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# PRELIMTINARY REPORT OF THE NORTH SEA ROUNDFTSH WORKITG GROUP 

# Effect of Recommendation 2 ${ }^{\text {W) Fisheries }}$ 

on the Gadoid Stocks

## 1. Introduction

At the 1970 Council Meeting it was recomended (C.Res.1970/2:7) that 'the North Sea Roundfish Working Group should meet to make further assessments of the state of the demersal gadoid stocks in the Morth Sea, taking account of the exceptionally large catches of certain species reported in "Bulletin Statistique" in recent years".

The meeting was held in Copenhagen from 20-22 January 1971 with the following participants:
R. Jones, U.K. (Chairman)
R. C. A. Bannister, U.K.
H. Knudsen, Denmarik
R. de Clerck, Belgium
H. Daan, Netherlands
G. Wagner, Germany
A. Hylen, Norway
D. S. Danielssen, Horway
G. Lefranc, France
S. S. Fedorov, USSR
O. V. Bakurin, USSR
J. Meller Christensen (ICES) 。

In the Report of the Working Group on Assessment of Demersal Species in the Horth Sea (Anon., 1969), the assessments included the effects of changes in mesh size and changes in fishing effort on the haddock, whiting and cod fishery of the North Sea. To achieve this, mortality rates were required for the part of the life of a haddock, whiting or cod, after it had assumed the dernersal habit but befofe it had grown large enough to be fuily exploited by the Recommendation $4^{\text {RK }}$ fisheries.

In the case of whiting, it was recognised that some fishing mortality would occur before and during the period up to the time when the fish were fully exploited by the Recommendation 4 fishery, due to the activities of vessels engaged in Recommendation 2 fisheries. To allow for this, values of total mortality equal to 0.4 and 0.6 were adopted to provide a suitable range of values for assessment purposes.

In the case of haddock and cod it was assumed that only natural mortality wrould operate during this period, and values of 0.1 and 0.25 were adopted to provide a probable range of values.

In view of the recent increase in the landings of certain Recommendation 2 fisheries, the Working Group was set up to review the vaiidity of the mortality estimates, and, if necessary, to consider the effect of changes in them on the assessments made at the previous meeting.
x) Recomendation $2=$ Article $6=$ Mixed Fisheries
xx) Recommendation $4=$ Annex II $=$ Fisheries for protected Species

The "exceptionaily large catches of certain species" mentioned in the Recommendation refer in particular to the landings of 469000 tons of Norway pout lended from the Horth Sea in 1968, according to "Bulletin Statistique"。

Norway pout are captured by vessels fishing for industrial purposes, using smail-meshed nets and classed as Recommendation 2 fisheries. In I968, it was known that there were exceptionally large numbers of haddock in the North Sea. These were members of the 1967 year class which was known to be one of the outstanding year classes of the century and which, in 1968 , would have been subject to exploitation in the Horway pout fishery. At the time of the 1970 Council Meeting, data relating to the relative strengti of the 1967 Norway pout year class, which would have been the important one in the 1968 Norway pout fishery, had not been worised. up. As there was no evidence at the time to suggest thet the 1967 Norway pout year class was other than average, the sugigestion was made that the 1968 Norway pout landings might in fact have been composed of more than the permissible $10 \%$ undersized protected species. If that were so, the fear was expressed that a considerable number of young haddock might heve been destroyed in the IG68 Norway pout fishery and the Working Group was asked to assess the effect of this with a view to considering the effect of Recommendation 2 fisheries on the Recomendation 4 fisheries.

## 2. Landings from the Recommendation 2 Fisheries

Details of lamdings from the Recomendation 2 fisheries, as reported to the Liaison Committee for the years 1965-69, are given in Table I. This Table is incomplete, not all countries having subritted data.

## 2.I Norway pout

The principal Horway pout fishery in the North Sea are camried out by vessels landing in Denmark and Morway.

Danish vessels mainiy fish in Divisions IVa and IVb and the distribution of landings at one factory in Esbjerg is shown in Figure 1. These relate to the landings of Recomendation 2 species, excluding herring, sprat and sandeel. Observations on the likely species composition of these landings are given in a later section.

From 1965-69, the Iandings of Recommendation 2 species classed by Denmarix as Morvay pout in Table I, ranged from 8000 to ITO 000 tons except in 1968, when landings of 411000 tons were recorded.

The fishery for Nowway pout by Norwegian vessels in the period 1965-69 came mainiy from Division IVa, particulariy from fishing grounds bordering the Horwegian Deeps in depths ranging from 175-275 m (Fig.2). Landings during the period 1965-69 ranged from 10000 to 62000 tons, including also other smail fish, mainly gadoids. The landings in Ig68 amounted to 58000 tons. It may be noticed that the Norwerion fishery statistics overestimate the true landings of Horway pout due to the presence of biue whiting (Iahn-Johannessen et al., 3.964).

### 2.2 Species composition of the Danish londings of Norvay pout

As the Danish samping progranme in recent years was concentrated on herring landings in comection with the ICWS Herring Tagging Experiment, no actual data are available on the species composition of the Norway pout landings in these years in Denish ports. With regard to the very large Morway pout landings in 1968, however, it is relevant to consider the relative strengths of different Howwy pout year classes.

Information on the jrear class strengths of Norway pout is available from two sources. From Scottish data, records are available of the number of group It Norwoy pout caught per hourts fishing by research vessels for the year classes 1959-69 (Fig.3). In addition, Lahn-Johannessen and Radhakrishnan (I970) give data on the relative strengths of the 1959-69 year classes.

The Scottish data show that the 1961 and 1967 year classes were very good and the other year classes poor or average. From the table in the paper by Lain-Johannessen and Radhakrishnon, the 1961 year class is also considered as very good, and the year classes 1960, 1963, 1964, 1965 and 1968 as poor. No data are given by these authors for the 1966 and 1967 year classes. The two sets of data only differ with respect to the relative strengths of the 1962 and 1969 year classes. These would both appear to be poor or average from the Scottish data, but good according to Lahn-Johomessen and Radhakrishnan. Overail, the agreement between the two sets of data is very good.

In Pisure 3 are shown the landings of Norway pout by Denmark superimposed on the estimates of the relative strengths of different Norway pout year classes. These have been dispiaced one year with respect to the Danish landings, since each Norway pout year class makes its main contribution to the fishery when it is one year old, i.e. the relative strength of the 1959 year class is plotted against the Danish landings in 1960 and so on.

This Figure clearily shows that the fluctuations in year class strengths and fluctuations in the Danish landings closely parallel each other. In particular the rise in londings in 1962 can be accounted for by a good year class in 196I. The rise in londings in 1967 and 1968 can be accounted for by the good and very good year classes of 1966 and 1967, respectively.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the Working Group decided that for assessment purposes, the Danish landings recorded as Norway pout in Table 1 contained no more than the permissible $10 \%$ of undersized protected species.

### 2.3 Species composition of the Norwegian landings of Moxway pout

Estimates derived from samples of Morwegian landings indicate that the percentage by weight of Horway pout in the landings has decreased since Ig62 from 75 to $40 \%$, due to an increase in the percentage of blue whiting. (Iahn-Johannessen and Radhakrishnan).

The landings in 1968 amounted to 58000 tons, and it is known that in this year some small gadoids were included in the eatch. On the basis of sampling in 1969, when the fishery was in the same region as in 1968, the composition of the total catches from the Recommendation 2 fisheries amounted to $38 \%$ Morway pout and $47 \%$ bive whiting. The sampling showed a content of $1.7 \%$ haddock and $0.6 \%$ others. It was considered that to take $5 \%$ of the Horvegian pout landings as haddock ought to give an overestimate of quantity of haddocis in the IG68 landings (Table 2).

### 2.4 Other Recommendation 2 species

Details of the landings of Recommendation 2 species other then those classed as Norway pout are given in Table 1.

Belgium - Landings given for Belgian vessels ranged from 2000 to 3000 tons from 1965-69 and mainly relate to the landings by vessels engaged in fishing for herring; sprat and shrimps. The by-catch of haddock and whiting by these vessels is considered to be negligible.

Denmari－Landings by Danish vessels ranged from 275000 tons to 386000 tons． $95 \%$ or more were herming and sandeel，sandeel being the most important in I966 and 1967，and herring in the other years．Bymcatches of whiting in these fisheries are included in the column headed TWhiting＂． The by－catches of both haddock and whiting are included in the Danish lendings of these species given in＂Bulletin Statistique＂（Tailes 3 and 5），and are excluded from the figures in the column headed＂Other Recommendation 2 Species＂．

Germany－Landings by German vessels from IG65－68 ranged from 70000 to 102000 tons．These landings came mainly from vessels engaged in fishery for shrimps，herring and sprats and the by－catch of all protected species in 1968 and I969 was Iess than $7 \%$（Tiews，I968 and 1969）。

237－17000 Morway－Landings by Horwegian vessels from $\mathbf{I} 965-69$ ranged from 350－000－to－id－000 tons．These relate to landings of herring and sandeels by vessels fishing for Recormendation 2 species．

Poland－Catches ranged from 9000 to 95000 tons for 1965－69， being mainly herring，sprat and mackerel．By－catches of haddock and㜤ting are given in Table I。 No datz on catches of protected species wero submitted for 1969．

United Kingdom－Values ranged around I－200 tons for 1965－69 and consisted mainly of shrimps．The by－catch of protected species in these fisheries was negligible。

Motheriands－Values ranged from 33000 to 96000 tons and consisted only of herring and mackerel．The by－catch of haddock and whiting taken in these fisheries are included in the Table in the colums headed＂Hadock＂and ＂Whitingi＂．

3．The Fffect of the Recommendation 2 Fisheries on the Recomendation 4 Fisheries

### 3.1 Haddock

Tables 3 and 4 show the total North Sea landings of haddock according to＂BuIletin Statistique＂for the period I956－69．The totai catch averaged 79000 tons up to 1963 ，but showed a substantial increase to 272000 tons Detween I964 and I966 due to the infiuence of the 1962 year class，and a further steep rise to 639000 tons in 1969，following the entry of the out－ standing 1967 year class．

Aithough the Recommendation 2 fisheries data are available for the whole of the period $1965-69$ ，it is not relevant to make an assessment on the basis of the average landings because of the influence of the two very strong year classes．It was decided to consider only the 1967 year class of haddock which would have been most affected by the Recommendation 2 fisheries in I968．It was，therefore，decided to make an assessment for this year only． Before an assessment could be made，it was necessary to estimate its size of the year class relative to that of on average year class and then to convert this into absolute mumbers of fish．

## 3．1．1 The Relative year class strengths of hadack

Data relating to the relative year class strengths of haddock are availaide from amual trawling surveys made by the Scottish research vessels．The resulis for the year classes from 1954 to 1969 are plotted． in Figure 4．THese show that：
the year classes of：1956，1957，1959，1960，1963，IG64 and 1965 were relatively poor；
the year classes of：1954，1955，1958，1961， 1968 and 1969 were relatively good；
the year－classes of： 1962 and 1967 were outstandingly good．
Similar results were obtained by sampling with German research vesseis（Wagner）．

The mean number per hour!s fishing of each of these groups of year classes were:-
$\left.\begin{array}{lr}\text { for the poor year classes } & 13 \\ \text { for the good year classes } & \\ \text { for the } 1962 \text { year class } & 130 \\ \text { for the } 1967 \text { year class } & 2000\end{array}\right)$ number/hours fishing

These data show that the IG67 year class was about I50 tines as good as an average poor year class and about 15 times as good as an average good year class.

During the period 1956-63, during which the total annual landings of haddock remained approximately constant, the fishery was mainly dependent on the 1954-61 year classes. The average strength of these was 81 fish per hour's fishing. It follows, therefore, that the 1967 year class was about 25 tines as large as an average year class for the years 1954-61. Data relating to the distribution of haddockrig67 year class in the Central and Southern North Sea are given in Figures 5 and 6.

In the case of the 1962 year class its strength was about 15 times average. Confirmation of the relative strength of the IG62 year class comes from sampies of the landings of this year class by Scottish vessels in Ig66. At that time, the trawl landings per 100 hours of the 1962 year class, which was then four years of age, were approximately if times as great as the average trawl landings per 100 hours fishing of four year old haddock during preceding years. Before this, in 1964 and 1965, landings of the 1962 year class by Scottish vessels were considerably beiow expectation, due to the rejection of very large quantities of this yoar ciass at sea (Jomes). Total landing statistics alone camot therefore be used as a reliable guide to the relative strength of an outstandingly good year class, such as that of 1962 and 1967, and research vessel estimates are to be preferred.

In this Report assessments have been made for 1968, and for this an estimate of the relative strength of the outstanding I967 haddock year class is required. In view of the above considerations the research vessel estimate of 25 times average for the 1967 year class has been used in the calculation set out below.

### 3.1.2 Estimation of the number of recruits in the 1967 year class

The absolute number of recruits one year of age necssary to account for tine landings as recorded in the "Bulletin Statistique" in an average year over the period $1956-63$ is calculated below, using the method outlined in Appendir I. This is then raised by a factor of 25 to estimate the number of I967 recruits at that age. Values are calculated. for the range of $\overline{W_{2}}, M_{\text {s }}$ and $\Psi$ specified below, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{w}_{I}=340 \mathrm{~g}=\text { mean weight of haddock in Recommendation } 4 \text { landings } \\
& \text { by Scotlend, Fhgland and Netherlands between } 1958 \text { and I961; } \\
& \bar{W}_{2}=440 \mathrm{~g}=\text { mean weight of haddock in landings by the same countries } \\
& \text { in I968; } \\
& M=0.1 \text { or } 0.25=\text { assumed value of natural mortality between ages } \\
& 1.0 \text { and 2.0; } \\
& \mathrm{E}=0.7 \text { or } 0.9=\text { rate of exploitation of fish of } 2.0 \text { years and older. } \\
& \text { (Anon., 1969). }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Year Clesses 1954-1961 | Subarea IV | Division IVa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Recomendation 4 landings by ail nations 1956-63 (metric tons) | 79290 | 49600 |
| Equivalent numbers of haddock landed., using the range of mean weights $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ | $180-233 \times 10^{6}$ | $146-113 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Equivalent numbers or recruits $R_{2}$ at the mean age of entry into the Recommendation 4 fisheries (i.e. at 2.0 years of age) when $E=0.7$ when $\mathrm{E}=0.9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 333-257 \times 10^{6} \\ & 259-200 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 209-161 \times 10^{6} \\ & 162-126 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| Equivalent numbers of recruits $R_{1}=R_{2} e^{M}$ <br> (i.e. at l. 0 year of age) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=0.1 \\ & \mathrm{E}=0.7 \\ & \mathrm{E}=0.9 \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}=0.25 \\ & \mathrm{~B}=0.7 \\ & \mathrm{~B}=0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 368-284 \times 10^{6} \\ & 286-221 \times 10^{6} \\ & 420-330 \times 10^{6} \\ & 333-257 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 231-178 \times 10^{6} \\ & 179-139 \times 10^{6} \\ & 268-207 \times 10^{6} \\ & 208-162 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| I967 Year Cless |  |  |
| Absolute number of recruits $\begin{aligned} & R_{I} \text { in } I G 679=R_{I}(1954-6 I) \\ & \mathbb{M}=0.1 \\ & I=0.7 \\ & I=0.9 \\ & \mathbb{I}=0.25 \\ & \mathbb{I}=0.7 \\ & \mathbb{I}=0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9200-7100 \times 10^{6} \\ & 7150-5525 \times 10^{6} \\ & 10700-8250 \times 10^{6} \\ & 8325-6425 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5775-44.50 \times 10^{6} \\ & 4475-3475 \times 10^{6} \\ & 6700-5175 \times 10^{6} \\ & 5200-4050 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| Total range | 10.7-5.5 $\times 10^{9}$ | $6.7-3.5 \times 10^{9}$ |

### 3.1. 3 The Hadiock assessment

This assessment assumes that $10 \%$ of the Norway pout Ianded by Denmaris and $5 \%$ of those landed by Norway were in fact haddock. The number of haddock landed inithe Recommendation 2 fisheries is therefore estimeted as follows:-

|  | Total Weight of Norway Pout Catches in 1968 in Tons |  | Trumbers landed, assuming Indixidual Fish Weight $70 \mathrm{~g}$ | Estimated Number of Haddocis landed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division IVa. | Denmark IVorway | $\begin{array}{r}335534 \\ 60228 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $4793 \times 10^{6}$ $860 \times 10^{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 479 \times 10^{6} \\ 43 \times 10^{6} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Combined | 395762 | $5653 \times 10^{6}$ | $522 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Total ITorth Sea | Denmarik Norway | $\begin{array}{r} 411000 \\ 60228 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5868 \times 10^{6} \\ & 860 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 587 \times 10^{6} \\ 43 \times 10^{6} \end{array}$ |
|  | Combined | 471228 | $6728 \times 10^{6}$ | $630 \times 10^{6}$ |

At I year of age the Working Group's estimates of the abundance of the 1967 year class of haddock from the previous section were:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Totai North Sea } & 5.5-10.7 \times 10^{9} \\
\text { Division IVa } & 3.5-6.7 \times 10^{9}
\end{array}
$$

The Danish and ITorwegian Recommendation 2 fisheries are therefore estimated to have taken the following percentages of that year class:

Division IVa
Danish landings
Norwe
Combined landings
13.7 - $7.29^{\circ}$
$1.2-0.6 \%$
$14.9-7.8 \%$

Total North Sea
10.7 - $5.5 \%$
$0.8-0.4 \%$
$11.5-5.5 \%$

It is emphasized that these estimates are based on assumptions about the species compositions of the Danish and Morwegian Norway pout fisheries for which there is no direct evidence.

Because of this, it was considered that there was insufficient evidence to justify any recalculation of the haddock assessment made in the Report of the Working Group on Assessment of Demersal Species.

### 3.2 Whiting

Landings of whiting from 1956-69 accoxding to "Bulletin Statistique" are shom in Table 5. During the period 1956-63 landings fiuctuated about a level of 80000 tons. After that, landings increased to 158000 tons due to the effect of a good year class in 1962. Iandings declined until 1967, and then increased again to 199000 tons due to the effect of another good year class in 1967.

Details of the landings, separated into Horth Sea Divisinns IVa, IVb and IVc ore shown in Table 6. This shows that the majority ul wh whiting Ianded from the North Sea come from Divisions IVa and IVb. It was noted that landings were particularly large in 1969.

### 3.2.1 Year class strengths of whinge

Data relating to the relative year class strengths of whiting are availabie from annual trawing surveys made by Scottish research vessels. The results for the year classes from 1954 to 1969 are plotted in Figure 4. These show that:

> the year classes of: $1954-61,1963,1965,1966,1968$
> and 1969 were relatively poor;
> the year classes of: 1962 and Ig67 were very good.

Similar results were obtained by sampling with German research vessels. The mean number per hours fishing of each of these groups of year classes were:

| for the poor year classes | 20) |
| :--- | :--- |
| for the 1962 year class | $217)$ |
| nor the 1967 year class | 137) |

These data show that the 1967 year class was about 7 times as good as an average poor year class.

During the period 1956-63, during which the totai annual landings of whiting remained approximetaly constant, the fishery was dependent on the 1954-61 year classes. The average strength of these was 18 fish per hour's fishing. It follows, therefore, that the 1967 year class was about 8 times as large as an average year class for the years I954-61.

In the case of the 1962 year class, its strength was about 12 times average.

Fluctuations in the landings from 1956-69 can therefore be explained, just as for haddock, in terms of the effects of good year classes in 1962 and 1967.

### 3.3.2 The whiting assessment

In the previous Report account was taken of the effect of Recommendation 2 fisheries on the mortality rate of young whiting. To allow for this it was assumed that the total mortality rate during the period immediately prior to exploitation by the fisheries for protected species was in the range of $0.4-0.6$.

With the mesh sizes in use at present in the fisheries for protected species, $50 \%$ of whiting are retained at an age of 3.0 years. With a total mortality rate of $0.4-0.6$ between I. 0 and 3.0 years of aça, it follows that $55-70 \%$ of whiting die. Of these about $38-83 \%$ are assumed to die due to fishing. It follows from the assumptions made in the assessments of the previous Working Group Report that about 21-58\% of each year class are removed by the Recomendation 2 fisheries before they are large enough to be taken in the fisheries for protected species (see Appendix II for details of these calculations).

The Group considered that the data at their disposal, although sufficient for making approximate calculations, were not detailed enough to necessitate any changes in these assumptions and therefore in the whiting assessments made in the previous Report (Anon., 1969).

In the case of cod, there was no reason to suppose that these were taken in any but very small quantities in the Recommendation 2 fisheries. The Group concluded that there was no need to alter any of the cod assessments made in their previous Report.

## 4. The Soviet Fishery for Gadoids in the North Sea

Since 1965, gadoid fishes made up an important part of the catches by Soviet vessels in the Morth Sea. There was no speciai Soviet fishery for gadoid fishes in the North Sea before that year, and they were previously caught as by-catch during the bottom trawling for herring. INo estimates are available of the quantities or size composition of the quantities caught before 1964.

Since February 1966 trawl fishery for gadoid fishes gradually developed, especially for haddock (Fig. 7).

Details of haddock and whiting catches taken by USSR are shown in Tables 385 Haddock catches per hour:s fishing are shown in Table 7.

From 1966-68 haddock and whiting fishery was carried out northwars of $58^{\circ}-59^{\circ} M$ Lat. and westward of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ Long, in autumn, winter and spring periods and northward of $57^{\circ} \mathbb{N}$ Lat. and westward of $3^{\circ}$ I Long. in the sumnertime (June-August). In Ig 59 the fishery for haddock and whiting started mainily from September and were carried out to the west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ Long. and to the north of $54^{*}$ IT Iat. In 1970 the fishery for haddock and whiting embraced all areas to $55^{\circ}-56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ Lat.

Details of the length and age composition of haddoci taken in the Soviet fisheries are shown in Tables 7-10. Length compositions were particulariy affected by the entry of the good 1967 year class into the fishery in 1968. In that year $86 \%$ of the haddock caught (iny numbers) were 27 cm or less in length. In 1969 and 1970 nost of the individuals of this year class were of marketable size and $70 \%$ and $61 \%$ of the fish caught were 28 cm or more in lengtin. These data on the Soviet haddocik fisheries are sumarized in Figure 7. Landings increased in 1966, declined until 1968, and then increased considerably in 1969 and 1970, The mean Iength and mean age of fish in the landings declined to a minimum in 1968 and then increased agrin as the 1967 year class entered and passed through the fishery.

## 5. Sunmary

The terms of reference and objectives of the Working Group are set out in the Introduction.

Fluctuations in the Horth Sea haddock and whiting fisheries from 1956-69 were considered. For both species it was noted that the year classes of 1962 and 1967 had been very good, and had caused landings to increase up to 1966 and again up to I969.

Data on Recommendation 2 fisheries were available for the period I965-69. Of particular importance were the landings of Morway pout Finich attained the abnormally high vaiue of 469000 tons in I968.

Tnformation on by-catch of Recomendation 4 species in the landings from the Recommendation 2 fisheries was reviewed. It was noted, however, that insufficient data vere available to enable proper assessments to be made of the by-catches of haddock and whiting from all the mixed fisheries.

The year class strengths of Norway pout were reviewed, and it was noted that the 1967 year class was exceptionaily strong, and that it could have been large enough to account for the very high landings of Norway pout in IG68.

It was also noted that fhere were no samples of the species composition of Danish Norway pout landings in 1968 .

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, it was decided that for assessment purposes, the Danish landings recorded as INorway pout, contained no more then the permissible $10 \%$ of undersized Recommendation 4 species.

It was noted that 1968 was an exceptional year, due to the very strong Ig67 year classes of haddock and whiting, and Horway pout. This meant that an average assessment of the effect of Recommendation 2 fisheries on Recormendation 4 fisheries could not be made for the period 1965-69 for which data on Recommendation 2 fisheries were available. It was decided, therefore, simply to make an assessment for the year 1968.

### 5.1 Haddock

A haddock assessment was made on the assumptions that io\% of the Danish and $5 \%^{\circ}$ of the Norwegian 1968 Norway pout landings consisted of haddock of the 1967 year class.

This was done by
(a) determining the total number of haddock of the 1967 year class at one year of age,
and (b) determining the total number of haddock toiken by the Danish and Norwegian Norway pout fisheries.

The numbers amived at for (b) were then expressed as percentages of that obtained for (a). The following results were obtained:

| For the Danish fishery | Division IVa | 7-I4 $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| For the Danish fishery | Total North Sea | $5-11 \%$ |
| For the Norwegian fishery | Division IVa | $0.6-\overline{1} .2 \%$ |
| For the Norwegian fishery | Total North Sea | $0.4-0.0 \%$ |

With regard to the likely catches of the 1967 year class of haddock in the other Recommendation 2 fisheries, estimates were not made due to lack of data on the by-catch of this year class in all the fisheries.

It is emphasized that the estimates that were made were based on assumptions for which there was no direct evidence about the species composition of the Danish Norway pout fisheries. Because of this it was not considered that there was sufficient evidence to justify any recaiculation of the haddock assessments made in the Report of the Working Group on Assessment of Demersal Species in the Morth Sea (Anon., I969).

### 5.2 Whitine

In the previous Report account was takon of the effect of Recomencation 2 fisheries on the mortality rate of young whiting. To allow for this it was assumed that the total mortality rate during the period imediately prior to exploitation by the fisheries for protected species was in the range of $0.4-0.6$.

With the mesh sizes in use at present in the fisheries for protected species, $50 \%$ of whiting are retained at an age of 3.0 years. With a total mortality rate of $0.4-0.6$ between 1.0 and 3.0 years of age, it follows that $55-70 \%$ of whiting die. Of these about $38-83 \%$ is assumed to be due to fishing. It is implicit in the assumptions made in the assessments in the previous Working Group Report that abovt 21-58\% of each year ciass are removed by the Recomendation2fisheries before they are Iarge enough to be taken in the fisheries for protected species (see Appendir II).

The Group considered that the deta at their disposel, aithough sufficient for making approximate calculations, were not detailed enough to necessitate changes in these assumptions and therefore in the assessments made in the previous Report.

### 5.3 Cod

In the case of cod, there was no reason to suppose that these were taiken in any but very small quantities in the Recommendation 2 fisheries. The Group concluded that there was no need to aiter any of the cod assessments made in their previous Report.

## 6. Recormendation

It was recommended that further data on the species composition of landings from Recomendation 2 fisheries be collected so that proper assessments of the effect of these fisheries on the Recommendation 4 fisheries can be made.
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8. Appendix I

Method of estimating the absolute number of recruits necessary to account for the landings recorded in "Bulletin Statistique"

Let $R_{2}$ be the absolute number of recruits at the mean age of entry into the Recommendation 4 fisheries. As a convenient approximation it will be assumed that this reiates to tine mean number of fish at the $50 \%$ selection afe of the mesh size in use, i.e. to the mean number at age $t_{2}$.

During its progress through the fishery the total number of fish caught will be given by
total number caught $\frac{F}{2} R_{2}=E R_{2}$

If the average weight of fish caught in the Recommendation 4 fisheries is given by $\vec{W}$, it follows that
total weight caught $(C)=\mathrm{HR}_{2} \mathrm{TW}^{2}$

Re-arranging terms gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}=\frac{C}{E \bar{W}} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides a way of estimating the number of recruits at ( $t_{2}$ ), the mean age of entering into the Recommendation 4 fisheries, from the total catch in that fishery ( $C$ ), the mean weight of an individual fish caught in that fishery ( $\overline{\mathrm{w}}$ ) and the rate of exploitation ( E ).

The next step in the calculation is to determine $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{l}}$, the mean number of recruits at some arbitrary younger age $t_{I}$ years.

It follows then, that if natural mortality alone is allowed for between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ years

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=R e^{M\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M$ is the instantaneous natural mortality rate between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ years, $R_{1}$ is then an estimate of the number of one year old fish necessary to account for the Recommendation 4 fisheries alone. To ailow for Recommendation 2 fisheries, the number of fish caught in the Recomendation 2 fisheries showld, as a first approximation, be added to this estimate of $R_{I}$.

## G. Appendix II

Bfect of Recomendation 2 fisheries on the recruitment of whiting to the Recommendation 4 fisheries

Let $R_{1}$ be the number of whiting at age tis and $R_{2}$ be the number at age $t_{2}$ years, where $t_{2}$ is the mean age of entry into the Recormendation 4 fisheries. Then the catch of whiting by Recomendation 2 fisheries is given by

$$
\frac{F}{Z} \cdot R_{1}\left(1-e^{-Z\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\right)
$$

i.e. the percentage of the one year old recruits taken by the Recommendation 2 fisheries is given by

$$
100 \frac{F}{Z}\left(1-e^{-Z\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\right)
$$

Vaiues of $z$ and $t_{2}$ have been taken as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =0.4 \text { or } 0.6 \\
t_{2} & =3.0 \text { years } \quad\{\quad \text { Anon. (1969) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Values of $\mathbb{M}$ and $t_{I}$ were measured to bes

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =0.1 \text { or } 0.25 \\
t_{I} & =1.0 \text { year }
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates obtained are tejuinated below.

|  |  |  | Proportion of fish dying between $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ years | Proportion of deaths due to fisheries | Percentage of $\mathrm{K}_{\text {I }}$ caught by fishery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | M | F | $\left[I-e^{-\mathbb{Z}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\right]$ | F/Z | $100 \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{~T}}\left[1-e^{-Z\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\right]$ |
| 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 41 |
| 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 58 |
| 0.4 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 21 |
| 0.6 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.70 | 0.58 | 41 |

Teble I. Recommendation 2 fisheries, catch in tons by species and categories

| 7969 | Norway Pout | 0ther <br> Rec. 2 Species | Haddock | Whiting | Other Rec. 4 Species | Non Rec. 2 Species Non Rec. 4 Species | Species not specified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | - | 2266 | 3 | 42 | 132 | 12 | 264 ${ }^{\text {I) }}$ |
| Denmark | 52483 | 323858 | - | 140718 | 2260 | 47257 | - |
| Gexmeny | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | 33628 | I 653 | 2626 | 3725 | 13505 | 6 |
| ITorway: <br> Morwegian vessels | $62416^{2,6)}$ | 246 |  | - | - | - | 6054.7 ) |
| Foreign vessels | 1459 | 99 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Poland. | - | 9233 | - | - |  | - | - |
| U.K. | - | I 24.73) | - | - | 194) | - | - |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | - ${ }^{-}$ | 1486 | 1 | 62 | 141 | 12 | 295 ${ }^{\text {1) }}$ |
| Dennark | 410827 | 385933 | - | 57235 | 2632 | 23568 | - |
| Germeny | - | 68372 | 1305 | 2657 | 299 | 620 | 441 |
| Netherlands | - | 33549 | 3476 | 4884 | 10630 | 13668 | 1327 |
| Noxvoy: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norvegian wessels | 57 833 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 237 | - | - | - | - | $53117)$ |
| Foreign vessels | 2. 395 | 430 | - | 8 | - | 45 | - |
| Poland. |  | 13561 | 24 | 8 | 671 | 45 | - |
| U.K. | - | 1290 | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| 1967 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium | - | 1995 | 14 |  | 234. | 19 | $265{ }^{\text {3 }}$ |
| Denmark | 169611 | 354832 | - | 22762 | 1899 | 21072 |  |
| Germany | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Netherlands | - | 46462 | 7387 | 2639 | 8968 | 10634 | 649 |
| Norwoy: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horvegian vessels Foreign vessels | 9835 44.9 | 1178 531 | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 11 \frac{\left.171^{\perp}\right)}{52} \end{gathered}$ |
| Poland | - | 40083 | 123 | 16 | 1863 | 151 | - |
| U.K. | - | 1272 | - | - | 10 | - | - |

For footnotes, see page 15

| $\frac{1966}{\text { Country }}$ | ITorvay Pout | Other Rec. 2 Species | Haddock | Whiting | 0 ther Rec. 4 Species | Non Rec. 2 Species <br> Non Rec. 4 Species | Species not speciffied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium <br> Denmark <br> Germany <br> Wetherlands <br> Norway: <br> Morwegian essels <br> Foreign vessels <br> Poland <br> U.K. | 35162 <br> $\left.17250^{6}\right)$ 810 <br> - | $\begin{array}{r} 2758 \\ 274988 \\ 98645 \\ 71115 \\ 2730 \\ 2591 \\ 76297 \\ 13113) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 985 \\ 15699 \\ \\ \hline \\ 1438 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 50753 \\ 2572 \\ 3125 \end{array}$ <br> $\overline{229}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}  & 429 \\ 1 & 868 \\ 2 & 518 \\ 9 & 441 \\ & \\ & - \\ & - \\ 13 & 562 \\ & 94) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 16580 \\ 7 \overline{630} \\ - \\ \overline{799} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4517 \\ 990 \\ \left.10 \begin{array}{c} 9667 \\ 133 \\ - \\ - \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\quad \underline{1965}$ Belgium Denmark Germany Netherlands Norway: IVorwegian vessels Foreign vessels Poland. U.K. | $\begin{gathered} 8171 \\ - \\ - \\ 42927^{6)} \\ 414 \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 983 \\ 20 \begin{array}{r} 813 \\ - \\ - \\ 402 \\ - \end{array}, ~ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 21563 \\ 4780 \\ 4367 \end{array}$ $717$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 15034 \\ 7802 \\ 9 \\ 9111 \\ \\ \\ - \\ \\ \\ \end{array}$ | $-5)$ <br> 341 <br> 832 <br> 15855 <br> 207 <br> - |
|  | I) <br> 2) <br> 3) <br> 4) <br> 5) <br> 6) <br> 7) | ops fishery. ding to samp de some Irish pecified, in ops fishexy de unknown y pout, othe for furred | ng: $42 \%$ <br> Sea catche <br> ude Irish <br> cluded. <br> ntities of <br> gadoids, <br> imal food. | ay pout catches <br> her smal <br> probably arms and | blue whit <br> mainly ga <br> smelt. S ops exciude | of this quantity |  |

Tabie 2. Total quantities (in tons) Ianded by Norwegian trawlers from the Recomendation 2 fisheries in the Morth Sea.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INorway I) } \\ & \text { Pout } \end{aligned}$ | Sandeel | Herring | Silver <br> Smelt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } 2 \text { ) } \\ & \text { Species } \end{aligned}$ | Totar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 22337 | 10856 | I1 158 | 500 | 774 | 45625 |
| I96I | 13485 | 5238 | 10035 | 274 | 259 | 29291 |
| 1962 | 35715 | 11199 | 7634 | 125 | I 261 | 55934 |
| I963 | 99263 | 11511 | 21854 | - | 3262 | 135890 |
| 1964 | 69476 | 10402 | 23624 | 416 | 9424 | 113342 |
| I965 | 42927 | 4921 | 11986 | - | 15855 | 75689 |
| 1966 | 17250 | 207 | 2523 | - | 10966 | 30946 |
| I967 | 9835 | 976 | 202 | - | 11 171 | 22184 |
| 1968 | 57833 | 60 | 177 | - | 5311 | 63381 |
| -19593) | 62416 | - | 246 | - | 6054 | 68716 |
| 19703) | 94.919 | 3 | 24 | 237 | 5264 | 100447 |

i)

Include unimown quantities of other small fish, mainly gadoids.
2) Mainly gadoids.
3) Preliminary figures.
Nominal catch of 聠orth Sea haddock by country in metric tons according to

| Year | Belgium | Denmank | Ingland | France | Gemmany | Netherlands | Horway | Scotland | Sweden | Others | USSR | TotaI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | 1925 | 831 | 8117 | 5451 | 1808 | 13306 | 4340 | 53201 | 8979 | - | - | 97958 |
| 1957 | 1101 | 675 | 11131 | 6170 | 1791 | 14158 | 3301 | 61207 | 7981 | 15 | - | 107530 |
| 1958 | 972 | 920 | 8122 | 5233 | 3419 | 11477 | 1854 | 59125 | 6900 | - | - | 98022 |
| 1959 | 957 | I 545 | 7659 | 5774 | 1652 | 9072 | 1514 | 47076 | 6231 | - | - | 81520 |
| I960 | 595 | 1932 | 5968 | 165 | 1057 | 8542 | 896 | 42268 | 6092 | 24 | - | 67539 |
| 1961 | 868 | 2261 | 6485 | 7524 | 890 | 7642 | 934 | 35840 | 6617 | 29 | - | 69 090 |
| 1962 | 783 | 2157 | 5485 | 189 | 543 | 6592 | 960 | 31924 | 4469 | 7 | - | 53109 |
| 1963 | 1768 | 2722 | 7262 | 131 | 979 | 9384 | 1116 | 36189 | Not Av. | - | - | 59551 |
| 1964 | 4219 | 72223 | 19208 | 14248 | 2095 | 16918 | 2134 | 63784 | 6707 | 6 | - 7 | 201 542 |
| 1965 | 2508 | 65077 | 14672 | 14565 | 3134 | 27983 | 1249 | 81466 | 7978 | - | 5900 | 224621 |
| 1966 | 1705 | 48189 | 12679 | 12540 | 2635 | 19355 | 1135 | 76468 | 11800 | 1200 | 84400 | 272086 |
| 1967 | 1218 | 25010 | 8367 | 8325 | 1872 | 8856 | 787 | 70916 | 7633 | 91 | 34333 | 167408 |
| 1968 | 873 | 39101 | 8800 | 4788 | 2268 | 7301 | 524 | 65304 | 5770 | 16 | 4724 | 139469 |
| 1969 | 4753 | 316516 | 14090 | 7562 | 3376 | 13233 | 792 | 70253 | 5108 | 4. | 203488 | 639175 |


$0 \cdot 7$ 2Tag
Lable 5. Nommal catch of Iforth Sea witing by country in metric tons according to Coop.Res.Rep., Series A, No. 9 ,

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | Fhgland | France | Germany | Netheriands | Nommay | Scotland | S'weden | Poland | USSR | TotaI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | 1903 | 2730 | 4398 | 24.194 | 1183 | 6741 | 41 | 36739 | 1314 | - | - | 79243 |
| 1957 | 1760 | 19424 | 3580 | 23690 | 957 | 5474 | 14 | 33785 | 1056 | - | - | 89740 |
| 1958 | 2037 | 2752 | 3045 | 25861 | 693 | 7162 | 2 I | 37666 | 1953 |  |  | 81241 |
| 1959 | 2369 | 4359 | 3259 | 22573 | 1084 | 10157 | 1308 | 35005 | 1384 | - | - | 84498 |
| 1960 | 2393 | 7641 | 2529 | 2358 | I 075 | g 225 | 24.3 | 28009 | 1034 | 1 | - | 54508 |
| 1961 | 3385 | 16359 | 3378 | 15103 | 1489 | 10228 | 67 | 34057 | I 216 | 19 |  | 85301 |
| 1962 | 3866 | 8878 | 3147 | 2560 | 1276 | 11898 | 111 | 32643 | - | 16 |  | 64395 |
| 1963 | 3860 | 41786 | 4127 | 2290 | 1115 | 12318 | 130 | 31594 | - | _- | 1730 | 98950 |
| 1964 | 2074 | 26279 | 4321 | 15179 | 2703 | 7155 | 42 | 27.376 | 2174 | - | 1361 | 87664 |
| 1965 | 2426 | 21985 | 5061 | 25104 | 542 | 9695 | 39 | 35467 | 2207 | 131 | 7361 | 1110053 |
| 1966 | 2771 | 51164 | 4391 | 19872 | I 292 | 10244 | 100 | 38879 | 2638 | 71 | 26507 | 157929 |
| 1967 | 3063 | 22952 | 3580 | 16683 | 612 | 9567 | 55 | 30266 | 177.1 | 2 | 2694 | -91. 245 |
| 1968 | 2978 | 57367 | 3123 | 25267 | 698 | 13127 | 55 | 30286 | 1201 | - | 10518 | 144920 |
| 1969 | 2410 | 142622 | 2268 | 8802 | 542 | 15181 | 32 | 20573 | 1090 | - | 5509 | 199029 |



Table 8. Percentages by numbers of haddock of different sizes caught in the Soviet North Sea fisheries.

|  | Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | $1970{ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| $\leqslant 27$ cill | 4.8 | I. 9 | 0.7 | 11.5 | 85.7 | 29.9 | 18.6 |
| $\geq 28$ cm | 95.2 | 98.1 | 99.3 | 88.5 | 14.3 | 70.1 | 81.4 |
| $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.00 .0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Catoin <br> 103 tons | $\div$ | 5.9 | 84.4 | 34.3 | 4.7 | 203.5 | $\sim 286.0$ |

$\left.{ }^{x}\right)_{\text {January-October. }}$
Tabie 9. Length composition of haddock 1964-1970 in the Soviet fishery.

| Lengtin <br> in cm | Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 ${ }^{\text {() }}$ |
| IO-11 | - | - | + | - | 0.1 | - | - |
| 12-13 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.1 | + | - |
| 14-15 | - | - | 0.2 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 0.1 | + |
| 16-17 | - | - | 0.I | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 13-19 | - | - | + | 0.8 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 20-21 | - | - | $+$ | 0.8 | 20.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 22-23 | - | 0.1 | $+$ | 2.0 | 26.5 | 6.9 | 1.3 |
| 24-25 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 14.5 | 7.8 | 3.8 |
| 26-27 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 12.7 |
| 28-29 | 26.5 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 23.0 | I3.9 |
| 30-31 | 42.0 | 11.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 25.7 | 20.4 |
| 32-33 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 12.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | II. 2 | 17.3 |
| 34-35 | 3.9 | 27.5 | 26.6 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 12.5 |
| 36-37 | 1.1 | 19.0 | 26.4 | 19.2 | I. 6 | 1.6 | 7.0 |
| 38-39 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 16.5 | 22.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| 40-41 | - | 3.9 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
| 42-43 | - | 1.4 | 3.4 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| $4-45$ | - | 0.4 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 4,6-47 | - | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 48-49 | - | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | + |
| 50-51 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | + |
| 52-53 | - | + | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | + |
| 54-55 | - | + | $\pm$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | $+$ |
| 56-57 | - | + | + | + | 0.1 | 0.1 | + |
| 58 m 5 | - | + | $+$ | + | + | + | $\pm$ |
| 60-61 | - | $+$ | + | $\pm$ | + | $+$ | - |
| 62-63 | - | - | + | - | + | + | - |
| 64-65 | - | $+$ | $+$ | - | $+$ | $+$ | - |
| 66-67 | - | - | + | - | $+$ | + | - |
| 68-69 | - | - | - | - | - | $\pm$ | - |
| 70 m 71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 72-73 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 74-75 | - | - | - | - | - | $\pm$ | - |
| Ho. sampled | $1.614$ | 6889 | 85562 | 6452 | 27518 | 42184 | 46615 |
| Mean Lengrth | 30.4 | 34.5 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 23.4 | 29.7 | 30.9 |
| Catoh in $10^{3}$ tons | $+$ | 5.9 | 84.4 | 34.3 | 4.7 | 203.5 | ~286.02) |

i)

SarnpIes from January-September only.
2)
) Jandings from Januaxy-October.
Table 10．Percentage age composition of haddock from 1966－69 in the North Sea

| $\underset{i-1}{\circ}$ |  |  | 1 | $+$ | $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | cor |  vion | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ＋ － － y |
|  |  |  | $$ |  | 1 |
|  | $\therefore 2$ |  | － | M | $\cdots$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \sim-1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{-1}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | $\therefore$ |  | ざ | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| $\underset{\substack{o \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{\substack{0}}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{N} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}+\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | 앙 | ！ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | （ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 冏㝻菏 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
|  | B8 |  | $\mathfrak{M}$ | 1 | n |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \end{aligned}$ <br>  |  |  | 1 | ！ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{i n}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \％ |  | ＇ | 1 | ， |
|  | －2 |  | 1 | ＇ | ¢ 0 |
| －8084 |  | OHNMJ | － | $\bigcirc$ | 長皆 |








FIGURE 7. Showing details of the Soviet fishery for haddock in the North Sea.

(I) Total intermational landings (from "Bulletin Statistiqué)
$(2) ~$ J.S.S.R. landings.
(3) Mean length of haddock in J.S.S.R. landings.
(4) ...... Mean age of haddock in U.S.S.R. landings.

C.M.1971/F:4<br>Demersal Fish (Northern) Comittee

## SUPPIEMENT

## ㅍRRATA

The Chairman of the North Sea Roundfish Working Group has informed us that, after careful scrutiny, the following changes should be inserted in the Report :

1. Page 4, Horway

Please insert instead of "from $1565-1969$ ranged from 350000 to 18000 tons"

237 to 17000 tons
2. Page 4, United Kingdom

Please insert a hyphen between 1 and 200 tons, i.e.
1-200 tons
3. Page 4
3.1 Haddock

Last line but two of the second paragraph: please delete "its"
4. Page 4

Section 3.1.1
In the group of year classes, please include 1966 as relatively good
5. Page 5

In the paragraph commencing "During the period 1956-63.." last line but two, where it says "....the years 1954-61", should read the year classes 1954-61

Same paragraph : Last line but one. Please insert"of the" between haddock and 1967, i.e.
haddock of the 1967 year class
6. Page 6

Please see new page 6 added to this Supplement.
7. Page 7

First paragraph after Table :
Division IVa $3.5-6.7 \times 10^{9}$ shouId be replaced by Division IVa 2.9-5.7×109

Same page, second paragraph. Please replace percentages under Div. IVa to read as follows:

Division IVa
Danish landings 16.5-8.4\% Morwegian
landings
1.5-0.8\%

Combined
landings $\quad 18.0-9.2 \%$
8. Page 8

Section 4
First paragraph, please insert :
a by-catch
Second paragraph, please insert :
Since February 1966 the trawl fishery...
9. Page 9

Second paragraph, second line, instead of Tables 7-10, this should read :

Tables 8-10
10. Page 10

Please change "For the Danish fishery Division IVa 7-14\%" to For the Danish fishery Division IVa 8-I7\%
and for "The NTorwegion fishery Division IVa $0.6 \mathrm{~m} .2 \%$ " to For the Norwegian fishery Division IVa 0.8-1. $5 \%$.

This page replaces page 6 of the Preliminary Report of the North Sea Roundfish Working Group, as mentioned under item 6 in the Supplement.

| Year Classes 1954-1961 | Subarea IV | Division IVa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Recommendation 4 landings by all nations 1956-63 (metric tons) | 79290 | 41900 |
| Equivalent numbers of haddock landed, using the range of mean weights $\bar{w}_{1}$ and $\bar{w}_{2}$ | $180-233 \times 10^{6}$ | $123-95 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Equivalent numbers of recruits $R_{2}$ at the mean age of entry into the Recommendation 4 fisheries <br> (i.e. at 2.0 years of age) <br> when $E=0.7$ <br> when $E=0.9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 333-257 \times 10^{6} \\ & 259-200 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176-136 \times 10^{6} \\ & 137-106 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| Equivalent numbers of recruits $R_{1}=R_{2} e^{\text {M }}$ <br> (i.e. at 1.0 year of age) $\begin{aligned} & M=0.1 \\ & E=0.7 \\ & \mathbb{M}=0.9 \end{aligned}$ $M=0.25$ $\begin{aligned} & E=0.7 \\ & E=0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 368-284 \times 10^{6} \\ & 286-221 \times 10^{6} \\ & 428-330 \times 10^{6} \\ & 333-257 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195-150 \times 10^{6} \\ & 151-117 \times 10^{6} \\ & 226-175 \times 10^{6} \\ & 176-136 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1967 Year Class |  |  |
| Absolute number of recruits $\begin{gathered} R_{I} \text { in } 1967=R_{I}(I 954-6 I) \times 25 \\ M=0.1 \\ E=0.7 \\ E=0.9 \\ M=0.25 \\ E=0.7 \\ E=0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9200-7100 \times 10^{6} 6 \\ 7150-5525 \times 10^{6} \\ 10700-8250 \times 10^{6} 6 \\ 8325-6425 \times 10^{6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4875-3750 \times 10^{6} \\ & 3775-2925 \times 10^{6} \\ & 5650-4375 \times 10^{6} \\ & 4400-3400 \times 10^{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| Total range | $10.7-5.5 \times 10^{9}$ | $5.7-2.9 \times 10^{9}$ |

3.1.3 The Haddock assessment

This assessment assumes that $10 \%$ of the Horway pout landed by Denmark and $5 \%$ of those landed by Horway were in fact haddock. The number of haddock landed in the Recomendation 2 fisheries is therefore estimated as as follows:-
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