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## 1. Introduction

Reference is made to previous Reports of the Bluefin Tuna Working Group (Statistical News Letters, Nos. 20, 26 and 38, to Cooperative Research Reports, Ser. A; No. 23 and to No. 40 , as well as to Doc. C.M.1974/J:7.). The Members continued their work by correspondence and with other tuna research workers in the region. In the following, the data obtained for the fishing season 1974 are presented.

## 2. Material

Data on the size and age composition of Bluefin Tuna catches were received from the following countries: Canada (Tables 1-.4), France (Table 5), Norway (Tables 6-9) and USA (Tables 10-18).
Mrs C B Burnett, Dr JF Caddy reported that Canadian landings of Bluefin Tuna by all methods in the West Atlantic amounted to 768 metric tons in 1974, a substantial decrease when compared with the previous year (l CO5 metric tons). The catches by different gears varied considerably and the decline in total landings was due to major reductions in effort and catch in the purse-seine fishery off the eastern coast of the United States. This fishery only took 103 metric tons, in contrast to 635 metric tons the year before, and was well below a domestically imposed quota for 1974.

In contrast to the distant water purse-seine fishery for juveniles, the landings ofllarge Bluefin from the immediate coastal waters off Canada increased substantially. The incidental catches by mackerel traps around St. Margaret's Bay, Nova Scotia, increased by nearly 120 tons to 256 metric tons, while the sports (rod and reel) fishery attained a new record of 365 metric tons, up to $70 \%$ from the previous year.
x) General Secretary, ICES,
Charlottenlund Slot, DK-2920 Charlottenlund, Denmark.

Incidental captures by gillnets and mackerel seines accounted for the remainder ( 44 metric tons) of the total landings, while some additional catches; estimated at. 18 metric tons, were tagged and released.
Weights were obtained for 1921 of the approximately 2056 large Bluefin caught in Canadian waters during 1974 and these are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Size distributions are shown in Table 2 by area and method of capture, and that for the Prince Edward Island sports fishery is further subdivided by month of landing in Table 3.
The landing of juvenile Bluefin were sampled extensively for fork length, and the data (Table 4) show that the 1974.fishery was primarily based on age groups I, II and III.
Tagging was severely restricted in 1974, with 48 large Bluefin and no juveniles marked and released. Recoveries during the year were also limited (7) but included the recapture of a large tuna, off Prince Edward Island, that had been released five years earlier off Nova Scotia.
A preliminary attempt was made in 1974 to use acoustic telemetering devices to determine the survival of large Bluefin when released after capture on rod and reel. Three fish were tagged, and despite their apparently exhausted condition, they moved off at speed on release, soon outdistancing the tracking boat; although one fish was followed for about three hours.
Dr o Bagge reported that only 1 Bluefin Tuna ( $=378 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) has been caught in September 1974 between Anholt and Læsø off the Swedish coast by Danish fishermen.
The French data were submitted by Dr H Aloncle (Table 5).
According to Mr S Myklevoll, the total Norwegian catch of Bluefin Tuna (Thunnus thynnus) in 6974 was 2286 fish\% Weight frequency distribution (per mille ) by week and total is given in Table 6. The catch consists of very large Bluefin Tuna only, with individual weights ranging from 165 to 370 kilos gutted weight and a mean weight of 264 kgs , corresponding to 340 kgs Iive weight. Catch distribution by weeks throughout the season is given in Table 7.
Fish were more abundant this year than for quite some time. Unfortunately, difficulties with sales and lack of cold storage capacity led to several fishing stops ( $2-3$ days each time) throughout the season. Therefore the catch of 1974 is not representative of the availability of Bluefin this year and cannot be compared with the foregoing years in this respect. The catch would no doubt have been somewhat bigger with no restrictions. The variation in weekly catches is also partly due to weather conditions.
The bulk of the catch was, like in the previous year, taken in a limited area close to the coast west of Bergen, with only a handful of fish taken at a lititle distance to the north and south. No fish is reported from northern Norway or the Skagerak.
Some weight/length relation data: 71 fish out of a catch of 116 were collected in the last week of the season. A condition factor (K) of 2.15 was calculated. The mean weight ( $\bar{W}^{\prime}$ ) of the sample ( 274 kgs ) lies close to that week's mean ( $2 \% 5 \mathrm{kgs}$ ). The mean length (I') of the sample is 180 cm . If we consider this length as representative for the total catch, we can estimate the increasing $K$ by weeks through the season over the weekly mean weights (Table 8). Weekly mean
weights indicate an individual body weight gain of about 35 kgs , which seems reasonable (Figure I).
The length frequency distribution has been calculated from the weight data, and the length frequency distribution of the 71 fish measured in the last week of the season is plotted (Table 9/Figure 2).
Vertebrae from 9 fish have been collected. Age reading is difficult in old fish, and therfore no exact age can be given at this moment (if ever). Ages from about 12 to 20 years were found, but the samples will be studied more closely later.
One American-tagged Bluefin Tuna has been recaptured this season. The release and recovery data are: Cat Bay, Bahamas, 8 June 1973; $59^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 5^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (WSW of Bergen), 12 September 1974.
Dr Rodriguez-Roda reported that in 1974 only one single madrague at La Linea ("La Atunara") was in operation, having had a total Bluefin Tuna catch of 37 fish wi.th a mean weight of 230 kgs and a total weight of 8510 kgs . Apart from these, 268000 specimens of Auxis thazard ( $=300000 \mathrm{kgs}$ ) were caught.
The length frequency and tag return data for the US Bluefin Tuna fishery in the Northwest Atlantic were submitted by Dr Grant L Beardsley from the Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Program of the Southeast Fisheries Center Miami Laboratory.
The total of US Bluefin Tuna catch was 1338 metric tons in 1974. He reported that there may be a significant amount of giant Bluefin being harvested by foreign trawlers in the northwest Atlantic which catch them on handline after they are chummed to the stern of the vessel during the haulback of the trawl.
Mr Frank Mather III reported that in 1974 only two traps were set in the Ibero-Moroccan Bay, i。e. at Cape Spartel and Garifa, both in Morocco, and that they did not catch a single Bluefin.
Likewise, the catches of the Mediterranean traps were disastrous, with the exception of Favignana, which maintains a respectable average. On the otherhhand, Japanese longliners have caught a considerable tonnage of large Bluefin in the Mediterranean and its approaches. The time-area distribution of the Japanese catches fits very well with the theory of a migration into the Mediterranean for spawning, and then the Atlantic after spawning. The Japanese longliners also fish in the Bay of Biscay for Bluefin last summer,reportedly forcing the lqcal fleet to greatly decrease its effort in the latter party of the season.

## 3. Results

1. In 1974, the Spanish madrague fishery on Bluefin Tuna came practically to an end. Only one single madrague was in operation having brought a total Bluefin Tuna catch of 37 fish ( $=8.5$ tons) only.
2. Contrary to this, the Norwegian BIuefin Tuna catch recovered and was the largest since 1968。2 286 fish were caught, although due to difficulties with sales and lack of cold storage capacity, the fishery had to be interrupted for several times.
3. The Bluefin Tuna catches of the Canadian commercial fishery declined mainly because of major reductions in effort in the purse:seine fishery off the eastern coast or the USA. In contrast to the distant-water purse-seine fishery for juveniles, the landings of large Bluefin fromtthe immediate coastal waters off Canada increased substantially. The total Canadian catch figure declined to 768 tons (1973 = 1005 tons).
4. The Norwegian Bluefin Tuna catches were of the same size composition as in the previous 8 years. While in 1973 the size composition of the giant Bluefin Tuna catches on the USA coast was essentially similar to that of the Norwegian catches, it differed slightly in 1974. The mode of the length distribution curve of the US girant Tuna is at a length which is about 10 cm larger than that of the Norwegian fish (Figure 3). In order to demonstrate this, the length composition of the fish above 300 cm were separately compiled from the data given in Table 10 (Table 11). Contrary to this, the fish of the Canadian catches of large Tuna were slightly smaller than those of the Norwegian catches (Figure 4).
5. The US and Canadian purse-seine catches of juvenile Tuna were again mainly composed of three suocessive year classes, among which one year old fish were most abundant, the one year old fish being strongest in the catches.
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Table $l_{0}$. Canadian catches of Bluefin Tuna from the Atlantic Ocean, 1962-74.
(Nominal catch in metric tons, live weight),

| Year | Landings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traps and Longlines | Purse seine | Total commercial | Sports* |
| 1962 | 137 | - | 137 | 40 |
| 1963 | 229 | 323 | 552 | 90 |
| 1964 | 318 | 579 | 897 | 99 |
| 1965 | 175 | 461 | 636 | 90 |
| 1966 | 211 | - | 211 | 102 |
| 1967 | 298 | - | 298 | 58 |
| 1968 | 253 | - | 253 | 180 |
| 1969 | 407 | - | 407 | 170 |
| 1970 | 275 | 1161 | 1436 | 151 |
| 1971 | 68 | 935 | 1003 | 128 |
| 1972 | 36 | 202 | 238 | 261 |
| 1973 | 160 | 639 | 799 | 215 |
| 1974 | 300 | 103 | 403 | 365 |

* Prior to 1974 tagged and./or released fish are included in the Sports totals, 1974 releases estimated at 18 tons.

Table 2。 Size composition (live weight per mille by 10 kg unit) of large Bluefin Tuna captured in three localities along the Canadian Atlantic coast in 1974.

| Size <br> class <br> ( kg ) | $\frac{\text { PoE. } \mathrm{I}_{0}}{\text { Incidental }}$ |  | Nfld。 | Nova Scotia |  | Total smoothed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sport |  |  |  |
|  | Gear | Sport |  | Commercial | Sport |  |
| 70 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 80 |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 90 |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 110 |  | - |  | 1 |  |  |
| 120 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 130 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 140 |  | - |  | 1 |  |  |
| 150 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 160 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 170 |  | - |  | 1 |  |  |
| -80 |  | - |  | 1 |  |  |
| 0 |  | - |  | 4 |  | 1 |
| 200 |  | - |  | 5 |  | 2 |
| 210 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| 220 |  | 3 |  | 24 |  | 12 |
| 230 | 8 | 12 | 33 | 34 |  | 21 |
| 240 | 8 | 10 | 67 | 55 |  | 29 |
| 250 | 8 | 16 | 33 | 61 |  | 36 |
| 260 | - | 24 | 67 | 72 |  | 45 |
| 270 | 33 | 31 | 133 | 83 | 40 | 55 |
| 280 | 8 | 44 | 133 | 90 | 40 | 65 |
| 290 | 49 | 55 | 133 | 99 | 40 | 71 |
| 300 | 49 | 71 | 33 | 81 | 40 | 71 |
| 310 | 33 | 63 | 67 | 62 | 80 | 72 |
| 320 | 49 | 89 | 200 | 97 | 80 | 83 |
| 330 | 140 | 101 | 100 | 56 | 80 | 85 |
| 340 | 49 | 93 |  | 69 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 74 |
| 350 | 57 | 74 |  | 33 | 200 | 58 |
| 360 | 107 | 59 |  | 27 | 200 | 47 |
| ${ }^{7} 70$ | 49 | 53 |  | 18 | $-$ | 39 |
| - 30 | 107 | 50 |  | 10 | 40 | 35 |
| 390 | 66 | 55 |  | 5 | 40 | 30 |
| 400 | 66 | 31 |  | 4 | 40 | 22 |
| 410 | 16 | 22 |  | 1 | - | 14 |
| 420 | 41 | 16 |  | - | 40 | 10 |
| 430 | 25 | 13 |  | 1 | - | 8 |
| 440 | 16 | 4 |  | 1 | - | 4 |
| 450 | 8 | 1 |  |  | - | 2 |
| 460 | - | 3 |  |  | - | 2 |
| 470 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 40 | 2 |
| Total | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Number | 122 | 903 | 30 | 841 | 25 | 1921 |
| ```Average Weight (kg)``` | 357 | 338 | 294 | 297 | 351 |  |

Size class $\quad 70 \mathrm{~kg}=70.0-79.9$.

Table $3_{0}$ Size composition of large Bluefin Tuna caught by rod and reel off Prince Edward Island during four consecutive months of the 1974 season（live weight per mille by 10 kg unit）。

|  | JULY |  | AUGUST |  | SEPTEMBER |  | OCTOBER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No．of fish | \％ | No。 of fish | $\%$ | No．of fish | \％p | No．of fish | $\%$ |
| 70 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 |  |  |
| 80 |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |
| 。 |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |
| 210 | 2 | 7 |  |  | 1 | $\overline{4}$ |  |  |
| 220 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 8 |  |  |
| 230 | 9 | 31 | 1 | 4 | 2 | － |  |  |
| 240 | 8 | 28 | 1 | 4 | － | $\overline{4}$ |  |  |
| 250 | 10 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| 260 | 16 | 56 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| 270 | 12 | 42 | 13 | 46 | 3 | 12 |  |  |
| 280 | 19 | 66 | 18 | 63 | 3 | 12 |  |  |
| 290 | 23 | 80 | 16 | 56 | 9 | 35 | 2 | 27 |
| 300 | 33 | 115 | 23 | 81 | 8 | 31 | － | － |
| 310 | 14 | 49 | 24 | 84 | 17 | 66 | 2 | 27 |
| 320 | 32 | 112 | 24 | 84 | 19 | 74 | 5 | 67 |
| 330 | 34 | 119 | 28 | 98 | 25 | 97 | 4 | 53 |
| 340 | 19 | 66 | 34 | 119 | 25 | 97 | 6 | 80 |
| 350 | 15 | 52 | 27 | 95 | 18 | 70 | 7 | 93 |
| 360 | 10 | 35 | 20 | 70 | 16 | 62 | 7 | 93 |
| 370 | 5 | 18 | 18 | 63 | 24 | 93 | 1 | 13 |
| 380 | 7 | 24 | 8 | 28 | 20 | 78 | 10 | 133 |
| 390 | 5 | 18 | 9 | 32 | 27 | 105 | 9 | 120 |
| 400 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 50 | 8 | 107 |
| 410 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 39 | 5 | 67 |
| 420 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 10 |  | 12 | 4 | 53 40 |
| 430 | 2 | 7 | 1 |  | 6 | 23 12 | 3 1 | 40 13 |
| 440 | － | － | I | 4 | 3 | 12 | 1 | － |
| 450 460 | $\overline{1}$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | I | 13 |
| 470 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 000 |  | 1000 |  | 000 |  | 000 |
| Total No． of Fish | 286 |  | 285 |  | 257 |  | 75 |  |
| Average Weight （kg） | 315 |  | 331 |  | 358 |  | 372 |  |

Size class $70 \mathrm{~kg}=70.0-79.9$

Table 4 a Size composition of small Bluefin Tuna taken off the U.S. coast by Canadian purse-seine vessels in 1974.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Size Class } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Number of Fish | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 11 | 6 |
| 50 | 638 | 345 |
| 55 | 102 | 55 |
| 60 | 2 | 1 |
| 65 | 3 | 2 |
| 70 | 199 | 107 |
| 75 | 299 | 162 |
| 80 | 40 | 22 |
| 85 | 144 | 78 |
| 90 | 277 | 150 |
| 95 | 53 | 29 |
| 100 | 4 | 2 |
| 105 | - | - |
| 110 | - | - |
| 115 | - | - |
| 120 | 1 | 1 |
| 125 | - | - |
| 130 | 1 | 1 |
| 135 | 6 | 3 |
| 140 | 11 | 6 |
| 145 | 16 | 8 |
| 150 | 7 | 4 |
| 155 | 3 | 2 |
| 160 | 4 | 2 |
| 165 | 4 | 2 |
| 170 | 9 | 5 |
| 175 | 6 | 3 |
| 180 | 4 | 2 |
| 185 | 2 | 1 |
| 190 | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 1848 | 1000 |

Size category $45=45.0-49.9$ (fork length caliper)

Table 5．French Bluefin Tuna catches in 1974 from St．Jean－de－Luz（France）in kg ．

| Date | Total Weight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish below 30 kg | Fish above 30 kg |
|  | 1 437 <br> 18 556 <br> 70 786 <br> 65 253 <br> 52 450 <br> 28 674 <br> 79 513 <br> 36 599 <br> 22 274 <br> 9 903 <br> 35 099 <br> 54 808 <br>  872 <br> 15 989 <br> 19 923 <br> 7 169 <br> 2 063 | $\begin{array}{r} 18206 \\ 6840 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 496322 | 25046 |

Table 60 Size composition (kg) of Norwegian Bluefin Tuna catches south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ by smoothed weight frequency (\%) in 1974.

| Group Means |  | Week Numbers |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| w, 1) | w ${ }^{2}$ ) | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 37 |  |
| 167 | 215 | 125 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 172 | 221 | 250 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 177 | 228 | 125 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | x |
| 182 | 234 | - |  | 6 | 1 | $\overline{5}$ | - | 1 | 1 |
| 187 | 241 | - | - | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 192 | 247 | - | - | 5 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 197 | 253 | - | 5 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| 202 | 260 | - | 10 | 25 | 16 | 18 | 4 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 19 |
| 207 | 266 | - | 5 | 27 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 116 |
| 212 | 273 | - | 5 | 29 | 28 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 16 |
| 7 | 279 | - | 19 | 36 | 31 | 13 | 19 | 9 | 20 |
| 222 | 286 | - | 33 | 35 | 33 | 27 | 24 | 12 | 23 |
| 227 | 292 | - | 43 | 41 | 45 | 27 | 31 | 17 | 30 |
| 232 | 298 | - | 53 | 57 | 57 | 27 | 38 | 24 | 40 |
| 237 | 305 | - | 58 | 64 | 58 | 35 | 47 | 29 | 45 |
| 242 | 311 | - | 48 | 68 | 63 | 75 | 59 | 36 | 53 |
| 247 | 318 | - | 72 | 72 | 77 | 92 | 66 | 45 | 63 |
| 252 | 324 | - | 115 | 66 | 79 | 57 | 67 | 50 | 65 |
| 257 | 331 | - | 82 | 62 | 70 | 35 | 66 | 58 | 63 |
| 262 | 337 | - | 39 | 53 | 65 | 35 | 70 | 68 | 65 |
| 267 | 343 | - | 39 | 47 | 62 | 31 | 73 | 70 | 65 |
| 272 | 350 | - | 43 | 49 | 55 | 40 | 69 | 69 | 62 |
| 277 | 356 | - | 58 | 42 | 44 | 75 | 60 | 68 | 57 |
| 282 | 363 | 125 | 53 | 36 | 36 | 105 | 52 | 66 | 53 |
| 287 | 369 | 250 | 29 | 34 | 30 | 101 | 47 | 59 | 47 |
| 292 | 376 | 125 | 29 | 31 | 25 | 66 | 41 | 54 | 41 |
| 297 | 382 | - | 48 | 23 | 23 | 35 | 34 | 53 | 37 |
| 302 | 388 | - | 44 | 16 | 17 | 27 | 29 | 46 | 30 |
| 307 | 395 | $\cdots$ | 15 | 15 | 10 | 22 | 24 | 36 | 23 |
| 12 | 401 | - |  | 13 | 8 | 13 | 17 | 27 | 17 |
| 317 | 408 | - | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 24 | 15 |
| 322 | 414 | - | 24 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 13 |
| 327 | 420 | - | 19 | 6 | 4 | - | 7 | 16 | 9 |
| 332 | 427 | - | 5 | 2 | 3 | - | 7 | 10 | 7 |
| 337 | 433 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| 342 | 440 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| 347 | 446 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 352 | 453 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 357 | 459 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| 362 | 465 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 2 | 1 |
| 367 | 472 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | x |
| n |  | 2 | 52 | 240 | 533 | 57 | 647 | 755 | 2286 |
| $\frac{W^{0}}{W^{0}}$ |  | 457 | 13597 | 60325 | 134919 | 15049 | 171083 | 207514 | 602944 |
|  |  | 228.5 | 261.5 | 251.4 | 253.1 | 264.0 | 264.4 | 274.9 | 263.8 |

1) $=W^{1}=$ weight of gutted fish without head
2) $=w=$ weight of ungutted fish ( $w=W^{\prime} x^{\prime}$ 1.285)

Table 7. Norwegian catch distribution by weeks, variation in catch size and mean catch.

| Week No. | No. of catches | Total | No. of fish Variation | Mean catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 22 |
| 31 | 3 | 52 | 1-36 | 17.3 |
| 32 | 10 | 240 | 1-42 | 24 |
| 33 | 11 | 533 | 9-97 | 48.5 |
| 34 | 3 | 57 | 11-33 | 19 |
| 35 | 21 | 647 | 4-85 | 30.8 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 37 | 10 | 755 | 29-159 | 75.5 |
| Total | 59 | 2286 | 1-159 | 38.7 |

Table 8。 Calculated condition factor (K) for Norwegian Bluefin Tuna catches.

| Week <br> No。 | W' | I' | K |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 228.5 | 180 | 1.80 |
| 31 | 261.5 | 180 | 2.06 |
| 32 | 251.4 | 180 | 1.97 |
| 33 | 253.1 | 180 | 1.98 |
| 34 | 264.0 | 180 | 2.07 |
| 35 | 264.4 | 180 | 2.07 |
| 37 | 274.9 | 180 | 2.15 |
| Mean | 263.8 | 180 | 2.07 |

Table 9。 Calculated length frequency distribution (per mille) for Norwegian Bluefin Tuna catches from weight data and length frequency distribution of 71 fish measured.

| Length Group <br> L in cm | Smoothed weight frequency (per mille) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calculated by $K=2.07$ | 71 fish measured |
| 217 | 1 |  |
| 222 | 3 |  |
| 227 | 9 |  |
| 232 | 22 | 42 |
| 237 | 51 | 99 |
| 242 | 103 | 183 |
| 247 | 160 | 226 |
| 252 | 189 | 166 |
| 257 | 178 | 116 |
| 262 | 140 | 91 |
| 267 | 87 | 53 |
| 272 | 41 | 18 |
| 277 | 14 |  |
| 282 | 3 |  |
| 287 | 1 |  |

Table $10_{0}$ Monthly size composition of U.S. Bluefin
Tuna catches in $\%_{0}$ (smoothed) (fork length by caliper)
for 1974 。

| Fork Length | July | August | September | October | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $41-45$ | 8 | - | - | - | 3 |
| 46 - 50 | 104 | 23 | 1 | - | 47 |
| $51-55$ | 197 | 66 | 124 | - | 137 |
| $56-60$ | 110 | 64 | 320 | - | 168 |
| 61-65 | 11 | 21 | 273 | - | 102 |
| $66-70$ | 15 | 1 | 77 | - | 32 |
| 71 - 75 | 79 | 20 | 2 | - | 42 |
| $76-80$ | 126 | 66 | 13 | - | 77 |
| 81 - 85 | 81 | 84 | 26 | - | 67 |
| $86-90$ | 67 | 79 | 22 | - | 53 |
| 91-95 | 96 | 95 | 17 | 10 | 64 |
| 96-100 | 67 | 104 | 34 | - | 65 |
| 101-105 | 19 | 70 | 39 | - | 39 |
| 106-110 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 13 |
| 111 - 115 | - | 11 | 2 | - | 4 |
| 116-120 | - | 26 | - | - | 7 |
| 121-125 | - | 50 | 1 | - | 13 |
| 126-130 | - | 53 | 2 | - | 14 |
| 131-135 | - | 33 | 1 | 10 | 9 |
| 136-140 | - | 22 | - | - | 6 |
| 141-145 | - | 47 | - | - | 6 |
| $146-150$ | - | 21 | - | 10 | 5 |
| 151 - 155 | - | 12 | - | 10 | 3 |
| 156-160 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 |
| 161-165 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| 166-170 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 171-175 | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| 176-180 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| $181-185$ | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 186-190 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 191 - 195 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 196-200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 201-205 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 206-210 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 211-215 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 216-220 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 221-225 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 226-230 | 1 | - | 1 | 10 |  |
| 231-235 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| 236-240 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| 241-245 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2 |
| 246-250 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 2 |
| 251-255 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 80 |  |
| 256-260 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 130 | 4 |
| 261-265 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 150 | 3 |
| 266-270 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 165 | 2 |
| 271-275 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 145 | 2 |
| 276-280 | - | - | 2 | 83 | 1 |
| 281-285 | - | - | 1 | 40 | - |
| 286-290 | - | - | - | 20 | - |
| 291-295 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 296-300 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 301-305 | - | - | - | - | - |
| n | 26027 | 16836 | 22233 | 114 | 65210 |

Table ll。 Monthly size composition of UoSo Bluefin Tuna catches above 200 cm （comp．Table 10）in $\%$（smoothed）（fork length by caliper）for 1974．

| Fork Length | Jun． | JuI。 | Aug． | Sep。 | Oct． | Nov． | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 195－199 | － | － | 4 | 1 | － | － | 1 |
| 200－204 | 16 | － | 8 | 4 | － | － | 3 |
| 205－209 | 31 | － | 8 | 7 | 2 | － | 5 |
| 210－214 | 32 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 7 |
| 215－219 | 47 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 44 | 10 |
| 220－224 | 47 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 44 | 12 |
| 225－229 | 47 | 29 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 18 |
| 230－234 | 94 | 57 | 34 | 15 | 2 | － | 31 |
| 235－239 | 125 | 98 | 80 | 20 | － | 15 | 54 |
| 240－244 | 109 | 144 | 126 | 29 | 9 | 30 | 81 |
| 245－249 | 125 | 159 | 123 | 59 | 35 | 59 | 99 |
| 250－254 | 156 | 148 | 119 | 103 | 70 | 103 | 117 |
| $2^{5}$－ 259 | 93 | 137 | 145 | 141 | 117 | 118 | 137 |
| 26u－264 | 31 | 110 | 137 | 171 | 172 | 118 | 143 |
| 265－269 | 31 | 64 | 96 | 167 | 198 | 103 | 123 |
| 270－274 | 16 | 25 | 50 | 120 | 159 | 73 | 80 |
| 275－279 | － | 7 | 18 | 72 | 91 | 73 | 44 |
| 280－284 | － | 2 | 7 | 36 | 49 | 102 | 23 |
| 285－289 | － | 1 | 2 | 11 | 29 | 73 | 8 |
| 290－294 | － | － | － | 2 | 12 | 15 | 2 |
| 295－299 | － | － | － | 1 | 6 | － | 1 |
| 300－304 | － | － | － | 1 | 5 | － | 1 |
| 305－309 | － | － | － | － |  | － | － |
| n | 16 | 465 | 234 | 639 | 107 | 17 | 1478 |

Table 120 1974 recaptures of Giant（ 120 kg ）Bluefin Tunao
Released by cooperators of the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution＇s Game Fish Tagging Program（WHOI）and the National Marine Fisheries Service＇s Marine Restarch
Tagging Program（NMFS）

| RELEASE |  |  | RECAPTURE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Area | Gear | Date | Area | Gear |
| 8 Jun． 1973 | Bahamas | Rod \＆Reel | 3 Jul 1974 | New England | Handline（WHOI） |
| $22 \mathrm{Jul.1974}$ | New England | Free tagged | 26 Jul 1974 | New England | Rod \＆Reel（WHOI） |
| 18 Aug． 1970 | Newfld． | Rod \＆Reel | $22 \mathrm{Jul.1974}$ | Nova Scotia | Harpooned（WHOI） |
| 14 Augo 1970 | Newflda | Rod \＆Reel | 15 Julol 1974 | New England | Handline（WHOI） |
| 22 Jul 1974 | New England | Rod \＆Reel | $30 \mathrm{Jul} .1974{ }^{\text {x }}$ | New England | Rod \＆Reel（WHOI） |
| $27 \mathrm{Jul.1973}$ | New England | Rod \＆Reel | 4 Aug． 1974 | New England | Rod \＆Reel（NMFS） |
| 8 Jun．1973 | Bahamas | Rod \＆Reel | 12 Sep． 1974 | Norway | Seine（WHOI） |
| 22 Jul 1974 | New England | Free tagged | 8 Sep． 1974 | New England | Rod \＆Reel（WHOI） |

x）Tag shed，but fish identified by taggers on basis of deformed fin and an open wound on lateral line where they had noted the tag had been placed． This recapture may be considered as highly probable，if not certain。

Table 13. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution - Cooperative Game Fish Tagging Program。 - Releases and returns for giant (over 120 kg ) Bluefin Tuna tagged in Newfoundland waters, by year of release, months at large, and area ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ of recapture.

| Year | Releases | Returns |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Months at large |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1963 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1964 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1965 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1966 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1967 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1968 | 193 | 1 L | 0 | 0 | 1 L | 0 |  | 2 L |
| 1969 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1970 | 79 | 1 L | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{lN}$ |  | 3 LMIN |
| 1971 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 M | 0 | - |  | 1 M |
| 1972 | 38 | 0 | 1 G | 0 | - | - |  |  |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |  | 0 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  | 0 |
| Unkn | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1L | 1 L |

X) Areas: $I=$ Local; $M=$ Massachusetts; $N=$ Nova Scotia; $G=$ Grand Banks。

Table 14. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution - Cooperative Game Fish Tagging Program. - Releases and returns for Giant Bluefin Tuna (over 120 kg ) tagged off the Bahamas by year of release, months at large, and area ${ }^{x x}$ of recapture

| Year | Releases | R eturns |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5. | 6.0-17.9 | nths at 1 18.0-29.9 | $30.0-41.9$ | 42.0-53.9 |  |
| 1954 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 N | 0 | 0 | $2 \mathbb{N}$ |
| 1961 | 34 | 2 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2 \mathbb{N}$ |
| 1962 | 45 | $1 \mathbb{N}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 N |
| 1963 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 1 B | 0 | 0 | 1 B |
| 1964 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1965 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1966 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 A | $1 . \mathrm{A}$ |
| 1967 | 82 | 1 N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 N |
| 1968 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 B | 1 B |
| 1970 | 182 | 1 A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 A |
| 1971 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 A | 0 | - | 1 A |
| 1972 | 32 | 0 | 1 N | 0 | - | - | 1 N |
| 1973 | 47 | 0 | $1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{N}$ | - | - | - | 2 AN |
| 1974 | 31 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |

xx) Areas: $A=$ Northeastern North America; $B=$ Brazil and Argentina; $N=$ Norway.

Table 15。 Releases and returns for giant (over 122 kg ) Bluefin Tuna tagged in New England coastal waters, by years of release and recapture. Returns expressed in numbers (numerators) and percent of releases (denominators), were all from New England waters.

| Releases |  | Returns, by year of recapture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | $1974{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 1966 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 1967 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 1968 | 6 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1/16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1/16.7 |
| 1969 | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 10 | 4 | - | = | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 1971 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | $1 / 10.0$ | - | 1/10.0 |
| 1972 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1/5.9 | 1/5.9 | - | 2/11.8 |
| 1973 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5/33.3 | 1/6.7 | $6 / 40.0$ |
| $1974{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2/20.0 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2/20.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 7 | 3 | 12 |

Footnotes:
a) Incomplete.
b) Includes 6 releases of, and 41973 returns from, fish tagged while swimming free.
c) Includes 4 releases of, and 2 returns from, fish tagged while swimming free.
d) Another fish, tagged in 1974 after capture by rod and reel, has very probably also been recaptured after shedding the tag. It was identified by the crew which had probably tagged it on the basis of a deformed pectoral fin, and a wound on the lateral line where the tagging data card indicated that the tag had been placedo This probable recapture occurred 8 days after the release.

Table 16. Releases and returns for Giant (over 122 kg ) Bluefin Tuna, Thunnus thynnus, tagged in New England coastal waters, by cooperators with the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and National Marine Fisheries Service fish tagging programs.

| Year released | Releases ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | Returns by Months at Large |  |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-5.9 | 6-17.9 | 18-29.9 |  |  |
| 1966 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1967 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1968 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 16.7 |
| 1969 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1970 | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1971 | 10 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 10.0 |
| 1972 | 17 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 11.8 |
| 1973 | $15^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | - | - | $6^{\text {a }}$ | 40.0 |
| 1974 | $10^{\text {b }}$ | 2 | - | - | $2^{\text {b }}$ | 20.0 |

x) Fish were caught for tagging by rod and reel except as noted.
a) Includes 6 releases of, and 41973 returns from, fish tagged while swimming free。
b) Includes 4 releases of, and 21974 returns from, fish tagged while swimming free。

Table 17。 Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution，Cooperative Game Fish Tagging Program。－Releases of young Bluefin Tuna in coastal waters between Cape Hatteras and Cape Cod， by year and method of capture for tagging，and return rates from these，based on all data received up to 1 February，1974。

| Year | Purse Seine |  | Rod and Reel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Releases | Return rate，\％ | Releases | Return rate，\％ |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 1.8 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 0 |
| $\bigcirc 0$. |  |  |  |  |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 2.9 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6.7 |
| 1961 | 21 | 0 | 129 | 5.4 |
| 1962 | 25 | 0 | 52 | 7.7 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 31.0 |
| 1964 | 455 | 28.2 | 10 | 30.0 |
| 1965 | 1629 | 15.0 | 43 | 39.5 |
| 1966 | $3772^{\text {x }}$ | 29.0 | 187 | 44.9 |
| 1967 | 614 | 29.5 | 14 | 21.4 |
| 1968 | 219 | 47.5 | 41 | 26.8 |
| 1969 | 92 | 17.4 | 244 | 38.1 |
| 1970 | 32 | 25.0 | 426 | 41.5 |
| 1971 | $311^{\text {x }}$ | 20.6 | 31 | 48.5 |
| 1972 | $127^{\text {x }}$ | 30.7 | 66 | 39.4 |
| 1973 | 264 | 18.5 | 86 | 11.6 |
| 1974 | $1424^{\text {x }}$ | 4.4 | 277 | 2.9 |

x）Includes jig releases．

Table 18。
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Cooperative Game Fish Tagging Program. - Numbers of local returns from small Bluefin Tuna released in coastal waters between Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, and Cape Cod, Massachusetts, and percent of returns by method of recapture.

| Year | N | Method of recapture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Commercial | Sport |
| 1954 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 1 | 0 | 100.0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 9 | 100.0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 131 | 96.2 | 3.8 |
| 1965 | 243 | 88.5 | 11.5 |
| 1966 | 1163 | 84.9 | 15.1 |
| 1967 | 184 | 91.3 | 8.7 |
| 1968 | 115 | 87.0 | 13.0 |
| 1969 | 109 | 95.4 | 4.6 |
| 1970 | 185 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
| 1971 | 79 | 93.7 | 6.3 |
| 1972 | 65 | 90.8 | 9.2 |
| 1973 | 59 | 96.6 | 3.4 |
| 1974 | 71 | 69.0 | 31.0 |



Figure 1. Body weight increase by weeks.


Figure 2. Calculated length frequency distribution from weight data, and length frequency distribution of 71 fish measured (broken line).


Figure 3. Size composition of Bluefin Tuna catches made in USA, Norway, Spain and Canada.


Figure 4. Weight composition of Bluefin Tuna catches made in Canada and Norway.

