.303 | 0.259 | 0.996 | 0.718 | 0.277 | 0.298 | 181.3 |
| 1985 | 0.116 | 0.057 | 0.421 | 0.344 | 0.464 | 0.777 | 0.085 | 0.084 | 177.4 |
| 1986 | 0.317 | 0.029 | 0.73 | 0.557 | 0.83 | 0.933 | 0.048 | 0.244 | 270.9 |
| 1987 | 0.078 | 0.031 | 0.417 | 0.314 | 0.159 | 0.618 | 0.483 | 0.495 | 168.9 |
| 1988 | 0.036 | 0.02 | 0.095 | 0.096 | 0.151 | 0.411 | 0.181 | 0.016 | 71.4 |
| 1989 | 0.083 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.094 | 0.013 | 0.035 | 0.041 | 0 | 25.9 |
| 1990 | 0.075 | 0.053 | 0.202 | 0.158 | 0.121 | 0.198 | 0.086 | 0.196 | 69.9 |
| 1991 | 0.255 | 0.39 | 0.431 | 0.539 | 0.5 | 0.369 | 0.298 | 0.395 | 200.7 |
| 1992 | 0.168 | 0.039 | 0.672 | 0.444 | 0.734 | 0.268 | 0.345 | 0.285 | 190.1 |
| 1993 | 0.358 | 0.212 | 0.26 | 0.187 | 0.12 | 0.119 | 0.223 | 0.028 | 101.7 |
| 1994 | 0.148 | 0.024 | 0.417 | 0.381 | 0.332 | 0.148 | 0.252 | 0.169 | 126.9 |
| 1995 | 0.26 | 0.086 | 0.699 | 0.092 | 0.266 | 0.018 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 106.2 |
| 1996 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.935 | 0.135 | 0.436 | 0.379 | 0.039 | 0.032 | 148.1 |
| 1997 | 0.042 | 0.021 | 0.338 | 0.064 | 0.178 | 0.035 | 0.023 | 0.083 | 53.1 |
| 1998 | 0.1 | 0.056 | 1.15 | 0.592 | 0.998 | 0.265 | 0.28 | 0.127 | 244.0 |
| 1999 | 0.045 | 0.011 | 0.799 | 0.2 | 0.514 | 0.22 | 0.107 | 0.026 | 137.1 |
| 2000 | 0.284 | 0.011 | 1.052 | 0.197 | 1.156 | 0.376 | 0.063 | 0.006 | 214.8 |
| 2001 | 0.08 | 0.019 | 0.566 | 0.473 | 0.567 | 0.247 | 0.209 | 0.226 | 161.8 |
| 2002 | 0.141 | 0.04 | 0.287 | 0.028 | 0.121 | 0.045 | 0.003 | 0.157 | 54.4 |
| 2003 | 0.045 | 0.005 | 0.284 | 0.074 | 0.106 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.154 | 47.3 |
| 2004 | 0.017 | 0.010 | 0.189 | 0.089 | 0.268 | 0.187 | 0.027 | 0.198 | 61.3 |
| 2005 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.327 | 0.081 | 0.633 | 0.184 | 0.007 | 0.131 | 83.1 |
| 2006 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.240 | 0.025 | 0.098 | 0.018 | 0.040 | 0.228 | 37.2 |
| 2007 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.184 | 0.029 | 0.067 | 0.047 | 0.018 | 0.007 | 27.8 |
| 2008 | 0.145 | 0.139 | 0.277 | 0.241 | 0.101 | 0.093 | 0.160 | 0.433 | 95.8 |
| 2009 | 0.077 | 0.085 | 0.228 | 0.073 | 0.350 | 0.253 | 0.000 | 0.139 | 77.1 |
| 2010 | 0.024 | 0.004 | 0.586 | 0.063 | 0.187 | 0.090 | 0 | 0.080 | 77.0 |

Table 2.3.3.2. North Sea herring. Indices of 1-ringers from the IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter. Estimation of the small sized component (possibly Downs herring) in different areas. " North Sea" = total area of sampling minus IIIa.

| Year class | Year of sampling | All1-ringers in total area (IBTS-1 index) (no/hour) | Small $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> 1 -ringers <br> in total area (no/hour) | Proportion of small in total area vs. all sizes | Small $<13 \mathrm{~cm}$ <br> 1 -ringers <br> in North Sea <br> (no/hour) | Proportion of small in North Sea vs. all sizes | Proportion of small in Illa vs small in total area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 1979 | 168 | 11 | 0.07 | 12 | 0.07 | 0 |
| 1978 | 1980 | 316 | 108 | 0.34 | 106 | 0.34 | 0.09 |
| 1979 | 1981 | 495 | 51 | 0.1 | 41 | 0.08 | 0.26 |
| 1980 | 1982 | 798 | 177 | 0.22 | 185 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| 1981 | 1983 | 1270 | 192 | 0.15 | 185 | 0.15 | 0.11 |
| 1982 | 1984 | 1516 | 346 | 0.23 | 297 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 1983 | 1985 | 2097 | 315 | 0.15 | 298 | 0.14 | 0.12 |
| 1984 | 1986 | 2663 | 596 | 0.22 | 390 | 0.15 | 0.39 |
| 1985 | 1987 | 3693 | 628 | 0.17 | 529 | 0.14 | 0.22 |
| 1986 | 1988 | 4394 | 2371 | 0.54 | 720 | 0.16 | 0.72 |
| 1987 | 1989 | 2332 | 596 | 0.26 | 531 | 0.23 | 0.17 |
| 1988 | 1990 | 1062 | 70 | 0.07 | 62 | 0.06 | 0.18 |
| 1989 | 1991 | 1287 | 330 | 0.26 | 337 | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| 1990 | 1992 | 1268 | 125 | 0.1 | 130 | 0.1 | 0.03 |
| 1991 | 1993 | 2794 | 676 | 0.24 | 176 | 0.06 | 0.76 |
| 1992 | 1994 | 1752 | 283 | 0.16 | 240 | 0.14 | 0.21 |
| 1993 | 1995 | 1346 | 449 | 0.33 | 445 | 0.33 | 0.08 |
| 1994 | 1996 | 1891 | 604 | 0.32 | 467 | 0.25 | 0.28 |
| 1995 | 1997 | 4405 | 1356 | 0.31 | 1089 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 1996 | 1998 | 2276 | 1322 | 0.58 | 1399 | 0.61 | 0.02 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 753 | 152 | 0.2 | 149 | 0.2 | 0.09 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 3725 | 1117 | 0.3 | 991 | 0.27 | 0.17 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 2499 | 328 | 0.13 | 307 | 0.12 | 0.13 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 4065 | 1553 | 0.38 | 1471 | 0.36 | 0.12 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 2765 | 717 | 0.26 | 237 | 0.09 | 0.69 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 979 | 665 | 0.68 | 710 | 0.73 | 0.01 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 1002 | 340 | 0.34 | 356 | 0.36 | 0.03 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 922 | 122 | 0.13 | 128 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 1321 | 302 | 0.23 | 302 | 0.23 | 0.07 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 1816 | 436 | 0.24 | 464 | 0.26 | 0.01 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 2344 | 737 | 0.31 | 626 | 0.27 | 0.21 |
| 2008 | 2010 | 1202 | 292 | 0.24 | 301 | 0.25 | 0.04 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 2935 | 1331 | 0.45 | 1407 | 0.48 | 0.02 |

Table 2.3.3.3. North Sea herring. Indices of 2-5+ ringers from the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS

| Year of sampling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-ringer } \\ & \text { no/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text {-ringer } \\ & \text { no/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-ringer } \\ & \text { no/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5+\text { ringer } \\ & \text { no/h } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 139 | 45 | 14 | 24 |
| 1984 | 161 | 61 | 27 | 10 |
| 1985 | 722 | 282 | 42 | 28 |
| 1986 | 782 | 276 | 79 | 28 |
| 1987 | 918 | 116 | 59 | 49 |
| 1988 | 4163 | 792 | 58 | 25 |
| 1989 | 875 | 339 | 89 | 9 |
| 1990 | 462 | 280 | 269 | 71 |
| 1991 | 693 | 259 | 222 | 146 |
| 1992 | 437 | 193 | 55 | 92 |
| 1993 | 787 | 223 | 45 | 66 |
| 1994 | 1167 | 213 | 69 | 43 |
| 1995 | 1393 | 279 | 37 | 7 |
| 1996 | 198 | 33 | 10 | 8 |
| 1997 | 507 | 163 | 31 | 20 |
| 1998 | 792 | 96 | 21 | 18 |
| 1999 | 451 | 501 | 98 | 36 |
| 2000 | 199 | 155 | 59 | 9 |
| 2001 | 1129 | 317 | 94 | 68 |
| 2002 | 658 | 338 | 25 | 20 |
| 2003 | 1556 | 612 | 360 | 53 |
| 2004 | 451 | 777 | 112 | 171 |
| 2005 | 214 | 356 | 389 | 131 |
| 2006 | 1464 | 330 | 252 | 339 |
| 2007 | 50 | 18 | 8 | 41 |
| 2008 | 233 | 146 | 202 | 232 |
| 2009 | 136 | 21 | 11 | 46 |
| 2010 | 50 | 35 | 46 | 90 |
| 2011 | 422 | 573 | 419 | 466 |

Table 2.4.1.1. North Sea herring. Mean stock weight-at-age (wr) in the third quarter, in Divisions IVa, IVb and IIIa. Mean catch weight-at-age for the same quarter and area is included for comparison. Weights-at-age in the catch for 1996 to 2001 were revised by SG Rednose, for details of the revision see the 2007 report (ICES CM 2007/ACFM:11). AS = acoustic survey, 3Q = catch.

| W. rings <br> Year | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 AS |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | ${ }^{9+}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 45 | 75 | 119 | 135 | 196 | 186 | 253 | 224 | 262 | 229 | 299 | 253 | 306 | 292 | 325 | 300 | 335 | 302 |
| 1997 | 45 | 43 | 120 | 129 | 168 | 175 | 233 | 220 | 256 | 247 | 245 | 255 | 265 | 278 | 269 | 295 | 329 | 295 |
| 1998 | 52 | 54 | 109 | 131 | 198 | 172 | 238 | 209 | 275 | 237 | 307 | 263 | 289 | 269 | 308 | 313 | 363 | 298 |
| 1999 | 52 | 62 | 118 | 128 | 171 | 163 | 207 | 193 | 236 | 228 | 267 | 252 | 272 | 263 | 2 | 275 | 0 | 306 |
| 2000 | 46 | 54 | 118 | 123 | 180 | 172 | 218 | 201 | 232 | 228 | 261 | 241 | 295 | 266 | 300 | 286 | 280 | 271 |
| 2001 | 50 | 69 | 127 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 204 | 199 | 228 | 21 | 237 | 237 | 255 | 262 | 28 | 288 | 2 | 298 |
| 2002 | 45 | 50 | 138 | 140 | 172 | 177 | 194 | 200 | 224 | 224 | 247 | 244 | 261 | 252 | 280 | 281 | 249 | 298 |
| 2003 | 46 | 65 | 104 | 119 | 185 | 177 | 209 | 198 | 214 | 210 | 243 | 236 | 281 | 247 | 290 | 272 | 307 | 282 |
| 2004 | 35 | 45 | 116 | 125 | 139 | 159 | 206 | 203 | 231 | 234 | 253 | 250 | 262 | 264 | 279 | 262 | 270 | 299 |
| 2005 | 43 | 53 | 135 | 124 | 171 | 177 | 181 | 201 | 229 | 234 | 248 | 249 | 253 | 261 | 274 | 287 | 295 | 270 |
| 2006 | 45 | 61 | 127 | 139 | 158 | 163 | 188 | 192 | 188 | 205 | 225 | 242 | 243 | 257 | 244 | 260 | 265 | 285 |
| 2007 | 66 | 75 | 123 | 153 | 155 | 171 | 171 | 183 | 204 | 215 | 198 | 211 | 218 | 252 | 247 | 263 | 233 | 273 |
| 2008 | 62 | 67 | 141 | 151 | 180 | 192 | 183 | 207 | 194 | 211 | 230 | 240 | 217 | 243 | 268 | 276 | 282 | 312 |
| 2009 | 56 | 56 | 148 | 166 | 208 | 217 | 236 | 242 | 232 | 259 | 240 | 261 | 266 | 274 | 249 | 274 | 263 | 292 |
| 2010 | 38 | 74 | 138 | 150 | 183 | 190 | 229 | 222 | 245 | 245 | 233 | 239 | 237 | 248 | 252 | 265 | 251 | 271 |

Table 2.4.2.1. North Sea herring. Percentage maturity at 2, 3, 4 and $5+$ ring for autumn spawning herring in the North Sea. The values are derived from the acoustic survey for 1988 to 2010.

| Year \ Ring | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 65.6 | 87.7 | 100 | 100 |
| 1989 | 78.7 | 93.9 | 100 | 100 |
| 1990 | 72.6 | 97.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 1991 | 63.8 | 98.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 1992 | 51.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1993 | 47.1 | 62.9 | 100 | 100 |
| 1994 | 72.1 | 85.8 | 100 | 100 |
| 1995 | 72.6 | 95.4 | 100 | 100 |
| 1996 | 60.5 | 97.5 | 100 | 100 |
| 1997 | 64.0 | 94.2 | 100 | 100 |
| 1998 | 64.0 | 89.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 1999 | 81.0 | 91.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2000 | 66.0 | 96.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2001 | 77.0 | 92.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2002 | 86.0 | 97.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2003 | 43.0 | 93.0 | 100 | 100 |
| 2004 | 69.8 | 64.9 | 100 | 100 |
| 2005 | 76.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 100 |
| 2006 | 66.0 | 88.0 | 98.0 | 100 |
| 2007 | 71.0 | 92.0 | 93.0 | 100 |
| 2008 | 86.0 | 98.0 | 99.0 | 100 |
| 2009 | 89.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2010 | 45.0 | 90.0 | 100 | 100 |

Table 2.5.3.1. North Sea herring. Estimated recruitment index TS consisting of ex-ante predictions (hindcasts) for period 1960 to 2009 and ex-post (true) forecasts for years 2010 and 2011. The ex-post forecasts for 2010 and 2011 are indicated by greyed cells.

| Year | Prediction/Forecast | Year | Prediction/Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 40889312.39 | 1993 | 51890527.82 |
| 1971 | 27403793.73 | 1994 | 32803967.56 |
| 1972 | 20577925.37 | 1995 | 55511661.40 |
| 1973 | 35995924.05 | 1996 | 57151261.45 |
| 1974 | 12765872.33 | 1997 | 21529816.06 |
| 1975 | 1699335.57 | 1998 | 35543467.42 |
| 1976 | 10146572.78 | 1999 | 38891315.78 |
| 1977 | 6454681.62 | 2000 | 62617820.18 |
| 1978 | -2933962.99 | 2001 | 69466523.65 |
| 1979 | 9939278.55 | 2002 | 62063768.17 |
| 1980 | 2703519.71 | 2003 | 21779174.40 |
| 1981 | 26614032.56 | 2004 | 29122042.78 |
| 1982 | 53739116.51 | 2005 | 25856552.19 |
| 1983 | 49524124.54 | 2006 | 31968509.38 |
| 1984 | 65101919.79 | 2007 | 13391585.49 |
| 1985 | 46634923.44 | 2008 | 12766825.67 |
| 1986 | 79499074.02 | 2009 | 26713193.62 |
| 1987 | 111715839.16 | 2010 | 34135668.24 |
| 1988 | 65361810.34 | 2011 | 38770600.39 |
| 1989 | 43888761.55 |  |  |
| 1990 | 52004900.51 |  |  |
| 1991 | 41668338.54 |  |  |
| 1992 | 30927250.82 |  |  |

Table 2.6.1 North Sea herring. Years of duration of survey and years used in the assessment.

| Survey | Age range | Years survey has <br> been running | Years used in <br> assessment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MLAI (Larvae survey) | SSB | $1972-2010$ | $1973-2010$ |
| IBTS 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Quarter (Trawl survey) | $1-5 \mathrm{wr}$ | $1971-2011$ | $1984-2011$ |
| Acoustic (+trawl) | 1 wr | $1995-2010$ | $1997-2010$ |
| IBTS0 | $2-9+\mathrm{wr}$ | $1984-2010$ | $1989-2010$ |

Table 2.6.3.1 NORTH SEA HERRING. CATCH IN NUMBER


Table 2.6.3.1 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. CATCH IN NUMBER

| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| age | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 0 | 431175 | 259526 | 1566349 | 1105085 | 1832691 | 730279 | 369074 | 715597 | 1015554 |
| 1 | 479702 | 977680 | 303520 | 1171677 | 614469 | 837557 | 617021 | 206648 | 715547 |
| 2 | 687920 | 1220105 | 616354 | 622853 | 842635 | 579592 | 1221992 | 447918 | 355453 |
| 3 | 446909 | 537932 | 1058716 | 463170 | 485628 | 970577 | 529386 | 1366155 | 485746 |
| 4 | 284920 | 276333 | 294066 | 646814 | 278884 | 292205 | 835552 | 543376 | 1318647 |
| 5 | 109178 | 175817 | 135648 | 213466 | 321743 | 140701 | 244780 | 753231 | 479961 |
| 6 | 31389 | 88927 | 69299 | 82481 | 90918 | 174570 | 107751 | 169324 | 576154 |
| 7 | 11832 | 15232 | 27998 | 35706 | 38252 | 48908 | 123291 | 104945 | 115212 |
| 8 | 18770 | 16766 | 10174 | 14624 | 17910 | 34620 | 37671 | 65341 | 88311 |
| 9 | 5697 | 3784 | 2054 | 2463 | 2692 | 8702 | 9044 | 31801 | 58497 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 878637 | 621005 | 798284 | 650043 | 574895 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 222111 | 235553 | 235022 | 175923 | 280728 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 401087 | 219115 | 331772 | 259434 | 293887 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 310602 | 417452 | 184771 | 106738 | 236804 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 464620 | 285746 | 199069 | 93321 | 126241 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 997782 | 309454 | 137529 | 86137 | 83893 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 252150 | 629187 | 118349 | 37951 | 61542 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 247042 | 147830 | 215542 | 53130 | 33305 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 63035 | 133388 | 74339 | 110394 | 59142 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 43377 | 23362 | 42919 | 32737 | 54533 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.2 NORTH SEA HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH

```
Units : kg
    year
age 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 19 1966 1967 1968 1969 196 1970 1971
    0 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015
    1 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050}0.0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050
    2 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126
    3 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176
    4 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211
    5 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243}00.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243
    6 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251}00.251 0.251 0.251 0.251
    7 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267
    8 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271
    9 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271
        year
age 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983
    0}0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.007 0.010 0.010
```



```
    2 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.118 0.118 0.118
    30.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.176 0.142 0.149 0.149
    4 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.211 0.189 0.179 0.179
    5 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243 0.243}0.2.243 0.211 0.217 0.217
    6 0.251 0.251
    7 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.267 0.265 0.265
    8 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.274 0.274
    9 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.000 0.271 0.271 0.271 0.271
```

Table 2.6.3.2 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH

\[

\]

Table 2.6.3.3 NORTH SEA HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
Units : kg
    year
age 1960
    0 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015
    1 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050
    2 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155
    3 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187
    4 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223
    5 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239
    6 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276
    7 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299
    8 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306
    9 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312
        year
age 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    0 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.017
    1 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.057
    2 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.150
    3 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.190
    4 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.223 0.230
    5 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.239 0.243
    6 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.276 0.282
    7 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.299 0.311
    8 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.306 0.338
    9 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.312 0.347
        year
```



```
    0 0.016 0.014 0.009 0.008 0.009 0.012 0.011 0.010 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.006
    1 0.056 0.061 0.050 0.048 0.044 0.052 0.059 0.064 0.061 0.060 0.057 0.054
    2 0.138 0.130}0.122 0.123 0.122 0.126 0.139 0.137 0.134 0.126 0.129 0.130
    3 0.187 0.183 0.170 0.166 0.165 0.174 0.184 0.194 0.184 0.192 0.186 0.199
    4 0.232 0.232 0.212 0.208 0.205 0.212 0.212 0.214 0.213 0.214 0.211 0.227
    5 0.247 0.252 0.230 0.229 0.228 0.244 0.239 0.234 0.234 0.240 0.224 0.234
    6 0.275 0.273 0.242 0.248 0.252 0.271 0.265 0.253 0.262 0.275 0.268 0.274
    7 0.321 0.315 0.275 0.259 0.261 0.284 0.280}0.272720.273 0.291 0.293 0.301
    8 0.341 0.331 0.268 0.263 0.277 0.298 0.300 0.291 0.302 0.309 0.318 0.323
    9 0.365 0.392 0.343 0.325 0.315 0.331 0.328 0.312 0.320 0.337 0.345 0.343
        year
age 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007
    0 0.005 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.008
    1 0.049 0.047 0.051 0.051 0.051 0.047 0.047 0.042 0.041 0.041 0.051 0.055
    2 0.123 0.116 0.116 0.116 0.122 0.128 0.123 0.119 0.118 0.126 0.128 0.125
    3 0.183 0.187 0.179 0.184 0.172 0.172 0.173 0.165 0.164 0.155 0.161 0.156
    4 0.230 0.241 0.226 0.221 0.210 0.205 0.202 0.203 0.198 0.191 0.180 0.180
    5 0.237 0.264 0.256 0.248 0.233 0.228 0.222 0.223 0.225 0.216 0.207 0.196
    6 0.257 0.284 0.273 0.279 0.255 0.248 0.242 0.248}00.248)0.242 0.224 0.212
    7 0.280 0.287 0.276 0.286 0.275 0.270 0.266 0.268 0.265 0.252 0.238 0.230
    8 0.303 0.301 0.270 0.281 0.274 0.289 0.285 0.283 0.281 0.266 0.255 0.245
    9 0.334 0.342 0.318 0.303 0.280 0.275 0.283 0.275 0.291 0.277 0.264 0.249
        year
age 2008 2009 2010
    0.008 0.007 0.007
    1 0.058 0.061 0.052
    2 0.130 0.137 0.142
    3 0.164 0.181 0.190
    4 0.181 0.197 0.216
    5 0.195 0.210 0.224
    6 0.218 0.223 0.234
    70.226 0.234 0.240
    8 0.253 0.255 0.256
    9 0.260 0.259 0.265
```

Table 2.6.3.4 NORTH SEA HERRING. NATURAL MORTALITY

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971}101972 1973 1974
    0}1.
```




```
    30.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
```



```
    5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1
```



```
    7 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 1
```




```
        year
age 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989
    0}1.
    1}1.
    2 0.3 0.3 0.3 3 0.3 0.3 3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3
```





```
    7 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 1
    8
```



```
    year
age 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
    01.0}1.
    11.0}1.
```



```
    30.20.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
```



```
    5
    6
```



```
    9
    year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 20092010
    0}1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 
```






```
    5}00.
    6
    lllllll
    8
    9 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
```

Table 2.6.3.5 NORTH SEA HERRING. PROPORTION MATURE

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969}191970 1971 1972 1973 1974
    0
    1
    2 1rllllllllllllll
    1
    5 [10clllllllllll
    6
    1 1.00 1.00 1.00
    1 1.00 1.00 1.00
    11.00 1.00 1.00
    year
age 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989
```



```
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.00
    2 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 82 0.82 0.82 
    31.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 0.0 1.03 0.94
    4 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.00
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.00
    71.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.00
```



```
    91.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.00
    year
age 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
```



```
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    2 0.91 0.86 0.50 0.47 0.73 0.67 0.61 0.64 0.64 0.69 0.67 0.77 0.87 0.43 0.70
```



```
    4 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    91.001.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
        year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    2 0.76 0.66 0.71 0.86 0.89 0.45
    30.96 0.88 0.92 0.98 1.00 0.90
    4 0.96 0.98 0.93 0.99 1.00 1.00
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    91.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
```

Table 2.6.3.6 NORTH SEA HERRING. FRACTION OF HARVEST BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989
    0}00.6
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
        year
age 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    90.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
```




```
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    30.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```

Table 2.6.3.7 NORTH SEA HERRING. FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989
    0 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
        year
age 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
    0 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0}00.67\quad0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    1
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```

Table 2.6.3.8 NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVEY INDICES

```
MLAI - Configuration
"Herring" "in" "Sub-area" "IV," "Divisions" "VIId" "&" "IIIa" "(autumn-
spawners)"
    min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf
Index type : biomass
MLAI - Index Values
Units : NA
        year
age 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 198 1982 1983
    all 12.892 7.676 2. 777 2.458 6.081 7.276 13.765 9.251 13.521 19.859 25.456
        year
    age 1984 1985 1986 1987 19 1988 1989 19 1990 1991
        11 45.835 70.134 36.524 64.774 128.523 126.86 164.982 87.928 40.524 28.643
            year
```



```
    all 19.982 20.474 40.646 53.077 66.596 55.731 37.392 123.398 104.485 250.644
        year
age 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    all 308.878 183.782 112.811 160.992 180.456 466.652 380.386
MLAI - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)
Units : NA
            year
```



```
    all 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667
        year
    age 1. 1981 
    all 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667
        year
```



```
    all 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667
        year
    age 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
    all 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667
        year
    age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    all 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667 1.666667
```


## TABLE 2.6.3.8 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVEY INDICES

```
IBTSO - Configuration
"Herring in Sub-area IV, Divisions VIId & IIIa (autumn-spawners) . Imported
from VPA file."
min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf
Index type : number
IBTSO - Index Values
Units : NA
    year
age 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
    0 200.7 190.1 101.7 127 106.5 148.1 53.1 244 137.1 214.8 161.8 54.4 47.3
        year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011
    0 61.3 83.1 37.2 27.8 95.8 77.1 77
IBTSO - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)
Units : NA
    year
```



```
    0 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302
        year
```



```
    0 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302
        year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011
    0 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302 1.587302
IBTS-Q1: 1-5+ wr - Configuration
"Herring in Sub-area IV, Divisions VIId & IIIa (autumn-spawners) . Imported
from VPA file."
min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf
Index type : number
```

Table 2.6.3.8 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVEY INDICES

| IBTS-Q1: 1-5+ wr - Index Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Units }: ~ N A \\ \text { year } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| 1 | 1515.627 | 2097.280 | 2662.812 | 3692.965 | 4394.168 | 2331.566 | 1061.572 | 1286.747 |
| 2 | 161.480 | 721.646 | 782.122 | 917.550 | 4163.384 | 875.336 | 462.097 | 693.020 |
| 3 | 61.428 | 281.990 | 276.031 | 116.315 | 791.528 | 338.514 | 279.780 | 258.604 |
| 4 | 26.888 | 42.088 | 79.007 | 59.351 | 57.957 | 89.381 | 269.108 | 221.523 |
| 5 | 10.238 | 27.941 | 28.076 | 48.763 | 25.054 | 8.519 | 71.303 | 146.096 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | -1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 1 | 1268.145 | 2794.007 | 1752.053 | 1345.754 | 1890.872 | 4404.647 | 2275.845 | 752.862 |
| 2 | 436.563 | 787.421 | 1167.221 | 1392.857 | 197.522 | 506.536 | 791.593 | 450.623 |
| 3 | 193.085 | 222.585 | 213.059 | 278.544 | 32.875 | 162.660 | 95.660 | 501.325 |
| 4 | 54.810 | 45.042 | 69.004 | 36.670 | 10.193 | 30.532 | 20.810 | 98.179 |
| 5 | 92.268 | 65.534 | 42.503 | 6.551 | 8.079 | 19.935 | 17.841 | 35.566 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 1 | 3725.131 | 2499.391 | 4064.829 | 2765.059 | 979.036 | 1001.585 | 923.167 | 1321.005 |
| 2 | 199.374 | 1129.308 | 658.154 | 1556.082 | 451.015 | 214.191 | 1490.917 | 50.033 |
| 3 | 154.691 | 317.069 | 338.153 | 611.890 | 777.324 | 356.007 | 331.024 | 18.250 |
| 4 | 58.838 | 93.886 | 25.048 | 359.989 | 112.374 | 388.922 | 251.689 | 7.937 |
| 5 | 8.952 | 68.284 | 19.936 | 53.166 | 171.231 | 131.481 | 338.811 | 41.284 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1815.860 | 2344.155 | 1220.940 | 2934.736 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 232.906 | 136.269 | 49.555 | 422.070 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 146.192 | 21.459 | 34.853 | 572.857 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 202.100 | 11.223 | 45.944 | 419.102 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 232.335 | 46.427 | 89.950 | 465.734 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.8 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVEY INDICES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Units : NA } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| 1 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 |
| 2 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 |
| 3 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| 4 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| 5 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| 1 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 |
| 2 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 |
| 3 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| 4 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| 5 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 |
| 2 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 |
| 3 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
|  | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| 5 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 1 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 |
| 2 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 |
|  | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
|  | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| 5 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |  |
| 1 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 | 2.127660 |  |  |
| 2 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 | 3.571429 |  |  |
| 3 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |  |  |
| 4 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |  |  |
|  | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.8 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVEY INDICES


Table 2.6.3.8 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVEY INDICES

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Units : NA } \\ \text { year } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| 1 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 |
| 2 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 |
| 3 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 |
| 4 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 |
| 5 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 |
| 6 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 |
| 7 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 |
| 8 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 |
| 9 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 1 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 |
| 2 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 |
| 3 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 |
| 4 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 |
| 5 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 |
| 6 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 |
| 7 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 |
| 8 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 |
| 9 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| 1 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 | 1.587302 |
| 2 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 | 1.612903 |
| 3 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 | 5.882353 |
| 4 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 |
| 5 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 | 11.111111 |
| 6 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 | 12.500000 |
| 7 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 |
| 8 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 | 14.285714 |
|  | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 | 20.000000 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.587302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.612903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35.882353 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410.000000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511.111111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 612.500000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 714.285714 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 814.285714 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.000000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.9 NORTH SEA HERRING. STOCK OBJECT CONFIGURATION

| min | max plusgroup | minyear | maxyear | minfbar | maxfbar |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 9 | 9 | 1960 | 2010 | 2 | 6 |

Table2.6.3.10 NORTH SEA HERRING. FLICA CONFIGURATION SETTINGS

```
sep.2 : NA
sep.gradual : TRUE
sr : TRUE
sr.age : 1
lambda.age : 0.1 0.1 3.67 2.87 2.23 1.74 1.37 1.04 0.94 0.91
lambda.yr : 1 1 1 1 1
lambda.sr : 0.1
index.model : power linear linear linear
index.cor : F
sep.nyr : 5
sep.age : 4
sep.sel : 1
```

Table 2.6.3.11 NORTH SEA HERRING. FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS

```
R version 2.8.1 (2008-12-22)
Package : FLICA
Version : 1.4-12
Packaged : 2009-10-08 15:16:26 UTC; mpa
Built : R 2.9.1; ; 2009-10-08 15:16:27 UTC; windows
Package : FLAssess
Version : 1.99-102
Packaged : Mon Mar 23 08:18:19 2009; mpa
Built : R 2.8.0; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-03-23 08:18:21; windows
Package : FLCore
Version : 2.2
Packaged : Tue May 19 19:23:18 2009; Administrator
Built : R 2.8.1; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-05-19 19:23:22; windows
```

Table 2.6.3.12 NORTH SEA HERRING. STOCK SUMMARY

| Year | Recruitment <br> Age 0 | TSB | SSB | Fbar | Landings | Landings SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | f | tonnes |  |
| 1960 | 12092313 | 3747903 | 1884122 | 0.3367 | 696200 | 1.1830 |
| 1961 | 108850059 | 4364354 | 1662930 | 0.4315 | 696700 | 1.1348 |
| 1962 | 46272455 | 4397754 | 1115220 | 0.5290 | 627800 | 1.1705 |
| 1963 | 47657565 | 4628953 | 2189201 | 0.2260 | 716000 | 0.8602 |
| 1964 | 62786575 | 4797400 | 2031515 | 0.3434 | 871200 | 1.0656 |
| 1965 | 34895343 | 4344785 | 1450013 | 0.6952 | 1168800 | 1.1496 |
| 1966 | 27859139 | 3316825 | 1281010 | 0.6194 | 895500 | 1.0707 |
| 1967 | 40256391 | 2814536 | 919752 | 0.7982 | 695500 | 1.1757 |
| 1968 | 38698817 | 2521305 | 412840 | 1.3363 | 717800 | 1.2551 |
| 1969 | 21581561 | 1905139 | 423877 | 1.1052 | 546700 | 0.9674 |
| 1970 | 41073298 | 1921888 | 374629 | 1.1050 | 563100 | 0.9657 |
| 1971 | 32307965 | 1849446 | 266051 | 1.4049 | 520100 | 1.0747 |
| 1972 | 20861031 | 1549562 | 288353 | 0.6960 | 497500 | 0.9197 |
| 1973 | 10102051 | 1156018 | 233410 | 1.1348 | 484000 | 0.9575 |
| 1974 | 21699985 | 912051 | 162051 | 1.0521 | 275100 | 0.9680 |
| 1975 | 2825521 | 680402 | 81658 | 1.4716 | 312800 | 0.9343 |
| 1976 | 2721846 | 358662 | 77952 | 1.4443 | 174800 | 0.9530 |
| 1977 | 4329404 | 210504 | 47622 | 0.8028 | 46000 | 1.1979 |
| 1978 | 4596094 | 224925 | 64889 | 0.0527 | 11000 | 1.2152 |
| 1979 | 10602593 | 382131 | 107141 | 0.0642 | 25100 | 1.0056 |
| 1980 | 16719547 | 630494 | 131011 | 0.2836 | 70764 | 1.0936 |
| 1981 | 37864017 | 1158667 | 195611 | 0.3515 | 174879 | 1.0081 |
| 1982 | 64754769 | 1843295 | 278530 | 0.2640 | 275079 | 0.9786 |
| 1983 | 61829567 | 2719425 | 432633 | 0.3380 | 387202 | 1.0771 |
| 1984 | 53460997 | 2865147 | 679075 | 0.4553 | 428631 | 1.0543 |
| 1985 | 80939722 | 3463006 | 699476 | 0.6436 | 613780 | 1.0419 |
| 1986 | 97653052 | 3473722 | 679590 | 0.5723 | 671488 | 1.1373 |
| 1987 | 86232161 | 3938023 | 901038 | 0.5522 | 792058 | 1.0173 |
| 1988 | 42292084 | 3622901 | 1195264 | 0.5365 | 887686 | 1.1641 |
| 1989 | 39183711 | 3312158 | 1251149 | 0.5444 | 787899 | 1.0335 |
| 1990 | 35866636 | 2978465 | 1186874 | 0.4416 | 645229 | 1.0515 |
| 1991 | 33636441 | 2716907 | 982498 | 0.4893 | 658008 | 1.0197 |
| 1992 | 62152334 | 2438080 | 705132 | 0.5814 | 716799 | 0.9950 |
| 1993 | 50270235 | 2520045 | 474742 | 0.6897 | 671397 | 1.0231 |
| 1994 | 34559978 | 2026875 | 512077 | 0.7068 | 568234 | 1.0498 |
| 1995 | 41738842 | 1846118 | 463304 | 0.7386 | 579371 | 1.0084 |
| 1996 | 50017440 | 1629136 | 463868 | 0.4016 | 275098 | 0.9987 |
| 1997 | 29137096 | 1957688 | 563131 | 0.4194 | 264313 | 1.0006 |
| 1998 | 28102834 | 2086762 | 739391 | 0.4828 | 391628 | 1.0018 |
| 1999 | 69449799 | 2371556 | 869482 | 0.3656 | 363163 | 1.0000 |
| 2000 | 42389537 | 2929463 | 886094 | 0.3547 | 388157 | 1.0004 |
| 2001 | 97487442 | 3364925 | 1344693 | 0.2852 | 374065 | 0.9901 |
| 2002 | 34766152 | 4166329 | 1658085 | 0.2339 | 394709 | 0.9974 |
| 2003 | 20060339 | 3913739 | 1822444 | 0.2303 | 482281 | 1.0153 |
| 2004 | 26095303 | 3635587 | 1933120 | 0.2759 | 587698 | 0.9985 |
| 2005 | 16577102 | 3171867 | 1871370 | 0.3192 | 663813 | 1.0033 |
| 2006 | 22114044 | 2621499 | 1526159 | 0.2812 | 514597 | 0.9950 |
| 2007 | 30340022 | 2392366 | 1234365 | 0.2674 | 406482 | 1.0056 |
| 2008 | 26079173 | 2394029 | 1206034 | 0.1945 | 257870 | 1.0040 |
| 2009 | 38289832 | 2610448 | 1442422 | 0.0986 | 168443 | 1.0023 |
| 2010 | 38849289 | 2859555 | 1301092 | 0.1175 | 187611 | 1.0034 |

Table 2.6.3.13 NORTH SEA HERRING. ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY


Table 2.6.3.13 cont. NORTH SEA HERRING. ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY


Table 2.6.3.14 NORTH SEA HERRING. ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE


Table 2.6.3.14 cont. NORTH SEA HERRING. ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE

| age | 1982 | 21983 | 31984 | 41985 | 51986 | 61987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 64754768.752 | 261829567.33 | 353460996.95 | 80939722.44 | 97653052.09 | 986232160.61 |
| 1 | 8600641.094 | 417049793.08 | 815253711.82 | 15683052.22 | 27342388.94 | 433767818.38 |
| 2 | 1499337.928 | 82526088.90 | 04876146.31 | 14570808.55 | 5934134.41 | 17335150.40 |
| 3 | 631100.919 | 9855666.20 | 01383031.84 | 42637143.67 | 72260204.46 | 61840602.75 |
| 4 | 160626.576 | 6310588.05 | 5506131.39 | 736432.49 | 91102770.97 | 71097511.60 |
| 5 | 105062.891 | 1113364.02 | 2181461.13 | 367226.51 | 1318098.58 | 8556967.05 |
| 6 | 52353.639 | 981390.53 | 377721.59 | 97480.47 | 7124068.25 | 5164976.64 |
| 7 | 39407.170 | $0 \quad 40913.75$ | 5 52028.69 | 48901.32 | $3 \quad 37949.73$ | 353573.77 |
| 8 | 10877.682 | 28254.93 | 324889.68 | 23255.51 | 125130.63 | 14978.75 |
| 9 | 3323.736 | 631080.42 | 241707.02 | 28581.19 | 9 27348.04 | 415353.22 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ag | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 0 | 42292083.65 | 39183711.49 | 35866635.9336 | 33636440.9062 | 62152334.355 | 50270234.83 |
| 1 | 26996488.76 | 13735209.90 | 12654152.612 | 12440044.5410 | 10998522.161 | 16995218.06 |
| 2 | 8562144.94 | 5561582.28 | 3284738.6 | 2960750.15 | 3362432.33 | 2747170.59 |
| 3 | 3619773.91 | 4445480.91 | 2766980.8 | 1669436.85 | 1235696.32 | 1404658.03 |
| 4 | 908651.53 | 1984882.69 | 2416028.1 | 1565924.75 | 867704.97 | 615077.26 |
| 5 | 551093.68 | 459101.75 | 1030020.3 | 1370608.48 | 896970.28 | 443029.47 |
| 6 | 271442.23 | 256756.60 | 215117.6 | 565077.66 | 765522.41 | 470381.52 |
| 7 | 78625.04 | 124490.66 | 115382.1 | 118701.53 | 316870.15 | 337650.30 |
| 8 | 25962.22 | 35220.18 | 54985.0 | 52965.67 | 70169.61 | 142641.31 |
| 9 | 14423.45 | 15713.62 | 22719.5 | 26896.63 | 41707.96 | 68134.51 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 81999 |
| 0 | 34559977.72 | 41738841.56 | 50017440.49 | 29137096.40 | 28102834.277 | 769449799.344 |
| 1 | 12698440.86 | 10143384.77 | 11137275.86 | 17063583.51 | 10468490.519 | 910187598.707 |
| 2 | 4099838.27 | 3653140.80 | 2785384.52 | 3181344.77 | 5999200.270 | 0 3289430.992 |
| 3 | 1042979.42 | 1533694.85 | 1486246.40 | 1517079.02 | 1771092.031 | 3405002.525 |
| 4 | 605694.05 | 417276.18 | 528319.04 | 745874.21 | 840974.553 | 3967366.436 |
| 5 | 267811.70 | 220009.43 | 158732.45 | 314971.03 | 405126.653 | 399116.586 |
| 6 | 197067.19 | 139160.01 | 87127.92 | 89088.75 | 181574.955 | 5200234.969 |
| 7 | 211963.00 | 91506.79 | 73731.46 | 57466.76 | 50878.816 | 680239.330 |
| 8 | 128034.02 | 119433.13 | 43633.55 | 57916.83 | 40770.555 | 531599.157 |
| 9 | 85234.08 | 50653.64 | 10018.34 | 17578.70 | 9201.705 | $5 \quad 6379.464$ |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2000 | 02001 | 12002 | 2003 | 32004 | 2005 |
| 0 | 42389536.934 | 497487442.30 | O 34766151.74 | 420060338.84 | 426095302.9 | 16577101.9 |
| 1 | 24640275.076 | 614953311.98 | 834799670.27 | 12365918.63 | 7165511.6 | 9184968.8 |
| 2 | 3571860.626 | 68387082.27 | 75145360.10 | 12316186.78 | 84192466.0 | 2516237.3 |
| 3 | 1911538.586 | 62114981.17 | 75492969.85 | 3316504.29 | 9079383.3 | 2723028.1 |
| 4 | 1837989.218 | 81148782.06 | 61295028.74 | 43623653.46 | 62238645.9 | 5384962.9 |
| 5 | 596590.264 | 41050408.37 | 7774935.48 | 8894575.26 | 62486172.8 | 1510210.3 |
| 6 | 322999.478 | 8337634.88 | 8645506.08 | 8567642.45 | 5577352.8 | 1535652.2 |
| 7 | 115533.754 | 4214039.13 | 3219294.66 | 6418550.11 | 1411360.0 | 361901.6 |
| 8 | 46082.303 | 370698.89 | 9157362.13 | 3152025.57 | 7261849.4 | 272686.5 |
| 9 | 7761.263 | 310626.54 | 439554.17 | 736498.08 | 8127440.2 | 180626.9 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |  |
| 0 | 22114044.13 | 30340021.626 | 6079173.53828 | 289832.038849 | 49289.0 |  |
| 1 | 5512209.5 | 7695823.010 | 0587310.392323 | 232364.413814 | 14437.3 |  |
| 2 | 2966930.1 | 1897672.02 | 2658051.83720 | 720137.4 3318 | 18317.2 |  |
| 3 | 1560623.8 | 1792311.31 | 1157904.6170 | 709911.425657 | 65702.6 |  |
| 4 | 1792226.8 | 991165.5115 | 1152578.579 | 795257.61280 | 80698.4 |  |
| 5 | 3621790.9 | 1175477.1 | 660421.483 | 834748.96428 | 42811.3 |  |
| 6 | 911662.6 | 2325711.7 | 767628.547 | 471356.36697 | 69745.8 |  |
| 7 | 843948.3 | 621466.91 | 1607575.7570 | 570996.8386 | 86190.7 |  |
| 8 | 218282.9 | 578143.5 | 431583.5119 | 199863.3468 | 68635.3 |  |
| 9 | 165222.4 | 92889.7 | 225553.432 | 322155.2455 | 55006.9 |  |

Table 2.6.3.15 NORTH SEA HERRING. SURVIVORS AFTER TERMINAL YEAR

```
Units : NA
    year
age 2011
    O 28717709.7
    1 13964046.7
    2 4943120.8
    3 2257398.2
    4 1889141.3
    5 1013041.0
    6 503992.9
    7 538386.3
    8 311084.4
    9 730607.1
```

Table 2.6.3.16 NORTH SEA HERRING. FITTED SELECTION PATTERN

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s : NA } \\ & \text { ear } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  | 0.1725849 | 0.1725849 | 0.1725849 | 0.1725849 | 0.1725849 |
| 1 | 0.2061554 | 0.2061554 | 0.2061554 | 0.2061554 | 0.2061554 |
| 2 | 0.6340318 | 0.6340318 | 0.6340318 | 0.6340318 | 0.6340318 |
| 3 | 0.7892214 | 0.7892214 | 0.7892214 | 0.7892214 | 0.7892214 |
| 4 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 |
| 5 | 1.0657513 | 1.0657513 | 1.0657513 | 1.0657513 | 1.0657513 |
| 6 | 0.8800520 | 0.8800520 | 0.8800520 | 0.8800520 | 0.8800520 |
| 7 | 0.8647675 | 0.8647675 | 0.8647675 | 0.8647675 | 0.8647675 |
| 8 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 | 1.0000000 |
|  | 1.0000000 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.17 NORTH SEA HERRING. PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS

| age | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |  | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 194600 | 1269200 | 141800 | 442800 | 496900 | 157100 | 374500 | 645400 | 0839 | 300 |
| 1 | 2392700 | 336000 | 2146900 | 1262200 | 2971700 | 3209300 | 1383100 | 1674300 | 024250 | 00 |
| 2 | 1142300 | 1889400 | 269600 | 2961200 | 1547500 | 2217600 | 2569700 | 1171500 | 017952 | 00 |
| 3 | 1966700 | 479900 | 797400 | 177200 | 2243100 | 1324600 | 741200 | 1364700 | 01494 |  |
| 4 | 165900 | 1455900 | 335100 | 158300 | 148400 | 2039400 | 450100 | 371500 | 062140 | 00 |
| 5 | 167700 | 124000 | 1081800 | 80600 | 149000 | 145100 | 889800 | 297800 | 015710 |  |
| 6 | 112900 | 157900 | 126900 | 229700 | 95000 | 151900 | 45300 | 393100 | 01450 | 00 |
| 7 | 125800 | 61400 | 145100 | 22400 | 256300 | 117600 | 64800 | 67900 | 016340 |  |
| 8 | 128600 | 56000 | 86300 | 42000 | 26300 | 413000 | 95500 | 81600 |  | 00 |
| 9 | 142000 | 87500 | 86800 | 51000 | 57700 | 78400 | 236300 | 172800 |  | 00 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| 0 | 112000 | 898100 | 684000 | 750400 | 289400 | 996100 | 263800 | 2382002 | 256800 | 130000 |
| 1 | 2503300 | 1196200 | 4378500 | 3340600 | 2368000 | 846100 | 2460500 | 126600 | 144300 | 168600 |
|  | 1883000 | 2002800 | 1146800 | 1440500 | 1344200 | 772600 | 541700 | 901500 | 44700 | 4900 |
| 3 | 296300 | 883600 | 662500 | 343800 | 659200 | 362000 | 259600 | 117300 | 186400 | 5700 |
| 4 | 133100 | 125200 | 208300 | 130600 | 150200 | 126000 | 140500 | 52000 | 10800 | 5000 |
| 5 | 190800 | 50300 | 26900 | 32900 | 59300 | 56100 | 57200 | 34500 | 7000 | 300 |
| 6 | 49900 | 61000 | 30500 | 5000 | 30600 | 22300 | 16100 | 6100 | 4100 | 200 |
| 7 | 42700 | 7900 | 26800 | 200 | 3700 | 5000 | 9100 | 4400 | 1500 | 200 |
| 8 | 27400 | 12000 | 100 | 1100 | 1400 | 2000 | 3400 | 1000 | 700 | 200 |
| 9 | 25100 | 12200 | 12400 | 400 | 600 | 1100 | 1400 | 400 | 0 | 300 |

Table 2.6.3.17 cont. NORTH SEA HERRING. PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS


Table2.6.3.18 NORTH SEA HERRING. CATCH RESIDUALS

| Units $: ~ t h o u s a n d s ~ N A ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year <br> age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 0 | 0.146910345 | -0.467217156 | 0.24737872 | 0.32977075 | 0.0185334097333205403968 |
| 1 | -0.012314611 | -0.238324577 | -0.24856780 | 0.26908323 | 0.1598440736670306838718 |
| 2 | -0.170127902 | -0.282111348 | 0.08949795 | 0.15466847 | 0.2246558207877125401541 |
| 3 | -0.025340058 | 0.176419319 | 0.08569691 | -0.21406521 | 0.0096784717482016006246 |
| 4 | -0.012631169 | 0.136523875 | -0.09686319 | 0.14390664 | -0.1954616206850745274703 |
| 5 | -0.005687031 | -0.008633398 | 0.03343610 | -0.04476915 | 0.0257617374683714490946 |
| 6 | 0.161883959 | 0.183553981 | -0.09531618 | -0.11155029 | -0.1456571380407511995259 |
| 7 | 0.233813761 | 0.070211627 | -0.21907264 | 0.04981297 | -0.1925724463891350102251 |
| 8 | 0.095261215 | -0.086257956 | -0.09958299 | -0.09937946 | 0.0516229373779081082696 |
| 9 | 0.000000000 | 0.000000000 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 | -0.0000000000000001110223 |

Table 2.6.3.19 NORTH SEA HERRING. PREDICTED INDEX VALUES
MLAI

```
Units : NA NA
        year
age 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    all 16.44596 10.65108 4.710014 4.456631 2.478575 3.582355 6.508117 8.269039
        year
age 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988
    all 13.32637 20.29727 34.28685 58.64577 60.74928 58.69868 82.12345 114.9669
        year
age 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996
    all 121.3948 114.0068 91.03707 61.33465 38.29595 41.90785 37.19996 37.25391
        year
    age 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 % 2002 2003 2004
        all 46.92828 64.89866 78.71086 80.5044 132.276 169.7471 189.9640 203.7769
        year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    all 196.0510 153.7920 119.4584 116.2013 143.7991 127.1856
```

Table 2.6.3.19 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. PREDICTED INDEX VALUES
IBTS0


IBTS-Q1: 1-5+ wr
Units : NA NA

| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 71988 |  | 989 |
| 1 | 2167.01502 | 2179.04958 | 3831.04476 | 4698.09883 | 3659.76219 | 1897.04 | 524 |
| 2 | 584.38145 | 541.68889 | 463.02092 | 869.07176 | 61020.90930 | 659.60 | 950 |
| 3 | 121.75949 | 225.26088 | 196.70412 | 160.51764 | 4319.84986 | 392.37 | 353 |
| 4 | 28.82074 | 40.89558 | 62.44795 | 62.10492 | 251.45779 | 112.78 | 168 |
| 5 | 11.87480 | 14.11989 | 16.61281 | 25.18031 | 129.22698 | 27.64 | 566 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 31994 |  | 995 |
| 1 | 1742.96374 | 1744.65712 | 1527.34604 | 2349.85431 | 1794.83323 | 1425.37 | 744 |
| 2 | 390.61330 | 343.52083 | 390.17168 | 314.99014 | 4469.21925 | 422.50 | 517 |
| 3 | 245.46229 | 146.53059 | 107.87531 | 120.44506 | 688.59868 | 127.86 | 941 |
| 4 | 138.81720 | 90.08171 | 49.20333 | 34.19062 | 232.91483 | 22.80 | 703 |
| 5 | 45.51605 | 67.90549 | 65.19839 | 44.80152 | 27.82122 | 19.09 | 054 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 92000 |  | 001 |
| 1 | 1572.77699 | 2473.04677 | 1496.05601 | 1475.99152 | 23556.72608 | 2161.38 | 130 |
| 2 | 334.10894 | 382.65034 | 723.32576 | 397.77834 | 432.94584 | 1029.49 | 207 |
| 3 | 129.88103 | 134.23417 | 156.42023 | 300.28157 | 7170.85313 | 189.47 | 903 |
| 4 | 30.54449 | 42.62321 | 48.59316 | 56.16510 | 105.70237 | 67.44 | 985 |
| 5 | 12.03443 | 17.21846 | 21.53009 | 26.36625 | 534.97722 | 54.48 | 875 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| 1 | 5047.73991 | 1784.08680 | 1038.3516 | 1317.16627 | 796.79541112 | . 89147 | 1534.32198 |
| 2 | 630.32051 | 1512.08628 | 514.0791 | 306.76623 | 360.5231230 | . 88205 | 325.53844 |
| 3 | 496.71547 | 300.76460 | 731.5379 | 246.16581 | 140.4550161 | . 55805 | 105.23500 |
| 4 | 76.26257 | 213.21100 | 131.4413 | 316.05681 | 104.860258 | . 10592 | 68.27647 |
| 5 | 60.06887 | 67.31625 | 124.8401 | 123.513718 | 187.0871156 | . 35563 | 121.67486 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1341.75356 | 2006.55423 | 2028.2851 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 459.59729 | 409.25288 | 609.6423 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 157.09625 | 235.21858 | 206.9538 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 47.76059 | 76.70687 | 113.1493 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 113.35688 | 87.23957 | 103.3154 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6.3.19 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. PREDICTED INDEX VALUES


Table 2.6.3.20 NORTH SEA HERRING. INDEX RESIDUALS
MLAI
Units : NA

| year | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { all }-0.2434732-0.327563-0.5283196-0.5950451 & 0.8974853 ~ & 0.708561 & 0.749079\end{array}$ year
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { age } & 1980 & 1981 & 1982 & 1983 & 1984 & 1985\end{array}$ all $0.11221330 .01449943-0.02182911-0.2978105-0.24646750 .1436525$ year

| age | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| all | -0.4744477 | -0.2373193 | 0.1114636 | 0.04403633 | 0.3695781 | -0.03474850 |

        year
    | age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | all -0.4144505-0.2904352 -0.7406412 -0.5971519 0.087143540 .1231233 year

age 1998 1999 2000 2001 2003 all $0.02581755-0.3452446-0.7668551-0.06947565-0.48526590 .2771989$ year
age 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 all $0.4159207-0.06462474-0.30988740 .29838690 .44016281 .1771661 .095539$

IBTS0
Units : NA
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { year } & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998\end{array}$ $00.2201130 .38793690 .11837340 .1637048-0.22399050 .639639-0.351048$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { year } & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & 2003 & 2004\end{array}$ $00.27189990 .1898632-0.19544370 .55273730 .01211072-0.38891360 .3311977$ year
age 20062007200820092010 $00.3415818-0.7787494-0.9204902-0.06967983-0.3008779$ year
age 2011
$0-0.000000000005385914$

IBTS-Q1: 1-5+ wr

Units : NA year

| age | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}1-0.35752144 & -0.03824754 & -0.3637548 & -0.24072826 & 0.1828800 & 0.2062426\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}2 & -1.28617265 & 0.28684287 & 0.5242385 & 0.05428137 & 1.4056345 & 0.2829598\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}3 & -0.68418194 & 0.22461241 & 0.3388125 & -0.32210183 & 0.9061136 & -0.1476488\end{array}$
$4-0.06941527 \quad 0.02874061 \quad 0.2352030-0.045356270 .1189394-0.2325458$
$5-0.148312230 .682510430 .5247408 \quad 0.66090930-0.1540589-1.1771699$ year

|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.4958361 | -0.3044407 | -0.1859764 | 0.1731234 | -0.02412386-0. | -0.0574822 |
| 2 | 0.1680568 | 0.7018111 | 0.1123458 | 0.9162217 | 0.91131085 | 1.1929106 |
| 3 | 0.1308603 | 0.5680637 | 0.5821545 | 0.6141153 | 0.87745213 | 0.7785665 |
| 4 | 0.6619549 | 0.8998092 | 0.1079113 | 0.2756440 | 0.74024104 | 0.4748902 |
| 5 | 0.4488733 | 0.7661471 | 0.3472626 | 0.3803270 | 0.42377561 - | -1.0695751 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 9 | 92000 | 2001 |
| 1 | 0.1841953 | 0.5772092 | 0.41951910 | -0.6732033 | 30.04626154 | 40.14529959 |
| 2 | -0.5256172 | 0.2804738 | 0.09018768 | 0.1247362 | $2-0.77543018$ | 80.09253951 |
| 3 | -1.3739063 | 0.1920763 | -0.49174590 | 0.5125340 | $0-0.09937471$ | 10.51484104 |
|  | -1.0974832 | -0.3336235 | -0.84804906 | 0.5584968 | $8-0.58583940$ | 0.33069691 |
| 5 | -0.3985033 | 0.1464950 | -0.18795253 | 0.2993053 | $3-1.36281994$ | 40.22568119 |

Table 2.6.3.20 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. INDEX RESIDUALS


Table 2.6.3.21 NORTH SEA HERRING. FIT PARAMETERS

F, 2006
F, 2007
F, 2008
F, 2009
F, 2010
Selectivity at age 0 Selectivity at age 1 Selectivity at age 2 Selectivity at age 3 Selectivity at age 5 Selectivity at age 6 Selectivity at age 7 Terminal year pop, age 0 Terminal year pop, age 1 Terminal year pop, age 2 Terminal year pop, age 3 Terminal year pop, age 4 Terminal year pop, age 5 Terminal year pop, age 6 Terminal year pop, age 7 Terminal year pop, age 8 Last true age pop, 2006 Last true age pop, 2007 Last true age pop, 2008 Last true age pop, 2009 Recruitment prediction
Index 1, biomass, K
Index 1, biomass, Q
Index 2, age 0 numbers, $Q$
Index 3, age 1 numbers, $\mathbb{Q}$
Index 3, age 2 numbers, $Q$
Index 3, age 3 numbers, $Q$
Index 3, age 4 numbers, $Q$
Index 3, age 5 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 1 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 2 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 3 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 4 numbers, Q
Index 4, age 5 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 6 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 7 numbers, $Q$
Index 4, age 8 numbers, $Q$ Index 4, age 9 numbers, Q SRR, a
SRR, b
F, 2006
F, 2007
F, 2008
F, 2009
F, 2010
Selectivity at age 0 Selectivity at age 1 Selectivity at age 2 Selectivity at age 3 Selectivity at age 5 Selectivity at age 6 Selectivity at age 7

| Value | Std.dev |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.321783771248 | 0.10621648 |
| 0.306002344957 | 0.10984911 |
| 0.222624907605 | 0.11388838 |
| 0.112813936102 | 0.11231985 |
| 0.134447878471 | 0.11227312 |
| 0.172583853423 | 0.33781927 |
| 0.206154423949 | 0.33250623 |
| 0.634030822199 | 0.09889506 |
| 0.789220427946 | 0.09620104 |
| 1.065750283035 | 0.09992415 |
| 0.880050988150 | 0.10888468 |
| 0.864766496809 | 0.12613221 |
| 38849288.015846230090 | 0.20980053 |
| 13814436.345066158101 | 0.15885983 |
| 3318316.158483601641 | 0.11378055 |
| 2565701.560659476556 | 0.10109600 |
| 1280697.438186891144 | 0.09611026 |
| 642810.299886112334 | 0.09977344 |
| 669744.848127788049 | 0.10695260 |
| 386189.695735376386 | 0.12808564 |
| 468634.302008281287 | 0.14323581 |
| 218281.921514861489 | 0.24572909 |
| 578142.541303446516 | 0.19363952 |
| 431582.451503771241 | 0.17292266 |
| 1199862.251218227204 | 0.15156559 |
| 28717709.651650473475 | 0.30049390 |
| 1.190555651626 | 0.04812270 |
| 0.000006683656 | 0.63527424 |
| 0.000003047105 | 0.06966101 |
| 0.000165161644 | 0.06548276 |
| 0.000129415878 | 0.08380718 |
| 0.000095253961 | 0.43866194 |
| 0.000061675810 | 0.43867891 |
| 0.000034236709 | 0.43885448 |
| 1.117696757700 | 0.08128725 |
| 1.526999311514 | 0.06434582 |
| 1.729178088859 | 0.12080690 |
| 1.712835086363 | 0.15718569 |
| 1.737716394273 | 0.16585082 |
| 1.687675815852 | 0.17617841 |
| 1.549574042200 | 0.18868608 |
| 1.604727401442 | 0.18925971 |
| 3.841825462449 | 0.22226248 |
| 56423798.104192271829 | 0.22092487 |
| 377572.737495324051 | 0.49214922 |
| Lower.95.pct.CL | Upper.95.pct.CL |
| 0.261306881610 | 0.396257438000 |
| 0.246728489977 | 0.379516103423 |
| 0.178086094012 | 0.278302748797 |
| 0.090522004344 | 0.140595474782 |
| 0.107890980963 | 0.167541641240 |
| 0.089010777041 | 0.334624496634 |
| 0.107437931600 | 0.395573945635 |
| 0.522311033618 | 0.769646929940 |
| 0.653597439176 | 0.952985502318 |
| 0.876190021410 | 1.296321160975 |
| 0.710924239898 | 1.089412483466 |
| 0.675356294950 | 1.107298620885 |

0.3217837712480 .10621648
0.3060023449570 .10984911
0.2226249076050 .11388838
0.1128139361020 .11231985
0.1344478784710 .11227312
$0.172583853423-0.33781927$
0.2061544239490 .33250623
0.7892204279460 .09620104
1.0657502830350 .09992415
$0.880050988150 \quad 0.10888468$
0.8647664968090 .12613221
38849288.0158462300900 .20980053
. 15885983
3818316.158483601641 0.11378055
2565701.5606594765560 .10109600
280697.4381868911440 .09611026
642810.2998861123340 .09977344
669744.8481277880490 .10695260
386189.6957353763860 .12808564
468634.3020082812870 .14323581
. 521514861489 . 245729
578142.5413034465160 .19363952
11982.4515037712410 .1729266
1199862.2512182272040 .15156559 $1.190555651626 \quad 0.04812270$ 0.0000066836560 .63527424 0.0000030471050 .06966101 0.0001651616440 .06548276 0.0001294158780 .08380718 0.0000616758100 .43867891 0.0000342367090 .43885448 1.1176967577000 .08128725 1.5269993115140 .06434582 1.7291780888590 .12080690 .7128350863630 .15718569 1.7377163942730 .16585082 1.5495740422000 .18868608 1.6047274014420 .18925971 3.8418254624490 .22226248 56423798.1041922718290 .22092487 Lower.95.pct.CL Upper.95.pct.CL $0.261306881610 \quad 0.396257438000$ $0.246728489977 \quad 0.379516103423$ 0.1780860940120 .278302748797 $0.090522004344 \quad 0.140595474782$ 0.089010777041 $0.107437931600 \quad 0.395573945635$ 0.553597439176 0.952985502318 1.089412483466 1.107298620885

Table 2.6.3.21 cont NORTH SEA HERRING. FIT PARAMETERS

| Terminal year pop, age 0 | 25751186.838553905487 | 58609616.279065795243 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminal year pop, age 1 | 10118332.687694909051 | 18860681.638188004494 |
| Terminal year pop, age 2 | 2655007.782804796007 | 4147340.809683398809 |
| Terminal year pop, age 3 | 2104512.377105755731 | 3127957.131534453481 |
| Terminal year pop, age 4 | 1060805.668419120368 | 1546170.026243142551 |
| Terminal year pop, age 5 | 528632.620790908346 | 781648.852886643726 |
| Terminal year pop, age 6 | 543087.105154890451 | 825941.469307733700 |
| Terminal year pop, age 7 | 300449.844029535132 | 496397.265819586872 |
| Terminal year pop, age 8 | 353923.408360044006 | 620524.395479863044 |
| Last true age pop, 2006 | 134849.377466234146 | 353334.944183563697 |
| Last true age pop, 2007 | 395553.870287597878 | 845014.606535850558 |
| Last true age pop, 2008 | 307516.934856151755 | 605701.317012457061 |
| Last true age pop, 2009 | 891489.341653427691 | 1614903.683793174103 |
| Recruitment prediction | 15935446.289094524458 | 51752980.912802517414 |
| Index 1, biomass, K | 1.096235158597 | 1.284876144654 |
| Index 1, biomass, Q | 0.000001924233 | 0.000023215094 |
| Index 2, age 0 numbers, Q | 0.000002658219 | 0.000003492883 |
| Index 3, age 1 numbers, Q | 0.000145267730 | 0.000187779962 |
| Index 3, age 2 numbers, Q | 0.000109811913 | 0.000152519605 |
| Index 3, age 3 numbers, Q | 0.000040316838 | 0.000225050314 |
| Index 3, age 4 numbers, $Q$ | 0.000026103806 | 0.000145722255 |
| Index 3, age 5 numbers, Q | 0.000014485435 | 0.000080919369 |
| Index 4, age 1 numbers, Q | 0.953083354163 | 1.310741643652 |
| Index 4, age 2 numbers, Q | 1.346066674652 | 1.732252154574 |
| Index 4, age 3 numbers, Q | 1.364604557836 | 2.191152627930 |
| Index 4, age 4 numbers, Q | 1.258683066564 | 2.330852071510 |
| Index 4, age 5 numbers, Q | 1.255462751589 | 2.405215338411 |
| Index 4, age 6 numbers, Q | 1.194876278813 | 2.383719310456 |
| Index 4, age 7 numbers, Q | 1.070531616862 | 2.242978791509 |
| Index 4, age 8 numbers, Q | 1.107388866116 | 2.325425251900 |
| Index 4, age 9 numbers, Q | 2.485100702543 | 5.939245387045 |
| SRR, a | 36593780.104510642588 | 86999620.793759629130 |
| SRR, b | 143904.626857731637 | 990664.269889341784 |

Table 2.7.1 NORTH SEA HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH

```
Units : kg
, , unit = A
    year r-2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.0079 0.0094 0.0075 0.009004851 0.009004851 0.009004851
    1 0.0535 0.0514 0.0571 0.074442920 0.074442920 0.074442920
    2 0.1288 0.1440 0.1292 0.142474175 0.142474175 0.142474175
    3 0.1796 0.1811 0.1669 0.176076687 0.176076687 0.176076687
    4 0.1812 0.2158 0.1912 0.196317864 0.196317864 0.196317864
    5 0.1832 0.2162 0.2203 0.207298469 0.207298469 0.207298469
    6 0.2157 0.2390 0.2193 0.225531814 0.225531814 0.225531814
    70.2161 0.2428 0.2160 0.225433333 0.225433333 0.225433333
    8 0.2560 0.2476 0.2334 0.246133333 0.246133333 0.246133333
    9 0.2726 0.2724 0.2438 0.263666667 0.263666667 0.263666667
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.0079 0.0094 0.0075 0.007474209 0.007474209 0.007474209
    1 0.0535 0.0514 0.0571 0.042583928 0.042583928 0.042583928
    2 0.1288 0.1440 0.1292 0.057150241 0.057150241 0.057150241
    30.1796 0.1811 0.1669 0.111133333 0.111133333 0.111133333
    4 0.1812 0.2158 0.1912 0.047500000 0.047500000 0.047500000
    5 0.1832 0.2162 0.2203 0.068466667 0.068466667 0.068466667
    60.2157 0.2390 0.2193 0.167666667 0.167666667 0.167666667
    7 0.2161 0.2428 0.2160 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    8 0.2560 0.2476 0.2334 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    9 0.2726 0.2724 0.2438 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
, , unit = C
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.0079 0.0094 0.0075 0.02728388 0.02728388 0.02728388
    1 0.0535 0.0514 0.0571 0.07641517 0.07641517 0.07641517
    2 0.1288 0.1440 0.1292 0.08989030 0.08989030 0.08989030
    3 0.1796 0.1811 0.1669 0.10408668 0.10408668 0.10408668
    4 0.1812 0.2158 0.1912 0.16505935 0.16505935 0.16505935
    5 0.1832 0.2162 0.2203 0.11960520 0.11960520 0.11960520
    6 0.2157 0.2390 0.2193 0.13232516 0.13232516 0.13232516
    7 0.2161 0.2428 0.2160 0.13980448 0.13980448 0.13980448
    8 0.2560 0.2476 0.2334 0.22388107 0.22388107 0.22388107
    90.2726 0.2724 0.2438 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.0079 0.0094 0.0075 0.01062934 0.01062934 0.01062934
    1 0.0535 0.0514 0.0571 0.01951393 0.01951393 0.01951393
    2 0.1288 0.1440 0.1292 0.07112595 0.07112595 0.07112595
    3 0.1796 0.1811 0.1669 0.10785506 0.10785506 0.10785506
    4 0.1812 0.2158 0.1912 0.10660133 0.10660133 0.10660133
    5 0.1832 0.2162 0.2203 0.06222495 0.06222495 0.06222495
    6 0.2157 0.2390 0.2193 0.05371477 0.05371477 0.05371477
    7 0.2161 0.2428 0.2160 0.06133062 0.06133062 0.06133062
    8 0.2560 0.2476 0.2334 0.14054798 0.14054798 0.14054798
    9 0.2726 0.2724 0.2438 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000
```

Table2.7.2 NORTH SEA HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
Units : kg
, , unit = A
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.008 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007
    1 0.058 0.061 0.052 0.052 0.052 0.052
    2 0.130 0.137 0.142 0.142 0.142 0.142
    30.164 0.181 0.190 0.190 0.190}00.19
    4 0.181 0.197 0.216 0.216 0.216 0.216
    5 0.195 0.210}00.224 0.224 0.224 0.224
    6 0.218}0.223 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234
    7 0.226 0.234 0.240}00.240 0.240 0.240
    8 0.253 0.255 0.256 0.256 0.256 0.256
    90.260 0.259 0.265 0.265 0.265 0.265
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    00.008 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007
    1 0.058 0.061 0.052 0.052 0.052 0.052
    2 0.130 0.137 0.142 0.142 0.142 0.142
    30.164 0.181 0.190}00.190 0.190 0.190
    40.181 0.197 0.216 0.216 0.216 0.216
    5 0.195 0.210 0.224 0.224 0.224 0.224
    6}00.218 0.223 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234
    70.226 0.234 0.240}00.240 0.240 0.240
    8 0.253 0.255 0.256 0.256 0.256 0.256
    9 0.260 0.259}0.265 0.265 0.265 0.265
, , unit = C
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.008 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007
    1 0.058 0.061 0.052 0.052 0.052 0.052
    2 0.130}00.137 0.142 0.142 0.142 0.142
    30.164 0.181 0.190}00.190 0.190 0.190
    4 0.181 0.197 0.216 0.216 0.216 0.216
    5 0.195 0.210}00.224 0.224 0.224 0.224
    6 0.218 0.223 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234
    70.226 0.234 0.240}00.240 0.240 0.24
    80.253 0.255 0.256 0.256 0.256 0.256
    90.260}00.259 0.265 0.265 0.265 0.265
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.008 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007
    1 0.058 0.061 0.052 0.052 0.052 0.052
    2 0.130 0.137 0.142 0.142 0.142 0.142
```



```
    4 0.181 0.197 0.216 0.216 0.216 0.216
    5}00.1950.210 0.224 0.224 0.224 0.224
    6 0.218}00.223 0.234 0.234 0.234 0.234
    70.226}0.234 0.240 0.240 0.240 0.240
    8 0.253 0.255 0.256 0.256 0.256 0.256
    90.260 0.259 0.265 0.265 0.265 0.265
```

Table 2.7.3 NORTH SEA HERRING. STOCK IN NUMBER

```
Units : NA
, , unit = A
    year 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012
    0 26079173.5 38289832.0 38849289.0 28717709.7 27088123.3
    1 10587310.3 9232364.4 13814437.3 13964046.7 10154675.8
    2 2658051.8 3720137.4 3318317.2 4943120.8 5027967.3
    3 1157904.6 1709911.4 2565702.6 2257398.2 
    1152578.5 795257.6 1280698.4 1889141.3 1651594.4
    5 660421.4 834748.9 642811.3 1013041.0 1483326.8
        767628.5 471356.3 669745.8 503992.9 785275.0
        1607575.7 570996.8 386190.7 538386.3 399741.4
        431583.5 1199863.3 468635.3 311084.4 431319.2
        225553.4 322155.2 455006.9 730607.1 818561.8
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012
    06079173.5 38289832.0 38849289.0 28717709.7 27088123.3
    1 10587310.3 9232364.4 13814437.3 13964046.7 10154675.8
        2658051.8 3720137.4 3318317.2 4943120.8 5027967.3
        1157904.6 1709911.4 2565702.6 2257398.2 3373508.6
        1152578.5 795257.6 1280698.4 1889141.3 
        660421.4 834748.9 642811.3 1013041.0 1483326.8
        767628.5 471356.3 669745.8 503992.9 785275.0
        1607575.7 570996.8 386190.7 538386.3 399741.4
        431583.5 1199863.3 468635.3 311084.4 431319.2
    225553.4 322155.2 455006.9 730607.1 818561.8
, , unit = C
    year 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012
    0 26079173.5 38289832.0 38849289.0 28717709.7 27088123.3
    1 10587310.3 9232364.4 13814437.3 13964046.7 10154675.8
    2658051.8 3720137.4 3318317.2 4943120.8 5027967.3
        1157904.6 1709911.4 2565702.6 2257398.2 3373508.6
        1152578.5 795257.6 1280698.4 1889141.3 1651594.4
        660421.4 834748.9 642811.3 1013041.0 1483326.8
        767628.5 471356.3 669745.8 503992.9 785275.0
        1607575.7 570996.8 386190.7 538386.3 399741.4
        431583.5 1199863.3 468635.3 311084.4 431319.2
        225553.4 322155.2 455006.9 730607.1 818561.8
    , , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012
    0 26079173.5 38289832.0 38849289.0 28717709.7 27088123.3
    1 10587310.3 9232364.4 13814437.3 13964046.7 10154675.8
    2658051.8 3720137.4 3318317.2 4943120.8 5027967.3
    1157904.6 1709911.4 2565702.6 2257398.2 3373508.6
        1152578.5 795257.6 1280698.4 1889141.3 1651594.4
        660421.4 834748.9 642811.3 1013041.0 1483326.8
        767628.5 471356.3 669745.8 503992.9 785275.0
        1607575.7 570996.8 386190.7 538386.3 399741.4
    431583.5 1199863.3 468635.3 311084.4 431319.2
    9 225553.4 322155.2 455006.9 730607.1 818561.8
```

Table 2.7.4 NORTH SEA HERRING. FISHING MORTALITY AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
Units : f
, , unit = A
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
year 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012
\end{tabular}
    0 0.03842186 0.01947015 0.02320384 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    1 0.04589554 0.02325741 0.02771737 0.005086439 0.004036824 0.004848317
    2 0.14115191 0.07152826 0.08524487 0.073872876 0.058628795 0.070414515
    30.17570114 0.08903596 0.10610994 0.109368446 0.086799655 0.104248358
    4 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.139690518 0.110864597 0.133150901
    5 0.23726385 0.12023266 0.14328906 0.143665432 0.114019265 0.136939729
    6 0.19592237 0.09928301 0.11832200 0.113116723 0.089774454 0.107821159
    7 0.19251965 0.09755869 0.11626702 0.121621777 0.096524443 0.115928049
    8 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.141052245 0.111945324 0.134448878
    9 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.141052245 0.111945324 0.134448878
, , unit = B
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
year 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2013
\end{tabular}
    0 0.03842186 0.01947015 0.02320384 0.038046087 0.058793661 0.021447503
    1 0.04589554 0.02325741 0.02771737 0.006043129 0.009338613 0.003406659
    2 0.14115191 0.07152826 0.08524487 0.003472631 0.005366352 0.001957607
    30.17570114 0.08903596 0.10610994 0.003060654 0.004729712 0.001725365
    4 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.002110629 0.003261614 0.001189813
    5 0.23726385 0.12023266 0.14328906 0.010958783 0.016934908 0.006177732
    6 0.19592237 0.09928301 0.11832200 0.018627623 0.028785776 0.010500843
    7 0.19251965 0.09755869 0.11626702 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    8 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    9 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
, , unit = C
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
year & 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011
\end{tabular}
    0 0.03842186 0.01947015 0.02320384 0.000001129696 0.000002387894
    1 0.04589554 0.02325741 0.02771737 0.003727171177 0.007878304057
    2 0.14115191 0.07152826 0.08524487 0.003660668928 0.007737734999
    3 0.17570114 0.08903596 0.10610994 0.000042667908 0.000090189244
    4 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000033881982 0.000071618003
    5 0.23726385 0.12023266 0.14328906 0.000053753819 0.000113622078
    6 0.19592237 0.09928301 0.11832200 0.000000000000 0.000000000000
    7 0.19251965 0.09755869 0.11626702 0.000106180600 0.000224439130
    8 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000000 0.000000000000
    9 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000000 0.000000000000
        year
age 2013
    0 0.000003606441
    1 0.011898619692
    2 0.011686317940
    3 0.000136213011
    40.000108164826
    5 0.000171603670
    6 0.000000000000
    7 0.000338970904
    8 0.000000000000
    90.000000000000
```

Table 2.7.4 cont. NORTH SEA HERRING. FISHING MORTALITY AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.03842186 0.01947015 0.02320384 0.001532507 0.002122135 0.001752730
    1 0.04589554 0.02325741 0.02771737 0.006613413 0.009157906 0.007563770
    2 0.14115191 0.07152826 0.08524487 0.001037358 0.001436479 0.001186428
    3 0.17570114 0.08903596 0.10610994 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    4 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    5 0.23726385 0.12023266 0.14328906 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    60.19592237 0.09928301 0.11832200 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    7 0.19251965 0.09755869 0.11626702 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    8 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    9 0.22262591 0.11281494 0.13444888 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
```

Table 2.7.5 NORTH SEA HERRING. NATURAL MORTALITY

```
Units : NA
, unit = A
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 1.0
```



```
    2}00.300.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 
    3}0.
```



```
    5
    6
    7}00.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
    8}00.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 
```



```
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 1.0 1.0 1.0
    2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3
```




```
    5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
    6
    llllllll}
    8}00.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 
    9
, , unit = C
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```




```
    2
```



```
    4}00.
    5
```



```
    7}00.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 
    8
```



```
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 1.0
    1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    20.3}00.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3
```




```
    5
    6}00.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
    7}0.
    8
```



Table 2.7.6 NORTH SEA HERRING. PROPORTION MATURE

```
Units : NA
, , unit = A
    year
age 2008 20092010 2011 2012 2013
    00.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    2 0.86 0.89 0.45 0.7333333 0.7333333 0.7333333
    30.98 1.00 0.90 0.9600000 0.9600000 0.9600000
    4 0.99 1.00 1.00 0.9966667 0.9966667 0.9966667
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    9 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 20092010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    2 0.86 0.89 0.45 0.7333333 0.7333333 0.7333333
    30.98 1.00 0.90 0.9600000 0.9600000 0.9600000
    4 0.99 1.00 1.00 0.9966667 0.9966667 0.9966667
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    71.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    91.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
, , unit = C
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    2
    3 0.98 1.00 0.90 0.9600000 0.9600000 0.9600000
    4 0.99 1.00 1.00 0.9966667 0.9966667 0.9966667
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    71.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    91.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 20092010 2011 2012 2013
    00.00 0.00 0.00 0.000000000.0000000 0.0000000
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000
    2 0.86 0.89 0.45 0.7333333 0.7333333 0.7333333
    3 0.98 1.00 0.90 0.9600000 0.9600000 0.9600000
    4 0.99 1.00 1.00 0.9966667 0.9966667 0.9966667
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    71.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    81.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
    91.00 1.00 1.00 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
```

Table 2.7.7 NORTH SEA HERRING. FRACTION OF HARVEST BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
, , unit = A
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    90.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```




```
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
, , unit = C
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07
```



```
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    90.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```

Table 2.7.8 NORTH SEA HERRING. FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
, , unit = A
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
    0}00.670.67 0.67 0.6.67 0.67 0.67
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
, , unit = B
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67
```



```
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
, , unit = C
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    90.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
, , unit = D
    year
age 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013
```



```
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    30.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.6 67 0.67 0.6.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```

Table 2.7.9 NORTH SEA HERRING. Recruitment in 2012
27088123

Table 2.7.10 NORTH SEA HERRING. Recruitment in 2013
27088123

Table 2.7.11 NORTH SEA HERRING. FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS

```
R version 2.8.1 (2008-12-22)
Package : FLICA
Version : 1.4-12
Packaged : 2009-10-08 15:16:26 UTC; mpa
Built : R 2.9.1; ; 2009-10-08 15:16:27 UTC; windows
Package : FLAssess
Version : 1.99-102
Packaged : Mon Mar 23 08:18:19 2009; mpa
Built : R 2.8.0; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-03-23 08:18:21; windows
Package : FLCore
Version : 2.2
Packaged : Tue May 19 19:23:18 2009; Administrator
Built : R 2.8.1; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-05-19 19:23:22; windows
```

Table 2.7.12. North Sea autumn spawning herring. Management options for North Sea herring.
Outlook assuming a TAC constraint for fleet A in 2011, proportion of 2010 by-catch ceiling taken applied to 2011 for fleet $B$

Basis: Intermediate year (2011) with catch constraint

| F <br> fleet <br> A | F <br> fleet <br> B | F <br> fleet <br> C | F <br> fleet <br> D | Fo-1 | F 2 -6 | Catch <br> fleet <br> A | Catch <br> fleet <br> B | Catch <br> fleet <br> C | Catch <br> fleet <br> D | SSB <br> 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.116 | 0.022 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.031 | 0.125 | $215.0^{1}$ | 11.0 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 1714 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes a transfer of $50 \%$ of the Norwegian quota and $50 \%$ of IIIa TAC from the C-fleet to the A-fleet

Scenarios for prediction year (2012)

|  | F-values by fleet and total |  |  |  |  |  | Catches by fleet |  |  |  | Biomass |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fleet } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | fleet <br> B | fleet <br> C | fleet <br> D | F0-1 | F2-6 | fleet <br> A | fleet <br> B | fleet <br> C | fleet <br> D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB } \\ & 20121) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | \%SSB <br> change <br> 2) | \%TAC <br> change <br> fleet A <br> 3) |
| a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2177 | 2602 | 27\% | -100\% |
| b | 0.107 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.046 | 0.12 | 230.0 | 17.9 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 2013 | 2166 | 17\% | +15\% |
| C | 0.092 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.046 | 0.106 | 200.0 | 17.9 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 2033 | 2215 | 19\% | 0\% |
| d | 0.236 | 0.035 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.050 | 0.25 | 478.4 | 17.9 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 1845 | 1778 | 8\% | +139\% |
| e | 0.078 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.045 | 0.091 | 170.0 | 17.9 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 2053 | 2265 | 20\% | -15\% |

Weights in '000 t.
All numbers apply to North Sea autumn-spawning herring only.
${ }^{1)}$ For autumn spawning stocks, the SSB is determined at spawning time and is influenced by fisheries between $1^{\text {st }}$ January and spawning.
2) SSB (2012) relative to SSB (2011).
${ }^{3)}$ Calculated landings (2012) relative to TAC 2011 for the A fleet.

Herring catch 2010 1st Quarter


Figure 2.1.1a: : Herring catches in the 1st quarter in the North Sea, in Div. VIId, Div. IIIa, SD 22 and SD 24 (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle. WG estimates (if available).

Herring catch 2010 2nd Quarter


Figure 2.1.1b: Herring catches in the 2nd quarter in the North Sea, in Div'. VIId, Div. IIIa, SD 22 and SD 24 (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle. WG estimates (if available).

Herring catch 2010 3rd Quarter


Figure 2.1.1c: Herring catches in the 3rd quarter in the North Sea, in Div. VIId, Div. IIIa, SD 22 and SD 24 (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle. WG estimates (if available).

Herring catch 2010 4th Quarter


Figure 2.1.1d: Herring catches in the 4th quarter in the North Sea, in Div. VIId, Div. IIIa, SD 22 and SD 24 (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle. WG estimates (if available).

## Herring catch 2010 All Quarters



Figure 2.1.1e: Herring catches in all quarters in the North Sea, in Div VIId, Div IIIa, SD 22 and SD 24 (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle. WG estimates (if available).



Figure 2.2.1: Proportions of age groups (numbers) in the total catch of herring in the North Sea (upper, 1960-2010, and lower panel, 1980-2010).


Figure 2.2.2: Proportion of age groups (numbers) in the total catch of NSAS and herring caught in the North Sea in 2010.


Figure 2.3.1.1: Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea, West of Scotland VIa(N) and the Malin Shelf area in June-July 2010. Survey area coverage by rectangle and nation (IE = Celtic Explorer; NIR = Corystes; WSC = West of Scotland charter vessel; SCO = Scotia; NOR = Johan Hjort; DK = Dana; NL = Tridens; GER = Solea). Multi-coloured rectangles indicate overlapping coverage by two or more nations (e.g. 40E1-40E3). Rectangles 42F2-42F5 were interpolated from surrounding ones.


Figure 2.3.1.2: Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea, West of Scotland VIa(N) and the Malin Shelf area in June-July 2010. Biomass of mature autumn spawning herring from the combined acoustic survey (maximum value $=220000$ t).


Figure 2.3.1.3: Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea, West of Scotland VIa(N) and the Malin Shelf area in June-July 2010. Biomass of immature autumn spawning herring from the combined acoustic survey (maximum value $=57500 \mathrm{t}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.1: North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae $<10 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Orkney/Shetland, Buchan and Central North Sea area ( $16-30$ September 2010, scale $0.64 \mathrm{~cm}=1600 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.2. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae $<11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Southern North Sea (16-31 December 2010, scale $0.64 \mathrm{~cm}=3000 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.3. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae $<11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Southern North Sea (01-15 January 2011, scale $0.64 \mathrm{~cm}=3000 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure2.3.2.4. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae < $11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Southern North Sea (16-31 January 2011, scale $0.64 \mathrm{~cm}=3000 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.5: North Sea herring. Larval Abundance Index time-series (B = Orkney/Shetland $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ fortnight, $C=$ Buchan $2^{\text {nd }}$ fortnight, $D=$ Central North Sea $2^{\text {nd }}$ fortnight, $E=$ Southern North Sea all 3 fortnights).


Figure 2.3.2.6: North Sea herring. Time series (upper panel) and scatter plot (lower panel) of the MLAI estimates ( $\mathrm{r}=0.775, \mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). Both panels with correspondence and regression line, respectively, as well as with $95 \%$ confidence limits for the individual values and $95 \%$ confidence bands for the mean. The SSB estimates of the lower panel are taken from the ICA-output of the previous year.


Figure 2.3.3.1. North Sea herring. Distribution of 0-ringer herring, year classes 2008-2010. Density estimates of 0 -ringers within each statistical rectangle are based on MIK catches during IBTS in February 2009-2011. Areas of filled circles illustrate densities in no $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$, the area of a circle extending to the border of a rectangle represents $1 \mathbf{m}^{-2}$. Note that there is no distributional data for the Skagerrak/Kattegat for 2011.


Figure 2.3.3.2. North Sea herring. Absolute (no * $\mathbf{1 0}^{9}$ ) and relative abundance of 0 -ringers in the area west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ in the North Sea. Abundances are based on MIK sampling during IBTS, the relative abundance in the western part is estimated as the number of 0 -ringers west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ relative to total number of 0-ringers.


Figure 2.3.3.3. North Sea herring. Distribution of 1-ringer herring, year classes 2007-2009. Density estimates of 1-ringers within each statistical rectangle are based on GOV catches during IBTS in February 2009-2011. Areas of filled circles illustrate numbers per hour, the area of a circle extending to the border of a rectangle represents $45000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$.



Figure 2.4.1.1. North Sea Herring. Mean weight-at-age for the 3rd quarter in Divisions IV and IIIa from the acoustic survey and mean weight-in-the-catch for comparison.



Figure 2.4.2.1. North Sea Herring. Mean weight-at-age (upper panel) and mean length-at-age (lower panel) for immature and mature in North Sea acoustic survey since 2005.


Figure 2.5.1. North Sea herring. Relationship between indices of 0-ringers and 1-ringers for year classes 1977 to 2008. The 2009 year class relation is circled; the present 0 -ringer index for year class 2010 is indicated by an arrow.


Figure 2.5.2 North Sea herring. Time series of 0-ringer and 1-ringer indices. Year classes 1976 to 2010 for 0-ringers, year classes 1977-2009 for 1-ringers.


Figure 2.6.1.1 North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 1 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 1 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 1 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 1 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 1 wr . Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.2. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 2 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 2 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 2 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 2 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 2 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.3. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 3 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 3 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 3 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 3 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 3 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.4. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 4 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 4 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 4 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 4 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 4 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.5. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 5 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 5 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 5 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 5 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 5 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.

HERAS, age 6, diagnostics


Figure 2.6.1.6. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 6 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 6 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 6 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 6 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 6 wr . Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.7. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 7 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 7 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 7 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 7 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 7 wr . Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.

HERAS, age 8, diagnostics


Figure 2.6.1.8. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 8 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 8 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 8 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 8 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 8 wr . Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.9. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at 9+ wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 9+ wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 9+ wr. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at $9+$ wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 9+ wr. Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.

IBTS-Q1, age 1, diagnostics


Figure 2.6.1.10. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of IBTS survey catchability at 1 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 1 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 1 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 1 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 1 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}$ plot of $\log$ residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.11. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of IBTS survey catchability at 2 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 2 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 2 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 2 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 2 wr . Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.12. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of IBTS survey catchability at 3 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 3 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 3 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 3 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 3 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.13. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of IBTS survey catchability at 4 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 4 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 4 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 4 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 4 wr . Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.

IBTS-Q1, age 5, diagnostics


Figure 2.6.1.14. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of IBTS survey catchability at 5+wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at $5+\mathrm{wr}$ (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 5+ wr. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at $5+\mathrm{wr}$ with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 5+ wr. Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 2.6.1.15. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of IBTS0 survey catchability at 0 wr from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at 0 wr (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at 0 wr . Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at 0 wr with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 0 wr . Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.

MLAI, diagnostics


Figure 2.6.1.16. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of MLAI survey catchability at all ages from the final ICA assessment. Top left: VPA estimates of biomass of all ages and biomass predicted from index abundance for all ages. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of all ages with the best-fit catchability model (power function). Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 0 wr. Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.

North Sea Herring Weighted Residuals Bubble Plot


Figure 2.6.1.17. North Sea herring. Weighted Residuals of surveys and catch for the assessment up to 2009.


Figure 2.6.1.18. North Sea herring. Mean contribution of each indices or catch to the objective function by age.


Figure 2.6.1.19. North Sea herring. Retrospective selectivity pattern for the year 2000 till 2009.

North Sea Herring Retrospective Summary Plot


Figure 2.6.1.20. North Sea herring. Retrospective pattern plots for SSB, Recruits and F $_{2-6}$


Figure 2.6.1.21. North Sea Herring. Year class cohort retrospectives for cohorts that contribute the current stock of North Sea herring.


Figure 2.6.1.22 Model uncertainty; distribution and quantiles of estimated SSB and F2-6 in the terminal year of the assessment. Estimates of precision are based on a parametric bootstrap from the FLICA estimated variance/covariance estimates from the model.


Figure 2.6.1.23 Example of a Taylor diagram (Taylor 2001, Payne 2011) for North Sea herring. The plot is not Cartesian but rather polar in nature: the angular axis plots the correlation coefficient between observations and the modelled values. The radial axis represents the standard deviation of the observations normalized by the standard deviation of the modelled values. The point corresponding to 1.0 on the horizontal axis represents a perfect fit between the model and the observations - the closer to this point the better. Points are labelled according to the survey and the age of the time series. All time series are truncated to allow comparison on a common basis.

Proportion of Catch numbers at age


Figure 2.6.1.24 North Sea Herring. Proportion of catch numbers at age.

Proportion of Catch weight at age


Figure 2.6.1.25 North Sea Herring. Proportion of catch weight at age.

## Proportion of IBTS index at age



Figure 2.6.1.26 North Sea Herring. Proportion of IBTS index at age.

## Proportion of Acoustic index at age



Figure 2.6.1.27 North Sea Herring. Proportion of Acoustic index at age.

IBTS-Q1

$\log _{10}$ (Index Value)
Lower right panels show the Coefficient of Determination $\left(r^{2}\right)$

Figure 2.6.1.28 North Sea Herring. Correlation coefficient diagram for IBTS survey.

HERAS


Figure 2.6.1.29 North Sea Herring. Correlation coefficient diagram for Acoustic survey.


Figure 2.6.1.30 North Sea Herring. Weight at age in the stock over time.


Figure 2.6.3.1. North Sea herring. Stock summary plot for SSB, recruitment and mean F on ages 26.

Fitted catch diagnostics


Figure 2.6.3.2. North Sea herring. Diagnostics of selection pattern from the final ICA assessment. Top left: bubbles plot of log catch residuals by age (weighting applied) and year ( 5 yr separable period). Top right: estimated selection parameters (relative to 4 wr ) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Bottom left: marginal totals of log residuals by year. Bottom right: marginal totals of log residuals by age (wr).


Figure 2.6.3.3. North Sea herring. Agreed management plan for adult fishery (A-fleet, ages 2-6) including trigger biomass points ( $\mathrm{Blim}_{\text {lim }}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ ) and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$. Black dots represent realised estimated fishing mortalities from 2002 untill 2008. Fishing mortality in 2009 is estimated based on the agreed TACS for the A-fleet from the short term prediction (see section 2.7).


Figure 2.6.3.4. North Sea herring. Stock and recruit plot. Each point labelled by year class.


Figure 2.10.1. North Sea Herring. Estimates of recruitment (by year class) from stock assessments in the three most recent years (2009-2011). The increases in year class strength that impact on SSB estimation in recent years are highlighted.


Figure 2.11.1. North Sea herring. TACs (percentage) for Sub Divisions IVc and VIId


Figure 2.11.2. Downs herring in IVc and VIId. Comparison of historical catches and TACs


Figure 2.11.3. Downs herring. Proportion of small 1-ringers versus all sizes in the North Sea (from table 2.3.3.2).


Figure 2.11.4. Downs herring. Index of small $(<13 \mathrm{~cm})$ 1-ringers in the North Sea (from table 2.3.3.2).


Figure 2.11.5. Downs herring. Catch composition (percentage by age) from pelagics hauls in the Eastern English Channel during IBTS 2007 to 2011.


Figure 2.12.1. North Sea herring. Spawning component abundance indices (SCAI: Payne 2010) for each of the four generally recognised spawning components in the North Sea


Figure 2.12.2. North Sea herring. Relative contribution of each spawning component to the total North Sea stock, based on the spawning component abundance indices (SCAI: Payne 2010)


Figure 2.12.3. North Sea herring. Estimated mean mortality rates of herring larvae from the four different spawning components in the North Sea: (a) Downs, (b) Banks, (c) Buchan and (d) Orkney/Shetland taken from Fassler et al in press. Error bars represent 95\% confidence intervals. Fitted trends in the mortality rates estimated by dynamic factor analysis (DFA) are represented by the black lines. Please note the different scales used on the $y$-axes.

## 3 Herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 [update assessment]

### 3.1 The Fishery

### 3.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2010 and 2011

A benchmark assessment was carried out in 2008. In the absence of a management plan and agreed target and precautionary reference points ICES advised that fishing mortality should be less than the $F$ related to high long-term yield ( $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ ). This would correspond to landings of less than $37,200 \mathrm{t}$ in 2011 as estimated by the last year assessment (ICES CM 2010/ACOM:06).

The EU and Norway agreement on a herring TAC for 2010 was 33,855 t in Division IIIa for the human consumption fleet and a by-catch ceiling of $7,515 \mathrm{t}$ to be taken in the small mesh fishery. For 2011, the EU and Norway agreement on herring TACs in Division IIIa was 30,000 $t$ for the human consumption fleet and a by-catch ceiling of $6,659 \mathrm{t}$ to be taken in the small mesh fishery.

Previous to 2006 no special TAC for Subdivisions 22-24 was set. In 2010, a TAC of $22,692 \mathrm{t}$ was set on the Western Baltic stock component. The TAC for 2011 was set at $15,884 \mathrm{t}$.

### 3.1.2 Catches in 2010

Herring caught in Division IIIa are a mixture of North Sea Autumn Spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic Spring Spawners (WBSS). This Section gives the landings of both NSAS and WBSS, but the stock assessment applies only to the spring spawners.

Landings from 1989 to 2010 are given in Table 3.1.1 and Figure 3.1.1. In 2010 the total landings in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 have decreased to $55,200 \mathrm{t}$, which is the lowest value of the time series (1986-2010). The decrease in landings in 2010 is particularly evident in the Subdivisions 22-24, where all landings were decreased due to TAC regulations and differences in allocation of catches from one ICES square to Subdivision. As in previous years the 2010 landing data are calculated by fleet according to the fleet definitions used when setting TACs.

The fleet definitions used since 1998 are:
Fleet C: directed fishery for herring in which trawlers (with 32 mm minimum mesh size) and purse seiners participate.

Fleet D: All fisheries in which trawlers (with mesh sizes less than 32 mm ) and small purse seiners, fishing for sprat along the Swedish coast and in the Swedish fjords, participate. For most of the landings taken by this fleet, herring is landed as by-catch. Danish and Swedish by-catches of herring from the sprat fishery and the Norway pout and blue whiting fisheries are listed under Fleet D.

Fleet F: Landings from Subdivisions 22-24. Most of the catches are taken in a directed fishery for herring and some as by-catch in a directed sprat fishery.

In Table 3.1.2 the landings are given for 2003 to 2010 in thousands of tonnes by fleet (as defined by HAWG) and quarter.

Fleet definition is done disregarding the nationality of the fleets assuming that the fleets target the same part of the population regardless of national flag. The age dis-
tribution in the catches of the Danish fleet D and the Swedish Fleet in Subdivision 20 are not alike and the Swedish Fleet D targets a larger part of the population as the landings of fish older than 3 years are higher than what is observed in the Danish catches of the same fleet. Thus the selection by fleet is not identical between the two countries. The Danish fleet definition follows the definition set by HAWG, where Fleet D (or so called Industrial fleet) is defined as all fisheries in which trawlers (with mesh sizes less than 32 mm ) and small purse seiners, fish for sprat. For most of the landings taken by this fleet, herring is landed as by-catch from the sprat fishery and the Norway pout and blue whiting fisheries. The Swedish fleet definition is based on mesh size of the gear, as for the Danish fleet. However, an earlier change in the Swedish industrial fishery implies that there is no difference in age structure of the landings between vessels using different mesh sizes since both are basically targeting herring for human consumption. Thus Swedish age-length keys cannot be used to raise Danish catches and vice versa for this particular Subdivision.

The text table below give the TACs and Quotas ( t ) for the fishery by the C- and Dfleets in Division IIIa and for the F-fleet in Subdivisions 22-24.

|  | TAC | DK | GER | FI | PL | SWE | EC | NOR | FAROE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Div. IIIa fleet-C | 33,855 | 13,986 | 224 |  |  | 14,630 | 29,340 | 4,515 | 500 |
| Div. IIIa fleet-D | 7,515 | 6,424 | 57 |  |  | 1,034 | 7,515 |  |  |
| SD 22-24 fleet-F | 22,692 | 3,181 | 12,519 | 2 | 2,953 | 4,037 | 22,692 |  |  |
| \% of IIIa taken in <br> IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-20 \%$ |  |
|  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Div. IIIa fleet-C | 30,000 | 12,368 | 198 |  |  | 12,938 | $25,504^{*}$ | 4,496 | NA |
| Div. IIIa fleet-D | 6,659 | 5,692 | 51 |  |  | 916 | 6,659 |  |  |
| SD 22-24 fleet-F | 15,884 | 2,227 | 8,763 | 1 | 2,067 | 2,826 | 15,884 |  |  |
| \% of IIIa taken in <br> IV EU waters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of IIIIa taken in <br> IV Norw. waters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-50 \%$ |  |

* 495 of the EC TAC not distributed


### 3.1.3 Regulations and their effects

Before 2009, HAWG has calculated a substantial part of the catch reported as taken in Division IIIa in fleet C actually has been taken in Subarea IV. These catches have been allocated to the North Sea stock and accounted under the A-fleet. Misreported catches have been moved to the appropriate stock for the assessment. However, from 2009 and on this pattern of misreporting of catches into Division IIIa is not believed to occur, based on information from both the industry and VMS estimates. Thus no catches were moved out of Division IIIa to the North Sea in 2010.

Regulations allowing quota transfers from Division IIIa to the North Sea were introduced as an incentive to decrease misreporting primarily for the Norwegian part of the fishery, and the percentage has gradually been reduced until 2010. In 2011 the EU - Norway agreement allows $50 \%$ of the Division IIIa quotas for human consumption to be taken in the North Sea. The optional transfer of quotas from one management area to another introduce more than necessary uncertainty for catch predictions
and thus influence the quality of the stock projections. ICES deals with this in a pragmatic way assuming that this transfer is fully effectuated but only applied in 2011.

The quota for the C fleet and the by-catch ceiling for the D fleet (see above) are set for the NSAS and the WBSS stocks together. The implication for the catch of NSAS must also be taken into account when setting quotas for the fleets that exploit these stocks.

### 3.1.3.1 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

There have been no significant changes in fishery technology in the last few years.

### 3.2 Biological composition of the catch

Table 3.2.1 and Table 3.2.2 show the total catch (autumn- and spring-spawners combined) in numbers and mean weight-at-age in the catch for herring by quarter and fleet landed from Skagerrak and Kattegat, respectively. The total catch in numbers and mean weights-at-age for herring landed from Subdivisions 22-24 are shown in Table 3.2.3.

The level of sampling of the commercial landings was generally acceptable (Table 3.2.4). In the cases of missing samples the corresponding landings were minor. Where sampling was missing in areas and quarters on national landings, sampling from either other nations or adjacent areas and quarters were used to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight-at-age (Table 3.2.5).

Based on the proportions of spring- and autumn-spawners in the landings, catches were split between NSAS and WBSS (Table 3.2.6 and the stock annex for more details).

The total numbers and mean weight-at-age of the WBSS and NSAS landed from Kattegat, Skagerrak, and Division IIIa respectively was then estimated by quarter and fleet (Table 3.2.7-3.2.12).

The total catch, expressed as SOP, of the WBSS taken in the North Sea + Division IIIa in 2010 was estimated to be 27500 t , and has thereby decreased compared to 2009 (36 200 t ). The 2010 estimate is the second lowest of the time series (Table 3.2.13).

Total catches of WBSS from the North Sea, Division IIIa, and Subdivisions 22-24 respectively, by quarter, was estimated for 2010 (Table 3.2.14). Additionally, the total catches of WBSS in numbers and tonnes, divided between the North Sea and Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 respectively for 1993-2010, are presented in Tables 3.2.15 and 3.2.16.

The total catch of NSAS in Division IIIa amounted to 13759 t in 2010, which is in the lower end of the time series (Table 3.2.17).

The transfer of WBSS from Division IV into Division IIIa and the transfer of NSAS from Division IIIa into Division IV in 2010 are shown in the text table below:

| Year | Stock | Transfer route | Tonnes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2010 | WBSS | IVaE to IIIa | 772 |
| 2010 | NSAS | IIIa to IVaE | 13759 |

### 3.2.1 Quality of Catch Data and Biological Sampling Data

No quantitative estimates of discards were available to the Working Group. However, the amount of discards for 2010 is assumed to be insignificant, as in previous years.
Some Danish landings, reported as taken in a specific ICES Square (41G2; an area in the southern Kattegat, which is a part of the Baltic area: Gilleleje, DK - Kullen, S Helsingborg, S - Helsingør, DK), has been reported to either Subdivision 23 or Kattegat. In the allocation of catches reported to the HAWG these landings are listed under Kattegat; thus the TAC may appear under utilised for SD 22-24, however, this discrepancy is due to the different perception of which area this particular square belongs to.
Table 3.2.4 shows the number of fish aged by country, area, fishery and quarter. The overall sampling in 2010 more than meets the recommended level of one sample per 1000 t landed per quarter and the coverage of areas, times of the year and gear (mesh size) was acceptable.

Splitting of catches into WBSS and NSAS in Division IIIa were based on Danish and Swedish analyses of otolith micro-structure of hatch type and extended with discriminant analysis calibrated with hatch type and applied on production samples with classification parameters: herring length weight and age as well as otolith metrics (see Stock annex). The total sample size for hatch type was 3523 with $57 \%$ of the samples in Division IIIa North and $43 \%$ in IIIa South.

Sampling for split of catches in the transfer area in Division IVa East in 2010 was based on 608 Norwegian VC observations and the applied method was based on the average VC by age group and quarter as described in the stock annex.

### 3.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 3.3.1 German Acoustic Survey (GERAS) in Subdivisions 21-24 (Autumn)

As a part of Baltic International Acoustic Survey (BIAS); a joint German-Danish acoustic survey (GERAS) was carried out with R/V "SOLEA" between 4 and 22 October 2010 in the Western Baltic, covering Subdivisions 21, 22, 23 and 24. A full survey report is given in the 'Report of the Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS)' (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). The time series has been revised in 2008 (ICES 2008/ACOM:02) to include the southern part of SD 21. The years 1991-1993 were excluded from the assessment due to different recording method and 2001 was also excluded from the assessment since SD 23 was not covered during that year (ICES 2008/ACOM:02). Only ages 1-3 are included in the assessment.
The results for 2010 are presented in Table 3.3.1a. Survey results of GERAS since 2007 have shown a decline in mean weights per age group. Additionally, there is an uncharacteristic decrease in mean weight with increasing age more evident for age classes $>3$. The 2010 survey results also showed a distinct peak in age class 3 as compared to previous years. However, no signs of a strong year class were found in 2007. Instead, the year classes 2007 and 2008 are amongst the lowest observed since 2002. Checks and comparisons of Subdivision-based length distributions of herring (see ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02) in the 2009 and 2010 surveys showed nothing conspicuous - both in SD 21 and 22 young year classes (0-2) are dominated by lengths mostly below 20 cm . As in previous years, large fractions of adult herring were identified in SD 23 (Öresund) with length classes between 20 and 30 cm largely
represented. Length classes corresponding to age 0-2 contributed only for a small fraction in SD 23. In SD 24, length distribution differs from that in SD 23, but it is consistent with the distribution observed in 2009. In SD 24 the majority of fishes are between 8.25 cm and ca. 15.25 cm (~age 0 and 1) and a smaller fraction between ca. 15.25 and 22.25 cm (~age 2 and 3 ). Contribute of fish older than age 3 was only marginal in this subdivision. Analysis of the mean weight at age however showed that the decline in weight with increasing age is mostly pronounced in SD 24. This could suggest potential mixing in SD 24 of separate herring stocks with different growth characteristics, namely WBSS and Central Baltic Herring (CBH) coming from easterly adjacent areas. Consequently, abundance estimates for WBSS would be affected by biased indices from SD 21-24 due to unaccounted occurrence of CBH. A method to separate WBBS and CBH in SD 24 was presented during the meeting (WD 02: Gröhsler, Oeberst, Schaber). The results applying a separation function based on length at age-distributions and growth parameters (Bertalanffy growth parameters) for the period 2006-2010 are shown in Table 3.3.1b. In 2006, overall differences in abundance, biomass and mean weight at age between original data (present approach) and data with removed fraction of CBH (proposed approach) are rather small. The "new" data result in slightly reduced numbers and biomass of age classes 2-7 and an overall increased mean weight at age. In 2007 and 2008, there are also small differences in abundance and biomass but major changes affect the mean weight at age. This resulted in more consistent mean weight at age for WBSS during the last part of the time series. Largest differences between original and CBH adjusted data are obvious in 2009 and 2010. This largely applies to the mean weights in both years which again seem more realistic, but is also evident in a significant biomass loss within the 3 w-ring group. However, both in 2009 and 2010 cohorts still show negative mortalities after exclusion of CBH.

Quality checks of data before and after adjusting for stock mixing were performed by comparing linear correlations between annual abundances of different age groups (age group $x /$ age $x+1$ ) in the years 2006-2010 (WD 02: Gröhsler, Oeberst and Schaber). Overall, $r^{2}$ of the linear regressions with no adjustment for stock mixing showed very poor correlation, with $\mathrm{r}^{2} \leq 0.15$ for all the age groups except in one case ( w -rings 1 to 2 , $r^{2}=0.62$ ). The new proposed index showed improved internal consistency in the data.

### 3.3.2 Herring Acoustic Survey (HERAS) in Division IIIa (Summer)

The Herring acoustic survey (HERAS) was conducted from 3 to 23 July 2010 and covered the Skagerrak and the Kattegat. Details of the survey are given in the 'Report of the Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS)' (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). The 1999 was excluded from the assessment due to different survey area coverage. The estimates of the Western Baltic spring spawning herring stock are 162000 tonnes and 2030 million individuals, which is among the lowest observed values in the time series. The stock is dominated by 1 and 2 ringer fish, although the 1 ringers are below the long term mean (1992-2010: 1,570 millions). The results from this survey are summarised in Table 3.3.2. Only ages 3-6 and data from 1993 onwards are used in the assessment.

### 3.3.3 Larvae Surveys

Herring larvae surveys (Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters; SD 24) were conducted in the western Baltic at weekly intervals during the 2010 spawning season (March to June). The larval index was defined as the total number of larvae that reach the length of 20 mm (N20; Table 3.3.3) (Oeberst et al, 2009). The values estimated for

N20 in 2010 is the largest since 2003 and it is about 4 times larger than the record low 2008 estimate (Table 3.3.3).

### 3.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

Mean weights at age in the catch in the 1st quarter were used as stock weights (Table 3.2.14).

The maturity ogive of WBSS applied in HAWG has been assumed constant between years and thus been the same since 1991 (ICES 1992/Assess:13), although large year-to-year variations in the percentage mature have been observed (Gröhsler and Müller, 2004). A Workshop on Sexual Maturity Staging of Herring and Sprat is taking place during 2011 in order to, amongst other things, establish correspondence between old and new scales to convert time series and propose optimal sampling strategy to estimate accurate maturity ogives.

The same maturity ogive was used as in the last year assessment (ICES CM 2010/ACOM:06):

| W-rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maturity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

### 3.5 Recruitment

Indices of recruitment of 0-ringer western Baltic spring spawning herring (WBSS) in Subdivisions 22-24 for 2010 were available from the revised larval survey and are described in Section 3.3.3 and Oeberst et al., 2007 (WD 7 to the HAWG 2007 (ICES 2007/ACFM:11)).

### 3.6 Assessment of Western Baltic spring spawners in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24

### 3.6.1 Input data

### 3.6.1.1 Catch data

Catch in numbers at age from 1991 to 2010 were available for Subdivision IVa (East), Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 (Table 3.6.1; Figure 3.6.1.1). Years before 1991 are excluded due to lack of reliable data for splitting spawning type and also due to a large change in fishing pattern caused by changes in the German fishing fleets (ICES 2008/ACOM:62).

Mean weights at age in the catch vary annually and are available for the same period as the catch in numbers (Table 3.6.2; Figure 3.6.1.3). Proportions at age (by weight) thus reflect the combined variation in numbers at age and weight at age (Figure 3.6.1.2).

### 3.6.1.2 Biological data

Estimates of the mean weight of individuals in the stock (Tables 3.2.14 (Q1) and Figure 3.6.3) are available for all years considered.
Natural mortality was assumed constant over time and equal to $0.3,0.5$, and 0.2 for $0-$ ringers, 1-ringers, and $2+$-ringers respectively (Table 3.6.4). The estimates of natural mortality were derived as a mean for the years 1977-1995 from the Baltic MSVPA (ICES 1997/J:2) as no new values were available.

The proportion of individuals that are mature is assumed constant over the period considered (Table 3.6.5): ages $0-1$ are assumed to be all immature, ages $2-4$ are $20 \%$, $75 \%$ and $90 \%$ mature respectively, and all older ages are $100 \%$ mature.

The proportions of fishing mortality, F (0.1) and natural mortality M (0.25) before spawning are assumed constant between years (Table 3.6.6-7). The difference between these two values arises due to the fact that the fishery is prosecuted in the latter half of the year.

### 3.6.1.3 Surveys

All surveys covering this stock were previously explored in terms of time series trends, internal consistency, and mortality signals during the Benchmark Assessment of this stock. The choice of age groups included was made there on the basis of existing knowledge of migration patterns and the analysis of the internal consistency of the surveys by age. (ICES 2008/ACOM:62; Payne et. al 2009) The final combination of surveys chosen was to include the N20 index as a recruitment index and apply the HERAS and German acoustic surveys as each characterise a subset of the total age classes. Thus, the survey settings were applied as they were set in the Benchmark assessment on this stock (performed in 2008).

### 3.6.2 Assessment method

As a part of the benchmark assessment process in 2008, the choice of assessment model was examined and the HAWG concluded that the underlying assumptions in the FLICA appeared to be valid. Details of the exact software package versions employed are given in Table 3.6.11.

### 3.6.3 Assessment configuration

Following the procedure in the WBSS stock annex (Stock Annex 4), the following settings were used in this update assessment (Tables 3.6.9-10):

- The period for the separable constraint: 5 years (2006-2010)
- The weighing factor to all indices: lambda $=1$
- A linear catchability model for all indices
- The reference $F$ set at age 4 and the selection $=1$ for the oldest age
- The catch data were down-weighted to 0.1 for 0-ringer herring
- No stock-recruitment model was fitted
- Errors in index values are assumed to be correlated.
- Plus group is set to age $8+$.


### 3.6.4 Data exploration

An exploration assessment was performed to look at the effect of the revisions proposed above that were made to the GERAS survey. The revision of the GERAS data could not be seen to qualitatively improve the pattern of residuals. (e.g. comparison of Figure 3.6.4.1 and 3.6.5.13). Strong year effects are present in both runs, and were not eliminated by the revision. Whilst the residuals in some years decreased, in other years they increased. From a purely qualitative point of view, the residuals of the fit could not be seen to improve.

Quantitative comparisons of the effect of the GERAS time series revisions on the assessment can be performed and visualised using a Taylor diagram (Taylor, 2001;

Payne 2011). Comparison of the standard assessment and that using the revised GERAS survey using this technique (Figure 3.6.4.2) shows that the revision of the GERAS generally improved the agreement between the modelled and observed GERAS data. The correlation coefficients, $r$, for the three timeseries in GERAS increased appreciably, and the three points moved closer towards the origin.
The revision did not lead to a change in the perception of the stock (Figure 3.6.4.3). The revised estimates of SSB and Fbar during the period of the revision generally fell within both the corresponding confidence intervals and the retrospective scatter. Similarly, the downwards trend in spawning stock biomass and the poor recruitment in recent years were maintained. It was therefore concluded that the effect of the revision did not have a significant impact on our perception of the stock. However, the improvements in the model fit were promising and warrant further investigation.

### 3.6.5 Final run

The results of the assessment are given in Tables 3.6.8-21. The estimated SSB for 2010 is 95000 [71000, $129000(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ ] tonnes. The mean fishing mortality (ages 3-6) is estimated as $0.30[0.20,0.46(95 \% \mathrm{CI})] \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. (Figure 3.6.5.1).

After a marked decline from over 300000 tonnes in the early 1990s to a low of 120000 tonnes in the late 1990s, the SSB of this stock recovered somewhat, reaching a secondary peak of around 200000 tonnes in the early 2000s (Figure 3.6.5.2). After a small peak in 2006 coinciding with the maturing of the 2003 year-class; the SSB has declined four years in a row to the lowest observed SSB in the time series.

Fishing mortality on this stock was high in the mid 1990s, reaching a maximum of over $0.7 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. In 1999-2007 $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ stabilised around 0.4 , but increased again in the latter half of the 2000s. The most recent estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ suggests a significant decrease however, it should be remembered that the terminal year values are generally poorly estimated and often subject to a strong retrospective pattern (Figure 3.6.5.14).

The reason for the recent increase of F is twofold: The productivity of the stock have been decreasing for the last years while the F was kept high at around 0.4, in 20042008 the recruitment kept decreasing; each year setting a new point for the lowest observed recruitment in the time series. Secondly there has been a period with area misreporting between the North Sea and the Skagerrak. Early in 2009 a revised enforcement of the Danish legislation ended this practice. The part of WBSS herring in the IIIa catches was therefore substantially higher.

After a long period of decreasing recruitment during the 2000s, recruitment to the stock appears to have increased from the 2006-2008 lows. However, caution is warrented, particularly about the high estimate of the 2010 year class, which is essentially based on a single observation and is therefore extremely imprecise.
The catch residuals are generally free from patterns (Figure 3.6.5.3). The marginal totals of residuals between the catch and the separable model are small overall; the apparent pattern of decreasing residuals through time and negative age residuals on either side of the reference age, is therefore without significant effect.
The individual diagnostics for the three surveys generally show acceptable fits (Figures 3.6.5.4-3.6.5.11). The residuals appear to be distributed randomly, and the assumption of normal distribution is generally held up. Most survey-ages appear to have at least one significant outlier. Generally, however, the agreement between the data and the fitted model appears good through all data sources.

The assessment model objective function is generally dominated by the surveys rather than the catch data (Figure 3.6.5.12): this is not surprising as the FLICA method fits many more parameters to the catch data than the survey data. The agreement between the model and the GERAS survey is generally better than that of the HERAS survey. The N20 larval index shows the worst fit, on average. These results are also reflected in the Taylor diagram for this assessment (Figure 3.6.5.17), which also shows that the agreement between the model and observations is greater for GERAS than HERAS.

Some patterns are apparent in the residuals (Figures 3.6.5.13). The HERAS survey shows appreciable year effects, with some years showing either positive or negative residuals across all ages. The German acoustic survey appears to give a more random pattern. The N20 index shows an improving fit in latter years, with one large dominating residual in its first year. The residuals are generally small (e.g. less than 0.5), but are dominated by a few outlying points. No cohort or age effects are apparent.

Retrospective analysis suggests the assessment method gives a relatively consistent perception of the stock and its development (Figure 3.6.5.14). The changes from year-to-year are generally less than the uncertainty of the estimated values (ICES 2008/ACOM:62) and are therefore consistent with the level of confidence in our estimates.

Retrospective analysis of the selectivity pattern for this fishery suggests a stable selection pattern (Figure 3.6.5.15), especially in the most recent years covered by the separable period. Such a result suggests that the assumption of a constant selectivity in the fishery, a key criterion for the application of the FLICA method, is valid.

The stock-recruitment plot for this stock (Figure 3.6.5.16) does not show any clear relationship between stock-size and recruitment.

The Taylor diagram (Taylor 2001; Payne 2011) for WBSS herring (Figure 3.6.5.17) shows that the GERAS survey has the best agreement between the modeled and actual observations. The GERAS points are closely grouped together, suggesting similarities in their properties and agreement with the model. The HERAS time series show poorer agreement with the models - the age 3,4 , and 5 values are closely grouped, but we note that the age 6 values show appreciably poorer agreement with the model. The N20 index appears to be fitted well by the model. These results are in agreement with the general understanding of this assessment (Payne 2009; ICES 2008/ACOM:02).

### 3.6.6 State of the stock

The stock has decreased systematically and consistently during the second half of the 2000s and is now at the lowest observed level in the time series. Very high fishing mortalities and a sequence of poor year classes have driven this stock consistently downwards during the second half of the 2000s. The model suggests fishing mortality has reduced considerably in 2010: however, the estimate value of $0.30 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ is still higher than Fmsy $\left(0.25 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$.

The N20 larval survey in 2010 suggested one of the strongest year classes since 2001. However, this survey is conducted before the main mortality on juveniles occurs, so it is as yet unclear whether this will result in a strong year class entering the fishery. The 2009 year class, which was previously estimated to be equally strong, was revised downwards by around $50 \%$ this year.

Recruitment has declined consistently from 2003 to 2008. When maturing, these poor year classes are expected to have a reducing effect on the spawning stock biomass.

### 3.6.7 Comparison with previous years perception of the stock

This year's assessment is an update assessment, and employs the same methodology as the Benchmark Assessment in 2008. The changes in the SSB and fishing mortality of the stock are minor between last year's assessment and the current assessment. However, there has been a significant downwards revision of recruitment to the 2009 year class.
The text table below summarises the differences in the previous year's assessment configuration and perception of the stock.

| Category | Parameter | Assessment in 2010 | Assessment in 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. 11-10 } \\ & \text { (+/-) \% } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICA results | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB (t) } 2008 \\ & \text { F(3-6) } 2008 \\ & \text { Recr. (‘000) } 2008 \end{aligned}$ | 120000 | 117000 | -3\% |
|  |  | 0.45 | 0.47 | +4\% |
|  |  | 1076630 | 1479130 | +37\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB 8t) } 2009 \\ & \text { F(3-6) } 2009 \\ & \text { Recr. (‘000) } 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 105000 | 105000 | 0 \% |
|  |  | 0.52 | 0.51 | -2 \% |
|  |  | 3484636 | 2159680 | -38\% |

### 3.6.8 Short term predictions

Short term predictions were made with the MFDP package.

### 3.6.9 Input data

Stock numbers at age at the beginning of 2011 were taken from the ICA assessment, except for age 0 . For age 0 both in 2010 and 2011, the geometric mean recruitment (2005-2009) was assumed considering the recent low recruitment. The selection at age was taken from the ICA assessment (average of 2008-2010). Arithmetic averages over the years 2008-2010 were used for mean weights at age in the catch and in the stock, as well as maturities at age. The input data are shown in Table 3.7.1.

### 3.6.10 Intermediate year 2011

A catch constraint was assumed for the intermediate year by the following procedure.

1) The EU - Norway agreement allows an optional transfer of $50 \%$ of the TAC for herring in Division IIIa into the Subarea IV in the North Sea. Based on information from the fishing industry on the most probable behaviour of fishing fleet to this situation $50 \%$ was subtracted from the TAC for human consumption in Division IIIa as the basis for the catch constraint in 2011. This choice has great influence on the perception of the stock development in 2011 and 2012.
2 ) Misreporting of catches from the North Sea into Division IIIa is no longer assumed to occur after 2008. Therefore no account was taken in the compilations.

3 ) The catch by each of the two fleets fishing for human consumption (C- and F-fleet) in 2010 was close to the TACs and an assumed TAC utilisation of 1 is assumed for the intermediate year. The proportion of the TAC taken in
the small meshed fishery (D-fleet) has varied between $31 \%$ and $52 \%$ during the last three years and an average TAC utilisation of $45 \%$ is assumed for the intermediate year.

4 ) The catch of herring in Division IIIa consists of both WBSS and NSAS components. The expected catch of WBSS in Division IIIa was calculated assuming the same WBSS proportions in the catch of each fleet in 2011 and 2012 as the average of 2008-2010 in Division IIIa. Furthermore a constant amount of 772 t of WBSS taken in Division IVaE by the A-fleet in 2010 is assumed in 2011.

5 ) The fractions of the total catch of WBSS in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 taken by each of the three fleets C, D, and F, in 2008-2010 are assumed to be equal to the utilised TAC in the respective areas times the proportion of WBSS in the catches.
6) 772 t of WBSS is assumed taken in Division IVaE by the A-fleet.

7 ) The shares of the WBSS catches in IIIa and other areas in the recent 3 years is used to translate the total recommended TAC for WBSS into outtake of WBSS in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24. The mix of the two stocks in the Division IIIa catches is used to derive the outtake of NSAS and total catches in Division IIIa, whereas the Subdivision 22-24 TAC is assumed to be only WBSS herring.

8 ) Summarising: predicted catches of WBSS and NSAS by fleet in IIIa are based on the recent 3 years patterns of 1) fraction of WBSS catches taken by each fleet plus a constant catch of WBSS in IVaE and 2) proportion of the two stocks in the catches of the different fleets. These assumptions give the expected catch by fleet in 2011.

The resulting expected catch of WBSS in 2011 following this scheme was 29041 t .

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation of Interme-diate year catch constraint (2010) | Catch of WBSS | Catch <br> of <br> NSAS | TACcatch <br> WBSS+ NSAS* | Catch <br> of <br> NSAS+ <br> WBSS | Catch as asssumed proportion of TAC ** | TACcatch <br> WBSS+ <br> NSAS <br> * | Realised <br> TAC <br> catch in <br> 2010 | proportion of WBSS in catch | catch <br> of <br> WBSS <br> in <br> 2010 |
| A-fleet | 772 |  |  | 772 |  |  |  | 100\% | 772 |
| C-fleet | 22,975 | 11,978 | 33,855 | 34,953 | 1 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 74\% | 11,125 |
| D-fleet | 549 | 1,781 | 7,515 | 2,330 | 0.448 | 6,659 | 2,983 | 42\% | 1,260 |
| F-fleet | 17,917 |  | 22,692 | 17,917 | 1 | 15,884 | 15,884 | 100\% | 15,884 |
| Total (Div. <br> IIIa, SD 22-24 <br> and IVaE) | 42,214 | 13,759 | 64,062 | 55,972 |  |  | 33,867 |  | 29,041 |

*After accounting for EU-Norway agreed transfer of quota from Division IIIa to the North Sea (15 000 t in 2011).
**The D-fleet is calculated as the average utilisation of the by-catch ceiling over the years 2008-2010

### 3.6.11 Catch options for 2012

The output of the short-term prediction, based on a catch constraint in the intermediate year 2011 of 29041 t , is given in Table 3.7.2 and in Figure 3.7.1.

1 ) Zero catch.
2) $\mathrm{F} 2012=0.25$, which is FMSY.

3 ) A $15 \%$ reduction of all fleet-wise WBSS TACs for 2011, converted into a total herring catch by assuming that the TAC is completely taken in Division IIIa and Subdivision 22-24. The catches of WBSS herring are then calculated by assuming that the proportion of WBSS in each fleet's catch is equal to the mean over 2008-2010.
4 ) As for option 3, but with no change in the WBSS TAC.
5 ) As for option 3, but with a $15 \%$ increase in the WBSS TAC.

### 3.6.12 Exploring a range of total WBSS catches for 2012 (advice year)

Fleet wise catch options for the prediction year have the following assumptions:
A constant catch of 772 t of WBSS caught in the A-fleet in Division IVa East.
This constant amount is subtracted from each of the WBSS TAC options presented and thereafter a 50:50 allocation between Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 of the remaining WBSS TAC is assumed.

Each of the fleets C and D takes a constant share of the WBSS based upon the mean of the recent three years 2008-2010.

There will not be allowed any transfer of quotas from the C-fleet to the A-fleet.
The total TAC is taken
The average 2008-2010 proportions of WBSS by fleet hold for 2012. (The proportions of WBSS in catches were $74.2 \%$ in the C-fleet, $42.2 \%$ in the D-fleet and $100 \%$ in the Ffleet).

The table below gives the 2012 fleet wise catch options for the Western Baltic spring spawners and North Sea autumn spawners in Division IIIa, in Subdivisions 22-24, and in Subarea IVaE for the catch options described in section 3.7.3:

1) $\mathrm{F}=0$ not shown, 2) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.25$ 3) $\mathrm{F}_{-15 \% \mathrm{TAC}}=0.214$ 4 4) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{TAC}}=0.256$, and 5) $\mathrm{F}_{+15 \% \mathrm{TAC}}=0.300$

| Catch option for the WBSS and NSAS herring stock in 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch option for the WBSS herring stock |  | WBSS herring |  |  |  | NSAS herring |  | Total catches of both stocks in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 2224 |  |  |  |  |
| Option | Total catches of WBSS herring* | IVaE | Division IIIa |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SD22- } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | Division IIIa |  | Division IIIa |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SD 22- } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ |  | TAC development |
|  |  | Fleet <br> A* | Fleet <br> C | Fleet <br> D | Fleet F | Fleet <br> C | Fleet <br> D | Fleet <br> C** | Fleet D | Fleet F | Fleets <br> A+ $C+D+F$ | Total area |
| 2 | 42657 | 772 | 19551 | 1417 | 20943 | 6810 | 1938 | 26362 | 3355 | 20943 | 51432 | 15.2\% |
| 3 | 37103 | 772 | 16959 | 1232 | 18166 | 5907 | 1686 | 22866 | 2919 | 18166 | 44662 | -15\% |
| 4 | 43627 | 772 | 20004 | 1449 | 21428 | 6968 | 1983 | 26972 | 3432 | 21428 | 52543 | 0\% |
| 5 | 50152 | 772 | 23049 | 1666 | 24690 | 8029 | 2279 | 31078 | 3945 | 24690 | 60424 | 15\% |

* total catches of WBSS herring include a constant catch of 772 t WBSS taken by the A-fleet in Div. IVa East

1) Zero catch not shown in the table. After an increase of SSB to 125861 t in 2012 the SSB further increases to 174775 t in 2013.
2) The Fmsy option will give a yield of 42657 t in 2012, with an increase of SSB to 122892 t in 2012 and to 136776 t in 2013.
3) This $15 \%$ reduced TAC option gives a yield in 2012 of 37103 t , and a SSB of 123312 t . With this assumption the SSB increase in 2013 to 141 $622 t$, well above the suggested breakpoint of 110000 t .
4) This TAC roll over option gives a catch in 2012 of 43627 t . This option is very similar to option 2) based on FMSY, with an increase from 122818 t in 2012 to 135933 t in 2013, above the breakpoint of 110000 t .
5) This $15 \%$ increased TAC gives a catch in 2011 of 50152 t . With this assumption the SSB increase from 122309 t in 2012 to 130288 t in 2013, both still above the breakpoint of 110000 t .

### 3.7 Reference points

No precautionary reference points are defined for this stock. No new information was available (ICES 2009 ACOM:38).

According to the last year interpretation and analysis (ICES CM 2010/ACOM:06), the long term maximum exploitation target (Fmsy) for WBSS is $\mathrm{F}=0.25$. In agreement with this view, long term management plans for the WBSS herring are being developed based on Fmsy (see WKWATSUP (ICES CM 2010/ACOM:64) and JAKFISH (ICES CM 2010/P:07)). The work is based on stochastic modeling of population dynamics, assessment and management implementation. The development is an ongoing process in order to reach common grounds on science input to management decision. This scientific process has presently come to an end.

### 3.8 Quality of the Assessment

The assessment this year was classified as an update, following the procedures and settings specified in the Stock annex 4. In 2010, the assessment of WBSS was regarded as reliable and consistent, and the diagnostics indicate a similar classification for this year.

Variability around retrospective analysis was consistent with previous years. Model residuals were examined for all the components (catch and survey indices), and no major undesirable pattern was observed.

Mean weight-at-age estimated from the German acoustic survey (GERAS) shows a reduction in the weights of older fish since 2007, that together with marked differences in the length distributions across SD22-24, could indicate important stock mixing between WBSS and Central Baltic Herring (CBH) in this area (Section 3.3.1). Preliminary analyses suggested that if not accounted, the influence of CBH in certain years could affect our estimates of WBSS herring abundance. However, the WG recommended further investigations to address this relevant issue before it could be used to improve the assessment.

Apparently underutilised TACs for SD 22-24 are related to different interpretations in allocation of catches of a particular ICES rectangle, but the issue has been considered irrelevant for the assessment of this stock.

### 3.9 Management Considerations

## Quotas in Division I/Ia

The quota for the C-fleet and the by-catch quota for the D-fleet are set for both stocks of North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) together (see Section 2.7). $50 \%$ of the EU and Norwegian quotas can be transferred from Division IIIa and taken in SubArea IV as NSAS in 2011. ICES assumes this will be effectuated.

## ICES catch predictions versus management TAC

ICES gives advice on catch options for the entire distribution of the two herring stocks separately, whereas herring is managed by areas (see the following text diagram). The procedure of setting TACs in ICES area IIIa and 22-24 takes into account the occurrence of different fleets, catches of both WBSS and NSAS herring, utilization of TACs and the proportion of NSAS and WBSS that mix in the areas. In the flowchart below a schematic:


Box 1: Each year, estimation of the WBSS and NSAS stock size is made using a stock assessment model. Stock size estimation, together with the estimated pattern of harvesting are used as the starting point for the short term forecast.

Box 2: To derive at a TAC proposal in the forecast year, first the intermediate year (the year where the TAC has already been agreed on) catches need to be resolved. Four different fleets catch WBSS, the A fleet (within the IVaEast area where they take it as a mixture of mainly NSAS and partly WBSS), the C and D fleet (within the IIIa area where they take it as a mixture of mainly WBSS and partly NSAS) and the F fleet (within area 22-24 where they only take WBSS). Each of these fleets target herring taking into account a fleet share of the total TAC. Only part of this TAC is WBSS catches and not all fleets utilize their full TAC fleet share. This results in an estimate of the intermediate year WBSS catches. Given WBSS stock size and these intermediate year catches, the fishing mortality the WBSS stock was exploited at can be estimated.

Box 3: Based on the estimated fishing mortality we can now calculate the survivors from the intermediate year to the forecast year, assuming an incoming recruitment. The calculation of the stock size in the forecast year is needed to project catches in the forecast year.

Box 4: The EC targets to get all stocks exploited at Fmsy by the year 2015. From now until 2015 there is an Fmsy transition period. Therefore, catches of WBSS in forecast year are assumed to be caught at Fmsy levels too, appropriately taking the transition equation into account (see transition eq. 5.2.1). The potential WBSS catches are used to define the total TAC in ICES area IIIa and 22-24. Therefore, first the WBSS catches taken by the A fleet in the North Sea need to be taken into account. It is up to expert knowledge where these catches are subtracted from (either the C and D fleet share, or the C, D and F fleet shares). It is the intention to split the remainder between the F and the C \& D fleet according to a $50 \%-50 \%$ ratio. To derive the C and D fleet TAC however, a proportion of NSAS needs to be added here because of the mixed fishery on both WBSS and NSAS by these fleets. Therefore, the TAC of the C and D fleet is larger than the proposed catches of WBSS by these fleets. The ratio between the C and D fleet equals to 4:1.

Box 5: The TAC advice from box 4 is taken into the political arena. The result of this will be taken into account to calculate the WBSS population again the year after. Hence, box 5 is similar to box 1 .

Equation 5.2.1: The FMSY transition equation applied

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i f(S S B<B p a) F_{2011}=\min \left(0.8 * F_{2010}+0.2 * F m s y * \frac{S S B_{2010}}{B p a}, F p a\right) \\
& i f(S S B \geq B p a) F_{2011}=\min \left(0.8 * F_{2010}+0.2 * F m s y, F p a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Development of a management plan for WBSS herring

ICES has in 2010 continued exploration of management options under different assumptions of fishing mortality and recruitment using stochastic simulation with and without TAC constraints, including changes in selection pattern and different levels of uncertainty in the assessment (ICES CM 2010/ACOM:64). A value for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ms }}=0.25$, and a SSB breakpoint of 110000 t equal to the lowest observed SSB below which the state of the stock is uncertain was established under last year assessment (ICES CM 2010/ACOM:06), and a maximum TAC variation of $+/-15 \%$ was supported by WKMAMPEL in 2009 (ICES 2009ACOM:38).

Further development of the management plan within the EU FP7-project "JAKFISH" involving stakeholders has suggested a harvest control rule that include a sloping change in F at SSB below a breakpoint.

## Data used for catch options in 2011 (intermediate year)

There is no firm basis for predicting the yearly fraction of NSAS in the catches of the C- and D-fleets. The proportions of the two stocks are influenced by the year class strength and their relative geographical distributions as well as fleet behaviour.

The procedure of deriving separate catches by stock and fleet is described in the stock annex for North Sea herring. The catch options for 2011 are based on the average share by fleet based on area TACs and the stock composition in catches for the most recent years 2008-2010.

One major change in the fishing pattern in 2009 had a dramatic effect on the development of the WBSS stock. National regulation and control initiatives have efficiently stopped misreporting which before 2009 amounted to more than $30 \%$. This resulted in a continued increase in fishing mortality in 2009 and a decrease in SSB however enforcement of TAC regulations in 2010 decreased landings and fishing mortality considerably whereas SSB continued to decrease due to the poor year classes in the fishery.
In 2011 managers have added considerable uncertainty to predictions by the optional transfer of $50 \%$ of the quotas for human consumption in Division IIIa to the North Sea. If this transfer is effectuated the fishing mortality is greatly reduced and the SSB will increase from 97452 t in 2011 to somewhat above the breakpoint of 110000 t in 2012 with any of the suggested catch options. However if all of the C-fleet TAC is taken in IIIa the stock development will have a slower increase. HAWG has assumed that $50 \%$ of the C-fleet TAC will indeed be transferred to the A-fleet and thus base the catch options on a fishing mortality below $\mathrm{Fmsy}_{\text {in }} 2011$ ( $\mathrm{F}=0.19$ ).

Applying the FMSY framework for WBSS herring ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.25$ ) in the situation when SSB in the advice year (2012) is above the break-point and F< Fmş, means applying a fishing mortality equal to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MS}}$. A F FMSY of 0.25 will give a yield of 42657 t in 2012, with an increase in SSB from 122892 t in 2012 to 136776 t in 2013. The fishing mortality corresponding to a TAC roll over is very similar to F MSY, $_{\text {m }}$ with an increase in SSB from 122 818 t in 2012 to 135933 t in 2013.

The catches of WBSS in the C- and D-fleets comprise $44 \%$ of the total out-take of the WBSS stock, whereas the catches of NSAS by the same fleets only comprise $2.5 \%$ of the total out-take of the NSAS stock. The NSAS has experienced a decline in fishing mortality and subsequent increase in SSB. If the full TAC is not taken in IIIa the WBSS spawning stock biomass is likely to increase somewhat due to the decrease in fishing mortality whereas no above average contribution to stock improvement is expected from still poor incoming year classes. A consolidated reduced fishing mortality on the WBSS with a reduced uncertainty about the realised outtake should to be considered in the management of both stocks. The resulting catch options with the above assumptions were also used as constraints for short term predictions for the NSAS herring (see Section 2.7).

### 3.10 Ecosystem considerations

Herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 is a migratory stock. There are feeding migrations from the Western Baltic into more saline waters of Division IIIa and the eastern parts of Division IVa. There are indications from parasite infections that yet unknown proportions of stock components spawning at the southern coast in the Baltic Sea may perform similar migrations. Herring in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 migrate back to Rügen area (SD 24) in the beginning of the winter for spawn-
ing. Further there are indications that Central Baltic herring in recent years have performed migrations into Subdivision 24.

Similarly to the North Sea herring, the Western Baltic herring has produced several poor year classes in the last decade. However, indications suggest that the declining trend might now be reversed and although the 2009 year class has been revised downwards the 2010 year class is back at the intermediate levels last observed in 2003.

In a recent recruitment analysis for different Baltic herring stocks, the Baltic Sea Index (BSI) reflecting Sea Surface Temperature (SST) was the main predictor for Western Baltic herring (Cardinale et al. 2009). There are no indications of systematic changes in growth or age at maturity, and a candidate key stage for reduced recruitment is probably the larval stage. Recruitment failure appears to have been initiated before the observed occurrence of the Ctenophore (Mnemiopsis leidyi) in the Western Baltic. The specific reasons for reduced larval survival are not known. Further investigation of the causes of the poor recruitment will require targeted research projects.

### 3.11 Changes in the Environment

There are no evident changes in the environment in the last decade that is thought to strongly affect productivity, migration patterns or growth of Western Baltic herring. Although there are indications that higher SST observed in the last decades might affect recruitment negatively the analyses were inconclusive and the observed SST effect rather weak (Cardinale et al. 2009).

Table 3.1.1 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Total landings (both WBSS and NSAS) in 1989-2010 (1000 tonnes).
(Data provided by Working Group members 2011).

| Year | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | $1998^{2}$ | $1999^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Skagerrak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 47.4 | 62.3 | 58.7 | 64.7 | 87.8 | 44.9 | 43.7 | 28.7 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lithuania |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 1.6 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 13.9 | 24.2 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 |
| Sweden | 47.9 | 56.5 | 54.7 | 88.0 | 56.4 | 66.4 | 48.5 | 32.7 | 32.9 | 46.9 | 36.4 |
| Total | 96.9 | 124.4 | 121.5 | 166.6 | 168.4 | 129.0 | 108.9 | 70.8 | 56.0 | 65.2 | 53.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 57.1 | 32.2 | 29.7 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 23.6 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 8.8 | 23.7 | 17.9 |
| Sweden | 37.9 | 45.2 | 36.7 | 26.4 | 16.7 | 15.4 | 30.8 | 27.0 | 18.0 | 29.9 | 14.6 |
| Total | 95.0 | 77.4 | 66.4 | 59.9 | 45.4 | 39.0 | 47.7 | 44.2 | 26.8 | 53.6 | 32.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub. Div. 22+24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 21.7 | 13.6 | 25.2 | 26.9 | 38.0 | 39.5 | 36.8 | 34.4 | 30.5 | 30.1 | 32.5 |
| Germany | 56.4 | 45.5 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 9.8 |
| Poland | 8.5 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 5.3 |
| Sweden | 6.3 | 8.1 | 19.3 | 22.3 | 16.2 | 7.4 | 15.8 | 9.0 | 14.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 |
| Total | 92.9 | 76.9 | 65.9 | 80.3 | 77.1 | 64.6 | 73.3 | 56.7 | 64.7 | 49.9 | 50.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub. Div. 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Sweden | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | 1.6 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 8 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 . 0}$ | 172.7 | 149.8 | 169.4 | 137.2 |


| Year | 2000 | $2001{ }^{5}$ | 2002 ${ }^{4}$ | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 06 ${ }^{1,3}$ | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 16.0 | 16.2 | 26.0 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 14.8 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 12.7 | 5.3 |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Germany |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Lithuania |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Norway | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Sweden | 45.8 | 30.8 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 21.8 | 32.5 | 26.0 | 19.4 | 16.5 | 12.9 | 17.4 |
| Total | 71.5 | 47.0 | 52.3 | 42.0 | 34.1 | 48.5 | 31.8 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 29.7 | 27.0 |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 7.6 |
| Sweden | 17.3 | 16.2 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Total | 36.2 | 35.0 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 17.2 | 21.1 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 10.3 |

Sub. Div. 22+24

| Denmark | 32.6 | 28.3 | 13.1 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Germany | 9.3 | 11.4 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 22.9 | 24.6 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | 16.0 | 12.2 |
| Poland | 6.6 | 9.3 |  | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 |
| Sweden | 4.8 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 2.0 |
| Total | 53.3 | 62.9 | 46.2 | 38.7 | 41.2 | 41.8 | 39.4 | 37.6 | 38.5 | 27.4 | 16.8 |

Sub. Div. 23

| Denmark | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 2.8 | $0.1^{7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sweden | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 |  | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Total | 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 1.0 |


| Grand Total | 162.0 | 145.7 | 128.9 | 109.5 | 92.8 | 113.6 | 93.0 | 87.7 | 82.3 | 69.9 | 55.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary data.
${ }^{2}$ Revised data for 1998 and 1999
Bold= German revised data for 2008 (in HAWG 2010)
${ }^{3} 2000$ tonnes of Danish landings are missing, see text section 3.1.2 (HAWG 2007)
${ }^{4}$ The Danish national management regime for herring and sprat fishery in Subdivision 22 was changed in 2002
${ }^{5}$ The total landings in Skagerrak have been updated for 1995-2001 due to Norwegian misreportings into Skagerral
${ }^{7}$ Official reported catches: 3,103 tonnes, see text section 3.2.1

Table 3.1.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Landings (SOP) in 2003-2010 by fleet and quarter (1000 t). (both WBSS and NSAS)

| Year | Quarter | Div. Illa |  | SD 22-24 | Div. IIIa + SD 22-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fleet C | Fleet D | Fleet F | Total |
| 2003 | 1 | 10.9 | 7 | 20.3 | 38.2 |
|  | 2 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 12.9 | 22.1 |
|  | 3 | 21.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 24.3 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 23.9 |
|  | Total | 55.7 | 12.5 | 40.3 | 108.5 |
| 2004 | 1 | 13.5 | 2.8 | 20.4 | 36.7 |
|  | 2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 16.5 |
|  | 3 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 2.4 | 21.4 |
|  | 4 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 8.6 | 19.4 |
|  | Total | 30.3 | 22.0 | 41.7 | 93.9 |
| 2005 | 1 | 16.6 | 6.1 | 20.4 | 43.1 |
|  | 2 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 15.6 | 20.9 |
|  | 3 | 23.4 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 28.7 |
|  | 4 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 20.5 |
|  | Total | 55.4 | 14.1 | 43.7 | 113.3 |
| 2006 | 1 | 15.3 | 5.9 | 15.1 | 36.2 |
|  | 2 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 17.2 | 19.9 |
|  | 3 | 15.7 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 19.5 |
|  | 4 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 17.3 |
|  | Total | 41.9 | 9.3 | 41.9 | 93.0 |
| 2007 | 1 | 7.7 | 3.0 | 18.8 | 29.5 |
|  | 2 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 10.5 | 14.4 |
|  | 3 | 22.4 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 24.9 |
|  | 4 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 9.5 | 18.9 |
|  | Total | 41.6 | 5.7 | 40.5 | 87.7 |
| 2008 | 1 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 18.4 | 30.5 |
|  | 2 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 11.3 | 14.3 |
|  | 3 | 14.9 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 21.5 |
|  | 4 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 16.0 |
|  | Total | 32.3 | 5.9 | 44.1 | 82.3 |
| 2009 | 1 | 11.1 | 2.7 | 19.5 | 33.2 |
|  | 2 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 10.1 |
|  | 3 | 14.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 16.6 |
|  | 4 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 10.0 |
|  | Total | 34.5 | 4.3 | 31.0 | 69.9 |
| 2010 | 1 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 19.8 |
|  | 2 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 10.1 |
|  | 3 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 14.3 |
|  | 4 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 11.1 |
|  | Total | 35.0 | 2.3 | 17.9 | 55.2 |

Table 3.2.1 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age,
quarter and fleet (both WBSS and NSAS).
Division: Skagerrak Year: 2010 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 1.62 | 28 | 2.33 | 18 | 3.95 | 22 |
|  | 2 | 33.65 | 75 | 0.02 | 37 | 33.66 | 75 |
|  | 3 | 4.05 | 117 |  |  | 4.05 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 1.55 | 156 |  |  | 1.55 | 156 |
|  | 5 | 1.00 | 207 |  |  | 1.00 | 207 |
|  | 6 | 0.58 | 241 |  |  | 0.58 | 241 |
|  | 7 | 0.47 | 231 |  |  | 0.47 | 231 |
|  | 8+ | 0.23 | 245 |  |  | 0.23 | 245 |
|  | Total | 43.14 |  | 2.35 |  | 45.49 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,789 |  | 43 |  | 3,832 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 1.23 | 36 | 19.98 | 18 | 21.21 | 19 |
|  | 2 | 36.43 | 76 | 0.16 | 37 | 36.59 | 76 |
|  | 3 | 4.03 | 117 |  |  | 4.03 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 2.17 | 149 |  |  | 2.17 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.72 | 187 |  |  | 0.72 | 187 |
|  | 6 | 0.26 | 221 |  |  | 0.26 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.41 | 197 |  |  | 0.41 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 0.22 | 205 |  |  | 0.22 | 205 |
|  | Total | 45.46 |  | 20.14 |  | 65.61 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,918 |  | 369 |  | 4,287 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 49.14 | 7 | 49.14 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 60.50 | 77 | 0.35 | 39 | 60.84 | 76 |
|  | 2 | 23.47 | 112 |  |  | 23.47 | 112 |
|  | 3 | 16.20 | 134 | 0.10 | 114 | 16.30 | 134 |
|  | 4 | 5.48 | 161 |  |  | 5.48 | 161 |
|  | 5 | 2.99 | 194 |  |  | 2.99 | 194 |
|  | 6 | 1.24 | 200 |  |  | 1.24 | 200 |
|  | 7 | 0.73 | 197 |  |  | 0.73 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 1.16 | 221 |  |  | 1.16 | 221 |
|  | Total | 111.76 |  | 49.58 |  | 161.34 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 11,559 |  | 367 |  | 11,926 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 |  |  | 2.49 | 17 | 2.49 | 17 |
|  | 1 | 57.82 | 77 | 0.02 | 60 | 57.84 | 77 |
|  | 2 | 8.40 | 114 |  |  | 8.40 | 114 |
|  | 3 | 3.94 | 149 |  |  | 3.94 | 149 |
|  | 4 | 1.35 | 174 |  |  | 1.35 | 174 |
|  | 5 | 0.93 | 213 |  |  | 0.93 | 213 |
|  | 6 | 0.95 | 233 |  |  | 0.95 | 233 |
|  | 7 | 0.36 | 248 |  |  | 0.36 | 248 |
|  | 8+ | 0.82 | 241 |  |  | 0.82 | 241 |
|  | Total | 74.58 |  | 2.51 |  | 77.09 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 6,935 |  | 43 |  | 6,978 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 |  |  | 51.63 | 7 | 51.63 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 121.16 | 76 | 22.68 | 19 | 143.84 | 67 |
|  | 2 | 101.94 | 87 | 0.18 | 37 | 102.12 | 87 |
|  | 3 | 28.22 | 131 | 0.10 | 114 | 28.32 | 131 |
|  | 4 | 10.55 | 160 |  |  | 10.55 | 160 |
|  | 5 | 5.63 | 199 |  |  | 5.63 | 199 |
|  | 6 | 3.04 | 220 |  |  | 3.04 | 220 |
|  | 7 | 1.98 | 215 |  |  | 1.98 | 215 |
|  | 8+ | 2.43 | 228 |  |  | 2.43 | 228 |
|  | Total | 274.95 |  | 74.59 |  | 349.53 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 26,201 |  | 822 |  | 27,023 |

Table 3.2.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet (both WBSS and NSAS)
Division: Kattegat Year: 2010 Country: ALL

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.19 | 30 | 39.33 | 15 | 39.52 | 15 |
|  | 2 | 26.35 | 80 | 11.94 | 40 | 38.29 | 67 |
|  | 3 | 9.57 | 121 | 0.10 | 75 | 9.67 | 120 |
|  | 4 | 5.10 | 156 | 0.31 | 41 | 5.41 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 1.26 | 179 |  |  | 1.26 | 179 |
|  | 6 | 1.03 | 191 |  |  | 1.03 | 191 |
|  | 7 | 0.56 | 210 |  |  | 0.56 | 210 |
|  | 8+ | 0.23 | 184 |  |  | 0.23 | 184 |
|  | Total | 44.29 |  | 51.69 |  | 95.98 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,644 |  | 1,094 |  | 5,738 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 20.74 | 16 | 20.74 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 0.03 | 88 |  |  | 0.03 | 88 |
|  | 3 | 0.03 | 124 |  |  | 0.03 | 124 |
|  | 4 | 0.01 | 159 |  |  | 0.01 | 159 |
|  | 5 | 0.00 | 170 | 0.08 | 111 | 0.09 | 113 |
|  | 6 | 0.00 | 192 |  |  | 0.00 | 192 |
|  | 7 | 0.00 | 201 |  |  | 0.00 | 201 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00 | 184 |  |  | 0.00 | 184 |
|  | Total | 0.07 |  | 20.82 |  | 20.90 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 9 |  | 338 |  | 347 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 2.13 | 9 | 2.13 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 12.70 | 65 | 0.64 | 44 | 13.33 | 64 |
|  | 2 | 3.71 | 96 | 0.01 | 54 | 3.73 | 96 |
|  | 3 | 4.04 | 117 |  |  | 4.04 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 1.02 | 150 |  |  | 1.02 | 150 |
|  | 5 | 0.20 | 168 |  |  | 0.20 | 168 |
|  | 6 | 0.12 | 159 |  |  | 0.12 | 159 |
|  | 7 | 0.11 | 174 |  |  | 0.11 | 174 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 21.90 |  | 2.78 |  | 24.68 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,876 |  | 47 |  | 1,924 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.29 | 28 | 3.67 | 8 | 3.97 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 28.16 | 53 |  |  | 28.16 | 53 |
|  | 2 | 4.22 | 84 |  |  | 4.22 | 84 |
|  | 3 | 1.38 | 143 |  |  | 1.38 | 143 |
|  | 4 | 0.54 | 199 |  |  | 0.54 | 199 |
|  | 5 | 0.09 | 165 |  |  | 0.09 | 165 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.18 | 210 |  |  | 0.18 | 210 |
|  | 8+ | 0.09 | 263 |  |  | 0.09 | 263 |
|  | Total | 34.95 |  | 3.67 |  | 38.63 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,222 |  | 28 |  | 2,251 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.29 | 28 | 5.80 | 8 | 6.09 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 41.05 | 56 | 60.71 | 16 | 101.76 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 34.31 | 82 | 11.95 | 40 | 46.26 | 71 |
|  | 3 | 15.01 | 122 | 0.10 | 75 | 15.11 | 121 |
|  | 4 | 6.68 | 158 | 0.31 | 41 | 6.99 | 153 |
|  | 5 | 1.55 | 177 | 0.08 | 111 | 1.64 | 174 |
|  | 6 | 1.16 | 187 |  |  | 1.16 | 187 |
|  | 7 | 0.85 | 205 |  |  | 0.85 | 205 |
|  | 8+ | 0.32 | 206 |  |  | 0.32 | 206 |
|  | Total | 101.22 |  | 78.96 |  | 180.18 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 8,752 |  | 1,508 |  | 10,260 |

Table 3.2.3 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age and quarter (WBSS).
Division: 22-24 Year: 2010 Country: ALL

| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 9.59 | 12 | 0.04 | 20 | 6.46 | 15 | 16.09 | 14 |
|  | 2 | 6.26 | 37 | 1.02 | 68 | 15.93 | 52 | 23.21 | 49 |
|  | 3 | 4.79 | 73 | 0.67 | 92 | 19.42 | 88 | 24.87 | 85 |
|  | 4 | 1.97 | 114 | 0.47 | 128 | 14.54 | 118 | 16.98 | 118 |
|  | 5 | 1.19 | 134 | 0.27 | 158 | 9.57 | 158 | 11.03 | 155 |
|  | 6 | 1.26 | 158 | 0.11 | 167 | 6.27 | 179 | 7.64 | 175 |
|  | 7 | 0.35 | 184 | 0.06 | 181 | 3.99 | 200 | 4.41 | 198 |
|  | 8+ | 0.94 | 183 | 0.03 | 181 | 3.10 | 207 | 4.07 | 201 |
|  | Total | 26.35 |  | 2.66 |  | 79.28 |  | 108.30 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,517 |  | 268 |  | 8,447 |  | 10,232 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Total |  |
| 2 | 1 | 1.25 | 15 | 0.02 | 67 | 0.34 | 15 | 1.62 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 0.22 | 46 | 0.00 | 104 | 1.25 | 53 | 1.47 | 52 |
|  | 3 | 0.15 | 85 | 0.00 | 184 | 7.78 | 90 | 7.92 | 90 |
|  | 4 | 0.06 | 104 | 0.00 | 191 | 8.10 | 132 | 8.17 | 132 |
|  | 5 | 0.14 | 185 | 0.00 | 196 | 9.03 | 163 | 9.16 | 163 |
|  | 6 | 0.08 | 189 | 0.00 | 198 | 5.18 | 171 | 5.27 | 171 |
|  | 7 | 0.09 | 202 | 0.00 | 219 | 3.06 | 184 | 3.16 | 184 |
|  | 8+ | 0.14 | 212 |  |  | 2.79 | 189 | 2.93 | 190 |
|  | Total | 2.14 |  | 0.04 |  | 37.53 |  | 39.71 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 139 |  | 5 |  | 5,288 |  | 5,431 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers Mean W. |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 0.13 | 8 | 0.11 | 12 | 0.43 | 13 | 0.67 | 12 |
|  | 1 | 0.01 | 37 | 0.52 | 41 | 0.97 | 38 | 1.50 | 39 |
|  | 2 | 0.00 | 67 | 0.49 | 59 | 0.61 | 68 | 1.10 | 64 |
|  | 3 | 0.00 | 133 | 0.67 | 90 | 0.40 | 104 | 1.07 | 96 |
|  | 4 | 0.00 | 168 | 0.39 | 107 | 0.19 | 117 | 0.58 | 111 |
|  | 5 | 0.00 | 200 | 0.31 | 133 | 0.11 | 131 | 0.42 | 132 |
|  | 6 | 0.00 | 203 | 0.15 | 159 | 0.04 | 156 | 0.20 | 159 |
|  | 7 | 0.00 | 217 | 0.07 | 207 | 0.02 | 189 | 0.09 | 202 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00 | 204 | 0.07 | 181 | 0.03 | 168 | 0.11 | 177 |
|  | Total | 0.16 |  | 2.77 |  | 2.80 |  | 5.73 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3 |  | 245 |  | 179 |  | 427 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Mean W. |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.56 | 12 | 0.38 | 12 | 1.68 | 13 | 2.62 | 12 |
|  | 1 | 0.93 | 37 | 1.74 | 41 | 4.62 | 39 | 7.29 | 39 |
|  | 2 | 0.55 | 71 | 1.62 | 58 | 3.37 | 64 | 5.54 | 63 |
|  | 3 | 0.30 | 119 | 2.00 | 81 | 3.13 | 92 | 5.44 | 89 |
|  | 4 | 0.14 | 141 | 1.02 | 85 | 1.55 | 99 | 2.72 | 96 |
|  | 5 | 0.07 | 165 | 0.72 | 103 | 1.01 | 114 | 1.80 | 112 |
|  | 6 | 0.03 | 207 | 0.32 | 113 | 0.44 | 131 | 0.79 | 126 |
|  | 7 | 0.02 | 206 | 0.11 | 161 | 0.18 | 173 | 0.30 | 171 |
|  | 8+ | 0.03 | 181 | 0.13 | 149 | 0.24 | 158 | 0.40 | 157 |
|  | Total | 2.62 |  | 8.04 |  | 16.22 |  | 26.89 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 162 |  | 566 |  | 1,099 |  | 1,827 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.69 | 11 | 0.49 | 12 | 2.11 | 13 | 3.29 | 12 |
|  | 1 | 11.78 | 15 | 2.32 | 41 | 12.38 | 26 | 26.49 | 22 |
|  | 2 | 7.03 | 40 | 3.12 | 62 | 21.16 | 55 | 31.31 | 52 |
|  | 3 | 5.24 | 76 | 3.34 | 85 | 30.73 | 89 | 39.31 | 87 |
|  | 4 | 2.18 | 115 | 1.89 | 100 | 24.39 | 122 | 28.45 | 120 |
|  | 5 | 1.40 | 140 | 1.31 | 122 | 19.72 | 158 | 22.42 | 155 |
|  | 6 | 1.37 | 161 | 0.58 | 136 | 11.94 | 173 | 13.89 | 171 |
|  | 7 | 0.47 | 189 | 0.23 | 179 | 7.26 | 192 | 7.96 | 192 |
|  | 8+ | 1.11 | 187 | 0.23 | 163 | 6.16 | 197 | 7.51 | 194 |
|  | Total | 31.27 |  | 13.52 |  | 135.84 |  | 180.63 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,821 |  | 1,084 |  | 15,012 |  | 17,917 |

Table 3.2.4 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24. Samples of commercial landings by quarter and area for 2010 available to the Working Group.

|  | Country | Quarter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Landings } \\ \text { ('000 tons) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Numbers of } \\ \text { fish aged } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak | Denmark | 1 | 2.0 | 3 | 377 | 375 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.4 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 3 | 2.3 | 21 | 2057 | 827 |
|  |  | 4 | 0.6 | 4 | 244 | 185 |
|  | Total |  | 5.3 | 28 | 2,678 | 1,387 |
|  | Germany | 1 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 92 | 91 |
|  | Total | - | 0.1 | 1 | 92 | 91 |
|  | Norway | 1 | 0.3 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | 2 | 1.6 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | $49$ | 49 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.2 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total |  | 3.3 | 2 | 49 | 49 |
|  | Faroese | 1 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.4 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Lithuania | 1 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.4 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | - |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 1.5 | 9 | 721 | 721 |
|  |  | 2 | 2.3 | 9 | 696 | 696 |
|  |  | 3 | 9.0 | 21 | 827 | 827 |
|  |  | 4 | 4.6 | 14 | 697 | 697 |
|  | Total |  | 17.4 | 53 | 2,941 | 2,941 |
| Kattegat | Denmark | 1 | 4.0 | 7 | 832 | 315 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.3 | 5 | 275 | 122 |
|  |  | 3 | 1.4 | 12 | 1,100 | 438 |
|  |  | 4 | 1.9 | 6 | 506 | 295 |
|  | Total |  | 7.6 | 30 | 2,713 | 1,170 |
|  | Germany | 1 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.00002 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | - |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 1.8 | 9 | 675 | 675 |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 344 | 344 |
|  |  | 4 | 0.4 | 1 | 136 | 136 |
|  | Total |  | 2.7 | 13 | 1,155 | 1,155 |

Table 3.2.4 HERRING IN DIVISION IIIa AND SUBDIVISIONS 22-24. (cont.) Samples of commercial landings by quarter and area for 2010

|  | Country | Quarter | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Landings } \\ \text { ('000 tons) } \end{array}$ | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | Numbers of fish aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subdivision 22 | Denmark | 1 | 0.092 | 8 | 540 | 214 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.031 | 2 | 72 | 72 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.002 | 6 | 314 | 235 |
|  |  | 4 | 0.002 | 12 | 506 | 315 |
|  | Total |  | 0.126 | 28 | 1,432 | 836 |
|  | Germany | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 1,147 | 295 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 602 | 100 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.001 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 631 | 102 |
|  | Total |  | 1.7 | 5 | 2,380 | 497 |
| Subdivision 23 | Denmark | 1 | 0.028 | 2 | 447 | 106 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.005 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.087 | 1 | 70 | 56 |
|  |  | 4 | 0.031 | 1 | 74 | 73 |
|  | Total |  | 0.150 | 4 | 591 | 235 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 0.2 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.2 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.5 |  | o data available |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\text { Subdivision } 24$ | Denmark | 1 | 0.595 | 3 | 320 | 182 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.034 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | 3 | 0.001 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.007 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total |  | 0.636 | 3 | 320 | 182 |
|  | Germany | 1 | 5.1 | 13 | 6,499 | 1,556 |
|  |  | 2 | 4.8 | 16 | 7,272 | 1,314 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.1 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.5 | 2 | 1,291 | 276 |
|  | Total |  | 10.5 | 31 | 15,062 | 3,146 |
|  | Poland | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 413 | 176 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 310 | 108 |
|  |  | 3 | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | - |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 1.8 | 4 | 723 | 284 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | 1.30 | 5 | 699 | 699 |
|  |  | 2 | 0.10 | 1 | 308 | 308 |
|  |  | 3 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 0.60 | 4 | 463 | 463 |
|  | Total |  | 2.03 | 10 | 1,470 | 1,470 |
| Total | Skagerrak | 1-4 | 27.0 | 84 | 5,760 | 4,468 |
|  | Kattegat | 1-4 | 10.3 | 43 | 3,868 | 2,325 |
|  | Subdivision 22 | 1-4 | 1.8 | 33 | 3,812 | 1,333 |
|  | Subdivision 23 | 1-4 | 1.1 | 4 | 591 | 235 |
|  | Subdivision 24 | 1-4 | 15.0 | 48 | 17,575 | 5,082 |
|  | Total | 1-4 | 55.2 | 212 | 31,606 | 13,443 |

Table 3.2.5 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Samples of landings by quarter and area used to to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight at age for 2010

|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak | Denmark | 1 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Germany | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | C | German sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | C | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Faroese | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Denmark | 1 | D | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | D | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Norway | 1 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Norwegian sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Norwegian sampling in Q3 |
| Kattegat | Denmark | 1 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | C | Swedish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Swedish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Swedish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Swedish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Germany | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | No landings |
|  | Denmark | 1 | D | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | D | Danish sampling in Q3 |
| Subdivision 22 | Denmark | 1 | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Danish sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  | Germany | 1 | F | German sampling in Q1 (+ Q1/Q2 in SD 24) |
|  |  | 2 | F | German sampling in Q2 (+ Q2 in SD 24) |
|  |  | 3 | F | German sampling in Q1/Q2 in SD 24 |
|  |  | 4 | F | German sampling in Q3 (+ Q4 in SD 24) |

Fleet $\mathrm{C}=$ Human consumption, Fleet $\mathrm{D}=$ Industrial landings, Fleet $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{All}$ landings from Subdiv.22-24.

Table 3.2.5 continued. WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Samples of landings by quarter and are used to
to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight by age for 2010

|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Subdivision 23 | Denmark | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Danish sampling in Q3 |
|  | Sweden | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Danish sampling in Q4 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Swedish sampling in Q1 in SD 24 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | No landings |
|  |  | $\mathbf{F}$ | F | Swedish sampling in Q4 in SD 24 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | Fwedish sampling in Q4 in SD 24 |  |
|  | Germany | $\mathbf{2}$ | F | Danish sampling in Q1 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Danish sampling in Q1 sampling in Q3 in SD 23 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Danish sampling in Q4 in SD 23 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{F}$ | F | German sampling in Q1 (+ Q2 in SD 24) |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | German sampling in Q2 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | German sampling in Q4 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Polish sampling in Q4 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Polish sampling in Q1 in Q2 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | No landings |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | No landings |

Fleet $\mathrm{C}=$ Human consumption, Fleet $\mathrm{D}=$ Industrial landings, Fleet $\mathrm{F}=$ All landings from Subdiv.22-24.

Table 3.2.6 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Proportion of North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) given in \% in Skagerrak and Kattegat by age and quarter. Year: 2010

| Quarter 1 | W-rings | Skagerrak |  |  | Kattegat |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSAS | WBSS | n | NSAS | WBSS | n |
|  | 1 | 93.49\% | 6.51\% | 169 | 88.46\% | 11.54\% | 208 |
|  | 2 | 52.89\% | 47.11\% | 225 | 32.69\% | 67.31\% | 104 |
|  | 3 | 2.86\% | 97.14\% | 70 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 78 |
|  | 4 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 19 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 41 |
|  | 5 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 9 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 16 |
|  | 6 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 15 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 7 |
|  | 7 | 7.14\% | 92.86\% | 14 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 5 |
|  | 8 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 4 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Quarter } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | W-rings | Skagerrak |  |  | Kattegat |  |  |
|  |  | NSAS | WBSS | n | NSAS | WBSS | n |
|  | 12345678 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 23 | 91.03\% | 8.97\% | 78 |
|  |  | 22.00\% | 78.00\% | 50 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 5 |
|  |  | 2.00\% | 98.00\% | 50 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |
|  |  | 6.67\% | 93.33\% | 30 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 |
|  |  | 6.25\% | 93.75\% | 9 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2 |
|  |  | 6.25\% | 93.75\% | 2 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 |
|  |  | 6.25\% | 93.75\% | 6 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 |
|  |  | 6.25\% | 93.75\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Quarter } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | W-rings | Skagerrak |  |  | Kattegat |  |  |
|  |  | NSAS | WBSS | $n$ | NSAS | WBSS | n |
|  | 0 | 89.24\% | 10.76\% | 288 | 85.05\% | 14.95\% | 107 |
|  | 1 | 82.44\% | 17.56\% | 205 | 66.96\% | 33.04\% | 224 |
|  | 2 | 18.08\% | 81.92\% | 177 | 11.96\% | 88.04\% | 92 |
|  | 3 | 0.55\% | 99.45\% | 183 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 122 |
|  | 4 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 80 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 33 |
|  | 5 | 1.82\% | 98.18\% | 55 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 6 |
|  | 6 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 18 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |
|  | 7 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 8 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 4 |
|  | 8 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 8 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 |
| Quarter$4$ | W-rings | Skagerrak |  |  | Kattegat |  |  |
|  |  | NSAS | WBSS | n | NSAS | WBSS | n |
|  | 0 | 84.06\% | 15.94\% | 69 | 21.74\% | 78.26\% | 92 |
|  | 1 | 80.99\% | 19.01\% | 121 | 43.88\% | 56.12\% | 196 |
|  | 2 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 65 | 6.00\% | 94.00\% | 50 |
|  | 3 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 32 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 16 |
|  | 4 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 9 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 7 |
|  | 5 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 4 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 |
|  | 6 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 |
|  | 7 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2 |
|  | 8 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 1 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |  |

Table 3.2.7 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet. North Sea Autumn spawners Division: Kattegat Year: 2010 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.17 | 30 | 34.79 | 15 | 34.96 | 15 |
|  | 2 | 8.61 | 80 | 3.90 | 40 | 12.52 | 67 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 8.78 |  | 38.70 |  | 47.48 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 694 |  | 684 |  | 1,378 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 18.88 | 16 | 18.88 | 16 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 18.88 |  | 18.88 |  |
|  | SOP |  |  |  | 299 |  | 299 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 1.81 | 9 | 1.81 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 8.50 | 65 | 0.43 | 44 | 8.93 | 64 |
|  | 2 | 0.44 | 96 | 0.00 | 54 | 0.45 | 96 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 8.95 |  | 2.24 |  | 11.18 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 593 |  | 35 |  | 628 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.06 | 28 | 0.80 | 8 | 0.86 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 12.36 | 53 |  |  | 12.36 | 53 |
|  | 2 | 0.25 | 84 |  |  | 0.25 | 84 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 12.67 |  | 0.80 |  | 13.47 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 672 |  | 6 |  | 679 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.06 | 28 | 2.61 | 8 | 2.67 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 21.02 | 57 | 54.10 | 16 | 75.12 | 27 |
|  | 2 | 9.31 | 81 | 3.90 | 40 | 13.22 | 69 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 30.40 |  | 60.61 |  | 91.01 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,959 |  | 1,024 |  | 2,983 |

Table 3.2.8 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet. North Sea Autumn spawners Division: Skagerrak Year: 2010 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 1.51 | 28 | 2.18 | 18 | 3.69 | 22 |
|  | 2 | 17.79 | 75 | 0.01 | 37 | 17.80 | 75 |
|  | 3 | 0.12 | 117 |  |  | 0.12 | 117 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.03 | 231 |  |  | 0.03 | 231 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 19.46 |  | 2.19 |  | 21.65 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,394 |  | 40 |  | 1,434 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 1.23 | 36 | 19.98 | 18 | 21.21 | 19 |
|  | 2 | 8.01 | 76 | 0.04 | 37 | 8.05 | 76 |
|  | 3 | 0.08 | 117 |  |  | 0.08 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 0.14 | 149 |  |  | 0.14 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.04 | 187 |  |  | 0.04 | 187 |
|  | 6 | 0.02 | 221 |  |  | 0.02 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.03 | 197 |  |  | 0.03 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 205 |  |  | 0.01 | 205 |
|  | Total | 9.56 |  | 20.02 |  | 29.58 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 702 |  | 364 |  | 1,066 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 43.85 | 7 | 43.85 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 49.87 | 77 | 0.29 | 39 | 50.16 | 76 |
|  | 2 | 4.24 | 112 |  |  | 4.24 | 112 |
|  | 3 | 0.09 | 134 | 0.00 | 114 | 0.09 | 134 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.05 | 194 |  |  | 0.05 | 194 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 54.26 |  | 44.13 |  | 98.39 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,320 |  | 316 |  | 4,636 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 |  |  | 2.10 | 17 | 2.10 | 17 |
|  | 1 | 46.83 | 77 | 0.01 | 60 | 46.85 | 77 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 46.83 |  | 2.11 |  | 48.94 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,603 |  | 36 |  | 3,639 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 |  |  | 45.94 | 7 | 45.94 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 99.44 | 76 | 22.46 | 18 | 121.90 | 65 |
|  | 2 | 30.05 | 80 | 0.05 | 37 | 30.10 | 80 |
|  | 3 | 0.28 | 122 | 0.00 | 114 | 0.29 | 122 |
|  | 4 | 0.14 | 149 |  |  | 0.14 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 191 |  |  | 0.10 | 191 |
|  | 6 | 0.02 | 221 |  |  | 0.02 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.06 | 216 |  |  | 0.06 | 216 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 205 |  |  | 0.01 | 205 |
|  | Total | 130.11 |  | 68.45 |  | 198.56 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 10,019 |  | 756 |  | 10,775 |

Table 3.2.9 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight ( g .) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet.

Baltic Spring spawners Division:

Kattegat
Year:
2010 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 30 | 4.54 | 15 | 4.56 | 15 |
|  | 2 | 17.74 | 80 | 8.04 | 40 | 25.77 | 67 |
|  | 3 | 9.57 | 121 | 0.10 | 75 | 9.67 | 120 |
|  | 4 | 5.10 | 156 | 0.31 | 41 | 5.41 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 1.26 | 179 |  |  | 1.26 | 179 |
|  | 6 | 1.03 | 191 |  |  | 1.03 | 191 |
|  | 7 | 0.56 | 210 |  |  | 0.56 | 210 |
|  | 8+ | 0.23 | 184 |  |  | 0.23 | 184 |
|  | Total | 35.51 |  | 12.99 |  | 48.50 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,950 |  | 410 |  | 4,361 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 1.86 | 16 | 1.86 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 0.03 | 88 |  |  | 0.03 | 88 |
|  | 3 | 0.03 | 124 |  |  | 0.03 | 124 |
|  | 4 | 0.01 | 159 |  |  | 0.01 | 159 |
|  | 5 | 0.00 | 170 | 0.08 | 111 | 0.09 | 113 |
|  | 6 | 0.00 | 192 |  |  | 0.00 | 192 |
|  | 7 | 0.00 | 201 |  |  | 0.00 | 201 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00 | 184 |  |  | 0.00 | 184 |
|  | Total | 0.07 |  | 1.94 |  | 2.02 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 9 |  | 39 |  | 48 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 0.32 | 9 | 0.32 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 4.19 | 65 | 0.21 | 44 | 4.40 | 64 |
|  | 2 | 3.27 | 96 | 0.01 | 54 | 3.28 | 96 |
|  | 3 | 4.04 | 117 |  |  | 4.04 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 1.02 | 150 |  |  | 1.02 | 150 |
|  | 5 | 0.20 | 168 |  |  | 0.20 | 168 |
|  | 6 | 0.12 | 159 |  |  | 0.12 | 159 |
|  | 7 | 0.11 | 174 |  |  | 0.11 | 174 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 12.96 |  | 0.54 |  | 13.50 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,283 |  | 13 |  | 1,296 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.23 | 28 | 2.87 | 8 | 3.10 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 15.81 | 53 |  |  | 15.81 | 53 |
|  | 2 | 3.97 | 84 |  |  | 3.97 | 84 |
|  | 3 | 1.38 | 143 |  |  | 1.38 | 143 |
|  | 4 | 0.54 | 199 |  |  | 0.54 | 199 |
|  | 5 | 0.09 | 165 |  |  | 0.09 | 165 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.18 | 210 |  |  | 0.18 | 210 |
|  | 8+ | 0.09 | 263 |  |  | 0.09 | 263 |
|  | Total | 22.28 |  | 2.87 |  | 25.15 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,550 |  | 22 |  | 1,572 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.23 | 28 | 3.19 | 8 | 3.42 | 9 |
|  | 1 | 20.02 | 55 | 6.61 | 16 | 26.63 | 45 |
|  | 2 | 25.00 | 83 | 8.05 | 40 | 33.05 | 72 |
|  | 3 | 15.01 | 122 | 0.10 | 75 | 15.11 | 121 |
|  | 4 | 6.68 | 158 | 0.31 | 41 | 6.99 | 153 |
|  | 5 | 1.55 | 177 | 0.08 | 111 | 1.64 | 174 |
|  | 6 | 1.16 | 187 |  |  | 1.16 | 187 |
|  | 7 | 0.85 | 205 |  |  | 0.85 | 205 |
|  | 8+ | 0.32 | 206 |  |  | 0.32 | 206 |
|  | Total | 70.82 |  | 18.35 |  | 89.17 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 6,793 |  | 484 |  | 7,277 |

Table 3.2.10 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight ( g. ) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet.

Baltic Spring spawners Division:

## Skagerrak Year:

2010 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.11 | 28 | 0.15 | 18 | 0.26 | 22 |
|  | 2 | 15.85 | 75 | 0.01 | 37 | 15.86 | 75 |
|  | 3 | 3.93 | 117 |  |  | 3.93 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 1.55 | 156 |  |  | 1.55 | 156 |
|  | 5 | 1.00 | 207 |  |  | 1.00 | 207 |
|  | 6 | 0.58 | 241 |  |  | 0.58 | 241 |
|  | 7 | 0.44 | 231 |  |  | 0.44 | 231 |
|  | 8+ | 0.23 | 245 |  |  | 0.23 | 245 |
|  | Total | 23.69 |  | 0.16 |  | 23.85 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,395 |  | 3 |  | 2,398 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 28.41 | 76 | 0.13 | 37 | 28.54 | 76 |
|  | 3 | 3.95 | 117 |  |  | 3.95 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 2.02 | 149 |  |  | 2.02 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.67 | 187 |  |  | 0.67 | 187 |
|  | 6 | 0.25 | 221 |  |  | 0.25 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.38 | 197 |  |  | 0.38 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 0.21 | 205 |  |  | 0.21 | 205 |
|  | Total | 35.90 |  | 0.13 |  | 36.02 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,216 |  | 5 |  | 3,220 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 5.29 | 7 | 5.29 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 10.62 | 77 | 0.06 | 39 | 10.68 | 76 |
|  | 2 | 19.22 | 112 |  |  | 19.22 | 112 |
|  | 3 | 16.11 | 134 | 0.10 | 114 | 16.21 | 134 |
|  | 4 | 5.48 | 161 |  |  | 5.48 | 161 |
|  | 5 | 2.93 | 194 |  |  | 2.93 | 194 |
|  | 6 | 1.24 | 200 |  |  | 1.24 | 200 |
|  | 7 | 0.73 | 197 |  |  | 0.73 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 1.16 | 221 |  |  | 1.16 | 221 |
|  | Total | 57.50 |  | 5.45 |  | 62.95 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7,239 |  | 50 |  | 7,290 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 |  |  | 0.40 | 17 | 0.40 | 17 |
|  | 1 | 10.99 | 77 | 0.00 | 60 | 10.99 | 77 |
|  | 2 | 8.40 | 114 |  |  | 8.40 | 114 |
|  | 3 | 3.94 | 149 |  |  | 3.94 | 149 |
|  | 4 | 1.35 | 174 |  |  | 1.35 | 174 |
|  | 5 | 0.93 | 213 |  |  | 0.93 | 213 |
|  | 6 | 0.95 | 233 |  |  | 0.95 | 233 |
|  | 7 | 0.36 | 248 |  |  | 0.36 | 248 |
|  | 8+ | 0.82 | 241 |  |  | 0.82 | 241 |
|  | Total | 27.75 |  | 0.40 |  | 28.15 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,333 |  | 7 |  | 3,340 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 |  |  | 5.69 | 8 | 5.69 | 8 |
|  | 1 | 21.72 | 77 | 0.22 | 25 | 21.94 | 76 |
|  | 2 | 71.89 | 90 | 0.13 | 37 | 72.02 | 90 |
|  | 3 | 27.94 | 131 | 0.10 | 114 | 28.03 | 131 |
|  | 4 | 10.40 | 160 |  |  | 10.40 | 160 |
|  | 5 | 5.53 | 199 |  |  | 5.53 | 199 |
|  | 6 | 3.02 | 220 |  |  | 3.02 | 220 |
|  | 7 | 1.92 | 215 |  |  | 1.92 | 215 |
|  | 8+ | 2.42 | 228 |  |  | 2.42 | 228 |
|  | Total | 144.84 |  | 6.14 |  | 150.97 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 16,182 |  | 65 |  | 16,248 |

Table 3.2.11 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet.

North Sea Autumn spawners Division: Illa

Year:
2010 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 1.68 | 28 | 36.97 | 15 | 38.65 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 26.41 | 76 | 3.91 | 40 | 30.32 | 72 |
|  | 3 | 0.12 | 117 |  |  | 0.12 | 117 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 0.03 | 231 |  |  | 0.03 | 231 |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 28.24 |  | 40.89 |  | 69.12 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,088 |  | 724 |  | 2,812 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 1.23 | 36 | 38.86 | 17 | 40.09 | 18 |
|  | 2 | 8.01 | 76 | 0.04 | 37 | 8.05 | 76 |
|  | 3 | 0.08 | 117 |  |  | 0.08 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 0.14 | 149 |  |  | 0.14 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.04 | 187 |  |  | 0.04 | 187 |
|  | 6 | 0.02 | 221 |  |  | 0.02 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.03 | 197 |  |  | 0.03 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 205 |  |  | 0.01 | 205 |
|  | Total | 9.56 |  | 38.90 |  | 48.46 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 702 |  | 663 |  | 1,365 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 45.66 | 7 | 45.66 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 58.37 | 75 | 0.71 | 42 | 59.09 | 74 |
|  | 2 | 4.69 | 111 | 0.00 | 54 | 4.69 | 111 |
|  | 3 | 0.09 | 134 | 0.00 | 114 | 0.09 | 134 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 0.05 | 194 |  |  | 0.05 | 194 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 63.20 |  | 46.37 |  | 109.57 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,913 |  | 351 |  | 5,264 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.06 | 28 | 2.89 | 14 | 2.96 | 15 |
|  | 1 | 59.19 | 72 | 0.01 | 60 | 59.20 | 72 |
|  | 2 | 0.25 | 84 |  |  | 0.25 | 84 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 59.51 |  | 2.91 |  | 62.41 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,275 |  | 42 |  | 4,317 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.06 | 28 | 48.55 | 7 | 48.61 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 120.46 | 72 | 76.56 | 16 | 197.03 | 51 |
|  | 2 | 39.36 | 80 | 3.95 | 40 | 43.31 | 77 |
|  | 3 | 0.28 | 122 | 0.00 | 114 | 0.29 | 122 |
|  | 4 | 0.14 | 149 |  |  | 0.14 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.10 | 191 |  |  | 0.10 | 191 |
|  | 6 | 0.02 | 221 |  |  | 0.02 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.06 | 216 |  |  | 0.06 | 216 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 205 |  |  | 0.01 | 205 |
|  | Total | 160.51 |  | 129.06 |  | 289.57 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 11,978 |  | 1,781 |  | 13,759 |

Table 3.2.12 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age, quarter and fleet.

Baltic Spring spawners
Division: Illa
Year:
2010
Country:
All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.13 | 28 | 4.69 | 15 | 4.82 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 33.59 | 78 | 8.04 | 40 | 41.63 | 70 |
|  | 3 | 13.51 | 120 | 0.10 | 75 | 13.61 | 119 |
|  | 4 | 6.65 | 156 | 0.31 | 41 | 6.96 | 151 |
|  | 5 | 2.26 | 192 |  |  | 2.26 | 192 |
|  | 6 | 1.61 | 209 |  |  | 1.61 | 209 |
|  | 7 | 1.00 | 219 |  |  | 1.00 | 219 |
|  | 8+ | 0.46 | 214 |  |  | 0.46 | 214 |
|  | Total | 59.20 |  | 13.15 |  | 72.35 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 6,345 |  | 413 |  | 6,758 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 1.86 | 16 | 1.86 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 28.44 | 76 | 0.13 | 37 | 28.56 | 76 |
|  | 3 | 3.97 | 117 |  |  | 3.97 | 117 |
|  | 4 | 2.04 | 149 |  |  | 2.04 | 149 |
|  | 5 | 0.67 | 187 | 0.08 | 111 | 0.76 | 179 |
|  | 6 | 0.25 | 221 |  |  | 0.25 | 221 |
|  | 7 | 0.39 | 197 |  |  | 0.39 | 197 |
|  | 8+ | 0.21 | 204 |  |  | 0.21 | 204 |
|  | Total | 35.97 |  | 2.07 |  | 38.04 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,225 |  | 43 |  | 3,268 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  | 5.61 | 7 | 5.61 | 7 |
|  | 1 | 14.82 | 73 | 0.27 | 43 | 15.09 | 73 |
|  | 2 | 22.49 | 110 | 0.01 | 54 | 22.51 | 110 |
|  | 3 | 20.15 | 131 | 0.10 | 114 | 20.24 | 131 |
|  | 4 | 6.50 | 159 |  |  | 6.50 | 159 |
|  | 5 | 3.14 | 193 |  |  | 3.14 | 193 |
|  | 6 | 1.36 | 196 |  |  | 1.36 | 196 |
|  | 7 | 0.84 | 194 |  |  | 0.84 | 194 |
|  | 8+ | 1.16 | 221 |  |  | 1.16 | 221 |
|  | Total | 70.46 |  | 5.99 |  | 76.45 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 8,522 |  | 63 |  | 8,586 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.23 | 28 | 3.27 | 9 | 3.50 | 10 |
|  | 1 | 26.80 | 63 | 0.00 | 60 | 26.80 | 63 |
|  | 2 | 12.37 | 104 |  |  | 12.37 | 104 |
|  | 3 | 5.32 | 148 |  |  | 5.32 | 148 |
|  | 4 | 1.89 | 181 |  |  | 1.89 | 181 |
|  | 5 | 1.02 | 209 |  |  | 1.02 | 209 |
|  | 6 | 0.95 | 233 |  |  | 0.95 | 233 |
|  | 7 | 0.54 | 235 |  |  | 0.54 | 235 |
|  | 8+ | 0.91 | 243 |  |  | 0.91 | 243 |
|  | Total | 50.03 |  | 3.27 |  | 53.30 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,883 |  | 29 |  | 4,912 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.23 | 28 | 8.88 | 8 | 9.11 | 8 |
|  | 1 | 41.74 | 66 | 6.83 | 17 | 48.57 | 59 |
|  | 2 | 96.89 | 88 | 8.18 | 40 | 105.07 | 84 |
|  | 3 | 42.94 | 128 | 0.20 | 94 | 43.15 | 128 |
|  | 4 | 17.08 | 159 | 0.31 | 41 | 17.39 | 157 |
|  | 5 | 7.09 | 194 | 0.08 | 111 | 7.17 | 193 |
|  | 6 | 4.18 | 211 |  |  | 4.18 | 211 |
|  | 7 | 2.77 | 212 |  |  | 2.77 | 212 |
|  | 8+ | 2.74 | 226 |  |  | 2.74 | 226 |
|  | Total | 215.66 |  | 24.48 |  | 240.14 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 22,975 |  | 549 |  | 23,524 |

## WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.

Total catch in numbers (mill) and mean weight (g), SOP (tonnes) of Western Baltic Spring spawners in Division IIIa and the North Sea in the years 1993-2010.

|  | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Numbers | 161.25 | 371.50 | 315.82 | 219.05 | 94.08 | 59.43 | 40.97 | 21.71 | 8.22 | 1,292.03 |
|  | Mean W. | 15.1 | 25.9 | 81.4 | 127.5 | 150.1 | 171.1 | 195.9 | 209.1 | 239.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,435 | 9,612 | 25,696 | 27,936 | 14,120 | 10,167 | 8,027 | 4,541 | 1,966 | 104,498 |
| 1994 | Numbers | 60.62 | 153.11 | 261.14 | 221.64 | 130.97 | 77.30 | 44.40 | 14.39 | 8.62 | 972.19 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.2 | 42.6 | 94.8 | 122.7 | 150.3 | 168.7 | 194.7 | 209.9 | 220.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,225 | 6,524 | 24,767 | 27,206 | 19,686 | 13,043 | 8,642 | 3,022 | 1,898 | 106,013 |
| 1995 | Numbers | 50.31 | 302.51 | 204.19 | 97.93 | 90.86 | 30.55 | 21.28 | 12.01 | 7.24 | 816.86 |
|  | Mean W. | 17.9 | 41.5 | 97.8 | 138.0 | 163.1 | 198.5 | 207.0 | 228.8 | 234.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 902 | 12,551 | 19,970 | 13,517 | 14,823 | 6,065 | 4,404 | 2,747 | 1,696 | 76,674 |
| 1996 | Numbers | 166.23 | 228.05 | 317.74 | 75.60 | 40.41 | 30.63 | 12.58 | 6.73 | 5.63 | 883.60 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.5 | 27.6 | 90.1 | 134.9 | 164.9 | 186.6 | 204.1 | 208.5 | 220.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,748 | 6,296 | 28,618 | 10,197 | 6,665 | 5,714 | 2,568 | 1,402 | 1,241 | 64,449 |
| 1997 | Numbers | 25.97 | 73.43 | 158.71 | 180.06 | 30.15 | 14.15 | 4.77 | 1.75 | 2.31 | 491.31 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.2 | 49.7 | 76.7 | 127.2 | 154.4 | 175.8 | 184.4 | 192.0 | 208.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 498 | 3,648 | 12,176 | 22,913 | 4,656 | 2,489 | 879 | 337 | 480 | 48,075 |
| 1998 | Numbers | 36.26 | 175.14 | 315.15 | 94.53 | 54.72 | 11.19 | 8.72 | 2.19 | 2.09 | 699.98 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.8 | 51.3 | 71.5 | 108.8 | 142.6 | 171.7 | 194.4 | 184.2 | 230.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,009 | 8,980 | 22,542 | 10,287 | 7,804 | 1,922 | 1,695 | 403 | 481 | 55,121 |
| 1999 | Numbers | 41.34 | 190.29 | 155.67 | 122.26 | 43.16 | 22.21 | 4.42 | 3.02 | 2.40 | 584.77 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.5 | 51.0 | 83.6 | 114.9 | 121.2 | 145.2 | 169.6 | 123.8 | 152.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 477 | 9,698 | 13,012 | 14,048 | 5,232 | 3,225 | 749 | 373 | 366 | 47,179 |
| 2000 | Numbers | 114.83 | 318.22 | 302.10 | 99.88 | 50.85 | 18.76 | 8.21 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 915.60 |
|  | Mean W. | 22.6 | 31.9 | 67.4 | 107.7 | 140.2 | 170.0 | 157.0 | 185.0 | 210.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,601 | 10,145 | 20,357 | 10,756 | 7,131 | 3,189 | 1,288 | 249 | 294 | 56,010 |
| 2001 | Numbers | 121.68 | 36.63 | 208.10 | 111.08 | 32.06 | 19.67 | 9.84 | 4.17 | 2.42 | 545.65 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.0 | 51.2 | 76.2 | 108.9 | 145.3 | 171.4 | 188.2 | 187.2 | 203.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,096 | 1,875 | 15,863 | 12,093 | 4,657 | 3,371 | 1,852 | 780 | 492 | 42,079 |
| 2002 | Numbers | 69.63 | 577.69 | 168.26 | 134.60 | 53.09 | 12.05 | 7.48 | 2.43 | 2.02 | 1,027.26 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.2 | 20.4 | 78.2 | 117.7 | 143.8 | 169.8 | 191.9 | 198.2 | 215.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 709 | 11,795 | 13,162 | 15,848 | 7,632 | 2,046 | 1,435 | 481 | 435 | 53,544 |
| 2003 | Numbers | 52.11 | 63.02 | 182.53 | 65.45 | 64.37 | 21.47 | 6.26 | 4.35 | 1.81 | 461.38 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.0 | 37.4 | 76.5 | 113.3 | 132.7 | 142.2 | 153.5 | 169.9 | 162.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 678 | 2,355 | 13,957 | 7,416 | 8,540 | 3,053 | 961 | 740 | 294 | 37,994 |
| 2004 | Numbers | 25.67 | 209.34 | 96.02 | 93.98 | 18.24 | 16.84 | 4.51 | 1.51 | 0.59 | 466.71 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.1 | 43.2 | 81.9 | 117.1 | 145.4 | 157.4 | 170.7 | 184.4 | 187.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 695 | 9,047 | 7,869 | 11,005 | 2,652 | 2,651 | 769 | 279 | 111 | 35,078 |
| 2005 | Numbers | 95.3 | 96.9 | 203.3 | 75.4 | 46.9 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 543.51 |
|  | Mean W. | 14.1 | 54.9 | 85.6 | 121.6 | 148.3 | 162.7 | 176.3 | 178.3 | 200.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,341 | 5,319 | 17,415 | 9,163 | 6,961 | 1,519 | 2,028 | 618 | 282 | 44,645 |
| 2006 c | Numbers | 7.3 | 104.1 | 115.6 | 114.2 | 48.9 | 55.7 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 5.2 | 472.49 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.6 | 36.9 | 82.9 | 113.0 | 142.5 | 175.2 | 198.2 | 209.5 | 220.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 121 | 3,847 | 9,584 | 12,907 | 6,972 | 9,765 | 2,199 | 2,159 | 1,134 | 48,688 |
| 2007 | Numbers | 1.6 | 103.9 | 90.9 | 36.9 | 30.8 | 12.8 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 295.22 |
|  | Mean W. | 25.2 | 65.6 | 85.0 | 115.7 | 138.4 | 159.2 | 190.8 | 178.6 | 211.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 41 | 6,816 | 7,723 | 4,269 | 4,265 | 2,035 | 1,802 | 1,114 | 567 | 28,632 |
| 2008 | Numbers | 4.9 | 101.8 | 71.1 | 38.9 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 258.80 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.2 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 114.5 | 142.2 | 171.2 | 181.4 | 200.0 | 196.4 | 98.02 |
|  | SOP | 94 | 7,281 | 6,472 | 4,456 | 1,917 | 2,590 | 1,402 | 900 | 256 | 25,368 |
| 2009 | Numbers | 14.8 | 149.6 | 132.3 | 45.9 | 24.4 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 398.63 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.4 | 52.0 | 90.3 | 118.6 | 167.5 | 181.4 | 213.9 | 228.9 | 259.5 | 90.89 |
|  | SOP | 199 | 7,783 | 11,946 | 5,436 | 4,094 | 1,974 | 1,669 | 1,757 | 1,371 | 36,230 |
| 2010 | Numbers | 9.1 | 48.6 | 106.1 | 45.2 | 20.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 257.38 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.2 | 59.3 | 84.7 | 129.8 | 165.9 | 196.2 | 221.8 | 234.3 | 257.2 | 106.71 |
|  | SOP | 75 | 2,878 | 8,991 | 5,870 | 3,445 | 1,686 | 1,311 | 1,696 | 1,513 | 27,465 |

Data for 1995 to 2001 was revised in 2003.
c values have been corrected in 2007

Table 3.2.14 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Landings in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t)
by age and quarter from. Western Baltic Spring Spawners
(values from the North Sea, see Table 2.2.1-2.2.5)
Division: $\quad$ IV + IIIa + 22-24
Year:
2010

|  |  | Division IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Sub-division 22-24 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W |
| 1 | 1 | 0.000 | 66.30 | 4.82 | 15.62 | 16.09 | 13.57 | 20.906 | 14.04 |
|  | 2 | 0.000 | 128.60 | 41.63 | 70.25 | 23.21 | 49.03 | 64.837 | 62.65 |
|  | 3 | 0.000 | 153.50 | 13.61 | 119.19 | 24.87 | 85.39 | 38.481 | 97.35 |
|  | 4 | 0.256 | 195.00 | 6.96 | 150.83 | 16.98 | 118.09 | 24.203 | 128.33 |
|  | 5 | 0.084 | 187.90 | 2.26 | 191.59 | 11.03 | 155.45 | 13.376 | 161.76 |
|  | 6 | 0.104 | 210.10 | 1.61 | 208.95 | 7.64 | 175.09 | 9.355 | 181.31 |
|  | 7 | 0.083 | 206.80 | 1.00 | 219.01 | 4.41 | 198.42 | 5.487 | 202.29 |
|  | 8+ | 0.283 | 233.44 | 0.46 | 213.97 | 4.07 | 201.38 | 4.809 | 204.47 |
|  | Total | 0.810 |  | 72.35 |  | 108.30 |  | 181.455 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 171 |  | 6,758 |  | 10,232 |  | 17,161 |
| Quarter |  | Division IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Sub-division 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W |
| 2 | 1 | 0.000 | 62.50 | 1.86 | 15.85 | 1.62 | 16.07 | 3.48 | 15.95 |
|  | 2 | 0.000 | 129.50 | 28.56 | 75.56 | 1.47 | 52.21 | 30.03 | 74.42 |
|  | 3 | 0.000 | 151.20 | 3.97 | 117.25 | 7.92 | 89.68 | 11.90 | 98.89 |
|  | 4 | 0.215 | 208.10 | 2.04 | 149.40 | 8.17 | 132.02 | 10.42 | 136.99 |
|  | 5 | 0.041 | 182.60 | 0.76 | 179.00 | 9.16 | 163.49 | 9.96 | 164.75 |
|  | 6 | 0.190 | 208.90 | 0.25 | 221.16 | 5.27 | 171.14 | 5.71 | 174.59 |
|  | 7 | 0.103 | 227.70 | 0.39 | 197.20 | 3.16 | 184.44 | 3.65 | 187.01 |
|  | 8+ | 0.459 | 242.32 | 0.21 | 204.43 | 2.93 | 189.78 | 3.60 | 197.33 |
|  | Total | 1.008 |  | 38.04 |  | 39.71 |  | 78.76 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 227 |  | 3,268 |  | 5,431 |  | 8,926 |
|  |  | Division IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Sub-division 22-24 |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.61 | 7.06 | 0.67 | 11.71 | 6.28 | 7.55 |
|  | 1 | 0.03 | 67.80 | 15.09 | 72.71 | 1.50 | 38.85 | 16.61 | 69.65 |
|  | 2 | 0.03 | 132.30 | 22.51 | 110.07 | 1.10 | 64.46 | 23.64 | 107.97 |
|  | 3 | 0.52 | 157.30 | 20.24 | 130.62 | 1.07 | 95.60 | 21.84 | 129.54 |
|  | 4 | 0.30 | 209.60 | 6.50 | 159.18 | 0.58 | 110.61 | 7.38 | 157.37 |
|  | 5 | 0.13 | 222.90 | 3.14 | 192.65 | 0.42 | 132.41 | 3.68 | 186.88 |
|  | 6 | 0.15 | 206.50 | 1.36 | 196.32 | 0.20 | 158.76 | 1.70 | 192.86 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 233.10 | 0.84 | 194.42 | 0.09 | 202.44 | 0.97 | 196.76 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00 | 233.81 | 1.16 | 220.99 | 0.11 | 176.81 | 1.27 | 217.30 |
|  | Total | 1.19 |  | 76.45 |  | 5.73 |  | 83.37 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 218 |  | 8,586 |  | 427 |  | 9,231 |
| Quarter |  | Division IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Sub-division 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W |
| 4 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 3.50 | 10.09 | 2.62 | 12.34 | 6.12 | 11.06 |
|  | 1 | 0.000 | 104.00 | 26.80 | 62.55 | 7.29 | 39.16 | 34.09 | 57.55 |
|  | 2 | 0.000 | 149.00 | 12.37 | 104.31 | 5.54 | 62.84 | 17.91 | 91.49 |
|  | 3 | 0.000 | 187.00 | 5.32 | 147.65 | 5.44 | 89.14 | 10.75 | 118.07 |
|  | 4 | 0.217 | 186.00 | 1.89 | 181.20 | 2.72 | 95.88 | 4.83 | 133.41 |
|  | 5 | 0.136 | 207.00 | 1.02 | 209.17 | 1.80 | 111.77 | 2.96 | 149.63 |
|  | 6 | 0.078 | 225.00 | 0.95 | 232.52 | 0.79 | 126.43 | 1.82 | 186.16 |
|  | 7 | 0.045 | 209.00 | 0.54 | 235.31 | 0.30 | 170.70 | 0.89 | 211.94 |
|  | 8+ | 0.276 | 225.30 | 0.91 | 242.72 | 0.40 | 156.55 | 1.58 | 217.82 |
|  | Total | 0.752 |  | 53.30 |  | 26.89 |  | 80.94 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 158 |  | 4,912 |  | 1,827 |  | 6,896 |
|  |  | Division IV |  | Division IIIa |  | Sub-division 22-24 |  | Total |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W |
| Total | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.11 | 8.23 | 3.29 | 12.22 | 12.394 | 9.28 |
|  | 1 | 0.03 | 67.80 | 48.57 | 59.26 | 26.49 | 22.19 | 75.083 | 46.19 |
|  | 2 | 0.03 | 132.30 | 105.07 | 84.23 | 31.31 | 52.16 | 136.419 | 76.88 |
|  | 3 | 0.52 | 157.30 | 43.15 | 127.88 | 39.31 | 87.06 | 82.970 | 108.73 |
|  | 4 | 0.98 | 200.27 | 17.39 | 157.09 | 28.45 | 119.82 | 46.833 | 135.35 |
|  | 5 | 0.39 | 205.56 | 7.17 | 193.21 | 22.42 | 154.80 | 29.979 | 164.64 |
|  | 6 | 0.52 | 210.89 | 4.18 | 210.93 | 13.89 | 170.59 | 18.589 | 180.78 |
|  | 7 | 0.27 | 219.04 | 2.77 | 211.68 | 7.96 | 191.86 | 10.996 | 197.51 |
|  | 8+ | 1.02 | 235.24 | 2.74 | 225.73 | 7.51 | 194.10 | 11.262 | 205.51 |
|  | Total | 3.76 |  | 240.14 |  | 180.63 |  | 424.525 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 772 |  | 23,524 |  | 17,917 |  | 42,214 |

Table 3.2.15
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Total catch in numbers (mill) of Western Baltic Spring Spawners in Division Illa + North Sea + Subdivisions 22-24 in the years 1993-2010


Table 3.2.16 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Mean weight (g) and SOP (tons) of Western Baltic Spring Spawners in Division Illa + North Sea + Subdivisions 22-24 in the years 1993-2010

|  | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 15.1 | 25.9 | 81.4 | 127.5 | 150.1 | 171.1 | 195.9 | 209.1 | 239.0 | 104,498 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 16.2 | 24.5 | 44.5 | 73.6 | 94.1 | 122.4 | 149.4 | 168.5 | 178.7 | 80,512 |
| 1994 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 20.2 | 42.6 | 94.8 | 122.7 | 150.3 | 168.7 | 194.7 | 209.9 | 220.2 | 106,013 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 12.9 | 28.2 | 54.2 | 76.4 | 95.0 | 117.7 | 133.6 | 154.3 | 173.9 | 66,425 |
| 1995 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 17.9 | 41.5 | 97.8 | 138.0 | 163.1 | 198.5 | 207.0 | 228.8 | 234.3 | 76,674 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 9.3 | 16.3 | 42.8 | 68.3 | 88.9 | 125.4 | 150.4 | 193.3 | 207.4 | 74,157 |
| 1996 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 10.5 | 27.6 | 90.1 | 134.9 | 164.9 | 186.6 | 204.1 | 208.5 | 220.2 | 64,449 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 12.1 | 22.9 | 45.8 | 74.0 | 92.1 | 116.3 | 120.8 | 139.0 | 182.5 | 56,817 |
| 1997 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 19.2 | 49.7 | 76.7 | 127.2 | 154.4 | 175.8 | 184.4 | 192.0 | 208.0 | 48,075 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 58.4 | 101.0 | 120.7 | 155.2 | 181.3 | 197.1 | 208.8 | 67,513 |
| 1998 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 27.8 | 51.3 | 71.5 | 108.8 | 142.6 | 171.7 | 194.4 | 184.2 | 230.0 | 55,121 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 13.3 | 26.3 | 52.2 | 78.6 | 103.0 | 125.2 | 150.0 | 162.1 | 179.5 | 51,911 |
| 1999 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 11.5 | 51.0 | 83.6 | 114.9 | 121.2 | 145.2 | 169.6 | 123.8 | 152.3 | 47,179 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 11.1 | 26.9 | 50.4 | 81.6 | 112.0 | 148.4 | 151.4 | 167.8 | 161.0 | 50,060 |
| 2000 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 22.6 | 31.9 | 67.4 | 107.7 | 140.2 | 170.0 | 157.0 | 185.0 | 210.1 | 56,010 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 16.5 | 22.2 | 42.8 | 80.4 | 123.5 | 133.2 | 143.4 | 155.4 | 151.4 | 53,904 |
| 2001 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 9.0 | 51.2 | 76.2 | 108.9 | 145.3 | 171.4 | 188.2 | 187.2 | 203.3 | 42,079 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 12.9 | 22.3 | 46.8 | 69.0 | 93.5 | 150.8 | 145.1 | 146.3 | 153.1 | 63,724 |
| 2002 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 10.2 | 20.4 | 78.2 | 117.7 | 143.8 | 169.8 | 191.9 | 198.2 | 215.5 | 53,544 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 10.8 | 27.3 | 57.8 | 81.7 | 108.8 | 132.1 | 186.6 | 177.8 | 157.7 | 52,647 |
| 2003 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 13.0 | 37.4 | 76.5 | 112.7 | 132.1 | 140.8 | 151.9 | 167.4 | 158.2 | 37,075 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 22.4 | 25.8 | 46.4 | 75.3 | 95.2 | 117.2 | 125.9 | 157.1 | 162.6 | 40,315 |
| 2004 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 27.1 | 43.2 | 81.9 | 117.1 | 145.4 | 157.4 | 170.7 | 184.4 | 187.1 | 35,078 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 3.7 | 14.3 | 47.4 | 77.7 | 96.4 | 125.5 | 150.4 | 165.8 | 151.0 | 41,736 |
| 2005 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 14.1 | 54.9 | 85.6 | 121.6 | 148.3 | 162.7 | 176.3 | 178.3 | 200.6 | 50,765 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 48.3 | 73.3 | 89.3 | 115.5 | 143.6 | 159.9 | 170.2 | 37,013 |
| 2006 c | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 16.6 | 36.9 | 82.9 | 113.0 | 142.5 | 175.2 | 198.2 | 209.5 | 220.0 | 25,965 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 21.2 | 34.0 | 56.7 | 84.0 | 102.2 | 125.3 | 143.9 | 175.8 | 170.0 | 70,911 |
| 2007 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 25.2 | 65.6 | 85.0 | 115.7 | 138.4 | 159.2 | 190.8 | 178.6 | 211.9 | 28,632 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 11.9 | 27.8 | 57.3 | 74.9 | 106.3 | 121.3 | 140.8 | 162.7 | 185.5 | 39,548 |
| 2008 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 19.2 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 114.5 | 142.2 | 171.2 | 181.4 | 200.0 | 196.4 | 25,368 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 16.3 | 49.5 | 65.2 | 88.1 | 110.5 | 133.2 | 140.3 | 156.7 | 172.2 | 43,116 |
| 2009 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 13.4 | 52.0 | 90.3 | 118.6 | 167.5 | 181.4 | 213.9 | 228.9 | 259.5 | 36,230 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 10.5 | 28.3 | 48.1 | 90.5 | 123.7 | 145.2 | 160.4 | 171.2 | 181.8 | 31,032 |
| 2010 | Div. IV+Div. IIIa | 8.2 | 59.3 | 84.7 | 129.8 | 165.9 | 196.2 | 221.8 | 234.3 | 257.2 | 27,465 |
|  | Subdiv. 22-24 | 12.2 | 22.2 | 52.2 | 87.1 | 119.8 | 154.8 | 170.6 | 191.9 | 194.1 | 17,917 |

Data for 1995-2001 for the North Sea and Division IIIa was revised in 2003.
c values have been corrected in 2007

Table 3.2.17 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Transfers of North Sea autumn spawners from Div. Illa to the North Sea Numbers ('000) and mean weight, SOP in (tonnes) 1993-2010.

| W-Rings |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Number | 2,795.4 | 2,032.5 | 237.6 | 26.5 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 5,109.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.5 | 28.6 | 79.7 | 141.4 | 132.3 | 233.4 | 238.5 | 180.6 | 203.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 34,903 | 58,107 | 18,939 | 3,749 | 1,016 | 850 | 647 | 390 | 133 | 118,734 |
| 1994 | Number | 481.6 | 1,086.5 | 201.4 | 26.9 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1,807.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.0 | 42.9 | 83.4 | 110.7 | 138.3 | 158.6 | 184.6 | 199.1 | 213.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 7,723 | 46,630 | 16,790 | 2,980 | 831 | 460 | 287 | 75 | 37 | 75,811 |
| 1995 | Number | 1,144.5 | 1,189.2 | 161.5 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2,514.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.2 | 39.1 | 88.3 | 145.7 | 165.5 | 204.5 | 212.2 | 236.4 | 244.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 12,837 | 46,555 | 14,267 | 1,940 | 573 | 225 | 133 | 86 | 65 | 76,680 |
| 1996 | Number | 516.1 | 961.1 | 161.4 | 17.0 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1,661.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.0 | 23.4 | 80.2 | 126.6 | 165.0 | 186.5 | 216.1 | 216.3 | 239.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,697 | 22,448 | 12,947 | 2,151 | 565 | 307 | 145 | 77 | 66 | 44,403 |
| 1997 | Number | 67.6 | 305.3 | 131.7 | 21.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 528.7 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.3 | 47.7 | 68.5 | 124.4 | 171.5 | 184.7 | 188.7 | 188.7 | 192.4 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,304 | 14,571 | 9,025 | 2,643 | 285 | 146 | 40 | 16 | 25 | 28,057 |
| 1998 | Number | 51.3 | 745.1 | 161.5 | 26.6 | 19.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1,011.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.4 | 56.4 | 79.8 | 117.8 | 162.9 | 179.7 | 197.2 | 178.9 | 226.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,409 | 41,994 | 12,896 | 3,137 | 3,136 | 547 | 608 | 211 | 108 | 64,045 |
| 1999 | Number | 598.8 | 303.0 | 148.6 | 47.2 | 13.4 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,119.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.4 | 50.5 | 87.7 | 113.7 | 137.4 | 156.5 | 188.1 | 187.3 | 198.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 6,255 | 15,297 | 13,037 | 5,369 | 1,841 | 974 | 230 | 90 | 92 | 43,186 |
| 2000 | Number | 235.3 | 984.3 | 116.0 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,391.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 21.3 | 28.5 | 76.1 | 108.8 | 163.1 | 190.3 | 183.9 | 189.4 | 200.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,005 | 28,012 | 8,825 | 2,377 | 3,731 | 1,436 | 601 | 114 | 13 | 50,115 |
| 2001 | Number | 807.8 | 563.6 | 150.0 | 17.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,540.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.7 | 49.4 | 75.3 | 108.2 | 130.1 | 147.1 | 219.1 | 175.8 | 198.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 7,029 | 27,849 | 11,300 | 1,856 | 177 | 43 | 109 | 8 | 5 | 48,376 |
| 2002 | Number | 478.5 | 362.6 | 56.7 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 904.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.2 | 38.0 | 100.6 | 121.5 | 142.7 | 160.9 | 178.7 | 177.4 | 218.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,859 | 13,790 | 5,705 | 684 | 106 | 26 | 21 | 8 | 5 | 26,205 |
| 2003 | Number | 21.6 | 445.0 | 182.3 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 682.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.5 | 33.7 | 67.0 | 123.2 | 150.3 | 163.5 | 190.2 | 214.6 | 186.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 442 | 14,992 | 12,219 | 1,606 | 2,436 | 293 | 213 | 264 | 33 | 32,498 |
| 2004 | Number | 88.4 | 70.9 | 179.9 | 20.7 | 6.0 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 380.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 22.5 | 55.3 | 70.2 | 120.6 | 140.9 | 151.7 | 170.6 | 186.6 | 178.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,993 | 3,921 | 12,638 | 2,498 | 851 | 1,479 | 312 | 367 | 154 | 24,214 |
| 2005 | Number | 96.4 | 307.5 | 159.2 | 16.2 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 589.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.5 | 50.5 | 71.0 | 105.9 | 154.6 | 173.5 | 184.5 | 200.2 | 208.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,595 | 15,527 | 11,304 | 1,712 | 828 | 412 | 420 | 95 | 34 | 31,927 |
| 2006 | Number | 35.1 | 150.1 | 50.2 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 253.3 |
|  | Mean W. | 14.3 | 53.5 | 79.2 | 117.6 | 140.2 | 185.5 | 190.4 | 215.6 | 206.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 503 | 8,035 | 3,975 | 1,200 | 456 | 620 | 107 | 81 | 37 | 15,015 |
| 2007 | Number | 67.7 | 189.3 | 76.9 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 338.7 |
|  | Mean W. | 26.7 | 62.6 | 71.1 | 108.1 | 124.4 | 151.7 | 183.7 | 174.7 | 153.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,807 | 11,857 | 5,464 | 224 | 55 | 219 | 48 | 110 | 3 | 19,788 |
| 2008 | Number | 85.7 | 86.6 | 72.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 247.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.2 | 57.6 | 86.4 | 109.1 | 138.7 | 167.7 | 175.4 | 203.1 | 197.7 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,386 | 4,986 | 6,222 | 205 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 67 | 13 | 12,949 |
| 2009 | Number | 116.8 | 77.5 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 202.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.4 | 59.8 | 101.0 | 81.3 | 206.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 268.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,095 | 4,635 | 710 | 29 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 6,542 |
| 2010 | Number | 48.6 | 197.0 | 43.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 289.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 7.5 | 50.6 | 76.8 | 122.3 | 149.3 | 191.3 | 221.5 | 216.3 | 204.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 364 | 9,975 | 3,325 | 35 | 22 | 19 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 13,759 |

Corrections for the years 1991-1998 was made in HAWG 2001, but are NOT included in the North Sea assessment.

Table 3.3.1a WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. German acoustic survey (GERAS) on the Spring Spawning Herring in Subdivisions 21 (Southern Kattegat, 41G0-42G2)-24 in autumn 1993-2010 (September/October).

| Year | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001* | 2002** | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 893 | 5,475 | 5,108 | 1,833 | 2,859 | 2,490 | 5,994 | 1,009 | 2,478 | 4,103 | 3,777 | 2,555 | 3,055 | 4,159 | 2,591 | 2,150 | 2,821 | 4,561 |
| 1 | 492 | 416 | 1,675 | 1,439 | 1,955 | 801 | 1,339 | 1,430 | 1,126 | 838 | 1,238 | 969 | 753 | 950 | 560 | 393 | 271 | 536 |
| 2 | 437 | 884 | 329 | 590 | 738 | 679 | 287 | 454 | 1,227 | 421 | 223 | 592 | 640 | 274 | 278 | 214 | 135 | 339 |
| 3 | 530 | 560 | 358 | 434 | 395 | 394 | 233 | 329 | 845 | 575 | 217 | 346 | 401 | 376 | 149 | 209 | 92 | 483 |
| 4 | 403 | 444 | 354 | 295 | 162 | 237 | 156 | 202 | 367 | 341 | 260 | 163 | 192 | 353 | 136 | 150 | 61 | 336 |
| 5 | 125 | 189 | 254 | 306 | 119 | 100 | 52 | 79 | 132 | 64 | 97 | 143 | 105 | 183 | 88 | 166 | 32 | 182 |
| 6 | 55 | 60 | 127 | 119 | 99 | 51 | 8 | 39 | 86 | 25 | 38 | 79 | 90 | 131 | 25 | 102 | 34 | 76 |
| 7 | 28 | 24 | 46 | 47 | 33 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 20 | 10 | 9 | 23 | 26 | 85 | 23 | 42 | 16 | 88 |
| $8+$ | 13 | 2 | 27 | 19 | 48 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 30 | 11 | 19 | 4 | 27 |
| Total | 2,976 | 8,053 | 8,277 | 5,083 | 6,409 | 4,785 | 8,072 | 3,551 | 6,290 | 6,389 | 5,869 | 4,882 | 5,279 | 6,542 | 3,860 | 3,445 | 3,465 | 6,628 |
| 3+ group | 1,154 | 1,279 | 1,166 | 1,220 | 856 | 815 | 452 | 658 | 1,459 | 1,028 | 631 | 766 | 830 | 1,159 | 432 | 688 | 238 | 1,192 |


| Biomass ('000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 12.8 | 66.9 | 58.5 | 16.6 | 28.5 | 23.8 | 71.8 | 13.8 | 31.2 | 38.2 | 33.9 | 23.1 | 33.1 | 43.9 | 25.8 | 24.8 | 30.1 | 39.9 |
| 1 | 19.5 | 14.5 | 58.6 | 46.6 | 76.4 | 39.9 | 51.1 | 57.5 | 48.2 | 34.2 | 44.8 | 35.9 | 30.1 | 38.8 | 23.0 | 17.7 | 10.3 | 24.3 |
| 2 | 21.7 | 41.0 | 20.9 | 29.1 | 43.5 | 50.1 | 22.0 | 28.4 | 75.9 | 30.0 | 16.1 | 34.5 | 48.6 | 19.7 | 20.8 | 12.5 | 8.4 | 29.3 |
| 3 | $33.8$ | 40.7 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 35.9 | 35.3 | 27.5 | 27.7 | 77.2 | 56.8 | 22.0 | 27.7 | 36.2 | 35.9 | 12.6 | 17.7 | 6.3 | 38.7 |
| 4 | 25.7 | 43.0 | 40.1 | $21.2$ | 22.3 | 28.0 | 16.7 | 24.1 | 38.0 | 40.4 | 34.2 | 18.4 | 22.7 | 37.4 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 3.8 | 29.3 |
| 5 | 12.7 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 37.1 | 16.7 | 11.4 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 18.5 | 9.0 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 14.4 | 27.2 | 8.9 | 16.8 | 2.5 | 21.7 |
| 6 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 14.0 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 12.2 | 14.5 | 19.9 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 2.2 | 11.5 |
| 7 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 14.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 9.3 |
| $8+$ | 1.8 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 10.6 | $2.2$ | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 4.6 |
| Total | 137.3 | 248.5 | 266.3 | 206.8 | 253.3 | 200.5 | 197.5 | 168.4 | 308.1 | 215.0 | 174.2 | 174.6 | 208.3 | 243.9 | 111.0 | 118.0 | 65.0 | 208.6 |
| 3+ group | 83.3 | 126.2 | 128.2 | 114.4 | 104.9 | 86.8 | 52.6 | 68.7 | 152.9 | 112.6 | 79.4 | 81.1 | 96.5 | 141.5 | 41.4 | 63.0 | 16.3 | 115.2 |


| Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 8.8 |
| 1 | 39.7 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 32.4 | 39.1 | 49.8 | 38.2 | 40.2 | 42.8 | 40.8 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 40.0 | 40.8 | 41.0 | 45.0 | 38.1 | 45.3 |
| 2 | 49.7 | 46.4 | 63.7 | 49.4 | 58.9 | 73.8 | 76.6 | 62.6 | 61.8 | 71.1 | 72.3 | 58.3 | 76.0 | 71.9 | 74.8 | 58.4 | 62.4 | 86.4 |
| 3 | 63.9 | 72.8 | 84.1 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 89.5 | 118.2 | 84.3 | 91.4 | 98.7 | 101.3 | 80.1 | 90.2 | 95.3 | 84.6 | 84.7 | 68.3 | 80.1 |
| 4 | 63.6 | $97.0$ | 113.3 | 71.7 | 137.2 | 118.4 | 106.9 | 119.4 | 103.4 | 118.3 | 131.2 | 112.6 | 118.3 | 106.2 | 92.0 | 95.5 | 62.4 | 87.3 |
| 5 | $101.4$ | 127.7 | $107.6$ | 121.6 | 140.8 | 114.1 | 130.3 | 117.3 | 140.4 | 141.8 | 150.2 | 121.0 | 136.7 | 148.9 | 100.9 | 100.7 | 77.2 | 119.5 |
| 6 | $127.7$ | $203.9$ | $117.7$ | 134.6 | 141.0 | 120.8 | 106.6 | 145.5 | 154.8 | 142.6 | 150.2 | 154.7 | 161.3 | 151.7 | 116.8 | 86.5 | 66.1 | 151.4 |
| 7 | 81.0 | 225.2 | 199.6 | 129.9 | 160.2 | 157.2 | 237.9 | 204.5 | 198.5 | 110.9 | 156.6 | 151.0 | 201.8 | 171.5 | 109.3 | 83.4 | 65.0 | 105.2 |
| 8+ | 137.7 | 269.1 | 241.2 | 154.9 | 222.3 | 232.6 | 218.5 | 180.7 | 217.0 | 142.6 | 163.3 | 169.2 | 213.4 | 213.9 | 176.0 | 103.3 | 120.9 | 169.5 |
| Total | 46.1 | 30.9 | 32.2 | 40.7 | 39.5 | 41.9 | 24.5 | 47.4 | 49.0 | 33.6 | 29.7 | 35.8 | 39.5 | 37.3 | 28.7 | 34.3 | 18.8 | 31.5 |

${ }_{\text {*incl. }}^{* \text { incl. mean for Sub-division } 23 \text {, which was not covered by RV SOLEA }}$
**incl. mean for Sub-division 21, which was not covered by RV SOLEA

Table 3.3.1b
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. German acoustic survey (GERAS) on the Spring Spawning Herring in Subdivisions 21 (Southern Kattegat, 41G0-42G2)-24 in autumn 1993-2010 (September/October)

| Year | $1993$ | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001*2 | 2002** | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *** | *** | *** | ** | *** |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 893 | 5,475 | 5,108 | 1,833 | 2,859 | 2,490 | 5,994 | 1,009 | 2,478 | 4,103 | 3,777 | 2,555 | 3,055 | 4,170 | 2,593 | 2,154 | 2,822 | 4,568 |
| 1 | 492 | 416 | 1,675 | 1,439 | 1,955 | 801 | 1,339 | 1,430 | 1,126 | 838 | 1,238 | 969 | 753 | 941 | 559 | 393 | 271 | 535 |
| 2 | 437 | 884 | 329 | 590 | 738 | 679 | 287 | 454 | 1,227 | 421 | 223 | 592 | 640 | 227 | 271 | 190 | 108 | 328 |
| 3 | 530 | 560 | 358 | 434 | 395 | 394 | 233 | 329 | 844 | 575 | 217 | 346 | 401 | 280 | 117 | 166 | 44 | 215 |
| 4 | 403 | 444 | 354 | 295 | 162 | 237 | 156 | 202 | 367 | 341 | 260 | 163 | 192 | 212 | 77 | 102 | 18 | 107 |
| 5 | 125 | 189 | 254 | 306 | 119 | 100 | 52 | 79 | 132 | 64 | 97 | 143 | 105 | 140 | 44 | 82 | 9 | 86 |
| 6 | 55 | 60 | 127 | 119 | 99 | 51 | 8 | 39 | 86 | 25 | 38 | 79 | 90 | 95 | 11 | 30 | 3 | 46 |
| 7 | 28 | 24 | 46 | 47 | 33 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 23 | 26 | 66 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 23 |
| 8+ | 13 | 2 | 27 | 19 | 48 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 28 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 15 |
| Total | 2,976 | 8,053 | 8,277 | 5,083 | 6,409 | 4,785 | 8,072 | 3,551 | 6,289 | 6,389 | 5,869 | 4,882 | 5,279 | 6,158 | 3,688 | 3,132 | 3,277 | 5,922 |
| 3+ group | 1,154 | 1,279 | 1,166 | 1,220 | 856 | 815 | 452 | 658 | 1,458 | 1,028 | 631 | 766 | 830 | 820 | 266 | 395 | 77 | 492 |


| Biomass ('000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 12.8 | 66.9 | 58.5 | 16.6 | 28.5 | 23.8 | 71.8 | 13.8 | 31.2 | 38.2 | 33.9 | 23.1 | 33.1 | 43.2 | 25.2 | 23.8 | 29.5 | 36.9 |
| 1 | 19.5 | 14.5 | 58.6 | 46.6 | 76.4 | 39.9 | 51.1 | 57.5 | 48.2 | 34.2 | 44.8 | 35.9 | 30.1 | 38.2 | 22.8 | 17.6 | 10.5 | 21.3 |
| 2 | 21.7 | 41.0 | 20.9 | 29.1 | 43.5 | 50.1 | 22.0 | 28.4 | 75.9 | 30.0 | 16.1 | 34.5 | 48.6 | 18.0 | 20.6 | 11.4 | 7.5 | 25.5 |
| 3 | 33.8 | 40.7 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 35.9 | 35.3 | 27.5 | 27.7 | 77.2 | 56.8 | 22.0 | 27.7 | 36.2 | 31.9 | 11.4 | 15.3 | 4.4 | 23.6 |
| 4 | 25.7 | 43.0 | 40.1 | 21.2 | 22.3 | 28.0 | 16.7 | 24.1 | 37.9 | 40.4 | 34.2 | 18.4 | 22.7 | 31.3 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 2.0 | 15.2 |
| 5 | 12.7 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 37.1 | 16.7 | 11.4 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 18.5 | 9.0 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 14.4 | 24.9 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 1.4 | 15.4 |
| 6 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 14.0 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 12.2 | 14.5 | 17.7 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 8.9 |
| 7 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 13.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 4.5 |
| 8+ | 1.8 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 10.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.0 |
| Total | 137.3 | 248.5 | 266.3 | 206.8 | 253.3 | 200.5 | 197.5 | 168.4 | 308.0 | 215.0 | 174.2 | 174.6 | 208.3 | 224.9 | 101.7 | 98.2 | 56.5 | 154.4 |
| 3+ group | 83.3 | 126.2 | 128.2 | 114.4 | 104.9 | 86.8 | 52.6 | 68.7 | 152.8 | 112.6 | 79.4 | 81.1 | 96.5 | 125.5 | 33.1 | 45.4 | 9.0 | 70.6 |

Mean weight (g)

| Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 8.1 |
| 1 | 39.7 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 32.4 | 39.1 | 49.8 | 38.2 | 40.2 | 42.8 | 40.8 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 40.0 | 40.6 | 40.8 | 44.8 | 38.6 | 39.9 |
| 2 | 49.7 | 46.4 | 63.7 | 49.4 | 58.9 | 73.8 | 76.6 | 62.6 | 61.8 | 71.1 | 72.3 | 58.3 | 76.0 | 79.4 | 76.1 | 60.1 | 70.1 | 77.7 |
| 3 | 63.9 | 72.8 | 84.1 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 89.5 | 118.2 | 84.3 | 91.4 | 98.7 | 101.3 | 80.1 | 90.2 | 114.3 | 96.9 | 92.1 | 101.8 | 109.8 |
| 4 | 63.6 | 97.0 | 113.3 | 71.7 | 137.2 | 118.4 | 106.9 | 119.4 | 103.4 | 118.3 | 131.2 | 112.6 | 118.3 | 147.3 | 126.4 | 108.8 | 110.6 | 141.6 |
| 5 | 101.4 | 127.7 | 107.6 | 121.6 | 140.8 | 114.1 | 130.3 | 117.3 | 140.4 | 141.8 | 150.2 | 121.0 | 136.7 | 177.9 | 153.3 | 141.1 | 153.8 | 180.3 |
| 6 | 127.7 | 203.9 | 117.7 | 134.6 | 141.0 | 120.8 | 106.6 | 145.5 | 154.8 | 142.6 | 150.2 | 154.7 | 161.3 | 187.0 | 171.3 | 162.5 | 190.9 | 193.6 |
| 7 | 81.0 | 225.2 | 199.6 | 129.9 | 160.2 | 157.2 | 237.9 | 204.5 | 198.6 | 110.9 | 156.6 | 151.0 | 201.8 | 201.8 | 189.6 | 153.9 | 197.4 | 197.1 |
| 8+ | 137.7 | 269.1 | 241.2 | 154.9 | 222.3 | 232.6 | 218.5 | 180.7 | 217.0 | 142.6 | 163.3 | 169.2 | 213.4 | 228.3 | 211.0 | 206.4 | 178.8 | 196.8 |
| Total | 46.1 | 30.9 | 32.2 | 40.7 | 39.5 | 41.9 | 24.5 | 47.4 | 49.0 | 33.6 | 29.7 | 35.8 | 39.5 | 36.5 | 27.6 | 31.3 | 17.2 | 26.1 |

[^0]Table 3.3.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Acoustic surveys on the Spring
Spawning Herring in the North Sea/Division IIIa in 1991-2010 (July).

| Year | 1991 | 1992* | 1993* | 1994* | 1995* | 1996* | 1997 | 19981 | 1999** | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 3,853 | 372 | 964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 277 | 103 | 5 | 2,199 | 1,091 | 128 | 138 | 1,367 | 1,509 | 66 | 3,346 | 1,833 | 1,669 | 2,687 | 2,081 | 3,918 | 5,852 | 565 | 999 |
| 2 | 1,864 | 2,092 | 2,768 | 413 | 1,887 | 1,005 | 715 | 1,682 | 1,143 | 1,891 | 641 | 1,577 | 1,110 | 930 | 1,342 | 2,217 | 3,621 | 1,160 | 398 | 511 |
| 3 | 1,927 | 1,799 | 1,274 | 935 | 1,022 | 247 | 787 | 901 | 523 | 674 | 452 | 1,393 | 395 | 726 | 464 | 1,780 | 933 | 843 | 205 | 254 |
| 4 | 866 | 1,593 | 598 | 501 | 1,270 | 141 | 166 | 282 | 135 | 364 | 153 | 524 | 323 | 307 | 201 | 490 | 499 | 333 | 161 | 115 |
| 5 | 350 | 556 | 434 | 239 | 255 | 119 | 67 | 111 | 28 | 186 | 96 | 88 | 103 | 184 | 103 | 180 | 154 | 274 | 82 | 65 |
| 6 | 88 | 197 | 154 | 186 | 174 | 37 | 69 | 51 | 3 | 56 | 38 | 40 | 25 | 72 | 84 | 27 | 34 | 176 | 86 | 24 |
| 7 | 72 | 122 | 63 | 62 | 39 | 20 | 80 | 31 | 2 | 7 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 22 | 37 | 10 | 26 | 45 | 39 | 28 |
| $8+$ | 10 | 20 | 13 | 34 | 21 | 13 | 77 | 53 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 18 | 21 | 0.1 | 14 | 44 | 65 | 34 |
| Total | 5,177 | 10,509 | 5,779 | 3,339 | 6,867 | 2,673 | 2,088 | 3,248 | 3,201 | 4,696 | 1,481 | 7,002 | 3,807 | 3,926 | 4,939 | 6,786 | 9,199 | 8,839 | 1,601 | 2,030 |
| 3+ group | 5,177 | 4,287 | 2,536 | 1,957 | 2,781 | 577 | 1,245 | 1,428 | 691 | 1,295 | 774 | 2,079 | 864 | 1,328 | 910 | 2,487 | 1,660 | 1,715 | 638 | 520 |
| Biomass ('000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 34.3 | 1 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 26.8 | 7 | 0.4 | 77.4 | 52.9 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 74.8 | 61.4 | 3.5 | 137.2 | 79.0 | 63.9 | 105.9 | 112.6 | 193.2 | 284.4 | 26.8 | 53.0 |
| 2 | 177.1 | 169.0 | 139 | 33.2 | 108.9 | 87.0 | 52.2 | 136.1 | 101.6 | 138.1 | 55.8 | 107.2 | 91.5 | 75.6 | 100.1 | 160.5 | 273.4 | 100.9 | 48.8 | 34.0 |
| 3 | 219.7 | 206.3 | 112 | 114.7 | 102.6 | 27.6 | 81.0 | 84.8 | 59.5 | 68.8 | 51.2 | 126.9 | 41.4 | 89.4 | 46.6 | 158.6 | 90.9 | 101.8 | 30.6 | 28.0 |
| 4 | 116.0 | 204.7 | 69 | 76.7 | 145.5 | 17.9 | 21.5 | 35.2 | 14.7 | 45.3 | 21.5 | 55.9 | 41.7 | 41.5 | 28.9 | 56.3 | 59.6 | 47.1 | 29.4 | 17.0 |
| 5 | 51.1 | 83.3 | 65 | 41.8 | 33.9 | 17.8 | 9.8 | 13.1 | 3.4 | 25.1 | 17.9 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 29.3 | 16.5 | 23.7 | 18.5 | 45.3 | 17.5 | 11.0 |
| 6 | 19.0 | 36.6 | 26 | 38.1 | 27.4 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 11.7 | 14.9 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 30.9 | 21.4 | 5.0 |
| 7 | 13.0 | 24.4 | 16 | 13.1 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 14.9 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 6.0 |
| 8+ | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 19.8 | 8.0 |
| Total | 597.9 | 756.1 | 436.5 | 325.8 | 506.2 | 215.1 | 207.5 | 297.0 | 254.9 | 351.4 | 164.2 | 454.0 | 274.5 | 318.8 | 325.3 | 517.5 | 644.7 | 628.5 | 204.9 | 162.0 |
| 3+ group | 420.9 | 560.3 | 291.0 | 292.3 | 319.9 | 75.2 | 150.6 | 153.7 | 78.5 | 151.9 | 104.9 | 209.6 | 104.0 | 179.3 | 119.3 | 244.4 | 178.2 | 243.2 | 129.3 | 75.0 |
| Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 8.9 | 4.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 96.8 | 66.3 | 80.0 | 35.2 | 48.5 | 36.9 | 51.9 | 54.7 | 40.7 | 54.0 | 41.0 | 43.1 | 38.3 | 39.4 | 54.1 | 49.3 | 48.6 | 47.5 | 52.7 |
| 2 | 95.0 | 80.8 | 50.1 | 80.3 | 57.7 | 86.6 | 73.0 | 80.9 | 88.9 | 73.1 | 87.0 | 68.0 | 82.5 | 81.3 | 74.6 | 72.4 | 75.5 | 87.0 | 122.7 | 65.8 |
| 3 | 114.0 | 114.7 | 87.9 | 122.7 | 100.4 | 111.9 | 103.0 | 94.1 | 113.8 | 102.2 | 113.2 | 91.1 | 104.9 | 123.2 | 100.5 | 89.1 | 97.4 | 120.8 | 149.1 | 111.4 |
| 4 | 134.0 | 128.5 | 116.2 | 153.0 | 114.6 | 126.8 | 129.6 | 124.7 | 109.1 | 124.4 | 140.5 | 106.6 | 128.8 | 135.2 | 143.7 | 114.8 | 119.5 | 141.4 | 182.9 | 150.9 |
| 5 | 146.0 | 149.8 | 149.9 | 175.1 | 132.9 | 149.4 | 145.0 | 118.7 | 120.0 | 135.4 | 185.2 | 145.8 | 134.2 | 159.4 | 160.9 | 131.6 | 120.0 | 165.5 | 213.3 | 175.6 |
| 6 | 216.0 | 185.7 | 169.6 | 205.0 | 157.2 | 157.3 | 143.1 | 135.8 | 179.9 | 179.2 | 182.6 | 186.5 | 165.4 | 162.9 | 177.7 | 153.2 | 136.6 | 175.6 | 248.3 | 198.0 |
| 7 | 181.0 | 199.7 | 256.9 | 212.0 | 172.9 | 166.8 | 185.6 | 156.4 | 179.9 | 208.8 | 206.3 | 198.7 | 167.2 | 191.6 | 202.3 | 169.2 | 101.5 | 208.5 | 272.1 | 215.9 |
| 8+ | 200.0 | 252.0 | 164.2 | 230.3 | 183.1 | 212.9 | 178.0 | 168.0 | 181.7 | 135.2 | 226.9 | 183.4 | 170.3 | 178.0 | 229.2 | 178.0 | 138.3 | 196.7 | 304.7 | 234.8 |
| Total | 115.6 | 123.9 | 75.8 | 100.2 | 73.7 | 80.5 | 99.4 | 91.4 | 78.5 | 74.8 | 110.9 | 64.8 | 72.1 | 81.2 | 65.9 | 76.3 | 70.1 | 71.1 | 128.0 | 79.8 |
| * revised in **the survey (see ICES 2 | 1997 <br> only cov <br> 2000/ACF | vered the <br> M: 10, T | Skagerr <br> able 3.5.8 | rak area .8) | by Norw | y. Addit | onal es | imates | for the | attegat | area w | added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.3.3 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. N20 Larval Abundance Index.
Estimation of 0-Group herring reaching 20 mm in length in Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters (March/April to June).

| Year | N20 <br> (millions) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1992 | 1,060 |
| 1993 | 3,044 |
| 1994 | 12,515 |
| 1995 | 7,930 |
| 1996 | 21,012 |
| 1997 | 4,872 |
| 1998 | 16,743 |
| 1999 | 20,364 |
| 2000 | 3,026 |
| 2001 | 4,845 |
| 2002 | 11,324 |
| 2003 | 5,507 |
| 2004 | 5,640 |
| 2005 | 3,887 |
| 2006 | 3,774 |
| $2007^{*}$ | 1,829 |
| $2008^{*}$ | 1,622 |
| 2009 | 6,464 |
| 2010 | 7,037 |

* small revision during HAWG 2010

TABLE 3.6.1 WBSS HERRING. CATCH IN NUMBER

| Units : thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 118958 | 145090 | 206102 | 263202 | 541302 | 171144 | 376795 | 549774 | 569599 | 152581 |
| 1 | 825969 | 456707 | 530707 | 249398 | 1660683 | 638877 | 668616 | 623072 | 616124 | 934545 |
| 2 | 541246 | 602624 | 495950 | 364980 | 438136 | 400585 | 289336 | 430903 | 334339 | 496396 |
| 3 | 564430 | 364864 | 415108 | 382650 | 226810 | 199681 | 276919 | 182860 | 246212 | 186615 |
| 4 | 279767 | 333993 | 260950 | 267033 | 194870 | 144155 | 75283 | 146685 | 90259 | 128625 |
| 5 | 177486 | 183200 | 210497 | 168142 | 84123 | 130086 | 43119 | 45322 | 55919 | 71727 |
| 6 | 46487 | 139835 | 102768 | 118416 | 60096 | 65274 | 39916 | 23759 | 15481 | 38262 |
| 7 | 13241 | 52660 | 63922 | 49504 | 32878 | 30705 | 21211 | 15400 | 9478 | 13777 |
| 8 | 4933 | 22574 | 24535 | 33088 | 20459 | 25111 | 24134 | 14112 | 6084 | 10689 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 0 | 756285 | 150271 | 53489 | 243554 | 106906 | 7946 | 10721 | 9610 | 20734 | 12394 |
| 1 | 523163 | 659130 | 126876 | 457754 | 305171 | 148909 | 172044 | 149436 | 181083 | 75083 |
| 2 | 488816 | 281840 | 264855 | 197812 | 319225 | 187674 | 184735 | 136988 | 243007 | 136419 |
| 3 | 257837 | 321311 | 161251 | 164766 | 177833 | 233214 | 143904 | 135753 | 101330 | 82970 |
| 4 | 108097 | 172285 | 189432 | 93214 | 130394 | 150654 | 126861 | 92305 | 69937 | 46833 |
| 5 | 68376 | 57160 | 103648 | 91242 | 60639 | 98751 | 64996 | 89436 | 48091 | 29979 |
| 6 | 39092 | 38532 | 29117 | 48957 | 65695 | 42459 | 30199 | 45930 | 39750 | 18589 |
| 7 | 18307 | 13842 | 17452 | 14876 | 31231 | 32418 | 21256 | 17216 | 20907 | 10996 |
| 8 | 6687 | 8329 | 8819 | 11013 | 12620 | 17312 | 14759 | 17410 | 12529 | 11262 |

## TABLE 3.6.2 WBSS HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH

```
Units : kg
    year
age 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000
    0 0.0296 0.0152 0.0154 0.0146 0.0101 0.0106 0.0296 0.0143 0.0111 0.0211
    1 0.0348 0.0345 0.0254 0.0370 0.0209 0.0246 0.0275 0.0333 0.0343 0.0255
    2 0.0669 0.0673 0.0680 0.0833 0.0684 0.0809 0.0684 0.0663 0.0658 0.0578
    30.0949 0.0944 0.1020 0.1032 0.0984 0.0970 0.1181 0.0942 0.0981 0.0950
    4 0.1234 0.1163 0.1143 0.1221 0.1235 0.1125 0.1342 0.1178 0.1164 0.1301
    5 0.1390 0.1417 0.1361 0.1411 0.1520 0.1328 0.1620}00.1367 0.1471 0.1428
    6 0.1556 0.1651 0.1679 0.1565 0.1704 0.1369 0.1817 0.1663 0.1566 0.1463
    7 0.1709 0.1758 0.1823 0.1705 0.2063 0.1542 0.1967 0.1652 0.1538 0.1583
    8 0.1826 0.1915 0.1989 0.1860 0.2170 0.1910 0.2087 0.1870 0.1576 0.1591
        year
age 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0 0.0123 0.0105 0.0132 0.00618 0.0140 0.0170 0.0139 0.0178 0.0126 0.00928
    1 0.0243 0.0213 0.0315 0.02754 0.0272 0.0360 0.0506 0.0647 0.0479 0.04619
    2 0.0593 0.0700 0.0671 0.06419 0.0721 0.0728 0.0709 0.0788 0.0711 0.07688
    3 0.0862 0.0968 0.0907 0.10017 0.0938 0.0982 0.0854 0.0960 0.1032 0.10873
    4 0.1089 0.1196 0.1079 0.10596 0.1106 0.1153 0.1141 0.1153 0.1390 0.13535
    5 0.1567 0.1400 0.1223 0.13139 0.1228 0.1535 0.1288 0.1404 0.1534 0.16464
    6 0.1560 0.1876 0.1319 0.15228 0.1493 0.1581 0.1564 0.1481 0.1709 0.18078
    7 0.1556 0.1814 0.1603 0.16768 0.1619}00.1865 0.1673 0.1667 0.1924 0.19751
    8 0.1713 0.1717 0.1625 0.15295 0.1736 0.1848 0.1903 0.1704 0.2146 0.20551
```

TABLE 3.6.3 WBSS HERRING. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK

| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 200 |
| 0 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| 1 | 0.0308 | 0.0203 | 0.0156 | 0.0186 | 0.0131 | 0.0181 | 0.0131 | 0.0221 | 0.0211 | 0.0140 |
| 2 | 0.0528 | 0.0451 | 0.0402 | 0.0529 | 0.0459 | 0.0546 | 0.0515 | 0.0558 | 0.0567 | 0.0431 |
| 3 | 0.0787 | 0.0818 | 0.0967 | 0.0836 | 0.0708 | 0.0905 | 0.1063 | 0.0829 | 0.0871 | 0.0837 |
| 4 | 0.1041 | 0.1075 | 0.1079 | 0.1077 | 0.1327 | 0.1170 | 0.1333 | 0.1128 | 0.1081 | 0.1250 |
| 5 | 0.1245 | 0.1313 | 0.1409 | 0.1392 | 0.1674 | 0.1197 | 0.1662 | 0.1338 | 0.1480 | 0.1436 |
| 6 | 0.1449 | 0.1593 | 0.1671 | 0.1566 | 0.1892 | 0.1538 | 0.1943 | 0.1678 | 0.160 | 0.1629 |
| 7 | 0.1594 | 0.1710 | 0.1827 | 0.1768 | 0.2097 | 0.1467 | 0.2089 | 0.1683 | 0.1439 | 0.1650 |
| 8 | 0.1640 | 0.1869 | 0.1891 | 0.2028 | 0.2338 | 0.1280 | 0.2263 | 0.1843 | 0.1504 | 0.1831 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 0 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| 1 | 0.0169 | 0.0164 | 0.0144 | 0.0131 | 0.0126 | 0.0185 | 0.0150 | 0.0180 | 0.0230 | 0.0140 |
| 2 | 0.0509 | 0.0637 | 0.0445 | 0.0456 | 0.0514 | 0.0621 | 0.0550 | 0.0680 | 0.0520 | 0.0626 |
| 3 | 0.0783 | 0.0905 | 0.0793 | 0.0811 | 0.0800 | 0.0953 | 0.0800 | 0.0860 | 0.0900 | 0.0974 |
| 4 | 0.1159 | 0.1239 | 0.1051 | 0.1092 | 0.1066 | 0.1174 | 0.1140 | 0.1100 | 0.1300 | 0.1283 |
| 5 | 0.1690 | 0.1736 | 0.1268 | 0.1440 | 0.1322 | 0.1659 | 0.1430 | 0.1390 | 0.1560 | 0.1618 |
| 6 | 0.1763 | 0.1983 | 0.1506 | 0.1628 | 0.1573 | 0.1710 | 0.1710 | 0.1430 | 0.1740 | 0.1813 |
| 7 | 0.1681 | 0.1980 | 0.1729 | 0.1932 | 0.1677 | 0.1858 | 0.1750 | 0.1410 | 0.1850 | 0.2023 |
| 8 | 0.1805 | 0.2036 | 0.1847 | 0.2076 | 0.1820 | 0.1871 | 0.1880 | 0.1580 | 0.1990 | 0.2045 |

TABLE 3.6.4 WBSS HERRING. NATURAL MORTALITY
Units : NA
year
age 199119921993199419951996199719981999200020012002200320042005

| 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 0.3

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 8 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array} 0.2$ year
age 20062007200820092010
$\begin{array}{llll}0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3\end{array} 0.3$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5\end{array}$
$0.2 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.2$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}8 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$

TABLE 3.6.5 WBSS HERRING. PROPORTION MATURE

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    2 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20
    3 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    4 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
        year
age 2006 2007 2008 20092010
    0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
    2 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20
    3
    4 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
```

TABLE 3.6.6 WBSS HERRING. FRACTION OF HARVEST BEFORE SPAWNING
Units : NA
year
age 199119921993199419951996199719981999200020012002200320042005
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$2 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
year
age 20062007200820092010
$\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$20.1 \quad 0.1 \quad 0.1 \quad 0.1 \quad 0.1$
$\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$

TABLE 3.6.7 WBSS HERRING. FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING Units : NA
year
age 199119921993199419951996199719981999200020012002200320042005
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}2 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}3 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}4 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}6 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}7 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}8 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$ year
age 20062007200820092010
$\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}2 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}5 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}7 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
$8 \quad 0.25 \quad 0.25 \quad 0.25 \quad 0.25 \quad 0.25$

TABLE 3.6.8 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. SURVEY INDICES


```
N20 - Configuration 
Index type : number
N2O - Index Values
Units : NA
    year
age 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    0 1060 3044 12515 7930 21012 4872 16743 20364 3026 4845 11324 5507 5640 3887
age 2006 20072008 20092010
    0 3774 18291622 6464 7037
N20 - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)
Units : NA
    year
age 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006
0
age 2007200820092010
    0
```

TABLE 3.6.9 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. STOCK OBJECT CONFIGURATION

| min | max plusgroup | minyear | maxyear | minfbar | maxfbar |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 8 | 8 | 1991 | 2010 | 3 | 6 |

TABLE 3.6.10 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. FLICA CONFIGURATION SETTINGS

```
sep.2 : NA
sep.gradual : TRUE
sr : FALSE
sr.age : 0
lambda.age : 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0
lambda.yr : 1 1 1 1 1
lambda.sr : 0
index.model : linear linear linear
index.cor : 1 1 1
sep.nyr : 5
sep.age : 4
sep.sel : 1
```

TABLE 3.6.11 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS

```
R version 2.8.1 (2008-12-22)
Package : FLICA
Version : 1.4-12
Packaged : 2009-10-08 15:16:26 UTC; mpa
Built : R 2.9.1; ; 2009-10-08 15:16:27 UTC; windows
Package : FLAssess
Version : 1.99-102
Packaged : Mon Mar 23 08:18:19 2009; mpa
Built : R 2.8.0; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-03-23 08:18:21; windows
Package : FLCore
Version : 2.2
Packaged : Tue May 19 19:23:18 2009; Administrator
Built : R 2.8.1; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-05-19 19:23:22; windows
```

TABLE 3.6.12 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. STOCK SUMMARY


TABLE 3.6.13 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY

```
Units : f
    year
age 
    0 0.0279 0.047 0.0797 0.0505 0.167 0.0451 0.115 0.120 0.107 0.053 0.217
    1 0.2591 0.174 0.2982 0.1602 0.639 0.3787 0.306 0.352 0.236 0.319 0.319
    2 0.3196 0.372 0.3514 0.4215 0.572 0.3789 0.359 0.404 0.395 0.368 0.333
    3 0.4222 0.371 0.4748 0.5038 0.506 0.5624 0.491 0.405 0.427 0.400 0.332
    4 0.3952 0.477 0.4964 0.6465 0.523 0.7135 0.428 0.528 0.358 0.415 0.428
    5 0.3709 0.489 0.6335 0.7017 0.432 0.8157 0.481 0.499 0.393 0.539 0.407
    6 0.2346 0.563 0.5659 0.9280 0.588 0.7135 0.642 0.537 0.315 0.513 0.643
    7 0.4116 0.453 0.5491 0.5933 0.735 0.6915 0.535 0.553 0.426 0.514 0.497
    8 0.4116 0.453 0.5491 0.5933 0.735 0.6915 0.535 0.553 0.426 0.514 0.497
        year
age 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0 0.0607 0.0161 0.114 0.0628 0.00712 0.0068 0.00742 0.0081 0.00472
    1 0.3717 0.0814 0.229 0.2514 0.15603 0.1492 0.16262 0.1774 0.10349
    2 0.3458 0.3034 0.210 0.2983 0.36084 0.3451 0.37607 0.4103 0.23933
    3 0.3810 0.3406 0.314 0.2955 0.40740 0.3897 0.42460 0.4633 0.27021
    4 0.3873 0.4061 0.338 0.4392 0.43099 0.4122 0.44918 0.4901 0.28586
    5 0.4234 0.4267 0.350 0.3840 0.49800 0.4763 0.51902 0.5663 0.33030
    6 0.4242 0.3976 0.367 0.4578 0.48354 0.4625 0.50395 0.5499 0.32071
    7 0.4958 0.3462 0.364 0.4238 0.43099 0.4122 0.44918 0.4901 0. 0.28586
    8 0.4958 0.3462 0.364 0.4238 0.43099 0.4122 0.44918 0.4901 0.28586
```

TABLE 3.6.14 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE

| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| 0 | 5001715 | 3654685 | 3108187 | 6178461 | 4046433 | 4491298 | 3988814 | 5614285 | 6455978 |
| 1 | 4548841 | 3603427 | 2583190 | 2126222 | 4351708 | 2535444 | 3180646 | 2632817 | 3689147 |
| 2 | 2171382 | 2129178 | 1836113 | 1162748 | 1098667 | 1392515 | 1053036 | 1420298 | 1123564 |
| 3 | 1795620 | 1291440 | 1202199 | 1057879 | 624574 | 507455 | 780501 | 602337 | 776193 |
| 4 | 939352 | 963817 | 729770 | 612233 | 523328 | 308183 | 236760 | 390897 | 329073 |
| 5 | 628068 | 518005 | 489773 | 363689 | 262592 | 253948 | 123619 | 126318 | 188687 |
| 6 | 244323 | 354873 | 259951 | 212820 | 147620 | 139544 | 91967 | 62569 | 62816 |
| 7 | 43005 | 158205 | 165399 | 120860 | 68884 | 67099 | 55973 | 39619 | 29955 |
| 8 | 16022 | 67819 | 63485 | 80782 | 42865 | 54875 | 63687 | 36305 | 19228 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| 0 | 3415970 | 4456945 | 2949392 | 3864124 | 2611938 | 2028331 | 1585196 | 1620155 | 1479130 |
| 1 | 4295588 | 2399949 | 2656781 | 2056302 | 2816766 | 1726719 | 1411098 | 1166015 | 1192099 |
| 2 | 1767314 | 1894516 | 1057695 | 1111120 | 1149750 | 1358965 | 814529 | 732230 | 609180 |
| 3 | 619848 | 1001274 | 1111968 | 612829 | 671658 | 763268 | 825685 | 464877 | 424526 |
| 4 | 414650 | 340029 | 588142 | 621980 | 356900 | 401842 | 465055 | 449805 | 257783 |
| 5 | 188371 | 224093 | 181435 | 326896 | 339263 | 208472 | 212066 | 247440 | 243861 |
| 6 | 104298 | 90006 | 122121 | 97273 | 174671 | 195820 | 116253 | 105520 | 125821 |
| 7 | 37518 | 51121 | 38750 | 65421 | 53511 | 99053 | 101428 | 58688 | 54403 |
| 8 | 29108 | 18673 | 23317 | 33059 | 39615 | 40026 | 54165 | 47875 | 52692 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2009 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2159680 | 3961260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1087670 | 1587035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 614528 | 552447 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 342422 | 333790 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 227324 | 176400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 134684 | 114007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 118816 | 62591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 62235 | 56132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 35391 | 49740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.15 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. SURVIVORS AFTER TERMINAL YEAR

```
Units : NA
    year
age 2011
    NA
    1 2920755
    867951
    3 356035
    4 208576
    5 108516
    6 67085
    7 37185
    8 65129
```


## TABLE 3.6.16 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. FITTED SELECTION PATTERN

```
Units : NA
    year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0 0.0165 0.0165 0.0165 0.0165 0.0165
    1 0.3620 0.3620 0.3620 0.3620 0.3620
    2 0.8372 0.8372 0.8372 0.8372 0.8372
    3 0.9453 0.9453 0.9453 0.9453 0.9453
    4 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
    5 1.1555 1.1555 1.1555 1.1555 1.1555
    6 1.1219 1.1219 1.1219 1.1219 1.1219
    71.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
    81.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
```

TABLE 3.6.17 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS

| Units <br> year NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| age | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 118958 | 145090 | 206102 | 263202 | 541302 | 171144 | 376795 | 549774 | 569599 | 152581 |
| 1 | 825969 | 456707 | 530707 | 249398 | 1660683 | 638877 | 668616 | 623072 | 616124 | 934545 |
| 2 | 541246 | 602624 | 495950 | 364980 | 438136 | 400585 | 289336 | 430903 | 334339 | 496396 |
| 3 | 564430 | 364864 | 415108 | 382650 | 226810 | 199681 | 276919 | 182860 | 246212 | 186615 |
| 4 | 279767 | 333993 | 260950 | 267033 | 194870 | 144155 | 75283 | 146685 | 90259 | 128625 |
| 5 | 177486 | 183200 | 210497 | 168142 | 84123 | 130086 | 43119 | 45322 | 55919 | 71727 |
| 6 | 46487 | 139835 | 102768 | 118416 | 60096 | 65274 | 39916 | 23759 | 15481 | 38262 |
| 7 | 13241 | 52660 | 63922 | 49504 | 32878 | 30705 | 21211 | 15400 | 9478 | 13777 |
| 8 | 4933 | 22574 | 24535 | 33088 | 20459 | 25111 | 24134 | 14112 | 6084 | 10689 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 0 | 756285 | 150271 | 53489 | 243554 | 106906 | 9713 | 9496 | 9445 | 15042 | 16117 |
| 1 | 523163 | 659130 | 126876 | 457754 | 305171 | 161461 | 127994 | 141744 | 140187 | 123310 |
| 2 | 488816 | 281840 | 264855 | 197812 | 319225 | 224963 | 194810 | 174145 | 188744 | 106997 |
| 3 | 257837 | 321311 | 161251 | 164766 | 177833 | 252113 | 136851 | 134057 | 115962 | 71955 |
| 4 | 108097 | 172285 | 189432 | 93214 | 130394 | 148640 | 138666 | 85174 | 80477 | 39940 |
| 5 | 68376 | 57160 | 103648 | 91242 | 60639 | 76017 | 85653 | 90263 | 53278 | 29226 |
| 6 | 39092 | 38532 | 29117 | 48957 | 65695 | 40722 | 35685 | 45521 | 45966 | 15647 |
| 7 | 18307 | 13842 | 17452 | 14876 | 31231 | 32418 | 18092 | 17975 | 22032 | 12709 |
| 8 | 6687 | 8329 | 8819 | 11013 | 12620 | 17312 | 14759 | 17410 | 12529 | 11262 |

TABLE 3.6.18 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. CATCH RESIDUALS

```
Units : thousands NA
    year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0
    1 -0.0809 0.2958 0.05284 0.2560 -0.4961
    2-0.1812 -0.0531 -0.23999 0.2527 0.2429
    3-0.0779 0.0503 0.01258 -0.1349 0.1424
    4 0.0135 -0.0890 0.08040 -0.1404 0.1592
    5 0.2616-0.2760-0.00920-0.1024 0.0255
    6 0.0418 -0.1669 0.00895 -0.1453 0.1723
    7 0.0000 0.1611 -0.04317 -0.0524 -0.1448
    8 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000
```

TABLE 3.6.19 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. PREDICTED INDEX VALUES

```
HERAS 3-6 wr
Units : NA NA
    year
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrr} 
age & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1998 & 1999 \\
3 & 1163353970 & 1005298084 & 592580291 & 464904893 & 747440260 & 609038604 & NA \\
4 & 567841298 & 433731670 & 400481165 & 209379006 & 192245544 & 298151736 & NA \\
5 & 286110820 & 203595221 & 173961493 & 132381629 & 79439261 & 80282328 & NA \\
6 & 129125424 & 84300279 & 72298272 & 63205300 & 43556077 & 31653612 & NA
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
year 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & 2003 & 2005 & 2006
\end{tabular}
    3 628350691 1059330606 1141019004 644898716 718808505 826213065 833380953
    4 339409443 276118178 489934088 512067734 306680489 324071297 376971573
    5 116769000 150810694 120863067 217304935 236668105 142331078 134830510
    6 53546014 42610866 66277758 53675131 98231050 104062365 60795332
        evear 2007 2008 2009 2010
    3474442106 423901566 333748550 367062832
    4 368912847 206594859177582167 156565333
    5 159468521 153021898 82052220 80494678
    6 55913304 64964685 59612015 36238548
GerAS 1-3 wr
Units : NA NA
        year
age 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003
    1 885868 1235737 886992 1179128 941276 1446740 1576731 NA 934618 912546
    2 428336 358684 530736 407925 530483 422832 679400 NA 413965 449874
    3498022 293431 227965 371079 307004 388581 316965 NA 577540 328736
        year
age 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 1110890 668842 589907 490108 495736 446978 691939
    2 501744 552476 314972 286730 232712 228406 235436
    3468143 424503 419880 239780 212931 166515 189430
N2O
Units : NA NA
        year
age 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
```



```
        year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0 2740 2801 2557 3732 6855
```

TABLE 3.6.20 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. INDEX RESIDUALS

```
HERAS 3-6 wr
Units : NA
    year
age 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
    3 0.0909 -0.0725 0. 545 -0.632 0.0516 0.3916 NA 0.0695 -0.851 0.1994
    4 0.0517 0.1442 1. 154 -0.395 -0.1468 -0.0557 NA 0.0697 -0.590 0.0678
    5 0.4167 0.1603 0.382 -0.107 -0.1703 0.3240 NA 0.4639 -0.448 -0.3230
    6 0.1762 0.7914 0.878 -0.535 0.4601 0.4770 NA 0.0376 -0.125 -0.5176
        year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 200 2007 2008 2009 2010
    3-0.491 0.009955 -0.578 0. 759 0.6763 0.687 -0.487378 -0.368
    4-0.460 0.000716 -0.476 0.262 0.3020 0.477 -0.098029 -0.309
    5-0.743-0.253355-0.328 0. 291-0.0349 0.583-0.000637-0.214
    6-0.756-0.309268-0.219 -0.812 -0.4974 0.997 0.366490-0.412
GerAS 1-3 wr
Units : NA
        year
age 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 19% 1999 10, 2000 2001 
    1-0.757 0.3043 0.484 0. 5058-0.161 -0.0776-0.0978 NA -0.10966 0.305
    2 0.724 -0.0876 0.106 0.5931 0.246-0.3867-0.4032 NA 0.01778 -0.704
    3 0.117 0.1988 0.644 0.0613 0.250
        year
age 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1-0.1368 0.1185 0.477 0.1333-0.2328-0.501 -0.256
    2 0.1660 0.1472 -0.138 -0.0309 -0.0862 -0.528 0.364
    3-0.0614-0.0568-0.109 -0.4758-0.0186-0.590}0.0.936
N20
Units : NA
        year
age 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003
    0-1.77 -0.539 0.176 0.190 1.01 -0.304 0. 59 0. 641 -0.65 -0.38
        year
age 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    0 0.265 0. 125 0.32 -0.426 -0.455 0. 549 0.0263
```

TABLE 3.6.21 WBSS HERRING/FINAL RUN. FIT PARAMETERS


| Value | Std.dev | Lower.95.pct.CL | Upper.95.pct. CL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.43099 | 0.188 | 0.29834 | 0.62262 |
| 0.41222 | 0.186 | 0.28602 | 0.59410 |
| 0.44918 | 0.190 | 0.30968 | 0.65153 |
| 0.49012 | 0.206 | 0.32740 | 0.73371 |
| 0.28586 | 0.228 | 0.18279 | 0.44702 |
| 0.01651 | 0.481 | 0.00643 | 0.04239 |
| 0.36202 | 0.215 | 0.23737 | 0.55214 |
| 0.83723 | 0.203 | 0.56255 | 1.24602 |
| 0.94526 | 0.197 | 0.64224 | 1.39126 |
| 1.15547 | 0.178 | 0.81587 | 1.63642 |
| 1.12192 | 0.170 | 0.80428 | 1.56501 |
| 3961258.62804 | 0.314 | 2141321.52350 | 7327984.02575 |
| 1587034.03348 | 0.231 | 1009356.89346 | 2495328.50050 |
| 552445.87095 | 0.196 | 376007.72827 | 811675.97734 |
| 333789.22231 | 0.182 | 233814.00028 | 476512.29094 |
| 176398.62274 | 0.182 | 123378.58921 | 252203.19267 |
| 114005.70608 | 0.194 | 77888.92005 | 166869.70382 |
| 62589.61675 | 0.220 | 40676.41169 | 96307.90827 |
| 56130.85533 | 0.258 | 33853.18181 | 93068.73836 |
| 101426.69483 | 0.351 | 51022.27260 | 201625.17076 |
| 58687.06584 | 0.265 | 34885.89180 | 98726.77804 |
| 54402.21078 | 0.236 | 34273.64567 | 86352.07841 |
| 62233.68717 | 0.242 | 38698.42623 | 100082.41151 |
| 1475.35918 | 0.156 | 1087.04050 | 2002.39524 |
| 1202.47464 | 0.156 | 884.83566 | 1634.13990 |
| 983.50840 | 0.158 | 721.46571 | 1340.72730 |
| 801.67719 | 0.161 | 584.35473 | 1099.82220 |
| 0.70657 | 0.140 | 0.53666 | 0.93027 |
| 0.60565 | 0.140 | 0.46013 | 0.79719 |
| 0.82669 | 0.140 | 0.62779 | 1.08860 |
| 0.00195 | 0.078 | 0.00168 | 0.00228 |

Table 3.7.1
WESTERN BALTIC HERRING. Input table for short term predictions

MFDP version 1a
Run: WBSS_MFDP_1
Time and date: 18:03 21/03/2011
Fbar age range: 3-6

| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1754829 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.013 |
| 1 | 1293888 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.018 | 0.148 | 0.053 |
| 2 | 867951 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.061 | 0.342 | 0.076 |
| 3 | 356035 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.091 | 0.386 | 0.103 |
| 4 | 208576 | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.123 | 0.408 | 0.130 |
| 5 | 108516 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.152 | 0.472 | 0.153 |
| 6 | 67085 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.166 | 0.458 | 0.167 |
| 7 | 37185 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.176 | 0.408 | 0.186 |
| 8 | 65129 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.187 | 0.408 | 0.197 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 0 | 1754829 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.013 |
| 1 |  | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.018 | 0.148 | 0.053 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.061 | 0.342 | 0.076 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.091 | 0.386 | 0.103 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.123 | 0.408 | 0.130 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.152 | 0.472 | 0.153 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.166 | 0.458 | 0.167 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.176 | 0.408 | 0.186 |
| 8 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.187 | 0.408 | 0.197 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 0 | 1754829 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.013 |
| 1 |  | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.018 | 0.148 | 0.053 |
| 2 |  | 0.2 | 0.20 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.061 | 0.342 | 0.076 |
| 3 |  | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.091 | 0.386 | 0.103 |
| 4 |  | 0.2 | 0.90 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.123 | 0.408 | 0.130 |
| 5 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.152 | 0.472 | 0.153 |
| 6 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.166 | 0.458 | 0.167 |
| 7 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.176 | 0.408 | 0.186 |
| 8 |  | 0.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.187 | 0.408 | 0.197 |

Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

| $\mathrm{M}=$ | Natural mortality |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{MAT}=$ | Maturity ogive |
| $\mathrm{PF}=$ | Proportion of F before spawning |
| $\mathrm{PM}=$ | Proportion of M before spawning |
| SWT $=$ | Weight in stock $(\mathrm{kg})$ |
| Sel $=$ | Exploit. Pattern |
| $\mathrm{CWT}=$ | Weight in catch $(\mathrm{kg})$ |

$\mathrm{N}_{2010 / 2011 / 2012 / 2013}$ Age 0: Geometric Mean from ICA of age 0 (Table 3.6.14) for the years 2005-2009
$\mathrm{N}_{2011}$ Age 1
$=N_{2010}$ Age 0 * $\operatorname{EXP}\left(-\left(F_{2010}\right.\right.$ Age $0+\mathrm{M}_{2010}$ Age 0$\left.)\right)$
$\mathrm{N}_{2011}$ Age 2-8+
Output from ICA (Table 3.6.15)
Natural Mortality (M):
Average for 2008-2010
Weight in the Catch/Stock (CWt/SWt): Average for 2008-2010
Expoitation pattern (Sel): Average for 2008-2010

Table 3.7.2 WESTERN BALTIC HERRING.
Short-term prediction multiple option table, Catch constraint
MFDP version 1a
Run: WBSS_MFDP_1
Western Baltic Herring (combined sex; plus group)
Time and date: 18:03 21/03/2011
Fbar age range: 3-6

| $\begin{array}{c}2011 \\ \text { Biomass }\end{array}$ | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181209 | 97452 | 0.4464 | 0.1925 | 29041 |


| 2012 <br> Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | 2013 <br> Biomass | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208961 | 125861 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 265885 | 174775 |
|  | 125344 | 0.1000 | 0.0431 | 8002 | 257720 | 167518 |
|  | 124829 | 0.2000 | 0.0862 | 15721 | 249853 | 160571 |
|  | 124316 | 0.3000 | 0.1293 | 23170 | 242274 | 153920 |
|  | 123806 | 0.4000 | 0.1725 | 30358 | 234972 | 147552 |
|  | 123297 | 0.5000 | 0.2156 | 37295 | 227936 | 141455 |
|  | 122790 | 0.6000 | 0.2587 | 43991 | 221155 | 135617 |
|  | 122286 | 0.7000 | 0.3018 | 50454 | 214621 | 130026 |
|  | 121784 | 0.8000 | 0.3449 | 56693 | 208324 | 124673 |
|  | 121283 | 0.9000 | 0.3880 | 62717 | 202255 | 119547 |
|  | 120785 | 1.0000 | 0.4311 | 68534 | 196404 | 114638 |
|  | 120289 | 1.1000 | 0.4742 | 74151 | 190764 | 109937 |
|  | 119795 | 1.2000 | 0.5174 | 79576 | 185327 | 105435 |
|  | 119303 | 1.3000 | 0.5605 | 84816 | 180085 | 101123 |
|  | 118813 | 1.4000 | 0.6036 | 89878 | 175031 | 96993 |
|  | 118325 | 1.5000 | 0.6467 | 94768 | 170157 | 93037 |
|  | 117839 | 1.6000 | 0.6898 | 99493 | 165456 | 89248 |
|  | 117355 | 1.7000 | 0.7329 | 104060 | 160923 | 85619 |
|  | 116873 | 1.8000 | 0.7760 | 108473 | 156551 | 82142 |
|  | 116393 | 1.9000 | 0.8191 | 112739 | 152333 | 78811 |
|  | 115915 | 2.0000 | 0.8623 | 116862 | 148265 | 75620 |

[^1]
## WBSS Herring Catch and TAC



Figure 3.1.1 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Catches and TACs by area. Top panel) Catches of Western Baltic Spring Spawning (WBSS) and North Sea Autumn Spawning (NSAS) herring in division IIIa, and the total TAC for both stocks. Middle panel) Catches and TACs of WBSS herring in subdivisions 22-24. Bottom panel). Total catch of WBSS herring in Div IVa, Div IIIa and SD 22-24.

WBSS Herring Proportion at Age by numbers in Catch


Figure 3.6.1.1 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Proportion (by numbers) of a given age (in winter rings) in the catch.

## WBSS Herring Proportion at Age by weight in Catch



Figure 3.6.1.2 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Proportion (by weight) of a given age (in winter rings) in the catch.

## WBSS Herring Weight in the Stock


$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ 6 & 6 & 0 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 & 8 & 8\end{array}$

Figure 3.6.1.3 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Weight at age (in winter rings) in the stock.

WBSS Herring Weighted Residuals Bubble


Figure 3.6.4.1 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring - GERAS data exploration run. Bubble plot showing the weighted residuals for each piece of fitted information. Individual values are weighted following the procedures employed internally with FLICA in calculating the objective function. The bubble scale is consistent between all panels.


Figure 3.6.4.2 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Data exploration. Comparative Taylor diagram (Taylor 2001, Payne 2011). Solid points show the default (final) run using the unrevised GERAS time series. Arrows link these points to the point for the assessment performed using the revised GERAS values. The Taylor plot is not Cartesian but rather polar in nature: the angular axis plots the correlation coefficient between observations and the modeled values. The radial axis represents the standard deviation of the observations normalized by the standard deviation of the modeled values. The point corresponding to 1.0 on the horizontal axis represents a perfect fit between the model and the observations - the closer to this point the better. Points are labeled according to the survey and the age of the time series. All time series are truncated to allow comparison on a common basis.


Figure 3.6.4.3 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Comparison of the perception of the stock (SSB) based on assessments using the standard (final) run (line) and the assessment based on the revised GERAS time series (dotted line).


Figure 3.6.5.1 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. "Otolith" plot. The main figure depicts the uncertainty in the estimated spawning stock biomass and average fishing mortality, and their correlation. Contour lines give the $1 \%, 5 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%$ and $75 \%$ confidence intervals for the two estimated parameters and are estimated from a parametric bootstrap based on the variance covariance matrix in the parameters returned by FLICA. The plots to the right and top of the main plot give the probability distribution in the SSB and mean fishing mortality respectively. The SSB and fishing mortality estimated by the method is plotted on all three plots with a heavy dot. $95 \%$ confidence intervals, with their corresponding values, are given on the plots to the right and top of the main plot.

## WBSS Herring Stock Summary Plot



Figure 3.6.5.2 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Stock summary plot. Top panel: Spawning stock biomass. Second panel: Recruitment (at age 0 -wr) as a function of time. Bottom panel:: Mean annual fishing mortality on ages 3-6 ringers as a function of time.


Fitted catch diagnostics

Figure 3.6.5.3 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of selection pattern. a) Bubbles plot of log catch residuals by age (weighting applied) and year. Grey bubbles correspond to negative log residuals. The largest residual is given. b) Estimated selection parameters (relative to 4 wr ) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. c): Marginal totals of residuals by year. d). Marginal totals of residuals by age (wr).

## N20, age 0, diagnostics



Figure 3.6.5.4 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the N20 larval index. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).

GerAS 1-3 wr, age 3, diagnostics


Figure 3.6.5.5 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the German acoustic survey in subdivision 21-24 ("Ger AS 1-3 wr") fit at 3 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).

## GerAS 1-3 wr, age 1, diagnostics



Figure 3.6.5.6 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the German acoustic survey in subdivision $21-24$ ("Ger AS 1-3 wr") fit at 1 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 3.6.5.7 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the German acoustic survey in subdivision 21-24 ("Ger AS 1-3 wr") fit at 2 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $90 \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 3.6.5.8 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the Herring acoustic survey in the North Sea and division IIIa ("HerAS 3-6 wr") fit at 3 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $90 \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 3.6.5.9 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the Herring acoustic survey in the North Sea and division IIIa ("HerAS 3-6 wr") fit at 4 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $90 \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 3.6.5.10 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the Herring acoustic survey in the North Sea and division IIIa ("HerAS 3-6 wr") fit at 5 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $90 \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 3.6.5.11 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Diagnostics of the Herring acoustic survey in the North Sea and division IIIa ("HerAS 3-6 wr") fit at 6 wr from the assessment. a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatterplot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log siduals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d). Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e). Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $90 \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).

WBSS Herring SSQ Breakdown by Age


Figure 3.6.5.12 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Mean contribution of a data point individual information groups (ages in each survey) to the FLICA objective function. The contribution is calculated from the mean of the squared residuals in the corresponding class, and weighted according to the appropriate value employed by the optimiser.

WBSS Herring Weighted Residuals Bubble


Figure 3.6.5.13 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Bubble plot showing the weighted residuals for each piece of fitted information. Individual values are weighted following the procedures employed internally with FLICA in calculating the objective function. The bubble scale is consistent between all panels.

WBSS Herring Retrospective Summary Plot


Figure 3.6.5.14 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Analytical retrospective pattern in the assessment. Top panel: Spawning stock biomass. Middle panel: Recruitment at age 0 wr. Bottom panel: Mean fishing mortality in the ages 3-6 ringer. The heavy black line shows the current assessment

WBSS Herring Retrospective s


Figure 3.6.5.15 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Retrospective selectivity pattern


Figure 3.6.5.16 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN. Stockrecruitment relationship. Recruitment at age $0-\mathrm{wr}$ (in thousands) is plotted as a function of spawning stock biomass (tonnes) estimated by the assessment. Successive years are joined by the line. Individual data points are labelled with the two-digit year.


Figure 3.6.5.17 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. FINAL RUN Taylor diagram (Taylor 2001, Payne 2011). The plot is not Cartesian but rather polar in nature: the angular axis plots the correlation coefficient between observations and the modeled values. The radial axis represents the standard deviation of the observations normalized by the standard deviation of the modelled values. The point corresponding to 1.0 on the horizontal axis represents a perfect fit between the model and the observations - the closer to this point the better. Points are labeled according to the survey and the age of the time series. All time series are truncated to allow comparison on a common basis.


MFYPR version 2 a
Run: WBSS MYPR2 Final 1
Time and date: 10:32 22/03/2011

| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute $\mathbf{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fbar(3-6) | 1.0000 | 0.4311 |
| FMax | 1.6847 | 0.7263 |
| F0.1 | 0.5629 | 0.2427 |
| F35\%SPR | 0.5065 | 0.2184 |

MFDP version 1a
Run: WBSS MFDP
Western Baltic Herring (combined sex; plus group)
Time and date: 18:03 21/03/2011
Fbar age range: 3-6
Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

Weights in kilograms

Figure 3.7.1

## 4 Herring in the Celtic Sea (Division VIIa South of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and VIIg,h,j,)

The assessment year for this stock runs from the $1^{\text {st }}$ April - $31^{\text {st }}$ March. Unless otherwise stated, year and year class are referred to by the first year in the season i.e. 2010 refers to the 2010/2011 season.

### 4.1 The Fishery

### 4.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2010-2011

The TAC is set by calendar year and in 2010 was 10150 t , and in 2011 is 13200 t . In 2010 ICES advised that the TAC for 2011 should be 13200 (following rebuilding plan) or 16800 t (MSY approach).

## Rebuilding Plan

In 2008, the Irish local fishery management committee developed a rebuilding plan for this stock. The text of this plan is presented in the Stock Annex. The plan was adopted by the Pelagic RAC and it was used as a basis for the 2010 and 2011 TACs. In 2009, the plan was evaluated by ICES and found to be in accordance with the precautionary approach, within the estimated stock dynamics. The plan will come to an end at the end of 2011 and is expected to be replaced by a long term management plan.

### 4.1.2 The fishery in 2010/2011

In 2010/2011, 35 vessels took part in the Irish fishery. These are categorised as follows:

- 2 Pelagic segment vessels with refrigerated seawater (RSW) storage.
- 4 Polyvalent segment boats with RSW storage.
- 29 Polyvalent vessels with bulk storage.

The fishery took place in the third and fourth quarter of 2010 and in the first quarter of 2011. In quarter 3, fishing only took place in VIIg. In the fourth quarter, the fishery was in VIIj, VIIg and VIIaS and in quarter 12011 was in VIIaS only. Most vessels under 20 m reported landings of about 100 t for the season while the four Polyvalent boats with RSW storage reported combined landings of around 1800 t . The term "Polyvalent" refers to a segment of the Irish fleet, entitled to catch a variety of species, both demersal and pelagic.

The third quarter fishery took place in VIIg, landing a total of 1890 t , from midSeptember. The quarter 4 fishery took place mainly in VIIg, with smaller catches in VIIj, off the south Irish coast, and further east in VIIaS. This fishery began on the $4^{\text {th }}$ October, and lasted until the $2^{\text {nd }}$ week of December.

The fishery was closed in quarter 1 2011, except for the sentinel fishery that took place in Subdivision VIIaS, where around 154 t were caught. The sentinel fishery took place from the $30^{\text {th }}$ January until the $18^{\text {th }}$ February 2011.

The distribution of the total landings is presented in Figure 4.1.2.1.

### 4.1.3 The catches in 2010/2011

The estimated national catches from 1988-2010 for the combined areas by year and by season ( $1^{\text {st }}$ April-31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ March) are given in Table 4.1.3.1 and Table 4.1.3.2 respectively. The catch taken during the 2010 season has increased to around 8400 t and is higher than the 2009 catch which was the lowest estimate in the series, being about 5700 t (Figure 4.1.3.1.). The catch data include discards, until 1997. Catches considered to be area-misreported are subtracted as unallocated catches.
There are no recent estimates of discards for this fishery. Statements from fishermen suggest that discarding is not a feature of this fishery at present.

### 4.1.4 Regulations and their effects

The closure of Subdivision VIIaS from the 2007 -2011, except for a sentinel fishery, means that only small dry hold vessels, no more than 50 feet total length, can fish in that area. This closure has meant that the majority of the quota was taken by the larger bulk storage vessels further west, including VIIj.
There is evidence that closure of Subdivision VIIaS, under the rebuilding plan, has helped to reduce fishing mortality substantially (see text table). This area has been the dominant spawning area, and before the closure a large proportion of the catch was taken from it. Closing this area seems to have had a positive effect of keeping fishing mortality down. There is no evidence that this closure has led to improved recruitment. However, this area, particularly the area off Dunmore East, is important for recruit spawners. It can be expected that the closure allows these fish to spawn at least once, and contribute to SSB through further growth and spawning potential.

| Season | Open or Closed | F estimates (HAWG) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $2099 / 2000$ |  |  |
| $2000 / 2001$ | Open | 0.84 |
| $2001 / 2002$ | Open | 0.84 |
| $2002 / 2003$ | Partial closure (16/1/02-23/2/02) | 0.73 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | Closed | 0.29 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | Partial closure (1/4/02-1/12/03) | 0.38 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | Open | 0.51 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | Open | 0.39 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | Open | 0.20 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | Closed | 0.16 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | Closed | 0.09 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | Closed | 0.07 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | Closed | 0.08 |

The spawning area closures instituted under EU legislation (see Stock Annex) do not appear to have been beneficial to the stock in terms of either SSB, F or recruitment.

### 4.1.5 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

The stock is exploited by three types of vessels, larger boats with RSW or bulk storage and smaller dry hold vessels. The smaller vessels are confined to the spawning grounds (VIIaS and VIIg) during the winter period. The refrigerated seawater (RSW) tank vessels target the stock inshore in winter and offshore during the summer feeding phase (VIIg). These boats are excluded from VIIaS under the terms of the rebuild-
ing plan, as they are over 65 feet. The fleet involved in the sentinel fishery is increasing, both in number of vessels and fishing efficiency.

### 4.2 Biological composition of the catch

### 4.2.1 Catches in numbers-at-age

Catch numbers-at-age are available for the period 1958 to 2010. In 2010, the most abundant age classes were 2-ringers (2007 year class), 4-ringers (2005 year class) and 6 -ringers (2003 year class). These cohorts were also strong in the previous season as 1, 3- and 5-ringers respectively. The weak 2001/2002 year class has now almost disappeared from the catches (Table 4.2.1.1). The strong 2003 year class is now 6 -ringer and the currently used plus group is 6 . This year class is a significant component of the plus group. The yearly mean standardised catch numbers-at-age for $6+$ and $7+$ are shown in Figure 4.2.1.1 and 4.2.1.2 and clearly show this strong cohort.

The overall proportions-at-age were similar in all sampled metiers (division*quarter). A slightly different age profile can be seen in quarter 4 from Division VIIj with lower amounts of 4-ringers and higher amounts of 5-ringers. However, as in 2009 the survey and the commercial fishery did not agree as well as in terms of proportions-atage (Figure 4.2.1.3). The 4- and 6-ringers that were dominant in the commercial catch were less dominant in the survey. As expected the survey caught greater amounts of 1-ring fish.

Table 4.2.1.2 and Figure 4.2.1.4 show the length frequency data by area and quarter. A similar length range was found in all areas with the exception of VIIj.

### 4.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

Biological sampling of the catches throughout the region was comprehensive throughout the area exploited by the Irish fishery (Table 4.2.2.1). Under the Data Collection Framework the sampling of this stock is well above that required by the Minimum Programme (Section 1.5).

The quality of catch data has varied over time. A rudimentary history of the Irish fishery since 1958 is presented in the Stock Annex. In 2010/2011 only preliminary data were available at the time of the Working Group. Best estimates of small boat catches were used for the VIIaS sentinel fishery. This is because not all the vessels are required to make logbook returns, being less than 10 m in total length.

### 4.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 4.3.1 Acoustic Surveys

The Celtic Sea herring acoustic survey (CSHAS) time series currently used in the assessment runs from 2002-2010 and is presented in Table 4.3.1.1.

The acoustic survey of the 2010/2011 season was carried out in October 2010, on the Celtic Explorer (Saunders, et al 2010). The survey track began at the northern boundary of VIIj, covering the SW bays in zig-zags and parallel transects (Figure 4.3.1.1a). As in previous seasons, very little herring was registered in the bays of VIIj Figure 4.3.1.1b. The main broad scale survey in VIIg and VIIaS had a parallel transect design and showed the greatest concentrations of herring close inshore on the spawning grounds.

Difficulties were encountered when calibrating the 38 kHz echosounder. Problems with the 38 kHz transducer cable led to distortion of the acoustic beam and resulted in a complete loss of 38 kHz data. The abundance estimate was calculated using the fully calibrated 18 kHz data. Full details of this work including comparisons between 38 kHz and 18 kHz estimates are presented in Saunders et al, 2010 WD 08. Based on this work and discussions at WGIPS in 2011 it was agreed to use the 18 kHz abundance estimates for 2010 in the 2011 assessment.

In 2010/2011 the SSB estimate was almost 122000 t . This is an increase of $34 \%$ on the 2009 SSB estimate of 91000 t . The distribution of herring encountered on the 2010 survey was more concentrated than in 2009 with more 'definitely herring' marks encountered and less herring in mixed schools.

This survey shows quite good internal consistency for the age groups used in the assessment (Figure 4.3.1.2). The worst coherence is shown by 2-ringers. This may be due to the variation in immigration from the Irish Sea.

### 4.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

The mean weights in the catch and mean weight in the stock at spawning time are presented in Figure 4.4.1.1 and 4.4.1.2 respectively. There has been an overall downward trend in mean weights-at-age since the mid-1980s. However, around 2008 the main age groups 2-8 have shown an increase. For 2010/2011 the mean weights-at-age have decreased slightly for all ages.

Mean weights in the stock at spawning time were calculated from biological samples, for quarters 4 and 1 (Figure 4.4.1.2). Decreases in mean weight can be seen across all ages with the exception of 8 -ringers where a very small increase was seen.

In the assessment, $50 \%$ of 1 -ringers are considered mature. Sampling data from the Celtic Sea catches suggest that greater than $50 \%$ of 1-ringers are mature (Lynch, 2011). The Celtic Sea 1-ringers that are present in the Irish Sea have less than $50 \%$ maturity (Beggs et al., 2008, WD 04).

### 4.5 Recruitment

At present there are no recruitment estimates for this stock.

### 4.6 Assessment

### 4.6.1 Data Exploration

An additional exploratory assessment was run to explore the effect of increasing the plus group to 7 . The fitted catch diagnostics from the spaly $6+$ run and the $7+$ run are presented in Figures 4.6.1.1 and 4.6.1.2. A change in selection can be seen, as well as an increase in the size of the residuals for the 7+ run. The retrospective selection patterns from both runs are presented in Figures 4.6.1.3 and 4.6.1.4. The $6+$ run shows a more stable selection pattern over time. The separable model diagnostics show that the total residuals by age and year between the catch and separable model do not show any clear trends. A flat topped selection pattern is considered appropriate for this stock.

The stock summary for each run is also presented (Figures 4.6.1.5. and 4.6.1.6). The SSB estimate is significantly higher ( $+26 \%$ ) when the $6+$ data is used. Overall, the diagnostics were not improved significantly when the plus group was extended. It was decided to use the 6+ data in the 2011 assessment and the results of this run are pre-
sented in detail. The plus group is an issue that may have to be reinvestigated as several strong year classes are entering this fishery. The plus group was reduced at a time when there was significant truncation at older ages and poor incoming recruitment.

### 4.6.2 Stock Assessment

This update assessment was carried out using FLICA. The same settings as the 2010 assessment were used (Table 4.6.1.10) and the assessment was tuned using the Celtic Sea herring acoustic survey (CSHAS). The input and output data are presented in Tables 4.6.1.1 to 4.6.1.21.

The fitted catch diagnostics show a reasonable residual pattern (Figure 4.6.1.1). The survey diagnostics at-age are presented in Figures 4.6.1.7 - 4.6.1.10 and are similar to last year. The fit between the observed and expected time series is relatively good, with the fit improving as the age increases. High estimates of the 2005 and 2007 year classes can be seen in 2010 .

The catch and survey residual patterns are shown in Figure 4.6.1.11. Year effects can be seen in the acoustic surveys in 2002, 2003 and 2005 and also in 2010. It is not clear what is causing the year effects in 2010 but it may be due to the significant increase in the survey abundance. In more recent years the survey is performing better in the assessment with smaller residuals. No age effects are seen in the time series.

An "otolith" plot which depicts the uncertainty in the estimated spawning stock biomass and average fishing mortality is presented in Figure 4.6.1.12. This figure shows that there is considerable uncertainty in the estimates of SSB with a wide range of values shown. The incoming recruitment of 1-ringers is poorly estimated in the assessment and leads to greater uncertainty of the estimation of SSB.

A Taylor diagram is presented in Figure 4.6.1.13 to shows the statistical comparison of observations from the acoustic survey data and the model estimates. The agreement between the model and the observations is best for 4-ringers and the 3- and 5ringers are comparable. The worst correlation is seen for the 2-ringers.

Retrospective plots by cohort are shown in Figure 4.6.1.14. The strong year classes (2003, 2005 and 2007) tend to be underestimated. The analytical retrospective pattern is displayed in Figure 4.6.2.15. The retrospective pattern was investigated as far back as 2003 but excludes the 2004 estimates. A retrospective analysis cannot be extended into earlier years because of the lack of reliable survey data. An upward revision can be seen in SSB with estimates of F showing a more stable pattern over time. In 2009, the 2007 year class was underestimated. A historical retrospective is presented in Figure 4.6.2.16. This compares the final assessments in 2009, 2010 and 2011. The upward revision in SSB can be seen here also.

### 4.6.3 State of the stock

The stock has increased considerably in size and is well above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(44000 \mathrm{t})$. F has declined from the peak in 2003, and is estimated to be below Fo.1, and has increased slightly since last year. The stock continues to be in a state of recovery with evidence of three strong cohorts recruited to this stock.

### 4.7 Short term projections

### 4.7.1 Deterministic Short Term Projections

A deterministic short term forecast was performed, using FLR. The input data are presented in Table 4.7.1.1. Mean weights in the catch and in the stock were calculated as means over the last three years. Recruits (1-ring) are poorly represented in the catch and only one observation of their abundance is available. The population numbers at 1-ring are replaced by geometric mean from 1995-2008. This time period was used because this represents the current perceived recruitment regime where recruitment has been fluctuating around the mean. Population numbers of 2 -ringers in the intermediate season (2011) were calculated by the degradation of geometric mean recruitment (1995-2008) using the equation below.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Ft}+\mathrm{Mt}}$
The short term forecast was performed using the predicted catch in the interim season 2011/2012. This was calculated as the remaining Irish quota for 2011 plus the likely Irish catch in quarter 1 of 2012.

The 2012 quarter 1 catch was estimated assuming that the quota would be increased by $30 \%$ and divided into 3 equal parts for quarters 1,3 and 4 . This $30 \%$ increase in TAC is the maximum allowed according to the proposed management plan. The use of Irish catch estimates in the interim year assumes that other countries' catches are unallocated.

The results of the short term projection are presented in Table 4.7.1.2 and 4.7.1.3. Fishing according to the proposed rebuilding plan implies catches of 21054 t in 2012. All scenarios show SSB will be well above $B_{p a}$ in 2013.

### 4.7.2 Yield Per Recruit

A yield per recruit analysis was conducted using FLR in 2011 and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ was estimated to be 0.17 (Figure 4.7.2.1).

### 4.8 Long term simulations

A long term plan has been proposed for Celtic Sea herring and simulations have been carried out in conjunction with this work. HCS10 (Skagen, 2010) was used to project the stock forward twenty years and screen over a range of possible trigger points, F values and \% constraints on TAC change. It was agreed by the Irish industry that a target F of 0.23 would be proposed and that 61000 t would be used as a trigger biomass. Once the stock falls to this level, reductions in F would be implemented. A 30\% constraint in TAC change would also apply. Simulations have shown that this combination of options shows that the risk of falling below the breakpoint, which is 41 $000 t$, is less than $5 \%$ over the simulation period (Egan and Clarke, 2011 WD 11).

### 4.9 Precautionary and yield based reference points

Reference points are defined for this stock, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is currently at 44000 t (low probability of low recruitment) and $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$ at 26000 t ( $\mathbf{B}_{\text {loss }}$ ) for this stock. $\mathbf{F}_{\text {pa }}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {lim }}$ are not defined. 0.25 is suggested as a possible option for $\mathbf{F m s y}_{\text {. }}$

Simulations carried out by Egan and Clarke, 2011 (WD 11) show that the breakpoint in the stock recruit relationship is 41000 t . This could be considered as a possible alternative to the current $\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}$. Based on this, a possible new option for $\mathbf{B}_{\text {pa }}$ based on the
equation $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lim}} \exp (1.645 \sigma$ ), where $\sigma$ is the CV from the assessment (ICES:CM 1998/ACFM 10), was calculated as 67000 t .

HAWG has not considered candidate values for a BMSYtrigger in great detail. HAWG considered that there is a range of biologically appropriate biomass triggers that may be appropriate, suggesting 50000 t as one possible value. The proposed management plan has a trigger biomass of 61000 t . In 2010 ACOM endorsed the approach taken by HAWG, and ICES WKFRAME II also endorsed the approach in 2011.

### 4.10 Quality of the Assessment

This assessment is an update of the 2010 assessment. A significant upward revision of the perception of SSB is a feature of the 2011 assessment. The underestimation of strong incoming year classes is likely to be a factor influencing this revision. SSB, catch and F estimated in last year's assessment and short term forecast are compared with this year's assessment in the text table below and are shown in the historical retrospective in Figure 4.6.1.16.

| 2010 Report |  |  |  | 2011 Assessment |  |  |  |  | Percentage change in Estimates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | SSB | Catch | F 2- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | SSB | Catch | F 2- |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 70958 | $5872^{* *}$ | 0.09 | 2008 | 78351 | 5872 | 0.09 | 10 | 0 |
| 2009 | 74689 | 5745 | 0.07 | 2009 | 105903 | 5745 | 0.07 | 42 | 0 |
| $2010^{*}$ | 74804 | 12150 | 0.17 | 2010 | 114319 | 8370 | 0.08 | 52 | -50 |

* From Intermediate year in STF
** Revision due to area misreporting


### 4.11 Management Considerations

Fishing mortality on this stock was high for many years, well above $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{mSY}}=0.25$. In the past three years F has been substantially reduced and is now below $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. The current estimate of $F$ is 0.08 . SSB is well above $B_{p a}(44000 t)$.

The advice for 2011 was based on the rebuilding plan and led to a $30 \%$ increase in TAC. There is good evidence to show that the stock has increased substantially. The rebuilding plan can be considered to be successful because the stock has been shown to be above $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ for three consecutive years. This was the criterion for recovery used in the rebuilding plan. The stock should now be managed according to a long term management plan.

A long term management plan has been proposed by the Irish industry. The proposed target $F$ is 0.23 and the trigger biomass point is 61000 t . The plan will be forwarded to the Pelagic RAC for review. It will be fully evaluated before it is deemed precautionary.

The closure of the Subdivision VIIaS as a measure to protect first time spawners has been in place since 2007/2008. Under the terms of the rebuilding plan the stock is considered to have recovered and this area will be reopened in January 2012.

### 4.12 Ecosystem considerations

Herring are an important prey species in the ecosystem and also one of the dominant planktivorous fish.

The spawning grounds for herring in the Celtic Sea are well known and are located inshore close to the coast. These spawning grounds may contain one or more spawning beds on which herring deposit their eggs. Individual spawning beds within the spawning grounds have been mapped and consist of either gravel or flat stone (Breslin, 1998). Spawning grounds tend to be vulnerable to anthropogenic influences such as dredging, sand and gravel extraction, dumping of dredge spoil and waste from fish cages. There have been several proposals for extraction of gravel and to dump dredge spoil in recent years. Many of these proposals relate to known herring spawning grounds. ICES have consistently advised that activities that perturb herring spawning grounds should be avoided.

Herring fisheries tend to be clean with little bycatch of other fish. Mega-fauna by catch is unquantified. Anecdotal reports suggest that seals are caught from time to time.

### 4.13 Changes in the environment

Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last number of decades. There are indications that salinity is also increasing (ICES, 2006). It is considered that this could have implications for herring that is at the southern edge of its distribution in this area. It is known that similar environmental changes have affected the North Sea herring. However, there is no evidence that changes in the environmental regime in the Celtic Sea have had any effect on productivity of this stock.

Table 4.1.3.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Landings by quota year ( $\mathbf{t}$ ), 1988-2010. (Data provided by Working Group members). These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | U.K. | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | - | - | 16,800 | - | - | - | 2,400 | 19,200 |
| 1989 | + | - | 16,000 | 1,900 | - | 1,300 | 3,500 | 22,700 |
| 1990 | + | - | 15,800 | 1,000 | 200 | 700 | 2,500 | 20,200 |
| 1991 | + | 100 | 19,400 | 1,600 | - | 600 | 1,900 | 23,600 |
| 1992 | 500 | - | 18,000 | 100 | + | 2,300 | 2,100 | 23,000 |
| 1993 | - | - | 19,000 | 1,300 | + | $-1,100$ | 1,900 | 21,100 |
| 1994 | + | 200 | 17,400 | 1,300 | + | $-1,500$ | 1,700 | 19,100 |
| 1995 | 200 | 200 | 18,000 | 100 | + | -200 | 700 | 19,000 |
| 1996 | 1,000 | 0 | 18,600 | 1,000 | - | $-1,800$ | 3,000 | 21,800 |
| 1997 | 1,300 | 0 | 18,000 | 1,400 | - | $-2,600$ | 700 | 18,800 |
| 1998 | + | - | 19,300 | 1,200 | - | -200 | - | 20,300 |
| 1999 |  | 200 | 17,900 | 1300 | + | -1300 | - | 18,100 |
| 2000 | 573 | 228 | 18,038 | 44 | 1 | -617 | - | 18,267 |
| 2001 | 1,359 | 219 | 17,729 | - | - | -1578 | - | 17,729 |
| 2002 | 734 | - | 10,550 | 257 | - | -991 | - | 10,550 |
| 2003 | 800 | - | 10,875 | 692 | 14 | $-1,506$ | - | 10,875 |
| 2004 | 801 | 41 | 11,024 | - | - | -801 | - | 11,065 |
| 2005 | 821 | 150 | 8452 | 799 | - | -1770 | - | 8,452 |
| 2006 | - | - | 8,530 | 518 | 5 | -523 | - | 8,530 |
| 2007 | 581 | 248 | 8,268 | 463 | 63 | -1355 | - | 8,268 |
| 2008 | 503 | 191 | 6,853 | 291 |  | -985 | - | 6,853 |
| 2009 | 364 | 135 | 5,760 |  |  | -499 | - | 5,760 |
| 2010 | 636 | 278 | 8406 | 325 |  | -1239 | - | 8,406 |

Table 4.1.3.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Landings (t) by assessment year (1st April-31st March) 1988/1989-2010/2011. (Data provided by Working Group members). These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | U.K. | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1988 / 1989$ | - | - | 17,000 | - | - | - | 3,400 | 20,400 |
| $1989 / 1990$ | + | - | 15,000 | 1,900 | - | 2,600 | 3,600 | 23,100 |
| $1990 / 1991$ | + | - | 15,000 | 1,000 | 200 | 700 | 1,700 | 18,600 |
| $1991 / 1992$ | 500 | 100 | 21,400 | 1,600 | - | -100 | 2,100 | 25,600 |
| $1992 / 1993$ | - | - | 18,000 | 1,300 | - | -100 | 2,000 | 21,200 |
| $1993 / 1994$ | - | - | 16,600 | 1,300 | + | $-1,100$ | 1,800 | 18,600 |
| $1994 / 1995$ | + | 200 | 17,400 | 1,300 | + | $-1,500$ | 1,900 | 19,300 |
| $1995 / 1996$ | 200 | 200 | 20,000 | 100 | + | -200 | 3,000 | 23,300 |
| $1996 / 1997$ | 1,000 | - | 17,900 | 1,000 | - | $-1,800$ | 750 | 18,800 |
| $1997 / 1998$ | 1,300 | - | 19,900 | 1,400 | - | -2100 | - | 20,500 |
| $1998 / 1999$ | + | - | 17,700 | 1,200 | - | -700 | - | 18,200 |
| $1999 / 2000$ |  | 200 | 18,300 | 1300 | + | -1300 | - | 18,500 |
| $2000 / 2001$ | 573 | 228 | 16,962 | 44 | 1 | -617 | - | 17,191 |
| $2001 / 2002$ | - | - | 15,236 | - | - | - | - | 15,236 |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 734 | - | 7,465 | 257 | - | -991 | - | 7,465 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 800 | - | 11,536 | 610 | 14 | $-1,424$ | - | 11,536 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 801 | 41 | 12,702 | - | - | -801 | - | 12,743 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 821 | 150 | 9,494 | 799 | - | -1770 | - | 9,494 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | - | - | 6,944 | 518 | 5 | -523 | - | 6,944 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 379 | 248 | 7,636 | 327 | - | -954 | - | 7,636 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 503 | 191 | 5,872 | 150 |  | -844 | - | 5,872 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 364 | 135 | 5,745 |  | -499 | - | 5,745 |  |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 636 | 278 | 8,370 | 325 | - | -1239 | - | 8,370 |

Table 4.2.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Comparison of age distributions (percentages) in the catches of Celtic Sea and VIIj herring from 1966-2010

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 5\% | 15\% | 46\% | 8\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 7\% | 3\% |
| 1967 | 5\% | 26\% | 13\% | 32\% | 6\% | 6\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| 1968 | 8\% | 35\% | 25\% | 7\% | 14\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| 1969 | 4\% | 40\% | 24\% | 14\% | 5\% | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1970 | 1\% | 24\% | 33\% | 17\% | 12\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 1971 | 8\% | 15\% | 24\% | 27\% | 12\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| 1972 | 4\% | 67\% | 9\% | 8\% | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1973 | 16\% | 26\% | 38\% | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 1974 | 5\% | 43\% | 17\% | 22\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1975 | 18\% | 22\% | 25\% | 11\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| 1976 | 26\% | 22\% | 14\% | 14\% | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| 1977 | 20\% | 31\% | 22\% | 13\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1978 | 7\% | 35\% | 31\% | 14\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 1979 | 21\% | 26\% | 23\% | 16\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1980 | 11\% | 47\% | 18\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 1981 | 40\% | 22\% | 22\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 1982 | 20\% | 55\% | 11\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 1983 | 9\% | 68\% | 18\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1984 | 11\% | 53\% | 24\% | 9\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1985 | 14\% | 44\% | 28\% | 12\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1986 | 3\% | 39\% | 29\% | 22\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1987 | 4\% | 42\% | 27\% | 15\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1988 | 2\% | 61\% | 23\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1989 | 5\% | 27\% | 44\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1990 | 2\% | 35\% | 21\% | 30\% | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1991 | 1\% | 40\% | 24\% | 11\% | 18\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1992 | 8\% | 19\% | 25\% | 20\% | 7\% | 13\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| 1993 | 1\% | 72\% | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1994 | 10\% | 29\% | 50\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1995 | 6\% | 49\% | 14\% | 23\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1996 | 3\% | 46\% | 29\% | 6\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1997 | 3\% | 26\% | 37\% | 22\% | 6\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1998 | 5\% | 34\% | 22\% | 23\% | 11\% | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1999 | 11\% | 27\% | 28\% | 11\% | 12\% | 7\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| 2000 | 7\% | 58\% | 14\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2001 | 12\% | 49\% | 28\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2002 | 6\% | 46\% | 32\% | 9\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2003 | 3\% | 41\% | 27\% | 16\% | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 2004 | 5\% | 10\% | 50\% | 24\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2005 | 19\% | 38\% | 7\% | 23\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2006 | 3\% | 58\% | 19\% | 4\% | 11\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2007 | 12\% | 17\% | 56\% | 9\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2008 | 3\% | 31\% | 20\% | 38\% | 6\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2009 | 24\% | 11\% | 30\% | 12\% | 20\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2010 | 24\% | 11\% | 30\% | 12\% | 20\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |

Table 4.2.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Length frequency distributions of the Irish catches (raised numbers in '000s) in the 2010/2011 season in the Celtic Sea and VIIj fishery.

|  | VIIg Q3 | VIIg Q4 | VIIj Q4 | VIIas Q4 | VIIaS Q1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 18.5 | 26 | 57 |  |  |  | 83 |
| 19 | 43 | 85 |  | 10 |  | 138 |
| 19.5 | 60 | 227 |  | 6 |  | 294 |
| 20 | 69 | 270 |  | 3 |  | 342 |
| 20.5 | 138 | 270 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 443 |
| 21 | 232 | 553 | 18 | 29 | 18 | 851 |
| 21.5 | 275 | 596 | 88 | 55 | 25 | 1039 |
| 22 | 448 | 1107 | 176 | 103 | 74 | 1907 |
| 22.5 | 508 | 1532 | 123 | 100 | 74 | 2337 |
| 23 | 947 | 2086 | 123 | 154 | 82 | 3392 |
| 23.5 | 947 | 2852 | 88 | 145 | 104 | 4136 |
| 24 | 1369 | 3406 | 132 | 244 | 109 | 5259 |
| 24.5 | 1429 | 3590 | 194 | 241 | 125 | 5579 |
| 25 | 1575 | 4839 | 167 | 450 | 111 | 7142 |
| 25.5 | 1575 | 4881 | 123 | 552 | 156 | 7288 |
| 26 | 1584 | 6527 | 185 | 623 | 176 | 9096 |
| 26.5 | 1464 | 4981 | 203 | 379 | 145 | 7171 |
| 27 | 1085 | 2441 | 238 | 202 | 94 | 4060 |
| 27.5 | 465 | 1022 | 264 | 71 | 39 | 1860 |
| 28 | 155 | 511 | 308 | 19 | 2 | 995 |
| 28.5 | 26 | 85 | 229 | 6 | 4 | 351 |
| 29 | 9 | 14 | 44 |  |  | 67 |
| 29.5 | 9 |  | 18 |  |  | 26 |
| 30 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 18 |
| 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.5 |  | 14 | 9 |  |  | 23 |
| 32 |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |

Table 4.2.2.1 Herring in the Celtic Sea. Sampling intensity of Irish commercial catches (2010/2011). Only Ireland provides samples of this stock.

| ICES area | Year | Quarter | Landings (t) | No. Samples | No. aged | No. Measured | Aged/1000 t |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VIIg | 2010 | 3 | 1890 | 7 | 488 | 1680 | 0.49 |
| VIIg | 2010 | 4 | 5476 | 16 | 1090 | 2957 | 1.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  | 7366 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7 8}$ | 4637 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIIaS | 2010 | 4 | 430 | 10 | 500 | 1059 | 0.50 |
| VIIaS | 2011 | 1 | 154 | 6 | 300 | 656 | 0.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  | 584 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 800 | $\mathbf{1 7 1 5}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIIj | 2010 | 4 | 420 | 3 | 150 | 314 | 0.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  | 420 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 314 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Celtic Sea |  |  | 8370 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 2528 | 6666 |  |

Table 4.3.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Revised acoustic index of abundance used in the assessment. Total stock numbers-at-age ( $10^{6}$ ) estimated using combined acoustic surveys (age refers in winter rings, biomass and SSB in 000's tonnes). Only 2-5 ring abundance is used in tuning.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 24 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 99 | 239 | 5 |
| 1 | 42 | 13 | - | 65 | 21 | 106 | 64 | 381 | 346 |
| 2 | 185 | 62 | - | 137 | 211 | 70 | 295 | 112 | 549 |
| 3 | 151 | 60 | - | 28 | 48 | 220 | 111 | 210 | 156 |
| 4 | 30 | 17 | - | 54 | 14 | 31 | 162 | 57 | 193 |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | - | 22 | 11 | 9 | 27 | 125 | 65 |
| 6 | 7 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 91 |
| 7 | 3 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 |  | 6 | 3 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Abundance | 423 | 183 | - | 312 | 305 | 454 | 769 | 1,147 | 1,414 |
| SSB | 41 | 20 | - | 33 | 36 | 46 | 90 | 91 | 122 |
| CV | 49 | 34 | - | 48 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 24 | 20 |
| Design | AR | AR |  | R | R | R | R | R | R |

*AR Adaptive random; R random

## TABLE 4.6.1.1 Herring in the Celtic Sea. CATCH IN NUMBER

| Uni | s : | th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |
| 1 | 1642 | 1203 | 2840 | 2129 | 772 | 297 | 7529 | 57 | 7093 | 7599 | 12197 | 9472 |
| 2 | 3742 | 25717 | 72246 | 16058 | 18567 | 51935 | 15058 | 70248 | 19559 | 39991 | 54790 | 93279 |
| 3 | 33094 | 2274 | 24658 | 32044 | 19909 | 13033 | 17250 | 9365 | 59893 | 20062 | 39604 | 55039 |
| 4 | 25746 | 19262 | 3779 | 5631 | 48061 | 4179 | 6658 | 15757 | 9924 | 49113 | 11544 | 33145 |
| 5 | 12551 | 11015 | 13698 | 2034 | 8075 | 20694 | 1719 | 3399 | 13211 | 9218 | 22599 | 12217 |
| 6 | 55010 | 34748 | 19057 | 14363 | 21304 | 9353 | 12790 | 25536 | 21776 | 26650 | 15345 | 28242 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 51976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| 1 | 1319 | 12658 | 8422 | 23547 | 5507 | 12768 | 13317 | 8159 | 2800 | 11335 | 7162 | 39361 |
| 2 | 37260 | 23313 | 137690 | 38133 | 42808 | 15429 | 11113 | 12516 | 13385 | 13913 | 30093 | 21285 |
| 3 | 50087 | 37563 | 17855 | 55805 | 17184 | 17783 | 7286 | 8610 | 11948 | 12399 | 11726 | 21861 |
| 4 | 26481 | 41904 | 15842 | 7012 | 22530 | 7333 | 7011 | 5280 | 5583 | 8636 | 6585 | 5505 |
| 5 | 18763 | 18759 | 14531 | 9651 | 4225 | 9006 | 6 2872 | 1585 | 1580 | 2889 | 2812 | 4438 |
| 6 | 19746 | 21900 | 11051 | 12216 | -8445 | 7494 | 9777 | 3794 | 3356 | 3785 | 5215 | 5410 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 5 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| 1 | 15339 | 13540 | 19517 | 17916 | 4159 | 5976 | 2307 | 8260 | 2702 | 1912 | 10410 | 1608 |
| 2 | 42725 | 102871 | 92892 | 57054 | 56747 | 67000 | 82027 | 42413 | 41756 | 63854 | 26752 | 94061 |
| 3 | 8728 | 26993 | 41121 | 36258 | 42881 | 43075 | 530962 | 68399 | 24634 | 38342 | 35019 | 9372 |
| 4 | 4817 | 3225 | 16043 | 16032 | 32930 | 23014 | 49398 | 19601 | 35258 | 16916 | 27591 | 10221 |
| 5 | 1497 | 1862 | 2450 | 2306 | 8790 | 14323 | 5963 | 8205 | 8116 | 28405 | 10139 | 4491 |
| 6 | 4492 | 1939 | 1872 | 618 | 1266 | 4651 | 1 4299 | 7875 | 6636 | -9004 | 28056 | 10085 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 1 | 12130 | 9450 | 3476 | 3849 | 5818 | 14274 | 9953 | 15724 | 3495 | 2711 | 4276 | 15419 |
| 2 | 35768 | 79159 | 61923 | 37440 | 41510 | 34072 | 77378 | 62153 | 26472 | 37006 | 9470 | 30710 |
| 3 | 61737 | 22591 | 38244 | 53040 | 27102 | 36086 | 18952 | 35816 | 18532 | 24444 | 46243 | 5766 |
| 4 | 3289 | 36541 | 7943 | 31442 | 28274 | 14642 | 12060 | 5953 | 5309 | 14763 | 21863 | 18666 |
| 5 | 3025 | 3686 | 16114 | 8318 | 13178 | 15515 | 5230 | 4249 | 1416 | 5719 | 8638 | 7349 |
| 6 | 8665 | 8772 | 6195 | 8720 | 7405 | 13305 | 9787 | 3771 | 2061 | 6628 | 2151 | 2495 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1460 | 8043 | 1306 | 10171 | 2468 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 33894 | 11028 | 12638 | 4465 | 20929 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 10914 | 36223 | 8255 | 12859 | 8183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2469 | 5509 | 15777 | 4887 | 15917 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6261 | 1365 | 2360 | 8458 | 4846 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2997 | 2509 | 921 | 1578 | 11592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# TABLE 4.6.1.2 Herring in the Celtic Sea. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH 

```
Units : kg
    year
```



```
    1 0.096 0.087 0.093 0.098 0.109 0.103 0.105 0.103 0.122 0.119 0.119 0.122
    2 0.115 0.119 0.122 0.127 0.146 0.139 0.139 0.143 0.154 0.158 0.166 0.164
    3 0.162 0.166 0.156 0.156 0.170 0.194 0.182 0.180}00.191 0.185 0.196 0.200
    4 0.185 0.185 0.191 0.185 0.187 0.205 0.215 0.212 0.212 0.217 0.215 0.217
    5 0.205 0.200 0.205 0.207 0.210 0.217 0.225 0.232 0.237 0.243 0.235 0.237
    6 0.224 0.220 0.222 0.224 0.234 0.241 0.235 0.249 0.250}0.2540.257 0.257 0.252
    year
age 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    1 0.128 0.117 0.132 0.125 0.141 0.137 0.137 0.134 0.127 0.127 0.117 0.115
    2 0.162 0.166 0.170 0.174 0.180 0.187 0.174 0.185 0.189 0.174 0.174 0.172
    3 0.200 0.200 0.194 0.205 0.210 0.215 0.205 0.212 0.217 0.212 0.207 0.210
    4 0.225 0.225 0.220 0.215 0.225 0.240 0.235 0.222 0.240}0.230.230.237 0.245
    5 0.240 0.245 0.245 0.245 0.237 0.251 0.259 0.243 0.279 0.253 0.259 0.267
    6 0.262 0.261 0.265 0.269 0.264 0.269 0.278 0.271}00.288 0.282 0.273 0.287
    year
```



```
    1 0.115 0.109 0.093 0.104 0.112 0.096 0.097 0.106 0.099 0.092 0.096 0.092
    2 0.154 0.148 0.142 0.140 0.155 0.138 0.132 0.129 0.137 0.128 0.123 0.129
    3 0.194 0.198}0.185 0.170 0.172 0.186 0.168 0.151 0.153 0.168 0.150 0.155
    4 0.237 0.220 0.213 0.201 0.187 0.192 0.203 0.169 0.167 0.182 0.177 0.180
    5 0.262 0.276 0.213 0.234 0.215 0.204 0.209 0.194 0.188 0.190 0.191 0.201
    6 0.279 0.305 0.249 0.256 0.252 0.245 0.224 0.208 0.214 0.219 0.205 0.211
    year
age 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 0.097 0.088 0.088 0.093 0.099 0.090 0.092 0.082 0.096 0.089 0.080 0.077
    2 0.135 0.126 0.118 0.124 0.121 0.120 0.111 0.107 0.115 0.102 0.130 0.102
    3}00.168 0.151 0.147 0.141 0.153 0.149 0.148 0.139 0.139 0.128 0.134 0.142
    4 0.179 0.178 0.159 0.157 0.163 0.167 0.168 0.162 0.156 0.146 0.151 0.147
    5 0.190 0.188 0.185 0.172 0.173 0.180}0.185 0.177 0.185 0.165 0.159 0.158
    6 0.214 0.210 0.210 0.198 0.194 0.191 0.193 0.194 0.201 0.191 0.186 0.174
    year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.093 0.074 0.091 0.078 0.075
    2 0.105 0.106 0.120 0.122 0.108
    3}00.127 0.123 0.144 0.146 0.129
    4 0.151 0.141 0.156 0.160 0.142
    5 0.155 0.166 0.172 0.169 0.155
    6 0.168 0.164 0.193 0.188 0.159
```

TABLE 4.6.1.3 Herring in the Celtic Sea. WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
Units : kg
```




```
    2 0.115 0.119 0.122 0.127 0.146 0.139 0.139 0.143 0.154 0.158 0.166 0.164
    3 0.162 0.166 0.156 0.156 0.170 0.194 0.182 0.180}0.10.191 0.185 0.196 0.200
```



```
    5 0.205 0.200 0.205 0.207 0.210 0.217 0.225}0.2.232 0.237 0.243 0.235 0.237
    6 0.224 0.220}0.222 0.224 0.234 0.241 0.235 0.249 0.250 0.257 0.257 0.252
        year
age 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981
```



```
    2 0.162 0.166 0.170 0.174 0.180 0.187 0.174 0.185 0.189 0.174 0.174 0.172
    30.200 0.200 0.194 0.205 0.210}00.215 0.205 0.212 0.217 0.212 0.207 0.210
    4 0.225 0.225}0.220 0.215 0.225 0.240 0.235 0.222 0.240 0.230 0.237 0.245
    5 0.240 0.245 0.245 0.245 0.237 0.251 0.259}0.2.243 0.279 0.253 0.259 0.267
```



```
        year
age 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988
    1 0.115 0.109 0.093 0.104 0.112 0.096 0.097 0.106 0.099 0.092 0.096 0.092
```




```
    4 0.237 0.220}0.213 0.201 0.187 0.192 0.203 0.169 0.167 0.182 0.177 0.180
    5 0.262 0.276 0.213 0.234 0.215 0.204 0.209 0.194 0.188
    6 0.279}00.305 0.249 0.256 0.252 0.245 0.224 0.208 0.213 0.219 0.205 0.211
        year
age 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 0.097 0.088 0.088 0.093 0.099 0.090 0.092 0.082 0.096 0.078 0.077 0.074
```




```
    4 0.179 0.178 0.159 0.157 0.163 0.167 0.168 0.162 0.156 0.141 0.151 0.143
    5 0.190 0.188 0.185 0.172 0.173 0.180}0.17.185 0.177 0.184 0.156 0.156 0.155
```



```
        year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.085 0.066 0.083 0.076 0.076
    2 0.104 0.102 0.117 0.117 0.106
    30.123 0.116 0.140 0.142 0.127
    4 0.153 0.135 0.156 0.158 0.139
    5 0.150 0.151 0.170}0.168 0.152
```



TABLE 4.6.1.4 Herring in the Celtic Sea. NATURAL MORTALITY

```
Units : NA
year
age 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972
```





```
    4 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 
    5}00.
```



```
year
```




```
    2
    30.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 
    5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 1
```



```
        year
age 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
```



```
    2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.0.3 0.3 0.3
    30.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
    4}0.
    5}00.
    6}00.
        year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
```



```
    2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3
    3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
    4}00.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1 
    5
    6
```

TABLE 4.6.1.5 Herring in the Celtic Sea. PROPORTION MATURE

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972
    1 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    2 1.0
```



```
    41.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
        year
```



```
    10[0.5
    2 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
```



```
    41.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    year
age 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
    1 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    21.0}1.
```



```
    41.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
```



```
    2 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0}1.0 1.0 1.0 
    3 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    4 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
    6 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
```


## TABLE 4.6.1.6 Herring in the Celtic Sea. FRACTION OF HARVEST BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972
    10.0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
    2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
```



```
    40.0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.0.2 
    5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
```



```
year
age 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987
```



```
    2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0
    30.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    40.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    6 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
        year
age 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
    10.0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    30.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
```



```
    5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    6 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
        year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551
    2 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551
    3 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551
    4 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551
    5 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551
    6 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551 0.551
```

TABLE 4.6.1.7 Herring in the Celtic Sea. FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972
```



```
    2 0.5 0.5 0.5 .5 0.5 0.5 .5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
```




```
    5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 5 0.5 5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
        year
age 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987
    1
    20.50.5
    30.0.5
    4}00.
    5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
        year
age 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
    1
    2 0. 5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0.5 0.0.5 0.5 0.5
    3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0.5 0.0.5 0.5 0.5
    4}00.
    5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
        year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
```



```
    2 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
    40.5}00.
    5}00.50.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 
    6}00.
```


# TABLE 4.6.1.8 Herring in the Celtic Sea. SURVEY INDICES 

```
Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey - Configuration
"Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring . Imported from VPA file."
    min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf
Index type : number
Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey - Index Values
Units : NA
    year
age 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    2 185.2 61.7 -1 137.1 210.5 70 295 112 549
    3 150.6 60.4 
    4 29.7 17.2 -1 54.2 13.5 31 162 
Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)
Units : NA
        year
age 2002 200320042005 20062007 2008 20092010
    2
    llllllllll
```

TABLE 4.6.1.9 Herring in the Celtic Sea. STOCK OBJECT CONFIGURATION
min max plusgroup minyear maxyear minfbar maxfbar

TABLE 4.6.1.10 Herring in the Celtic Sea. FLICA CONFIGURATION SETTINGS

```
sep.2 : NA
sep.gradual : TRUE
sr : FALSE
sr.age : 1
lambda.age : 0.1 1 1 1 1 0
lambda.yr : 1 1 1 1 1 1
lambda.sr : 0
index.model : linear
index.cor : 1
sep.nyr : 6
sep.age : 3
sep.sel : 1
```

TABLE 4.6.1.11 Herring in the Celtic Sea. FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS
R version 2.8.1 (2008-12-22)
Package : FLICA
Version : 1.4-12
Packaged : 2009-10-08 15:16:26 UTC; mpa
Built : R 2.9.1; ; 2009-10-08 15:16:27 UTC; windows
Package : FLAssess
Version : 1.99-102
Packaged : Mon Mar 23 08:18:19 2009; mpa
Built : R 2.8.0; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-03-23 08:18:21; windows
Package : FLCore
Version : 2.2
Packaged : Tue May 19 19:23:18 2009; Administrator
Built : R 2.8.1; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-05-19 19:23:22; windows

TABLE 4.6.1.12 Herring in the Celtic Sea. STOCK SUMMARY

| Year | Recruitment Age 1 | TSB | SSB | Fbar <br> (Ages 2-5) | Landings tonnes | Landings SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 296749 | 112164 | 81192 | 0.3578 | 22978 | 1.1144 |
| 1959 | 877840 | 137942 | 76574 | 0.3078 | 15086 | 1.1238 |
| 1960 | 191417 | 87465 | 62882 | 0.4498 | 18283 | 1.1314 |
| 1961 | 221309 | 76365 | 53899 | 0.2815 | 15372 | 0.7759 |
| 1962 | 569088 | 116616 | 63954 | 0.5958 | 21552 | 1.0137 |
| 1963 | 286381 | 89078 | 58590 | 0.4008 | 17349 | 1.0017 |
| 1964 | 1086254 | 168997 | 82323 | 0.2453 | 10599 | 1.0234 |
| 1965 | 341357 | 151680 | 110992 | 0.2282 | 19126 | 1.1620 |
| 1966 | 701444 | 193253 | 118863 | 0.2742 | 27030 | 0.9617 |
| 1967 | 715913 | 199852 | 123705 | 0.3455 | 27658 | 1.1093 |
| 1968 | 840789 | 214451 | 127286 | 0.3235 | 30236 | 0.9937 |
| 1969 | 445612 | 176603 | 116398 | 0.5068 | 44389 | 1.0062 |
| 1970 | 215682 | 123926 | 88822 | 0.4478 | 31727 | 1.0041 |
| 1971 | 858507 | 168019 | 84550 | 0.6735 | 31396 | 1.0385 |
| 1972 | 265141 | 115031 | 72075 | 0.7100 | 38203 | 0.9936 |
| 1973 | 291594 | 89570 | 52212 | 0.7155 | 26936 | 1.0461 |
| 1974 | 130011 | 58047 | 36224 | 0.7920 | 19940 | 1.0226 |
| 1975 | 145243 | 46988 | 27215 | 0.7308 | 15588 | 0.9298 |
| 1976 | 175521 | 46334 | 25252 | 0.6265 | 9771 | 1.0604 |
| 1977 | 170146 | 44122 | 24284 | 0.5420 | 7833 | 0.9983 |
| 1978 | 134982 | 41415 | 25071 | 0.5050 | 7559 | 1.0882 |
| 1979 | 238310 | 52522 | 26929 | 0.6422 | 10321 | 0.9954 |
| 1980 | 148348 | 44083 | 26233 | 0.6795 | 13130 | 0.9302 |
| 1981 | 405412 | 69129 | 30520 | 0.9710 | 17103 | 0.9861 |
| 1982 | 672638 | 105980 | 45850 | 0.6842 | 13000 | 0.9865 |
| 1983 | 744616 | 131762 | 63199 | 0.6792 | 24981 | 0.9551 |
| 1984 | 573187 | 114355 | 63446 | 0.8508 | 26779 | 1.0089 |
| 1985 | 517083 | 111009 | 62863 | 0.4892 | 20426 | 0.9760 |
| 1986 | 539118 | 121991 | 67457 | 0.6370 | 25024 | 0.9992 |
| 1987 | 979144 | 152842 | 74656 | 0.7280 | 26200 | 1.0043 |
| 1988 | 394043 | 112886 | 73026 | 0.4050 | 20447 | 0.9962 |
| 1989 | 476177 | 113542 | 66817 | 0.5245 | 23254 | 0.9984 |
| 1990 | 430086 | 100951 | 61509 | 0.4448 | 18404 | 1.0102 |
| 1991 | 181263 | 72762 | 49500 | 0.6752 | 25562 | 0.9873 |
| 1992 | 962532 | 129137 | 55704 | 0.9740 | 21127 | 1.0467 |
| 1993 | 331174 | 89834 | 57086 | 0.5670 | 18618 | 0.9993 |
| 1994 | 704501 | 123430 | 65853 | 0.4230 | 19300 | 1.0049 |
| 1995 | 685674 | 122999 | 69150 | 0.5340 | 23305 | 0.9979 |
| 1996 | 343402 | 93948 | 62043 | 0.3908 | 18816 | 0.9981 |
| 1997 | 374906 | 85469 | 51419 | 0.5990 | 20496 | 1.0037 |
| 1998 | 244778 | 67378 | 42061 | 0.6198 | 18041 | 1.0016 |
| 1999 | 517528 | 80110 | 39862 | 0.8435 | 18485 | 1.0024 |
| 2000 | 457689 | 76047 | 38625 | 0.8402 | 17191 | 1.0001 |
| 2001 | 427987 | 66907 | 35157 | 0.7330 | 15269 | 1.0064 |
| 2002 | 543683 | 85152 | 43584 | 0.2905 | 7465 | 0.9994 |
| 2003 | 117836 | 52029 | 34682 | 0.3820 | 11536 | 0.9977 |
| 2004 | 305664 | 55944 | 29076 | 0.5130 | 12743 | 1.0080 |
| 2005 | 982324 | 99896 | 41875 | 0.3932 | 9494 | 0.9983 |
| 2006 | 369330 | 84614 | 52471 | 0.2005 | 6944 | 0.9976 |
| 2007 | 827993 | 104459 | 57743 | 0.1562 | 7636 | 0.9998 |
| 2008 | 373767 | 110744 | 78351 | 0.0855 | 5872 | 0.9995 |
| 2009 | 1432574 | 191440 | 105903 | 0.0652 | 5745 | 0.9963 |
| 2010 | 417056* | 168141 | 114319 | 0.0845 | 8370 | 0.9983 |
| *Geometric Mean 1995 - 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# TABLE 4.6.1.13 Herring in the Celtic Sea. ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY 

```
Units : f
    year
age 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969
    1 0.009 0.002 0.024 0.015 0.002 0.002 0.011 0.000 0.016 0.017 0.023 0.034
    2 0.167 0.319 0.298 0.312 0.309 0.336 0.180 0.229 0.198 0.200 0.279 0.436
    3 0.398 0.154 0.620 0.222 0.870 0.397 0.188 0.172 0.332 0.340 0.332 0.535
    4 0.489 0.403 0.387 0.261 0. 566 0.419 0.343 0.249 0.264 0.471 0.318 0.483
    5 0.377 0.355 0.494 0.331 0.638 0.451 0.270 0.263 0.303 0.371 0.365 0.573
    6 0.377 0.355 0.494 0.331 0.638 0.451 0.270 0.263 0.303 0.371 0.365 0.573
        year
age 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981
    1 0.010 0.024 0.051 0.135 0.069 0.148 0.126 0.078 0.033 0.078 0.079 0.164
    2 0.315 0.415 0.709 0.633 0. 732 0.503 0.324 0.291 0.309 0.403 0.551 0.655
    3 0.476 0.651 0.707 0.777 0.722 0.866 0.508 0.481 0.536 0.563 0.771 1.150
    4 0.508 0.900 0.603 0.639 0.811 0.751 1.011 0.820}00.629 0.909 0.633 1.014
    5 0.492 0.728 0.821 0.813 0.903 0.803 0.663 0.576 0.546 0.694 0.763 1.065
    6 0.492 0.728 0.821 0.813 0.903 0.803 0.663 0.576 0.546 0.694 0.763 1.065
        year
age 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990
    1 0.037 0.029 0.055 0.056 0.012 0.010 0.009 0.028 0.010 0.017 0.017 0.008
    2 0.488 0.675 0.509 0.397 0.448 0.496 0.307 0.413 0.330}0.40.625 0.625 0.371
    3 0.675 0.716 0.690 0.409 0.637 0.801 0.484 0.486 0.483 0.619 0.948 0.502
    4 0.821 0.540 1.290 0.605 0.763 0.817 0.378 0.615 0.473 0.687 1.265 0.779
    5 0.753 0.786 0.914 0.546 0.700 0.798 0.451 0.584 0.493 0.770 1.058 0.616
    6 0.753 0.786 0.914 0.546 0.700 0.798 0.451 0.584 0.493 0.770 1.058 0.616
        year
age 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 0.028 0.022 0.016 0.016 0.038 0.044 0.035 0.060 0.010 0.037 0.022 0.029
    2 0.414 0.445 0.340 0.423 0.431 0.595 0.661 0.573 0.230}0.242 0.24202 0.252
    3 0.478 0.540 0.431 0.589 0.674 0.918 0.872 0.821 0.356 0.367 0.578 0.428
    4 0.311 0.550 0.349 0.726 0.693 0.933 0.890}0.70.720 0.251 0.505 0.619 0.465
    5 0.489 0.601 0.443 0.658 0.681 0.928 0.938 0.818 0.325 0.414 0.553 0.428
    6 0.489 0.601 0.443 0.658 0.681 0.928 0.938 0.818 0.325 0.414 0.553 0.428
        year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.015 0.012 0.006 0.005 0.006
    2 0.129 0.100 0.055 0.042 0.054
    3 0.218 0.170 0.093 0.071 0.092
    4 0.237 0.185 0.101 0.077 0.100
    5 0.218 0.170 0.093 0.071 0.092
    6 0.218 0.170 0.093 0.071 0.092
```

TABLE 4.6.1.14 Herring in the Celtic Sea. ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ts : } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 51966 | 1967 |
| 1 | 296749 | 877840 | 191417 | 221309 | 569088 | 286381 | 1086254 | 341357 | 701444 | 715913 |
| 2 | 28016 | 108213 | 322239 | 68769 | 80178 | 208907 | 105181 | 395233 | 125545 | 253924 |
| 3 | 110569 | 17560 | 58288 | 177228 | 37282 | 43598 | 110599 | 65066 | 232901 | 76317 |
| 4 | 69625 | 60828 | 12328 | 25675 | 116262 | 12794 | 23999 | 75017 | 74836 | 136877 |
| 5 | 41845 | 38618 | 36785 | 7573 | 17889 | 59714 | 7617 | 15403 | 52927 | 31154 |
| 6 | 183405 | 121825 | 51177 | 53476 | 47196 | 26989 | 56671 | 115717 | 77240 | 90068 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
| 1 | 840789 | 445612 | 215682 | 858507 | 265141 | 291594 | 130011 | 145243 | 175521 | 170146 |
| 2 | 258953 | 302224 | 158434 | 78578 | 308475 | 92659 | 93718 | 44641 | 46091 | 56900 |
| 3 | 153990 | 145180 | 144768 | 85670 | 38426 | 112499 | 36452 | 33376 | 20005 | 24692 |
| 4 | 44462 | 90498 | 69583 | 73636 | 36571 | 15518 | 42335 | 14504 | 11489 | 9852 |
| 5 | 77335 | 29284 | 50496 | 37888 | 27078 | 18103 | 7410 | 17027 | 6195 | 3784 |
| 6 | 52511 | 67695 | 53142 | 44232 | 20593 | 22914 | 14810 | 14168 | 21088 | 9058 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| 1 | 134982 | 238310 | 148348 | 405412 | 672638 | 744616 | 573187 | 517083 | 539118 | 979144 |
| 2 | 57875 | 48032 | 81114 | 50433 | 126541 | 238549 | 266068 | 199556 | 179845 | 195912 |
| 3 | 31498 | 31485 | 23772 | 34636 | 19403 | 57548 | 89967 | 118452 | 99392 | 85110 |
| , | 12500 | 15090 | 14681 | 9003 | 8975 | 8088 | 23018 | 36933 | 64449 | 43044 |
| 5 | 3928 | 6029 | 5504 | 7056 | 2956 | 3571 | 4266 | 5732 | 18251 | 27201 |
| 6 | 8343 | 7899 | 10207 | 8601 | 8868 | 3719 | 3260 | 1536 | 2629 | 8833 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| 1 | 394043 | 476177 | 430086 | 181263 | 962532 | 331174 | 704501 | 685674 | 343402 | 374906 |
| 2 | 356732 | 143619 | 170380 | 156648 | 65572 | 348046 | 120897 | 252128 | 246755 | 124310 |
| 3 | 88385 | 194471 | 70398 | 90708 | 62132 | 25989 | 177922 | 59205 | 119649 | 130149 |
| 4 | 31280 | 44618 | 97927 | 35561 | 39982 | 19721 | 12882 | 90341 | 28247 | 63659 |
| 5 | 17212 | 19395 | 21829 | 55215 | 16184 | 10210 | 8188 | 8537 | 47157 | 18029 |
| 6 | 12409 | 18615 | 17848 | 17502 | 44783 | 22927 | 23455 | 20316 | 18130 | 18900 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| 1 | 244778 | 517528 | 457689 | 427987 | 543683 | 117836 | 305664 | 982324 | 369330 | 827993 |
| 2 | 135683 | 86673 | 182111 | 162598 | 148341 | 197978 | 41777 | 109964 | 351029 | 133867 |
| 3 | 60322 | 65301 | 35419 | 69634 | 67910 | 87324 | 115124 | 22889 | 63312 | 228622 |
| 4 | 59108 | 25176 | 21352 | 12122 | 25090 | 38958 | 49548 | 52880 | 12221 | 41664 |
| 5 | 27883 | 26753 | 8964 | 7936 | 5341 | 17665 | 21272 | 24151 | 30067 | 8721 |
| 6 | 15668 | 22942 | 16774 | 7044 | 7774 | 20473 | 5297 | 7510 | 16020 | 16843 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2008 | 2009 | 92010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 373767 | 1432574 | 4417056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 301104 | 136639 | 524474 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 89714 | 211214 | 497068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 157922 | 66957 | 161057 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 31341 | 129217 | 756080 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10937 | 24143 | 137905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4.6.1.15 Herring in the Celtic Sea. SURVIVORS AFTER TERMINAL YEAR

```
Units : NA
    year
age 2011
    1 NA
    2 152466
    3 367938
    472458
    5 131807
    6 160032
```

TABLE 4.6.1.16 Herring in the Celtic Sea. FITTED SELECTION PATTERN
Units : NA
year
age $2005 \quad 200620072008 \quad 20092010$
$10.0680 .0680 .0680 .068 \quad 0.0680 .068$
20.5900 .5900 .5900 .5900 .5900 .590
31.0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .000
41.0871 .0871 .0871 .0871 .0871 .087
51.0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .000
61.0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .0001 .000

TABLE 4.6.1.17 Herring in the Celtic Sea. PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969
    1 1642
    2 3742 25717 72246 16058 18567 51935 15058 70248 19559 39991 54790 93279
    3 33094 2274 24658 32044 19909 13033 17250 9365 59893 20062 39604 55039
```



```
    5 12551 11015 13698 2034 8075 20694 1719 3399 13211 
    6 55010 34748 19057 14363 21304 9353 12790 25536 21776 26650 15345 28242
        year
age 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981
    1 1319 12658 8422 23547 5507 12768 13317 8159 2800}101335 7162 39361
    2 37260 23313 137690 38133 42808 15429 11113 12516 13385 13913 30093 21285
    350087 37563 17855 55805 17184 17783 7286 8610 11948 12399 11726 21861
    4 26481 41904 15842 7012 22530
    5 18763 18759 14531 9651 4225 9006 2872 1585
    6 19746 21900 11051 12216 8445 7494 9777 3794 3356 3785 5215 5410
        year
age 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993
```



```
    2 42725 102871 92892 57054 56747 67000 82027 42413 41756 63854 26752 94061
    3 8728 26993 41121 36258 42881 43075 30962 68399 24634 38342 35019 9372
    44 4817 3225 16043 16032 32930 23014 9398}196601 35258 16916 27591 10221
    5
    year
age 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 12130 9450 3476 3849 5818 14274 9953 15724 3495
    2 35768 79159 61923 37440 41510 34072 77378 62153 26472 37006 9470}2130
    3 61737 22591 38244 53040 27102 36086 18952 35816 18532 24444 46243 7268
    4 3289 36541 7943 31442 28274 14642 12060 5953 5309 14763 21863 18772
    5 3025 3686 16114 8318 13178 15515 5230
    6 8665 8772 6195 8720 7405 13305 9787 3771 2061 6628 2151
    year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1
    2 36768 11056 13838 4852 24059
    3 11302 32481 7201 13156 7777
    4 2462 6697 14396 4742 14655
    5
    6 2997 2509 921 1578 11592
```

TABLE 4.6.1.18 Herring in the Celtic Sea. CATCH RESIDUALS
Units : thousands NA
year

age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 1 | -0.145 | -0.858 | 0.290 | -0.127 | 0.845 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.366 | -0.081 | -0.003 | -0.091 | -0.083 | -0.139 |
| 3 | -0.232 | -0.035 | 0.109 | 0.137 | -0.023 | 0.051 |
| 4 | -0.006 | 0.003 | -0.195 | 0.092 | 0.030 | 0.083 |
| 5 | -0.088 | 0.107 | 0.049 | -0.112 | 0.001 | 0.028 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

TABLE 4.6.1.19 Herring in the Celtic Sea. PREDICTED INDEX VALUES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Units : NA NA } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 2 | 105 | 138 | NA | 76 | 274 | 108 | 253 | 116 | 441 |
| 3 | 67 | 86 | NA | 21 | 72 | 273 | 116 | 279 | 125 |
| 4 | 23 | 27 | NA | 38 | 11 | 40 | 165 | 72 | 168 |
| 5 | 4 | 11 | NA | 15 | 23 | 7 | 28 | 116 | 49 |

TABLE 4.6.1.20 Herring in the Celtic Sea. INDEX RESIDUALS

```
Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic
Units : NA
    year
age 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    2 0.571 -0.805 NA 0.592 -0.264 -0.429 0.153 -0.038 0.219
    3 0.803-0.351 NA 0.287 -0.412 -0.218 -0.044 -0.284 0.218
    40.276-0.456 NA 0.346 0.194 -0.254 -0.017 -0.227 0.138
    5 0.572 -0.736 NA 0.351 -0.752 0.237-0.021 0.073 0.275
```

TABLE 4.6.1.21 Herring in the Celtic Sea FIT PARAMETERS

|  | Value | Std.dev | Lower.95.pct.CL | Upper.95.pct.CL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F, 2005 | 0.43 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.60 |
| F, 2006 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.32 |
| F, 2007 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.25 |
| F, 2008 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.14 |
| F, 2009 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.11 |
| F, 2010 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.15 |
| Selectivity at age 1 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.13 |
| Selectivity at age 2 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.46 | 0.76 |
| Selectivity at age 4 | 1.09 | 0.11 | 0.88 | 1.34 |
| Terminal year pop, age 1 | 623430.83 | 0.79 | 131338.44 | 2959270.72 |
| Terminal year pop, age 2 | 524473.09 | 0.29 | 296064.00 | 929096.48 |
| Terminal year pop, age 3 | 97067.21 | 0.23 | 61606.78 | 152938.42 |
| Terminal year pop, age 4 | 161055.88 | 0.21 | 106256.66 | 244116.43 |
| Terminal year pop, age 5 | 56078.64 | 0.21 | 37453.00 | 83966.94 |
| Last true age pop, 2005 | 24149.63 | 0.23 | 15405.63 | 37856.59 |
| Last true age pop, 2006 | 30066.06 | 0.21 | 19834.30 | 45576.00 |
| Last true age pop, 2007 | 8720.16 | 0.21 | 5772.84 | 13172.22 |
| Last true age pop, 2008 | 31339.84 | 0.20 | 20970.64 | 46836.20 |
| Last true age pop, 2009 | 129216.04 | 0.20 | 86656.16 | 192678.58 |
| Index 1, age 2 numbers, $Q$ | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Index 1, age 3 numbers, Q | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Index 1, age 4 numbers, Q | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Index 1, age 5 numbers, Q | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 4.7.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Inputs to the Short Term Forecast.

| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 417056 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.078 | 0.006 | 0.08 |
| 2 | 152466 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.113 | 0.050 | 0.12 |
| 3 | 367938 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.136 | 0.085 | 0.14 |
| 4 | 72458 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.151 | 0.093 | 0.15 |
| 5 | 131807 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.163 | 0.085 | 0.17 |
| 6 | 160032 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.172 | 0.085 | 0.18 |


| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 417056 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.078 | 0.006 | 0.081 |
| 2 | - | 0.3 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.113 | 0.050 | 0.117 |
| 3 | - | 0.2 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.136 | 0.085 | 0.140 |
| 4 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.151 | 0.093 | 0.153 |
| 5 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.163 | 0.085 | 0.165 |
| 6 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.172 | 0.085 | 0.180 |


| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 417056 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.078 | 0.006 | 0.081 |
| 2 | - | 0.3 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.113 | 0.050 | 0.117 |
| 3 | - | 0.2 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.136 | 0.085 | 0.140 |
| 4 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.151 | 0.093 | 0.153 |
| 5 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.163 | 0.085 | 0.165 |
| 6 | - | 0.1 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.172 | 0.085 | 0.180 |

Table 4.7.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Single Option Tables from the Short Term Forecast.
a). Catch(2012) $=$ Zero

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 153426.2 | 0.088 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 112518.1 | 0.149 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 84711.82 | 0.162 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 234908.4 | 0.149 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 256390.6 | 0.149 | 0 | 0.00 |

b). $\operatorname{Catch}(2012)=2011$ TAC $-15 \%(11220 t)$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 152335 | 0.088 | 0.062 | 0.062 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 105761.2 | 0.149 | 0.105 | 0.105 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 76265.36 | 0.162 | 0.114 | 0.114 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 209568.9 | 0.149 | 0.105 | 0.105 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 230826.4 | 0.149 | 0.105 | 0.105 |

c). $\operatorname{Catch}(2012)=2011 \mathrm{TAC} \mathrm{sq}(13200 \mathrm{t})$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 152131.3 | 0.088 | 0.074 | 0.074 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 104540.4 | 0.149 | 0.125 | 0.125 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 74778.24 | 0.162 | 0.136 | 0.136 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 205131.9 | 0.149 | 0.125 | 0.125 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 226325.4 | 0.149 | 0.125 | 0.125 |

d). $\operatorname{Catch}(2012)=2011$ TAC $+15 \%(15180 \mathrm{t})$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 151924 | 0.088 | 0.085 | 0.085 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 103310.5 | 0.149 | 0.145 | 0.145 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 73292.25 | 0.162 | 0.157 | 0.157 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 200705.9 | 0.149 | 0.145 | 0.145 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 221827.9 | 0.149 | 0.145 | 0.145 |

e). $\operatorname{Catch}(2012)=2011 \mathrm{TAC}+25 \%(16500 \mathrm{t})$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 151783.6 | 0.088 | 0.093 | 0.093 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 102485.4 | 0.149 | 0.158 | 0.158 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 72302.25 | 0.162 | 0.172 | 0.172 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 197761.5 | 0.149 | 0.158 | 0.158 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 218831.5 | 0.149 | 0.158 | 0.158 |

f). Catch(2012) $=2011$ TAC $+30 \%(17160 \mathrm{t})$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 151712.8 | 0.088 | 0.097 | 0.097 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 102071.3 | 0.149 | 0.165 | 0.165 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 71807.44 | 0.162 | 0.180 | 0.180 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 196291.2 | 0.149 | 0.165 | 0.165 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 217333.9 | 0.149 | 0.165 | 0.165 |

g). $\operatorname{Fbar}(2012)=0.25$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 150616.3 | 0.088 | 0.160 | 0.160 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 95845.15 | 0.149 | 0.272 | 0.272 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 64537.3 | 0.162 | 0.296 | 0.296 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 174792.5 | 0.149 | 0.272 | 0.272 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 195330 | 0.149 | 0.272 | 0.272 |

h). $\operatorname{Fbar}(2012)=0.19$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.014 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 151286 | 0.088 | 0.122 | 0.122 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 99606.27 | 0.149 | 0.207 | 0.207 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 68891.05 | 0.162 | 0.225 | 0.225 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 187643.2 | 0.149 | 0.207 | 0.207 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 208507.1 | 0.149 | 0.207 | 0.207 |

i). $\operatorname{Fbar}(2012)=0.14$

| Age | $\mathrm{N}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2013)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2011)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2012)$ | $\mathrm{F}(2013)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 417055.7 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 2 | 152465.9 | 151883.5 | 151846.3 | 0.088 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| 3 | 367937.9 | 103467.3 | 102853 | 0.149 | 0.152 | 0.152 |
| 4 | 72458.24 | 259614 | 72742.7 | 0.162 | 0.166 | 0.166 |
| 5 | 131807.5 | 55778.99 | 199071 | 0.149 | 0.152 | 0.152 |
| 6 | 160032.2 | 227576.4 | 220164.6 | 0.149 | 0.152 | 0.152 |

Table 4.7.1.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch option table from the Short Term Forecast.

| Rationale | Fbar (2011) | Catch (2011) | SSB (2011) | Fbar (2012) | Catch (2012) | SSB (2012) | SSB (2013) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch(2012) = Zero | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0 | 0 | 120749 | 129448 |
| Catch(2012) = 2011 TAC -15\% (11220 t) | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.097 | 11220 | 114637 | 113270 |
| Catch (2012) $=2011$ TAC sq ( 13200 t$)$ | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.115 | 13200 | 113530 | 110510 |
| Catch (2012) $=2011$ TAC +15\% (15180 t) | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.133 | 15180 | 112414 | 107780 |
| $\operatorname{Catch}(2012)=2011$ TAC $+25 \%(16500 \mathrm{t})$ | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.146 | 16500 | 111664 | 105976 |
| $\operatorname{Catch}(2012)=2011 \mathrm{TAC}+30 \%(17160 \mathrm{t})$ | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.152 | 17160 | 111288 | 105079 |
| $\operatorname{Fbar}(2012)=0.25$ | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.25 | 26880 | 105616 | 92251 |
| $\operatorname{Fbar}(2012)=0.19$ | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.19 | 21054 | 109045 | 99853 |
| $\operatorname{Fbar}(2012)=0.14$ | 0.1367 | 16196 | 118399 | 0.14 | 15913 | 111998 | 106777 |



Figure 4.1.2.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Irish official herring catches by statistical rectangle in 2010/2011 with Subdivision VIIaS highlighted.


Figure 4.1.3.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Working Group estimates of herring landings per season.


Figure 4.2.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch numbers-at-age standardised by yearly mean. 6ringer is the plus group.


Figure 4.2.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch numbers-at-age standardised by yearly mean. 7ringer is the plus group.


Figure 4.2.1.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. The percentage age composition in the survey and the commercial fishery 2010/2011.


Figure 4.2.1.4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Length-frequency data from sampling in 2010/2011.


Figure 4.3.1.1a. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Acoustic survey track and haul positions from acoustic survey, October 2010.


Figure 4.3.1.1b. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Acoustic survey total Sa values attributed to herring in the acoustic survey, October 2010.


Lower right panels show the Coefficient of Determination $\left(r^{2}\right)$

Figure 4.3.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Internal consistency between ages in the Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic survey time series.


Figure 4.4.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Trends over time in mean weight-at-age in the catch from 1-9+.


Figure 4.4.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Trends over time in mean weight-at-age in the stock at spawning time from 1-9+.


Figure 4.6.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Illustration of selection patterns diagnostics, from deterministic calculation (6-year separable period). Top left, a bubble plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to 3-ringers) +/- standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and ring from the 6+ run.

## Fitted catch diagnostics



Figure 4.6.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Illustration of selection patterns diagnostics, from deterministic calculation (6-year separable period). Top left, a bubble plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to 3-ringers) +/- standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and ring from the 7+ run.

Celtic Sea Herring Retrospective selectivity pattern


Figure 4.6.1.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Retrospective Selection pattern from the 6+ run.

## Celtic Sea Herring Retrospective selectivity pattern



Figure 4.6.1.4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Retrospective Selection pattern from the 7+ run.

## Celtic Sea Herring Stock Summary Plot



Figure 4.6.1.5. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Stock Summary from the spaly 6+ Run

Celtic Sea Herring Stock Summary Plot


Figure 4.6.1.6. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Stock Summary from the 7+ Run.


Figure 4.6.1.7. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Diagnostics from the Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic survey age 2 from the $6+$ run.

## Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic, age 3, diagnostics



Figure 4.6.1.8. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Diagnostics from the Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic survey age 3 from the $6+$ run.


Figure 4.6.1.9. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Diagnostics from the Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic survey age 4 from the $6+$ run.


Figure 4.6.1.10. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Diagnostics from the Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic survey age 5 from the 6+ run.

Celtic Sea Herring Weighted Residuals Bubble Plot


Figure 4.6.1.11. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Weighted catch and survey residuals from the $6+$ run.


Figure 4.6.1.12. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Otolith plot showing the results of parametric bootstrapping from FLICA.


Figure 4.6.1.13. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Taylor Diagram. The plot is not Cartesian but rather polar in nature: the angular axis plots the correlation coefficient between observations and the modeled values. The radial axis represents the standard deviation of the observations normalized by the standard deviation of the modeled values. The point corresponding to 1.0 on the horizontal axis represents a perfect fit between the model and the observations - the closer to this point the better. Points are labeled according to the survey and the age of the time series. All time series are truncated to allow comparison on a common basis.


Figure 4.6.1.14. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Retrospectives by cohort.

Celtic Sea Herring Retrospective Summary Plot


Figure 4.6.1.15. Herring in Celtic Sea. Analytical retrospective pattern. This retrospective includes 2003 and 2005-2010. 2004 data are excluded.


Figure 4.6.1.16. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Historical Retrospective based on the final assessments in 2009, 2010 and 2011


Figure 4.7.2.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Yield Per Recruit Plot.

## 5 Herring in Division VIa (North)

The location of the area occupied by the stock is shown in Figure 5.1. This is an update assessment.

### 5.1 The Fishery

### 5.1.1 Advice applicable to 2010 and 2011

ACOM reported in 2010 that the stock over recent years had been fluctuating at a low level and was being exploited close to Fmsy.

The basis for the advice was the management plan accepted by the European Commission on 18 December 2008 (Council Regulation (EC) 1300/2008).

The International TAC for 2011 is 22481 t , which is in accordance with the agreed plan (see Section I. 1 in the Stock Annex). The International TAC in 2010 was 24420 t.

### 5.1.2 Changes in the VIa (North) fishery.

Historically, catches have been taken from this area by three fisheries: (i) a Scottish domestic pair trawl fleet and the Northern Irish fleet; (ii) the Scottish single boat trawl and purse seine fleets and (iii) an international freezer-trawler fishery. The details of these fleets are described in the Stock Annex. In recent years the catch of the last two fleets has become more similar.

In 2010, the Scottish trawl fleet fished predominantly in areas similar to the freezer trawler fishery, and hardly in the coastal areas in the southern part of VIa (N). Recently (since 2006) the majority of the fishery has been prosecuted in quarter 3. This pattern has continued in 2010, with $86 \%$ of catches taken in quarter 3 . Since 2006, the quarter 3 fishery has concentrated in the northern part of the area. This trend has continued in 2010, with over $99 \%$ of the quarter 3 catches taken north of the Hebrides and to the north of Scotland. Prior to 2006 there was a much more even distribution of effort, both temporally and spatially.

### 5.1.3 Regulations and their affects

New sources of information on catch misreporting from the UK became available in 2006 (see the 2007 HAWG report). This information was associated with a stricter enforcement regime that may have been responsible for the lack of that area misreporting since 2006. In 2010 there was little evidence of misreporting of catch from IVa into VIa (North).

There are no new changes to the regulations relevant to the fishery in VIa (North).

### 5.1.4 Catches in 2010 and allocation of catches to area for VIa (N)

For 2010 the preliminary report of official catches corresponding to the VIa (N) herring stock unit total 22510 t , compared with the TAC of 22481 t . The Working Group's estimates of area misreported and unallocated catches are 2728 t . Various observer programs suggest that discarding is not perceived to be a problem. In 2010, there were 95 t of discards, in the quarter 3 fishery.

The Working Group's best estimate of removals from the stock in 2010 is 19877 t (Table 5.1.1).

### 5.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

Catch and sample data, by country and by period (quarter), are detailed in Table 5.2.1. The number of samples used to allocate an age-distribution for the VIa (N) catches increased markedly from the low level seen over the last few years (except in 2006). There were 31 samples available in 2010, obtained from the German (10), Scottish (8) and English (13) fleets. The English fleet catch was sampled by the Dutch. However, the samples were raised to the English reported catch. The English and German fleets each took a similar magnitude of catches in the area; both around $40 \%$ of the Scottish UK catch. The available samples were used to allocate a mean agestructure (using the sample number weighting) to unsampled catches, in the same or adjacent quarters, as no sampling data were available for other quarters. The allocation of age structures to unsampled catches, and the calculation of total international catch-at-age and mean weight-at-age in the catches were made using the 'sallocl' programme (Patterson, 1998a). As 26 of the 31 samples obtained came from three of the major fisheries in one quarter (English flagged vessels, Germany and Scotland $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter) it is likely that they are reasonably representative of these catches, and reflect a large proportion of the fishery.

Catch number- and weight-at-age information is given in the ICA stock report section 5.6 (cf Table 5.6.1 and 5.6.2 respectively). Three larger year classes can be seen clearly in the catch-at-age bubble plot (Figure 5.2.1): 2004, 2006 and 2007 at 5-, 3- and 2ringers respectively in 2010. The plus group is also large; this group now contains the large 2000 year class, at 9 -ringer in 2010. The 2001, 2002 and 2003 year classes still all appear relatively weak, with the 2002 year class the weakest. 1-ring herring in the catch are observed intermittently and are rarely representative of year class strength and are down-weighted in the assessment, (see Section 5.6).

### 5.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 5.3.1 Acoustic survey - MSHAS_N

The survey values for number-, weight- and proportion mature-at-age in the stock were revised in 2009 and reported in the 2010 HAWG (see Section 5.6.1 in Anon (2010). The 2010 survey values are in Table 5.3.1.

The 2010 acoustic survey was carried out from the $28^{\text {th }}$ June to the $17^{\text {th }}$ July 2010 using a chartered commercial fishing vessel (MFV Prowess). Further details are available in the Report of the Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (ICES 2011/ SSGESST:02). The commercial vessel changes through the time series, though year effects seen in the series are not thought to be linked to vessel effects. The spawning stock biomass estimate for VIa (North) from the acoustic survey (Table 5.3.2) has decreased by approximately $47 \%$ from 2009 (from 578800 tonnes to 308055 tonnes), to give the fourth lowest estimate in the time series. The 2009 value was the fourth highest in the time series.

In 2010 reasonably similar patterns in year class proportions were seen in the catch and the survey (Figure 5.3.1). However, the catch showed higher proportions of 5ringers, whereas the survey showed higher proportions of 2- and 3-ring fish. There is no basis for concluding which of these data sources are more reliable (ICES 2011/SSGESST:02).

The survey shows reasonable internal consistency (Figure 5.3.2) for the older ages (5to 9 -ringers), but not for the 1 - to 4 -ringers. The 1-ringers are down weighted in the assessment. The 2-, 3- and 4-ringers are not because there is no other fisheryindependent information available for this stock.

### 5.4 Mean Weights-At-Age and Maturity-At-Age

### 5.4.1 Mean weight-at-age

Weights-at-age in the stock are obtained from the acoustic surveys and are given in Tables 5.3.1 (for the current year) and 5.6.3 (for the time series); weights-at-age in the catches are given in Section 5.6 .1 (cf. Table 5.6.2) and are used in the assessment. The weights-at-age in the catch have decreased for all ages in 2010. 1- to 7 - ringer weights were increasing until 2009. The weights-at-age in the stock have also decreased for all ages in 2010, reversing the gradual increase from 2007 seen up until 2009 (cf. Table 5.6.3).

### 5.4.2 Maturity ogive

The maturity ogive is obtained from the acoustic survey (Table 5.3.1). The survey provides estimated values for the period 1991 to 2010 (cf. Table 5.6.5). In 2010, 79\% of the 2 -ring fish were mature. This is an increase from 2009 where $70 \%$ of the fish were mature, but a reduction from 2008 where $98 \%$ of the 2 -ring fish caught was mature. The 2008 value was the second highest proportion mature at this age since 1992 when measurements began, with the highest value (virtually $100 \%$ mature) seen in 2007. The sensitivity of the assessed SSB to the estimated maturity was investigated in 2008 (ICES 2008/ACOM:02) where the assessment was re-run with fraction mature at 2ring taken from average maturity for the years 2004-2006. This resulted in a $4 \%$ reduction of SSB in 2007. This was considered to be negligible in the context of the precision of the estimate of SSB.

### 5.5 Recruitment

There are no specific recruitment indices for this stock. Although both catch and acoustic survey generally have some catches at 1-ring, both the fishery and survey encounter this age group only incidentally. The first reliable appearance of a cohort appears at 2-ring in both the catch and the stock.

### 5.6 Assessment of VIa (North) herring

### 5.6.1 Stock assessment

This is an update assessment using FLICA (Kell, 2007; Patterson, 1998b) with the same settings as in 2010, using the revised catch data, post HAWG 2010, with the 8 year separable period moved forward one year to 2003 - 2010. However, it is tuned using the revised survey time series (1991-2010) - see Stock Annex. The assessment uses catch data from 1957 to 2010 giving an assessment of F from 1957 to 2010 and numbers-at-age from 1 Jan 1957 to 2011. The input data are given in Tables 5.6.1-8, the run settings are presented in Tables 5.6.9-11.

The results of the assessment are given as the stock summary in Table 5.6.12 and Figure 5.6.1. The output values are in Tables 5.6.13-17. Run diagnostics are given in Tables 5.6.18-20 and Figures 5.6.2-12. The parameter estimates are given in Table 5.6.21.

The 2000 year class is still reasonably abundant in the catch and survey data in 2010 (9-ringers). Three additional year classes (2004, 5-ringers, 2006, 3-ringers and 2007, 2ringers in 2010) are also reasonably abundant in the catch and survey data in 2010 (Figure 5.3.1). The 2000 and 2004 year classes were both also well represented in the 2009 catch and survey.

The separable model diagnostics (Table 5.6.18 and Figure 5.6.2) show that the total residuals by age and year between the catch and separable model are reasonably trend-free. The fits between survey and assessment are illustrated in Figures 5.6.3-11 for ages 1 to $9+$ winter rings. The poor fit at age 1 supports the down weighting of this index. The best fits are to middle ages 3-5.

The assessment shows continuing low levels of recruitment (the 2001, 2002 and 2003 year classes are all weak). The tuning diagnostics (Figures 5.6.3 to 5.6.12 and Table 5.6.17-21) show year effects in the survey that the assessment is sensitive to, especially around 2004 to 2005. The assessment fits between negative and positive residuals in the last two years of the assessment but these residuals are small and more balanced than in the past. The analytical retrospective (Figure 5.6.13) plots show that the assessment is noisy. However, it now shows a reasonably stable but historically low stock level. Although the assessment is noisy, it gives a clear indication of the state of the stock in its historical context. The Taylor diagram (Figure 5.6.14) shows a clear indication that there is no signal in the 1-ring data in the survey. It also reflects the patterns of internal consistency seen in Figure 5.3.1, showing no signal for the 1ringers but a good agreement between the observations and model for the older age classes.

In conclusion, this assessment is driven by a noisy survey, giving the third lowest survey SSB estimate in 2007 to the second highest survey estimate in 2008. Point estimates of SSB and F from the survey are therefore not that informative and should only be used to indicate medium term trends and for guidance. The current management agreement that restricts large inter-annual changes in TACs is appropriate for such a noisy assessment.

### 5.6.1.1 State of the stock

The assessment gives an SSB for 2010 of around 62000 t and a mean fishing mortality ( 3 to 6-ringers) of 0.27 . SSB was fairly stable from 2005 to 2007 and decreased in 2009 to around $20 \%$ below the average of the previous 20 years. However, the outcome of the assessment this year suggests a lower position again from last year's assessment, with SSB now around $34 \%$ below the average of the last 20 years. F has increased again in 2010, to $\mathrm{F}=0.27$ (compared to $\mathrm{F}=0.22$ in 2009 and $\mathrm{F}=0.16$ in 2008). Catch in 2010 increased by $7 \%$, compared to 2009 (which had already increased by $15 \%$ compared to 2008). Recruitment is low for the 2001, 2002 and 2003 year classes (Table 5.6.12). The 2004 recruitment currently appears to be around half the level of the last reasonable year class (2000); the 2005 and 2006 year classes appear to be around the same level as the poor 2001 - 2003 year classes. The 2007 year class appears to be slightly bigger than the 2004 year class. There is insufficient data to evaluate later year classes.

### 5.7 Short Term Projections

### 5.7.1 Deterministic short-term projections

Deterministic short-term projections are presented, which provide options including those based on the management agreement, the target F of which is considered to be Fmsy.

The Advice Drafting Group in 2010 recommended that the basis for the projection should be an average $F$ of the last three years and not a TAC constraint. This is because the WG catch for this stock is consistently below the TAC. This continues to be the case (see text table below) so the average $\mathrm{F}_{3} 6$ for the years 2008 to 2010 was considered to be appropriate again.

| HAWG YEAR | TAC | CATON | \% BELOW TAC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2008 | 27200 | 16054 | 41 |
| 2009 | 21760 | 18508 | 15 |
| 2010 | 24420 | 19877 | 19 |

Short-term projections were carried out using MFDP (Smith, 2000), with the same settings as in the advice last year ( F constraint of average $\mathrm{F}_{3-6} 2008$ to 2010). Input data are stock numbers on $1^{\text {st }}$ January in 2011 from the 2010 ICA assessments (Section 5.6.1, Table 5.7.1.1). Geometric mean recruitment of 1-ringers (1989-2009) replaced recruitment for 1-ringers in both 2010 and 2011. Geometric mean recruitment (19892009) of 2-ringers from the "estimated population abundance" table in the assessment output replaced recruitment for 2-ringers in 2011. This period has been chosen as it represents the lower productivity regime experienced by the stock in this recent period. The retrospective assessment of recruitment estimates in the 2003 Working Group (ICES 2003/ACFM:17) showed the substantial revision of 1- and 2-ring herring abundance ( $1^{\text {st }}$ January survivors) in subsequent assessments, justifying the use of geometric means for these ages. The selection pattern used is taken from the final year of the ICA assessment (Table 5.6.16, and Figure 5.6.2), and is therefore effectively the mean of last 8 years and is scaled by the Fbar (3-6) to the level of the last year. For the projections, data for maturity, natural mortality, mean weights-at-age in the catch and in the stock are means of the three previous years (i.e., 2008-2010). An F constraint of 0.2185 in 2010 is used for the basis for the intermediate year in the projection, this implies an SSB in 2011 at 81000 t . All the input values are summarised in Table 5.7.1.1.

The results of the short-term projection using the F constraint are given in Tables 5.7.1.2 - 5.7.1.3. HAWG considers that, as the management plan was based on extensive investigation of maximum yield in the long-term (considering different productivity regimes: Simmonds and Keltz, 2007; ICES 2009/ACOM:27), the F target in the accepted management plan is consistent with the MSY approach.

The catch option consistent with the management plan ( $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ in 2012) implies an SSB in 2012 of $\sim 85000 \mathrm{t}$ and a catch of $\sim 23000 \mathrm{t}$. This is coherent with a biomass in 2012 above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger. }}$. The 2012 catch is a $2 \%$ increase on the 2011 TAC. The SSB is expected to rise to $\sim 88000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2013.

### 5.7.2 Yield-per-recruit

Yield-per-recruit analyses were carried out using MFYPR (Smith, 2000) to provide yield-per-recruit (Figure 5.7.2.1). The value for $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ is 0.15 .

### 5.8 Precautionary and Yield Based Reference Points

Blim is agreed at 50 000t (based on Bloss). There are no other agreed precautionary reference points for this stock. The agreed management rule has a Btrigger at 75000 t .

In 2010, HAWG defined Fmsy as 0.25 . HAWG has not considered candidate values for a BmsYtrigger in great detail. HAWG considered that the values of 50000 t , the Blim for the stock, and 75000 t , the Btrigger for the stock, are appropriate. In 2010 ACOM endorsed the approach taken by HAWG, and ICES WKFRAME II also endorsed the approach in 2011.

### 5.9 Quality of the Assessment

This year's estimate of SSB for 2009 is around 75000 t , compared with some 80000 t in last year's final assessment run, a decrease of $6 \%$.

The HAWG accepted this year's assessment. The quality of the assessment is the same as last year's. The precision of the assessment estimated through parametric bootstrap is shown in Figure 5.9.1. The assessment outcomes were revised downwards from those made last year. SSB, catch and F estimated in last year's assessment and short term forecast are compared with this year's assessment in the text table below and in Figure 5.9.2.

|  | 2010 Assessment |  | 2011 Assessment |  | Percentage change in <br> estimate 2010-2011 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | SSB | $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ | SSB | $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ | SSB | $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ |
| 2008 | 99141 | 0.143 | 90128 | 0.152 | -9.09 | 6.29 |
| 2009 | 79755 | 0.224 | 74800 | 0.238 | -6.21 | 6.25 |
| $2010^{*}$ | 83019 | 0.294 | 61649 | 0.266 | -25.74 | -9.52 |

*projected values from the intermediate year in the deterministic short term projection, assuming catch constraint with small overshoot. (Recruits are defined as age 1 ).

Retrospective analyses of the assessment from 2010 to 2006 (Figure 5.6.13) support the perception of a noisy but fairly well balanced assessment.

### 5.10 Management Considerations

The forecast shows that SSB (in 2011) is approx. 1.6 times Blim. ICES considers that the stock is currently fluctuating at a low level and is being exploited slightly above, but close to, Fmsy. Recruitment has been low since 1998, and the 2001-2003 and 2005 and 2006 year classes are all weak.

There has been considerable uncertainty in the amount of landings from this stock in the past. Area misreporting is less of a problem than in the past, but almost all countries still take catches of herring in other areas and report it into VIa (N). Increased observer coverage and use of VMS and electronic log books is helping to reduce these problems.

The assessment is noisy, leading to annual revisions of SSB and F. The management plan has been designed to cope with this by applying a constraint on year-on-year change in TAC. Revisions in SSB can be upwards or downwards, so it is important to maintain the restrictions on change in TAC both when the stock is revised upwards or downwards. Asymmetrical changes in TAC have not been tested.

The stock identity of herring west of the British Isles was reviewed by the EU-funded project WESTHER. This identified Division VIa ( N ) as an area where catches comprise a mixture of fish from Divisions VIa (N), VIa (S), and VIIa (N). Concerning the management plan for Division VIa (N), ICES has advised that herring components should be managed separately to afford maximum protection. If there is an increasing catch on the mixed fishery in Division VIa ( N ), this should be considered in the management of the Division VIa (S) component which is in a depleted state. In 2008 ICES began to evaluate management for this Division VIa (S) and VIIa (N). It will be a number of years before ICES can provide a fully operational integrated strategy for these units. In this context HAWG recommends that the management plan for Division VIa ( N ) should be continued.

### 5.11 Ecosystem Considerations

Herring are an important prey species in the ecosystem and also one of the dominant planktivorous fish.

Observers monitor the fisheries. Herring fisheries tend to be clean with little bycatch of other fish. Scottish discard observer programs since 1999 and more recently Dutch observers indicate that discarding of herring in these directed fisheries is at a low level. The Scottish discard observer programs have recorded occasional catches of seals and zero catches of cetaceans.

### 5.12 Changes in the Environment

Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last number of decades. There are indications that salinity is also increasing (ICES 2006/LRC:03). It is considered that this may have implications for herring. It is known that similar environmental changes have affected the North Sea herring. There is evidence that there have been recent changes of the productivity of this stock (ICES 2007/ACFM:11).

Herring are thought to be a source of food for seals. Grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) are common in many parts of the Celtic Seas area. The majority of individuals are found in the Hebrides and in Orkney (SCOS 2005). A recent study (Hammond \& Harris, 2006) of seal diets off western Scotland revealed that grey seals may be an important predator for cod, herring and sandeels in this area. Common seals (Phoca vitulina) are also widespread in the northern part of the ecoregion with around 15,000 animals estimated (SCOS 2005). The numbers of seals in VIa (N) is thought to have increased over the last decades. The seal consumption of herring is estimated with great uncertainty and the impact of increased predation is not known, but there is a possibility that seal predation could influence natural mortality.

Table 5.1.1. Herring in VIa (North). Catch in tonnes by country, 1987-2010. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faroes |  |  |  | 326 | 482 |  |  | 274 |
| France | 136 | 44 | 1342 | 1287 | 1168 | 119 | 818 | 5087 |
| Germany | 1711 | 1860 | 4290 | 7096 | 6450 | 5640 | 4693 | 7938 |
| Ireland | 6800 | 6740 | 8000 | 10000 | 8000 | 7985 | 8236 | 6093 |
| Netherlands | 5212 | 6131 | 5860 | 7693 | 7979 | 8000 | 6132 | 8183 |
| Norway | 4300 | 456 |  | 1607 | 3318 | 2389 | 7447 | 30676 |
| UK | 26810 | 26894 | 29874 | 38253 | 32628 | 32730 | 32602 | -4287 |
| Unallocated | 18038 | 5229 | 2123 | 2397 | -10597 | -5485 | -3753 | 700 |
| Discards |  |  | 1550 | 1300 | 1180 | 200 |  |  |
| Total | 63007 | 47354 | 53039 | 69959 | 50608 | 51578 | 56175 | 54664 |
| Area-Misreported | -18647 | -11763 | -19013 | -25266 | -22079 | -22593 | -24397 | -30234 |
| WG Estimate | 44360 | 35591 | 34026 | 44693 | 28529 | 28985 | 31778 | 24430 |
| Source (WG) | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1993 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Country | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Faroes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 800 |
| France | 3672 | 2297 | 3093 | 1903 | 463 | 870 | 760 | 1340 |
| Germany | 3733 | 7836 | 8873 | 8253 | 6752 | 4615 | 3944 | 3810 |
| Ireland | 3548 | 9721 | 1875 | 11199 | 7915 | 4841 | 4311 | 4239 |
| Netherlands | 7808 | 9396 | 9873 | 8483 | 7244 | 4647 | 4534 | 4612 |
| Norway | 4840 | 6223 | 4962 | 5317 | 2695 |  |  |  |
| UK | 42661 | 46639 | 44273 | 42302 | 36446 | 22816 | 21862 | 20604 |
| Unallocated | -4541 | -17753 | -8015 | -11748 | -8155 |  |  | 878 |
| Discards |  |  | 62 | 90 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 61271 | 64359 | 64995 | 65799 | 61514 | 37789 | 35411 | 36283 |
| Area-Misreported | -32146 | -38254 | -29766 | -32446 | -23623 | -19467 | -11132 | -8735 |
| WG Estimate | 29575 | 26105 | 35233* | 33353 | 29736 | $18322^{\text {s }}$ | $24556^{\text {s }}$ | 32914 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| Source (WG) | 1997 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| Country | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Faroes | 400 | 228 | 1810 | 570 | 484 | 927 | 1544 | 70 |
| France | 1370 | 625 | 613 | 701 | 703 | 564 | 1049 | 511 |
| Germany | 2935 | 1046 | 2691 | 3152 | 1749 | 2526 | 27 | 3583 |
| Ireland | 3581 | 1894 | 2880 | 4352 | 5129 | 3103 | 1935 | 2728 |
| Netherlands | 3609 | 8232 | 5132 | 7008 | 8052 | 4133 | 5675 | 3600 |
| Norway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK | 16947 | 17706 | 17494 | 18284 | 17618 | 13963 | 11076 | 12018 |
| Unallocated | -7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discards |  | 123 | 772 | 163 |  |  |  | 95 |
| Total | 28835 | 29854 | 31392 | 34230 | 33735 | 25216 | 21306 | 22510 |
| Area-Misreported | -3581 | -7218 | -17263 | -6884 | -4119 | -9162 | -2798 | -2728 |
| WG Estimate | $28081{ }^{\text {s }}$ | $25021^{\text {s }}$ | 14129 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 27346 | 29616 | 16054 | 18508 | 19877 |
| Source (WG) | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |

[^2]Table 5.2.1. Herring in VIa (North). Catch and sampling effort by nations participating in the fishery in 2010.


Table 5.3.1. Herring in VIa (North). Estimates of abundance, biomass, maturity, weight- and length-at-age from the 2010 Scottish acoustic survey. Thousands of fish at age and spawning biomass (SSB, thousand tonnes). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith). N.B. these results are from the Scottish survey alone and not the coordinated survey in VIaN, to retain consistency with previous year's survey results.

| Age ( ring) | Numbers | Biomass |  | Maturity | weight $(\mathbf{g})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | Length (cm) |
| 1 | 120 | 7.7 | 0.00 | 64.2 | 19.5 |
| 2 | 494 | 66.5 | 0.79 | 92.3 | 24.6 |
| 3 | 483 | 89.4 | 1.00 | 167.7 | 27.4 |
| 4 | 171 | 34 | 1.00 | 198.3 | 28.0 |
| 5 | 163 | 34.6 | 1.00 | 211.4 | 28.6 |
| 6 | 93 | 20 | 1.00 | 214.8 | 28.7 |
| 7 | 64 | 13.4 | 1.00 | 209.1 | 28.5 |
| 8 | 53 | 11.6 | 1.00 | 219.3 | 28.9 |
| $9+$ | 223 | 48.1 | 1.00 | 215.3 | 28.8 |
| Immature | 224.37 | 17.34 |  | 77.2 | 20.6 |
| Mature | 1641.15 | 308.06 |  | 187.7 | 27.4 |
| Total | 1865.53 | 325.39 | 0.87 | 174.4 | 26.6 |

Table 5.3.2. Herring in VIa (North). Estimates of abundance and SSB for the time series of Scottish acoustic surveys of VIa ( N ). Thousands of fish at age and spawning biomass (SSB, tonnes). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Year/Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | $\mathbf{S C B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 338312 | 294484 | 327902 | 367830 | 488288 | 176348 | 98741 | 89830 | 58043 | 410000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 74310 | 503430 | 210980 | 258090 | 414750 | 240110 | 105670 | 56710 | 63440 | 351460 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 2357 | 579320 | 689510 | 688740 | 564850 | 900410 | 295610 | 157870 | 161450 | 845452 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 494150 | 542080 | 607720 | 285610 | 306760 | 268130 | 406840 | 173740 | 131880 | 533740 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 441200 | 1103400 | 473300 | 450300 | 153000 | 187200 | 169200 | 236700 | 201700 | 452300 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 41220 | 576460 | 802530 | 329110 | 95360 | 60600 | 77380 | 78190 | 114810 | 370300 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 792320 | 641860 | 286170 | 167040 | 66100 | 49520 | 16280 | 28990 | 24440 | 175000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1221700 | 794630 | 666780 | 471070 | 179050 | 79270 | 28050 | 13850 | 36770 | 375890 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 534200 | 322400 | 1388000 | 432000 | 308000 | 138700 | 86500 | 27600 | 35400 | 460200 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 447600 | 316200 | 337100 | 899500 | 393400 | 247600 | 199500 | 95000 | 65000 | 444900 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 313100 | 1062000 | 217700 | 172800 | 437500 | 132600 | 102800 | 52400 | 34700 | 359200 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 424700 | 436000 | 1436900 | 199800 | 161700 | 424300 | 152300 | 67500 | 59500 | 548800 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 438800 | 1039400 | 932500 | 1471800 | 181300 | 129200 | 346700 | 114300 | 75200 | 739200 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 564000 | 274500 | 760200 | 442300 | 577200 | 55700 | 61800 | 82200 | 76300 | 395900 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 50200 | 243400 | 230300 | 423100 | 245100 | 152800 | 12600 | 39000 | 26800 | 222960 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 112300 | 835200 | 387900 | 284500 | 582200 | 414700 | 227000 | 21700 | 59300 | 471700 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | -1 | 126000 | 294400 | 202500 | 145300 | 346900 | 242900 | 163500 | 32100 | 298860 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 47840 | 232570 | 911950 | 668870 | 339920 | 272230 | 720860 | 365890 | 263740 | 788200 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 345821 | 186741 | 264040 | 430293 | 373499 | 219033 | 186558 | 499695 | 456039 | 578800 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 119788 | 493908 | 483152 | 171452 | 163436 | 93289 | 64076 | 53116 | 223311 | 308055 |

Tables 5.6.1. - 5.6.21. Herring in VIa (North). Input data, FLICA run settings and results for the maximum-likelihood ICA calculation for the 8 year separable period. N.B. In these tables "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).


TABLE 5.6.2 Herring in VIa (North). WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH
Units : Kg

## year

age $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1957 & 1958 & 1959 & 1960 & 1961 & 1962 & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968\end{array}$
$10.0790 .0790 .0790 .0790 .079 \quad 0.0790 .079 \quad 0.079 \quad 0.079 \quad 0.079 \quad 0.079 \quad 0.079$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}4 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185\end{array}$ year
age $19691970 \quad 1971 \quad 1972 \quad 1973 \quad 1974 \quad 1975 \quad 1976 \quad 19771978 \quad 19791980$ $10.0790 .0790 .0790 .0790 .090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.104 & 0.121 & 0.121 & 0.121 & 0.121 & 0.121 & 0.121 & 0.121 & 0.121\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}3 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.130 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158 & 0.158\end{array}$
$40.158 \quad 0.158 \quad 0.158 \quad 0.158 \quad 0.175 \quad 0.1750 .1750 .1750 .1750 .1750 .1750 .175$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}5 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.186 & 0.186 & 0.186 & 0.186 & 0.186 & 0.186 & 0.186 & 0.186\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}6 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.170 & 0.206 & 0.206 & 0.206 & 0.206 & 0.206 & 0.206 & 0.206 & 0.206\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.180 & 0.218 & 0.218 & 0.218 & 0.218 & 0.218 & 0.218 & 0.218 & 0.218\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}8 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.183 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.185 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.224 & 0.000 & 0.000\end{array}$ year
age 1981 1982 198319841985 1986 1987 1988 1989199019911992
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 0.090 & 0.080 & 0.080 & 0.080 & 0.069 & 0.113 & 0.073 & 0.080 & 0.082 & 0.079 & 0.084 & 0.091\end{array}$
$20.1210 .140 \quad 0.140 \quad 0.140 \quad 0.1030 .1450 .143 \quad 0.112 \quad 0.142 \quad 0.129 \quad 0.118 \quad 0.119$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3 & 0.158 & 0.175 & 0.175 & 0.175 & 0.134 & 0.173 & 0.183 & 0.157 & 0.145 & 0.173 & 0.160 & 0.183\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}4 & 0.175 & 0.205 & 0.205 & 0.205 & 0.161 & 0.196 & 0.211 & 0.177 & 0.191 & 0.182 & 0.203 & 0.196\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5 & 0.186 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.231 & 0.182 & 0.215 & 0.220 & 0.203 & 0.190 & 0.209 & 0.211 & 0.227\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6 & 0.206 & 0.253 & 0.253 & 0.253 & 0.199 & 0.230 & 0.238 & 0.194 & 0.213 & 0.224 & 0.229 & 0.219\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7 & 0.218 & 0.270 & 0.270 & 0.270 & 0.213 & 0.242 & 0.241 & 0.240 & 0.216 & 0.228 & 0.236 & 0.244\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8 & 0.224 & 0.284 & 0.284 & 0.284 & 0.223 & 0.251 & 0.253 & 0.213 & 0.204 & 0.237 & 0.261 & 0.256\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}9 & 0.224 & 0.295 & 0.295 & 0.295 & 0.231 & 0.258 & 0.256 & 0.228 & 0.243 & 0.247 & 0.271 & 0.256\end{array}$ year
age 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.089 & 0.083 & 0.106 & 0.081 & 0.089 & 0.097 & 0.076 & 0.0834 & 0.049 & 0.107 & 0.060 & \mathrm{NaN}\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}3 & 0.158 & 0.167 & 0.181 & 0.178 & 0.177 & 0.159 & 0.158 & 0.1637 & 0.163 & 0.163 & 0.160 & 0.173\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}4 & 0.197 & 0.190 & 0.191 & 0.210 & 0.205 & 0.182 & 0.175 & 0.1829 & 0.183 & 0.173 & 0.169 & 0.195\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}5 & 0.206 & 0.195 & 0.198 & 0.230 & 0.222 & 0.199 & 0.191 & 0.2014 & 0.192 & 0.160 & 0.186 & 0.216\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}6 & 0.228 & 0.201 & 0.214 & 0.233 & 0.223 & 0.218 & 0.210 & 0.2147 & 0.196 & 0.179 & 0.200 & 0.220\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7 & 0.223 & 0.244 & 0.208 & 0.262 & 0.219 & 0.227 & 0.225 & 0.2394 & 0.205 & 0.187 & 0.194 & 0.199\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8 & 0.262 & 0.234 & 0.227 & 0.247 & 0.238 & 0.212 & 0.223 & 0.2812 & 0.225 & 0.245 & 0.186 & 0.190\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}9 & 0.263 & 0.266 & 0.277 & 0.291 & 0.263 & 0.199 & 0.226 & 0.2526 & 0.272 & 0.281 & 0.294 & 0.311\end{array}$ year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 $2009 \quad 2010$
$10.1084 \quad 0.0908 \quad 0.1152 \quad \mathrm{NaN} 0.11210 .0818$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 0.1327 & 0.1580 & 0.1667 & 0.1705 & 0.1726 & 0.1549\end{array}$
30.16320 .16760 .18810 .20600 .21410 .1883
$40.18450 .19290 .1968 \quad 0.2310 \quad 0.2379 \quad 0.2129$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}5 & 0.2108 & 0.2076 & 0.2105 & 0.2309 & 0.2457 & 0.2337\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}6 & 0.2258 & 0.2251 & 0.2214 & 0.2489 & 0.2535 & 0.2394\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}7 & 0.2341 & 0.2443 & 0.2161 & 0.2529 & 0.2599 & 0.2369\end{array}$
$80.25560 .26150 .2618 \quad 0.2840 \quad 0.25490 .2400$
$90.24960 .2750 \quad 0.3030 \quad 0.2877 \quad 0.2730 \quad 0.2549$

TABLE 5.6.3 Herring in VIa (North). WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK
Units : Kg
year
 $10.0900 .090 \quad 0.0900 .090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090 \quad 0.090$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164 & 0.164\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}3 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208 & 0.208\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}4 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233 & 0.233\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}5 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246 & 0.246\end{array}$ $60.2520 .2520 .2520 .2520 .252 \quad 0.2520 .2520 .252 \quad 0.2520 .2520 .252 \quad 0.252$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}7 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258 & 0.258\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}8 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269 & 0.269\end{array}$ 90.2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .2920 .292

TABLE 5.6.3 continued. Herring in VIa (North). WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
    year
age 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    1 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090
    2 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164
    3 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208
    4 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233
    5 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246
    6
    7 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258}0.2.258 0.258 0.258
    8}00.2690.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0. 269 0.269 0.269 0.269
    9 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.000 0.000
        year
age 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990
    1 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.090 0.068
    2 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.164 0.152
    3 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.208 0.186
    4 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.233 0.206
    5 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.246 0.233
    6 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.252 0.253
```



```
    8 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.269 0.299
    9 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.302
        year
age 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004
    1 0.073 0.052 0.042 0.045 0.054 0.066 0.054 0.062 0.062 0.062 0.064 0.059
    2 0.164 0.150 0.144 0.140 0.142 0.138}0.137[0.141 0.132 0.153 0.138 0.138
    3 0.196 0.192 0.191 0.180 0.180 0.176 0.166 0.173 0.170 0.177 0.176 0.159
    4 0.206 0.220 0.202 0.209 0.199 0.194 0.188 0.183 0.190 0.198 0.190 0.180
    5 0.225 0.221 0.225 0.219 0.213 0.214 0.203 0.194 0.198}00.212 0.204 0.189
    6 0.234 0.233 0.227 0.222 0.222 0.226 0.219 0.204 0.212 0.215 0.213 0.202
    7 0.253 0.241 0.247 0.229}0.231 0.234 0.225 0.211 0.220 0.225 0.217 0.213
    8 0.259 0.270 0.260 0.242 0.242 0.225 0.235 0.222 0.236 0.243 0.223 0.214
    9 0.276 0.296 0.293 0.263 0.263 0.249 0.245 0.230 0.254 0.259 0.228 0.206
        year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.0751 0.075 0.0750 0.0546 0.1013 0.0642
    2 0.1296 0.135 0.1675 0.1721 0.1734 0.0923
    3 0.1538 0.166 0.1830 0.1913 0.2064 0.1677
    4 0.1665 0.185 0.1914 0.2083 0.2233 0.1983
    5 0.1802 0.192 0.1951 0.2143 0.2331 0.2114
    6 0.1911 0.204 0.1951 0.2139 0.2313 0.2148
    70.2125 0.211 0.2021 0.2206 0.2318 0.2091
    8 0.2030 0.224 0.2034 0.2242 0.2323 0.2193
    9 0.2284 0.231 0.2138 0.2385 0.2382 0.2153
```

TABLE 5.6.4 Herring in VIa (North). NATURAL MORTALITY
Units : NA
year
age 195719581959196019611962196319641965196619671968196919701971 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}9 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$ year
age 197219731974197519761977197819791980198119821983198419851986 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 1.0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}7 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 8 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$


TABLE 5.6.4 continued. Herring in VIa (North). NATURAL MORTALITY

| age | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5.6.5 Herring in VIa (North). PROPORTION MATURE
Units : NA
year
age $19571958195919601961 \quad 1962196319641965196619671968196919701971$
$10.000 .000 .00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00$

30.960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .96
41.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00
51.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}6 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}7 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$
81.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00
91.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00 year
age 1972197319741975197619771978197919801981
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00\end{array}$

$30.960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .96 \quad 0.960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .960 .96$
41.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00
51.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}6 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}7 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$
81.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00
91.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00 year
age $19871988198919901991 \quad 199219931994199519961997199819991200012001$ $10.000 .000 .000 .00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}3 & 0.96 & 0.96 & 0.96 & 0.96 & 0.96 & 1.00 & 0.96 & 0.93 & 0.98 & 0.94 & 0.95 & 0.97 & 0.98 & 0.92 & 0.99\end{array}$ 41.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}5 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}6 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}7 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$ 81.001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .001 .00 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}9 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00\end{array}$ year
age 200220032004200520062007200820092010
$10.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.00$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2 & 0.92 & 0.76 & 0.83 & 0.84 & 0.81 & 1 & 0.98 & 0.7 & 0.79\end{array}$
$31.001 .00 \quad 0.971 .00 \quad 0.97 \quad 11.00 \quad 1.01 .00$
$41.001 .001 .001 .001 .00 \quad 11.00 \quad 1.01 .00$
$51.001 .001 .001 .001 .00 \quad 11.00 \quad 1.01 .00$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.0 & 1.00\end{array}$
$71.001 .001 .001 .001 .00 \quad 1 \begin{array}{lllll}7.00 & 1.00 & 1.0 & 1.00\end{array}$
$81.001 .001 .00 \quad 1.00 \quad 1.00 \quad 11.00 \quad 1.01 .00$
$91.001 .001 .001 .001 .00 \quad 11.00 \quad 1.01 .00$

TABLE 5.6.6 Herring in VIa (North). FRACTION OF HARVEST BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971
    10.6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    30.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    30.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.6.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
```



```
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67
    3 0. 67 0..67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0. 67 0. 67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```

TABLE 5.6.7 Herring in VIa (North). FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    70.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67
        year
age 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 .67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    90.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 67
        year
```

TABLE 5.6.7 continued. Herring in VIa (North). FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING

```
age 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    5 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
        year
age 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 20092010
    1 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    2 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    3 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 07 0.67 0.67
    4 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```



```
    6 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    7 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 
    8 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
    9 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.67
```

TABLE 5.6.8 Herring in VIa (North). SURVEY INDICES

West of Scotland Summer Acoustic Survey - Configuration

"Herring in Division VIa (North) (runname:ICAPGFO8) . Imported from VPA file." min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf | 1.00 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 1991.00 | 2010.00 | 0.52 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Index type : number
West of Scotland Summer Acoustic Survey - Index Values


West of Scotland Summer Acoustic Survey - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)
Units : NA
year

| age | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

West of Scotland Summer Acoustic Survey - Index Variance (Inverse Weights) continued.

| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

TABLE 5.6.9 Herring in VIa (North). STOCK OBJECT CONFIGURATION

| min | max plusgroup | minyear | maxyear | minfbar | maxfbar |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 9 | 9 | 1957 | 2010 | 3 | 6 |

TABLE 5.6.10 Herring in VIa (North). FLICA CONFIGURATION SETTINGS

```
sep.2 : NA
sep.gradual : TRUE
sr : FALSE
sr.age : 1
lambda.age : 0. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0
lambda.yr : 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
lambda.sr : 0.01
index.model : linear
index.cor : 1
sep.nyr : 8
sep.age : 4
sep.sel : 1
```

TABLE 5.6.11 Herring in VIa (North). FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS
R version 2.8.1 (2008-12-22)
Package : FLICA
Version : 1.4-12
Packaged : 2009-10-08 15:16:26 UTC; mpa
Built : R 2.9.1; ; 2009-10-08 15:16:27 UTC; windows
Package : FLAssess
Version : 1.99-102
Packaged : Mon Mar 23 08:18:19 2009; mpa
Built : R 2.8.0; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-03-23 08:18:21; windows
Package : FLCore
Version : 2.2
Packaged : Tue May 19 19:23:18 2009; Administrator
Built : R 2.8.1; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-05-19 19:23:22; windows

TABLE 5.6.12 Herring in VIa (North). STOCK SUMMARY

| Year | ment | TSB | SSB | $\begin{aligned} \text { Fbar } \\ \text { s } 3-6) \end{aligned}$ | Landings | Landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 1 |  |  | (Ages |  | SOP |
|  |  |  |  | f | Tonnes |  |
| 1957 | 1030679 | 386792 | 174398 | 0.2993 | 43438 | 0.7258 |
| 1958 | 2009074 | 471412 | 187830 | 0.3536 | 59669 | 0.7470 |
| 1959 | 2051919 | 505691 | 197825 | 0.3288 | 65221 | 0.7248 |
| 1960 | 605231 | 403413 | 229110 | 0.2128 | 63759 | 0.5679 |
| 1961 | 1249162 | 411009 | 229080 | 0.1397 | 46353 | 0.5846 |
| 1962 | 2240089 | 514435 | 218288 | 0.2217 | 58195 | 0.7727 |
| 1963 | 2071905 | 546736 | 240738 | 0.1956 | 49030 | 0.6970 |
| 1964 | 963114 | 498947 | 285512 | 0.1635 | 64234 | 0.5774 |
| 1965 | 7747943 | 1087101 | 294012 | 0.1672 | 68669 | 0.8586 |
| 1966 | 1058405 | 825253 | 407680 | 0.2022 | 100619 | 1.0136 |
| 1967 | 2485734 | 810936 | 441171 | 0.1960 | 90400 | 0.8072 |
| 1968 | 4091826 | 936932 | 421337 | 0.1471 | 84614 | 0.7964 |
| 1969 | 2996445 | 966910 | 460042 | 0.2476 | 107170 | 0.7573 |
| 1970 | 3438117 | 990440 | 433059 | 0.3647 | 165930 | 0.7343 |
| 1971 | 9564545 | 1506916 | 308128 | 0.7983 | 207167 | 1.0162 |
| 1972 | 2674778 | 1108577 | 436835 | 0.3688 | 164756 | 1.0239 |
| 1973 | 1073108 | 798369 | 382076 | 0.6093 | 210270 | 1.0438 |
| 1974 | 1669559 | 574028 | 201883 | 0.9622 | 178160 | 1.1255 |
| 1975 | 2087623 | 431810 | 105647 | 0.9153 | 114001 | 1.0108 |
| 1976 | 599081 | 260989 | 71891 | 1.0802 | 93642 | 0.9984 |
| 1977 | 615080 | 160357 | 50275 | 1.0181 | 41341 | 0.9154 |
| 1978 | 906923 | 167881 | 46608 | 0.7166 | 22156 | 1.0056 |
| 1979 | 1214030 | 213564 | 70607 | 0.0008 | 60 | 1.0011 |
| 1980 | 877303 | 249380 | 120278 | 0.0004 | 306 | 1.0007 |
| 1981 | 1653290 | 361284 | 129730 | 0.3680 | 51420 | 0.9698 |
| 1982 | 763072 | 302177 | 107109 | 0.6861 | 92360 | 1.0347 |
| 1983 | 2915059 | 418529 | 78734 | 0.7292 | 63523 | 1.0277 |
| 1984 | 1110270 | 345656 | 116420 | 0.5328 | 56012 | 0.9494 |
| 1985 | 1185789 | 340823 | 142534 | 0.3290 | 39142 | 1.0058 |
| 1986 | 875469 | 306436 | 127401 | 0.5501 | 70764 | 1.0479 |
| 1987 | 2051745 | 368800 | 116879 | 0.3637 | 44360 | 0.9725 |
| 1988 | 876338 | 323143 | 140689 | 0.2999 | 35591 | 1.0236 |
| 1989 | 806790 | 304884 | 155587 | 0.2591 | 34026 | 1.0199 |
| 1990 | 426677 | 258048 | 145013 | 0.3676 | 44693 | 0.9889 |
| 1991 | 376175 | 199166 | 118059 | 0.2765 | 28529 | 1.0693 |
| 1992 | 788857 | 184941 | 91153 | 0.3018 | 28985 | 1.0018 |
| 1993 | 574682 | 176090 | 92859 | 0.2601 | 31778 | 0.9912 |
| 1994 | 842040 | 170142 | 85041 | 0.2358 | 24430 | 0.9984 |
| 1995 | 603039 | 151836 | 67752 | 0.2723 | 29575 | 1.0001 |
| 1996 | 914121 | 186061 | 105933 | 0.1760 | 26105 | 1.0477 |
| 1997 | 1463902 | 203675 | 68773 | 0.5293 | 35233 | 1.0079 |
| 1998 | 486706 | 182886 | 97683 | 0.5206 | 33353 | 0.9992 |
| 1999 | 303901 | 140855 | 81518 | 0.3214 | 29736 | 1.0015 |
| 2000 | 1641178 | 199263 | 69353 | 0.2519 | 18322 | 0.9997 |
| 2001 | 1093739 | 221656 | 113311 | 0.2023 | 24556 | 1.0049 |
| 2002 | 1142677 | 254838 | 132036 | 0.3575 | 32914 | 1.0021 |
| 2003 | 446257 | 212373 | 132540 | 0.2247 | 28081 | 1.0074 |
| 2004 | 266886 | 167365 | 116523 | 0.2022 | 25021 | 1.0172 |
| 2005 | 312348 | 140041 | 96873 | 0.1219 | 14129 | 1.0021 |
| 2006 | 535371 | 161136 | 92837 | 0.2244 | 27346 | 0.9997 |
| 2007 | 277382 | 141374 | 91319 | 0.2807 | 29616 | 1.0004 |
| 2008 | 342339 | 128845 | 90128 | 0.1522 | 16054 | 1.0022 |
| 2009 | 630167 | 165976 | 74800 | 0.2376 | 18508 | 1.0492 |
| 2010 | 588137 | 774993 | 61649 | 0.2656 | 19877 | 0.9951 |

N.B. The recruitment in 2010 is replaced with geometric mean (1989-2009) because it is not well estimated in the assessment.

TABLE 5.6.13 Herring in VIa (North). ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY


TABLE 5.6.13 continued. Herring in VIa (North). ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY


TABLE 5.6.14 Herring in VIa (North). ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE

| Units $: ~ N A ~$ <br> year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| age | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 |
| 1 | 1030679.055 | 2009073.88 | 2051918.64 | 605231.21 | 1249161.86 | 2240089.35 |
| 2 | 880501.568 | 375388.21 | 730018.03 | 724065.58 | 220581.29 | 451938.53 |
| 3 | 225348.514 | 588468.18 | 251595.69 | 482690.44 | 449216.96 | 124914.62 |
| 4 | 132556.926 | 132317.59 | 351145.99 | 174223.58 | 340865.51 | 312276.67 |
| 5 | 130980.869 | 95493.52 | 82690.87 | 207453.34 | 136009.69 | 276959.73 |
| 6 | 76240.974 | 86293.12 | 62785.53 | 51177.00 | 141343.38 | 101705.88 |
| 7 | 49824.969 | 50123.75 | 51898.64 | 40377.54 | 35272.73 | 116100.54 |
| 8 | 6457.248 | 36649.89 | 28866.50 | 30852.87 | 26722.38 | 26841.20 |
| 9 | 20014.301 | 26618.30 | 33655.39 | 22446.85 | 14332.61 | 30923.93 |

year $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { age } & 1963 & 1964 & 1965 & 1966 & 1967 & 1968 & 1969\end{array}$
12071905.27963114 .177747943 .171058405 .202485733 .994091826 .502996444 .93 $2 \quad 792149.69755351 .44 \quad 338916.84 \quad 2676909.92 \quad 269785.90 \quad 794808.15 \quad 1378038.29$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}3 & 255756.56 & 519945.17 & 488977.87 & 234155.26 & 1556211.60 & 176426.39 & 508125.76\end{array}$ $82219.90161317 .03 \quad 362562.08 \quad 343897.64 \quad 161574.121077120 .87 \quad 125521.83$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}218558.98 & 63135.11 & 120637.66 & 271692.53 & 253741.74 & 111032.42 & 823532.79\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}6 & 208491.96 & 159304.69 & 50209.53 & 88025.04 & 206996.53 & 192333.59 & 87181.73\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}7 & 73275.36 & 163797.27 & 121142.32 & 40567.79 & 61295.45 & 159008.62 & 151633.78\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}8 & 82146.86 & 58051.53 & 130507.98 & 87888.55 & 31424.60 & 44292.03 & 128984.29\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}9 & 36605.92 & 99664.92 & 134686.99 & 133641.32 & 146525.09 & 75109.62 & 129856.38\end{array}$ year
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { age } & 1970 & 1971 & 1972 & 1973 & 1974 & 1975\end{array}$
13438117.099564544 .682674778 .121073107 .611669558 .772087623 .04
$\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 1080422.54 & 1127467.03 & 3398061.02 & 681784.59 & 365183.70 & 439185.74\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 941665.60 & 715729.69 & 550870.56 & 1987545.63 & 305530.60 & 164705.50\end{array}$
$4 \quad 351244.96 \quad 543106.78 \quad 219019.92 \quad 293926.42 \quad 904182.33 \quad 115443.95$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}5 & 91449.06 & 211782.09 & 206116.78 & 146766.03 & 141585.93 & 328170.29\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}6 & 544828.52 & 56453.09 & 95241.86 & 123285.84 & 73124.61 & 50299.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}7 & 58955.65 & 357943.27 & 26908.77 & 61794.26 & 59864.83 & 19393.26\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}8 & 96662.53 & 32880.98 & 177775.60 & 14556.37 & 38621.88 & 21490.12\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}9 & 85983.34 & 62561.44 & 53020.57 & 72094.96 & 36167.40 & 22435.15\end{array}$ year

TABLE 5.6.14 continued. Herring in VIa (North). ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE


TABLE 5.6.15 Herring in VIa (North). SURVIVORS AFTER TERMINAL YEAR

| Units <br> year |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| age | NA |
| y | 2011 |
| 2 | 3950163.36 |
| 3 | 151006.65 |
| 4 | 53825.98 |
| 5 | 32343.68 |
| 6 | 44216.67 |
| 7 | 18229.22 |
| 8 | 11178.13 |
| 9 | 55641.90 |

TABLE 5.6.16 Herring in VIa (North). FITTED SELECTION PATTERN

```
Units : NA
    year 2003 2004 2005 2006 2008
    1 0.003922736 0.003922736 0.003922736 0.003922736 0.003922736 0.003922736
    2 0.483360359 0.483360359 0.483360359 0.483360359 0.483360359 0.483360359
    3 0.889958021 0.889958021 0.889958021 0.889958021 0.889958021 0.889958021
    4 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000
    5 1.085000073 1.085000073 1.085000073 1.085000073 1.085000073 1.085000073
    6 1.044783184 1.044783184 1.044783184 1.044783184 1.0447831841.044783184
    7 1.226226064 1.226226064 1.226226064 1.226226064 1.226226064 1.226226064
    8 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000
    91.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000 1.000000000
        year
age 2009 2010
    1 0.003922736 0.003922736
    2 0.483360359 0.483360359
    30.889958021 0.889958021
    4 1.000000000 1.000000000
    51.085000073 1.085000073
    6 1.044783184 1.044783184
    71.226226064 1.226226064
    8 1.000000000 1.000000000
    9 1.000000000 1.000000000
```

TABLE 5.6.17 Herring in VIa (North). PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS

| Units : NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| 1 | 6496 | 15616 | 53092 | 3561 | 13081 | 55048 | 11796 | 26546 | 299483 | 211675 | 207947 |
| 2 | 74622 | 30980 | 67972 | 102124 | 45195 | 92805 | 78247 | 82611 | 19767 | 500853 | 27416 |
| 3 | 58086 | 145394 | 35263 | 60290 | 61619 | 22278 | 53455 | 70076 | 62642 | 33456 | 218689 |
| 4 | 25762 | 39070 | 116390 | 22781 | 33125 | 67454 | 11859 | 26680 | 59375 | 60502 | 37069 |
| 5 | 33979 | 24908 | 24946 | 48881 | 22501 | 44357 | 40517 | 7283 | 22265 | 40908 | 39246 |
| 6 | 19890 | 27630 | 17332 | 11631 | 12412 | 19759 | 26170 | 24227 | 5120 | 19344 | 29793 |
| 7 | 8885 | 17405 | 16999 | 10347 | 5345 | 24139 | 8687 | 18637 | 22891 | 5563 | 11770 |
| 8 | 1427 | 9857 | 7372 | 6346 | 4814 | 6147 | 13662 | 8797 | 18925 | 17811 | 5533 |
| 9 | 4423 | 7159 | 8595 | 4617 | 2582 | 7082 | 6088 | 15103 | 19531 | 27083 | 25799 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| 1 | 220255 | 37706 | 238226 | 207711 | 534963 | 51170 | 309016 | 172879 | 69053 | 34836 | 22525 |
| 2 | 94438 | 92561 | 99014 | 335083 | 621496 | 235627 | 124944 | 202087 | 319604 | 47739 | 46284 |
| 3 | 20998 | 71907 | 253719 | 412816 | 175137 | 808267 | 151025 | 89066 | 101548 | 95834 | 20587 |
| 4 | 159122 | 23314 | 111897 | 302208 | 54205 | 131484 | 519178 | 63701 | 35502 | 22117 | 40692 |
| 5 | 13988 | 211243 | 27741 | 101957 | 66714 | 63071 | 82466 | 188202 | 25195 | 10083 | 6879 |
| 6 | 23582 | 21011 | 142399 | 25557 | 25716 | 54642 | 49683 | 30601 | 76289 | 12211 | 3833 |
| 7 | 15677 | 42762 | 21609 | 154424 | 10342 | 18242 | 34629 | 12297 | 10918 | 20992 | 2100 |
| 8 | 6377 | 26031 | 27073 | 16818 | 55763 | 6506 | 22470 | 13121 | 3914 | 2758 | 6278 |
| 9 | 10814 | 26207 | 24082 | 31999 | 16631 | 32223 | 21042 | 13698 | 12014 | 1486 | 1544 |

TABLE 5. 6.17 continued. Herring in VIa (North). PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS

| age | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 247 | 2692 | 36740 | 13304 | 81923 | 2207 | 40794 | 33768 | 19463 | 1708 | 6216 |
| 2 | 142 | 279 | 77961 | 250010 | 77810 | 188778 | 68845 | 154963 | 65954 | 119376 | 36763 |
| 3 | 77 | 95 | 105600 | 72179 | 92743 | 49828 | 148399 | 86072 | 45463 | 41735 | 109501 |
| 4 | 19 | 51 | 61341 | 93544 | 29262 | 35001 | 17214 | 118860 | 32025 | 28421 | 18923 |
| 5 | 13 | 13 | 21473 | 58452 | 42535 | 14948 | 15211 | 18836 | 50119 | 19761 | 18109 |
| 6 | 8 | 9 | 12623 | 23580 | 27318 | 11366 | 6631 | 18000 | 8429 | 28555 | 7589 |
| 7 | 4 | 8 | 11583 | 11516 | 14709 | 9300 | 6907 | 2578 | 7307 | 3252 | 15012 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1309 | 13814 | 8437 | 4427 | 3323 | 1427 | 3508 | 2222 | 1622 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 1326 | 4027 | 8484 | 1959 | 2189 | 1971 | 5983 | 2360 | 3505 |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 14294 | 26396 | 5253 | 17719 | 1728 | 266 | 1952 | 1193 | 9092 | 7635 | 3568.58 |
| 2 | 40867 | 23013 | 24469 | 95288 | 36554 | 82176 | 37854 | 55810 | 74167 | 35252 | 18161.91 |
| 3 | 40779 | 25229 | 24922 | 18710 | 40193 | 30398 | 30899 | 34966 | 34571 | 93910 | 17263.76 |
| 4 | 74279 | 28212 | 23733 | 10978 | 6007 | 21272 | 9219 | 31657 | 31905 | 25078 | 40673.54 |
| 5 | 26520 | 37517 | 21817 | 13269 | 7433 | 5376 | 7508 | 23118 | 22872 | 13364 | 12264.30 |
| 6 | 13305 | 13533 | 33869 | 14801 | 8101 | 4205 | 2501 | 17500 | 14372 | 7529 | 7120.78 |
| 7 | 9878 | 7581 | 6351 | 19186 | 10515 | 8805 | 4700 | 10331 | 8641 | 3251 | 3083.08 |
| 8 | 21456 | 6892 | 4317 | 4711 | 12158 | 7971 | 8458 | 5213 | 2825 | 1257 | 1451.93 |
| 9 | 5522 | 4456 | 5511 | 3740 | 10206 | 9787 | 31108 | 9883 | 3327 | 1089 | 455.93 |


| age | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 142.98 | 992.20 | 247.3792 | 133.1068 | 93.92185 | 296.2654 | 192.0518 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $281030.4838481 .6137260 .075213164 .3074 \quad 4833.2107910177 .332521545 .4679$ 314942.9193975 .0643509 .350041598 .712010242 .9641411230 .620915301 .1679 $4 \quad 9305.89 \quad 9014.4142767 .756030903 .1323 \quad 20788.9468815457 .471710694 .7084$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}5 & 24482.25 & 18113.71 & 5494.7087 & 30280.8408 & 15461.92797 & 31412.1254 & 14630.0388\end{array}$ $6 \quad 9280.7128016 .08 \quad 5620.2105 \quad 3431.400213357 .0161920711 .5262 \quad 26260.3541$ $7 \quad 6624.96 \quad 9040.1014095 .6220 \quad 4224.7021 \quad 1833.2435421449 .964820709 .9588$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}8 & 4610.61 & 1547.86 & 8702.4566 & 7362.6454 & 1558.81536 & 2071.0272 & 15022.8120\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}9 & 1000.53 & 1422.68 & 1407.9600 & 4008.0100 & 1430.76000 & 5088.9900 & 4242.6000\end{array}$ year

age $2008 \quad 2009 \quad 2010$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 128.5703 & 369.2648 & 7040.319\end{array}$
$26229.516111775 .5188 \quad 24070.522$
314592.961112110 .890715860 .360
$4 \quad 6532.656018293 .433810311 .399$
$5 \quad 4547.0687 \quad 8182.8827 \quad 15478.403$
$6 \quad 5466.9950 \quad 5043.6173 \quad 6110.129$
$711875.5528 \quad 7266.8144 \quad 4511.283$
$\begin{array}{llll}8 & 6438.0964 & 11086.8233 & 4539.761\end{array}$
$98968.6000 \quad 9443.8500 \quad 13199.280$

TABLE 5.6.18 Herring in VIa (North). CATCH RESIDUALS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |
|  | -1.48342998 | -Inf | 0.6642871150873095320577 |  |  |
| 2 | -0.11140539-0.654149973 | 91980169147 | 0.6896535913206351953164 |  |  |
| 3 | $0.07430765-0.6269324159$ | 248597094773 | 0.8191423112496212244338 |  |  |
| 4 | $0.22886912-0.1052738338$ | 207073603980 | -0.0090143569292715983177 |  |  |
| 5 | $0.30617057 \quad 0.4133851978$ | 804446925397 | -0.4122812915023512103652 |  |  |
| 6 | -0.25744693 0.1321278628 | 739084859411 | -0.3113772193234328744538 |  |  |
| 7 | -0.09498418 0.5926791989 | 560888929134 | -0.5912011657362400418592 |  |  |
| 8 | $0.05425157 \quad 0.1414102511$ | 389307426093 | -0.2658134368284216231260 |  |  |
|  | $0.00000000-0.0000000000$ | 00001110223 | -0.0000000000000001110223 |  |  |
| year |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 1 | -0.8049749842408561173457 | -0.38447827 | -Inf | 1.65045022 | 0.35831629 |
| 2 | -0.4193263716996037948626 | 0.46573759 | 0.23736535 | -0.02293333 | -0.16840592 |
| 3 | -0.2009558478696570893440 | 0.14872844 | -0.11258829 | -0.14505350 | 0.02926120 |
| 4 | -0.1247281294932872514059 | -0.48949960 | -0.18531840 | -0.09792534 | -0.03487777 |
| 5 | 0.2680301377254705186282 | -0.02526858 | -0.34525051 | 0.01807943 | -0.05786611 |
| 6 | 0.2936929230354884401422 | 0.15183316 | 0.03972804 | 0.12035470 | 0.03413999 |
|  | -0.0224880631512752657275 | 0.03823189 | 0.22232331 | 0.03354309 | -0.04280771 |
| 8 | 0.3843751317664331756951 | -0.10057048 | 0.23484101 | 0.06183192 | 0.17146875 |
|  | -0.0000000000000002220446 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 |

TABLE 5.6.19 Herring in VIa (North). PREDICTED INDEX VALUES
WoS Summer Acoustic Survey


TABLE 5.6.20 Herring in VIa (North). INDEX RESIDUALS
WoS Summer Acoustic Survey


TABLE 5.6.20 continued. Herring in VIa (North). INDEX RESIDUALS

| age | 2009 | 2010 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.67185616 | -3.22484678 |
| 2 | -0.40705205 | -0.03693806 |
| 3 | -0.02815303 | 0.41972184 |
| 4 | 0.08975130 | -0.14367888 |
| 5 | 0.89961803 | -0.45060762 |
| 6 | 0.81766019 | -0.11412416 |
| 7 | 0.43979782 | -0.03807016 |
| 8 | 0.80120280 | -0.43399333 |
| 9 | 0.76255121 | -0.17281959 |

TABLE 5.6.21 Herring in VIa (North). FIT PARAMETERS

F, 2003
F, 2004
F, 2005
F, 2006
F, 2007
F, 2008
F, 2009
F, 2010
Selectivity at age 1
Selectivity at age 2
Selectivity at age 3
Selectivity at age 5
Selectivity at age 6
Selectivity at age 7
Terminal year pop, age 1 Terminal year pop, age 2 Terminal year pop, age 3 Terminal year pop, age 4 Terminal year pop, age 5 Terminal year pop, age 6 Terminal year pop, age 7 Terminal year pop, age 8 Last true age pop, 2003 Last true age pop, 2004 Last true age pop, 2005 Last true age pop, 2006 Last true age pop, 2007 Last true age pop, 2008 Last true age pop, 2009
Index 1, age 1 numbers, $Q$
Index 1 , age 2 numbers, $Q$
Index 1, age 3 numbers, $Q$
Index 1, age 4 numbers, $Q$
Index 1, age 5 numbers, Q
Index 1 , age 6 numbers, $Q$
Index 1, age 7 numbers, $Q$
Index 1, age 8 numbers, $Q$
Index 1, age 9 numbers, $Q$
F, 2003
F, 2004
F, 2005
F, 2006
F, 2007
F, 2008
F, 2009
F, 2010
Selectivity at age 1 Selectivity at age 2 Selectivity at age 3 Selectivity at age 5 Selectivity at age 6 Selectivity at age 7

| Value | Std. dev | Lower. 95. pct.CL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.223638521 | 0.1470826 | 0.167628308 |
| 0.201199003 | 0.1458762 | 0.151165782 |
| 0.121289171 | 0.1446341 | 0.091349680 |
| 0.223251173 | 0.1433777 | 0.168557556 |
| 0.279350053 | 0.1519248 | 0.207409064 |
| 0.151495697 | 0.1664625 | 0.109321242 |
| 0.236406810 | 0.1838297 | 0.164884949 |
| 0.264259181 | 0.2236472 | 0.170473831 |
| 0.003921736 | 0.3770853 | 0.001872824 |
| 0.483359359 | 0.1394528 | 0.367760893 |
| 0.889957021 | 0.1277594 | 0.692816164 |
| 1.084999073 | 0.1148603 | 0.866280111 |
| 1.044782184 | 0.1116173 | 0.839489368 |
| 1.226225064 | 0.1118462 | 0.984838050 |
| 10748792.954324342 | 0.8625282 | 1982261.299407002 |
| 231609.428459009 | 0.3180442 | 124174.251014013 |
| 83173.164007027 | 0.2524731 | 50707.682774775 |
| 46556.064787960 | 0.2206020 | 30213.154435798 |
| 65092.471181141 | 0.2042163 | 43621.269390660 |
| 26551.400749794 | 0.1994455 | 17960.400688385 |
| 17080.598817369 | 0.1951210 | 11652.328868806 |
| 20496.504525047 | 0.2007944 | 13828.026088680 |
| 45547.807753170 | 0.2690027 | 26883.620661267 |
| 42379.905486501 | 0.2128030 | 27926.621752496 |
| 14325.359646585 | 0.1900915 | 9869.528621441 |
| 10855.609772853 | 0.1693040 | 7790.041135365 |
| 64620.194647729 | 0.1708038 | 46235.667534984 |
| 48063.250284777 | 0.1787467 | 33857.964108400 |
| 55225.736469364 | 0.1751838 | 39176.179772591 |
| 0.483640418 | 0.5544866 | 0.163130072 |
| 2.793638826 | 0.1760179 | 1.978521740 |
| 4.839667630 | 0.1749366 | 3.434839822 |
| 5.185287368 | 0.1746270 | 3.682368997 |
| 4.864522196 | 0.1749093 | 3.452664404 |
| 4.834016739 | 0.1756437 | 3.426077747 |
| 4.909840876 | 0.1772991 | 3.468545524 |
| 4.877779899 | 0.1793299 | 3.432207542 |
| 5.432045488 | 0.1770019 | 3.839691562 | Upper.95.pct.CL

0.298363616
0.267792342
0.161041210
0.295691795
0.376244175
0.209940409
0.338952585
0.409640084
0.008212207
0.635293948
1.143194314
1.358940339
1.300278304
1.526776822

| year pop, age |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Terminal year pop, age 2 | 431997.188733237 |
| Terminal year pop, age 3 | 136424.597465163 |
| Terminal year pop, age 4 | 71739.188079370 |
| Terminal year pop, age 5 | 97132.198664875 |
| Terminal year pop, age 6 | 39251.734635968 |
| Terminal year pop, age 7 | 25037.643482665 |
| Terminal year pop, age 8 | 30380.814662275 |
| Last true age pop, 2003 | 77169.768806802 |
| Last true age pop, 2004 | 64313.414095073 |
| Last true age pop, 2005 | 20792.880478425 |
| Last true age pop, 2006 | 15127.553435562 |
| Last true age pop, 2007 | 90314.897111647 |
| Last true age pop, 2008 | 68228.438678154 |
| Last true age pop, 2009 | 77850.417939868 |
| Index 1, age 1 numbers, $Q$ | 1.433874527 |
| Index 1, age 2 numbers, $Q$ | 3.944570198 |
| Index 1, age 3 numbers, Q | 6.819061146 |
| Index 1, age 4 numbers, Q | 7.301605328 |
| Index 1, age 5 numbers, Q | 6.853714530 |
| Index 1, age 6 numbers, Q | 6.820545113 |
| Index 1, age 7 numbers, Q | 6.950042104 |
| Index 1, age 8 numbers, $Q$ | 6.932196391 |
| Index 1, age 9 numbers, Q | 7.684762620 |

Table 5.7.1.1. Herring in VIa (North). Input data for short-term predictions, numbers at age from the assessment with ages 1- and 2-ring in 2010 and 2-ring in 2011 replaced by geometric mean values (1989-2009) - natural mortality (M), proportion mature (Mat), proportion of fishing mortality prior to spawning (PF), proportion of natural mortality prior to spawning (PM), mean weights at age in the stock ( SWt ), selection pattern (Sel), mean weights at age in the catch ( CWt ). All biological data are taken as mean of the last 3 years. VIa ( N ) herring appears to have considerable annual variability in mean weights and in fraction mature. Last year's values are not applicable. N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

2011

| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 588137.3 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | $7.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2 | 228795 | 0.3 | 0.823333 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.145933 | 0.127733 | 0.166 |
| 3 | 151006.7 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.188467 | 0.23518 | 0.2028 |
| 4 | 53825.98 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.209967 | 0.26426 | 0.227267 |
| 5 | 32343.68 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2196 | 0.286722 | 0.236767 |
| 6 | 44216.67 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.22 | 0.276095 | 0.247267 |
| 7 | 18229.22 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2205 | 0.324043 | 0.2499 |
| 8 | 11178.13 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.225267 | 0.26426 | 0.259633 |
| 9 | 55641.9 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.230667 | 0.26426 | 0.271867 |

2012

| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 588137.3 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | $7.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2 | $\cdot$ | 0.3 | 0.823333 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.145933 | 0.127733 | 0.166 |
| 3 | $\cdot$ | 0.2 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.188467 | 0.23518 | 0.2028 |
| 4 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.209967 | 0.26426 | 0.227267 |
| 5 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2196 | 0.286722 | 0.236767 |
| 6 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.22 | 0.276095 | 0.247267 |
| 7 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2205 | 0.324043 | 0.2499 |
| 8 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.225267 | 0.26426 | 0.259633 |
| 9 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.230667 | 0.26426 | 0.271867 |

2013

| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 588137.3 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.67 | $7.34 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.46 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2 | $\cdot$ | 0.3 | 0.823333 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.145933 | 0.127733 | 0.166 |
| 3 | $\cdot$ | 0.2 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.188467 | 0.23518 | 0.2028 |
| 4 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.209967 | 0.26426 | 0.227267 |
| 5 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2196 | 0.286722 | 0.236767 |
| 6 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.22 | 0.276095 | 0.247267 |
| 7 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.2205 | 0.324043 | 0.2499 |
| 8 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.225267 | 0.26426 | 0.259633 |
| 9 | $\cdot$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.230667 | 0.26426 | 0.271867 |

Table 5.7.1.2. Herring in VIa (North). Short-term prediction single option table, with F constraint (Fsq (avg 2008-2010)). N.B. In this table "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).

| Year: | 2011 | F multiplier: | 0.8226 | Fbar: | 0.2185 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.0009 | 317 | 20 | 588137 | 43150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1051 | 19768 | 3281 | 228795 | 33389 | 188375 | 27490 | 143600 | 20956 |
| 3 | 0.1935 | 24152 | 4898 | 151007 | 28460 | 151007 | 28460 | 116013 | 21865 |
| 4 | 0.2174 | 10026 | 2279 | 53826 | 11302 | 53826 | 11302 | 43515 | 9137 |
| 5 | 0.2359 | 6480 | 1534 | 32344 | 7103 | 32344 | 7103 | 25826 | 5671 |
| 6 | 0.2271 | 8565 | 2118 | 44217 | 9728 | 44217 | 9728 | 35514 | 7813 |
| 7 | 0.2666 | 4068 | 1017 | 18229 | 4020 | 18229 | 4020 | 14260 | 3144 |
| 8 | 0.2174 | 2082 | 541 | 11178 | 2518 | 11178 | 2518 | 9037 | 2036 |
| 9 | 0.2174 | 10364 | 2818 | 55642 | 12835 | 55642 | 12835 | 44983 | 10376 |
| Total |  | 85823 | 18506 | 1183375 | 152503 | 554817 | 103454 | 432747 | 80998 |
| Year: | 2012 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fbar: | 0.2656 |  |  |  |  |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.001 | 385 | 25 | 588137 | 43150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1277 | 22467 | 3729 | 216179 | 31548 | 177988 | 25974 | 133638 | 19502 |
| 3 | 0.2352 | 29097 | 5901 | 152589 | 28758 | 152589 | 28758 | 113998 | 21485 |
| 4 | 0.2643 | 22566 | 5128 | 101886 | 21393 | 101886 | 21393 | 79822 | 16760 |
| 5 | 0.2867 | 9318 | 2206 | 39188 | 8606 | 39188 | 8606 | 30243 | 6641 |
| 6 | 0.2761 | 5320 | 1315 | 23117 | 5086 | 23117 | 5086 | 17968 | 3953 |
| 7 | 0.324 | 8420 | 2104 | 31880 | 7030 | 31880 | 7030 | 23996 | 5291 |
| 8 | 0.2643 | 2798 | 727 | 12635 | 2846 | 12635 | 2846 | 9899 | 2230 |
| 9 | 0.2643 | 10775 | 2929 | 48648 | 11222 | 48648 | 11222 | 38113 | 8791 |
| Total |  | 111145 | 24065 | 1214260 | 159637 | 587931 | 110914 | 447676 | 84654 |


| Year: | 2013 | F multiplier: | 1 | Fbar: | 0.2656 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | F | CatchNos | Yield | StockNos | Biomass | SSNos(Jan) | SSB(Jan) | SSNos(ST) | SSB(ST) |
| 1 | 0.001 | 385 | 25 | 588137 | 43150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.1277 | 22463 | 3729 | 216139 | 31542 | 177955 | 25970 | 133613 | 19499 |
| 3 | 0.2352 | 26877 | 5451 | 140946 | 26564 | 140946 | 26564 | 105299 | 19845 |
| 4 | 0.2643 | 21871 | 4970 | 98748 | 20734 | 98748 | 20734 | 77363 | 16244 |
| 5 | 0.2867 | 16831 | 3985 | 70781 | 15544 | 70781 | 15544 | 54625 | 11996 |
| 6 | 0.2761 | 6126 | 1515 | 26620 | 5856 | 26620 | 5856 | 20690 | 4552 |
| 7 | 0.324 | 4191 | 1047 | 15870 | 3499 | 15870 | 3499 | 11945 | 2634 |
| 8 | 0.2643 | 4621 | 1200 | 20862 | 4700 | 20862 | 4700 | 16344 | 3682 |
| 9 | 0.2643 | 9429 | 2563 | 42574 | 9820 | 42574 | 9820 | 33354 | 7694 |
| Total |  | 112793 | 24485 | 1220678 | 161408 | 594356 | 112686 | 453235 | 86145 |

Table 5.7.1.3. Herring in VIa (North). Short-term prediction multiple option table, with F constraint (Fsq (avg 2008-2010)).

2011

| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 152503 | 80998 | 0.8226 | 0.2185 | 18506 |


| 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biomass | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | Biomass | SSB |
| 159637 | 98937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183085 | 120772 |
| - | 97399 | 0.1 | 0.0266 | 2672 | 180674 | 116654 |
| - | 95887 | 0.2 | 0.0531 | 5282 | 178321 | 112698 |
| - | 94399 | 0.3 | 0.0797 | 7829 | 176024 | 108898 |
| - | 92937 | 0.4 | 0.1062 | 10317 | 173782 | 105247 |
| - | 91498 | 0.5 | 0.1328 | 12746 | 171594 | 101739 |
| - | 90083 | 0.6 | 0.1593 | 15119 | 169458 | 98368 |
| - | 88692 | 0.7 | 0.1859 | 17435 | 167372 | 95128 |
|  | 88348 | 0.725 | 0.1925 | 18006 | 166859 | 94338 |
| - | 87323 | 0.8 | 0.2125 | 19698 | 165336 | 92015 |
| - | 85977 | 0.9 | 0.239 | 21907 | 163349 | 89022 |
|  | 85644 | 0.925 | 0.2456 | 22451 | 162859 | 88292 |
|  | 85379 | 0.945 | 0.251 | 22885 | 162470 | 87713 |
| - | 84654 | 1 | 0.2656 | 24065 | 161408 | 86145 |
| - | 83351 | 1.1 | 0.2921 | 26173 | 159514 | 83379 |
|  | 82837 | 1.14 | 0.3027 | 27002 | 158769 | 82302 |
| - | 82071 | 1.2 | 0.3187 | 28232 | 157664 | 80719 |
| - | 80811 | 1.3 | 0.3452 | 30243 | 155858 | 78161 |
| - | 79572 | 1.4 | 0.3718 | 32207 | 154094 | 75702 |
| - | 78353 | 1.5 | 0.3983 | 34126 | 152372 | 73336 |
| - | 77155 | 1.6 | 0.4249 | 36001 | 150691 | 71060 |
| - | 75976 | 1.7 | 0.4515 | 37832 | 149049 | 68870 |
| - | 74816 | 1.8 | 0.478 | 39622 | 147445 | 66763 |
| - | 73675 | 1.9 | 0.5046 | 41370 | 145879 | 64736 |
| . | 72553 | 2 | 0.5311 | 43078 | 144350 | 62784 |



Figure 5.1. Location of ICES area VIa (North) and adjacent areas, with place names.


Figure 5.2.1. Herring in VIa (North). Mean standardised catch numbers-at-age standardised by year for the fishery, 1957 to 2010.


Figure 5.3.1. Herring in VIa (North). Comparison of the proportions-at-age, by year class, in the 2010 acoustic survey (MSHAS_N) and the catch.


Figure 5.3.2. Herring in VIa (North). Internal consistency between ages in the West of Scotland acoustic survey time series.

## West of Scotland Herring Stock Summary Plot



Figure 5.6.1. Herring in VIa (North). Illustration of stock trends from the assessment (8 year separable period) 1957-2010. Summary of estimates of landings, spawning stock biomass at spawning time, fishing mortality at $F_{3-6}$, recruitment at 1-ring, in the final assessment run. The 2010 estimate for recruitment is given as geometric mean (1989-2007) because there are no data to support its estimation.

## Fitted catch diagnostics



Figure 5.6.2. Herring in VIa (North). Illustration of selection patterns diagnostics, from deterministic calculation (8-year separable period). Top left, a bubble plot of selection pattern residuals. Top right, estimated selection (relative to 4 -ringers) +/- standard deviation. Bottom, marginal totals of residuals by year and ring.


Figure 5.6.3. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 1 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.4. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at $\mathbf{2}$ wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.5. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 3 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.6. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 4 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.7. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 5 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.8. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 6 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.9. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 7 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.10. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at $8 \mathbf{w r}$ from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0} \%$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).


Figure 5.6.11. Herring in VIa (North). Diagnostics of the VIaN acoustic survey fit at 9 wr from the FLICA assessment (8-year separable period). a) Comparison of observed (points) and fitted (line) index value. b) Scatter plot of index observations versus FLICA estimates of stock numbers at age. Fitted catchability (linear model - solid line), with $95 \%$ confidence interval (dotted line). c) Log residuals of catchability model fitted by FLICA as a function of time. d) Log residuals from the catchability model against stock size at age estimated by the FLICA assessment method. e) Normal Q-Q plot of log residuals (points) with fitted linear regression (solid line) and $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence interval for predication (dotted line).

## West of Scotland Herring Weighted Residuals Bubble Plot



Figure 5.6.12. Herring in VIa (North). Comparison of residuals in the catch (top) and survey (bottom) Note the year effects in the survey, particularly in 2005, 2008 and 2009. The assessment effectively smoothes an otherwise noisy survey.

## West of Scotland Herring Retrospective Summary Plot



Figure 5.6.13. Herring in VIa (North). Analytical retrospective patterns (2010 to 2006) of SSB, recruitment and mean $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ from the final assessment. The 2010 estimate for recruitment is given as geometric mean ( 1989 to 2009) because there are no data to support its estimation.


Figure 5.6.14. Herring in VIa (North). Taylor diagram. The plot is not Cartesian but rather polar in nature: the angular axis plots the correlation coefficient between observations and the modeled values. The radial axis represents the standard deviation of the observations normalized by the standard deviation of the modeled values. The point corresponding to 1.0 on the horizontal axis represents a perfect fit between the model and the observations - the closer to this point the better. Points are labeled according to the survey and the age of the time series. All time series are truncated to allow comparison on a common basis.



MFYPR version $2 a^{a}$
Run: Test
Time and date: 13:31 21/03/2011

| Reference point | F multiplier | Absolute F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fbar(1-9) | 1.0000 | 0.1379 |
| FMax | 200.4630 | 27.6529 |
| F0.1 | 1.0710 | 0.1477 |
| F35\%SPR | 1.1278 | 0.1556 |

F35\%SPR $\quad 1.1278$
Flow
Fmed
Fhigh
Weights in kilograms

Figure 5.7.2.1. Herring in VIa (North). Yield-per-recruit and short-term forecast.


Figure 5.9.1. Herring in VIa (North). Results of parametric bootstrapping from FLICA. The main figure depicts the uncertainty in the estimated spawning stock biomass and average fishing mortality, and their correlation. Contour lines give the $1 \%, 5 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%$ and $75 \%$ confidence intervals for the two estimated parameters and are estimated from a parametric bootstrap based on the variance covariance matrix in the parameters returned by FLICA. The plots to the right and top of the main plot give the probability distribution in the SSB and mean fishing mortality respectively. The SSB and fishing mortality estimated by the method is plotted on all three plots with a heavy dot. $95 \%$ confidence intervals, with their corresponding values, are given on the plots to the right and top of the main plot.




Figure 5.9.2. Herring in VIa (North). Historical retrospective patterns (2010 to 2006 assessments) of SSB, recruitment and mean $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ from the final assessment. The final estimate for recruitment in each year is given as geometric mean ( 1989 to one year prior to the last data year) because there are no data to support its estimation.

## 6 Herring in Divisions VIa (South) and VIIb,c

This management unit has existed since 1982 when it was separated from VIaN. Until that time, VIIb,c was a separate management unit. The stock comprises autumn and winter, and spring spawning components. This stock is classified as "SALY" in 2011.

### 6.1 The Fishery

### 6.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2010-2011

The TAC for this area in 2010 was 7451 t with a decrease of $40 \%$ to 4471 t in 2011. For 2011, ICES advised that there should be no fishing without a rebuilding plan (Precautionary Approach) and zero-catch (MSY Approach). The advice for the fishery in 2011 is therefore the same as the advice given in recent years. STECF followed the European Commission's policy statement on fishing opportunities for 2011 and stated that the TAC should be reduced by at least $25 \%$ and that recovery measures should be implemented.

## Rebuilding plan

A rebuilding plan was developed by the Federation of Irish Fishermens' Organisations and the Pelagic RAC in 2009. The plan was for status quo TAC in 2010, and, in subsequent years a TAC set at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. This plan was not adopted. However this plan is still the stated policy of the Pelagic RAC for management of this stock.

### 6.1.2 Catches in 2010

The working group estimates of landings recorded by each country from this fishery from 1988 - 2010 are given in Table 6.1.2.1. Irish catch estimates for this WG have been based on the preliminary official reported data from the EU Logbook Scheme. The total official catch recorded from logbooks for 2010 was over 7513 t , compared with 8533 t in 2009. The total working group estimates of catches in these areas from $1970-2010$ are shown in Figure 6.1.2.1. The working group estimates of catch have declined from about 19000 t in 2006 to 10000 t in 2010 . The Irish official catch was close to the quota.
There were no estimates of discards reported for 2010.
The assessment period runs concurrently with the annual quota. In recent years Ireland has been the only country participating in this fishery. In 2010 all of the catches were reported from quarters 1 and 4 in VIaS. Small landings were reported in VIIb in quarter 1 and 4 . In the first quarter, fishing began in the middle of January and continued until the middle of February. Fishing reopened in the fourth quarter in mid October and closed in mid December when the quota was exhausted. The distribution of the landings from this area is presented in Figure 6.1.3.1. The main fishing took place throughout VIaS with a very small proportion in VIIb.

A total of 50 boats categorised as follows caught herring in VIaS, VIIb,c in 2010:

- 23 pelagic segment boats with refrigerated seawater (RSW) storage
- 3 polyvalent segment boats with refrigerated seawater storage
- 24 polyvalent segment vessels with bulk storage.
"Polyvalent" is a term used to define part of the Irish fleet allowed to catch both pelagic and demersal fish.


### 6.1.3 Regulations and their effects

The reduction in quotas in the recent past has meant that searching and fishing times have been reduced.

In effect, the boat-quotas were taken in one or two hauls in many cases. Quota is often taken on an opportunistic basis, and only in two main areas.

Pelagic segment vessels are not allowed to fish within the Irish 12 mile limit. The strict enforcement of this in recent years has meant that these vessels fish offshore. However, they still operate in proximity to the spawning grounds.

### 6.1.4 Changes in fishing technology and fishing pattern

There have been no significant changes in the fishing technology of the fleets in this area in recent years. The pattern of this fishery has changed over time. In the early part of the 20th century the main spawning components were the winter spawners off the north coast, and this was where the main fishery took place. In the 1970s and 1980s the west of Ireland autumn-spawning components were dominant and the fishery was mainly distributed along the coasts of VIIb,c and VIaS. More recently the northern grounds are more important again.

Only two main areas have been fished in the past two seasons. This is due to restrictive quotas, fuel prices and other factors that led to decisions to avoid long distances from the main fishing port (Figure 6.1.4.1).

### 6.2 Biological composition of the catch

### 6.2.1 Catch in numbers-at-age

The time series has been extended to include data from 1957 (WD 09, Clarke 2011), with details of the extension included in an adjunct to the stock annex. Catch-at-age data for this fishery are shown in Table 6.2.1.1 with percentages since 1994 shown in Table 6.2.1.2. In 2010 the fishery has been dominated by 2- and 3-ringers accounting for $23 \%$ and $34 \%$ respectively. 1-ringers are never well represented in the catch and normally do not show up in the catch until quarter 3. The abundance of 1-ringer in the catches has been very low in the past five years of the time in the series. A slight increase in the abundance of 1-ringers was seen in 2010 where they accounted for $2 \%$ of the total canum. The catch numbers at age have been mean standardised and are presented in Figure 6.2.1.1. The slightly higher numbers of 1-ringers and the attenuation of older ages can be clearly seen.

Sampling data indicates that herring are fully recruited to the fishery at 3-ring and there is little evidence for 1-ringer fish being an important component of landings in fisheries in this area.

### 6.2.2 Quality of the catch and biological data

The management of the Irish fishery in recent years has tightened considerably and the accuracy of reported catches is believed to have improved. The numbers of samples and the associated biological data are shown in Table 6.2.2.1. As Ireland is the main participant in this fishery all of the sampling is carried out by Ireland. The length distributions of the catches taken per quarter by the Irish fleet are shown in

Table 6.2.2.2 and Figure 6.2.2.2. No samples were collected from VIIb in 2010, and overall landings from this area were very small.
Mixing of autumn, winter and spring spawners takes place in this area which may lead to ageing difficulties regarding counting of winter rings. This issue will be investigated further.

### 6.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 6.3.1 Acoustic Surveys

The only survey that could be used to tune this assessment is the northwest of Ireland herring acoustic survey, a constituent survey of the Malin Shelf survey (MSHAS_S). In 2010, the Irish survey of VIaS, VIIb, c was conducted in July with effort concentrating on summer feeding aggregations. This is the third acoustic survey that has been carried out at this time of year. The July 2010 survey track and NASC values attributed to herring are shown in Figures 6.3.1.1 and 6.3.1.2 respectively. The survey was carried out on the Celtic Explorer and commenced in the south and worked progressively northwards. Existing survey methods were followed with acoustic surveying undertaken between 04:00 and 23:00 (daylight hours).

The results of this acoustic survey are not directly comparable with the winter surveys conducted from 2004-2007 (Table 6.3.1.1) because of the timing and coverage. It is comparable in time and area with those conducted from 1994-1996 (Table 6.3.1.2) and the 2008 and 2009 surveys which had the same timing. Two estimates for SSB and total biomass were calculated because the 2010 survey extended further north into ICES division VIaN than in previous years. The SSB estimate from the total area (VIaS, VIIb,c and VIaN) was 170154 t which is the highest ever estimate from this survey. The SSB estimate from divisions VIaS and VIIb only, is 81400 t which is a significant increase on the 2009 estimate of 21000 t .

The 2010 age structure shows high numbers of 2-ringers. This follows on from the high proportions of 1-ringers in 2009 (2007 year class) Figure 6.3.1.3. This suggests that there may be a strong year class entering the fishery. The proportions-at-age in the catch and survey data from 2008-2010 are presented in Figure 6.3.1.4 and show that there is little agreement between the data sources within years. Nor is there good representation of particular cohorts between years for the commercial catch-at-age. There may be two stocks mixing in the survey area at this time and it may explain why the age compositions vary between the survey and the catch.

The estimate of abundance should be considered as robust due to the high level of ground coverage and trawling achieved in areas of high herring abundance especially regarding mixed species layers. It is not known whether the stock was fully contained within the southern limit of the survey and therefore the estimate from VIIb may be an underestimate. In 2010 area coverage increased by approximately 5 $000 \mathrm{nmi}^{2}$ (to $14600 \mathrm{nmi}^{2}$ ) from previous years due to extension into VIaN. Over $57 \%$ of the TSB for the survey was located within VIaN in an area co-surveyed with the Scottish vessel (O'Donnell et al, 2010).

### 6.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

### 6.4.1 Mean Weights-at-Age

The mean weights-at-age (kg) in the catches in 2010 are based on Irish catches (Figure 6.4.1.1). In 2010 there is an increase in the mean weight of 1-ringers. The overall trend
over the past three years has been upwards for most of the older age groups. Generally the oldest and youngest ages are poorly represented in the catch data.

The mean weights in the stock at spawning time have been calculated from Irish samples taken during the main spawning period that extends from October to February (Figure 6.4.1.2). The mean weights in the stock show the same overall trends as the mean weights in the catch.

### 6.4.2 Maturity Ogive

One ringers are considered to be immature. All older ages are assumed to be $100 \%$ mature

### 6.5 Recruitment

There is little information on terminal year recruitment in the catch-at-age data and there are as yet no recruitment indices from the surveys. Numbers of 1-ringers in the catches vary widely but have been consistently low in the most recent years. Numbers of 1-ringers in 2010 (2008 year class) are slightly higher than in previous years. This year class was also evident in the Irish groundfish survey data. The length frequency data from the 2009 and 2010 Irish groundfish surveys are presented in Figure 6.5.1.1. A small peak in 0 group fish at $\sim 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ can be seen in 2009. In 2010 the 1 ringers would be $21 \mathrm{~cm}-24 \mathrm{~cm}$. There is some evidence to suggest a stronger cohort (2007) in the acoustic survey (Figure 6.3.1.3).

### 6.6 Stock Assessment

### 6.6.1 Data Exploration

## Data extension

The time series of catch at age data was extended from 1970 back to 1957. This was done to improve the understanding of long term stock dynamics and productivity of this stock. A similar exercise had been conducted by HAWG (2004) for VIaN. That exercise de-segregated VIaN data from the combined VIa time series, presented in HAWG (1974). The broad approach of the current exercise was to use the remainder of the 1974 VIa time series, and combine it with data from VIIb,c. Full details are presented by Clarke (2011 WD 09). A comparison of separable VPA stock trajectories from the extended time series, and the previous (1970-2010) time series showed little difference in perceptions of stock development over time (Figure 6.2.2.1).

A detailed analysis of basic data, including age composition of catches, log catch ratios and cohort catch curves was conducted in recent years and is presented in the Stock Annex (Annex 7). There has been attenuation in older age groups in recent years, and in most recent years, 1-ringers also. However 1-ringers were never well represented in assessment. Log catch ratios show an upward trend in cohort total mortality on fully recruited year classes, since the mid 1990s. Catch curves show low mortality on the very large 1963, 1981 and 1985 year classes. These represent three of the biggest year classes recruited to this fishery. Low mortality was evident in the 1970s and increased mortality can be seen from 1990 onwards.

### 6.6.2 Assessment

Following the procedure of recent years, a separable VPA was used to screen over four terminal fishing mortalities, $0.2,0.4,0.5$ and 0.6 . This was achieved using the

Lowestoft VPA software (Darby and Flatman, 1994). Reference age for calculation of fishing mortality was 3-6 and terminal selection was fixed at 1, relative to 3 winter rings. This assessment is still exploratory, and no assessment has been accepted in recent years.
Four exploratory assessments using the separable VPA were performed, based on the four choices of terminal F. Recruitment, SSB and mean F from each run and for the two times series, the extended 1957-2010 and original 1970-2010 series, are plotted in Figure 6.6.2.1. The two time series are compared and show the same overall trends. All other results presented, represent the extended time series. Figure 6.6.2.2 shows the exploratory assessment 2011 and is more informative for the converged part of the VPA, but in most recent years has little information on the current stock dynamics. Outputs from separable VPAs with terminal Fs of $0.2,0.4,0.5$ and 0.6 are presented in Tables 6.6.2.1, 6.6.2.2, 6.6.2.3 and 6.6.2.4 respectively. Residual plots for the four trial assessments are presented in Figure 6.6.2.3. Large residuals can be seen in 1ringers, reflecting the poor estimation of this age group. These residuals are also, this year, presented in Tables 6.6.2.5-6.6.2.8 to address the review group suggestion.

Fishing mortality was estimated to be highest in 1998. Subsequent Fs have been lower but still above the long term average in each case. There was a sharp rise in F in 2006, associated with an increased catch in that year.

Recruitment has been stable at a low level or declining in recent years. In 2010 there appears to be an increase in recruitment irrespective of the terminal F values used. Recruitment in the last year is replaced with the geometric mean recruitment, in Figures 6.6.2.1 and 6.6.2.2. Using terminal $F=0.2$ produces a recruitment in 2010 that is highest in the series (Figure 6.6.2.8) when the final year is not adjusted. There is no evidence from the catch at age data or the survey data for such a strong cohort.

All of the $F$ values greater than 0.2 , show that SSB is at the lowest level in the series and is considerably lower than $B_{p a}(110000 t)$ and $\operatorname{Blim}(81000)$. There is some evidence, of a small increase in recruitment, from the raw catch numbers-at-age. However, 1ringer numbers in the catch are not themselves enough evidence that a strong cohort is recruiting to the fishery.
A retrospective assessment was conducted for each of the F scenarios. Using, a terminal $\mathrm{F}=0.2$, as a starting value, (Figure 6.6.2.4) shows a bias towards overestimation of SSB and underestimation of $F$. Using a terminal $F=0.4$ (Figure 6.6.2.5) displays a much more stable estimation of SSB and the underestimation of F is not as pronounced. The retrospective assessment using $\mathrm{F}=0.5$ and $\mathrm{F}=0.6$ (Figures 6.6.2.6 and 6.6.2.7) show a bias towards anderestimation of SSB and an overestimation of F.

The results of the retrospective analysis suggest that using an initial terminal F of 0.4 produces more stable estimates of SSB and F than smaller or larger values. The mean F generated by this run is 0.55 in 2010, and mean F in the non converged VPA (20052010 ) is 0.64 . This suggests that recent $F$ has been about 0.55 , which is above $F_{0.1}$ and $F_{\text {msy, }}$, estimated to be around 0.2 and 0.25 respectively (ICES, 2010 ACFM:06).

These explorations are indicators of trends only. Most of the assessment runs suggest that SSB has declined to the lowest level in the series and that there is no evidence of stock recovery. The run using $\mathrm{F}=0.2$ suggests a slight increase in SSB but suggests a record high recruitment for which there is no other evidence.

### 6.6.3 Pseudo-cohort analysis

In 2011, WKFRAME presented a protocol for advice provision for stocks without population size estimates. An attempt was made by HAWG to apply this approach to this stock. Exploratory analyses set out to estimate the relationship between F in the terminal year and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ (0.25). Fmsy estimates are available for this stock, but the separable VPA does not provide robust estimates of current F. Pseudo-cohort mortality in the terminal year was examined to see if it could provide an estimate of current F. An analysis of pseudo-cohort mortality over time was conducted to determine if these estimates were in agreement with those from the converged VPA. A very poor agreement was found between pseudo-cohort Z and the mortality from the converged part of the separable VPA estimates of F. When natural mortality was subtracted, negative mortality was found in many years, and the relationship with the VPA was even poorer. It was concluded that pseudo-cohort catch curves are not a robust estimate of fishing mortality for this stock.

A second approach was to use the VIT software (Lleonart and Salat, 1997) a program often applied by STECF-SGMED to stocks with only one year of catch at age. The model fits a VPA-type model to pseudo-cohort derived mortality estimates from a single year. VIT produced F estimates that were in broad agreement with separable VPA estimates over time (correlation coefficient 0.7). However VIT produced unrealistic estimates of F for some of the age groups in recent years. This is probably due to the lack of coherence within the catch at age matrix.

Both of these approaches showed that mortality in the last 12 years has been increasing above any previously observed rates. This agrees with the separable VPA analyses conducted above and also with log catch ratios and cohort catch curves (see Stock Annex).

### 6.6.4 State of the Stock

The results of the exploratory assessment continue to suggest a strong decline in SSB since the mid 1990s. The current level of SSB is uncertain but is very likely to be below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathrm{Blim}_{\text {lim }}$. Though current SSB is uncertain, there is little doubt that it is much lower than in the 1990s. Over the period of stock decline, F has increased, and recent F remains well above sustainable rates. There is some evidence of a stronger (2008) cohort recruiting to the stock, but it is premature to make any conclusions on this as it is only entering the fishery. The perception of stock trends is consistent, even though the most recent estimates of SSB and F are uncertain.

The pelagic RAC disagrees with the HAWG perception that the stock has decreased to a low level. The RAC noted that the industry does not share the perception that the stock is at a low level, and that experience from the fishing grounds suggests that herring abundance is high.

### 6.7 Short term projections

In 2011, the working group conducted a series of exploratory short term deterministic forecasts. These forecasts were used to explore possible reactions of the stock in the short term.

The terminal populations from each sVPA were used as inputs and F-at-age was taken as the average over 2005-2010, the non-converged VPA. Recruitment (1-ring) was taken to be geometric mean 1957-2004, but excluding the very strong 1963, 1981, 1983 and 1985 year classes. Thus the forecasts are based on a low recruitment regime.

The interim catch was taken to be the TAC in VIaS/VIIbc + Irish quota in VIaN. These inputs are summarised in Table 6.7.1.

Results of these forecasts are shown in Table 6.7.2. Assuming the terminal populations from the sVPAs are indicative of stock status, fishing at $\mathrm{F} 0.1(\mathrm{~F}=0.2)$ implies catches in 2012 of between about 5000 t and 10000 t . Fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{c}(0.25)$ implies catches in 2012 of between 6300 t and 13000 t .

The most plausible assessment run (terminal $\mathrm{F}=0.4$ ) is associated with small recovery from current SSB levels, for $\mathrm{F}<0.7$, the likely range of recent F ( $0.55-0.64$ ). Only the most optimistic assessment (terminal $\mathrm{F}=0.2$ ) is associated with recovery to above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ in 2013, fishing at $\mathrm{F}=0.1$. None of the scenarios imply recovery to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in 2013.

### 6.8 Medium term simulations

No yield per recruit was performed in 2011.

### 6.9 Long term simulations

Work has been conducted on simulating the long term dynamics of this stock. This work focuses on using the converged sVPA assessment and projecting forward. The analysis aims to define a range of target F, B trigger and percentage TAC constraints that would be appropriate for this stock. Preliminary results are in broad agreement with the work conducted by HAWG in 2010, in developing the MSY approach for this stock (ICES 2010, ACOM:06).

### 6.10 Precautionary and yield based reference points

Analysis of stock recruit data for the period (1957-2007), excluding periods of high productivity (1981-1985) found a breakpoint in the segmented regression of 70000 t . When all of the data, including the periods of low and high productivity are used the breakpoint is 83000 t which is in close agreement with the existing $\operatorname{Blim}(81000 \mathrm{t}$ ). HAWG considers that the current reference points are appropriate for this stock.

In 2010, HAWG estimated $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ as 0.2 .
In 2010, HAWG defined FMSY as 0.25 . Further analysis using the plotMSY program estimated FMSY around 0.25 .

HAWG has not considered candidate values for a Btrigger in great detail. HAWG considered that there is a range of biologically appropriate biomass triggers that may be appropriate, suggesting 95000 t as one possible value. The final choice should be made based on management plan development. As such the trigger biomass will be subject to evaluation by ICES. In 2010 ACOM endorsed the approach taken by HAWG, and ICES WKFRAME II also endorsed the approach in 2011.

### 6.11 Quality of the Assessment

The assessment presented was based on the results from a separable VPA without a tuning index, therefore the estimates of SSB and F for recent years depend on the choice of terminal F. The VPA was run for a range of terminal F values and the current perception of the stock is highly influenced by that choice. There is no information on recent recruitment levels both because the selectivity of the fishery appears to be low for the juveniles and also due to the lack of a recruitment index.

However, in the 2009 acoustic survey, 1-ringer abundance was high and the abundance of 2-ringers was high in the 2010 acoustic survey. Examination of the survey data alone suggests that there may be a strong year class entering the fishery. Comparisons of the age structures from the catch and survey data each year show a mismatch between the data sources regarding the main ages present. This (2008) cohort is not well represented in the catch at age data in either 2009 or 2010. This underlies the lack of coherence in the catch-at-age matrix from year to year, which has been an ongoing feature of this assessment.

Further work will be conducted to examine the mixing of components of this fishery. The mixture of spawning components may affect the ageing procedure with possible difficulties in interpreting the winter ring. This will also be investigated in greater detail. It is unlikely that a strong cohort entering the fishery would be missed due to difficulties of this kind. The significant decline in SSB is clear and is unlikely due to such methodological issues.

The retrospective analysis of the assessment suggests that an F of 0.2 underestimates mean F and SSB. Using the terminal $\mathrm{F}=0.4$ produces a more stable retrospective pattern. The highest F of 0.5 and 0.6 used show an overestimation of F. Based on this information we can infer that recent $F$ may have been in the region of 0.4 . In the last two years HAWG has estimated recent F at about 0.5, slightly higher than this year's estimate from this analysis.

There are concerns about the underlying assumptions of the separable VPA. The assumption of a constant selection pattern throughout the series is invalid. However in the absence of a tuning index there is little alternative. In the past, traditional VPA runs using the same terminal Fs as inputs have not produced different stock trajectories.

### 6.12 Management Considerations

Since 2000, reported landings have been much lower than previously. In recent years landings have been reduced each year, with a stabilisation in 2009 and 2010. There is no evidence available to alter stock perception. Evidence from the survey of a good incoming year class needs to be further corroborated in the next years. Recent F has been well above the range of potential estimates of Fmsy.

The catch target ( $20000-25000 \mathrm{t}$ ) of the local management plan is not likely to be achievable at current stock productivity. A rebuilding plan is urgently required and should aim to keep catches at a low level until stock recovery can be confirmed.

### 6.13 Environment

### 6.13.1 Ecosystem Considerations

No new information.

### 6.13.2 Changes in the Environment

No new information.

Table 6.1.2.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Estimated Herring catches in tonnes, 19882010. These data do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | - | - | - | - | 250 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - |  |
| Ireland | 15000 | 18200 | 25000 | 22500 | 26000 | 27600 | 24400 | 25450 | 23800 | 24400 | 25200 |  |
| Netherlands | 300 | 2900 | 2533 | 600 | 900 | 2500 | 2500 | 1207 | 1800 | 3400 | 2500 |  |
| UK <br> (N.Ireland) | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| UK <br> (England + <br> Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 24 | - | - | - |  |
| UK Scotland | - | + | - | + | - | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total landings | 15300 | 21100 | 27613 | 23100 | 27150 | 30300 | 26950 | 26692 | 25600 | 27800 | 27700 |  |
| Unallocated/ area misreported | 13800 | 7100 | 13826 | 11200 | 4600 | 6250 | 6250 | 1100 | 6900 | -700 | 11200 |  |
| Discards | - | 1000 | 2530 | 3400 | 100 | 250 | 700 | - | - | 50 |  |  |
| WG catch | 29100 | 29200 | 43969 | 37700 | 31850 | 36800 | 33900 | 27792 | 32500 | 27150 | 38900 |  |
| Country | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| France | - | - | - | 515 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | 16325 | 10164 | 11278 | 13072 | 12921 | 10950 | 13351 | 14840 | 12662 | 10237 | 8533 | 7513 |
| Netherlands | 1868 | 1234 | 2088 | 366 | - | 64 | - | 353 | 13 |  |  |  |
| UK <br> (N.Ireland) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK <br> (England + Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK Scotland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |  |  |
| Total landings | 18193 | 11398 | 13366 | 13953 | 12921 | 11014 | 13351 | 15199 | 12675 | 10237 | 8533 | 7513 |
| Area misreported | 7916 | 8448 | 1390 | 3873 | 3581 | 2813 | 2880 | 4353 | 5129 | 3103 | 1935 | 2728 |
| Unallocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -353 | -13 |  |  |  |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WG catch | 26109 | 19846 | 14756 | 17826 | 16502 | 13827 | 16231 | 19193 | 17791 | 13340 | 10468 | 10241 |

Table 6.2.1.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Catch in numbers-at-age (winter rings) from 1957 to 2010.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 0 | 7709 | 9965 | 1394 | 6235 | 2062 | 943 | 287 | 490 |
| 1958 | 100 | 3349 | 9410 | 6130 | 4065 | 5584 | 3279 | 1192 | 2195 |
| 1959 | 1060 | 7251 | 3585 | 8642 | 3222 | 1757 | 2002 | 858 | 839 |
| 1960 | 516 | 18221 | 7373 | 3551 | 2284 | 770 | 1020 | 578 | 326 |
| 1961 | 1768 | 7129 | 14342 | 6598 | 2481 | 2392 | 566 | 706 | 387 |
| 1962 | 259 | 7170 | 5535 | 10427 | 5235 | 3322 | 4111 | 1653 | 1525 |
| 1963 | 132 | 6446 | 5929 | 2032 | 3192 | 3541 | 2079 | 1293 | 2517 |
| 1964 | 88 | 7030 | 5903 | 4048 | 2195 | 3972 | 3779 | 1830 | 3559 |
| 1965 | 234 | 3847 | 10135 | 9008 | 2426 | 2019 | 6349 | 2737 | 4276 |
| 1966 | 0 | 16809 | 11894 | 10319 | 7392 | 3356 | 7112 | 2987 | 6109 |
| 1967 | 0 | 1232 | 55013 | 12681 | 9071 | 6348 | 3455 | 4862 | 8165 |
| 1968 | 574 | 10192 | 4702 | 78638 | 5316 | 4534 | 1889 | 839 | 3340 |
| 1969 | 1495 | 15038 | 13013 | 4410 | 54809 | 4918 | 3234 | 1954 | 3136 |
| 1970 | 135 | 35114 | 26007 | 13243 | 3895 | 40181 | 2982 | 1667 | 1911 |
| 1971 | 883 | 6177 | 7038 | 10856 | 8826 | 3938 | 40553 | 2286 | 2160 |
| 1972 | 1001 | 28786 | 20534 | 6191 | 11145 | 10057 | 4243 | 47182 | 4305 |
| 1973 | 6423 | 40390 | 47389 | 16863 | 7432 | 12383 | 9191 | 1969 | 50980 |
| 1974 | 3374 | 29406 | 41116 | 44579 | 17857 | 8882 | 10901 | 10272 | 30549 |
| 1975 | 7360 | 41308 | 25117 | 29192 | 23718 | 10703 | 5909 | 9378 | 32029 |
| 1976 | 16613 | 29011 | 37512 | 26544 | 25317 | 15000 | 5208 | 3596 | 15703 |
| 1977 | 4485 | 44512 | 13396 | 17176 | 12209 | 9924 | 5534 | 1360 | 4150 |
| 1978 | 10170 | 40320 | 27079 | 13308 | 10685 | 5356 | 4270 | 3638 | 3324 |
| 1979 | 5919 | 50071 | 19161 | 19969 | 9349 | 8422 | 5443 | 4423 | 4090 |
| 1980 | 2856 | 40058 | 64946 | 25140 | 22126 | 7748 | 6946 | 4344 | 5334 |
| 1981 | 1620 | 22265 | 41794 | 31460 | 12812 | 12746 | 3461 | 2735 | 5220 |
| 1982 | 748 | 18136 | 17004 | 28220 | 18280 | 8121 | 4089 | 3249 | 2875 |
| 1983 | 1517 | 43688 | 49534 | 25316 | 31782 | 18320 | 6695 | 3329 | 4251 |
| 1984 | 2794 | 81481 | 28660 | 17854 | 7190 | 12836 | 5974 | 2008 | 4020 |
| 1985 | 9606 | 15143 | 67355 | 12756 | 11241 | 7638 | 9185 | 7587 | 2168 |
| 1986 | 918 | 27110 | 27818 | 66383 | 14644 | 7988 | 5696 | 5422 | 2127 |
| 1987 | 12149 | 44160 | 80213 | 41504 | 99222 | 15226 | 12639 | 6082 | 10187 |
| 1988 | 0 | 29135 | 46300 | 41008 | 23381 | 45692 | 6946 | 2482 | 1964 |
| 1989 | 2241 | 6919 | 78842 | 26149 | 21481 | 15008 | 24917 | 4213 | 3036 |
| 1990 | 878 | 24977 | 19500 | 151978 | 24362 | 20164 | 16314 | 8184 | 1130 |
| 1991 | 675 | 34437 | 27810 | 12420 | 100444 | 17921 | 14865 | 11311 | 7660 |
| 1992 | 2592 | 15519 | 42532 | 26839 | 12565 | 73307 | 8535 | 8203 | 6286 |
| 1993 | 191 | 20562 | 22666 | 41967 | 23379 | 13547 | 67265 | 7671 | 6013 |
| 1994 | 11709 | 56156 | 31225 | 16877 | 21772 | 13644 | 8597 | 31729 | 10093 |
| 1995 | 284 | 34471 | 35414 | 18617 | 19133 | 16081 | 5749 | 8585 | 14215 |
| 1996 | 4776 | 24424 | 69307 | 31128 | 9842 | 15314 | 8158 | 12463 | 6472 |
| 1997 | 7458 | 56329 | 25946 | 38742 | 14583 | 5977 | 8351 | 3418 | 4264 |
| 1998 | 7437 | 72777 | 80612 | 38326 | 30165 | 9138 | 5282 | 3434 | 2942 |
| 1999 | 2392 | 51254 | 61329 | 34901 | 10092 | 5887 | 1880 | 1086 | 949 |
| 2000 | 4101 | 34564 | 38925 | 30706 | 13345 | 2735 | 1464 | 690 | 1602 |
| 2001 | 2316 | 21717 | 21780 | 17533 | 18450 | 9953 | 1741 | 1027 | 508 |
| 2002 | 4058 | 32640 | 37749 | 18882 | 11623 | 10215 | 2747 | 1605 | 644 |
| 2003 | 1731 | 32819 | 28714 | 24189 | 9432 | 5176 | 2525 | 923 | 303 |
| 2004 | 1401 | 15122 | 32992 | 19720 | 9006 | 4924 | 1547 | 975 | 323 |
| 2005 | 209 | 28123 | 30896 | 26887 | 10774 | 5452 | 1348 | 858 | 243 |
| 2006 | 598 | 22036 | 36700 | 30581 | 21956 | 9080 | 2418 | 832 | 369 |
| 2007 | 76 | 24577 | 43958 | 23399 | 13738 | 5474 | 1825 | 231 | 131 |
| 2008 | 483 | 12265 | 19661 | 28483 | 11110 | 5989 | 2738 | 745 | 267 |
| 2009 | 202 | 12574 | 12077 | 12096 | 12574 | 5239 | 2040 | 853 | 17 |
| 2010 | 1271 | 13507 | 20127 | 6541 | 7588 | 6780 | 2563 | 661 | 189 |

Table 6.2.1.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Percentage age composition (winter rings).

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 6 | 28 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 5 |
| 1995 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 1996 | 3 | 13 | 38 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| 1997 | 5 | 34 | 16 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 1998 | 3 | 29 | 32 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 1 | 30 | 36 | 21 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | 3 | 27 | 30 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2001 | 2 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2002 | 3 | 27 | 31 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 | 2 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | 2 | 18 | 38 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2005 | 0 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 18 | 29 | 25 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 22 | 39 | 21 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1 | 15 | 24 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | 2 | 23 | 34 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 0 |

Table 6.2.2.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Sampling intensity of catches in 2010.

| ICES area | Year | Quarter | Landings (t) | No. Samples | No. aged | No. Measured | Aged/1000 t |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VIaS | 2010 | 1 | 1941 | 12 | 798 | 2612 | 0.80 |
| VIaS | 2010 | 4 | 5482 | 21 | 1208 | 4960 | 1.21 |
| Total North West |  |  | 7423 | 33 | 2006 | 7572 | 2 |

Table 6.2.2.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Length distribution of Irish catches/quarter (thousands) 2010

| Length cm | Quarter 1 <br> Vla South | Quarter 4 <br> Vla South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 21 |  |  |
| 21.5 | 4 | 7 |
| 22 | 16 | 85 |
| 22.5 | 31 | 164 |
| 23 | 27 | 381 |
| 23.5 | 31 | 742 |
| 24 | 55 | 1156 |
| 24.5 | 106 | 1820 |
| 25 | 341 | 2247 |
| 25.5 | 659 | 1977 |
| 26 | 781 | 1912 |
| 26.5 | 863 | 2371 |
| 27 | 887 | 2943 |
| 27.5 | 930 | 3751 |
| 28 | 1205 | 4027 |
| 28.5 | 1511 | 3738 |
| 29 | 1201 | 3133 |
| 29.5 | 777 | 1485 |
| 30 | 420 | 486 |
| 30.5 | 247 | 112 |
| 31 | 94 | 26 |
| 31.5 | 43 | 7 |
| 32 |  |  |
| 32.5 | 4 | 7 |
| 33 | 8 | 7 |
| 33.5 | 8 |  |
| 34 | 10250 | 32575 |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 6.3.1.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Time series of acoustic surveys since 19992007 (upper table). The 2008-2010 surveys are part of a new summer survey of the Malin Shelf stock complex (lower table).

| Winter rings | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | - | 5 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | - |
| 1 | 19 | 11 | 23 | 36 | 10 |  | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 105 | 61 | 52 | 14 | 26 | 4 | 57 | 7 | 4 |
| 3 | 33 | 49 | 6 | 24 | 30 | 62 | 94 | 87 | 60 |
| 4 | 11 | 26 | 6 | 14 | 11 | 55 | 110 | 58 | 22 |
| 5 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 80 | 101 | 28 | 12 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 47 | 57 | 16 | 6 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 21 | 5 | 2 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 5 | - |
| $9+$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | - | 13 | 1 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abundance (millions) | 171 | 160 | 98 | 111 | 83 | 274 | 485 | 203 | 105 |
| Total Biomass (t) | 23762 | 21048 | 11062 | 8867 | 10300 | 41700 | 71253 | 27770 | 14222 |
| SSB (t) | 22788 | 20500 | 9800 | 6978 | 9500 | 41300 | 66138 | 27200 | 13974 |
| CV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $49 \%$ | $44 \%$ |


| Winter rings | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}^{\boldsymbol{\wedge}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}^{\wedge}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}^{\boldsymbol{\wedge}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 416 | 17 | 525 |
| 2 | 83 | 81 | 293 | 504 |
| 3 | 65 | 11 | 85 | 133 |
| 4 | 38 | 15 | 63 | 107 |
| 5 | 22 | 8 | 43 | 103 |
| 6 | 29 | 7 | 27 | 84 |
| 7 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 58 |
| 8 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 35 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 18 |
| 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Abundance (millions) | 267 | 548 | 565 | 1567 |
| Total Biomass (t) | 44,611 | 46,460 | 82,100 | 192,979 |
| SSB (t) | 43,006 | 20,906 | 81,400 | 170,154 |
| CV | $34 \%$ | $38 \%$ | - | $24.70 \%$ |

[^3]Table 6.3.1.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Details of all acoustic surveys conducted on this stock.

| Year | Type | Biomass | SSB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | Feeding phase | - | 353,772 |
| 1995 | Feeding phase | 137,670 | 125,800 |
| 1996 | Feeding phase | 34,290 | 12,550 |
| 1997 | - | - | - |
| 1998 | - | - | - |
| 1999 | Autumn spawners | 23,762 | 22,788 |
| 2000 | Autumn spawners | 21,000 | 20,500 |
| 2001 | Autumn spawners | 11,100 | 9,800 |
| 2002 | Winter spawners | 8,900 | 7,200 |
| 2003 | Winter spawners | 10,300 | 9,500 |
| 2004 | Winter spawners | 41,700 | 41,399 |
| 2005 | Winter spawners | 71,253 | 66,138 |
| 2006 | Winter spawners | 27,770 | 27,200 |
| 2007 | Winter spawners | 14,222 | 13,974 |
| 2008 | Feeding phase | 44,611 | 43,006 |
| 2009 | Feeding Phase | 46,460 | 20,906 |
| 2010 | Feeding Phase | 192,979 | 170,154 |

Table 6.6.2.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. VPA run with a terminal F value of 0.2.

|  | Recruitment | SSB | Landings | Mean F 3-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 159900 | 27065 | 5070 | 0.2448 |
| 1958 | 304915 | 26132 | 6825 | 0.3603 |
| 1959 | 445905 | 34084 | 5226 | 0.2316 |
| 1960 | 245598 | 45857 | 5401 | 0.1076 |
| 1961 | 194472 | 46751 | 6182 | 0.1336 |
| 1962 | 270321 | 50182 | 7399 | 0.1496 |
| 1963 | 296450 | 60470 | 5059 | 0.0934 |
| 1964 | 282964 | 62668 | 6169 | 0.0983 |
| 1965 | 2307557 | 68111 | 8016 | 0.1354 |
| 1966 | 161293 | 173753 | 12215 | 0.2123 |
| 1967 | 457220 | 104421 | 18881 | 0.2496 |
| 1968 | 535394 | 144842 | 20731 | 0.1811 |
| 1969 | 346767 | 133742 | 19607 | 0.179 |
| 1970 | 401853 | 123869 | 20306 | 0.1993 |
| 1971 | 810436 | 108997 | 15044 | 0.174 |
| 1972 | 728328 | 112565 | 23474 | 0.2086 |
| 1973 | 529683 | 128810 | 36719 | 0.2905 |
| 1974 | 583378 | 90137 | 36589 | 0.4572 |
| 1975 | 402311 | 84837 | 38764 | 0.4473 |
| 1976 | 678477 | 63046 | 32767 | 0.512 |
| 1977 | 568435 | 69389 | 20567 | 0.3273 |
| 1978 | 1032366 | 69149 | 19715 | 0.2696 |
| 1979 | 956146 | 95439 | 22608 | 0.2804 |
| 1980 | 522318 | 100758 | 30124 | 0.406 |
| 1981 | 662914 | 94513 | 24922 | 0.3266 |
| 1982 | 685257 | 104692 | 19209 | 0.2358 |
| 1983 | 2264193 | 102249 | 32988 | 0.3771 |
| 1984 | 940818 | 181253 | 27450 | 0.2143 |
| 1985 | 1207174 | 183116 | 23343 | 0.1789 |
| 1986 | 931059 | 217741 | 28785 | 0.1888 |
| 1987 | 3182874 | 196244 | 48600 | 0.3583 |
| 1988 | 474037 | 291336 | 29100 | 0.2815 |
| 1989 | 708802 | 217091 | 29210 | 0.1884 |
| 1990 | 805441 | 187404 | 43969 | 0.268 |
| 1991 | 501374 | 162794 | 37700 | 0.251 |
| 1992 | 414880 | 130415 | 31856 | 0.28 |
| 1993 | 615083 | 110763 | 36763 | 0.3608 |
| 1994 | 801383 | 93030 | 33908 | 0.3668 |
| 1995 | 457012 | 78626 | 27792 | 0.4725 |
| 1996 | 830940 | 62083 | 32534 | 0.5864 |
| 1997 | 819584 | 63384 | 27225 | 0.5398 |
| 1998 | 528247 | 51980 | 38895 | 1.0447 |
| 1999 | 386070 | 44293 | 26109 | 0.7138 |
| 2000 | 439291 | 36786 | 19846 | 0.5334 |
| 2001 | 448628 | 34284 | 14756 | 0.6418 |
| 2002 | 553184 | 32958 | 17826 | 0.7087 |
| 2003 | 461853 | 38033 | 16502 | 0.6406 |
| 2004 | 488975 | 40464 | 13727 | 0.5858 |
| 2005 | 573437 | 41185 | 16231 | 0.5715 |
| 2006 | 362909 | 41231 | 19193 | 0.7902 |
| 2007 | 234273 | 36168 | 17791 | 0.5647 |
| 2008 | 472847 | 30912 | 13340 | 0.5013 |
| 2009 | 519810 | 41931 | 10468 | 0.3653 |
| 2010 | 3739085 | 49139 | 10241 | 0.2774 |
| Mean | 541828* | 92985 | 22106 | 0.3586 |

*Geometric Mean recruitment 1957-2009

Table 6.6.2.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. VPA run using a terminal F or 0.4.

| Year | Recruitment | SSB | Landings | Mean F 3-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 164914 | 27919 | 5070 | 0.2376 |
| 1958 | 314960 | 27184 | 6825 | 0.3459 |
| 1959 | 458798 | 35590 | 5226 | 0.2207 |
| 1960 | 251699 | 47791 | 5401 | 0.1027 |
| 1961 | 198999 | 48742 | 6182 | 0.1279 |
| 1962 | 275968 | 52485 | 7399 | 0.1432 |
| 1963 | 301699 | 63261 | 5059 | 0.0898 |
| 1964 | 287407 | 65273 | 6169 | 0.095 |
| 1965 | 2339280 | 70898 | 8016 | 0.1317 |
| 1966 | 163047 | 178130 | 12215 | 0.2061 |
| 1967 | 460967 | 107039 | 18881 | 0.242 |
| 1968 | 538784 | 148288 | 20731 | 0.1765 |
| 1969 | 348247 | 136716 | 19607 | 0.1751 |
| 1970 | 403439 | 113464 | 20306 | 0.1965 |
| 1971 | 814028 | 96914 | 15044 | 0.1716 |
| 1972 | 732031 | 102957 | 23474 | 0.2065 |
| 1973 | 532671 | 133671 | 36719 | 0.288 |
| 1974 | 586882 | 89334 | 36589 | 0.4527 |
| 1975 | 405601 | 96916 | 38764 | 0.4413 |
| 1976 | 684093 | 67267 | 32767 | 0.5039 |
| 1977 | 573730 | 76190 | 20567 | 0.3212 |
| 1978 | 1044225 | 71640 | 19715 | 0.265 |
| 1979 | 969039 | 103681 | 22608 | 0.2749 |
| 1980 | 529029 | 99142 | 30124 | 0.3973 |
| 1981 | 670425 | 99926 | 24922 | 0.3179 |
| 1982 | 693875 | 110461 | 19209 | 0.2295 |
| 1983 | 2290963 | 105641 | 32988 | 0.3676 |
| 1984 | 951764 | 179556 | 27450 | 0.2088 |
| 1985 | 1218518 | 184105 | 23343 | 0.1745 |
| 1986 | 938418 | 218303 | 28785 | 0.1846 |
| 1987 | 3204266 | 190250 | 48600 | 0.3506 |
| 1988 | 476606 | 295807 | 29100 | 0.2756 |
| 1989 | 711532 | 220845 | 29210 | 0.185 |
| 1990 | 807197 | 190650 | 43969 | 0.2639 |
| 1991 | 502008 | 164695 | 37700 | 0.2476 |
| 1992 | 415158 | 131673 | 31856 | 0.2776 |
| 1993 | 615417 | 113009 | 36763 | 0.3582 |
| 1994 | 801863 | 94100 | 33908 | 0.3649 |
| 1995 | 457265 | 83260 | 27792 | 0.4704 |
| 1996 | 831214 | 62106 | 32534 | 0.5849 |
| 1997 | 819740 | 63708 | 27225 | 0.5384 |
| 1998 | 527646 | 52021 | 38895 | 1.0404 |
| 1999 | 385340 | 44422 | 26109 | 0.7103 |
| 2000 | 438286 | 36853 | 19846 | 0.5325 |
| 2001 | 445535 | 34200 | 14756 | 0.6413 |
| 2002 | 545980 | 32751 | 17826 | 0.7107 |
| 2003 | 449948 | 37634 | 16502 | 0.6475 |
| 2004 | 466149 | 39554 | 13727 | 0.5969 |
| 2005 | 519939 | 39363 | 16231 | 0.5895 |
| 2006 | 300321 | 37579 | 19193 | 0.8456 |
| 2007 | 170088 | 30246 | 17791 | 0.6456 |
| 2008 | 282728 | 22584 | 13340 | 0.6461 |
| 2009 | 260624 | 24968 | 10468 | 0.5634 |
| 2010 | 1599679 | 23498 | 10241 | 0.5474 |
| Mean | 526707* | 93042 | 22106 | 0.3691 |

${ }^{*}$ Geometric mean recruitment: 1957-2009

Table 6.6.2.3. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. VPA run using a terminal F or 0.5.

|  | Year | Recruitment | SSB | Landings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Mean F3-6

* Geometric mean recruitment 1957-2009

Table 6.6.2.4. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. VPA run using a terminal F or 0.6.

| Year | Recruitment | SSB | Landings | Mean F 3-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 167833 | 28421 | 5070 | 0.2335 |
| 1958 | 320778 | 27800 | 6825 | 0.3379 |
| 1959 | 466243 | 36469 | 5226 | 0.2148 |
| 1960 | 255215 | 48920 | 5401 | 0.1001 |
| 1961 | 201604 | 49897 | 6182 | 0.1248 |
| 1962 | 279214 | 53820 | 7399 | 0.1398 |
| 1963 | 304706 | 64878 | 5059 | 0.0879 |
| 1964 | 289942 | 66776 | 6169 | 0.0932 |
| 1965 | 2357344 | 72505 | 8016 | 0.1296 |
| 1966 | 164042 | 180639 | 12215 | 0.2027 |
| 1967 | 463096 | 108538 | 18881 | 0.2379 |
| 1968 | 540721 | 150259 | 20731 | 0.1739 |
| 1969 | 349100 | 138412 | 19607 | 0.1729 |
| 1970 | 404358 | 114823 | 20306 | 0.1949 |
| 1971 | 816119 | 98059 | 15044 | 0.1703 |
| 1972 | 734177 | 104060 | 23474 | 0.2054 |
| 1973 | 534400 | 135254 | 36719 | 0.2865 |
| 1974 | 588910 | 90105 | 36589 | 0.4502 |
| 1975 | 407511 | 97829 | 38764 | 0.4379 |
| 1976 | 687352 | 67987 | 32767 | 0.4992 |
| 1977 | 576791 | 77002 | 20567 | 0.3178 |
| 1978 | 1051085 | 72436 | 19715 | 0.2623 |
| 1979 | 976447 | 104785 | 22608 | 0.2719 |
| 1980 | 532873 | 100329 | 30124 | 0.3924 |
| 1981 | 674718 | 101349 | 24922 | 0.3131 |
| 1982 | 698810 | 111972 | 19209 | 0.2261 |
| 1983 | 2306210 | 107346 | 32988 | 0.3623 |
| 1984 | 957965 | 181824 | 27450 | 0.2058 |
| 1985 | 1224938 | 186282 | 23343 | 0.1722 |
| 1986 | 942570 | 220691 | 28785 | 0.1823 |
| 1987 | 3216284 | 192559 | 48600 | 0.3464 |
| 1988 | 478050 | 298466 | 29100 | 0.2723 |
| 1989 | 713062 | 222851 | 29210 | 0.1831 |
| 1990 | 808175 | 192419 | 43969 | 0.2616 |
| 1991 | 502365 | 166028 | 37700 | 0.2457 |
| 1992 | 415315 | 132742 | 31856 | 0.2762 |
| 1993 | 615612 | 113899 | 36763 | 0.3569 |
| 1994 | 802146 | 94805 | 33908 | 0.3638 |
| 1995 | 457419 | 83545 | 27792 | 0.4692 |
| 1996 | 831407 | 62277 | 32534 | 0.584 |
| 1997 | 819915 | 63834 | 27225 | 0.5376 |
| 1998 | 527446 | 52087 | 38895 | 1.0379 |
| 1999 | 385090 | 44461 | 26109 | 0.7082 |
| 2000 | 437947 | 36886 | 19846 | 0.5319 |
| 2001 | 444506 | 34201 | 14756 | 0.6405 |
| 2002 | 543598 | 32702 | 17826 | 0.7109 |
| 2003 | 446060 | 37486 | 16502 | 0.6499 |
| 2004 | 458992 | 39251 | 13727 | 0.6007 |
| 2005 | 502883 | 38794 | 16231 | 0.5957 |
| 2006 | 279603 | 36392 | 19193 | 0.8657 |
| 2007 | 148446 | 28316 | 17791 | 0.6771 |
| 2008 | 219485 | 19832 | 13340 | 0.7135 |
| 2009 | 178467 | 19344 | 10468 | 0.6859 |
| 2010 | 977891 | 15031 | 10241 | 0.8139 |
| Mean | 520069* | 93661 | 22106 | 0.3764 |

* Geometric mean recruitment 1957-2009

Table 6.6.2.5 Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Matrix of residuals from the 0.2 VPA

| Years | 1957/58 | 1958/59 | 1959/60 | 1960/61 | 1961/62 | 1962/63 | 1963/64 | 1964/65 | 1965/66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2 | -1.247 | -0.287 | 0.926 | 1.601 | 3.213 | 0.522 | 0.415 | 0.711 | 0.488 |
| 2/3 | 0.782 | 0.064 | -0.07 | 0.665 | 1.06 | 0.129 | 0.694 | 0.335 | -0.171 |
| 3/4 | 0.914 | -0.357 | -0.579 | 0.031 | 0.617 | 0.434 | 0.498 | -0.215 | 0.436 |
| 4/5 | -0.798 | 0.031 | 0.597 | 0.151 | 0.401 | 0.488 | -0.077 | 0.602 | 0.526 |
| 5/6 | 0.276 | 0.11 | 0.598 | -0.34 | -0.209 | -0.391 | -0.297 | 0.093 | -0.082 |
| 6/7 | -0.507 | 0.07 | -0.515 | -0.204 | -0.674 | -0.537 | -0.361 | -0.676 | -1.23 |
| 7/8 | -0.542 | 0.113 | -0.122 | -0.462 | -1.514 | -0.173 | -0.497 | -0.21 | 0.472 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1966/67 | 1967/68 | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 | 1974/75 |
| 1/2 | -0.451 | -3.018 | 1.16 | 1.203 | 0.184 | 1.267 | 0.842 | 3.135 | 1.865 |
| 2/3 | -0.375 | -0.987 | 0.351 | -0.023 | 1.792 | -0.28 | 0.183 | 0.742 | 0.599 |
| 3/4 | 0.22 | -0.542 | 0.128 | -0.03 | 0.528 | 0.522 | 0.322 | 0.231 | 0.155 |
| 4/5 | 0.273 | 0.539 | 0.282 | -0.035 | -0.082 | 0.228 | -0.216 | -0.067 | 0.241 |
| 5/6 | 0.201 | 0.263 | -0.099 | 0.051 | -0.596 | 0.028 | -0.247 | -0.311 | -0.019 |
| 6/7 | -0.194 | 0.561 | -0.056 | 0.023 | -0.816 | -0.128 | -0.267 | -0.216 | -0.344 |
| 7/8 | -0.078 | 0.469 | -0.721 | -0.105 | -0.845 | -0.495 | 0.141 | -0.692 | -0.818 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1975/76 | 1976/77 | 1977/78 | 1978/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 | 1982/83 | 1983/84 |
| 1/2 | 3.089 | 2.837 | 1.915 | 2.712 | 2.697 | 1.979 | 1.677 | 0.724 | -0.337 |
| 2/3 | 0.602 | 0.668 | 0.734 | 1.188 | 0.467 | 0.11 | 0.512 | -0.084 | 0.212 |
| 3/4 | -0.2 | 0.029 | -0.338 | 0.184 | -0.122 | 0.287 | 0.077 | -0.04 | 0.238 |
| 4/5 | -0.219 | -0.192 | -0.044 | 0.069 | -0.125 | 0.056 | 0.068 | 0.077 | 0.307 |
| 5/6 | -0.054 | -0.182 | 0.185 | -0.158 | 0.048 | -0.19 | -0.128 | 0.082 | -0.161 |
| 6/7 | -0.009 | -0.361 | -0.02 | -0.632 | -0.16 | -0.163 | 0.33 | 0.069 | -0.18 |
| 7/8 | -0.428 | -0.245 | -0.708 | -0.921 | -0.377 | -0.297 | -1.026 | -0.175 | -0.38 |
| TOT | 0 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/88 | 1988/89 | 1989/90 | 1990/91 | 1991/92 | 1992/93 |
| 1/2 | 2.537 | 3.346 | 1.092 | 2.963 | -2.526 | 2.202 | 0.627 | 1.194 | 2.532 |
| 2/3 | 0.598 | -0.042 | 0.026 | -0.064 | -0.537 | -0.266 | 0.336 | 0.266 | 0.352 |
| 3/4 | 0.693 | 0.06 | 0.166 | 0.087 | 0.497 | -0.427 | 0.338 | -0.043 | 0.176 |
| 4/5 | 0.208 | -0.228 | 0.012 | -0.176 | 0.428 | 0.152 | 0.143 | -0.247 | 0.135 |
| 5/6 | -0.409 | 0.159 | 0.272 | -0.088 | 0.126 | 0.042 | -0.073 | -0.029 | -0.192 |
| 6/7 | -0.233 | -0.107 | -0.355 | -0.308 | 0.07 | -0.318 | -0.295 | 0.179 | -0.244 |
| 7/8 | -1.111 | -0.177 | -0.23 | 0.253 | -0.331 | 0.597 | -0.51 | -0.245 | -0.481 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1993/94 | 1994/95 | 1995/96 | 1996/97 | 1997/98 | 1998/99 | 1999/00 | 2000/** | 2001/** |
| 1/2 | -1.361 | 3.211 | 0.252 | 1.812 | 2.5 | 1.996 | 1.293 | 2.625 | 1.859 |
| 2/3 | 0.016 | 0.862 | 0.08 | 0.257 | 0.377 | 0.031 | 0.26 | 0.808 | -0.023 |
| 3/4 | 0.137 | 0.326 | 0.292 | 0.235 | -0.367 | -0.096 | -0.02 | 0.496 | 0.006 |
| 4/5 | 0.318 | -0.497 | 0.605 | 0.186 | 0.018 | 0.091 | 0.001 | -0.009 | 0.047 |
| 5/6 | 0.075 | -0.194 | 0.056 | -0.231 | 0.059 | 0.172 | 0.173 | -0.375 | 0.07 |
| 6/7 | -0.228 | 0.147 | 0.303 | -0.349 | -0.49 | -0.137 | 0.017 | -0.44 | 0.549 |
| 7/8 | -0.18 | -0.965 | -1.361 | -0.279 | 0.154 | -0.261 | -0.56 | -0.743 | -0.836 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| Years | 2002/** | 2003/** | 2004/** | $2005 /^{* *}$ | $2006 / * *$ | $2007 / * *$ | $2008 / * *$ | $2009 / * *$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ | 2.028 | 1.931 | 1.343 | -0.028 | 0.224 | -0.919 | 0.718 | -0.096 |
| $2 / 3$ | 0.266 | 0.149 | -0.304 | 0.383 | -0.73 | 0.458 | 0.099 | -0.222 |
| $3 / 4$ | -0.109 | -0.124 | -0.018 | -0.007 | -0.289 | 0.031 | -0.036 | 0.295 |
| $4 / 5$ | -0.103 | 0.268 | 0.175 | -0.042 | -0.187 | 0.132 | 0.107 | -0.016 |
| $5 / 6$ | -0.159 | -0.224 | -0.07 | -0.23 | 0.227 | 0.073 | -0.09 | 0.023 |
| $6 / 7$ | 0.196 | 0.103 | 0.502 | 0.2 | 0.201 | -0.292 | 0.005 | -0.103 |
| $7 / 8$ | -0.294 | -0.364 | -0.419 | -0.302 | 0.756 | -0.309 | -0.157 | 0.033 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6.6.2.6 Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Matrix of residuals from the 0.4 VPA

| Years | 1957/58 | 1958/59 | 1959/60 | 1960/61 | 1961/62 | 1962/63 | 1963/64 | 1964/65 | 1965/66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2 | -1.354 | -0.396 | 0.822 | 1.501 | 3.113 | 0.422 | 0.319 | 0.615 | 0.389 |
| 2/3 | 0.728 | 0.006 | -0.124 | 0.615 | 1.009 | 0.078 | 0.646 | 0.287 | -0.219 |
| 3/4 | 0.902 | -0.372 | -0.594 | 0.018 | 0.604 | 0.42 | 0.485 | -0.227 | 0.423 |
| 4/5 | -0.787 | 0.042 | 0.605 | 0.159 | 0.409 | 0.495 | -0.071 | 0.608 | 0.533 |
| 5/6 | 0.306 | 0.142 | 0.628 | -0.313 | -0.183 | -0.365 | -0.272 | 0.119 | -0.056 |
| 6/7 | -0.471 | 0.109 | -0.477 | -0.168 | -0.638 | -0.5 | -0.326 | -0.641 | -1.195 |
| 7/8 | -0.541 | 0.114 | -0.118 | -0.458 | -1.511 | -0.169 | -0.493 | -0.206 | 0.475 |
| TOT | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1966/67 | 1967/68 | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 | 1974/75 |
| 1/2 | -0.552 | -3.119 | 1.059 | 1.103 | 0.085 | 1.168 | 0.741 | 3.032 | 1.759 |
| 2/3 | -0.426 | -1.039 | 0.3 | -0.074 | 1.742 | -0.329 | 0.132 | 0.688 | 0.542 |
| 3/4 | 0.207 | -0.555 | 0.115 | -0.043 | 0.515 | 0.51 | 0.309 | 0.219 | 0.142 |
| 4/5 | 0.281 | 0.547 | 0.29 | -0.026 | -0.074 | 0.236 | -0.206 | -0.055 | 0.254 |
| 5/6 | 0.229 | 0.291 | -0.071 | 0.079 | -0.568 | 0.054 | -0.219 | -0.28 | 0.014 |
| 6/7 | -0.159 | 0.597 | -0.021 | 0.058 | -0.781 | -0.094 | -0.232 | -0.182 | -0.308 |
| 7/8 | -0.076 | 0.472 | -0.719 | -0.103 | -0.842 | -0.493 | 0.143 | -0.692 | -0.82 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1975/76 | 1976/77 | 1977/78 | 1978/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 | 1982/83 | 1983/84 |
| 1/2 | 2.98 | 2.728 | 1.811 | 2.609 | 2.592 | 1.873 | 1.574 | 0.619 | -0.442 |
| 2/3 | 0.544 | 0.607 | 0.679 | 1.135 | 0.413 | 0.054 | 0.458 | -0.137 | 0.156 |
| 3/4 | -0.213 | 0.013 | -0.352 | 0.171 | -0.135 | 0.273 | 0.064 | -0.052 | 0.223 |
| 4/5 | -0.205 | -0.178 | -0.033 | 0.079 | -0.113 | 0.068 | 0.078 | 0.088 | 0.317 |
| 5/6 | -0.019 | -0.146 | 0.216 | -0.128 | 0.078 | -0.158 | -0.098 | 0.112 | -0.13 |
| 6/7 | 0.026 | -0.322 | 0.016 | -0.596 | -0.125 | -0.126 | 0.366 | 0.103 | -0.142 |
| 7/8 | -0.431 | -0.246 | -0.707 | -0.92 | -0.376 | -0.297 | -1.024 | -0.175 | -0.378 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/88 | 1988/89 | 1989/90 | 1990/91 | 1991/92 | 1992/93 |
| 1/2 | 2.437 | 3.247 | 0.989 | 2.861 | -2.626 | 2.101 | 0.526 | 1.094 | 2.43 |
| 2/3 | 0.548 | -0.092 | -0.025 | -0.118 | -0.588 | -0.317 | 0.284 | 0.214 | 0.3 |
| 3/4 | 0.68 | 0.047 | 0.154 | 0.072 | 0.484 | -0.44 | 0.325 | -0.056 | 0.164 |
| 4/5 | 0.216 | -0.22 | 0.022 | -0.166 | 0.436 | 0.161 | 0.152 | -0.238 | 0.146 |
| 5/6 | -0.381 | 0.186 | 0.3 | -0.058 | 0.154 | 0.07 | -0.044 | 0 | -0.163 |
| 6/7 | -0.198 | -0.071 | -0.321 | -0.27 | 0.106 | -0.283 | -0.26 | 0.214 | -0.21 |
| 7/8 | -1.108 | -0.174 | -0.228 | 0.255 | -0.329 | 0.599 | -0.509 | -0.244 | -0.48 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1993/94 | 1994/95 | 1995/96 | 1996/97 | 1997/98 | 1998/99 | 1999/** | 2000/** | 2001/** |
| 1/2 | -1.463 | 3.109 | 0.148 | 1.708 | 2.391 | 1.886 | 1.188 | 2.522 | 1.756 |
| 2/3 | -0.038 | 0.809 | 0.026 | 0.201 | 0.319 | -0.034 | 0.2 | 0.752 | -0.079 |
| 3/4 | 0.124 | 0.313 | 0.28 | 0.222 | -0.378 | -0.111 | -0.035 | 0.483 | -0.006 |
| 4/5 | 0.329 | -0.486 | 0.618 | 0.2 | 0.036 | 0.111 | 0.017 | 0.005 | 0.062 |
| 5/6 | 0.106 | -0.163 | 0.087 | -0.197 | 0.094 | 0.215 | 0.209 | -0.342 | 0.103 |
| 6/7 | -0.193 | 0.182 | 0.336 | -0.314 | -0.458 | -0.099 | 0.054 | -0.405 | 0.583 |
| 7/8 | -0.18 | -0.965 | -1.362 | -0.282 | 0.149 | -0.269 | -0.564 | -0.745 | -0.838 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| Years | $2002 /^{* *}$ | $2003 /^{* *}$ | $2004 / * *$ | $2005 / * *$ | $2006 / * *$ | $2007 / * *$ | $2008 / * *$ | $2009 /^{* *}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ | 1.927 | 1.835 | 1.253 | -0.103 | 0.183 | -0.925 | 0.76 | -0.009 |
| $2 / 3$ | 0.209 | 0.096 | -0.353 | 0.342 | -0.755 | 0.454 | 0.124 | -0.174 |
| $3 / 4$ | -0.122 | -0.137 | -0.029 | -0.016 | -0.294 | 0.03 | -0.03 | 0.306 |
| $4 / 5$ | -0.089 | 0.28 | 0.187 | -0.031 | -0.18 | 0.133 | 0.101 | -0.029 |
| $5 / 6$ | -0.124 | -0.192 | -0.042 | -0.207 | 0.244 | 0.074 | -0.104 | -0.007 |
| $6 / 7$ | 0.23 | 0.136 | 0.532 | 0.224 | 0.215 | -0.29 | -0.009 | -0.132 |
| $7 / 8$ | -0.298 | -0.367 | -0.42 | -0.301 | 0.752 | -0.308 | -0.158 | 0.037 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6.6.2.7 Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Matrix of residuals from the 0.5 VPA

| Years | 1957/58 | 1958/59 | 1959/60 | 1960/61 | 1961/62 | 1962/63 | 1963/64 | 1964/65 | 1965/66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2 | -1.388 | -0.43 | 0.789 | 1.47 | 3.081 | 0.391 | 0.288 | 0.584 | 0.358 |
| 2/3 | 0.712 | -0.011 | -0.14 | 0.6 | 0.994 | 0.063 | 0.632 | 0.272 | -0.234 |
| 3/4 | 0.898 | -0.377 | -0.598 | 0.013 | 0.6 | 0.416 | 0.481 | -0.231 | 0.42 |
| 4/5 | -0.784 | 0.045 | 0.608 | 0.16 | 0.411 | 0.496 | -0.069 | 0.61 | 0.535 |
| 5/6 | 0.315 | 0.152 | 0.637 | -0.305 | -0.175 | -0.357 | -0.265 | 0.126 | -0.048 |
| 6/7 | -0.46 | 0.121 | -0.465 | -0.157 | -0.627 | -0.489 | -0.315 | -0.63 | -1.184 |
| 7/8 | -0.541 | 0.114 | -0.118 | -0.457 | -1.51 | -0.167 | -0.492 | -0.204 | 0.476 |
| TOT | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1966/67 | 1967/68 | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 | 1974/75 |
| 1/2 | -0.583 | -3.151 | 1.028 | 1.071 | 0.054 | 1.137 | 0.71 | 2.999 | 1.725 |
| 2/3 | -0.441 | -1.054 | 0.285 | -0.089 | 1.727 | -0.344 | 0.116 | 0.672 | 0.525 |
| 3/4 | 0.203 | -0.559 | 0.111 | -0.047 | 0.511 | 0.506 | 0.306 | 0.215 | 0.138 |
| 4/5 | 0.284 | 0.549 | 0.292 | -0.024 | -0.072 | 0.238 | -0.204 | -0.052 | 0.257 |
| 5/6 | 0.237 | 0.3 | -0.063 | 0.087 | -0.56 | 0.062 | -0.21 | -0.271 | 0.024 |
| 6/7 | -0.148 | 0.608 | -0.009 | 0.069 | -0.769 | -0.084 | -0.221 | -0.171 | -0.297 |
| 7/8 | -0.075 | 0.472 | -0.718 | -0.102 | -0.841 | -0.492 | 0.143 | -0.692 | -0.82 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1975/76 | 1976/77 | 1977/78 | 1978/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 | 1982/83 | 1983/84 |
| 1/2 | 2.946 | 2.694 | 1.778 | 2.576 | 2.559 | 1.84 | 1.542 | 0.585 | -0.475 |
| 2/3 | 0.526 | 0.589 | 0.663 | 1.119 | 0.396 | 0.037 | 0.442 | -0.153 | 0.139 |
| 3/4 | -0.217 | 0.009 | -0.356 | 0.167 | -0.139 | 0.268 | 0.059 | -0.056 | 0.218 |
| 4/5 | -0.201 | -0.175 | -0.031 | 0.082 | -0.11 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.091 | 0.32 |
| 5/6 | -0.009 | -0.136 | 0.226 | -0.12 | 0.088 | -0.149 | -0.089 | 0.121 | -0.121 |
| 6/7 | 0.037 | -0.31 | 0.028 | -0.585 | -0.114 | -0.114 | 0.378 | 0.114 | -0.13 |
| 7/8 | -0.431 | -0.247 | -0.707 | -0.92 | -0.376 | -0.296 | -1.024 | -0.175 | -0.378 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/88 | 1988/89 | 1989/90 | 1990/91 | 1991/92 | 1992/93 |
| 1/2 | 2.405 | 3.216 | 0.957 | 2.828 | -2.657 | 2.069 | 0.494 | 1.062 | 2.398 |
| 2/3 | 0.532 | -0.107 | -0.04 | -0.135 | -0.603 | -0.332 | 0.268 | 0.199 | 0.285 |
| 3/4 | 0.676 | 0.043 | 0.15 | 0.068 | 0.48 | -0.443 | 0.321 | -0.06 | 0.16 |
| 4/5 | 0.218 | -0.218 | 0.025 | -0.164 | 0.438 | 0.163 | 0.155 | -0.235 | 0.148 |
| 5/6 | -0.373 | 0.194 | 0.309 | -0.049 | 0.162 | 0.079 | -0.036 | 0.008 | -0.154 |
| 6/7 | -0.186 | -0.06 | -0.31 | -0.259 | 0.117 | -0.272 | -0.248 | 0.225 | -0.199 |
| 7/8 | -1.107 | -0.173 | -0.228 | 0.255 | -0.328 | 0.6 | -0.508 | -0.243 | -0.48 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1993/94 | 1994/95 | 1995/96 | 1996/97 | 1997/98 | 1998/99 | 1999/** | 2000/** | 2001/** |
| 1/2 | -1.495 | 3.077 | 0.116 | 1.675 | 2.356 | 1.851 | 1.154 | 2.489 | 1.723 |
| 2/3 | -0.054 | 0.793 | 0.01 | 0.183 | 0.301 | -0.053 | 0.182 | 0.735 | -0.095 |
| 3/4 | 0.12 | 0.309 | 0.277 | 0.218 | -0.382 | -0.116 | -0.039 | 0.479 | -0.01 |
| 4/5 | 0.332 | -0.483 | 0.622 | 0.204 | 0.041 | 0.116 | 0.021 | 0.009 | 0.066 |
| 5/6 | 0.115 | -0.154 | 0.096 | -0.187 | 0.105 | 0.228 | 0.22 | -0.332 | 0.113 |
| 6/7 | -0.182 | 0.193 | 0.347 | -0.303 | -0.448 | -0.087 | 0.066 | -0.394 | 0.593 |
| 7/8 | -0.18 | -0.965 | -1.363 | -0.283 | 0.148 | -0.272 | -0.565 | -0.746 | -0.839 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| Years | $2002 /^{* *}$ | $2003 / * *$ | $2004 / * *$ | $2005 / * *$ | $2006 / * *$ | $2007 / * *$ | $2008 / * *$ | $2009 / * *$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ | 1.895 | 1.804 | 1.223 | -0.13 | 0.164 | -0.932 | 0.772 | 0.034 |
| $2 / 3$ | 0.192 | 0.08 | -0.368 | 0.328 | -0.765 | 0.449 | 0.13 | -0.151 |
| $3 / 4$ | -0.126 | -0.141 | -0.033 | -0.019 | -0.297 | 0.029 | -0.028 | 0.311 |
| $4 / 5$ | -0.084 | 0.284 | 0.19 | -0.027 | -0.177 | 0.134 | 0.1 | -0.035 |
| $5 / 6$ | -0.113 | -0.182 | -0.033 | -0.199 | 0.251 | 0.077 | -0.107 | -0.021 |
| $6 / 7$ | 0.242 | 0.147 | 0.542 | 0.232 | 0.221 | -0.287 | -0.013 | -0.146 |
| $7 / 8$ | -0.299 | -0.367 | -0.42 | -0.302 | 0.75 | -0.309 | -0.158 | 0.039 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6.6.2.8 Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Matrix of residuals from the 0.6 VPA

| Years | 1957/58 | 1958/59 | 1959/60 | 1960/61 | 1961/62 | 1962/63 | 1963/64 | 1964/65 | 1965/66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/2 | -1.415 | -0.458 | 0.763 | 1.444 | 3.056 | 0.366 | 0.264 | 0.559 | 0.333 |
| 2/3 | 0.699 | -0.024 | -0.153 | 0.588 | 0.983 | 0.051 | 0.62 | 0.261 | -0.245 |
| 3/4 | 0.895 | -0.38 | -0.602 | 0.01 | 0.597 | 0.412 | 0.478 | -0.234 | 0.417 |
| 4/5 | -0.782 | 0.047 | 0.609 | 0.162 | 0.412 | 0.498 | -0.068 | 0.611 | 0.536 |
| 5/6 | 0.322 | 0.159 | 0.643 | -0.299 | -0.168 | -0.351 | -0.259 | 0.132 | -0.042 |
| 6/7 | -0.451 | 0.131 | -0.455 | -0.148 | -0.618 | -0.479 | -0.306 | -0.621 | -1.175 |
| 7/8 | -0.54 | 0.114 | -0.117 | -0.457 | -1.509 | -0.167 | -0.491 | -0.204 | 0.477 |
| TOT | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1966/67 | 1967/68 | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1970/71 | 1971/72 | 1972/73 | 1973/74 | 1974/75 |
| 1/2 | -0.609 | -3.177 | 1.002 | 1.045 | 0.029 | 1.112 | 0.684 | 2.973 | 1.699 |
| 2/3 | -0.453 | -1.067 | 0.273 | -0.101 | 1.715 | -0.356 | 0.104 | 0.66 | 0.512 |
| 3/4 | 0.2 | -0.563 | 0.108 | -0.05 | 0.508 | 0.503 | 0.303 | 0.212 | 0.135 |
| 4/5 | 0.285 | 0.551 | 0.294 | -0.023 | -0.07 | 0.24 | -0.202 | -0.049 | 0.26 |
| 5/6 | 0.243 | 0.306 | -0.057 | 0.094 | -0.553 | 0.069 | -0.204 | -0.264 | 0.031 |
| 6/7 | -0.139 | 0.618 | 0 | 0.079 | -0.76 | -0.075 | -0.212 | -0.163 | -0.288 |
| 7/8 | -0.074 | 0.473 | -0.717 | -0.102 | -0.841 | -0.492 | 0.143 | -0.692 | -0.821 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1975/76 | 1976/77 | 1977/78 | 1978/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 | 1982/83 | 1983/84 |
| 1/2 | 2.918 | 2.667 | 1.751 | 2.55 | 2.532 | 1.813 | 1.516 | 0.559 | -0.501 |
| 2/3 | 0.512 | 0.575 | 0.65 | 1.106 | 0.384 | 0.023 | 0.43 | -0.166 | 0.126 |
| 3/4 | -0.22 | 0.005 | -0.359 | 0.164 | -0.142 | 0.265 | 0.056 | -0.059 | 0.215 |
| 4/5 | -0.198 | -0.172 | -0.028 | 0.084 | -0.108 | 0.073 | 0.082 | 0.093 | 0.321 |
| 5/6 | -0.001 | -0.127 | 0.233 | -0.113 | 0.095 | -0.141 | -0.083 | 0.128 | -0.113 |
| 6/7 | 0.047 | -0.3 | 0.038 | -0.575 | -0.105 | -0.104 | 0.387 | 0.123 | -0.12 |
| 7/8 | -0.432 | -0.247 | -0.707 | -0.92 | -0.376 | -0.296 | -1.023 | -0.174 | -0.378 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1984/85 | 1985/86 | 1986/87 | 1987/88 | 1988/89 | 1989/90 | 1990/91 | 1991/92 | 1992/93 |
| 1/2 | 2.38 | 3.19 | 0.931 | 2.802 | -2.682 | 2.044 | 0.469 | 1.037 | 2.373 |
| 2/3 | 0.521 | -0.119 | -0.052 | -0.147 | -0.615 | -0.344 | 0.256 | 0.187 | 0.272 |
| 3/4 | 0.673 | 0.04 | 0.147 | 0.065 | 0.476 | -0.446 | 0.318 | -0.063 | 0.157 |
| 4/5 | 0.22 | -0.217 | 0.027 | -0.162 | 0.44 | 0.165 | 0.156 | -0.234 | 0.15 |
| 5/6 | -0.367 | 0.2 | 0.315 | -0.042 | 0.169 | 0.085 | -0.029 | 0.015 | -0.147 |
| 6/7 | -0.177 | -0.051 | -0.301 | -0.249 | 0.126 | -0.263 | -0.239 | 0.234 | -0.19 |
| 7/8 | -1.106 | -0.172 | -0.228 | 0.256 | -0.328 | 0.6 | -0.508 | -0.243 | -0.479 |
| TOT | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years | 1993/94 | 1994/95 | 1995/96 | 1996/97 | 1997/98 | 1998/99 | 1999/** | 2000/** | 2001/** |
| 1/2 | -1.521 | 3.052 | 0.09 | 1.648 | 2.328 | 1.824 | 1.128 | 2.463 | 1.696 |
| 2/3 | -0.067 | 0.781 | -0.002 | 0.17 | 0.287 | -0.069 | 0.168 | 0.722 | -0.109 |
| 3/4 | 0.117 | 0.306 | 0.274 | 0.215 | -0.385 | -0.12 | -0.043 | 0.476 | -0.013 |
| 4/5 | 0.334 | -0.481 | 0.624 | 0.207 | 0.045 | 0.12 | 0.024 | 0.012 | 0.069 |
| 5/6 | 0.122 | -0.147 | 0.104 | -0.179 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.229 | -0.324 | 0.121 |
| 6/7 | -0.173 | 0.202 | 0.355 | -0.294 | -0.439 | -0.077 | 0.076 | -0.385 | 0.602 |
| 7/8 | -0.18 | -0.965 | -1.363 | -0.284 | 0.146 | -0.274 | -0.567 | -0.746 | -0.84 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |


| Years | $2002 /^{* *}$ | $2003 / * *$ | $2004 / * *$ | $2005 / * *$ | $2006 / * *$ | $2007 / * *$ | $2008 / * *$ | $2009 / * *$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ | 1.869 | 1.779 | 1.199 | -0.153 | 0.148 | -0.941 | 0.78 | 0.076 |
| $2 / 3$ | 0.178 | 0.067 | -0.38 | 0.316 | -0.774 | 0.445 | 0.134 | -0.13 |
| $3 / 4$ | -0.129 | -0.144 | -0.036 | -0.021 | -0.299 | 0.028 | -0.027 | 0.316 |
| $4 / 5$ | -0.081 | 0.286 | 0.192 | -0.025 | -0.174 | 0.135 | 0.099 | -0.04 |
| $5 / 6$ | -0.105 | -0.175 | -0.026 | -0.192 | 0.257 | 0.08 | -0.109 | -0.035 |
| $6 / 7$ | 0.251 | 0.156 | 0.551 | 0.239 | 0.227 | -0.284 | -0.016 | -0.16 |
| $7 / 8$ | -0.3 | -0.368 | -0.421 | -0.302 | 0.748 | -0.309 | -0.159 | 0.042 |
| TOT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTS | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6.7.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Inputs to exploratory deterministic short term forecasts.

| Run | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GM Rec. | 498777 | 497867 | 496772 | 493727 |
| F (1 ring) | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| M 1 ring | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 ring 2011 | 183294 | 182862 | 182420 | 181260 |
| F-at-age |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 2 | 0.153 | 0.206 | 0.225 | 0.242 |
| 3 | 0.345 | 0.445 | 0.482 | 0.514 |
| 4 | 0.477 | 0.592 | 0.633 | 0.668 |
| 5 | 0.567 | 0.703 | 0.751 | 0.793 |
| 6 | 0.657 | 0.818 | 0.876 | 0.926 |
| 7 | 0.588 | 0.754 | 0.816 | 0.869 |
| 8 | 0.357 | 0.457 | 0.493 | 0.524 |
| 9 | 0.357 | 0.457 | 0.493 | 0.524 |
| Interim catch (t) | 7757 | 7757 | 7757 | 7757 |
| Biomass (t) | 117319 | 90251 | 84833 | 80947 |
| SSB (t) | 59719 | 35147 | 30313 | 27043 |
| F Multiplier | 0.347 | 0.537 | 0.611 | 0.674 |
| F Bar (3-6) | 0.177 | 0.344 | 0.419 | 0.489 |

Table 6.7.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Results of exploratory short term deterministic forecasts, based on four separable VPA runs.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | Biomass | SSB | Run | SSB | FMult | FBar | Landings | Biomass | SSB |
| 0.2 | 75864 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 148415 | 93895 | 0.5 | 46598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117777 | 65424 |
|  | 73959 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 2879 | 145443 | 88671 |  | 45314 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 2018 | 115734 | 61538 |
|  | 72111 | 0.2 | 0.10 | 5637 | 142600 | 83823 |  | 44073 | 0.2 | 0.14 | 3941 | 113791 | 57966 |
|  | 70317 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 8279 | 139881 | 79322 |  | 42968 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 5632 | 112086 | 54933 |
|  | 68577 | 0.4 | 0.20 | 10810 | 137278 | 75140 |  | 42873 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 5776 | 111941 | 54680 |
|  | 67070 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 12975 | 135056 | 71666 |  | 42114 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 6923 | 110787 | 52685 |
|  | 66887 | 0.5 | 0.26 | 13237 | 134788 | 71252 |  | 40590 | 0.5 | 0.34 | 9197 | 108504 | 48862 |
|  | 65247 | 0.6 | 0.31 | 15563 | 132403 | 67635 |  | 39504 | 0.6 | 0.41 | 10793 | 106907 | 46285 |
|  | 63654 | 0.7 | 0.36 | 17794 | 130120 | 64269 |  | 38452 | 0.7 | 0.48 | 12317 | 105385 | 43903 |
|  | 62108 | 0.8 | 0.41 | 19934 | 127934 | 61133 |  | 37435 | 0.8 | 0.55 | 13774 | 103934 | 41699 |
|  | 60607 | 0.9 | 0.46 | 21988 | 125839 | 58210 |  | 36451 | 0.9 | 0.62 | 15166 | 102551 | 39658 |
|  | 59149 | 1 | 0.51 | 23958 | 123833 | 55483 |  | 35497 | 1 | 0.69 | 16498 | 101231 | 37765 |
|  | 57734 | 1.1 | 0.56 | 25849 | 121910 | 52938 |  | 34574 | 1.1 | 0.75 | 17773 | 99971 | 36007 |
|  | 56359 | 1.2 | 0.61 | 27665 | 120067 | 50561 |  | 33680 | 1.2 | 0.82 | 18992 | 98768 | 34373 |
|  | 55023 | 1.3 | 0.67 | 29409 | 118300 | 48339 |  | 32814 | 1.3 | 0.89 | 20160 | 97620 | 32852 |
|  | 53725 | 1.4 | 0.72 | 31084 | 116605 | 46261 |  | 31976 | 1.4 | 0.96 | 21279 | 96523 | 31434 |
|  | 52465 | 1.5 | 0.77 | 32693 | 114980 | 44315 |  | 31163 | 1.5 | 1.03 | 22351 | 95474 | 30112 |
|  | 46675 | 2 | 1.02 | 39855 | 107787 | 36260 |  | 27458 | 2 | 1.37 | 27089 | 90878 | 24678 |


| 0.4 | 51397 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122930 | 70144 | 0.6 | 43282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113884 | 62058 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50012 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 2154 | 120738 | 66055 |  | 42064 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 1931 | 111938 | 58299 |
|  | 48673 | 0.2 | 0.13 | 4210 | 118650 | 62288 |  | 40886 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 3770 | 110088 | 54851 |
|  | 47377 | 0.3 | 0.19 | 6172 | 116661 | 58816 |  | 40018 | 0.276 | 0.20 | 5110 | 108743 | 52420 |
|  | 47211 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 6421 | 116409 | 58385 |  | 39748 | 0.3 | 0.22 | 5523 | 108329 | 51684 |
|  | 46233 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 7881 | 114932 | 55890 |  | 39249 | 0.345 | 0.25 | 6285 | 107566 | 50344 |
|  | 44908 | 0.5 | 0.320 | 9836 | 112959 | 52652 |  | 37585 | 0.5 | 0.36 | 8788 | 105065 | 46091 |
|  | 43733 | 0.6 | 0.38 | 11546 | 111236 | 49914 |  | 36557 | 0.6 | 0.44 | 10309 | 103550 | 43619 |
|  | 42594 | 0.7 | 0.45 | 13181 | 109592 | 47380 |  | 35563 | 0.7 | 0.51 | 11761 | 102108 | 41338 |
|  | 41492 | 0.8 | 0.51 | 14745 | 108024 | 45032 |  | 34601 | 0.8 | 0.58 | 13148 | 100734 | 39231 |
|  | 40425 | 0.9 | 0.58 | 16241 | 106527 | 42854 |  | 33670 | 0.9 | 0.65 | 14473 | 99425 | 37281 |
|  | 39391 | 1 | 0.64 | 17673 | 105098 | 40832 |  | 32770 | 1 | 0.73 | 15740 | 98177 | 35475 |
|  | 38389 | 1.1 | 0.70 | 19044 | 103733 | 38952 |  | 31898 | 1.1 | 0.80 | 16951 | 96987 | 33800 |
|  | 37418 | 1.2 | 0.77 | 20356 | 102429 | 37202 |  | 31055 | 1.2 | 0.87 | 18109 | 95852 | 32244 |
|  | 36477 | 1.3 | 0.83 | 21614 | 101182 | 35572 |  | 30238 | 1.3 | 0.94 | 19218 | 94768 | 30798 |
|  | 35566 | 1.4 | 0.90 | 22820 | 99991 | 34052 |  | 29447 | 1.4 | 1.02 | 20279 | 93734 | 29452 |
|  | 34682 | 1.5 | 0.96 | 23976 | 98851 | 32633 |  | 28681 | 1.5 | 1.09 | 21295 | 92747 | 28197 |
|  | 30647 | 2 | 1.28 | 29091 | 93844 | 26788 |  | 25195 | 2 | 1.45 | 25780 | 88427 | 23050 |



Figure 6.1.2.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Working group estimate of catches from 1957-2010.


Figure 6.1.3.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Herring landings by statistical rectangle in VIaS and VIIb,c in 2010.


Figure 6.1.4.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Main spawning grounds, and changes in recent fishing pattern. Fishing in recent years has been on or near the spawning grounds.


Figure 6.2.1.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Mean standardised catch numbers at age standardised by year for the fishery.


Figure 6.2.2.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Length Frequency of herring samples.


Figure 6.3.1.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Survey track for acoustic survey conducted in July 2010 as part of the Malin Shelf stock survey. The green track represents the RV Celtic Explorer track and the blue track shows the interlacing transects with the Scottish vessel.


Figure 6.3.1.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Total NASC (nautical area scattering coefficient) for herring in acoustic survey conducted in July 2010. The red marks represent definitely herring and the green marks represent herring in a mix.


Figure 6.3.1.3. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Age profiles from the acoustic surveys that were carried out in VIaS and VIIb in 2008, 2009 and 2010.



Figure 6.3.1.4. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Proportions at age in the catch and survey data 2008-2010.


Figure 6.4.1.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Mean Weights in the Catch (kg) by age in winter rings.


Figure 6.4.1.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Mean weights in the stock (kg) by age in winter rings



Figure 6.5.1.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Irish Groundfish survey length frequency data 2009 (upper graph) and 2010 (lower graph).


Figure 6.6.2.1. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Four separable VPA runs using values of $0.2,0.4,0.5$ and 0.6 for terminal $F$. The extended times series from 1957-2010 ( 0.2 ext, 0.4 ext, 0,5ext and 0.6 ext$)$ and the shorter time series 1970-2010 $(0.2,0.4,0.5,0.6)$ are presented.


Figure 6.6.2.2. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Results of the exploratory assessment showing the four separable VPAs with the time series 1957-2010.


Figure 6.6.2.3. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Residuals from the four separable VPA runs using terminal $F$ values of $0.2,0.4,0.5$ and 0.6 . Black indicates positive residuals and white indicates negative.
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Figure 6.6.2.4. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Retrospective assessment using F=0.2


Figure 6.6.2.5. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Retrospective assessment using F=0.4.
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Figure 6.6.2.6. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Retrospective assessment using F=0.5


Figure 6.6.2.7. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Retrospective assessment using F=0.6.


Figure 6.6.2.8. Herring in Divisions VIa(S) and VIIb,c. Recruitment over time, for each separable VPA run, using initial terminal $F$ from 0.2 to 0.6 .

## 7 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea)

This is an exploratory assessment, SALY status.

### 7.1 The Fishery

### 7.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2010 and 2011

In 2010 a status quo TAC of 4800 t was adopted and partitioned as 3550 t to the UK and 1250 t to the Republic of Ireland. In 2010 ACOM advised no increase in catch with a TAC of $<4800 \mathrm{t}$. A TAC of 5280 t was subsequently adopted for 2011, partitioned as 3906 t to the UK and 1374 t to the Republic of Ireland.

### 7.1.2 The fishery in 2010

The catches reported from each country for the period 1987 to 2010 are given in Table 7.1.1, and total catches from 1961 to 2010 in Figure 7.1.1. Reported international landings in 2010 for the Irish Sea amounted to 4894 t with UK vessels acquiring extra quota through swaps with the Republic of Ireland. The majority of catches in 2010 were taken during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter.

The 2010 VIIa(N) herring fishery opened in August, with the majority of catches taken during August, September and October by a pair of UK pair trawlers. September and October saw activity of the Mourne fishery, limited to boats under 40ft. This was the $6^{\text {th }}$ year of recorded landings for this fishery. In 201016 vessels recorded landings of $\sim 129 \mathrm{t}$, taken during the months of September and October.

### 7.1.3 Regulations and their effects

Closed areas for herring fishing in the Irish Sea along the east coast of Ireland and within 12 nautical miles of the west coast of Britain were maintained throughout the year. The traditional gillnet fishery on the Mourne herring, which has a derogation to fish within the Irish closed box, operated successfully again in 2010. The area to the east of the Isle of Man, encompassing the Douglas Bank spawning ground (described in ICES 2001, ACFM:10), was closed from 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ September to $15^{\text {th }}$ November. Boats from the Republic of Ireland are not permitted to fish east of the Isle of Man.

The arrangement of closed areas in Division VIIa(N) prior to 1999 are discussed in detail in ICES (1996/ACFM:10) with a change to the closed area to the east of the Isle of Man being altered in 1999 (ICES 2001/ACFM:10). The closed areas consist of: all year juvenile closures along part of the east coast of Ireland, and the west coast of Scotland, England and Wales; spawning closures along the east coast of the Isle of Man from $21^{\text {st }}$ September to $15^{\text {th }}$ November, and along the east coast of Ireland all year round. Any alterations to the present closures be considered carefully, in the context of this report, to ensure protection for all components of this stock.

### 7.1.4 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

The fishery in area VIIa(N) has not changed in recent years. A pair of UK pair trawlers takes the majority of catches during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarters. A small local fishery continues to record landings on the traditional Mourne herring grounds during the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. This fishery resumed in 2006 and has seen increasing catches of herring since, with 2006 landings of $\sim 20 \mathrm{t}, \sim 33.5 \mathrm{t}$ in 2007, $\sim 135 \mathrm{t}$ in 2008, $\sim 171 \mathrm{t}$ in 2009 and ~129 t 2010.

### 7.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

### 7.2.1 Catch in numbers

Routine sampling of the main catch component recommenced in 2010. There was no biological sampling of the main catch component (pair trawlers) in 2009 due to a failure to acquire samples from the landings. In lieu of biological sampling 2009 data were estimated (see HAWG 2010 for methods). Catches in numbers-at-age are given in Table 7.6.1 for the years 1972 to 2010 and a graphical representation is given in Figure 7.2.1. The catch in numbers at length is given in Table 7.2.2 for 1995 to 2010, excluding 2009.

### 7.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

27 samples from the main catch component were acquired in 2010, with a further 4 samples taken from the gillnet fishery operating on the Mourne ground. There are no estimates of discarding or slippage in the Irish Sea fisheries that target herring. Discarding however is not thought to be a feature of this fishery. Future monitoring in line with DCF requirements will take place. Details of sampling are given in Table 7.2.3.

### 7.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 7.3.1 Acoustic surveys AC(VIIaN)

The information on the time-series of acoustic surveys in the Irish Sea is given in Table 7.3.1. As in the last year's assessment, the SSB estimates from the survey are calculated using the (annually varying) maturity ogives from the commercial catch data.

The acoustic survey in 2010 was carried out over the period $28^{\text {nd }}$ August $-11^{\text {th }}$ September. A survey design of stratified, systematic transects was employed, as in previous years (Figure 7.3.1.A). The ratio of sprat to 0 -group herring continues to increase in favour of herring in 2010, with the biomass of sprat at its lowest level in 13 years. 0group herring were found to be most abundant around the periphery of the Irish Sea (Figure 7.3.2.B), while sprat were also found to the west of the Isle of Man (Figure 7.3.1.B). The bulk of $1+$ herring targets in 2010 were distributed to the east of the Isle of Man, in the region of the Douglas Bank spawning ground, to the north of the Isle of Man and in the North Channel (Figure 7.3.2.A). Further 1+ herring targets were found to the west of the Isle of Man and the western Northern Irish coastline. The survey followed the methods described in Armstrong et al., (ICES 2005 WD 23). Sampling intensity was high during the 2010 survey with 46 successful trawls completed. The length frequencies generated from these trawls highlights the spatial heterogeneous nature of herring age groups in the Irish Sea (Figure 7.3.3)

The estimate of herring SSB of 99877 t for 2010 is the highest in the time series (Table 7.3.1). The biomass estimate of 131849 t for $1+$ ringers is also the highest in the time series and continues the increasing trend observed in recent years. The agedisaggregated acoustic estimates of the herring abundance, excluding 0 -ring fish, are given in Table 7.3.2.

Results of a microstructure analysis of 1-ringer fish were presented Beggs et al., (HAWG 2008, WD08). The study shows that "winter" spawners, of which the majority are thought to be of Celtic Sea origin, are present in the pre-spawning aggregations sampled in the Irish Sea during the acoustic survey. The presence of these "winter" spawners has implications for the estimates of 1-ringer+ biomass and SSB,
as well as confounding traditional cohort type assessment methods, such as ICA. However, removal of the "winter" spawning component, leaving just the "autumn" spawning component, from the 2006 to 2009 acoustic biomass estimates does not change the perception of a significant increase in 1-ringer+ biomass and SSB estimates (Figures 7.3.4-7.3.5).

### 7.3.2 Extended acoustic surveys

A series of additional acoustic surveys was conducted since 2007 by Northern Ireland, following the annual pelagic acoustic survey (conducted during the beginning of September). The results of the first three years of the survey series were presented by Schön et al. (HAWG 2010, WD11). The enhanced survey programme was initiated to investigate the temporal and spatial variability in the population estimates from the routine acoustic survey and only concentrate on the spawning grounds surrounding the Isle of Man and the Scottish coastal waters (strata 2 and 5-9, Figure 7.3.1.A). Herring found in this area represents on average $86 \%$ of the total Irish Sea SSB estimate since 2001 and $81 \%$ of 1-ringer + biomass.

The surveys were roughly timed every fortnight, except for the last survey. The density distributions from the surveys highlight the temporal and spatial complexity of the herring distributions. Problems with timing of the survey are further exacerbated by the significant interannual variation in the migration patterns, evident from the changes in density distributions. The results confirm the high estimate of abundance observed during the routine annual acoustic survey estimates from 2007 to 2009 (HAWG 2010, WD11). Biomass estimates for the first three surveys in each year were above the previously observed maximum of the time series. The expected dissipation of herring off the spawning ground is evident from the marked decline in the survey estimates in late October/November.

The extended surveys were repeated again in 2010 but results were not available to the Working Group.

### 7.3.3 Larvae surveys (NINEL)

Northern Ireland undertook a herring larvae survey (NINEL) over the period $9^{\text {th }}$ to $15^{\text {th }}$ November 2010. The survey followed the methods and designs of previous surveys in the time-series (see Stock Annex 8). The production estimate of ( $2.04 \times 10^{12}$ larvae) for 2010 in the NE Irish Sea was similar to the previous year and remains below the time-series average (Table 7.3.3). As in previous years herring larvae were found to be most abundant to the south east and north east of the Isle of Man and less abundant in the western Irish Sea. A difference in distribution pattern is, however, evident to the north of the Isle of Man with a westward expansion not routinely observed (Figure 7.3.6).

There was a continued low occurrence of larvae in the area of the traditional Mourne spawning ground, despite signs of the expansion of a spawning component in this area in recent years as evident from the fishery operating here. As such larvae would be expected in the area. The low occurrence of larvae caught during the survey may therefore suggest a timing mis-match between larvae emergence and sampling.

### 7.3.4 Groundfish surveys of Area VIIa(N) (NIGFS-WIBTS-1Q; NIGFS-WIBTS4Q)

Groundfish surveys carried out by Northern Ireland since 1991 in the Irish Sea (NIGFS-WIBTS-1Q; NIGFS-WIBTS-4Q), were used by the 1996 to 1999 HAWG to ob-
tain indices for 0 - and 1-ring herring. These indices have performed poorly in the assessment and have not been used since. The time series was updated in 2010 (Figure 7.3.7). An increasing trend is evident for the 1-ring herring index from the spring groundfish survey over the time series. The indices of the groundfish do not take account of mixing between "winter" and "autumn" spawners.

### 7.4 Mean weight, maturity and natural mortality-at-age

Biological sampling in 2010 with the mean weights-at-age in the $3_{\text {rd }}$ quarter catches (for the whole time-series 1961 to present) used as estimates of stock weights at spawning time (Table 7.3.2). As in previous years, natural mortality per year was assumed to be 1.0 on 1-ringers, 0.3 for 2-ringers, 0.2 for 3-ringers and 0.1 for all older age classes (see Stock Annex 8). Mean weights-at-age have shown a general downward trend in the last 22 years, with a further pronounced reduction observed in 2010.

### 7.5 Recruitment

An estimate of total abundance of 0-ringers and 1-ringers is provided by the Northern Ireland acoustic survey, with trends also provided by the groundfish surveys. However, there is evidence that a proportion of these are of Celtic Sea origin (Brophy and Danilowicz, 2002). Separation of the trawl catches of 0 -groups into autumn and winter spawning components, based on otolith microstructure and shape analysis was presented by Beggs et al. (HAWG 2008, WD08). It is hoped that repeating this procedure annually could result in a survey index of recruitment for the Irish Sea stock that could be used directly in the assessment. Such an index may also be of use in the Celtic Sea assessment, as it would provide an estimate of juveniles resident in the Irish Sea originating from this management area.

### 7.6 Assessment

### 7.6.1 Data exploration and preliminary modelling

## FLICA

2010 data were added to the Northern Irish larvae series (NINEL), the Northern Irish acoustic survey AC(VIIaN) (total biomass, SSB and age-structure indices) and the catch-at-age data derived from the landings. No biological sampling of the landings in 2009 meant that catch-at-age data were estimated (see HAWG 2010 for methods).

An exploratory FLICA run was conducted in 2010 with the updated survey indices; NINEL survey (SSB), acoustic survey and catch-at-age data. 2009 catch-at-age data remained downweighted (0.01) to eliminate the influence of this estimated data in the model fit. Results of the SPALY run as documented in the Stock Annex 8 are presented (Figures 7.6.1-7.6.14).

Residual patterns from the SPALY run highlighted a continued divergence in the signal from the acoustic and SSB indices (Figures 7.6.2-7.6.11). It has been observed that in recent years the abundance of larger herring larvae detected in the survey has declined. The abundance of these larger larvae has a significant influence on the SSB index. It is considered that the reduction in abundance of larger herring larvae is associated with a variation in the timing of spawning. In 2009 an exploratory FLICA run without the SSB index highlighted its effect on the catch at age residuals. A FLICA run with no SSB index was considered more reliable as an indicator of stock trends. Further investigation of the SSB index is required.

The catch residuals from the separable period (Figure 7.6.10) are generally small, however they exhibit patterns that are thought to originate from variation in the selectivity pattern originating from migration variation (HAWG 2007). Analysis of the retrospective patterns in the selectivity pattern at age and in the estimates of SSB, $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ and recruitment was undertaken (Figures 7.6.13-7.6.14). There was evidence of variation in the selectivity pattern at age and a failure of the model to converge.

With all of the above considered the SPALY run although not considered reliable for absolute values of SSB and F, however is thought to be indicative of trends.

## Two Stage Biomass

A two-stage biomass model was run with similar configurations as in previous years. A full description of this model can be found in Appendix 8. The model was run for two assumptions of recruitment variability: 0.4 and 0.8 . The fit to the acoustics estimates is shown (Figures 7.6.15-7.6.16).

The model fits the data better when recruitment is allowed to vary more freely resulting in an additional variance close to zero. The additional variance estimates appear to be negatively correlated with recruitment variability suggesting that these two parameters are confounded and cannot be both estimated. Therefore, additional information on recruitment variability is required to resolve this issue. The difficulty of estimating both parameters provides support for fixing recruitment variability based on the dynamics of well-studied herring stocks, i.e. North Sea herring.

None of the recruitment variability scenarios fit the 2007 recruitment observation a year when the incidence of "winter" spawning herring (Figure 7.3.6) in the samples was particularly high, however the model supports the increasing trend in biomass estimated by the acoustic survey.

### 7.6.2 Conclusion to explorations

The exploratory FLICA runs conducted in 2011 did not improve the perception of the suitability of FLICA as an assessment method for the Irish Sea stock. However from the exploratory runs recent trends in SSB are thought to have increased while F has decreased. There is evidence from both the groundfish and acoustic surveys of increases in recent recruitment.

2011 acoustic survey estimates suggest that SSB is at higher levels than at any other period in the 18 year time-series, while 1-ringer+ biomass is also at its highest level. Numbers-at-age in the acoustic survey suggest the strong 2005 year class (1-ringers in 2007) is still present in the survey area as 4 -ringers. The strong 2005 year class has now been tracked successfully over 5 years of the survey.

The two-stage biomass model supports the increasing trend in biomass estimated by the acoustic survey.

The acoustic estimates of population size for the last four years indicate a significant increase in herring abundance in the Irish Sea. Although the survey data are noisy, consecutive surveys indicate similar high abundance. The lack of an accepted assessment to form the basis of scientific advice is unsatisfactory, especially if management measures cannot be changed to reflect dramatic changes in stock abundances. A benchmark assessment is scheduled in 2012 for this stock.

### 7.6.3 Final assessment

No final assessment presented.

### 7.6.4 State of the stock

Trends from the September acoustic survey $\mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{VIIaN})$ and groundfish surveys indicate an increase in 1+ herring biomass in the Irish Sea since 2007. Recent catches have been close to TAC levels and the main fishing activity has not varied considerably as shown from landing data. Exploratory runs in FLICA show trends in F have decreased while SSB has increased. There is evidence from surveys of recent increases in recruitment.

### 7.7 Short term projections

### 7.7.1 Deterministic short term projections

The Working Group decided that there was no basis for undertaking short-term predictions of stock size.

### 7.7.2 Yield per recruit

The Working Group decided that there was no basis for yield-per-recruit analysis.

### 7.8 Medium term projections

The Working Group decided that there was no basis for undertaking medium-term projections of stock size.

### 7.9 Precautionary and yield based reference points

The estimation of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(9500 \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\lim }(6000 \mathrm{t})$ were not revisited this year. There are no precautionary F reference points for this stock. Fmsy advice is being developed for this stock.

### 7.10 Quality of the assessment

The exploratory FLICA runs conducted in 2011 did not improve the perception of the suitability of FLICA as an assessment method for the Irish Sea stock.

In past years the assessment for this stock has not been accepted by the WG. Both the catches and survey data are seen to contain large year residuals. From the exploratory analysis in 2007 and 2008 it can be seen that the majority of this variation may arise from the inter-annual variation in herring migration patterns and their effect on the selectivity of both the fishery and acoustic survey (HAWG 2008).

Again, in 2011, HAWG only received the acoustic data for the last year, towards the end of the meeting. Insufficient time was available to explore the data. This is the only instance of late arrival of data for any of the stocks dealt with by the group, and frustrates any attempts to explore model settings or alternative assessment methods. Given that a benchmark will be conducted in 2012, Irish Sea will be scheduled as "Update" for 2012, it is essential that these data are provided to WKBENCH, and through WGIPS well in advance of the HAWG. If the data arrive during the HAWG meeting there will be insufficient time to include them in the update assessment, and they will be excluded.

### 7.11 Management considerations

Given the historical landings from this stock and the knowledge that fishing pressure is light and mostly confined to one pair of UK vessels it can be assumed that fishing
pressure and activity has not varied considerably in recent years. The catches have been close to TAC levels and the main fishing activity has not varied considerably as shown from landing data (Figure 7.1.1).

In the absence of an accepted analytical assessment, the maintenance of catch levels at current TAC levels of 4800 t , in the short-term, is considered precautionary.

In lieu of a current age based assessment method the use of a survey based approach should be considered. The Working Group recommends that a management plan should be developed for this stock. Such a plan should be developed with stakeholders and forwarded to ICES for evaluation.

### 7.12 Ecosystem Considerations

No additional information presented (see stock annex 8).

Table 7.1.1 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Working Group catch estimates in tonnes by country, 1987-2010. The total catch does not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ireland | 1200 | 2579 | 1430 | 1699 | 80 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK | 3290 | 7593 | 3532 | 4613 | 4318 | 4864 | 4408 | 4828 | 5076 |
| Unallocated | 1333 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 5823 | 10172 | 4962 | 6312 | 4398 | 5270 | 4408 | 4828 | 5076 |
| Country | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Ireland | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 862 | 286 | 0 | 749 |
| UK | 5180 | 6651 | 4905 | 4127 | 2002 | 4599 | 2107 | 2399 | 1782 |
| Unallocated | 22 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Total | 5302 | 6651 | 4905 | 4127 | 2002 | 5461 | 2393 | 2399 | 2531 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |  |
| Ireland | 1153 | 581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| UK | 3234 | 3821 | 4629 | 4895 | 4594 | 4894 |  |  |  |
| Unallocated | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Total | 4387 | 4402 | 4629 | 4895 | 4594 | 4894 |  |  |  |

Table 7.2.2 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Catch at length data 1995-2010. Numbers of fish in thousands. Table amended with 1990-1994 year-classes removed (see Annex 8).

| Length (cm) | $1995$ | 1996 |  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 007 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.5 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 93 |
| 16 | 21 | 21 | 17 |  | 19 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 107 |
| 16.5 | 55 | 51 | 94 |  | 53 | 49 | 27 |  |  | 13 | 1 | 44 | 33 | 1 |  | 487 |
| 17 | 139 | 127 | 281 | 26 | 97 | 67 | 53 |  |  | 25 | 39 | 140 | 69 | 3 | - | 764 |
| 17.5 | 148 | 200 | 525 | 30 | 82 | 97 | 105 |  |  | 84 | 117 | 211 | 286 | 11 |  | 1155 |
| 18 | 300 | 173 | 1022 | 123 | 145 | 115 | 229 |  |  | 102 | 291 | 586 | 852 | 34 |  | 1574 |
| 18.5 | 280 | 415 | 1066 | 206 | 135 | 134 | 240 | 36 |  | 114 | 521 | 726 | 2088 | 64 | - | 1405 |
| 19 | 310 | 554 | 1720 | 317 | 234 | 164 | 385 | 18 |  | 203 | 758 | 895 | 2979 | 85 | - | 866 |
| 19.5 | 305 | 652 | 1263 | 277 | 82 | 97 | 439 | 0 | 29 | 269 | 933 | 1246 | 3527 | 108 |  | 673 |
| 20 | 326 | 749 | 1366 | 427 | 218 | 109 | 523 | 0 | 73 | 368 | 943 | 984 | 3516 | 100 | - | 787 |
| 20.5 | 404 | 867 | 1029 | 297 | 242 | 85 | 608 | 18 | 215 | 444 | 923 | 1443 | 2852 | 133 | - | 888 |
| 21 | 468 | 886 | 1510 | 522 | 449 | 115 | 1086 | 307 | 272 | 862 | 1256 | 1521 | 3451 | 192 | - | 1470 |
| 21.5 | 782 | 1258 | 1192 | 549 | 362 | 138 | 1201 | 433 | 290 | 1007 | 1380 | 1621 | 2929 | 217 | - | 1758 |
| 22 | 1509 | 1530 | 2607 | 1354 | 1261 | 289 | 1748 | 1750 | 463 | 1495 | 1361 | 2748 | 3821 | 271 | - | 2363 |
| 22.5 | 2541 | 2190 | 2482 | 1099 | 2305 | 418 | 1763 | 1949 | 600 | 2140 | 1448 | 3629 | 3503 | 229 | - | 3362 |
| 23 | 4198 | 2362 | 3508 | 2493 | 4784 | 607 | 2670 | 2490 | 1158 | 2089 | 1035 | 4358 | 4196 | 322 | - | 4530 |
| 23.5 | 4547 | 2917 | 3902 | 2041 | 4183 | 951 | 2254 | 1552 | 1380 | 2214 | 1256 | 2920 | 3697 | 264 | - | 5232 |
| 24 | 4416 | 3649 | 4714 | 3695 | 4165 | 1436 | 3489 | 1029 | 1273 | 2054 | 1276 | 3679 | 3178 | 259 | - | 4559 |
| 24.5 | 3391 | 4077 | 4138 | 2769 | 3397 | 1783 | 4098 | 758 | 1249 | 2269 | 1083 | 2431 | 2136 | 204 | - | 3616 |
| 25 | 3100 | 4015 | 5031 | 2625 | 2620 | 2144 | 5566 | 776 | 1163 | 1749 | 1086 | 3438 | 1503 | 148 | - | 3083 |
| 25.5 | 2358 | 3668 | 3971 | 2797 | 1817 | 1791 | 4785 | 1335 | 1211 | 1206 | 584 | 2198 | 952 | 114 | - | 2582 |
| 26 | 2334 | 2480 | 3871 | 3115 | 1694 | 1349 | 3814 | 1570 | 1140 | 823 | 438 | 1714 | 643 | 78 | - | 1777 |
| 26.5 | 1807 | 2177 | 2455 | 2641 | 1547 | 840 | 2243 | 1552 | 1573 | 587 | 203 | 605 | 330 | 42 | - | 950 |
| 27 | 1622 | 1949 | 1711 | 2992 | 1475 | 616 | 1489 | 776 | 1607 | 510 | 165 | 445 | 147 | 23 | - | 460 |
| 27.5 | 990 | 1267 | 1131 | 1747 | 867 | 479 | 644 | 433 | 1189 | 383 | 60 | 155 | 72 | 10 | - | 216 |
| 28 | 834 | 906 | 638 | 1235 | 276 | 212 | 496 | 162 | 726 | 198 | 45 | 104 | 33 | 12 | - | 9 |
| 28.5 | 123 | 564 | 440 | 170 | 169 | 58 | 179 | 108 | 569 | 51 | 18 | 9 | 26 | 1 | - |  |
| 29 | 248 | 210 | 280 | 111 | 61 | 42 | 10 | 36 | 163 |  | 12 | 46 |  |  | - | 9 |
| 29.5 | 56 | 79 | 59 | 92 |  | 12 | 0 | 36 | 129 |  |  |  | 7 |  | - |  |
| 30 | 40 | 32 | 8 | 84 |  | 6 | 9 |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 30.5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 31 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 31.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 33.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

Table 7.2.3 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Sampling intensity of commercial landings in 2010.

| Quarter | Country | Landings (t) | No. <br> samples | No. fish <br> measured | No. fish <br> aged |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | $*$ | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | $*$ | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 3628 | 21 | 2190 | 1018 |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | $*$ | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 1266 | 10 | 1925 | 499 |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | $*$ | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |

[^4]Table 7.3.1 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Summary of acoustic survey AC(VIIaN) information for the period 1989-2010. Small clupeoids include sprat and 0-ring herring unless otherwise stated. CVs are approximate. Biomass in t . All surveys carried out at $\mathbf{3 8 k H z}$ except December 1996, which was at 120 kHz .

| Year | Area | Dates | herring <br> biomass <br> (1+years) | CV | herring <br> biomass <br> (SSB) | CV | small <br> clupeoids <br> (biomass |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CV |  |  |

[^5]Table 7.3.2 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Age-disaggregated acoustic estimates (thousands) of herring abundance from the Northern Ireland surveys in September AC(VIIaN).

| AGE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (RINGS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 66.8 | 68.3 | 73.5 | 11.9 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 10.1 |
| 1995 | 319.1 | 82.3 | 11.9 | 29.2 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.9 |
| 1996 | 11.3 | 42.4 | 67.5 | 9 | 26.5 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
| 1997 | 134.1 | 50 | 14.8 | 11 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| 1998 | 110.4 | 27.3 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| 1999 | 157.8 | 77.7 | 34 | 5.1 | 10.3 | 13.5 | 1.6 | 6.3 |
| 2000 | 78.5 | 103.4 | 105.3 | 27.5 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
| 2001 | 387.6 | 93.4 | 10.1 | 17.5 | 7.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| 2002 | 391 | 71.9 | 31.7 | 24.8 | 31.3 | 14.8 | 2.8 | 4.5 |
| 2003 | 349.2 | 220 | 32 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 2004 | 241 | 115.5 | 29.6 | 15.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 2005 | 94.3 | 109.9 | 97.1 | 17 | 8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.8 |
| 2006 | 374.7 | 96.6 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2007 | 1316.7 | 251.3 | 46.6 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 2008 | 475.7 | 452.4 | 114.2 | 39.1 | 26.4 | 17.1 | 4.3 | 0.6 |
| 2009 | 371.2 | 182.6 | 177.8 | 92.7 | 32.5 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 6.9 |
| 2010 | 580.6 | 561.2 | 117.7 | 120.8 | 34.3 | 16.8 | 4.3 | 6.5 |

Table 7.3.3 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Larval production (10 ${ }^{11}$ ) indices for the Manx component. Table amended with Douglas Bank time series removed (see Stock Annex 8).

| Year | Northeast Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | Isle of Man <br> Production | NINEL <br> Date | Northern Ireland |  |
|  |  |  |  | Production | CV |
| 1992 | 20 Nov | 128.9 | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 22 Nov | 1.1 | 17 Nov | 38.3 | 0.48 |
| 1994 | 24 Nov | 12.5 | 16 Nov | 71.2 | 0.12 |
| 1995 | - | - | 28 Nov | 15.1 | 0.62 |
| 1996 | 26 Nov | 0.3 | 19 Nov | 4.7 | 0.30 |
| 1997 | 1 Dec | 35.9 | 4 Nov | 29.1 | 0.11 |
| 1998 | 1 Dec | 3.5 | 3 Nov | 5.8 | 1.02 |
| 1999 | - | - | 9 Nov | 16.7 | 0.57 |
| 2000 | - | - | 11 Nov | 35.5 | 0.12 |
| 2001 | 11 Dec | 198.6 | 7 Nov | 55.3 | 0.55 |
| 2002 | 6 Dec | 19.8 | 4 Nov | 31.5 | 0.47 |
| 2003 | - | - | 9 Nov | 15.8 | 0.58 |
| 2004 | - | - | 30 Oct | 22.7 | 0.48 |
| 2005 | - | - | 6 Nov | 26.4 | 0.57 |
| 2006 | - | - | 6 Nov | 43.8 | 0.70 |
| 2007 | - | - | 6 Nov | 12.6 | 0.67 |
| 2008 | - | - | 6 Nov | 16.8 | 0.98 |
| 2009 | - | - | 8 Nov | 16.9 | 0.89 |
| 2010 | - | - | 9 Nov | 20.4 | 0.88 |

TABLE 7.6.1-7.6.12 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Input data, FLICA run settings and results for the maximum-likelihood ICA calculation for the 8 year separable period. N.B. In these tables "age" refers to number of rings (winter rings in the otolith).


# tABLE 7.6.2 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE CATCH 

```
Units : Kg
    year
```



```
    1 0.082 0.067 0.067 0.078 0.065 0.092 0.093 0.091 0.074 0.101 0.108 0.074
    2 0.123 0.125 0.131 0.129 0.132 0.140 0.149 0.153 0.152 0.162 0.158 0.155
    3 0.178 0.152 0.184 0.156 0.176 0.185 0.180 0.196 0.204 0.206 0.189 0.195
    4 0.198 0.177 0.208 0.171 0.192 0.218 0.199 0.231 0.231}00.225 0.214 0.219
    5 0.232 0.199 0.228 0.226 0.210 0.258}0.223 0.246 0.254 0.245 0.225 0.232
    6 0.226 0.214 0.234 0.240 0.230}0.253 0.243 0.269 0.266 0.251 0.266 0. 251
    7 0.253 0.275 0.266 0.000 0.272 0.225 0.227 0.234 0.239 0.269 0.241 0.258
    8 0.248 0.251 0.258 0.296 0.265 0.264 0.275 0.264 0.270 0.258 0.241 0.278
    year
age 1971973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    1 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.076
    2 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.142
    3 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.187
    4 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.219 0.213
    5 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.221
    6 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.243
    7 0.258 0.258}0.2580.258 0.258 0. 258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.240
    8 0.278 0.278 0.278 0.278 0.278 0.278 0.278 0.278}00.278\mp@code{0.278 0.278 0.273
        year
age 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996
    1 0.087 0.068 0.058 0.070 0.081 0.096 0.073 0.062 0.089 0.070 0.075 0.067
    2 0.125 0.143 0.130 0.124 0.128 0.140 0.123 0.114 0.127 0.123 0.121 0.116
    3 0.157 0.167 0.160 0.160 0.155 0.166 0.155 0.140}00.157 0.153 0.146 0.148
    4 0.186 0.188 0.175 0.170 0.174 0.175 0.171 0.155 0.171 0.170 0.164 0.162
    5 0.202 0.215 0.194 0.180 0.184 0.187 0.181 0.165 0.182 0.180 0.176 0.177
    6 0.209 0.228 0.210 0.198 0.195 0.195 0.190 0.174 0.191 0.189 0.181 0.199
    7 0.222 0.239 0.218 0.212 0.205 0.207 0.198 0.181 0.198 0.202 0.193 0.200
    8 0.258 0.254 0.229 0.232 0.218 0.218 0.217 0.197 0.212 0.212 0.207 0.214
    year
age 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008
    1 0.064 0.080 0.069 0.064 0.067 0.085 0.081 0.073 0.067 0.064 0.067 0.071
    2 0.118 0.123 0.120 0.120 0.106 0.113 0.116 0.107 0.103 0.105 0.112 0.110
    3 0.146 0.148 0.145 0.148 0.139 0.144 0.136 0.130 0.136 0.131 0.135 0.135
    4 0.165 0.163 0.167 0.168 0.156 0.167 0.160 0.157 0.156 0.149 0.158 0.153
    5 0.176 0.181 0.176 0.188 0.168 0.180 0.167 0.165 0.166 0.164 0.173 0.156
    6 0.188 0.177 0.188 0.204 0.185 0.184 0.172 0.187 0.180}0.1770.177 0.183 0.182
    7 0.204 0.188 0.190 0.200 0.198 0.191 0.186 0.200 0.191 0.184 0.199 0.196
    8 0.216 0.222 0.210 0.213 0.205 0.217 0.199 0.205 0.209 0.211 0.227 0.206
        year
age 2009 2010
    1 0.068 0.053
    2 0.107 0.106
    3 0.133 0.131
    40.155 0.145
    50.165 0.153
    6 0.182 0.164
    70.194 0.175
    8 0.212 0.172
```

TABLE 7.6.3 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). WEIGHTS AT AGE IN THE STOCK

```
Units : Kg
    year
age 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972
    10.082 0.067 0.067 0.078 0.065 0.092 0.093 0.091 0.074 0.101 0.108 0.074
```





```
    5 0.232 0.199 0.228 0.226 0.210}0.2.258 0.223 0.246 0.254 0.245 0.225 0.232
    6 0.226 0.214 0.234 0.240 0.230 0.253 0.243 0.269 0.266 0.251 0.266 0.251
    7 0.253 0.275 0.266 0.000 0.272 0.225 0.227 0.234 0.239 0.269 0.241 0.258
    8}00.248 0.251 0.258 0.296 0.265 0.264 0.275 0.264 0.270 0.258 0.241 0.278
        year
age 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    1 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.076
    2 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.155 0.142
    30.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.195 0.187
```



```
    50.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.232 0.221
    6 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251 0.251}0.25\mp@code{0.251}0.251 0.251 0.251 0.243
    70.258}0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.240
```



```
        year
age 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 19 1990
    1 0.087 0.068 0.058 0.070 0.081 0.077 0.070 0.061 0.088 0.073 0.072 0.067
    2 0.125 0.143 0.130 0.124 0.128 0.135 0.121 0.111 0.126 0.126 0.120 0.115
    30.157 0.167 0.160 0.160 0.155 0.163 0.153 0.136 0.157 0.154 0.147 0.148
    4 0.186 0.188 0.175 0.170 0.174 0.175 0.167 0.151 0.171 0.174 0.168 0.162
```





```
    8 0.258 0.254 0.229 0.232 0.218}0.217 0.214 0.191 0.214 0.214 0.212 0.212
        year
age 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008
    1 0.063 0.073 0.068 0.063 0.066 0.085 0.081 0.067 0.067 0.064 0.073 0.071
    2 0.119 0.121 0.121 0.120 0.105 0.113 0.116 0.114 0.103 0.105 0.114 0.110
```



```
    4 0.167 0.166 0.168 0.171 0.156 0.167 0.160}0.16.161 0.156 0.149 0.158 0.153
```



```
    6 0.189 0.190 0.189 0.204 0.183 0.184 0.172 0.192 0.180 0.177 0.183 0.182
    7 0.206 0.200 0.199 0.205 0.199 0.191 0.186 0.202 0.191 0.184 0.199 0.196
```



```
        year
age 2009 2010
    10.068 0.060
    2 0.109 0.118
    30.137 0.134
    40.155 0.147
    50.166 0.153
    60.183 0.165
    70.194 0.176
    8 0.213 0.173
```

TABLE 7.6.4 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). NATURAL MORTALITY

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975
```




```
    3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 
    4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1
    5 0.1 0.1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 
    6 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1
    7 0.1 0.1 0.1 1
```



```
        year
age 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990
    111.0
    2}00.
```




```
    5}00.
```



```
    7 0.1 0.1 0.1 1
```



```
    year
age 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
```



```
    2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 3
```



```
    4 0.1 0.1 0.1 1
    5
    6}00.
```




```
        year
age 200620072008 20092010
```



```
    2
    3
    4
    5
    6
    7
    8
```


## TABLE 7.6.5 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). PROPORTION MATURE

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975
    1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.15 0.11 0.12 0.36 0.40
    2 0.22 0.24 0.34 0.53 0.61 0.47 0.37 0.88 0.71 0.92 0.87 0.88 0.77 0.99 0.99
    3 0.63 0.83 0.88 0.81 0.90 0.91 0.75 0.94 0.92 0.94 0.97 0.90 0.89 0.96 1.00
    4 1.00 0.92 0.89 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.83 0.94 0.94 0.96 0.98 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.94
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
        year
age 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990
    1 0.07 0.03 0.04 0.00 0.20 0.19 0.10 0.02 0.00 0.14 0.31 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.06
    2 0.96 0.92 0.81 0.84 0.88 0.89 0.80 0.73 0.69 0.62 0.73 0.85 0.90 0.63 0.66
    3 0.98 0.96 0.88 0.81 0.95 0.90 0.89 0.88 0.83 0.71 0.66 0.91 0.96 0.93 0.90
    4 1.00 1.00 0.91 0.78 0.95 0.94 0.91 0.90 0.93 0.88 0.81 0.87 0.99 0.95 0.95
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
        year
age 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 0.04 0.28 0.00 0.19 0.10 0.02 0.04 0.30 0.02 0.14 0.15 0.02 0.11 0.11 0.20
    2 0.30 0.48 0.46 0.68 0.86 0.60 0.82 0.83 0.84 0.79 0.54 0.92 0.76 1.00 0.97
```



```
    4 0.82 0.81 1.00 0.97 0.99 0.83 1.00 0.99 0.97 1.00 0.97 0.98 0.97 1.00 1.00
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
        year
age 2006 20072008 20092010
    1 0.19 0.16 0.16 0.13 0.11
    2 0.89 0.94 0.84 0.82 0.92
    31.00 0.98 1.00 0.97 1.00
    4 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.98 0.98
    5 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.97
    6 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    7 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
    8 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
```


## TABLE 7.6.6 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). FRACTION OF HARVEST BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975
```



```
    2 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9
```



```
    4 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9
    5
```



```
    70.0.9
```



```
    year
age 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990
    10.0.9
    2
    3 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9
    4 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9
    5
    6}00.9[\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}{6.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}
    70.0.9
```



```
        year
age 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
```





```
    4}00.9[\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}{4}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}
    5 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9
    6}00.9[\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}&{0.9}
```




```
        year
age 200620072008 20092010
```



```
    2
    3
```



```
    5
    6
```




## TABLE 7.6.7 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). FRACTION OF NATURAL MORTALITY BEFORE SPAWNING

```
Units : NA
    year
age 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975
    1 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    2 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    3
    4 0.75 0.750.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.755
    5
    6 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    7 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    8 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
        year
age 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990
    1 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    2 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    3 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    4 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    5 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.70.75 0.75 0.75
    6
    7 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    8 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
        year
age 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    2 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    3 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    4 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    5 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    6 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll
    8}00.7
        year
age 2006 20072008 20092010
    1 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    2 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    3}00.750.75 0.75 0.75 0.7
    4 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    5 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    6
    7 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
    8 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75
```

TABLE 7.6.8 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SURVEY INDICES

```
NINEL - Configuration
"FLT04:" "Combined" "larvae" "(Catch:" "Unknown)" "(Effort:" "Unknown)"
min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf
Index type : biomass
NINEL - Index Values
Units : NA
            year
age 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
    all -11 -11 -11 -11 38.3 71.2 15.1 4.7 29.1 5.8 16.7 35.5 55.3 31.5
            year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    all 15.8 22.7 26.4 43.8 12.6 16.8 16.9 20.4
NINEL - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)
Units : NA
            year
age 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
    all 1 1 1 1 1 1 
            year
age 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    all 
```

FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys (age disaggregated) (Catch: Thousands)
(Effort: Unknown) - Configuration
"Irish Sea herring (Division VIIa) (run name: ICAMDC20) . Imported from VPA
file."
min max plusgroup minyear maxyear startf endf

Index type : number

FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys (age disaggregated) (Catch: Thousands) (Effort: Unknown) - Index Values

Units : NA
year

| age | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 66830 | 319116 | 11340 | 134146 | 110438 | 157756 | 78524 | 387559 | 390982 | 349216 | 241014 |
| 2 | 68290 | 82256 | 42372 | 49977 | 27312 | 77722 | 103439 | 93402 | 71935 | 220014 | 115529 |
| 3 | 73529 | 11935 | 67473 | 14812 | 8083 | 34017 | 105291 | 10194 | 31701 | 31984 | 29593 |
| 4 | 11860 | 29246 | 8954 | 10985 | 9266 | 5108 | 27543 | 17489 | 24804 | 4735 | 15398 |
| 5 | 9299 | 4574 | 26469 | 1751 | 6479 | 10260 | 8072 | 7704 | 31277 | 3921 | 2067 |
| 6 | 7550 | 3500 | 4171 | 4553 | 1778 | 13521 | 5432 | 1372 | 14830 | 4089 | 2299 |
| 7 | 3867 | 4887 | 5911 | 571 | 2254 | 1586 | 4899 | 626 | 2756 | 977 | 238 |
| 8 | 10118 | 6894 | 5815 | 1910 | 780 | 6289 | 2359 | 2263 | 4461 | 906 | 240 |

        year
    age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
1943303747311316673475675371230580602
$2109938 \quad 96623 \quad 251276452364182643561245$
$3 \quad 97111 \quad 15625 \quad 46570114210177813117699$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}4 & 17023 & 9982 & 21101 & 39076 & 92741 & 120777\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}5 & 8029 & 530 & 20818 & 26370 & 32490 & 34325\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}6 & 810 & 369 & 1200 & 17063 & 15071 & 16759\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}7 & 607 & 478 & 718 & 4254 & 13940 & 4336\end{array}$

FLT01: Northern Ireland acoustic surveys (age disaggregated) (Catch: Thousands) (Effort: Unknown) - Index Variance (Inverse Weights)


TABLE 7.6.9 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). STOCK OBJECT CONFIGURATION

| min | max plusgroup | minyear | maxyear | minfbar | maxfbar |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 8 | 8 | 1961 | 2010 | 2 | 6 |

TABLE 7.6.10 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). FLICA CONFIGURATION SETTINGS

```
sep.2 : NA
sep.gradual : TRUE
sr : FALSE
sr.age : 1
lambda.age : 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0
lambda.yr : 1 1 1 1 0.01 1
lambda.sr : 0.01
index.model : linear linear
index.cor : -92559631349317830736220086604086468264682600488620284042662244 0
sep.nyr : 6
sep.age : 4
sep.sel : 1
```

TABLE 7.6.11 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS
$R$ version 2.8.1 (2008-12-22)
Package : FLICA
Version : 1.4-12
Packaged : 2009-10-08 15:16:26 UTC; mpa
Built : R 2.9.1; ; 2009-10-08 15:16:27 UTC; windows
Package : FLAssess
Version : 1.99-102
Packaged : Mon Mar 23 08:18:19 2009; mpa
Built : R 2.8.0; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-03-23 08:18:21; windows
Package : FLCore
Version : 2.2
Packaged : Tue May 19 19:23:18 2009; Administrator
Built : R 2.8.1; i386-pc-mingw32; 2009-05-19 19:23:22; windows

## TABLE 7.6.12 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). STOCK SUMMARY

| Year | Recruitment | TSB | SSB | Fbar | Landings | Landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 1 |  |  | (Ages 2-6) |  | SOP |
|  |  |  |  | f | Tonnes |  |
| 1961 | 67559 | 19666 | 5700 | 0.4676 | 5710 | 1.0002 |
| 1962 | 53462 | 12826 | 3381 | 0.5958 | 4343 | 0.9995 |
| 1963 | 130271 | 16298 | 2658 | 0.7282 | 3947 | 0.9992 |
| 1964 | 228981 | 26303 | 2723 | 0.6170 | 3593 | 1.0004 |
| 1965 | 124567 | 23921 | 5597 | 0.8122 | 5923 | 1.0021 |
| 1966 | 373283 | 50237 | 6498 | 0.4322 | 5666 | 1.0003 |
| 1967 | 360259 | 62713 | 9309 | 0.3522 | 8721 | 1.0023 |
| 1968 | 568489 | 91479 | 23956 | 0.2602 | 8660 | 0.9995 |
| 1969 | 379074 | 89071 | 32546 | 0.3004 | 14141 | 1.0004 |
| 1970 | 484293 | 115554 | 38070 | 0.4662 | 20622 | 0.9997 |
| 1971 | 499310 | 119945 | 36238 | 0.4886 | 26807 | 0.9994 |
| 1972 | 414813 | 94433 | 34732 | 0.5152 | 27350 | 0.8928 |
| 1973 | 667927 | 107649 | 32179 | 0.4546 | 22600 | 0.9927 |
| 1974 | 349430 | 93126 | 29413 | 0.8638 | 38640 | 1.0043 |
| 1975 | 369357 | 69354 | 21600 | 0.8106 | 24500 | 0.9747 |
| 1976 | 263290 | 54733 | 13659 | 0.9378 | 21250 | 1.0073 |
| 1977 | 324831 | 49535 | 9320 | 0.9164 | 15410 | 1.0484 |
| 1978 | 248671 | 43581 | 10156 | 0.7896 | 11080 | 1.0819 |
| 1979 | 139184 | 35321 | 8605 | 0.8196 | 12338 | 1.0757 |
| 1980 | 157428 | 29042 | 6319 | 0.9928 | 10613 | 1.0308 |
| 1981 | 223863 | 30690 | 8784 | 0.4652 | 4377 | 1.0999 |
| 1982 | 231879 | 38273 | 12513 | 0.3060 | 4855 | 1.0166 |
| 1983 | 232651 | 44616 | 16593 | 0.1756 | 3933 | 1.0165 |
| 1984 | 131707 | 43365 | 20939 | 0.1546 | 4066 | 1.0392 |
| 1985 | 148802 | 42403 | 15734 | 0.3818 | 9187 | 0.9802 |
| 1986 | 171166 | 39486 | 17011 | 0.3278 | 7440 | 1.0238 |
| 1987 | 275725 | 41549 | 16420 | 0.2650 | 5823 | 0.9632 |
| 1988 | 113260 | 37746 | 16514 | 0.5118 | 10172 | 0.9505 |
| 1989 | 149490 | 34638 | 14078 | 0.2814 | 4949 | 0.9966 |
| 1990 | 117269 | 31424 | 12680 | 0.3686 | 6312 | 0.9872 |
| 1991 | 69165 | 23355 | 9428 | 0.2886 | 4398 | 0.9994 |
| 1992 | 199305 | 25868 | 8210 | 0.4180 | 5270 | 0.9890 |
| 1993 | 64051 | 23707 | 9076 | 0.3132 | 4409 | 0.9869 |
| 1994 | 198166 | 29368 | 9753 | 0.4008 | 4828 | 0.9757 |
| 1995 | 128604 | 26484 | 10252 | 0.3450 | 5076 | 1.0007 |
| 1996 | 114486 | 23701 | 8427 | 0.3648 | 5301 | 0.9999 |
| 1997 | 121751 | 21931 | 6923 | 0.5772 | 6651 | 0.9996 |
| 1998 | 185521 | 25052 | 7255 | 0.6468 | 4905 | 0.9951 |
| 1999 | 62299 | 17960 | 7224 | 0.4956 | 4127 | 1.0001 |
| 2000 | 66186 | 15287 | 7827 | 0.2112 | 2002 | 0.9993 |
| 2001 | 69291 | 14826 | 4149 | 0.7422 | 5461 | 1.0004 |
| 2002 | 64754 | 12778 | 4168 | 0.4324 | 2393 | 0.9984 |
| 2003 | 144070 | 18580 | 4036 | 0.5812 | 2399 | 1.0010 |
| 2004 | 126908 | 18382 | 6549 | 0.4016 | 2531 | 0.9979 |
| 2005 | 143446 | 20484 | 6270 | 0.5478 | 4387 | 1.0062 |
| 2006 | 174895 | 21708 | 5775 | 0.6130 | 4402 | 1.0005 |
| 2007 | 205506 | 26935 | 8607 | 0.2774 | 4629 | 1.0012 |
| 2008 | 129694 | 25011 | 9351 | 0.3836 | 4895 | 1.0008 |
| 2009 | 204089 | 28788 | 11227 | 0.1624 | 4594 | 2.2069 |
| 2010 | 202813 | 31404 | 13143 | 0.2562 | 4894 | 0.9989 |

TABLE 7.6.13 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). ESTIMATED FISHING MORTALITY

```
Units : f
    year
age 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972
    1 0.111 0.011 0.060 0.010 0.011 0.004 0.020 0.003 0.006 0.018 0.039 0.166
    2 0.510 0.981 0.801 0.611 0.465 0.478 0.420 0.310 0.269 0.299 0.574 0.361
    3 0.309 0.794 0.535 1.295 0.677 0.580 0.349 0.251 0.305 0.375 0.612 0.517
    4 0.723 0.343 0.816 0.289 1.230 0.325 0.319 0.439 0.376 0.518 0.621 0.524
    5 0.141 0.718 0.827 0.298 0.459 0.389 0.338 0.076 0.220 0.693 0.263 0.589
    6 0.655 0.143 0.662 0.592 1.230 0.389 0.335 0.225 0.332 0.446 0.373 0.585
    7 0.435 0. 525 0.662 0.289 0.757 0.389 0.319 0.439 0.275 0.424 0.446 0.469
    8
    year
age 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980
    1 0.104 0.214 0.152 0.229 0.157 0.103 0.142 0.060 0.036 0.035 0.009 0.014
    2 0.343 0.824 0.751 0.790 0.855 0.533 0.749 1.061 0.403 0.264 0.187 0.122
    3 0.612 1.008 0.905 0.972 0.988 0.918 0.858 1.306 0.368 0.262 0.147 0.171
    4 0.412 0.998 0.815 1.094 0.983 0.895 0.818 0.861 0.607 0.429 0.191 0.135
    5 0.510 0.736 0.936 0.887 1.055 0.650 0.734 1.052 0.525 0.116 0.131 0.203
    6 0.396 0.753 0.646 0.946 0.701 0.952 0.939 0.684 0.423 0.459 0.222 0.142
    7 0.411 0.786 0.733 0.856 0.830 0.724 0.746 0.893 0.425 0.284 0.161 0.139
    8 0.411 0.786 0.733 0.856 0.830 0.724 0.746 0.893 0.425 0.284 0.161 0.139
    year
age 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996
    1 0.026 0.042 0.013 0.037 0.012 0.032 0.047 0.100 0.016 0.016 0.040 0.076
    2 0.277 0.398 0.284 0.280 0.202 0.317 0.312 0.393 0.293 0.424 0.416 0.580
    3 0.418 0.364 0.293 0.568 0.269 0.299 0.291 0.395 0.317 0.452 0.402 0.364
    4 0.508 0.355 0.231 0.619 0.379 0.342 0.225 0.495 0.292 0.307 0.341 0.220
    5 0.355 0. 287 0.262 0.579 0.287 0.493 0.269 0.386 0.367 0.383 0.302 0.367
    6
    7 0.350 0.296 0.239 0.468 0.258}00.334 0.261 0.381 0.283 0.362 0.312 0.325
    8 0.350 0.296 0.239 0.468 0.258 0.334 0.261 0.381 0.283 0.362 0.312 0.325
    year
age 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008
    1 0.131 0.026 0.047 0.030 0.064 0.004 0.008 0.041 0.132 0.148 0.067 0.092
    2 0.966 0.425 0.346 0.219 0.800 0.563 0.258 0.215 0.580}0.6490.294 0.406
    3 0.577 0.487 0.418 0.205 0.910 0.307 0.451 0.571 0.567 0.635 0.287 0.397
    4 0.487 0.768 0.351 0.237 0.881 0.607 0.829 0.561 0.495 0.554 0.251 0.347
    5 0.485 0.987 0.552 0.182 0.661 0.340 0.574 0.429 0.577 0.645 0.292 0.404
    6
    7 0.517 0.589 0.452 0.193 0.673 0.395 0.539 0.367 0.495 0.554 0.251 0.347
    8 0.517 0.589 0.452 0.193 0.673 0.395 0.539 0.367 0.495 0.554 0.251 0.347
    year
age 2009 2010
    1 0.039 0.062
    2 0.172 0.271
    30.168 0.265
    40.147 0.232
    50.171 0.270
    60.154 0.243
    7 0.147 0.232
    80.147 0.232
```

TABLE 7.6.14 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). ESTIMATED POPULATION ABUNDANCE


TABLE 7.6.15 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SURVIVORS AFTER TERMINAL YEAR

```
Units : NA
    year
age 2011
    1 NA
    270145
    340772
    4 17033
    5 17327
    6 9105
    7 3805
    8 2583
```

tABLE 7.6.16 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). FItTED SELECTION PATTERN

```
Units : NA
    year
age 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.266 0.266 0.266 0.266 0.266 0.266
    2 1.171 1.171 1.171 1.171 1.171 1.171
    3 1.145 1.145 1.145 1.145 1.145 1.145
    4 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
    5 1.164 1.164 1.164 1.164 1.164 1.164
    6 1.049 1.049 1.049 1.049 1.049 1.049
    71.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
    8 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
```


## TABLE 7.6.17 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). PREDICTED CATCH IN NUMBERS



## table 7.6.18 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). CATCH RESIDUALS

Units : Thousands NA
year

age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | -0.265 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| 2 | -0.212 | -0.2497 | 0.877 | 0.204 | -0.241 | 0.218 |
| 3 | -0.165 | 0.024 | 0.202 | -0.203 | 1.530 | 0.149 |
| 4 | 0.339 | 0.062 | -0.030 | -0.132 | 1.437 | 0.264 |
| 5 | 0.222 | -0.172 | -0.545 | 0.144 | 1.134 | 0.074 |
| 6 | -0.028 | 0.038 | -0.108 | 0.165 | 1.163 | -0.177 |
| 7 | 0.012 | 0.082 | -0.192 | 0.026 | 0.753 | -0.350 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

TABLE 7.6.19 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). PREDICTED INDEX VALUES
NINEL

```
Units : NA NA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline age & 1989 & 1990 & 1991 & 1992 & 1993 & 1994 & 1995 & 1996 \\
\hline all & NA & NA & NA & NA & 27 & 28 & 30 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{year} \\
\hline age & 2003 & 2004 & 2005 & 2006 & 2007 & 2008 & 2009 & 2010 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Northern Ireland Acoustic Surveys
Units : NA NA
year

| age | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 335464.017 | 213783.285 | 185244.138 | 189050.291 | 311564.825 | 103035.819 |
| 2 | 63004.918 | 196166.956 | 109911.684 | 70647.128 | 106709.268 | 191590.245 |
| 3 | 73372.007 | 23343.977 | 74893.020 | 34348.008 | 21444.890 | 39039.550 |
| 4 | 14817.861 | 38130.176 | 13448.296 | 35658.042 | 12561.491 | 10959.670 |
| 5 | 13791.619 | 8352.674 | 20305.325 | 6754.810 | 11495.023 | 5231.364 |
| 6 | 7973.275 | 7634.336 | 4617.347 | 10414.899 | 2904.494 | 3630.116 |
| 7 | 4399.146 | 3756.535 | 3718.286 | 1933.921 | 4053.616 | 1192.964 |
| 8 | 10792.528 | 9275.930 | 8310.903 | 5191.787 | 1405.049 | 2631.777 |

        year
    age $20002001 \quad 2002 \quad 20032005$
1110895.793113170 .958110564 .689245390 .306210836 .032222587 .4432
$2 \quad 69299.384 \quad 48452.746 \quad 58581.501 \quad 72999.921 \quad 167242.537108369 .3458$

| 3 | 83903.136 | 18456.395 | 17544.447 | 20204.488 | 24822.538 | 57674.6238 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}4 & 22111.789 & 30924.594 & 7004.063 & 6553.396 & 8902.770 & 11150.9178\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}5 & 6687.557 & 9692.138 & 14681.101 & 2987.997 & 2947.878 & 3833.5293\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}6 & 2883.481 & 3361.697 & 4708.301 & 5521.576 & 1614.698 & 1331.6041\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}7 & 1703.808 & 1096.001 & 1479.523 & 1915.084 & 2284.029 & 697.9024\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}8 & 1571.371 & 2132.199 & 1656.486 & 2092.383 & 678.742 & 1402.2565\end{array}$ year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
1268197.8692334847 .1458207300 .671339496 .414331715 .857
2106171.2842166376 .2199194795 .292142816 .313220033 .760
$\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 32421.2412 & 40521.7249 & 63901.341 & 86359.749 & 62421.074\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}4 & 24810.7739 & 17220.4170 & 21844.213 & 38930.494 & 52282.501\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}5 & 4635.5311 & 13248.3925 & 9121.551 & 13449.226 & 23401.074\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 1592.9710 & 2404.4099 & 6959.725 & 5452.945 & 7972.240\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}7 & 512.4089 & 757.9230 & 1152.638 & 3779.297 & 2928.403\end{array}$
$8 \quad 822.4993 \quad 896.6708 \quad 1227.235 \quad 3046.577 \quad 1625.391$

## TABLE 7.6.20 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). INDEX RESIDUALS

```
NINEL
Units : NA
        year
age 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1906 1997 1998 199 199 2000
    all NA NA NA NA 0.368 0.916 -0.684 -1.655 0.364 -1.295 -0.234 0.44
        year
age 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    all 1.518 0.951 0.293 0.169 0.366 0.955 -0.691 -0.486 -0.662 -0.632
Northern Ireland Acoustic Surveys
Units : NA
    year
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
age 1994 & 1995 & 1996 & 1997 & 1999
\end{tabular}
    1 -1.613362617 0.4005919 -2.7933383 -0.3430843 -1.0371531 0.4259729
    2 0.080550556-0.8691298-0.9531894 -0.3461346 -1.3627819 -0.9022206
    3 0.002137396 -0.6708638-0.1043332 -0.8411064 -0.9757233-0.1377148
    4-0.222661862 -0.2652632 -0.4067521 -1.1774440 -0.3042841-0.7634142
    5 -0.394154225 -0.6021937 0.2650911 -1.3500678 -0.5733479 0.6735807
    6-0.054547808 -0.7798930 -0.1016645 -0.8274511 -0.4907702 1.3149796
    7-0.128931353 0.2630817 0.4635522 -1.2199157 -0.5869028 0.2847744
    8-0.064538012 -0.2967714 -0.3571274 -0.9999748 -0.5885338 0.8711428
        year
age 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005
    1 -0.3451867 1.23096852 1.2630608 0.3528406 0.1337743 -0.85852075
    2 0.4005460 0.65632374 0.2053440 1.1032328 -0.3699235 0.01437131
    3 0.2270650 -0.59361163 0.5916108 0.4593310 0.1757858 0.52103737
    4 0.2196375 -0.56997968 1.2645145 -0.3250017 0.5478752 0.42304356
    5 0.1881527 -0.22957541 0.7563220 0.2717434 -0.3549873 0.73927413
    6 0.6333092 -0.89617630 1.1473250 -0.3003628 0.3533264-0.49710535
    7 1.0561652 -0.56007322 0.6220603 -0.6730302 -2.2614257 -0.13955041
    8 0.4062893 0.05953771 0.9906743 -0.8370196 -1.0396022 1.42046461
        year
age 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010
    1 0.33448341 1.36918923 0.8305646 0.08935843 0.5597867
    2 -0.09423687 0.41230033 0.8425379 0.24597414 0.9363765
    3-0.72994161 0.13911831 0.5806985 0.72221074 0.6342276
    4 -0.91049452 0.20322472 0.5815724 0.86803280 0.8372841
    5 -2.16862905 0.45194177 1.0615872 0.88201082 0.3830920
    6 -1.46255946 -0.69498294 0.8967723 1.01661646 0.7429699
    7-0.06951216-0.05411223 1.3058067 1.30522447 0.3924950
    8-0.56174490 -0.47792054 -0.7172576 0.81329105 1.3787964
```

TABLE 7.6.21 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). FIT PARAMETERS

Value Std.dev Lower.95.pct.CL Upper.95.pct.CL

| F, 2005 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F, 2006 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.84 |
| F, 2007 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.39 |
| F, 2008 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.56 |
| F, 2009 | 0.15 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.69 |
| F, 2010 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.38 |
| Selectivity at age 1 | 0.27 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.83 |
| Selectivity at age 2 | 1.17 | 0.23 | 0.75 | 1.83 |
| Selectivity at age 3 | 1.15 | 0.23 | 0.73 | 1.80 |
| Selectivity at age 5 | 1.16 | 0.21 | 0.77 | 1.75 |
| Selectivity at age 6 | 1.05 | 0.20 | 0.70 | 1.56 |
| Terminal year pop, age 1 | 202812.47 | 1.21 | 19053.15 | 2158850.05 |
| Terminal year pop, age 2 | 72195.14 | 0.37 | 34805.01 | 149752.57 |
| Terminal year pop, age 3 | 27126.95 | 0.35 | 13585.63 | 54165.42 |
| Terminal year pop, age 4 | 24142.84 | 0.27 | 14214.88 | 41004.68 |
| Terminal year pop, age 5 | 13177.31 | 0.25 | 8035.13 | 21610.28 |
| Terminal year pop, age 6 | 5362.21 | 0.25 | 3281.92 | 8761.11 |
| Terminal year pop, age 7 | 2660.33 | 0.26 | 1607.60 | 4402.45 |
| Last true age pop, 2005 | 771.83 | 0.38 | 367.65 | 1620.37 |
| Last true age pop, 2006 | 592.17 | 0.31 | 324.55 | 1080.49 |
| Last true age pop, 2007 | 697.67 | 0.30 | 390.17 | 1247.53 |
| Last true age pop, 2008 | 1141.01 | 0.28 | 661.26 | 1968.80 |
| Last true age pop, 2009 | 3222.27 | 0.30 | 1784.09 | 5819.80 |
| Index 1, biomass, Q | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Index 2, age 1 numbers, $Q$ | 3.63 | 0.81 | 0.74 | 17.66 |
| Index 2, age 2 numbers, Q | 4.68 | 0.26 | 2.82 | 7.77 |
| Index 2, age 3 numbers, $Q$ | 3.26 | 0.26 | 1.97 | 5.41 |
| Index 2, age 4 numbers, $Q$ | 2.78 | 0.26 | 1.67 | 4.61 |
| Index 2, age 5 numbers, $Q$ | 2.34 | 0.26 | 1.41 | 3.90 |
| Index 2, age 6 numbers, $Q$ | 1.92 | 0.26 | 1.15 | 3.22 |
| Index 2, age 7 numbers, $Q$ | 1.41 | 0.27 | 0.84 | 2.38 |
| Index 2, age 8 numbers, $Q$ | 2.22 | 0.27 | 1.32 | 3.74 |



Figure 7.1.1 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Landings of herring from VIIa(N) from 1961 to 2010.


Figure 7.2.1
Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Landings (catch-at-age) of herring from VIIa(N) from 1961 to 2010. No 2009 commercial samples.


Figure 7.3.1 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). (A) Transects, stratum boundaries and trawl positions for the 2010 acoustic survey; (B) Density distribution of sprats (size of ellipses is proportional to square root of the fish density ( $\mathbf{t}$ n.mile-2) per 15-minute interval). Maximum density was 420 t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$. Note: same scaling of ellipse sizes on above figures.


Figure 7.3.2 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). (A) Density distribution of 1-ring and older herring (size of ellipses is proportional to square root of the fish density ( $\mathbf{t}$ n.mile ${ }^{-2}$ ) per 15-minute interval). Maximum density was 2119 t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$. (B) Density distribution of 0-ring herring. Maximum density was 110 t n.mile ${ }^{-2}$. Note: same scaling of ellipse sizes on above figures.


Figure 7.3.3 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Percentage length compositions of herring in each trawl sample in the September 2010 acoustic survey.


Figure 7.3.4 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Comparison of 1-ringer+ biomass estimates from acoustic survey with adjusted data ("winter spawners removed") and unadjusted data sets.


Figure 7.3.5 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Comparison of SSB biomass estimates from acoustic survey with adjusted data ("winter spawners removed") and unadjusted data sets.


Figure 7.3.6 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Estimates of larval herring abundance in the Northern Irish Sea in 2010. Crosses indicate sampling stations. Areas of shading are proportional to larva abundance (maximum = 143 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 7.3.7
Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Trends in 0-gp and 1-gp herring indices from the Northern Irish March and October groundfish surveys in the northern Irish Sea 1991-2010. [Ages are length sliced].

## Herring Irish Sea Stock Summary Plot



Figure 7.6.1 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output illustrations of stock trends from deterministic calculation (6-year separable period) using downweighted catch at age data. Summary of estimates of spawning stock at spawning time, recruitment at 1-ring, mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$.

## NINEL, diagnostics



Figure 7.6.2 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of NINEL survey catchability at all ages. Top left: VPA estimates of biomass of all ages and biomass predicted from index abundance for all ages. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of all ages with the best-fit catchability model. Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at 0 wr . Middle right: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 7.6.3 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 7.6.4 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.

## Northern Ireland Acoustic Surveys, age 3, diagnostics



Figure 7.6.5 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.

## Northern Ireland Acoustic Surveys, age 4, diagnostics



Figure 7.6.6 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.

## Northern Ireland Acoustic Surveys, age 5, diagnostics



Figure 7.6.7 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 7.6.8 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: log residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of log residuals.


Figure 7.6.9 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Diagnostics of Acoustic survey catchability at age (rings). Top left: VPA estimates of numbers at age (line) and numbers predicted from index abundance at age. Top right: scatterplot of index observations versus VPA estimates of numbers at age with the best-fit catchability model (linear function). Middle right: log residuals of catchability model by VPA estimate of numbers at age. Middle left: $\log$ residuals of catchability model by year. Bottom left: normal Q-Q plot of $\log$ residuals.

## Northern Ireland Acoustic Surveys, age 8, diagnostics




Figure 7.6.10 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output. Selection pattern diagnostics from deterministic calculations ( 6 -year separable period). a) catch residuals. b) estimated selection (relative to $4-\mathrm{wr}$ ) $+/-$ standard deviation. c) marginal totals of residuals by year and d) ring (ages 2-7 only).

## Herring Irish Sea Unweighted Index Residuals Bubble Plot



Figure 7.6.11 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). SPALY FLICA run output unweighted residuals of larval survey (SSB index) and acoustic for the assessment up to 2010.


Figure 7.6.12 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Results of parametric bootstrapping from FLICA. The main figure depicts the uncertainty in the estimated spawning stock biomass and average fishing mortality, and their correlation. Contour lines give the $\mathbf{1 \%} \%, 5 \%, 25 \%, 50 \%$ and $75 \%$ confidence intervals for the two estimated parameters and are estimated from a parametric bootstrap based on the variance covariance matrix in the parameters returned by FLICA. The plots to the right and top of the main plot give the probability distribution in the SSB and mean fishing mortality respectively. The SSB and fishing mortality estimated by the method is plotted on all three plots with a heavy dot. $95 \%$ confidence intervals, with their corresponding values, are given on the plots to the right and top of the main plot.

Herring Irish Sea Retrospective Summary Plot


Figure 7.6.13 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Analytical retrospective patterns (2010 to 2005) of SSB, recruitment and mean $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ from the final assessment.

Herring Irish Sea Retrospective selectivity pattern


Figure 7.6.14 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Retrospective Selection pattern for years 2005 to 2010 at ages 1 to 8 .


Figure 7.6.15 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Two-stage biomass model fit to the $\mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{VIIaN})$ survey biomass estimates of 1-ringers ( B 1 fit ) and $2^{+}$-ringers ( $\mathrm{B} 2^{+}$fit); recruitment variability $=0.4$.



Figure 7.6.16 Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea). Two-stage biomass model fit to the $\mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{VIIaN})$ survey biomass estimates of 1-ringers ( $\mathbf{B 1}$ fit) and $\mathbf{2}^{+}$-ringers ( $\mathrm{B}^{+}{ }^{+}$fit); recruitment variability $=0.8$.

## 8 Sprat in the North Sea

### 8.1 The Fishery

### 8.1.1 ACOM Advice Applicable to 2010 and 2011

There have never been any explicit management objectives for this stock. The TAC set for 2010 was 170000 t . For 2010, the by-catch quota of herring (EU fleet) was set at 13587 t . For 2011 a preliminary TAC of 170000 t is set and a revised mid-year advice is expected. For 2011, the by-catch quota of herring (EU fleet) was set at 16539 t .

## Catches in 2010

Catch statistics for 1996-2010 for sprat in the North Sea by area and country are presented in Table 8.1.1. Catch data prior to 1996 are considered unreliable (see Stock Annex). As in previous years sprat from the fjords of western Norway are not included in the catches for the North Sea, due to uncertainties in stock identity. Annual catches of Norwegian fjord sprat have ranged between 400 t (2004) and 3300 t (1996, 1999) in this period. Total catches for the North Sea in 2010 were 143500 t . This is only slightly more than in 2009, and about average for the time series. The Danish catches represent $90 \%$ of the total catches. The Norwegian sprat fishery caught 11100 t of sprat.

In 2010 the catches were taken in IVb and IVc. The catches in IVc increased to 37\% of the total catches, compared to only $8 \%$ in 2009. Only small catches were landed in the second and third quarter in 2010 (Table 8.1.2). Quarterly and annual distribution of catches per rectangle for Subarea IV show a fishery located in the southern North Sea in the first quarter, the western areas in the second, the eastern areas in the third quarter and in the central parts of the southern North Sea in the last quarter (Figures 8.1.1a-d and Figure 8.1.2).

### 8.1.2 Regulations and their effects

The Norwegian vessels are not allowed to fish in the Norwegian zone until the quota in the EU-zone has been taken. They are not allowed to fish in the 2nd quarter or July in the EU and the Norwegian zone. There is also a maximum vessel quota of 800 t . A herring by-catch of up to $10 \%$ in biomass is allowed in Norwegian sprat catches. In the Danish sprat catches, a by-catch of up to $20 \%$ in biomass of herring is allowed. Most sprat catches are taken in an industrial fishery where catches are limited by herring by-catch restrictions. By-catches of herring are practically unavoidable except in years with high sprat abundance or low herring recruitment. By-catch is especially considered to be a problem in area IVc. The landings from area IVc increased from $8 \%$ in 2009 to $37 \%$ in 2010, probably increasing the by-catch of herring in 2010. Unfortunately, the catch sampling from area IVc is very poor, with less than 0.1 sample per 1000 tonnes.

### 8.1.3 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

No major changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns for the sprat fisheries in the North Sea have been reported.

### 8.2 Biological composition of the catch

Only data on by-catch from the Danish fishery were available to the Working Group (Table 8.2.1). The Danish sprat fishery has recently been conducted with a low bycatch of herring. The total amount of herring caught as by-catch in the sprat fishery has mostly been less than $10 \%$ except in 2008 (11\%).
The Danish biological sampling from 1996 and onwards is considered reliable due to the changes in the Danish sampling scheme. The estimated quarterly landings at age in numbers for the period are presented in Table 8.2.2. In 2010 the one-year old sprat contributed $36 \%$ of the total landings, which is below the average contribution ( $62 \%$ since 1996, range: 18-96\%). 2-year olds contributed in 2010 with $44 \%$ of the total landings, leaving $21 \%$ of the contribution to $0-$ and $3+-$ year olds. The oldest sprat (3+) contributed with $14 \%$, which is the highest since the maximum of $29 \%$ in 1996.

Mean-weight-at-age (g) in the landings in 2010 was a bit lower than the 2009 values (Table 8.2.3).

Denmark and Norway provided age data of commercial landings in 2010 for quarters 1,3 and 4 (Table 8.2.4). The small fishery in quarter 2 was unsampled, and the landings data were raised by using samples from quarter 1 and 3 . The sample data were used to raise the landings data from the North Sea. The landings by UK-England, UK-Scotland and Sweden were minor and unsampled. The sampling level (no. per 1000 t landed) in 2010 (0.3) was lower than 2007-2009 considering the number of samples ( 0.4 samples for 2007-2009), number aged (2010: 12, 2009: 16, 2008: 16, 2007: 18), and number measured (2010: 31, 2009: 41, 2008: 40, 2007: 57). The sampling level is especially low for the quarter with most of the catches (quarter $4: 0.1$ samples per 1000 tonnes), and these samples are moreover not equally distributed between areas according to catches (IVb and IVc: 0.16 and 0.08 sample per 1000 tonnes). The required sampling level in the EU directive for the collection of fisheries data (Commission Regulation 1639/2001) is 1 sample per 2000 tonnes (also see Stock Annex).

### 8.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 8.3.1 IBTS (February)

Sprat of age 1 and 2 were mostly found in the south-east, with the highest concentrations in the more central parts of the distribution area (Figure 8.3.1a-c), as well as the English Channel (age 2). 3+-ringers were found in the central part of the North Sea and the English Channel. Table 8.3 .1 gives the time series of IBTS indices by age.

### 8.3.2 Acoustic Survey (HERAS)

The sprat in 2010 was almost exclusively found in the eastern and southern parts of the North Sea, with highest abundances mainly in the south-eastern part, a bit further southwest than in 2009 (Figure 8.3.2). Total abundance was estimated by WGIPS (see section 1.4.2) to be 36000 million individuals and total biomass 376000 t (Table 8.3.2), which is a decrease by $32 \%$ in terms of biomass when compared to last year (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). In 2010, as in most recent years, the majority of the stock consists of mature sprat. The estimated abundance of the 1-year-olds in 2010 (the 2009 year class) is about average for the time series. The sprat stock is dominated by 1 - and 2 -year old fish representing more than $90 \%$ of the biomass.

### 8.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

Data on maturity by age, mean weight- and length-at-age during the 2010 summer acoustic survey are presented in the WGIPS report (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02).

### 8.5 Recruitment

The IBTS (February) 1-group index (Table 8.3.1) is used as a recruitment index for this stock.

The incoming 1-group in 2011 (2010 year class) was estimated to be below the average of the time series, whereas in 2010 the 1-group (2009 year class) was estimated to be the $6^{\text {th }}$ highest in the time series.

### 8.6 Stock Assessment

The last benchmark of this stock was in September 2009 (ICES CM 2009/ACOM:34). The main conclusion was that previously used assessment methods are inappropriate, and that there is no basis for performing an analytical assessment of this stock (see section 1.4.6).

However, earlier acoustic surveys have proven to be reliable at estimating sprat abundance (e.g. Baltic Sea), and also the acoustic survey for the North Sea sprat stock seems promising.

### 8.6.1 Data Exploration

The time series indices of the IBTS Q1 and Q3 surveys were recalculated last year following the method described in the stock annex of the 2009 HAWG report (ICES CM 2009/ACOM:03).

The 2010 HAWG data exploration showed that even though the survey indices are highly variable and dominated by few large hauls; visual inspection of the time series does indicate some correlation between the three independent data sources. However this correlation was not significant at a 0.05 level. Further analysis of the survey data may increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Further work should be done e.g. in analysing catchability in IBTS hauls, spatial distribution, and comparisons taking fisheries and natural mortality for the intermediate period into account. Alternative ways of index calculation and accounting for extraordinary large hauls and zero catches in a rigorous statistical method should also be explored.
New exploratory analyses of the ability of the IBTS surveys to represent the abundance of sprat were performed this year (Rindorf \& Payne WD12): full details are provided in the working document. Briefly, the individual hauls in each of the major IBTS surveys (Q1, Q3) were analysed using a statistical approach that accounts for both the likelihood of observing sprat in a haul and the abundance of sprat (CPUE) in the haul. Internal consistency plots were then prepared using the revised indices to check the ability of the surveys to track cohorts. It was found that while the ability of a single survey to track a cohort from year to year was poor, the surveys that were only six months apart showed a significantly improved ability to track cohorts. This result was interpreted as suggesting that the natural mortality of this stock (known to be high) is also variable, but that the IBTS may have some ability to represent the abundance of sprat. This promising result suggests that a model incorporating all available IBTS time series (Q1-Q4) may have potential in the assessment of this stock. Further work is therefore recommended.

In HAWG 2011, the IBTS and the catch data series were explored in order to find out whether they could provide some information about the exploitation level of the sprat stock. The sprat fishery is dominated by the 1-year-olds, and the only time series giving information of the 1-year-old sprat during the current year is the IBTS Q1 (February) age 1 sprat index. As in-year advice is given for the North Sea, IBTS Q1 could give some indication about the catch levels for a given year. IBTS is a cpue index, and the age 1 index (in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter) was therefore compared to the annual catch of age 1 in numbers (Figure 8.6.1). Also the total IBTS sprat index was compared to the total catches of sprat in tonnes (Figure 8.6.1). The data used in the latter comparison correspond to the data in the regression method earlier used for giving advice on North Sea sprat (ICES HAWG 2001-2008).

The $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS index for 1-year-olds appears to have rather similar pattern with the annual catch numbers of 1-year-olds, and a regression analysis suggests a significant correlation between these two time series (Figure 8.6.1). The correspondence of the total IBTS index for all age classes and total annual catch biomass is much poorer, and also the correlation coefficient is relatively low (Figure 8.6.1). We should bear in mind that in 2001-2008 a regression method predicting total catch from the total IBTS index was used for giving TAC advice. This may have influenced these results, however, the good correlation for abundance of age 1 sprat is valid also for the period before 2001.

### 8.6.2 State of the Stock

No absolute estimates or reliable trends of the North Sea sprat stock can be calculated given the poor data sets available.

### 8.7 Short-term projections

No projections are presented for this stock.

### 8.8 Reference points

Precautionary reference points have not been defined for this stock and the available information is inadequate to estimate the absolute stock size.
Uncertainties in the survey indices make the current understanding of the dynamics of this stock extremely poor.

### 8.9 Quality of the assessment

See above.

### 8.10 Management Considerations

There are no explicit management objectives for this stock.
The sprat stock in the North Sea is dominated by young fish. The stock size is mostly driven by the recruiting year class. Thus, the fishery in a given year will be dependent on that year's incoming year.

In the forecast table for North Sea herring, industrial fisheries are allocated a by-catch of approx 17900 t of juvenile herring in 2012. It is important to continue monitoring by-catch of juvenile herring to ensure compliance with this allocation.

Catches in recent years have been well below the advised and agreed TAC. Management of this stock should consider management advice given for herring in Subarea IV, Division VIId, and Division IIIa.

### 8.10.1 Stock units

North Sea sprat is considered an independent stock. This approach of managing North Sea sprat, IIIa sprat and VIId sprat as separate stocks was tested in 2009 by including IBTS survey data from the subdivisions VIId and IIIa for comparison of the CPUE for each statistical rectangle at which data were available. No distinct separation was obvious between North Sea sprat and sprat in VIId, whereas IIIa sprat and North Sea sprat showed a lesser overlap (see Stock Annex).

### 8.11 Ecosystem Considerations

Multispecies investigations have demonstrated that sprat is an important prey species in the North Sea ecosystem. Many of the plankton-feeding fish have recruited poorly in recent years (e.g. sandeel, Norway pout). The implications of the environmental change for sprat and the influence of the sprat fishery for other fish species and sea birds are at present unknown.

The zooplankton community structure that is sustaining the sprat stocks appears to be changing, and there has been a long-term decrease in total zooplankton abundance in the northern North Sea (Reid et al., 2003; Beaugrand, 2003; SGRECVAP 2006: ICES CM 2006/LRC:03). However, sprat is mainly distributed in the southern North Sea where these trends have not been observed (SGRECVAP 2006).

### 8.12 Changes in the environment

Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last few decades. It is considered that this may have implications for sprat, although it is not possible to quantify either the magnitude or direction of such changes.

Table 8.1.1. North Sea sprat. Catches (' 000 t) 1996-2010. See ICES CM 2006/ACFM:20
for earlier catch data. Catch in fjords of western Norway excluded.
(Data provided by Working Group members except where indicated). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.
The IVb catches for 2000-2007 divided by IVbW and IVE can be found in ICES CM 2008/ACOM:02

| Country | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division IVa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 0.3 |  |  | 0.7 |  | 0.1 | 1.1 |  | * |  | * | 0.8 | * | * |  |
| Norway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |
| Sweden |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.3 |  |  | 0.7 |  | 0.2 | 1.1 |  | * |  | * | 0.8 | * | * |  |
| Division Ivb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 76.5 | 93.1 | 119.3 | 160.3 | 162.9 | 143.9 | 126.1 | 152.9 | 175.9 | 204.0 | 79.5 | 55.5 | 51.4 | 115.6 | 80.8 |
| Norway | 52.8 | 3.1 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 0.9 | 5.9 | * |  | 0.1 |  | 0.8 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| Sweden | 0.5 |  | 1.7 | 2.1 |  | 1.4 |  |  |  | * |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| UK(Engl.\&Wales) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |
| UK(Scotland) |  |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Total | 129.8 | 96.2 | 136.3 | 176.9 | 163.8 | 151.2 | 126.1 | 152.9 | 176.0 | 204.1 | 80.3 | 59.3 | 52.7 | 122.4 | 90.4 |
| Division IVc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 3.9 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 3.3 | 28.2 | 13.1 | 14.8 | 22.3 | 16.8 | 2.0 | 23.8 | 20.6 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 48.5 |
| Netherlands |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway |  | 0.1 | 16.0 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  | 9.0 | 2.9 |  | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Sweden |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| UK(Engl.\&Wales) | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | * | * | 0.8 |
| UK(Scotland) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total | 6.5 | 7.2 | 28.0 | 10.8 | 32.0 | 18.7 | 16.4 | 23.6 | 18.3 | 3.6 | 33.4 | 23.8 | 8.4 | 10.6 | 53.0 |
| Total North Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 80.7 | 98.8 | 131.1 | 164.3 | 191.1 | 157.1 | 142.0 | 175.2 | 192.7 | 206.0 | 103.4 | 76.8 | 59.6 | 123.8 | 129.3 |
| Netherlands |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 52.8 | 3.2 | 31.3 | 18.8 | 2.7 | 9.5 | * |  | 0.1 |  | 9.8 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 11.1 |
| Sweden | 0.5 |  | 1.7 | 2.1 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  | * |  |  |  | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| UK(Engl.\&Wales) | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | * | * | 0.8 |
| UK(Scotland) |  |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Total | 136.6 | 103.4 | 164.3 | 188.4 | 195.9 | 170.2 | 143.6 | 176.5 | 194.3 | 207.7 | 113.7 | 83.8 | 61.1 | 133.1 | 143.5 |

Table 8.1.2. North Sea sprat. Catches (tonnes) by quarter. Catches in fjords of Western Norway excluded. Data for 1996-1999 in ICES CM 2007/ACFM:1. The IVb catches for 2000-2007 divided by IVbW and IVE can be found in ICES CM 2008/ACOM:02.

| Year | Quarter |  | Area |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IVaW | IVaE | IVb | IVc |  |
| 2000 | 1 |  |  | 18126 | 28063 | 46189 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 1722 | 45 | 1767 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 131306 | 1216 | 132522 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 12680 | 2718 | 15398 |
|  | Total |  |  | 163834 | 32042 | 195876 |
| 2001 | 1 | 115 |  | 40903 | 9716 | 50734 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 1071 |  | 1071 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 44174 | 481 | 44655 |
|  | 4 | 79 |  | 65102 | 8538 | 73719 |
|  | Total | 194 |  | 151249 | 18735 | 170177 |
| 2002 | 1 | 1136 |  | 2182 | 2790 | 6108 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 435 | 93 | 528 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 70504 | 647 | 71151 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 52942 | 12911 | 65853 |
|  | Total | 1136 |  | 126063 | 16441 | 143640 |
| 2003 | 1 |  |  | 11458 | 7727 | 19185 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 625 | 26 | 652 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 56207 | 165 | 56372 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 84629 | 15651 | 100280 |
|  | Total |  |  | 152919 | 23570 | 176489 |
| 2004 | 1 |  |  | 827 | 1831 | 2657 |
|  | 2 | 7 |  | 260 | 16 | 283 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 54161 | 496 | 54657 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 120685 | 15937 | 136622 |
|  | Total | 7 |  | 175932 | 18280 | 194219 |
| 2005 | 1 |  |  | 11538 | 2457 | 13995 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 2515 | 123 | 2638 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 107530 |  | 107530 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 82474 | 1033 | 83507 |
|  | Total |  |  | 204057 | 3613 | 207670 |
| 2006 | 1 | 25 | 22 | 13713 | 33534 | 47294 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 190 | 8 | 198 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 40051 | 8 | 40059 |
|  | 4 | 2 |  | 26579 | 77 | 26658 |
|  | Total | 27 | 22 | 80533 | 33627 | 114209 |
| 2007 | 1 |  |  | 582 | 247 | 829 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 241 | 3 | 244 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 16603 |  | 16603 |
|  | 4 | 769 |  | 41850 | 23531 | 66150 |
|  | Total | 769 |  | 59276 | 23781 | 83826 |
| 2008 | 1 |  |  | 2872 | 43 | 2915 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 52 | * | 52 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 21787 |  | 21787 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 27994 | 8334 | 36329 |
|  | Total |  |  | 52706 | 8377 | 61083 |
| 2009 | 1 |  |  | 36 | 1268 | 1304 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 2526 | 1 | 2527 |
|  | 3 |  | 22 | 41513 |  | 41535 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 78373 | 9336 | 87709 |
|  | Total |  | 22 | 122448 | 10604 | 133075 |
| 2010 | 1 |  |  | 10976 | 17072 | 28048 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 3235 | 3 | 3238 |
|  | 3 |  |  | 14220 |  | 14220 |
|  | 4 |  |  | 62006 | 35973 | 97979 |
|  | Total |  |  | 90437 | 53048 | 143485 |

* $<0.5$ t

Table 8.2.1. North Sea sprat. Species composition in the Danish sprat fishery in tonnes and percentage of the total catch. Data is reported for 1998-2010.

|  | Year | Sprat | Herring | Horse mack. | Whiting | Haddock | Mackerel | Cod | Sandeel | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tonnes | 1998 | 129315 | 11817 | 573 | 673 | 6 | 220 | 11 | 2174 | 1188 | 145978 |
| Tonnes | 1999 | 157003 | 7256 | 413 | 1088 | 62 | 321 | 7 | 4972 | 635 | 171757 |
| Tonnes | 2000 | 188463 | 11662 | 3239 | 2107 | 66 | 766 | 4 | 423 | 1911 | 208641 |
| Tonnes | 2001 | 136443 | 13953 | 67 | 1700 | 223 | 312 | 4 | 17020 | 1142 | 170862 |
| Tonnes | 2002 | 140568 | 16644 | 2078 | 2537 | 27 | 715 | 0 | 4102 | 800 | 167471 |
| Tonnes | 2003 | 172456 | 10244 | 718 | 1106 | 15 | 799 | 11 | 5357 | 3509 | 194214 |
| Tonnes | 2004 | 179944 | 10144 | 474 | 334 |  | 4351 | 3 | 3836 | 1821 | 200906 |
| Tonnes | 2005 | 201331 | 21035 | 2477 | 545 | 4 | 1009 | 16 | 6859 | 974 | 234250 |
| Tonnes | 2006 | 103236 | 8983 | 577 | 343 | 25 | 905 | 4 | 5384 | 576 | 120033 |
| Tonnes | 2007 | 74734 | 6596 | 168 | 900 | 6 | 126 | 18 | 6 | 253 | 82807 |
| Tonnes | 2008 | 61093 | 7928 | 26 | 380 | 10 | 367 | 0 | 23 | 1735 | 71563 |
| Tonnes | 2009 | 112721 | 7222 | 44 | 307 | 3 | 116 | 1 | 1526 | 407 | 122345 |
| Tonnes | 2010 | 115246 | 6544 | 36 | 261 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 1371 | 747 | 124235 |
| Percent | 1998 | 88.6 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 1999 | 91.4 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2000 | 90.3 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2001 | 79.9 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2002 | 83.9 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2003 | 88.8 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2004 | 89.6 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2005 | 85.9 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2006 | 86.0 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2007 | 90.3 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2008 | 85.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2009 | 92.1 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| Percent | 2010 | 92.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 |

Table 8.2.2 North Sea sprat. Catch in numbers (millions) by quarter and by age 1996-2010.

| Year | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ | Total |
| 1996 | 1 |  | 524.7 | 4615.4 | 2621.9 | 316.4 | 11.3 | 8090 |
|  | 2 |  | 1.9 | 241.5 | 32.7 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 292 |
|  | 3 |  | 400.5 | 100.7 | 22.9 | 0.3 |  | 524 |
|  | 4 |  | 1190.7 | 1069.0 | 339.6 | 5.6 |  | 2605 |
|  | Total |  | 2117.8 | 6026.6 | 3017.1 | 337.8 | 11.6 | 11511 |
| 1997 | 1 |  | 74.4 | 314.0 | 229.2 | 55.3 | 2.5 | 675 |
|  | 2 |  | 11.3 | 47.8 | 34.9 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 103 |
|  | 3 |  | 1991.9 |  |  |  |  | 1992 |
|  | 4 | 127.6 | 3597.2 | 996.2 | 117.8 | 58.1 |  | 4897 |
|  | Total | 127.6 | 5674.8 | 1358.1 | 381.9 | 121.8 | 2.8 | 7667 |
| 1998 | 1 |  | 683.2 | 537.2 | 18.3 | 0.1 |  | 1239 |
|  | 2 |  | 70.9 | 55.3 | 1.8 |  |  | 128 |
|  | 3 | 74.2 | 3356.6 | 693.3 |  |  |  | 4124 |
|  | 4 | 772.4 | 4822.4 | 2295.1 | 483.5 | 39.5 |  | 8413 |
|  | Total | 846.6 | 8933.1 | 3580.9 | 503.6 | 39.6 |  | 13904 |
| 1999 | 1 |  | 728.1 | 2226.0 | 554.2 | 86.6 | 9.2 | 3604 |
|  | 2 |  | 38.6 | 58.4 | 18.1 | 2.6 |  | 118 |
|  | 3 |  | 12919.0 | 38.9 |  |  |  | 12958 |
|  | 4 | 105.0 | 2143.2 | 211.5 |  |  |  | 2460 |
|  | Total | 105.0 | 15828.9 | 2534.8 | 572.3 | 89.2 | 9.2 | 19139 |
| 2000 | 1 |  | 559.2 | 3177.3 | 797.5 | 247.5 | 72.0 | 4854 |
|  | 2 |  | 6.8 | 107.4 | 60.1 | 12.8 | 0.5 | 188 |
|  | 3 |  | 9928.9 | 1111.9 | 77.8 |  |  | 11119 |
|  | 4 |  | 1153.7 | 129.2 | 9.0 |  |  | 1292 |
|  | Total |  | 11648.7 | 4525.8 | 944.4 | 260.3 | 72.6 | 17452 |
| 2001 | 1 |  | 746.3 | 3197.7 | 1321.9 | 22.2 |  | 5288 |
|  | 2 |  | 15.9 | 66.2 | 26.1 |  |  | 108 |
|  | 3 | 0.4 | 3338.8 | 299.9 |  |  |  | 3639 |
|  | 4 | 1205.0 | 4178.7 | 1224.6 | 261.9 |  |  | 6870 |
|  | Total | 1205.4 | 8279.8 | 4788.4 | 1609.9 | 22.2 |  | 15906 |
| 2002 | 1 |  | 104.7 | 400.3 | 30.2 | 11.2 |  | 546 |
|  | 2 |  | 13.7 | 27.9 | 2.4 | 0.6 |  | 45 |
|  | 3 | 40.9 | 5745.6 | 582.1 | 42.3 | 4.1 |  | 6415 |
|  | 4 | 415.0 | 4578.0 | 626.2 | 119.8 | 3.1 |  | 5742 |
|  | Total | 455.9 | 10441.9 | 1636.5 | 194.8 | 19.0 |  | 12748 |
| 2003 | 1 |  | 1953.9 | 1218.9 | 85.3 | 11.3 |  | 3269 |
|  | 2 |  | 41.8 | 46.3 | 4.7 | 0.6 |  | 93 |
|  | 3 | 1.1 | 3481.3 | 772.0 | 42.9 |  |  | 4297 |
|  | 4 | 539.3 | 7051.8 | 1115.1 | 93.8 | 36.5 | 21.9 | 8858 |
|  | Total | 540.4 | 12528.7 | 3152.3 | 226.6 | 48.4 | 21.9 | 16518 |
| 2004 | 1 |  | 16.5 | 214.0 | 26.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 259 |
|  | 2 |  | 22.1 | 14.9 | 3.0 | 0.1 |  | 40 |
|  | 3 | 210.0 | 3661.9 | 558.2 | 31.4 |  |  | 4462 |
|  | 4 | 15674.4 | 5582.8 | 632.1 | 59.2 |  |  | 21949 |
|  | Total | 15884.4 | 9283.2 | 1419.2 | 119.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 26709 |
| 2005 | 1 |  | 2476.5 | 268.5 | 13.8 | 2.2 |  | 2761 |
|  | 2 |  | 499.6 | 23.4 | 4.3 | 4.9 |  | 532 |
|  | 3 |  | 11920.2 | 192.3 | 7.6 |  |  | 12120 |
|  | 4 | 302.5 | 7467.9 | 191.1 |  |  |  | 7962 |
|  | Total | 302.5 | 22364.3 | 675.3 | 25.7 | 7.0 |  | 23375 |
| 2006 | 1 |  | 1559.2 | 5119.1 | 95.7 | 2.3 |  | 6776 |
|  | 2 |  | 5.8 | 21.5 | 0.2 |  |  | 27 |
|  | 3 |  | 3077.8 | 625.0 | 129.1 |  |  | 3832 |
|  | 4 |  | 2048.5 | 416.0 | 85.9 |  |  | 2550 |
|  | Total |  | 6691.2 | 6181.6 | 310.8 | 2.3 |  | 13186 |
| 2007 | 1 |  | 12.1 | 57.4 | 17.3 |  |  | 87 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.9 | 18.5 | 5.6 |  |  | 28 |
|  | 3 |  | 1025.3 | 194.5 | 17.7 | 25.3 |  | 1263 |
|  | 4 | 858.6 | 4047.6 | 1066.0 | 150.9 |  |  | 6123 |
|  | Total | 858.6 | 5088.8 | 1336.5 | 191.4 | 25.3 |  | 7501 |
| 2008 | 1 |  | 356.0 | 170.9 | 8.4 | 1.0 |  | 536 |
|  | 2 |  | 7.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 |  |  | 11 |
|  | 3 | 1.7 | 444.3 | 1225.8 | 189.9 | 29.3 |  | 1891 |
|  | 4 | 486.3 | 1812.5 | 1032.8 | 147.5 | 13.9 |  | 3493 |
|  | Total | 488.0 | 2620.5 | 2432.2 | 345.9 | 44.2 |  | 5931 |
| 2009 | 1 |  | 886.6 |  |  |  |  | 887 |
|  | 2 | 0.5 | 252.8 | 12.7 | 1.3 |  |  | 267 |
|  | 3 | 2.9 | 4160.0 | 210.4 | 21.6 |  |  | 4395 |
|  | 4 | 415.5 | 8259.0 | 413.0 | 44.8 |  |  | 9132 |
|  | Total | 418.9 | 13558.4 | 636.1 | 67.6 |  |  | 14681 |
| 2010 | 1 |  | 66.9 | 3335.3 | 339.1 | 50.7 | 6.2 | 3798 |
|  | 2 | 5.6 | 211.9 | 177.0 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 405 |
|  | 3 | 38.3 | 1447.9 | 289.9 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1784 |
|  | 4 | 1056.4 | 3824.3 | 2956.0 | 773.7 | 531.8 | 388.5 | 9531 |
|  | Total | 1100.4 | 5551.0 | 6758.2 | 1126.1 | 586.4 | 396.7 | 15519 |

Table 8.2.3 North Sea sprat. Mean weight (g) by quarter and by age for 1996-2010.
*Any inconsistencies in total catches and SOP are due to rounding errors.
** These w eights come from allocation of quarter 3 samples
*** These w eights come from allocation of quarter 1 and quarter 3 samples

| Year | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  | SOP* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ | Tonnes |
| 1996 | 1 |  | 3.9 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 88807 |
|  | 2 |  | 6.9 | 8.4 | 11.6 | 20.0 | 15.2 | 2735 |
|  | 3 |  | 11.6 | 14.2 | 18.2 | 21.5 |  | 6501 |
|  | 4 |  | 12.1 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 20.5 |  | 37359 |
| Weighted mean |  |  | 10.0 | 10.5 | 15.1 | 15.6 | 16.0 | 135401 |
| 1997 | 1 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 8161 |
|  | 2 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 1243 |
|  | 3 |  | 14.2 |  |  |  |  | 28285 |
|  | 4 | 3.7 | 11.9 | 16.4 | 19.1 | 19.6 |  | 63083 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.7 | 12.7 | 14.7 | 16.3 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 100772 |
| 1998 | 1 |  | 5.6 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 15.0 |  | 7232 |
|  | 2 |  | 5.6 | 6.0 | 8.3 |  |  | 743 |
|  | 3 | 3.7 | 14.7 | 15.3 |  |  |  | 60149 |
|  | 4 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 14.6 |  | 94173 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.0 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 16.0 | 14.7 |  | 162297 |
| 1999 | 1 |  | 3.3 | 8.7 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 30168 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.1 | 10.1 | 13.6 | 15.4 |  | 993 |
|  | 3 |  | 10.0 | 18.3 |  |  |  | 129383 |
|  | 4 | 4.4 | 11.0 | 14.4 |  |  |  | 27126 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.4 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 187670 |
| 2000 | 1 |  | 4.2 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 46192 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.3 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 10.5 | 1767 |
|  | 3 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.0 |  |  | 132563 |
|  | 4 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.0 |  |  | 15403 |
| Weighted mean |  |  | 11.6 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 195925 |
| 2001 | 1 |  | 3.3 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 16.5 |  | 50794 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.3 | 10.3 | 12.9 |  |  | 1071 |
|  | 3 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 15.3 |  |  |  | 44656 |
|  | 4 | 3.8 | 11.6 | 12.6 | 19.1 |  |  | 73444 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.8 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 13.9 | 16.5 |  | 169965 |
| 2002 | 1 |  | 7.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 |  | 6106 |
|  | 2 |  | 5.3 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 12.4 |  | 423 |
|  | 3 | 2.0 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 24.0 |  | 72173 |
|  | 4 | 3.9 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 15.7 | 24.0 |  | 67902 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.7 | 11.2 | 13.4 | 14.9 | 14.8 |  | 146604 |
| 2003 | 1 |  | 3.6 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 15.0 |  | 19599 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.1 | 9.9 | 11.0 | 15.0 |  | 648 |
|  | 3 | 3.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 13.0 |  |  | 58169 |
|  | 4 | 4.6 | 10.8 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 97670 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.6 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 176085 |
| 2004 | 1 |  | 3.6 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 2663 |
|  | 2 |  | 6.0 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 10.2 |  | 282 |
|  | 3 | 4.5 | 11.9 | 17.0 | 20.0 |  |  | 54639 |
|  | 4 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 18.3 |  |  | 136653 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.0 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 14.5 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 194238 |
| 2005 | 1 |  | 4.6 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 16.0 |  | 13995 |
|  | 2 |  | 4.8 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 10.0 |  | 2641 |
|  | 3 |  | 8.9 | 9.9 | 18.6 |  |  | 107531 |
|  | 4 | 4.1 | 10.7 | 12.0 |  |  |  | 83515 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.1 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 13.6 | 11.8 |  | 207682 |
| 2006 | 1 |  | 4.3 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 13.0 |  | 47293 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.7 | 8.1 | 11.2 |  |  | 198 |
|  | 3 |  | 9.8 | 12.5 | 16.1 |  |  | 40053 |
|  | 4 |  | 9.8 | 12.5 | 16.1 |  |  | 26658 |
| Weighted mean |  |  | 8.5 | 8.5 | 14.1 | 13.0 |  | 114202 |
| 2007 | 1 |  | 4.0 | 9.0 | 12.0 |  |  | 829 |
|  | 2 |  | 4.0 | 9.0 | 12.0 |  |  | 244 |
|  | 3 |  | 12.0 | 17.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 |  | 16603 |
|  | 4 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 13.5 | 16.3 |  |  | 66150 |
| Weighted mean |  | 5.1 | 11.1 | 13.8 | 15.5 | 17.0 |  | 83826 |
| 2008 | 1 |  | 4.2 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 10.0 |  | 2930 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.9 | 7.5 | 8.7 |  |  | 52 |
|  | 3 | 2.0 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 12.9 | 14.6 |  | 21759 |
|  | 4 | 3.7 | 10.4 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 14.0 |  | 36362 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.7 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 13.2 | 14.3 |  | 61102 |
| 2009 | 1 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 1330 |
|  | 2** | 3.9 | 9.2 | 14.1 | 15.7 |  |  | 2531 |
|  | 3 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 14.1 | 15.7 |  |  | 41628 |
|  | 4 | 3.9 | 9.7 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |  | 88005 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.9 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 14.5 |  |  | 133494 |
| 2010 | 1 |  | 3.3 | 7.0 | 10.8 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 28144 |
|  | $2^{* * *}$ | 6.1 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 18.0 | 3109 |
|  | 3 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 13.9 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 14249 |
|  | 4 | 3.2 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 14.9 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 97926 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.3 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 13.7 | 16.5 | 18.6 | 143428 |

Table 8.2.4. North Sea sprat. Sampling for biological parameters in 2010.

| Country | Quarter | Landings ('000 tonnes) | No. <br> samples | No. measured | No. aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 1 | 24.831 | 10 | 1157 | 465 |
|  | 2 | 3.228 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 13.844 | 11 | 1164 | 408 |
|  | 4 | 87.392 | 11 | 1162 | 444 |
|  | Total | 129.295 | 32 | 3483 | 1317 |
| UK (England \& Wales) | 1 | 0.067 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.724 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 0.791 |  |  |  |
| UK (Scotland) | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1.075 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1.075 |  |  |  |
| Norway | 1 | 3.150 | 3 | 300 | 150 |
|  | 2 | 0.007 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.376 | 4 | 527 | 149 |
|  | 4 | 7.588 | 2 | 182 | 60 |
|  | Total | 11.121 | 9 | 1009 | 359 |
| Sweden | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1.200 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1.200 |  |  |  |
| All countries | 1 | 28.048 | 13 | 1457 | 615 |
|  | 2 | 3.235 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 14.220 | 15 | 1691 | 557 |
|  | 4 | 97.979 | 13 | 1344 | 504 |
| Total North Sea |  | 143.483 | 41 | 4492 | 1676 |

* $<1$ t

Table 8.3.1. North Sea sprat. Abundance indices by age from IBTS (February)
from 1984-2011. * Preliminary

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ | Total |
| 1984 | 233.76 | 329.00 | 39.61 | 6.20 | 0.29 | 608.86 |
| 1985 | 376.10 | 195.48 | 26.76 | 3.80 | 0.35 | 602.49 |
| 1986 | 44.19 | 73.54 | 22.01 | 1.23 | 0.24 | 141.21 |
| 1987 | 542.24 | 66.28 | 19.14 | 1.92 | 0.24 | 629.82 |
| 1988 | 98.61 | 884.07 | 61.80 | 6.99 | 0.00 | 1051.46 |
| 1989 | 2314.22 | 476.29 | 271.85 | 5.47 | 1.65 | 3069.48 |
| 1990 | 234.94 | 451.98 | 102.16 | 28.06 | 2.22 | 819.37 |
| 1991 | 676.78 | 93.38 | 23.33 | 2.63 | 0.12 | 796.24 |
| 1992 | 1060.78 | 297.69 | 43.25 | 7.23 | 0.53 | 1409.48 |
| 1993 | 1066.83 | 568.53 | 118.42 | 6.07 | 0.34 | 1760.19 |
| 1994 | 2428.36 | 938.16 | 92.16 | 3.59 | 0.50 | 3462.77 |
| 1995 | 1224.89 | 1036.40 | 87.33 | 2.52 | 0.76 | 2351.90 |
| 1996 | 186.13 | 383.53 | 146.84 | 18.28 | 0.74 | 735.53 |
| 1997 | 591.86 | 411.95 | 179.55 | 15.52 | 2.24 | 1201.13 |
| 1998 | 1171.05 | 1456.51 | 305.91 | 15.75 | 3.38 | 2952.60 |
| 1999 | 2534.53 | 562.10 | 80.35 | 4.83 | 0.45 | 3182.25 |
| 2000 | 1058.20 | 851.58 | 274.71 | 43.89 | 0.88 | 2229.27 |
| 2001 | 883.06 | 1057.00 | 185.47 | 17.55 | 0.35 | 2143.42 |
| 2002 | 1152.33 | 812.45 | 91.63 | 11.93 | 0.38 | 2068.72 |
| 2003 | 1842.26 | 309.92 | 44.49 | 2.21 | 0.04 | 2198.92 |
| 2004 | 1593.89 | 495.70 | 78.24 | 3.50 | 1.54 | 2172.87 |
| 2005 | 3053.46 | 267.89 | 36.39 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 3358.60 |
| 2006 | 421.80 | 1212.87 | 92.38 | 8.26 | 0.07 | 1735.39 |
| 2007 | 1053.68 | 1339.83 | 274.81 | 11.18 | 0.01 | 2679.52 |
| 2008 | 1432.45 | 769.17 | 96.89 | 6.86 | 0.02 | 2305.38 |
| 2009 | 3171.29 | 468.36 | 26.32 | 1.60 | 1.22 | 3668.79 |
| 2010 | 2103.50 | 1739.36 | 156.54 | 24.40 | 1.12 | 4024.92 |
| $2011^{*}$ | 680.34 | 913.51 | 627.73 | 98.01 | 149.47 | 2469.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.3.2 North Sea sprat. Time-series of sprat abundance and biomass (ICES areas IVa-c) as obtained from summer North Sea acoustic survey. The surveyed area has increased over the years. Only figures for the last 6 years are roughly comparable. In 2003, information on sprat abundance is available from one nation only.

| Abundance (million) |  |  |  | Biomass (1000 tonnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year/Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3+ | sum | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3+ | sum |
| 2010 | 1,991 | 19,492 | 13,743 | 798 | 36,023 | 22 | 163 | 177 | 14 | 376 |
| 2009 | 0 | 47,520 | 16,488 | 1,183 | 65,191 | 0 | 346 | 189 | 21 | 556 |
| 2008 | 0 | 17,165 | 7,410 | 549 | 25,125 | 0 | 161 | 101 | 9 | 271 |
| 2007 | 0 | 37,250 | 5,513 | 1,869 | 44,631 | 0 | 258 | 66 | 29 | 353 |
| 2006* | 0 | 21,862 | 19,916 | 760 | 42,537 | 0 | 159 | 265 | 12 | 436 |
| 2005* | 0 | 69,798 | 2,526 | 350 | 72,674 | 0 | 475 | 33 | 6 | 513 |
| 2004* | 17,401 | 28,940 | 5,312 | 367 | 52,019 | 19 | 267 | 73 | 6 | 366 |
| 2003* | 0 | 25,294 | 3,983 | 338 | 29,615 | 0 | 198 | 61 | 6 | 266 |
| 2002 | 0 | 15,769 | 3,687 | 207 | 19,664 | 0 | 167 | 55 | 4 | 226 |
| 2001 | 0 | 12,639 | 1,812 | 110 | 14,561 | 0 | 97 | 24 | 2 | 122 |
| 2000 | 0 | 11,569 | 6,407 | 180 | 18,156 | 0 | 100 | 92 | 3 | 196 |

*Re-calculated by the means of FishFrame (ICES 2009/LRC:02)

## Sprat catch 2010 1st Quarter



Figure 8.1.1a Sprat catches in the North Sea and Div. IIIa (in tonnes) in the first quarter of 2010 by statistical rectangle.

Sprat catch 2010 2nd Quarter


Figure 8.1.1b Sprat catches in the North Sea and Div. IIIa (in tonnes) in the second quarter of 2010 by statistical rectangle.

Sprat catch 2010 3rd Quarter


Figure 8.1.1c Sprat catches in the North Sea and Div. IIIa (in tonnes) in the third quarter of 2010 by statistical rectangle.

## Sprat catch 2010 4th Quarter



Figure 8.1.1d Sprat catches in the North Sea and Div. IIIa (in tonnes) in the fourth quarter of (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle.

Sprat catch 2010 All Quarters


Figure 8.1.2
Sprat catches in the North Sea and Div. IIIa (in tonnes) in 2010 by statistical rectangle.

Sprat IBTS 2011 1-ringers


Figure 8.3.1a Distribution of 1-ringers in the IBTS (February) 2011 in the North Sea and Division IIIa (Mean number per hour per rectangle).

Sprat IBTS 2011 2-ringers


Figure 8.3.1b Distribution of 2-ringers in the IBTS (February) 2011 in the North Sea and Division IIIa (Mean number per hour per rectangle).

## Sprat IBTS 2011 3+ringers



Figure 8.3.1c Distribution of 3+-ringers in the IBTS (February) 2011 in the North Sea and Division IIIa (Mean number per hour per rectangle).


Figure 8.3.2 North Sea Sprat. Abundance (upper figure in each rectangle, in millions) and biomass (lower figure, in 1000 t ) per statistical rectangle as obtained by the herring acoustic survey (HERAS) 2010. Blank rectangles were not covered.


Figure 8.6.1 North Sea Sprat. Data exploration of the IBTS and catch time series. Annual landings (in numbers) of 1-year-olds and the IBTS index of one-year-olds in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter and their regression ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathbf{0 . 5 5 2}$ ), left side plots. Total annual landings (in 1000 t ) and the IBTS index of all age classes in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter and their regression $\left(R^{2}=0.0564\right)$, right side plots.

## $9 \quad$ Sprat in Division IIIa

### 9.1 The Fishery

### 9.1.1 ICES advice applicable for 2010 and 2011

The ACOM advice on sprat management is that exploitation of sprat will be limited by the restrictions imposed on fisheries for juvenile herring. This is a result of sprat being fished mainly together with juvenile herring. The sprat fishery is controlled by a herring by-catch quota as well as by-catch percentage limits (Norway and Denmark: respectively max $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ by-catch of herring in weight). No advice on sprat TAC has been given in recent years. In 2010, the TAC for sprat was set at 52000 t and the by-catch quota for herring for the EU fleet at 7515 t . For 2011, the TAC for sprat is set at 52000 t and the by-catch quota for herring for the EU fleet, is set at 6659 t .

### 9.1.2 Landings

The total landings increased from 9200 t in 2009 to 10706 t in 2010 (Table 9.1.1). The table presents the landings from 1996 onwards. The data prior to 1996 can be found in the HAWG report from 2006 (ICES 2006/ACFM:20).

There were sprat landings in all quarters (Table 9.1.2, see Figures 8.1.1-8.1.2). In 2010 nearly $80 \%$ of the total landings were taken in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and 4 th quarter. In the Norwegian fishery sprat were, as before, taken in the 1st and 4th quarter, all as part of the fishery for canning production.

### 9.1.3 Fleets

Fleets from Denmark, Norway and Sweden carry out the sprat fishery in Division IIII.

The Danish sprat fishery consists of trawlers using 16 mm mesh size codend, and all landings are used for fishmeal and oil production. Some of the sprat landings from Denmark and Sweden are by-catches from the herring fishery using 32 mm mesh size codends. There is a Swedish fishery (mainly pelagic trawlers, but also a few purse seiners) directed at herring for human consumption, with by-catches of sprat.

The Norwegian sprat fishery in Division IIIa is a coastal / fjord purse seine fishery for human consumption.

### 9.1.4 Regulations and their effects

Sprat cannot be fished without by-catches of herring except in years with high sprat abundance or low herring recruitment. Management of this stock should consider management advice given for herring in Subarea IV, Division VIId, and Division IIIa.
Most sprat catches are taken in a small-meshed industrial fishery where catches are limited by herring by-catch restrictions.

### 9.1.5 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

No changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns for the sprat fisheries in IIIa have been reported for 2010.

### 9.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

### 9.2.1 Catches in number and weight-at-age

The total numbers of sprat landed in 2010 was at the same level as in the last four years (Table 9.2.1). In 2009 the 1 -year-olds dominated the landings (in numbers) by contributing to about $80 \%$ of the total number, whereas the distribution was more evenly spread among the age classes in 2010: 1-year-olds contributed about $40 \%$, 2-year-olds about $35 \%$, and 3 -year-olds about $15 \%$.

Mean weight-at-age (g) in the catches are presented by quarter in Table 9.2.2. Mean-weight-at-age for all ages is in the same order as the previous years, except for 2007 where the mean weight-at-age for 2 -and 3 -years old were at their largest in the last years. As in 2009, the mean catch weight-at-age obtained from $2^{\text {nd }}$ quarter show smaller values than expected. Mean weights-at-age for 1996-2003 are presented in ICES CM 2005/ACFM:16. Denmark provided biological samples from all quarters, Norway from the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$, and Sweden from the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. Landings in 2010, for which no samples were collected, were raised using a combination of Swedish, Danish and Norwegian samples, without any differentiation in types of fleets. Details on the sampling for biological data per country, area and quarter are shown in Table 9.2.3.

### 9.3 Fishery-independent information

Acoustic estimates of sprat have been available from the ICES co-ordinated Herring Acoustic Surveys in Division IIIa since 1996. At the time of the surveys, sprat has mainly been recorded in the Kattegat (ICES CM 2010/SSGESST:03).

In 2010 sprat was observed in both the Kattegat (ICES squares 41G1-G2, 42G0-G2, 43G0-G1 and 44G1) and in Skagerrak area (43F8-F9, 44F8-F9). The total abundance was estimated to be 1556 million individuals, a $30 \%$ decrease compared to 2233 million sprat in 2009.

The IBTS (February) sprat indices for 1984-2011 are presented in Table 9.3.1. The preliminary total IBTS index for 2011 increased by $300 \%$ compared to the 2010 index. The abundance index for the 1-group was the lowest since 1998, whereas the index for the 5+-group was highest since 1984.

### 9.4 Mean weight-at-age and length-at-maturity

Data on maturity by age, mean weight- and length-at-age during the 2010 summer acoustic survey are presented in Table 4.2.3 in the WGIPS report (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). HAWG 2010 considered the results on age and maturity distribution from the 2009 Acoustic survey (HERAS) in Division IIIa (Kattegat) as questionable, because of the unexpected and high proportion of 3 -year-olds and 4 -year-olds defined as immature ( $80 \%$ and $65 \%$, respectively). The 2009 results were revised by WGIPS 2011 (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02) such that all the 3+ fish in HERAS 2009 were in the revision considered mature.

### 9.5 Recruitment

For this stock, the IBTS index for 1-group sprat in the first quarter is the only available recruitment index (Table 9.3.1). The 1-group index for 2011 is the second lowest for the period, making less than $2 \%$ of the total index. In 2010 the 1-group index contributed $15 \%$ of the total index. The procedure for the survey did not differ from pre-
vious years. However, the index does not fully reflect the strong and weak cohorts seen in the catch. This has also been expressed in a previous working group report (ICES 1998/ACFM:14), and may be linked to difficulties in age determination and/or methodological issues related to the way the indices are estimated (see 3.1.7). This was also shown by the WKSHORT (ICES CM 2009/ACOM:34) for sprat in the North Sea.

### 9.6 Stock Assessment

### 9.6.1 Data exploration

The IBTS and the catch data series were explored in order to find out whether they could provide some information about the exploitation level of the sprat stock (see section 8.6.1. in this report for details of this analysis).

The $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter IBTS index for 1-year-olds appears to have rather similar pattern with the annual catch numbers of 1-year-olds, and a regression analysis suggests a relatively high correlation between these two time series (Figure 9.6.1). The correspondence of the total IBTS index for all age classes and total annual catch biomass is much poorer, and the correlation coefficient is low (Figure 9.6.1).

### 9.6.2 Stock Assessment

No assessment of IIIa sprat was made.

### 9.6.3 State of the Stock

No assessment of the sprat stock in Division IIIa has been presented since the mid1980ies. Various methods have been explored without success (ICES CM 2007/ACFM:11).

### 9.7 Short term projections

No assessment is presented for this stock.

### 9.8 Reference Points

No precautionary reference points are defined for this stock.

### 9.9 Quality of the Assessment

See above

### 9.10 Management Considerations

Sprat is a short-lived species with large inter-annual fluctuations in stock biomass. The natural inter-annual variability in stock abundance, mainly driven by recruitment variability, is high and does not appear to be strongly influenced by the observed levels of fishing effort.

The sprat has mainly been fished together with herring. The human consumption fishery only takes a minor proportion of the total catch. Within the current management regime, where there is a by-catch ceiling limitation of herring as well as bycatch percentage limits, the sprat fishery is controlled by these factors. In the last years the sprat fisheries has not been limited by the sprat quota, since this quota has not been taken.

### 9.11 Ecosystem Considerations

No information of the ecosystem and the accompanying considerations are known at present. In the adjacent North Sea, multispecies investigations have demonstrated that sprat is one of the important prey species in the North Sea ecosystem, for both fish and seabirds. At present, there are no data available on the total amount of sprat taken by seabirds in the IIIa area (Tycho Anker-Nilssen, pers. communication, ICES WGSE). Many of the plankton feeding fish have recruited poorly in recent years (e.g. herring, sandeel, Norway pout). The implications for sprat in IIIa are at present unknown.

### 9.12 Changes in the environment

Temperatures in the Skagerrak area have increased over the last few years. In the North Sea a shift in species composition and biomass of zooplankton have been observed. This has reduced the availability of food sources for some species (cod, sandeel). There are no indications of systematic changes in growth or age at maturity in sprat in the North Sea or in Division IIIa.

Table 9.1.1 Division Illa sprat. Landings in ('O00 t) 1996-2010.
(Data provided by Working Group members). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

|  | Skagerrak |  |  |  | Kattegat |  |  | Div. IIIa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Denmark | Sweden | Norway | Total | Denmark | Sweden | Total | total |
| 1996 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 6.5 | 18.0 |
| 1997 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 15.8 |
| 1998 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 8.3 | 18.4 |
| 1999 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 2.9 | 13.3 | 26.7 |
| 2000 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 9.8 | 20.1 |
| 2001 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 3.0 | 18.0 | 29.1 |
| 2002 | 3.5 | 2.8 | * | 6.3 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 11.4 | 17.7 |
| 2003 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 3.1 | 10.9 | 16.5 |
| 2004 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 22.0 |
| 2005 | 12.1 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 19.8 | 2.1 | 21.8 | 40.3 |
| 2006 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 8.2 | 12.5 |
| 2007 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 9.1 |
| 2009 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 9.2 |
| 2010 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 10.7 |

* < 50 t

Table 9.1.2. Division IIla sprat. Landings of sprat ('000 t) by quarter
by countries, 2000-2010. Data for 1996-1999 in ICES CM 2007/ACFM:11 (Data provided by the Working Group members)

|  | Quarter | Denmark | Norway | Sweden | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 1 | 4.10 | 0.10 | 2.32 | 6.52 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 1.85 | 1.85 |
|  | 3 | 4.80 | 0.10 |  | 4.90 |
|  | 4 | 3.80 | 0.70 | 2.26 | 6.76 |
|  | Total | 12.70 | 0.90 | 6.43 | 20.03 |
| 2001 | 1 | 2.53 |  | 2.63 | 5.17 |
|  | 2 | 6.55 |  | 0.11 | 6.67 |
|  | 3 | 10.16 |  | 0.06 | 10.22 |
|  | 4 | 0.90 | 1.40 | 4.75 | 7.05 |
|  | Total | 20.15 | 1.40 | 7.56 | 29.11 |
| 2002 | 1 | 3.80 |  | 1.42 | 5.22 |
|  | 2 | 2.06 |  | 0.37 | 2.43 |
|  | 3 | 5.90 |  | 0.07 | 5.97 |
|  | 4 | 1.68 |  | 2.41 | 4.09 |
|  | Total | 13.45 |  | 4.26 | 17.70 |
| 2003 | 1 | 3.54 | 0.10 | 1.67 | 5.30 |
|  | 2 | 0.59 |  | 0.80 | 1.40 |
|  | 3 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.72 |
|  | 4 | 5.04 | 0.80 | 2.31 | 8.13 |
|  | Total | 10.18 | 0.80 | 5.50 | 16.54 |
| 2004 | 1 | 3.11 |  | 1.35 | 4.46 |
|  | 2 | 0.64 |  | 0.87 | 1.51 |
|  | 3 | 3.70 |  | 0.44 | 4.14 |
|  | 4 | 6.94 | 1.10 | 3.83 | 11.88 |
|  | Total | 14.39 | 1.10 | 6.49 | 21.98 |
| 2005 | 1 | 6.47 |  | 1.68 | 8.15 |
|  | 2 | 4.65 |  | 0.07 | 4.72 |
|  | 3 | 18.61 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 20.13 |
|  | 4 | 2.13 |  | 5.17 | 7.30 |
|  | Total | 31.86 | 0.71 | 7.73 | 40.30 |
| 2006 | 1 | 5.43 | 0.17 | 2.68 | 8.28 |
|  | 2 | 0.17 |  | 0.16 | 0.32 |
|  | 3 | 1.34 |  | 0.10 | 1.44 |
|  | 4 | 0.88 | 0.13 | 1.46 | 2.46 |
|  | Total | 7.82 | 0.30 | 4.39 | 12.51 |
| 2007 | 1 | 2.26 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 3.09 |
|  | 2 | 0.70 |  | 0.59 | 1.29 |
|  | 3 | 5.15 | * | 0.21 | 5.36 |
|  | 4 | 1.79 | 1.16 | 2.98 | 5.92 |
|  | Total | 9.90 | 1.60 | 4.16 | 15.66 |
| 2008 | 1 | 2.25 | 0.20 | 0.64 | 3.09 |
|  | 2 | 0.67 |  | 0.35 | 1.02 |
|  | 3 | 0.45 |  | 0.19 | 0.64 |
|  | 4 | 2.46 | 0.70 | 1.21 | 4.37 |
|  | Total | 5.83 | 0.90 | 2.39 | 9.12 |
| 2009 | 1 | 2.20 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 3.00 |
|  | 2 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.30 |
|  | 3 | 3.20 |  | 0.10 | 3.30 |
|  | 4 | 1.20 | 0.24 | 1.20 | 2.64 |
|  | Total | 6.90 | 0.64 | 1.70 | 9.24 |
| 2010 | 1 | 1.45 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 1.51 |
|  | 2 | 0.64 |  | 0.01 | 0.65 |
|  | 3 | 3.38 |  | 0.03 | 3.41 |
|  | 4 | 2.93 | 0.86 | 1.35 | 5.14 |
|  | Total | 8.39 | 1.50 | 1.40 | 10.71 |

< 50 t

Table 9.2.1 Division Illa sprat. Landed numbers (millions) of sprat by age groups in 20042010. The landed numbers in 1996-2003 can be found in the ICES CM 2007/ACFM:11.

|  | Quarter | Age |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ |  |
| 2004 | 1 |  | 539.6 | 39.3 | 47.2 | 20.7 | 8.0 | 654.8 |
|  | 2 |  | 36.7 | 22.3 | 44.9 | 11.8 | 1.1 | 116.8 |
|  | 3 | 10.0 | 254.4 | 19.4 | 4.1 | 2.4 |  | 290.3 |
|  | 4 | 874.0 | 366.8 | 33.0 | 24.9 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 1302.3 |
|  | Total | 883.9 | 1197.5 | 113.9 | 121.1 | 38.3 | 9.3 | 2364.2 |
| 2005 | 1 |  | 1609.1 | 185.6 | 25.5 | 17.4 | 5.1 | 1842.7 |
|  | 2 |  | 827.1 | 19.2 | 0.6 |  |  | 846.9 |
|  | 3 | 1.8 | 1557.0 | 91.3 | 9.9 | 12.9 |  | 1672.9 |
|  | 4 | 11.5 | 447.4 | 60.5 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 531.3 |
|  | Total | 13.4 | 4440.6 | 356.6 | 43.3 | 34.2 | 5.8 | 4893.9 |
| 2006 | 1 |  | 219.8 | 433.3 | 93.7 | 16.6 | 10.3 | 773.7 |
|  | 2 |  | 7.5 | 17.8 | 1.6 | 0.3 |  | 27.2 |
|  | 3 |  | 9.4 | 55.8 | 13.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 83.1 |
|  | 4 | 4.0 | 38.5 | 71.6 | 18.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 134.0 |
|  | Total | 4.0 | 275.2 | 578.5 | 127.4 | 20.6 | 12.3 | 1018.0 |
| 2007 | 1 |  | 61.2 | 47.5 | 120.9 | 12.5 | 1.8 | 243.9 |
|  | 2 |  | 26.1 | 17.8 | 53.5 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 102.9 |
|  | 3 |  | 401.1 | 22.8 | 12.3 | 3.2 |  | 439.3 |
|  | 4 | 33.4 | 248.6 | 57.0 | 50.5 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 397.1 |
|  | Total | 33.4 | 737.0 | 145.1 | 237.2 | 27.2 | 3.4 | 1183.3 |
| 2008 | 1 |  | 3.1 | 127.1 | 41.0 | 36.7 | 15.0 | 222.8 |
|  | 2 |  | 0.4 | 45.6 | 15.7 | 7.2 | 1.9 | 70.8 |
|  | 3 | 71.5 | 33.4 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 110.5 |
|  | 4 | 386.7 | 203.9 | 28.7 | 10.6 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 644.9 |
|  | Total | 458.2 | 240.8 | 204.1 | 68.3 | 52.8 | 24.9 | 1049.0 |
| 2009 | 1 |  | 353.2 | 31.1 | 47.9 | 19.5 | 11.1 | 462.9 |
|  | 2 |  | 70.4 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 |  | 76.8 |
|  | 3 |  | 251.5 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 1.8 |  | 270.3 |
|  | 4 | 11.8 | 120.1 | 25.3 | 11.7 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 175.7 |
|  | Total | 11.8 | 795.3 | 68.9 | 68.1 | 27.2 | 14.4 | 985.7 |
| 2010 | 1 |  | 52.3 | 38.1 | 27.8 | 13.5 | 5.8 | 137.6 |
|  | 2 |  | 21.9 | 39.6 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 68.9 |
|  | 3 | 4.7 | 92.7 | 119.6 | 38.1 | 13.0 | 8.6 | 276.8 |
|  | 4 | 13.2 | 140.6 | 79.4 | 46.2 | 24.3 | 13.0 | 316.7 |
|  | Total | 17.9 | 307.5 | 276.8 | 118.7 | 51.5 | 27.5 | 799.9 |

Table 9.2.2. Division IIla sprat. Quarterly mean weight-at-age $(\mathrm{g})$ in the landings for the years 2004-2010. The equivalent data for 1996-2003

| Year | landings for the years 2004-2010. The equivalent data for 1996-2003 can be found in ICES CM 2007 /ACFM: 11. (Danish and Swedish data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Quarter | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $5+$ |
| 2004 | 1 |  | 4.6 | 14.6 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 17.3 |
|  | 2 |  | 7.0 | 13.6 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 19.5 |
|  | 3 | 3.0 | 14.1 | 16.7 | 20.0 | 21.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 3.5 | 16.8 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 20.9 | 28.0 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.5 | 10.4 | 16.3 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 17.9 |
| 2005 | 1 |  | 3.0 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 20.3 | 21.1 |
|  | 2 |  | 5.4 | 11.7 | 26.8 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 2.9 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 11.0 |  |
|  | 4 | 3.3 | 13.1 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 21.1 | 23.1 |
| Weighted mean |  | 5.0 | 7.6 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 21.5 |
| 2006 | 1 |  | 5.0 | 12.2 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 18.5 |
|  | 2 |  | 7.0 | 13.3 | 16.3 | 22.0 |  |
|  | 3 |  | 11.2 | 17.4 | 20.3 | 18.6 | 22.8 |
|  | 4 | 4.3 | 16.1 | 19.6 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 26.6 |
| Weighted mean |  | 4.3 | 6.8 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 19.4 |
| 2007 | 1 |  | 2.3 | 12.3 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 25.2 |
|  | 2 |  | 6.1 | 17.1 | 20.6 | 21.9 | 20.4 |
|  | 3 |  | 12.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 17.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 7.9 | 14.1 | 20.3 | 23.4 | 22.6 | 26.2 |
| Weighted mean |  | 7.9 | 11.5 | 15.9 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 25.2 |
| 2008 | 1 |  | 5.6 | 11.7 | 15.5 | 18.1 | 18.3 |
|  | 2 |  | 8.0 | 12.5 | 17.1 | 19.3 | 22.2 |
|  | 3 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 21.1 | 21.5 | 25.3 | 22.5 |
|  | 4 | 3.4 | 9.2 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 25.2 | 22.8 |
| Weighted mean |  | 3.4 | 9.0 | 13.3 | 16.9 | 19.5 | 20.0 |
| 2009 | 1 |  | 3.9 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 17.4 | 21.4 |
|  | 2 |  | 3.9 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 7.2 |  |
|  | 3 |  | 12.0 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 12.4 |  |
|  | 4 | 5.2 | 13.7 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 19.8 |
| Weighted mean |  | 5.2 | 8.0 | 14.3 | 15.5 | 16.7 | 21.1 |
| 2010 | 1 |  | 4.6 | 11.8 | 16.4 | 18.4 | 20.7 |
|  | 2 |  | 8.3 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 16.7 |
|  | 3 | 4.5 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 17.4 |
|  | 4 | 6.2 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 17.5 | 18.7 | 20.3 |
| Weighted mean |  | 5.8 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 16.0 | 17.9 | 19.4 |

Table 9.2.3 Division Illa sprat. Sampling commercial landings for biological samples in 2010.

| Country | Quarter | Landings (tonnes) | No. samples | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. } \\ \text { meas. } \end{array}$ | No. Samples aged per 1000 t |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 1 | 1445 | 5 | 446 | 272 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 636 | 4 | 450 | 280 | 6 |
|  | 3 | 3380 | 16 | 1570 | 986 | 5 |
|  | 4 | 2930 | 8 | 678 | 303 | 3 |
|  | Total | 8391 | 33 | 3144 | 1841 | 4 |
| Norway | 1 | 50 | 1 | 100 | 50 | 20 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 863 | 1 | 100 | 49 | 1 |
|  | Total | 913 | 2 | 200 | 99 | 2 |
| Sweden | 1 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1348 | 11 | 550 | 550 | 8 |
|  | Total | 1402 | 11 | 550 | 550 | 8 |
| Denmark |  | 8391 | 33 | 3144 | 1841 | 4 |
| Norway |  | 913 | 2 | 200 | 99 | 2 |
| Sweden |  | 1402 | 11 | 550 | 550 | 8 |
|  | Total | 10706 | 46 | 3894 | 2490 | 4 |

Table 9.3.1. Division Illa sprat. IBTS (February) indices of sprat per age group 1984-2011.

| Year | No Rect | No hauls | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ | Total |  |
| 1984 | 15 | 38 | 5675.45 | 868.88 | 205.10 | 79.08 | 63.57 | 6892.08 |  |
| 1985 | 14 | 38 | 2157.76 | 2347.02 | 392.78 | 139.74 | 51.24 | 5088.54 |  |
| 1986 | 15 | 38 | 628.64 | 1979.24 | 2034.98 | 144.19 | 37.53 | 4824.58 |  |
| 1987 | 16 | 38 | 2735.92 | 2845.93 | 3003.22 | 2582.24 | 156.64 | 11323.95 |  |
| 1988 | 13 | 38 | 914.47 | 5262.55 | 1485.07 | 2088.05 | 453.13 | 10203.26 |  |
| 1989 | 14 | 38 | 413.94 | 911.28 | 988.95 | 554.53 | 135.79 | 3004.48 |  |
| 1990 | 15 | 38 | 481.02 | 223.89 | 64.93 | 61.11 | 45.69 | 876.65 |  |
| 1991 | 14 | 38 | 492.50 | 726.82 | 698.11 | 128.36 | 375.44 | 2421.23 |  |
| 1992 | 16 | 38 | 5993.64 | 598.71 | 263.97 | 202.90 | 76.04 | 7135.25 |  |
| 1993 | 16 | 38 | 1589.92 | 4168.61 | 907.43 | 199.32 | 239.64 | 7104.92 |  |
| 1994 | 16 | 38 | 1788.86 | 715.84 | 1050.87 | 312.65 | 70.11 | 3938.32 |  |
| 1995 | 17 | 38 | 2204.07 | 1769.53 | 35.19 | 44.96 | 4.23 | 4057.98 |  |
| 1996 | 15 | 38 | 199.30 | 5515.42 | 692.78 | 111.98 | 173.75 | 6693.23 |  |
| 1997 | 16 | 41 | 232.65 | 391.23 | 1239.13 | 139.14 | 134.51 | 2136.67 |  |
| 1998 | 15 | 39 | 72.25 | 1585.22 | 619.76 | 1617.71 | 521.52 | 4416.46 |  |
| 1999 | 16 | 42 | 4534.96 | 355.24 | 249.86 | 44.25 | 313.52 | 5497.83 |  |
| 2000 | 16 | 41 | 292.32 | 737.80 | 59.69 | 51.79 | 23.21 | 1164.80 |  |
| 2001 | 16 | 42 | 6539.48 | 1144.34 | 676.71 | 92.37 | 45.87 | 8498.77 |  |
| 2002 | 16 | 42 | 1180.52 | 1035.71 | 89.96 | 58.85 | 12.93 | 2377.96 |  |
| 2003 | 17 | 46 | 462.64 | 1247.49 | 1172.13 | 382.29 | 123.17 | 3387.72 |  |
| 2004 | 16 | 41 | 402.87 | 49.00 | 156.62 | 86.57 | 27.48 | 722.54 |  |
| 2005 | 17 | 50 | 3314.17 | 1563.16 | 470.84 | 837.09 | 538.37 | 6723.63 |  |
| 2006 | 17 | 45 | 1323.59 | 11855.76 | 1753.92 | 299.05 | 159.23 | 15391.55 |  |
| 2007 | 18 | 46 | 774.11 | 306.63 | 250.81 | 42.08 | 13.74 | 1387.37 |  |
| 2008 | 17 | 46 | 150.85 | 982.68 | 132.54 | 228.48 | 107.70 | 1602.26 |  |
| 2009 | 17 | 46 | 2686.72 | 124.46 | 259.15 | 29.60 | 37.43 | 3137.36 |  |
| 2010 | 17 | 45 | 218.66 | 618.49 | 151.69 | 354.14 | 157.65 | 1500.62 |  |
| $2011^{*}$ |  |  | 135.55 | 2887.27 | 1472.91 | 721.10 | 839.95 | 6056.77 |  |

* Preliminary


Figure 9.6.1 IIIa sprat. Data exploration of the IBTS and landings time series. Annual landings (in numbers) of 1 -year-olds and the IBTS index of one-year-olds in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter and their regression ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.6199$ ), left side plots. Total annual landings (in 1000 t ) and the IBTS index of all age classes in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter and their regression $\left(R^{2}=0.0468\right)$, right side plots.

## 10 Sprat in the Celtic Seas (Subareas VI and VII)

In 2011, ICES has been asked by the European Commission, for the first time, to provide advice on sprat. Most sprat fisheries are sporadic and occur in different places at different times. Separate fisheries have taken place in the Minch, and the Firth of Clyde (VIaN); in Donegal Bay (VIaS); Galway Bay and in the Shannon Estuary (VIIb); in various bays in VIIj; in VIIaS; in the Irish Sea and in the English Channel (VIIde). A map of these areas is provided in Figure 10.1.

The stock structure of sprat populations in this eco-region is not clear. In 2011, HAWG will work with the Stock Identification Methods Working Group to define stock units for sprat. In 2011, HAWG presents all available data on these sprat populations, in a single chapter. However HAWG does not necessarily advocate that VI and VII constitutes a management unit for sprat, and further work is required.

### 10.1 The Fishery

### 10.1.1 ICES advice applicable for 2009 and 2010

There is no ICES advice for sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregion. The TAC for the English Channel (VIId, e) is the only one in place for sprat in this area.

### 10.1.2 Landings

The total sprat landings, by ICES subdivision (where available) are provided in Tables 10.1.1. - Table 10.1.6 and in Figures 10.2.1-10.2.10).

## Division Vla (West of Scotland and Northwest of Ireland)

In all years landings have been dominated by UK-Scotland and Ireland (Table 10.1.1). The Scottish fisheries have taken place in both the Minch and in the Firth of Clyde. The Irish fishery has always been in Donegal Bay. Despite the wide separation of these areas, the trends in landings between the two countries are similar, though the Scottish data have always been higher.

Scottish landings were consistently above 4000 t in the 1970s, declining to about 1000 t in 1979. They fluctuated between 500 t and 4000 t until 1994 and then increased markedly to over 8000 t in 1999, after which they have declined to between 1200 and 2000 t .

Some Swedish landings in VI (unspecified) prior to 1985 were included in VIa.

## Division VIla (Irish Sea)

The main historic fishery was by Irish boats, in the 1970s, in the western Irish Sea (Table 10.1.2a). This was an industrial fishery and landings were high throughout the 1970s, peaking at over 8000 t in 1978. The fishery came to an end in 1979, due to the closure of the fish meal factory in the area. It is not known what proportion of the catch was made up of juvenile herring, though the fishing grounds were in the known herring nursery areas. Irish Landings from 1950-1994 may be from VIIaN or VIIaS. Recent Irish landings are mainly from VIIaS, mainly Waterford Harbour (Table 10.1.2b).

In the late 1990s and early 2000s, UK vessels landed up to 500 t per year. In recent years a trial fishery for sprat was carried out by the vessels that fish herring in the
area. This was carried out to investigate the feasibility of a clean commercially viable sprat fishery. The results of the trials were inconclusive and plans to conduct further experiments are under discussion.

## Divisions VIlbc (West of Ireland)

Sporadic fisheries have taken place, mainly in Galway Bay and the Mouth of the Shannon. The highest recorded landing was in 1980 and 1981 during the winter of 1980/1981, when over 5000 t were landed by Irish boats (Figure 10.2.4). This fishery took place in Galway Bay in the winter of 1980/1981 (Department of Fisheries and Forestry (1982). Since the early 1990s landings fluctuated from very low levels to no more than 700 t per year.

## Divisions VIIg-k (Celtic Sea)

Sprat landings in the Celtic Sea from 1985 onwards are WG estimates. In the Celtic Sea Ireland has dominated landings. Patterns of Irish landings in Divisions VIIg and VIIj are similar, though the VIIj landings have been higher. Landings for VIIg and VIIj were aggregated in this report. Landings have increased from low levels in the early 1990s, with catches fluctuating between 0 t in 1993 and just under 4200 t in 2005 (Table 10.1.6).

## Divisions VIIde (English Channel)

Sprat landings prior to 1985 in VIId,e were extracted from FishBase, from 1985 onwards they are WG estimates. Since 1985 sprat catch has been taken mainly by UK, England and Wales, with some substantial catches taken by Denmark in the late 1980s (Figure 10.2.5). Early landings from Denmark are being looked into as there may be some discrepancies between FishBase and WG data.

The fishery starts in August and runs into the following year into February and sometimes March. Most of the catch is taken in VIId, where $88 \%$ on average of landed sprat are caught.

The UK has a history of taking the quota, but sprat is found by sonar search and sometimes the shoals are found too far offshore for sensible economic exploitation. Skippers then go back to other trawling activity. This offshore/ near shore shift may be related to environmental changes such as temperature and/ or salinity.

### 10.1.3 Fleets

Most sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregions are caught by small pelagic vessels that also target herring, mainly Irish and Scottish vessels. In Ireland, many polyvalent vessels target sprat on an opportunistic basis. At other times these boats target demersals and tuna, as well as pelagics. Targeted fishing takes place when there are known sprat abundances. However the availability of herring quota is a confounding factor in the timing of a sprat-targeted fishery.

Sprat may also be caught in mixed shoals with herring. The level of discarding is unknown.

In the English Channel the primary gear used for sprat is midwater trawl. Within that gear type three under 15 m vessels actively target sprat and are responsible for the majority of landings (since 2003 they took on average $94 \%$ of the total landings). Sprat is also caught by driftnet, fixed nets, lines and pots. Most of the landings are sold for human consumption.

In Ireland, larger sprats are sold for human consumption whilst smaller ones for fish meal. Other countries mainly land catches for industrial purposes.

### 10.1.4 Regulations and their effects

There is a TAC for sprat for VIId, e, English Channel. No other TACs or quotas for sprat exist in this eco-region. Most sprat catches are taken in a small-mesh fisheries for either human consumption or reduction to fish meal and oil. It is not clear whether regulations to prevent by-catch of herring are enforced.

### 10.1.5 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

There is insufficient information available.

### 10.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

### 10.2.1 Catches in number and weight-at-age

There is no information on catches in number or weight in the catch for sprat in this ecoregion.

### 10.3 Fishery-independent information

## Celtic Sea Acoustic Survey

The Irish Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey has been used to calculate sprat biomass. Biomass estimates for Celtic Sea Sprat for the period November 1991 to October 2010 are provided in Table 10.3.1. However, it is not clear that the survey results prior to 1997 are comparable because different survey designs were applied.

There was a gap in the time series before acoustic surveys in the Celtic Seas began again in 2002. Since 2004 the survey has taken place each October in the Celtic Sea.

Due to the lack of reliable 36 kHz data in 2010, no sprat abundance is available for this year.

It can be seen that there are large inter-annual variations in sprat abundance. Large sprat schools were notably missing in 2006, and so no biomass could be calculated. In addition, it can be seen that for recent years, the highest biomasses are approximately $25 \%$ of those measured in the early 1990s.

## Northern Ireland Groundfish Survey

The Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute of Northern Ireland (AFBINI) groundfish survey of ICES Division VIIaN are carried out in March and October at standard stations between $53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (see Annex 8 for more detail on the survey). Sprat is routinely caught in the groundfish surveys however, data were not available at the time of submission of this report.

## AFBI Acoustic Survey

The Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute of Northern Ireland (AFBINI) carries out an annual acoustic survey in the Irish Sea each September (see Annex 8 for a description of the survey). While targeting herring, a sprat biomass is also calculated. The annual calculated biomass from 1998-2010 is shown in Figure 10.4. and Table 10.3.2. The biomass is estimated to have peaked in 2002 with 405000 t and it has declined since
then to just under 95000 t. Further work is required to investigate the utility of this survey for measuring sprat biomass in this area.

### 10.4 Mean weight-at-age and length-at-maturity

No data on mean weight at age or maturity at age in the catch are available.

### 10.5 Recruitment

The various ground fish surveys may provide an index of sprat recruitment in this ecoregion. However further work is required.

### 10.6 Stock Assessment

### 10.6.1 Data exploration

No data exploration of sprat from the Celtic Seas Ecoregion was made in 2011. An LPUE time-series for English Channel sprat based on mid-water trawlers data was examined (Figure 10.5). Concerns were expressed about considering LPUE as an index of abundance for a shoaling fish like sprat. The index that included searching time was considered potentially more appropriate as an indicator of stock trends. Increase in fishing technology over time should also be factored out.

No assessment of sprat from the Celtic Seas Ecoregion was made in 2011.

### 10.6.2 State of the Stock

No assessment of the sprat stock from the Celtic Seas Ecoregion was made in 2011.

### 10.7 Short term projections

No assessment is presented for this stock.

### 10.8 Reference Points

No precautionary reference points are defined for sprat populations in this region.
Molloy and Bhatnagar (1977) estimated F0.1 separately for Irish Sea and Celtic Sea sprat populations. They concluded that the Celtic Sea population could withstand a higher F. The estimates of F0.1 were F $=0.5$ and $\mathrm{F}=0.8$ for Irish Sea and Celtic Sea respectively (Molloy and Bhatnagar, 1977).

### 10.9 Quality of the Assessment

NA

### 10.10Management Considerations

Sprat is a short-lived species with large inter-annual fluctuations in stock biomass. The natural inter-annual variability in stock abundance, mainly driven by recruitment variability, is high and does not appear to be strongly influenced by the observed levels of fishing effort.

The sprat has mainly been fished together with herring. The human consumption fishery only takes a minor proportion of the total catch. Within the current management regime, where there is a by-catch ceiling limitation of herring as well as bycatch percentage limits, the sprat fishery is controlled by these factors. Most man-
agement areas in this ecoregion do not have a quota for sprat. However, there is a quota in VIId e, English Channel, which was restrictive in recent years.

### 10.11 Ecosystem Considerations

In the North Sea Multispecies investigations have demonstrated that sprat is one of the important prey species in the North Sea ecosystem, for both fish and seabirds. At present, there are no data available on the total amount of sprat taken by seabirds in this area.

The Celtic Sea is a feeding ground for several species of large baleen whales (O'Donnell et al, 2004-2009). These whales feed primarily on sprat and herring from September to February.

## Table 10.1.1 Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1985-2010 VIa

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 269 | 364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 51 | 348 | 0 | 150 | 147 | 800 | 151 | 360 | 2350 | 39 | 0 | 269 | 1596 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Scotland | 2946 | 520 | 582 | 3864 | 1146 | 813 | 1526 | 1555 | 2230 | 1491 | 4124 | 2418 | 5313 |
|  | 3554 | 870 | 851 | 4378 | 1293 | 1613 | 1677 | 1943 | 4602 | 1530 | 4365 | 2687 | 6909 |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Denmark | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 887 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 94 | 2533 | 3447 | 4 | 1333 | 1060 | 97 | 1134 | 601 | 333 | 892 | 104 | 332 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 310 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Scotland | 2749 | 8160 | 4238 | 1294 | 2657 | 2593 | 1416 | 894 | 0 | 13.59 | 0.1 | 70 | 537 |
|  | 2883 | 11003 | 7685 | 1396 | 3990 | 4540 | 1513 | 2293 | 601 | 346.6 | 892.1 | 174 | 869 |

Table 10.1.2a Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1985-2010 VIIa (not specified).

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 668 | 1152 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isle of Man | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 20 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Scotland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 688 | 1159 | 41 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| VIIaN | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Country | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Isle of Man | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 3 | 146 | 371 | 269 | 306 | 592 | 134 | 591 | 563 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Scotland | 3 | 146 | 371 | 272 | 306 | 592 | 134 | 591 | 563 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10.1.2b Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Irish Landings of sprat, 1995-2009 from VIIaS

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |  |  |
| Country | 7 | 25 | 123 | 7 | 0 | 3103 | 408 | 361 | 114 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 422 |  |  |
| Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10.1.3. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1985-2010 VIIbc.

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 40 | 50 | 3 | 145 | 150 | 21 | 28 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 40 | 50 | 3 | 145 | 150 | 21 | 28 |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 331 | 5 | 698 | 138 | 11 | 38 | 68 | 260 | 40 | 32 | 1 | 238 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 331 | 5 | 698 | 138 | 11 | 38 | 68 | 260 | 40 | 32 | 1 | 238 | 0 |

Table 10.1.4 Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1995-2010 VIIde.

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 0 | 15 | 250 | 2529 | 2092 | 608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 14 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 10 |  | 0 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 3771 | 1163 | 2441 | 2944 | 1319 | 1508 | 2567 | 1790 | 1798 | 3177 | 1515 | 1789 | 1621 |
| UK - Scotland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3785 | 1178 | 2714 | 5475 | 3421 | 2116 | 2567 | 1825 | 1800 | 3178 | 1515 | 1789 | 1621 |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 2024 | 3559 | 1692 | 1349 | 1196 | 1377 | 836 | 1635 | 1974 | 1819 | 3366 | 2765 | 4404 |
| UK - Scotland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2024 | 3560 | 1711 | 1349 | 1196 | 1377 | 836 | 1635 | 1974 | 1819 | 3366 | 2765 | 4407 |

## Table 10.1.5 Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1985-2010 VIIf.

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Netherlands | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Un. Sov. Soc. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Un. Sov. Soc. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 |

Table 10.1.6 Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1985-2010 VIIg-k.

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 0 | 538 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 3245 | 3032 | 2089 | 703 | 1016 | 125 | 14 | 98 | 0 | 48 | 649 | 3924 | 461 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 3245 | 3572 | 2090 | 704 | 1016 | 125 | 14 | 98 | 0 | 48 | 899 | 3924 | 467 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 1146 | 3263 | 1764 | 306 | 385 | 747 | 3523 | 4173 | 768 | 3380 | 1358 | 3431 | 2436 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng+Wales+N.Irl. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1146 | 3263 | 1764 | 306 | 385 | 747 | 3523 | 4173 | 768 | 3381 | 1358 | 3431 | 2436 |

Table 10.1.7 Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat, 1985-2010 Total Landings, Division VI, VII.

| Country | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 0 | 553 | 519 | 2893 | 2092 | 608 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 0 | 491 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 14 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 10 | 79 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 3964 | 4532 | 2230 | 853 | 1163 | 1325 | 205 | 508 | 2353 | 232 | 799 | 4214 | 2085 |
| Isle of Man | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 273 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - England \& Wales | 3791 | 1173 | 2441 | 2948 | 1521 | 1562 | 2571 | 1791 | 1798 | 3178 | 1546 | 1789 | 1629 |
| UK - Scotland | 2946 | 520 | 582 | 3870 | 1146 | 813 | 1526 | 1555 | 2230 | 1531 | 4124 | 2350 | 5313 |
| Un. Sov. Soc. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11545 | 6779 | 5796 | 10568 | 5932 | 4387 | 4302 | 3917 | 6406 | 4942 | 6960 | 8355 | 9028 |
| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 887 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 1578 | 5826 | 6032 | 455 | 1729 | 4948 | 4096 | 5928 | 1523 | 3745 | 2353 | 3773 | 3189 |
| Isle of Man | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK - Eng\&Wales+N. Irl. | 2027 | 4014 | 2064 | 1716 | 1502 | 1960 | 970 | 2239 | 2532 | 2708 | 3369 | 2774 | 4411 |
| UK - Scotland | 3467 | 8161 | 4238 | 1297 | 2657 | 2593 | 1416 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 70 | 537 |
| Un. Sov. Soc. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7112 | 18002 | 12336 | 3468 | 5888 | 10462 | 6488 | 8419 | 4062 | 6468 | 5722 | 6619 | 8140 |

Table 10.3.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Biomass estimates for Celtic Sea Sprat for the period November 1991 to October 2010, from Irish Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey.

| Date | Code | Abundance (millions) |  |  |  |  |  | Biomass (tonnes) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Definitely | Probably | Mixture | Total Est. | Possibly | Est. incl. poss. | Definitely | Probably | Mixture | Total Est. | Possibly | Est. incl. poss. |
| Nov/Dec-91 | $294{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36880 |  | 36880 |
| Jan-92 | 2941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15420 |  | 15420 |
| Jan-92 | $294{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5150 |  | 5150 |
| Nov-92 | $295{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27320 |  | 27320 |
| Jan-93 | $295{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18420 |  | 18420 |
| Nov-93 | 2993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95870 |  | 95870 |
| Jan-94 | 2993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8035 |  | 8035 |
| Nov-95 | 304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75440 |  | 75440 |
| Sep/Oct-02 | 316 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12700 | 900 | 7000 | 20600 |  | 20600 |
| Oct/Nov 03 | 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 361 | 1034 | 1395 |  | 1395 |
| Nov/Dec 04 | 318 | 552 | 1000 | 67 | 1619 | 395 | 2014 | 4594 | 659 | 9422 | 14675 | 3392 | 18067 |
| Oct-05 | 510 | 900 | 0 | 1671 | 2571 | 0 | 2571 | 8882 | 0 | 20137 | 29019 | 0 | 29019 |
| Oct-07 | 791 | 97 | 0 | 35 | 132 |  |  | 1549 | 0 | 369 | 1918 |  | 1918 |
| Oct-06 | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| Oct-08 | 792 | 93 | 0 | 447 | 540 |  |  | 690 | 0 | 4803 | 5493 |  | 5493 |
| Oct-09 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 497 | 0 | 921 | 1418 |  |  | 4530 | 0 | 11699 | 16229 |  | 16229 |
| Oct-10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Footnotes:
Three separate acoustic surveys. The first survey was from 27/11/91 to 4/12/91 and covered the area from Carnsore Point to the Aran Islands. The second was from 16/1/92 to 20/1/92 covered the area from Carnsore Point to Dingle Bay. The third was from 22/1/92 to 27/1/92 and covered the area from Galley Head to the Saltee Islands.
Two separate acoustic surveys. The first survey was from 2/11/92 to 12/11/92 and covered the area from Carnsore Point to Loop Head. The second was from 18/1/93 to $30 / 1 / 93$ and covered the area from Bantry Bay to Carnsore Point.
Two separate acoustic surveys. The first survey was from 2/11/93 to 12/11/93 and covered the area from Loop Head to Carnsore Point. The second was from 16/1/94 to 26/1/94 and covered the area from Old Head of Kinsale to Carnsore Point.

Table 10.3.2. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Annual sprat biomass in ICES subdivision VIIa (Source: AFBINI annual herring acoustic survey).

|  | Year | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biomass | 228,000 | 272,200 | 234,700 | 299,700 | 413,900 | 265,900 | 281,000 | 141,900 | 143,200 | 204,700 | 252,300 | 175,200 | 107,400 |
|  | CV | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 0.1 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.1 |
| Sprat and 0-group herring | \% sprat | 97 | 98 | 94 | 99 | 98 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 87 | 91 | 83 | 78 | 87 |
|  | Sprat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Biomass | 221160 | 266756 | 220618 | 296703 | 405622 | 252605 | 269760 | 136224 | 124584 | 186277 | 209409 | 136656 | 93438 |



Figure 10.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Map showing areas mentioned in the text.


Figure 10.2.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivision VIa.


Figure 10.2.2. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivision VIIaN. Note: Southern Irish landings from 1973-1995 may be from VIIaN or VIIaS.


Figure 10.2.3. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivision VIIaS.


Figure 10.2.4. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivisions VIIbc.


Figure 10.2.5. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivisions VIIde.


Figure 10.2.6. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivision VIIf.


Figure 10.2.7. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivisions VIIg-k.


Figure 10.2.8. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivisions VIId-k (not specified).


Figure 10.2.9. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Subdivisions VII (not specified).


Figure 10.2.10. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Landings of sprat 1950-2010 ICES Divisions VI and VII (Celtic Seas Ecoregion).


Figure 10.3. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Annual sprat biomass in the Celtic Sea. (Source: MI Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey).


Figure 10.4. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. Annual sprat biomass in ICES subdivision VIIa (Source: AFBINI annual herring acoustic survey).


Figure 10.5. Sprat in the Celtic Seas. VIIe d. Landing per unit of effort (LPUE) for main mid-water trawlers as positive landings of sprat (in days left axis and hours right axis), including effort linked to zero landings (in hours) and for all mid-water trawls (in hours).

## 11 Stocks with limited data

Three herring stocks have very little data associated with them and have been poorly described in recent reports. These are Clyde herring, part of Division VIaN (Section 5.11 in ICES 2005a), herring in VII ,f and herring in the Bay of Biscay (Subarea VIII). In this section only the times series of landings are maintained.

There was no sampling of the catch in 2010 for Clyde herring. The catch of Clyde herring, overestimated in 2009 was updated at this HAWG meeting and set up below the agreed TAC (Table 11.1). In 2010, the catch was 301 tonnes, lower than the agreed TAC of 720 tonnes .

Figure 11.1 shows time series of landings over the period 1950-2010 in VIIe and VIIf. Data before 2005 were taken from the FISHSTAT database, and may be of poor quality. Data for later years were adjusted, where possible, with data supplied by working group members. It can be seen that there was a pulse of landings in VIIf in the late 1970s, with landings since being very low in comparison. There was a pulse of landings in VIIe in the early 1980s. Since then landings have fluctuated between 150 and 900 t in recent years, without any obvious trend (Table 11.2).
In the Bay of Biscay, French landings peaked at 1700 t in 1976, declining gradually to very low levels by the late 1980s. More recently there was a sudden peak pulse of Dutch landings of 8000 t in 2002, declining to low levels since (Figure 11.2, Table 11.3). Data before 2005 were taken from the FISHSTAT database, and may be of poor quality. Data for later years were adjusted, where possible, with data supplied by working group members.

Table 11.1 Herring from the Firth of Clyde. Catch in tonnes by country, 1955-2010. Spring and autumn-spawners combined.

| Year | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4050 | 4848 | 5915 | 4926 | 10530 | 15680 | 10848 | 3989 | 7073 | 14509 | 15096 | 9807 | 7929 | 9433 |
| Year | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| All Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10594 | 7763 | 4088 | 4226 | 4715 | 4061 | 3664 | 4139 | 4847 | 3862 | 1951 | 2081 | 2135 | 4021 |
| Year | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| Scotland | 2530 | 2991 | 3001 | 3395 | 2895 | 1568 | 2135 | 2184 | 713 | 929 | 852 | 608 | 392 | 598 |
| Other UK | 273 | 247 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 194 | 127 |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ | 293 | 224 | 433 | 576 | 278 | 110 | 208 | 75 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Discards | 1265 | $2308^{3}$ | $1344^{3}$ | $679^{3}$ | $439{ }^{4}$ | $245{ }^{4}$ | .$^{2}$ | $-^{2}$ | $-^{2}$ | - ${ }^{2}$ | $2^{2}$ | $-^{2}$ | $-^{2}$ | - |
| Agreed TAC |  | 3000 | 3000 | 3100 | 3500 | 3200 | 3200 | 2600 | 2900 | 2300 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Total |  | 4361 | 5770 | 4800 | 4650 | 3612 | 1923 | 2343 | 2259 | 731 | 929 | 853 | 608 | 725 |
| Year | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Scotland | 371 | 779 | 16 | 1 | 78 | 46 | 88 | - | - | + | 163 | 54 | 266 | - |
| Other UK | 475 | 310 | 240 | 0 | 392 | 335 | 240 | - | 318 | 512 | 458 | 622 | 488 | 301 |
| Unallocated ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Agreed TAC | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 720 |
| Total | 846 | 1089 | 256 | 1 | 480 | 381 | 328 | 0 | 318 | 512 | 621 | 676 | 754 | 301 |

[^6]Table 11.2. Stocks with limited data. Landings of herring in Divisions VIIe and VIIf. Source: ICES FISHSTAT and National Database from 2005 to 2010.

| Division | Country | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIIe | UK <br> (Eng,Wal,NI,Scot,Guer | 315 | 199 | 66 | 189 | 106 | 78 | 136 | 185 |
| VIIe | Denmark | - | - | - | - | . | . | - | 0 |
| VIIe | France | 497 | 496 | 516 | 516 | 502 | 499 | 489 | 493 |
| VIIe | Germany, Fed. Rep. of | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | 0 |
| VIIe | Netherlands | - | - | 440 | - | - | 433 | - | 2 |
| VIIe | Poland | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | 0 |
|  | Total | 812 | 695 | 1022 | 705 | 608 | 1010 | 625 | 678 |
| Division | Country | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| VIIf | UK (Eng, Wal, Scot, NI) | 21 | 47 | 198 | 76 | 115 | 29 | 8 | 23 |
| VIIf | Belgium | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| VIIf | France | - | - | - | - | . | . | - | 0 |
| VIIf | Netherlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| VIIf | Poland | - | - | - | . | . | . | - | 0 |
| VIIf | USSR | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | 0 |
|  | Total | 21 | 47 | 198 | 76 | 115 | 29 | 8 | 23 |

Table 11.3. Stocks with limited data. Landings of herring in Sub-area VIII.

| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| France | 80 | 48 | 81 | 43 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 36 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 7575 | 1425 | 1396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | 0 |
| Portugal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | 0 |
| Spain | 232 | 232 | 266 | 197 | 0 | 50 | 214 | 120 | 131 | - | 0 |
| UK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| USSR | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 11.1. Stocks with limited data. Landings over time of herring in Divisions VIIe and VIIf.


Figure 11.2. Stocks with limited data. Landings over time of herring in Sub-area VIII.
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## Annex 2 - Recommendations

HAWG 2011 makes the following recommendations:

| RECOMMENDATION | ACTION |
| :--- | :--- |
| WGIPS to investigate possibilities to calculate a larvae produc- <br> tion estimate including uncertainties in the Southern North <br> Sea. | WGIPS |
| WGIPS to comment on anomalies in parameters estimated on <br> surveys (maturities, weights-at-age, numbers at age, eg low <br> maturity for age 2 in North Sea 2010) and provide guidance to |  |
| HAWG on their reliability. WGIPS should provide report to |  |
| HAWG by a certain date that allows HAWG members to con- |  |
| sider content and results before the commencement of HAWG. |  |


| Recommend to SIMWG to evaluate stock structure for all sprat stocks in NEA. | SIMWG |
| :---: | :---: |
| HAWG recommends to PGCCDBS that the effect of possible changes of autumn, winter and spring spawning components in VIaS/VIIbc, on the catch at age data be performed. In particular, PGCCDBS is asked to investigate the effect that the interpretation of the last winter ring may have in this mixed stock, bearing in mind that the birth date is the $1^{\text {st }}$ January. | PGCCDBS |
| InterCatch should produce outputs in a format that can be directly incorporated into a stock assessment (e.g. FLR objects, Lowestoft VPA format). | ICES Secretariat |
| Uncertainty estimates should be provided for all values used in stock assessment, including weights at age and maturity ogives. | PGCCDBS, IBTSWG, WGIPS |
| The sprat benchmark in 2009 highlighted that the current understanding of the reliability of sprat ageing is not well understood, in spite of four aging workshops on the topic. HAWG requests that the upcoming exchange of sprat otoliths identify where the discrepancies in the aging of sprat arise and suggest further potential improvements. | PGCCDBS |
| The upcoming HAWG benchmarks have already posed many questions about surveys that are best solved taking into account both the spatial and statistical nature of the data. However, the working group currently lacks expertise in the field of geostatistics. HAWG therefore requests that ICES and interested institutes provide such expertise to the benchmark process | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICES Secretariat } \\ & (\mathrm{ACOM}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Given the short lead-in time and the desire for all work in the upcoming HAWG benchmarks to be collaborative in nature, a pre-meeting is viewed as essential. HAWG therefore requests ICES to establish a three-day data compilation and model development workshop prior to the main benchmark meeting. Such a meeting could potentially take place during early $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter in 2011 | ICES Secretariat |
| HAWG recommends to investigate natural mortality estimates in the light of multi-species interactions of North Sea herring in preparation to the benchmark in 2012 | WGSAM investigates natural mortality |
| HAWG recommends to investigate the quality of the IBTS data for sprat in quarters 1 and 3 | IBTSWG |
| HAWG recommends WGIPS to compile a time series of the sprat abundance and biomass in Division IIIa (ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02), similar to the Table 4.2.2. on North Sea sprat. | WGIPS compiles a data series based on earlier reports |
| HAWG recommends WGIPS to compile a time series of the size at age and maturity information for North Sea and Division IIIa sprat (the information currently in Tables 4.2.1. and 4.2.3 ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). | WGIPS compiles a time series based on earlier reports |
| HAWG recommends WGIPS to include Kattegat-Skagerrak area (IIIa) in the map regarding acoustic survey (Figure 4.2.1. in ICES CM 2011/SSGESST:02). | WGIPS includes Kattegat-Skagerrak area in the map |


| HAWG recommends WGIPS to provide the average weight <br> and length for immature and mature sprat in Division IIIa in <br> Table 4.2.3. Currently same weight and length are given for <br> immatures and matures, which seems unlikely. If the sample <br> size is small, range or variance should then rather be given <br> together with the averages. | WGIPS recalculates <br> the average weight <br> and length for im- <br> mature and mature <br> individuals, or at <br> least does this in the <br> next report |
| :--- | :--- |
| HAWG recommends PGCCDBS to pay attention to the poor <br> biological sampling of North Sea sprat catches. The sampling <br> intensity should be increased in order to follow the sampling <br> recommendations by the DCF. | PGCCDBS demands <br> sampling according <br> to the DCF recom- <br> mendations |
| HAWG recommends that all information in the exchange <br> sheets should be available in InterCatch (e.g., catch per rectan- <br> gle, length frequency plots of sampled catches). This will pro- <br> vide the stock coordinators with the same opportunities in <br> InterCatch compared to common allocation routines. |  |
| The Exchange Spreadsheet should take precedence for data <br> exchange for the 2012 HAWG, until InterCatch provides the <br> additional functionality. | ICES Secretariat |

## Annex 3- Stock Annex North Sea Herring

Quality Handbook ANNEX: hawg-her47d3
Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

Stock: North Sea Autumn Spawning Herring (NSAS)<br>Working Group: Herring Assessment WG for the Area south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$<br>Date: 16 March 2010<br>Authors: C. Zimmermann, J. Dalskov, M. Dickey-Collas,<br>H. Mosegaard, P. Munk, J. Nichols, M. Pastoors, N. Rohlf, E.J. Simmonds, D. Skagen, M. Payne, N. Hintzen

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition:

Autumn spawning herring distributed in ICES area IV, Division IIIa and VIId. Mixing with other stocks occurs especially in Division IIIa (with Western Baltic Spring Spawning herring). Genetic studies have failed to prove that the stock is not one unit (Mariani et al., 2005; Reiss et al., 2009).

## A.2. Fishery

North Sea Autumn Spawners are exploited by a variety of fleets, ranging from small purse seiners to large freezer trawlers, of different nations (Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Germany, The Netherlands, Belgium, France, UK, Faroe Islands). The majority of the fishery takes place in the Shetland-Orkney area and northern North Sea in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter, and in the English Channel (Division VIId) in the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. Juveniles are caught in Division IIIa and as by-catch in the industrial fishery in the central North Sea. For management purposes, 4 fleets are currently defined: Fleet A is harvesting herring for human consumption in IV and VIId, but includes herring bycatches in the Norwegian industrial fishery; fleet B is the industrial (small mesh, <32 mm mesh size) fleet of EU nations operating in IV and VIId. North Sea Autumn spawners are also caught in IIIa in fleets C (human consumption) and D (small mesh).

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects:

Herring is the key pelagic species in the North Sea and is thus considered to have major impact as prey and predator to most other fish stocks in that area (DickeyCollas et al., 2010).

The North Sea is semi-enclosed and situated on the continental shelf of Northwestern Europe and is bounded by England, Scotland, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, Belgium and France. It covers an area of $\sim 750000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of which the greater part is shallower than 200 m . It is a highly productive ( $>300 \mathrm{gC} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) ecosystem but with primary productivity varying considerably across the sea. The highest values of primary productivity occur in the coastal regions, influenced by terrestrial inputs of nutrients, and in areas such as the Dogger Bank and tidal fronts. Changes observed in trophic structure are indicative of a trend towards a decreasing
resilience of this ecosystem. This trend is partially a response to inter-annual changes in the physical oceanography of the North Atlantic.

Herring are an integral and important part of the pelagic ecosystem in the North Sea. As plankton feeders they form an important part of the food chain up to the higher trophic levels. Both as juveniles and as adults they are an important source of food for some demersal fish, birds and for sea mammals (see review Dickey-Collas et al., 2010). Over the past century the top predator, man, has exerted the greatest influence on the abundance and distribution of herring in the North Sea. Spawning stock biomass has fluctuated from estimated highs of around 4.5 million tonnes in the late 1940s to lows of less than 100000 tonnes in the late 1970s (Mackinson, 2001; Mackinson and Daskalov, 2007; Simmonds 2007). The species has demonstrated robustness in relation to recovery from such low levels once fishing mortality is curtailed in spite of recruitment levels being adversely affected (Payne et al., 2009, Nash et al., 2009).

Their spawning and nursery areas, being near the coasts, are particularly sensitive and vulnerable to anthropogenic influences. The most serious of these is the ever increasing pressure for marine sand and gravel extraction and the development of wind farms. This has the potential to seriously damage and to destroy the spawning habitat and disturb spawning shoals and destroy spawn if carried out during the spawning season. It also has the potential to destroy traditional spawning grounds which are currently unused but likely to be recolonised (Schmidt et al., 2009). Similarly, trawling at or close to the bottom in known spawning areas can have the same detrimental effects. It is possible that the disappearance of spawning on the western edge of the Dogger Bank could well be attributable to such anthropogenic influences.

In more recent years the oil and gas exploration in the North Sea has represented a potential threat to herring spawning although great care has been taken by the industry to restrict their activities in areas and at times of known herring spawning activity.
By-catch and Discard
By-catch consists of the retained 'incidental' catch of non-target species and discard is a deliberately (or accidentally) abandoned part of the catch returned to the sea as a result of economic, legal, or personal considerations. This section therefore deals with these two elements of the fishery, looking specifically at fishery-related issues. Cetacean, seabird and other threatened, rare and charismatic species which may form part of a by-catch are considered separately in the next section. Discarding is illegal for Norwegian vessels and slippage and high grading is now illegal for EU vessels if quota is still available and the fish are above minimum landing size.

Incidental Catch: The incidental catch of non-target species in the North Sea pelagic herring fishery in general is considered to be low (Borges et al., 2008). A study by Pierce et al. (2002) investigated incidental catch from commercial pelagic trawlers over the period January to August 2001. The target species, herring, accounted for $98 \%$ by weight of the overall catch with an overall incidental catch of $2.3 \%$ made up of mackerel, haddock, horse mackerel and whiting. However, onboard sampling over 2002 by Scottish and German observers found substantial discards of herring, taken as by-catch in the mackerel fishery over the 3rd and 4th quarters, after herring quotas had been exhausted. This was not found in a study of the Dutch fleet (Borges et al., 2008) when the herring fishery was found to be relatively "clean". Updates of the time series of Dutch discarding due to sorting suggest an approximate discard of $<5 \%$ of the catch (Helmond and Overzee, 2010a).

Discards and slipping: The indications are that large-scale discarding is not widespread in the directed North Sea herring fishery. A number of direct-observer surveys have been conducted on Scottish, Dutch and Norwegian pelagic trawlers, (Napier et al, 1999; 2002; Borges et al., 2008). The overall discard rate was less than $5 \%$ of the landed catch. It is likely that there are different discard rates between the specific fishing types. There is disagreement about the amount of slippage compared to discarding by the differing fleets (slippage- fish released from the nets whilst still in the water but still resulting in the mortality of the majority of pelagic fish, discardingfish dumped back into the sea after having been brought on board). In freezer trawlers discarding can occur through sorting the catch and through emptying of tanks via the processing belts without sorting. For both pursers and trawlers 'poor' fish quality was a significant cause of discarding. Another reason is the processing capacity of freezer trawlers when catches are abundant (Helmond and Overzee, 2010b). The strength of year classes influences discarding behaviour, particularly of undersized fish. The influence of strong herring year classes was apparent in the composition of discards with smaller, younger fish accounting for a high proportion of the fish discarded in 2001. In the mid 2000s the stronger recruitment of mackerel has probably lead to the increase in discarding of smaller mackerel.

Ecosystem Considerations. The incidental non-target fish catch by directed North Sea herring fisheries appears to be low (ca. 2\%), mainly consisting of mackerel when fishing mixed shoals. Thus it is likely that the impact of incidental fish catches is negligible. The discard of unwanted herring, mostly in the form of high-grading to improve catch quality and grade sizes of fish between 2-4 years of age is low and now illegal in both the EU and Norway. Discarding is thought to be reducing.

Interactions with Rare, Protected or charismatic mega fauna: Interactions between the directed North Sea herring fishery with rare, protected or charismatic mega fauna species are, in general, considered to be low. Species which may interact with the fishery are considered below.

Cetacean by-catch: Since 2000, the Sea Mammal Research Unit (SMRU) of St. Andrew's University in Scotland, under contract to DEFRA, has carried out a number of surveys to estimate the level of by-catch in UK pelagic fisheries. SMRU, in collaboration with the Scottish Pelagic Fishermen's Association, placed observers on board thirteen UK vessels for a total of 190 days at sea, covering 206 trawling operations around the UK. No cetacean by-catch was observed in the herring pelagic fishery in the North Sea. Pierce (2002) also reports that no by-catches of marine mammals were observed over 69 studied hauls and considers that the underlying rate for marine mammals in the pelagic fisheries studies (pelagic trawls in IVa and VIa) is no more than 0.05 (i.e. five events per 100 hauls) and may well be considerably lower than this. Consequently, the cetacean by-catch by the pelagic trawl fishery can be regarded as negligible. This was also confirmed by an UK observer programme that ended in 2003 (Northridge, pers. Comm.) and Dutch observers (1 catch from 2007-2009: over 210 days observed; Couperus 2009).

Other than the above, there are no reliable estimates of by-catch for pelagic trawl fisheries, though observations have been made and by-catch rates have been established for several fisheries. Data are now collected routinely through the DRF and have yet to be analysed. Kuklik and Skóra (2003) refer to a single record of a harbour porpoise (Phocoena phocoena) by-catch in a herring trawl in the Baltic. Observations in several other pelagic trawl fisheries were reported by Morizur et al. (1999) and Couperus (1997). All appear to agree that incidental catches of cetaceans in the Dutch pe-
lagic trawl fishery are largely restricted to late-winter/early-spring in an area along the continental slope southwest of Ireland, so outside the North Sea.

Seal by-catch: The by-catch of seals in directed pelagic herring fishery in the North Sea is reported to be "very rare" (Aad Jonker, pers. comm.). Independent verification also confirms this to be so, with perhaps one animal being caught by the whole North Sea fleet a year (Bram Couperus (IMARES, pers. comm.). Northridge (2003) observed 49 seals taken in 312 pelagic trawl tows throughout UK waters and reports that the fishery in North-western Scotland has the highest observed seal by-catch levels of UK pelagic trawl fisheries, possible amounting to dozens per year. Although not confirmed, it was assumed that the majority were grey seal Halichoerus grypus. This species is mainly distributed around the Orkneys and Outer Hebrides - out of a UK population of 129000 , only around 7000 and 5900 are distributed off the Scottish and English North Sea coasts respectively (SCOS, 2002), and so by-catch rates in the North Sea are likely to be substantially less than off the NW Scottish coast. The eastern Atlantic population of the Grey seal is not considered to be threatened.

Other by-catch: Sharks are occasionally caught by pelagic trawlers in the North Sea, although this is rare with a maximum of two fish per trip (Aad Jonker, pers. comm.). Survival rates are apparently high, sharks are released during or after the cod-end has been emptied. The species are unknown, although blue shark Prionace glauca, which preys primarily upon schooling fishes such as anchovies, sardines and herring, are known to have been caught by pelagic trawls off the SW English coast (Bram Couperus (IMARES), pers. comm.). Gannets (Morus bassanus), which frequently dive at and around nets, were observed by Napier et al. (2002) entangled in the nets but were not present in samples. Actual mortality rates of caught gannets have not been assessed in detail, and some have been observed alive after release from the gear. An extrapolation from observed mortalities corresponds to around 560 gannet deaths per year, although this is based on a relatively low sample frame. Seabird by-catch in the North Sea is considered to be comparatively rare. In the NW Scotland, 1-3 birds may be caught, especially in grounds off St. Kilda (Aad Jonker (former freezer trawler skipper), pers. comm.). IMARES observers in the North Sea only recorded one incident of seabird by-catch over 10 trips (Bram Couperus, pers. comm.).

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch:

Commercial catch is obtained from national laboratories of nations exploiting herring in the North Sea. Since 1999 (catch data 1998), these labs have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data, which was developed originally for the Mackerel Working Group (WGMHSA) and further adapted to the special needs of the Herring Assessment Working Group. The current version used for reporting the 2007 catch data was v1.6.4. This method is now run in parallel with INTERCATCH, which is maintained by ICES. INTERCATCH is still in development and thus HAWG uses both. The data in the exchange spreadsheets are allocated samples to catch using the SALLOCL-application (Patterson, 1998). This programme gives the needed standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set.

In addition, commercial catch and sampling data were stored and processed using the Intercatch-software for the first time during the WG in 2007. While at that time
larger discrepancies up to $5 \%$ between the SALLOCL routines and Intercatch did occur, INTERCATCH performed quite well in 2008. The estimates of CANON, CATON and WECA were highly comparable. However INTERCATCH is still not completely satisfactory in terms of flexibility and outputs. Thus both methods are still being used.

The "wonderful table". The following figure explains were the estimates in the wonderful table are derived from:


Transparency of data handling by the Working Group. The current practice of data handling by the Working Group is that the data received by the co-ordinators is available in a folder called "archive". These high-resolution data are not reproduced in the report. The archived data contains the disaggregated dataset (disfad), the allocations of samples to unsampled catches (alloc), the aggregated dataset (sam.out) and (in some cases) a document describing any problems with the data in that year. Since 2007, the corresponding datasets are also stored in Intercatch, where they are accessible to the stock coordinators only.

Current methods of compiling fisheries assessment data. The stock co-ordinator is responsible for compiling the national data to produce the input data for the assessments. In addition to checking the major task involved is to allocate samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to un-sampled catches. There are at present no defined criteria on how this should be done, but the following general process is implemented by the species co-ordinators. Searches are made for appropriate samples by gear (fleet), area and quarter. If an exact match is not available the search will move to a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter. More than one sample may be allocated to an un-sampled catch, in this case a straight mean or weighted mean of the observations may be used. If there are no samples available the search will move to the closest non-adjacent area by gear (fleet) and quarter, but not in all cases.

The Working Group acknowledges the effort some members have made to provide "corrected" data, which in some cases differ significantly from the officially reported catches. Most of this valuable information is gathered on the basis of personal knowledge of the fishery and good relations between the scientist responsible and the fishermen. In addition the Working Group recognises and would like to highlight the inherent conflict of interest in obtaining details of unallocated catches by country and increasing the transparency of data handling by the Working Group.

## B.2. Biological

Catch-at-age data (catch numbers-at-age, mean weights-at-age in the catch, mean length-at-age) is derived from the raised national figures received from the national laboratories. The data are obtained either by market sampling or by onboard observers, and processed as described above. For information on recent sampling levels and nations providing samples, see Sec. 2.2. of the most recent HAWG report.

Mean weights-at-age in the stock and proportions mature (maturity ogive) are derived from the June/July international acoustic survey (see next paragraph). All 1 ring fish are assumed to be immature, and all fish over five rings are assumed to be mature.

## B.3. Surveys

## B.3.1 Acoustic: ICES Co-ordinated Acoustic Surveys for herring in North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat

The ICES Coordinated acoustic surveys started in 1979 around Orkney and Shetland with first major coverage in 1984. An index derived from that survey has been used in assessments since 1994 with the time-series data extending back to 1989. The survey was extended to IIIa to include the overlapping Western Baltic spring spawning stock in 1989, and the index has been used with a number of other tuning indices since 1991. The early survey had occasionally covered VIa (North) during the 1980s and
was extended westwards in 1991 to cover the whole of VIa (North). Since 1991, this survey provides the only tuning index for VIa (North) herring and from 2008 for the whole Malin Shelf, By carrying out the co-ordinated survey at the same time from the Kattegat to Donegall all herring in these areas are covered simultaneously, reducing uncertainly due to area boundaries as well as providing input indices to three distinct stocks. The surveys are co-ordinated under ICES Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS).

The acoustic recordings are carried out using Simrad EK60 38 kHz sounder echo-integrator with transducers mounted on the hull, drop keel or towed bodies. Prior to 2006, Simrad EK500 and EY500 were also used. Further data analysis is carried out using either BI500, Echoview or Echoann software. The survey track is selected to cover the area giving a basic sampling intensity over the whole area based on the limits of herring densities found in previous years. A transect spacing of 15 nautical miles is used in most parts of the area with the exception of some relatively high density sections, east and west of Shetland, north of Ireland in the Skagerrak where short additional transects were carried out at 7.5 nautical miles spacing, and in the southern area, where a 30 nautical miles transect spacing is used.

The following target strength to fish length relationships have been used to analyse the data:
herring $\quad \mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB}$
sprat $\quad \mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB}$
gadoids $\quad \mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-67.5 \mathrm{~dB}$
mackerel $\quad$ TS $=21.7 \log \mathrm{~L}-84.9 \mathrm{~dB}$
Data are reported through standardised data exchange format and uploaded into the FishFrame database, currently held at DTU Aqua, Charlottenlund, Denmark. National estimates are aggregated through Fishframe during PGIPS to calculate global estimates for the North Sea, the Malin Shelf and the western Baltic Sea. The exchange format currently holds information on the ICES statistical rectangle level, with at least one entry for each rectangle covered, but more flexible strata are accommodated by allowing multiple entries for abundance belonging to different strata. Data submitted consists of the ICES rectangle definition, biological stratum, herring abundance by proportion of autumn spawners (North Sea and VIa North) and Spring spawners (Western Baltic, age and maturity, and survey weight (survey track length). Data are presented according to the following age/maturity classes: 1 immature (maturity stage 1 or 2 ), 1 mature (maturity stage $3+$ ), 2 immature, 2 mature, 3 immature, 3 mature, $4,5,6,7,8,9+$. In addition to proportions at age data on mean weights and mean length are reported at age/maturity by biological strata. Data are combined using an effort weighted mean based on survey effort reported as number of nautical miles of cruise track per statistical rectangle. A combined survey report is produced annually. Apart from the Biomass index for 1-9+-ringers, mean weights at age in the catch and proportions mature are derived from the survey to be used in the NSAS assessment.

## B.3.2 International Bottom Trawl Survey:

The International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) started out as a Young Herring Survey (IYHS) in 1966 with the objective of obtaining annual recruitment indices for the combined North Sea herring stocks (Heessen et al., 1997). It has been carried out every year since, and it was realized that the survey could provide recruitment indices not only for herring, but for roundfish species as well. Examination of the catch
data from the 1st quarter IBTS showed that these surveys also gave indications of the abundances of the adult stages of herring, and subsequently the catches have been used for estimating 2-5+ ringer abundances. The surveys are carried out in $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter (February) and in $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter (August-September) using standardized procedures among all participants. The standard gear is a GOV trawl, and at least two hauls are made in each statistical rectangle. In 2007 the IBTS was extended into English Channel. In addition, historical IBTS indices have been updated from 2004 onwards (in 2007).

In 1977 sampling for late stage herring larvae was introduced at the IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter, using Isaccs-Kidd Midwater trawls. These catches appeared as a good indicator of herring recruitment, however examination of IKMT performance showed deficiencies in its catchability for herring larvae, and a more applicable gear, a ring net (MIK) was suggested as an alternative gear. Hence, gear type was changed in the mid 90'ies, and the MIK has been the standard gear of the programme since. This ring net is of 2 meter in diameter, has a long two-legged bridle, and is equipped with a black netting of 1.5 mm mesh size. Two oblique hauls per ICES statistical rectangle are made during night.

Indices of 2-5+ ringer herring abundances in the North Sea ( $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ quarter). Fishing gear and survey practices were standardised from 1983, and herring abundance estimates of 2-5+ ringers from 1983 onwards has shown the most consistent results in assessments of these age groups. This series is used in North Sea herring assessment. Catches in Division IIIa are not included in this index. These estimates are determined by the standard IBTS methodology developed by the ICES IBTS working group.

Index of 1-ringer recruitment in the North Sea (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ quarter). The 1-ringer index of recruitment is based on trawl catches in the entire survey area, hence, all 1-ringer herring caught in Division IIIa is included in this index. Indices are calculated as an area weighted mean over means by ICES statistical rectangle, and are available for year classes 1977 to recent. The Downs herring hatch later than the other autumn spawned herring and generally appears as a smaller sized group during the 1st quarter IBTS. A recruitment index of smaller sized 1-ringers is calculated using the standard procedure, but solely based on abundance estimates of herring <13 cm (ICES CM 2000/ ACFM:10, and ICES CM 2001/ ACFM:12).

MIK index of 0-ringer recruitment in the North Sea ( $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter). The MIK catches of late stage herring larvae are used to calculate an 0-ringer index of autumn spawned herring in the North Sea, this represents recruitment strength (Nash \& Dickey-Collas 2005). A flowmeter at the gear opening is used for estimation of volume filtered by the gear, and using this information together with information on bottom depth, the density of herring larvae per square meter is estimated. The mean herring density in statistical rectangles is raised to mean within subareas, and based on areas of these subareas an index of total abundance is estimated (see also ICES 1996/Asses:10). The series estimates for subareas as well as the total index.

## B.3.3. Larvae:

Surveys of larval herring have a long tradition in the North Sea. Sporadic surveys started around 1880, and available scientific data goes back to the middle of the 20th century. The co-ordination of the International Herring Larvae Surveys in the North Sea and adjacent waters (IHLS) by ICES started in 1967, and from 1972 onwards all relevant data are achieved in a data base (ICES PGIPS). The surveys are carried out
annually to map larval distribution and abundance (Schmidt et al., 2009). Larval abundance estimates are of value as relative indicators of the herring spawning biomass in the assessment.

Nearly all countries surrounding the North Sea have participated in the history of the IHLS. Most effort was undertaken by the Netherlands, Germany, Scotland, England, Denmark and Norway. A number of other nations have contributed occasionally. A sharp reduction in ship time and number of participating nations occurred in the end of the 1980s. Since 1994 only the Netherlands and Germany contribute to the larvae surveys, with one exception in 2000 when also Norway participated.

Larvae Abundance Index (LAI): The total area covered by the surveys is divided into 4 sub areas corresponding to the main spawning grounds. These sub areas have to be sampled in different given time intervals. The sampling grid is standardized and stations are approximately 10 nautical miles apart. The standard gear is a GULF III or GULF VII sampler (Nash et al., 1998). Newly hatched larvae less than 10 mm total length ( 11 mm for the Southern North Sea) are used in the index calculation. To estimate larval abundance, the mean number of larvae per square meter obtained from the Ichthyoplankton hauls is raised to rectangles of $30 \times 30$ nautical miles and the corresponding surface area. These values are summed up within the given unit and provide the larval abundance per unit and time interval.

Multiplicative Larval Abundance Index (MLAI): The traditional LAI and LPE (Larval Production Estimates) rely on a complete coverage of the survey area. Due to the substantial decline in ship time and sampling effort since the end of the 80s, these indices could not be calculated in their traditional form since 1994. Instead, a multiplicative model was introduced for calculating a Multiplicative Larvae Abundance Index (MLAI, Patterson \& Beveridge, 1995). In this approach the larvae abundances are calculated for a series of sampling units. The total time series of data are used to estimate the year and sampling unit effects on the abundance values. The unit effects are used to fill un-sampled units so that an abundance index can be estimated for each year.

Calculation of the linearised multiplicative model was done using the equation:
$\ln ($ Indexyear,LAI unit $)=$ MLAIyear + MLAILAI unit + uyear, LAI unit
where MLAIyear is the relative spawning stock size in each year, MLALlai unit are the relative abundances of larvae in each sampling unit and year, LAI unit are the corresponding residuals (Gröger et al., 1999, 2000). The unit effects are converted such that the first sampling unit is used as a reference (Orkney/Shetland 01-15.09.72) and the parameters for the other sampling units are redefined as differences from this reference unit. The model is fitted to abundances of larvae less than 10 mm in length (11 mm for SNS). The MLAI is updated annually and represent all larval data since 1972. The time series is used as a biomass index in the herring assessment.

Another larval abundance index (SCAI- Spawning Component Abundance Index) has been developed to reduce the problems of missing observations and a high sampling noise (Payne 2010). It is a simple state-space statistical model that is considered robust to these problems. The model gives a good fit to the data and is demonstrated to be capable of both handling and predicting missing observations well. Furthermore, the sum of the fitted abundance indices across all components is a proxy for the biomass of the total stock, even though they only model processes at the component level. The use of this index will be further explored in the future.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for pelagic stocks.

## B.5. Other relevant data

## B.5.1 Separation of North Sea Autumn Spawners and Illa-type Spring Spawners

North Sea Autumn Spawners and IIIa-type Spring Spawners occur in mixtures in fisheries operating in Divisions IIIa and IVaE (ICES, 1991/Assess:15; Clausen et al., 2007): mainly $2+$ ringers of the Western Baltic spring-spawners and 0-2-ringers from the North Sea autumn-spawners, including winter-spawning Downs herring. In addition, several local spawning stocks have been identified with a minor importance for the herring fisheries (ICES, 2001/ACFM 12).

The method of separating herring in Norwegian samples, using vertebral counts as described in former reports of this Working Group (ICES 1990/ Assess:14) assumes that for autumn spawners, the mean vertebral count is 56.5 and for Spring spawners 55.80. The fractions of spring spawners (fsp) are estimated from the formula (56.50$\mathrm{v}) /(56.5-55.8)$, where v is the mean vertebral count of the (mixed) sample with the restriction that the proportion should be one if $\mathrm{fsp}>=1$ and zero if $\mathrm{fsp}<=0$. The method is quite sensitive to within-stock variation (e.g. between year classes) in mean vertebral counts.

Experience within the Herring Assessment Working Group has shown that separation procedures based on size distributions often will fail. The introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996-97 (Mosegaard \& Popp-Madsen, 1996) enables an accurate and precise split between three groups, autumn, winter and spring-spawners. However, different populations with similar spawning periods are not resolved with the present level of analysis. Different stock components that are not easily distinguished by their otolith microstructure (OM), are considered to have different mean vertebral counts (vs) as, e.g., winter-spawning Downs herring: 56.6 (Hulme, 1995), and the small local stocks, the Skagerrak winter/spring-spawners: 57 (Rosenberg and Palmén, 1982). Further, the estimated stock specific mean vs count varies somewhat among different studies; North Sea: 56.5, Western Baltic Sea: 55.6 (Gröger \& Gröhsler, 2001) and North Sea: 56.5, Western Baltic Sea: 55.8 (ICES 1992/H:5). Comparison between separation methods using frequency distributions of vertebral counts and otolith microstructure showed reasonable correspondence. Using this information the years from 1991 to 1996 was reworked in 2001, applying common splitting keys for all years by using a combination of the vertebral count and otolith microstructure methods (ICES, 2001/ACFM:12). From 2001 and onwards, the otolith-based method only has been used for the Division IIIa.

Different methods of identifying herring stocks in the Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 were evaluated in EU CFP study project (EC study 98/026). The study involved several inter-calibration sessions between microstructure readers in the different laboratories involved with the WBSS herring. After the study was finished a close collaboration concerning reader interpretations has been kept between the Danish and Swedish laboratories. Sub-samples of the 2002 and 2003 Danish, Swedish, and German microstructure analyses were double-checked by the same Danish expert reader for consistency in interpretation. The overall impression is an increasingly good agreement among readers (Clausen et al., 2007).
New molecular genetic approaches for stock separation are being developed within the EU-FP5 project HERGEN (EU project QLRT 200-01370). Sampling of spawning
aggregations during spring, autumn and winter has been carried out in 2002 and in 2003 in Division IIIa and in the Western Baltic at more than 10 different locations. Preliminary results point at a substantial genetic variation between North Sea and Western Baltic herring (Bekkevold et al., 2005; 2007; Ruzzante et al., 2006).

After the introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996 it was discovered that in the western Baltic a small percentage of the herring landings might consist of autumn-spawners individuals. Before molecular genetic methods became available for Atlantic herring the existence of varying proportions of autumn spawners in Subdivisions $22-24$ in different years was considered a potential problem for the assessment.

## B.5.2 Mixing of North Sea spawning components

The relative populations of the spawning components of herring in the North Sea vary over time and show different dynamics (see Dickey-Collas et al., 2010). These broad dynamics can be monitored through the surveys and of larvae (Schmidt et al. 2009; Payne, 2010) or investigated in the catch (Bierman et al, 2010). For conservation and biodiversity objectives it is important to monitor the dynamics and resilience of the different spawning components, especially when they experience differing exploitation rates or changes in productivity (Kell et al., 2009).

## C. Historical Stock Development

## C. 1 Model used:

A benchmark assessment for North Sea herring was carried out in 2006. Following the benchmark investigation in 2006, the tool for the assessment of North Sea herring is ICA. However, the environment to execute the ICA has changed from the original ICA software into FLR (now called FLICA). Justification of the choice of assessment model, catch and survey weightings and the length of separable period are found in HAWG 2006 and Simmonds (2003; 2009). After extensive testing HAWG assumes there are no differences between the old ICA and FLICA. Thus FLICA was used to carry out the assessments after 2008.

The assessment has the same set-up and basic assumption as the assessment that was carried out last year. Input data are given in Tables 2.6.2.2. The ICA programme operates by minimising the following general objective function:
$\sum \lambda_{c}(c-\dot{\theta})^{2}+\sum \lambda_{i}(-\vec{\theta})^{2}+\sum \lambda_{r}(R-\vec{p})^{2}$
which is the sum of the squared differences for the catches (separable model), the indices (catchability model) and the stock-recruitment model.

The final objective function chosen for the stock assessment model was:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=0, y=1997}^{a=8, y=2002} \lambda_{a}\left(\ln \left(\ddot{\Theta}_{a, y}\right)-\ln \left(C_{a, y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
& \sum_{y=1979}^{y=2002} \lambda_{\text {mlai }} \cdot\left(\ln \left(q_{\text {mlai }} \cdot S \ddot{\mathscr{S}} \ddot{B}_{y}^{K}\right)-\ln \left(M L A I_{y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
& \sum_{a=1, y=1983^{* *}}^{a=5+y=2003} \lambda_{a, i b t s a}\left(\ln \left(q_{a, i b t s a} \cdot \ddot{\prod}_{a, y}\right)-\ln \left(I B T S_{a, y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
& \sum_{a=1, y=1989}^{a=9+, y=2002} \lambda_{a, a c o u s t}\left(\ln \left(q_{a, a c o u s t} \cdot \ddot{\Gamma}_{a, y}\right)-\ln \left(\text { ACOUST }_{a, y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
& \sum_{y=1977}^{y=2003} \lambda_{\text {mik }}\left(\ln \left(q_{m i k} \cdot \ddot{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{0, y}\right)-\ln \left(M I K_{y}\right)\right)^{2}+ \\
& \sum_{y=1960}^{y=2002} \lambda_{s s r}\left(\ln \left(\ddot{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_{0, y+1}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{\alpha S \ddot{\Omega} B_{y}}{\beta+S \ddot{\Phi} B_{y}}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

** except for 1 ring IBTS which runs from 1979 to 2002
with the following variables:

| a,y | age (rings) and year |
| :---: | :---: |
| C | Catch at age (rings) |
| Ö | Estimated catch at age (rings) in the separable model |
| $\stackrel{\theta}{9}$ | Estimated population numbers |
| S®̈B | Estimated spawning stock size |
| MLAI | MLAI index (biomass index) |
| ACOUST | Acoustic index (age disaggregated) |
| IBTS | IBTS index ( $1-5+$ ringers) |
| MIK | MIK index (0-ringers) |
| q | Catchability |
| k | power of catchability model |
| $\alpha, \beta$ | parameters to the Beverton stock-recruit model |
| $\lambda$ | Weighting factor |

## Model Options chosen:

The model settings should be as follows (as determined by the last benchmark, HAWG 2006)

| FLICA control settings | Settings | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sr | TRUE | Stock and recruitment relationship |
| sr.age | 1 | age at recruitment |
| lambda.age | 0.10 .13 .672 .872 .231 .74 | Weighting matrices for catch-at- <br> age; for aged surveys; for SSB <br> surveys |
| lambda.yr | 1.371 .040 .940 .91 | Relative weights by year |
| lambda.sr | 11111 | weight for the SRR term in the <br> objective function |
| index.model | 0.1 | Catchability model for each survey |
|  | linear - IBTS Q1 <br> linear - MIK <br> linear - Acoustic <br> power - MLIA | Are the age-structured indices <br> correlated across ages |
| index.cor | False | Number of years for separable <br> model |
| sep.nyr | 5 | Reference age for fitting the <br> separable model |
| sep.age | 4 | Selection on last true reference age |
| sep.sel | 1 |  |

Input data types and characteristics:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lllll}\hline \text { Type } & \text { Name } & \text { Year range } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Age } \\
\text { range }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Variable from year to } \\
\text { year } \\
\text { Yes/No }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Caton } & \text { Catch in tonnes } & 1960-2009 & & \text { Yes } \\
\hline \text { Canum } & \text { Catch at age in numbers } & 1960-2009 & 0-9+ & \text { Yes } \\
\hline \text { Weca } & \text { Weight at age in the commercial catch } & 1960-2009 & 0-9+ & \text { Yes } \\
\hline \text { West } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weight at age of the spawning stock at } \\
\text { spawning time. }\end{array}
$$ \& 1960-2009 \& 1-9+ \& Yes (3 year running <br>

mean)\end{array}\right]\)| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before <br> spawning | $1960-2009$ | $1-9+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before <br> spawning | $1960-2009$ | $1-9+$ |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $1960-2009$ | $1-9+$ |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1960-2009$ | $1-9+$ |

Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range (wr) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | IBTS Q1 | $1984-2010$ | $1-5$ |
| Tuning fleet 2 | MIK | $1992-2010$ | 0 |
| Tuning fleet 3 | Acoustic | $1989-2009$ | $1-9+$ |
| Tuning fleet 4 | MLAI | $1973-2009$ | SSB |

## C. 2 Variance and weighting factors for ICA

In the ICA model a fixed set of inverse variance weights for surveys and catch at age have been used. In the benchmark assessment in 2006 (ICES 2006/ACFM:20) the weighting factors of the indices used in ICA were fixed and have been used with the same values since. This reflects a slight change from a major investigation in 2001 car-
ried out by the Study Group on Evaluation of Current Assessment Procedures for North Sea herring (SGEHAP, ICES 2001/ACFM:22). The original weighting factors were derived from the survey and catch data by methods given in ICES 2001/ACFM:22 and Simmonds (2003). The variance used is the variance of the natural logarithm of the estimates of the index based on a 2 stage bootstrap procedure. The choice matches the use of a maximum log likelihood method with a lognormal error distribution used within the ICA model. All indices are treated in the same manner. The individual station estimates at all ages are bootstrapped using a simple resampling with replacement procedure. This provides a variance covariance estimate of estimates of indices at age for each index assuming identically independently distributed samples. (iid)

As the spatial distributions are correlated and the sampling on the surveys are nonrandom in space, the spatial autocorrelation was taken into account using geostatistics. The methodology is described in Rivoirard et al. (2000), who provide the formulae and methods required to estimate variograms and calculate the estimation variance. Petitgas and Lafont (1997) provide the free software (EVA2) that has been used here for calculating the estimation variance for all the surveys. The iid estimates are corrected to provide overall estimates of variance covariance estimates across ages for each survey. The mean variance covariance estimate for the survey time series was calculated to provide one average variance/covariance matrix per survey.

ICA does not explicitly deal with covariance (in common with many assessment models) but it does allow modification of weights at age to account for this in a general way. The concept is to reduce the inverse variance factor by an amount that accommodates the covariance. The limits are: for zero correlation a factor of unity; for $100 \%$ covariance over $n$ ages weights of $1 / \mathrm{n}$. In both surveys the 1 to 2 group estimates are effectively independent and can be given weighting due to the full inverse variance weight, for subsequent ages the weighting has been implemented here for intermediate values of covariance to give the Wage weighting factors at age:

$$
W_{\text {age }}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{var}_{\text {age }}}\left\{n-\sum \operatorname{cov}_{\text {age,age-1 }}\right\} /\left\{\operatorname{cov}_{\text {age,age-1 }} / \sum 1 / \operatorname{cov}_{\text {age,age-1 }}\right\}
$$

Where varage is the variance of $\ln$ (estimate at age)
cov is covariance (age, age- 1 )
n is the number of ages in the correlated sequence
The resulting correlation correction factors are given in Table 2.6.7.3 in HAWG Report 2008.

The weighting factors used since 2006 (ICES 2006/ACFM:20) are given in Table 1 and can be compared with the old weighting factors derived under SGEHAP (ICES 2001/ACFM:22). The major difference is a slight general reduction in survey weights relative to the catch. Among the surveys the resulting spread of weights is generally similar to the earlier values, reducing with age, more steeply with the IBTS than the acoustic. The major difference is the MIK weighting which is reduced to about $1 / 3$ of the previous value. The change is caused by the recent extended analysis. The difference between the previous analysis and this one was that in the earlier work the geostatistical analysis of spatial variance was limited to only a few recent years in each series. This resulted quite accidentally and unknowingly in selecting years from the MIK index that were very precise.

Table 1: North Sea herring. New weighting factors (ICES 2006 /ACFM:20) based on bootstrap of survey data (Simmonds 2009). Old weights are included for comparison

|  | Catch | Acoustic |  |  | IBTS |  | MIK |  | MLAI |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New | Old | New |
| 0 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  | 2.05 | 0.63 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3.17 | 3.67 | 0.75 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2.65 | 2.87 | 0.64 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.94 | 2.23 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.97 | 1.37 | 0.13 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.75 | 1.04 | 0.12 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.55 | 0.94 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.54 | 0.91 | 0.07 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## D. Short-Term Projection

The short-term prediction method was substantially modified in 2002. Following the review by SGEHAP (ICES 2001/ACFM:22), which recommended that a simple multifleet method would be preferable, the complex split-factor method used for a number of years prior to 2002 has not been used since. The multi-fleet, multi-option, deterministic short-term prediction programme (MFSP) was accepted by ACFM in 2002 and further refined in 2003. It has been used routinely to perform short term predictions for this stock since then. The good agreement between predicted biomass for the intermediate year and SSB taken from the assessment one year after demonstrates that the current prediction procedure for stock numbers is working well.

## Method

The procedure and programme used changed considerably and is a copy of the (MFSP Skagen; WD to HAWG 2003) code but rewritten in R. Both the Short Term Forecast Module North Sea (STFMNS, Hintzen) and the MFSP program have extensively been tested in 2009 . For the North Sea herring, managers have agreed to constrain the total outtake at levels of fishing mortalities for ages $0-1$ and 2-6, and need options to show the trade-off between fleets within those limits.

## Input data

## Fleet Definitions

The current fleet definitions are:
North Sea
Fleet A: Directed herring fisheries with purse seiners and trawlers. By-catches in industrial fisheries by Norway are included.

Fleet B: Herring taken as by-catch under EU regulations.
Division IIIa

Fleet C: Directed herring fisheries with purse seiners and trawlers
Fleet D: By-catches of herring caught in the small-mesh fisheries
The fleet definitions are the same as last year.
In some years, it has been agreed that Norway can take parts of its IIIa quota in the North Sea. When estimating the expected catch in the intermediate year, it is assumed that this transfer takes place, hence the assumed catch by the C-fleet of both stocks combined is reduced and the catch by the A-fleet increased with the agreed amount.

Input Data for Short Term Projections: All the input data for the short term projections are shown in Table 2.7.1 - Table 2.7.11, which is the input file for the predictions.

Stock Numbers: For the start of the intermediate year the stock numbers at age by 1. Jan that year are taken from the prediction made by ICA.

Recruitment: For the prediction years, the recruitment has in recent years been set to the geometric mean of the recruitments of the year classes from 2001 onwards, as estimated in this year's assessment. The low recruitment was assumed because all the year classes from 2001 onwards have been poor except for 2008 year class. Analysis of the time series of SSB and recruitment data by the SGRECVAP (ICES CM 2006/LRC:03) clearly indicates a shift in the recruitment success in 2001. The underlying cause for the change in 2001 is not clear, but there is no evidence to justify an assumption of long term average recruitment in the near future. Consequently, the advice is adapted to the current low recruitment regime.

Fishing Mortalities: Selection by fleet at age is calculated by splitting the total fishing mortality in the last assessment year at each age (from the assessment output) proportional to the catches by fleets at that age. These selections at age were used for all years in the prediction.

Mean weights in the catch by fleet: The 3 year average mean weights at age for each fleet are used for all prediction years, unless there are indications that some year class has abnormal growth.

Mean Weights at age in the stock: The weights at age applied in the last assessment year were used for all predictions years. These are running averages of the raw data. In previous years, the procedure was different, to account for the special growth of the 2000 year class.

Maturity at age: The 3 years average maturity was used.
Natural Mortality: Equal to those assumed in the assessment.
Proportion of M and F before spawning: Standard values of 0.67 for both.

## Prediction

## Assumptions for the intermediate year.

A-fleet: The TAC for the A fleet has been over-fished every year since 2003 until 2008. In 2009 however the catches equalled the TAC and it is assumed that this will be the case in the intermediate year as well.

The catches by the B-fleet have been well below the by-catch quota for the B-fleet. The quota has been reduced recently, and the fraction used has increased. Therefore, the same fraction as last year is assumed. Also the $C$ and $D$ fleets have catches well below the quota, partly because the quota also includes WBSS herring. For 2010, the same
fraction as in 2009 was assumed; previously a 3 year average has been used in some cases.

Points of interpretation:
In years when Norway is allowed to transfer some of its quota in IIIa to IV, this transfer is assumed in the predictions

## Management Option Tables for the TAC year

The EU-Norway agreement on management of North Sea herring was updated in 2008, to adapt to the present reduced recruitment, accounting for the results by WKHMP. The revised rule specifies fishing mortalities for juveniles ( $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}$ ) and for adults ( $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ ) not to be exceeded, at 0.05 and 0.25 respectively, for the situation where the SSB is above 1.5 million tonnes. When the SSB is below 1.5 million tonnes F is reduced to give
$F_{2-6}=0.25-\left(0.15^{*}(1500-S S B) / 700\right)$,
with allowance for a stronger reduction in TAC if necessary. Below 0.8 million tonnes $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}=0.1$ and $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}=0.04$.

Furthermore, there is a constraint at $15 \%$ change in the TAC from one year to the next. The $\mathrm{F}_{0-1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2-6}$ stated in the rule are assumed to apply to the total F summed over all fleets. The SSB referred to is taken to be the SSB in the prediction year, i.e. the fishing mortalities for 2010 should reflect its consequence for SSB in 2010.

Catches by the C and D fleet influence the fishing opportunities for the B-fleet in particular, since the NSAS herring caught by these fleets mostly are at age 0-2. The assumed catch of NSAS herring by the C and D fleets is derived according to a likely TAC for WBSS herring in a three step procedure:

1) The fraction of the total TAC for WBSS that is taken in Division IIIa is assumed to be the same as last year, giving an expected catch of WBSS in Division IIIa.

2 ) The WBSS caught in Division IIIa is allocated to the C and D fleets assuming the same share as last year. The total expected catch of WBSS in IIIa is split accordingly, which gives expected catch of WBSS by fleet.
3 ) Using the ratio between NSAS and WBSS in the catches by each fleet, the total catch by fleet and the catch of NSAS by fleet are derived from the catch of WBSS by fleet.

These expected catches of NSAS by the C and D fleets are used as catch constraints in the prediction.

The basis for deriving these catches is weak. The main purpose is to provide realistic assumptions on the impact of these fleets when predicting the catches for the North Sea fleets. The effect of other assumptions for the C and D fleet should be calculated if needed, but are not presented in the advice.

The catches for the A and B fleets are derived according to the harvest rule.
When the harvest rule leads to SSB below the trigger biomass ( 1.5 million tonnes), an iterative procedure is needed to find a fishing mortality and a corresponding SSB in accordance with the rule. At present, this is done manually by scanning over ranges of F for the A and B fleet.

## E. Medium-Term Projections - -are made as needed.

Model used: 10 year stochastic prediction Software used: STPR3 has been used as a standard in the past, as it allows for independent regulations of two 'flles' (fisheries)
Initial stock size: As for the short term prediction, but with random variation according the variance-covariance matrix taken from the ICA assessment
Natural mortality: Constant as in the assessment
Maturity: As in the short term prediction
F and $M$ before spawning: Constant values: 0.67 for both.
Weight at age in the stock: Obtained each projection year by drawing a historical year randomly and using the weights from that year.
Weight at age in the catch: As weight at age in the stock.
Exploitation pattern: As for short term forecast. Fleet A separately, fleets B-C-D merged.
Intermediate year assumptions: As for short term prediction
Stock recruitment model used: Beverton Holt or Hockey stick
Uncertainty models used:
Initial stock size: See above
Natural mortality: Constant
Maturity: Constant
$F$ and $M$ before spawning: Constant
Weight at age in the stock: See above
Weight at age in the catch: See above
Exploitation pattern: Constant
Intermediate year assumptions: Constant
Stock recruitment model used: Log-normal variation around a stock-recruit function with fixed parameters. Opportunity to truncate the distribution.

## F. Long-Term Projections - -not done since 1996

## G. Biological Reference Points

The precautionary reference points for this stock were adopted in 1998. The situation has now arisen that North Sea herring is nominally being managed by a precautionary management plan, although the SSB is now below the precautionary biomass reference point. We consider that the critical issue is identifying the risk of SSB falling below Blim. The following section is adapted from ICES WKHMP (ICES CM 2008 (ACOM:27)) and explores and discusses the issues about precautionary status of the management of North Sea herring.

## The Blim

The 1998 Study Group on Precautionary Approach to Fisheries Management (ICES CM 1998/ACFM:10.) determined reference points for North Sea herring that were adopted by ACFM (ICES CM 1998/ACFM:10.). The Blim (800 000 tonnes) was set at a level below which the recruitment may become impaired and was also the formally
used MBAL. In 2007, WKREF (ICES CM 2007/ACFM:05) explored limit reference points for North Sea herring and concluded that there is no basis for changing Blim. A low risk of SSB falling below Blim is therefore the basis of ICES precautionary advice.

## $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathrm{Bpa}_{\mathrm{pa}}$

The target and trigger points used in the management plan (which began in 1997) were recommended by the Study Group on Precautionary Approach to Fisheries Management and adopted by ACFM as the precautionary reference points. This means that the precautionary reference points were taken from the already existing management plan. In the management plan, the target fishing mortalities were intended as targets and not as bounds. The higher inflection point ( $B$ trigger) in the earlier rule ( 1.3 million tonnes) was derived largely as a compromise, allowing higher exploitation at higher biomass but reflecting an ambition to maintain the stock at a high level, by reducing the fishing mortality at an early stage of decline. This trigger was changed in November 2008 to 1.5 million tonnes after WKHMP and consultation with the stakeholders. Thus currently the trigger and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ are different at 1.5 million tonnes and 1.3 million tonnes respectively.

## Concept of a management plan (harvest control rule)

In a harvest control rule, parameters (trigger and targets) serve as guidance to actions according to the state of the stock (ICES Study Group on the Precautionary Approach, ICES CM 2002/ACFM:10). These should be chosen according to management objectives, one of which should be to have a low risk of bringing the SSB to unacceptably low levels. In the evaluation of a harvest rule, one will use simulations with a 'virtual stock' which as far as possible resembles the stock in question, and the risk is evaluated as the probability of the virtual SSB being below the Blim value. Within the constraints needed to keep the risk to Blim low, parameters of the rule will be chosen to serve other management objectives, e.g. to ensure a high long term yield and stable catches over time. Such a management plan would be classed by ICES as precautionary provided the risk of SSB being below Blim is sufficiently low.

## Concept of precautionary reference points

Conceptually, precautionary reference points $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)$ are different from parameters in a harvest control rule. In the precautionary approach, as interpreted by ICES, the function of the reference points is to ensure that the SSB is above the range where recruitment may be impaired or the stock dynamics is unknown. The real limit is represented by $B_{l i m}$, while the $B_{\text {pa }}$ takes assessment uncertainty into account, so that if SSB is estimated at $B_{p a}$, the probability that it is below Blim shall be small. The Flim is the fishing mortality that corresponds to $\operatorname{Blim}$ in a deterministic equilibrium. The $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is related to Flim the same way as $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is related to $\mathrm{Blim}_{\text {lim }}$ (ICES Study Group on the Precautionary Approach 2002b). In the advisory practice, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ has been the basis for the advice unless the SSB has been below $\mathrm{B}_{\text {pa, }}$ where a reduction in F has been advised. Furthermore, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ are currently used to classify the state of stock and rate of exploitation relative to precautionary limits. Precautionary reference points are used by ICES to provide advice and classify the state of the stock in the absence of other information, such as extensive evaluations of management plans.

ICES will accept that a harvest control rule is in accordance with the precautionary approach as long as it implies a low risk to being below Blim, even if other reference points may be exceeded occasionally. When a rule is regarded as precautionary, ICES gives its advice according to the rule. If the rule is followed, then ICES classifies ex-
ploitation as precautionary. Within this framework, other precautionary reference points generally will be redundant. However, the precautionary reference points may also be used to classify the stock with respect to precautionary limits, which may lead to a conflicting classification. This discrepancy is still unresolved. For North Sea herring in the present situation, with a reduced recruitment, the SSB may be expected to be below 1.3 million tonnes most of the time. The management plan will reduce fishing mortality accordingly. Following the acceptance by ACFM that the management plan is precautionary (and the findings of WKHMP), HAWG considers that the parameters of the management plan should take primacy over the management against precautionary reference points $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$.

The consequences for the management plan and the reference points of the development of the MSY approach is currently unknown.

## H. Other Issues

## H. 1 Biology of the species in the distribution area

The herring (Clupea harengus) is a pelagic species which is widespread in its distribution throughout the North Sea. Herring originated in the Pacific and colonised the Atlantic approximately 3 million years ago. The herring's unique habit is that it produces benthic eggs which are attached to a gravely substrate on the seabed (Geffen 2009). Herring evolved from fish that spawned in rivers and at some later date readapted to the marine environment (Geffen 2009). The spawning grounds in the southern North Sea are located in the beds of rivers which existed in geological times and some groups of spring spawning herring still spawn in very shallow inshore waters and estuaries. Spawning typically occurs on coarse gravel ( $0.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) to stone (815 cm ) substrates and often on the crest of a ridge rather than hollows. For example, in a spawning area in the English Channel, eggs were found attached to flints 2.5-25 cm in length, where these occurred in gravel, over a 3.5 km by 400 m wide strip.

As a consequence of the requirement for a very specific substrate, spawning occurs in small discrete areas in the near coastal waters of the western North Sea (Schmidt et al., 2009). They extend from the Shetland Isles in the north through into the English Channel in the south. Within these specific areas actual patches of spawn can be extremely difficult to find.

The fecundity of herring is length related and varies between approximately 10000 and 60000 eggs per female (Damme et al., 2009). This is a relatively low fecundity for teleosts. The age of first maturity is 3 years old ( 2 ringers) but the proportion mature at age may vary from year to year dependent on growth. Over the past 15 years the proportion mature at age 3 years ( 2 ringers) has ranged from $47 \%$ to $86 \%$ and for 4 year old fish ( 3 winter ringers) from $63 \%$ to $100 \%$. Above that age, all are considered to be mature.

The benthic eggs take about three weeks to hatch dependant on the temperature. The larvae on hatching are 6 mm to 9 mm long and rise due to buoyancy changes to become planktonic (Dickey-Collas et al, 2009). Their yolk sac lasts for a few days during which time they will begin to feed on phytoplankton and small zooplankton. Their planktonic development lasts around three to four months during which time they are passively subjected to the residual drift which takes them to various coastal nursery areas on both sides of the North Sea and into the Skagerrak and Kattegat (Heath et al., 1997).

Herring continue to be mainly planktonic feeders throughout their life history although there are numerous records of them taking small fish, such as sprat and sandeels, on an opportunistic basis. Calanoid copepods, such as Calanus, Pseudocalanus and Temora and the Euphausids, Meganyctiphanes and Thysanoessa still form the major part of their diet during the spring and summer (Hardy, 1924; Savage, 1937; Bainbridge and Forsyth, 1972; Last, 1989) and are responsible for the very high fat content of the fish at this time. They also consume fish eggs (Segers et al., 2007).

In the past, herring age has been determined by using the annual rings on the scales. In more recent years the growth rings on the otolith have proved more reliable for age determination. Herring age is expressed as number of winter rings on the otolith rather than age in years as for most other teleost species where a nominal 1 January birth date is applied. Autumn spawning herring do not lay down a winter ring during their first winter and therefore remain as ' 0 ' winter ringers until the following winter. When looking at year classes, or year of hatching, it must be remembered that they were spawned in the year prior to their classification as ' 0 ' winter ringers.

North Sea herring comprise both spring and autumn spawning groups, but the major fisheries are carried out on the offshore autumn/winter spawning fish. The spring spawners are found mainly as small discrete coastal groups in areas such as The Wash, the Thames estuary, Danish Fjords and the now extinct Zuiderzee herring. Juveniles of the spring spawning stocks are found in the Baltic, Skagerrak and Kattegat, and may also be found in the North Sea as well as Norwegian coastal spring spawners. There is thought to be an input of larvae from the west of Scotland (Heath et al., 1997).

The main autumn spawning begins in the northern North Sea in August and progresses steadily southwards through September and October in the central North Sea to November and as late as January in the southern North Sea and eastern English Channel. The widespread but discrete location of the herring spawning grounds throughout the western North Sea has been well known and described since the $19^{\text {th }}$ century (Heincke, 1898; Bjerkan, 1917). This led to considerable scientific debate and eventually to investigation and research on stock identity. The controversy centred on whether or not the separate spawning grounds represented discrete stocks or 'races' within the North Sea autumn spawning herring complex (McQuinn, 1997). Resolution of this issue became more urgent as the need for the introduction of management measures increased during the 1950's. The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) encouraged tagging and other racial studies and a review of all the historic evidence to resolve this problem and innovative approaches to assessing mixed and connective stocks (Secor et al., 2009; Kell et al., 2009). The conclusions were the basis for establishing the working hypothesis that the North Sea autumn spawning herring comprise a complex of at least four spawning components each with separate spawning grounds, migration routes and nursery areas. There is mixing between these components during the summer

The main four spawning components are:

- The Orkney/Shetland component which spawn from July to early September in the Orkney Shetland area. Nursery areas for fish up to two years old are found along the east coast of Scotland and also across the North Sea and into the Skagerrak and Kattegat.
- The Buchan component which spawn from August to early September off the Scottish east coast. Nursery areas for fish up to two years old are found
along the east coast of Scotland and also across the North Sea and into the Skagerrak and Kattegat.
- The Banks or central North Sea component, which derive their name from their former spawning grounds around the western edge of the Dogger Bank. These spawning grounds have now all but disappeared and spawning is confined to small areas along the English east coast, from the Farne Islands to the Dowsing area, from August to October. The juveniles are found along the east coast of England, down to the Wash, and also off the west coast of Denmark.
- The Downs component which spawns in very late Autumn through to February in the southern Bight of the North Sea and in the eastern English Channel. The drift of their larvae takes them north-eastwards to nursery areas along the Dutch coast and into the German Bight (Burd 1985).

At certain times of the year, individuals from the three stock units may mix and are caught together as juveniles and adults but they cannot be readily separated in the commercial catches other than using otolith methods (Clausen et al, 2007; Bierman et al., 2009). However North Sea autumn spawning herring are managed as a single unit with the understanding that they comprise of many spawning components.

A further complication is that juveniles of the North Sea stocks are found, outside the North Sea, in the Skagerrak and Kattegat areas and are caught in various fisheries there. The proportions of juveniles of North Sea origin, found in these areas varies with the strength of the year class, with higher proportions in the Skagerrak and Kattegat when the year class is good.

Recruitment strength is determined during the larval phase (Nash \& Dickey-Collas 2005) and this is likely to occur prior to the larvae being 20 mm in length (Oeberst et al., 2009).

## H. 2 Stock dynamics, regulation and catches through $20^{\text {th }}$ century

Over many centuries the North Sea herring fishery has been a cause of international conflict sometimes resulting in war, but in more recent times in bitter political argument. The North Sea herring fishery has a long history and catches between 1600 and 1850 were usually between 40000 and 100000 tonnes per year (Poulsen 2006). Catching opportunities for the fishery were known to be variable. Since the 1900s the annual average catch was 450 Kt . Changes in fleet catching potential have been driven both by changes in catching power and in response to changes in market requirements, particularly the demand for fish meal and oil. Most of these changes have resulted in greater exploitation pressures that increasingly led to the urgent need to ensure a more sustainable exploitation of North Sea herring. Such pressures really began to exert themselves for the first time during the 1950's when the spawning stock biomass of North Sea autumn spawning herring fell from 5 million tonnes in 1947 to 1.4 million tonnes by 1957 (Simmonds 2007, 2009). That period also witnessed the decline and eventual disappearance of a traditional autumn drift net fishery in the southern North Sea (Burd, 1978).

At the time and with the exception of the 12-mile coastal zone, the North Sea was still a free fishing area and the stock was exploited by fleets from at least 14 different nations (ICES, 1977). Despite the conclusions of the ICES Herring Assessment Working Group becoming more alarming each year (ICES, 1977), the North East Atlantic Fisheries Convention (NEAFC) had no mandate to impose measures unless they were agreed by all member states (Ackefors, 1977). As a consequence, NEAFC could only
agree on measures that constituted no real obstacle to any of the national fleets involved (Simmonds, 2007).

The annual landings from 1947 through to the early 1960's were high, but stable, averaging around 650000 (Cushing and Bridger, 1966). Over the period 1952-62, the high fishing mortality (F 0.4 ages 2-6) resulted in a rapid decline in the spawning stock biomass from around 5 million tonnes to 1.5 million tonnes.

Fishing mortality on the herring in the central and northern North Sea began to increase rapidly in the late 1960's and had increased to F1.3 ages $2-6$, or over $70 \%$ per year of those age classes, by 1968. Landings peaked at over 1 million tonnes in 1965, around $80 \%$ of which were juvenile fish. This was followed by a very rapid decline in the SSB and the total landings. By 1975 the SSB had fallen to 83500 t , although the total landings were still over 300 000t (Simmonds 2007). At the same time, spawning in the central North Sea had contracted to the grounds off the east coast of England whilst spawning grounds around the edge of the Dogger Bank were no longer used. Recruitment collapsed. This heralded the serious decline and collapse of the North Sea autumn spawning herring stock which led to the moratorium on directed herring fishing in the North Sea from 1977 to 1981 (Cushing, 1992; Dickey-Collas et al., 2010).

On the 1st of January 1977, all countries around the North Sea extended their exclusive economic zones (EEZ) to 200 miles (Coull, 1991). The North Sea was no longer a free fishing area and suddenly national governments could introduce conservation measures within their own areas. Using this opportunity, the British government was the first (March 1st, 1977) to declare a total ban on all directed herring fisheries in the British EEZ (Coull, 1991). Other governments were slow to follow. The scientific argument that a closure of the fishery was required finally persuaded all other countries to join in. By the end of June 1977, all directed herring fisheries in the North Sea ceased.

In general, the fishing ban was well respected, except in the Channel area where local trawlers continued to fish small quantities of spawning herring (ICES, 1982). Also, herring could still be landed as a by-catch taken in other fisheries, and limited directed fishing did occur on this basis. It was during this time that the European Union agreed on a Common Fisheries Policy and took responsibility for the management in all community waters. Some fleets moved to exploit herring stocks in adjacent areas. Following reports of a recovery of the Downs component, a small TAC for the southern North Sea and Channel area was set in 1981 and 1982. The ban on directed fishing in other areas of the North Sea was lifted in June 1983.

International larvae surveys and acoustic surveys were used to monitor the state of the stocks during the moratorium. By 1980 these surveys were indicating a modest recovery in the SSB from its 1977 low point of 52000 t . By 1981 the SSB had increased to over 200000 t . This was associated with an increase in the productivity of the stock, i.e. apparent compensatory recruitment (Nash et al., 2009). Once the fishery reopened in 1981 the North Sea autumn spawning herring stock was managed by a Total Allowable Catch (TAC) constraint through the EU Common Fisheries Policy and agreement with Norway. The TAC was only applied to the directed herring fishery in the North Sea which exploited mainly adult fish for human consumption. Targeted fishing for herring for industrial purposes was banned in the North Sea in 1976 but there was a $10 \%$ by-catch allowance in the fisheries for other species, including the small meshed fisheries for industrial purposes, mainly for sprat. Following the reopening of the now controlled fishery the SSB steadily increased, peaking at 1.3 million tonnes in 1989. Annual recruitment was well above the long-term average over
this period. The 1985 year class was the biggest recorded since 1960 and the third highest in the records dating back to 1946 (Nash et al., 2009). Landings also steadily increased over this period reaching a peak of 876000 tonnes in 1988. This resulted from a steady increase in fishing mortality to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ages } 2-6}=0.6$ (ca. $45 \%$ ) in 1985 and a high by-catch of juveniles in the industrial fisheries for sprat. Following a period of four years of below average recruitment (year classes 1987-91), SSB fell rapidly to below 500000 tonnes in 1993. Fishing mortality further increased averaging $F_{\text {ages }}^{2-6}=0.75$ (ca. $52 \%$ ) over the period 1992-95 and recorded landings regularly exceeded the TAC. The North Sea industrial fishery for sprat developed rapidly over this period with the annual catch increasing from 33000 tonnes in 1987 to 357000 tonnes by 1995. With the $10 \%$ by-catch limit as the only control on the catch of immature herring, there was a consequent high mortality on juvenile herring which averaged $76 \%$ of the total catch in numbers of North Sea autumn spawners over this period.

During the summer of 1991 the presence of the parasitic fungus Ichthyophonus spp was noted in the North Sea herring stock. All the evidence suggested that the parasite was lethal to herring and that its occurrence could have a significant effect on natural mortality in the stock and ultimately on spawning stock biomass. High levels of infection were recorded in the northern North Sea north of latitude $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ whilst infection rates in the southern North Sea and English Channel were very low. Efforts were made to estimate the prevalence of the disease in the stock through a programme of research vessel and commercial catch sampling. This led to estimates of annual mortality up to $16 \%$ (Anon., 1993) which was of the same order as the estimate of fishing mortality at the time. It was recognised that the behavioural changes and catchability of infected fish affected the reliability of the estimate of prevalence of the disease in the population. The uncertainty about the effect on stock size varied between estimates of $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ and $20 \%$. Continued monitoring of the progress of the disease showed that by 1994 the prevalence in the northern North Sea had fallen from $5 \%$ in 1992 to below $1 \%$ and confirmed that the infection did not appear to be spreading to younger fish. Ultimately it was concluded that the disease had caused high mortality in the northern North Sea during 1991 and subsequently declined to the point where by 1995 the disease induced increase in natural mortality was insignificant.

The increased fishing pressure during the first half of the 1990's and the disease induced increase in natural mortality led to serious concerns about the possibilities of a stock collapse similar to that in the late 1970's. Reported landings continued at around 650000 tonnes per year whilst the spawning stock began to decline again from over 1 million tonnes in 1990. The assessments at that time were providing an over optimistic perception of the size of the spawning stock and, for example, it was not until 1995 that it was realised that the SSB in 1993 had already fallen below 500 000 tonnes. This was well below the minimum biologically accepted level of 800000 tonnes (MBAL) which had been set for this stock at that time.

## H. 3 Management and ICES advice

In 1996, the total allowable catches (TACs) for Herring caught in the North Sea (ICES areas IV and Division VIId) were changed mid-year with the intention of reducing the fishing mortality by $50 \%$ for the adult part of the stock and by $75 \%$ for the juveniles. For 1997, the regulations were altered again to reduce the fishing mortality on the adult stock to 0.25 and for juveniles to less than 0.1 with the aim of rebuilding the SSB up to 1.1 million t in 1998 (Simmonds 2007).
According to the EU and Norway agreement adopted in December 1997, efforts
should be made to maintain the SSB above the MBAL (Minimum Biologically Acceptable Level) of 800000 tonnes. An SSB reference point of 1.3 million has been set above which the TACs will be based on an $\mathrm{F}=0.25$ for adult herring and $\mathrm{F}=0.12$ for juveniles. If the SSB falls below 1.3 million tonnes, other measures will be agreed and implemented taking account of scientific advice. The management agreement was revised in 2004 and now reads:

The stock is managed according to the EU-Norway Management agreement which was updated in November 2008, the relevant parts of the text are included here for reference:

1. Every effort shall be made to maintain a minimum level of Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) greater than 800,000 tonnes ( $\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ ).
2. Where the SSB is estimated to be above 1.5 million tonnes the Parties agree to set quotas for the directed fishery and for by-catches in other fisheries, reflecting a fishing mortality rate of no more than 0.25 for 2 ringers and older and no more than 0.05 for $0-1$ ringers.
3. Where the SSB is estimated to be below 1.5 million tonnes but above 800,000 tonnes, the Parties agree to set quotas for the direct fishery and for bycatches in other fisheries, reflecting a fishing mortality rate on 2 ringers and older equal to:
$0.25-\left(0.15^{*}(1,500,000-S S B) / 700,000\right)$ for 2 ringers and older, and no more than 0.05 for 0-1 ringers
4. Where the SSB is estimated to be below 800,000 tonnes the Parties agree to set quotas for the directed fishery and for by-catches in other fisheries, reflecting a fishing mortality rate of less than 0.1 for 2 ringers and older and of less than 0.04 for $0-1$ ringers.
5. Where the rules in paragraphs 2 and 3 would lead to a TAC which deviates by more than $15 \%$ from the TAC of the preceding year the parties shall fix a TAC that is no more than $15 \%$ greater or $15 \%$ less than the TAC of the preceding year.
6. Notwithstanding paragraph 5 the Parties may, where considered appropriate, reduce the TAC by more than $15 \%$ compared to the TAC of the preceding year.
7. Bycatches of herring may only be landed in ports where adequate sampling schemes to effectively monitor the landings have been set up. All catches landed shall be deducted from the respective quotas set, and the fisheries shall be stopped immediately in the event that the quotas are exhausted.
8. The allocation of the TAC for the directed fishery for herring shall be $29 \%$ to Norway and $71 \%$ to the Community. The bycatch quota for herring shall be allocated to the Community.
9. A review of this arrangement shall take place no later than 31 December 2011.
10. This arrangement enters into force on 1 January 2009.

Also from January 2009 (EU Council Reg No 43/2009) high-grading and slipping of fish over the minimum landing size (as low as quota still exists) has been banned in EU waters. Discarding is illegal in Norwegian waters.

## H. 4 Sampling of commercial catch

Sampling of commercial catch is conducted by the national institutes. HAWG has recommended for years that sampling of commercial catches should be improved for most of the stocks. In January 2008, a new directive for the collection of fisheries data was implemented for all EU member states (Commission Regulations 2008/949/EC, 2008/199 and 2008/665). The provisions in the "data directive" define specific sampling levels. As most of the nations participating in the fisheries on herring assessed here have to obey this data directive, the definitions applicable for herring and the area covered by HAWG are given below:

| Area | sampling level per 1000 t catch |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baltic area (IIIa (S) and IIIb-c) | 1 sample of <br> which | 100 fish measured <br> and | 50 aged |
| Skagerrak (IIIa (N)) | $\mathbf{1}$ sample | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ fish measured | 100 <br> aged |
| North Sea (IV and VId): | $\mathbf{1}$ sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |
| NE Atlantic and Western Channel ICES areas <br> II, V, VI, VII (excluding d) VIII, IX, X, XII, XIV | 1 sample | 50 fish measured | 25 aged |

Exemptions to the above mentioned sampling rules are:
Concerning lengths:
(1) the national programme of a Member State can exclude the estimation of the length distribution of the landings for stocks for which TACs and quotas have been defined under the following conditions:
(i) the relevant quotas must correspond to less than $5 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC or
to less than 100 tonnes on average during the previous three years;
(ii) the sum of all quotas of Member States whose allocation is less than $5 \%$, must account for
less than $15 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC.
If the condition set out in point (i) is fulfilled, but not the condition set out in point (ii), the relevant Member States may set up a coordinated programme to achieve for their overall landings the implementation of the sampling scheme described above, or another sampling scheme, leading to the same precision.

Concerning ages:
(1) the national programme of a Member State can exclude the estimation of the age distribution of the landings for stocks for which TACs and quotas have been defined under the following conditions:
i) the relevant quotas correspond to less than $10 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC or to less than 200 tonnes on average during the previous three years;
ii ) the sum of all quotas of Member States whose allocation is less than $10 \%$, accounts for less than $25 \%$ of the Community share of the TAC.

If the condition set out in point (i) is fulfilled, but not the condition set out in point
(ii), the relevant Member States may set up a coordinated programme as mentioned for length sampling.

If appropriate, the national programme may be adjusted until 31 January of every year to take into account the exchange of quotas between Member States;

## H. 5 Terminology

The WG uses "rings" rather than "age" or "winter rings" throughout the report to denominate the age of herring, with the intention to avoid confusion. It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". HAWG in 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess:11) stated that:
"The convention of defining herring age rings instead of years was introduced in various ICES working groups around 1970. The main argument to do so was the uncertainty about the racial identity of the herring in some areas. A herring with one winter ring is classified as 2 -years-old if it is an autumn spawner, and one-year-old if it is a spring spawner. Recording the age of the herring in rings instead of in years allowed scientists to postpone the decision on year of birth until a later date when they might have obtained more information on the racial identity of the herring.

The use of winter rings in ICES working groups has introduced a certain amount of confusion and errors. In specifying the age of the herring, people always have to state explicitly whether they are talking about rings or years, and whether the herring are autumn- or spring spawners. These details tend to get lost in working group reports, which can make these reports confusing for outsiders, and even for herring experts themselves. As the age of all other fish species (and of herring in other parts of the world) is expressed in years, one could question the justification of treating WestEuropean herring in a special way. Especially with the present trend towards multispecies assessment and integration of ICES working groups, there might be a case for a uniform system of age definition throughout all ICES working groups.

However, the change from rings to years would create a number of practical problems. Data files in national laboratories and at ICES would have to be adapted, which would involve extra costs and manpower. People that had not been aware of the change might be confused when comparing new data with data from old working group reports. Finally, in some areas (notably Division IIIa), the distinction between spring- and autumn spawners is still hard to make, and scientists preferred to continue using rings instead of years.

The Working Group discussed at length the various consequences of a change from rings to years. The majority of the Group felt that the advantages of such a change did not outweigh the disadvantages, and it was decided to stick to the present system for the time being."

The text table below gives an example for the correlation between age, rings and year class for the different spawning types in late 2002:

| Year class (autumn spawners) | $2001 / 2002$ | $2000 / 2001$ | $1999 / 2000$ | $1998 / 1999$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (autumn spawners) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Year class (spring spawners) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (spring spawners) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition and biology

## Stocks

Herring caught in Division IIIa and in the eastern North Sea is a mixture of two stocks: North Sea Autumn Spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic Spring Spawners (WBSS). All spring-spawning herring in the eastern part of the North Sea (IVa\&b east), Skagerrak (Subdivision 20), Kattegat (Subdivision 21) and the Western Baltic (Subdivisions 22, 23 and 24) are treated as one stock. The main spawning area of the WBSS is considered to be Greifswalter Bodden at Rügen Island (therefore also referred to as the Rügen-herring) (ICES, 1998), whereas NSAS utilizes spawning areas mainly along the British east coast (e.g. Burd, 1978; Zijlstra, 1969). The assessment also takes into account the few Norwegian Spring Spawners (NSS) caught in IVa north.

The contribution of Downs-herring to the mix-area of Division IIIa is likely to be relatively small (un-published data from otolith readings, DIFRES) and Downs-herring are therefore included in the NSAS stock.

In the Western Baltic, almost solely WBSS are being caught (although few autumn spawners have been observed). The majority of $2+$ ringers, however, migrate out of the area during the $2^{\text {th }}$ quarter of the year, to feed in Division IIIa and in the North Sea and return in the Western Baltic in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter for spawning (Biester, 1979; Nielsen et al., 2001; van Deurs and Ramkaer, 2007).

In the Kattegat and in the eastern Skagerrak, mainly 2+ ringers of the WBSS and 0 to 2-ringers of the NSAS are being caught (ICES, 2004; ICES WD, 2006). The area provides a nursery habitat for juvenile NSAS (although also other areas in the North Sea function as nursery areas) that have likely been drifted in the Kattegat and in the eastern Skagerrak as larvae (Burd, 1978; Heath et al, 1997). On the other hand, WBSS $0-1$ ringers mainly use nursery areas in Subdivision 22-24 and move to the southern Kattegat as 1-ringers. The largest concentrations of WBSS herring during June and July appear along the southern edge of the Norwegian Trench and in the Kattegat,
eastern Læsø, (ICES, 2005; ICES, 2006). In the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter large concentrations of $2+$ ringers of the WBSS are found in the southern Kattegat and in Subdivision 23 as they aggregate for over-wintering (Nielsen et al., 2001; Clausen et al., 2006).
In the eastern North Sea and in the western Skagerrak mainly $2+$ ringers WBSS and 1 to 2 -ringer NSAS are caught (Clausen et al., 2006). Peak catches of WBSS in these areas occur in quarter 3, during which the spawning stock of WBSS feed (ICES, 2002). According to the herring acoustic survey (ICES, 2006), the largest concentrations of herring in these areas occur along the transition zone between the Skagerrak and the North Sea (ICES, 2006). Some $2+$ ringer NSAS are caught in $1^{\text {st }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter in this area, since part of the NSAS spawning stock over-winter in the Norwegian trench (Burd, 1978; Cushing and Bridger, 1966; Clausen et al., 2006).

In historical time several local winter and spring spawning populations in the Skagerrak and the Kattegat has been described (e.g. Ackerfors, 1977; Rosenburg and Palmen, 1982). The largest of these seems to have been largely reduced already several decades ago (ICES, 2004). However, local spawning events in a rather large number of fjords on the coasts of Skagerrak and Kattegat regularly occur (HERGEN, EU project QLRT 200-01370, final report) but are considered of minor importance for the herring fisheries (ICES, 2001). Recent genetic and morphological studies confirmed that these local spawning areas belong to distinct spawning populations (Bekkevold et al., 2005) and bear witness of a historically more complex puzzle of multiple populations than previously assumed. The migration behaviour of these populations is basically unknown and the methods for splitting them from the Rügen-herring in catches are still associated with large uncertainties (HERGEN, EU project QLRT 20001370, final report). Also on the German coasts of the Western Baltic spring spawning grounds are located in the Sleich Fjord (Kühlmorgen-Hille, 1983). It is unknown whether herring visiting those spawning grounds belong to the Rügen-herring or should be considered as an independent population. However, results presented by Biester (1979) and the population diversity found by Bekkevold et al. (2005) indicates that they are likely to be genetically distinct from the Rügen-herring.

## Methods for stock separation

Experience within the Herring Assessment Working Group has shown that stock separation procedures based on size distributions often fail.
The method for separating herring stocks in Norwegian samples, using vertebral counts (VC), as described in former reports of this Working Group (ICES 1991/ Assess:15), assumes that for NSAS, the mean vertebral count is 56.5 and for WBSS 55.8. The fractions of spring spawners (fsp) are estimated from the formula (56.50-v)/(56.555.8), where $v$ is the mean vertebral count of the (mixed) sample with the restriction that the proportion should be one if $\mathrm{fsp}>=1$ and zero if $\mathrm{fsp}<=0$. The method is quite sensitive to within-stock variation (e.g. between year classes) in mean VC. The mean VC, of the previously mentioned local spring-spawners from the Norwegian Skagerrak fjords (it should be emphasised that this is not the Norwegian Spring Spawners alias Atlantic-Scandio Herring), is higher than for the NSAS (Rosenberg and Palmén, 1982; van Deurs, 2005), and will bias fsp estimates if present in the samples. The Norwegian samples used in the stock assessment are from the eastern North Sea. The local Norwegian spring spawners therefore only constitute a problem if they migrate to feeding areas in the eastern North Sea. Inconclusive results from a study about the tag parasite $A$. simplex present in herring indicate that this may be the case (van Deurs and Ramkaer, 2007).

The introduction of otolith microstructure analysis in 1996 (Mosegaard and PoppMadsen, 1996) enables an accurate and precise split between three groups, autumn, winter and spring-spawners. Today this method is applied for the stock separation in all Danish and Swedish IIIa samples. However, different populations with similar spawning periods are not resolved with the present level of analysis. Different stock components that are not easily distinguished by their otolith microstructure (OM) are considered to have different mean vertebral counts (VC): e.g. the local Skagerrak winter and spring spawners: 57 (Rosenberg and Palmén, 1982); Western Baltic Sea: 55.6 55.8 (Gröger and Gröhsler, 2001; ICES 1992/H:5). It should, however, be noted that the estimated stock specific mean VC varies somewhat among different studies and the VC alone is not likely to be a successful tool for distinguishing between separate spring spawning populations in the assessment context

Comparison between separation methods using frequency distributions of vertebral counts and otolith microstructure showed reasonable correspondence. Using this information, the years from 1991 to 1996 was reworked in 2001, applying common splitting keys for all years by using a combination of the vertebral count and otolith microstructure methods (ICES, 2001). From 2001 and onwards, the otolith-based method only has been used for the Division IIIa.

Different methods for identifying herring stocks in the Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22-24 were recently evaluated in an EU CFP study project (EC study 98/026). The study involved several inter-calibration sessions between microstructure readers in the different laboratories involved with the WBSS herring. After the study was finished a close collaboration concerning reader interpretation has been kept between the Danish and Swedish laboratories. Sub-samples of the 2002 and 2003 Danish, Swedish, and German microstructure analyses were double-checked by the same Danish expert reader for consistency in interpretation. The overall impression is an increasingly good agreement among readers.

New molecular genetic approaches for stock separation are being developed within the EU-FP5 project HERGEN (EU project QLRT 200-01370, final report). Sampling of spawning aggregations during spring, autumn and winter has been carried out in 2002 and in 2003 in Division IIIa and in Subdivisions 22-24 at more than 10 different locations. The results point at a substantial genetic variation between North Sea and Western Baltic herring. As mentioned earlier, significant variation has also been found among spawning populations in Division IIIa and Subdivision 22-24, which indicates the presence of multiple distinct spring spawning populations or subpopulations (Bekkevold et al., 2005). However, the substantial overlap in the genetic profiles of these sub-populations results in large uncertainties when attempting to estimate the proportional contribution of the spring spawning populations to the mix in Division IIIa.

For Subdivisions 22-24 it is assumed that all individuals caught in those areas belong to the WBSS. However, after the introduction of OM analysis in 1996 it was discovered that in the western Baltic a small percentage of the herring landings might consist of autumn spawning individuals. Before molecular genetic methods became available for Atlantic herring, the existence of yearly varying proportions of autumn spawners in Subdivisions 22-24 was considered a potential problem for the assessment, as those fishes were thought to belong to the NSAS. Today the molecular genetic methods have revealed that they are more closely related to the WBSS than to the NSAS (HERGEN, EU project QLRT 200-01370, final report). Therefore, herring
with OM indicating autumn hatch that are found in Subdivisions 22-24 are treated as belonging to the WBSS stock.

OM analysis for stock splitting is a relatively time consuming method. Furthermore, its potential for making splits between the complexity of different spring spawning populations, is very limited (un-published results, DIFFRES). Large effort has therefore been put into developing new and more time efficient methods for stock splitting. Under the EU-FP5 project HERGEN (EU project QLRT 200-01370, final report), a promising and time effective method based on otolith morphology has been developed. So far this work has showed that individual stocks and local populations display significantly different edge pattern of lobe formation in the otolith (the work was conducted on the saggitae otolith). This procedure involves photographing the shapes of the otolith edge and subsequent analysing those in different image enhancing tools in MATLAB to automatically extract the best silhouette outline of the sagitta otolith edge. The x-y coordinates of the closed outline curve are then transformed into 60, size-, location- and rotation- invariate Elliptic Fourier harmonics each having 4 parameters (EFA). Further shape descriptors are added based on various transformations of the EFAs, these include sum of squared parameters within harmonics and means as well as standard deviations from average shapes in 25 angular sectors.

OM and otolith shape variables are together with the fish metrics length, weight, maturity, age and transformations of these used in Discriminant analyses to make OM based classification baselines for age groups $0,1-2$, and $3+$ to discriminate between NSAS and WBSS in test samples that only have otolith shape and/or fish metrics.

Nearest neighbour non parametric discriminant analysis is used to assign individuals to hatch type (NSAS or WBSS) and these values are then used to raise samples to proportions of hatch type by year, season, subdivision and age 0-8+.

## A.2. Fishery

## Fleet definitions

The fleet definitions used since 1998 for the fishery in Division IIIa are:

- Fleet C: directed fishery for herring in which trawlers (with 32 mm minimum mesh size) and purse seiners participate.
- Fleet D: All fisheries in which trawlers (with mesh sizes less than 32 mm ) and small purse seiners, fishing for sprat along the Swedish coast and in the Swedish fjords, participate. For most of the landings taken by this fleet, herring is landed as by-catch.

Danish and Swedish by-catches of herring from the sprat, Norway pout and bluewhiting fisheries are included in fleet D.

In Subdivisions 22-24 most of the catches are taken in a directed fishery for herring and some as by-catch in a directed sprat fishery. All landings from Subdivisions 2224 are treated as one fleet.

## Historical German fishing pattern

The overall German fishing pattern has changed in the last few years. Until 2000 the dominant part of German herring catches were caught in the passive fishery by gillnets and trapnets around the Rügen Island. Since 2001 the activities in the trawl fishery increased. Recently the landings by trawl reached a level of more than $50 \%$ of the total landings. The change in fishing pattern was caused by the opening of a fish factory on Rügen Island in 2003 which can process 50000 t per year.

## Historical Danish fishing pattern

A descriptive analysis of the Danish fleet dynamics during the last decade, in terms of the distribution of herring catches over fleets and effort of the vessels targeting herring in Division IIIa, together with an investigation of the fleet/metier specific exploitation of the individual stocks in Division IIIa was performed in the IMHERSKA EU project (Clausen et al., 2006).

For the descriptive analysis of the Danish fleet dynamics during the last decade, the fisheries identified in Ulrich and Andersen (2004) was modified accordingly to get consistency with the previous HAWG work. Fisheries were identified using a 3-steps method using multivariate analysis of landings profile (target species) and trips descriptors (mesh size, season, and area). The data were based on logbook data and, though considerable misreporting is suspected to take place between Division IIIa and the North Sea, the geographical patterns described below is believed to illustrate the fishery behaviour in general terms.

Figure A.2.1 illustrates the distribution of Danish herring landings in Division IIIa by vessel type and homeport (fleet) in 2004. From this 4 fleets were identified and Figure 3.1.2 shows the distribution of herring landings by fleet over selected years:
(1) OTB_NSSK: trawlers from North Sea and Skagerrak harbours (Skagen included). This fleet is referred to as the Northern fleet.
(2) PSB_NSSK: purse-seines from North Sea and Skagerrak harbours.
(3) OTB_KAWB: trawlers from North Sjælland and Western Baltic (Subdivisions 22-24) harbours. This fleet is referred to as the Southern fleet.
(4) OTH: all other vessels recorded for having caught herring in Division IIIa at least once a year. Given its low importance, this fleet is not kept further in the analysis.


Figure A.2.1 Danish landings in IIIa by vessel and homeport.
The spatial and temporal distribution of the two main stocks (NSAS and WBSS respectively) in the Subdivisions IVaE, IIIaN, IIIaS and Subdivisions 22-24, based on the analysis of herring catch compositions from both commercial and scientific sampling in the period from 1999 to 2004, appear to be following certain patterns in terms of seasonality. This would allow predictions of the mix of herring in the area. Furthermore, by using the above four fleets/metiers and disaggregating those into industrial or commercial activities, stock selective metiers were identified (a stock selective metier was defined as: a metier with $80 \%$ or more of its landings constituting the same stock). Identifying such patterns, both in terms of the life-stage spatiality of WBSS and NSAS in division IIIa and adjacent areas and in terms of fleets activity was a necessary prerequisite for any use of improved fleet- and stock-based management objectives. We have thus demonstrated that a more precise advice for the mixed stock in IIIa using elaborate fleet- and stock-based desegregations could be implemented. A projection method for predicting both stock- and metier-specific Fs is being developed accordingly.

The general dynamics of the Danish herring activities in Division IIIa can be thus summed up as the following points:

- During the first half of the 1990 s, the activity was relatively local. The fleets were mostly fishing in their immediate waters. For some of the vessels mainly participating in the small mesh size fisheries, catching herring for human consumption was a minor but stable activity.
- The second half of the 1990s was a period of extension. Both the Southern and Northern trawling fleets extended their activity to the Baltic and decreased meanwhile their industrial activities in the Kattegat and Skagerrak. In the same period, the large purse seiners (most of the vessels are polyvalent) increased significantly their geographical mobility. A majority of the effort was spent outside the traditional Danish fishing grounds in the North Sea and Division IIIa fishing for blue whiting and Norwegian spring spawning herring.
The full consequence of the implementation of the ITQ system in the Danish pelagic fishery for herring is yet unknown as vessels still are changing status. However, a change in the behaviour in the Danish herring fishery indicates that vessels without an ITQ for herring are targeting a mixed sprat and herring fishery and land their catch for industrial purposes, whereas vessels with an ITQ for herring are primarily participating in the herring fishery for human consumption.


## Historical Swedish fishing pattern

The Swedish fleet definition is based on mesh size of the gear as for the Danish fleet. A recent change in the Swedish industrial fishery has occurred, as the Swedish industrial fishery has rapidly declined during the 1990's and it is currently no longer operating in the area. Therefore, there is no difference in age structure of the Swedish landings between vessel using different mesh sizes since both are basically targeting only herring for human consumption. The Swedish fleet is mainly operating in the Skagerrak and in Subdivisions 24. However, there are no detailed spatial-temporal analyses of the activity of the Swedish fleet in this area.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Recent results from the HERGEN research-project (HERGEN, EU project QLRT 20001370, final report) reveals an increase in genetic distance between herring populations in the Eastern Baltic and populations in Subdivisions 24 to 20 and finally the North Sea, where genetic distance reach a maximum constant difference from the Baltic. Further, genetic differences are larger among populations within the Division IIIa and Western Baltic than among populations in the North Sea. The results suggests that the herring spawning in spring in local areas of the fjords of the Kattegat and Skagerrak and in the Western Baltic, should be regarded as distinct spawning populations (or sub-populations) rather than as "strayers" from the Rügen-herring population. Furthermore, the contribution of these local spring spawning populations to the WBSS are considerable (Bekkevold et al., 2005; HERGEN, EU project QLRT 20001370, final report).

By comparing five different Baltic herring stocks, temperature and SSB was shown as a the main predictors contributing to explain recruitment in the whole Baltic Sea, (Cardinale et al. 2009) except for Western Baltic herring where the Baltic Sea Index was the selected proxy in the final model. However, Baltic Sea Index is also known to be related to SST in the area.

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

A Danish regulation and control initiative, that prohibits catches in the North Sea and the Skagerrak during the same fishing trip has from 2009 efficiently stopped misreporting. Before 2009, considerable amounts of NSAS herring were taken in IVa West and misreported as catches from Division IIIa (in recent years before 2009 about 30\% of the C-fleet quota).

These catches were removed from the WBSS catches and transferred into the catch of NSAS herring thus reducing the total take out of WBSS herring so that catches were normally less than the WBSS TAC. Except for a small amount $(20 \%$ in 2009-2010) of the Norwegian quota the total TAC of the C-fleet is after 2008 now taken within Division IIIa. Lastly, some landings reported as taken Subdivision 22-24 in the Triangle (Gilleleje, DK - Kullen, S - Helsingborg, S - Helsingør, DK), may have been taken outside this area and listed under the Kattegat.

There is at present no information about the relevance of local herring populations in relation to the fisheries and their possible influence on the stock assessment. Recent studies on the genetic differentiation among spawning aggregations in the Skagerrak suggests a potential high representation of these local spawning stocks (Bekkevold et al., 2005). Other results suggest that at least the mature proportion of the different stock components shares migration patterns and feeding areas (Ruzzante et al., 2006; van Deurs and Ramkaer, 2007)

## B.2. Biological parameters for assessment

Mean weights-at-age in the catch in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter were used as stock weights.
In order to check if this is a valid assumption and represents the actual weights in the stock, the index was compared to the average weights in the catch by age during the whole year. The relationship followed the expected pattern where the weight of the younger age classes in the catch are somewhat higher than in the stock as these are taken as an average over the whole year allowing for growth. From age-class 4 the relation between weight in catch and weight in stock followed a 1:1 line as expected.

Thus the use of weight in the catch in quarter 1 is a sound indicator for the weight in the stock and does not give a biased representation of the stock.

The proportion of F and M before spawning was assumed constant. F-prop was set to be 0.1 and M-prop 0.25 for all age groups.

Natural mortality was assumed constant at 0.2 for all years and $2+$ ringers. A predation mortality of 0.1 and 0.2 was added to the 0 and 1 ringers, which resulted in an increase in their natural mortality to 0.3 and 0.5 , respectively (Table 3.6.4). The estimates of predation mortality were derived as a mean for the years 1977-1995 from the Baltic MSVPA (ICES 1997/J:2).

The maturity ogive was assumed constant between years:

| W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maturity | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |

## B.3. Surveys

As a part of the HERAS acoustic survey; Division IIIa are covered by the Danish vessel R/V DANA in late June to early July. Numbers and weight at age, maturity and spawning component are calculated from acoustic backscattering, TS and trawl catches. The values are stratified by sub-area. For each sub area the TS are estimated for herring, sprat, gadoids and mackerel by the TS relationships given in the Manual for Herring Acoustic Surveys in ICES Division III, IV, and IVa (ICES 2002/G:02). Further details of HERAS can be found in the ICES reports of the Working Group of International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS).Used in the final assessment.

Since 1993 subdivisions 21 (Southern Kattegat, 41G0-42G2) to 24 have, as a part of BIAS (Baltic International Acoustic Survey), been surveys with acoustics by the GerGerman R/V 'Solea' in October (GERAS). Further details of GERAS can be found in following ICES reports: Working Group of International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS) and Baltic International Fish Survey Working Group (WGBIFS). The survey design and the specific settings of the hydroacoustic equipment follow the guidelines of the 'Manual for the Baltic International Acoustic Surveys (BIAS)', which is part of the WGBIFS report. Used in the final assessment.

The IBTS $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter survey in Division IIIa is part of the North Sea and Division IIIa bottom trawl survey carried out in the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter. The IBTS has been conducted annually in the $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter since 1977 and $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarters from 1991. From 1983 and onwards the survey was standardised according to the IBTS manual (ICES 2002/D:03). During the HAWG 2002 the IBTS survey data (both quarter) were revised from 1991 to 2002. Historical catch rates are heavily skewed and therefore the survey indices by winter rings 1-5 were calculated as geometric means from observed abundances $\left(n \cdot h^{-1}\right)$ at age at trawl stations. However, inspections of the distributions of CPUE ( $\mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ ) reveals that they are characterized by a relatively large number of low values, including true zeroes, but also occasional catches comprising large number of individuals. Statistical inference based on such data is likely to be inefficient or wrong unless an appropriate distribution is carefully chosen. Generally, a quasi-Poisson distribution (with a log-link function in order to constraint the estimates of CPUE to be positive) and a so called zero inflated models (Minami et al. 2006; Martin et al., 2005) are used. While quasi-Poisson can treat zeroes and non-zeroes in the same models, zero-inflated models are expressed in two parts: the probability of being in a 'perfectstate' (e.g., no catch), and the probability of being in an 'imperfect-state' where positive events (e.g., catch) may occur (Minami et al. 2006). The perfect-state is usually
modeled with a logistic, and a quasi-Poisson or a negative binomial distribution is assumed for the imperfect state. Those models are usually referred to as zero-inflated (ZIP and ZINB) models. Zero-inflated models are also attractive because they make a distinction between covariates associated with the perfect state (no catch) and covariates associated with the imperfect state in which catch can occur, but is not certain. Analysis is ongoing to test the use of ZIP and ZINB for estimating catch at age from IBTS dataset to be included in the next benchmark assessment. Thus, the IBTS indices were not used in the final assessment from 2008 and onwards. Not used in the final assessment.

The German herring larvae monitoring started in 1977 and takes place every year from March/April to June in the main spawning grounds. These are the Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters. For the calculation of the number of larvae per station and area unit, the methods of Smith and Richardson (1977) and Klenz (1993) were used and projected to length-classes. Further details concerning the surveys and the treatment of the samples are given in Brielmann (1989), Müller and Klenz (1994) and Klenz (2002). Data revision was made in 2007 with a new method in calculating number at 20 mm . There was a high correlation between the indices N20 and HA_1 which are based on significantly different methods, areas and periods. Thus, results suggest that the index N20 is a suitable estimator of the new year-class of the spring spawning herring in ICES subdivision $22-24$ (Oeberst et al, 2007, WD 7 in HAWG 2008 report). The time series now starts in 1992. Used in the final assessment.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

## None

## B.5. Other relevant data

## None

## C. Historical Stock Development

Model used: ICA
Software used: FLICA

Model Options chosen:
No of years for separable constraint: 5
Reference age for separable constraint: 4
Constant selection pattern model: yes
$S$ to be fixed on last age: 1.0
First age for calculation of reference F: 3
Last age for calculation of reference F: 6
Relative weights-at-age: 0.1 for 0-group, all others 1
Relative weights by year: all 1
Catchability model used: for all indices linear
Survey weighting: Manual all 1
Estimates of the extent to which errors in the age-structured indices are correlated across ages: all 1
No shrinkage applied
Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from year to year Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | Yes |
| Canum | Catch-at-age in numbers | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight-at-age in the commercial catch | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | Yes |
| West | Weight-at-age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | Yes, assumed as the Mw in the catch first quarter |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | No, set to 0.25 for all ages in all years |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | No, set to 0.1 for all ages in all years |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | No, constant for all years |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1991- last data year | 0-8+ | No, constant for all years |

Presently used Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | Danish part of <br> HERAS in Div. IIIa | 1993 - last year data <br> Except 1999 | 3-6 |
| Tuning fleet 2 | German part of BIAS <br> in SDs 22-24 | 1994 - last year data <br> Except 2001 | 1-3 |
| Tuning fleet 3 | N20 larval survey, <br> Greifswalder Botten | 1992 - last year data | 0 |

## D. Short-Term Projection

Model used: Age structured
Model used: Age structured
Software used: MFDP
Initial age structure of the stock for the intermediate year: ICA estimates of survivors (except age 0 and age 1)

Recruitment (age0): Geometric mean of the recruitment over the 5 years previous to the assessment year

Age1: calculated by simple exponential decay [ $\mathrm{N}_{1, t+1}=\mathrm{N}_{0, t} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{M}_{0}\right)}$ ] assuming the same geometric mean recruitment in the year of the assessment

Natural mortality: The same values as in the assessment is used for all years
Maturity: The same values as in the assessment is used for all years
F and M before spawning: The same ogives as in the assessment is used for all years
Weight-at-age in the stock: Average weight of the three last years

Weight-at-age in the catch: Average weight of the three last years

Exploitation pattern (selectivity): Average weighting of the three last years not rescaled to the last year (Catch constraint)

Intermediate year assumptions: Catch constraint with the following assumptions:
A catch of 3900 t of WBSS in 2009 taken in the transfer area in Division IVa East by the A-fleet is assumed constant and taken in the same area in 2010.
$20 \%$ of the Norwegian quota in Division IIIa for 2010 is caught as NSAS in Subarea IV, and subtracted from the TAC for the C-fleet in Division IIIa.

The fractions of the catch by fleet to the above reduced total TAC in 2010 is the same as in 2009.

The proportion of WBSS in the catches in 2010 by fleet are assumed equal to 2009.
Stock recruitment model used: None
Procedures used for splitting projected catches: Projected catches are for WBSS herring only, therefore no splitting is needed.

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Model used: HCS
Software used: HCS
Initial stock size: ICA estimates of population numbers were used
Natural mortality: The same values as in the assessment is used for all years
Maturity: The same values as in the assessment is used for all years
F and M before spawning: The same values as in the assessment is used for all years
Weight-at-age in the stock: Average weight of the three last years
Weight-at-age in the catch: Average weight of the three last years
Exploitation pattern: Average weight of the three last years
Intermediate year assumptions: Status quo fishing mortality
Stock recruitment model used: Hockey stick
Uncertainty models used:

1 ) Initial stock size:
2 ) Natural mortality:
3 ) Maturity:
4) F and M before spawning:

5 ) Weight-at-age in the stock:
6 ) Weight-at-age in the catch:
7 ) Exploitation pattern:
8 ) Intermediate year assumptions:
9 ) Stock recruitment model used:
The medium term projections are being replaced by the MSY framework and thus not carried out

## F. Long-Term Projections

Model used: none
Software used:
Maturity:
$F$ and $M$ before spawning:
Weight-at-age in the stock:
Weight-at-age in the catch:
Exploitation pattern:
Procedures used for splitting projected catches:

## The long term projections are being replaced by the MSY framework and thus not carried out

## G. Biological Reference Points

There are no precautionary approach reference points for this stock. Based on yield per recruit analysis and simulation carried out during HAWG (2007) and WKHMP (2008), a proxy for long term maximum sustainable exploitation rate (i.e. a proxy for $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ ) should be a level of fishing mortality should not exceed $\mathrm{F}=0.25$. Using a similar approach during the HAWG (2010 section 1.3) a candidate $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ would be in the range of $0.22-0.30$.

## Risk assessment performed in 2007

To address the issue of risk assessment with respect to simulation based optimizations carried out for Division IIIa herring in section 3.8 we implemented the following risk definition as given in the SGRAMA report of 2006 (ICES 2006/RMC:04) which is risk in a juridical sense:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Risk } & =\mathrm{P}(\text { harmful event }) \times \text { severity of harmful event } \\
& =\mathrm{P}(\text { lower SSB limit undercut }) \times \mathrm{EL} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

with expected loss (EL) being defined as
$\mathrm{EL}=\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {lower limit }}-\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {estimated }} \mid \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {estimated }}<\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {lower limit }}\right]$.(2)

While this definition of risk is not only implemented as part of many national constitutions (for instance, of the German constitution; Schuldt 1997, Schulte 1999, Schulz et al. 2001) but is also commonly used in engineering, in natural or environmental sciences or in medicine (see, for instance, Burgmann 2004), in mathematical sciences however P (harmful event) is often solely used as a definition for risk. As we aim at specifying costs or loss from a political and economic perspective, Eq. (1) turns out to be the appropriate risk measure, as it contains a probability term specifying the chance or likelihood of a harmful event and a severity term quantifying the magnitude of the loss. Further information on the theory underlying risk assessment and risk management can be found in Burgmann (2004), Francis and Shotton (1997) and Lane and Stephenson (1997). For a formal treatment of quantitative risk assessment and management see McNeil (2005).

## H. Other Issues

## None
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## Annex 5 - Stock Annex Herring in the Celtic Sea and VIIj

Quality Handbook Herring in Celtic Sea and VIIj
Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES

| Stock: | Herring in the Celtic Sea and VIIj |
| :--- | :--- |
| Working Group: | Herring Assessment Working Group for the area south of $62^{\circ}$ |
| Date: | March 2011 |
| Authors: | Afra Egan, Maurice Clarke and Deirdre Lynch |

## A. General

The herring (Clupea harengus) to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division VIIj comprise both autumn and winter spawning components. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been combined since 1982. The inclusion of VIIj was to deal with misreporting of catches from VIIg. The same fleet exploited these stocks and it was considered more realistic to assess and manage the two areas together. This decision was backed up by the work of the ICES Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG) in 1982 that showed similarities in age profiles between the two areas. In addition, larvae from the spawning grounds in the western part of the Celtic Sea were considered to be transported into VIIj (ICES, 1982). Also it was concluded that Bantry Bay which is in VIIj, was a nursery ground for fish of south coast (VIIg) origin (Molloy, 1968).

A study group examined stock boundaries in 1994 and recommended that the boundary line separating this stock from the herring stock of VIaS and VIIb,c be moved southwards from latitude $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ to $52^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES, 1994). However, a recent study (Hatfield, et al 2007) examined the stock identity of this and other stocks around Ireland. It concluded that the Celtic Sea stock area should remain unchanged.

Some juveniles of this stock are present in the Irish Sea for the first year or two of their life. Juveniles, which are believed to have originated in the Celtic Sea move to nursery areas in the Irish Sea before returning to spawn in the Celtic Sea. This has been verified through herring tagging studies, conducted in the early 1990s, (Molloy, et al 1993) and studies examining otolith microstructure (Brophy and Danilowicz, 2002). Recent work carried out also used microstructure techniques and found that mixing at 1 winter ring is extensive but also suggests mixing at older ages such as 2 and 3 ring fish. The majority of winter spawning fish found in adult aggregations in the Irish Sea are considered to be fish that were spawned in the Celtic sea (Beggs et al, 2008).

Age distribution of the stock suggests that recruitment in the Celtic Sea occurs first in the eastern area and follows a westward movement. After spawning herring move to the feeding grounds offshore (ICES, 1994). In VIIj herring congregate for spawning in autumn but little is known about where they reside in winter (ICES, 1994). A schematic representation of the movements and migrations is presented in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the oceanographic conditions that will influence these migrations.

The management area for this stock comprises VIIaS, VIIg, VIIj, VIIk and VIIh. Catches in VIIk and VIIh have been negligible in recent years. The linkages between
this stock and herring populations in VIIe and VIIf are unknown. The latter are managed by a separate precautionary TAC. A small herring spawning component exists in VIIIa, though its linkage with the Celtic Sea herring stock area is also unknown.

## A.2. Fishery

## Historical fishery development

Coastal herring fisheries off the south coast of Ireland have been in existence since at least the seventeenth century (Burd and Bracken, 1965). These fisheries have been an important source of income for many coastal communities in Ireland. There have been considerable fluctuations in herring landings since the early 1900s.

In the Celtic Sea, historically, the main fishery was the early summer drift net fishery and the Smalls fishery which also took place in the summer. In 1933 several British vessels, mainly from Milford Haven, began to fish off the coast of Dunmore East and the winter fishery gained importance. The occurrence of the world war changed the pattern of the herring fishery further with little effort spent exploiting herring in the immediate post war years (Burd and Bracken, 1965). Landings of herring off the south west coast increased during the 1950s.

In 1956 Dunmore East was considered as the top herring port in Ireland with over 3,000 $t$ landed. This herring was mainly sold to the UK or cured and sent to the Netherlands (Molloy, 2006). During this time many boats from other European countries began to exploit herring in this area during the spawning period. This continued until the 1960s when catches began to fall. In 1961 the Irish fishery limits changed whereby non-Irish vessels were prohibited from fishing in the inshore spawning grounds (Molloy, 1980). Consequently, continental fleets could no longer exploit herring on the Irish spawning grounds. They had to purchase herring from Irish vessels in order to meet requirements (Molloy, 2006).

During the period from 1950-1968 the fleet exploiting the stock changed from mainly drift and ring nets to trawls. Further fluctuations in the landings were evident during this time with high quantities of herring landed from 1966 - 1971 (Molloy, 1972). In the mid-sixties, the introduction of mid-water pair trawling led to greater efficiency in catching herring and this method is still employed today. Overall the 1960s saw a rise in herring landings with 1969 seeing a rise to 48,000 t. The North Sea herring fisheries were becoming depleted and several countries were turning to Ireland to supply their markets. Prices also increased and additional vessels entered the fleet (Molloy, 1995). Increases in effort led to increased catches initially but this did not continue and this combined with poor recruitment began the decline of the fishery. It was eventually closed in April 1977 and remained closed until November 1982 (Molloy, 2006). When the fishery reopened the management area now included VIIj also. In 1983 a new management committee was formed.

## Fishery in recent years

In the past, fleets from the UK, Belgium, The Netherlands and Germany as well as Ireland exploited Celtic Sea herring. In recent years however this fishery has been prosecuted entirely by Ireland. This fishery is managed by the Irish "Celtic Sea Herring Management Advisory Committee", established in 2000 and constituted in law in 2005.

The Irish quota is managed by allocating individual quotas to vessels on a weekly basis. Participation in the fishery is restricted to licensed vessels and these licensing requirements have been changed. Previously, vessels had to participate in the fishery
each year to maintain their licence. Since 2004 this requirement has been lifted. This has been one of the contributing factors to the reduction in number of vessels participating in the fishery in recent seasons (ICES, 2005b). Fishing is restricted to the period Monday to Friday each week, and vessels must apply a week in advance before they are allowed to fish in the following week. Triennial spawning box closures are enshrined in EU legislation (Figure 3).
The stock is exploited by two types of vessels, larger boats with RSW storage and smaller dry hold vessels. The smaller vessels are confined to the spawning grounds (VIIaS and VIIg) during the winter period. The refrigerated seawater (RSW) tank vessels target the stock inshore in winter and offshore during the summer feeding phase (VIIg). There has been less fishing in VIIj in recent seasons.

The fleet can be classified into four categories of vessels:

Category 1: "Pelagic Segment".
Category 2: "Polyvalent RSW Segment".

Category 3: "Polyvalent Segment".

Category 4: Drift netters.

Refrigerated seawater trawlers
Refrigerated seawater or slush ice trawlers

Varying number of dry hold pair trawlers,

A negligible component in recent years, very small vessels

The term "Polyvalent" refers to a segment of the Irish fleet, entitled to fish for any species to catch a variety of species, under Irish law. Since 2002 fishing has taken place in quarter 3, targeting fish during the feeding phase on the offshore grounds around the Kinsale Gas Fields. These fish tend to be fatter and in better condition than winter-caught fish. In 2003 the fishery opened in July on the Labadie Bank and caught large fish. In 2004-2006 it opened in August and in 2007 and in 2008 began in September. Only RSW and bulk storage vessels can prosecute this fishery. Traditional dry-hold boats are unable to participate.

In recent years, the targeting fleet has changed. The fleet size has reduced but an increasing proportion of the catch is taken by RSW and bulk storage vessels and less by dry-hold vessels. There has been considerable efficiency creep in the fishery since the 1980s with greater ability to locate fish.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

The ecosystem of the Celtic Sea is described in ICES WGRED (2007b). The main hydrographic features of this area as they pertain to herring are presented in Figure 2.
Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last number of decades. There are indications that salinity is also increasing (ICES, 2006a). Herring are found to be more abundant when the water is cooler while pilchards favour warmer water and tend to extend further east under these conditions (Pinnegar, et al 2002). However, studies have been unable to demonstrate that changes in the environmental regime in the Celtic Sea have had any effect on productivity of this stock.
Herring larval drift occurs between the Celtic Sea and the Irish Sea. The larvae remain in the Irish Sea for a period as juveniles before returning to the Celtic Sea. Catches of herring in the Irish Sea may therefore impact on recruitment into the Celtic Sea stock (Molloy, 1989). Distinct patterns were evident in the microstructure and it is thought that this is caused by environmental variations. Variations in growth rates between
the two areas were found with Celtic Sea fish displaying fastest growth in the first year of life. These variations in growth rates between nursery areas are likely to impact recruitment (Brophy and Danilowicz, 2002). Larval dispersal can further influence maturity at age. In the Celtic Sea faster growing individuals mature in their second year ( 1 w . ring) while slower growing individuals spawn for the first time in their third year ( 2 winter ring). The dispersal into the Irish Sea which occurs before recruitment and subsequent decrease in growth rates could thus determine whether juveniles are recruited to the adult population in the second or third year (Brophy and Danilowicz, 2003).

The spawning grounds for herring in the Celtic Sea are well known and are located inshore close to the coast. These spawning grounds may contain one or more spawning beds on which herring deposit their eggs. Individual spawning beds within the spawning grounds have been mapped and consist of either gravel or flat stone (Breslin, 1998). Spawning grounds tend to be vulnerable to anthropogenic influences such as dredging and sand and gravel extraction. The main spawning grounds are displayed in Figure 4, whilst the distributions of spawning and non-spawning fish are presented in Figure 5.

Herring are an important component of the Celtic sea ecosystem. There is little information on the specific diet of this stock. Farran (1927) highlighted the importance of Calanus spp. copepods and noted that they peaked in abundance in April/May. Fat reserves peak in June to August (Molloy and Cullen, 1981). Herring form part of the food source for larger gadoids such as hake. A study was carried out which looked at the diet of hake in the Celtic Sea. This study found that the main species consumed by hake are blue whiting, poor cod and Norway Pout. Quantities of herring and sprat were also found in fish caught in the northern part of the Celtic sea close to the Irish coast. Large hake, $>50 \mathrm{~cm}$ tended to have more herring in their stomachs than smaller hake (Du Buit, 1996).

Recent work by Whooley et al. (2011) shows that fin whales Balaenoptera physalus are an important component of the Celtic Sea ecosystem, with a high re-sighting rate indicating fidelity to the area. There is a strong peak in sightings in November, and fin whales were observed actively feeding on many occasions, seeming to associate with sprat and herring shoals. These authors go on to suggest that the peak in fin whale sightings in November may coincide with the inshore spawning migration of herring. Fin whales tend to be distributed off the south coast in VIIg in November, but further east, in VIIaS by February (Berrow personal communication). This suggests that their occurrence coincides with peak spawning time in these areas. The peak in fin whale sightings was in 2004 (Irish Whale and Dolphin Group unpublished data), coinciding with the lowest population estimate of herring.

## By Catch

By catch is defined as the incidental catch of non target species. There are few documented reports of by catch in the Celtic Sea herring fishery. A European study was undertaken to quantify incidental catches of marine mammals from a number of fisheries including the Celtic Sea herring fishery. Small quantities of non target whitefish species were caught in the nets. Of the non target species caught whiting was most frequent ( $84 \%$ of tows) followed by mackerel ( $32 \%$ ) and $\operatorname{cod}(30 \%)$. The only marine mammals recorded were grey seals (Halichoerus grypus). The seals were observed on a number of occasions feeding on herring when the net was being hauled and during towing. They appear to be able to avoid becoming entangled in the nets. It was considered unlikely by Berrow, et al 1998, that this rate of incidental catch in the Celtic

Sea would cause any decline in the Irish grey seal population. Results from this project also suggested that there was little interaction between the fishing vessels and the cetaceans in this area. Occasional entanglement may occur but overall incidental catches of cetaceans are thought to be minimal (Berrow, et al 1998). The absence of any other by caught mammals does not imply that by catch is not a problem only that it did not occur during this study period (Morizur, et al 1999).

## Discards

Catch is divided into landings (retained catch) and discards (rejected catch). Discards are the portion of the catch returned to the sea as a result of economic, legal, or personal considerations (Alverson et al 1994). In the 1980s a roe (ovary) market developed in Japan and the Irish fishery became dependent on this market. This market required a specific type of herring whose ovaries were just at the point of spawning. A process developed whereby large quantities of herring were slipped at sea. This type of discarding usually took place in the early stages of spawning and was reduced by the introduction of experimental fishing (Molloy, 1995). This market peaked in 1997 and has been in decline since with no roe exported in recent years. Markets have changed with the majority of herring going to the European fillet market.

Presently there are no estimates of discards for this fishery used in assessments. Berrow, et al 1998 also looked at the issue of discarding during the study on by catch. The discard rate was found to be $4.7 \%$ and this compares favourably with other trawl fisheries. Possible reasons for discarding were thought to be the market requirements for high roe content and high proportions of small herring in the catch. Overall this study indicated that the Celtic Sea herring fishery is very selective and that discard rates are well within the figures estimated for fishery models.

Since the demise of the roe fishery, it is considered that the incentive to discard is less. However it is known that discarding still takes place, in response to a constrained market situation.

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial Catch

The commercial catch data are provided by national laboratories belonging to the nations that have quota/fisheries for this stock. In recent years, only Ireland has been catching herring in this area, and the data are derived entirely from Irish logbook data. Figure 6 shows the trends in catches over the time series. Ireland acts as stock coordinator for this stock. Commercial catch at age data are submitted in Exchange sheet v 1.6.4. These data are processed either using SALLOCL (Patterson, 1998b), or using ad hoc spreadsheets, usually the latter. The relevant files are placed on the ICES archive each year.

## Intercatch

Since 2007, InterCatch, which is a web-based system for handling fish stock assessment data, was also used. National fish stock catches are imported into InterCatch. Stock coordinators then allocate sampled catches to unsampled catches, aggregate them to stock level and download the output. The InterCatch stock output can then be used as input for the assessment models. The comparisons to date have been very good and it is envisaged that this system will replace SALLOCL and other previously used systems. InterCatch cannot deal with catches from two calendar years therefore
for example data from the 2008/2009 season are uploaded to InterCatch as 2008 figures. Catches from quarter 12009 are entered as being from quarter 12008.

## B. 2 Biological

## Sampling Protocol

Sampling is performed as part of commitments under the EU Council Regulation 1639/2001.Sampling (of the Irish catches) is conducted using the following protocol

- Collect a sample from each pair of boats that lands. Depending on the size range, a half to a full fish box is sufficient. If collecting from a processor make sure sample is ungraded and random.
- Record the boat name, ICES area, fishing ground, date landed for each sample.
- Randomly take 75 fish for ageing. Record length in 0.5 cm , weight, sex, maturity (use maturity scale for guideline). Extract the otolith taking care not to break the tip and store it in an otolith tray. Make sure the tray is clean and dry.
- Record a tally for the 75 aged fish under "Aged Tally" on the datasheet.
- Measure the remaining fish and record a tally on the measured component of the datasheet


## Ageing Protocol

Celtic Sea herring otoliths are read using a stereoscopic microscope, using reflected light. The minimum level of magnification $(15 x)$ is used initially and is then increased to resolve the features of the otolith. Herring otoliths are read within the range of 20x $-25 x$. The pattern of opaque (summer) and translucent (winter) zones is viewed. The winter (translucent) ring at the otolith edge is counted only in otoliths from fish caught after the $1^{\text {st }}$ April. This "birth date" is used because the assessment year for Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring runs from this date to the $31^{\text {st }}$ March of the following year (ICES, 2007). This ageing and assessment procedure is unique in ICES. A fish of 2 winter rings is a 3 year old. This naming convention applies to all ICES herring stocks where autumn spawning is a significant feature.

## Age composition in the catch

In recent years there is a decreasing proportion of older fish present in the catch. Figure 7 shows the age composition of the catches over the time series. It is clear that there is a truncation of older age classes with low amounts caught in recent years.

## Precision in Ageing

Precision estimates from the ageing data were carried out in the HAWG in 2007, for the 2006/2007 season (ICES, 2007). Results found that CVs are highest on youngest and oldest ages that are poorly represented in the fishery. The main ages present in the fishery had low CVs, of between $5 \%$ and $13 \%$, which is considered a very good level of precision. In the third and the fourth quarter, estimates of 1 wr on CS herring were also remarkably precise. An overall precision level of 5\% was reached in Q1 and Q4 in the 2007/2008 season.

## Mean Weights and Mean Lengths

An extensive data set on landings is available from 1958. Mean weights at age in the catch in the 4th and 1st quarter are used as stock weights. Trends in mean weights at age in the catches are presented in Figure 8, and for weights in the spawning stock in

Figure 9. Clearly there has been a decline in mean weights since the early 1980s, to the lowest values observed.

Mean length at age from a historic source (Burd and Bracken, 1965) combined with Irish data is presented in Figure 10. Data from 1921 to 1963 are taken from Burd and Bracken (1965) and from 1964 onwards are taken from the Irish dataset. Mean length for the main age groups increased to above the long term average from the late 1950s, and reached a peak in 1975. After that mean length declined, falling below the long term average again, by the early 1990's (Lynch, 2011).

## Natural Mortality

The natural mortality is based on the results of the MSVPA for North Sea herring. Natural mortality is assumed to be as follows:

| 1 ringer | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 ringer | 0.3 |
| 3 ringer | 0.2 |
| 4 and subsequent ringer | 0.1 |

## Maturity Ogive

Clupea harengus is a determinate one-batch spawner. In this stock, the assessment considers that $50 \%$ of 1 ringers are mature and $100 \%$ of two ringers mature. The percentage of males and females at 1 winter ring are presented in Figure 11. It shows wide fluctuations in percentage maturity from year to year (Lynch, 2011).

It is to be noted that the fish that recruit to the fishery as 1-ringers are probably precocious early maturing fish. Late maturing 1-ringers may not be recruited. Thus maturity at 1-ringer in the population as a whole may be different to that observed in the fishery. Late maturing 1-, 2- and even 3-ringers may recruit from the Irish Sea. Brophy and Danilowicz (2002) showed that late maturing 1-ringers leave the Irish Sea and appear as 2-ringers in the Celtic Sea catches. Beggs, 2008 WD indicated that some older fish also stay in the Irish Sea and return as 3- or even 4-ringers to the Celtic Sea. It is possible that when stock size was low, the relative proportion of late maturing fish from the Irish Sea was greater. This may explain why observed maturity in the catches was later in those years.

## B.3. Surveys

## Acoustic

Acoustic surveys have been carried out on this stock from 1990-1996, and again from 1998-2010. During the first period, two surveys were carried out each year designed to estimate the size of the autumn and winter spawning components. The series was interrupted in 1997 due to the non-availability of a survey vessel. Since 2005, a uniform design, randomised survey track, uniform timing and the same research vessel have been employed. A summary of the acoustic surveys is presented in Table 1.

## Revision of acoustic time series

A review of the acoustic survey programme was conducted to check the internal consistency of the previous surveys and produce a new refined series for tuning the assessment (Doonan, 2006, unpublished). The old survey abundance at age series is
presented in Table 2 and the revised survey time series is shown in the Table 3 (ICES, 2006).

The surveys were divided into two series, early and late, based on how far from the south coast of Ireland the transects extended. The early group, 1990-91 to 1994-95, extended to about 15 nautical miles offshore with two surveys, one in autumn and another in winter. This design aimed to survey spawning fish close inshore with two surveys, the results of which could be added, the two legs covering the two main spawning seasons. The off shore limits were extended in 1995 and some of these surveys had more fish off shore than close inshore. This changed the catchability, suggesting the later series should be separated from the earlier one. Consequently the years before 1995 were removed. This is not considered to be a problem because the earlier series would contribute little to the assessment anyway.

The autumn surveys did not cover the southwest Irish coast of VIIj in all years (3 years missing). In order to correct for this, the missing values were substituted with the mean of the available western bays SSB estimates, 7800 t ( 11 values, range from 0 to 16000 t ). Numbers-at-age in these surveys were adjusted upwards by the ratio of the adjusted SSB in the SW to the south coast SSB. The current time series included autumn surveys only.

Analysis errors were found in the surveys from 1998 onwards. The 2003 biomass (SSB, 85500 t ) was re-analysed after the discovery of errors in the spreadsheets used to estimate biomass. The errors affected the calculation of the weighted mean of the integrated backscatter when positive samples had lengths shorter than the base one (here, 15 minutes) and the partitioning of the backscatter for a mixture of species. Also, no account was taken of different sampling frequencies within a $10 \times 20$ minute cell (the analysis unit). The 2003 SSB came mainly from two cells that included an intensive survey in Waterford Harbour and these cells had an SSB of about 68000 t , which was reduced to 7300 t when all errors were corrected. There were some minor corrections in three other cells. The revised total biomass was 24000 t and the revised spawning biomass was 22700 t .
In addition, the cell means took no account of the implicit sampling area of transects so that the biomass coming from a large sample value depended on the number of transects passing through the cell. The data were re-analysed using mean herring density by transect as the sample unit and dividing the area into strata based on transect spacing. Areas with no positive samples were excluded from the analysis (since they have zero estimates). Zigzags in bays were analysed as before. For each stratum, a mean density was obtained from the transect data (weighted by transect length) and this was multiplied by the stratum area to obtain a biomass and numbers-at-age. The overall total was the sum of the strata estimates. The same haul assignments as in the original analysis were used. At the same time, a CV was obtained based on transect mean densities, i.e. a survey sample error. For surveys before 1998 and the western part survey in 2002, a CV was estimated using;

$$
\sqrt{\log \left(1.3^{2}\right) / n}
$$

where n is the number of positive sample values ( 15 minute of survey track) from Definite and Probably Herring categories. This was based on the data from the autumn surveys in 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2005.

## Current acoustic survey implementation

The acoustic data are collected using the Simrad ER60 scientific echosounder. The Simrad ES-38B ( 38 KHz ) split-beam transducer is mounted within the vessels drop keel or in the case of a commercial vessel mounted within a towed body. The survey area is selected to cover area VIIj, and the Celtic Sea (areas VIIg and VIIaS). Transect spacing in these surveys has varied between 1 to 4 nmi . For bays and inlets in the southwest region (VIIj) a combined zigzag and parallel transect approach was used to best optimise coverage. Offshore transect extension reached a maximum of 12 nmi , with further extension where necessary to contain fish echotraces within the survey area.

The data collected is scrutinised using Echoview ${ }^{\circledR}$ post processing software. The allocated echo integrator counts ( Sa values) from these categories were used to estimate the herring numbers according to the method of Dalen and Nakken (1983). The following target strength to fish length relationships is used for herring.

$$
\mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB} \text { per individual }(\mathrm{L}=\text { length in } \mathrm{cm})
$$

## Acoustic Survey Time Series

The acoustic survey design has been standardised and the timing has been consistent each year since 2005. The 2002 and 2003 surveys had similar timing and are comparable to the uniform time series. In the benchmark assessment (2007) the time series used was from 1995-2006. At the time of the benchmark, there were not enough comparable consistent surveys available for tuning. In 2009, four consistent surveys (2005-2008) and two additional fairly consistent surveys (2002-2003) were available. The 2010 assessment also used the 2009 survey.

## Irish Groundfish Survey

The IGFS is part of the western IBTS survey and has been carried out on the RV Celtic Explorer since 2003. The utility of the IGFS as a tuning series was investigated (Johnston and Clarke, 2005 WD). Strong year effects were evident in the data. Herring were either caught in large aggregations or not at all. The signals from this survey were very noisy, but when a longer time series is developed, it will at least provide qualitative information. The absence of the 2001 year class was supported in the survey data in 2004.

## French EVHOE Survey

The Herring Assessment Working group in 2006 had access to data from the French EVHOE quarter 4 western IBTS survey (GOV trawl). The French survey series is from 1997 to 2005 and displayed very variable observed numbers at age between years. Consequently, further exploration of the series was not performed.

## UK Quarter 1 survey

The UK quarter 1 survey was also explored and strong year and age effects, particularly at 2- and 5-ringers were found. Due to strong year and age effects and because it was discontinued in 2002 this survey is considered unsuitable as a recruit index (ICES 2006:ACFM 20).

While these data are useful for comparisons between surveys, as with the Irish data, at the moment it is difficult to see how these data can be used in an assessment. The data, particularly towards the end of the time series are very noisy and the absence of
very small (juvenile) fish, particularly 1 ringers for the majority of time series is not encouraging (Johnston and Clarke, 2005).

## Irish and Dutch juvenile herring trawl surveys

Juvenile herring surveys were carried out from 1972 - 1974 by Dutch and Irish scientists. These surveys aimed to get information on the location and distribution of young herring. They were also used to examine if young herring surveys in the Irish Sea could provide abundance indices for either the Irish Sea or Celtic Sea stocks. Further young fish surveys were carried out in the Irish Sea from 1979 - 1988. They were discontinued when it was decided that it was not possible to use the information as recruitment indices for the Celtic Sea or Irish Sea stocks despite earlier beliefs (Molloy, 2006). This was because it was not known what proportion of the catches should be assigned to each stock.

## Northern Ireland GFS surveys

These surveys take place in quarters 1 and 3 each year. Armstrong et al (2004) presented a review of these surveys. They are likely to be useful if the natal origin can be established. Further work in this area is required to examine if this survey can be used as a recruit index for Celtic Sea Herring.

## Larval Surveys

Herring larval surveys were conducted in the Celtic Sea between October and February from 1978 to 1985 with further surveys carried out in 1989 and 1990. These surveys provided information on the timing of spawning and on the location of the main spawning events as well as on the size of autumn and winter spawning components of the stock. The larval surveys carried out after the fishery reopened in 1982 showed an increase in the spawning stock (Molloy, 1995).

The surveys covered the south coast and stations were positioned 8 nautical miles apart in a grid formation. A Gulf III sampler, with $275 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh was used to collect the samples. The total abundance of $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ larvae (prior to December $15^{\text {th }}$ ) or $<11 \mathrm{~mm}$ (after December $15^{\text {th }}$ ) was calculated by raising the numbers per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ by the area represented by each station. The mean abundance of $<11 \mathrm{~mm}$ larvae in December - February gave the winter index which when multiplied by 1.465 and added to the Autumn index to give a single index of the whole series (Grainger et al 1982). Larval surveys have not been undertaken in this area since 1989 and until the acoustic survey became established, no survey was available to tune the assessment.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

In the 1960s and 1970s CPUE (Catch per unit effort) data from commercial herring vessels were used as indices of stock abundance because there were no survey data available. These data provided an index of changes that were occurring in the fishery at the time. CPUE data were used to tune the assessment (Molloy, 2006). However it is likely that the decline in the stock in the 1970s was not picked up in the CPUE until it was at an advanced stage. It is now demonstrated that CPUE data does not provide an accurate index of herring abundance, as they are a shoaling fish.

## C. Historical Stock Development

## Time Periods in the Fishery

This fishery can be divided into time periods. A number of factors have changed in this fishery overtime such as the markets, discards and the water allowance. These
changes have implications for the trustworthiness of the catch data used in the assessment. The time periods are presented in the Table 4. The recent biological history of the stock is presented in Table 5. It is clear that growth rate has changed over time. Mean length and mean weight at age have declined by about $15 \%$ and $30 \%$ respectively since the late 1970s. Fish are shorter and lighter at age now than at any time in the series. Trends in mean weights in the catch and in the stock are presented in Figure 8 and Figure 9.

## Exploration of basic data

Data exploration consisted of examining a number of features of the basic data. These analyses included log catch ratios, cohort catch curves in survey and catch at age series. Log catch ratios were constructed for the time series of catch at age data, as follows:

$$
\log [C(a, y) / C(a+1, y+1)]
$$

These are presented in Figure 12. It can be seen that 1-ringers, and the oldest ages, have a noisy signal, being poorly represented in the catches. There was an increase in ratios in 1998, that seems quite abrupt. Overall there is a trend towards greater mortality in recent years. The increased mortality visible in the older ages corresponds with the truncation in oldest ages in the catch at age profile. It can also be seen that the gross mortality signal was low in 2002, corresponding to the big decrease in catch in that year. The signal increased again in 2003, concomitant with increasing catch. Log catch ratios by cohort are presented in Figure 13.

The total mortality ( Z ) over ages 2-7 for the cohorts 1958-1997 is presented in Figure 14 and in Table 6. Fluctuations are evident with an increasing trend in recent years. Total mortality was low for cohorts 1956 to 1964 . Cohorts in the late 1960s seem to display higher Z, but those from 1975 to 1982 displayed the highest Z ( 0.6 to 1.1). The most recent year classes for which enough observations are available (1991-1997) show higher Z again, in the range about 0.6 to 1.0 . Cohort catch curves were also constructed from the catch at age data across ages 2-5 (Figure 15) and the survey data for year classes where enough data were available (Figure 16). A secondary peak corresponding to the 2003/2004 season is obvious in the cohort catch curves. The same patterns in raw mortality are visible, but the Zs from the acoustic survey are somewhat higher than those from the commercial data. This may be explained as differing catchability between the two, and it should be noted when interpreting the assessment results below.

In conclusion only the cohorts from before the stock collapsed and a few from the late 1980s contributed many of the older fish that appear in the catches. Raw mortality signals, from cohort catch curves suggest that some of the recent year classes have displayed a higher total mortality.

## Assessments 2007-2011

In 2007, a benchmark assessment used a variety of models including ICA (Patterson, 1998), separable VPA, XSA, CSA and Bayesian catch at age methods. In addition an analysis of long term dynamics of recruitment was conducted. Simulations of various fishing mortalities were conducted based on stock productivity. Though no final model formulation was settled upon, the assessment provided information on trends. ICA was preferred to XSA because it is more influenced by younger ages that dominate the stock and fishery, and because of consistency. The settings that had been used before 2007 were found to produce the most reasonable diagnostics.

In 2007 it was considered that the assumption that a constant separable pattern could be used may not have been valid and it was recommended that future benchmark work should consider models that allow for changes in selection pattern.

Also in 2007 a reduction of the plus group to $7+$ was recommended. This change did not achieve better diagnostics in 2007, but exploratory assessments in 2008 did find that this change improved the diagnostics.
In 2008 and 2009, the working group continued to explore different assessment settings in ICA. The working group treated these explorations as extensions of the benchmark of 2007. In 2008 ICA was replaced by FLICA and the same stock trajectories were found in each.

In 2009 a final analytical assessment was proposed and was conducted using FLICA (flr-project.org). This assessment was based on exploratory work done in 2008 and 2009. The refinements to the benchmark assessment of 2007 were as follows:

- Further reduction of plus group to $6+$
- Exclusion of acoustic surveys before 2002, because a sufficient series of comparable surveys was now available.

The assessment showed improved precision and coherence between the catch at age and the survey data. The survey residuals were lower since 2002 which is reflected in better tuning diagnostics.

The model formulation used for ICA in the 2007 benchmark and the final assessment carried out in 2009-2011 are presented in the table below. The stock trajectory, based on the most recent assessment is presented in Figure 17.

| ICA Settings | 2007 Benchmark | Final Assessments in 2009-2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separable period | 6 years (weighting $=$ 1.0 for each year) | 6 years (weighting = 1.0 for each year) |
| Reference ages for separable constraint | 3 | 3 |
| Selectivity on oldest age | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| First age for calculation of mean F | 2 | 2 |
| Last age for calculation of mean F | 6 | 5 |
| Weighting on 1 ringers | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Weighting on other age classes | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Ages for acoustic abundance estimates | 2-5 | 2-5 |
| Plus group | 9 | 6 |

## Update Assessments 2010 and 2011.

In 2011 the same procedure as in 2009 and 2010 was carried out.

## Estimation of terminal year Recruitment

Recruits (1-ring) are poorly represented in the catch and only one observation of their abundance is available. Therefore an adjustment is made, by replacing 1-ring abundance from ICA.out with GM recruitment from (1995 - final year - 2).

Input data types and characteristics:

| TYPE | NAME | YEAR <br> RANGE | AGE <br> RANGE | VARIABLE FROM YEAR <br> TO YEAR <br> YES/NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the <br> commercial catch | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | Yes |
| West * | Weight at age of the spawning <br> stock at spawning time. | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural <br> mortality before spawning | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing <br> mortality before spawning | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | No |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1958-$ <br> 2010 | $1-6+$ | No |

* mean weights in the stock in the new plus group were re-weighted using catch numbers at age.


## Tuning data:

| TYPE | NAME | YEAR RANGE | AGE RANGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acoustic Survey | CSHAS | $2002-2010$ | $2-5$ |

## Analysis of productivity over time

To account for the influence of the ecosystem on the productivity of this herring stock (ICES, 2007, Chapter 1) the methods of Nash and Dickey-Collas (2005) were applied. The recruit per spawner ratio was calculated. These calculations formed the basis for the detection of periods of high and low production of the stock (Figure 18).

The next step was to calculate the net and surplus production of the whole stock, including the recruits and the growth of all non-recruits, the natural and the fishing mortality. To subtract the influence of the spawning stock biomass a hockey stick and a Ricker stock recruitment relationship were fitted to the data to obtain the residuals of the recruits of a given year. The residuals were used to remove the year effect from the estimation of the stock size and to gain the net production and the surplus production respectively without the effect of the SSB on the number of recruits. Contrary to ICES (2007, Technical Minutes) the stock recruit model is not presented. This is
because the model is not considered a good fit to the data and because the aim of this analysis is to examine recruitment, having removed the effect of SSB.

The data used in this analysis was derived from the assessment outputs from the HAWG in 2006 (ICES, 2006 ACFM:20, Table 1.8.3.1).

Calculation of the surplus production

$$
\mathrm{Ps}=\mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{Bg}-\mathrm{M}
$$

where Br is the biomass of the recruits, Bg the gain of biomass due to growth of all fish excluding the recruits and $M$ the natural mortality. The net production equals the surplus production minus the fishing mortality (F).

The Celtic Sea herring stock had a low productivity throughout the whole time series, compared to other stocks (ICES, 2007). The net and surplus production is very noisy displaying no clear trend. The impact of a varying F was tested using the Hockey Stick stock recruitment relationship (Figure 18). The stock showed variable production over time (Figures 19 and 20). It can be seen that $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ is associated with high though variable surplus production over the series, whilst F's greater than 0.4 are associated with reduced productivity in the most recent years. This analysis demonstrates the benefits of harvesting at an F of around $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. Exploitation in the range of recent $\mathrm{F}(\sim 0.7-1.2)$ is detrimental to stock productivity.

## D. Short-Term Projection

Short term forecasts were routinely performed until 2004. There was no final assessment from 2005-2008 and therefore no short term forecast was conducted. A forecast was again carried out in 2009, 2010 and 2011. The method used in 2009 and 2010 was the "Multi fleet Deterministic Projection" software (Smith, 2000). In 2011 the forecast was carried out using FLR. A short-term projection is carried out under the following assumptions. Recruitment was set at geometric mean, from 1995-minus the most recent two years. This value is considered a good proxy for recruitment strength in recent years. This is because the recent recruitments have fluctuated about this value. Mean weights in the catch and in the stock were calculated as means over the last three years. Selection is taken from the most recent assessment. Population number of 2 ringers in the intermediate season was calculated by the degradation of geometric mean recruitment using the equation below, following the same procedure as in previous years.
$N_{t+1}=N_{t}{ }^{*} e^{-F_{t}+M_{t}}$

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Yield per recruit analyses have been conducted for this stock since the mid 1960s, though not necessarily every year. Recent analyses have used the "Multi Fleet Yield Per Recruit" software and using FLR. A comparison of the results is shown in the table below. Based on the most recent yield per recruit $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ is estimated to be 0.17 (Figure 21).

Table 7 presents estimates of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ from the literature and from yield per recruit analyses conducted over time. F0.1 estimates from the YPR analysis have been in the range 0.16-0.19. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ has been undefined in recent studies but earlier work suggested values of around 0.45 , based on the good recruitment regime of the 1960s. Fmsy for this stock is 0.25 .

## F. Long-Term Projections

A long term plan has been proposed for Celtic Sea herring and simulations have been carried out in conjunction with this work. HCS10 (Skagen, 2010) was used to project the stock forward twenty years and screen over a range of possible trigger points, F values and \% constraints on TAC change. It was agreed by the Irish industry that a target F of 0.23 would be proposed and that 61000 t would be used as a trigger biomass. Once the stock falls to this level, reductions in F would be implemented. A 30\% constraint in TAC change would also apply. Simulations have shown that this combination of options shows that the risk of falling below the breakpoint which is 41000 t is less than $5 \%$ over the simulation period (Egan and Clarke, 2011 WD 11).

## G. Biological Reference Points

$B_{p a}$ is based on a low probability of low recruitment and is currently 44000 t .
$B_{\text {lim }}$ is set at Bloss and is $26000 t$ (ICES, 2001).
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ are not defined. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ has not been as 0.25 and $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ as 0.17 .
The reference points for this stock have not been revised in recent years. There is some evidence that Blim should be revised upwards, to the point of recruitment impairment estimated by Egan and Clarke (2011, WD No 11). These authors showed a changepoint in a segmented regression at 41000 t .

## H.1. Biology of the species in the distribution area

Herring shoals migrate to inshore waters to spawn. Their spawning grounds are located in shallow waters close to the coast and are well known and well defined. This stock can be divided into autumn and winter spawning components. Spawning begins in October and can continue until February. A number of spawning grounds are located along the South coast, extending from the Saltee Islands to the Old Head of Kinsale. These grounds include Baginbun Bay, Dunmore East Co Waterford, around Capel and Ballycotton Islands and around the entrance to Cork Harbour (Molloy, 2006). The areas surrounding the Daunt Rock and old Head of Kinsale have also been recognised as spawning grounds (Breslin, 1998). These spawning grounds are shown in Figures 2 -. 5 .

Herring are benthic spawners and deposit their eggs on the sea bed usually on gravel or course sediments. The yolk sac larvae hatch and adopt a pelagic mode of life.

When referring to spawning locations the following terminology is used (Molloy, 2006)

- A spawning bed is the area over which the eggs are deposited
- A spawning ground consists of one or more spawning beds located in a small area.
- A spawning area is comprised of a number of spawning grounds in a larger area

Spawning grounds are typically located in high energy environments such as the mouth of large rivers and areas where the tidal currents are strong. Herring shoals return to the same spawning grounds each year (Molloy, 2006).

Herring produce benthic eggs that are adhered to the bottom substrate where they remain until hatching. Fertilized eggs hatch into larvae in 7-10 days depending on the water temperature. The size of the egg determines the size of the larvae. Larger eggs have a greater chance of survival but this must be balanced against environmental conditions and the inverse relationship between fecundity and egg size (Blaxter and Hunter, 1982).

A study on fecundity of Celtic Sea herring, conducted in the 1920s found that the eggs produced by spring spawners were $25 \%$ bigger than those autumn spawners but were less numerous (Farran, 1938). Later studies of Celtic Sea herring fecundity by Molloy (1979), found that there were two spawning populations with the autumn one being most important.

The relationship between fecundity and length has been calculated for both spawning components of Celtic Sea herring. The regression equations are as shown in Molloy, 1979, are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Autumn spawning component: Fecundity }=5.1173 \mathrm{~L}-56.69(\mathrm{n}=53) \\
& \text { Winter spawning component: Fecundity }=3.485 \mathrm{~L}-35.90(\mathrm{n}=37)
\end{aligned}
$$

The larval phase is an important period in the herring life cycle. Larvae use their oil globule for food and to provide buoyancy. Currents transport the newly hatched larvae to areas in the Celtic Sea or to the Irish Sea (Molloy, 2006). The conditions experienced during the larval phase as well as during juvenile phase are likely to have some influence on the maturation of Celtic Sea herring. Fast growing juveniles can recruit to the population a year earlier than slow growing juveniles. Faster growth may also lead to increased fecundity (Brophy and Danilowich, 2003). Fluctuating environmental conditions play an important role in the growth and survival of herring in this area.

The juveniles tend to remain close inshore, in shallow waters for the first two years of their lives, in nursery areas. There are many of these nursery areas around the coast. The minimum landing size for herring is 20 cm and therefore these juvenile herring are not caught by the fishery in the early stages of their life cycle (Molloy, 2006).

Celtic Sea herring have undergone changes in growth patterns and a declining trend in mean weights and lengths can be seen over time. It is important to detect these changes from a management perspective because changes can have an impact on the estimation of stock size. Growth has an impact on factors such as maturity and recruitment (Molloy, 2006). Trends in mean weights and lengths are currently being examined over the time series and possible links to environmental factors investigated (Lynch, 2011).

The locations of spawning and non spawning fish in the Celtic Sea are shown in Figure 5. This is based on the knowledge of fishermen and shows spawning herring are found close inshore and non spawning fish are found in areas further off shore.

## H.2. Management and ICES Advice

The assessment year is from $1^{\text {st }}$ April to $31^{\text {st }}$ March. However for management purposes, the TAC year is from $1^{\text {st }}$ January to $31^{\text {st }}$ December.

The first time that management measures were applied to this fishery was during the late 1960s. This was in response to the increasing catches particularly off Dunmore East. The industry became concerned and certain restrictions were put in place in order to prevent a glut of herring in the market and a reduction in prices. Boat quotas
were introduced restricting the nightly catches and the number of boats fishing. Fishing times were specified with no weekend fishing and herring could not be landed for the production of fishmeal. A minimum landing size was also introduced (Molloy, 1995).

The TAC (total allowable catch) system was introduced in 1972, which meant that yearly quotas were allocated. This continued until 1977 when the fishery was closed. During the closure a precautionary TAC was set for Division VIIj. This division was not assessed analytically (ICES, 1994). After the closure of this fishery a new management structure was implemented with catches controlled on a seasonal basis and individual boat quotas were put in place (Molloy 1995).

Table 8 shows the history of the ICES advice, implemented TACs and ICES' estimates of removals from the stock. It can be seen that the implemented TAC has been set higher than the advice in about $50 \%$ of years since the re-opening of the fishery in 1983. The tendency for the TAC to be set higher than the advice has also increased in recent years. It can also be seen that ICES catch estimates have been lower than the agreed TAC in most years.

This fishery is still managed by a TAC system with quotas allocated to boats on a weekly basis. Participation in the fishery is restricted to licensed vessels. A series of closed areas have been implemented to protect the spawning grounds, when herring are particularly vulnerable. These spawning box closures were implemented under EU legislation.

The committee set up to manage the stock has the following objectives.

- To build the stock to a level whereby it can sustain annual catches of around 20,000 t.
- In the event of the stock falling below the level at which these catches can be sustained the Committee will take appropriate rebuilding measures.
- To introduce measures to prevent landings of small and juvenile herring, including closed areas and/or appropriate time closures.
- To ensure that all landings of herring should contain at least $50 \%$ of individual fish above 23 cm .
- To maintain, and if necessary expand the spawning box closures in time and area.
- To ensure that adequate scientific resources are available to assess the state of the stock.
- To participate in the collection of data and to play an active part in the stock assessment procedure.

The Irish Celtic Sea Herring Management Advisory Committee has developed a rebuilding plan for this stock. This Committee proposes that this plan be put forward for Council Regulation for 2009 and subsequent years. The plan incorporates scientific advice with the main elements of the EU policy statement on fishing opportunities for 2009, local stakeholder initiatives and Irish legislation.

## Rebuilding plan

1. For 2009 , the TAC shall be reduced by $25 \%$ relative to the current year (2008).
2. In 2010 and subsequent years, the TAC shall be set equal to a fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$.
3. If, in the opinion of ICES and STECF, the catch should be reduced to the lowest possible level, the TAC for the following year will be reduced by $25 \%$.
4. Division VIIaS will be closed to herring fishing for 2009, 2010 and 2011.
5. A small-scale sentinel fishery will be permitted in the closed area, Division VIIaS. This fishery shall be confined to vessels, of no more than 65 feet in length. A maximum catch limitation of $8 \%$ of the Irish quota shall be exclusively allocated to this sentinel fishery.
6. Every three years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation, the Commission shall request ICES and STECF to evaluate the progress of this rebuilding plan.
7. When the SSB is deemed to have recovered to a size equal to or greater than $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in three consecutive years, the rebuilding plan will be superseded by a long-term management plan.

## Evaluation of the Management Plan

The proposed rebuilding plan for Celtic Sea and Division VIIj herring is estimated to be in accordance with the precautionary approach, if the target fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ is adhered to.

## 2010 Advice

The advice for 2010 was based on the rebuilding plan.
The rebuilding plan is due to end in 2011 when it is expected to be replaced by a long term management plan. In early 2011 the Irish industry agreed a long term management plan. The plan has not yet been evaluated.

The text of the proposed plan is below.

## Text of the proposed Long term management plan Herring in the Celtic Sea and Division VIIj.

1. Every effort shall be made to maintain a minimum level of Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) greater than $41,000 \mathrm{t}$, the level below which recruitment becomes impaired.
2. Where the SSB, in the year for which the TAC is to be fixed, is estimated to be above $61,000 \mathrm{t}$ ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ ) the TAC will be set consistent with a fishing morality, for appropriate age groups, of 0.23 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {target }}$ ).
3. Where the SSB is estimated to be below 61,000 tonnes, the TAC will be set consistent with a fishing mortality of:

$$
\text { SSB * } 0.23 / 61,000
$$

4. Where the rules in paragraphs 2 and 3 would lead to a TAC which deviates by more than $30 \%$ from the TAC of the preceding year, the TAC will be fixed such that it is not more than $30 \%$ greater or $30 \%$ less than the TAC of the preceding year.

5 Where the SSB is estimated to be below 41,000 tonnes, Subdivision VIIaS will be closed until the SSB has recovered to above 41,000 tonnes.
6. Where the SSB is estimated to be below 41,000 tonnes, and Subdivision VIIaS is closed, a small-scale sentinel fishery will be permitted in the closed area. This fishery will be confined to vessels, of no more than 50 feet in registered length. A maximum catch limitation of $8 \%$ of the Irish quota will be exclusively allocated to this sentinel fishery.
7. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2,3 and 4 , if the SSB is estimated to be at or below the level consistent with recruitment impairment $(41,000 \mathrm{t})$, then the TAC will be set at a lower level than that provided for in those paragraphs.
8. No vessels participating in the fishery, if requested, will refuse to take onboard any observer for the purposes of improving the knowledge on the state of the stock. All vessels will, upon request, provide samples of catches for scientific analyses.
9. Every three years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation, the Commission will request ICES and STECF to review and evaluate the plan.
10. This arrangement enters into force on 1st January, 2012.

If this plan is agreed and accepted it will then undergo a more detailed evaluation before it will be used as a basis for scientific advice.

## H.4. Terminology

The WG uses "rings" rather than "age" or "winter rings" throughout the report to denominate the age of herring, with the intention to avoid confusion. It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". HAWG in 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess:11) stated that
"The convention of defining herring age rings instead of years was introduced in various ICES working groups around 1970. The main argument to do so was the uncertainty about the racial identity of the herring in some areas. A herring with one winter ring is classified as 2-years-old if it is an autumn spawner, and one-year-old if it is a spring spawner. Recording the age of the herring in rings instead of in years allowed scientists to postpone the decision on year of birth until a later date when they might have obtained more information on the racial identity of the herring.

The use of winter rings in ICES working groups has introduced a certain amount of confusion and errors. In specifying the age of the herring, people always have to state explicitly whether they are talking about rings or years, and whether the herring are autumn- or spring spawners. These details tend to get lost in working group reports, which can make these reports confusion for outsiders, and even for herring experts themselves. As the age of all other fish species (and of herring in other parts of the world) is expressed in years, one could question the justification of treating West-European herring in a special way. Especially with the present trend towards multispecies assessment and integration of ICES working groups, there might be a case for a uniform system of age definition throughout all ICES working groups.

However, the change from rings to years would create a number of practical problems. Data files in national laboratories and at ICES would have to be adapted, which would involve extra costs and manpower. People that had not been aware of the change might be confused when comparing new data with data from old working group reports. Finally, in some areas (notably Division IIIa), the distinction between spring- and autumn spawners is still hard to make, and scientists preferred to continue using rings instead of years.

The Working Group discussed at length the various consequences of a change from rings to years. The majority of the Group felt that the advantages of such a change did not outweigh the disadvantages, and it was decided to stick to the present system for the time being."

The text table below gives an example for the correlation between age, rings and year class for the different spawning types in late 2002:

| YEAR CLASS (AUTUMN SPAWNERS) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $1999 / \mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $1998 / \mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (autumn spawners) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Year class (spring spawners) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (spring spawners) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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Figure 1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Schematic presentation of the life cycle of Celtic Sea and VIIj Herring (ICES, 2005c, SGRESP).


Figure 2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Schematic presentation of prevailing oceanographic conditions in the Celtic Sea and VIIj (ICES, 2005c, SGRESP).


Figure 3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Areas mentioned in the text and spawning boxes A, B and C, south of Ireland. One of these boxes is closed each season, under EU legislation. 1 Courtmacsherry, 2 Cork Harbour, 3 Daunt Rock, 4 Kinsale Gas Field (Rigs), 5 Labadie Bank, 6 Kinsale, 8 Waterford Harbour, 9, Baginbun Bay, 10, Tramore Bay/ Dunmore East, 11, Ballycotton Bay, 12, Valentia Island, 13 Kerry Head to Loop Head, 14, The Smalls. The spawning boxes A-C correspond to ICES Divisions VIIj, VIIg and VIIaS respectively.


Figure 4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Spawning ground of herring along the south coast of Ireland, inferred from information on the Irish herring fishery (Breslin, 1998).


Figure 5. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Location of spawning (closed symbol) and non spawning (open symbol) herring in the Celtic Sea and SW of Ireland, based on expert fishemens' knowledge.


Figure .6. Herring in the Celtic Sea. ICES estimates of herring catches (tonnes) per season 1958/1959 to 2010/2011.


Figure 7. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch numbers at age standardised by yearly mean. 9+


Figure 8. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Trends over time in mean weights in the catch.


Figure 9. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Trends over time in mean weights in the stock at spawning time.


Figure 10. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Mean length at age from historic sources (Burd et al, 1965) and references therein. Data from 1964 onwards are Irish data. Long term means are shown for each age and are labelled $\mathrm{m} 1-\mathrm{m} 8$. The data from the 1920s are depicted as single years though they represent a group of years (Lynch, 2011).


Figure 11: Herring in the Celtic Sea. Percentage maturity in males and females at 1 winter ring (Lynch, 2011).



Figure 12. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Log catch ratios (above) and log catch ratios smoothed with a 4 year moving average for each age group for the time series 1958-2010.


Figure 13. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Log Catch Ratios by cohort


Figure 14: Herring in the Celtic Sea. Total mortality ( $Z$ ) estimated from cohort catch curves (2-7 ringer) for cohorts 1958 to 1997.


Figure 15. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Cohort catch curves (2-5 ringer), averaged over several year classes, from catch at age data.


Figure 16. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Cohort catch curves (2-5 ring) based on acoustic survey abundance. Upper panel shows means for two periods, and below for three time periods, over the same series of surveys

## Celtic Sea Herring Stock Summary Plot



Figure 17. Herring in the Celtic Sea. SSB, F and recruitment (1-ringer) from the final assessment in 2011.


Figure 18. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Stock recruit relationship from ICA base case runs. Data classified according to quality of input data, see Table 4.


Figure 19. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Recruits per spawner, in '000s/tonnes


Figure 20. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Total and surplus production in the time series over a range of fishing mortalities.


Figure 21. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Yield per recruit carried out in 2011.

Table 1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Acoustic surveys of Celtic Sea and VIIj herring, by season. Number of surveys per season and type indicated along with biomass and SSB estimates. Shaded sections show surveys not used in tuning, in most recent assessment.

| Season | No. | Type | Survey Timing | SSB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $1990 / 1991$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Oct and Jan/Feb | - |
| $1991 / 1992$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Nov/Dec and Jan | - |
| $1992 / 1993$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Nov and Jan | - |
| $1993 / 1994$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Nov and Jan | - |
| $1994 / 1995$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Nov and Jan | - |
| $1995 / 1996$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Nov and Jan | 36 |
| $1996 / 1997$ | 1 | Autumn and winter spawners | Oct/Nov and Jan | 151 |
| $1997 / 1998$ | - | No survey |  | - |
| $1998 / 1999$ | 1 | Autumn spawners | Nov and Jan | 100 |
| $1999 / 2000$ | 1 | Feeding phase | July | - |
| $1999 / 2000$ | 1 | Winter-spawners | Nov and Jan | - |
| $2000 / 2001$ | 2 | Autumn and winter spawners | Oct and Jan | 20 |
| $2001 / 2002$ | 2 | Pre-spawning | Sept and Oct | 95 |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Sept/Oct | 41 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct/Nov | 20 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Nov/Dec | - |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct | 33 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct | 36 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct | 46 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct | 90 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct | 122 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 1 | Pre-spawning | Oct |  |

Table 2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Original acoustic survey abundance at age as used by ICES until HAWG 2006.

|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996* | 1997 | 1998* | 1999** | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2005 | 2007 |
| 0 | 205 | 214 | 142 | 259 | 41 | 5 | 3 | - | - | 13 | - | 23 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 13 | - |
| 1 | 132 | 63 | 427 | 217 | 38 | 280 | 134 | - | 21 | 398 | 23 | 18 | 30 | 41 | 73 | 13 | 54 | 21 |
| 2 | 249 | 195 | 117 | 438 | 127 | 551 | 757 | - | 157 | 208 | 97 | 143 | 160 | 176 | 323 | 29 | 125 | 211 |
| 3 | 109 | 95 | 88 | 59 | 160 | 138 | 250 | - | 150 | 48 | 85 | 36 | 176 | 142 | 253 | 32 | 26 | 48 |
| 4 | 153 | 54 | 50 | 63 | 11 | 94 | 51 | - | 201 | 8 | 16 | 19 | 40 | 27 | 61 | 16 | 50 | 14 |
| 5 | 32 | 85 | 22 | 26 | 11 | 8 | 42 | - | 109 | 1 | 21 | 7 | 44 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 20 | 11 |
| 6 | 15 | 22 | 24 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 1 | - | 32 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 2 | 8 | 14 | - | 30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 8 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 1 | - | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 9+ | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Total | 904 | 739 | 882 | 1107 | 399 | 1107 | 1253 |  | 705 | 677 | 252 | 250 | 542 | 404 | 758 | 119 | 292 | 305 |
| Biomass <br> (000't) | 103 | 84 | 89 | 104 | 52 | 135 | 151 |  | 111 | 58 | 30 | 33 | 80 | 49 | 89 | 13 | 33 | 37 |
| SSB (000't) | 91 | 77 | 71 | 90 | 51 | 114 | 146 |  | 111 | 23 | 26 | 32 | 74 | 39 | 86 | 10 | 30 | 36 |

Table 3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Revised acoustic series as used by HAWG.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 24 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 99 | 239 | 5 |
| 1 | 42 | 13 | - | 65 | 21 | 106 | 64 | 381 | 346 |
| 2 | 185 | 62 | - | 137 | 211 | 70 | 295 | 112 | 549 |
| 3 | 151 | 60 | - | 28 | 48 | 220 | 111 | 210 | 156 |
| 4 | 30 | 17 | - | 54 | 14 | 31 | 162 | 57 | 193 |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | - | 22 | 11 | 9 | 27 | 125 | 65 |
| 6 | 7 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 91 |
| 7 | 3 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 |  | 6 | 3 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Abundance | 423 | 183 | - | 312 | 305 | 454 | 769 | 1,147 | 1,414 |
| SSB | 41 | 20 | - | 33 | 36 | 46 | 90 | 91 | 122 |
| CV | 49 | 34 | - | 48 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 24 | 20 |
| Design | AR | AR |  | R | R | R | R | R | R |

Table 4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Rudimentary history of the Irish fishery since 1958.

| Time period | 1958-1977 | 1977-1983 | 1983-1997 | 1998-2004 | 2004-2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of fishery | Cured fish | Closure | Herring roe | Fillet/whole fish | Fillet/whole fish |
| Quality of catch data | High | Medium | Low | Medium/low | High |
| Source of catch data | Auction data | Auction data | Skipper logbook estimate | Skipper logbook estimate | Weighbridge landings |
| Discard Levels | Low | Low | High | Medium | Medium |
| Incentive to discard | None | None | Maturity stage | Size gr | market vs. quota |
| Alloowance for water* | na | na | na | 20\%** | 2\%* |

[^7]Table 5. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Biological history of the stock.

|  | $1958-1972$ | $1973-1977$ | $1978-1980$ | $1981-1983$ | $1984-1995$ | $1996-2008$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW 2-ring (kg) <br> median | 0.146 | 0.181 | 0.179 | 0.158 | 0.135 | 0.115 |
| ML 2-ring (cm) median 26.4 | 27.5 | 27.1 | 26.3 | 25.2 | 24.4 |  |
| Z (cohort catch curve) | $0.22-0.93$ | $0.42-1.12$ | $0.74-0.93$ | $0.62-0.74$ | $0.49-0.89$ | $0.48-1.01$ |
| GM recruitment 10 | 448 | 167 | 168 | 587 | 514 | 340 |
| Recruitment anomaly | positive | negative | negative | positive | positive | both |
| SSB (000 t) | $53-126$ | 27 to 52 | $25-26$ | $30-63$ | $49-68$ | $24-70$ |
| F (2-5 r) | $0.23-0.71$ | $0.55-0.80$ | $0.50-0.68$ | $0.68-0.87$ | $0.40-0.98$ | $0.12-0.88$ |

Table 6. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Total mortality Z estimated from cohort catch curves.

| Cohort | Z (2-7 ring) | Cohort | Z (2-7 ring) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 0.39 | 1977 | 1.09 |
| 1957 | 0.37 | 1978 | 0.84 |
| 1958 | 0.31 | 1979 | 0.93 |
| 1959 | 0.42 | 1980 | 0.75 |
| 1960 | 0.22 | 1981 | 0.75 |
| 1961 | 0.47 | 1982 | 0.65 |
| 1962 | 0.30 | 1983 | 0.63 |
| 1963 | 0.50 | 1984 | 0.50 |
| 1964 | 0.62 | 1985 | 0.66 |
| 1965 | 0.71 | 1986 | 0.62 |
| 1966 | 0.66 | 1987 | 0.76 |
| 1967 | 0.51 | 1988 | 0.58 |
| 1968 | 0.93 | 1989 | 0.73 |
| 1969 | 0.82 | 1990 | 0.57 |
| 1970 | 0.76 | 1991 | 0.65 |
| 1971 | 0.55 | 1992 | 0.77 |
| 1972 | 0.51 | 1993 | 0.90 |
| 1973 | 0.43 | 1994 | 0.73 |
| 1974 | 0.68 | 1995 | 0.80 |
| 1975 |  | 1996 | 1.02 |
|  | 1997 | 0.88 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.86 |  |

Table 7. Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Estimates of estimates of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {max }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ from the literature and HAWG work.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ | MSY | Comments | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | - | >0.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 15 \\ & 000 \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | Years for calculation had lower recruitment | Burd and Bracken, 1965 |
| 1969 | - | $\sim 0.45$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 000 \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | Years for calculation had higher recruitment | Molloy, 1969 |
|  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |
| 1974 | - | $>0.5$ |  | 000* | Fmsy calculated for periods of high and low recruitment | Corten, 1974 |
| 1983 | 0.16 |  |  |  | Yield/Biomass ratio | HAWG, 1983 |
| 1990 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 1990 |
| 1994 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 1994 |
| 1995 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 1995 |
| 1996 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 1996 |
| 1997 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 1997 |
| 1999 | $<0.2$ |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 1999 |
| 2000 | <0.2 |  |  |  |  | HAWG, 2000 |
| 2002 | 0.17 |  |  |  | MFYPR software | HAWG, 2002 |
| 2003 | 0.17 |  |  |  | MFYPR software | HAWG, 2003 |
| 2004 | 0.17 |  |  |  | MFYPR software | HAWG, 2004 |
| 2007 | 0.19 |  |  |  | MFYPR software | HAWG, 2007 |
| 2009 | 0.17 |  |  |  | MFYPR software | HAWG 2009 |
| 2010 | 0.18 |  | 0.25 |  | HCS 10 Software | HAWG 2010 |
| 2011 | 0.17 |  |  |  | FLR | HAWG 2011 |

*endorses Molloy (1969) provided that recruitment is at level 1966-1969

Table 8 Celtic Sea and VIIj herring. Advice history.

| Year | ICES Advice | Predicted catch to corresp to advice | Agreed <br> TAC | Official <br> Landings | Discards | Estimated Catch ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | NEAFC TAC |  | 32 | 20 | - | 19.74 |
| 1975 | Reduce F, TAC $\leq 25,000$ |  | 25 | 16 | - | 15.13 |
| 1976 | TAC between 10,000 and 12,000 |  | 10.8 | 10 | - | 8.2 |
| 1977 | No Fishing | 0 | 0 | 8 | - | 7.1 |
| 1978 | No Fishing | 0 | 0 | 8 | - | 15.5 |
| 1979 | TAC set for VIIj only, No fishing in Celtic Sea | 0 | 6 | 10 | - | 12.1 |
| 1980 | TAC set for VIIj only, No fishing in Celtic Sea |  | 6 | 9 | - | 9.2 |
| 1981 | TAC set for VIIj only, No fishing in Celtic Sea |  | 6 | 17 | - | 16.8 |
| 1982 | TAC |  | 8* | 10 | - | 9.5 |
| 1983 | TAC |  | 8* | 22 | 4 | 22.18 |
| 1984 | TAC | 13 | 13 | 20 | 3.6 | 19.7 |
| 1985 | TAC | 13 | 13 | 16 | 3.1 | 16.23 |
| 1986 | No specific TAC, preferred overall catch 17,000t |  | 17 | 13 | 3.9 | 23.3 |
| 1987 | Precautionary TAC | 18 | 18 | 18 | 4.2 | 27.3 |
| 1988 | TAC | 13 | 18 | 17 | 2.4 | 19.2 |
| 1989 | TAC | 20 | 20 | 18 | 3.5 | 22.7 |
| 1990 | TAC | 15 | 17.5 | 17 | 2.5 | 20.2 |
| 1991 | TAC (TAC excluding discards) | 15 (12.5) | 21 | 21 | 1.9 | 23.6 |
| 1992 | TAC | 27 | 21 | 19 | 2.1 | 23 |
| 1993 | Precautionary TAC (including discards) | 20-24 | 21 | 20 | 1.9 | 21.1 |
| 1994 | Precautionary TAC (including discards) | 20-24 | 21 | 19 | 1.7 | 19.1 |
| 1995 | No specific advice | - | 21 | 18 | 0.7 | 19 |
| 1996 | TAC | 9.8 | 16.5-21 | 21 | 3 | 21.8 |
| 1997 | If required, precautionary TAC | <25 | 22 | 20.7 | 0.7 | 18.8 |
| 1998 | Catches below 25 | <25 | 22 | 20.5 | 0 | 20.3 |
| 1999 | $\mathrm{F}=0.4$ | 19 | 21 | 19.4 | 0 | 18.1 |
| 2000 | $\mathrm{F}<0.3$ | 20 | 21 | 18.8 | 0 | 18.3 |
| 2001 | $\mathrm{F}<0.34$ | 17.9 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 17.7 |
| 2002 | $\mathrm{F}<0.35$ | 11 | 11 | 11.5 | 0 | 10.5 |
| 2003 | Substantially less than recent catches | - | 13 | 12 | 0 | 11 |
| 2004 | 60\% of average catch 1997-2000 | 11 | 13 | 12 | - | 11 |
| 2005 | 60\% of average catch 1997-2000 | 11 | 13 | 10 | - | 8 |
| 2006 | Further reduction 60\% avg catch 2002-2004 | 6.7 | 11 | 9 | - | 8.5 |
| 2007 | No fishing without rebuilding plan | -- | 9.4 | 9.6 | - | 8.3 |
| 2008 | No targeted fishing without rebuilding plan | -- | 7.9 | 7.8 | - | 6.9 |
| 2009 | No targeted fishing without rebuilding plan |  | 5.9 | 6.2 | - | 5.8 |
| 2010 | Fmgt 0.19 | 10.15 | 10.15 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | See scenarios |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]1) Calendar year

## Annex 6 - Stock Annex Herring in VlaN

Quality Handbook ANNEX: Hawg-her47d3
Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES.

| Stock: | Herring in VIa (North) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Working Group: | Herring Assessment WG for the Area south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ |
| Date: | 23 March 2011 |
| Authors: | E.M.C. Hatfield, E.J. Simmonds and A. Edridge |

The section on short term forecast has been updated in 2011 to reflect the changes recommended by the 2011 Advice Drafting Group in 2010. Advice is now based on the average Fsq of the last three years in the assessment

## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

The stock is distributed over ICES Division VIa (N). Some of the larger adults typically found close to the shelf break may be caught in division Vb .

## A.2. Fishery

The dominant fleet fishing in VIa (N) since 1957 has been the Scottish fleet. In the early years the Scottish fishery was prosecuted using a mixture of vessel size and gear, including gill nets, ring-nets and trawls. The boats were small, and targeted the coastal stock, primarily fishing in the winter. Until 1970 the only other nations fishing in this area on a regular basis were the former German Federal Republic, and to a much lesser extend the Netherlands. These fleets operated in deeper water near the shelf edge.

In 1970 a large increase in exploitation occurred with the entry of fleets from Norway and the Faroes, and an increased Netherlands catch. In addition, considerably smaller catches were taken by France and Iceland.

Throughout this period juvenile herring catches from the Moray Firth, in the northeast of Scotland, were included in the VIa catch figures, as tagging programs showed there to be some links between herring spawning to the west of Scotland and the Moray Firth juveniles.

Prior to 1982 herring stocks in ICES Area VIa were assessed as one stock, along with the herring by-catch from the sprat fishery in the Moray Firth. In the 1982 herring assessment working group report, and in subsequent years, Area VIa was split into a northern and a southern area at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES, 1982).

In 1979 and 1981 the fishery was closed. After re-opening the nature of the fishery changed to an extent, with fewer Scottish boats targeting the coastal stock than before the closure. The Scottish domestic pair trawl fleet and the Northern Irish fleet operated in shallower, coastal areas, principally fishing in the Minches and around the Island of Barra in the south; younger herring are found in these areas. Since 1986 Irish trawlers have operated in the south of the area, from the VIa (S) line up to the southwestern Hebrides. The Scottish and Norwegian purse seine fleets targeted herring mostly in the northern North Sea, but also operated in the northern part of VIa (N). An international freezer-trawler fishery operated in deeper water near the shelf edge
where older fish are distributed. These vessels are mostly registered in the Netherlands, Germany, France and England. In recent years the catch of these fleets has become more similar.

In recent years the Scottish fleet has changed to a predominantly purse-seine fleet to a trawl fleet. Norwegian vessels fish less in the area than in the past. Scottish catches still comprise around half of the total, the rest is dominated by the offshore, international fishery.

A recent EU-funded programme WESTHER has elucidated stock structures of herring throughout the western seaboard of the British Isles using a combination of morphometric measurements, otolith structure, genetics and parasite loads. The results provide information on mixing of stocks within and beyond VIa (N).

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Herring are an important prey species in the ecosystem and also one of the dominant planktivorous fish.

Herring fisheries tend to be clean with little bycatch of other fish. Scottish discard observer programs since 1999 indicate that discarding of herring in these directed fisheries are at a low level. These discard observer programs have recorded occasional catches of seals and zero catches of cetaceans.

In addition to being a valuable protein resource for humans, herring represent an important prey item for many predators including cod and other large gadoids, dogfish and sharks, marine mammals and sea birds. Because the trophic importance of herring puts its stocks under immense pressure from constant exploitation, it is important that management takes into account all anthropogenic, environmental and biological variables.

## A.4. Biology of the species in the distribution area

The Atlantic herring, Clupea harengus, is numerically one of the most important pelagic species in North Atlantic ecosystems with widespread distribution around the Scottish coast. Within the Northeast Atlantic they are encountered from the north of Biscay to Greenland, and east into the Barents Sea. It is thought that herring stocks comprise many reproductively isolated subpopulations through specific spawning grounds and seasons (e.g. autumn and spring spawners), but the taxonomic status of these subpopulations remains unclear.

Herring are demersal spawners and produce dense beds of benthic eggs deposited on gravelly substrates. This behaviour is considered to be an evolutionary remnant of herrings' river spawning past. Each female produces a single batch of eggs per year, releasing a ribbon of eggs that adheres to the benthos; the male sheds milt while swimming a few centimetres above the female. This particular behaviour renders herring vulnerable to anthropogenic activity such as offshore oil and gas industries and gravel extraction.

The eggs take about three weeks to hatch, dependant on the temperature. The larvae on hatching are $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long and are immediately planktonic. Their yolk sac lasts for about a week during which time they will begin to feed on phytoplankton and crustacean larvae. Their planktonic development lasts around three to four months during which time they are passively subjected to the residual drift which takes them to coastal nurseries. The habitats of juveniles are primarily pelagic, and hydrographical features such as temperature and the depth of thermocline, as well as abundance of
zooplankton affect their distribution. Adult fish are pelagic and found mostly in continental shelf seas to depths up to 200 m . They form large shoals with diurnal migration patterns through the water column which can be associated with the availability of prey and stage of maturity. In the winter the feeding activity and growth are very slow. Herring can reach 40 cm in length and have a maximum lifespan of 10 years although most herring range between $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ and are less than 7 years.
Assessing age and year class for herring can be problematic due to the extended spawning season of autumn spawners from September to January. Using the convention of January $1^{\text {st }}$ as the birthday, 0 -group refer to fish born between 3 and 18 months ago but 0 -group autumn spawners belong to a different class from 0-group spring spawners. Time series of a stock's age structure helps its management and it is vital that they are extended for all the 'West of Scotland' herring components in the VIaN (North), VIaS (South) and VIb areas. The stock identity of herring west of the British Isles was reviewed by the EU-funded project WESTHER, which identified VIaN as an area where catches comprise a mixture of fish from Areas VIaN, VIaS, and VIIaN. ICES current advice is that herring components should be managed separately to afford maximum protection, but a study group will be convened in 2008 (SGHERWAY) to evaluate the WESTHER recommendations.

There are many hypotheses as to the cause of the irregular cycles shown in the productivity of herring stocks (weights-at-age and recruitment), but in most cases it is thought that the environment plays a key role (through prey, predation and transport). The VIaN herring stock has shown a marked decline in productivity during the late 1970s and has remained at a low level since then. ICES identifies that the VIaN stock is currently fluctuating at low levels and is being exploited above $F_{m s y}$.

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

Commercial catch is obtained from national laboratories of nations exploiting herring in VIa (N). Since 1999 (catch data 1998), these labs have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data, which was developed originally for the Mackerel Working Group (WGMHSA) and further adapted to the special needs of the Herring Assessment Working Group. The current version used for reporting the 2002 catch data was v1.6.4. The majority of commercial catch data of multinational fleets was provided on these spreadsheets and further processed with the SALLOCLapplication (Patterson, 1998a). This program gives the needed standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in missing sampling data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set.
Transparency of data handling by the Working Group. The current practice of data handling by the Working Group is that the data received by the co-ordinators is available in a folder called "archive". These high-resolution data are not reproduced in the report. The archived data contains the disaggregated dataset (disfad), the allocations of samples to unsampled catches (alloc), the aggregated dataset (sam.out) and (in some cases) a document describing any problems with the data in that year.

Current methods of compiling fisheries assessment data. The species co-ordinator is responsible for compiling the national data to produce the input data for the assessments. In addition to checking the major task involved is to allocate samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches. There are at
present no defined criteria on how this should be done, but the following general process is implemented by the species co-ordinators. Searches are made for appropriate samples by gear (fleet) area quarter, if an exact match is not available the search will move to a neighbouring area if the fishery extends to this area in the same quarter. More than one sample may be allocated to an unsampled catch, in this case a straight mean or weighted mean of the observations may be used. If there are no samples available the search will move to the closest non-adjacent area by gear (fleet) and quarter, but not in all cases.

Until 2003 the VIa(N) catch data extended back to the early 1970s; since 1986 the series has run from 1976 to present. In 2004 the data set was extended back to 1957. Details are given below.

## Historic Catches from 1957 to 1975

The working group has obtained preliminary estimates of catch and catch-at-age for the period 1957 to 1975 . These have been estimated from records of catch presented in HAWG reports from 1973, 1974, 1981 and 1982. Intervening reports were also consulted to check for changes or updates during the period. Catch-at-age data were available from 1970 to 1975 from the 1982 Working Group report, and catches-at-age for the period 1957 to 1972 were estimated from paper records of catch-at-age by national fleets for 1957 to 1972, held at FRS Marine Laboratory Aberdeen. The fishing practices of national fleets were established for the period 1970 to 1980 from catches in VIa and VIa (N) recorded in the 1981 and 1982 Working Group reports respectively. This procedure suggested that, on average, more than $90 \%$ of catch by national fleet could be fully assigned to either VIa (N) or VIa (S). The remaining catch was assigned assuming historic proportions. During this period catches were split into autumn and spring spawning components; anecdotal information on trials to verify this separation suggests it was not a robust procedure. Currently about $5 \%$ of herring in VIa (N) is found to be spent at the time of the acoustic surveys in July, and thought to be spring spawning herring. However, at present the Working Group assesses VIa $(\mathrm{N})$ herring as one stock, regardless of spawning stock affiliation. In the earlier period higher proportions were allocated as spring spawners. Currently the designated 'spring spawning' component is not included in the catch at age matrix, but the catch tones express the full amount giving rise to SoP differences in the early years. Similarly, a small Moray Firth juvenile fishery was also included in VIa (N) catch in earlier years because it was thought that these juveniles were part of the VIa (N) stock. Separating this component in the historic data was difficult, and as the fishery ceased in the very early 70s this has no implications for current allocation of these fish. The Moray Firth is, geographically, part of IVa (ICES stat. rectangles 44E6, 44E7, 45E6) and is now managed as part of that area. Currently there are no juvenile herring catches from the Moray Firth. Full details of the analysis carried out is provided as an appendix (Appendix 11) to the 2004 Working Group report. Further investigations are required before determining the correct actions concerning the 'spring spawners' in early period. The consequence of this is to slightly reduce the apparent stock size in the early years, when is already at an all time high. It has no implications for fitting of any survey data, or influence on the Blim reference point, however, it might further increase the high R seen at high SSB in a $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{R}$ relationship.

## Allocation of catch and misreporting

This fishery has had a strong tradition of misreporting before 2000, though this has reduced in recent years. It is believed that the shortfall between the TAC and the catch was used to misreport catches from other areas (from IVa to the east and from VIa (S) to the south). In the past, fishery-independent information confirmed that large catches were being reported from areas with low abundances of fish, and informal information from the fishery and from other sources confirmed that most catches of fish recorded between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ were most probably misreported North Sea catches. The problem was detailed in the Working Group report in 2002 (ICES 2002/ACFM:12). Improved information from the fishery in 1998-2002 allowed for re-allocation of many catches due to area misreporting (principally from VIa (N) to IVa (W)). This information was obtained from only some of the fleets
As a result of perceived problems of area misreporting of catch from IVa into VIa (N), Scotland introduced a fishery regulation in 1997 with the aim to improve reporting accuracy. Under this regulation, Scottish vessels fishing for herring were required to hold a license either to fish in the North Sea or in the west of Scotland area (VIa (N)). Only one licensed option could be held at any one time. However in 2004, the requirement to carry only a single license was rescinded. Area misreporting of catch taken in area IVa into area VIa (N) then increased in 2004 and continued in 2005. It is possible, therefore, that the relaxation of this single area license contributed to a resurgence in area misreporting. In 2007, as in 2006, there was no misreporting from IVa into VIa (N). New sources of information on catch misreporting from the UK became available in 2006 (see the 2007 HAWG report). This information was associated with a stricter enforcement regime that may be responsible for the lack of that area misreporting since 2006.

The Butt of Lewis box, (a seasonal closure to pelagic fishing of the spawning ground in the north west of the continental shelf in area VIa(North) since the late 1970s was opened to fishing in 2008 following a STECF review in 2007. It has not been possible to show either beneficial or deleterious effects from this closure.

Catches are included in the assessment. Biases and sampling designs are not documented. Discards are not included, though data from some fleets suggest these are very minor. Slippage and high grading are not recorded.

## B.2. Biological

Catch-at-age data (catch numbers-at-age, mean weights-at-age in the catch, mean length-at-age) are derived from the raised national figures received from the national laboratories. The data are obtained either by market sampling or by onboard observers, and processed as described in Section B. 1 above. For information on recent sampling levels and nations providing samples, see Section 2.2. in the most recent HAWG report.
Proportions mature (maturity ogive) and mean weights-at-age in the stock derived from the acoustic survey (see next section) have been used since 1992 and 1993, respectively. Prior to these years, time-invariant values derived from ??? were used.
Biological sampling of the catches was extremely poor in recent history (particularly in 1999). This was particularly the case for the freezer trawler fishery that takes the larger component of the stock based around the shelf break. The lack of samples was due in part to the fact that national vessels tend to land in foreign ports, avoiding national sampling programs. The same fleet is thought to high grade. The long length
of fishing trips makes observer programs difficult. Even when samples are taken, age determination is limited for most nations.

Sampling has improved over the last few years. The number of age readings per 1,000 $t$ of catch increased from the low in 1999 of 52 to a high in 2001 of 93 . Numbers have decreased again since then to 57 per 1,000 t in 2003. From 1999 to 2003 the sampling has been dominated by Scotland (ranging between 70 and $98 \%$ of the age readings), except in 2001, when only $43 \%$ of the age determination was on Scottish landings in VIa (N).

Natural mortality (M) varies with age (expressed in number of winter rings) according to the following:

Rings $\quad \mathrm{M}$

| 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 0.2 |
| $4+$ | 0.1 |

Those values have been held constant from 1957 to date. Those values correspond to estimates for North Sea herring based on recommendations by the Multi-species WG (Anon. 1987a) that were applied to adjacent areas (Anon. 1987b).

## B.3. Surveys

## B.3.1 Acoustic survey -MSHAS_N

An acoustic survey has been carried out for VIa (N) herring in the years 1987, 19912010.

Biomass estimated from the acoustic survey tends to be variable. Herring are found in similar area each year, namely south of the Hebrides off Barra Head, west of the Hebrides and along the shelf edge.

The stock is highly contagious in its spatial distribution, which explains some of the high variability in the time series. Effort stratification has improved with knowledge of the distribution and this may be less of a problem in more recent years. The survey uses the same target strength as for the North Sea surveys and there is no reason to suppose why this should be any different. Species identification is generally not a great problem.

## Review of acoustic survey time-series

In 2009, an examination of the time series of the spawning stock biomass (SSB) data derived from the annual acoustic survey for the west of Scotland herring stock, in preparation for a publication on the survey time-series, showed a number of discrepancies between the values given in the original survey reports, the PGHERS (or combined survey) reports, the HAWG reports and the combined acoustic survey data archive held in the Marine Lab. Aberdeen. The discrepancies could not be easily explained by simple means, e.g., the original survey report included data east of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ that was then subtracted for the SSB estimate later.

A simple calculation of the values in the survey assessment input files was performed:

Catch numbers-at-age in the survey * weights-at-age in the stock * proportion mature to derive an estimate of the SSB. This showed up further discrepancies that warranted closer examination. Initially it was not certain from where the discrepancies may have arisen, and they were only in certain years.
The aim of this exercise was to produce a new set of survey input files of catch num-bers-at-age in the survey (fleet), weights-at-age in the stock (west) and proportion mature (matprop), with the correct values within and the reasons for those choices documented. The details are given in full in Hatfield and Simmonds (WD05 HAWG 2010). Several changes were calculated for 1987, 1991, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1997, 1999, 2000, 2001 and 2005. The updated numbers-, weights-at-age in the stock, proportion mature and revised SSB time series are given in the Stock Annex

The 1987 acoustic survey was carried out in November, and not in July like all but one of the subsequent surveys. Consequently, neither the actual proportions mature in July nor the mortalities between July and November were known and the historical values of weights-at-age and proportions mature were used. The survey was, initially, retained to lengthen the time series. This is no longer an issue. It is, therefore, recommended that the 1987 survey value be removed from the time series, to give a modified time-series (1991 onwards) of 19 years (to 2009).

## B.3.2 Larvae survey

Larvae surveys for this stock were carried out from 1973 to 1993. Larval production estimates (LPE) and a larval abundance index (LAI) were produced for the time series. These values were used in the assessment, the LPE until 2001. However, in 2002 it was decided that the LAI had no influence on the assessment and has not been used since. Documentation of this survey time-series is given in ICES CM 1990/H:40.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for pelagic stocks

## B.5. Other relevant data

## C. Historical Stock Development

An experimental survey-data-at-age model was formulated at the 2000 HAWG. In 1999 and 1998 a Bayesian modification to ICA was used to account for the uncertainty in misreporting.
The ICA assessment (Patterson 1998a), implemented in FLR (Kell 2007) as FLICA, has exhibited substantial revision both up and down over the last few years, largely due to the noisy survey used for tuning the assessment. The model settings were last explored in detail in 2009 (ICES 2009/ACOM:03). The conclusion was that continuing with the current weighting and model settings is an acceptable solution, until more data, possibly as a result of the extended surveys from SGHERWAY, are available.

Model used: FLICA Software R / ICA (Patterson 1998b)
Model Options chosen:
Separable constraint over last 8 years (weighting $=1.0$ for each year)
Reference age $=4$
Constant selection pattern model

Selectivity on oldest age $=1.0$
First age for calculation of mean $\mathrm{F}=3$
Last age for calculation of mean $\mathrm{F}=6$
Weighting on 1-rings $=0.1$; all other age classes $=1.0$
Weighting for all years $=1.0$
All indices treated as linear
No S/R relationship fitted
Lowest and highest feasible $\mathrm{F}=0.02$ and 0.5
All survey weights equal i.e., 1.0 with the exception of 1 ringers in the acoustic survey weighted to 0.1.

Correlated errors assumed i.e., $=1.0$
No shrinkage applied
Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from year to year <br> Yes/No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1957 - last data year | NA | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in Numbers | 1957 - last data year | 1-9+ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1957-1972 1973-1981 } \\ & \text { 1982-1984 1985-last } \\ & \text { data year } \end{aligned}$ | 1-9+1-9+1-9+1-9+ | No <br> No No <br> Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning stock at spawning time. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1957-1992 1993-last } \\ & \text { data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality before spawning | 1957-last data year | NA | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality before spawning | 1957-last data year | NA | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1957-1991 1992-last } \\ & \text { data year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-9+ \\ & 1-9+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Yes } \end{aligned}$ |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | 1957 - last year | 1-9+ | No |

## Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year Range | Age Range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | VIa $(\mathrm{N})$ Acoustic Survey | 1991- last data year | $1-9+$ |

## D. Short-Term Projection

In 2005 the Working Group tested an HCR applicable to VIa (N) (ICES 2005/ACFM:16), which was accepted by ICES as precautionary. This has formed the basis for the proposed agreement and was implemented in December 2008 by the European Commission.

Model used: Age structured Software used: MFDP ver 1a
Initial stock size: Taken from the last year of the assessment. Geometric mean recruitment of 1-ringers (1989-2009) replaced recruitment for 1-ringers in both 2010 and 2011. Geometric mean recruitment (1989-2009) of 2-ringers from the "estimated population abundance" table in the assessment output replaced recruitment for 2-ringers in 2011. This period has been chosen as it represents the lower productivity regime experienced by the stock in this recent period.

Maturity: Mean of the last three years of the maturity ogive used in the assessment.
F and M before spawning: Set to 0.67 for all years.
Weight at age in the stock: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Weight at age in the catch: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Exploitation pattern: Mean of the previous eight years, scaled by the Fbar (3-6) to the level of the last year (eight because this is the assessment model assumption of 8 years separable period).

Intermediate year assumptions: $\mathbf{F}$ constraint, based on an average of $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ for the most recent 3 years. Stock recruitment model used: None used. Until 2010 HAWG the advice basis was a TAC constraint. The ADGCS advised the change to the above F constraint in 2010.

Procedures used for splitting projected catches: Not relevant

## E. Medium-Term Projections (done intermittently)

Model used: STPR as described in Skagen (2003)
Initial stock size: Population parameters Terminal year survivors from ICA assessment with recruits replaced as in short term projections (D above). Drawn from a multivariate lognormal distribution with mean equal to the values estimated in the stock assessment model, and with covariance as estimated in the same model fit. Geometric mean recruitment for 1- and 2-ringers is used to replace the values in the assessment for the first projected year, covariance at age 2 retained and used for age 1 and 2.

Natural mortality: Mean of the last three years in the assessment.
Maturity: drawn randomly by year from 1990 to present.
F and M before spawning: Set to 0.67 for all years.
Weight at age in the stock: drawn randomly by year from 1990 to present.
Weight at age in the catch: drawn randomly by year from 1990 to present.
Exploitation pattern: from the eight year separable model
Intermediate year assumptions: TAC constraint
Stock recruitment model used: Variable Hockey-Stick or Beverton Holt fitted to recent data (1989 on), but other options tested for robustness max year three years prior to the assessment.

## G. Biological Reference Points

The report of SGPRP (ICES 2003/ACFM:15) proposed a Blim of 50,000 t for VIa (N) herring. This is calculated from the values in the converged part of the VPA (1976-1999) and the Working Group endorsed this value in 2003 (ICES 2003/ACFM:17).

Suggested Precautionary Approach reference points:

| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{LIM}}$ is $50,000 \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{PA}}$ be set at $75,000 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

Technical basis:

| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {LIm }}: \mathrm{B}_{\text {Loss }}$ Estimated SSB for sustained <br> recruitment | Bpa: $1.5 *$ Blim |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

## H. Other Issues

## H. 1 Terminology

The WG uses "rings" rather than "age" or "winter rings" throughout the report to denominate the age of herring, with the intention to avoid confusion. It should be observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". HAWG in 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess:11) stated that:
"The convention of defining herring age rings instead of years was introduced in various ICES working groups around 1970. The main argument to do so was the uncertainty about the racial identity of the herring in some areas. A herring with one winter ring is classified as 2-years-old if it is an autumn spawner, and one-year-old if it is a spring spawner. Recording the age of the herring in rings instead of in years allowed scientists to postpone the decision on year of birth until a later date when they might have obtained more information on the racial identity of the herring.

The use of winter rings in ICES working groups has introduced a certain amount of confusion and errors. In specifying the age of the herring, people always have to state explicitly whether they are talking about rings or years, and whether the herring are autumn- or spring spawners. These details tend to get lost in working group reports, which can make these reports confusing for outsiders, and even for herring experts themselves. As the age of all other fish species (and of herring in other parts of the world) is expressed in years, one could question the justification of treating West-European herring in a special way. Especially with the present trend towards multispecies assessment and integration of ICES working groups, there might be a case for a uniform system of age definition throughout all ICES working groups.
However, the change from rings to years would create a number of practical problems. Data files in national laboratories and at ICES would have to be adapted, which would involve extra costs and manpower. People that had not been aware of the change might be confused when comparing new data with data from old working group reports. Finally, in some areas (notably Division IIIa), the distinction between spring- and autumn spawners is still hard to make, and scientists preferred to continue using rings instead of years.

The Working Group discussed at length the various consequences of a change from rings to years. The majority of the Group felt that the advantages of such a change did not outweigh the disadvantages, and it was decided to stick to the present system for the time being. "

The text table below gives an example for the correlation between age, rings and year class for the different spawning types in late 2002:

| Year class (autumn spawners) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9} / \mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8} / \mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (autumn spawners) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Year class (spring spawners) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (spring spawners) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## I.1. Management and ICES Advice

COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 1300/2008 of 18 December 2008 established a multi-annual management agreement for the stock of herring distributed to the west of Scotland and the fisheries exploiting that stock.
$\mathrm{F}=0.25$ if SSB $>75000 \mathrm{t}$ $20 \%$ TAC constraint.
$\mathrm{F}=0.20$ if SSB $<75000 \mathrm{t}$ but $>62500 \mathrm{t}$ $20 \%$ constraint on TAC change.
$\mathrm{F}=0.20$ if SSB $<62500 \mathrm{t}$ but $>50000 \mathrm{t} 25 \%$ constraint on TAC change $\mathrm{F}=0 \quad$ if $\mathrm{SSB}<50000 \mathrm{t}$.
There is derogation from the above constraints. If STECF considers that the herring stock in the area west of Scotland is failing properly to recover, the TAC constraints may differ from those in the management agreement. This plan is similar but not identical to the proposed plan.
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Table Annex 6-1. Revised values of numbers-at-age in the VIa (North) acoustic survey, to be used in the stock's assessment.

| Year/Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1991 | 338312 | 294484 | 327902 | 367830 | 488288 | 176348 | 98741 | 89830 | 58043 |
| 1992 | 74310 | 503430 | 210980 | 258090 | 414750 | 240110 | 105670 | 56710 | 63440 |
| 1993 | 2357 | 579320 | 689510 | 688740 | 564850 | 900410 | 295610 | 157870 | 161450 |
| 1994 | 494150 | 542080 | 607720 | 285610 | 306760 | 268130 | 406840 | 173740 | 131880 |
| 1995 | 441200 | 1103400 | 473300 | 450300 | 153000 | 187200 | 169200 | 236700 | 201700 |
| 1996 | 41220 | 576460 | 802530 | 329110 | 95360 | 60600 | 77380 | 78190 | 114810 |
| 1997 | 792320 | 641860 | 286170 | 167040 | 66100 | 49520 | 16280 | 28990 | 24440 |
| 1998 | 1221700 | 794630 | 666780 | 471070 | 179050 | 79270 | 28050 | 13850 | 36770 |
| 1999 | 534200 | 322400 | 1388000 | 432000 | 308000 | 138700 | 86500 | 27600 | 35400 |
| 2000 | 447600 | 316200 | 337100 | 899500 | 393400 | 247600 | 199500 | 95000 | 65000 |
| 2001 | 313100 | 1062000 | 217700 | 172800 | 437500 | 132600 | 102800 | 52400 | 34700 |
| 2002 | 424700 | 436000 | 1436900 | 199800 | 161700 | 424300 | 152300 | 67500 | 59500 |
| 2003 | 438800 | 1039400 | 932500 | 1471800 | 181300 | 129200 | 346700 | 114300 | 75200 |
| 2004 | 564000 | 274500 | 760200 | 442300 | 577200 | 55700 | 61800 | 82200 | 76300 |
| 2005 | 50200 | 243400 | 230300 | 423100 | 245100 | 152800 | 12600 | 39000 | 26800 |
| 2006 | 112300 | 835200 | 387900 | 284500 | 582200 | 414700 | 227000 | 21700 | 59300 |
| 2007 | -1 | 126000 | 294400 | 202500 | 145300 | 346900 | 242900 | 163500 | 32100 |
| 2008 | 47840 | 232570 | 911950 | 668870 | 339920 | 272230 | 720860 | 365890 | 263740 |

Table Annex 6-2. Revised values of weights-at-age in the stock from the VIa (North) acoustic survey, to be used in the stock's assessment.

| Year/Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1991 | 0.09 | 0.164 | 0.208 | 0.233 | 0.246 | 0.252 | 0.258 | 0.269 | 0.292 |
| 1992 | 0.068 | 0.152 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.253 | 0.273 | 0.299 | 0.302 |
| 1993 | 0.073 | 0.164 | 0.196 | 0.206 | 0.225 | 0.234 | 0.253 | 0.259 | 0.276 |
| 1994 | 0.052 | 0.15 | 0.192 | 0.22 | 0.221 | 0.233 | 0.241 | 0.27 | 0.296 |
| 1995 | 0.042 | 0.144 | 0.191 | 0.202 | 0.225 | 0.227 | 0.247 | 0.26 | 0.293 |
| 1996 | 0.045 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.209 | 0.219 | 0.222 | 0.229 | 0.242 | 0.263 |
| 1997 | 0.054 | 0.142 | 0.180 | 0.199 | 0.213 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.242 | 0.263 |
| 1998 | 0.066 | 0.138 | 0.176 | 0.194 | 0.214 | 0.226 | 0.234 | 0.225 | 0.249 |
| 1999 | 0.054 | 0.137 | 0.166 | 0.188 | 0.203 | 0.219 | 0.225 | 0.235 | 0.245 |
| 2000 | 0.062 | 0.141 | 0.173 | 0.183 | 0.194 | 0.204 | 0.211 | 0.222 | 0.23 |
| 2001 | 0.062 | 0.132 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.198 | 0.212 | 0.22 | 0.236 | 0.254 |
| 2002 | 0.062 | 0.153 | 0.177 | 0.198 | 0.212 | 0.215 | 0.225 | 0.243 | 0.259 |
| 2003 | 0.064 | 0.138 | 0.176 | 0.19 | 0.204 | 0.213 | 0.217 | 0.223 | 0.228 |
| 2004 | 0.059 | 0.138 | 0.159 | 0.18 | 0.189 | 0.202 | 0.213 | 0.214 | 0.206 |
| 2005 | 0.0751 | 0.1296 | 0.1538 | 0.1665 | 0.1802 | 0.1911 | 0.2125 | 0.203 | 0.2284 |
| 2006 | 0.075 | 0.135 | 0.166 | 0.185 | 0.192 | 0.204 | 0.211 | 0.224 | 0.231 |
| 2007 | 0.075 | 0.1675 | 0.183 | 0.1914 | 0.1951 | 0.1951 | 0.2021 | 0.2034 | 0.2138 |
| 2008 | 0.0546 | 0.1721 | 0.1913 | 0.2083 | 0.2143 | 0.2139 | 0.2206 | 0.2242 | 0.2385 |

Table Annex 6-3. Revised values of proportions mature from the VIa (North) acoustic survey, to be used in the stock's assessment.

| Year/Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1991 | 0 | 0.57 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| 1992 | 0 | 0.47 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0.48 | 0.92 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0.55 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0.57 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.92 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0.92 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0.76 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0.84 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2007 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table Annex 6-4. Revised values of the spawning stock biomass (SSB) from the VIa (North) acoustic survey.

| Year | SSB (t) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1991 | 410,000 |
| 1992 | 351,460 |
| 1993 | 845,452 |
| 1994 | 533,740 |
| 1995 | 452,300 |
| 1996 | 370,300 |
| 1997 | 175,000 |
| 1998 | 375,890 |
| 1999 | 460,200 |
| 2000 | 444,900 |
| 2001 | 359,200 |
| 2002 | 548,800 |
| 2003 | 739,200 |
| 2004 | 395,900 |
| 2005 | 222,960 |
| 2006 | 471,700 |
| 2007 | 298,860 |
| 2008 | 788,200 |
| 2009 | 578,757 |

# Annex 7 - Stock Annex Herring in Division VIa South and VIIb,c 

Quality Handbook<br>ANNEX: Herring VIaS and VIIb, c<br>Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES<br>Stock: $\quad$ Herring in VIaS and VIIb, c<br>Working Group: Herring Assessment Working Group for the area South of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$<br>Date:<br>March 2011<br>Authors:<br>Afra Egan and Maurice Clarke

## A1. General

The herring (Clupea harengus) to the northwest of Ireland comprise both autumn and winter/spring spawning components. The age distribution of the catch and vertebral counts were used to distinguish these components (Bracken, 1964, Kennedy, 1970). Spawning takes place from September until March and may continue until April (Molloy and Kelly, 2000). Spawning in VIIb has traditionally taken place in the autumn and in VIaS, spawning occurs later in the autumn and in the winter.

For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been separated from VIaN since 1982 and are split at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. This split is based on work carried out by working groups in the late 1970s and early 1980s which found that the stocks exploited off the west coast of Scotland were biologically different from those off the north coast of Ireland. A second new assessment area was also recommended by the 1981 Working Group (ICES, 1981). The Irish landings were taken mainly in the southern part of VIa and in VIIb, c. These catches were found to be biologically very similar with respect to age composition and spawning. It was decided at the 1981 working group to combine the areas and conduct a joint assessment (Molloy, 2006).

A herring tagging experiment was carried out in 1992 in order to investigate the movements and annual migrations of herring around the Irish Coast. 20,000 herring were tagged in total with 10,000 of these off the west coast. Some fish moved northwards and were recaptured along the north coast between July and February, in the main fishing areas. $90 \%$ of the fish tagged along the west coast were recovered from the Donegal Bay area. The maturity stages of the recaptured fish, suggests that the fish were migrating inshore towards spawning grounds (Molloy, et al 1993). There were no returns from north of Donegal although it is possible that there may not have been much fishing activity in the area at this time (Molloy and Kelly, 2000).

## Biology

A study group on herring assessment and biology in the Irish Sea and adjacent areas met in 1994 (ICES, 1994). This meeting highlighted the problems associated with the assessment of herring stocks around Ireland. This group recommended that the boundary line separating this stock from the herring stock of VIaS and VIIb be moved southwards from latitude $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ to $52^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES, 1994). A Schematic presentation of the life cycle of herring to the west and northwest of Ireland is shown in Figure 1. The spawning, nursery and feeding grounds are shown as well as the direction of larval drift and migration.

## WESTHER and SGHERWAY

WESTHER was an EU-funded project, to review, the stock identity of herring west of the British Isles. A number of factors were examined including.

- Morphometrics and meristic characteristics
- Internal parasites
- Otolith microstructure and microchemistry
- Genetics

Results from this project identified distinct spawning grounds and spawning components. It was recommended that the stocks to the west of the British Isles should be managed as two stocks, the Malin Shelf stock and the Celtic Sea stock. Management plans should be fleet and area based in order to prevent the local depletion of any population unit in the areas (WESTHER, Q5RS-2002-01056). Further work on the management of these stocks was conducted by SGHERWAY (Study Group on the evaluation of assessment and management strategies of the western herring stocks) which met for the first time in late 2008 with further meetings in 2009 and 2010. This group had three main terms of reference and the findings of each are presented below:

1. Evaluate the utility of a synoptic acoustic survey in the summer for the Hebrides, Malin and Irish shelf areas, in conjunction with WGIPS surveys of VIaN and the North Sea.

The synoptic Malin Shelf survey began in 2008 and covers all areas in which mixing of the various western herring stocks is likely to occur at that time. However, such time-series will not be available for a number of years. The amount of mixing between stocks cannot be resolved by the current sampling regime in the Malin Shelf survey. Consequently, a sampling programme has been developed to allow proper identification of fish population origins, making use of otolith and body shape techniques. Analyses will be compared to the fish of known spawning origin collected during the EU project WESTHER. This sampling programme has been initiated in the 2010 synoptic acoustic survey.
2. Explore a combined assessment of the three stocks and investigate its utility for advisory purposes

A combined assessment of the three stocks VIaN, VIaS/VIIb,c and VIIaN (called the Malin Shelf metapopulation) was explored and its utility for advisory purposes investigated. It was found that the combined assessment gives important information on the Malin Shelf metapopulation, though it is unlikely to be useful for management advice purposes.
3. Evaluate, through simulation, alternative management strategies for the metapopulation of VIaN, VIaS and VIIaN and the best way to maintain each spawning component in a healthy state.

Alternative management strategies for the metapopulation of VIaN, VIaS and VIIaN were investigated to show how metapopulations can be sustainably managed. This study has shown that managing metapopulations is only possible with detailed information on fisheries independent data. However, whenever subcomponents of the metapopulation differ considerably in abundance, sustainable management is impossible for the smallest subcomponent. Where there is uncertainty of stock identification fishing mortality should be kept at low levels. Whenever identification rates increase, fishing mortality may also be increased.

The work of this study group concluded in 2010.

## A.2. Fishery

## Development of this fishery

In the early 1900s the main herring fisheries in Ireland were located off the Donegal coast. Donegal matje herring were important in supplying the German markets. Herring fisheries, which took place every spring and summer off the coast of Donegal, have been under scientific observation since 1921. The fishing grounds were well known and were located between ten and forty miles offshore. Fishing during this time was split into three well defined time periods.

1. December/January
2. May (main fishing took place)
3. September/October

During the 1930s many of the major herring markets disappeared (Molloy, 1995). In contrast to the rapid expansion experienced in the Celtic Sea the revival of the northwest fishery occurred at a slower pace (Molloy, 2006). The revival first became evident in the 1950s when many Scottish ring netters took part in this fishery with many of the Irish boats also using this gear. Then several boats changed to pelagic midwater trawls. The herring fleet continued to expand throughout the 1960s with many skippers becoming experts in pelagic pair trawling (Molloy, 2006).

In the 1970s and 1980s the autumn spawners became more significant and accounted for the majority of the landings. Galway and Rossaveal gained increasing importance as herring ports in the 1970s. In the 1974/75 season landings decreased dramatically and it was the first indication that the stock might have started to decline. The North Sea stock was already in decline and many Dutch boats were fishing off the Irish west coast. TACs were reduced and the stock continued to decline. In 1978 it was advised that the fishery be closed (Molloy, 2006). This closure lasted until 1981 and was reopened with new management units. VIaS and VIIb,c were joined and were assessed separately from VIaN.

In recent years the northern grounds have regained importance with catch also coming from the west coast close to the VIa boundary line (ICES, 2005). Very little fishing now takes place on previously important grounds in Galway Bay and along the Mayo coast (Molloy and Kelly, 2000).

Since the late 1970s considerable changes have taken place in the type of pelagic fishing carried out by Irish boats off the North West Coast, with directed herring fishing having been largely replaced by mackerel fishing (Breslin, 1998).

## Fishery in Recent Years

The TAC is taken mainly by Ireland, which has over $90 \%$ of the quota. In recent years, only Ireland has exploited herring in this area. The fishery is concentrated in quarters one and four. Landings have decreased markedly from about $44,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1990 to around $13,800 \mathrm{t}$ in 2004 . Working group catches in the last two years have decreased over $17,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2007 to over 10,200 in 2010. Total catches over the complete time series are shown in the Figure 2. The number of boats participating in this fishery remained constant for a number of years at around 30 vessels. Increases were seen in recent years with 50 vessels landing northwest herring in 2010. The number of vessels engaged in fishing for herring depends very much on the availability of mackerel or horse mackerel. Many of the larger vessels target these species primarily.

The majority of the landings in recent years are taken in quarters one and four with small quantities landed in quarter three. The main age groups are $2,3,4$ and 5 with older age groups accounting for small proportions of the catch. The proportions of older age groups have been decreasing over the last number of years.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Divisions VIaS and VIIb, c are located to the North West and west of Ireland respectively. This area is limited to the southwest by the Rockall Trough, where the transition between the Porcupine Bank and the trough is a steep and rocky slope with reefs of deepwater corals; further north, the slope of the Rockall Trough is closer to the coast line; west of the shelf break is the Rockall Plateau with depths of less than 200 m . The shelf area consists of mixed substrates, with soft sediments (sand and mud) in the west and more rocky, pinnacle areas to the east. The area has several seamounts: the Rosemary Bank, the Anton Dohrn sea mount and the Hebrides, which have soft sediments on top and rocky slopes (ICES, 2007b).

The shelf circulation is influenced by the poleward flowing 'slope current', which persists throughout the year north of the Porcupine Bank, but is stronger in the summer. A schematic representation of the oceanographic conditions in this area is presented in Figure 2. Over the Rockall plateau, domes of cold water are associated with retentive circulation. Thermal stratification and tidal mixing generate a northwards running coastal current known as the Irish coastal current which runs northwards along the west coast (ICES, 2007). The main oceanographic features in these areas are the Islay and the Irish Shelf fronts. The waters to the west of Ireland are separated by the Irish shelf front. This front causes turbulence and this may bring nutrients from deep waters to the surface. This promotes the growth of phytoplankton and dinoflagellates where there is increased stratification. Associated with this is increased growth of zooplankton and aggregations of fish. The Islay front persists throughout the winter due to the stratification of water masses of different salinities (ICES, 2006). The ability to quantify any variability in frontal location and strength is an important element in understanding fisheries recruitment (Nolan and Lyons, 2006). These fronts play an important role in the transport of larvae and juveniles.

In the North, most of the continental shelf is exposed to prevailing southwesterly winds and saline oceanic waters cross the shelf edge between Malin head off the north coast of Ireland and Barra head in the Outer Hebrides. The Irish shelf current flows northwards and then eastwards along the north coast of Ireland (Reid et al, 2003). Freshwater discharges from rivers such as the Shannon and Corrib interact with the Eastern North Atlantic water on the Irish shelf front to produce the observed circulation pattern (ICES, 2006).

Sea surface temperature data have been collected from Malin head on the North coast of Ireland since 1958. During periods of low winter temperatures, there is less pronounced heating during the summer. This can be seen in 1963, 1978 and 1985-1986. During these years there were also stormy conditions. This is concurrent with the lower winter temperatures (ICES, 2007). There is considerable variability over the complete time series. A definite trend can be identified from the early 1990s. Since 1990 sea surface temperatures measured at stations along the northwest coast of Ireland have displayed a sustained increasing trend, with winter temperatures $>6^{\circ}$ and higher summer temperatures during the same period (Figure 3), (Nolan and Lyons, 2006).

Environmental conditions can cause significant fluctuations in abundance in a variety of marine species including fish. A study conducted in 1980 found that west coast
herring catches showed strong correlations with temperature and salinity at a constant lag of three or four years. Oceanographic variation associated with temperature and salinity fluctuations appears to affect herring in the first year of life, probably during the winter larval drift (Grainger 1980a).

Productivity in this region is reasonably high on the shelf but drops rapidly west of the shelf break. This area is important for many pelagic fish species. The shelf edge is a spawning area for mackerel Scomber scombrus and blue whiting Micromesistius potassou. Historically, there were important commercial fisheries for many demersal species also. On the shelf, the main resident pelagic species is herring Clupea harengus (ICES, 2007b). Preliminary examination of productivity shows that overall productivity in this area is currently lower than it was in the 1980s. Further information on this can be found in the HAWG report 2007 (ICES CM 2007).

Larvae that were spawned on the west and northwest coast follow a northwards drift. Larvae spawned further north off the Donegal coast were found to drift towards the Scottish west coast (Grainger and McArdle, 1985; Molloy and Barnwall, 1988) Studies have shown that the maximum larval depth is below the surface between $5-15 \mathrm{~m}$ and there has been no evidence of diel migration, or variation in the distribution of different larval size categories (Grainger 1980b). Larvae that hatch further south also follow this northward drift (ICES, 1994). Galway Bay and Donegal Bay, several inshore lochs and also Stanton Bank, an offshore area northwest of the Irish north coast are important nursery areas (ICES, 1994; Anon., 2000). Evidence from the parasitic load of juvenile herring from the Scottish west coast sea lochs from two studies, in the mid 1980s (MacKenzie 1985) and more recently, from 2002-2005 (Campbell et al. 2007), suggests very strongly that this drift pattern occurs from the north and northwest of Ireland and has been doing so for at least the last 20 years (ICES, 2009).

The spawning grounds for herring along the northwest coast are located in inshore areas close to the coast. These spawning grounds may contain one or more spawning beds on which herring deposit their eggs. The timing of spawning is not the same on each spawning ground. Spawning grounds tend to be vulnerable to anthropogenic influences such as dredging and sand and gravel extraction.

## Discards

Catch is divided into landings (retained catch) and discards (rejected catch). Discards are the portion of the catch returned to the sea as a result of economic, legal, or personal considerations. Discarding rates in pelagic trawling and seining are generally considered to be low (Alverson et al., 1994).

The main market for Irish herring in the late 1980s and early 1990s was the Japanese roe market. The development of this market coincided with a decline in a number of other herring markets. It was therefore only favourable to catch roe herring, whose ovaries are just at the point of spawning. This led to discarding of non roe herring due to the lack of a suitable market. The roe market is no longer the main market for Irish herring. It is not known what the level of discarding is in this stock area and if it is a problem in this fishery.

## By Catch

Overall there is a paucity of data relating to by catch and discarding in this area. Interactions between cetaceans and fishing vessels have not been well documented and therefore no information is available. It is not possible therefore to make assumptions regarding implications for the marine ecosystem in area VIaS and VIIb, c.

## B. Data

## Commercial Catch

The commercial catch data are provided by national laboratories belonging to the nations that have quota for this stock. In recent years, only Ireland has been catching herring in this area, and the data are derived entirely from Irish sampling. Sampling is performed as part of commitments under the EU Council Regulation 1639/2001.

Commercial catch at age data are submitted in Exchange sheet v 1.6.4. These data are usually processed using SALLOCL (Patterson, 1998b). However, since only one country participates in this fishery this system is not required. Ireland acts as stock coordinator for this stock.

## InterCatch

Since 2007, InterCatch, which is a web-based system for handling fish stock assessment data was used. National fish stock catches are imported into InterCatch. Stock coordinators then allocate sampled catches to unsampled catches, aggregate them to stock level and download the output. The InterCatch stock output can then be used as input for the assessment models. It is envisaged that this system will replace SALLOCL and other previously used systems.

## Reallocation of Catches

Since 2007, landings data were revised with respect to reallocation of catches between area VIaS and VIaN, for the years 2000-2005. Before 2000, a comprehensive reallocation was used. For 2000-2005, various procedures were used. These attempted to deal with the increasing Irish catches along the $56^{\circ}$ line and opportunistic Irish catches of herring in VIaN during the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $1^{\text {st }}$ quarter mackerel fishery. In some years some catches were reallocated, while in others no reallocations were made. In 2007, it was considered that the most correct procedure was that used before 2000. Therefore a retrospective reallocation has been conducted. It does not adequately consider the Irish herring catches in VIaN, nor does the reallocation consider fishing along the $56^{\circ}$ line. However, in the absence of better information on Irish directed herring fishing in VIaN, this procedure provides the best possible method.

## B.2. Biological

## Sampling Protocol

Landings data are available for this area from 1970. Data on catch numbers at age, mean weights at age and mean lengths at age are derived from Irish data. Sampling is conducted by area and by quarter. Landings from this fishery, at present, are mainly into the port of Killybegs with lesser amounts landed into Rossaveal. Irish samples are collected from these commercial landings. Length frequency and age data is collected by ICES division by quarter. The length frequency data is added together for each division and quarter and raised to the landings for that area and quarter. The sample weight is divided into the catch weight to get the raising factor. The sum of the length frequencies per quarter is multiplied by the raising factor. An age length key is applied to this data and catch numbers at age calculated.

## Age Reading Protocol

Northwest herring are currently aged using otoliths and are read using a stereoscopic microscope, with reflected light. The minimum level of magnification (15x) is used initially. It is then increased to resolve the features of the otolith. Herring otoliths are generally read in the magnification range of $20 x-25 x$. The patterns of opaque (summer) and translucent (winter) zones are viewed. The winter (translucent) ring at the otolith edge is counted only in otoliths from fish caught after the $1^{\text {st }}$ January. The first winter ring that is counted is that which corresponds to the second "birth date" of the fish. Therefore a fish of 2 winter rings is a 3 year old. This convention applies to all ICES herring stocks with autumn spawning (Lynch, 2009).

## Age composition in the catch

Scales were used in the past for ageing and on average 4 and 5 ringers counted for $46 \%$ of the total catch. In 1929 however strong year classes were evident with 4 and 5 ringers making up $85 \%$ of the total (Farran, 1928). For the past few years the catch has been mainly composed of $2,3,4$ and 5 ringers with decreasing proportions of older fish in the catch. This stock is different from the Celtic Sea in that there is no recruitment failure and the Northwest stock is less reliant on incoming recruitment. The catch numbers at age have been mean standardised and are presented in Figure 4.

## Precision Estimates

The precision estimates on 2006 ageing data were worked up using a bootstrap technique. The results of the method found that the relative error is below $20 \%$ over the age range $2-6 \mathrm{wr}$. At older ages, estimates of NW herring show higher CVs which is likely to be due to the relative paucity in the catch.

## Mean Weights

Mean weights in the stock (West) are calculated using samples taken from Q1 and Q4. A mean weight at age is then calculated. Mean weights in the catch (Weca) are calculated using samples from all quarters of the fishery and a mean weight per age derived.

## Trends in mean weights over time

The mean weights in the catch display quite a stable pattern over the time series, although variable weights are only available from the early 1980s. Younger ages (1-6 ring) show an overall downward trend with more fluctuations evident in older ages (7-9 ring). The mean weights in the stock at spawning time have been calculated from Irish samples taken during the main spawning period and show similar patterns to the mean weight in the catch.

## Maturity ogive

The maturity ogive used in the assessment considers 1-ringers to be all immature and all subsequent age groups as fully mature. Maturity ogives have been produced from the data collected in the summer acoustic surveys from 2008-2010. The maturity data are presented in the text table below and show variations in the percentage of fish mature and immature at each age class between years.

|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| age | immature | mature | immature | mature | immature | mature |
| 1 | 94 | 6 | 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| 2 | 46 | 54 | 36 | 64 | 83 | 17 |
| 3 | 4 | 96 | 9 | 91 | 17 | 83 |
| 4 | 0.63 | 99 | 100 |  | 100 | 5 |
| 5 |  | 99 | 100 | 95 |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| 8 |  | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |
| 9 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Log Catch Ratios

The $\log$ catch ratios ( $\ln \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}+1, \mathrm{y}+1}$ ) are presented below and are smoothed with a 4year running average to show the main trends (Figure 5). Data for 1-ringers are noisy because this group is not fully selected by the fishery. The data for older fish are also noisy, particularly in later years, reflecting their relative paucity in the catches and suggest high variability in the exploitation rates of these age groups. These show an upward trend for all fully recruited year classes since the mid nineties. Overall, the catch data show a diminishing range of ages in the catches and older fish are at their lowest levels in the time series.

## Catch Curves

Cohort catch curves, were constructed for each year class in the catch at age data (Figure 6). These catch curves show signals in total mortality over the time series. Low mortality seems evident on the very large 1981, 1985 and 1988 year classes. These represent three of the biggest year classes recruited to this fishery. Increasing mortality can be seen from 1990 on, whilst the 1970s cohorts show lower Z. Mortality on age classes 3-6 show fluctuations over the time series with increasing mortality in recent years (Figure 7).

## B.3. Surveys

## Acoustic Surveys

Acoustic surveys have been carried out in this area since 1994. The timing of these surveys has changed over this period. Initially the surveys were undertaken in the summer in order to coincide with international herring surveys and with the summer feeding period of this stock. From 1999-2003 surveys were undertaken in quarter four in order to survey the autumn spawning component. From 2004-2007 the survey was carried out in quarter one. A problem with the winter acoustic survey series has been synchronising the survey with the peak spawning event to ensure containment of the stock. The winter surveys that were carried out from 2004-2007 varied sharply in age profile and biomass estimates, and was not considered reliable. Bad weather often affected the survey as it took place in January. Also it was recognised that synoptic coverage of a stock that spawns over a period from October to February in an
area spanning all of Divisions VIaS and VIIb cannot be achieved with a winter survey. Thus the series was discontinued in 2007. The review group of the 2007 assessment highlighted that although there is an acoustic abundance estimate, the historical series is too short to consider it as a tuning survey in an analytical assessment.

In 2007 the WESTHER project recommended that the survey effort along the Malin shelf area (including VIaN, VIaS, VIIb,c, Clyde and Irish Sea) should be increased or diverted to a combined survey on non-spawning herring. In 2008 PGHERS (CM 2008/LRC:01) discussed the possibility of conducting synoptic summer surveys on the Malin shelf. This new time series of summer surveys began in 2008 with effort concentrating on summer feeding aggregations. This time series runs from 2008-2010.

The acoustic data were collected using the Simrad ER60 scientific echosounder. The Simrad ES-38B ( 38 KHz ) split-beam transducer is mounted within the vessels drop keel and lowered to the working depth of 3.3 m below the vessels hull or 8.8 m below the sea surface.

Acoustic data analysis was carried out using Sonar data's Echoview ${ }^{\circledR}$ (V 3.2) post processing software and was backed up every 24 hrs . Partitioning of data was viewed and agreed upon by 2 scientists experienced in viewing echograms. Where no directed trawling had taken place, biological data from the nearest neighbour was used to determine the size classification of the echotrace.

The following TS/length relationships were used to analyse the data.

| Herring | $\mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ per individual $(\mathrm{L}=$ length in cm$)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sprat | $\mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-71.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ per individual $(\mathrm{L}=$ length in cm$)$ |
| Mackerel | $\mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-84.9 \mathrm{~dB}$ per individual $(\mathrm{L}=$ length in cm$)$ |
| Horse mackerel $\mathrm{TS}=20 \log \mathrm{~L}-67.5 \mathrm{~dB}$ per individual $(\mathrm{L}=$ length in cm$)$ |  |

## Larval Surveys

Assessment of this stock was largely based on the results of larval surveys in the 1980s. Herring Larval surveys were first carried out on this stock, by Ireland, in 1981 and continued until 1988. Prior to this the surveys were carried out by the Scottish but only had limited coverage of the assessment area. The survey grid consisted of sampling stations about 18 km apart. A gulf III plankton sampler with $275 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh was towed at each station. The samples collected were preserved in $4 \%$ formalin. Herring larvae were identified and measured. Only larvae of less than 10 mm were used for the assessment. The number of larvae below each square meter was calculated and then multiplied by the area of the sea at each station (Grainger and McArdle, 1981). These surveys did not produce a satisfactory index of stock size because of two very low values in 1984 and 1985 (Molloy, 1989). These surveys were never used in the assessment process. However these surveys did provide valuable information on the distribution of very small larvae and on the location of the spawning grounds (Molloy and Kelly, 2000).

## Ground Fish Survey

The IGFS is part of the western IBTS survey and has been carried out on the RV Celtic Explorer since 2003. The gear used on the survey is a GOV 36/47 demersal trawl with a 20 mm cod end liner to retain juvenile and small fish, including small herring. This survey has been conducted since the early 1990s but is of little utility as a herring recruit index, because the gear, timing and survey vessel changed throughout. Once a
sufficient time series becomes available it will be investigated as a possible tuning fleet. The Scottish groundfish survey, which has some coverage of VIaS will also be investigated as an additional tuning fleet.

## Scottish MIK net surveys

MIK net surveys were carried out off the west coast of Scotland in 2008 and 2009 and it is thought that these surveys may in time provide a reasonable index of recruitment. In both 2008 and 2009 the hatch dates were back calculated and the majority of the larvae caught were likely to be from winter spawning events from November onwards, with evidence of spawning activity into February. Previous studies have shown that larvae tend to be advected away from the coastal north and northwest of Ireland in a northerly and easterly direction towards the Minches and Hebrides. The results from these two surveys support this. It is likely, therefore, that the majority of the larvae present in both 2008 and 2009 are from spawning events in VIaS and possibly VIIb (ICES, 2009).

## Commercial CPUE

Research surveys were not started in Ireland until the mid 1960s and in the absence of this information commercial catch per unit effort (CPUE) data was used as an index of stock size. It is known that CPUE data may not give an accurate index of stock size due to the shoaling nature of pelagic stocks. Fish can aggregate in dense shoals in a small area and CPUE may remain high even though the stock size is low. However the CPUE data collected in the 1960s and 1970s did provide an index of changes that were occurring in the fisheries around Ireland. F was calculated for the Northwest herring stock using this data during this time and showed an increasing trend in F. This CPUE data was used to show the dramatic decline that took place in this stock in the 1970s (Molloy, 2006).

## C. Historical Stock Development

## Time periods in the fishery

This fishery peaked in the late 1980s, largely as a result of two strong year classes in 1981 and 1985. This corresponded to the highest SSB and a medium level of F. In the late 1980s changes also took place with regard to the location and timing of the fishery. The North and West coast fisheries in December and January were now the most important with smaller amounts taken during the autumn fishery (Molloy, 2006). Since then there has been a downward trend in SSB and recruitment with no evidence of strong year classes entering the fishery. Mean F has been fluctuating but is thought to be at a high level.

Spawning stock size peaked in 1988 and has followed a steady decline since then. Landings have drastically fallen since 1999 (ICES, 2004). Long term changes in the spawning component have occurred in the area and time of spawning. In 1920-1930s there was a north coast fishery that spawned in the North in spring and an autumn fishery that spawned in the west of Donegal. Sligo and Galway had no important fishery. In the '40-50 herring all over Ireland declined and the recovery in the 1960s occurred mainly in Mayo, Sligo and Galway as autumn spawners. Recently there has been a shift to the northern fishery, while little fishing occurs on the west coast of Ireland. The northwest herring fishery was based on hard (stage V ) herring but towards the late 1980s the focus shifted to spawning herring.

## Assessment

In 1930, Farran made his first attempt to quantify the abundance of the herring stock in this area. In the 1930s many of the previous herring markets disappeared and there was widescale discarding of herring along the Donegal coast. It is thought that during this time that the herring population was at a very low level (Molloy, 1995).

## Recent Assessments

In recent years the model used for this stock was a separable VPA. This was used to screen over three terminal fishing mortalities, $0.2,0.4$ and 0.6. In 2009 terminal $F$ of 0.5 was also examined. This was achieved using the Lowestoft VPA software (Darby and Flatman, 1994). Reference age for calculation of fishing mortality was 3-6 and terminal selection was fixed at 1, relative to age 3 winter rings. ICA was used in exploratory assessments with the acoustic surveys as a tuning fleet.

## Model used: ICA and VPA

No final assessment has been accepted for this stock by the working group. However several scenarios are run, screening over a range of terminal F's ( $0.2,0.4,0.5$ and 0.6 ). In 2006 and 2007 exploratory runs using the ICA model (Patterson, 1998) were performed. In the absence of a sufficient time series in this area the use of the ICA model has discontinued. Exploratory runs are carried out annually using a separable VPA with the settings below.

## VPA

A separable VPA is used to track the historic development of this stock.
Software used: Lowestoft VPA Package (Darby and Flatman, 1994).

## VPA Settings

- Reference Age $=3$
- Selection in the terminal year $=1.0$
- Terminal $\mathrm{F}=0.2,0.4,0.5,0.6$
- 1 Ringers: downweighted to 0.1
- Reference ages for calculation of Mean F=3-6

ICA (exploratory runs in 2006 and 2007 only)
Model Settings

- Separable constraint over the last 6 years (weighting = 1.0 for each year)
- Reference ages: 3
- Constant selection pattern model
- Selectivity on oldest age: 1.0
- First age for calculation of mean F: 3
- Last age for calculation of mean F: 6
- Weighting on 1 ringers: 0.01 Other age classes: 1.0
- Lowest feasible F: 0.05
- Highest feasible F: 2.0
- Ages for acoustic abundance estimates: 3-4
- Plus group: 9

Input data types and characteristics:

| TYPE | NAME | YEAR <br> RANGE | AGE <br> RANGE | VARIABLE FROM YEAR TO <br> YEAR <br> YES/NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in numbers | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in the commercial <br> catch | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | Yes |
| West | Weight at age of the spawning <br> stock at spawning time. | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of natural mortality <br> before spawning | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of fishing mortality <br> before spawning | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | No |
| Matprop | Proportion mature at age | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | No |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1957-$ <br> 2010 | $1-9+$ | No |

Tuning data: Only used in ICA runs 2006 and 2007

| TYPE | NAME | YEAR RANGE | AGE RANGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | NWHAS | $1999-2003$ | $3-4$ |
| Tuning fleet 2 | NWHAS | $2004-2007$ | $3-4$ |

## D. Short-Term Projection

Due to the absence of information on recruitment and the uncertainty about the current stock size short term predictions have not been routinely carried out for this stock.

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Model Used: Multi Fleet Yield Per Recruit
Software Used: MFYPR Software
Yield-per-recruit analysis was carried out using MFYPR to provide yield-per-recruit plots for the data produced in the assessment. The values for $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\text {med }}$ are 0.17 and 0.31 . $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$ is undefined and this is consistent with many other pelagic species (ICES, 2006).

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not performed

## G. Biological Reference Points

In 2007 the technical basis for the selection of the precautionary reference points was examined based on methods used by SGPRP (ICES CM 2001). No alternative biomass and fishing mortality reference points are available. It is clear that recruitment does not show any clear dependence on the SSB and that apart from the very high year classes in the 1980s is showing a decline.

The SGPRP (ICES CM 2003) has reviewed the methodology for the calculation of biological reference points, and applying a segmented regression to the stock and recruit data from the 2002 HAWG assessments. This showed that the fit to the stock and recruit data for this stock was not significant. There was no well defined change point and there was no reason to refine the reference points at that time.

Current reference points
$B_{p a}=81,000 t=$ the lowest reliable estimate of SSB
$B_{\text {llim }}=110,000 \mathrm{t}=1.4 \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=0.22=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{med}}(1998)$
Flim $=0.33$ = lowest observed F

## H: Other Issues

## H. 1 Biology of the species in the distribution area

The herring (Clupea harengus) is a widely distributed pelagic species in this area. This stock is comprised of different spawning components. Off the west coast the majority of the stock, are autumn spawners. Off the northwest coast distinct spawning units have also been identified. Autumn spawners, that spawn in the Donegal Bay area and winter/spring spawners, that spawn further north off the Donegal coast (Breslin, 1998). Autumn and winter spawners were distinguished by vertebral counts and timing of maturity. Peak spawning times from the autumn component have been inferred by larval surveys and occur late September and October in water temperatures ranging between $10-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Molloy and Barnwall, 1988).

Herring are benthic spawners and deposit their eggs on the sea bed usually on gravel or course sediments. The yolk sac larvae hatch and adopt a pelagic mode of life.

When referring to spawning locations the following terminology is used (Molloy, 2006)

- A spawning bed is the area over which the eggs are deposited
- A spawning ground consists of one or more spawning beds located in a small area.
- A spawning area is comprised of a number of spawning grounds in a larger area

Spawning grounds are typically located in high energy environments such as the mouth of large rivers and areas where the tidal currents are strong. Herring shoals return to the same spawning grounds each year (Molloy, 2006). The spawning grounds for northwest herring are generally located in shallow waters close to the coast. Spawning in deeper water has also been recorded (Molloy and Kelly, 2000). The exact locations are not well documented. Areas where spawning fish have been found include the mouth of the Shannon, Galway Bay, around the Aran Islands, the
stags of Broadhaven and off the coasts of Sligo and Mayo (ICES, 1994). Spawning begins in October and can continue until February.

Fecundity is the number of eggs produced by the female and is proportional to the length of the fish (Molloy, 2006). Several studies were carried out in the early 1980s to analyse the fecundity of winter and autumn spawning components of the North West herring stock and considerable differences were found. Donegal winter spawners produce significantly fewer eggs than autumn spawners. When compared to the Celtic Sea herring stock, Donegal herring have a higher fecundity and begin to spawn earlier (McArdle, 1983). A study conducted in the 1920s found that the eggs produced by winter/spring spawners were $25 \%$ bigger than those autumn spawners but were less numerous (Farran, 1938). Grainger (1976) gave the following fecundity-length relationships for autumn spawning components:

| Parameter | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Galway | 3.882 | -20.981 | 17 | 0.001 |
| Donegal | 4.137 | -27.325 | 25 | 0.001 |

Herring produce benthic eggs that are adhered to the bottom substrate where they remain until the larvae hatch. The larvae are carried by the currents and drift towards the west coast of Scotland (Grainger and McArdle, 1985).

The larval phase is an important period in the herring life cycle. Larvae use their oil globule for food and to provide buoyancy. Their movements and survival are determined by favourable environmental conditions. Larvae originating from spawning grounds off the west coast are carried by currents to the northwest coast of Donegal and may even travel as far as Scotland (Molloy, 2006). Figure 1 shows a schematic presentation of the life cycle of Herring west and northwest of Ireland.

The juveniles tend to remain close inshore, in shallow waters for the first two years of their lives, in nursery areas. There are many of these nursery areas around the coast, for example St. Johns point in Donegal Bay. Other nursery areas on the north coast include Lough Swilly and Sheephaven Bay. In division VIIb, Broadhaven Bay and the inner parts of Galway bay are also nursery grounds (ICES, 1994).The minimum landing size for herring is 20 cm and therefore these juvenile herring are not caught by the fishery in the early stages of their life cycle (Molloy, 2006).

Changes in the growth rate of this stock can be seen over time. In the late 1980s a sudden and unexplained drop in mean weights was observed. This had an impact on the estimate of SSB and the advised TAC. The growth rate of this stock has never recovered to the levels before this decline (Molloy, 2006).

Adult herring are found offshore until spawning time, when they move inshore. Occasionally very large herring are found off the Irish coast. Theses herring appear off the north coast and are usually in a spawning or pre spawning condition (Molloy, 2006). The main feeding grounds for this stock extend from Galway west of Ireland to the Stanton Bank and between Tory Island and Malin Head (Molloy 2006).

## H.2. Management and ACFM advice

## Local Management

Management measures were slowly introduced into this fishery with by-laws restricting fishing in certain areas off the coast in the early 1900s. This type of management continued until the 1930s when fishing was prohibited during April and May, in order to improve the quality of the herring being landed. In the 1970s management
measured became more defined. Direct fishing of herring for fishmeal was banned. A minimum landing size of 20 cm was implemented and also minimum mesh sizes. TACs were introduced in order to control the amount of herring landing each year from each ICES area (Molloy, 1995).

Various management measures have been introduced to control the exploitation of this stock. From 1972-1978 TACs were set by NEAFC and covered all of Division VIa. The TAC decreased rapidly and the stock was thought to be in decline. This continued until the fishery was closed in 1979 and 1980. During the closure because there was no analytical assessment of VIIb, fishing was allowed to continue on a precautionary basis (ICES, 1994). When the fishery was reopened it was decided to split the area into VIaS and VIaN. Landings from this area increased due to the increased efficiency of the Irish vessels and the participation in this fishery by Dutch vessels (Anon, 2000).

The management of the fishery has improved in recent years and catches have been considerably reduced since 1999. In 2000 the Irish North West Pelagic Management Committee was established to deal with the management of this stock. The assessment period runs concurrently with the annual quota. Quotas are allocated on a fortnightly basis and there is some capacity to carry unused allocation into the following fortnight with overruns being deducted.

In 2000, the Irish North West Pelagic Management Committee was established to deal with the management of this stock. The committee has the following objectives:

- To rebuild this stock to above the $B_{\mathrm{pa}}$ level of 110000 t .
- In the event of the stock remaining below this level, additional conservation measures will need to be implemented.
- In the longer term it is the policy of the committee to further rebuild the stock to the level at which it can sustain annual catches of around 25000 t .
- Implement a closed season from March to October.
- Regulate effort further through boat quotas allocated on a weekly basis in the open season.

This committee manages the whole fishery for this stock at present, given that Ireland currently accounts for the entire catch.

The current state of the stock is uncertain. Preliminary assessments suggest that SSB may be stable at a low level. The current level of SSB is uncertain but likely to be below Blim. There is no evidence that large year classes have recruited to the stock in recent years. F appears to have increased concomitantly with increases in the catch. F is likely to be above $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and also likely above Flim.

There is no explicit management plan for this stock. The local Irish management committee developed the objective to rebuild the stock to above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and to maintain catches of 25000 t per year. The implementation of the closed season from March to October has been successful in ensuring that the fishery mainly concentrates on the spawning component in this area. In recent years the ICES advice has remained unchanged. ICES have recommended that a rebuilding plan be put in place that will reduce catches. If no rebuilding plan is established, there should be no fishing. The rebuilding plan should be evaluated with respect to the precautionary approach.

## H. 4 Terminology

The WG uses "rings" rather than "age" or "winter rings" throughout the report to denominate the age of herring, with the intention to avoid confusion. It should be
observed that, for autumn spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". HAWG in 1992 (ICES 1992/Assess:11) stated that
"The convention of defining herring age rings instead of years was introduced in various ICES working groups around 1970. The main argument to do so was the uncertainty about the racial identity of the herring in some areas. A herring with one winter ring is classified as 2-years-old if it is an autumn spawner, and one-year-old if it is a spring spawner. Recording the age of the herring in rings instead of in years allowed scientists to postpone the decision on year of birth until a later date when they might have obtained more information on the racial identity of the herring.

The use of winter rings in ICES working groups has introduced a certain amount of confusion and errors. In specifying the age of the herring, people always have to state explicitly whether they are talking about rings or years, and whether the herring are autumn or spring spawners. These details tend to get lost in working group reports, which can make these reports confusion for outsiders, and even for herring experts themselves. As the age of all other fish species (and of herring in other parts of the world) is expressed in years, one could question the justification of treating WestEuropean herring in a special way. Especially with the present trend towards multispecies assessment and integration of ICES working groups, there might be a case for a uniform system of age definition throughout all ICES working groups.

However, the change from rings to years would create a number of practical problems. Data files in national laboratories and at ICES would have to be adapted, which would involve extra costs and manpower. People that had not been aware of the change might be confused when comparing new data with data from old working group reports. Finally, in some areas (notably Division IIIa), the distinction between spring and autumn spawners is still hard to make, and scientists preferred to continue using rings instead of years.

The Working Group discussed at length the various consequences of a change from rings to years. The majority of the Group felt that the advantages of such a change did not outweigh the disadvantages, and it was decided to stick to the present system for the time being."

The text table below gives an example for the correlation between age, rings and year class for the different spawning types in late 2002:

| YEAR CLASS (AUTUMN <br> SPAWNERS) | $2001 / 2002$ | $2000 / 2001$ | $1999 / 2000$ | $1998 / 1999$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (autumn spawners) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Year class (spring spawners) | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Age (spring spawners) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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Figure 1: Schematic presentation of the life cycle of Herring west and northwest of Ireland.


Figure 2: Total landings from VIaS, VIIb,c


Figure 3: Sea surface temperature anomaly at Malin Head (1960-2005) (Nolan and Lyons, 2006)


Figure 4: Mean Standardised Catch Numbers at Age


Figure 5. Log catch ratios 1957-2010. Top panel individual years, lower panel applying a backward 4 year moving average smoother.



Figure 6: Cohort catch curves by birth year, 1954-2002 (top panel) and for various time periods 1956-2000 (bottom panel).


Figure 7: Total mortality (2-8 winter rings) by cohorts born from 1954-2001.

## Adjunct to Annex 7 (2011) Extension of VIaS VIIb,c time series

Maurice Clarke

## Introduction

The present data series spans 1970 to 2009. It was felt that extending the series back in time would improve our understanding of stock productivity. It was known that sampling of Irish catches began in 1962 (Killybegs only) and in 1963/64 more extensively (Bracken , 1962,1963). Therefore an attempt was made to extend the series at least as far back as 1963. Before this, German and Dutch sampling was understood to have taken place (Molloy pers. comm.), and data were available as far back as 1957.

A similar extension of the VIaN time series was conducted in 2004 (ICES 2004, Appendix 11; Keltz and Simmonds 2004). Also available was an historical series of catch numbers at age for VIa (incl. Moray Firth juvenile fishery) for the years 1957-1980 (ICES HAWG, 1974; 1980; 1981; 1982). The broad approach taken here was to subtract the VIaN extended series (HAWG 2004) from the ViaN historic series.

This document outlines the approach taken to extend the current time series back from 1957-1969.

## Materials and methods

The following data were available:
Catch-numbers-at-age VIa (incl. Moray Firth) 1957-1980 (Keltz and Simmonds, 2004, and references therein)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Catch in tones } & \left.\text { VIa (south of } 57^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right) & 1967-1980 & \text { (HAWG, 1978) } \\ & \text { VIIbc } & 1970-1980 & \text { (HAWG, 1981) }\end{array}$
The data presented in HAWG 1978 could not be used because they were for the area south of $57^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, rather than south of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.

## Catch in tonnes (CATON)

Catch in tones for VIa S/VIIbc was estimated by subtracting the estimated catch in tones for VIaN (incl. Moray Firth) as presented by ICES (2004). Table 1 shows catch in tones for VIaN (incl. Moray Firth) from various working group reports. It can be seen from this that revisions were only applied in the terminal year, and the data were stable back in time. Table 2 shows the calculations used to achieve the best estimate of VIa S/VIIbc. The VIa total catch in tones was taken from the ICES HAWG 1974. These data were partitioned using the compliment of the raising factor presented by Keltz and Simmonds (2004, Table 11). Their raising factor was used to segregate VIa into VIaN. Thus, the remaining data can be considered as the best estimate of VIaS landings. VIIbc landings (ICES, HAWG, 1981) were added to these data to obtain the best estimate for the stock. The compliment of Keltz and Simmonds' ratio is presented in Table 3. These ratio compliments were calculated over the period 1970-1980, but were applied to the years 1957 to 1969 .

As a check these estimates were compared using the following check on totals by year:
(VIa total, Table 1-ViaN caton HAWg 2010) + VIIbc HAWG 1981) /best estimate from ratio in Table 3..

It can be seen that the data agree very well with the data presented by ICES HAWG (1974) for all of VIa (minus VIaN) and for VIIbc.

## Catch in number (CANUM)

Catch in numbers was calculated by subtracting the matrix for VIaN currently used in the assessment (ICES HAWG, 2010) from the historic VIa (incl. Moray Firth) matrix presented in ICES HAWG (1974). The latter data set is presented in Table 4. This approach assumes that catch numbers at age for VIIbc are included in the VIa matrix for the years 1967-1969, as this was the procedure at the time.

Mean weight in the catch and in the spawning stock (WECA and WEST).
In the absence of weight at age data constant values were extended backwards from 1970 to 1957, using 1983 values. This follows the procedure in recent working groups (HAWG, 2010).

## Results and Discussion

The best estimate catch in tonnes estimated for VIaS and VIIbc over the time series is presented in Table 3, and in Figure 1.

The catch at age matrix, based on the extension of the data 1957-1969, is presented in Table 5. The value for 5 ring in 1966 was negative, the only instance where this occurred. This value was replaced by interpolation along the cohort.

To test the data further, a Sum-of-Product (SOP) check was performed by multiplying the canum from Table 5 by the new WECA, and comparing it with the reconstructed caton. SOP errors were encountered, of between 0 and $30 \%$. In all but one case, where error was found, the caton was higher. To account for missing catch at age, the canum was raised by this SOP error to produce a final canum (Table 6)

The revision shows that the 1963 year class was very strong, and was the only strong cohort in the catches until the 1981 year class (Figure 2).

Negative values after 1969 in the canum suggests that there additional fish now in the VIAN data series as revised by Simmonds and Keltz (2004). However the VIaN series seems to be internally consistent (John Simmonds pers. comm..). A discrepancy exists in this new dataset with regard to the German Democratic Republic (East German) landings in VIaS (Table 3). Though it is known that such fisheries existed, no information on catch is available.
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Table 1. Catch in tonnes (VIA incl. Moray Firth) from various Herring Working Groups 1974-1981. Data not presented in WG reports for shaded areas.


Table 2. best estimate of VIaS VIIbc catch in tones, 1957 to 1979.

|  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 197 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIaN summ 2010 HAWG | 43438 | 59669 59669 | ${ }_{65221}^{6521}$ | 63759 63759 | ${ }_{46353}^{4635}$ | 58195 58195 | 49930 | ${ }_{64234}^{64234}$ | ${ }^{68669}$ | 100619 | 90400 | 84614 86414 | 107170 | 165930 | ${ }_{207167} 0$ | ${ }^{164756}$ | 210270 | 178160 178160 | 114001 | ${ }_{9}^{93642}$ | 41341 41341 | ${ }_{22156} 2215$ | 60 |
| VlaN Caton 2009 HAWG |  | 59669 | 65221 | 63759 | 46353 | 58195 | 49030 | 64234 | 68669 | 100619 | 90400 | 84614 | 107170 | 165930 | 207167 | 164756 | 210270 | 178160 | 114001 | 93642 | 41341 | 22156 | 60 |
| Vlat - Vlan | 5070 | 6785 | 5214 | 5377 | 6173 | 7346 | 5037 | 6144 | 7992 | 12559 | 18978 | 20701 | 19942 | 14459 | 20324 | 27235 | 45029 | 44407 | 29722 | 18091 | 7432 | 13734 | 7563 |
| (ViaT - VlaN)+ VIllbc | 5070 | 6785 | 5214 | 5377 | 6173 | 7346 | 5037 | 6144 | 7992 | 12559 | 19086 | 21969 | 20513 | 17071 | 22125 | 31142 | 50270 | 50171 | 46693 | 36403 | 20353 | 21266 | 22204 |
| VlaS and VIIIc best estimate | 5070 | 6825 | 5226 | 5401 | 6182 | 7399 | 5059 | 6169 | 8016 | 12215 | 18881 | 20731 | 19607 | 20306 | 15044 | 23474 | 36719 | 36589 | 38764 | 32767 | 20567 | 19715 | 22608 |
| (ViaT - VlaN)+ Vllibc / best estimate | 1 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 1.19 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 1.01 | 0.93 | 1.02 |
| Via (s of 57deg) HAWG 1978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26236 | 24502 | 24088 | 21721 | 18603 | 26274 | 41326 | 28229 | 28962 | 17989 | 7918 |  |  |
| Vllbc HAWG 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 1268 | 571 | 2612 | 1801 | 3907 | 5241 | 5764 | 16971 | 18312 | 12921 | 7532 | 1464 |

Table 3. Ratios used to segregate VIA (incl. Moray Firth) landings into VIa S data. These ratios are the compliments of the ratios used by Keltz and Simmonds (2004). Catch data for German Democratic Republic are not available.

|  | VIAS | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 1 | 0 | 192 | 24 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK (Eng.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faeroe Isl. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| German D.R. | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German F.R. | 0.3 | 0 | 2578 | 753 | 1593 | 545 | 3384 | 1422 | 1616 | 1520 | 4390 | 5195 | 4462 | 4742 |
| Netherlands | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 56 | 43 | 778 | 503 | 257 |
| Iceland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 1 | 5069 | 4049 | 4449 | 3768 | 5637 | 4015 | 3633 | 4540 | 6440 | 7759 | 12290 | 13390 | 11895 |
| UK (N.Irl.) | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0.85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 2372 | 2710 |
| UK (Scot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| USSR | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| unallocated | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moray Firth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 5070 | 6825 | 5226 | 5401 | 6182 | 7399 | 5059 | 6169 | 8016 | 12215 | 18881 | 20731 | 19607 |

Table 4. Catch numbers at age for VIa (incl. Moray Firth) as presented in ICES HAWG (1974).

1974 HAWG VIa (inc. Moray Firth)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4 G E$ | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ |
| 1957 | 0 | 6496 | 80817 | 66094 | 26882 | 38989 | 21547 | 9643 | 1658 | 4817 |
| 1958 |  | 15695 | 33616 | 152801 | 43895 | 28108 | 32025 | 19986 | 10795 | 8887 |
| 1959 |  | 54063 | 74615 | 38547 | 124307 | 27898 | 18942 | 18833 | 8158 | 9364 |
| 1960 | 21 | 3940 | 115501 | 65703 | 25388 | 50558 | 12196 | 11096 | 6770 | 4856 |
| 1961 |  | 14473 | 50809 | 72914 | 38321 | 24455 | 14296 | 5791 | 5370 | 2887 |
| 1962 |  | 55278 | 99167 | 27189 | 76706 | 49002 | 22707 | 27787 | 7614 | 8435 |
| 1963 |  | 11890 | 82849 | 57688 | 13310 | 42796 | 28698 | 10171 | 14585 | 7885 |
| 1964 | 2781 | 26609 | 87652 | 74309 | 29583 | 8857 | 27075 | 21347 | 10109 | 17655 |
| 1965 | 46891 | 299701 | 23351 | 72085 | 67768 | 24525 | 7001 | 28806 | 21475 | 23515 |
| 1966 | 211639 | 211675 | 517616 | 45317 | 70793 | 38471 | 22691 | 12656 | 20790 | 33175 |
| 1967 | 186598 | 207947 | 28648 | 273723 | 49755 | 48320 | 36143 | 15226 | 10397 | 33967 |
| 1968 | 71425 | 220870 | 105348 | 26031 | 243304 | 19679 | 28436 | 17699 | 7275 | 14389 |
| 1969 | 192368 | 39160 | 107189 | 84565 | 27604 | 264558 | 25795 | 45908 | 27932 | 29258 |



Figure 1. Best estimate of landings in VIaS VIIbc from 1957 to 2009. Data for 1957-1969 reconstructed in the current study.

Table 5. Extended catch at numbers at age matrx for VIaS and VIIbc. Only data for period 1957-1969 were reconstructed. Value for 5 -ringer in 1966 was interpolated because it was a negative value (indicated in mauve). The interpolation was conducted either side along the cohort.

| 6aS and VIIb | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 0 | 6195 | 8008 | 1120 | 5010 | 1657 | 758 | 231 | 394 |
| 1958 | 79 | 2636 | 7407 | 4825 | 3200 | 4395 | 2581 | 938 | 1728 |
| 1959 | 971 | 6643 | 3284 | 7917 | 2952 | 1610 | 1834 | 786 | 769 |
| 1960 | 379 | 13377 | 5413 | 2607 | 1677 | 565 | 749 | 424 | 239 |
| 1961 | 1392 | 5614 | 11295 | 5196 | 1954 | 1884 | 446 | 556 | 305 |
| 1962 | 230 | 6362 | 4911 | 9252 | 4645 | 2948 | 3648 | 1467 | 1353 |
| 1963 | 94 | 4602 | 4233 | 1451 | 2279 | 2528 | 1484 | 923 | 1797 |
| 1964 | 63 | 5041 | 4233 | 2903 | 1574 | 2848 | 2710 | 1312 | 2552 |
| 1965 | 218 | 3584 | 9443 | 8393 | 2260 | 1881 | 5915 | 2550 | 3984 |
| 1966 | 0 | 16763 | 11861 | 10291 | 7372 | 3347 | 7093 | 2979 | 6092 |
| 1967 | 0 | 1232 | 55034 | 12686 | 9074 | 6350 | 3456 | 4864 | 8168 |
| 1968 | 615 | 10910 | 5033 | 84182 | 5691 | 4854 | 2022 | 898 | 3575 |
| 1969 | 1454 | 14628 | 12658 | 4290 | 53315 | 4784 | 3146 | 1901 | 3051 |
| 1970 | 135 | 35114 | 26007 | 13243 | 3895 | 40181 | 2982 | 1667 | 1911 |
| 1971 | 883 | 6177 | 7038 | 10856 | 8826 | 3938 | 40553 | 2286 | 2160 |
| 1972 | 1001 | 28786 | 20534 | 6191 | 11145 | 10057 | 4243 | 47182 | 4305 |
| 1973 | 6423 | 40390 | 47389 | 16863 | 7432 | 12383 | 9191 | 1969 | 50980 |
| 1974 | 3374 | 29406 | 41116 | 44579 | 17857 | 8882 | 10901 | 10272 | 30549 |
| 1975 | 7360 | 41308 | 25117 | 29192 | 23718 | 10703 | 5909 | 9378 | 32029 |
| 1976 | 16613 | 29011 | 37512 | 26544 | 25317 | 15000 | 5208 | 3596 | 15703 |
| 1977 | 4485 | 44512 | 13396 | 17176 | 12209 | 9924 | 5534 | 1360 | 4150 |
| 1978 | 10170 | 40320 | 27079 | 13308 | 10685 | 5356 | 4270 | 3638 | 3324 |
| 1979 | 5919 | 50071 | 19161 | 19969 | 9349 | 8422 | 5443 | 4423 | 4090 |
| 1980 | 2856 | 40058 | 64946 | 25140 | 22126 | 7748 | 6946 | 4344 | 5334 |
| 1981 | 1620 | 22265 | 41794 | 31460 | 12812 | 12746 | 3461 | 2735 | 5220 |
| 1982 | 748 | 18136 | 17004 | 28220 | 18280 | 8121 | 4089 | 3249 | 2875 |
| 1983 | 1517 | 43688 | 49534 | 25316 | 31782 | 18320 | 6695 | 3329 | 4251 |
| 1984 | 2794 | 81481 | 28660 | 17854 | 7190 | 12836 | 5974 | 2008 | 4020 |
| 1985 | 9606 | 15143 | 67355 | 12756 | 11241 | 7638 | 9185 | 7587 | 2168 |
| 1986 | 918 | 27110 | 27818 | 66383 | 14644 | 7988 | 5696 | 5422 | 2127 |
| 1987 | 12149 | 44160 | 80213 | 41504 | 99222 | 15226 | 12639 | 6082 | 10187 |
| 1988 | 0 | 29135 | 46300 | 41008 | 23381 | 45692 | 6946 | 2482 | 1964 |
| 1989 | 2241 | 6919 | 78842 | 26149 | 21481 | 15008 | 24917 | 4213 | 3036 |
| 1990 | 878 | 24977 | 19500 | 151978 | 24362 | 20164 | 16314 | 8184 | 1130 |
| 1991 | 675 | 34437 | 27810 | 12420 | 100444 | 17921 | 14865 | 11311 | 7660 |
| 1992 | 2592 | 15519 | 42532 | 26839 | 12565 | 73307 | 8535 | 8203 | 6286 |
| 1993 | 191 | 20562 | 22666 | 41967 | 23379 | 13547 | 67265 | 7671 | 6013 |
| 1994 | 11709 | 56156 | 31225 | 16877 | 21772 | 13644 | 8597 | 31729 | 10093 |
| 1995 | 284 | 34471 | 35414 | 18617 | 19133 | 16081 | 5749 | 8585 | 14215 |
| 1996 | 4776 | 24424 | 69307 | 31128 | 9842 | 15314 | 8158 | 12463 | 6472 |
| 1997 | 7458 | 56329 | 25946 | 38742 | 14583 | 5977 | 8351 | 3418 | 4264 |
| 1998 | 7437 | 72777 | 80612 | 38326 | 30165 | 9138 | 5282 | 3434 | 2942 |
| 1999 | 2392 | 51254 | 61329 | 34901 | 10092 | 5887 | 1880 | 1086 | 949 |
| 2000 | 4101 | 34564 | 38925 | 30706 | 13345 | 2735 | 1464 | 690 | 1602 |
| 2001 | 2316 | 21717 | 21780 | 17533 | 18450 | 9953 | 1741 | 1027 | 508 |
| 2002 | 4058 | 32640 | 37749 | 18882 | 11623 | 10215 | 2747 | 1605 | 644 |
| 2003 | 1731 | 32819 | 28714 | 24189 | 9432 | 5176 | 2525 | 923 | 303 |
| 2004 | 1401 | 15122 | 32992 | 19720 | 9006 | 4924 | 1547 | 975 | 323 |
| 2005 | 209 | 28123 | 30896 | 26887 | 10774 | 5452 | 1348 | 858 | 243 |
| 2006 | 598 | 22036 | 36700 | 30581 | 21956 | 9080 | 2418 | 832 | 369 |
| 2007 | 76 | 24577 | 43958 | 23399 | 13738 | 5474 | 1825 | 231 | 131 |
| 2008 | 483 | 12265 | 19661 | 28483 | 11110 | 5989 | 2738 | 745 | 267 |
| 2009 | 202 | 12574 | 12077 | 12096 | 12574 | 5239 | 2040 | 853 | 17 |

Table 6. SOP-error-corrected catch numbers at age for VIaS and VIIbc, 1957-1969.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | SOP error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 7}$ | 0 | 994 | 1644 | 266 | 1303 | 458 | 218 | 68 | 118 | 0.80 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 8}$ | 11 | 432 | 1553 | 1171 | 850 | 1240 | 757 | 282 | 529 | 0.79 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 9}$ | 117 | 935 | 591 | 1651 | 673 | 390 | 462 | 203 | 202 | 0.92 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | 57 | 2350 | 1217 | 678 | 477 | 171 | 236 | 137 | 78 | 0.73 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | 194 | 920 | 2366 | 1260 | 519 | 531 | 131 | 167 | 93 | 0.79 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 2}$ | 29 | 925 | 913 | 1991 | 1094 | 738 | 950 | 392 | 367 | 0.89 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 3}$ | 14 | 831 | 978 | 388 | 667 | 786 | 480 | 306 | 607 | 0.71 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 4}$ | 10 | 907 | 974 | 773 | 459 | 882 | 873 | 434 | 858 | 0.72 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 5}$ | 26 | 496 | 1672 | 1721 | 507 | 448 | 1467 | 649 | 1031 | 0.93 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 6}$ | 0 | 2168 | 1962 | 1971 | 1545 | 745 | 1643 | 708 | 1472 | 1.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 7}$ | 0 | 159 | 9077 | 2422 | 1896 | 1409 | 798 | 1152 | 1968 | 1.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 8}$ | 63 | 1315 | 776 | 15020 | 1111 | 1007 | 436 | 199 | 805 | 1.07 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 9}$ | 164 | 1940 | 2147 | 842 | 11455 | 1092 | 747 | 463 | 756 | 0.97 |



Figure 2. Bubble plot of catch numbers at age for extended series in VIaS and VIIbc.
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## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Herring spawning grounds in the Irish Sea are found in coastal waters to the west and north of the Isle of Man and on the Irish Coast at around $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (ICES, 1994; Dickey-Collas et al., 2001). Spawning takes place from September to November in both areas, occurring slightly later on average on the Irish Coast than off the Isle of Man. ICES Herring Assessment Working Groups from 19XX to 1983 used vertebral counts to separate catches into Manx and Mourne stocks associated with these spawning grounds. However, taking account of inaccuracies in this method and the results of biochemical analyses, the 1984 WG combined the data from the two components to provide a "more meaningful and accurate estimate of the total stock biomass in the N. Irish Sea." All subsequent assessments have treated the VIIa(N) data as coming from a single stock. During the 1970s, catches from the Manx component were about three times larger than those from the Mourne component. By the early 1980s, following the collapse of the stock, the catches were of similar magnitude. The fishery off the Mourne coast declined substantially in the 1990s then ceased, whilst acoustic and larva surveys in this period indicate that the spawning population in this area has been very small compared to the biomass off the Isle of Man.

The occurrence in the Irish Sea of juvenile herring from a winter-spring spawning stock has been recognized since the 1960s based on vertebral counts (ICES, 1994). More recently, Brophy and Danilowicz (2002) used otolith microstructure to show that nursery grounds in the western Irish Sea were generally dominated by winterspawned fish. Samples from the eastern Irish Sea were mainly autumn-spawned fish. Recaptures from 10,000 herring tagged off the SW of the Isle of Man in July 1991 occurred both on the Manx spawning grounds and along the Irish Coast with increasing proportions from the Celtic Sea in subsequent years (Molloy et al., 1993). The pattern of recaptures indicated a movement towards spawning grounds in the Celtic Sea as the fish matured.

A proportion of the Irish Sea herring stocks may occur to the north of the Irish Sea outside of the spawning period. This was indicated by the recapture on the Manx spawning grounds of 3-6 ring herring tagged during summer in the Firth of Clyde (Morrison and Bruce, 1981). Aggregations of post-spawning adult herring were detected along the west coast of England during an acoustic survey in December 1996 (Department of Agriculture and Rural Development for Northern Ireland, unpublished data), showing that a component of the stock may remain within the Irish Sea.

The results of WESTHER, a recent EU-funded programme aiming to elucidate stock structures of herring throughout the western seaboard of the British Isles have recently been published. Using a combination of morphometric measurements, otolith structure, genetics and parasite loads the conductivity of stocks within and beyond the Irish Sea have been examined. The results of this programme and existing knowledge are currently being evaluated at SGHERWAY in light of the future assessment and management of stocks to the western British Isles.

## A.2. Fishery

There have been three types of fishery on herring in the Irish Sea in the last 40 years:
i) Isle of Man- aimed at adult fish that spawn around the Isle of Man.
ii) Mourne- aimed at adult fish that spawn off the Northern Irish eastern coast.
iii ) Mornington- a mixed industrial fishery that caught juveniles in the western Irish Sea.

The Mornington fishery started in 1969 and at its peak it caught 10,000 tonnes per year. It took place throughout the year. The fishery was closed due to management concerns in 1978 (ICES, 1994). In the 1970s the catch of fish from the Mourne fishery made up over a third of the total Irish Sea catch. The fishery was carried out by UK and Republic of Ireland vessels using trawls, seines and drift nets in the autumn. However the fishery declined and ceased in the early 1990s (ICES, 1994). The biomass of Mourne herring, determined from larval production estimates is now $2-4 \%$ of the total Irish Sea stock (Dickey-Collas et al., 2001).

The main herring fishery in the Irish Sea has been on the fish that spawn in the vicinity of the Isle of Man. The fish are caught as they enter the North Channel, down the Scottish coast, and around the Isle of Man. Traditionally this fishery supplied the Manx Kipper Industry, which requires fish in June and July. However the fish appeared to spawn slightly later in the year in the 1990s and this lead to problems of supply for the Manx Kipper Industry. In 1998 the Kipper companies decided to buy in fish from other areas. Generally the fishery has occurred from June to November, but is highly dependent on the migratory behaviour of the herring.

The fishery has been prosecuted mainly by UK and Irish vessels. TACs were first introduced in 1972, and vessels from France, Netherlands and the USSR also reported catches from the Irish Sea during the 1970s before the closure of the fisheries from 1978 to 1981. By the 1990s only the fishery on the Manx fish remained, and by the late 1990s this was dominated by Northern Irish boats. The number of Northern Irish vessels landing herring declined from 24 in 1995-96 to 6-10 in 1997-99 and to 4 in 2000. Only two vessels operated in 2002 and 2003. However, total landings have remained relatively stable since the 1980s whilst the mean amount of fish landed per fishing trip has increased, reflecting the increase in average vessel size

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

The main fish predators on herring in the Irish Sea include whiting (Merlangius merlangus), hake (Merluccius merluccius) and spurdog (Squalus acanthias). The size composition of herring in the stomach contents indicates that predation by whiting is mainly on 0-ring and 1-ring herring whilst adult hake and spurdogfish also eat older herring (Armstrong, 1979; Newton, 2000; Patterson, 1983). Sampling since the 1980s has shown cod (Gadus morhua), taken by both pelagic and demersal trawls in the Irish Sea, to be minor predators on herring. Small clupeids are an important source of food
for piscivorous seabirds including gannets, guillemots and razorbills (ref...) which nest at several locations in and around the Irish Sea. Marine mammal predators include grey and harbour seals (ref.) and possibly pilot whales, which occur seasonally in areas where herring aggregate.

Whilst small juvenile herring occur throughout the coastal waters of the western and eastern Irish Sea, their distribution overlaps extensively with sprats (Sprattus sprat$t u s)$. The biomass of small herring has typically been less than $5 \%$ of the combined biomass of small clupeids estimated by acoustics (ICES, 2008 ACOM:02). However in recent years the proportions have increased in favour of small herring (ICES, 2009 ACOM:??).

There are irregular cycles in the productivity of herring stocks (weights-at-age and recruitment). There are many hypotheses as to the cause of these changes in productivity, but in most cases it is thought that the environment plays an important role (through transport, prey, and predation). Coincident periods of high and low production have been seen in the herring in VIaN and Irish Sea herring. Exploitation and management strategies must account for the likelihood of productivity changing. The Irish Sea herring stock has shown a marked decline in productivity during the late 70's and remained on a low level since then.

## Changes in Environment

There has been an increase in water temperatures in this area (ICES, 2006) which is likely to affect the distribution area of some fish species, and some changes of distribution have already been noted. Temperature increase is likely to affect stock recruitment of some species. In addition, the combined effects of over exploitation and environmental variability might lead to a higher risk of recruitment failure and decrease in productivity (ICES, 2007).

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

## National landings estimates

The current ICES assessment of Irish Sea herring extends back to 1961, and is based on landings only. ICES WG reports (ICES 1981, 1986 and 1991) highlight the occurrence of discarding and slippage of catches, which can occur in areas where adult and juvenile herring co-occur. Discarding has been practised on an increasing scale since 1980 (ICES, 1986). This increase is primarily related to the onset of slippage of catches that coincided with the cessation of the industrial fishery in early 1979 (ICES, 1980). As a result of sorting practices, slippage has led to marked changes in the age composition of the catch since 1979 and considerable change in the mean weights at age in the catch of the three youngest age groups (ICES 1981). Estimates of discarding were sporadically performed in the 1980s (ICES, 1981, 1982, 1985 and 1986), but there are no estimates of discarding or slippage of herring in the Irish Sea fisheries since 1986. Highly variable annual discard rates are evident from the 1980s surveys. For example, discards estimates of juvenile herring (0-group) for the Mourne stock taken in the 1981 Nephrops fishery was estimated at $1.9 \times 10^{6}$ of vessels landing in Northern Ireland, which amounts to approximately $20 \%$ of the Mourne fishery (ICES 1982). In 1982, at least $50 \%$ of 1 -group herring caught were discarded at sea by vessels participating in the Isle of Man fishery (ICES, 1983). A more comprehensive survey programme to determine the rate of discarding in 1985 revealed discard estimates of $82 \%$ by numbers of 1-ring fish, $30 \%$ of 2 -ring and $6 \%$ of 3 -ring fish, with the dominant age group
in the landed catch being 3 ring (ICES, 1986). A similar survey in 1986, however, found the discarding of young fish fell to a very low level (ICES, 1987). The 1991 WG discussed the discard problem in herring fisheries in general and suggested possible measures to reduce discarding. No quantitative estimates were given, but reports of fishermen suggesting discards of up to $50 \%$ of catch as a result of sorting practices by using sorting machines (ICES, 1991). The variation in discard rates since 1980, as a result of changes in discard practices, can probably be attributed to several changes in the management of the fishery. These include the availability of different fishing areas, the change to fortnightly catch quotas per boat (ICES, 1987) and level of TAC, where lower discard rates are observed with a higher TAC (ICES, 1989). The level of slippage is also related to the fishing season, since slippage is often at a high level in the early months (ICES, 1987). Due to the variable nature of discard estimates and the lack of a continuous data series, it has not been included in the annual catch at age estimates (with the exception of the 1983 assessment when the catch in numbers of 1ringers was doubled based on a $50 \%$ discard estimate of this age group).

Landings data for herring in Division $\mathrm{VIIa}(\mathrm{N})$ are generally collated from all participating countries providing official statistics to ICES, namely UK (England \& Wales, Northern Ireland, Scotland and the Isle of Man), Ireland, France, the Netherlands and what was formally the USSR. The data for the period 1971 to 2002 are reported in the various Herring Assessment Working Group Reports and are reproduced in Table 1. The official Statistics for Irish landings from VIIa have been processed to remove data from the Dunmore East fishery in area VIIa(S), and represent landings from VIIa(N) only.

Over the past three decades, the WG highlighted the under- or misreporting of catches as the major problem with regards to the accuracy of the landing data. Related to this are the problems of illegal landings during closed periods and paper landings. Area misreporting was also recognised (ICES, 1999), although a less prominent problem that is mostly corrected for.

The 1980 WG first identified the problem of misreporting of landings based on the results of a 3-year sampling programme, which was initiated after 1975 when herring were being landed in metric units at ports bordering the Irish Sea ( 1 unit $=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ nominal weight). The study showed the weight of a unit to be very variable, but was usually well in excess of 100 kg . An initial attempt to allow for misreporting using adjusted catches made very little difference to any of the values of fishing mortality (ICES, 1980). Subsequently, despite serious concerns about considerable underreporting being raised (ICES 1990, 1994, 2000 and 2001), the WG made no attempts to examination the extent of the problem. This uncertainty signifies no estimates of un-der-reporting and consequently no allowance for under-reporting of landings has been made. Considerable doubt was raised as to the accuracy of landing data over the period 1981-87 (ICES, 1994). However, after apparent re-examination all WG landing statistics are assumed to be accurate up to 1997 (ICES, 2000), but with no reliable estimates of landings from 1998-2000 (ICES, 2001). The WG acknowledged that poor quality landing data bring the catch in numbers at age data into question and hence the accuracy of any assessment using data from such periods (ICES, 1994).

In 2002 the ICES assessment was extended back to include data for 1961-1970 with the intention of showing the stock development prior to the large expansion in fishing effort and stock size in the early 1970s. This has now been extended further back to 1955. Landings data for this period were extracted from the UK fisheries data bases (England \& Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland: Table 1, columns 8-10) and publications by Bowers and Brand (1973) for Isle of Man landings (column 11). Landings data for Ireland and France were not available.

To estimate the VIIa(N) herring landings for Ireland and France during 1955-1970, the NE Atlantic herring catches for each country were obtained from the FAO database (column 16). Using the ICES landings data for each country (column 17) the mean proportion of the $\mathrm{VIIa}(\mathrm{N})$ catch to the NE Atlantic catch during 1971 to 1981 was estimated (column 18). This was applied to the NE Atlantic catches from each country, for the period 1955 to 1970, to give an estimated landing for both France and Ireland (column 19). These landings were added to the known catches from the CEFAS database to give the total landings. The landings data (tonnes) used in the assessment are given in Table 1, column 14. It is anticipated that landings data for VIIa( N ) for years prior to 1971 can be extracted from the Irish databases. However, the French landings will remain as estimates. As yet there has been no analysis of magnitude of errors in the old data. Need discussion on errors due to misreporting

## Catch at age data

Age classes in the ICES Canum file refer to numbers of winter rings in otoliths. As the Irish Sea stock comprises autumn spawners, $i$-ring fish taken in year $y$ will comprise fish in their $i_{\text {th }}$ year of life if caught prior to the spawning season and $(i+1)_{\text {th }}$ year if caught after the spawning period. An $i$-ring fish will belong to year-class $y$ - 2 . As spawning stock is estimated at spawning time (autumn), spawning stock and recruitment relationships require estimates of recruitment of $i$-ring fish in year $y$ and estimates of SSB in year $i-2$. The current assessment estimates recruitment as numbers of 1-ring fish.

The most recent description of sampling and raising methods for estimating catch at age of herring stocks is in ICES (1996). This includes sampling by UK(E\&W) and Ireland, but not UK(NI) and Isle of Man
$\mathrm{UK}(\mathrm{NI}):$ A random sample of $10-20 \mathrm{~kg}$ of herring is taken from each landing into the main landing port (Ardglass) by the NI Department of Agriculture and Rural Development. Samples are also collected from any catches landed into Londonderry. Prior to the 1990s, the samples were mostly processed fresh. During the 1990s, there was an increasing tendency for samples to be frozen for a period of weeks before processing. No corrections have been applied to weight measurements to allow for changes due to freezing and defrosting. The length frequency (total length) of each sample is recorded to the nearest 0.5 cm below. A sample of herring is then taken for biological analysis as follows: one fish per 0.5 cm length class, followed by a random sample to make the sample up to 50 fish.

Otoliths are removed from each fish, mounted in resin on a black slide and read by reflected light. Ages are assigned according to number of winter rings.

Length frequencies (LFDs) for VIIa(N) catches are aggregated by quarter. The weight of the aggregate LFD is calculated using a length-weight relationship derived from the biological samples. The LFD is then raised to the total quarterly landings of herring by the NI fleets. A quarterly age-length key, derived from commercial catch samples only, is applied to the raised LFD to give numbers at age and mean weight at age.

IOM: IOM sampling covers the period 1923 - 1997. Samples are collected from any landings into Peel, by staff of the Port Erin Marine Laboratory (Liverpool University). The sampling and raising procedures are the same as described for UK(NI) with the following exceptions: i) the weight of the aggregate quarterly LFD is obtained from the original sample weights rather than using a length-weight relationship, and ii) the biological samples are random rather than stratified by length. The 1993 ICES herring assessment WGs noted a potential under-estimation by one ring, of herring sampled
in the IOM. This was caused by a change in materials used for mounting otoliths and appears to have been a problem for ageing older herring in 1990-92. This was since rectified. However, the bias for the 1990-92 period has not yet been quantified and will be examined in the near future.

Ireland: Irish sampling of VIIa(N) herring covers the period 19xx - 2001. Some samples are from landings into NI but transported to factories in southern Ireland. Irish sampling schemes for herring in Div. VIa(S), VIIb, Celtic Sea and VIIj are described in ICES (1996). Methods for sampling catches in VIIa(N) are similar. The procedure is the same as described above for UK(NI) except that the biological samples are random rather than length stratified. ICES (1996) notes that a length-stratified scheme should be adopted to ensure proper coverage at the extremes of the LFDs.

Quality control of herring ageing has fallen under the remit of EU funded programmes EFAN and TACADAR, to which the laboratories sampling VIIa(N) herring contribute. An otolith exchange exercise was initiated in 2002 and is currently being completed.

## B.2. Biological

## Natural Mortality

Natural mortality (M) varies with age (expressed in number of winter rings) according to the following:

Rings M
$1 \quad 1$
20.3
30.2
$4+\quad 0.1$
Those values have been held constant from 1972 to date. Those values correspond to estimates for North Sea herring based on recommendations by the Multi-species WG (Anon. 1987a). which were applied to adjacent areas (Anon. 1987b).

## Maturity at age

Combined, year-specific maturity ogives were used in the 2003 Assessment (ICES 2003). The way those values were derived is documented on Dickey-Collas et al. (2003). Prior to 2003 annually invariant estimates of the proportion of fish mature by age were used. Those were based on estimates from the 1970s (ICES, 1994). The use of the variable maturity ogive in 2003 did not change greatly the perception of the stock state (Dickey-Collas et al., op cit). Due to inconsistencies in the maturity data collected in 2003, the WG used a mean maturity ogive for the preceding nine years for 2003. The rationale for the 9 years was that there appeared to be a shift in the maturity ogive around 1993. After 2003 all weights and maturity-at-age data were based on corresponding annual biological samples.

SSB in September is estimated in the assessment. The survey larvae estimate is used as a relative index of SSB. The proportions of $M$ and $F$ before spawning are held constant over time in the assessment.

## Stock weights

Stock weights at age have been derived from the age samples of the 3rd quarter landings since 1984 (R. Nash pers comm.). The stock mean weights for 1975-83 are time invariant and were re-examined in 1985 (Anon. 1985). They result from combining Manx and Mourne data sets. The weights at age of those stocks were considered relatively stable over time.

## Mean weights

Mean weights-at-age in the catch (1985 to 2007) are given in Table 3. Mean weights-at-age of all ages remained low. There has been a change in mean weight over the time period 1961 to the present (ICES, 2003 ACFM:17). Mean weights-at-age increased between the early 1960s and the late 1970s whereupon there has been a steady decline to the early 1990s, where they remained low. In the assessment, mean weights-at-age for the period 1972 to 1984 are taken as unchanging. In extending the data series back from 1971 to 1961, mean weights-at-age in the catch were taken from samples recorded by the Port Erin Marine Laboratory (ICES, 2003 ACFM:17).

There was some uncertainty in the mean weights-at-age for 2003 presented to the WG, and consequently the WG replaced these with the average mean stock weights-at-age for the preceding five years (1998 to 2002).

## Mean Lengths

Mean lengths-at-age are calculated using the catch data and are given for the years 1985 to 2006 in Table 4. In general, mean lengths have been relatively stable over the last few years and this trend has continued in 2006.

## Catch at length

Catch at length are listed for the years 1990-2004 (Table 5)

## B.3. Surveys

The following surveys have provided data for the VIIa(N) assessment:

| Survey Acronym | Type | Abundance data | Area and Month | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC(VIIaN) | Acoustic survey | Numbers at age (1-ring and older); SSB | VIIa(N) from $53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; September | 1994 - present |
| NINEL | Larva survey | Production of larvae at 6 mm TL | VIIa(N) from $53^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $54^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; November | 1993 - present |
| DBL | Larva survey | Production of larvae at 6 mm TL | East coast of Isle of Man; October | $\begin{aligned} & 1989-1999 \text { (1996 } \\ & \text { missing) } \end{aligned}$ |
| GFS-oct | Groundfis h survey | Mean nos. caught per 3 n.miles ( $1 \& 2$ ringers), by region | VIIa(N) from $53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $54^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (stratified); October | 1993-1999 |
| GFS-mar | Groundfis h survey | Mean nos. caught per 3 n.miles (1\&2 ringers), by region | VIIa(N) from $53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $54^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (stratified); March | 1993-1999 |

Data from a number of earlier surveys have been documented in the ICES WG reports. These include:

NW Irish Sea young herring surveys (Irish otter trawl survey using commercial trawler; 1980-1988)

Douglas Bank (East Isle of Man) larva surveys (ring net surveys; 1974-1988) (Port Erin Marine Lab)

Douglas Bank spawning aggregation acoustic surveys (1989, 1990, 1994, 1995) (Port Erin Marine Lab)

Western Irish Sea acoustic survey ( July 1991, 1992) (UK(NI))
Eastern Irish Sea acoustic survey (December 1996)
Surveys used in recent assessments are described below.
$\mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{VIIaN})$ acoustic survey
This survey uses a stratified design with systematic transects, during the first two weeks of September. Vessel currently used is the R.V. Corystes (UK(NI)) replacing the R.V. Lough Foyle (UK(NI)). Starting positions are randomized each year (see recent HAWG reports for transect design and survey results). The survey is most intense around the Isle of Man ( 2 to 4 n.mile transect spacing) where highest densities of adult herring are expected based on previous surveys and fishery data. Transect spacing of 6 to 10 n.miles are used elsewhere. A sphere-calibrated EK-500 38kHz sounder is employed, and data are archived and analysed using Echoview (SonarData, Tasmania). Targets are identified by midwater trawling. Acoustic records are manually partitioned to species by scrutinising the echograms and using trawl compositions where appropriate. ICES-recommended target strengths are used for herring, sprat, mackerel, horse mackerel and gadoids. The survey design and implementation follows, where possible, the guidelines for ICES herring acoustic surveys in the North Sea and West of Scotland. The survey data are analysed in 15-minute elementary distance sampling units (approx. 2.5 n.miles). An estimate of density by age class, and spawning stock biomass, is obtained for each EDSU and a distance-weighted average calculated for each stratum. These are raised by stratum area to give population numbers and SSB by stratum.

## NINEL larva survey

The DARD herring larva survey has been carried out in November each year since 1993. Sampling is carried out on a systematic grid of stations covering the spawning grounds and surrounding regions in the NE and NW Irish Sea (Figure 1). Larvae are sampled using a Gulf-VII high-speed plankton sampler with $280 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ net. Doubleoblique tows are made to within 2 m of the seabed at each station. Internal and external flow rates, and temperature and salinity profiles, were recorded during each tow. Lengths of all herring larva captured are recorded.

Mean catch-rates (nos.m-2) are calculated over stations to give separate indices of abundance for the NE and NW Irish Sea. Larval production rates (standardised to a larva of 6 mm ), and birth-date distributions, are computed based on the mean density of larvae by length class. A growth rate of $0.35 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ day $^{-1}$ and instantaneous mortality of 0.14 day $^{-1}$ are assumed based on estimates made in 1993-1997. More recent studies have indicated a mortality rate of 0.09 , and this value is also applied to examine the effect on trends in estimates of larval production

## DBL larva survey

Herring larvae were sampled on the east side of the Isle of Man in September or October each year. Double oblique tows with a 60 cm Gulf VII/PRO-NET high-speed plankton sampler with a 40 cm aperture nose cone were undertaken on a 5 Nm square grid. The tow profile was followed with a FURUNO net sonde attached to the top of
the equipment. The volume of water filtered was calculated from the nose cone mouth flow meter. The samples were preserved in $4 \%$ seawater buffered formalin and stored in $70 \%$ alcohol.

All herring larvae were sorted from the samples. The numbers of larvae per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ were calculated from the volume of water filtered and the number of larvae per tow. Up to 100 larvae from each tow were measured with an ocular graticule in a stereo microscope. Each sample was assigned to a sampling square and the total number of larvae per 0.5 mm size class calculated from the average depth of the square and the surface area.

The total production and time of larvae hatch was calculated using an instantaneous mortality coefficient (k) of 0.14 and a growth rate of $0.35 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ in the formula:

$$
N_{t}=N_{o} e^{-(k t)}
$$

Production was calculated as the sum of all size classes/hatching dates. Spawning dates were taken as 10 days prior to the hatching date (Bowers 1952).

The Douglas Bank Larva survey has not been updated since 1999. Examination of the sum of squares surface from SPALY in 2005 indicated that the Douglas Bank larvae index (DBL) was having no influence in the assessment estimates for the current year. Therefore, the WG agreed on removing DBL from the analysis (ICES, 2005). The DBL time series is listed in Table 6

## GFS-oct and -mar groundfish surveys

The DARD groundfish survey of ICES Division VIIaN are carried out in March and October at standard stations between $53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Figure 2). Data from additional stations fished in the St George's Channel since October 2001 have not been used in calculating herring indices of abundance. As in previous surveys, the area was divided into strata according to depth contour and sediment type, with fixed station positions (note that the strata in Fig. 2 differ from those in the September acoustic survey shown in Fig. 1). The sampling gear was a Rockhopper otter trawl fitted with non-rotating rubber discs of approximately 15 cm diameter on the footrope. The trawl fishes with an average headline height of 3.0 m and door spread of 30 - 40 m depending on depth and tide. A 20 mm stretched-mesh codend liner was fitted. During March, trawling was carried out at an average speed of 3 knots across the ground, over a standard distance of 3 nautical miles at standard stations and 1 nautical mile in the St. George's Channel. Since 2002, all survey stations in the October survey have been of 1-mile distance. Comparative trawling exercises during the October surveys and during an independent exercise in February 2003 indicate roughly similar catch-rates per mile between 1-mile and 3-mile tows. It is planned to continue with some comparative trawling experiments during future surveys to improve the statistical power of significance tests between the 1-mile and 3-mile tows.

As the surveys are targeted at gadoids, ages were not recorded for herring. The length frequencies in each survey were sliced into length ranges corresponding to 0 ring and 1-ring herring according to the appearance of modes in the overall weighted mean length frequency for each survey. Some imprecision will have resulted because of the overlap in length-at-age distributions of 1-ring and 2 -ring herring. The error is considered to be comparatively small for most of the surveys where clear modes are apparent. There was no clear division between 1-ring and 2-ring herring in the March 2003 groundfish survey, and the estimate for 1-ringers may include a significant component of small 2 -ringers. The arithmetic mean catch-rate and approximate vari-
ance of the mean was computed for each age-class in each survey stratum, and averaged over strata using the areas of the strata as weighting factors.

Groundfish surveys were used by the 1996 to 1999 HAWG to obtain indices for 0-and 1-ring herring in the Irish Sea. These indices have performed poorly in the assessment and have not been used since 1999. The time-series is listed in Table 7.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Commercial CPUE's are not used for this stock.
B.5. Other relevant data
C. Historical Stock Development

Model used: ICA
Software used: ICA (Patterson 1998)
Model Options chosen:
Separable constraint over last 6 years (weighting $=1.0$ for each year)
Reference age $=4$
Constant selection pattern model
Selectivity on oldest age $=1.0$
First age for calculation of mean $\mathrm{F}=2$
Last age for calculation of mean $\mathrm{F}=6$
Weighting on 1-rings $=0.1$; all other age classes $=1.0$
Weighting for all years $=1.0$
All indices treated as linear
No S/R relationship fitted
Lowest and highest feasible F $=0.05$ and 2.0
All survey weights fitted by hand i.e., 1.0 with the 1 ringers in the acoustic survey weighted to 0.1.

Correlated errors assumed i.e., $=1.0$
No shrinkage applied

Input data types and characteristics:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range | Variable from <br> year to year <br> Yes $/$ No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caton | Catch in tonnes | 1961-last data <br> year | NA | Yes |
| Canum | Catch at age in <br> numbers | $1961-$ last data <br> year | $1-8+$ | Yes |
| Weca | Weight at age in <br> the commercial <br> catch | $1961-1971$ <br> $1972-1983$ <br> $1984-$ last data <br> year | $1-8+$ <br> $1-8+$ | Yes <br> No <br> Yes |
| West | Weight at age of <br> the spawning <br> stock at spawning <br> time. | $1961-1971$ <br> $1972-1983$ <br> $1984-$ last data <br> year | $1-8+$ <br> $1-8+$ <br> $1-8+$ | Yes <br> No <br> Yes |
| Mprop | Proportion of <br> natural mortality <br> before spawning | $1961-$ last data <br> year | NA | No |
| Fprop | Proportion of <br> fishing mortality <br> before spawning | $11961-$ last data <br> year | NA | No |
| Matprop | Proportion <br> mature at age | 1961-last data <br> year | $1-8+$ | Yes |
| Natmor | Natural mortality | $1961-$ last data <br> year | $1-8+$ | No |

## Tuning data:

| Type | Name | Year range | Age range |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tuning fleet 1 | NINEL | $1993-2003$ | SSB |
| Tuning fleet 2 | DBL | $1989-1999$ | SSB |
| Tuning fleet 3 | GFS-octtot | $1993-2005$ | $1 \& 2$ |
| Tuning fleet 4 | GFS-martot | $1992-2003$ | 1 |
| Tuning fleet 5 | ACAGE | $1994-2003$ | $1-8+$ |
| Tuning fleet 6 | AC_VIIa(N) | $1994-2003$ | SSB |
| Tuning fleet 7 | AC_1+ | $1994-2003$ | SSB/Total biomass |

## Two-stage biomass model

In 2005 a Two-Stage Biomass model for the assessment of Irish Sea VIIa(N) herring given additional variance in the recruitment index was presented by Roel and De Oliveira (ICES 2005 WD10).

The model addresses the problem of the high uncertainty in the assessment of Irish Sea herring, which to some extent may be related to the presence of juvenile Celtic Sea herring in both the fishery and the survey area. In the absence of a Celtic Sea herring recruitment index, the biomass model presented addressed the problem by limiting recruitment variability in Irish Sea herring on the basis of information available for other herring stocks. The total variability in the recruitment data was divided into two components: the one related to Irish Sea herring recruitment variability and the
rest which was likely to represent variability related to the presence of Celtic Sea juveniles.

The model is fitted to biomass indices of 1-ringer fish and to aggregated biomass indices for the 2-rings+ from Northern Ireland acoustic surveys. The survey age composition data and the weights-at-age from the catch are used to calculate the proportion of 1-ring fish in the survey. The proportion is then applied to the total acoustic biomass to compute the 1 -ring biomass index while the 2 -ring+ index is obtained by subtraction. The catch in weight was split in a similar manner but based on commercial catch samples.

## The model

The dynamics take into account only two stages in the population: the recruits, 1 ringer fish, and the fully recruited that comprise 2 -ringer and older fish. The biomass dynamics is represented by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{y+1}=B_{1, y+1}+\left[\left(B_{2+, y}+B_{1, y}\right) e^{-3 g / 4}-C_{y}\right] e^{-g / 4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$B_{1, y} \quad$ is the biomass of recruitment (tons) at the start of year $y$;
$B_{2+, y} \quad$ is the biomass of $2+$ aged fish (tons) at the start of year $y$;
$C_{y} \quad$ is the biomass of fish caught (tons) during year $y$, assumed to be taken in a pulse fishery $3 / 4$ of the way into year $y$; and
$g \quad$ is a composite parameter, treated as an annual rate, which accounts for natural mortality and growth.

Maximum likelihood estimation is used, assuming survey indices are log-normally distributed about their expected values. Standard errors of the log-distributions are approximated by the sampling CVs of the untransformed distributions.

The estimable parameters are $g, B_{2+1994}, B_{1,1994}, \ldots, B_{1,2004}, \lambda^{2}$ and $q$
where $q$ corresponds to the catchability associated with the survey indices $I_{1, y}$ and $I_{2+y}$ and $\lambda^{2}$ is the additional variance.

The data were explored for values of recruitment variability $\left(\sigma_{R}\right)=0.4$ and 0.8 . The value 0.4 corresponds to the variability in recruitment age 1 as estimated by ICA for the period used in this analysis, but excluding the most recent estimate (1994-2006). The two parameters, $g$ and $q$, may be confounded in the model indicating that fixing $g$ was appropriate. This parameter was fixed to 0.2 following a similar approach as in Roel and De Oliveira (ICES 2005 WD10).

## D. Short-Term Projection

NOT USED IN 2004
Model used: Age structured
Software used: MFDP ver 1a
Initial stock size: Taken from the last year of the assessment. 1-ring recruits taken from a geometric mean for the years 1983 to two years prior to the current year. Where 1-ringers are absurdly estimated in the assessment 2-ringers are estimated as a geometric mean of the previous 10 year period.

Maturity: Mean of the previous three years of the maturity ogive used in the assessment.

F and M before spawning: Set to 0.9 and 0.75 respectively for all years.
Weight at age in the stock: Mean of the previous three years in the assessment.
Weight at age in the catch: Mean of the previous three years in the assessment.
Exploitation pattern: Mean of the previous three years, scaled by the Fbar (2-6) to the level of the last year.

Intermediate year assumptions: TAC constraint.
Stock recruitment model used: None used
Procedures used for splitting projected catches: Not relevant

## E. Medium-Term Projections

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not done

## G. Biological Reference Points

Until there is confidence in the assessment the Working Group decided not to revisit the estimation of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(9,500 \mathrm{t})$ and $\mathbf{B} \lim (6,000 \mathrm{t})$. There were no new points to add to the discussions and deliberations presented in 2000 (ICES 2000/ACFM:10).

## H. Other Issues
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Table 1. Biological sampling of Irish Sea (VIIa(N)) landings. Country denotes sampling nation.

 related to this level of detail:
VERY GOOD (v.g) : all landings which individually are $>10 \%$ of the total were sampled, all $Q$ for which there were landings were sampled
GOOD (g) : landings that constitute the majority of the catch (adding to approx $70 \%$ or more of total) were sampled
POOR (p)
: some of the large landings not sampled
(1): unsampled quarters
(2): large landings with few samples or unsampled. High level of sampling corresponds to 1 sample per 100t landed (WG rep 1997)
(3): Comment from WG rep. From 1990 going back, Report landings and sampling levels are shown aggregated for the whole year. UK landings lumped in one figure.
 labs.
(5): NO samples for NI landings in 4th $Q$, there is a suspicion that the figures correspond to 'paper landings'.
${ }^{1}$ Samples applied to NI landings: ${ }^{2}$ Large unsampled landings.
(6): no samples taken from pair trawlers landings.

Table 2: Data and method used to estimate landings from Division VIIa(N) herring.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estima of Fren | tes of m ch and | maxim <br> d ROI c | um lik catches | ely cat | ch for | VIIa(N) | incl. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column | 1 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 78 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  |
|  | ICES table |  |  |  |  |  |  | British Isl | les catches |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATCH } \\ & \text { ASSESS } \\ & \text { MENT } \end{aligned}$ |  | NE Atla catch |  | ICES 7a | catch | \% of NE atlantic |  | max lik catch |  |
|  | Ireland | UK | France | Netherlands | USSR/ <br> Russia | Unallocated | Total | England | Northern <br> Ireland | Wales | Manx | Irish | Total |  |  | France | Ireland F | France | Ireland | France | Ireland | France I | Ireland |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 72 | 3815 |  | 3887 | 8056 |  | 60500 | 4900 |  |  |  |  | 3630 | 539 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 20 | 4762 |  | 4787 | 8743 |  | 52000 | 7600 |  |  |  |  | 3120 | 836 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 0 | - 1638 | 2832 |  | 4491 | 7966 |  | 36100 | 11900 |  |  |  |  | 2166 | 1309 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 0 | 12 | 2482 |  | 2525 | 6261 |  | 38800 | 12800 |  |  |  |  | 2328 | 1408 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 0 | 96 | 3577 |  | 3693 | 7833 |  | 40400 | 15600 |  |  |  |  | 2424 | 1716 |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 0 | 0 | 2093 |  | 2103 | 6607 |  | 36200 | 21200 |  |  |  |  | 2172 | 2332 |
| 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 0 | 0 144 | 1941 |  | 2117 | 5710 |  | 36600 | 12700 |  |  |  |  | 2196 | 1397 |
| 1962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 21 | 1528 |  | 1552 | 4343 |  | 29100 | 9500 |  |  |  |  | 1746 | 1045 |
| 1963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 34 | 974 |  | 1013 | 3947 |  | 33500 | 8400 |  |  |  |  | 2010 | 924 |
| 1964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 556 |  | 558 | 3593 |  | 35000 | 8500 |  |  |  |  | 2100 | 935 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1629 | 0 | 398 | 1135 |  | 3162 | 5923 |  | 26400 | 10700 |  |  |  |  | 1584 | 1177 |
| 1966 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2041 | 0 | 46 | 596 |  | 2683 | 5666 |  | 22400 | 14900 |  |  |  |  | 1344 | 1639 |
| 1967 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2911 | 0 | - 8 | 1959 |  | 4878 | 8721 |  | 20600 | 23700 |  |  |  |  | 1236 | 2607 |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1504 | 0 | - 5 | 3253 |  | 4762 | 8660 |  | 22800 | 23000 |  |  |  |  | 1368 | 2530 |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3591 | 0 | 63 | 5044 |  | 8698 | 14141 |  | 27100 | 34700 |  |  |  |  | 1626 | 3817 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4662 | 0 | - 16 | 9782 |  | 14461 | 20622 |  | 24400 | 42700 |  |  |  |  | 1464 | 4697 |
| 1971 | 3131 | 21861 | 1815 |  |  |  | 26807 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26807 |  | 23500 | 31200 | 1815 | 3131 | 0.08 | 0.10 |  |  |
| 1972 | 2529 | 23337 | 1224 | - 260 |  |  | 27350 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27350 |  | 29900 | 47800 | 1224 | 2529 | 0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |
| 1973 | 3614 | 18587 | 254 | 4143 |  |  | 22598 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22598 |  | 30800 | 38900 | 254 | 3614 | 0.01 | 0.09 |  |  |
| 1974 | 5894 | 27489 | 3194 | 41116 | 945 |  | 38638 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38638 |  | 21199 | 39608 | 3194 | 5894 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |  |
| 1975 | 4790 | 18244 | 813 | 360 | 26 |  | 24503 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24503 |  | 25645 | 29752 | 813 | 4790 | 0.03 | 0.16 |  |  |
| 1976 | 3205 | 16401 | 651 | 989 |  |  | 21246 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21246 |  | 20466 | 22227 | 651 | 3205 | 0.03 | 0.14 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3331 | 11498 | 85 | 500 |  |  | 15414 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15414 |  | 4164 | 23436 | 85 | 3331 | 0.02 | - 0.14 |  |  |
| 1978 | 2371 | 8432 | 174 | - 98 |  |  | 11075 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11075 |  | 4201 | 27717 | 174 | 2371 | 0.04 | 0.09 |  |  |
| 1979 | 1805 | 10078 | 455 |  |  |  | 12338 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12338 |  | 3596 | 27454 | 455 | 1805 | 0.13 | 0.07 |  |  |
| 1980 | 1340 | 9272 | 1 |  |  |  | 10613 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10613 |  | 6126 | 36917 | 1 | 1340 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  |  |
| 1981 | 283 | 4094 |  |  |  |  | 4377 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4377 |  | 6952 | 29926 |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
| 1982 | 300 | 3375 |  |  |  | 1180 | 4855 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4855 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 860 | 3025 | 48 |  |  |  | 3933 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3933 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | - 0.11 |  |  |
| 1984 | 1084 | 2982 |  |  |  |  | 4066 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimates of maximum likely catch for VIIa( N ) incl. of French and ROI catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Column | $1 \quad 2$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | ICES table |  |  |  |  | British Isles catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CATCH IN ASSESSMENT | NE Atlantic catch |  | ICES 7a catch |  | \% of NE atlantic |  | max likely catch |  |
|  | Ireland | UK | France | Netherlands | USSR/ <br> Russia | Unallocated | Total | England | Northern Ireland | Wales | Manx | Irish | Total |  | France | Ireland | France | Ireland | France | Ireland | France | Ireland |
| 1985 | 1000 | - 4077 |  |  |  | 4110 | 9187 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 1640 | - 4376 |  |  |  | 1424 | - 7440 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 1200 | 3290 |  |  |  | 1333 | 5823 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 2579 | 7593 |  |  |  |  | 10172 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 1430 | 3532 |  |  |  |  | 4962 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 1699 | - 4613 |  |  |  |  | 6312 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 80 | - 4318 |  |  |  |  | 4398 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 406 | 4864 |  |  |  |  | 5270 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 0 | - 4408 |  |  |  |  | 4408 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4408 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 0 | - 4828 |  |  |  |  | 4828 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4828 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 0 | - 5076 |  |  |  |  | 5076 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5076 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 100 | - 5180 |  |  |  | 22 | 5302 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 0 | 6651 |  |  |  |  | 6651 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6651 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 0 | - 4905 |  |  |  |  | 4905 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 0 | - 4127 |  |  |  |  | 4127 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0 | - 2002 |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 862 | 4599 |  |  |  |  | 5461 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 286 | - 2107 |  |  |  |  | 2393 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 0 | - 2399 |  |  |  |  | 2399 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2399 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 749 | 1782 |  |  |  |  | 2531 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2531 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 1153 | 3234 |  |  |  |  | 4387 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 581 | 3821 |  |  |  |  | 4402 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 0 | - 4629 |  |  |  |  | 4629 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4629 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 0 | - 4895 |  |  |  |  | 4895 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 0 | - 4594 |  |  |  |  | 4594 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 0 | - 4894 |  |  |  |  | 4894 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4894 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Sampling stations for larvae in the North Irish Sea (NINEL). Sampling is undertaken in November each year.


Key to strata: 1. Irish Coast ( N ),$<100 \mathrm{~m}$, Mixed sediments
2. Irish Coast, $<50 \mathrm{~m}$, sand and finer sediments
3. Irish Coast, $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$, Muddy sediments
4. W and SW Isle of Man, 50-100m, mud and muddy sand
5. N Isle of Man, $<50 \mathrm{~m}$, gravel sediments
6. Eastern Irish Sea, $<50 \mathrm{~m}$, sand and finer sediments
7. S. Isle of Man, $<100 \mathrm{~m}$, gravel sediments
8. Deep western channel and North Channel $>100 \mathrm{~m}$
9. St George's Channel west; sandy/mixed sediments; $<100 \mathrm{~m}$
10. St George's Channel east; sandy/mixed sediments; $<100 \mathrm{~m}$

Figure 2. Standard station positions for DARD groundfish survey of the Irish Sea in March and October. Boundaries of survey strata are shown. Indices for the "Western Irish Sea" use data from strata 2-4. Indices for the "Eastern Irish Sea" use data from stratum 6 only (few juvenile herring are found in stratum 7). (Note different stratification to Fig. 1.). New stations fished in the St Georges Channel (strata 9 and 10) since October 2001 are not included in the survey indices. Stratum 5 ( 1 station only in recent years) is also excluded from the index. There are no stations in stratum 8 due to difficult trawling conditions for the gear used in the survey. Station 121 in stratum 7 has been fished only once and is excluded from the index.

Table 3. Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Mean weights-at-age in the catch.

| Year | Weights-at-age (g) <br> Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| 1985 | 87 | 125 | 157 | 186 | 202 | 209 | 222 | 258 |
| 1986 | 68 | 143 | 167 | 188 | 215 | 229 | 239 | 254 |
| 1987 | 58 | 130 | 160 | 175 | 194 | 210 | 218 | 229 |
| 1988 | 70 | 124 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 198 | 212 | 232 |
| 1989 | 81 | 128 | 155 | 174 | 184 | 195 | 205 | 218 |
| 1990 | 77 | 135 | 163 | 175 | 188 | 196 | 207 | 217 |
| 1991 | 70 | 121 | 153 | 167 | 180 | 189 | 195 | 214 |
| 1992 | 61 | 111 | 136 | 151 | 159 | 171 | 179 | 191 |
| 1993 | 88 | 126 | 157 | 171 | 183 | 191 | 198 | 214 |
| 1994 | 73 | 126 | 154 | 174 | 181 | 190 | 203 | 214 |
| 1995 | 72 | 120 | 147 | 168 | 180 | 185 | 197 | 212 |
| 1996 | 67 | 116 | 148 | 162 | 177 | 199 | 200 | 214 |
| 1997 | 64 | 118 | 146 | 165 | 176 | 188 | 204 | 216 |
| 1998 | 80 | 123 | 148 | 163 | 181 | 177 | 188 | 222 |
| 1999 | 69 | 120 | 145 | 167 | 176 | 188 | 190 | 210 |
| 2000 | 64 | 120 | 148 | 168 | 188 | 204 | 200 | 213 |
| 2001 | 67 | 106 | 139 | 156 | 168 | 185 | 198 | 205 |
| 2002 | 85 | 113 | 144 | 167 | 180 | 184 | 191 | 217 |
| 2003* | 81 | 116 | 136 | 160 | 167 | 172 | 186 | 199 |
| 2004 | 73 | 107 | 130 | 157 | 165 | 187 | 200 | 205 |
| 2005 | 67 | 103 | 136 | 156 | 166 | 180 | 191 | 209 |
| 2006 | 64 | 105 | 131 | 149 | 164 | 177 | 184 | 211 |
| 2007 | 67 | 112 | 135 | 158 | 173 | 183 | 199 | 227 |
| 2008 | 71 | 110 | 135 | 153 | 156 | 182 | 196 | 206 |
| 2009* | 68 | 107 | 133 | 155 | 165 | 182 | 194 | 212 |
| 2010 | 53 | 106 | 131 | 145 | 153 | 164 | 175 | 172 |

* Average for the preceding five years

Table 4. Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa(N). Mean length-at-age in the catch.

| Year | Lengths-at-age (cm) Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| 1985 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 26.1 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 30.1 |
| 1986 | 19.7 | 24.3 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 29.8 |
| 1987 | 20.0 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 27.3 | 28.0 | 29.2 | 29.4 | 30.1 |
| 1988 | 20.2 | 23.5 | 25.7 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 27.7 | 28.7 | 29.6 |
| 1989 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 26.8 | 27.8 | 28.2 | 28.0 | 29.5 |
| 1990 | 20.1 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 26.2 | 27.7 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 29.0 |
| 1991 | 20.5 | 23.8 | 25.4 | 26.1 | 26.8 | 27.3 | 27.7 | 28.7 |
| 1992 | 19.0 | 23.7 | 25.3 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.9 | 29.4 |
| 1993 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 27.6 | 28.0 | 28.7 |
| 1994 | 20.1 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 26.5 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 28.4 |
| 1995 | 20.4 | 23.6 | 25.2 | 26.3 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| 1996 | 19.8 | 23.5 | 25.3 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 28.2 |
| 1997 | 19.6 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 26.0 | 26.5 | 27.1 | 27.7 | 28.2 |
| 1998 | 20.8 | 23.8 | 25.2 | 26.1 | 27.0 | 26.8 | 27.2 | 28.7 |
| 1999 | 19.8 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 26.1 | 26.5 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 28.0 |
| 2000 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 25.3 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 28.1 |
| 2001 | 20.0 | 22.9 | 24.8 | 25.7 | 26.2 | 26.9 | 27.5 | 27.8 |
| 2002 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 24.8 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 27.0 | 28.1 |
| 2003 | 21.1 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 27.8 | 28.5 |
| 2004 | 20.7 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 26.1 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 28.3 |
| 2005 | 20.0 | 22.6 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 27.8 |
| 2006 | 19.5 | 22.7 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 28.0 |
| 2007 | 20.1 | 23.0 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 26.7 | 27.8 |
| 2008 | 20.0 | 22.7 | 24.1 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 26.3 | 26.9 | 27.3 |
| 2009* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2010 | 19.2 | 23.2 | 24.3 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 25.8 | 26.3 | 26.1 |

*no commercial samples available

Table 5. Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa (N). Catch-at-length for 1990-2010. Numbers of fish in thousands.

| Length | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.5 |  |  | 169 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 |  | 343 |  |  | 21 | 21 | 17 |  | 19 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 16.5 | 6 | 2 | 275 |  |  | 55 | 51 | 94 |  | 53 | 49 | 27 |  |  | 13 |
| 17 | 50 | 1 | 779 |  | 84 | 139 | 127 | 281 | 26 | 97 | 67 | 53 |  |  | 25 |
| 17.5 | 7 | 4 | 1106 |  | 59 | 148 | 200 | 525 | 30 | 82 | 97 | 105 |  |  | 84 |
| 18 | 224 | 31 | 1263 |  | 69 | 300 | 173 | 1022 | 123 | 145 | 115 | 229 |  |  | 102 |
| 18.5 | 165 | 56 | 1662 |  | 89 | 280 | 415 | 1066 | 206 | 135 | 134 | 240 | 36 |  | 114 |
| 19 | 656 | 168 | 1767 | 39 | 226 | 310 | 554 | 1720 | 317 | 234 | 164 | 385 | 18 |  | 203 |
| 19.5 | 318 | 174 | 1189 | 75 | 241 | 305 | 652 | 1263 | 277 | 82 | 97 | 439 | 0 | 29 | 269 |
| 20 | 791 | 454 | 1268 | 75 | 253 | 326 | 749 | 1366 | 427 | 218 | 109 | 523 | 0 | 73 | 368 |
| 20.5 | 472 | 341 | 705 | 57 | 270 | 404 | 867 | 1029 | 297 | 242 | 85 | 608 | 18 | 215 | 444 |
| 21 | 735 | 469 | 705 | 130 | 400 | 468 | 886 | 1510 | 522 | 449 | 115 | 1086 | 307 | 272 | 862 |
| 21.5 | 447 | 296 | 597 | 263 | 308 | 782 | 1258 | 1192 | 549 | 362 | 138 | 1201 | 433 | 290 | 1007 |
| 22 | 935 | 438 | 664 | 610 | 700 | 1509 | 1530 | 2607 | 1354 | 1261 | 289 | 1748 | 1750 | 463 | 1495 |
| 22.5 | 581 | 782 | 927 | 1224 | 785 | 2541 | 2190 | 2482 | 1099 | 2305 | 418 | 1763 | 1949 | 600 | 2140 |
| 23 | 2400 | 1790 | 1653 | 2016 | 1035 | 4198 | 2362 | 3508 | 2493 | 4784 | 607 | 2670 | 2490 | 1158 | 2089 |
| 23.5 | 1908 | 1974 | 1156 | 2368 | 1473 | 4547 | 2917 | 3902 | 2041 | 4183 | 951 | 2254 | 1552 | 1380 | 2214 |
| 24 | 3474 | 2842 | 1575 | 2895 | 2126 | 4416 | 3649 | 4714 | 3695 | 4165 | 1436 | 3489 | 1029 | 1273 | 2054 |
| 24.5 | 2818 | 2311 | 2412 | 2616 | 2564 | 3391 | 4077 | 4138 | 2769 | 3397 | 1783 | 4098 | 758 | 1249 | 2269 |
| 25 | 4803 | 2734 | 2792 | 2207 | 3315 | 3100 | 4015 | 5031 | 2625 | 2620 | 2144 | 5566 | 776 | 1163 | 1749 |
| 25.5 | 3688 | 2596 | 3268 | 2198 | 3382 | 2358 | 3668 | 3971 | 2797 | 1817 | 1791 | 4785 | 1335 | 1211 | 1206 |
| 26 | 4845 | 3278 | 3865 | 2216 | 3480 | 2334 | 2480 | 3871 | 3115 | 1694 | 1349 | 3814 | 1570 | 1140 | 823 |
| 26.5 | 3015 | 2862 | 3908 | 2176 | 2617 | 1807 | 2177 | 2455 | 2641 | 1547 | 840 | 2243 | 1552 | 1573 | 587 |
| 27 | 3014 | 2412 | 3389 | 2299 | 2391 | 1622 | 1949 | 1711 | 2992 | 1475 | 616 | 1489 | 776 | 1607 | 510 |
| 27.5 | 1134 | 1449 | 2203 | 2047 | 1777 | 990 | 1267 | 1131 | 1747 | 867 | 479 | 644 | 433 | 1189 | 383 |
| 28 | 993 | 922 | 1440 | 1538 | 1294 | 834 | 906 | 638 | 1235 | 276 | 212 | 496 | 162 | 726 | 198 |
| 28.5 | 582 | 423 | 569 | 944 | 900 | 123 | 564 | 440 | 170 | 169 | 58 | 179 | 108 | 569 | 51 |
| 29 | 302 | 293 | 278 | 473 | 417 | 248 | 210 | 280 | 111 | 61 | 42 | 10 | 36 | 163 |  |
| 29.5 | 144 | 129 | 96 | 160 | 165 | 56 | 79 | 59 | 92 |  | 12 | 0 | 36 | 129 |  |
| 30 | 146 | 82 | 70 | 83 | 9 | 40 | 32 | 8 | 84 |  | 6 | 9 |  | 43 |  |
| 30.5 | 57 | 36 | 36 | 15 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |
| 31 | 54 | 12 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |
| 31.5 | 31 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5 (continued). Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa (N). Catch-at-length for 1990-2010. Numbers of fish in thousands.

*no commercial samples available.

Table 6. Irish Sea herring Division VIIa(N). Northern Ireland groundfish survey indices for herring (Nos. per 3 miles).
(a) 0-ring herring: October survey

| Western Irish Sea |  |  |  | Eastern Irish Sea |  |  | Total Irish Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Mean | N.obs | SE | Mean | N.obs. | SE | Mean | N. obs | SE |
| 1991 | 54 | 34 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 210 | 31 | 99 | 240 | 8 | 149 | 177 | 46 | 68 |
| 1993 | 633 | 26 | 331 | 498 | 10 | 270 | 412 | 44 | 155 |
| 1994 | 548 | 26 | 159 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 194 | 41 | 55 |
| 1995 | 67 | 22 | 23 | 35 | 9 | 18 | 37 | 35 | 11 |
| 1996 | 90 | 26 | 58 | 131 | 9 | 79 | 117 | 42 | 50 |
| 1997 | 281 | 26 | 192 | 68 | 9 | 42 | 138 | 43 | 70 |
| 1998 | 980 | 26 | 417 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 347 | 43 | 144 |
| 1999 | 389 | 26 | 271 | 90 | 9 | 29 | 186 | 43 | 96 |
| 2000 | 202 | 24 | 144 | 367 | 9 | 190 | 212 | 38 | 89 |
| 2001 | 553 | 26 | 244 | 236 | 11 | 104 | 284 | 45 | 93 |
| 2002 | 132 | 26 | 84 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 63 | 45 | 31 |
| 2003 | 1203 | 26 | 855 | 75 | 11 | 47 | 446 | 45 | 296 |
| 2004 | 838 | 26 | 292 | 447 | 11 | 191 | 469 | 45 | 125 |
| 2005 | 1516 | 26 | 1036 | 256 | 11 | 152 | 627 | 45 | 363 |
| 2006 | 4677 | 26 | 2190 | 2140 | 11 | 829 | 2468 | 45 | 822 |
| 2007 | 215 | 26 | 82 | 263 | 11 | 114 | 177 | 45 | 52 |
| 2008 | 1075 | 26 | 436 | 540 | 11 | 505 | 599 | 45 | 247 |
| 2009 | 3073 | 26 | 1803 | 8908 | 11 | 4186 | 4499 | 45 | 1730 |
| 2010 | 2123 | 26 | 974 | 6071 | 11 | 2844 | 3075 | 45 | 1147 |

Table 6. (Continued) Irish Sea herring Division VIIa(N). Northern Ireland groundfish survey indices for herring (Nos. per 3 miles).
(b) 1-ring herring: March Surveys.

|  | Western Irish Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eastern Irish Sea |  |  |  | Total Irish Sea |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Mean | N.obs | SE | Mean | N.obs. | SE | Mean | N.obs | SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 392 | 20 | 198 | 115 | 10 | 73 | 190 | 34 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 1755 | 27 | 620 | 175 | 10 | 66 | 681 | 45 | 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 2472 | 25 | 1852 | 106 | 9 | 51 | 923 | 39 | 641 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1299 | 26 | 679 | 73 | 8 | 32 | 480 | 42 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 1055 | 22 | 638 | 285 | 9 | 164 | 487 | 39 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1473 | 26 | 382 | 260 | 9 | 96 | 612 | 43 | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 3953 | 26 | 1331 | 250 | 9 | 184 | 1472 | 43 | 466 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 5845 | 26 | 1860 | 736 | 9 | 321 | 2308 | 42 | 655 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2303 | 26 | 853 | 546 | 10 | 217 | 1009 | 44 | 306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 3518 | 26 | 916 | 1265 | 11 | 531 | 1763 | 45 | 381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2002^{\text {a }}$ | 2255 | 25 | 845 | 185 | 11 | 84 | 852 | 44 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2002^{\text {b }}$ | 7870 | 26 | 5667 | 185 | 11 | 84 | 2794 | 45 | 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 2103 | 26 | 876 | 896 | 11 | 604 | 1079 | 45 | 382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 6611 | 25 | 2726 | 491 | 11 | 163 | 2486 | 44 | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 7274 | 26 | 3097 | 1240 | 8 | 375 | 3001 | 42 | 1121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 4249 | 26 | 1687 | 2630 | 11 | 813 | 2496 | 45 | 662 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 9340 | 26 | 3051 | 631 | 11 | 388 | 3480 | 45 | 1066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 2310 | 26 | 568 | 404 | 11 | 141 | 956 | 45 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 11738 | 26 | 2853 | 1490 | 11 | 664 | 4638 | 45 | 1357 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2327 | 26 | 525 | 6304 | 11 | 3782 | 3272 | 45 | 1470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^9]Table 6. (Continued) Irish Sea herring Division VIIa(N). Northern Ireland groundfish survey indices for herring (Nos. per 3 miles.).
(c) 1-ring herring: October Surveys

|  | Western Irish Sea |  |  | Eastern Irish Sea |  |  | Total Irish Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Mean | N.obs | SE | Mean | N.obs. | SE | Mean | N.obs | SE |
| 1991 | 102 | 34 | 34 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 1992 | 36 | 31 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 11 | 21 | 46 | 8 |
| 1993 | 122 | 26 | 66 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 44 | 44 | 23 |
| 1994 | 490 | 26 | 137 | 17 | 6 | 10 | 176 | 40 | 47 |
| 1995 | 153 | 22 | 61 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 55 | 35 | 21 |
| 1996 | 30 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 42 | 5 |
| 1997 | 612 | 26 | 369 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 302 | 43 | 156 |
| 1998 | 39 | 26 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 53 | 43 | 35 |
| 1999 | 81 | 26 | 41 | 104 | 9 | 95 | 74 | 43 | 40 |
| 2000 | 455 | 24 | 250 | 74 | 9 | 52 | 579 | 38 | 403 |
| 2001 | 1412 | 26 | 641 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 513 | 45 | 223 |
| 2002 | 370 | 26 | 111 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 291 | 45 | 158 |
| 2003 | 314 | 26 | 143 | 410 | 11 | 350 | 267 | 45 | 144 |
| 2004 | 710 | 26 | 298 | 103 | 11 | 74 | 299 | 45 | 108 |
| 2005 | 3217 | 25 | 1467 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 1121 | 44 | 507 |
| 2006 | 1458 | 26 | 669 | 40 | 11 | 18 | 523 | 45 | 231 |
| 2007 | 6194 | 26 | 3169 | 1569 | 11 | 1379 | 2758 | 45 | 1218 |
| 2008 | 1922 | 26 | 1207 | 1930 | 11 | 1210 | 1410 | 45 | 626 |
| 2009 | 3169 | 26 | 2115 | 112 | 11 | 55 | 1146 | 45 | 732 |
| 2010 | 2318 | 26 | 1115 | 173 | 11 | 72 | 935 | 45 | 391 |

Table 7. Irish Sea Herring Division VIIa (N). Larval production (10 ${ }^{11}$ ) indices for the Manx component.

| Year | Douglas Bank |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Date | Isle of Man <br> Production | SE |
| 1989 | 26 Oct | 3.39 | 1.54 |
| 1990 | 19 Oct | 1.92 | 0.78 |
| 1991 | 15 Oct | 1.56 | 0.73 |
| 1992 | 16 Oct | 15.64 | 2.32 |
| 1993 | 13 Oct | 4.81 | 0.77 |
| 1994 | 19 Oct | 7.26 | 2.26 |
| 1995 | 15 Oct | 1.58 | 1.68 |
| 1996 | 6 Nov | 5.59 | 1.25 |
| 1997 | 25 Oct | 2.27 | 1.43 |
| 1998 |  | 3.87 | 0.88 |

## Annex 09 - Stock Annex - Sprat in the North Sea
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## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Sprat (Sprattus sprattus Linnaeus 1758) in ICES area IV (North Sea).
Sprat in the North Sea is treated as a single management unit. However, questions have recently been raised about the geographic distribution of this stock and its interaction with neighbouring stocks: in particular, large abundances have been observed close to the southern boundaries of the stock (ICES HAWG 2009). The apparent overlap between North Sea sprat and English Channel sprat is very strong, whereas the overlap between North Sea sprat and Kattegat sprat is not as strong and varies between years.

A detailed genetic study has been performed to analyze the population structure of sprat over large ranges, from scales of seas to regions (Limborg et al., 2009). The study was performed with individuals from the Baltic Sea, Danish waters, Kattegat, North Sea, Celtic Sea and Adriatic Sea (Figure 2). The analysis partitioned the samples into groups based upon their genetic similarity (Figure 3). The Adriatic Sea population exhibited a large divergence from all other samples. The samples from the North Sea, Celtic Sea and Kattegat were separated from the Baltic Sea samples, with the Belt Sea (Kattegat) sample in between. The authors concluded that there exists a barrier to gene flow from the North Sea to the Baltic Sea, with the Belt Sea being a transition zone. This analysis does not support the separation of sprat into three stocks that is currently employed by ICES (i.e. subdivision VIId (English Channel), subdivision IIIa (Skagerrak/Kattegat) and division IV (North Sea). However, it is also important to note that this work is based on neutral markers, which are relatively insensitive. Further research on this issue is required.

## A.2. Fishery

The majority of the sprat landings are taken in the Danish industrial small-meshed trawl fishery. The Norwegian sprat fishery is mainly carried out by purse seiners. Both landings are used for reduction to fish meal and fish oil. In the last decade, also the UK occasionally lands small amounts of sprat.

The commercial catches are sampled for biological parameters. In the most recent years Denmark, Norway and Scotland have sampled their sprat catches. The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age is mainly performed following the EU regulation 1639/2001, requiring 1 sample per 2000 tonnes.

In 2007 a new quota regulation (IOK) for the Danish vessels was implemented and realized from 2008 and onwards. The regulation gives quotas to the vessel, but these can be traded or sold. A large number of small vessels have been taken out of the fishery and their quotas sold to larger vessels. Today the Danish fleet is therefore dominated by large vessels.

There exists no information about discards and unallocated catches, but it is not expected to be a problem for this fishery.

Historically, the by-catch of juvenile herring in the industrial sprat fisheries has been problematically high (Figure 4). To reduce this by-catch, an area closed to the sprat fishery (the "sprat box") was established off the western coast of Denmark (from Vadehavet to Hanstholm) in October 1984 (Hoffman et al 2004). It was estimated that about $90 \%$ of the by-catches of juvenile herring in the industrial fisheries was taken within this box, and the intention of the sprat box was thus to reduce this juvenile herring by-catch.

Despite the establishment of this sprat box, the juvenile herring by-catches increased in the early 1990's, partly because of larger incoming year classes having a wider distribution (Hoffman et al 2004). It was concluded that there was no clear connection between the sprat box and the decrease in herring by-catches in the period 1984-1996. The sprat box is still in operation (Fiskeridirektoratet 2007).

After 1996, the by-catch mortality of juvenile herring was reduced (ICES HAWG 2009). This coincided with the introduction of a by-catch limit on herring in the industrial fisheries and improvements in the catch sampling.

## Evaluation of the quality of the catch data

Due to large but unknown by-catches of juvenile North Sea herring in the industrial sprat fisheries prior to 1996 (Figure 4), sprat landings are only considered reliable from 1996 onwards. The reduction in by-catches of juvenile herring in 1996 coincides with the introduction of a by-catch limit on herring in the industrial fisheries, and improvements in catch-sampling.

The by-catches in the Danish industrial small-meshed trawl fishery for sprat (19982009) have been estimated from samples of the commercial catches. The major bycatches are herring ( $4.2-11.1 \%$ in weight), horse mackerel (0.0-1.6\%), whiting (0.2$1.5 \%$ ), haddock ( $0.0-0.1 \%$ ), mackerel ( $0.2-2.2 \%$ ), cod ( $<0.0 \%$ ), sandeel ( $0.0-10.0 \%$ ) and other $(0.3-2.4 \%)$. Although these catches are relatively small by weight, they are often juveniles, and therefore can represent a significant number of individuals.

There exists no information about the by-catches of the other fleets.

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Many predators in the North Sea feed extensively on sprat, including predatory fish, marine mammals and seabirds. Its role in the ecosystem has been evaluated in the 1981 and 1991 stomach sampling programs (ICES 1989, ICES 1997). Predation was strongest from whiting and mackerel (ICES SGMSNS 2006, ICES 1997). Predation from cod on sprat have been suggested to increase after the last sampling campaign in 1991 as sandeel and Norway pout stocks have decreased (ICES 1997).

Sprat can be very important for breeding seabirds in southern areas of the North Sea (Durinck et al 1991, Wilson et al. 2004). Estimates from 1985 have shown that the total seabird consumption in the North Sea could be on the same level as the fisheries
(Hunt and Furness (ed.) 1996). In winter, when sandeel are not available to most seabirds (because they are buried in the sand) many of the seabirds that overwinter in the North Sea take sprat as part of their diet. However, it is uncertain whether sprat abundance in the North Sea will affect seabird breeding success or overwinter survival.

Attempts have previously been made to include sprat in the MSVPA in the North Sea (ICES SGMSNS 2005). Recently, as no single species assessment on North Sea sprat has been performed, sprat was not included explicitly in the MSVPA. Sprat was therefore treated in the recent model as 'other food', and is thus included in the model indirectly as a prey organism. Unfortunately this method does not allow for an estimate on the predation mortality on sprat (ICES WGSAM 2008). Historically, MSVPA runs have included sprat by which it was found that the predation mortality on the species exceeds the fishing mortality (ICES SGMSNS 2005).

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

The majority of the sprat landings are taken in the Danish industrial small-meshed trawl fishery. The Norwegian sprat fishery is mainly carried out by purse seiners. Both landings are used for reduction to fish meal and fish oil. In the last decade, also the UK occasionally lands small amounts of sprat.

The commercial catches are sampled for biological parameters. In the most recent years Denmark, Norway and Scotland have sampled their sprat catches. The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age is mainly performed following the EU regulation 1639/2001, requiring 1 sample per 2000 tonnes.

There exists no information about discards and unallocated catches, but it is not expected to be a problem for this fishery.

Due to large but unknown by-catches of juvenile North Sea herring in the industrial sprat fisheries prior to 1996 (Figure 4), sprat landings are only considered reliable from 1996 onwards. The reduction in by-catches of juvenile herring in 1996 coincides with the introduction of a by-catch limit on herring in the industrial fisheries, and improvements in catch-sampling.

## B.2. Biological

Sprat in the North Sea has a prolonged spawning season ranging from early spring to the late autumn, and is triggered by the water temperature (Alheit et al., 1987; Alshulth 1988a; Wahl and Alheit 1988). Sprat is a batch spawner, producing up to 10 batches in one spawning season and 100-400 eggs per gram of body weight (Alheit 1987; George 1987). The majority of the sprat in age groups $1+$ in the summer acoustic surveys in June-July are shown to be spawners (ICES WGIPS 2010).
Disagreements in the age reading in North Sea sprat have been reported (e.g. Torstensen et al. 2004). The problems arise due to interpretation of winter rings. False winter rings can be set in periods of bad feeding conditions/starvation and due to rapid changes in temperature ( E . Torstensen, personal communication 2009). False winter rings also occur in other species and areas, e.g. Baltic sprat (Kornilovs (edi.) 2006), herring (ICES WKARGH 2008) and sandeel (Clausen et al. 2006). Furthermore, the interpretation of the first winter ring can be difficult, as sprat can spawn until late autumn and larvae from these late spawning will likely not set down a winter ring
during their first winter (Torstensen et al 2004). The absence of such rings can lead to errors in age determination, as these individuals cannot be distinguished from the individuals born the following year. Age readings in North Sea sprat were estimated to have a high coefficient of variance (CV) of $28 \%$ (Torstensen et al. 2004).
Mean weight-at-age in the North Sea sprat is variable over time (ICES HAWG 2009). This may be ascribed due to both the aging problems previously described, and also the prolonged spawning period, by which the individuals can have very different birthdates and thus also different growth conditions, i.e temperature and nutrition available. The mean weight-at-age in the catches for age 1 is approximately 4 g , at age 2 app. 10 g , at age 3 app .11 g , and at age $4+$ app. 14 g ( se Sec 8 -North Sea sprat in ICES HAWG 2010).

## B.3. Surveys

Three surveys cover this stock. Two International Bottom Trawl Surveys (IBTS) cover the stock in the first and third quarters of the year, respectively. Additionally, the herring acoustic survey covers the same area during June-July.

The appropriateness and suitability of these surveys for use in the assessment of the North Sea sprat stock, was examined by the WKSHORT (2009).

## B.3.1 International Bottom Trawl Surveys (IBTS)

## Background

The North-Sea International Bottom Trawl Surveys started as a coordinated international survey in the mid-1960s as a survey directed towards juvenile herring. The gear used was standardised in 1977 to use the GOV trawl, but took time to be phased in. By 1983 all participating nations were using this gear, and the index can be considered consistent from this point onwards. A third-quarter North Sea IBTS survey using the same methodology was started in 1991 and can be considered consistent from its initiation. IBTS Surveys were also performed in the North Sea in the second and fourth quarters in the period 1991-1996, but are not considered further here (ICES 2006). More details on the survey are available from the manual (ICES 2004).

## Suitability

The appropriateness of the IBTS survey for use as an estimate of the abundance of North Sea sprat was examined in a working document to the WKSHORT (Jansen et al 2009). Acoustic data collected during trawls performed as part of the IBTS were analysed, with focus on the vertical distribution. The relationship between the amount of sprat available in the water column (from acoustics) and the amount of sprat captured by the gear was found to be weak and highly variable in nature. The proportion of sprat in the water column that were in the bottom five metres was found to range widely between 0 and $100 \%$, and also found to be a function of the time of day. The work therefore suggests that the IBTS survey, as it exists, may not be appropriate for use with sprat in the North Sea. However, further investigation, including the addition of further data points and comparison with results from other species (e.g. herring) are required before firm conclusions can be drawn.

## Internal Consistency

Internal consistency analysis (Payne et al 2009 and references therein) was used to examine the ability of the IBTS survey to track the abundance of individual cohorts. This method involves plotting the log-abundance estimated by the survey at one age against the log-abundance of the same cohort in the following year: in cases where the total mortality is constant and the relative survey noise is low, this relationship should be linear. However, deviations from linearity may arise due to either high noise levels in the survey or variations in the total mortality experienced by the stock. The test is therefore asymmetric, in that a linear relationship is a strongly positive result, whilst the absence of a relationship does not automatically mean that the survey is of poor quality. Examination of the internal consistency can therefore be used as a measure (albeit biased) of the survey quality.

We find that the relationship between the abundance of successive ages in a cohort from the first quarter (Figure 5) and third quarter (Figure 6) surveys is extremely poor, and is dominated by noise. This noise may arise due to either the nature of the survey (e.g. survey design, variability in catchability) or variations in total mortality. In the absence of information regarding either fishing mortality (e.g. from a stock assessment) or natural mortality (e.g. from a multispecies model), it is not possible to separate these two sources of variability.

## Confidence Intervals

Distribution of the IBTS indices are available from the ICES DATRAS database, following a bootstrapping procedure agreed upon in 2006 (ICES 2006). This data was analysed to extract key values characterising the distribution, including the confidence intervals for both IBTS Q1 (Figure 7) and Q3. Generally, the confidence intervals for the indices were found to be extremely broad. The median upper confidence limit is $250 \%$ greater than the value of the index estimated (although in some cases this can be as much as $4600 \%$ greater) and the median lower confidence limit is $40 \%$ less than the estimated index. The uncertainties are therefore much larger than the estimated dynamics of the stock and it is thus not possible to say, statistically, that the index value in one year is statistically different from another.

## Composition of the Index

Catches of North Sea sprat in hauls in the IBTS survey can occasionally be extremely large; this phenomenon has previously been suggested as being important to the dynamics and uncertainty of IBTS survey indices (ICES HAWG 2007, ICES HAWG 2009). In order to examine this phenomenon more closely, the importance of each haul to the index was assessed by calculating the individual contribution of each haul to the total. These hauls were then ranked according to size and aggregated to produce an estimate of the cumulative contribution ranked by sized: in this manner, it is therefore possible to assess, for example, the proportional contribution of the largest 20 hauls in a given year. For all years in the both the IBTS Q1 (Figure 8) and Q3 (Figure 9), the 10 largest hauls contribute at least $35 \%$ of the survey index, and in some cases up to $85 \%$ of the index. The IBTS Q3 index appears to have more severe problems with large hauls than the Q1 index: in every year, the five largest hauls make up more than $50 \%$ of the index.

## Alternative Analysis Methods

The method used by the ICES DATRAS database to calculate the IBTS indices is relatively simplistic, essentially comprising a set of stratified means (i.e. the mean CPUE per statistical rectangle is averaged over the entire North Sea). As an attempt to re-
solve problems caused by the presence of large hauls in the calculation of the index, a Log-Gaussian Cox Process (LGCP) was fitted to the individual haul data (Kristensen et al 2006, Kristensen 2009a, Kristensen and Lewy 2009). The LGCP model is a statistical model that can be used to account for the statistical nature of the catch process, including correlations between size classes, spatial correlation and between years. The model was fitted in a simplified form, where only spatial correlations were included. Total CPUE of sprat, CPUE by age and CPUE by length class were all used as classification schemes and each fitted individually using the model.

Unfortunately, the LGCP model failed to fit the IBTS survey data adequately. Goodness of fit tests on the fitted model showed that a number of key assumptions in the model were frequently violated. Furthermore, the confidence intervals on the estimated abundances were extremely broad, in some cases spanning more than six orders of magnitude. It was therefore concluded that the model, as fitted, was in appropriate for the data set.

It is currently unclear as to why the LGCP model fails to fit the IBTS sprat data. A number of candidate explanations have been considered, including the high number of zero hauls and the extreme "boom-bust" nature of the catches. It is currently unclear whether this modelling framework is capable of dealing with the nature of the sprat catches in the IBTS survey: the ultimate appropriateness of this method should be considered carefully before further work is performed.

## Conclusions

The IBTS Q1 and Q3 surveys are the best time series of data available for use in characterising the abundance of sprat in the North Sea, covering the years from 1984 and 1991 onwards respectively: for comparison, the time series of catches begins in 1996 and the acoustic survey (see below) in 2004. However, the survey is greatly impacted by the presence of extremely large individual hauls that can make up $85 \%$ or more of the index in some years. The problem is compounded by the manner in which the ICES DATRAS database calculates the indices - the use of simple arithmetic means here does not account for the extremely high variability of sprat catches in the IBTS survey and propagates these problems through to the index value. The extremely broad confidence intervals and the lack of internal consistency can also be understood as consequences of this problem. Variability in the catchability of sprat in the IBTS's GOV gear caused by the time of day and the pelagic nature of sprat may contribute to this problem to a degree but seem unlikely to explain the order-ofmagnitude variability observed. Instead, the highly schooling nature of sprat is likely to be the most important underlying cause: if the gear encounters and captures a high-density school of sprat, an extremely large haul could be produced.

Given the potential importance of the IBTS indices for the assessment of this stock, further investigations are warranted. The current analysis method is extremely simplistic and appears to be the main source of the problem. Future investigations should focus on attempting to analyse this large and valuable source of information in a manner that can account for both the large number of zero hauls and also the extremely large individual hauls. Qualitative indicators, such as distribution area, presence/absence metrics, and the frequency of large hauls may also be of use in an advice context.

## B.3.2. Herring Acoustic Survey (HERAS)

## Background

The Herring Acoustic Survey is a summer acoustic survey that has been performed by an international consortium since the 1980s. Sprat has been reported as a separate species in this survey from 1996 onwards. However, as the survey is targeted towards herring, which are generally in the northern half of the North Sea during summer, coverage in the southern-half has received less attention. The area covered was expanded progressively over time, and by 2004 covered the majority of the stock, reaching $52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (the eastern entrance to the English Channel) and all of the way into the German Bight (ICES PGHERS 2005). The coverage of this survey has remained relatively unchanged since 2004 (e.g. ICES PGIPS 2009) and we consider the survey from this point and onwards.

## Suitability

In theory, the herring acoustic survey should be better suited for the estimation of sprat abundance than the bottom trawl IBTS survey, given that it integrates over the entire water column and is thus less susceptible to changes in vertical distribution and the presence of large schools.

However, there are a number of difficulties with the acoustic estimation of sprat that must be considered. Each survey report since 2004 has noted that the survey does not appear to reach the southern boundary of the stock, with there being significant concentrations of sprat at or close to this limit. Failing to reach the southern boundary line would lead to an underestimation of the stock size and may increase the interannual variability of the estimate. Similar observations have also been obtained from the IBTS survey, suggesting that the population may continue into the English Channel and subdivision VIId (ICES HAWG 2009; see also section 6.3).

The acoustic signatures of herring and sprat are also very similar and make the separation of these two species challenging. In the 2005 survey, an area containing large amounts of sprat was covered by two of the vessels, allowing a direct comparison of the estimated abundances. Unfortunately, the results varied widely, suggesting that the precision of the total abundance estimate may be poor (ICES PGHERS 2006).

Finally, the time series of acoustic estimates is short, and may not be of sufficient length for use in a stock assessment.

## Internal Consistency

The internal consistency analysis employed above was also employed for the HERAS estimates of sprat abundance (Figure 10). The coefficients of determination for the relationship between the abundance at age for each cohort were appreciably better than those seen for the IBTS surveys, and are comparable to those used in other assessments (e.g. western Baltic spring-spawning herring (Payne et al 2009)). However, the length of the time series is also extremely short (four pairs of observations), and there is therefore insufficient information to draw meaningful conclusions. Further data points in the time series would be beneficial to understanding the suitability of this survey.

## Confidence Intervals

There are currently no confidence intervals available for the estimated acoustic abundances. Future versions of the FISHFRAME database used to estimate the abun-
dances from the raw acoustic data are intended to include the estimation of uncertainties (T. Jansen, personal communication 2009).

## Conclusions

The herring acoustic survey shows potential as an estimate of the abundance of sprat in the North Sea. However, the current time series is too short for use, and further data points are required before its potential can be fully assessed. Furthermore, problems regarding the acoustic identification of sprat and herring, and the southern boundary of the stock may severely limit the applicability of this survey: resolving these issues should be considered a high priority.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

None available.

## B.5. Other relevant data

C. Assessment methodology

No assessment is currently available for this stock.
D. Short-Term Projection

No projections are performed.

## E. Medium-Term Projections

No projections are performed.

## F. Long-Term Projections

No projections are performed.

## G. Biological Reference Points

No reference points are available.

## H. Other Issues

None.
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## CPUE Sprat 2007 Q1



Figure 1. North Sea sprat. IBTS logCPUE from subareas; IV, IIIa, VII. The red area encircles the management area used for North Sea sprat. After ICES HAWG 2009.


Figure 2. North Sea sprat. Sampling stations (Limborg et al. 2009).


Figure 3. North Sea sprat. Plot of the generic variance in the samples. ADR = Adriatic Sea, ARK = Arkona Basin, BEL = Danish Belt, BOR = Bornholm Basin, CEL = Celtic Sea, GDA = Gdansk Deep, GER = German Bight (North Sea), GOT = Gotland Basin (Limborg et al. 2009).


Figure 4: Catches of 0-group herring in the industrial fisheries in the central North Sea (IVb) in the 3rd and 4th quarter 1972-2000. The red line shows the time for establishing the sprat box. From Hoffman et al 2004.


Figure 5 North Sea sprat. Internal consistency analysis from the IBTS Q1 survey. Each panel plots, on a $\log$ scale, the abundance of a cohort perceived at a given age (horizontal axis) against the abundance of the same cohort as perceived one year later (vertical axis). The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is given in the lower-right corner and is based upon log-transformed values. The title of each panel gives the ages plotted, with the first age plotted on the horizontal axis and the second on the vertical. The top two relationships are statistically significant at the $95 \%$ level, whilst the bottom two are not.


Figure 6. North Sea sprat. Internal consistency analysis from the IBTS Q3 survey. Each panel plots, on a $\log$ scale, the abundance of a cohort perceived at a given age (horizontal axis) against the abundance of the same cohort as perceived one year later (vertical axis). The coefficient of determination ( $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ ) is given in the lower-right corner and is based upon log-transformed values. The title of each panel gives the ages plotted, with the first age plotted on the horizontal axis and the second on the vertical. No correlations are statistically significant at the $95 \%$ level.


Figure 7. North Sea sprat. Distribution of index values for the IBTS Q1 index, as estimated by the DATRAS database. Values of both the mean index and median value are plotted, in addition to the $50 \%$ and $95 \%$ confidence bands.


Figure 8. North Sea sprat. Cumulative distribution of the per-haul contribution to the total IBTS Q1 index. The 300-450 individual-haul contributions to the IBTS index in each year are sorted by size and then aggregated to calculate a cumulative-distribution. The plot shows only the contributions for the $\mathbf{3 0}$ largest hauls. Numbers on each line indicate the year for the survey.


Figure 9. North Sea sprat. Cumulative distribution of the per-haul contribution to the total IBTS Q3 index. The 300-450 individual-haul contributions to the IBTS index in each year are sorted by size and then aggregated to calculate a cumulative-distribution. The plot shows only the contributions for the $\mathbf{3 0}$ largest hauls. Numbers on each line indicate the year for the survey.


Figure 10. North Sea sprat. Internal consistency analysis from the herring acoustic survey, HERAS. Each panel plots, on a $\log$ scale, the abundance of a cohort perceived at a given age (horizontal axis) against the abundance of the same cohort as perceived one year later (vertical axis). The coefficient of determination ( $r_{2}$ ) is given in the lower-right corner and is based upon logtransformed values. The title of each panel gives the ages plotted, with the first age plotted on the horizontal axis and the second on the vertical. Neither correlation is statistically significant at the $95 \%$ level.
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## A. General

## A.1. Stock definition

Sprat distributed in ICES area IIIa is managed as one stock unit. Analyses of genetic population structure of European sprat (Sprattus sprattus) indicate a significant genetic differentiation in samples of sprat form Kattegat from neighbouring samples (North Sea and the Baltic) (Limborg et al 2009). This genetic differentiation mirror the gradient in mean surface salinity. This work is based on neutral markers, which are relatively insensitive. Further research on this issue is required.

## A.2. Fishery

Sprat in IIIa are exploited by fleets from Denmark, Norway and Sweden. The Danish sprat fishery consists of trawlers using a < 32 mm mesh size and the landings are used for fishmeal and oil production. Some of the sprat landings from Denmark and Sweden are by-catches in the herring fishery using 32 mm mesh-size cod ends. The Swedish fishery is directed at herring with by-catches of sprat. The Swedish fleet is mainly pelagic trawlers and also a few purse seiners. The Norwegian sprat fishery in Division IIIa is an inshore purse seine fishery (vessels $<27.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) for human consumption.

The majority of the landings are generally made by the Danish fleet. In 1997 a mixedclupeoid fishery management regime was changed to a new agreement between the EU and Norway that resulted in a TAC for sprat as well as a by-catch ceiling for herring. Catches are taken in all quarters, though with the bulk of catches in the first and fourth quarter. Denmark has a total ban on the sprat fishery in Division IIIa from May to September. Norway has a general ban on sprat fishery from 1 January to 31 July.

There was a considerable increase in landings from about $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1993 to a peak of $96,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1994. The data prior to 1996 are considered un-reliable due to the implementation of the new Danish monitoring scheme. The data prior to 1996 can be found in the HAWG report from 2006 (ICES 2006/ACFM:20). From 1996 the landings have varied between 9,000 t (2008) and 40,000t (2005).

## A.3. Ecosystem aspects

Sprat is an important prey to other fish species, sea birds and sea mammals. Sprat is an important part of the pelagic ecosystem. It is a plankton feeder and form an im-
portant part of the food chain up to the higher trophic levels. They spawn pelagic in coastal areas. In the adjacent North Sea many of the plankton feeding fish have recruited poorly in recent years (eg. herring, sandeel, Norway pout). The implications for sprat in IIIa are at present unknown.

## B. Data

## B.1. Commercial catch

Commercial catch data are submitted to ICES from the national laboratories belonging to nations exploiting the sprat in Division IIIa. The sampling intensity for biological samples, i.e., age and weight-at-age is mainly performed following the EU regulation 1639/2001 as Denmark, landing most of the catches, follows this regulation. This provision requires 1 sample per 2000 tonnes landed.

The majority of commercial catch and sampling data are submitted in the Exchange sheet v. 1.6.4. This method is now run in parallel with INTERCATCH, which is maintained by ICES. INTERCATCH is still in development and is not completely satisfactory in terms of flexibility and outputs. Thus HAWG uses both. The data in the exchange spreadsheets are samples allocated to catch using the SALLOCLapplication (Patterson, 1998). This application gives the needed standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It also clearly documents any decisions made by the stock co-ordinators for filling in missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another data set.

The stock co-ordinator allocates samples of catch numbers, mean length and mean weight-at-age to unsampled catches using appropriate samples by gear (fleet), area and quarter. If an exact match is not available then a neighbouring area in the same quarter is used.

## B.2. Biological

Mean-weight-at-age for all ages is in the range seen the last years. Mean weights-atage for 1996-2003 are presented in ICES CM 2005/ACFM:16

No estimation of natural mortality is made for this stock.

## B. 3 Surveys

Two surveys cover this stock. The International Bottom Trawl Surveys (IBTS) cover the stock in Div. IIIa in the first quarter of the year. Additionally, the herring acoustic survey covers the same area during June-July.

The appropriateness and suitability of these surveys for use in the assessment of the North Sea sprat stock, was examined by the HAWG in 2010.

## B.3.1 International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS)

The International Bottom Trawl Surveys started as a international coordinated survey in the mid-1960s as a survey directed towards juvenile herring. The gear used was standardised in 1977 to use the GOV trawl, but took time to be phased in. By 1983 all participating nations were using this gear, and the index can be considered consistent from this point onwards. A third-quarter North Sea IBTS survey using the same methodology was started in 1991 and can be considered consistent from its initiation.

The IBTS (February) sprat indices (no per hour) in Division IIIa have been used as an index of abundance. In later years, the index has not been considered useful for management of sprat in Division IIIa. The indices are calculated as mean no./hr (CPUE) weighted by area where water depths are between 10 and 150 m (ICES 1995/Assess:13). The indices were revised in 2002 (ICES 2002/ACFM:12) based on an agreement in the IBTS WG in 1999, where it was decided to calculate the sprat index as an area weighted mean over means by rectangles for the IIIa (ICES 1999/D:2). The old time-series of IBTS indices (from 1984-2001) is shown in ICES 2001/ACFM:10.

## B.3.2 Herring Acoustic Survey (HERAS)

The Herring Acoustic Survey is a summer acoustic survey that has been performed an ICES coordinated survey since the 1980s. Sprat has been reported as a separate species in this survey from 1996 onwards. The coverage of this survey in Division IIIa has remained relatively unchanged (e.g. ICES PGIPS 2009).

Acoustic estimates of sprat have been available from the ICES co-ordinated Herring Acoustic surveys since 1996. In Division IIIa, sprat has mainly been observed in the Kattegat.

## B.4. Commercial CPUE

Not used for this stock.

## B.5. Other relevant data

None

## C. Historical Stock Development

Not performed

## D. Short-Term Projection

Not performed

## E. Medium-Term Projections

Not performed

## F. Long-Term Projections

Not performed

## G. Biological Reference Points

Not set

## H. Other Issues

None
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## Annex 11 Stock Annex - Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion

| Quality Handbook | ANNEX:_Sprat Celtic Seas Ecoregion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stock specific documentation of standard assessment procedures used by ICES. |  |
| Stock: | Sprat in Division Celtic Seas Ecoregion |
| Working Group: | Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG) |
| Date: | 6 April 2011 |
| Author: | Clarke, M. |

## Sprat in Celtic Seas Ecoregion in general

For the first time, in 2011, ICES has been asked to provide advice on sprat stocks in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. It is not clear if the sprat populations in this area constitute a separate stock or what the relationship is between them and neighbouring populations in the North Sea and Bay of Biscay.

In 2011 HAWG has compiled all available landings data for sprat in this region, and begun to compile fisheries independent information.

HAWG is working with ICES SIMWG, the Working Group on Stock Identification Methods, to identify the appropriate spatial stock units for sprat in this and neighbouring areas. In 2012, HAWG will consider the results of this work and provide information on sprat stocks at the most appropriate spatial scale.

## Sprat in Division VIId,e

In previous years HAWG only considered sprat in Divisions VIId and VIIe. These Divisions comprise a management unit for sprat, with an annual TAC being set by the EC. However it is not clear if sprat populations in this area constitute a unit stock, and if this is an appropriate management unit.

HAWG is working with ICES SIMWG, the Working Group on Stock Identification Methods, to identify the appropriate spatial stock units for sprat in this and neighbouring areas. In 2012, HAWG will consider the results of this work and provide information on sprat stocks at the most appropriate spatial scale.

## Annex 12 Technical Minutes of the North Sea Review Group

| Review of ICES | HAWG Report 2011 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reviewers: | Gary Melvin (Canada, chair) |
|  | Dorleta Garcia (Spain) |
|  | Ciaran Kelly (Ireland) |
| Chair WG: | Anthony Wood (USA) |
| Secretariat: | Lotte Worsoe Clausen, Denmark and Maurice <br> Clarke, Ireland |
|  | Barbara Schoute |

## General

The Herring Assessment Working Group for the area South of 62 deg N (HAWG) was one of 3 working group reports used by The North Sea Review Group (RGNS) to complete our review. The RGNS would like to acknowledge the effort expended by the working group to produce the report and the work required to complete their documentation in a timely manner.

The Review Group considered the following stocks:

| spr-kask | Sprat in Division IIIa (Skagerrak - Kattegat) |
| :--- | :--- |
| spr-nsea | Sprat in Subarea IV (North Sea) |

## Sprat in Subarea IV (North Sea) spr-nsea

1) Assessment type: Update
2) Assessment: No analytical assessment presented
3) Forecast: No short/long term forecast presented
4) Assessment model: Framework in 2009 concluded that previous assessment methods were inappropriate. No analytical assessment undertaken
5) Consistency: 3 indices of abundance: IBTS first and third quarter from 1984 and the herring acoustic survey since 2004 with roughly consistent coverage.
6) Stock status: Unknown. No absolute estimates or reliable trends available. SSB and F stable, decreasing trend in recruitment since 2003. Recruitment the lowest observed. $F$ is larger than any proxy of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy. }}$ SSB 2008=159,406, $2009=141,824$, F3-6=0.37. 2008 recruitment estimated to be lowest in last 18 years
7) Man. Plan.: No. Management by TAC, ITQ. No defined reference points. However, exploratory management plan of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}=0.25$, $\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim}}=110,00$, TAC variation $15 \%$, and Target $\mathrm{F}=0.25$

## General comments

Stock structure is uncertain with potentially strong overlap with English Channel sprat, but weak and variable with Kattegat sprat, based on genetic studies.

Sampling very poor and does not meet the recommended 1 sample per 2000t landed. Landings have increased substantially in the last couple of years. Average mean weight at age lowest in time series for age 1 and second lowest for age 2, suggesting the possibility of some environmental effects although it may be a function of ageing or sampling timing.

Catches well below the advised and agreed TAC. .By-catch of herring implemented in 1996 may restrict catches.

The 2009 and 2110 index IBTS Q1 (all ages) were the highest in the time series and may carry over into 2011 as older fish. The incoming 1-group (2010year class) in IBTS Q1 is estimated to be well below average for the whole time series, both in absolute and relative terms but this estimate should be considered as preliminary

## Technical comments

There is some indication that all three indices of abundance track one another for over lapping periods. Relationship of successive cohorts for both the IBTS Q1 and Q3 extremely poor, although statistically significant for ages 1 vs 2 and 2 vs 3, suggesting it is providing little information of biomass trends. A much better relation observed for acoustic data but the time series very short

Sprat commonly occur at the southern boundaries of both the IBTS and the acoustic surveys indicating that some unknown and variable amount of biomass is missed resulting in a under estimate.

Assuming the IBTS Q1 is age 1 sprat is reflective of annual catch of age 1 then a decline in catch would be expected based on the preliminary 2011 observations.

The IBTS results are considered to be the best available to characterize the abundance of sprat in the North Sea. How reliable are these results in unknown as day/night and seasonal affects have been observed. The acoustic survey may become an important index for this stock in time given early indications.

## Conclusions

Given the absence of an analytical assessment and the uncertainties in the abundance indices, the decision not to present any stock status evaluation or forecast was justified.

## Sprat in Subarea IIIa (Skagerrak-Kattegat) spr-kask

1) Assessment type:
2) Assessment:
3) Forecast:

SALY
No analytical assessment since the mid-1980's
Not Applicable
4) Assessment model: No assessment model, but two indices of abundance: IBTS Q1 and herring acoustic survey in Division IIIa.
5) Consistency:
6) Stock status: Abundance and recruitment unknown
7) Man. Plan.: No. Management by TAC, herring by-catch quota, and percent herring by-catch limit. No advice on sprat TAC has been given in recent years.

## General comments

Sprat is a short lived important forage species in Subarea IIIa. Sprat cannot be caught in this area without catching herring, thus the restrictions on percent herring and herring by-catch quota. The sprat fishery is generally managed to limit the amount of juvenile herring landed from the area. In 2010 the TAC was 52,000 t with a herring by catch of 7515 t and $52,000 \mathrm{t}$ with a herring by-catch of 6659 for 2011. Sprat landings have typically been far less than the TAC due to the restriction on by-catch. Total landings for 2010 were 10,706t.

Both the IBTS and the herring acoustic survey indicate a decline in abundance from 2009 to 2010. The preliminary estimate for 2011 IBTS index show a $300 \%$ increase from 2010, but Age 1 is the second lowest in the time series (1984)

## Technical comments

As with the North Sea sprat IBTS index there is a significant relationship between the total catch in numbers and the Q1 age 1 index, but no significant relationship between total catch in tonnes and the all ages index.

Mean weight at age for Age 1 was the highest in the time series.

## Conclusions

Same advice as last year

# Annex 13 - Technical Minutes from RGCS1, 2011 

Review of ICES Herring Assessment Working Group [HAWG] Report 2011 16-24 March 2011

Reviewers: Asgeir Aglen (chair), Rick Officer, Rainer Oberst
Chair: WG: Lotte Worsoe Clausen, Denmark, and Maurice Clarke, Ireland
Secretariat: Mette Bertelsen, Cristina Morgado, Barbara Schoute

## Review process

The Review Group considered the following stocks:

- her-iris
- her-VIaN
- her-irlw
- her-nirs
- spr-celt

These were reviewed along with the WGCSE flatfish stocks and the stocks of megrim and anglerfish from WGHMM. The Review Group conducted its work by correspondence. The reviews have been carried out according the Guidelines provided by ICES, particularly focusing on the need to quality assure the assessment results supporting the provision of fishery management advice by ICES in the annual ACOM advice sheets. All stocks were reviewed by at least two reviewers. This involved:

- Checking that update assessments have been correctly implemented using the methods described in the Stock Annexes;
- Checking that the assessments have been implemented correctly, which could involve re-running the assessments to ensure the results in the WG report can be replicated exactly;
- Ensuring the assessment results and forecast results are carried over correctly to the advice sheets and advising ICES of any errors detected;
- Evaluating the ability of the stock assessments for providing credible management advice, and suggesting alternative advice where assessments do not appear appropriate;
- Providing recommendations to the Working Group to help with future development of the assessments through benchmarking.


## General comments

The WG report is very well organized and readable. The sharepoint site is well updated and structured.

## Herring in the Celtic Sea (Division VIIa South of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and VIIg,h,j,) (report section 4)

1) Assessment type: Update
2) Assessment: Analytical
3) Forecast: Presented
4) Assessment model: FLICA tuned by one acoustic survey
5) Consistency: Consistency The assessment is consistent with last year. The SSB of 2010 increased from 78804 (estimated in 2010) to 114319 (estimated in 2011). The trend of increasing SSB and low mean F is continued.
6) Stock status: : The precision of SSB is poor (see the changes of SSB of 2010) but SSB is above the $B_{p a}$ of $44,000 t$
7) Man. Plan.: A rebuilding plan is implemented by the Irish fishing industry and considered precautionary by ICES. The rebuilding target in rebuilding plan (that the stock should be estimated above Bpa for three consecutive years) is now met. A proposed management plan implies a catch in 2012 corresponding to $\mathrm{F}=0.23$, restricted by max $30 \%$ TAC change. The management plan has not been evaluated by ICES.

## General comments

A) Stock annex

The stock annex describes the development of data and knowledge of this stock very detailed. The problems of mixing of age groups $1-3$ with other stocks are described. Tables and figures present partly different time periods and some figures are given in the stock annex and in the report.
B) Report

The WG followed TOR's which are relevant for the stock assessment and the advice. The assessment is in correspondence with the stock annex.

The WG studied the effects of the extension of the plus group and analysed differences between the assessments based on the plus group $6+$ (used in 2010) and 7+. The SSB based on both plus groups significantly differed ( $26 \%$ higher based on $6+$ ). The same plus group 6+ as in 2010 was used in the 2011 assessment because the diagnostics did not improve.

The SSB of 2010 significantly increase from 74804 (estimated 2010) to 114319 (current estimate).

The SSB is above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(44000 \mathrm{t})$ and WG stated that the stock has reached the rebuilding target.

## Technical comments

The comments concerning discards are very general, partly based on the comments of fishermen. Presentation of direct quantitative estimates of discard and other reason of uncertainty in the report are necessary. In the stock annex mixing of age group $1-$ 3 with other stocks are suggested.

Acoustic surveys (or other sources) which cover the areas of the different stocks should be used to quantify the mixing of age group 1 to 3 with other stocks. Such type of analyses can improve also estimates of the proportion of spawners and
weight at age for these age groups because it is stated that spawning herring migrate back to the stock area.

The use of plus group 6+ should be evaluated again because "three strong cohorts recruited to this stock" which will influence the plus group in the future.

## Conclusions

The assessment is accepted as a basis for advice

Herring in Divison VIa (North) (report section 5)

1) Assessment type: Update, (SALY?)
2) Assessment: Analytical
3) Forecast: Presented
4) Assessment model: FLICA tuned by one acoustic survey, both the survey series and catch at age have been revised
5) Consistency: The assessment is consistent with last year's results.
6) Stock status: : SSB is estimated to $61,649 \mathrm{t}$ in 2010 which is lower than estimated last year, but above $\operatorname{Blim}(50,000 t)$. F in 2010 is estimated to 0.266 which is above Fmsy of 0.25 .
7) Man. Plan.: Because, it will be a number of years before ICES can provide a fully operational integrated strategy for these units HAWG recommends that the management plan for Division VIa ( N ) should be continued. This implies a catch in 2012 corresponding to $\mathrm{F}=0.2$, constrained by max $25 \%$ TAC change.

## General comments

## A) Stock annex

Comments concerning mixing of different herring stocks are given at three places with comments concerning WHESTHER and SGHERWAY. The summary of the result of both projects can improve the understanding of the relations between the different herring stock. The variable mixture of the different stocks and the uncertainty of landing data are reasons for the uncertainty of the stock assessment.

Tables are added to the annex which give the number at age (Table 6-1) etc. The tables cover not the total time series and the data are also presented in the report. Therefore, it should be evaluated where the data are most appropriate presented to avoid redundancies.

## B) Report

The WG addressed the TORs relevant to providing advice and the assessment followed the data given in the stock annex. The relation of the herring stock to other stocks is presented in the stock annex and in the report. Estimation concerning the quantification of the mixing based on the project will improve the understanding of the amount of the problem, although if estimates are only available for short periods based on the EU projects.
Only one fishery independent time series is available which contains internal inconsistencies. The RG proposes a deep analysis of the data also in relation to surveys in neighbouring areas to detect the reasons of uncertainty.
Furthermore, the effect of discard and misreporting should be described more detailed.

Based on the available data the implementation of the assessment and the forecast was consistent.

## Conclusion

The RG considers that the updated assessment is suitable for providing management advice, but as uncertain due to the problems in the landing statistics and the estimates based on the acoustic surveys in relation to the low stock size over many years.
The WG recommended consider the management considerations "It will be a number of years before ICES can provide a fully operational integrated strategy for these units. In this context HAWG recommends that the management plan for Division VIa $(\mathrm{N})$ should be continued". The conclusion seems to be questionable because the SSB further decreased and the F in 2010 was above Fmsy in combination with a low recruitment during the last years. The management plan will, however, lead to TAC=0 if, in the future, SSB is estimated below Blim.

## Herring in Division VIa South and VIIbc (report section 6)

Short description of the assessment: extremely useful for reference of ACOM!

1) Assessment type: SALY
2) Assessment: no assessment has been accepted in recent years
3) Forecast: None
4) Assessment model: Seperable VPA
5) Consistency: Similar method was used based on extended time series. The assessment is not accepted.
6) Stock status: uncertain but a range of separable VPA scenarios indicate that SSB is below Blim
7) Man. Plan.: None adopted at present time. The WG proposes that a rebuilding plan is urgently required. A rebuilding plan was developed by the Federation of Irish Fishermens' Organisations and the Pelagic RAC in 2009. The plan was for status quo TAC in 2010, and, in subsequent years a TAC set at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. This plan was not adopted. However this plan is still the stated policy of the Pelagic RAC for management of this stock.

## General comments

The WG addressed the TORs relevant for providing advice. The exploratory assessment based on separable VPA in correspondence with the stock annex. The preparation and the use of available data were in accordance with the model requirements. Forecast was not carried out.

The SSB and the recruitment are on a low level and the fishing mortality is on high level.

The pelagic RAC disagrees with the HAWG perception that the stock has decreased to a low level. The RAC noted that the industry does not share the perception that the stock is at a low level, and that experience from the fishing grounds suggests that herring abundance is high.

## Technical comments

A new acoustic survey has been carried out in summer since 2008 to cover the summer feeding aggregations. It is suggested to evaluate the variability of the estimates between 2008 and 2010 and possible reasons of uncertainty as fast as possible to develop a time series with high quality because other fishery independent estimates are not available yet.

Further effort is required to understand and quantify the mixing of the different stock components during the surveys and the commercial fishery.

It is proposed to evaluate the stock annex to avoid time series which are not updated. Furthermore, the readability of the stock annex can be improved if standardized structure is used for all herring stocks in the area. In this case some information based on projects like WESTHER is available.

## Conclusions

The RG supports the WG that the exploratory assessments are suitable describing trends which show low stock level and high fishing mortality in the last years. The improvement of the assessment will depends on the better understanding of the mixing of different stock within the landings and during the acoustic survey started in 2008.

A rebuilding plan as required by WG should be further discussed as starting point for additional studies related to the understanding of the stock dynamics, like effects of changing environment in relation to the reproduction success, etc.

## Herring in Division VIIa North (Irish Sea) (report section 7)

1) Assessment type: SALY
2) Assessment: exploratory
3) Forecast: None
4) Assessment model: FLICA, tuned by 1 acoustic survey (both as total biomass index and stock number index for individual age groups 1-8) and 1 larvae survey (SSB index). Two stage biomass model was run with acoustic biomass of 1-ringers and $2+$ as input.
5) Consistency: The assessment approach in 2011 is consistent with the 2009 assessment and stock annex. (Due to lack of fishery sampling data in 2009, the 2010 assessment deviated from the standard approach).
6) Stock status: The assessment is suitable for evaluating trends only, although the general level of F estimates is informative. Acoustic surveys at spawning time indicate a growth in the spawning stock biomass in 2008 and 2009 although this is not reflected in the larval production index.
7) Man. Plan.: None. MSY considerations and PA considerations both imply that catches can be maintained at recent level.

## General comments

The WG addressed the TORs relevant to providing advice (SALY).
This was an exploratory assessment using the ICA method. Data of 2010 were added to the time series and preliminary modelling was carried out. However, it was pointed out "The exploratory FLICA runs conducted in 2011 did not improve the perception of the suitability of FLICA as an assessment method for the Irish Sea stock".

Taking into account that landing data, biological samples and fishery independent indices are available this statement needs more explanation, especially because no problems in the data from 2010 were announced.

Consequently, final assessment and short term as well as medium term projections were not provided.

## Technical comments

## 1. Stock annex:

The results of the WESTHER project concerning this stock should be specified, especially possible mixture with other stocks.

It is clearly described that the landing statistics is uncertain due to missing quantification of misreporting, discard etc. In the report this problem is not announced. There is only a comment concerning the situation in 2010 which can lead to misinterpretation concerning the quality of the total landing statistics.

Some time series are presented as tables in the stock annex or in the report or in both. Standardized form of presentation for all stocks will improve the readability of the report.

## 2. Stock report

Different fishery independent time series are available for the stock. However, the stock indices are always influenced by uncertain amount of "winter" spawners of other stock components. Independent of this the surveys show similar tends in the last years. Detailed analyses of the all time series together can improve the understanding of the stock dynamics and offer the option of survey based stock assessment.

In 7.3.1 it was pointed out that 0-group herring and sprat were observed at same positions during the acoustic surveys. This common occurrence can lead to uncertainty of the estimation of 0 -group herring. Is the observed increase of the 0 -group herring in 2010 influenced by this mixing?

The reference of Table 7.3.2 in Point 7.4 and the reference of Figure 7.3.6 in Point 7.6 are wrong.

## Conclusions

The RG supports the WG that the exploratory assessments are suitable describing trends. There are strong indications of increasing biomass in recent years due to improved recruitment, but the extent of the recent downward trend in F over the same period will be highly uncertain.

Detailed analyses of the survey results (including the estimation of confidence intervals) und further studies related to the mixing of different stock components could potentially improve the usability of the survey series.

## Sprat in the Celtic Seas (subareas VI ans VII) (report section 10)

1) Assessment type: New stock, collated data only
2) Assessment: acoustic surveys, landings and lpue time series presented
3) Forecast: none
4) Assessment model: none
5) Consistency: new stock
6) Stock status: Indications that stock is fluctuating, driven by variable recruitment
7) Man. Plan.: None. TAC only for divisions VIId,e (Channel). Within the current management regime, where there is a by-catch ceiling limitation of herring as well as by-catch percentage limits, the sprat fishery is controlled by these factors.

## General comments

Available data relating to sprat in the Celtic Seas was this year presented and described in the HAWG report. The background is a request to ICES for advice on sprat in these areas. The data are well presented and explained. Some additional data from demersal surveys are identified, but were not available at the EG-meeting. No data on age distribution in the catches has been identified. The data indicate considerable variation over the time series, without clear signs of a long term trend. Both the Irish Sea acoustic survey and the lpue series show a minimum around 2005, followed by a peak around 2008.

## Technical comments

Some maps showing the typical area coverage of the acoustic surveys would be helpful. (The report section refers to Annex 8 where other survey names are used). The Irish Sea acoustic survey (AFBINI) give quite high biomass estimates (100-400 kt) compared to other surveys, while the historic cathes in this area have been moderate. The 36 kHz data mentioned in Sec 10.3 should be 38 kHz .

## Conclusions

The RG considers the following conclusions from the EG to be reasonable: Sprat is a short-lived species with large inter-annual fluctuations in stock biomass. The natural inter-annual variability in stock abundance, mainly driven by recruitment variability, is high and does not appear to be strongly influenced by the observed levels of fishing effort.


[^0]:    *incl. mean for Sub-division 23, which was not covered by RV SOLEA
    ${ }^{* *}$ incl. mean for Sub-division 21, which was not covered by RV SOLEA
    *** excl. Fraction of Central Baltic Herring in SD 24 (HAWG 2011)

[^1]:    Input units are thousands and kg - output in tonnes

[^2]:    sRevised at HAWG 2007

[^3]:    $\wedge$ Survey coverage: VIaS \& VIIb

    * Survey coverage: VIaS, VIaN \& VIIb

[^4]:    * no information, but catch is likely to be negligible

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ sprat only; ${ }^{2}$ Data can be made available for the IoM waters only

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calculated from estimates of weight per box and in some years estimated by-catch in the sprat fishery
    ${ }^{2}$ Reported to be at a low level, assumed to be zero, for 1989-1995
    ${ }^{3}$ Based on sampling
    ${ }^{4}$ Estimated assuming the same discarding rate as in 1986

[^7]:    * RSW only. These vessels are more dominant in recent years.

[^8]:    * TAC from $1^{\text {st }} \mathbf{O c t}-31^{\text {st }}$ Mar

[^9]:    a. Unusually large catch removed, b. unusually large catch retained.

