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1．Participants

| 0 V Bakurin | UoSoSoRo |
| :--- | :--- |
| A Hylen（Chairman） | Norway |
| J Janusz | Poland |
| B W Jones | UoK。（England） |
| W Mahnke | German Democratic Republic |
| V P Ponomarenko | UoSoSoR。 |
| C J Rørvik | Norway |
| A Schumacher | Federal Republic of Germany |
| G I Tokareva | UoSoSoR。 |
| B Vaske | German Democratic Republic |

Dr V M Nikolaev（ICES Statistician）also participated in the meeting．

Terms of Reference
At the 1975 Statutory Meeting of ICES it was decided（C．Res．1975／2：24），that：
＂the North－East Arctic Fisheries Working Group should meet at Charlottenlund from 22 to 26 March 1976 to：
（a）assess TACs for 1977 for cod and haddock；
（b）re－estimate the effective mesh size in use and its effect on mesh assessments．Further atten－ tion should be paid to the effect of the mid－ water trawl and the effects of various regulatory measures on the size of the spawning stock；and．
（c）those countries which have recently commenced fishing in the North－East Arctic should also be invited to participate as members of the Working Group，or to send detailed catch statistics and age composition data to the meeting＂．

## 3．The Status of the Fisheries

3.1 Cod（Tables 1－4）

In 1975 the landings were limited by an international quota scheme Following this the total landings were limited to 810000 tons．In addition Norway and U．SoSoRo were allowed 40000 tons each，in addition to their quota，in respect of their catches of Norwegian coastal cod and Murman cod respectively。
The Norwegian coastal cod have for a long time been treated as a separate unit stock both from a genetical and a management point of view．
Since the Murman cod type cannot at this stage be treated as an independent unit stock for management purposes（Doc。C。M。1975／F：6），the catches of Murman cod are included in the UoSoSoRo landings data for 1974 and 1975 which were used for assessments of the North－East Arctic cod stock。
Total landings are given for Sub－area I and Divisions IIa and IIb in Table 1 and the totals for each country in Table 2。 Preliminary estimates of the 1975 landings show a decrease from 1100000 tons in 1974 to about 835000 tons in 1975，a figure which may be compared with the total allowable catch of
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850000 tons（ 810000 tons +40000 tons of Murman cod）。 Reductions in the landings were observed in all regions from 1974 to 1975 ．In Sub－area I and Division IIb the reductions are estimated to be 21 and $33 \%$ respectively， while the reduction in Division IIa was $5 \%$ ．The 1970 year class，and to a lesser extent the 1969 year class，contributed the main part of the catches in Sub－area I and Division IIb．No specific year class or year classes dominated the Division IIa catches．

### 3.2 Haddock（Tables 5－7）

The quota agreement in 1975 did not provide for any limitation of haddock catches．Normally only a small amount of directed fishing for haddock takes place，and most of the haddock is taken as by－catch in the cod fishery．Total catches in 1975 were about 178000 tons compared with 221000 tons in 1974。 A decrease was observed in the landings from all three fishing areas．The 1969 year class contributed the main part of the catches from Divisions IIa and IIb， while the 1969 and 1970 year classes dominated in the landings from Sub－area I。

4．Virtual Population Analyses（Tables 8－15）
Assessments were made for cod and haddock using the data for 1950－73 as used last year，together with updated age compositions for 1974 and preliminary ag＇ compositions for 1975．U．SoSoRo landings of Murman cod and haddock were in－ corporated in the data for 1974 and 1975.
For cod a natural mortality of 0.30 has been used by this Working Group in its previous analyses．However，this parameter is seldom known with any degree of accuracy，and since there are indications that a value lower than 0.3 may be appropriate the Working Group found it useful at this stage to make an alternative assessment for a value of natural mortality of 0．20．However， the Group is of the opinion that more studies are needed before any decision can be taken as to which natural mortality rate is the more appropriate for the North－Elast Arctic codo In the meantime，all assessments will be made for $M=0.30$ and $M=0.20$ 。
Age compositions for total landings of cod and haddock used as input data for the VPA are given in Table 8 and 13 respectivelyo Calculated fishing mortality rates are given in Tables 9， 11 and 140 The assumed values for fishing mor－ tality in 1975 are also indicated in these tables．In deciding on the input $F$ values for 1975 the following points were considered：

Year class strength data from pre－recruit surveys；
The expected exploitation pattern allowing for some concentration of fishing on the 1970 year class；
The overall level of fishing mortality that would be expected in relation to the reported catches；
The changes in estimated fishing effort。
Stock sizes in numbers by age group at the beginning of each year are given in Tables 10， 12 and 15。

5．The State of the Stocks
5．l Fishing mortality
Estimates of fishing mortality rates for 1974 and 1973 will be influenced by the values of $F$ assumed for 1975.
5．1．1 North－East Arctic cod
The fishing mortality appears to have remained relatively stable on the older fish during the more recent years．However，the fishing mortality on the 3 year old cod in 1973 and the 4 year old cod in 1974 appears to have been
higher than it used to be in the past．This is likely to be the result of a concentration of fishing on the very rich 1970 year class．
5．1．2 North－East Arctic haddock
Fishing mortality appears to have been relatively high on the older fish
in 9740 This might to some extent have been caused by a directed trawl
fishing in the early part of the year in Division Ila and in the second
half of the year in Division IIb．A higher fishing mortality appears on
the younger fish after the recruitment of the very rich lg69 year class．

| 5.2 | Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | As in previous years estimates of the abundance of pre－recruit year classes were available from the International 0－Group Surveys and also from the U．S．S．R．Young Fish Surveys（Tables 16 and 17 ）。 |
| 5.2 .1 | Cod |
|  | The 1970 year class is now well established as being very abundant。 of the subsequent year classes that of 1971 appears to be average or below |
|  | average，and that of 1972 to be of average abundance．The 1973 year class was estimated to be very abundant in the 0－Group Survey but more recent |
|  | information from the UoS．S．R．Young Fish Surveys suggests that subsequent |
|  | survival was poor and that it is now much less abundant and probably only of average strength．It is possible that this year class has suffered from |
|  | predation or adverse environmental conditions．The 1974 year class has been |
|  | recorded as weak in both the O－Group Surveys and the U．S．S．R．Young Fish |
|  | Survey．In the 0－Group Surveys the 1975 year class was abundanto The |
|  | values of absolute abundance at 3 years old used in the catch prediction |
|  | calculations have been updated on the basis of the most recent information |
|  | and these are shown in Table 18． |

5．2．2 Haddock
The 1971 year class was a poor one and the latest U．S．S．R．Survey data indicate that the 1972 and 1973 year classes are both below average abundance．The 1974 year class was estimated to be good in the 0－Group Survey and this is confirmed by the first estimates from the U．S．SoR．surveys．In the 0－Group Survey the 1975 year class was the most abundant one since these surveys began Revised estimates of absolute year olass strength have been prepared for use in the catch prediction calculations and these values are shown in Table 19．

## 5．3 Spawning Stock Biomass

Estimates of spawning stock biomass were prepared using the stock numbers in each year as estimated by VPA and weightmat－age data given in Table 20。 The mature stock has been taken as fish of 8 years and older for cod and as fish of 6 years and older for haddock．For cod two estimates were cal－ culated corresponding to values of natural mortality of $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ and 0.3 ． These estimates of spawning atock biomass are given in Tables 18 and 19， and the trend with time is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2。

The spawning stock biomass calculated by the method described above gives an estimate of the biomass of the adult stock at the beginning of each year．For cod，there is a fishery for mature fish in which the majority of the fish in the catch are caught before they spawn。 A better estimate of the biomass of the stock which actually spawns would be the spawning stock biomass at the beginning of each year minus the weight of the mature part of the catch in this．In this report，however，no correction has been made for the catches in this fishery，and all spawning stock biomass estimates relate to the stock biomass at the beginning of the year．

For cod there was a marked decline in spawning stock biomass from 1950 to 1965 with some temporary recovery in the late 1950 s when a series of abundant year classes recruited to the spawning stock．After 1965 there was a recovery to a new peak in 1971－72 when the very abundant 1963 and 1964 year classes reached maturity．Since then spawning potential declined to a very low level again。 However，the spawning stock is now increasing again and a continued improvement can be expected up to 1978－79 provided catch limitation is maintained．
For haddock the spawning stock biomass has fluctuated about a level of 150000 tons，and there is no indication of any long－term decline comparable with that for cod．The large peak in 1956 was the result of the recruitment to the spawning stock of the very abundant 1950 year class． Although the 1969 year class appears to be almost equivalent in abundance to the 1950 year class，it is making a much smaller contribution to the spawning stock because higher fishing mortality rates up to age 6 have resulted in reduced survival to the age of maturity．

## 6．Yield per Recruit

Yield or yield per recruit curves have been calculated for cod and haddock for exploitation patterns expected in 1976 （Table 20）．In recent years there has been a tendency for the exploitation pattern to change from year to year．This has been due to low stock size and variable recruitment． When a strong year class recruits to the fishery，fishing effort tends to concentrate on that year class with a resultant increase in fishing mor－ tality which changes the traditional explojtation patterno For cod．two values of natural mortality have been used，$M=0.2$ and 0.3 ．In order to make comparison easier these curves are presented in Figure 3 as yield curves，rather than yield／recruit curves，to allow for the difference in estimated year class strengths for the two rates of mortality（ $M=0.2$ ， $\bar{R}_{3}($ year classes 1947 to 1969$\left.)=736 ; M=0.3, \bar{R}_{3}=1066\right) 。$ Thus，the lower yield per recruit values calculated for $\mathbb{M}=0.3$ are compensated for ， to some extent，by higher recruitment estimates．It has been assumed that recruitment is constant at all levels of fishing mortalityo For haddock the single curve for $M=0.2$（Figure 4）has been plotted as a yield／recruit curve。 For both cod and haddock the corresponding curves of equilibrium spawning stock biomass（or spawning stock biomass per recruit）are also plotted in the Figures．The F values in the Figures refer to the value of the fishing mortality coefficient on the age groups subject to full exploitation。

For cod the current estimate of fishing mortality on the fully exploited age groups is $F=0.4-0.5$ ，which corresponds to $F_{\max }$ on the curve for $M=0.30$ On the curve for $M=0.2, F_{\max }=0.25$ and for $F$ increasing above $F_{\max }$ ，yield decreases more rapidily than on the curve for $M=0.3$ which is almost flat－topped．

For haddock the present level of fishing mortality on the fully exploited age groups is about $F=0.8$ at which point the yield per recruit is about $20 \%$ below $F_{\max }=0.25$ ．With this exploitation pattern，the decline of equilibrium spawning stock biomass with increasing $F$ is less rapid than that for cod．

Increases in yield per recruit might be obtained with exploitation patterns different from the present ones．The possibilities for varying the exploitation patterns are almost infinite but in order to give some indication of the effect of varying the age at first capture（ $t_{c}$ ），yield per recruit curves have been calculated for a range of values of $t_{c}$ （Figures 5 and 6）．In calculating these curves knife－edge selection has been assumed（ioe。F on age groups up to $t_{c}$ is zero and on age groups $t_{c}$ and above the full level of $F$ applies）。 This differs from the curves described above which were calculated from a model representing the present situation in which $F$ varies with age and therefore the $F$ values on the abscissae of these two sets of figures are not comparable。
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For cod it can be seen that the yield per recruit increases with increasing age at first capture over the range of $t_{c}$ from 4 to 6 years． The gains in yield per recruit with increasing $t_{c}$ are greater for $\mathbb{M}=0.2$ than for $M=0.3$ ．In making comparisons between the curves for the two levels of $M$ ，the differences in yields for a given $t_{c}$ will be less than the differences in yield per recruit because of the different estimates for recruitment which would have to be used．

For haddock the yield per recruit also increases with increasing age at first capture over the range of $t_{c}$ from 4 to 6 years．
It is difficult to give a value for the mean age at first capture in the knife－edge selection models which is equivalent to the mean age at first capture for the present exploitation pattern where $F$ varies with age。 However，as a rough guide the present mean age at first capture for cod is about 5 years，and for haddock about 4 years．

## 7．Calculation of Total Allowable Catch（TAC）

Data used in calculating predicted catches are given in Table 20，For cod，the stock size at the beginning of 1976 was calculated from the stock size in 1975 as estimated from VPA and the corresponding estimates of fishing mortality rates．
It was assumed that the catch in 1976 would be equal to the agreed TAC （850 000 tons）．The fishing mortality rate which would generate this catch was estimated using the exploitation pattern shown in Table 20。 This exploitation pattern has been changed slightly from that used for 1975 to allow for some concentration of fishing effort on the 1970 and 1973 year classes．The predicted stock size at the beginning of 1977 （Table 20） was then calculated from the 1976 stock and the corresponding $F$ values． The sizes of the recruiting year classes were as given in Table 18．

For haddock a similar procedure was adopted．The exploitation pattern as given in Table 20 was used for all the years 1975－77．There is no agreed limit on the catches of haddock for 1976 and the values of $F$ used for 1976 to calculate the stock size at the beginning of 1977 （Table 20 and text table below）were those that the Group considered to be likely in relation to the expected trend in the cod fishery．

To convert predicted catches in numbers into catches in weight the age／weight relationships given in Table 20 were used。 Reported total weights of landings in recent years were compared with weights of landings calculated from the sum of products of numbers landed and mean weight at age．For cod，this comparison showed no consistent discrepancy but in the case of haddock the calculated weights of landings were consistent under－ estimates．To correct for this，the calculated predicted catches were increased by $26 \%$ 。
In making its recommendation for cod TACs for 1977 the Working Group had to consider the need to increase the size of the spawning stock．The immediate objective of a spawning stock size at least as large as that in the period 1970－72 is likely to be realised by 1977－78．The Group recommends，however，that as a longer－term objective the aim should be to maintain the spawning stook biomass at about 1 million tons．An analysis of the stock／recruitment relationship（Garrod and Jones，1974）indicated that the optimum spawning stock size would be that which prevailed in the early 1950s，when the spawning stock biomass was about 1 million tons． In addition there is a need to reduce the overall level of fishing morta－ lity to bring it closer to，or even below，the value giving the maximum yield per recruit with the present exploitation pattern．The actual value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{max}}$ with the present exploitation pattern would depend on the value of the natural mortality coefficient $\left(\mathbb{M}=0.3, F_{\max }=0.45\right.$ ； $M=0.2, F_{\max }=0.25$ ）

To take a catch in 1976 equal to the TAC of 850000 tons would require a fishing mortality on the fully exploited age groups of $F=0.4$ or $F=0.47$ for $\mathbb{M}=0.2$. If the same TAC was to apply in 1977 this would bring about a further small reduction in fishing mortality and the spawning stock biomass could be expected to reach l million tons by 1978 。 The results of these calculations are summarised in the text table below.

Cod

|  | Natural Mortality | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | *Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) | 233 | 276 |
| Catch (thousands of tons) <br> Fishing mortality on fully exploited <br> age groups <br> *Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) | 850 | 850 |  |
| Catch (thousands of tons) <br> Fishing mortality <br> *Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) | 0.47 | 0.4 |  |
| *Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) | 1040 | 362 |  |

* 

Spawning stock biomass at the beginning of each year.

Although in the above strategy the spawning stock biomass reaches 1 million tons in 1978 this is to a large extent due to the recruitment of the very abundant 1970 year class to the mature stock, and this size of spawning stock could be maintained into 1979 only if the TAC for earlier years was reduced below 850000 tons.
For haddock the Working Group estimated the likely effects on the haddock fishery if the cod catch was maintained at 850000 tons. The results are summarised in the text table below:

Haddock

| 1975 | Natural Mortality | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) <br> Catch (thousands of tons) <br> Fishing mortality on fully exploited <br> age groups <br> "Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) |  |  |


| text table (ctd) | Natural Mortality | 0.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | Catch (thousands of tons) <br> Fishing mortality <br> *Spawning stock biomass (thousands <br> of tons) | 113 |
|  | *Spawning stock biomass (thousands of <br> tons) | 91 |

* Spawning stock biomass at the beginning of each year.

The Working Group recommends that the TAC for cod for 1977 should be maintained at 850000 tons (including landings of Murman cod). This would permit the continued recovery of the spawning stock and would also go some way towards reducing fishing mortality to the value giving maximum yield per recruit with the present selection pattern.
In the longer term the regulation of the cod stock should be considered in relation to additional objectives which would provide further biological. or economic benefits. These could include changes in the pattern and level of exploitation。

The Working Group considers that it would be difficult to regulate the haddock fishery independently of the cod fishery. However, if the Commission considered it desirable to introduce a TAC for haddock, this could be set at the level that would be expected as a by-catch while fishing for the recommended TAC for cod. In these circumstances the appropriate TAC for haddock for 1977 would be 110000 tons.
The present level of fishing mortality is much higher than that required to give the maximum yield per recruit with the present exploitation pattern and as a long-term result yield would be increased if fishing mortality was reduced. A reduction in fishing mortality would also provide some protection for the spawning stock which in the foreseeable future is expected to decline.
The Working Group therefore recommends that consideration should be given to the possibility of reducing fishing mortality on haddock.
Any regulations designed to reduce fishing mortality would require a TAC for haddock lower than that mentioned above。
8. Midwater Trawl

In the previous report it was stated that a part of the trawler fleet operating in the North-East Arctic has been using midwater trawls in the fishery for Arcto-Norwegian cod and haddock. The effects of midwater trawls on the stocks, compared with the effects of bottom trawls, will depend on their relative selectivities and also on the behaviour and vertical distribution of fish. Experiments carried out by Norway in March 1975 gave selection factors of the same order for both gears. These experiments also indicated that due to their different behaviour young fish might be more available to pelagic trawls than to bottom trawls.
Additional selectivity experiments have been undertaken by the Federal Republic of Germany which confirm the results of the Norwegian experiments as far as the selection factors are concerned. As to the length composition of the catches, the cod caught by bottom trawl were, on average, somewhat bigger than those caught by midwater trawl, but the abundance of smaller cod in the midwater trawl catches was less pronounced than in the Norwegian experiments. This difference could be
explained by differences in the time and area of the experimentso In the case of haddock the length compositions of catches from the two types of gear show remarkable differences．The midwater trawl catch consisted mainly of bigger fish，whereas with the bottom trawl a con－ siderable proportion of young fish（ 24.5 cm modal length）was caught， but here again the results might be influenced by differences in time and area．

Since the information available to the Working Group does not allow a generalised statement as to the effect of midwater trawls on the stocks in the North－East Arctic，the danger of heavy exploitation of young fish by midwater trawling－particularly in a situation where a good year class is recruiting to the fishery－could be eliminated or at least reduced by strict observance of the mesh regulations in force and by prohibiting any attachment to nets which may reduce the selectivity of the cod end．

During the next few years the biomass of the North－East Arctic cod is expected to increase。 Improving abundance of older fish together with a continuation of a catch limitation scheme could be expected to reduce the incentive to fish with gears，or in areas，which yield catches with a large proportion of small fish．

## 9．Mesh Assessment

The North－East Arctic Fisheries Working Group indicated two years ago that there were doubts as to what was the effective mesh size used in the trawl fishery $A$ study of the data from the International Inspection at Sea in 1975 was made，but the Working Group could not reach any conclusive results．No mesh assessments were therefore made。

However，any increase in the effective trawl mesh size would result in an increase in the average age at first capture An indication of the likely benefits of increases of age at first capture is given in section 6。

## Reference
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Table 1. Cod.
Total nominal catch by fishing areas (metric tons).

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Total <br> catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 375327 | 91599 | 155116 | 622042 |
| 1961 | 409694 | 220508 | 153019 | 783221 |
| 1962 | 548621 | 220797 | 139848 | 909266 |
| 1963 | 547469 | 111768 | 117100 | 776337 |
| 1964 | 206883 | 126114 | 104698 | 437695 |
| 1965 | 241489 | 103430 | 100011 | 444930 |
| 1966 | 292253 | 56653 | 134805 | 483711 |
| 1967 | 322798 | 121060 | 128747 | 572605 |
| 1968 | 642452 | 269160 | 162472 | 1074084 |
| 1969 | 679373 | 262254 | 255599 | 1197226 |
| 1970 | 603855 | 85556 | 243835 | 933246 |
| 1971 | 312505 | 56920 | 319623 | 689048 |
| 1972 | 197015 | 32982 | 335257 | 565254 |
| 1973 | 492716 | 88207 | 211762 | 792685 |
| 1974 | 723489 | 254730 | 124214 | 1102433 |
| $1975^{*}$ | 545060 | 170435 | 120216 | 835711 |

Provisional figures.

Nominal catch (metric tons, whole weight) by countries. (Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb combined)

| Year | Faroe <br> Islands | France | German Dem.Rep. | Germany Fed.Rep. | Norway | Poland | U.K. | U.S.S.R. | Others | Total <br> All countries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 3306 | 22321 |  | 9472 | 231997 | 20 | 141175 | 213400 | 351 | 622042 |
| 1961 | 3934 | 13755 | 3921 | 8129 | 268377 | - | 158113 | 325780 | 1212 | 783221 |
| 1962 | 3109 | 20482 | 1. 532 | 6503 | 225615 | - | 175020 | 476760 | 245 | 909266 |
| 1963 | - | 18318 | 129 | 4223 | 205056 | 108 | 129779 | 417964 | - | 775577 |
| 1964 | - | 8634 | 297 | 3202 | 149878 | - | 94549 | 180550 | 585 | 437695 |
| 1965 | - | 526 | 91 | 3670 | 197085 | - | 89962 | 152780 | 816 | 444930 |
| 1966 | - | 2967 | 228 | 4284 | 203792 | - | 103012 | 169300 | 121 | 483704 |
| 1967 | - | 664 | 45 | 3632 | 218910 | - | 87008 | 262340 | 6 | 572605 |
| 1968 | - | - | 255 | 1073 | 255611 | - | 140387 | 676758 | - | 1074084 |
| 1969 | 29374 | - - | 5907 | 5343 | 305241 | 7856 | 231066 | 612215 | 133 | 1197226 |
| 1970 | 26265 | 44245 | 12413 | 9451 | 377606 | 5153 | 181481 | 276632 | - | 933246 |
| 1971 | 5877 | 34772 | 4998 | 9726 | 407044 | 1512 | 80102 | 144802 | 215 | 689048 |
| 1972 | 1393 | 8915 | 1300 | 3405 | 394181 | 892 | 58382 | 96653 | 166 | 565287 |
| 1973 | 1916 | 17028 | 4684 | 16751 | 285184 | 843 | 78808 | 387196 | 276 | 792686 |
| 1974 | 5717 | 46028 | 4860 | 78507 | 287276 | 9898 | 90894 | $5408011)$ | 38453 | 1102434 |
| 1975* | 11262 | 29206 | 9981 | 31484 | 287300 | 7435 | 99824 | 345 2711) | 11778 | 833541 |

[^0]1) Hours fishing $x$ average tonnage $\times 10^{-6}=$ millions on ton-hours.
2) Hours fishing (catch/catch per hour fishing) $\times 10^{-4}$.
3) Number of men fishing at Lofoten $\times 10^{-3}$.

* Provisional figures.
Provisional figures.
Estimates of total international fishing effort

| Year | SUB-AREA I |  |  |  | DIVISION IIb |  |  |  | DIVISION IIa |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | National Effort |  | Total International Effort |  | National Effort |  | Total International Effort |  | National Effort |  | Total International Effort |  |
|  | U.K. ${ }^{\text {I) }}$ | USSR ${ }^{2}$ | U.K. units | USSR units | U.K. | USSR | U.K. units | USSR units | U.K. | Norway ${ }^{3)}$ | U. K. units | Norwegian units |
| 1960 | 95 | 43 | 512 | 91 | 42 | 11 | 97 | 34 | 39 | 10 | 252 | 26 |
| 1961 | 94 | 53 | 518 | 109 | 51 | 22 | 173 | 39 | 30 | 9 | 255 | 20 |
| 1962 | 93 | 61 | 590 | 94 | 51 | 16 | 168 | 29 | 34 | 10 | 210 | 21 |
| 1963 | 78 | 62 | 635 | 91 | 45 | 9 | 120 | 22 | 29 | 7 | 176 | 19 |
| 1964 | 42 | 30 | 351 | 55 | 49 | 17 | 136 | 32 | 36 | 6 | 157 | 17 |
| 1965 | 42 | 25 | 367 | 62 | 37 | 11 | 95 | 4 | 33 | 5 | 150 | 16 |
| 1966 | 63 | 33 | 387 | 69 | 23 | 16 | 71 | 29 | 46 | 5 | 199 | 15 |
| 1967 | 51 | 30 | 395 | 61 | 10 | 12 | 110 | 13 | 50 | 5 | 261 | 22 |
| 1968 | 86 | 45 | 584 | 67 | 9 | 24 | 151 | 26 | 52 | 6 | 288 | 15 |
| 1969 | 115 | 45 | 593 | 72 | 24 | 19 | 197 | 26 | 73 | 5 | 272 | 18 |
| 1970 | 122 | 35 | 573 | 77 | 24 | 15 | 122 | 27 | 55 | 5 | 346 | 16 |
| 1971 | 82 | 23 | 576 | 74 | 4 | 27 | 79 | 34 | 48 | 5 | 523 | 14 |
| 1972 | 71 | 41 | 418 | 111 | 7 | 11 | 65 | 17 | 35 | 6 | 602 | 14 |
| 1973 | 96 | 61 | 860 | 94 | 18 | 12 | 161 | 16 | 27 | 7 | 485 | 14 |
| 1974 | 92 | 48 | 906 | 86 | 9 | 18 | 243 | 42 | 29 | 5 | 435 | 16 |
| 1975* | 109 | 31 | 1211 | 90 | 7 | 19 | 176 | 36 | 28 | 4 | 366 | 15 |

Table 4. Cod.
Catch per unit effort (metric tons, round fresh)
in Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb.

| Year | SUB-AREA I |  | DIVISION IIb |  | DIVISION IIa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.K. ${ }^{\text {I) }}$ | USSR | 2) | U.K. | USSR | U.K. |
| Norway ${ }^{3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 0.075 | 0.42 | 0.105 | 0.31 | 0.067 | 3.0 |
| 1961 | 0.079 | 0.38 | 0.129 | 0.44 | 0.058 | 3.7 |
| 1962 | 0.092 | 0.59 | 0.133 | 0.74 | 0.066 | 4.0 |
| 1963 | 0.085 | 0.60 | 0.098 | 0.55 | 0.066 | 3.1 |
| 1964 | 0.058 | 0.37 | 0.092 | 0.39 | 0.070 | 4.8 |
| 1965 | 0.066 | 0.39 | 0.109 | 0.49 | 0.066 | 2.9 |
| 1966 | 0.074 | 0.42 | 0.078 | 0.19 | 0.067 | 4.0 |
| 1967 | 0.081 | 0.53 | 0.106 | 0.87 | 0.052 | 3.5 |
| 1968 | 0.110 | 1.09 | 0.173 | 1.21 | 0.056 | 5.1 |
| 1969 | 0.113 | 1.00 | 0.135 | 1.17 | 0.094 | 5.9 |
| 1970 | 0.100 | 0.80 | 0.100 | 0.80 | 0.066 | 6.4 |
| 1971 | 0.056 | 0.43 | 0.071 | 0.16 | 0.062 | 10.6 |
| 1972 | 0.047 | 0.34 | 0.051 | 0.18 | 0.055 | 11.5 |
| 1973 | 0.057 | 0.56 | 0.054 | 0.57 | 0.043 | 6.8 |
| 1974 | 0.080 | 0.90 | 0.104 | 0.77 | 0.028 | 3.4 |
| $1975^{*}$ | 0.077 | 0.85 | 0.100 | 0.43 | 0.033 | 3.4 |

1) U.K. data - tons per 100 ton-hours fishing.
2) USSR data - tons per hour fishing.
3) Norwegian data - tons per gill net boat week at Lofoten.

* Provisional figures.

Table 5. Haddock.
Total nominal catch by fishing areas (metric tons).

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 125675 | 1854 | 27925 | 155454 |
| 1961 | 165165 | 2427 | 25642 | 193234 |
| 1962 | 160972 | 1727 | 25189 | 187888 |
| 1963 | 124774 | 1039 | 21031 | 146744 |
| 1964 | 79056 | 109 | 18735 | 98900 |
| 1965 | 98505 | 939 | 18640 | 118079 |
| 1966 | 124115 | 1614 | 34892 | 160621 |
| 1967 | 108066 | 440 | 27980 | 136486 |
| 1968 | 140970 | 125 | 40031 | 181726 |
| 1969 | 88960 | 1341 | 40208 | 130509 |
| 1970 | 59493 | 497 | 26611 | 86601 |
| 1971 | 56300 | 435 | 21567 | 78302 |
| 1972 | 221183 | 2155 | 41979 | 265317 |
| 1973 | 283728 | 12989 | 23348 | 320065 |
| 1974 | 159037 | 15068 | 47033 | 221138 |
| $1975^{*}$ | 129777 | 8782 | 39915 | 178474 |

* 

Provisional figures.
Table 6. Haddock.

| Year | Faroe <br> Islands | France | German Dem.Rep. | Germany <br> Fed.Rep. | Norway | Poland | U.K. | USSR | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 172 | - | - | 5597 | 47263 | - | 45469 | 57025 | 125 | 155651 |
| 1961 | 295 | 220 | - | 6304 | 60862 | - | 39650 | 85345 | 558 | 193234 |
| 1962 | 83 | 409 | - | 2895 | 54567 | - | 37486 | 91940 | 58 | 187438 |
| 1963 | 17 | 363 | - | 2554 | 59955 | - | 19809 | 63526 | - | 146224 |
| 1964 | - | 208 | - | 1482 | 38695 | - | 14653 | 43870 | 250 | 99158 |
| 1965 | - | 226 | - | 1568 | 60447 | - | 14345 | 41750 | 242 | 118578 |
| 1966 | - | 1072 | 11 | 2098 | 82090 | - | 27723 | 48710 | 74 | 161778 |
| 1967 | - | 1208 | 3 | 1705 | 51954 | - | 24158 | 57346 | 23 | 136397 |
| 1968 | - | - | - | 1867 | 64076 | - | 40129 | 75654 | - | 181726 |
| 1969 | 2 | - | 309 | 1490 | 67549 | - | 37234 | 24211 | 25 | 130820 |
| 1970 | 541 | - | 656 | 2119 | 36716 | - | 20423 | 26802 | - | 87257 |
| 1971 | 81 | - | 16 | 896 | 45715 | 49 | 16373 | 15778 | 3 | 78911 |
| 1972 | 137 | - | 829 | 1433 | 46700 | 1433 | 17166 | 196224 | 2223 | 266145 |
| 1973 | 1212 | 3214 | 22 | 9583 | 86767 | 325 | 32408 | 186534 | - | 320065 |
| 1974 | 925 | 3601 | 454 | 23409 | 66164 | 3045 | 36293 | $785481)$ | 8699 | 221138 |
| 1975* | 70 | 2285 | 437 | 14903 | 61056 | 1080 | 27740 | 65 1361) | 5767 | 178474 |

> Provisional figures.

- рәрntout уоорреи иешлалі ( $\tau$

Table 7. Haddock.
Catch per unit effort and estimated total international effort.

| Year | Catch per Effort (U.K.) Kilos/100 ton-hours |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated Total International } \\ & \text { Effort in U.K. Units } \\ & \text { Total Catch in Tons x } 10^{-6} \\ & \text { Tons } / 100 \text { Ton-Hours Sub-afea I } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sub-area } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ | Divisions |  |  |
|  |  | IIa | IIb |  |
| 1960 | 33 | 34 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| 1961 | 29 | 36 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| 1962 | 23 | 42 | 2.5 | 8.2 |
| 1963 | 13 | 33 | 0.9 | 11.2 |
| 1964 | 18 | 18 | 1.6 | 5.5 |
| 1965 | 18 | 18 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| 1966 | 17 | 34 | 2.8 | 9.4 |
| 1967 | 18 | 25 | 2.4 | 7.6 |
| 1968 | 1.9 | 50 | 1.0 | 9.6 |
| 1969 | 13 | 42 | 2.0 | 10.0 |
| 1970 | 7 | 31 | 1.0 | 12.4 |
| 1971 | 8 | 25 | 3.0 | 9.8 |
| 1972 | 14 | 18 | 23.0 | 19.0 |
| 1973 | 22 | 20 | 20.0 | 14.5 |
| 1974 | 20 | 74 | 14.0 | 11.1 |
| 1975* | 15 | 60 | 4.0 | 11.9 |

* 

Provisional figures.
Table 8. Age composition of the total catches of $\operatorname{COD}$ (in 000 s) 1966-75.

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 55937 | 34467 | 3709 | 2307 | 7164 | 7754 | 35536 | 294262 | 91855 | 46371 |
| 4 | 55644 | 160048 | 174585 | 24545 | 10792 | 13739 | 45431 | 131493 | 437377 | 63852 |
| 5 | 34675 | 69235 | 267961 | 238511 | 25813 | 11831 | 26832 | 61000 | 203772 | 233882 |
| 6 | 42539 | 22061 | 107051 | 181239 | 137829 | 9527 | 12089 | 20569 | 47006 | 114941 |
| 7 | 37169 | 26295 | 26701 | 79363 | 96420 | 59290 | 7918 | 7248 | 12630 | 29283 |
| 8 | 18500 | 25139 | 16399 | 26989 | 31920 | 52003 | 34885 | 8328 | 4370 | 9096 |
| 9 | 5077 | 11323 | 11597 | 13463 | 8933 | 12093 | 22315 | 19130 | 2523 | 2566 |
| 10 | 1495 | 2329 | 3657 | 5092 | 3249 | 2434 | 4572 | 4499 | 5607 | 1333 |
| 11 | 380 | 687 | 657 | 1913 | 1232 | 762 | 1215 | 677 | 2127 | 1802 |
| 12 | 403 | 316 | 122 | 414 | 260 | 418 | 353 | 195 | 322 | 608 |
| 13 | 77 | 225 | 124 | 121 | 106 | 149 | 315 | 81 | 151 | 200 |
| 14 | 9 | 40 | 70 | 23 | 39 | 42 | 121 | 59 | 83 | 14 |
| 15+ | 70 | 14 | 46 | 46 | 35 | 25 | 40 | 55 | 62 | 38 |

Table 9.

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | $1975^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.04 |
| 4 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.15 |
| 5 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.33 |
| 6 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.40 |
| 7 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.45 |
| 8 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.83 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.55 | 0.62 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| 9 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 1.01 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.44 | 0.50 |
| 10 | 0.63 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.50 |
| 11 | 0.37 | 0.77 | 0.50 | 0.98 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 1.08 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
| 12 | 0.53 | 0.69 | 0.33 | 0.80 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.50 |
| 13 | 0.39 | 0.75 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 1.41 | 0.50 |
| 14 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.63 | 0.32 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.76 | 0.50 |
| $15+$ | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.50 |


| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2262710 | 1842750 | 245348 | 173652 | 310689 | 647328 | 1654580 | 2757920 | 819513 | 1367500 |
| 4 | 850154 | 1628330 | 1335600 | 178579 | 126667 | 224025 | 472906 | 1195290 | 1791620 | 528617 |
| 5 | 264033 | 582189 | 1069470 | 840342 | 111338 | 84607 | 154202 | 311495 | 773120 | 955272 |
| 6 | 182422 | 165987 | 372146 | 564436 | 420008 | 60517 | 52578 | 91 355 | 178785 | 399565 |
| 7 | 130022 * | 98947 | 104128 | 184805 | 264489 | 194333 | 36703 | 28663 | 50171 | 92498 |
| 8 | 54644 | 64763 | 50957 | 54441 | 69971 | 114353 | 93664 | 20449 | 15072 | 26429 |
| 9 | 12840 | 24809 | 26730 | 23847 | 17653 | 24953 | 40915 | 39882 | 8117 | 7456 |
| 10 | 3662 | 5223 | 8843 | 10024 | 6404 | 5576 | 8317 | 11618 | 13455 | 3873 |
| 11 | 1413 | 1451 | 1907 | 3464 | 3150 | 2016 | 2079 | 2333 | 4804 | 5236 |
| 12 | 1116 | 724 | 497 | 856 | 964 | 1293 | 849 | 525 | 1154 | 1767 |
| 13 | 273 | 486 | 270 | 264 | 286 | 494 | 603 | 331 | 224 | 581 |
| 14 | 38 | 137 | 171 | 95 | 94 | 122 | 239 | 183 | 176 | 41 |
| 15+ | 102 | 20 | 67 | 67 | 51 | 37 | 55 | 76 | 85 | 61 |

Table ll. Fishing mortalities for COD 1966-75 estimated by VPA for M $=0.20$.

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | $1975^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.05 |
| 4 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.18 |
| 5 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.40 |
| 6 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.47 |
| 7 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.76 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.53 |
| 8 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.52 | 0.93 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 0.48 | 0.59 |
| 9 | 0.69 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 1.09 | 0.91 | 0.53 | 0.59 |
| 10 | 0.72 | 0.82 | 0.73 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.77 | 1.14 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 0.59 |
| 11 | 0.43 | 0.90 | 0.58 | 1.14 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 1.20 | 0.49 | 0.82 | 0.59 |
| 12 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.39 | 0.92 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.76 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.59 |
| 13 | 0.47 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.64 | 0.49 | 1.02 | 0.39 | 1.58 | 0.59 |
| 14 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.73 | 0.38 | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.96 | 0.53 | 0.90 | 0.59 |
| $15+^{*}$ | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.59 |

[^1]Table 12. Stock size of COD (in 000's) 1966-75 estimated by VPA for $M=0.20$.

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1584980 | 1300110 | 164741 | 112165 | 202857 | 436579 | 1160310 | 2069160 | 621843 | 1048170 |
| 4 | 623933 | 1247180 | 1033320 | 131530 | 89750 | 159618 | 350438 | 917901 | 1429020 | 426391 |
| 5 | 199900 | 460655 | 876892 | 688842 | 85600 | 63755 | 118294 | 245980 | 633071 | 777554 |
| 6 | 147658 | 132450 | 314798 | 477511 | 350215 | 46920 | 41552 | 72728 | 146578 | 335562 |
| 7 | 109124 | 82707 | 88580 | 161775 | 228679 | 163378 | 29845 | 23169 | 41078 | 77850 |
| 8 | 46782 | 56026 | 44130 | 48563 | 61654 | 101009 | 80650 | 17323 | 12467 | 22300 |
| 9 | 11070 | 21746 | 23412 | 21444 | 15746 | 22033 | 36353 | 34849 | 6751 | 6291 |
| 10 | 3162 | 4529 | 7715 | 8825 | 5618 | 4948 | 7276 | 9960 | 11506 | 3268 |
| 11 | 1182 | 1255 | 1633 | 3052 | 2698 | 1711 | 1880 | 1903 | 4136 | 4418 |
| 12 | 959 | 627 | 416 | 749 | 803 | 1109 | 720 | 463 | 951 | 1491 |
| 13 | 225 | 425 | 232 | 231 | 245 | 424 | 534 | 275 | 204 | 490 |
| 14 | 32 | 115 | 147 | 79 | 81 | 106 | 214 | 157 | 152 | 34 |
| 15+ | 89 | 18 | 58 | 58 | 44 | 32 | 49 | 67 | 76 | 51 |


Table 14. Fishing mortalities for HADDOCK 1966-75 estimated by VPA for $M=0.20$.

| Age | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | $1975^{*}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| 4 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.72 | 0.41 | 0.42 |
| 5 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.59 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 1.19 | 1.04 | 0.58 | 0.74 |
| 6 | 0.71 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0.20 | 1.17 | 0.60 | 0.79 | 0.80 |
| 7 | 0.81 | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 0.93 | 0.80 |
| 8 | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.32 | 0.96 | 0.80 |
| 9 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 1.10 | 0.80 |
| 10 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.82 | 0.31 | 1.09 | 0.80 |
| 11 | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.30 | 1.44 | 0.80 |
| 12 | 0.23 | 1.30 | 0.89 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.79 | 0.71 | 0.45 | 1.51 | 0.80 |
| 13 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 1.45 | 0.24 | 1.74 | 0.21 | 0.92 | 0.18 | 2.32 | 0.80 |
| $14 *$ | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.80 |

[^2]Table 15. Stock size of HADDOCK (in 000's) 1966-75 estimated by VPA for M $=0.20$.


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & 0 \\ & \prime \prime \\ & \text { " } \\ & \ddot{y} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & ⿱ 丷 天 \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & " 1 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br> Hr <br> HN ت |
| $\begin{aligned} & e_{1}^{1} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g్డ } \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{\otimes} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  <br>  |

umber per hour trawling for
is for 2 year old fish.

|  |  <br>  NrNNMN N |
| :---: | :---: |

（ ）＝provisional figures．
Table 18．Estimates of the spawning stock and the year class strength for COD．Estimates From VPA．

|  |  |  <br>  <br>  $\begin{array}{llll} \Pi H & H & \quad H & H \infty \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  |
| $\begin{gathered} m \\ 0 \\ 11 \\ \Sigma \end{gathered}$ |  |  <br>  <br>  Hrrr rrrrr |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \Sigma \\ \Sigma \end{gathered}$ |  |  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br> 「サー－ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  |

Table 19. Estimates of the spawning stock and the year class strength for HADDOCK. Estimated from VPA for $M=0.20$.

| Year | Spawning stock biomass tons $x$ 10-3 | Year <br> class | Year class strength at 3 years old Millions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1947 | 67 |
|  |  | 1948 | 552 |
|  |  | 1949 | 63 |
| 1950 | 270 | 1950 | 1029 |
| 1951 | 151 | 1951 | 127 |
| 1952 | 95 | 1952 | 52 |
| 1953 | 66 | 1953 | 169 |
| 1954 | 179 | 1954 | 53 |
| 1955 | 156 | 1955 | 69 |
| 1956 | 474 | 1956 | 325 |
| 1957 | 324 | 1957 | 241 |
| 1958 | 202 | 1958 | 110 |
| 1959 | 160 | 1959 | 240 |
| 1960 | 129 | 1960 | 276 |
| 1961 | 105 | 1961 | 316 |
| 1962 | 147 | 1962 | 99 |
| 1963 | 106 | 1963 | 236 |
| 1964 | 67 | 1964 | 287 |
| 1965 | 76 | 1965 | 17 |
| 1966 | 140 | 1966 | 16 |
| 1967 | 190 | 1967 | 157 |
| 1968 | 161 | 1968 | 92 |
| 1969 | 165 | 1969 | 924 |
| 1970 | 201 | 1970 | (242) |
| 1971 | 143 | 1971 | (67) |
| 1972 | 106 | 1972 | (108) |
| 1973 | 79 | 1973 | $(150)$ |
| 1974 | 72 186 | 1974 | (275) |
| 1976 | (143) |  |  |
| 1977 | (91) |  |  |
| 1978 | (78) |  |  |

( ) = provisional figures.
Table 20. Parameters used in the catch prediction.

| Age | COD |  |  | HADDOCK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stock size <br> beginning of 1977 <br> (millions of fish)* | Proportion of $F$ (adult) 1976 and 1977 | Mean weight per age (kgs) | Stock size beginning of 1977 (millions of fish)** | Proportion of F (adult) 1975-77 | Mean weight per age (kgs) |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 700.0 \\ & 470.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.20 | 0.65 | 275.0 | 0.14 | 0.41 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 820.6 \\ & 604.5 \end{aligned}$ | 0.30 | 1.00 | 111.1 | 0.53 | 0.62 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 639.5 \\ & 581.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.66 | 1.55 | 44.6 | 0.93 | 0.97 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 191.8 \\ & 175.6 \end{aligned}$ | 0.90 | 2.35 | 10.6 | 1.00 | 1.59 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 263.0 \\ & 229.8 \end{aligned}$ | 0.90 | 3.45 | 11.6 | 1.00 | 2.33 |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 102.5 \\ 92.5 \end{array}$ | 1.00 | 4.70 | 15.1 | 1.00 | 2.72 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & 19.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 6.17 | 0.6 | 1.00 | 3.56 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \\ & 5.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 7.70 | 0.4 | 1.00 | 4.41 |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 9.25 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 5.40 |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 10.85 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 6.70 |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 12.50 | 0.1 | 1.00 | 7.40 |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \\ & 0.54 \end{aligned}$ | 1.00 | 13.90 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 8.00 |

[^3]Figure 2. Haddock.


Figure 3. North-East Arctic Cod. Curves of yield and spawning stock biomass for present exploitation pattern.


Figure 4. Haddock.
Curves of yield per recruit and spawning stock biomass for present exploitation pattern.


Fishing mortality on fully exploited age groups


Figure 6. Haddock.
Yield per recruit curves for different ages at first capture $\left(t_{c}\right)$. Knife-edge selection. $M=0.2$.



[^0]:    Provisional figures.

    1) Murman cod included.
[^1]:    Assumed values

[^2]:    Assumed values.

[^3]:    Upper figure: for $M=0.3$
    ${ }^{* *}$ For $F=0.7$ in 1976 .

