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11. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Participants

| R S Bailey | United Kingdom (Scotland) |
| :--- | :--- |
| E Bakken | Norway |
| A Corten | Netherlands |
| P Johnson | United Kingdom (England) |
| J Lahn-Johannessen | Norway |
| B Mesnil | France |
| J G Pope (Chairman) | United Kingdom (England) |
| K Popp Madsen | Denmark |
| P Sparre | Denmark |
| K Hoydal attended the meeting as ICES Statistician. |  |

### 1.2 Terms of Reference

It was decided at the 70 th Statutory Meeting of ICES (C.Res.1982/2:5:2), that
"the Industrial Fisheries Working Group (Chairman: Mr J G Pope) should meet at ICES headquarters 23 February to 1 March 1983 to:
(i) report the results for the by-catch species, e.g., herring, cod, haddock, whiting, mackerel and saithe in the North Sea and adjacent waters to the relevant ICES Stock Assessment Working Groups,
assess the state of the stocks of the target species for industrial fisheries, i.e., sprat in the North Sea, Divisions IIIa and VIId-e, Norway pout and sandeels,
(iii) review which data are available in the Working Group files for evaluating density dependence in the parameters of the models used in fish stock assessment, (iv) specify deficiencies in data required for assessments."

### 1.3 Timing of Meeting and Participation

The Working Group would suggest that meetings be delayed until mid-March in future years so that results from the International Young Fish Survey will be available for consideration and also full data from the preceding year should be available from all countries. If the Working Group is to continue to monitor the Division IIIa sprat stock, Swedish participation would be appreciated.
1.4 Management Considerations

The comments of the Working Group upon management considerations made in 1982 remain valid (Anon., 1982).
The interrelationship of the fishing on the three target species is investigated in Section 2. If the sprat stock continues to decline, some diversion of fishing effort towards other species might be expected and, as pointed out in Section 3, there is already some evidence of a movement by both medium and small vessels to fish for 0-group herring in Division IVb $E$ in the $3 r d$ and 4 th quarters. Another alternative is the fishery for Norway pout, but not all vessels engaged in the sprat
fishery can make this transition because of the greater distances involved. Since the fishery on 0-group herring is undesirable, the Working Group would draw the attention of ACFM to the need to indicate alternative resources or solutions for all vessels taking part in these fisheries and other components of the industrial fleet that will suffer from lack of sprat, subject to suitable safeguards.

### 1.5 Request from the Commission of EEC

The Working Group noted the request of the Commission of the EEC for information on the state of the sprat stock in ICES Division IIIa. They draw the attention of the Commission to Section 6 of this report, which sets out an assessment of this stock, as required by the terms of reference of the Working Group.

### 1.6 Comments on Methodolo.

Due to the particular biological characteristics of the species and the practice of the industrial fisheries, the classical assessment and prognosis. procedures may prove inappropriate. Among the possible reasons for this, the following may be given:

- The species are short-lived and fisheries tend to direct their effort on the very early age groups; their production thus directly depends on the strength of the incoming year classes.
- Another consequence is that VPA is likely to produce reliable estimates of stock abundance only when the cohorts are practically fished out; thus, catch predictions carried out through the usual projections are hardly sensible. Uncertainties about the rate of natural mortality (known to be high) and its possible variations with age are another limitation to the use of VPA predictions.
As a result of these points, trial VPA might then be used only for historical description of the stocks and the fisheries. They tend to show, however, that fishing mortality on the first ages is quite high, generally above 1.0 on a yearly basis. At this level of exploitation rate, the normal prognosis method aimed at deriving management options in critical range of $F$ values may well be over-sophisticated, and the concept of long-term management erroneous.
The Working Group, therefore, considered some alternate approaches for producing advice, which is timely, accurate and practicable. These may include:

Inference from survey results, as already available (IYFS and other demersal or pelagic surveys, acoustic surveys) or to be initiated or reconsidered for this purpose.

Use of statistics from commercial fisheries such as catches in recent years as a rough approximation, or early results of the fisheries to predict the yield in the rest of the season.

Further comments on advantages and limitations of these approaches, when applied to Norway pout and sprat, are given in Sections 4.9 and 6.9, 7.9 and 8.9.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE FISHERIES

In order to understand the mortality processes occurring in the industrial fisheries, some study is appropriate of the industry structure and also the timing and possibilities for exploitation of the total industrial resource.
2.1 Brief Description of the Industrial Fishery Structure

In the report from the meeting of the Industrial Fisheries Working Group last year (Doc. C.M.1982/Assess:6) it is said: "..Although it is not possible to rule out that management on purely biological consideration might be pertinent, it is obviously a greater understanding of the operations of the fleets and economic constraints that determine the need for management of these fisheries...".
The Working Group does not have the expertise and knowledge to take economic factors into account, and in recent meetings in ICES it has been ruled out that ICES should take into account other factors than the biological.
This point taken, however, nobody will claim that the biological considerations should be seen in isolation in the management process. Adaitional to the biological constraints, economic and social constraints have to be brought in to form the basis for final management decisions.

The point has been made that where the stock assessments in almost all cases have to be made on an international basis, the assessments of economic and social effects are on a national basis, and, therefore, bodies inside ICES should not worry about that.
This is a fair comment, but still the question is left, is the information on biological constraints given in a form, which makes it possible for the other parties in the management process to make full use of it?

There is an increasing interest in bringing biolorists and economists together on a national level, at present. This national and international cooperation might be very helpful in making clearer "... how to tailor its biological output to best suit the needs of economical analysis..." to quote a phrase from the last ACFM report. In passing by, it should be mentioned that "economical" should probably not be too narrowly interpreted; it is the link to socio-economy, the assessment of both economical and social consequences, rather than the ultimate economic optimisation that is in question.
From national experience, a certain knowledge on how to present the stock assessments in a more useful form has already been accumulated, and the Working Group agreed that one important approach was to break the unit-stock biological assessments down on "unit-fleets".

To start the ball rolling, an attempt is made in this year's report to outline the general characteristics of the fleets fishing mainly for reduction purposes.

### 2.2 A Definition of 'Industrial Fisheries '

The usual definition of industrial fisheries is that these are fisheries with small-meshed gear for reduction purposes. In Rec. 2 of NEAFC, a list of species is given, which can be fished by smallmeshed trawl gear, and this list includes the species usually taken for reduction purposes, e.g., Norway pout, sprat, blue whiting and sandeel. The Rec. 2 also lists herring and mackerel, which were also at that time subject to important directed fisheries for reduction purposes.
As described in last year's report from this Working Group, a closer inspection of the industrial fisheries shows that economically the fisheries depend on catches of certain species of trash fish - and a by-catch. This by-catch consists of species, which are usually
taken for human consumption. The by-catch can be split into two compartments, one consisting of undersized non-marketable specimens of the human consumption species, and one of marketable size groups, which are sorted out and landed for human consumption.
Using the term trash fish, those species spring to mind for which - at least up to now - it has not been possible to find any profitable production for human consumption, e.g., Norway pout and sandeel. Others might be used for human consumption, but the markets are not able to accommodate large amounts of the species, so with high catch rates and landings, a major part will go for reduction purposes. Sprat is an example here, and in some periods, herring and mackerel.

However, when switching from species to fishing fleets, the definition becomes easier. It is possible to describe a number of fleets, which, as their main operation, fish and supply raw material to fishmeal plants. In the following section, a preliminary description will be given of these fleets.

## The industrial fleets

## Denmark

The Danish industrial fleets, proper, consist of two major groups.

1. The large steel-hull vessels. This fleet consists of approximately 240 vessels. A typical trip takes from 8-14 days. The vessels range in the size of $200-300 \mathrm{GRT}$ and carries a crew of $4-5$. The carrying capacity is also about 200-300 tonnes, including ice. This fleet fishes mainly for Norway pout on and north of Fladen Ground, and switches to sandeel especially during the spring-summer season.
2. The medium-sized wooden-hull vessels. This fleet consists of about 400 vessels. The fleet mainly exploits small clupeoids and sandeel during the spring-summer season. There are 3-4 crew members. This fleet mainly operates in Sub-divisions $5 B$ and 6 (see Figure 3.5.1).
In addition, there are industrial landings from a group of small
vessels which change, in an opportunistic fashion, between industrial and other fisheries. This group will be desoribed later on.

## №rway

The Norwegian industrial fleet consists of two size groups, altogether 100 vessels.

1. Larger trawlers, 150-300 GRT. They fish for Norway pout and Blue whiting in the Norwegian Deep during autumn and winter, and may change to sandeel during spring-summer going as far down as to the Dogger Bank. They may also participate in the capelin fishery in the Barents Sea from late January to May.
2. Smaller trawlers, 50-150 GRT. They behave very much as the larger ones, but do not have capelin, and rarely sandeel, as an alternative catch opportunity.

## Faroes

The Faroese industrial fishery in the North Sea is at the moment at a very low level. From a 32 vessels in 1975-76, the fleet has now been reduced to 10 vessels. They are larger steel-hull vessels, ranging from 130 to 300 GRT with HP from 400 to 900 and carrying a crew of 4 . An additional 4 or 5 may switch from human consumption fishery at the Faroes, if catch rates and prices are high. The fishery is mainly for Norway
pout. In later years, a greater part of the activity has taken place in the Norwegian EEZ than previously, A trip lasts 14 days, and the landings have in recent years mainly been in Denmark.

Fleets that change between industrial and human consumption fisheries
There are a number of fleets that occasionally fish for reduction purposes, depending on markets and fishing opportunities.

## Denmark

These are mainly the smallest group of trawlers. They have a restricted operation area and can change between small-meshed and large-meshed fisheries. They mainly exploit small clupeoids and sandeel in the southern part of the North Sea and close to the Danish coast.

## United Kingdom

There are up to 30 Scottish vessels taking part in the sandeel fishery around Shetland and Fair Isle. Local Shetland boats fish around Shetland and can also make trips to Fair Isle, while Scottish east coast vessels fish mainly at Fair Isle and in past years in the northeastern North Sea. The participation depends on the market and on opportunities for fishing roundfish. In the years with large concentrations of sprat in winter off the English and Scottish coasts, Scottish vessels participated in these fisheries.
Et the peak of the English northeast coast fishery (mid-1970s), up to i $=5$ vessels participated $(15-25 \mathrm{~m})$. About $60-70 \%$ of these were of Scottish origin. Single- and pair-boat pelagic trawls were used. Fishing was on a daily basis, and average catch per landing was usually tetween 40-50 tonnes.

Average catch disposals were fishmeal $75 \%$, fresh $20 \%$ (mainly exported to Morway), and petfood $5 \%$.
Up to 90 smaller vessels ( $12-15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) have engaged in the estuarine Wash and Thames fisheries. These mainly work as pelagic pair-trawlers with a few singles. They land on a daily basis on average 10-15 tonnes per landing, when fishing is good. About $70 \%$ of the catch goes to fishmeal, and some has been transhipped by Russian and Norwegian vessels.

## Norway

A varying part of the Norwegian purse-seine fleet participates in the fishery for sprat, mainly in November-February (prior to the start of the capelin fishery in the Barents Sea). As the abundance of sprat in offshore areas has declined, the number of seiners taking part in the sprat fishery has declined. In recent years $20-50$ vessels, mostly of medium-size, have participated.

## The land-based industry

## Faroes

One fishmeal plant in the Faroes processes approximately 100000 tonnes yearly. The importance of industrial catches from the North Sea has been dwindling, the major supply is now blue whiting, capelin and offal from the fish fillet factories. 40 people are employed.

## Norway

The approximately 200000 tonnes of industrial landings from Norwegian vessels from the North Sea form the main basis for 10-12 fishmeal plants in southern and western Norway (south of $62^{\circ}$ ).

## Denmark

9 production units process the approximately 1.2 million tonnes of industrial fish landed yearly, 2 of the largest, situated in Esbjerg, handle about half of the landings. The full capacity of these 9 production units is 15000 tonnes per 24 hours, which is the capacity necessary to handle the peak landings during the sandeel season. 1 100-1 200 persons are employed.

## United Kingdom

The 9 United Kingdom fishmeal plants have an estimated intake capacity of 3710 tonnes per 24 hour day, which, with 260 days operation, gives a yearly intake capacity of 960000 tonnes. The actual intake in 1980 was approximately 225000 tonnes. A major part of this consists of species other than dealt with in this report.

### 2.3 The Interrelation of Fishing Intensity on the Three Target Species

 of the Industrial FisheriesThe three main target species of the industrial fisheries in the North Sea are exploited in rather definite seasons as specified in last year's report. Basically, the sandeel is caught during the second and third quarters of the year, while the Norway pout is exploited throughout the year, but most heavily in the third and fourth quarters. The sprat is most heavily exploited in the first, third and fourth quarters. The possibility for complete substitution of effort, for example sprat to sandeel, does not, therefore, exist, but some substitution of effort does seem to occur.
Results shown in the Appendix tentatively suggest that fishing mortality on the varioustarget stocks vary with relative abundance. Clearly, this relationship needs further study. An analysis by quarter might be useful, as it might help with the problem of specifying terminal fishing mortalities for the VPAs and also indicating the relationship between mortalities on 0-group sandeel in the third quarter and mortalities on other species.
The Working Group would, therefore, recommend that national laboratories with suitable data (such as effort data) might try to extend this analysis for next year's meeting.
2.4 Description of the Industrial Fisheries (Comments to Table 2.4.1)

During the past ten years, total industrial landings from the North Sea have fluctuated between 1.0 million and 1.8 million tonnes (Table 2.4.1). Following a sharp increase from 1973 to 1974, the annual landings remained at a fairly stable level up to 1980, but then decreased to 1.2 million tonnes in 1981. Preliminary data covering the first three quarters of 1982 are on the same level as the total for 1981.
The total landings of the target industrial species (Norway pout, sandeel and sprat), which determine the annual flcutuations, show the same trend as above, ranging from 0.9 million to 1.6 million tonnes over the years. It should be noted that despite comparatively large annual variations in landings of each species from 1974 to 1980 (Norway pout 200 000-700000 tonnes, sandeel 400000-700000 tonnes and sprat $300000-600000$ tonnes), the total landings of target species remained fairly constant. This may suggest a significant flexibility of fishing effort diverting from one fishery to another, as the abundance and availability of the main stocks may change by time. Whereas the annual landings of Norway pout and sandeel tend to vary irregularly, mainly due to changes in recruitment, those of sprat dropped from 600000 to 300000 tonnes from 1976 to 1977. A further sharp decrease to 100000 tonnes has taken place from 1980 to 1982 , the reasons of which are not yet known.

Total annual landings of by-catches for reduction purposes have fluctuated between 150000 and 280000 tonnes. Landings of blue whiting have mainly varied according to the abundance of young immature fish in the Norwegian Deeps, ranging from 36000 to 100000 tonnes over the years. Annual landings of Rec. 4 or protected species (haddock, whiting and saithe) were at a comparatively high level up to 1977, ranging from 130000 to 220000 tonnes, but decreased to 67000 tonnes in 1978, mainly as a result of by-catch regulations, and have remained at this level. Herring by-catches, since first reported in 1976, were kept at a rather low level ( 7000 - 15000 tonnes) up to 1980. In 1981, they increased sharply to 84000 tonnes and have been recorded at 92000 tonnes up to the third quarter of 1982 .

Fish caught during the course of the industrial fisheries and sold for human consumption are not included in these figures, but should be regarded as a facet of the industrial fisheries.

## 3. BY-CATCHES IN THE INDUSTRTAI FISHERIES IN THE NORTH SEA AND DIVISION IIIa

3.1 Herring By-Catches in 1981 in the North Sea

Estimates of herring by-catches for 1981, given in last year's report, have now been supplemented with new data for the second half of that year (Tables 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). The new figures show a dramatic increase of by-catches in Division IVb, reaching a value of 75000 tonnes in 1981. This is an increase of about 10 times as compared to earlier years. The catch was taken almost exclusively in the eastern part of Division IVb, and the main fishing was in the third quarter of the year. As the catch consisted mainly of 0-group herring, the number of individuals represented by this catch was extremely high ( $8.3 \times 10^{9}$ ).
The above figures for by-catches in 1981 are not very accurate, as the major catches in the eastern part of Division IVb were only poorly sampled.
3.2 Herring By-Catches in 1982 in the North Sea

Data available for the first three quarters of the year (Tables 3.1.1 and 3.2.1) show a continuation of the very high by-catches in the eastern part of Division IVb. The preliminary by-catch figure for the first three quarters of 1982 ( 89000 tonnes) is even higher than the total by-catch in the previous year. Actually, these catches can hardly be considered as by-catches in a sprat fishery, but rather as the result of a directed fishery on 0-group herring. Sampling intensity in the eastern part of Division IVb was increased in 1982 ( 88 samples taken), so the figures presented here should be considered as more reliable.

There was also some increase in herring by-catches in Division IVc, but this increase was relatively minor compared to the developments in Division IVb. Half the herring by-catch in Division IVc was taken in the sprat fisheries in offshore waters, and consisted mainly of l- and 2-ringers. The other half was taken in conjunction with the sprat fisheries in the Thames and Wash estuaries, and these by-catches although no age data for them were available - must be assumed to consist of 0 -group herring.
3.3 Seasonal and Geographical Distribution of Herring By-Catches in the North Sea
The new data presented to the Working Group in this report confirm the view already expressed in last year's report (C.M.1982/Assess:6, p.8):
"... during the years 1979-81 by-catches of herring were mainly concentrated in a few restricted areas and seasons. The largest by-catches of herring, both in weight and in numbers, were taken in the Danish coastal zone north of Esbjerg. The by-catches consist of very small 0-group herring, which are taken mainly in the third quarter of the year. Because of the small size of the herring at this time of the year, even a relatively low by-catch in weight will represent a high number of individuals".

The present data show that even in weight, herring "by-catches" in Danish coastal waters in the last 2 years have been formidable, and the number of individuals taken is very much higher than earlier estimates ever indicated. Compared to the catches taken in this fishery, by-catches of herring in other areas and seasons are of relatively minor importance: about $95 \%$ of all herring taken as by-catch in the North Sea are taken in the summer fishery along the Danish coast. During the past 2 years, this fishery has started in July, reached its peak in August, and declined again in September. During September 1982, Denmark closed the sprat/herring fishing in the 15 miles coastal zone between Hvide Sande and Hanstholm. By this time, however, the fishery was already declining, and a very large catch of 0 -group herring had been taken in the preceding 2 months.
The area where 0 -group herring are caught extends from the German border, up the west coast of Jutland and into the Skagerrak. Most fish are caught by small boats making day trips.

### 3.4 Herring By-Catches in Division IIIa

No by-catch data for recent years were available from the Danish and Swedish sprat and herring fisheries in this area. Incidental reports from the fishery indicate that large numbers of 0 -group herring were also taken in this area in 1982, the catches possibly being approximately $1 / 3$ of those taken off the west coast of Jutland.
Catches of 0-group herring in Division IIIa were taken mainly along the north coast of Jutland and around Skagen: the timing of the fishery in this area coincides with the fishery off the west coast, i.e., July-September.
Along the Norwegian coast small amounts of herring are taken in the sprat fishery for human consumption. The total amount of herring taken was 850 tonnes in 1981 and 470 tonnes in 1982.
In the Kattegat, by-catches of undersized herring are taken in the directed herring fishery for human consumption. This fishery is conducted mainly in the fourth quarter of the year, and the undersized herring are separated from the consumption herring ( $>18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) by means of sorting machines. Although the by-catches of undersized herring taken in this fishery may be quite considerable, the actual numbers are probably much less than those taken in the summer "sprat" fishery in the Skagerrak, due to the rapid growth of 0 -group herring.

### 3.5 By-Catches of Other Species

In the North Sea, major industrial fisheries are recognized for Norway pout, sandeel, sprat and blue whiting, respectively. Any of these species may occasionally occur as part of the by-catch in another fishery. This is particularly the case for samples from vessels, which switch over from one target species to another during the same trip, as for instance in the fisheries for Norway pout and blue whiting in the Norwegian Deep (Table 3.5.1). Other Rec. 2 species, such as the silver smelts (Argentina silus and Argentina sphyraena) may also contribute to the by-catches, particularly in the fishery for blue whiting.

Within the framework of the present Working Group, blue whiting might well be considered as a by-catch species, although to some extent directed fisheries are conducted with small-meshed bottom trawl in the Norwegian Deep, and occasionally by pelagic blue whiting trawl in April-May on the continental slope off Shetland (Table 3.5.2).
Among the protected species, whiting and haddock appear to be the predominant ones in by-catches used for reduction purposes (Tables 3.5.2 and 3.5.3, and Figure 3.5.1). Prior to 1977, saithe also formed a major component in such by-catches, while the contribution of cod has been rather insignificant. Since 1977, the by-catch of protected species has been reduced compared with previous years, mainly as a result of by-catch regulations. By-catches of protected species used for human consumption would probably indicate the opposite trend, where saithe and cod might comprise greater proportions than haddock and whiting, Some clue to the relative contribution of these species to the Norway pout fishery is presented in Table 3.5.4. At this stage, however, the Working Group was not able to estimate the magnitude of protected species for human consumption derived from the industrial fisheries.

The non-Rec. 2 - non Rec. 4 species form a numerous group, some of which may be rather important for human consumption, as for instance, ling, blue ling and tusk, while the remaining species are used for reduction purposes. This latter fraction is usually very small by weight.
?abie 3.5 .5 shows the catch composition in the Norwegian sandeel fishery.
3.6 By-Catch Numbers at Age

Fumbers at age and mean weight at age for haddock and whiting by-catches fir reduction purposes were updated by Denmark in 1982 on a quarterly Lasis (Tables 3.6.1 and 3.6. 2 ).
4. NORWAY POUT
4.1 Landings 1980-82

Landings of Norway pout from the North Sea by country for the years 1957-82 are given in Table 4.l.l, those for 1982 being incomplete. The monthly landings by country in the years 1980-82 are given in Table 4.1.2.

Division VIa. Landings of Norway pout from Division VIa by country are given in Table 4.1.3.

Division IIIa. Landings of Norway pout from Division IIIa by country are given in Table 4.1.4.

### 4.2 Effort Data

Norwegian effort data
Cpue data (tonnes per number of fishing days per GRT) by quarters from 1972-82 have been derived from sampling the industrial fleet fishing for Norway pout and blue whiting in the Norwegian Deeps (Table 4.2.1 and Figure 4.2.1). The considerable seasonal fluctuations are assumed to reflect the changes of abundance and availability of the target species, but may also be influenced by the prevailing weather conditions during winter. The curve also indicates long-term variations over the years with 1974-76, 1980 and 1982 as outstanding ones. The comparatively high cpue values in the latter half of 1982 were caused by Norway pout and, in particular, young blue whiting being very abundant on the
fishing grounds. The annual cpue values were reduced by the fractions produced by blue whiting and other by-catches to be correlated with the IYFS abundance indices for Norway pout (Figure 4.2.2). The fairly good relationship between the two sets of data indicates that the IYFS indices may be used to give a prognosis for the Norway pout fishery in the same year.

## Faroese effort data

The Faroese series (kg/trawl/hour) was updated with the 1982 data (Table 4.2.2 and Figure 4.2.3). This series is in very good agreement with the Norwegian data and shows the same high cpue level for the last half of 1982.

### 4.3 Catch at Age and VPA Results

North Sea_(Sub-area_IV)
Table 4.3 .1 shows the number caught by quarter from 1974 to the third quarter of 1982. Table 4.3.1 is based on Danish, Scottish and Norwegian samples (these landings account for about $95 \%$ of the total landings). The remaining landings, taken by Faroese vessels, were included by proportional raising of the quarterly summed numbers caught by other countries.
For 1982, data on age compositions were available from Denmark and Norway, while Scotland had no catch. Danish data were given for the three first quarters of 1982 only. Very large catches of 0 -group Norway pout were taken in the fourth quarter of 1981, in contrast to previous years.
In last year's report two VPA runs were made. One run under the assumption that $M=1.0$ year $^{-1}$ and the other one under the assumption $M=2.0$ year $^{-1}$. As $F$ is probably in the order of magnitude 1.0 year ${ }^{-1}$, it can be questioned whether a VPA gives any sort of evaluation of the Norway pout fishery.
For a short-lived species as the Norway pout with a high natural mortality (specimens older than three years are rare), knowledge on the fishing mortality is not essential for assessment of the state of exploitation; what really matters in this case is the ratio of $M$ to $F$. When $M$ is high, the stock must have a fast turnover and can allow for a high $F$, as those fish caught would otherwise die from natural causes. Due to these considerations, it was attempted to obtain an estimate of Z for Norway pout.
The first method tested is due to Beverton and Holt (1956). This method assumes $Z$ to remain constant for the age groups used in the analysis; i.e., let t' be some age (of a cohort) for which all fish of that age or older are under full exploitation, and let $\overline{\mathrm{t}}$ be the average age of all fish from the cohort of age $t$ or older, then

$$
z=\frac{1}{\bar{t}-t^{\prime}}
$$

Table 4.3 .4 shows $Z$ for the 1973-79 cohorts calculated by Beverton and Holt's formula, and an example of the actual calculations. As M, and thus $Z$, may increase as a function of age, the $Z$ estimates given in the second column of Table 4.3 .4 are probably underestimates.

The second method for estimation of $Z$ uses cpue data from IYFS as input. $Z$ from February in the first year of life to February in the second
year of life is estimated from

$$
z=\log \frac{\text { cpue }(1)}{\text { cpue }(2)}
$$

As can be seen from Table 4.3.4, Zs derived from IYFS indices are (on average) larger than those estimated by Beverton and Holt's formula. The conclusion on Table 4.3 .4 is that $Z$ for Norway pout is in the range

$$
1.5-2.5 \text { year }^{-1}
$$

It was decided to aim at input $M$ values in the VPA, which produce a total mortality of 2.0 for the l-group, and 3.0 for the $2-g r o u p$ and older fish, the higher value for the mature specimens being perhaps due to spawning stress or migration. The quarterly natural mortalities used in the VPA are given in Table 4.3.2.
In Table 4.3.4, a comparison of some of the Zs estimated from the three methods are presented. Terminal Fs, i.e., Fs for the third quarter of 1982, are based on the assumption that the Norway pout fishery has remained fairly constant from 1978 until now. Terminal Fs equal (approximately) the average $F s$ for the years 1978-80 (third quarter).
Table 4.3 .3 shows stock numbers derived from VPA.
Figure 4.3.1 shows stock biomass (derived from VPA) together with annual landings. Weight at age data for the stock are read from a curve smoothed to weight at age data of catch. As can be seen, the stock biomass has remained rather constant from 1975 to 1981. As there are indications that $F \leq M$ for the age groups dominating the catch, the exploitation level of the Norway pout stock is considered low, and there is by no means reasons to believe that the fishery at its present level will cause a decline in the long-term yield. There is clearly a need for an independent estimate of $M$.

## West of Scotland (Division_VIa)

Numbers caught at age by quarters for Scotiish landings 1971-82 were available, but there were no data from other nations fishing in the area, mainly Denmark and the Faroes. No VPA was run for this area.

Skagerrak and Kattegat (Division IIIa)
Landings from this area are almost entirely by Denmark. No data on age composition are available.
4.4 Research Vessel Surveys

Series of research vessel data given in the previous report are extended in Table 4.4.1. Areas to which each series apply are shown in Figure 4.4.1. Table 4.4 .2 shows data from English surveys 1976-82.
Regression of IYFS indices of 1- and 2-group Norway pout on VPA estimates and regression of pelagic 0 -group survey indices were carried out. Summary of the results are given in Table 4.4.3. Due to the timing of the present meeting, preliminary IYFS results for 1983 were not available to the Working Group. Only the l-group IYFS index vs VPA recruits shows a functional relationship $(x=0.84)$.

[^1]
### 4.10 Density-Dependent Population Parameters

Raitt (1968) described density-dependent growth in Norway pout during the early l960s. He compared a series of weak year classes (1963-66) with a series of strong year classes (1959-62) and found that growth in the weak year classes was consistently better than in the strong year classes. The difference in growth mainly arose during the first year of life, and it could be as much as $\geq 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ for l-year old fish. During the remainder of their lives, the weak year classes just maintained the difference.
In addition to the difference in growth rate, the weak year classes also showed an increased fecundity per unit weight (by a factor of 2.0 or 2.5) and a reduced age at first spawning; instead of spawning at 2 years at age, they all started spawning at age 1.
Since the work of Raitt was published, more data on length at age have been collected during the annual Scottish autumn surveys, and also from commercial catches taken by various countries.
Data collected during the most recent years (year classes 1970-81) in the Scottish autumn surveys have been compared with Raitt's data for the earlier periods in Figure 4.10.1. It appears that in recent years the fish have been growing even more slowly than in Raitt's period, with the slowest growth (1959-62).
The same is seen when length at age data for year classes 1973-76 taken in the commercial catches during winter are compared with Raitt's data (Figure 4.10.2). Length at age data from commercial winter catches (from Doc. C.M.1978/G:12) could not be compared directly to Raitt's data, as the latter contain only data collected during autumn and spring surveys. In order to make a comparison, autumn and spring figures from Raitt's paper have been averaged to obtain "winter" length at age data for the Scottish surveys. Again it is seen that growth in the most recent year classes $(1973-76)$ was even slower than in Raitt's period of slow growth.
In order to find out whether the recent slow growth is due to the same density dependence as described by Raitt, one has to compare stock density in recent years with those in the early l960s. The only time series available to the Working Group for this purpose was the Scottish autumn surveys, which were already used by Raitt, and which have been continued up till present (Table 4.4.1). Figure 4.10.3 shows the abundance of year classes 1959-81, both as 0-group and as l-group plotted in a time axis. The difference in abundance between the two periods used by Raitt' (indicated as $A$ and $B$ ) is very obvious both in the 0-group and the l-group series.
A comparison of recent year classes (period C for which length data are available from commercial catches, and period D with data from Scottish surveys) is more complicated. The average abundance as l-group fish in recent years is certainly not higher than in Raitt's period of high recruitment (1959-62). In fact, the abundance of l-group fish has fluctuated randomly over the entire period, without showing a clear long-term trend.
For the 0-group fish, however, the picture is completely different. Here the abundance in recent years has been much higher than in earlier years, even higher than in Raitt's period with high recruitment. The mean abundance of year classes born after 1970 is approximately 6 times as high as the mean for the earlier year classes, including the year classes 1960-62 (no estimate is available for 1959). Thus, it can be concluded that after 1970 there has been a very strong
increase in recruitment, which was reflected in the abundance of O-group fish. Because of the strong development of the fishery after 1970, the abundance of the fish at age 1.75 (autumn survey) had been so much reduced already, that at this age the abundance of the year classes was not much different from that in the period 1959-62.
Raitt showed that density-dependent growth mainly occurred during the first year of life. As the abundance of Norway pout during their first year of life has been much higher in recent years than in the earlier period, one should expect that growth rate would be lower now than in any of the earlier periods. This is precisely what is shown by the length at age data in Figure 4.l0.la, b.

It can, therefore, be concluded that despite the high fishing mortality in the last decade, the abundance of Norway pout has been so high (due to greatly increased recruitment) that growth was reduced even below the minimum level recorded in both of the earlier periods.
No new data were available to the Working Group concerning fecundity in recent years, so no comparison could be made between the present situation and the early 1960s.
5. SANDEEL
5.1 Landings 1981-82

North Sea 1981
Total landings of sandeels from the North Sea for the period 1952-82 are given in Table 5.1.1, with new data for the latter half of 1981; the total catch in that year was 569000 tonnes, a decrease from 728000 tonnes in 1980. All countries fishing sandeel, except the United Kingdom, reported a decrease in catch.
Monthly landings for the years 1979-82 are given in Table 5.1.2. In 1981, the fisheries showed the usual seasonal pattern, although a higher percentage ( $39 \%$ ) of the catch was taken in the second half of the year than in 1980 ( $19 \%$ ), owing largely to bigger Danish catches in the period July-0ctober.
Landings by months for the areas shown in Figure 5.1.1 are given in Table 5.1.3, and annual totals in Table 5.1.4. Increases in catch in 1981 were recorded mainly in the southern part of the North Sea and at Shetland.

North Sea_1982
In 1982, total landings from the North Sea increased to 611000 tonnes, moderate increases in catch being reported by Denmark and the United Kingdom, and a small decrease by Norway (Table 5.l.1). The proportion of landings taken in the second half of the year decreased to $15 \%$ of the annual total, and no Norwegian landings were reported in this period.
Substantial increases in catch were reported in Subarea la and 6 in the southern North Sea, but perhaps the most significant change was the decrease of landings from the offshore areas of the northern North Sea (Subareas lc and 2c). The only areas, where catches were made after July, were Subareas 3 and 6 (off the Danish coast) and at Shetland.

## Division VIa

The new Scottish fishery in the Minch almost doubled in 1982 to a catch of 10900 tonnes (Table 5.1.5).

Division IIIa
According to preliminary data on landings reported to ICES, a decrease in landings occurred in 1982 to 22000 tonnes (Table 5.1.6).

### 5.2 Fishing Effort and Catch at Age

Fishing effort
The only new data available were the number of hours fishing at Shetland in 1982 (Table 5.2.1). These data indicate a $12 \%$ increase in effort since 1981.

## Catch at age

As in the previous report, catch in numbers per age were compiled for three assessment areas, shown in Figure 5.1.1 (southern area, northern area, excluding Shetland, and Shetland). Relevant data were provided by Denmark, Norway and the United Kingdom. The small landings by Faroes and Sweden were allocated to age, using Danish and Norwegian data.
The catches in number at age for 1981 and 1982 are given by months in Tables 5.3.1-5.3.3. In the southern area (Table 5.3.1), there was a major increase in the catch of 0-group in 1981, which was due to the increase in landings in the second half of the year. In 1982, catches of O-group reverted to a low level, and l-group made up the largest age component. In the northern area (Table 5.3.2), catches were composed mainly of $0-3$ groups, the total numbers caught decreasing significantly in both 1981 and 1982. At Shetland (Table 5.3.3), the 0-group continued to make the largest contribution to the catches, although in 1982 the l-group showed a proportionately greater increase in the catches.

### 5.3 VPA Results

Trends in the sandeel fisheries in recent years were analysed by VPA. For consistency with the previous year, separate assessments were made for the southern and northern areas of the North Sea, and for Shetland (see Figure 5.I.1). For the first two of these areas, the analysis was carried out using half-yearly data, while for Shetland the annual data were used. The value of natural mortality $M$ was taken to be 0.5 year-l for all ages in all years.

The input catch in number data are given in Tables 5.3.4, 5.3.7 and 5.3.10. After trial VPA runs were made, a further analysis was carried out, using, as input, mean values of $F$ over the period 1978-80. In the case of Shetland, the input values of $F$ were corrected by making a correlation between mean values of $F$ and fishing effort over the period 1976-81. The resulting values of fishing mortality are given in Tables $5.3 .5,5.3 .8$ and 5.3.11, and the stock size in numbers in Tables 5.3.6, 5.3.9 and 5.3.12. The results of these analyses are given for each assessment area separately below.

For ease of comparison, the principal results from each analysis are summarised in Tables 5.3.13 and 5.3.14.
Southern area of the North Sea
The analysis was carried out on the assumption that the fishing mortality on age groups l-4 in the second half of 1982 was the same as in the same period in the years 1978-80. The results indicate some increase in $F$ in the first half of the year in 1982 and, therefore, also in the year as a whole. In fact, the estimate of $F$ in 1982 is the highest recorded.
In the historic series, the value of $F$ on $0-g r o u p$ sandeels during the second half of the year has not been consistently higher or lower than that on older age groups, although it has varied considerably from year
to year in a way that shows no correlation with fishing mortality on older sandeels (Table 5.3.14). In the absence of independent recruitment indices, there is thus no reliable method of estimating the value of $F$ on the 0-group in 1982. If one assumes that it is the same as the average during the period 1978-80 (0.16), the recruitment of the 1982 year class is estimated to be rather low ( $40 \%$ of the long-term mean), whereas the 1981 year class is estimated to be the largest recorded (Table 5.3.13).
Another approach investigated was to correlate the estimated year class strength as 0-group against catch in number of 0-group (Figure 5.3.1). Up to 1976, negligible quantities of 0-group were caught in the southern North Sea. For the subsequent period 1977-81 there is a very weak relationship. By taking a regression forced through the origin, the low catch of 0 -group in 1982 might indicate that the provisional estimate of the 1982 year class is not totally inaccurate.
No recent effort data are available for comparison with estimates of $F$. Effort data for the years 1972-78, given in Doc. C.M. 1979/G:26, show some correlation with estimates of $F$ from VPA for the same period ( $r=0.68, n=6$ ) (Table 5.3.15). This suggests that effort data would be of value in making assessments for this area and might perhaps be refined.

## Northern area_of the North Sea (excluding Shetland)

In the northern area, catches during the second half of 1982 were almost entirely of 0-group sandeels. Values of input $F$ on $2-g r o u p$ and older were, therefore, applied to the catches made during the period 1978-80, obtained from a VPA trial. The results suggest a small reduction in $F$ in 1982 on the 1 year olds and older (Table 5.3.14), but coupled with the large decrease in numbers at age caught (Table 5.3.7), this indicates a significant decrease in the size of the sandeel stock in the northern area.
As input $F$ on the 0 -group in the last half of 1982 a value of 0.40 was used, which was the average for the period 1978-80. Compared with the southern area, the estimates of $F$ on the o-group have been much more constant (Table 5.3.14), in which case the average may not be an unreasonable figure to take. On this basis, recruitment of the 1981 and 1982 year classes was low. However, the complete absence of Norwegian catches during the latter half of 1982 (which was the result of a positive decision not to fish for 0-group sandeel) may indicate some decrease in total effort on the 0-group in which case the estimate of the 1982 year class may be too low.
As in the case of the southern area, there is some correlation between the catch in number of 0-group and the estimate of final year class strength (Figure 5.3.1). Unless effort on this age group changed markedly, then this also indicates that the 1982 year class may be rather poor. If in fact effort on 0 -groups has decreased, this correlation may be of little value.
A comparison of estimates of $F$ with earlier fishing effort data given in Table 5.3.15 also indicates some correlation. Further data for this area also might, therefore, provide evidence for input $F$ values.

## Shetland

Since fish aged $l$ and older are mainly taken in the first half of the year, and the 0-group mainly in the second half, effort data for the respective periods were used to provide estimates of input for these two age categories (Table 5.2.1). The results indicate a significant
increase in $F$ on ages $l$ and older in 1982. Fishing mortality on the 0 -group appears to have remained roughly constant from 1980-82. If this is so, then the 1982 year class appears to be the largest so far recorded.
The data on fishing effort and estimates of $F$ are given in Table 5.3.15, and scatter diagrams illustrating the correlation are shown in Figure 5.3.2. Excluding 1975, when the fishery did not exploit the 0-group (only the second year of the fishery in this area), there is a weak correlation in the case of both $1-7$ year olds and the o-group.

## Comparison of areas

The VPAs carried out for each area must be treated with considerable reservation because of lack of reliable estimates of $M$ or of independent evidence of stock size or mortality rates. They nevertheless indicate little evidence of inter-dependence between the areas. Recruitment estimates are not correlated. In the northern part of the North Sea it would appear, that the sandeel stock may be increasing at Shetland and decreasing in the offshore areas of the northeastern North Sea.
5.4 Research Vessel Surveys

No relevant data were available for comparison with the results of VPA.

### 5.5 Weight at Age

Data for 1981 and 1982 were provided by Denmark for the total North Sea (Table 5.5.1). Since these were mainly collected in the southern part, they show no clear evidence of a change in weight at age compared with previous years.

Norwegian data for 1982 in Table $5 \cdot 5.2$ support data given in earlier reports for the northern North Sea, but data for the southern North Sea indicate much higher weights at age. The reason for this is not known, but further research on this subject is desirable.
Corrected and updated mean weights at age for Shetland are given in Table 5.5.3. These indicate no significant change in 1982.
Mean weights at age appropriate for the middle of the year (l July) have been calculated as the mean of all estimates in the months of June and July published in previous reports. As estimates for the older ages are subject to sampling errors, the estimates have been smoothed by fitting von Bertalanffy growth curves. The observed and corrected means for each assessment area are given in Table 5.5.4.

### 5.6 Percentage Landings in Weight by Age

Table 5.6.1 gives percentage landings by age updated for 1981 and 1982. In the northern area, the catch was distributed mainly among $0-3$ year olds. In the southern area, 1981 was unusual in that the 0 -group made up $42 \%$ of the total weight. At Shetland, the 0 -group continued to form the largest single component by weight and reached its highest level (48\%) in 1982.

### 5.7 Other Measures of Mortality

No new data were available for comparison with the results of VPA.
5.8 Yield per Recruit

Using smoothed weight at age data given in Tables 5.5.4, and exploitation patterns derived from the VPA results (Tables 5.3.5,
5.3 .8 and 5.3.11), yield per recruit curves were calculated for each assessment used assuming a) current exploitation patterns and b) the same exploitation patterns with complete cessation of fishing on the 0-group. The current exploitation patterns used were:

## Proportional $F$ on each age (average for years 1977-81)

| Age | Southerr_North Sea | Northern North Sea |  | Shetland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.17 | 0.55 | 1.00 |  |
| 1 | 0.60 | 0.76 | 1.00 |  |
| 2 and older | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |

The yield curves are shown in Figure 5.8.1.
At current levels of $F$ estimated by VPA, the following increase in yield per recruit is estimated, if 0-group exploitation is ended:

|  | Mean F | Estimated gain in Y/R by ending <br> fishing on O-group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Southern North Sea | $0.95^{1)}$ | $9 \%$ |
| Northern North Sea | $0.95^{\text {1) }}$ | $45 \%$ |
| Shetland | $\left.0.70^{2}\right)$ | $22 \%$ |

1) Mean $F$ on 2-5 groups 1977-81.
2) Estimated $F$ in 1982.

In all cases, the percentage gain would be higher at higher levels of fishing mortality. These results indicate that some gain in yield might be achieved by management practices designed to avoid exploitation on the recruiting, year class in the latter half of the year.
5.9 Catch Prediction

In the absence of reliable estimates of recruitment to any of the North Sea sandeel assessment areas, calculated predictions of catch in 1982 at different levels of fishing mortality would be misleading.
At Shetland, where there is some correlation between estimates of $F$ on the 0-group and fishing effort in the second half of the year, catches in 1982 suggest that the 1982 year class may be a strong one. If so, the catches in the first half of 1983 may be above average. There is, however, no method of predicting the strength of the 1983 year class, which, in an average year, would be expected to contribute almost half of the catch in weight.
Predicting recruitment to the southern and other areas of the North Sea i even less certain and, for this reason, no forecast can be made for 1983.

### 5.10 Density-Dependent Population Parameters

No papers on density-dependent growth on sandeel have been published yet, but it is likely that the phenomenon does occur in a pronounced way in this species.
The former Industrial Fisheries Working Group has repeatedly drawn attention to the great differences in growth rate between different areas of the North Sea (see, for example, Doc. C.M.1978/G:12). The sandeel in offshore areas of the northern North Sea grow very much more
rapidly than those in the southern North Sea and than those around the Shetlands. Length at age 2-6 may differ by $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$, and the weight of sandeels in the offshore northern area may even be three times as high as that of comparable age groups in the other areas.

Differences in growth rate may also occur between different fishing grounds in the same part of the North Sea. Macer (1966) found differences in growth between sandeels on the southern edge of the Dogger Bank and those slightly further south on the Norfolk Banks. He suggested that these differences could be caused by depletion of food supplies on one of the sandeel grounds. Also along the Danish coast, pronounced differences in growth rate are observed between neighbouring fishing grounds for sandeel.

The explanation of the differences in growth by differences in food supply is a very reasonable one, because it is unlikely that genetic differences will exist between sandeel populations of adjacent fishing grounds. Because the sandeel shoals are restricted to certain well-defined areas (related to the type of the bottom), it is quite conceivable that they will exhaust their food supply earlier on one ground than on another.
Although the growth rate of sandeels on specific fishing grounds will probably be related to the density for that particular ground, it will be much more difficult to demonstrate such a relationship for the North Sea as a whole. Food supply (and thus growth rate) for individual fish may not only be determined by the total number of sandeels in the sea, but also by the abundance of other fish species competing for the same supply of food (large copepods). Fluctuations in the stocks of pelagic plankton feeders such as sprat and herring may, therefore, have at least as strong an effect upon the food supply of sandeel (on an overall North Sea scale) as the density of sandeels themselves.
6. SPRAT IN DIVISION IIIa
6.1 Landings

Revised landing figures for 1981 and preliminary data for 1982 are shown in Table 6.l.l. The new information shows a decrease from the high 1980 catch of 105000 tonnes to 87000 tonnes in 1981. In 1982, this figure was approximately halved, and though Danish landings in the last quarter of the year are not included, it is not likely that the final figure for 1982 will substantially exceed 50000 tonnes. The three nations, which mainly exploit the sprat in Division IIIa, show about the same rate of decline from 1981 to 1982 , i.e., $50-60 \%$.

The catch trend in Division IIIa shows some similarities to those in the adjacent waters. In Figure 6.1.1, a comparison is made of the development in the sprat fisheries in the North Sea, Division IIIa and Sub-divisions 22-25 in the Baltic. Roughly speaking, there is an increase in landings during the first half of the 1970s, followed by a decline to about the former level in the late 1970s and early 1980s. The decrease in Division IIIa was apparently delayed by the strong 1979 year class (see Section 6.9), while the earlier decrease in the Baltic is ascribed to a transfer of effort caused by good cod year classes (Doc. C.M.1982/Assess:16).
The distribution of landings on the Kattegat and Skagerrak, respectively, by quarters of each vear during the period 1981-82 is shown in Table 6.1.2. From Table 6.l.lit appears, that the major part of the sprat landings were taken in the Kattegat until 1980, when a reversal took place, indicating a northerly displacement of the main distribution of Division IIIa sprat.
6.2 Effort

No data are available on the industrial effort in Division IIIa. There are reasons to believe, however, that the level has been rather stable in the last 5-6 years.
6.3 Age Composition by Weight

Using the mean weights shown in Section 6.5, the following averages for the third quarter in the period 1976-80 were arrived at:

| Age group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\%$ of total <br> catch (in <br> weight) | 3.4 | 89.4 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 0.2 |

The figures indicate the importance of the l-year old sprat and emphasize the difficulties involved in making useful prognoses for this stock.

### 6.4 Research Vessel Surveys

Acoustic surveys have been carried out in the Skagerrak and Kattegat in 1976 and 1979-82 by Sweden, Norway and Denmark. The surveys were mainly directed at herring, and sprat data from the later years have not been worked up to a useful level. One reason for this is, that the shallower part of Kattegat is not included in the surveys and may contain the major biomass of the sprat stock in Division IIIa. Even so, the surveys have shown a clear trend of decline since 1979. The percentage proportion of sprat in the trawl catches also indicates a decrease in the distribution area, i.e., concentration closer to the coast.

### 6.5 Weight at Age

Mean weights per quarter averaged over the period 1976-79 are shown in Figure 6.5.1. The rapid growth between the second and third quarters makes it difficult to produce a "standard" set of values applicable to all years. The mean weights shown in the text table below are based on Figure 6.5.1

## W.R.

| Quarter | 0 | I | II | III | IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | 3.2 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 25.0 |
| 2 | - | 3.8 | 12.2 | 22.0 | 27.4 |
| 3 | 2.5 | 8.4 | 19.0 | 26.5 | 28.5 |
| 4 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 15.0 | 19.5 | 27.0 | - |

### 6.6 Fishing Mortality and Stock Size (VPA)

Numbers caught at age have been estimated since 1975, using Danish data raised to total catch excluding the fjords of western Norway (IVa E). The results are shown by quarters in Table 6.6.1, including July-September 1982, as Danish data were not yet available for the last quarter of that year.
A VPA was run on the following assumptions:

Natural mortality: 0.275 per quarter (1.10 annually)
Input fishing mortality: 0.250 per quarter (1.00 annually)
Age at first maturity: 1.5 years.
For technical reasons, no runs were made for the second quarter of 1982.
The calculated quarterly Fs are shown in Figure 6.6.1. As could be expected, the highest values occur in the third quarter with additional high figures in the last quarter of some years. The highest annual values are $F=1.92$ and 1.73 in 1977 and 1978 , respectively, followed by a decrease to $F=0.9$ in 1980-81. The detailed results are shown in Table 6.6.2.

The spawning stock declined from 1975 to a very low value in 1978, followed by an increase in the last years. Stock in numbers calculated by VPA is shown in Table 6.6.3.
6.7-6.8: No calculatiors of other measures of mortality and equilibrium yield were calculated.

### 6.9 Prognosis

Indices of l-group abundance of sprat in Division IIIa have been collected by Sweden in connection with IYFS since 1971. The time series is shown in Table 6.9.1 together with VPA figures on l-group strength as at 1 January.
There appears to be some correlation ( $r=0.6$ ) between the two sets of estimates, but unfortunately, the Swedish data for year classes 1981 and 1982 could not be made available during the meeting of the Working Group, and, therefore, no prognosis could be made.
A correlation between IYFS l-year old index and yield in the same year gave reasonable correlations ( $r=0.79$ ) significant on the 0.05 level and is shown in Table 6.9.2.

The results for the 1982 and 1983 surveys should, therefore, be examined by ACFM to eventually make a prognosis for this stock.

## 7. SPRAT, NORTH SEA

7.1 Landings, 1981-82

Landings by fishing nations and by areas for the years J. $9 / 2-82$ are given in Table 7.1.1. For Denmark, catch data for the last quarter of 1982 were not available, and landing figures exclude by-catches. For other nations, the catch figures are assumed to include by-catches.

Total landings decreased from 323000 tonnes in 1980 to 209000 tonnes in 1981, and further to about 130000 tonnes in 1982, assuming an estimated Danish catch of 20000 tonnes in the last quarter. The decrease was observed in both the western and eastern parts of Division IVb, while catches in Division IVc remained at the same level. The decline in total landings from 1980 to 1982 was mainly due to reduced Danish catches, which account for $70-90 \%$ of the total.
The overall catch statistics of Table 7.l.l seem to confirm the observations in later years: a declining stock and a shift in the distribution towards the southern part of the North Sea.
Sprat catches by months and by international reporting areas (Table 7.1.1) in 1981 and 1982 are given together with data of the two preceding years in Table 7.1.3. Except for reductions in quantity, no marked changes in the fishing pattern were observed. A major part ( $40-50 \%$ ) of the landings came from Division IVb east in July-October.

Division VIa
Landings of sprat from this area are now of minor importance. In 1981 and 1982, landings were 1600 and 1100 tonnes, respectively, mainly from the inshore fishery to the west of Scotland (Table 7.1.2).

### 7.2 Effort Data

No data on fishing effort were available.
7.3 Catch at Age and VPA Results

## Catch at age

Numbers caught per age group were compiled for Sub-area IV. Data for 1981 and 1982 were available for catches by Denmark, Norway, England and Scotland. Danish data for 1982, however, covered only the first three quarters of the year. Data for landings in 1982 by other nations were not presented, but these accounted for a minor part of the total.
Table 7.3.1 is an extension of the previous data series on catch in number by age group for each quarter of the year; the third quarter of 1982 being the last.

## VPA

Catch in number by age (Table 7.3 .2 ) were used for VPA on a quarterly basis in the same way as in previous analyses.
No effort data or other means for a calibration of the input Fs were available. The acoustic surveys (see Section 7.4) in January 1983 were limited in coverage, and the estimated biomass could not be utilized in the VPA.
Lacking other options, the VPA was run on the same basis as outlined in the 1982 report of the Working Group. Fishing mortalities in the third quarter of 1982 were assumed approximately equal to the average

Fs of each age group, separately for ages l-4 year olds in the third quarter of the years 1978-80. The input fishing mortality on the 0-group was chosen so that this recruiting year class was in accord with the low recruitment in later years: 23 x 109 at the beginning of the third quarter of 1982. The natural mortality, M, was set at 0.8 , corresponding to 0.2 for each quarter, as applied in earlier analyses.
The mean weights at age in the first quarter given in the previous Working Group report (C.M.1982/Assess:6, p.23) were used to compute the stock biomass at the beginning of the year. The adult stock, spawners, was calculated as the sum of age groups 2, 3 and 4.
The resulting Fis per quarter are given in Table 7.3.3, and the stock as number and biomass in Table 7.3.4. The overall changes in $F$ and stock biomass during the period 1974-82 are given in Figure 7.9.5.

### 7.4 Acoustic Survey

The ICES-coordinated acoustic survey for North Sea sprat in January 1983, in which United Kingdom vessels participated, covered only the western part of the North Sea. Estimates of sprat biomass for each half statistical rectangle are given in Figure 7.4.1, standardized to a target strength of $-29 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ as in the previous report. The total biomass estimate for the area surveyed is 80000 tonnes.
For comparison with previous years, biomass estimates for each ICES Sub-division are given below:

Estimated biomass of sprat (1000 tonnes) in each Sub-division standardized to a target strength of $-29 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{kg}$

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa W | 3.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| IVb W | 99.3 | 48.7 | 14.6 |
| IVb E | 26.8 | 23.6 | No survey |
| IVc | 65.4 | 90.0 | 62.5 |
| Total | 195.1 | 167.2 | 79.2 (ex |

(1981 estimates from C.M.1982/Assess:6; 1982 estimates from Johnson, C.M.1982/H:13.)

The "Planning Group on ICES-Coordinated Herring and Sprat Surveys", which met in Aberdeen in mid-February, provided evidence from in situ measurements of a relationship between a target strength per kg and length of the form

$$
\mathrm{TS} \mathrm{~kg}{ }^{-1}=-8.7 \log 1-19.6 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{kg}(1 \text { in } \mathrm{cm}) .
$$

If this is accepted, then biomass estimates of small sprat (l-group) are likely to be too high by a factor of approximately 1.6. From the composition of samples of sprat taken during the 1983 survey in different areas, the Planning Group estimated that the corrected estimate of biomass should be 70000 tonnes. Detailed data on the length composition of sprat by area on the previous surveys were not available to the Working Group, and no corresponding corrections have been made. It was recommended by the Planning Group that corrected estimates, allocated into l-group and older sprat, should be included in the report of the 1983 survey to be reported to the Statutory Meeting.
Trawl survey results
Trawl survey results are given in Section 7.9.

### 7.5 Weight at Age

In the previous Working Group report, a new set of weight at age by quarters was presented. The data were mainly based on observed weights of sprat in Danish catches in recent years, except for the l-group in the first quarter. For this age group, a weight of 1.0 gram at the beginning of the year was chosen to represent weight in the stock.
As no additional information on weights were presented, the reported weight (C.M.1982/Assess:6, p.23) were applied for the calculation of stock biomass given in Table 7.3.4 and Figure 7.9.5.

### 7.6 Age Composition by Weight

An illustration of the dependence of the fishery on two age groups was given in last year's report of this Working Group (Assess:6, p.23). The mean contribution of each age group, 1974-77, was calculated from catch in number and mean weight in catch.
For comparison, the percentage contribution shown is compared to similar data for 1981 (the last year of complete catch data):


As can be seen from the figures, recent catches are, as in previous years, dependent on 1 - and 2-group sprat, accounting for $85-90 \%$ by weight. The reduction in older ages is also notable.
Data on catches can, therefore, provide little information, which may be used to make a catch prognosis into next year.

### 7.7 Other Measures of Mortality

No additional measures of mortality were available to the Working Group.
7.8 Factors Affecting Yield

## Equilibrium yield

To broaden the range of aids used for the assessment of this stock, the logistic approach has been considered instead of the usual analytical model, but still using the results of VPA as given in Section 7.3.
In this exercise, stock biomass at the beginning of the year (instead of cpue that is normally used as an index of biomass) has been plotted against the ratios of catch in weight in each fishing season to midseason (lst of January) biomass, the latter being estimates of fishing pressure.
The equilibrium line has been fitted in two different ways (Figure 7.8.1). In the first (Walters' first approximation), biomass is regressed on the catch/biomass ratio in the previous year; in the second (Gulland), the catch/biomass ratio is an average of ratios in the two preceding years. In both calculations, values for the years 1981 and 1982 have been used, although biomasses derived from VPA are strongly dependent on input parameters.
Yield curves were thus obtained by multiplying the fitted estimate of the biomass by the corresponding catch/biomass ratio on the abscissa, giving an equilibrium catch in weight. These curves are plotted in Figure 7.8.2, together with observed landings, plotted against the catch/biomass ratio.

The Group attached limited confidence to these results as a means of predicting MSY, but they are worth considering on the following grounds:

- $Y / R$ curves for a species with high natural mortality are generally flat-topped and this is misleading, as they tend to show that yield can be increased or stabilized at very high values of fishing mortality. Logistic yield curves give a more realistic picture, where yield obviously decreases as fishing pressure becomes excessive.
- Although some environmental effect is suggested to have played a part in the decrease of the North Sea sprat stock, these curves also indicate that in recent years, fishing pressure has been far in excess of the likely MSY value, leading to catch/mean biomass ratios well above unity.
- The curves also show that the MSY level is obtained for a catch/biomass ratio similar to the rate of natural mortality (about 1.0 in this case, whereas $M=0.8$ ) as is often assumed as a rough approximation.

Environmental effects and interactions with other species
Although this Working Group and previous ones have attempted to explain the developments in the sprat stock mainly as the results of man's interference through fishery, this approach may in the end not lead to an answer to all questions with which we are faced at present. The sudden development of the North Sea sprat population in the early 1970s clearly could not be attributed to any change in the sprat fishery, and conversely, the present decline may also be partly due to factors other than the fishery.
The hypothesis of a natural cause for the decline of the sprat stock (working in combination with a high fishing mortality) is supported by the fact that the sprat stock in Division IIIa has shown a simultaneous decline with that in the North Sea, although fishing mortality and exploitation pattern may probably be different between the two regions. Also, in the North Sea, the sprat stock first started to decline in the northwestern part, although this area was not the one with the highest fishing intensity. During the 1979 fishing season, the main sprat concentrations seemed to shift towards the southern North Sea, and from 1980 onwards, major sprat concentrations appeared in the Thames estuary, where they had been absent for more than 10 years.
All these phenomena suggest that, in addition to the fishery, some environmental factor has been responsible for the shift in distribution and decline of the sprat population. Whether this environmental factor was a change in hydrographic conditions, food supply, or predation, is something that is not at all clear at this moment. One study is available (Last, 1982), which suggests that predation in the very young stages may have increased. This author showed that juvenile herring along the English east coast were feeding heavily upon pre-metamorphosis sprat during the summer months. If this is a widespread phenomenon, the present revival of herring stocks in the North Sea (and Division IIIa) could have had a detrimental effect upon sprat recruitment. In this respect, it is worth noting that the strongly improved sprat recruitment started at the time when the North Sea herring had reached a very low level.
However, this hypothesis about herring-sprat interaction is largely speculation at present, and more quantitative data should be collected to establish the relationship in a convincing way. Still, it would be prudent at present to acknowledge the possible existence of such a
relationship, and thus the possibility that sprat recruitment will remain relatively low for a period to come.
7.9 Catch Prognosis

Prediction of the last winter season's catches for North Sea sprat
A paper by Burd and Johnson (1983) shows a series of regressions of international landings of sprat for each winter season from 1971/72 to 1980/81 on the IYFS results for the following February. That is. the landings of the 1980/81 season are related to the 1981 IYFS results. These (Figure 7.9.1) indicate a generally close correspondence of the two results, which would enable the IYFS result to be used to predict the most recent season's catches before statistics become available.

Prediction of the future seasons: catches
The exploitation of North Sea sprat can be described as follows: the young of the year become progressively available to the fishery as ages 0 and 1 in the first winter of their life. As age 1 fish, they are caught in the first quarter in the western central North Sea (Division TVb west) fishery developing from November to March, and later in the eastern fishery, which occurs mainly in July-November. Mostly age 1 and older (up to age 4) fish are ultimately caught, mainiy in the western fishery.

The relative catch weight at age in the western Division IVb fishery is about $33 \%$ of age 1 and $50 \%$ of age 2 fish, while the eastern Division IVb fishery is composed of $74 \%$ of age 1 fish.

Since such a large proportion of fish are taken by the second winter of life, the range of survey indices available to explain exploitable biomass and to make yield prognosis is limited to those of 0-group fish in the last quarter or l-group fish in the first quarter. Available indices are plotted in Figure 7.9.2, together with the landings statistics in the subsequent year and the subsequent season. In principle, the most obvious choice of index is the IYFS l-year olds, but due to an interruption in 1975 and adverse conditions in 1979, this series is rather short. It has, however, the advantage of an extensive coverage of the North Sea, though a serious limitation is that inshore areas on the English coast are not sampled.

In the future, the Isaac Kidd mid-water trawl (IKMP) surveys conducted at the same time may provide an alternative series. Alternatively, a joint index derived from standard bottom trawl and IKMT sprat catches may be worth considering. An IYFS is carried out while recruitment is in progress; IKMT selectively catches smaller individuals than those caught by the bottom trawl, and this might give rise to a serious bias if only one of the two indices are considered. In recent years, when the stock was rapidly declining, both equally show the trend downward, as does the 0-group index for the Scottish November mid-water survey, but several more years of data will be needed before these new indices could be used with confidence for catch predictions. Catches by area for the Scottish 0-group survey in 1980-82 are shown in Figure 7.9.3.

An alternate solution can be found with the acoustic surveys, when some technical and practical problems have been solved. One problem is the differential target strength between sprat of different size and/or age, which prevents year comparisons on a relative scale; another is the discrimination between young sprat and plankters, and the third one is the practicability of the method in shallow areas where young sprat are aggregated. In spite of these problems, recent figures confirm the decline of abundance in the western part of the North Sea.

The use of statistics of catches at age 1 in the first quarter in commercial fisheries has been considered as an alternative means of predicting yield in the subsequent autumn/winter season. It proved unsuccessful, partly because it was not possible to separate these catches between areas and fisheries, partly because no account could be taken of fishing effort. Such effort statistics and cpue data would in any case have to be used with great care, as there are indications that the catch per unit effort has increased in some localities, and evidence exists that this is linked to the reduction of distribution area along with the reduction of the stock.
For all the reasons given, the Working Group is not in a position to produce any definite prediction through this approach, but the sharp decline indicated by all available clues should be a matter of concern.

ACFM should certainly consider the level of the 1983 IYFS l-year old index, when this becomes available. Landings by seasons are shown plotted against this index for their convenience (Figure 7.9.4). It seems to indicate that while a low index tends to predict a low catch, a high index does not necessarily lead to a high catch in the next season.

As outlined above, all indicators of changes in stock size independently demonstrate a decline during the last 5 years. At the same time, the area of distribution has contracted, and the centre has shifted towards the southern North Sea. Possibly also, recruitment has decreased. Figure 7.9 .5 shows the changes in catch and biomass since 1974.

Uncertainties exist about the size of the sprat stock at present, but indications are that it is of an order of 100000 tonnes. Maintaining fishing at the rate estimated for later years, together with continuing low recruitment, will possibly reduce the stock further by the end of 1983.

Other pelagic fisheries have demonstrated that catch per effort may not be reduced at low stock levels. It is, therefore, possible that fishing will continue at economically acceptable rates for some vessel types (e.g., purse seines) until a sudden collapse of the fishery occurs.

Several possible causes of the decline in sprat abundance have been hypothesized in Section 7.8, such as high fishing intensity, environmental change and predation by herring. Since each of these causes seems likely to continue to act into 1983, it seems probable that,at best, the 1983 landing will be no bigger than that of 1982.

ACFM should examine the IYFS results for 1 year olds, when they become available and give the best advice possible in the light of the result.
7.10 Density-dependent population parameters

From the data available to the Working Group, no conclusions could be drawn as regards the effect of stock density upon growth rate or other stock parameters.
8. CHANNEL SPRAT (ICES Divisions VIId,e)

The Working Group was presented with no data to establish the relationships of the sprat population in Divisions VIId and e. Evidence is, however, provided in Section 8.4, that there are spawning concentrations in both the eastern and western parts of the Channel. That in the eastern Channel may be an offshoot of the spawning area in the southern North Sea, whereas that in the western Channel appears to be isolated both from spawning concentrations in the eastern Channel and in the

Celtic Sea. Since the majority of catches are at present taken in the western Channel, the Working Group decided tentatively to treat Division VIIe as an assessment unit.

### 8.1 Landings, 1972-82

The nominal annual catches by countries for the years 1972-82 are presented in Table 8.1.1, but a breakdown into eastern (Division VIId) and western (Division VIIe) Channel proportions is not available.
It is known that most of the United Kingdom and Netherlands atches are taken in the western Channel (west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), and it is likely that most of the French landings originate from the eastern Channel. The Danish landings may include an element of catch from outside this Division, although it is known from sightings and boardings by the United Kingdom Fishery Protection Service that Danish pair-trawlers have been operating in the western Channel since about 1979 in the winter months. Their catches have consisted of mackerel, horse mackerel, pilchard and sprat, and varying mixtures of these, but some of their effort has been directed towards sprat.
However, accepting the catches as presented, it is seen that there has been a substantial increase in catch in 1979 and 1980 , but the situation in 1981 and 1982 is not clear.

## Western Channel (Division VIIe)

## General description of the fishery

A detailed description of the fishery is only possible for the United Kingdom component at present. The majority of the United Kingdom catches is taken from the Lyme Bay area ( $03^{\circ} 40^{\prime}-04^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) within 20 miles of the coast over the months September-February. This fishery has been regularly sampled since 1966 , and catch statistics are available from 1905 on.
Figure 8.1 .3 shows the total seasonal catches by United Kingdom vessels from the 1946/47 season to date, and the seasonal nature of the fishery is illustrated by Table 8.l.2, which shows the monthly catches from the 1961/62 season to date.
Up to 1959 , the fishery was undertaken by small local vessels working bottom trawls with sprat mesh cod-ends attached. Seasonal catches then varied mainly between 500-1 500 tonnes, and the main outlets were for canning and fresh sale. In 1959, pelagic pair trawling was first successfully introduced, and over the following decade this method gradually became the predominant one, although seasonal catches showed only a gradual increase up to the early 1970s. In this period, an alternative market developed for pickle-cured fish, which were exported in barrels to Sweden and to the Federal Republic of Germany. In 1969, single boat pelagic trawling was introduced, and this method in turn became the predominant one over the next few years, particularly after the general introduction of headline transducers.
The next significant change took place in the mid-1970s, when a few larger single boat pelagic trawlers commenced fishing earlier in the season than was formerly the case, and catches then showed a considerable increase in the July-September quarter (See Table 8.1.2).
At this time, a high proportion of the summer-caught fish were sent for fishmeal due to the fact that they were still feeding and so unsuitable for fresh or pickle-cured outlets. In earlier years, the start of the fishing season was primarily determined by the feeding state of the fish, since in this region sprat usually cease feeding towards the end of September or in early 0ctober.

The final significant development in this fishery to date took place in 1979-80, when an overspill of effort from the southwest mackerel fishery developed due to restrictions on mackerel landings. This resulted in larger Scottish pair trawlers and some purse seiners fishing sprat, together with other pelagic species, as an alternative to mackerel. Transhipment operations by Russians and Norwegian vessels also commenced at about this time and has further encouraged catches.
The increased catch resulting from the entry of these larger vessels into the fishery is shown in Table 8.1.3.
In addition, there has recently been some fishing further west, mainly by larger visiting vessels, between the Eddystone and Falmouth (1979-80: 1770 tonnes; 1980-81: 711 tonnes; 1981-82: 427 tonnes). In the current (1982-83) season, there had been no sprat landings up to December by larger vessels due to their exclusion from the mackerel fishery.
Entry of these larger vessels also resulted in an expansion of the fishing area, which is illustrated in Figure 8.1.2., where a typical earlier local boat only season (1973-74) is contrasted with a later season (1980-81), when about $63 \%$ of the catch was accounted for by larger visiting vessels.
Similar details are not available for other nations fishing in Sub-area VII.

### 8.2 Effort Data

There have been considerable changes in the sizes of vessels and types of gear used in the Lyme Bay fishery during the period under review. This poses considerable problems in deriving a standardized measure of effort, which could be used to interpret changes in fishing mortality.
There are general indications that effort has shown a substantial increase in more recent years.
8.3 Age Structure of the Exploited Population

The exploited population in Division VIIe consists of a much higher proportion of older fish than appear in the North Sea sprat fisheries. This is illustrated by Table 8.3.1, where a comparison between the average contributions by weight of different age groups to the English northeast coast and Lyme Bay sprat fisheries over the seasons 1966/67 1979/80 is presented. Also shown are the mean weights and lengths for age together with mean ages.
It is evident that fish are not fully recruited into the Lyme Bay fishery until their third year ( $2 / 3$ years), and second year fish are only partially represented, where in the northeast coast fishery full recruitment took place a year earlier. This results in an average difference in age of one year between the exploited populations in the two fisheries, with a consequent larger mean weight in the Lyme Bay fishery. First year fish rarely appear in the Lyme Bay fishery, and smaller second year fish are not well represented either. These usually appear in greater numbers towards the end of a season, when fishing effort tends to move closer inshore. The nursery areas supporting the Lyme Bay fishery are not known.

The average weights and lengths at age in the Lyme Bay fishery are also consistently larger than those taken in the northeast coast fishery.

## Catch at age end VPA results

Sampling data for sprat in Division VIIe are only available for United Kingdom landings. Although these data do not account for the total catch, to provide an indication of trends in fishing mortality, stock size and recruitment, a VPA was carried out on the United Kingdom data alone.

## Catch at age

The numbers caught per age group over the seasons 1966/67-1981/82 are presented in Table 8.3.2. These relate to landings by United Kingdom vessels only.

## VPA results

A separate VPA program was used, utilizing the catch at age data on 2-6 year old fish.
Information on input Fs was lacking, and a series of runs were carried out, using terminal fishing mortalities of $0.1,0.3,0.5$ and 0.8 , combined with two exploitation patterns (terminal $S=0.2$ and 0.3 ), and three values of natural mortality ( $M=0.75,0.85$ and 0.95 ). The age of unit selection was taken as age $2 / 3$.
The terminal population outputs from the separable VPAs were then used to initiate equivalent traditional VPAs.
A comparison of period mean biomass levels derived from the two methods over the years 1966-78 on various assumptions (representing the period of full convergence) is shown in Table 8.3.3.
A value for natural mortality rate ( $M$ ) is not known for the Lyme Bay sprat, although for North Sea sprat 0.8 year ${ }^{-1}$ has been used.
An approximate estimate for total mortality rate ( $Z$ ) in the Lyme Bay fish was derived, using the long-term mean catch in numbers by age groups for the 16 season period 1966-1981.
The results for the fully recruited age groups were as follows:

| Age interval | $\frac{121}{}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $3-4$ | 0.89 |
| $4-5$ | 1.53 |
| $5-6$ | 1.85 |

The best represented age groups are 3- and 4-year old fish, and the results suggest, that $M$ in this age interval is $<0.90$.
The increasing $Z$ in the older age groups could be a consequence of increased F or, more likely, an availability loss to the fishery (which is predominantly coastal, with most catches taken within 15 miles of the coast), and/or increasing natural mortality in these older age groups.
A representative table (Table 8.3.4) illustrates the pattern of annual fishing mortalities derived from a traditional VPA generated by terminal populations provided in turn from a separable VPA, using parameters $M=0.85$; terminal $S=0.3$; and terminal $F=0.5$. This value of $F$ was selected, assuming that an increase in fishing mortality was the result of the increased catch in the last few years. Weighted average fishing mortalities in each year ( $\bar{F} c$ and $\bar{F} p$ as defined by Shepherd, 1982) are also shown.
A corresponding table (Table 8.3.5) shows the numbers of fish generated from this analysis, together with the total stock biomass for 2-6 year olds in each year. A point of interest arising from this table is an apparently cyclical change in recruitment and stock biomass over this period. This cyclical change had been analyzed earlier, using slightly different parameters on the separable VPA ( $M=0.5$; terminal $F=0.3$; and terminal $S=0.2$ ); the results are shown in Figure 8.3.1.

### 8.4 Research Vessel Surveys

## Acoustic

An acoustic survey for sprat was undertaken by $R / V$ "Corella" in Lyme Bay and the surrounding region during December 1981. At this time, most of the sprat fishing effort was concentrated within the western half of the Bay inside the dashed limit line shown in Figure 8.1.1. A total catch of 4310 tonnes was returned for this area over the period of the survey. In addition, a number of research vessel pelagic trawl hauls were made by "Corella", and information was also obtained from other research vessels, which happened to be operating in the region at that time, to assist in trace identification. Although sprat were also present in areas outside those outlined in Figure 8.1.1, they were mixed with far greater and varying proportions of mackerel, scad, and pilchard, which made it impossible to acoustically separate sprat. The results shown in Figure 8.4.1 only relate to that part of the overall survey, where sprat appeared to be the predominant pelagic species. The estimated total biomass is shown for each of these sub-areas, and the grand total amounts to some 20000 tonnes, subject to the usual qualficiations of acoustic results.

## Spawning

Data on spawning distribution of sprat in the western Channel are somewhat limited. Earlier surveys were undertaken by English research vessels in May-June 1967 and July 1968, which covered this region as part of broader surveys aimed at investigating the spawning distributions of pelagic species likely to be of interest for industrial fishing development, including mackerel, scad, pilchard and sprat. The results of these surveys were reported by Wallace and Pleasance (1972) (Figure 8.4.2). The 1967 survey showed a continuity of sprat spawning along the whole length of the Channel, with a southern boundary of distribution at about $49^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ off the Brittany coast and a westward limit at about $6^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ (Scilly Isles). Patches of higher density spawning were evident off Mounts Bay (Cornwall), Start Point and Lyme Bay in the western Channel. East of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ spawning densities showed a considerable increase with an unbroken extension into the Southern Bight of the North Sea.
The 1968 survey (July) showed very much reduced sprat spawning levels, with a residual patch in the western Channel off Start Point and Lyme Bay, but then a complete break in spawning between $2^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $1^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.
Results of more limited surveys undertaken by the MBA Laboratory at Plymouth reported by Demir and Southward (1974) showeu that in a more restricted inshore area between Falmouth and Start Point, sprat spawning commenced in January, attained a peak in February, followed by a rapid decline in March-April, with final eggs taken in July. This cycle essentially relates to the Eddystone Bay area, within about 15 miles of the coast.

More recent surveys have been undertaken in the Channel by the Lowestoft Laboratory. The first of this series, in April 1978, covered the western Channel between Portland Bill and the Scilly Isles, up to 30 miles off the coast. Subsequent surveys in April-May 1979, and in April, April-May and June 1981 covered the whole eastern and a large part of the western Channel, and, although these were designed specifically to investigate sole spawning distribution, sprat eggs were also assessed, and preliminary results are now available.

The spawning distributions from these later surveys are shown in Figures 8.4.3-8.4.6. They show that the spawnings in the western and eastern halves of the Channel appear to be fairly discrete, separated by a zone of lower density between the Cherbourg peninsula and Portland Bill.

Several fairly consistent patches of higher density are evident within the western Channel, one associated with Lyme Bay, another southeast from Start Point, and a third broader and more extended area further west, offshore from Eddystone Bay.
The 1981 surveys provided a first opportunity to construct at least part of an egg production curve for western Channel sprat, using stage I eggs only. Provisional results are shown in Figure 8.4.7, and if this line declining sequence is taken as an approximation to the descending limb of a spawning curve, which peaks in April, and assuming a symmetrically equivalent area for the build-up phase, then a rough assessment of spawning biomass can be made.

Fecundity data were not available for sprat from this region, and resort was made to De Silva's (1973.) values for west of Scotland fish.
The average length of fish in the winter 1980/81 fishery, preceding the spawning season, was 13.7 cm , and a length distribution weighted average fecundity per fish was about 33820 eggs. The average weight of these fish in April was 17.7 g , so that one tonne of spawning females might be expected to generate $1.91 \times 109$ eggs. The sex ratio of spawning fish caught in April was about $31 \%$ males: $69 \%$ females by number, and, since the average weight of females was a little higher than that of males, this ratio then became $29 \%$ males:7l\% females by weight. This results in the potential fecundity per tonne of spawning fish with both sexes combined being reduced to $1.36 \times 109$ eggs.

The total estimated production for the western Channel from Figure 8.4.7 amounted to $155 \times 10^{12}$ eggs, which results in a spawning biomass estimate of 114000 tonnes, if the various assumptions made are valid. The estimate applies to the whole western Channel area surveyed and not just the population in the more immediate vicinity of the Lyme Bay fishery. It is only a very provisional estimate, which, at best, can only provide a guide to the likely order of magnitude of the spawning stock.

A further problem lies in the degree to which western and eastern Channel populations are isolated from each other in relation to treating them as separate management units. The spawning density distribution charts show that there is a substantial spawning stock present in the eastern Channel (an estimate for this is not yet available, but in process of preparation). These charts also suggest some degree of isolation between the two areas, but further work is obviously required in this direction. Unfortunately, information on the stock structure of the eastern Channel fish is almost non-existent for more recent years, since there has been very little commercial fishing in the region except for a few very small localised coastal fisheries.

### 8.5 Weight at Age - Lyme Bay Fishery

Table 8.5.1 provides an analysis of mean weights at age by quarters and seasons over the period 1972-82, together with a comparable period mean analysis of seasonal variations in mean weights at age.

A seasonal decline in average weight at age is evident over the winter quarters in all age groups.This is partly a consequence of the fish fasting over this period, subsisting on their fat reserves, and also a decline in mean length at age, resulting from a general shift in fishing area closer to the coast during the winter, when a higher proportion of smaller fish is caught.

This trend is illustrated by Figure 8.5.1, which shows the average length and age distributions by quarters and seasons for the period 1972-78. The age groups are here numbered in terms of being lst, 2nd, and 3rd year fish etc. Fish less than 10.5 cm in length (i.e., 2-year fish) show a proportionate increase during the 4 th and lst quarters, which results in more and smaller 2-year fish, until they outnumber 3-year fish in the first quarter.

The average growth parameters for the period 1972-81, derived from weight and length at age, are as follows:

| Linear regressions | $\frac{L_{T+1} / L_{T}}{}$ | $\frac{\sqrt[3]{W_{T+1}} / \sqrt[3]{W_{T}}}{}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intepe | 6.97 | 1.58 |
| Slope | 0.56 | 0.50 |
| R | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| K | 0.58 | 0.69 |
| Less | 15.93 cm | $W_{\infty}$ |
|  |  | 31.23 g |

8.6-8.7: No percentage catch in weight and other measures of mortality were calculated.

### 8.8 Equilibrium Yield

No yield curve was calculated.

## Prognosis

Results from the 1981 egg surveys suggest that the sprat spawning stock within the western Channel (Division VIIe) was probably within the range of 100000 - 150000 tonnes.

The VPA results show the mature biomass around a peak level in 1980-81, mainly due to the strong 1978 year class. Since exploitation has been relatively light, the 1981 biomass could be considered a near virgin stock estimate.
The VPA showed an extreme maximum/minimum stock range of about 3:1 over the years 1966-78, when full conveyance of the series had occurred. If the 1981 estimate of the spawning stock biomass is taken as a maximum level, then a longer-term mean level could fall within the range of 65000 - 100000 tonnes.
Using Gulland's $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{B}_{0}$ formula to estimate long-term maximum yield produces a catch within the range of $28000-42000$ tonnes. The assumption in the Gulland formula that maximum yield occurs at a rate of exploitation equal to natural mortality is in broad agreement with the yield curve shown for North Sea sprat(Section 7.8 in this report).
A consideration of biomasses calculated from the English inshore fishery suggests that the yields given above would relate to exploitation over the whole of Division VIIe rather than the coastal fishery of Lyme Bay.

## 9. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING AND REPORTING PROCEDURES

### 9.1 Statistical Requirements

The Working Group considers that the statistical requirements set out in Section 7.1 of last year's report (C.M.1982/Assess:6) remain in force.
9.2 The Present Situation

At present, annual landings, weight, and catches at age are available to the Working Group, both for the target species and the major by-catch species; however, the Danish data for the fourth quarter of 1982 were not available due to the early date of the Working Group. In future years, the Working Group should meet not earlier than mid-March. In most cases, data are also available by month or quarter and by sampling sub-areas.

The availability of effort data is much less satisfactory, and, for this reason, estimation of abundance based on cpue (both to estimate mortality rates and recruitment) is not possible. No data are available on a fleet basis for a major part of the fishery.

### 9.3 Recommendations

If the Working Group is to fulfill its terms of reference, data of the type described above are required in a disaggregated form. To summarize, these are:
I) Catch and effort data by statistical rectangle and month, sub-divided by different fleet components. (This is impossible for some countries to produce without logbooks, which still require implementation.)
2) Catch in number at age by Sub-area and month.
3) Original survey data, not only published mean values etc.
4) Weight at age data by month and Sub-area.

Since many of the data required exist, priority should be given to making them available, perhaps by the provision of a computerized data base. Only when what already exists is available, will it be possible to assess the need for new types of data.
Furthermore, our poor knowledge of the value of natural mortality on these fish stocks makes it difficult to interpret data from the three target species. Investigations into what the natural mortality is, how it comes about, and whether it varies with time would be very beneficial
There appears to exist a general lack of knowledge concerning the importance, structure and fishing strategy of the industrial fisheries. The Working Group consequently recommends that each nation undertaking such a fishery produce a detailed description including statistics on vessel categories, operational structure (target species, areas, periods), shore installations and other pertinent features for the next meeting of the Working Group.
9.4 Urgent Needs

The Working Group considers it needs the following particularly urgently:

1) IYFS surveys results of the year must be available to the Working Group.
2) The Working Group needs a means for predicting sprat catches in the next season. In practise, this must be a reliable estimate of l-group sprat abundance by the spring.
3) The Working Group needs some method to enable predictions of landings to be made for the next season, based on previous year's catches of 0- and l-group fish, presumably based on fishing effort data.
Y. 5 The Working Group considered that a Workshop on the Biology of Sprat would be extremely valuable and should be convened by ICES. Information on possible stock separation for sprat would be particularly appreciated.
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APPENDIX
THE INTERRELATION OF FISHING INTENSITY ON THE THREE TARGET SPECIES
OF THE INDUSTRIAL FISHERIES
by
J G Pope

The following simple investigation illustrates how substitution occurs. Average fishing mortalities for North Sea Norway pout (ages 1 and 2), northern North Sea sandeel (ages lo 4), southern North Sea sandeel (ages 1 to 4), and North Sea sprat (ages 1 to 3) were calculated from the VPA results given in later sections of this report and last year's report. These are shown in Appendix Table 1 for each year from 1974 to 1981. These are treated as if they were fishing effort data, and a total effort (total fishing mortality on all four stocks) was calculated. This has remained rather constant in the years considered, except for 1980 and 1981. The fishing mortality on each of the four stocks was then expressed as a percentage of this total (\%F). This \%F has been compared with the \% of the total landings taken from each stock $\%$ in the scatter diagrams shown in Appendix Figure 1 (Norway pout), Appendix Figure 2 (northern North Sea sandeel), Appendix Figure 3 (southern North Sea sandeel) and Appendix Figure 4 (sprat).

Only the figure for Norway pout shows a strong relationship between $\%$ and \%Y, but though not statistically significant, the other figures seem to suggest some tendency of \%F to increase when $\% \mathrm{y}$ is high. In one sense, this result may seem tautological, since clearly catches can be expected to increase in the short term, when fishing mortality increases, but for these stocks fishing mortality is high and, thus, a considerable proportion of the exploitable stocks is already being taken. There thus seems to be the strong possibility that such a relation exists between $\%$. and $\% \mathrm{Y}$, and that it results more from a change in exploitable biomass than simply from the changes in catch generated by changes in $F$.

The result may be generalized by adjusting the \% on each stock to allow for the differences in mean level of mortality and mean catch on each species. A simple adjustment was performed as follows to give $\hat{F} \%$ the normalised fishing mortality percentage as:
where the indices $s$ and a refer to stocks and years, respectively. Appendix Figure 5 indicates the scatter of all $\widehat{\mathrm{F}} \%$ against the appropriate Y\%. The impression that fishing mortality changes in response to catch proportion and hence presumably to stock biomass is thus sustained. This analysis would suggest that such changes of mortality may help to rest overstressed stocks, but, as with all pulse fishing situations, how effective this may be will depend on the balance of the overall resources and the available fishing effort.

Appendix Table 1. Average fishing mortality for each of the target species, the total and percenteges for each year fron 1974 to 1981.

| Year | Norway pout |  | N.North Sea sandeel |  | S.North <br> Sea sandeel |  |  | Sprat | Total F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | \%F |  |  | $F$ | $\%$ | F | \%F |  |
| 1974 | 2.12 | 55 | . 82 |  | . 32 | 8 | .59 | 15 | 3.85 |
| 1975 | 1.25 | 33 | 1.11 |  | . 38 | 10 | I. 07 | 28 | 3.81 |
| 1976 | . 85 | 23 | . 59 |  | . 68 | 19 | 1.52 | 42 | 3.64 |
| 1977 | . 69 | 21 | 1.09 |  | .65 | 19 | . 93 | 28 | 3.36 |
| 1978 | . 72 | 21 | . 60 |  | .73 | 21 | 1.43 | 41 | 3.48 |
| 1979 | . 66 | 19 | . 28 |  | 1.11 | 32 | 1.44 | 41 | 3.49 |
| 1980 | 1.18 | 22 | 1.59 | 29 | . 82 | 15 | 1.89 | 34 | 5.48 |
| 1981 | . 68 | 17 | 1.19 |  | . 89 | 22 | 1.30 | 32 | 4.06 |
| Year | Y | \%Y | Y | \%Y | Y | \%Y | Y | $\% \mathrm{Y}$ | Total Y |
| 1974 | 736 | 47 | 387 | 25 | 117 | 8 | 314 | 20 | 1553 |
| 1975 | 560 | 35 | 254 | 16 | 157 | 10 | 641 | 40 | 1611 |
| 1976 | 435 | 29 | 135 | 9 | 331 | 22 | 622 | 41 | 1522 |
| 1977 | 390 | 27 | 348 | 24 | 392 | 27 | 304 | 21 | 1435 |
| 1978 | 270 | 19 | 163 | 12 | 577 | 42 | 378 | 27 | 1389 |
| 1979 | 320 | 26 | 195 | 16 | 356 | 28 | 380 | 30 | 1251 |
| 1980 | 470 | 32 | 292 | 20 | 401 | 27 | 323 | 22 | 1487 |
| 1981 | 235 | 24 | 138 | 14 | 379 | 39 | 209 | 22 | 961 |





Table 2.4.1 Total industrial landings (tonnes $\times 10^{-3}$ ) from the North Sea, 1973 - 1982.

| Year | Target industrial species |  |  |  | By-catch for reduction ${ }^{6)}$ |  |  |  | Total ${ }^{4)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Norway pout | Sandeel | Sprat | Sum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blue } \\ & \text { Whiting } \end{aligned}$ | Protected Species | Herring ${ }^{3)}$ | Sum |  |
| 1973 | 345.9 | 296.9 | 262.3 | 905.1 | 56.8 | 131.8 |  | 188.6 | 1093.7 |
| 1974 | 735.8 | 524.8 | 313.6 | 1574.2 | 62.2 | 220.4 |  | 282.6 | 1856.8 |
| 1975 | 559.7 | 428.2 | 641.2 | 1629.1 | 42.0 | 127.8 |  | 169.8 | 1798.9 |
| 1976 | 435.4 | 487.6 | 621.5 | 1544.5 | 36.0 | 198.0 | 12.0 | 246.0 | 1790.5 |
| 1977 | 389.9 | 785.6 | 304.0 | 1479.5 | 38.4 | 147.3 | 9.5 | 195.2 | 1674.7 |
| 1978 | 270.1 | 786.8 | 378.3 | 1435.2 | 99.9 | 67.6 | 7.8 | 175.3 | 1610.5 |
| 1979 | 319.8 | 577.8 | 379.6 | 1272.2 | 63.3 | 78.0 | 15.3 | 156.6 | 1433.8 |
| 1980 | 470.4 | 728.5 | 323.4 | 1522.3 | 75.1 | 71.3 | 7.3 | 153.7 | 1676.0 |
| 1981 | 235.4 | 568.6 | 209.1 | 1013.1 | 80.2 | 89.4 | 84.2 | 235.8 | 1266.9 |
| 1982 ${ }^{\text {5) }}$ | 289.8 | 610.9 | 113.1 | 1014.0 | 54.0 | 56.8 | 92.2 | 203.0 | 1217.0 |

1) C.M.1983/Assess:3
2) C.M.J.982/Assess:8 and 9 (Haddock, whiting, saithe)
3) C.M.1982/Assess:7
4) Does not include other species which on an average range between 20000 and 40 000 tonnes
5) Incomplete
6) By-catches do not include fish landed for human consumption

Table 3.1.1 HERRING by-catch in tonnes by year and Division

| Division | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $1982^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Div.IVa West | 4105 | 502 | 27 | 443 | 705 | 7933 | 301 |
| Div.IVa East | - | 186 | - | 2 | 48 | - | 500 |
| Div. IVb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Div.IVc and VIId | - | - | 790 | 7545 | 14882 | 6008 | 75 |

* Preliminary

Table 3.1.2 HERRING by-catch in numbers at age (millions) for 1981

| Winter-rings |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Div.IVa W Moray | . |  | 20 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| " rest |  | 20 | 4 | - | 6 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Div. IV E |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Div, IVb |  | 861 | 392 | 40 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Div.IVc, V.IId |  |  |  | Not | c | ge | a | - |  |  |

Table 3.2.1 HERRING by-catch in numbers at age (millions) for 1982


Table 3.5.1 NORTH SEA, Species composition in Norwegian Norway POUT landings (tonnes) for reduction purposes, 1975-1982.

| Year | Quarter | Landings | Norway pout | Blue whiting | Cod | Haddock | Whiting | Salthe | Herring | Mackerel | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 1976 1977 1978 | $1-4$ $1-4$ $1-4$ $1-4$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 297 & 222 \\ 200 & 777 \\ 143 & 001 \\ 136 & 455 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218900 \\ 108937 \\ 98291 \\ 80755 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40210 \\ & 34600 \\ & 20737 \\ & 39989 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1188 \\ 783 \\ 661 \\ 659 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9840 \\ 3133 \\ 920 \\ 766 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13243 \\ 6744 \\ 2707 \\ 1462 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4330 \\ 12850 \\ 4390 \\ 2494 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9511 \\ 33 \\ 730 \\ 15 \\ 300 \\ 10351 \end{array}$ |
| 1979 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1-4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24504 \\ 40310 \\ 33602 \\ 19387 \\ 117803 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 17 & 087 \\ 18 & 963 \\ 23 & 856 \\ 15 & 158 \\ 75 & 046 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4971 \\ 17504 \\ 6584 \\ 1871 \\ 30930 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153 \\ 202 \\ 98 \\ 26 \\ 479 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 298 \\ 406 \\ 625 \\ 1254 \\ 2583 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1032 \\ 315 \\ 132 \\ 189 \\ 1659 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 179 \\ 289 \\ 309 \\ 99 \\ 876 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 995  <br> 2808  <br> 2178  <br> 1 221 <br> 7 202 |
| 1980 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1-4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14469 \\ 36896 \\ 42900 \\ 13794 \\ 108059 \end{array}$ | 10355 <br> 18281 <br> 32449 <br> 8375 <br> 69460 | $\begin{array}{r} 810 \\ 13623 \\ 6400 \\ 1129 \\ 21962 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195 \\ 207 \\ 136 \\ 12 \\ 550 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 947 \\ 1414 \\ 655 \\ 902 \\ 3918 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 759 \\ 312 \\ 42 \\ 86 \\ 1199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 130 \\ 87 \\ 18 \\ 342 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 296 \\ 2 & 929 \\ 3 & 131 \\ 3 & 272 \\ 10 & 628 \end{array}$ |
| 1981 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1-4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8565 \\ 28700 \\ 30127 \\ 9217 \\ 76609 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6996 \\ 17276 \\ 19790 \\ 7 \\ 51 \\ 51 \\ \hline 111\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363 \\ 7826 \\ 6135 \\ 7745 \\ 15069 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 111 \\ 64 \\ 26 \\ 259 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 336 \\ 841 \\ 453 \\ 1731 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 359 \\ 221 \\ 69 \\ 150 \\ 799 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 72 \\ 1024 \\ 150 \\ 1221 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 25 \\ 12 \\ 4 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 611 \\ 2833 \\ 2192 \\ 541 \\ 6177 \end{array}$ |
| 1982 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1-4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 8 & 555 \\ 48 & 017 \\ 68 & 498 \\ 30 & 191 \\ 155 & 261 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7443 \\ 33502 \\ 28991 \\ 17408 \\ 87344 \end{array}$ | 158 9731 27702 10019 47610 | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 135 \\ 78 \\ 11 \\ 282 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186 \\ 948 \\ 1202 \\ 288 \\ 2624 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 306 \\ 59 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 665 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 176 \\ 4368 \\ 418 \\ 5003 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 363 \\ 3466 \\ 6020 \\ 1867 \\ 11716 \end{array}$ |

Table 3.5.2 Total reported by-catch (tonnes) of HADDOCK, WHITING
SAITHE and BLUE WHITING for reduction purposes, $1975-1982^{1)}$.

| Species | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 ${ }^{\text {2) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haddock | 41380 | 48204 | 34993 | 9659 | 17414 | 25154 | 17894 | 19970 |
| Whiting | 86376 | 149759 | 106104 | 55274 | 59021 | 45747 | 70167 | 31875 |
| Saithe | 37678 | 66766 | 6197 | 2566 | 1635 | 363 | 1221 | 5003 |
| Blue whiting | 41. 955 | 36024 | 38389 | 99874 | 63333 | 75129 | 80189 | 54031 |

I) C.M.1983/Assess:3; C.M.1982/Assess:8 and 9.
2) Preliminary

Table $3,5,3$ North Sea. Total industrial landings in tonnes (sandeel excluded)and estimatad by-catches of HADDOCK and WHITING for 1981 and the first three quarters of 1982. Sprat is also excluded from Norwegian and United Kingdom totals.

| Area | Quarter I |  |  | Quarter II |  |  | Quarter III |  |  | Quarter IV |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ind. | Haddock | Whiting | Total ind. | Haddock | Whiting | Total ind. | Haddock | Whiting | Total ind. | Haddock | Whiting |
| 1 | 4071 | 412 | 414 | 619 | 86 | 192 | 147705 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc} \vdots \\ \vdots & \\ \vdots & 42 \\ \vdots & 078 \end{array}$ | 2596 | 5926 |
| 2 | 1205 | 185 | 289 | 56 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 32706 | 1489 | 3128 | 15429 | 494 | 549 |  |  |  | 28036 | 1827 | 1262 |
| 5A | 24315 | 604 | 854 | 38611 | 492 | 518 |  |  |  | 27495 | 1369 | 2346 |
| 5B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1979 | 0 | 469 |  |  |  | 5546 | 29 | 449 |
| 6 | 74566 | 590 | 25872 | 19644 | 289 | 7494 | 114724 | 527 | 4214 | 61871 | 20 | 3246 |


| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{O} \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  | 1546 | 2895 | 310 | 1 |  | 97864 | 3121 | 695 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 37. 060 | 3674 | 4632 | 7675 | 946 | 677 | 21812 | 772 | 105 |  |  |  |
|  | 5A | 18706 | 1078 | 1692 | 45525 | 1738 | 280 | 78986 | 3640 | 1046 |  |  |  |
|  | 5B | 15 | 1 | 4. | 532 | 31 | 99 | 45291 | 56 | 596 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 50955 | 355 | 16514 | 5418 | 112 | 1524 | 85462 |  | 420 |  |  |  |

Table 3.5.4 By-catch landed for human consumption by Faroese Industrial Trawlers from ICES Div. IVa. Target species Norway POUT SANDEEL 1975-1982 (tonnes).

|  | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | 1982** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total landings Ind. Trawlers <br> Landed | $67832$ a for hum | 68960 consum | 57630 | 20548 | 26025 | 43212 | 26944 | $2246{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Cod | 652 | 448 | 257 | 50 | 111 | 150 | 94 | 60 |
| Haddock | 82 | 85 | 45 | 12 | 7 | 27 | 29 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Whiting | - | - | - | - | 7 | 21 | 21 | 56 |
| Ling | 7 | 208 | 306 | 88 | 68 | 44 | 71 | 18 |
| Monkfish | 28 | 96 | 87 | 24 | - | 12 | 49 | : |
| Saithe | 287 | 425 | 318 | 213 | 407 | 1020 | 417 | $67 \%$ |
| Others | 269 | 132 | 159 | 8 | 201 | 247 | 56 | 18; |
| $\Sigma$ | 1325 | 1394 | 1172 | 395 | 801 | 1521 | 737 | 1001, |

* Preliminary

Table 3.5.5 North Sea, species composition in Norwegian SANDEEL landings 1979-1.982 (tonnes).

| Year | Landings | Sandeel | Cod | Haddock | Whiting | Saithe | Herring | Mackerel | Others |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 103 | 273 | 101420 | 231 | 520 | 208 | 250 | - | - |
| 1980 | 147 | 748 | 144752 | 54 | 1118 | 382 | - | - | - |
| 1981 | 53 | 370 | 52641 | 29 | 504 | 68 | 6 | 4 | 644 |
| 1982 | 47647 | 46 | 514 | 86 | 703 | 107 | - | 8 | - |

Table 3.6.1 HADDOCK. North Sea 1982. Danish industrial by-catch

| Quarter | Catch in tonnes | Catch in numbers ( $10^{-6}$ ) at age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | I | II | III | IV | V |
| 1 | 6468 | - | 88.5 | 4.7 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| 2 | 1177 | - | 20.4 | 0.7 | 1.5 | - | - |
| 3 | 6387 | 196.8 | 38.9 | 6.8 | - | - | - |
| 4 | 2610 | 56.1 | 9.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | - |
| Total | 16642 | 252.9 | 157.4 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 |



Table 3.6.2 WHITING. North Sea 1982. Danish industrial by-catch

| Quarter | Catch in tonnes | Catch in numbers ( $10^{-6}$ ) at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| 1 | 18831 | - | 93.3 | 27.2 | 43.5 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 2414 | - | 21.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 2741 | 37.2 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 4.6 | - | - | 0.4 |
| 4 | 7117 | 31.5 | 4.3 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 31103 | 68.7 | 125.9 | 37.4 | 62.2 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 |


| Quarter | Mean weight at age (grammes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| 1 | - | 34 | 125 | 190 | 350 | 400 | 500 |
| 2 | - | 36 | 150 | 212 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 20 | 71 | 200 | 250 | - | - | 800 |
| 4 | 18 | 60 | 240 | 330 | 445 | 400 | 700 |
| $\left.\right\|_{\bar{V}} ^{\text {Weighted }}$ | 19 | 37 | 152 | 223 | 363 | 400 | 488 |

Table 4.1.1 NORWAY POUT. Annual landings (in thousand tonnes) by countries. North Sea 1957-1982

| Year | Denmark | Faroes | Norway | Sweden | $\begin{gathered} \text { UK } \\ \text { (Scotland) } \end{gathered}$ | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 61.5 |  | 7.8 |  |  |  | 69.3 |
| 1960 | 17.2 |  | 13.5 |  |  |  | 30.7 |
| 1961 | 20.5 |  | 8.1 |  |  |  | 28.6 |
| 1962 | 121.8 |  | 27.9 |  |  |  | 149.7 |
| 1963 | 67.4 |  | 70.4 |  |  |  | 137.8 |
| 1964 | 10.4 |  | 51.0 |  |  |  | 61.4 |
| 1965 | 8.2 |  | 35.0 |  |  |  | 43.2 |
| 1966 | 35.2 |  | 17.8 |  |  | $+$ | 53.0 |
| 1967 | 169.6 |  | 12.9 |  |  | $+$ | 182.6 |
| 1968 | 410.8 |  | 40.9 |  |  | + | 451.8 |
| 1969 | 52.5 | 19.6 | 41.4 |  |  | $+$ | 113.5 |
| 1970 | 142.1 | 32.0 | 63.5 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 238.0 |
| 1971 | 178.5 | 47.2 | 79.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 305.3 |
| 1972 | 259.6 | 56.8 | 120.5 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 444.8 |
| 1973 | 215.2 | 51.2 | 63.0 | 2.9 | 13.0 | 0.6 | 345.9 |
| 1974 | 464.5 | 85.0 | 154.2 | 2.1 | 26.7 | 3.3 | 735.8 |
| 1975 | 251.2 | 63.6 | 218.9 | 2.3 | 22.7 | 1.0 | 559.7 |
| 1976 | 244.9 | 64.6 | 108.9 | + | 17.3 | 1.7 | 435.4 |
| 1977 | 232.2 | $50.9{ }^{\text { }}$ | 98.3 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 389.9 |
| 1978 | 163.4 | 19.7 | 80.8 | 0.7 | 5.5 | - | 270.1 |
| 1979 | 219.9 | 21.9 | 75.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 319.8 |
| 1980 | 366.2 | 34.1 | 69.5 |  | 0.6 |  | 470.4 |
| 1981 | 167.5 | 16.6 | 51.3 |  | + |  | 235.4 |
| 1982 ${ }^{11}$ | $187.1^{2)}$ | 15.4 | 87.3 |  | 0 |  | - |

${ }^{1)}$ Preliminary
2) January - September only

Table 4.1.2 NORWAY POUT, North Sea. National landings (tonnes) by months 1980-1982 (Denmark, Norway, United Kingdom (Scotland))

| Month | Denmark | Norway | Faroes | $\begin{gathered} \text { UK } \\ \text { (Scotland) } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 14792 | 4962 | 2299 | 193 | 22246 |
| Feb | 18620 | 3459 | 3534 | 315 | 25928 |
| Mar | 11653 | 1934 | 2010 | - | 15597 |
| Apr | 7233 | 2103 | 158 | 8 | 9502 |
| May | 7853 | 8004 | 2249 | - | 18106 |
| Jun | 3114 | 8174 | 2104 | - | 13392 |
| JuI | 55385 | 8673 | 3001 | - | 67059 |
| Aug | 66255 | 10492 | 2325 | - | 79072 |
| Sep | 71144 | 13284 | 7846 | 87 | 92361 |
| Oct | 60474 | 1340 | 3976 | - | 65790 |
| Nov | 28749 | 6248 | 3279 | - | 38276 |
| Dec | 20938 | 787 | 1282 | - | 23077 |
| Total | 366210 | 69460 | 34063 | 603 | 470336 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 11782 | 2822 | 784 |  | 15388 |
| Feb | 20632 | 2892 | 1601 |  | 25125 |
| Mar | 10923 | 1282 | 1577 | - | 13782 |
| Apr | 6103 | 3119 | 2147 | - | 11369 |
| May | 1414 | 6733 | 2291 | - | 10438 |
| Jun | 4541 | 7424 | 1726 | - | 13691 |
| Jul | 7471 | 5510 | 2817 | - | 15798 |
| Aug | 25715 | 10226 | 724 | - | 36665 |
| Sep | 16465 | 4054 | - | - | 20518 |
| 0ct | 23721 | 2502 | 958 | - | 27181 |
| Nov | 17174 | 1413 | 1136 | - | 19723 |
| Dec | 21540 | 3334 | 810 | - | 25684 |
| Total | 167481 | 51311 | 16573 | 0 | 235365 |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 13072 | 3968 | 223 | - | 17263 |
| Feb | 12998 | 1769 | 641 | - | 15408 |
| Mar | 12117 | 1706 | 1379 | - | 15202 |
| Apr | 10162 | 6028 | 1098 | - | 17288 |
| May | 542 | 7705 | 1068 | - | 9315 |
| Jun | 0 | 19769 | 1160 | - | 20929 |
| Jul | 32488 | 10984 | 2225 | - | 45697 |
| Aug | 38939 | 8708 | 1891 | - | 49538 |
| Sep | 66734 | 9299 | 1608 | - | 77641 |
| Oct | T | 8104 | 2072 | - |  |
| Nov | n/a | 4943 | 1330 | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Dec | 1 | 4361 | 675 | - | 1 |
| Total | 187 052*) | 87344 | 15370 | 0 | - |

[^2]Table 4.1.3 NORWAY POUT. Annual landings (tonnes) in Division VIa (For 1971-1981 data officially reported to ICES)

| Country | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - 4) |
| Denmark | 363 | 186 | 42 | - | 193 | - | - | 4443 | 15609 | 13070 | ** | 751 ${ }^{4}$ |
| Faroes | - | - | 1743 | 1581 | 1524 | 6203 | 2177 | 18484 | 4772 | 3530 | 3540 | .) |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. |  | - | - | 179 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | - | 322 | 147 | 230 | 21 | 98 | 68 | 182 |  |
| Norway | - | - | - | 144 ${ }^{3)}$ | - | 823) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Poland | - | - | - | 75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1622 | 3760 | 9282 | 4702 | 6614 | 6346 | 2799 | 302 | 23 | 1202 | 1158 | 586 |
| USSR | - | - | - | 40 | 2 | 7147 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Totel | 1986 | 3946 | 11067 | 6721 | 8655 | 19933 | 5206 | 23250 | 20502 | 17870 |  |  |

${ }^{1)}$ Included in the North Sea. 2) Amended using national data. 3) Including by-catch. 4) Landings in foreign ports JuI-Dec
*)Preliminary. **) No final data received from Denmark.
not included.

Table 4.1.4 NORWAY POUT. Annual landings (tonnes) in Division IIIa (For 1971-1981 data officially reported to ICES

| Country | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 ${ }^{3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 25800 | 17259 | 23152 | 10669 | 15666 | 40144 | 20694 | 23922 | 23951 | 26235 | * | $51023{ }^{4 \prime}$ |
| Faroes |  |  | 643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 296 |  |  | $62^{\text {F) }}$ | 925 ${ }^{\text {²) }}$ | $50^{3 \text { F) }}$ | 104 | 362 | 1182 | 141 | 752 | 704 |
| Sweden |  | 1) | 1) | 1) | 3272 | 2255 | 318 | $591^{2)}$ | 32 | 39 | 60 | 1015 |
| Total | 26096 | 17259 | 23795 | 10731 | 19863 | 42449 | 21116 | 24875 | 25165 | 26415 |  |  |

*) Including by-catch. 1) Included in the North Sea. ${ }^{2)}$ Includes North Sea. ${ }^{3)}$ Preliminary.
4) Landings in foreign ports Jul-Dec nor included. ${ }^{5)}$ Data from Data Form 5. \%*)No final data received from Denmark.

Table 4.2.1 NORWAY POUT. Catch per unit of effort, tonnes per days fishing per GRT, by quarter in the Norwegian fishery

| Quarter Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Mean all year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 1.182 | 1.658 | 1.503 | 1.846 | 1.546 |
| 1973 | 0.860 | 1.581 | 1.675 | 1.267 | 1.282 |
| 1974 | 1.695 | 1.827 | 2.029 | 2.202 | 1.976 |
| 1975 | 1.680 | 1.779 | 2.380 | 2.431 | 1.969 |
| 1976 | 2.541 | 1.651 | 1.204 | 1.323 | 1.456 |
| 1977 | 1.845 | 1.188 | 1.467 | 1.622 | 1.455 |
| 1978 | 0.841 | 0.905 | 1.601 | 1.259 | 1.085 |
| 1979 | 1.180 | 1.016 | 1.709 | 1.781 | 1.455 |
| 1980 | 1.000 | 2.322 | 2.002 | 1.545 | 1.585 |
| 1981 | 1.055 | 1.498 | 1.660 | 1.448 | 1,440 |
| 1982 | 1.228 | 2.159 | 2.665 | 2.566 | 2.170 |

## Table 4.2.2 Faroese cpue data, industrial trawlers NORWAY POUT in ICES Division IVa and IVc (kg/hours)

| Month | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January <br> February <br> March <br> April <br> May <br> June <br> July <br> August <br> September <br> October <br> November <br> December | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 389 \\ & 932 \\ & 896 \\ & 670 \\ 1 & 110 \\ 1 & 052 \\ & 784 \\ 1 & 242 \\ 3 & 007 \\ 2 & 215 \\ 1 & 915 \\ 2 & 168 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 830 \\ 1 & 207 \\ 1 & 207 \\ 1 & 061 \\ & 885 \\ 1 & 542 \\ 1 & 178 \\ 1 & 331 \\ 2 & 495 \\ 2 & 139 \\ 2 & 003 \\ 2 & 455 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 543 \\ 1 & 755 \\ 1 & 478 \\ 1 & 523 \\ 1 & 978 \\ 2 & 508 \\ 1 & 576 \\ 2 & 387 \\ 2 & 807 \\ 2 & 648 \\ 1 & 993 \\ 2 & 222 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 005 \\ 1 & 104 \\ 1 & 210 \\ 1 & 204 \\ 1 & 308 \\ 1 & 015 \\ 1 & 294 \\ 1 & 051 \\ - \\ 1 & 663 \\ 1 & 387 \\ 1 & 496 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 109 \\ 1 & 384 \\ 1 & 839 \\ 1 & 777 \\ 1 & 553 \\ 1 & 755 \\ 2 & 301 \\ 2 & 571 \\ 2 & 297 \\ 2 & 297 \\ 2 & 346 \\ 2 & 003 \end{array}$ |
| Weight average/norn. | 1266 | 1557 | 2084 | 1250 | 2026 |
| Total effort reported in Log books | 11300 | 6660 | 9918 | 11256 | 7287 |
| Total catch reported Log books | 14307 | 10375 | 20673 | 14072 | 14777 |
| Total landings | 17699 | 21497 | 34064 | 16573 | $15349 \%$ ) |

[^3]Table 4.3.1 Norway Povr. Input data for quarterly VPA. Catch at age (no $\times 10^{-6}$ ).

|  |  | Age Groupa |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1974 | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 846 \\ & 5720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13450 \\ 7873 \\ 9966 \\ 7809 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 414 \\ & 193 \\ & 489 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 26 \\ 145 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | Not used in VPA |
| 1975 | 1 | - | 3742 | 1726 | 13 | - |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 889 \\ 9968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 7 & 206 \\ 7 & 117 \\ 2 & 027 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 383 \\ & 349 \\ & 461 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ - \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - |  |
| 1976 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 197 \\ 5986 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 4 & 450 \\ 7 & 580 \\ 5 & 349 \\ 3 & 157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 589 \\ & 645 \\ & 590 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 58 \\ 2 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | - |  |
| 1977 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 61 \\ & 1655 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 9 & 171 \\ 3 & 577 \\ 3 & 580 \\ 3 & 540 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 950 \\ & 367 \\ & 861 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 8 \\ 45 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $3$ |  |
| 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 304 \\ & 1225 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 931 \\ 1 & 181 \\ 2 & 385 \\ 1 & 400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1371 \\ 650 \\ 786 \\ 322 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ 194 \\ 30 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 4 - - |  |
| 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 968 \\ & 861 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 & 079 \\ 3 & 270 \\ 4 & 243 \\ 2 & 147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 940 \\ & 249 \\ & 763 \\ & 166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ 27 \\ 49 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 24 640 | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 & 025 \\ 2 & 576 \\ 7 & 709 \\ 3 & 913 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1072 \\ 686 \\ 1959 \\ 511 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59 \\ 29 \\ 18 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 2 5 - |  |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 36 \quad 557 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 223 \\ 1 & 072 \\ 1 & 309 \\ 1 & 036 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1688 \\ 621 \\ 944 \\ 301 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 77 \\ 17 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 151 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 5 & 264 \\ 3 & 243 \\ 6 & 563 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 415 \\ & 274 \\ & 429 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216 \\ 23 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 |  |

Table 4.3.2 NORWAY POUT. Quarterly VPA. Fishing mortality (quarter ${ }^{-1}$ ).

| Year | Quarter | Age groups |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1975 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .008 \\ .12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .22 \\ .37 \\ .18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .11 \\ & .18 \\ & .57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .18 \\ & .06 \\ & .49 \end{aligned}$ | .20 - - |
| 1976 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 0 \\ & .002 \\ & .08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .09 \\ .20 \\ .22 \\ .20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .09 \\ .18 \\ .34 \\ .45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .29 \\ .43 \\ .04 \\ .51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} .20 \\ - \\ - \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1977 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & .001 \\ & .04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .18 \\ .10 \\ .15 \\ .23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .10 \\ .07 \\ .33 \\ . .20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .11 \\ & .05 \\ & .55 \\ & .14 \end{aligned}$ | .20 - - |
| 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & -4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 0 \\ .003 \\ .02 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .09 \\ .05 \\ .15 \\ -13 \\ \hline-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .16 \\ .14 \\ .36 \\ -.35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .16 \\ .82 \\ .39 \\ .17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .20 - - |
| 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & .009 \\ & .01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .10 \\ .09 \\ .16 \\ .12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .14 \\ .07 \\ .43 \\ .22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .45 \\ .17 \\ .73 \\ .50 \end{array}$ | .20 <br> - <br> - |
| 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 0 \\ & .001 \\ & .03 \end{aligned}$ | .08 .06 .26 .21 | .10 .11 .80 .75 | .15 .14 .17 .11 | .20 - - |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{0} \\ 0 \\ .34 \end{gathered}$ | .12 .08 .15 .17 | .16 .11 .34 .24 | .33 .99 .99 .80 | .20 <br> - <br> - |
| 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | - | .08 .07 .20 | .12 .14 .50 | .38 .08 .50 | . 20 |

## Quarterly natural mortality

| Age Group | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |
| M | . 25 | . 25 | . 25 | . 25 | . 25 | . 25 | . 25 | . 25 | . 5 | . 5 | $\cdot 5$ | . |  |  | 5.5 |  | . |  | . 5 | . 5 |  |  | . 5 |

Table 4.3.3 NORWAY POUT. Quarterly VPA. Stock number x $10^{-6}$

|  |  | $A \mathrm{Ge}$ Gxoupo |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1975 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162550 \\ 126594 \\ 97810 \end{array}$ | 41155 <br> 24737 <br> 13828 | $\begin{array}{ll} 4 & 807 \\ 2 & 622 \\ 1 & 323 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 7 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  |
| 1976 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $144489$ <br> 112520 <br> 87464 | 67423 <br> 48160 <br> 30862 <br> 19348 | $\begin{array}{ll} 8 & 991 \\ 5 & 001 \\ 2 & 540 \\ 1 & 093 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 456 \\ 207 \\ 82 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $2$ |
| 1977 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}  & - \\ 82 & 762 \\ 64 & 455 \\ 50 & 145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 62 & 857 \\ 40 & 910 \\ 28 & 719 \\ 19 & 225 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12301 \\ 6 \quad 732 \\ 3 \\ 801 \\ 1 \end{array} 651$ | $\begin{array}{r} 421 \\ 230 \\ 133 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | $17$ |
| 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rl}  & - \\ 136 & 956 \\ 106 & 662 \\ 82 & 800 \end{array}$ | 37597 <br> 26706 <br> 19760 <br> 13296 | $\begin{array}{rr} 11 & 871 \\ 6 & 150 \\ 3 & 233 \\ 1 & 364 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 821 \\ 427 \\ 114 \\ 47 \end{array}$ |  |
| 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rc}  & \\ 157 & 114 \\ 122 & 361 \\ 94 & 443 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 63 & 407 \\ 44 & 919 \\ 32 & 110 \\ 21 & 285 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 9 & 126 \\ 4 & 815 \\ 2 & 728 \\ 1 & 077 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 582 \\ 225 \\ 116 \\ 34 \end{array}$ | $24$ |
| 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 47737 <br> 37178 <br> 28933 | $\begin{array}{ll} 72 & 794 \\ 52 & 277 \\ 38 & 448 \\ 23 & 194 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 14 & 692 \\ 8 & 088 \\ 4 & 379 \\ 1 & 195 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 527 \\ 275 \\ 144 \\ 74 \end{array}$ | $12$ |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lc}  & - \\ 232 & 505 \\ 181 & 075 \\ 140 & 954 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 21 & 971 \\ 15 & 159 \\ 10 & 864 \\ 7 & 312 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 14 & 634 \\ 7 & 583 \\ 4 & 123 \\ 1 & 783 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 343 \\ 150 \\ 34 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |
| 1982 | 1 2 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 77826 \\ & 55985 \\ & 40751 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4785 \\ & 2585 \\ & 1358 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 852 \\ & 352 \\ & 196 \end{aligned}$ | $2$ |

Table 4.3.4 Estimation of $Z$ for NORWAY POUT

| Year <br> class | a) $z=\frac{1}{E-t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IYFS }{ }^{\text {b) }} \\ Z=\log \frac{\text { cpue (1) }}{\text { cpue }(2)} \end{gathered}$ | $\bar{Z}_{\mathrm{VPA}}(1-2)^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> average of 1 and 2 groups (weighted by stock numbers) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 1.92 | 2.36 | - |
| 1974 | 1.75 | 2.40 | - |
| 1975 | 1.73 | 2.62 | 1.52 |
| 1976 | 1.82 | 1.38 | 1.89 |
| 1977 | 1.50 | 2.08 | 1.69 |
| 1978 | 1.46 | 1.48 | 1.90 |
| 1979 | - | 1.99 | 1.81 |
| Mean | 1.70 | 2.04 | 1.76 |

a) for year class 1978 the estimation is:

| Relative age | Quarter | Age group | Number caught C | C - t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{\prime}=0.625$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0.75 | 1 | 1 | 5079 | 3809 |
| 1.00 | 2 |  | 3270 | 3270 |
| 1.25 | 3 |  | 4243 | 5304 |
| 1.50 | 4 |  | 2147 | 3221 |
| 1.75 | 1 | 2 | 1072 | 1876 |
| 2.00 | 2 |  | 686 | 1. 372 |
| 2.25 | 3 |  | 1959 | 4408 |
| 2.50 | 4 |  | 511 | 1278 |
| 2.75 | 1 | 3 | 76 | 209 |
| 3.00 | 2 |  | 77 | 231 |
| 3.25 | 3 |  | 17 | 55 |
| 3.50 | 4 |  | 3 | 11 |
| 3.75 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 19140 | 25044 |

$\overline{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{25044}{19140}=1.308$
$Z=\frac{1}{E-t^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{1.308-0.625}=1.46$
(Data from Table 4.3.1)

Table 4.4.1 Recruitment indices of Norway POUT 1954-1482 as shown by number per hour's fishing on research vessel surveys.

| Year class | Abundance on pelagic 0group surveys | Abundance in northwestern North Sea in Scottish autumn surveys | Abundance on international <br> young fish surveys ${ }^{1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arithmetic mean 0-group | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Geometric mean } \\ \text { O-group } \end{array}{ }^{\text {as }} \text { l-group } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arithmetic mean } \\ \text { l-group }{ }^{\text {as }} \begin{array}{c} 2 \text {-group } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1959 |  | - 106.8 (22) |  |
| 1960 |  | 10.9 (22) 28.1 (14) |  |
| 1961 |  | 59.6 (14) 181.7 (15) |  |
| 1962 |  | 25.0 (15) 141.8 (15) |  |
| 1963 |  | 8.5 (15) 6.6 (14) |  |
| 1964 |  | 14.0 (14) 18.6 (11) |  |
| 1965 |  | 1.2 (11) 6.1 (13) |  |
| 1966 |  | 16.4 (13) - |  |
| 1967 |  | - 243.2 (17) |  |
| 1968 |  | 4.5 ( 7) - | 6 |
| 1969 |  | - . 33.1 ( 4) | $35 \quad 22$ |
| 1970 |  | 101.7 ( 4) 111.7 (12) | 1556653 |
| 1971 | 3347 (26) | 16.7 (12) 328.8 (22) | 3425438 |
| 1972 | 545 (28) | 36.3 (22) 16.6 (10) | 4207399 |
| 1973 | 2558 (28) | 224.4 (10) 121.6 (22) | $25626 \quad 2412$ |
| 1974 | 3237 (28) | 84.4 (22) 9.5 (11) | 4242385 |
| 1975 | 3623 (28) | 41.2 (11) - | 4599334 |
| 1976 | 10884 (28) | 131.5 (16) | 48131215 |
| 1977 | 1521 (28) | 77.7 (16) 83.9 (34) | 1913240 |
| 1978 | 2974 (27) | 144.3 (34) | 2690611 |
| 1979 | 1868 (27) | - - | 4081557 |
| 1980 | 500 (27) | - 18.7 (22) | 1375403 |
| 1981 | 2843 (27) | 191.5 (22) 97.8 (29) | 4315 n/a |
| 1982 | 970 | 36.1 (29) | n/a |
| NB. Number of statistical rectangles sampled shown in brackets |  |  |  |

1) 

From report of International Gadoid Survey Working Group; standard area C.M. 1981/H:10, standard area of 93 statistical rectangles.

Table 4.4.2 Recruitment indices of Norway POUT as shown by the number per hour's fishing on English research vessel surveys.

| Year/Class | Groundfish survey <br> August North Sea 0-group (entire North Sèa) | Norway pout survey November l-group 2-group 3-group <br> Norway Pout distribution area) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | - |  |  |  | 0.5 |
| 1977 | 61295 |  |  | 22.2 | 8.2 |
| 1978 | 57342 |  | 550.1 | 43.1 | - |
| 1979 | 69087 | 644.9 | 451.9 | 12.3 | 3.6 |
| 1980 | 11576 | 210.6 | 214.6 | 4.2 |  |
| 1981 | 145015 | 2394.6 | 716.6 |  |  |
| 1982 | 66776 | 1956.7 |  |  |  |

Table 4.4.3 Regression of stock number from quarterly VPA on abundance indices.

| Source | Year <br> Classes | Intercept | Slope | Correction <br> Coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $74-81$ | 17900 | 11.5 | 0.84 |
| B | $73-80$ | 10600 | 0.30 | 0.06 |
| C | $74-81$ | 92240 | 3.8 | 0.28 |

A: IYFS indices of one group abundance versus VPA numbers in first quarter.

B: IYFS indices of two group abundance versus VPA numbers in first quarter.

C: $\quad$ Pelagic O-group indices of abundance versus VPA numbers in third quarter.
(Data from Tables 4.3.3 and 4.4.1.)

Table 4.5.1 Norway POUT, North Sea
Mean wisight at age by quarters in Norwegian catches (prammes)


Table 4.5.2 Norway POUT. Mean weight at age by quarters in Danish catches (grammes).


Table 4.6.1 Norway POUT, North Sea. Quarterly and annual landings in weight by age as a percentage of the overall landing,

| Year and Quarter | A ge |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 0 | 11\% | 6\% | 2\% | - |
| II | 0 | 10\% | 2\% | 0.3\% | 0 |
| III | 1\% | 32\% | 10\% | - | 0 |
| IV | 2\% | 19\% | 3\% | - | 0 |
| 1979 Total | 3\% | $72 \%$ | 21\% | $3 \%$ | - |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 0 | 8\% | 4\% | - | - |
| II | 0 | 5\% | 3\% | - | - |
| III | - | 38\% | 17\% | - | - |
| IV | 1\% | 19\% | 4\% | - | - |
| 1980 Total | 1\% | 70\% | 28\% | 1\% | - |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 0 | 7\% | 16\% | 1\% | - |
| II | 0 | 6\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0 |
| III | - | 16\% | 16\% | - | - |
| IV | 10\% | 14\% | 6\% | - | 0 |
| 1981 Total | 10\% | 43\% | 44\% | $3 \%$ | - |

- = less than 0.5\%

Table 4.9.1 Norway POUT - Historical catches and survey indices obtained during each year.

| Year of observation | Total <br> catch <br> x 1000 t | Catch in numbers Age 1 and + $\times 10^{-6}$ | IYFS l-group lst quarter | Scottish 0-group <br> Autumn | Pelagic <br> O-group <br> June | English Bottom Surveys |  | IYFS <br> l- and 2 groups <br> lst quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | nb/hour 0-group August | O-group November |  |
| 1970 | 238 |  | 35 | 102 | - |  |  | 41 |
| 1971 | 305 |  | 1556 | 17 | 3347 |  |  | 1578 |
| 1972 | 445 |  | 3425 | 36 | 545 |  |  | 4078 |
| 1973 | 346 |  | 4207 | 224 | 2558 |  |  | 4645 |
| 1974 | 736 | 40537 | 25626 | 84 | 3237 |  |  | 26025 |
| 1975 | 560 | 23027 | 4242 | 41 | 3623 |  |  | 6654 |
| 1976 | 435 | 23346 | 4599 | - | 10884 |  |  | 4984 |
| 1977 | 390 | 22376 | 4813 | 78 | 1521 | 958 |  | 5147 |
| 1978 | 270 | 11353 | 1913 | 144 | 2974 | 765 |  | 3128 |
| 1979 | 320 | 17118 | 2690 | - | 1868 | 1031 | 645 | 2930 |
| 1980 | 470 | 23570 | 4081 | - | 500 | 181 | 211 | 4692 |
| 1981 | 235 | 9378 | 1375 | 191 | 2843 | 2071 | 2395 | 1932 |
| 1982 | $370^{7}$ |  | 4315 | 36 | 970 | 1518 | 1957 | 4718 |
| 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* estimated figure

Table 5.1.1 Landings of SANDEEL from the North Sea 1952-82, in thousand tonnes.

| Year | Denmark | Germany, Fed.Rep. | Faroes | Netherlands | Norway | Sweden | U.K. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1.6 |
| 1953 | 4.5 | + | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |
| 1954 | 10.8 | + | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 10.8 |
| 1955 | 37.6 | $+$ | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 37.6 |
| 1956 | 81.9 | 5.3 | 0 | + | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 88.7 |
| 1957 | 73.3 | 25.5 | 0 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 105.7 |
| 1958 | 74.4 | 20.2 | 0 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 100.9 |
| 1959 | 77.1 | 17.4 | 0 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 107.6 |
| 1960 | 100.8 | 7.7 | 0 | + | 12.1 | 0 | 0 | 120.6 |
| 1961 | 73.6 | 4.5 | 0 | + | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 83.2 |
| 1962 | 97.4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 109.3 |
| 1963 | 134.4 | 16.4 | 0 | 0 | 11.5 | 0 | 0 | 162.3 |
| 1964 | 104.7 | 12.9 | 0 | 0 | 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 128.0 |
| 1965 | 123.6 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 0 | 130.6 |
| 1966 | 138.5 | 4.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 143.1 |
| 1967 | 187:4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 188.7 |
| 1968 | 193.6 | + | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 193.7 |
| 1969 | 112.8 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 113.3 |
| 1970 | 187.8 | $+$ | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 3.6 | 191.4 |
| 1971 | 371.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 8.3 | 382.1 |
| 1972 | 329.0 | $+$ | 0 | 0 | 18.6 | 8.8 | 2.1 | 358.5 |
| 1973 | 273.0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 17.2 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 296.9 |
| 1974 | 424.1 | 0 | 6.4 | 0 | 78.6 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 524.8 |
| 1975 | 355.6 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 54.0 | 0.1 | 13.6 | 428.2 |
| 1976 | 424.7 | 0 | - | 0 | 44.2 | - | 18.7 | 487.6 |
| 1977 | 664.3 | 0 | 11.4 | 0 | 78.7 | 5.7 | 25.5 | 785.6 |
| 1978 | 647.5 | 0 | 12.1 | 0 | 93.5 | 1.2 | 32.5 | 786.8 |
| 1979 | 449.8 | 0 | 13.2 | 0 | 101.4 | 0 | 13.4 | 577.8 |
| 1980 | 542.2 | 0 | 7.2 | 0 | 144.8 | 0 | 34.3 | 728.5 |
| 1981 | 464.4 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 52.6 | 0 | 46.7 | 568.6 |
| 1982 | 506.9 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 46.5 | 0.4 | 52.2 | 610.9 |

- = no information
$+=$ less than half unit

Table 5.1.2 SANDEEL. North Sea. Monthly landings
(tonnes) by country, 1979-82.

| Year <br> and Month | Denmark | Faroes | Norway | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.K. } \\ \text { (Scotland) } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1979 \begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & \text { Apr } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { Jun } \\ & \text { Jul } \\ & \text { Jug } \\ & \text { Aup } \\ & \text { Sep } \\ & \text { Oct } \\ & \text { Nov } \end{aligned}$ |  682 <br> 19 528 <br> 124 062 <br> 166 055 <br> 60 710 <br> 68 630 <br> 2 908 <br> 7 175 <br>  5 | $\begin{array}{rr}57 \\ & 695 \\ 3687 \\ 6395 \\ 2352\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 351 \\ 4996 \\ 7 & 811 \\ 32 & 447 \\ 12 & 462 \\ 17 & 110 \\ 10 & 174 \\ 16 & 109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}  & 906 \\ 2 & 985 \\ 3 & 907 \\ 2 & 413 \\ 2 & 518 \\ & 649 \\ & 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1090 \\ 26085 \\ 138545 \\ 208804 \\ 77937 \\ 88258 \\ 13731 \\ 23310 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 449755 | 13186 | 101420 | 13404 | 577765 |
| $1980 \quad$Feb  <br>  Mar <br>  Apr <br>  May <br>  Jun <br>  Jul <br>  Aug <br>  Sep <br>  Oct <br>  Nov | 12 558 <br> 31 228 <br> 192 155 <br> 214 867 <br> 68 403 <br> 10 290 <br> 7 827 <br> 4863  | $\begin{array}{rr}  & 68 \\ & 111 \\ & 735 \\ 1 & 679 \\ 3 & 566 \\ 1 & 048 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}6 & 048 \\ 7 & 103 \\ 37 & 092 \\ 61 & 603 \\ 10 & 228 \\ 2 & 849 \\ 3 & 119 \\ 16 & 055 \\ & 716\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}2 & 060 \\ 4 & 450 \\ 10 & 877 \\ 7 & 555 \\ 5 & 311 \\ 1 & 346\end{array}$ |  68 <br> 18 717 <br> 41 126 <br> 235 376 <br> 290 913 <br> 87 234 <br> 18 450 <br> 12 293 <br> 20 918 <br>  716 |
| Total | 542191 | 7207 | 144813 | 31599 | 725810 |
|  | 4 $8-758$ 42875 120410 109175 118130 30724 16836 17502 | - <br> - <br> 268 <br> 415 <br> 439 <br> 96 <br> 1300 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 172 \\ 4731 \\ 5356 \\ 27179 \\ 6100 \\ 5575 \\ 1.455 \\ - \\ 2031 \end{array}$ |  | 4  <br> 172  <br> 13757  <br> 53664  <br> 155 458 <br> 125 403 <br> 135 408 <br> 40448  <br> 23985  <br> 20317  |
| Total | 454414 | 4935 | 52599 | 46668 | 568616 |
| $1982 \begin{array}{ll} \text { Jan } \\ & \text { Feb } \\ \text { Mar } \\ \text { Apr } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { Jun } \\ & \text { Jul } \\ & \text { Aug } \\ & \text { Sep } \\ & \text { Oct } \\ & \text { Nov } \end{array}$ |  | $\left.\right\|_{n / a}$ | - - 3306 8895 16797 17516 - - - - - | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ - \\ - \\ 5953 \\ 9 \\ 349 \\ 10011 \\ 10889 \\ 8017 \\ 6458 \\ 1 \end{array} 329$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ - \\ 4150 \\ 98796 \\ 194697 \\ 216490 \\ 66129 \\ 15327 \\ 8518 \\ 1329 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 506916 | 4903 | 46514 | 52006 | 605436 excl. Faroe |

- 68

Table 5.1.3 SANDEEL. North Sea. Catch (tonnes) by month and area (Denmark, Norway, United Kingdom (Scotland)).

| YOar AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Month | 1A | 1 B | 10 | 24 | 2B | 2 C | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Shetland |
| 1979 Mar |  |  | 351 |  |  | 682 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr | 11476 | 49 | 3602 | 2067 | 1130 | 1536 | 5 534 | 4090 38584 |  |  | 906 2985 |
| May | 47648 | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 4099 12556 | $\begin{array}{rr}23 & 149 \\ 4 & 316\end{array}$ | 2044 5 | 642 33 | 5992 7978 | $\begin{array}{ll}38 & 584 \\ 24 & 277\end{array}$ | 867 1645 | 8848 21598 | 2985 3907 |
| Jun | 119632 | 281. | 12556 | 4316 | 5886 17593 | 333 1997 | 7978 | 24 12 | 1645 2859 | 21598 12 | 3907 2413 |
| Jul | 15700 | 454 | 2149 | 1253 | 17593 | 1997 63574 | 6408 | 12493 | 2859 | 12266 | 2413 2518 |
| Aug |  | 143 | 14883 |  | 86 | 63574 1306 | 7043 |  |  | 11 764 | 2518 |
| Sep |  |  | 8868 | 1490 | 112 | 1306 | 542 |  |  | 764 | 649 26 |
| Oct Hov |  | $+$ | 14455 |  | 173 | 2262 | 5630 5 |  |  | 764 | 26 |
| Total | 194456 | 927 | 60963 | 32275 | 27024 | 72332 | 34132 | 79.444 | 5371 | 44251 | 13.404 |
| $1980 \begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { Apr } \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { Juy } \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { Jul } \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { Aug } \\ & \\ & \text { Sep } \\ & \\ & \text { Oot } \\ & \\ & \text { Nov }\end{aligned}$ | 581 |  | 6048 | 1938 | 605 | 9433 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 6797 | 1031 | 6 374 | 5043 | 4208 | 13179 |  | 1956 |  |  | 1803 |
|  | 108561 | 821 | 30256 | 27870 | 21595 | 808 | 20477 | 10676 | 991 | 8422 | 3219 |
|  | 81909 | 1404 | 44828 | 48682 | 23865 | 247 | 35706 | 11399 | 6146 | 26316 | 6845 |
|  | 17249 | 74 | 9140 | 5978 | 2079 | 102 | 18076 | 6812 | 1516 | 18240 | 6920 |
|  |  |  | 2833 |  | 16 |  | 10290 |  |  |  | 5311 |
|  |  |  | 3100 |  | 19 |  | 5213 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 617 \\ 1 & 463\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}15995 \\ \hline 716 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 3218 | 242 |  |  | 1463 |  |
| Total | 2150.97 | 3330 | 119290 | 89511 | 52387 | 26987 | 90004 | 30.843 | 8653 | 57059 | 25444 |
| 1981. | - | - | 172 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | - 216 | - | 4703 | - | - | 7364 | - | - | $\checkmark 678$ | 1422 | - 010 |
|  | 18116 | - | 5257 | 4535 | - 040 | 9132 | 4863 | 2238 | 678 | 3412 | 5018 |
|  | 63193 | 19 | 25712 | 16685 | 2840 | 5445 | 4953 | 19111 | 852 | 8779 | 7430 |
|  | 22388 | 1 | 4631 | 8477 | 990 | 1699 | 15475 | 27018 | 11184 | 23429 | 10016 |
|  | - | 90 | 906 | 87721 | 5111 | 227 | 6001 | 15074 | 584 | 7991 | 10403 |
|  | - | - | 1455 | 8304 | - | - | 22420 | - | - | - | 7107 |
|  | - | - | - | 12081 | 453 | - | 4302 | - | - | - | 5968 |
|  | I 466 | - | - | 14063 | 2310 | - | I 596 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 98 | 710 |
| Nov | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 105163 | 110 | 42836 | 151866 | 11704 | 23 867 | 59620 | 63441 | 13298 | 45131 | 46652 |
| 1982 liar | - | - | 502 | 84423007 | 2130 | 674 | - | - | - | - | $5 \overline{953}$ |
| Apr | 42046 | 4981 | 3153 |  | 6071 | 1150 | 2891 | 1905 | - | 7639 |  |
| May | 67920 | 34 | 139 | 67822 | 837 | $\begin{aligned} & 370 \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ | 20265 | 2066 | - | 11895 | 9349 |
| Jun | 73654 | 349 | 586 | 31521 | $\begin{array}{r}756 \\ 1058 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 3278 | 41203 | 5916 | 49077 | 10011 |
| Jul | 6167 | - | - | 8901 |  | - | 2124 | 30512 | 956 | 5522 | 10889 |
| Aug | - | - | - |  | - | - | 6742 | - | - | 568 | 8017 |
| Sep | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2060 | - | - | - | 64581329 |
| Oct | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Nov | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Total | 189787 | 5364 | 4380 | 132095 | 24852 | 2333 | 37360 | 75686 | 6872 | 74701 | 52006 |

## Table 5.I. 4

Annual landings ( 1000 tonnes) of SANDEELS by Sub-area of the North Sea (Denmark, Norway, United Kingdom (Scotland)).

| Year | Sub-areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 a | Ib | 1c | 2a | 2 b | 2 c | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Shetland |
| 1972 | 98.8 | 28.1 | 3.9 | 24.5 | 85.1 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 58.3 | 6.7 | 28.0 | 0.0 |
| 1973 | 59.3 | 37.1 | 1.2 | 16.4 | 60.6 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 37.4 | 9.6 | 59.7 | 0.0 |
| 1974 | 50.4 | 178.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 177.9 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 27.4 | 11.7 | 25.4 | 7.4 |
| 1975 | 70.0 | 38.2 | 17.8 | 12.2 | 154.7 | 4.8 | 38.2 | 42.8 | 12.3 | 19.2 | 12.9 |
| 1976 | 154.0 | 3.5 | 39.7 | 71.8 | 38.5 | 3.1 | 50.2 | 59.2 | 8.9 | 36.7 | 20.2 |
| . 1977 | 171.9 | 34.0 | 62.0 | 154.1 | 179.7 | 1.3 | 71.4 | 28.0 | 13.0 | 25.3 | 21.5 |
| 1978 | 159.7 |  | .2 | 346.5 |  | . 3 | 42.5 | 37.4 | 6.4 | 27.2 | 28.1 |
| 1979 | 194.5 | 0.9 | 61.0 | 32.3 | 27.0 | 72.3 | 34.1 | 79.4 | 5.4 | 44.3 | 13.4 |
| 1980 | 215.1 | 3.3 | 119.3 | 89.5 | 52.4 | 27.0 | 90.0 | 30.8 | 8.7 | 57.1 | 25.4 |
| 1981 | 105.2 | 0.1 | 42.8 | 151.9 | 11.7 | 23.9 | 59.6 | 63.4 | 13.3 | 45.1 | 46.7 |
| 1982 | 189.8 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 132.1 | 24.9 | 2.3 | 37.4 | 75.7 | 6.9 | 74.7 | 52.0 |

Table 5.1.5 SANDEEL, Division VIa
Landings in tonnes 1974-1981 as officially reported to ICES

| Country Year | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | $1982^{\text {F\% }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | \# |  |
| Norway |  |  | 17 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| UK(Scotland) ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | + | + | + | 13 | $+$ |  | 211 | 5972 | 10873 |

1) Amended from national data

* Final data for Denmark not yet available
\% Preliminary

Table 5.1. 6 SANDEEL, Division IIIa
Landings in tonnes as officially reported to ICES

| Country | Y E A R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 ${ }^{\text {\#* }}$ |
| Denmark | 21567 | 7919 | 9878 | 7912 | 16421 | 21418 | 16082 | 21731 | 33305 | 39357 | $40423^{*}$ | 21539 |
| Faroes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden |  | I) | 1) | I) | 79 | 67 | 432 | $1121{ }^{2}$ | 3 | 9 | 32 |  |

1) Included in the North Sea
2) Includes North Sea

F Final data for Denmark not yet available
\#\# Preliminary

Table 5.2.1 Fishing effort (hours fishing) by month and year in the Shetland SANDEEL fishery, 1975-1982

| Year <br> Month | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March | 298 | 36 | 436 | 234 |  |  |  |  |
| April | 725 | 1275 | 1209 | 654 | 308 | 626 | 1457 | 1153 |
| May | 868 | 1203 | 1408 | 2030 | 990 | 886 | 2069 | 2523 |
| June | 989 | 2043 | 1893 | 1859 | 1027 | 1832 | 2387 | 2497 |
| July | 1724 | 2632 | 1673 | 1350 | 693 | 1647 | 22.77 | 2240 |
| August | 2333 | 2023 | 947 | 1683 | 760 | 1192 | 1652 | 1928 |
| September | 730 | 670 | 528 | 1473 | 340 | 395 | 1062 | 1695 |
| October | 186 | 484 | 212 | 934 | 9 |  | 135 | 357 |
| November |  | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7908 | 10511 | 8306 | 10217 | 4127 | 6578 | 11039 | 12393 |

Table 5.3.1 SANDEELS Number caught $\times 10^{-6}$. Southern area of the North Sea 1981-82.

| Month | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Nar | - | 3.6 | 234.0 | 36.1 | 19.0 | 7.2 | - | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |
| Apr | - | 3775.0 | 1944.5 | 227.2 | 122.4 | 51.1 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| May | - | 4837.6 | 5527.5 | 1388.1 | 540.2 | 258.3 | 77.0 | 7.9 | - |  |
| Jun | 411.4 | 4650.2 | 3902.2 | 791.0 | 85.4 | 33.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | - |  |
| Jul | $33 \quad 336.9$ | 403.2 | 1874.3 | 214.1 | 35.2 | 3.4 | - | - | - |  |
| Aug | 3334.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sep | 2763.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Oct | 3574.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Nov | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dec | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\sum$ | 43421.2 | 13670.6 | 13482.5 | 2556.5 | 802.2 | 353.4 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 4.9 | 74391.3 |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar | - | 210.8 | 11.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Apr | - | 14723.1 | 1126.2 | 678.1 | 163.3 | 27.6 | - | - | - |  |
| May | - | 20940.6 | 2379.3 | 1069.1 | 207.9 | 36.1 | - | 2.1 | - |  |
| Jun | 239.9 | 20134.4 | 2648.2 | 1498.6 | 1424.6 | 29.0 | 23.6 | 5.6 | - |  |
| Jul | 4991.2 | 4611.5 | 484.0 | 337.0 | 35.7 | 3.9 | - | - | - |  |
| Aug | - | 61.7 | 1.9 | 3.8 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |  |
| Sep | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Oct | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | * | - | - | - |  |
| Nov | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dec | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\sum$ | 5231.1 | 60682.1 | 6651.1 | 3586.6 | 1831.5 | 96.6 | 23.6 | 7.7 | - | 78110.3 |

Table 5.3.2 SANDEELS 1981-82.Numbers caught $\times 10^{-6}$. Northern area of the North Sea (Shetland excluded)

| Month | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb | - | - | 4.0 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | - | - | - |  |
| Mar | - | 1494.6 | 816.5 | 43.8 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Apr | - | 1895.5 | 1525.5 | 154.9 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| May | - | 1139.0 | 1354.9 | 567.0 | 51.9 | 9.8 | 4.4 | 1.9 | - |  |
| Jun | 17.0 | 923.9 | 369.6 | 127.3 | 71.8 | 8.8 | 16.9 | 3.4 | - |  |
| Jui | 2082.2 | 276.7 | 89.1 | 13.7 | 6.4 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Aug | 4991.6 | 53.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sep | 975.0 | 3.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Oct | 993.1 | 8.9 | 3.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Nov | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - |  |
| Dec | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $5$ | 9058.9 | 5795.3 | 4163.2 | 908.8 | 133.6 | 18.9 | 21.3 | 5.3 | - | 20105.3 |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar | - | 496.4 | 46.3 | 8.3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Apr | - | 1480.4 | 962.3 | 141.5 | 3.9 | - | - | - | - |  |
| May | - | 1183.2 | 1045.2 | 374.6 | 70.2 | 9.1 | - | - | - |  |
| Jun | 1.5 | 324.2 | 58.4 | 26.1 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Jul | 1919.8 | 20.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Aug | 4067.8 | 43.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Sep | 480.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Oct | - | - | - | - | - | ~ | - | - | $\sim$ |  |
| Nov | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dec | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 6469.7 | 3548.4 | 2112.2 | 550.5 | 75.3 | 9.1 | - | - | - | 12765.2 |

Table 5.3.3 SANDEELS (Shetland). Numbers caught $\times 10^{-6}$.

| Year/ <br> Month | t | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 906 | - | 335.8 | 129.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| May | 2985 | - | 498.8 | 111.8 | 27.9 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |  |
| June | 3907 | - | 653.4 | 146.3 | 36.5 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 |  |
| July | 2413 | 42.1 | 263.7 | 89.0 | 14.7 | 13.1 | 7.2 |  |  |  |
| August | 2518 | 943.6 | 205.3 | 47.7 | 6.4 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| September | 649 | 311.5 | 10.3 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| October | 26 | 12.5 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13404 | 1309.7 | 1967.7 | 524.7 | 90.0 | 25.7 | 15.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | - |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 1803 | - | 112.6 | 124.5 | 63.1 | 21.9 | 3.7 | 2.4 |  |  |
| May | 3219 | 0.6 | 401.9 | 126.5 | 52.6 | 14.7 | 7.6 |  | 0.6 |  |
| June | 6845 | 76.4 | 54.3 | 117.4 | 1.57 .0 | 59.8 | 68.8 | 34.9 | 13.1 |  |
| July | 6920 | 5132.6 | 81.7 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 5311 | 1776.1 | 125.0 | 68.1 | 19.0 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 0.9 |  |  |
| September | 1346 | 225.4 | 35.5 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 |  |  |  |
| Total | 25444 | 7211.1 | 811.1 | 472.9 | 301.9 | 109.0 | 85.7 | 38.2 | 13.7 | - |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 5018 | - | 1076.9 | 445.3 | 66.3 | 12.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| May | 7430 | 0.5 | 369.1 | 556.7 | 171.6 | 32.9 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| June | 10016 | 104.3 | 470.9 | 421.6 | 161.3 | 68.1 | 36.5 | 9.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| July | 10403 | 5618.5 | 427.8 | 57.9 | 16.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| August | 7107 | 4308.8 | 46.5 | 25.3 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| September | 5968 | 3287.0 | 84.0 | 7.8 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  |
| October | 710 | 390.9 | 10.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Total | 46652 | 13710.0 | 2485.2 | 1515.5 | 426.9 | 119.5 | 56.3 | 26.2 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 5953 | - | 3318.6 | 236.7 | 20.8 | 14.4 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| May | 9349 | 0.1 | 1118.5 | 553.5 | 275.4 | 126.7 | 63.3 | 24.9 | 5.4 | 8.1 |
| June | 10011 | 716.6 | 778.4 | 393.7 | 197.3 | 48.4 | 20.5 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| July | 10889 | 8938.2 | 240.9 | 43.9 | 27.2 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 0.3 | - | - |
| August | 8017 | 4169.3 | 140.8 | 31.4 | 8.1 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | - |
| September | 6458 | 2637.8 | 21.6 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| October | 1329 | 537.7 | 12.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 520061 | 6 999.7 | 5631.1 | 1260.9 | 528.8 | 198.8 | 91.7 | 27.3 | 8.9 | 9.7 |

Table 5.3.4 SANDEELS in the southern North Sea. VPA catch in numbers, half year $\left(x 10^{-6}\right.$ )

| Year | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groud | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 670 | 76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2839 15695 | 86 | 14497 | 206 | 5989 | 226 | 11458 | 480 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16\end{aligned}$ | 0 249 | 190 | 13263 269 |
| 2 | 15695 | 1148 | 2515 | 53 | 3930 | 10 | 1694 | 1046 | 14505 | 2358 | - 596 | $\begin{array}{r} 269 \\ 27 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | 418 | 35 | 3832 | 151 | 497 | 0 | 2838 | 170 | 1522 | 392 | 6300 | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | 128 | 24 | 183. | 5 | 1968 | 3 | - 529 | 253 | 12234 | 392 | - 965 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 94 | 16 | 89 | 3 | 205 | 0 | 666 | 0 | -171 | 102 20 | 965 445 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 20 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 72 | 58 | 239 | 3 3 |
| 7 8 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 124 | $0^{3}$ |
| 8 | 29 | - | 53 | - | 73 | - | 3 | - | 0 |  | 36 |  |
| Total | 19225 | 1308 | 21221 | 423 | 13363 | 315 | 17280 | 1949 | 33817 | 3195 | 33204 | 13581 |


| Year <br> Age Group | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 922 | 41224 | 181 | 1947 | 62 | 72 | 415 | 43420 | 242 |  |
| 1 | 58839 | 2774 | 16018 | 5210 | 33269 | 4738 | 13394 | 407 | 56545 | 4718 |
| 2 | 16948 | 385 | 22737 | 2085 | 12472 | 840 | 11719 | 1892 | 6224 | 490 49 |
| 3 | 1793 | 125 | 4487 | 138 | 3794 | 575 | 2466 | 115 |  | 490 344 |
| 4 | 1006 | 97 | 1265 | 110 | - 375 | 9 | $\begin{array}{r}2474 \\ \hline 35\end{array}$ | 115 36 | 3277 1813 | 344 36 |
| 5 | 114 | 26 | 441 | 30 | 63 | 0 | 353 | 3 | - 94 | 4 |
| 6 | 21 | 26 | 244 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 84 | $0^{3}$ | 24 | 0 |
| 7 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 8 | 26 | - | 32 | - | 0 | - | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| Total | 79684 | 44665 | 45409 | 9520 | 50086 | 6234 | 29226 | 45873 | 68227 | 10631 |

Table 5.3.5 SANDEELS in the southern North Sea. VPA. Fishing mortalities per half-year, M $=0.5$ year ${ }^{-1}$

| Year Age Group | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 |
| 1 | 0.15 | 0.007 | 0.45 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.008 |
| 2 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.009 | 0.30 | 0.001 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.52 | 0.004 |
| 3 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.000 | 0.54 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.99 | 0.003 |
| 4 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.004 | 0.57 | 0.002 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 0.68 | 0.01 |
| 5 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.96 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.000 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 1.56 | 0.03 |
| 6 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 1.17 | 0.000 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.01 |
| 7 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.56 | 0.000 | (0.50) | - | 0.004 | 0.13 | 0.76 | 0.00 |
| 8 | (0.50) | - | (0.50) | - | (0.50) | - | (0.50) | - | - | - | (0.50) | - |
| Mean $1-4$ | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.006 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 0.006 |

Table 5.3.6 SANDEELS in the southern North Sea. VPA. Stock size in numbers $\times 10^{-6}$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{1972} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1973}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1974}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1975}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1976}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1977}} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Group \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \\
\hline 0 \& 22390 \& 57140 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1 \& 22
40
30 \& 14946 \& 44501 \& 22016 \& \(28 \overline{695}\) \& 97826
17
10 \& 76 120 \& 56408
49236 \& 43930 \& 94694 \& - \& 188174 \\
\hline 2 \& 40318 \& 17747 \& 11565 \& 6806 \& 16965 \& 17
9
9 774 \& \(\begin{array}{ll}76 \& 120 \\ 13 \& 123\end{array}\) \& 49236
8734 \& 43930 \& 20017 \& 73748 \& 40397 \\
\hline 3 \& 3372 \& 2260 \& 12812 \& 6634 \& 16 254 \& 3655 \& 13123
7604 \& 8734
3452 \& 37923 \& 16913 \& 15370 \& 7098 \\
\hline 4 \& 441 \& 232 \& 1729 \& 1186 \& 5
5
5 \& 3655
\(2 \quad 208\) \& 7604
2847 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
3452 \\
\hline 754
\end{tabular} \& 5884 \& 3252 \& 11104 \& 3213 \\
\hline 5 \& 264 \& 124 \& - 160 \& 1186
-48 \& 5033
920 \& 2208
537 \& 2847
1
1 \& 1754 \& 2538 \& 908 \& ? 2189 \& 867 \\
\hline 6 \& 603 \& 452 \& 83 \& 38 \& 920
35 \& 537
8 \& 1717

418 \& 758 \& 1144 \& 741 \& 618 \& 101 <br>
\hline 7
8 \& 254
82 \& 195 \& 352 \& 268 \& 35
28 \& 12 \& 418
7 \& ${ }_{0}^{246}$ \& 591 \& 396 \& 560 \& 228 <br>
\hline \& 82 \& - \& 152 \& \& 208 \& 12 \& 10 \& 0 \& 192 \& 149 \& 258 \& 94 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Year
Age
Group} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1978}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1979}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1980}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1981}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{1982}} \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& 2 \& \& <br>
\hline 0 \& - ${ }^{-}$ \& 134660 \& - \& 117426 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1 \& 134896 \& 53971 \& 68902 \& 39649 \& \& 45
40963 \& \& 192381
15870 \& 111-839 \& 40759 \& \& <br>
\hline 2 \& 31224 \& 9678 \& 39594 \& 11230 \& 26308 \& 40926
9679 \& $\begin{array}{ll}35 & 344 \\ 27 & 715\end{array}$ \& 15870
11404 \& 111839 \& 38162 \& \& <br>
\hline 3 \& 5504 \& 2723 \& 7198 \& 11748 \& 26919 \& 9679
$2 \quad 112$ \& 27715
6800 \& 11
3
3 \& 12001 \& 3963 \& \& <br>
\hline 4 \& 2495 \& 1068 \& 2011 \& - 479 \& 6919
1240 \& 2112
638 \& 6800
1142 \& 3148 \& 7223 \& 2782 \& \& <br>
\hline 5 \& 668 \& 420 \& - 747 \& 202 \& - 240 \& 638
161 \& $\begin{array}{r}1142 \\ \hline 489\end{array}$ \& 227 \& 2351 \& 291 \& \& <br>
\hline 6 \& 76 \& 41 \& 304 \& 30 \& 131 \& 161 \& 489 \& 80 \& 145 \& 32 \& \& <br>
\hline 7 \& 175 \& 125 \& 9 \& 0 \& 131 \& 58
18 \& 125
45 \& $0^{25}$ \& 59 \& 0 \& \& <br>
\hline 8 \& 73 \& - \& 91 \& 0 \& $0{ }^{24}$ \& 18 \& 45
14 \& 0 \& $0^{20}$ \& 0 \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5.3.7 SANDEELS in the northern North Sea (Shetland excluded). VPA, catch in numbers, half-year ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{-6}$ )

|  | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul- } \\ & \text { Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JuI}- \\ & \mathrm{Dec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul- } \\ & \text { Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul- } \\ & \text { Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JuI- } \\ & \mathrm{Dec} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JuI- } \\ & \text { Dec } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 4930 | 0 | 337 | 472 | 9979 | 99 | 9282 | 237 | 6126 | 3686 | 3067 |
| 1 | 3398 | 846 | 4057 | 143 | 19850 | 384 | 7186 | 74 | 5697 | 648 | 24307 | 2856 |
| 2 | 2045 | 0 | 1657 | 68 | 1347 | 53 | 5249 | 105 | 1130 | 84 | 2351 | 913 |
| 3 | 115 | 0 | 836 | 20 | 1424 | 11 | 1508 | 1 | 445 | 368 | 516 | 142 |
| 4 | 79 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 276 | 7 | 248 | 0 | 101 | 19 | 124 | 99 |
| 5 | 62 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 73 | 5 | 87 | 0 | 39 | 10 | 17 | 28 |
| 6 | 60 | 0 | ] | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 15 |
| Total | 5759 | 5776 | 6698 | 570 | 23444 | 10439 | 14377 | 9463 | 7664 | 7262 | 31007 | 7119 |


| Year <br> Age <br> Group | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul- } \\ & \text { Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul- } \\ & \text { Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan- } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | Jul- <br> Dec | JanJun | Jul- <br> Dec | JanJun | JulDec |
| 0 | 0 | 7820 | 0 | 44203 | 17 | 8349 | 17 | 9128 | 2 | 6530 |
| 1 | 6127 | 1001 | 2335 | 1310 | 13394 | 1173 | 5505 | 346 | 3518 | 65 |
| 2 | 2338 | 307 | 1328 | - 433 | 8865 | 214 | 4109 | 94 | 2132 | $0$ |
| 3 | 573 | 39 | 242 | 66 | 1050 | 19 | 904 | 14 | 556 | 0 |
| 4 | 78 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 645 | 4 | 128 | 6 | 76 | 0 |
| 5 | 45 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 144 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 6 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 9181 | 9169 | 3917 | 46022 | 24155 | 9762 | 10709 | 9588 | 6293 | 6595 |

Table 5.3.8 SANDEELS in the northern North Sea (Shetland excluded). VPA. Fishing mortalities per half-year. $M=0.5$ year $^{-1}$

| YearAgeGroup | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.008 | 0.01 | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.82 | 0.37 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
| 2 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 1.09 | 0.05 | 0.44 0.42 | 0.08 | 1.08 | 0.36 |
| 3 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.03 | 1.21 | 0.02 | 1.09 1.26 | 0.02 0.003 | 0.42 | 0.05 0.58 | 1.08 0.52 | 0.42 |
| 4 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.35 0.34 | 0.058 0.10 | 0.52 | 0.28 0.75 |
| 5 6 | 3.37 $(0.50)$ | 0.00 | 2.07 | 0.19 | 1.68 | (0.50) | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.34 0.88 | 0.10 0.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | 0.75 0.34 |
| 6 | (0.50) | - | (0.50) | - | (0.50) | - | - | - | (0.50) | 0.65 | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ (0.50) \end{gathered}$ | 0.34 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & 1-4 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.64 | 0.45 |


| Year <br> Gge <br> Group | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1982 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.57 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.86 | 0.001 | 0.39 | 0.001 | 1.00 | 0 | $(0.40)$ |
| 2 | 0.60 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 0.14 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 1.79 | $(0.13)$ |  |
| 3 | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 1.49 | 0.13 | 1.06 | 0.06 | $(0.60)$ | - |  |
| 4 | 0.26 | 0.003 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 2.13 | 0.09 | 1.39 | 0.06 | $(0.60)$ | - |  |
| 5 | 1.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 1.41 | 0.21 | $(0.60)$ | - |  |
| 6 | $(0.50)$ | - | $(0.50)$ | - | $(0.50)$ | - | $(0.50)$ | - | $(0.60)$ | - |  |
| Mean | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 1.49 | 0.10 | 1.09 | 0.10 | - | - |  |
| $1-4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.3.9 SANDEELS in the northern North Sea (Shetland excluded)
VPA. Stock size in numbers $\times 10^{-6}$

| Year <br> Age Group | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | 1974 |  | 1975 |  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | - | 23093 | - | 47908 | - | 29438 | - | 33457 | - | 59018 | - | 23764 |
| 1 | 14349 | 8203 | 13670 | 7104 | 37014 | 11674 | 14229 | 4862 | 17949 | 9009 | 40585 | 10680 |
| 2 | 5485 | 2492 | 5646 | 2951 | 5407 | 3034 | 8754 | 2298 | 3722 | 1912 | 6447 | 2974 |
| 3 | 560 | 336 | 1941 | 785 | 2238 | 520 | 2316 | 510 | 1697 | 933 | 1416 | 653 |
| 4 | 207 | 92 | 262 | 126 | 594 | 223 | 395 | 94 | 396 | 220 | 406 | 208 |
| 5 | 68 | 2 | 72 | 7 | 98 | 14 | 167 | 55 | 74 | 24 | 155 | 106 |
| 6 | 172 | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | 0 | - | 43 | - | 10 | - |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { Age } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | - | 40441 | - | 85117 | - | 29076 | - | 16030 | - | 22201 |
| 1 | 15817 | 6988 | 24647 | 17145 | 28059 | 10245 | 15355 | 7164 | 4611 | 601 |
| 2 | 5822 | 2502 | 4564 | 2396 | 12201 | 1941 | 6949 | 1872 | 5275 | - |
| 3 | 1519 | 685 | 1679 | 1095 | 1486 | 261 | 1324 | 257 | 1376 | - |
| 4 | 384 | 231 | 499 | 385 | 795 | 74 | 186 | 35 | 188 | - |
| 5 | 76 | 21 | 179 | 138 | 291 | 102 | 54 | - | 22 | - |
| 6 | 59 | - | 15 | - | 107 | - | 77 | - | - | - |

## Tanle 5．3．10．



Tahle 5．3．11
VIRTUAL FOPULATION A：IALYSIS
UYII：YOAY－1
FISHING MORTALITV COEFFICIENT

|  |  | 1914 | 1973 | 1470 | 1976 | 1418 | $19 \% 9$ | 1y u | 1 ソヵ1 | 14\％？ | 1978－84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\dagger$ | 0.112 | ก．7ก1 | 1］． 354 | 0.361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 11.211 | 1．บぶ | U． 240 | 0.301 0.030 | 1.405 4.014 | 成12゙ | 0．bily | n． $25 \%$ | 7． 3 in | 7.365 |
|  | 2 | ก．137 | 0.975 | 0.581 | 0.030 0.371 | 4.014 0.133 | U． $42 \%$ | U．144 | U．475 | U．IUU | U． 3 ？ 20 |
|  | S | 1.141 | 1.365 | U． $3 \%$ | U． 164 | 1.13 .1 $0.2 U 4$ | O． 1.1 | $0.23 y$ | 11.65 | 17． 100 | 0.456 |
|  | 4 | 0.206 | 0．6．4\％ | 1.071 | $1) .410$ | ）． 176 | O． C ¢ | U．364 | U．SU\％ | U．\％us | U． 27.1 |
|  | $j$ | U． 121 | U．74？ | U．039 | 15．034 | U． 321 |  | 0.314 | ก．35\％ | 0．ion | 7.263 |
|  | 6 | ก．0\％1 | 1．635 | 0．521 | 1． 3 9： | 0．0．12 | 0.105 | U．00U | 0.440 | U．cud | 0.454 |
|  | 1 | 1．IJuy | 1．บu゙ | 1.1010 | 1．U10 | 1.012 1.040 | 0．059 | 1.6511 | 7． 057 | ก．inn | ก．ソ77 |
|  | \％+ | 1．0กก | 1． T （\％） | 1． $119 \%$ | 1． 1.010 | 1.090 | 1.000 | 1．ulu | 1．0いu | U．\％uid | 1．Uu山 |
| $F($ | U－0） | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 1 ： | 1．0าก |
| Fs | 7－6） |  | 1.4 | U． 590 | U．433 | 4.0711 | U．クで | U．4 43 | U． 341 | U． 2 ？ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ？． 547 | ก．6ก3 | ）． 945 | 0.333 | 0.212 | ก． $27 \%$ | 0.109 |  |

SARUEEL IN THE SHETLAND AREA
＊＊＊＊Vケ』＊＊＊＊

HATUKAL MORTALITV CUEEFICIENT＝1נ．SU

## 



|  | 1914 | 1リ7 | 1410 | 1411 | 1 リ／ | 1914 | 1Yロー | フソジ | 176？ | 1435 | 1474－3U |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1133\％ | 11944 | 20310 | そうくろり | $1: 34 \%$ | 142c | $224 \%$ \％ | $\therefore$ ¢\％「 | S $\therefore$ cior | ＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊ | 1ibyl |  |
| 1 | 2510 | 0145 | 00．31 | soで， | ivolu | いう！ | 1041 | ¢79\％ | 13：1\％ | 1ソく号 | （う） |  |
| $?$ | Mo？ | 2740 | 1600 | $\therefore 324$ | $\therefore 253$ | 27し1 | 2190 | 4し10 | Suys | 4701 | 2216 | N |
| 2 | 241） | 450 | いご | 4？ 4 | 199 | $\therefore 20$ | しこう | 1530 | $1<4 x$ | とごて | 0ちy | 1 |
| 4 | 144 | $1 ? 1$ | 11 | $2.6 \%$ | 22U | 344 | 430 | う？ | 4 5\％ | 347 | ＜ 53 |  |
| 5 | ？？ | \％i | is | $\because!$ | 115 | 112 | Cll | 179 | ごっ | 147 | 88 |  |
| 0 | cy | 2.1 | 14 | 18 | 7 | 40 | 20 | $0 \%$ | 06 | ○¢ | 28 |  |
| $i$ | i？ | $1 i$ | $\therefore$ | $i$ | 7 | ＇ | $\angle 0$ | $i$ | L？ | 27 | 17 |  |
| is | ＇＇ | 11 | 1 | 1 | \％ | $1 ;$ | U | ن் | 65 | $14^{\prime}$ | 2 |  |
| TOTAL． 16 | 1323？ | 20534 | く：3 17 | 51120 | 31.56 | 25144 | 349510 | 54135 | 1201． |  |  |  |
| SSH li！． | 1534 | 3445 | 2－\％ | suoz | 5y．7 | 4101 | 4161 | 0135 | 321\％ |  |  |  |
| TOT．BIU＇1 | 51234 | 6，33 6 | 14174 | タアぐす | 1 ل51\％ | － 3418 | 1 10243 | 140534 | 1 ¢30yb |  |  |  |
| SS3 HIO！ |  | 23474 | 17\％i | こちコサc゙ | おうi0？ | 36450 | $440 \% 1$ | 54 大3b | 491147 |  |  |  |

（See Table 5．5．4．for weight for calculating biomass）

Table 5.3.13. Recruitment. Numbers at age 0 at 1 July from VPA for the three sandeel assessment areas.

| Year | Recruitment indices (no. of 0-group at I July) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southern North Sea | Northern North Sea | Shetland ${ }^{\text {iF }}$ ) |
| 1972 | 57140 | 23093 | - |
| 1973 | 36845 | 47908 | - |
| 1974 | 97826 | 29438 | 8830 |
| 1975 | 56408 | 33457 | 8523 |
| 1976 | 94694 | 59018 | 15822 |
| 1977 | 188174 | 23764 | 19656 |
| 1978 | 134660 | 40441 | 14446 |
| 1979 | 117426 | 85117 | 11108 |
| 1980 | 45463 | 29076 | 17514 |
| 1981 | 192381 | 16030 | 30999 |
| 1982 | (40759) | (22 201) | (41 889) |

F) No, of O-group at 1 January reduced by $M=0.25$ to 1 July.

Table 5.3.14. Fishing mortality in the three sandeel assessment areas from VPA.

|  | Values of Fishing Mortality |  |  | F (Jnweighted Mean F Ages 1-4) |  |  |  | Values of $F$ on O-Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Southerm North Sea |  |  | Northern North Sea |  |  | Shetland <br> Whole <br> Year | Southern North Sea | Northern North Sea | Shetland |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st Half } \\ \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Half Year | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { lst Half } \\ \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Half Year | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.45 | - | 0 | 0.27 | - |
| 1973 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.50 | - | 0 | 0.008 | - |
| 1974 | 0.31 | 0.006 | 0.32 | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.19 | 0.007 | 0.49 | 0.11 |
| 1975 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.001 |
| 1976 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.33 |
| 1977 | 0.64 | 0.006 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.45 | 1.09 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.36 |
| 1978 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.24 | 0.46 |
| 1979 | 0.91 | 0.20 | 1.11 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.12 |
| 1980 | 0.66 | 0.16 | 0.82 | 1.49 | 0.10 | 1.59 | 0.28 | 0.003 | 0.39 | 0.51 |
| 1981 | 0.77 | 0.12 | 0.89 | 1.09 | 0.10 | 1.19 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 1.00 | 0.56 |
| 1982 | 1.06 | (0.15) | (1.22) | (0.90) | (0.03) | (0.93) | (0.70) | (0.16) | (0.40) | (0.50) |

Values in parentheses based entirely on input $F$ values.

Table 5.3.15. Comparison of $\mp$ derived from VPA with fishing effort in the SANDEEL fisheries.

| Yea |  | Southern Area of North Sea |  |  | Northern Area of North Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishing Effort } \\ & \text { (hours x } \left.10^{-3}\right)^{3} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishing Effortt } \\ & \text { (hours } \left.\times 10^{-3}\right)^{\text {m }} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  | F |
| 197 197 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 Correlatician coeffici r |  | $\begin{gathered} 146.8 \\ 152.4 \\ 84.6 \\ 92.5 \\ - \\ 154.8 \\ 261.1^{\text {अᄑ프}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 90.4 <br> 57.7 <br> 109.5 <br> 118.7 <br> - <br> 196.9 <br> $137.5^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 7 \\ & 5 \\ & 7 \\ & 0.68 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.45 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.82 \\ 1.11 \\ - \\ 1.09 \\ 0.60 \end{gathered}$ |
| Shetland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Hours Fishing |  | Total | Weighted Mean $F$ on ages l-6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F on } \\ & \text { O-Group } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | lst Half 2 nd Half |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975. | 2935 | 4973 | 7908 |  | 1.06 | 0.001 |  |
| 1976 | 4457 | 6054 | 10511 |  | 0.54 | 0.334 |  |
| 1977 | 4946 | 3360 | 8306 |  | 0.60 | 0.361 |  |
| 1978 | 4777 | 5440 | 10217 |  | 0.80 | 0.465 |  |
| 1979 | 2325 | 1792 | 4117 |  | 0.36 | 0.123 |  |
| 1980 | 3344 | 3234 | 6578 |  | 0.21 | 0.509 |  |
| 1981 | 5913 | 5126 | 11039 |  | 0.52 | 0.558 |  |
| 1982 | 6173 | 6220 | 12393 |  | (0.70) | (0.50) |  |

\#) Derived from total international catch/catch per unit effort in the Danish fisheries (C.M.1979/G:26).
\#\#) Based on March-June only.

Table 5.5.1. SANDEEL - North Sea.
Mean weight (g) at age by month in Danish catches, 1981-82.

| 1981 | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Jan | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.64 | 2.70 | 3.47 | 3.47 | 3.12 |
| 1 | 3.00 | 3.21 | 3.57 | 5.85 | 6.50 | 11.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 2 |  | 5.23 | 5.67 | 9.45 | 12.39 | 9.12 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 7.63 | 10.06 | 12.05 | 17.53 | 15.91 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 9.41 | 11.24 | 13.41 | 28.04 | 16.04 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | 12.41 | 14.99 | 14.31 | 25.00 | 17.00 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 22.00 | 15.60 | 47.77 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | 13.83 | 13.83 | 18.50 | 40.80 |  |  |  |  |
| $8+$ |  | 13.83 | 13.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.39 | 1.69 |  | 4.31 |  |
| 1 |  | 3.60 | 3.42 | 5.64 | 6.12 | 5.47 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 7.38 | 8.07 | 10.12 | 11.35 | 10.81 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 7.79 | 12.59 | 14.61 | 13.69 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 10.45 | 15.81 | 18.14 | 18.83 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 9.50 | 14.34 | 24.18 | 34.00 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 24.21 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 18.33 | 33.50 |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.5.2. SANDEEL - North Sea.
Mean weight at age by month in Norwegian catches in 1982.

| Age | Southern North Sea |  | Northern North Sea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | May | June | Mar | Apr | May | Jun |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.45 |
| 1 | 7.62 | 9.86 | 5.10 | 6.17 | 8.39 | 8.31 |
| 2 | 16.46 | 23.27 | 11.99 | 13.82 | 16.09 | 16.62 |
| 3 | 34.86 | 37.75 | 26.47 | 31.16 | 32.86 | 28.89 |
| 4 | 56.00 | - | - | 46.67 | 50.00 | 52.43 |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | 58.80 | - |

Table 5.5.3. SANDEEL - Shetland.
Mean weight at age by month in Scottish catches (in grammes).

| Age Group | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \\ & 3.22 \\ & 6.15 \\ & 7.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.30 \\ & 6.24 \\ & 7.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.14 \\ & 12.40 \\ & 12.20 \\ & 15.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 2.44 \\ 4.26 \\ 6.71 \\ 9.27 \\ 14.18 \\ 13.55 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.54 \\ & 4.13 \\ & 6.31 \\ & 7.91 \\ & 9.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.76 \\ 4.92 \\ - \\ 8.20 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  0 <br>  1 <br>  2 <br> 8 3 <br> -1 4 <br>  5 <br>  6 <br>  7 <br>  7 <br>  $8+$ |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 4.14 \\ 4.84 \\ 6.53 \\ 7.10 \\ 10.02 \\ 10.02 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ 4.70 \\ 5.78 \\ 8.20 \\ 8.7 \\ 12.49 \\ - \\ 19.10 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 8.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 12.8 \\ 18.3 \\ 19.4 \\ 18.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.54 \\ & 6.14 \\ & 6.10 \\ & 8.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1.72 \\ 4.78 \\ 6.84 \\ 9.8 \\ 12.21 \\ 18.90 \\ 20.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.83 \\ 7.25 \\ 7.92 \\ \\ 16.07 \\ 15.72 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  0 <br>  1 <br>  2 <br>  3 <br> -1 4 <br> -  <br>  5 <br>  6 <br>  7 <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|r} 2.1 \\ 4.7 \\ 8.6 \\ 9.5 \\ 12.1 \\ 12.7 \\ 13.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10 \\ 3.54 \\ 5.26 \\ 7.29 \\ 9.03 \\ 11.41 \\ 13.00 \\ 9.68 \\ 13.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.04 \\ 4.69 \\ 7.76 \\ 10.44 \\ 16.62 \\ 15.56 \\ 24.57 \\ 23.00 \\ 28.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \\ & 5.60 \\ & 6.68 \\ & 5.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 1.37 \\ 6.75 \\ 10.38 \\ 12.80 \\ 12.38 \\ 11.35 \\ 17.80 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} 1.66 \\ 5.36 \\ 10.18 \\ 13.4 \\ 14.36 \\ 18.89 \\ - \\ 13.00 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |
|  0 <br>  1 <br>  2 <br>  3 <br>  4 <br>  5 <br>  6 <br>  7 <br>  7 <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   |  |  |  | 1.34 4.45 6.21 8.86 10.27 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 0.47 \\ 2.44 \\ 4.82 \\ 7.63 \\ 7.82 \\ 9.56 \\ 12.78 \\ 9.88 \\ 16.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.71 \\ 4.50 \\ 5.48 \\ 8.11 \\ 11.34 \\ 14.80 \\ 18.39 \\ 17.56 \\ 24.45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.19 \\ & 4.60 \\ & 6.89 \\ & 8.95 \end{aligned}$ $12.39$ $13.70$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.75 \\ & 17.36 \\ & 18.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.08 \\ & 5.34 \\ & 7.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.16 \\ & 5.17 \\ & 8.39 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Table 5.5.4. SANDEEL - North Sea.
Mean weight at age for each assessment area averaged over 1979-82.

| Mean Weight (g) (Average of all Estimates for Months of June and July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Northern Area |  | Southern Area |  | Shetland |  |
|  | Actual | Smoothed | Actual | Smoothed | Actual | Smoothed |
| 0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 1 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 |
| 2 | 24.1 | 25.7 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| 3 | 39.3 | 38.4 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 9.5 | 10.6 |
| 4 | 54.5 | 48.3 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 14.0 | 14.1 |
| 5 | 53.6 | 55.2 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 16.4 | 17.5 |
| 6 | 56.8 | 59.9 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 23.0 | 20.5 |
| 7 | - | 62.9 | 25.6 | 21.1 | 22.6 | 23.1 |
| 8 | 80.0 | 64.8 | 17.0 | 21.4 | 25.1 | 25.3 |

Table 5.6.1 SANDEEL North Sea percentage annual landings by weight by age.


Table 6.1.1 Landings of SPRAT in Division IIIa and in Norwegian fjords in Division IVa (10 ${ }^{-3}$ tonnes). (Data provided by Working Group members).

| Year | SKAGERRAK |  |  |  | KAttegat |  |  | IIIa TOTAL | Fjords of Western Norway (IVa E) | GRAND tOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Denmark | Sweden | Norway | Total | Denmark | Sweden | Total |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 11.8 | 18.6 |
| 1970 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 9.1 | 15.0 | 6.4 | 21.4 |
| 1971 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 17.1 | 4.4 | 21.5 |
| 1972 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 25.8 | 6.9 | 32.7 |
| 1973 | 19.4 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 25.1 | 19.3 | 16.2 | 35.5 | 60.6 | 8.8 | 69.4 |
| 1974 | 17.3 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 20.5 | 31.6 | 18.6 | 50.2 | 70.7 | 3.3 | 74.0 |
| 1975 | 14.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 18.9 | 69.7 | 20.9 | 90.6 | 109.5 | 2.9 | 112.4 |
| 1976 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 17.4 | 30.4 | 13.5 | 43.9 | 61.3 | 0.6 | 61.9 |
| 1977 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 10.6 | 53.3 | 9.8 | 63.1 | 73.7 | 5.4 | 79.1 |
| 1978 | 23.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 28.0 | 36.1 | 9.4 | 45.5 | 73.5 | 5.2 | 78.7 |
| 1979 | 17.3 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 45.8 | 6.4 | 52.2 | 79.4 | 5.0 | 84.4 |
| 1980* | 43.1 | - | 3.4 | 46.5 | 35.8 | - | 35.8 | 102.4 | 2.9 | 105.3 |
| 1981 \%** | 26.4 | 13.4 | 4.6 | 44.4 | 23.8 | 15.8 | 39.6 | 84.0 | 3.1 | 87.1 |
| $1982^{* *}$ | 9.6 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 18.1 | 13.8 | 4.8 | 18.6 | 36.7 | 6.0 | 42.7 |

* Sweden: 20124 tonnes in Div. IIIa. Included in total but allocation to Skagerrak and Kattegat not possible.
** Preliminary figures. Danish landings in October-December not included.

Table 6.1.2 Landings of SPRAT in Div. IIIa by quarters (tonnes) (Norwegian fjords in Div IIIa exluded).

| Year | Months | Kattegat | Skagerrak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | Jan - Mar | 10334 | 9993 | 20337 |
|  | Apr - May | 3029 | 3682 | 6711 |
|  | Jun - Aug | 13635 | 25034 | 38669 |
|  | Sep - Dec | 12610 | 5674 | 18284 |
|  | Total | 39618 | 44383 | 84001 |
| 1982 | Jan - Mar | 6247 | 1058 | 7305 |
|  | Apr - May | 2903 | 6410 | 9313 |
|  | Jun - Aug | 7939 | 8156 | 16395 |
|  | Sep - Dec ${ }^{\text {* }}$ ) | 1473 | 2518 | 3991 |
|  | Total | 18562 | 18142 | 36704 |

*) Swedish and Norwegian catches only

Table 6.6.1 SPRAT in Div. IIIa. Numbers caught $\times 10^{-6}$

| Year | Quarter | Age |  | groups | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 1.975 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | 32.81 139.22 | $\begin{array}{r} 435.86 \\ 230.75 \\ 5979.74 \\ 985.73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200.44 \\ 398.91 \\ 527.61 \\ 54.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.28 \\ 146.51 \\ 50.92 \\ 0.68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.46 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Total | 172.03 | 7632.08 | 1181.28 | 254.39 | 2.96 |  |
| 1976 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | 509.96 918.64 | $\begin{array}{r} 336.00 \\ 556.41 \\ 2334.72 \\ 1084.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 164.95 \\ 57.07 \\ 171.39 \\ 23.24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.11 \\ 27.38 \\ 16.80 \\ 0.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.23 \\ & 0.91 \\ & 2.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total | 1428.60 | 4311.22 | 416.65 | 53.84 | $4: 35$ | 0.76 |
| 1977 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | 725.13 1948.34 | $\begin{array}{lr}2 & 515.11 \\ 2 & 177.51 \\ 2 & 185.47 \\ & 813.86\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 408.99 \\ & 483.23 \\ & 208.70 \\ & 142.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.29 \\ 20.70 \\ 30.26 \\ 0.79 \end{array}$ | 3.37 7.42 | 1.21 |
|  | Total | 2673.47 | 7691.95 | 1243.82 | 63.04 | 10.79 | 1.21 |
| 1978 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | $\begin{array}{r} 23.99 \\ 261.12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4376.51 \\ & 5004.51 \\ & 3987.97 \\ & 262.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 203.89 \\ 33.18 \\ 61.57 \\ 16.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.52 \\ 3.57 \\ 14.70 \\ 0.84 \end{array}$ | 0.70 |  |
|  | Total | 285.11 | 13631.20 | 315.34 | 31.63 | 0.70 |  |
| 1979 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | $\begin{aligned} & 690.32 \\ & 250.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1098.75 \\ & 763.41 \\ & 3674.64 \\ & 1360.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 426.69 \\ 239.49 \\ 7.37 \\ 22.45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.68 \\ 2.39 \\ 1.59 \\ 2.51 \end{array}$ | 1.92 - - | $\begin{gathered} 1.94 \\ - \\ 1.99 \\ 3.13 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | 950.36 | 6897.67 | 696.00 | 67.37 | 1.92 | 7.06 |
| 1980 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | $\begin{aligned} & 407.17 \\ & 413.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 161.54 \\ 5 & 155.16 \\ 6 & 306.95 \\ & 671.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 748.60 \\ 421.79 \\ 68.40 \\ 5.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.02 \\ 3.66 \\ 14.86 \end{array}$ | 0.73 |  |
|  | Total | 820.63 | 13294.75 | 1244.44 | 43.54 | 0.73 |  |
| 1981 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | $\begin{array}{r} 218.29 \\ 416.08 \\ 33.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1369.29 \\ 374.10 \\ 3757.70 \\ 1112.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1498.93 \\ 478.02 \\ 98.14 \\ 110.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.67 \\ 20.58 \\ 17.39 \\ 5.28 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Total | 668.06 | 661.4 .06 | 2186.03 | 63.92 |  |  |
| 1982 | Jan - Mar <br> Apr - Jun <br> Jul - Sep <br> Oct - Dec | 2.70 | $\begin{array}{r} 520.09 \\ 190.36 \\ 1270.12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 423.70 \\ & 374.98 \\ & 173.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.88 \\ 103.77 \\ 27.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47 \\ & 3.18 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.6.2 SPRAT in Division IIIa. Quarterly VPA fishing mortalities (per quarter).

| Year/Quarter |  | AGE GROUPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1975 | 1 | - | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
|  | 2 | $\sim$ | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.33 |
|  | 3 | 0.001 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.007 | 0.39 | 0.18 | - |
| 1976 | 1 | - | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
|  | 2 | - | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.20 |
|  | 3 | 0.02 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.05 | - |
| 1977 | 1 | - | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.04 |
|  | 2 | - | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.09 |
|  | 3 | 0.02 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.06 | 0.68 | 0.52 | - |
| 1978 | 1 | - | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.08 |
|  | 2 | - | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.03 |
|  | 3 | 0.001 | 0.91 | 0.33 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.011 | 0.14 | 0.15 | - |
| 1979 | 1 | - | 0.06 | 0.39 | 1.44 |
|  | 2 | - | 0.06 | 0.43 | 0.19 |
|  | 3 | 0.012 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.006 | 0.40 | 0.10 | - |
| 1980 | 1 | - | 0.04 | 0.45 | 0.16 |
|  | 2 | - | 0.23 | 0.54 | 0.03 |
|  | 3 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.02 | - |
| 1981 | 1 | - | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.10 |
|  | 2 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.15 |
|  | 3 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.20 |
|  | 4 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.12 | - |
| 1982 | 1 | - | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.08 |
|  | 2 | - | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

$M=0.275$ per quarter

Table 6.6.3 SPRAT in Div. IIIa. Quarterly VPA Stock size in numbers $\times 10^{-6}$

|  |  | AGEGROUPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1975 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}  & - \\ & - \\ 28 & 233 \\ 21 & 416 \end{array}$ | 20403 <br> 15119 <br> 11283 <br> 3479 | $\begin{array}{rr} 2 & 698 \\ 1 & 876 \\ 1 & 080 \\ & 370 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 837 \\ 587 \\ 322 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |
| 1976 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \quad 287 \\ & 20 \quad 283 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16146 \\ 11973 \\ 8611 \\ 4529 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 794 \\ 1 & 219 \\ 879 \\ & 518 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 234 \\ 170 \\ 106 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |
| 1977 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 47759 <br> 35649 | $\begin{array}{r} 14609 \\ 8923 \\ 4900 \\ 1853 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2505 \\ 1549 \\ 761 \\ 398 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 373 \\ 274 \\ 236 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |
| 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 35443 \\ 26900 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \quad 386 \\ 15499 \\ 7469 \\ 2 \quad 279 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 711 \\ & 364 \\ & 248 \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ 126 \\ 96 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |
| 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}  & - \\ & - \\ 68 & 257 \\ 51 & 246 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 20 & 206 \\ 14 & 395 \\ 10 & 272 \\ 4 & 547 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1504 \\ 775 \\ 382 \\ 284 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 16 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 38280 $28722$ | 38699 <br> 28387 <br> 17106 <br> 7581 | $\begin{array}{rr} 2 & 358 \\ 1 & 147 \\ & 509 \\ & 328 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196 \\ 127 \\ 94 \\ - \end{array}$ |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \\ & \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 21 & 458 \\ 15 & 111 \\ 11 & 153 \\ 5 & 242 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 & 176 \\ 2 & 641 \\ 1 & 593 \\ 1 & 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 244 \\ 167 \\ 109 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |
| 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1879 \\ 979 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3021 \\ & 1928 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Table 6.9.1 Comparison of IYFS and VPA estimates of recruitment of Division IIIa SPRAT.

| Year Class | IYFS | VPA age 1 (Nos, x $10^{-6}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 1004 |  |
| 1972 | I 322 |  |
| 1973 | 1324 |  |
| 1974 | 5074 | 20.4 |
| 1975 | 464 | 16.1 |
| 1976 | 1403 | 14.6 |
| 1977 | 4223 | 25.4 |
| 1978 | 886 | 20.2 |
| 1979 | 4253 | 38,7 |
| 1980 | 2423 | 21.5 |
| 1981 |  | 3.0 |

Table 6.9.2 SPRAT in Div. IIIa. Regression of Yield on IYFS-indices (l-group)

| Year Class | IYFS | Catch <br> $\left(t \times 10^{-3}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1974 | 5074 | 112.4 |
| 1975 | 464 | 61.9 |
| 1976 | 1403 | 79.1 |
| 1977 | 4223 | 78.7 |
| 1978 | 886 | 84.4 |
| 1979 | 2423 | 105.3 |
| 1980 |  | 87.1 |
|  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { intercept } & =67.7 \\
\text { slope } & =0.00721 \\
r & =0.79
\end{aligned}
$$

| Country | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmarik |  |  | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 2.8 | - |
| Feroe Islands | - | - | 0.2 | 12.9 | 2.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | - | - | - | - | - | $+$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| German Dem.Rep. | - | - | - | - | + | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | - | - | 0.1 | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | + | $\pm$ | + | + | $+$ | $+$ |  | - | - | - | - |
| Norway | 2.2 | - | - | 1.5 | 29.9 | 16.0 | 1.3 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Poland | $+$ | + | $\cdots$ | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sweden | - | 1.0 | 2.2 | 11.0 | + | 0 | - |  | - | - | - |
| U.K. $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (England) } \\ \text { U.K. } \\ \text { Scotland }\end{array}\right.$ | 29.8 | 0.2 49.4 | 41.2 | 9.4 | 12.7 | 26.9 | $1 \overline{6} .9$ | $\overline{6.8}$ | $\overline{3.8}$ | 1.0 | + |
| U.K. (Scotlana) | 29.8 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 32.0 | 50.6 | 49.9 | 36.9 | 46.9 | 44.0 | 18.2 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | $+$ |
| IVa East (North Sea stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | $\stackrel{-1}{0.1}$ | $\overline{+}$ | - 0.4 | - | - |
| Norway ${ }_{\text {U.K. (Scotland) }}$ | - | - | - | - | + | 0.7 |  | $\pm$ |  | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | ... | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| IVb West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | - ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 57.5 |  | 75.3 | $\overline{76.7}$ | 53.6 | 21.0x |
| Denmark | 14.4 | 47.0 | 55.4 4.0 | 106.6 30.0 | 104.4 42.9 | 57.5 1.8 | 44.1 | 75.3 2.8 | 76.7 2.8) | 53.6 | 21.0 |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | 4.0 | 30.0 | 42.9 | + | - | - | * | - | - |
| France German Dem.Rep. | - | - | $\overline{7} .7$ | 4.5 | 6.4 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | + | - | - | - | - | 0 | , |  | - | - | - |
| Norway | 4.1 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 145.7 | 73.0 | 5.5 | 56.2 | 47.8 | 18.3 | 0.2 | 8.6 |
| Poland | + | - | - | 9.1 | 10.5 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sweden | - | - |  | - | 7.9 | 0 | 53.9 | 12.9 | $\overline{2}$ | - | - |
| U.K. (Emgland) | 21.8 | 34.6 | 25.5 | 32.5 | 49.7 | 51.9 | 53.9 | 12.9 5.0 | 2.4 2.5 | 0.7 | $\overline{0}$ |
| T.K. (Scotland) | 3.6 0.8 | 2.9 17.9 | 8.6 32.9 | 4.9 47.8 | 18.1 50.4 | 10.9 1.6 | 14.8 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| USSR | 0.8 | 17.9 | 32.9 | 47.8 | 50.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 44.7 | 105.8 | 137.7 | 381.1 | 362.3 | 123.9 | 169.0 | 143.8 | 102.7 | 54.5 | ¢9.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) Preliminary figure as reported. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) IVb East and West. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | /cont'd. |
| $+=$- $=$ less than 0.1.madude |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x) first 9 months only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.1.1 (cta)

| Country | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 2977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVb East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 28.8 IVb East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German Dem.Rep. | 1.7 | 11. | - | 0.4 | 201.1 |  | 161.0 |  | 149.0 | 127.5 | $53.8{ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 1.7 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 4.3 | - | 1.8 | 6.1 | $4.8 \quad 1.5$ |  |
| Sweden |  |  |  |  | 5.1 |  | 29.8 | 27.4 | 33.70.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 7.2 |
| Total | 30.5 | 104.9 | 121.5 | 216.1 | 207.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 207.9 | 133.3 | 190.8 | 222.7 | 189.4 | 132.5 | 62.5 |
| IVC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium <br> Denmark <br> France <br> German Dem.Rep. <br> Germany, Fed.Rep. <br> Netherlands <br> Norway <br> UK (England) <br> USS月 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ - \\ + \\ - \\ + \\ 0 . \\ \hline-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} + \\ 0.9 \\ 0.3 \\ - \\ - \\ + \\ \hline- \\ + \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{+}{3.9}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 0.3 \\ -- \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.7 \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1.4 \\ & + \\ & + \\ & 0.4 \\ & 0 \\ & - \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | -----0.20.0- | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 1.5 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | -6.5---16.24.3- |  |  |
|  | - |  |  | 3.9 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | $2.4{ }^{-}$ |
|  | - |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
|  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
|  | + |  |  | -2. |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
|  | + |  |  | 2.9 + |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.7 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.9 |
|  | 0.5 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 27.0 | 18.3 | 21.0 |
| Belgium <br> Denmark <br> Faroe Islands <br> France <br> German Dem.Rep. <br> Germany, Fed.Rep. <br> Netherlands <br> Norway <br> Poland <br> Sweden <br> UK (England) <br> UK (Scotland) <br> USSR | Total North Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43.2 | 140.9 | $\stackrel{+}{165.6}$ | 326.242.9 | 306.645.4 | +179.92.2 | 205.1 | 268 ${ }^{+}$ | $123{ }^{+}$ |  | $\left.77.2^{-} \mathrm{x}\right)$ |
|  | - | - | 4.2 |  |  |  | 205.1 | 268.3 2.8 | 232.2 2.8 | 188.2 |  |
|  | - | - | 1.7 | 4.1 | 65 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | 77.2 |
|  | 1.7 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 4.9 0.5 | 6.5 1.7 |  |  | - | 6.2 | - | - |
|  | 0.4 | + | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 0.5 | 1.7 + | 5.3 + | - | 3.8 |  | 4.8 | 1.5 |
|  | 6.3 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 147.2 | 109.9 | $22^{+}$ | 87.6 | 6 | - | $\overline{0.4}$ | 1.5 |
|  | + | 3. | 9.5 | 147.2 9.4 | 109.9 10.5 | 22.2 + |  | 78.6 | 68.6 |  | 19.5 |
|  | - | 1.0 | 2.2 | 11.0 | 10.5 7.9 | $\stackrel{+}{1.5}$ | - | - | . | - | - |
|  | 21.8 | 35.6 | 28.9 | 35.4 | 50.4 | 1.5 52.1 | 53.9 | 14.3 | 0.6 | - |  |
|  | 33.4 0.8 | 52.3 | 49.8 | 11.4 14.3 | 50.4 30.8 | 52.1 37.8 | 53.9 31.7 | 14.3 11.8 | 6.76.3 | 14.01.7 | 14.90.2 |
|  | 0.8 | 17.9 | 33.9 | 49.1 | 51.8 | 1.6 | 31.7 | 11.8 |  |  |  |
| Total | 107.7 | 262.3 | 313.6 | 641.2 | 621.5 | 304.0 | 378.3 | 379.6 | 323.4 | 209.1 | 113.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a) Preliminary figures as reported.
r) Fisst 9 months only

Table 7.1.2 SPRAT in Division VIa. Landings in tonnes.


Source: ICES Statistician.

1) Amended from national data.

Table 7.1.3 SPRAT catches in thousand tonnes (Denmark, Norway and United Kingdom) 1979

| Month | AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $I$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | 0.6 |  | 37.7 | 19.8 | 2.9 |  |
| 2 | 1.1 |  | 10.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 |  |
| 3 | + |  | 10.9 | 8.4 |  |  |
| 4 |  | + |  | 2.7 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 0.1 | + |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 19.4 | + |  |
| 8 |  |  | 5.0 | 105.4 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.9 |  | 6.7 | 26.6 |  |  |
| 11 | 4.0 |  | 27.2 | 15.2 |  |  |
| 12 | 0.2 |  | 13.1 | 25.6 | 2.0 |  |

1980

| Month | AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | 3.0 |  | 28.1 | 52.4 | 17.5 |  |
| 2 | 0.7 |  | 27.7 | 1.9 | 3.5 |  |
| 3 |  |  | 2.8 | 4.6 | 1.1 |  |
| 4 | 1.2 |  | 0.6 | + |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 0.2 | + | + |  |
| 6 |  |  | 0.7 | 1.3 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 0.3 | 29.7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 0.5 | 34.9 |  |  |
| 9. |  |  | 10.6 | 15.1 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 15.1 | 24.6 | 0.1 |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1981 <br> Month | AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | 0.6 | - | 12.7 | 3.0 | 10.3 |  |
|  | - | - | 14.4 | 9.1 | 6.9 |  |
|  | - | - | + | 3.1 | + |  |
|  | - | - | + | 0.2 | + |  |
|  | - | - | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | - | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |  |
| 8 | - | - | - | 20.5 | - |  |
| 9 | 2.8 | - | 1.4 | 26.3 | - |  |
| 10 | + | - | 2.9 | 35.9 | - |  |
| 11 | 0.1 | - | 13.3 | 8.1 | - |  |
| 12 | 0.3 | - | 8.0 | - | - |  |

1982

| Month | AREAS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | + | - | 23.7 | 17.9 | 13.3 |
| 2 | - | - | 1.8 | 1.0 | 13.3 |
| 3 | - | - | 0.8 | 0.1 | + + |
| 4 | - | + | + | - | - |
| 5 | - | - | + | 0.1 | - |
| 6 | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |
| 7 | - | - | - | 4.7 | + |
| 9 | - | - | - | 15.1 21.2 | - |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 11 ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | (1.2) |  | - |
| 12 ) | - | - | $\left(\begin{array}{l}1.2 \\ 2.1\end{array}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0.3 \\ 0.5\end{array}\right.$ | (0.5) |

[^4]Table 7.3.1 North Sea SPRAT catch in 1981. Numbers caught per age group $\times 10^{-6}$.

|  |  |  | Age | coups |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Divisions | Months | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| IVa W | Jan-Mar | - | - | 7.8 | 1.6 | - | - |
|  | Apr-Jun | - | - | 145.7 | - | - | - |
|  | Jul-Sep | - | 57.8 | 145.7 5.9 | 0.7 | - | - |
|  | Oct-Dec | - | 34.1 | 5.9 | 0.7 | - |  |
|  | Total | - | 91.9 | 159.4 | 2.3 | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { IVa E } \\ & \text { (excl. } \\ & \text { Norweg. } \\ & \text { fjord } \\ & \text { catch }) \end{aligned}$ | Jan-Mar | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Apr-Jun | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Jul-Sep | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Oct-Dec | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IVb W | Jan-Mar | - | 1044.1 | 2064.3 | 352.1 | 7.2 | 0.3 |
|  | Apr-Jun | 3.4 | 6.7 | 106.9 | 23.5 7.8 | - | 1.5 |
|  | Jul-Sep | 48 | 205.8 1069.0 | 121.6 626.8 | $\begin{array}{r}7.8 \\ 52.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.1 | - |
|  | Oct-Dec | 48.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 51.7 | 2325.6 | 2919.6 | 436.0 | $7 \cdot 3$ | 1.8 |
| IVb E |  | - | 739.8 | 2216.5 | 223.9 | 4.2 | - |
|  | Apr-Jun | 19.6 | 78.2 7362.9 | 63.7 873.5 | 1.6 38.3 | 3.0 | - |
|  | Jul-Sep | 192.2 | 7362.9 | 873.5 796.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.3 \\ & 11.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 0.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.4 |
|  | Oct-Dec | 95.1 | 1186.4 | 796.3 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 306.9 | 9367.3 | 3950.0 | 275.4 | 7.6 | 0.4 |
| IVc | Jan-Mar | - | 465.4 | 930.0 | 477.9 | 10.7 | 1.2 |
|  | Apr-Jun | - | 2.1 | 18.6 | 4.0 | - | 0.2 |
|  | Jul-Sep | - 14.6 | $37.3$ | 19.9 | 5.0 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Oct-Dec |  |  |  |  | 10.9 | 1 |
|  | Total | 14.6 | 504.8 | 968.5 | 486.9 | 10.9 | 1.4 |
| TOTAL NORTH SEA |  | - | 2249.3 | 5218.6 | 1055.5 | 22.1 | 1.5 |
|  | Apr-Jun | 23.0 | - 87.0 | 189.2 | 29.1 | $3{ }^{-}$ | 1.7 |
|  | Jul-Sep | 192.2 | 7626.5 | 1140.8 | 46.1 | 3.0 | - |
|  | Oct-Dec | 158.0 | 2326.8 | 1448.9 | 69.9 | 0.7 |  |
|  | TOTAL | 373.2 | 12289.6 | 7997.5 | 1200.6 | 25.8 | 3.6 |

continued...

Table 7.3.1 (ctd) North Sea SPRAT in 7982. Numbers caught per age group $x 10^{-6}$.
(Note: Danish catches not available for final quarter of year)

| Divisions | Months | Age groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| IVa W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 3.1 - | 0.5 - - | 0.1 - | - |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \quad \text { IVa E } \\ & \text { (excl. } \\ & \text { Norwegian } \\ & \text { fjord } \\ & \text { catch) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | - - - | - + - | - 0.1 - - | - 0.1 - | - | - |
|  | Total | - | + | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| IVb W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | - | 35.4 - - | 2609.6 11.7 - | 254.8 - | 13.1 - - | 4.5 - |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IVb E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 20.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.1 \\ 3.4 \\ 4813.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 729.3 \\ 7.3 \\ 60.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | 3.3 0.7 - | $-$ |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IVc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | - | 922.2 - | $\begin{array}{rr}1535.8 \\ 12.1 \\ & -\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 239.7 \\ = \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99.9 \\ = \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ = \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL <br> NORTH <br> SEA <br> (excl.last <br> quarter) | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $\begin{gathered} \overline{-} \\ 20.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 1 & 020.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4 & 813.2 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5877.8 \\ 31.2 \\ 60.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 595.1 \\ 5.5 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | 116.4 <br> 0.7 | 5.0 - - |


| Year | Months | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1974 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | - <br> - <br>  <br>  <br> 46.7 <br> 549.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 7620.0 \\ 361.8 \\ 4909.8 \\ 6172.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}7 & 341.8 \\ 2 & 083.5 \\ 1 & 784.7 \\ & 865.1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1043.2 \\ 148.6 \\ 36.2 \\ 74.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198.7 \\ 26.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 10.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.3 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.6 \\ 7.2 \end{array}$ | - - - - |
| 1975 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 15.0 \\ & 675.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 4096.6 \\ 446.2 \\ 10588.1 \\ 6351.6 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14973.2 \\ 1163.2 \\ 5760.0 \\ 6122.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3929.0 \\ 68.9 \\ 75.1 \\ 660.2 \end{array}$ | $233.7$ $6.5$ $3.1$ $57.3$ | 14.1 <br> - <br> - <br> 4.4 |  |
| 1976 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 79.6 \\ 2780.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 9 & 360.9 \\ 2 & 017.2 \\ 16 & 536.4 \\ 8 & 443.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9997.0 \\ 964.6 \\ 599.5 \\ 2659.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 678.0 \\ 740.1 \\ 40.1 \\ 612.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 373.0 \\ 40.9 \\ - \\ 37.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 - - |
| 1977 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} - \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 57.3 \\ 1060.8 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4197.2 \\ 540.3 \\ 2803.1 \\ 4705.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 11 & 962.6 \\ 670.9 \\ 3 & 248.4 \\ 3 & 049.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 962.9 \\ 52.7 \\ 165.9 \\ 311.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104.7 \\ 1.5 \\ 11.1 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $12.0$ | - |
| 1978 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 6.3 \\ & 636.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|rr\|} 2 & 461.9 \\ 1 & 077.5 \\ 17 & 785.5 \\ 6 & 932.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2839.3 \\ 123.8 \\ 216.5 \\ 3955.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3770.1 \\ 3.2 \\ 14.7 \\ 1159.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 344.5 \\ 0 \\ 0.7 \\ 214.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | - |
| 1979 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $433.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2770.0 \\ 203.6 \\ 25379.1 \\ 8394.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6422.2 \\ 452.0 \\ 388.3 \\ 1494.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2670.6 \\ 14.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 122.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131.2 \\ 1.1 \\ 0 \\ 34.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | - - - |
| 1980 | Jan-Mar <br> Apr-Jun <br> Jul-Sep <br> Oct-Dec | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 15.1 \\ & 515.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1448.0 \\ 134.0 \\ 10143.3 \\ 4518.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12764.4 \\ 84.5 \\ 811.6 \\ 2767.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline 1323.2 \\ 2.4 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 111.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103.7 \\ 0.3 \\ - \\ 19.5 \end{gathered}$ | $0.7$ | - |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar_- } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.0 \\ 192.2 \\ 158.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 2 & 249.3 \\ & 87.0 \\ 7 & 626.5 \\ 2 & 326.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 5 & 218.6 \\ 189.2 \\ 1 & 140.8 \\ 1 & 448.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1055.5 \\ 29.1 \\ 46.1 \\ 69.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.1 \\ - \\ 3.0 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 1.7 \\ -. \\ \hline 0.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
| 1982 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-Mar } \\ & \text { Apr-Jun } \\ & \text { Jul-Sep } \\ & \text { Oct-Dec } \end{aligned}$ | $20.8$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1 & 020.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4 & 813.2 \\ & \text { Incom } \end{array}$ | 5877.8 31.2 60.8 plete data | $\begin{array}{r} 595.1 \\ 5.5 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116.4 \\ 0.7 \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ - \\ - \end{array}$ | - |

Table 7.3 .3 North Sea SPRAT. Fishing mortality by quarters (VPA). $M=0.8$ year $^{-1}$. Input fishing mortalities are in brackets. 1974 and quarter $I$ of 1975 from previous report.


Table 7.3.4 North Sea SPRAT. Number in stock, $\mathbb{N} \times 10^{-9}$, at the beginning of each quarter and biomass, tonnes $x 10^{3}$, at the beginning of the year (VPA). $M=0.8$ year ${ }^{-1}$. 1974 and quarter $l$ from 1975 from previous report.

| Year | Quarter | Age groups |  |  |  |  | Biomass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total | Adult |
| 1974 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 148 \\ 121 \\ \hline 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166 \\ 129 \\ 105 \\ 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 19 \\ 14 \\ 9.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 1.2 \\ .8 \\ \hline .6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3 \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | 598 | 432 |
| 1975 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $222$ $182$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 99 \\ & 81 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 37 \\ & 29 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .4 \\ .2 \\ .1 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | 702 | 576 |
| 1976 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ -79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 148 \\ 113 \\ 91 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \\ 24 \\ 19 \\ -15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.1 \\ . .8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .6 \\ .1 \\ .1 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | 613 | 465 |
| 1977 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $122$ $100$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 47 \\ 38 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 23 \\ & 18 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \\ & 7.2 \\ & 5.8 \\ & 4.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1 \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | 522 | 460 |
| 1978 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ -176 \\ 144 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 64 \\ & 51 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 13 \\ 11 \\ 8.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ .6 \\ .5 \\ -\quad .4 \end{array}$ | 354 | 273 |
| 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & -84 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ 94 \\ 76 \\ -\quad 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 6.6 \\ 5.0 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ .5 \\ .4 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .3 \\ .1 \\ .1 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | 289 | 172 |
| 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & 55 \\ & -45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 45 \\ 36 \\ 21 \\ -21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 9.1 \\ & 7 \cdot 4 \\ & 5.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ .2 \\ .2 \\ .2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2 \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | 273 | 217 |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | - - 38 31 | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 28 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ -12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 5.9 \\ 4.7 \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ .6 \\ .5 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | + | 162 | 126 |
| 1982 | 1 2 3 | - | 25 20 16 | 7.4 .9 .7 | 1.0 .3 .2 | . 2 | 100 | 75 |

Adult $=2+3+4$

Table 7.9.1 North Sea SPRAT.
Available statistics relevant to yield prognosis.

| Year of observation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (1000 \text { t) } \\ & \text { Calendar } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | ```Catch (1000 t) season a)``` | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Catch } \\ \text { x } 10^{-6} \\ \text { Age 1 } \\ \text { and older } \end{array}$ | Surveys |  |  |  |  |  | Commercial fisheries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | IYFS <br> N.Sea <br> No/hr <br> all <br> ages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IYFS } \\ & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { IVb } \\ & \text { I-gr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IYFS } \\ & \text { IVb } E \\ & \text { I.KMT } \\ & \text { l-gr. } \end{aligned}$ | IYFS <br> IVb E <br> Bottom <br> trawl <br> l-gr. | Mid-water surveys November |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NE Engl. } \\ & \text { fishery } \\ & \text { winter } \\ & \times 10^{-6} \\ & \text { l-gr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \text { Sea } \\ & \mathrm{x} 10^{-6} \\ & \text { Catches } \\ & \text { first } \\ & \text { quarter } \\ & \text { l-gr. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0-gr. | 1-gr. |  |  |
| 1970 |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1172 |  |
| 1971 | 86 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 108 | 156 |  | 873 | 90 |  |  |  |  | 730 |  |
| 1973 | 262 | 237 |  | 713 | 123 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 1974 | 314 | 379 | 32735 | 2631 | 481 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 641 | 628 | 54554 | - | - |  |  |  |  | 1517 | 7620 |
| 1976 | 621 | 445 | 59109 | 2127 | 1186 |  |  |  |  | 339 | 4097 |
| 1977 | 304 | 291 | 32801 | 3031 |  |  |  |  |  | 557 | 9361 |
| 1978 | 378 | 440 |  | 3031 | 136 |  |  |  |  | 361 | 4197 |
| 1979 | 380 | 484 | $48482$ | 2208 ( ${ }^{569}$ ) | $1474$ |  |  |  |  | 732 | 2462 |
| 1980 | 323 | 269 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 2770 |
| 1981 | 203 | 206 | 34238 21 | 3770 | 1402 | 328 | 1916 | 2831 | 81 | 59 | 1448 |
| 1982 | 113 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ? | 21517 $12532^{\text {c }}$ ) | 2107 | 886 | 107 | 1146 | 1075 | 60 | - | 2249 |
| 1983 |  |  | 12532 | 602 | 183 | 49 | 512 | 1044 | 38 | - | 1021 |

a) Season beginning in winter of the mentioned year
b) Low figures due to abnormal conditions on the survey
c) Catch statistics for the three first quarters only

Table 8.1.1. Nominal catch (tonnes) of SPRAT in Division VIId,e, 1972-82 (data for 1972-81 as officially reported to ICES).

| Country | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 ${ }^{\text {F }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - a) |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | 447 | 74 | 1796 | 9981 | 7483 | b) | $286^{\text {a }}$ |
| Faroe Islands | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | 488 | 1269 | 520 | 147 | 115 | 120 | 225 | 2373 | 1867 | 146 | - |
| German Dem.Rep. | - | 37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 34 | 6 | 52 | 1 | - |
| Netherlands | 10 | 11 | 16 | 109 | 49 | 115 | 826 | 441 | 1401 | 1015 | 1000 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 65 | - | - |
| Poland | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| J.K. (Eng. \& Wales) | 1378 | 1990 | 3256 | 1315 | 3107 | 2928 | 2118 | 2032 | 6864 | 10183 | 4500 |
| Total | 1876 | 3324 | 3793 | 1571 | 3724 | 3237 | 4999 | 14833 | 17732 |  |  |

\#) Preliminary
a) Landings in foreign ports Jul-Dec not included.
b) As per 22 February 1983, no final data available.

Table 8.1.2. Lyme Bay area fishery - Monthly catches (tonnes). (United Kingdom vessels only.)

| Season | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Season Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961-62 |  |  |  | 1 | 27 | 4 | 427 | 428 | 35 | 922 |
| 1962-63 |  |  |  | 309 | 238 | 131 | 148 | 187 | 58 | 1071 |
| 1963-64 |  |  |  | 263 | 53 | 82 | 385 | 276 | 24 | 1083 |
| 1964-65 |  |  |  | 25 | 56 | 20 | 242 | 465 | 8 | 816 |
| 1965-66 |  |  |  | 47 | 81 | 165 | 610 | 302 | 17 | 1. 222 |
| 1966-67 |  |  |  | 3 | 152 | 368 | 703 | 355 | 1 | 1583 |
| 1967-68 |  |  | 18 | 76 | 238 | 422 | 560 | 43 | 3 | 1360 |
| 1968-69 | 11 | - | 4 | 122 | 142 | 298 | 373 | 123 | 1 | 1074 |
| 1969-70 |  |  |  | 140 | 131 | 276 | 915 | 283 | 76 | 1821 |
| 1970-71 |  | 7 | 38 | 90 | 184 | 549 | 553 | 106 | 20 | 1547 |
| 1971-72 |  |  | 369 | 101 | 232 | 228 | 410 | 70 |  | 1410 |
| 1972-73 |  |  | 107 | 209 | 132 | 87 | 404 | 165 | 49 | 1153 |
| 1973-74 |  |  | 313 | 186 | 194 | 350 | 311 | 96 | 40 | 1490 |
| 1974-75 | 184 | 451 | 209 | 533 | 838 | 405 | 157 | 30 |  | 2807 |
| 1975-76 |  |  | 66 | 649 | 289 | 111 | 204 | 6 |  | 1325 |
| 1976-77 | 289 | 440 | 1039 | 123 | 594 | 347 | 234 | 103 | 5 | 3174 |
| 1977-78 | 31 | 680 | 768 | 725 | 115 | 84 | 201 | 54 |  | 2658 |
| 1978-79 |  | 252 | 368 | 545 | 450 | 209 | 58 | 37 | 28 | 1947 |
| 1979-80 |  |  | 90 | 674 | 706 | 337 | 150 | 38 | 2 | 1997 |
| 1980-81 |  |  | 458 | 815 | 1423 | 1872 | 2069 | 138 | 54 | 6829 |
| 1981-82 |  |  | 11 | 475 | 1854 | 4311 | 855 | 265 | 100 | 7871 |
| 1982-83 |  |  | 54 | 844 | 1017 | 641 | $\left.(522)^{*}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| Period Mean Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961-65 |  |  |  | 129 | 91 | 81 | 362 | 332 | 29 | 1024 |
| 1966-70 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 86 | 170 | 383 | 621 | 182 | 20 | 1477 |
| 1971-75 | 37 | 90 | 213 | 336 | 337 | 236 | 297 | 73 | 18 | 1637 |
| 1976-80 | 64 | 274 | 545 | 577 | 658 | 570 | 542 | 74 | 18 | 3322 |

¥) Data incomplete.

Table 8.1.3. Lyme Bay SPRAT.
Catches (tonnes) by principal types of vessel and gear (1977-82).

| Season | Local Vessels <br> (Pelagic Trawl) | Scottish Pair Trawl | Purse Seine | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1977-78$ | 2673 | - | - | 2673 |
| $1978-79$ | 1945 | 100 | - | 1945 |
| $1979-80$ | 1703 | 297 | - | 2000 |
| $1980-81$ |  |  |  |  |
| $1981-82$ |  |  |  |  |
| $1982-83$ <br> (Sep-Dec only) | 1513 | 3884 | 432 | 6829 |

Table 8.3.1. Comparison of period mean age structures of the exploited populations in the United Kingdom northeast coast and Lyme Bay area SPRAT fisheries (1966/67-1979/80 seasons).

|  |  | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| Northeast coast | Tonnes | $\begin{gathered} 1424 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10954 \\ 51.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7115 \\ 33.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1639 \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 236 \\ 1.1 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 28 0.1 | 21396 |
| Lyme Bay | Tonnes | $0.4{ }^{7}$ | 303 16.9 | 882 49.2 | 24.4 ${ }^{437}$ | 135 7.5 | 29 1.6 | 1793 |

Comparison of mean weights (g) for age in the northeast coast and Lyme Bay fisheries (with seasonal variation).

|  | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast coast | 2.95 | 9.62 | 16.19 | 21.16 | 26.38 | - |
| (S.D.) | $(0.89)$ | $(1.60)$ | $(1.58)$ | $(2.75)$ | $(2.63)$ | - |
| $\%$ C. of V. | 30.0 | 16.6 | 9.8 | 13.0 | 10.0 | - |
| Lyme Bay | 4.51 | 10.75 | 18.48 | 24.11 | 28.03 | 29.28 |
| (S.D.) | $(1.34)$ | $(2.45)$ | $(2.15)$ | $(1.43)$ | $(2.08)$ | $(2.71)$ |
| $\%$ C. of V. | 30.0 | 23.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 |

Comparison of mean lengths (cm) for age in the northeast coast and Lyme Bay fisheries (with seasonal variation).

|  | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Northeast coast | 7.80 | 10.97 | 12.66 | 13.82 | 14.77 | - |
| (S.D.) | $(0.74)$ | $(0.51)$ | $(0.46)$ | $(0.56)$ | $(0.47)$ | - |
| $\%$ C. of V. | 9.5 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.2 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lyme Bay | 8.93 | 11.30 | 13.31 | 14.45 | 15.18 | 15.44 |
| (S.D.) | $(0.83)$ | $(0.81)$ | $(0.42)$ | $(0.23)$ | $(0.30)$ | $(0.46)$ |
| $\%$ C. of V. | 9.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |


| Overall mean weights of fish in catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast Coast | Lyme Bay |
| Mean (g) | 9.98 | 17.12 |
| S.D. | 2.77 | 1.25 |
| \% C. of V. | 27.73 | 7.31 |
| Overall mean ages of fish in catch |  |  |
| Mean (years) | 2.07 | 3.03 |
| S.D. | 0.34 | 0.30 |
| \% C. of V. | 16.58 | 9.98 |

Table 8.3.2 Lyme Bay area SPRAT fishery, 1966-82.
Numbers caught per age group $\times 10^{-6}$ in each season.

| Season | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $2 / 3$ | $3 / 4$ | $4 / 5$ | $5 / 6$ |  |
| $1966-67$ | 0.55 | 11.67 | 44.00 | 18.56 | 11.67 | 3.60 |  |
| $1967-68$ | 2.28 | 46.79 | 33.10 | 5.08 | 0.66 | 0.39 |  |
| $1968-69$ | 0.08 | 29.99 | 29.24 | 4.03 | 0.44 | 0.10 |  |
| $1969-70$ | 0.13 | 17.53 | 62.78 | 18.60 | 2.73 | 0.35 |  |
| $1970-71$ | 0.01 | 4.12 | 46.03 | 26.94 | 1.57 | 0.54 |  |
| $1971-72$ | 0.80 | 20.22 | 28.01 | 22.96 | 4.12 | 0.34 |  |
| $1972-73$ | 1.51 | 32.20 | 22.20 | 10.20 | 3.96 | 0.38 |  |
| $1973-74$ | 0.50 | 22.91 | 46.12 | 9.08 | 5.06 | 2.42 |  |
| $1974-75$ | 0.30 | 40.77 | 82.73 | 12.67 | 8.84 | 3.55 |  |
| $1975-76$ | 0.16 | 13.33 | 25.25 | 23.28 | 6.39 | 1.47 |  |
| $1976-77$ | 0.73 | 40.34 | 108.52 | 34.87 | 6.56 | 0.37 |  |
| $1977-78$ | 0.12 | 19.48 | 69.33 | 43.89 | 7.50 | 0.48 |  |
| $1978-79$ | 9.20 | 41.71 | 44.64 | 18.97 | 5.72 | 0 |  |
| $1979-80$ | 1.17 | 26.97 | 55.45 | 7.58 | 4.07 | 0.33 |  |
| $1980-81$ | 0.76 | 51.33 | 220.79 | 55.35 | 6.15 | 0.26 |  |
| $1981-82$ | 1.08 | 52.00 | 161.91 | 131.28 | 20.94 | 0.55 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.3.3 Lyme Bay SPRAT.
Period mean (1966-78) spawning biomass estimates.

|  | $S$ | $F$ | Separable VPA | Traditional VPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M=0.85$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 32670 | 32809 |
|  |  | 0.3 | 29809 | 29935 |
| $M=0.85$ | 0.5 | 27555 | 27665 |  |
|  | 0.3 | 0.2 | 21249 | 21386 |
|  |  | 0.3 | 19936 | 20060 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 18954 | 19031 |

Table 8.3.4 Lyme Bay SPRAT. Annual fishing mortalities (traditional analysis, using terminal populations generated by separable VPA).
Annual $\mathrm{M}=0.85$ year $^{-1} . \quad \mathrm{S}=0.3 . \quad \mathrm{F}=0.5$.

| Year | Age grioups |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\underline{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| 1966 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.49 | 0.19 |
| 1967 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.13 |
| 1968 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.06 |
| 1969 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.08 |
| 1970 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| 1971 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| 1972 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| 1973 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
| 1974 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.21 |
| 1975 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 30 | 0.21 |
| 1976 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.23 |
| 1977 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.86 | 1.38 | 0.16 | 0.53 | 0.21 |
| 1978 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.15 |
| 1979 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| 1980 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.29 | $0.15$ |
| 1981 | 0.08 | 0.51 | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 0.15 |

$\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{p}}$ - see Shepherd, 1982 .

Table 8.3.5 Lyme Bay SPRAT. Number in stock at beginning of each year (traditional analysis using terminal populations generated by separable VPA).
Annual $M=0.85$ year $^{-1}$
$S=0.3$
$F=0.5$

| Year | Age groups |  |  |  |  | $2-6$ <br> Biomass (tonnes) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| 1966 | 525 | 161 | 51 | 42 | 38 | 11686 |  |
| 1967 | 871 | 217 | 42 | 11 | 11 | 14332 |  |
| 1968 | 1393 | 343 | 72 | 15 | 4 | 22544 |  |
| 1969 | 1383 | 576 | 128 | 28 | 6 | 28445 |  |
| 1970 | 874 | 580 | 207 | 43 | 10 | 25803 |  |
| 1971 | 785 | 371 | 219 | 72 | 17 | 22396 |  |
| 1972 | 664 | 323 | 141 | 79 | 28 | 18946 |  |
| 1973 | 768 | 264 | 124 | 54 | 31 | 17898 |  |
| 1974 | 587 | 314 | 84 | 47 | 20 | 15506 |  |
| 1975 | 968 | 225 | 83 | 28 | 15 | 17060 |  |
| 1976 | 697 | 405 | 80 | 21 | 8 | 17131 |  |
| 1977 | 539 | 272 | 107 | 14 | 5 | 13 | 463 |
| 1978 | 1563 | 218 | 74 | 19 | 1 | 22089 |  |
| 1979 | 2688 | 642 | 66 | 20 | 5 | 41031 |  |
| 1980 | 1444 | 1132 | 239 | 23 | 6 | 41640 |  |
| 1981 | 1001 | 585 | 347 | 68 | 6 | 31099 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.5.1.
Lyme Bay area SPRAT.
Mean weight/age.

|  |  | Age Groups |  |  |  |  |  | Overall <br> Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | Quarter | $0 / 1$ | 1/2 | $2 / 3$ | 3/4 | 4/5 | 5/6 |  |
| 1972-73 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & 5.3 \\ & 4.9 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.7 \\ & 11.3 \\ & 10.2 \\ & 10.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.1 \\ & 21.9 \\ & 17.9 \\ & 19.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.7 \\ & 24.9 \\ & 21.2 \\ & 23.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.9 \\ 26.5 \\ 22.8 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.5 \\ 27.2 \\ 23.4 \\ 25.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.9 \\ 20.3 \\ 13.6 \\ 16.0 \end{array}$ |
| 1973-74 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \\ & 4.6 \\ & 6.2 \\ & 4.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.6 \\ 8.0 \\ 10.0 \\ -\quad 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.2 \\ 18.2 \\ 15.5 \\ 17.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.5 \\ 24.9 \\ 23.3 \\ 24.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.7 \\ 25.8 \\ 24.4 \\ 25.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.1 \\ & 25.7 \\ & 24.4 \\ & 25.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.5 \\ 16.4 \\ 15.0 \\ 16.5 \end{array}$ |
| 1974-75 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.4 \\ 3.6 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.0 \\ 9.2 \\ 8.6 \\ 9.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.6 \\ 18.9 \\ 14.8 \\ 18.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.4 \\ 25.6 \\ 20.6 \\ 25.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.0 \\ 29.6 \\ 23.3 \\ 29.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 30.7 \\ & 24.8 \\ & 30.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \\ & 19.0 \\ & 12.3 \\ & 17.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1975-76 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 3.7 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.4 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.6 \\ 9.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1 \\ & 16.4 \\ & 15.7 \\ & 16.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.1 \\ & 24.1 \\ & 23.0 \\ & 23.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.6 \\ 29.1 \\ 28.9 \\ 29.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.0 \\ 28.0 \\ 26.7 \\ 27.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.1 \\ 19.2 \\ 17.7 \\ 18.9 \end{array}$ |
| 1976-77 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 3.3 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.8 \\ 7.7 \\ 8.2 \\ 9.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \\ & 17.7 \\ & 15.1 \\ & 16.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.4 \\ & 23.7 \\ & 21.0 \\ & 22.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \\ & 28.1 \\ & 27.2 \\ & 27.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.2 \\ 32.7 \\ -7 \\ 28.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \\ & 17.2 \\ & 12.3 \\ & 16.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1977-78 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 6.4 \\ -6.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & 6.8 \\ & 5.2 \\ & 6.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \\ & 18.1 \\ & 14.5 \\ & 16.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.4 \\ 22.6 \\ 18.1 \\ 22.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.4 \\ 24.9 \\ 22.4 \\ 25.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.4 \\ & 30.5 \\ & 28.7 \\ & 31.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.6 \\ 19.3 \\ 9.8 \\ 17.5 \end{array}$ |
| 1978-79 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 6.3 \\ & 4.9 \\ & 5.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 \\ & 11.8 \\ & 10.1 \\ & 12.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2 \\ & 16.5 \\ & 13.1 \\ & 16.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.4 \\ & 23.9 \\ & 19.9 \\ & 24.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \\ & 29.6 \\ & 28.3 \\ & 29.6 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \\ & 15.2 \\ & 10.8 \\ & 16.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1979-80 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.2 \\ 16.5 \\ 9.7 \\ 14.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.6 \\ & 23.2 \\ & 19.2 \\ & 22.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \\ & 27.0 \\ & 22.1 \\ & 26.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 31.6 \\ & 20.7 \\ & 30.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.7 \\ 31.0 \\ 31.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.1 \\ & 22.4 \\ & 12.5 \\ & 21.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1980-81 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 5.2 \\ & 3.1 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & 16.1 \\ & 11.8 \\ & 13.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.3 \\ & 21.4 \\ & 17.1 \\ & 19.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \\ & 24.8 \\ & 21.0 \\ & 23.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.9 \\ & 29.9 \\ & 28.6 \\ & 29.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.5 \\ & 32.0 \\ & 34.5 \\ & 32.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.4 \\ & 21.7 \\ & 16.3 \\ & 19.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1981-82 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \text { Season } \end{gathered}$ | - 6.1 6.4 6.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \\ & 14.7 \\ & 12.1 \\ & 12.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5 \\ & 21.5 \\ & 16.5 \\ & 20.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.4 \\ & 25.5 \\ & 20.2 \\ & 25.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.0 \\ 28.5 \\ - \\ 28.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 31.0 \\ 31.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & 23.4 \\ & 14.7 \\ & 21.4 \end{aligned}$ |

Figure 3.5.1. Danish NORWAY POUT areas.


Figure 4.2.1. Norwegian cpue figures for the NORWAY POUT fishery (including by-catches) by quarters, 1972-1982.



Figure 4.2.3. Faroese cpue data.
Target species NORWAY POUT in Division IVa.


## Figure 4.3.1. NORWAY POUT.

Stock biomass derived from VPA (Table 4.3.3) and:

| Age Group | 0 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| w (gms) | 25 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 34 | 43 | 42 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 58 | 56 |

Tonnes $x$ 10-3 Annual catch(from Table 4.1.1)


Figure 4.4.1. Sampling areas used for recruitment indices of NORWAY POUT shown in Table 4.5.1.
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Figure 5.1.1. Danish SANDEEL areas.



Figure 5.3.1. Year class strength of sandeels (No. of 0-group $\times 10^{-9}$ ) plotted against catch of 0-group (No. x $10^{-9}$ ).


Figure 5.3.2. Correlation between $F$ and fishing effort in the Shetland SANDEFL fishery.



Figure 6.1.2. International SPRAT reporting areas.
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Figure 7.9.3 0-group SPRAT. No/hour.
1980
1981
1982
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Figure 8.1.2 Lyme Bay SPRAT fishery: United Kingdom catch (tonnes) distribution in September-March seasons: (A) 1973-74 (total 1502 tonnes); (B) 1980-81 (total 6827 tonnes).
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Figure 8.i.3 Lyme Bay area SPRAT - Seasonal catches by United Kingdom vessels.
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Figure 8.4.1 SPRAT egg surveys (Wallace and Pleasance, 1972). Border lines between ICES Divisions IVc, VIId and VIIe indicated by fat lines.


Figure 8.4.2 SPRAT. Border lines between ICES Divisions IVc, VIId and VIIe indicated by fat lines.
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[^0]:    x) General Secretary, ICBS, Palægade 2-4, DK-1261 Copenhagen $K$, Denmark.

[^1]:    4.5 Weight at Age in Catch

    Mean weight at age by quarters in Norwegian catches are given in Table 4.5.l. Danish weight at age data are given in Table 4.5.2.
    4.6 Percentage Landings in Weight by Age

    Table 4.6.1 shows the percentage landings by weight in 1979-81. In 1979 and 1980, the l-group constituted about $70 \%$ and the 2 -group about $25 \%$. In 1981, the picture changed due to the relatively small 1980 year class, so that l- and 2-groups in 1981 yield about the same catch.

    ### 4.7 Other Measures of Mortality

    No additional measures of fishing mortality on Norway pout were available to the Working Group. Data on predation mortalities derived from the 1981 international stomach content survey are hoped to be used in next year's meeting.
    4.8 Equilibrium Yield

    Yield per recruit curves for Norway pout were given in Doc. C.M.1977/F:7 for various values of $M$ and $t_{c}$. In that report, it is tentatively concluded that no increase in yield per recruit could be obtained by either an increase or a decrease in $F$.

    ### 4.9 Catch Predictions

    Fisheries for Norway pout in the North Sea are restricted to an area north of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, with a marked seasonal peak in the third quarter in the western part, and rather steady catches in the three first quarters of recent years in the eastern part, off the Norwegian coast. Young of the year recruit during their first winter, and the major part of the catches is composed of l-group fish; these represent about $70 \%$ of the catch in weight in each year, with about $10 \%$ taken in the first quarter and $30 \%$ in the third quarter. Numbers caught at age l, however, may sometimes be equivalent, if not higher, in the first quarter of some years. Age 2 fish still contribute about $20 \%$ of the yearly catches in weight, and the cohorts are virtually fished out after age 3. It should be noted, however, that in 1981 the contribution of 1 and 2 year olds, in weight, was approximately equal, due to the poor 1980 year class.

    The most comprehensive series, in time and areal coverage, is that of IYFS indices. These may be available about the end of the first quarter in each year. IYFS index at age 1 are plotted in Figure 4.9.1, together with the statistics of landings in the same year, and both show parallel fluctuations. The results of other surveys are also plotted.
    For prediction purposes, it was decided to add age 1 and age 2 indices as observed each year (instead of those for each year class, as was done last year) and correlate this new index with the total landings in the same calendar year, excluding the years 1970 to 1974 , for the reasons given in last year's report.
    The plot is shown in Figure 4.9.2.
    The regression was used to infer the 1982 catch from the 1982 IYFS indices, and this produced an estimate of 417000 tonnes, which is very close to the figure extrapolated from the provisional catch data for the three first quarters of 1982 ( 390000 tonnes). The 1983 IYFS indices were not available at the time of this meeting. They should be available for ACFM at their next meeting, and should be used in the equation given in Figure 4.9 .2 to derive the estimate of this year's catch.

[^2]:    *) January-September only

[^3]:    *) Prelimi nary

[^4]:    x) Danish data not available for last quarter.

