International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

C.M1974/F:45 Demersal Fish (N) Committee

OBSERVATIONS FROM THE BARENTS SEA IN SPRING 1973 ON THE DISCARDING OF COD AND HADDOCK CAUGHT IN BOTTOM AND MIDWATER TRAWLS FITTED WITH DOUBLE COD ENDS

by

Arvid Hylen and Odd M. Smedstad Institute of Marine Research Box 2906, 5011 Bergen, Norway

## INTRODUCTION

Discarding of Arcto-Norwegian cod and haddock from hired Norwegian commercial trawlers fishing along the Finnmark Coast and in the Bear Island area with slightly different chafers was studied by Hylen (1965, 1967). Similar studies were made in the beginning of April and June 1973 off the East Finnmark Coast.

METHODS AND MATERIAL

A Norwegian trawler was hired for the period 2 - 9 April and another for the period 9 - 19 June 1973. Commercial bottom and midwater trawls made of polypropylene with a mesh size of 130 mm in the cod ends were used. A chafer of the double cod end type with the same mesh size as the cod end was used in some of the hauls. It was fastened to the anterior part of the cod end. The number of hauls with the different gears are given in Table 1.

Observers from the Marine Research Institute, Bergen had the responsibility of the sampling program. They measured a representative part of the fish used for human consumption and discarded from as many hauls as possible. The total number of fish in these two categories were estimated for each haul by raising the length frequences of the samples to the total. Total weight of each species caught in the observed hauls was calculated from the length compositions and the length/weight relationship.

## RESULTS

Discarding rates of cod from catches taken in April with bottom trawl without chafer were estimated to 34% by numbers and 13% by weight (Table 1). Corresponding figures for the hauls with bottom trawl fitted with double cod end were 26 and 11% respectively. The discarding from the bottom trawl catches in June were of the same order. Experiments with midwater trawl with single and double cod end gave discarding rates of 34 and 39% by numbers and 18 and 22% by weight respectively.

The smallest cod retained in the bottom and midwater trawls fitted with double cod end was 17 and 28 cm respectively, while the smallest fish caught in bottom and midwater trawl with single cod end was 26 and 32 cm respectively (Table 2).

According to market demand most of the cod 39 cm and less were discarded. The 50% selection length for landing were 41 - 43.5 cm in the bottom trawl catches and 40 cm for the midwater trawl catches (Table 3). All fish more than 44 cm were landed for human consumption.

Discarding rates for haddock in bottom trawl catches were in the order of 3 - 9% by weight, while no discarding was observed from the midwater trawl catches. Since haddock was mush less abundant in the catches than cod, both in April and in June, the estimated rates may be less significant (Table 1). Haddock as small as 16 cm were retained in the bottom trawl with double cod end, while the smallest fish caught in the midwater trawl was 37 cm (Table 4). Fish up to 44 cm was discarded, but few more than 39 cm.

It is of interest in this connection to get an idea of the loss of cod and haddock if a higher effective mesh size would have been used in the experiments. This can be estimated by knowing the selection ogive for the species and the gear. The selection ogive, characterized by the selection factor and the selection range between the 25 - 75% and 5 - 95% retention langth, are known from many selection experiments with bottom trawl in the Barents Sea, Bear Island and Spitsbergen area (Anon. 1964, 1971). Grand mean selection factor for Arcto-Norwegian cod in trawl made of polypropylene is 3.67 (Anon. 1971). Experiments with a double cod end chafer and the same mesh size in both cod ends have shown a reduction in the selection factor for cod up to 40% (Anon. 1967). Applying a reduction in the selection factor of 20% would give an effective mesh size of 104 mm. The length composition which would have been obtained with a single cod end and a mesh size 130 mm or 145 mm could then be estimated. Applying the same selection for landing as estimated from the described experiments would have given immediate losses by weight for the April experiment of 21.2 and 41.4% respectively (Table 5). Corresponding losses for the June experiment would have been 8.4 and 21% respectively. By landing all the fish caught with the higher effective mesh sizes, the immediate losses would be smaller.

Higher effective mesh sizes in the cod end would have reduced the discarding of small fish. Mesh sizes of 130 or 145 mm and single cod end in the April experiment would have lead to discarding of 6.2 or 0.2% of the recorded discarding by numbers. Corresponding figures for the June experiment would he > been 14.1 or 0.5% respectively.

Similar calculation for the midwater trawl catches have not been made because of lack in our knowledge of the selectivety in this gear.

A comparison of the length composition of catches taken in April with bottom trawl and midwater trawl show that cod less than 25 cm and more than 79 cm were represented only in the bottom trawl catches (Table 2 and 6). However, the length groups 35 - 59 cm were more abundant in the midwater trawl catches. A relatively high number of haddock 15 - 34 cm were caught in bottom trawl. length groups which were missing in the midwater trawl catches. However, the length groups 35 - 49 cm were more abundant in the midwater trawl than in bottom trawl catches.

## SUMMARY

Discarding practise of cod and haddock was studied on board two Norwegian trawlers hired for fishing off the East Finnmark Coast, one in the period 2 - 9 April and one in days 9 - 19 June 1973. Bottom and midwater trawls with double and single cod ends were used. The cod end and the chafer were made of polypropylene and had a mesh size of 130 mm.

Discarding of cod caught in bottom trawl fitted with double cod end was in the order of 27% by numbers and 11% by weight. Corresponding figures for midwater trawl catches were 39% by numbers and 22% by weight. Discarding of haddock from the same bottom trawl hauls was 3 - 7% by weight and no discarding was observed from the midwater trawl catches.

A single cod end in the experiments with bottom trawl fitted with double cod end would have reduced the discarding of cod to 1/5 - 1/7 by numbers. A single cod end and a mesh size of 145 mm would have given neglegible discarding.

## REFERENCES

- ANON. 1971. Report of the ICES/ICNAF Working Groups on selectivity analyses. <u>Cooperative Research Report Ser. A: 1</u> - 144.
- ANON. 1966. Co-opted members' report. Selectivity experiments. Cooperative Research Report Ser. B 1965: 80 - 97.
- HYLEN, A. 1965. Utkast av torsk fra trålfangster. <u>Fiskets Gang 51</u>: 433 - 435.
  - 1967. On the estimation of cod and haddock discarded by trawlers using different chafers. <u>Cooperative Research Report Ser. B</u> 1966: 65 - 76.
- MARGETTS, A. R., SÆTERSDAL, G., VON BRANDT, A. and TRESCHEV, A. I. 1964. The 1959 International Arctic trawl mesh selection experiments. Cooperative Research Report Ser. A 2: 31 - 137.

Table 1. Discarding of Arcto-Norwegian cod and haddock caught by hired Norwegian trawlers off the East Finnmark Coast.

• • •

		No.	Landings	sbu	Discard	ard	Per cent	discarded
Dear	лаге	or Hauls	No.	Weight (kg)	No.	Weight (kg)	No.	Weight (kg
COD					,			
Bottom trawl single cod end	2 - 9 April 1973	2	1 118	1 814	. 560	262	34	13
" " double " "	2	13	25 073	35 268	7 511	3 887	26	11
Midwater trawl single cod end	=	7	3 528	4 513	1 919	1 068	34	18
" " double " "	2 2	4	19 125	21 187	11 914	5 840	39	22
Bottom trawl double cod end	9 - 19 June 1973	7	23 871	44 018	9 197	5 509	28	[]
HADDOCK							•	
Bottom trawl single cod end	2 - 9 April 1973	7	343	572	120	09	26	6
и и double и и	ці н	13	541	578	52	16	6	က
Midwater trawl single cod end	е - Е - Е	2	486		0	• •	0	
" " double " "	в ,	4	9 407	,	0		0	
Bottom trawl double cod end	9 - 19 June 1973	7	ł	678	- 179	52	<b>1</b>	7

Finnmark Coast.

				-			•		pr •		`											•			
	ле 1973	tra	cod end	Discarded	5			16	. 598	3 924	4 501	153													6 197
	9 - 19 June	Bottom	double	Landed							1 164	3 277	3 059	5 585	6 335	2 573	1 292	311	182	2	24	28	23	13	23 871
			cod end	Discarded		·		84	4 236	5 935	1 659														11 914
		r trawl	Double	Landed						11	7 109	4 762	3 059	2 791	1 036	240	44								19 125
		Midwater	cod end	Discarded					116	1 201	602						-			-					1 919
	il 1973		Single c	Landed						135	177	717	700	703	366	86	48	2							3 528
	2 - 9 April		cod end	Discarded	39	110	13	76	1 354	4 157	1 756	9													7 511
		trawl	Double c	Landed	1.					68	3 488	7 461	3 819	5 434	3 232	1 052	262	131	51	. 35	.9	18	10	6	25 0 <b>73</b>
		Bottom 1	cod end	Discarded				48	160	288	64														560
rınnmark Coast.			Single	Landed [						2	<b>66</b>	162	269	302	181	55	15	6	6	4	\$	7			1 118
LINU		Length			10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65 - 69	70 - 74	75 - 79	80 - 84	85 - 89	90 - 94	95 - 99	100 - 104	105 - 109	Total

. . ........

;

1

		2 - 9 April 1973	~	9 - 19 June 1973
Length	Bottom trawl	Midwate	er trawl	Bottom trawl
(cm)	double cod end	Double cod end	Single cod end	double cod end
7	2.5		6.8	
8		1.5		
9	5.0	5.5	21.6	
40	27.8	52.1	15.5	2.8
.1	47.5	78.4	31,9	3.5
2	68.2	84.6	65.8	14.9
3	85.6	92.0	100.0	32.1
4	95.5	98.7	92.8	. 73.8
45	99.7	100.0	100.0	85.7
6	100.0			96.4
7				100.0

Table 3. Percentage retained for landing by length of cod caught by hiredNorwegian trawlers off the East Finnmark Coast.

المراجع ا

Table 4. Length compositions of Arcto-Norwegian haddock caught by hired Norwegian trawlers off the East Finnmark Coast.

	8		2 - 9 Ap	oril 1973	<u>alağı dalar yaşın alaşı yaşışın dadi B</u> ana dadir.		9 - 19 June 1973
' ngth	a sector de la composition de la compos	Botton	n trawl			r trawl	Bottom trawl
(cm)	Single	cod end	Double	cod end	Single cod end	Double cod end	double cod end
	Landed	Discarded	Landed	Discarded	Landed	Landed	Discarded
15 - 19	Zan Ballin bandenskop og og samle skan som filf for søder som			5		19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 -	9
20 - 24				11			34
25 - 29		,		12		,	62
30 - 34		40		13			48
35 - 39	1	80	3	7	. 2	120	. 26
40 - 44	58		181	4	89	2 883	
45 - 49	150		211		257	4 812	
50 - 54	103		108		109	1 358	
55 - 59	15		32		22	194	
60 - 64	12		6		7	32	·
65 - 69	1					8	
TOTAL	<b>3</b> 40	120	541	52	486	9 407	179
and the second	and a superior regarding constant from the		أمادة فيستعمد فالتجرف فيزي مشفقتي مرجستين				

Table 5. Estimated loss (%) in landings of cod from the bottom trawl catches if a higher effective mesh size in the cod end had been used.

Date	Same	discardi	ng pract:	ic.e		No disc	arding	
Date	130 mm	mesh	145 m	n mesh	130 mm	mesh	145 mm	mesh
	N	W	N	W	N	W	N	·W
2 - 9 April 1973	30.8	21.2	54.8	41.4	29.0	. 20.3	54 <b>.7</b>	41.4
9 - 19 June 1973	14.8	8.4	56.4	21.0	9.3	6.4	56.8	20.9
	-					1 1		

Table 6. Relative length compositions of cod and haddock caught in April 1973 by bottom and midwater trawl with double and single cod ends. Mesh size in cod end and double cod end 130 mm.

) Lan akh	Single	cod end	Double cod end			
Length (cm)	Bottom trawl	Midwater trawl	Bottom trawl	Midwater trawl		
COD						
10 - 29	2.9	-	0.7	0.3		
30 - 49	46.2	65.0	56.1	76.6		
50 - 110	50.9	35.0	43.2	23.1		
HADDOCK		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
15 - 34	8.7	-	6.9	_		
35 - 49	62.8	71.6	68.5	83.1		
50 - 69	28.5	28.4	24.6	16.9		