This paper not to be cited without prior reference the authors,

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

·Fal. 41

 $\langle \diamond \rangle$ 

C.M.1966/G:1

Gadoid Fish Committee

haeld

Biblishe.

Ref.: Dist. Northern Seas Committee Comp. Fishing Committee

**Bioh**eridizektozatez

Biblioteket

## REPORT OF THE NORTH-WESTERN WORKING GROUP

Contents	Page
INTRODUCTION	l
ICELAND COD	3
Assessments of mesh-size increases	5
Changes in effort	6
ICELAND HADDOCK	7
Data for mesh assessment	lo
Assessments of mesh-size increases	11
Changes in effort	12
ICELAND SAITHE (COALFISH)	12
ICELAND AND EAST-GREENLAND REDFISH	13
EAS T-GREENLAND COD	15
FAROES COD	15
The 12-mile limit at Faroes	16
Estimation of parameters	17
Assessments of mesh-size increases	18
Minimum landing size	18
FAROES HADDOCK	19
The 12-mile limit at Faroes	20
Estimation of parameters	21
Discards	22
Assessments of mesh-size increases	22
Minimum landing size	24
FAROES SAITHE (COALFISH)	25
FAROES REDFISH	26
RECOMMENDATIONS	26
REFERENCES	26

# List of Tables in the Text

Table 1	Landings of demersal fish in the north-western area in 1964	2
Table 2.	Mesh assessments for Iceland cod	7
Table 3	Average lengths of Iceland haddock	9
Table 4	Mesh assessments for Iceland haddock	12
Table 5	Faroes cod statistics	16
Table 6	Faroes cod mesh assessment	19
Table 7	Faroes haddock statistics	20
Table 8	Estimates of total mortality of Faroes haddock	21
Table 9	Mesh assessments for Faroes haddock	23
Table 1	. Faroes coalfish statistics	25

# List of Appendix Tables and Figures (starting on <u>appended</u> page 18)

# Appended page

Appendix	Table	1.	Total landings of cod from Iceland	18
Annendix	Table	2.	Catches per unit effort of Iceland cod	19
Annondir	Toblo	~ • Z	Estimates of total fishing offert on Leoland and	20
Appendix	18019	0.	The structures of the set of the	20
Appendix	Table	4.	Length composition of Iceland cod landings	21
Appendix	Table	5.	Landings of haddock from Iceland	22
Appendix	Table	6.	Landings per unit effort of Iceland haddock	23
Appendix	Table	7.	Langth composition of Iceland haddock landings	24
Appendix	Table	8.	Total landings of coalfish from Iceland	25
Appendix	Table	9.	Total landings of redfish from Iceland	26
Appendix	Table	lo.	Landings of cod from East-Greenland	27
Appendix	Table	11.	Total landings of redfish from East-Greenland	27
Appendix	Table	12.	Total landings of cod at Faroes	28
Appendix	Table	13.	Landings per unit effort of cod from Farces	29
Appendix	Table	14.	Length/weight relation of cod and coalfish st Faroes	30
Appendix	Table	15.	Average length composition of cod at Farces 1959-63	31
Appendix	Table	16.	Landings of haddock from Faroes	32
Appendix	Table	17.	Landings per unit effort of haddock from Faroes	33
Appendix	Table	18.	Average length composition of haddock from Farces	34
Appendix	Table	19.	Total landings of coalfish from Faroes	35
Appendix	Table	20.	Landing per unit effort of coalfish from Faroes	36
Appendix	Table	21.	Average length compositions of coalfish landings from Faroes 1959-63 (England) and 1960 and 1962 (Germany)	36
Appendix	Table	22.	Length composition of redfish landings from Faroes	36

Figure 1.	The landings of cod from Iceland	37
Figure 2.	Estimates of catch per unit effort of German and English trawlers	38
Figure 3.	Relation between the total mortality among mature Iceland cod and estimated total effort	38
Figure 4.	Total landings of haddock from Iceland	39
Figure 5.	Numbers of haddock caught per hour's fishing in trawling surveys	39
Figure 6.	Total landings of coalfish from Iceland	40
Figure 7.	Total landings of redfish from Iceland	40

-----

-----

#### INTRO DUCTION

Following the request made at the 1965 meeting of the North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission, the North-Western Working Group was reconvened under the chairmanship of Mr. J. Jonsson. Preliminary discussions were held during the 1965 I.C.E.S. meeting in Rome, and the Group met in Copenhagen from 6th to 10th December. The following members took part in the meeting:-

J. Jônsson	Iceland ( <u>Chairman</u> )
H. Knudsen	Denmark
J.S. Joensen	Faroes
A. Meyer	Germany
A. Schumacher	Germany
A. Hylen	Norway
B.W. Jones	United Kingdom
R. Jones	United Kingdom
J.A. Gulland	Secretary of the Liaison Committee

The primary task of the Group was concerned with the effect of extending the 130 mm mesh, already recommended for the north-eastern part of Region I of the Commission to the whole of the region, but where appropriate the effects of larger meshes (up to 160 mm) were considered. Also, where possible, the effect of changes in the total fishing effort were considered. In assessing the effect of mesh increases the Group had to take into account the widespread use of chafers; these must reduce the selectivity, so that the true selectivity of the cod-ends in use at Iceland must be below the nominal 120 mm, probably by as much as 20% (I.C.E.S. 1966), so that the true selectivity is probably equivalent to a manila cod-end of 100 mm. This value of 100 mm has therefore been taken as the present mesh size in all assessments of the effect of changes in the mesh size at Iceland.

As in similar reports the assessments in this report compare future catches with increased mesh size or changed effort with catches that would have been taken in the future with unchanged mesh size and effort. The actual

level of the catches in the future may also differ from the present catches for other, environmental, reasons independent of fishing (e.g. good or poor year classes), but these will generally not alter the benefit from e.g. a mesh increase.

As in the previous report (I.C.E.S. 1962) the fisheries at Faroes, Iceland and East Greenland have been treated separately. The stocks of fish in these areas are distinct, though there is some mixing of cod between Iceland and East Greenland, and of coalfish between Iceland and Faroes (and also the Norwegian coast). The calculations have been restricted to the four most important demersal species - cod, haddock, redfish and coalfish, but as is shown in the table below, giving the total catches of demersal fish from the area in 1964, these species account for the major part of the catch.

	Iceland	Faroes	E. Greenland	Total	%
Cod	429,284	24,978	34,306	488,568	52.4
Redfish	95,160	7,644	42,786	145,590	15.6
Haddock	99,047	19,490	150	118,687	12.7
Coalfish	60,127	21,473	691	82,291	8.8
Catfish	17,192	145	559	17,896	1.9
Plaice	9,368	305	1	9,674	1.0
Halibut	3,733	1,205	276	5,214	0.6
Others $^{\pm}$	45,575	16,414	2,436	64,425	6.9
Total	759 <b>,</b> 486	91,654	81,205	932 <b>,</b> 345	100.0

Table 1. Landings of demersal fish in 1964

 $^{\texttt{m}}$  Includes unsorted and unidentified

Of the species not considered in detail most are large in relation to mesh sizes up to 130 mm, and consequently will not be affected to any extent by increases in mesh size up to this size. However, several, e.g. the plaice, are known to be heavily fished (Gulland 1961) and the stocks would benefit from a reduction of fishing effort. Included as an appendix to this report are detailed tables of the landings from the major stocks. These statistics differ to some extent from other published statistics, including the Bulletin Statistique, because of corrections made from later information available to members of the Group. In particular adjustments have been made to some German data to allow for landings made from more than one statistical area, and to some British data, where most published statistics for the years before 1951 refer to landed weight (usually gutted), and not to round fresh weight. All the statistics in this report refer to round fresh weight unless specifically stated otherwise.

#### ICELAND COD

The trends in total catch of cod are shown in Figure 1 and are tabulated in Appendix Table 1. After the war there was a steady increase in catches, which reached a peak of rather more than half a million tons in 1954, but since then they have tended to decrease. The total catches have been influenced by immigration of cod from Greenland. In particular the peak catches in the thirties and around 1954 were partly due to the influx of strong year-classes (the 1945 year-class in 1954 and the 1922 year-class in the thirties). A similar immigration seems to have occurred in 1964. The cod fisheries consist of two distinct groups; those on the mature spawning stock, carried out almost entirely by Icelandic fishermen with a variety of gears - nets, lines, trawl and, more recently, purseseines, and those on the immature fishes, mainly by trawlers, particularly from England. Unlike the Arctic cod fishery, where the spawning fishery had taken only a small part of the total catch, at Iceland rather more than half the total catch is taken in the spawning fishery.

The only long series of effort data available are for the trawl fisheries of England and Germany. The catch per unit effort data for these fisheries, expressed as proportions of the average catch per unit for each fishery over the whole period, are plotted in Figure 2. The data are also given in Appendix Table 2. The English figures, which were calculated as catch per ton/hour, and therefore contain some allowance for the increasing **size** and power of the ships, show a steady and marked decline since the war,

the 1964 figure being only about a quarter of that in 1946. The German data were calculated as catch per day fished, with no allowance for size or power of vessel; they probably also give a less reliable measure of the stock abundance because cod is not the primary objective of the German trawlers, and their catches of cod depend very much on the availability of other species such as redfish. However, the fact that despite their increased power, German trawlers have not increased their catch per day of cod, is some supporting evidence that the stock of cod has seriously declined since 1946. The shorter series of data from Icelandic trawlers also shows a rapid decline since 1960.

The total fishing effort on the stock has been estimated from the statistics of English effort, raising this effort by the ratio of total catch to English catch. These estimates have also been plotted in Figure 1 and are tabulated in Appendix Table 3: this shows that since the war the effort has steadily risen. The increase in English fishing has been caused both by the increased size of the individual vessels, and by increased fishing time; though detailed Icelandic statistics were not. available it seems that the increased Icelandic fishing has been caused less by increased fishing time than by increased efficiency, such as the introduction of purse-seining and especially the introduction of synthetic fibres into the gill-net fishery. Up till 1954 the increase in effort was accompanied by a rather slower increase in catch; since 1956 the catch has decreased, despite the increase in effort.

A long series of data on the composition of the Icelandic spawning fishery by age-groups and spawning classes shows clearly how the mortality rates have increased following the increase in effort. In Figure 3 the average mortality rates calculated from spawning classes 1 to 6, in fiveyear periods from 1930 onwards have been plotted against the corresponding fishing effort (c.f. Jónsson, 1960). Because the mortality calculated from percentage age or spawning class distribution refers to the period when the fish concerned entered the fishery, and not to the period of sampling, the effort has been calculated for the period 2 years earlier (e.g. 1948 - 1952 for the 1950 - 1954 mortality). This shows a very clear

relation, and gives an estimate of the natural mortality, (at zero effort) of about 20%; at present, however, the total mortality is about 70%, and the mature stock now consists mainly of fish spawning for the first time. German data from the fishery off N.W. Iceland gives a similar total mortality of about 70% among the fish over 9 years old. English data on the age-composition of the immature stock are available from 1955 onwards and also show a high apparent mortality of around 60% per year. The period is too short to permit grouping, and the estimates of mortality for individual years are too variable to show any clear relation between fishing effort and mortality. However, if the 20% natural mortality applies also to the immature fish, then it is likely that fishing accounts for some two thirds of the deaths among the immatures.

## Assessments of mesh size increase

In assessing the effects of mesh changes three sources of lengthcomposition data were available; from English and German trawlers, and from the Icelandic spawning fishery. The English trawlers, fishing mainly for cod, catch smaller fish than do the Germans, fishing in deeper water for redfish. Six groups of vessels were therefore distinguished - English and other trawlers; German trawlers and Iceland trawlers outside the spawning season; Faroes liners and Icelandic Danish seiners, fishing for small cod, for which the English data were used: other gears outside the spawning season, for which German data were used; Icelandic trawlers in the spawning season; other gears in the spawning season. The average catches of these groups in the period 1960 - 1964 are tabulated below; the length composition of the landings of these groups, expressed as the total numbers landed during the period, is given in Appendix Table 4.

Trawl A	England, Scotland, Belgium etc.	118,109 tons
Trawl B	Germany, Iceland (non-spawning)	41,309 tons
Danish seine	Faroes	13,790 tons
Other gears	Outside spawning season	44,354 tons
Trawl C	Iceland, spawning	17,171 tons
Other gears	In spawning season	183,418 tons
	Total	418,151 tons

A selection factor of 3.2 was used in the calculation (I.C.E.S. 1965a). As explained in the introduction a present effective mesh size of 100 mm has been assumed, and all the calculations are made in terms of changes in mesh size of nets with a selectivity equal to that of manila of the nominal mesh size, without chafers. In calculating the long-term efforts, values of E, the ratio of fishing to total deaths of 0.6 and 0.8 have been taken; these are rather larger than those used in the previous report (0.5 and 0.7), because of the increase in effort since then. Mesh sizes of up to 160 mm have been considered. Discards by English trawlers were estimated as 10% by numbers. The resulting estimates of immediate and long-term effects are given in Table 2. This table shows that gains in total catch by all methods of up to 10% will be obtained by increasing the mesh size up to 160 mm; larger meshes may give even larger gains. For mesh sizes up to 130 mm all groups of vessels will gain, but for larger meshes the gain to the trawler group A will decrease, and may become a loss if 160 mm were used.

It is probable that the values in this table under-estimate the gain to the trawlers. Tagging and other data show that the immature cod are relatively static in separate groups, only mixing when they mature and migrate to the spawning grounds. The method used assumes that the benefit occurs equally throughout the stock, but in fact the catches from the groups of immature fish fished by the trawlers will increase more than the average. Also the benefit will take some time to appear in the spawning fishery, as there is a difference of some 4-5 years between the age of fish which would be released (about 4 years old) and the average age at first spawning (8-9 years).

## Changes in effort

The Group was not able to make any very precise assessment of the effects of changes in effort, especially as these effects will depend on whether the effort changes in the mature or immature fisheries. However, the contrast between the recent trends in total catch and total effort as shown in Figure 1 suggest that any further increase in effort for a given mesh size will lead to a long-term decrease in average catch. Theoretical

considerations agree with this conclusion. A moderate reduction in total effort may possibly lead to a slight increase in total catch, and certainly would not cause any appreciable decrease. The catch per unit effort would certainly benefit, roughly in proportion to the decrease in effort.

Gear Group		E	E Changing effective mesh size from 100 mm to					
			110	120	130	140	160	
Trawl A (England, Belgium etc.)	Imm, loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0.7 0.4 0.5	1.8 0.8 1.7	3.8 0.8 2.4	6.2 0 2.1	13.3 -2.8 0.7	
Trawl B (Germany)	Imm, loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0.1 1.0 1.4	0.3 2.4 3.3	0.7 4.1 5.7	1.3 5.2 7.3	3.3 8.4 12.4	
Trawl C Iceland spawning fishery	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	_ 1.1 1.5	 2.7 3.6	0.1 4.7 6.3	0.2 6.4 8.6	0.5 11.6 15.6	
Other gears	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	1.1 1.5	2.7 3.6	4.8 6.4	- 6.6 8.8	_ 12.1 16.2	
Total	Imm, loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0,3 0,8 1,2	0.7 2.0 2.9	1.4 3.3 4.9	1.9 4.6 6.7	4.2 7.4 11.3	

Table	2.	Mesh	assessment	s for	: Ice	land	cod	: pe	rcenta	ge	change
			from	prese	ent l	andiı	ngs				

## ICELAND HADDOCK

The total catches of haddock since 1924 (except for the war years) are shown in Figure 4 and Appendix Table 5. The striking feature has been the substantial increase in total catch in recent years. This increase is in apparent conflict with the recent increase in total effort, and the fact that even before the war the haddock stocks were known to be severely reduced by fishing (Russel, 1942). Though the total effort has not been calculated explicitly, partly because there is no substantial fishery primarily directed towards haddock, it has almost certainly been following the same trends as the effort on cod. The total English fishing, for which haddock is very important, has been steadily increasing, while the Icelandic fishermen are paying more attention to fishing for haddock. However, since the war, and especially

since the early nineteen fifties, there have been substantial changes in the pattern of fishing, which would be expected to give protection to the small fish, and hence benefit the stock and long-term catches. These changes included a minimum mesh size, and various extensions of the fishery limits. The general effect may be seen from the changes in catch per unit effort given in Appendix Table 6. Until 1964 the post-war catch per unit effort was higher than that immediately before the war, even though the effort (Appendix Table 3) was greater.

There was no information available to the Group concerning the mesh size in use before the war, but it was probably quite small, perhaps around 70 mm; though the 110 mm mesh did not come into legal force until 1954, it probably came into practical use gradually over the years after the Convention was agreed in 1946. The effects of both the 110 mm, and later the 120 mm mesh have been reduced by the very widespread use of chafers, which reduce the effective mesh size to perhaps 100 mm, but this is still probably very much larger than the mesh size previously used.

Quantitative assessments of the effects of the limits changes are even more difficult. Though the distribution of the different sizes of fish does not follow at all closely lines drawn on a geographical basis, the limits do include several nursery grounds where small fish are particularly abundant. I.C.E.S. scientists have recommended as long ago as 1948 that fishing should be stopped on such well-known nursery grounds as the inside of Faxa Bay (I.C.E.S., 1948). There is no doubt that to the extent that fishing was stopped inside Faxa Bay, and on other nursery grounds, e.g. along the north coast, the extension of fishing limits has given additional protection to the small fish.

A very important factor in the success of the haddock fishery is the strengths of the year-classes. The fluctuations in the year-class strengths are very large, and can be detected in the catches of research vessel surveys when the fish are only one or two years old. Thus the peak in the catches in 1962 was due to the pair of good year-classes of 1956 and 1957. There is, however, no good reason to suppose that the increase in the average level of catches since the war has been due to an increase in the average strength of year-classes. This can to some extent be checked from the data of the

trawling surveys which have been carried out in Faxa Bay since 1928. In Figure 5 the average catch per hour of each size of fish for the two periods 1928-1938 (from I.C.E.S., 1948) and 1955-1964 from Icelandic data supplied to the Working Group have been plotted. The data are not completely comparable, as they were collected by various ships using different gears. In particular, since 1955 a mesh size of about 80 mm mesh has been used in the research survey, which accounts for the absence of fish below about 25 cm. Around 30 cm, which is the smallest size fully represented in the recent samples, there is no very big difference between the two periods; the big difference lies in the very much greater numbers of big fish in the recent samples, which is due to the reduced local fishing.

Another factor which can change the catches is the growth rate. Data on the growth of haddock is given in Table 3, which shows the average lengths of each age of haddock, before the war, as given by Thompson (1929), and in the 1958-64 English landings, as given in data supplied to the Working Group; (only the larger individuals among the 2- and 3-year-old fish will appear in the English landings, so these lengths will be over-estimated). Precise comparison is difficult since there is considerable variation in

	Áge								
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Thompson (1929)	31	40	48	55	60	64	69	72	75
English landings 1958-64	(38)	(44)	51	57	62	67	71	69	76

Table 3. Average lengths (cm) of Icelandic haddock

growth rate of both cod and haddock from different areas round Iceland, but these data, as well as other data on haddock growth, and also data on cod growth (e.g. Saemundsson, 1923, Jonsson, 1954, and data supplied to the Working Group) show that for neither species have there been appreciable changes in the growth rate, which could explain the observed changes in the stocks and catches.

The big increase in total catches since the war can, therefore, be mainly ascribed to the better protection of small fish, partly from the larger mesh, and partly by the limits change.

The large benefits which can accrue from protection of small haddock are due to their very fast growth. A haddock of 30 cm will more than double its weight in a year (and smaller haddock grow even faster). As the natural mortality is probably 20-30% per year, the total weight of a yearclass will, when the individual fish are some 30 cm long, increase in the absence of any fishing by about 75% in the year; this gives a fair measure of the possible benefit from protecting the fish of this size for a year. The benefit may be still greater if in fact quantities of the very small fish are discarded and there is no immediate loss in releasing them. However, the haddock do not now appear in the catches in substantial numbers until they are 40-45 cm; their relative growth is slowing down, to about 70% per year. Allowing for natural deaths, the total weight of a yearclass will increase by only 30% per year. Thus the benefits of protecting fish of 40-45 cm are not so large, as is shown in the detailed mesh assessments below.

The increase in haddock catches may be contrasted with the small change in cod landings. The cod caught by the trawlers are generally too large to be released by an effective mesh of 100 mm (c.f. the small initial losses even up to 140 mm) and a smaller proportion of the cod are found inside the limit; in fact the limits change had to some extent the opposite effect by diverting English trawlers from the spawning fishery on large fish onto the smaller fish. Therefore the mesh change and the extension of the limits would be expected to have a smaller effect on cod than on haddock. The difference in effect is of course very similar to the predicted long-term changes at Faroes for changes from 75 mm to 100 mm, especially at the higher discard rates.

#### Data for mesh assessment

Length-composition data for Iceland haddock were available for landings by English, German and Scottish trawlers, and by Icelandic Danish seiners. For the purposes of assessment it was believed that the length composition

of the other groups of vessels (Faroese and Belgian trawlers, and other Icelandic gears) were best represented by the English data; this gave the following five groups of vessels (with their average annual landings in the period 1960-1964).

Trawl	Group	А	England and oth	ers	54,050	tons
Trawl	Group	В	German trawlers		3,880	tons
Trawl	Group	C	Scottish trawle	rs	3,190	tons
			Danish seine		6,850	tons
			Other gears		35,760	tons
				Total	103,730	tons

The detailed length compositions, in terms of the total numbers landed by each group in the period 1960-1964 are given in Appendix Table 7. A selection factor of 3.35 was used, with a range of 9 cm. It was assumed that the selectivity of the Danish seines would change as much as the trawls; in fact the present selectivity of the Danish seines is probably greater than that of 100 mm manila, so that the estimates of inmediate loss are too large; as they only take a small proportion of the total catch the long-term effects will be virtually unaffected. No allowance has been made for discards; these are believed to be very small, but to the extent that there are some discards the estimates of the long-term gain may be too small. Two values of E have been used; .6 and .8.

# Assessments of mesh size increases

The results of the assessments are given in Table 4 below. The total catch increases with increased mesh size up to at least 120 mm, but further increases may lead to losses at lower values of E. Non-regulatory gears and German trawlers will gain from any increase up to 140 mm; the Danish seiners will gain up to 120 mm, but the gain will be less, or even become a loss with larger meshes. British trawlers will probably lose from any increase, but up to 120 mm this loss will be very small, possibly less than 1% (and would be a gain if there was any degree of discarding). Above 120 mm the loss would increase up to around 10% with a 140 mm mesh.

Gear Group		E	Chai s:	nging et ize fror	ffective n n 100 mm –	nesh to
			110	120	130	140
Trawl A (England etc.)	Imm. los. Long-term gain	.6 .8	2.5 -0.9 -0.3	8.0 -2.6 -0.7	15.9 -6.7 -3.6	25.1 -12.3 -8.0
Trawl B (Germany)	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0.0 1.1 1.7	4.1 1.6 3.5	6.9 3.2 6.7	12.5 2.5 7.4
Trawl C (Scotland)	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	3.1 -2.4 -1.8	8.2 -2.8 -0.9	14.5 -5.2 -2.0	21.5 -8.1 -3.6
Danish seine	Imm. loss Long-ierm gain	.б .8	0.3 1.4 2.0	3.5 2.2 4.1	8.2 1.7 5.2	16.4 -2.1 2.7
Other gears	Imm. loss Long-term gain	ۍ 8	- 1.7 2.3	- 5.9 7.9	 10.9 14.6	17.i 22.8
TOTAL	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	1.5 0.2 0.8	4.7 0,9 2,8	9.6 0.0 3.6	15,3 -0.8 4.0

# Table 4. Mesh assessments for Iceland haddock: percentage change from present landings

#### Changes in effort

No direct estimates were made of the effect of changes in total effort. However, theoretical considerations suggest that in such a heavily, or moderately heavily fished stock, with a not excessively small size at first capture, moderate increases or decreases in total fishing effort will have little effect on the average landings.

#### ICELAND SAITHE (COALFISH)

The Iceland saithe (coalfish) stocks have been studied by the I.C.E.S. Coalfish Working Group (I.C.E.S., 1965b). This Group found it difficult to come to definite conclusions regarding the state of stocks of coalfish, partly because of the interchange that occurs between the different areas, and also because of the absence of any long series of data on a fishery primarily for coalfish. However, they concluded that the coalfish was probably less heavily exploited than cod or haddock. The present Group · could not add substantially to these conclusions, though recent tagging

experiments suggest that the fishing mortality can be high locally in the purse-seine fishery off north Iceland. The trends in landings of coalfish from Iceland are given in Appendix Table 8 and are shown in Figure 6.

From the length-composition data of English landings the immediate effects of mesh changes up to 140 mm have been calculated, assuming a selection factor of 3.5, as follows:-

Increase from 100 mm to	Immediate loss %	Minimum value of E for long-term gain
110	1.9	0.16
120	4.8	0.20
130	9.0	0.24
140	14.1	0.31

Long-term effects could not be calculated, but calculations were made of the minimum value of E required to turn these losses into long-term gains. These are very small compared with the estimates for cod and haddock - even for a 140 mm mesh there will be a gain if fishing mortality is as little as half the natural mortality. Thus there will very probably be a long-term gain in coalfish catches from using meshes up to 130 mm, though these gains cannot be assessed quantitatively.

## ICELAND AND EAST GREENLAND REDFISH

The catch statistics of redfish are given in Appendix Table 9 and in Figure 7. The catches increased rapidly after the war to a peak of 170 thousand tons in 1951, but declined thereafter to a fairly steady level of 80 to 90 thousand tons per year over the past ten years.

The longest series of effort data is from the German trawler fleet. As stated in the cod section these data may be unreliable because of changes in attention between the different species; they show that the average catch per day of redfish declined from 9.8 tons in 1953 to 6.2 in 1957, though recovering to 7.0 tons in 1964. Allowing for the increase in size of trawlers these data strongly suggest that fishing has caused a real decline

in the abundance of the stocks. Icelandic catch per effort data in tons per million ton hours are available since 1960. They show a rise in catch per unit effort in 1962 and 1963 and a fall in 1964.

The size composition of redfish landings are very similar from Iceland and East Greenland, so that it is convenient to consider the two areas together for mesh assessment. Selectivity data for redfish are very variable; the average of the values of the selection factor given in the Iceland Mesh Selection Report is 2.7 However there is a strong tendency for the selection factor to decrease with increasing catch, and most of the catches during the selection experiments were substantially smaller than those in the commercial fishery. The actual selection factor under commercial conditions has, therefore, been taken as 2.2, with a selection ranges of 15 cm. Using this value of the selection factor, and selection ranges between the 25 and 50% points, and between the 50 and 75% points, as shown in the table, and the average size composition for 1960-1963 of German and Icelandic catches, the following estimates of immediate loss were obtained:-

Area	Iceland		East Greenland		Faroes
25-50%	12.0	Cin	8.3 c	m	See
50-75%	4.2	cm	5.9 c	m	Iceland
Changing from 100 mm to	Icelandic catches	German catches	Iceland <b>ic</b> catches	German catches	German catches
110 mm	0.6	0.2	1.3	1.9	0.01
120 mm	. 1.8	0.6	3.0	2.4	0.04
130 mm	4.0	1.5	5.4	4.3	0.2
14,0 mm	7.8	3.6	8.5	6.7	0.8

Redfish 1961-64: immediate losses in % (S.F. = 2.2)

As for coalfish a break-even value of E, such that in the long term these losses would be exactly made up, has been calculated. The values are very similar for the two areas, and range from 0.35 at 30 cm (corresponding to

a 110 mm mesh) to about 0.6 at 38 cm (corresponding to a 140 mm mesh). These are not large in comparison to the values for cod and haddock, and suggest that, at worst, most of the immediate loss will be made up in the long term, and there may even be a gain, especially from the intermediate mesh sizes.

No further evidence on meshing of redfish was available to the Group, who cannot add to conclusions of von Brandt, mentioned in the previous North-Western Working Group report, that meshing would not be a serious problem in the cormercial fisheries with mesh sizes up to 130 mm.

### EAST GREENLAND COD

The fisheries in this area have developed very recently (see Appendix Tables 10 and 11). The main part of the catches are taken by German fishermen, who initially fished almost entirely for redfish, but turned to cod when the redfish catches declined. The catch per day of all species by German trawlers has declined from 35 tons in 1955 to 21 tons in 1964, but because of increased attention the catch of cod increased from less than 2 tons to 11 tons per day in 1964. Probably therefore the redfish stocks have been reduced by fishing, but it is not yet possible to say much about the state of the cod stocks.

Length data of German landings show that the cod caught at East Greenland are very large, and the use of larger mesh sizes up to at least 140 mm will have negligible effects on the catches.

#### FAROES COD

The total cod landings and the landings per unit effort from 1924-1964 (excluding the war years) are shown in Appendix Tables 12 and 13. From these data, total effort statistics in English and Aberdeen trawler units have been determined and these are summarised for various periods (Table 5). Catches per unit effort for 1959-1964 in steam-trawl units were estimated from motor-trawl data with a correction for the greater efficiency of motor trawlers.

From 1959-1963, total landings and landings per unit effort were lower than they had been from 1924-1958 (excluding the war years). Total effort on the other hand was higher.

In 1964 total effort declined since many British trawlers that previously fished at Faroes instead fished off the Scottish west coast and at Iceland.

	Ша <u>н</u> а)	English tra	wlers	Aberdeen trawlers		
Years landings (metric tons)		Landings per unit effort (1)	Total effort (2)	Landings per unit effort (3)	Total effort (4)	
1924-36	37,918	563	67	237	320	
1949-58	31,811	576	55	226	282	
1959–63 <sup>**</sup>	28,076	228	98	128	439	
1964 <sup>≆</sup>	24,978	357	70	123	406	

Table 5. Faroes cod statistics

(1) tons per million ton hours by steam trawlers.

(2) millions of ton hours.

(3) cwt per 100 hours

(4) thousands of hours by steam trawlers.

\* estimated from motor trawler data using a correction for the greater efficiency of motor trawlers.

# The 12-mile limit at Faroes

The six-mile fishing limit at Faroes came into force in April 1959. Subsequently in March 1964 the fishing limit was further extended to 12 miles for all other than Faroes vessels. At this stage it is not possible to predict the long-term effect with any certainty. All that can be said is that this will ultimately depend on the ratio of the quantity of fish outside to that inside the limit. There are various possibilities to consider but the most likely is that trawlers cause the density of fish outside the limit to drop below the density inside. If that were to happen the fishing mortality rate on the stock as a whole caused by the trawler fleet would be less than if it were deployed all over the stock.

The restrictions placed on the operation of trawlers due to the extension of the limit is therefore likely to cause the effective trawler fishing effort to decrease.

The effect of this would then depend on the extent to which it was reduced, and this in turn will depend on the rate of movement of fish back and forth across the limit. The greater the rate of mixing, the smaller will be the ultimate difference between the density of fish inside and outside the limits.

In the case of cod, published tagging data (Strubberg 1916, 1933; Taning, 1940) plus more recent unpublished English tagging data clearly indicate that cod move extensively around the islands both inside and outside the 12-mile limit. It is unlikely, therefore, that the effect of the limit would be other than to reduce the effective fishing mortality rate by a small extent.

The data in Table 5 suggest that small decreases in fishing effort below the 1959-1963 level should, if anything, tend to be beneficial. The only way in which benefits would become lower is by the effective fishing effort becoming so low that the stock as a whole was being underfished. This, however, would only happen if the rate of interchange of fish across the limit was extremely low and, although it is not possible to calculate the effect at this stage, there is no reason to suppose that this would be the case for cod.

## Estimation of parameters

Estimates of the total mortality rate of Faroes cod have been made from various sets of data. B.W. Jones (1966b) gives an estimate of 1.06 using British trawler age-composition data for the period 1959-1962. Unpublished data from the same source for the period 1962-1964 give a value of 0.83. Using Faroes line-boat data for the period 1961-1965 a value of 0.89 was obtained. Precise estimates of the natural mortality rate of Faroes cod are not available but it is concluded by B.W. Jones (1966b) that these should be of the order of 0.3. From these data therefore the value of 0.7 for E was calculated. For assessment purposes values of E = 0.6 and 0.8 have therefore been used.

Recent selectivity data summarised by B.W. Jones (1966a) indicate that with a double manila cod-end, a selection factor of 3.4 is appropriate for Faroes cod. Selection curves were constructed using this selection factor,

and by allowing the selection ranges to increase from 4 cm for a 75 mm net to 10 cm for a 130 mm net.

Age/length data for Faroes cod are given by B.W. Jones (1966b). A length/weight relationship for Faroes cod is given in Appendix Table 14.

The mean length compositions of the total cod landed by English, Scottish and Faroes vessels from 1959-1963 are shown in Appendix Table 15. This period was adopted as being the longest recent period during which the condition of the fishery remained unchanged.

No account has been taken of discards in the assessments since the few data from Scottish and English trawlers indicate that discards of cod amount to only a few percent by numbers of the catch.

#### Assessments of mesh size increases

Taking the mesh size for the period 1959 to 1963 as being equivalent to 75 mm double manila assessments have been made for increases of trawl mesh to 100 mm, 110 mm, 120 mm and 130 mm.

Length compositions of the landings by English trawlers from Faroes Bank and Faroes Plateau have been determined separately; for this purpose Faroese line-boat data were separated in the ratio of 85% to Faroes Plateau and 15% to Faroes Bank. All Scottish trawl data were treated as though they had come only from Faroes Plateau. Assessments for Faroes Bank and Faroes Plateau wore then made separately and the results were finally combined to give results for the whole Faroes area. These are given in Table 6 and lead to the following conclusions. Total landings would experience small long-term gains up to perhaps 9% with a 130 mm mesh, but there may be little or no gain in the increase from 120 mm to 130 mm. The long-lines will gain from any increase in mesh. British trawlers will have gains up to at least 120 mm, but for Scottish trawlers the further increase to 130 mm will probably reduce the gain, and may even cause a very small loss.

#### Minimum landing size

The present minimum landing size for cod from Faroes is 30 cm. If this were increased to 34 cm as recommended by the North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission only negligible quantities would have to be discarded from mesh sizes of 110 mm and greater. A 100 mm cod-end would retain as many as 21% of

the present landings of cod of 31 cm in length and 40% of cod of 33 cm in length, which would have to be discarded, but these amout to less than 1% of the total numbers landed.

Fleet		Е	Chan s	ging ef ize fro	fective m 75 mm	mesh to
			100	110	120	130
English trawl	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0.1 1.0 1.3	0.7 2.5 3.7	2.2 5.2 6.5	5.0 5.5 9.0
Scottish trawl	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	1.0 0.5 1.0	3.1 1.0 2.3	6.6 2.2 3.5	11.7 -0.2 3.6
Total trawl	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0.9 0.7 1.1	2.2 1.6 2.8	4.8 3.4 4.7	9.1 2.0 5.7
Long- lines (Faroe)	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	- 1.3 1.7	- 3.7 5.0	_ 8.4 9.7	_ 12.0 15.9
Total (all gears)	Imm. loss Long-term gain	.6 .8	0.5 0.9 1.3	1.5 2.2 3.7	3.4 4.9 6.1	6.4 4.9 8.7

Table 6. Farces cod mesh assessment

# FAROES HADDOCK

The total landings, and the landings per unit effort from 1924-1964 (excluding the war years) are shown in Appendix Tables 16 and 17. From these data, total effort statistics in English and Aberdeen trawler units have been determined and these are summarised for various periods in Table 7.

Retol		Data from English trawlers		Data from Aberdeen trawlers		
Years	Years landings (tons)		Estimated total international effort (2)	Landings per unit effort (3)	Estimated total international effort (4)	
1924-36	12,324	221	56	78	316	
1949-58	16,772	262	64	146	230	
1959 <b>-</b> 63 <sup>≭</sup>	24,402	178	137	122	400	
1964 <sup>≭</sup>	19,491	181	108	120	325	

Table 7. Faroes haddock statistics

(1)tons per million ton hours by steam trawlers.

(2) millions of ton hours.

(3)(4)cwt per 100 hours.

thousands of hours by steam trawlers.

X estimated from motor-trawler data using a correction for the greater efficiency of motor trawlers.

A striking feature of the Faroes landings is that they have shown a tendency to increase from a level of 11,000 tons in 1924 to a maximum value of 27,600 tons in 1963. During the period 1959-1963 both the landings and the total fishing effort were at their highest levels.

# The 12-mile limit at Faroes

The arguments put forward for Faroes cod apply equally to haddock. Again the essential thing is to determine whether the interchange of fish across the 12-mile limit is sufficient to maintain the quantity of the stock outside the limit. As for the cod, an exact numerical effect cannot actually be calculated. Recent Scottish tagging results do however clearly show that haddock tagged inshore at Faroes are recaptured from positions all round the islands, both inside and outside the 12-mile limit. There is nothing in the tagging results to suggest that the haddock stock outside the limit would not be recruited from inside the limit.

#### Estimation of parameters

Estimates of the total mortality rate of Faroes haddock have been made from Scottish trawler age-composition data. These are shown in Table 8.

Age-group Period	1950–1959	1960–1964
2-6 years	0.99	0.82
6-9 years	0.53	1.02
9-10 years	0.86	1.34

Table 8. Estimates of total mortality rates of Faroes haddock

These show that for the period concerned and for the ages 2-6 years, which account for over 90% of the Scottish landings, the total mortality rate is 0.82. For a natural mortality rate of 0.2, this gives a value of E = 0.76. Less direct methods of computation using the method of Jones, R. (1961) to allow for the differences in mortality with age, give a value of E = 0.65. In the assessment, values of E = 0.6 and 0.8 have therefore been used.

A selection factor of 3.4 has been adopted from the data available on haddock in general and Faroes haddock in particular. The selection range was varied from 4 cm for a 75 mm cod-end to 10 cm for a 130 mm cod-end (on the basis of data summarised by R. Jones (1963)).

The age/length relationship of haddock over the selection range of the meshes considered has been determined from recent Scottish research vessel and market data. Over the period 1959-1963, this is similar to that adopted in the first report of the Working Group. Length/weight data have been taken from tables published by Russel (1914).

The mean length composition of the haddock landed by English and Scottish trawlers from 1959-1963 are shown in Appendix Table 18. Only a few length compositions from Farces liners are available from samples taken in 1961 and 1962. These show that Farces line boats land about 880 haddock per ton of fish and this value has been used for converting weights into numbers landed by Farces line boats.

#### Discards

Several trips have been made by observers on board Scottish trawlers to determine the percentage of haddock discarded at sea. These showed in contrast to those of earlier years when up to 60% by numbers were sometimes discarded, that from 1962-1965 there were much lower rates of discarding of about 8-12% by number. The rate of discarding varies greatly, both seasonally within a year, and also between years, the latter depending greatly on the strength of the year-class just less than marketable size. Whereas the low rates of discarding in 1965 could be explained by the existence of a poor year-class in 1964, the low rates observed on trips in 1962 and 1963 cannot be explained this way. It seemed appropriate for this report therefore to calculate mesh assessments assuming discard rates of 10% and 30% by number instead of 30% and 60%.

### Assessments of mesh size increase

Taking the mesh size for the period 1959-1963 as being equivalent to 75 mm double manila, assessments have been made for increases of trawl mesh to 100 mm, 110 mm, 120 mm and 130 mm.

Length compositions from landings of English trawlers from Faroes Bank and Faroes Plateau have been used for making assessments for these sub-areas separately. The results have then been combined to give assessments for the whole Faroes area. Faroes and Scottish haddock landings were treated as though all had come from the Faroes Plateau. The proportion of the Scottish landings that actually come from Faroes Bank is less than 5%. In the case of Faroes liners the proportion is also very small but not known for certain. Overall the proportion of haddock taken from Faroes Bank by these two classes of vessel is small enough to be neglected in these calculations.

The results of the assessments are shown in Table 9 and these lead to the following conclusions.

Total landings would increase with increases of mesh size up to 110 mm. Increases to 130 mm would give no further gains if E = 0.6. There would, however, be further very small gains up to 120 mm if E = 0.8.

Faroes long-line catches would experience considerable benefits. These would become progressively greater for each increase in mesh size up to 130 mm.

Total British trawler landings would increase with increasing mesh. size up to 100 or 110 mm. Further increases up to 130 mm would only reduce these gains.

Scottish trawler landings would benefit from a mesh increase to 100 mm. A further increase to 110 mm would leave the gain effectively unchanged if E = 0.8, but would reduce the gain if E = 0.6. A subsequent increase to 130 mm would reduce the gain and possibly even lead to losses.

English trawler landings would benefit from increases up to 110 mm. Subsequent increases would reduce the gain if E = 0.6. If E = 0.8 the mesh size could be increased to 120 mm without effectively altering the gain, but any further increase would reduce it.

These results differ from those reached in the previous report of the Working Group mainly in the magnitudes of the expected gains. They are largely dependent on the magnitude of the discards and the adoption of smaller discard rates has led to smaller gains. Also changes in the length composition of the landings have contributed to the differences in the calculated effects.

There is still uncertainty, however, whether to place the rate of discarding as nearer the 10% level or the 30% level and for this reason there is still uncertainty regarding the actual magnitude of the benefits.

El o ot	Immediate loss %				
LTGG?	75 to 100 mm	75 to 110 mm	75 to 120 mm	75 to 130 mm	
Trawl (England)	6	15	30	43	
Trawl (Scotland)	13	24	37	50	
Trawl (Total)	11	22	36	48	
Long-line (Faroes)	-	-	-	-	
Total (all gears)	8	15	24	33	

Table 9. Mesh assessments for Faroes boddech

ا ا	TJ	Long-term gain %				
Fleet	Ŀ	75 to 100 mm	75 to 110 mm	75 to 120 mm	75 to 130 mm	
Trawl (England)	.6	+10	+12	+ 7	0	
	.8	+16	+21	+20	+15	
Trawl(Scotland)	.6	+ 3	0	- 8	-18	
	.8	+ 9	+ 8	+ 2	- 7	
Trawl (Total)	.6	+ 5	+ 3	- 4	-13	
	.8	+11	+11	+ 6	- 2	
Long-line	.6	+19	+31	+47	+63	
(Faroes)	.8	+25	+42	+63	+84	
Total	.6	+ 9	+12	+12	+11	
(All gears)	.8	+15	+21	+25	+26	

# (a) Discards = 10% by numbers

(b) <u>Discards = 30% by numbers</u>

<b>W</b> last	T	Long-term gain %			
TGG?		75 to 100 mm	75 to 110 mm	75 to 120 mm	75 to 130 mm
Trawl (England)	.6	+29	+31	+27	+19
	.8	+41	+47	+46	+41
Trawl(Scotland)	.6	+19	+12	+ 7	- 4
	.8	+30	+30	+22	+11
Trawl (Total)	.6	+22	+20	+12	+ 2
	.8	+33	+34	+28	+19
Long <del>-</del> line	.6	+37	+52	+71	+90
(Faroes)	.8	+50	+70	+95	+121
Total	.6	+27	+30	+31	+30
(All gears)	.8	+39	+46	+50	+52

# Minimum landing size

The present minimum landing size for haddock from Faroes is 27 cm. If this is increased to 31 cm as recommended by the North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission, no fish would have to be discarded with mesh sizes of 110 mm or more. With a 100 mm mesh, 12% of the fish caught at present of 30 cm length would have to be discarded. These, and any smaller fish caught, would amount to less than 1% by weight of Scottish landings and even less of English landings.

# FAROES SAITHE (COALFISH)

Statistics of Faroes coalfish landings and landings per unit effort are given in Appendices Tables 19 and 20. These are summarised for various periods in Table 10.

	Motol louding	English trawlers			
Years	(tons)	Landings per unit effort (1)	Total effort (2)		
1924–1936	8,773	174	50		
1949-1955	6,816	228	30		
1956 <b>-</b> 195 <u>8</u>	16,746	219	7 <u>6</u>		
1959-1963 <sup>∞</sup>	11,826	187	63		
1964 <sup><b>≭</b></sup>	21,473	174	123		

Table 10. Faroes coalfish statistics

tons per million ship ton hours by steam trawlers.
 millions of ship ton hours.

\* estimated from motor-trawler data, using a correction for the greater efficiency of motor trawlers.

The particularly high landings experienced in 1956-1958 were due to exceptionally heavy landings by German trawlers fishing specifically for coalfish. Again, in 1964, the high value was due to heavy landings by German and French trawlers.

Length compositions of English and German landings are given in Appendix Table 21. The larger proportion of small fish in the English landings is due to differences in the distribution of the two fleets, the German vessels fishing in deeper water where they catch larger fish.

For the purpose of the mesh assessment a selection factor of 3.6 was used. English data on mortality rates indicate that an appropriate value of E would be 0.6. Immediate losses for increases in mesh size up to 130 mm will be negligible for German trawlers and would be only 3 or 4% for English trawlers. Long-term gains to be derived from using a 130 mm mesh would be about 1% for English trawlers and 5% for German trawlers and for the Faroes fishery.

## FAROES REDFISH

Redfish landings from the Faroes area in post-war years have been fairly steady although a higher value was recorded for 1964. German fishing accounted almost entirely for the total redfish landings. A length composition of German redfish landings is given in Appendix Table 22.

Using the same selection curves as used for redfish at Iceland, the immediate losses were calculated. These are given in the earlier table and are very small, reaching less than 1% for a 140 mm mesh.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The North-Western Working Group wishes to make the following recommendations:--

- Experiments should be made on the selectivity of redfish under commercial conditions, as regards both size of catch and length of tow.
- Further discard data are urgently required, especially of haddock at Faroes, where the long-term effect depends critically on the rate of discard.
- Data on selectivity of coalfish are desirable, but this is less urgent.

#### REFERENCES

- Gulland, J.A. 1961. Fishing and the stocks of fish at Iceland. Fish. Invest. Lond., Ser.2, <u>23</u> (4).
- I.C.E.S., 1948. North-Western Area Committee. Report of the sub committee on Faxa Bay. Rapp. Cons. Explor. Mer. 120.
- I.C.E.S., 1962. Report of the North-Western Working Group. I.C.E.S. Cooperative Research Report No.1.
- I.C.E.S., 1965a. Report of the 1962 Iceland trawl mesh selection working group. I.C.E.S. Co-operative Research Report No. 3.
- I.C.E.S., 1965b. Report of the coalfish working group. I.C.E.S. Cooperative Research Report No. 6.
- I.C.E.S., 1966. Liaison Committee Report for 1964. Co-operative Research Report, Series B.
- Jones, B.W., 1966a. Trawl selectivity of cod at Faroe. I.C.E.S. Cooperative Research Report, Series B. Liaison Comm. Report for 1964, Appendix 1.

Jones, B.W., 1966b. The cod and the cod fishery at Faroe. Fish. Invest. Lond., Ser. 2, <u>24</u> (5).

Jones, R., 1961. The assessment of the long-term effect of changes in gear selectivity and fishing effort. Dep. of Agr. & Fish. Scot., Mar. Res. 2.

Jónsson, J., 1960. On the mortality of the Icelandic stock of cod during the years 1930-60. I.C.E.S. Comm. Meeting 1960, doc. 133(mimeo).

Russel, E.S., 1914. Report on market measurements 1909-1911. Fish. Invest., Lond., Ser 2,  $\underline{1}$  (1).

Russel, E.S., 1942. The overfishing problem. Cambridge University Press.

Saemundsson, B., 1923. On the age and growth of the cod (Gadus callarius L.) in Icelandic waters. Medd. fra Komm for **h**avunder. ser Fish. <u>7</u> (3).

Strubberg, A.C., 1916. Marking experiments with cod at Faroes. Medd. Komm for Havundersøgelser Ser. Fiskeri, 5 (2).

Strubberg, A.C., 1933. Marking experiments with cod at Faroes. Second Report. Experiments in 1923-1927. Medd. Komm for Havundersøgelser Ser. Fiskeri, 9 (7).

Taning, A.V., 1940. Migration of cod marked on the spawning places of the Faroes. Medd. Komm for Havundersøgelser Ser. Fiskeri, <u>10</u> (7).

T o t a l	188,541 292,572 312,536 291,928 344,312 368,039	400,483 496,798 489,782 505,375 537,930 459,115 426,320 313,318 322,901 322,205	267,887 289,608 340,496 362,667 362,667 368,488 348,488 355,667 539,943 538,130 538,130 508,683 451,909 508,683 374,645 374,645 386,342	402,002 429,284
Sweden		9 1 1 1 1 9 7 7 7 7	19 10 10	
Denmark	25 17	1 1 1 1 2 3 6 4 9 4 9 2 5 7 7 2 8 7 7 2 8 7 7 2 8 7 7 7 8 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	н 6548 111460	
Belgium	677	2,106 1,581 1,082 1,082 1,204 1,204 1,511 1,511 1,511	5,150 8,184 8,184 8,184 8,184 9,946 9,946 8,1456 1,559 1,456 1,559	•
Holland	801 1,515 1,593 1,508 1,508 918 841	1,444 1,339 605 45 45 60 60 60	2272 242 242 242 242 242 242 242 242 242	
Norway	287 468 445 519 391 322	1,085 6,691 7,339 7,339 16,163 16,163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163	188 57 57 8922 8922 8922 8922 8922 8922 8922 892	3,510 2,688
France	2,862 2,841 3,487 3,967 2,505 3,567	2,813 5,230 8,739 15,271 16,413 6,218 5,156 11,727 11,727	1,905 2,830 1,538 579 579 100	
Scotland	26,882 2,448 1,402 1,451 1,451 1,328	2,642 2,403 5,741 7,850 1,955 1,955 1,955	4,756 4,756 4,068 25,244 25,0528 25,258 26,258 26,2	3,180 4,582
Faroes	35,868 31,481 29,185 28,608 37,651 49,563	54,223 53,002 53,670 48,148 46,148 28,028 28,028 28,776 13,866 19,706 22,405	2000 200 2000 2	6,254 6,887
Germany	15,450 32,662 30,980 37,292 40,071 33,330	37,467 45,034 49,345 55,413 49,335 28,442 36,440 36,294 36,294 42,136	11,011 10,817 11,193 24,120 33,80 <b>6</b> 41,808 56,327 35,80 <b>6</b> 41,808 66,253 48,253 48,256 30,071 23,849 35,562 37,849 35,562 37,939 35,562 37,939 34,157	33, 034 19, 336
England	75,120 86,414 81,347 96,517 101,066	98,240 119,120 164,837 164,837 157,639 145,597 145,597 144,312 128,160	<pre>36,846 52,369 90,702 91,125 108,901 108,901 103,485 94,568 173,798 165,694 158,705 156,517 150,517 1122,740 109,414 105,144</pre>	123,185 122,207
Iceland	106,391 146,237 159,030 126,890 164,783 167,328	201,074 261,278 261,278 224,504 208,081 287,729 285,729 182,926 102,354 111,285 114,359	199,165 200,242 213,177 221,419 197,433 183,252 283,516 306,191 315,438 265,191 315,438 292,586 247,087 284,407 284,259 284,259 284,259 284,259 282,668 223,874	232,839 273,584
Years	1924 1924 1925 1926 1926 1928	1929 1929 1932 1932 1935 1935 1935 1936 1936	1946 1946 1948 1959 1956 1955 1956 1956 1956 1960 1960 1961	196 <b>3</b> 1964

(Round fresh weight in metric tons)

Appendix Table 1. Total landings of cod from Iceland

\*) Estimated.

	A	В	C	Relative	C.P.U.E.
Years	England	Germany	Iceland	England	Germany
1924	1,337	2.5		1,096	0,746
1925	1,559	2.2		1,278	0,657
1926	1,327	2.6		1,088	0,776
1927	1,209	2.9		0,991	0,866
1928	1,073	2.3		0,880	0,687
1929	1,021	2.7		0,837	0,806
1930	1,343	3.3		1,lol	0,985
1931	1,328	3.5		1,089	1,045
1932	1,635	4.7		1,340	1,403
1933	1,562	4.3		1,280	1,284
1934	1,390	2.6		1,139	0,776
1935	1,416	3.2		1,161	o,955
1936	1,398	3.0		1,146	0,896
1937	1,088	3.2		0,892	0,955
1938	1,361	3.4		1,115	1,015
1946	2,310	5.1		1,893	1,522
1947	1,766	3.8		1,448	1,134
1948	1,527	3.0		1,252	o,896
1949	1,397	3.3		1,145	0,985
1950	1,190	3.3		0,975	0,985
1951	1,155	3.2		0,947	0,955
1952	1,116	3.2		o,915	0,955
1953	1,353	4.0		1,109	1,194
1954	1,237	3.2		1,014	o,955
1955	1,272	4.5		1,043	1,343
1956	1,249	3.5		1,024	1,045
1957	993	2.6		o,814	o,776
1958	980	3.8		0,803	1,134
1959	822	4.2		0,674	1,253
1960	701	3.8	1,185	0,575	1,134
1961	569	2.7	663	0,466	0,806
1962	611	4.3	462	o,5ol	1,284
1963	626	4.0	365	0,513	1,194
1964	546	2.1	411	o,448	0,624

Appendix Table 2. Catches per u	unit effort	of	Iceland	cod.
---------------------------------	-------------	----	---------	------

- A: Tons per million ton hours
- B: Tons per day fished
- C: Tons per million ton hours.

# Appendix Table 3. Estimates of fishing effort on Iceland cod

Years	A England	B Germany	C Iceland	Total effort
1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938	53,599 53,553 59,178 76,918 89,909 91,540 \$5,773 103,807 99,717 100,325 104,202 107,724 100,420 132,650 94,167	12,962 13,899 14,617 13,834 14,526 14,055 13,833 14,003 11,726 11,691 10,840 11,278 12,966 11,432 12,274		208,768 194,183 212,390 274,367 327,449 373,209 357,698 360,833 305,732 342,309 328,549 299,257 223,736 301,381 236,736
1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	15,952 29,543 59,306 65,202 91,510 89,109 83,825 128,143 133,521 108,789 101,840 144,229 153,601 137,455 157,309 171,282 177,962 210,897 234,447	2,174 2,858 3,725 7,117 8,851 9,957 11,732 13,349 13,546 10,442 8,307 8,375 9,865 8,683 9,731 7,795 7,938 8,371 9,185	38,300 46,139 28,038 39,116 36,735	115,971 163,373 222,635 259,504 305,369 300,030 354,496 387,889 441,153 422,101 383,122 451,725 519,171 551,744 668,563 664,745 653,832 688,157 823,612

A: Thousand ton hours. Motor and steam trawlers combined.

B: Days fishing

C: Thousand ton-hours.

Total effort = English effort x  $\frac{\text{Total catch}}{\text{English catch}}$ 

Length	Trawl A England etc.	Trawl B Germany etc.	Danish Seine and Farces	Other Gear Non-spawning	Trawl C Spawning	Other Gear Spawning
25-29	-	3	-	4	-	-
30	199	36	27	39	3	12
35	3,831	64	470	69	17	82
4o	19,782	328	2,378	364	65	279
45	32,346	1,561	3,860	1,676	118	458
50	40,267	3,998	4,671	4,254	256	969
55	36,308	5,302	4,188	5,599	383	l,44o
60	32,324	6,210	3,761	6,549	615	2,267
65	23,735	6,402	2,759	6,808	771	3,467
7o	21,270	7,777	2,481	8,220	1,395	8,976
75	14,483	7,970	1,713	8,388	1,998	15,255
ິວ	11,593	7,205	1,361	7,658	2,897	25,619
85	7,202	4,533	843	4,926	3,290	30,047
90	5,041	2,829	585	3,151	3,158	28,118
95	2,455	1,327	285	1,476	2,148	18,854
100	1,562	670	184	746	946	9,021
105	511	285	61	316	453	4,582
110		95		105	222	2,504
115	755	31	90	37	151	1,561
120		30		36	87	1,012
125+		4		4	77	891
Total	253,664	56,660	29,717	60,425	19,056	155,914

# Appendix Table 4. Length composition of Iceland cod landings. (Total numbers of fish, 1960-64 in thousands)

Total	21,718	38,469	37,489	38,870	58,265	60,235	57,552	51,891	43,654	35,852	28,858	29,676	30.432	28,363	28,320	28,580		33,145	41,679	60, <b>3</b> 24	75,951	66,749	56,029	46,487	54,828	62,652	64,945	62,289	76,726	7o.498	64.578	87.493	110.086	119.615	102.444	99,047	
Sweden			÷			4	23	I	1	30	lo									21	179	41															
Denmark					10	80	42	100	210	296	341	545	569	840	695	644				57	96	603	362	84	I	23	9										
Belgium						234	426	304	119	140	225	206	342	366	372	442		472	2,019	1,314	2,120	1,640	2,857	4,063	4,295	5,137	7.105	6,147	6,631	5,738	2.412	5,198	4.237	4.189	1.884	857	
Holland	5	267	2/2	213	226	229	257	365	148	32	1	9	1	I	ı	9	I	45	t	350	5	7 59	220	41	ł	89	1	1	29				49	204	198	181	and
Norway								2	51																												from Icel
France		(	ი ი	ი 	I	ß	45	1	17	264	242	174	66	49	71	75																	125	164			f haddook
Scotland	5,986	294 7	0 <i>)</i> ,	72	166	349	427	468	438	478	220	256	275	364	379	301	i t t	T,679	2,246	2,907	0, 900	2,271	1,365	660	708	611	683	980	1,137	966	811	936	2,314	4,024	3,818	4,877	Landings o
Faroes							Ч	75	45	96	29	51	35	118	134	115	(% (%	T po w	150%)	150%	150*	150 %)	15o*)	168	219	435	359	610	1,168	l,376	1,025	1,330	770	616	2,108	1,200	. Table 5.
Germany	5,729	1.1.1.	172 0	9,1,0	11,824	lo,90l	10,313	9,584	8,062	7,124	6,284	4,724	4,037	4,866	5,146	4,608	r (	4, 50 L	3,762	7,553	lo,499	1, 500	7,326	7,734	6,384	6,133	7,153	8,750	7,796	6,311	3,794	6,238	4,067	3,965	3,064	2,077	Appendix
England	r C	151,02	1.70,02	072.02	36,205	37,350	32,963	30,125	27,446	22,409	16,824	17,777	18,762	17,428	17,47o	17,780		14,0'A	14,901	23,610	28,683	26,886	21,576	18,571	28,268	28,872	27,936	23,748	28,663	27,483	30,002	31,803	47,164	51,862	39,538	33,269	
Iceland	10,000*)	(*°°°°,°',	1.000,0T	0,600	9,854	11,088	13,055	lo,863	7,118	4,933	4,683	5,937	6,313	4,205	4,053	4,609*)	0 r r	14, 140	18,601	24,862	30, 264	27,099	22,173	15,166	14,954	21,322	21,703	22,054	31,302	28,624	26,534	41,988	51,360	54,288	51,834	56,586	s <del>ti</del> ma ted.
9ars	923	924 00 E	2006	200	126	[928	1929	1930	1931	L932	1933	L934	1935	1936	L937	1938		1740	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	.964	E (*

(Round frash weight in metric tons)

	A	В	С	Relative	e C.P.U.E.
Years	England	Germany	Iceland	England	Germany
1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938	373 378 391 469 414 359 350 264 224 167 170 173 172 131 189	0.6 0.5 0.6 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.4		1,323 1,340 1,387 1,663 1,468 1,273 1,241 0,936 0,794 0,592 0,603 0,613 0,610 0,464 0,670	0,870 0,724 0,870 1,304 1,159 1,014 1,014 0,870 0,870 0,724 0,580 0,580 0,580 0,580 0,724 0,580
1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	757 496 393 435 288 238 220 220 216 258 233 201 178 219 211 260 268 152 111	2.2 1.3 2.0 1.4 0.8 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.5 0.6 1.1 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.2	221 212 274 223 227	2,684 1,759 1,393 1,543 1,021 0,844 0,780 0,780 0,780 0,760 0,715 0,826 0,713 0,631 0,777 0,748 0,922 0,950 0,539 0,394	2,899 1,884 2,899 2,029 1,159 0,724 0,870 0,580 0,724 0,870 1,595 1,014 0,870 0,724 0,435 0,724 0,724 0,724 0,724 0,724

Appendix Table 6. Landings per unit effort of haddock from Iceland

- A: Tons per million ton hours
- B: Tons per day fished
- C: Tons per million ton hours

Length (cm)	A (England etc.)	C (Germany)	Danish seine	Other gears	
()					0
25-29	8	66			5
30-34	2,540	1,059	17	4	1,691
35 <del>-</del> 39	20,088	1,728	443	112	13,195
40-44	44,550	1,660	769	705	29,274
45-49	44,950	1,912	1,911	4,340	29,389
50-54	48,240	2,051	2,648	7,305	30,888
55 <b></b> 59	32,395	1,872	2,707	4,273	2 <b>0,</b> 789
60-64	17,807	1,306	1,860	1,522	12,035
65-69	7,308	647	762	754	5,325
70-74	2,301	314	376	282	1,701
75 <b>-</b> 79	670	102	99	95	472
80-84	178	31	7	30	141
85-89	25				17
90+	3				3
Total	221,063	12,748	11,599	19,422	144,905

Appendix Table 7. Length composition of Iceland haddock landings. (Total numbers of fish landed, 1960-1964, in thousands)

.

.

. . .

Total	23,620	26,926	31,535	46,100	61,921	50,035	43,452	32,587	41,177	37,483	46,831	50,425	60,415	57,734	74,578	44,552	<b>34,</b> 361	117,880	92,425	63,365	83,783	97,984	79,240	70,069	48,123	67,371	61,595	52,788	48,109	48,056	49,784	50,435	48,745	60,127	
France																										•					105	409			
Scotland	232	439	27	38	Ъ.	77	112	139	243	146	130	85	116	209	118	 831	570	959	1,063	1,058	615	357	134	119	162	226	94	97	137	120	481	563	l 1,074	1,221	
Norway							2																							59			11	4	
Iceland	9,000*)	9,000*)	8,492	18,990	32,344	19,356	13,124	4,779	6,838	4,438	7,090	5,628	7,311	6,929	14,072	23,792	32,587	79,634	48,309	7,471	19,097	31,639	30,382	16,470	12,298	25,250	19,045	14,961	14,975	12,721	13,675	13,469	14.758	21,665	
Holland	229	243	189	109	161	144	206	98	93		22				3		*	150		385	15o	39		lol							48	87	401	309	
Germany	9,767	12,936	19,195	21,552	22,242	22,423	22,287	19,730	25,641	23,164	25,472	31,792	38,291	34,302	45,876	14,470	20,982	18,568	28,022	40,434	50,048	51,820	33,361	36,410	23,146	30,338	28,217	24,125	22,892	23,417	22,212	24,045	17,918	20,841	•
Faroes																								177	411	516	2,099	1,402	395	514	893		16†	44	
England	4,392	4,308	3,632	5,411	7,038	7,705	7,426	8,526	7,882	9,426	13,771	12,584	14,136	15,153	13,325	 4,916	lo.222	17,027	13,532	12,485	10,970	9,405	12,752	13,039	8,275	7,828	8,814	9,148	7,598	8.454	9,016	8,767	11.262	13,899	
Belgium					117	330	295	315	480	309	346	336	561	1,141	1,184	 543		1,542	1,499	1,532	2,903	4,718	2,611	3,159	3,831	3,213	3,326	3.055	2,112	2.771	3,354	2,505	2.830	2,144	
Years	1924	1925	1926	1927	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	 1946	1947	1,948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	

Appendix Table 8. Total landings of coalfish from Iceland. (Round fresh wwight in metric tons)

Estimated.

(\*

•

Years	Belgium	England	Germany	Iceland	Scotland	Others	Total
1924	*****		8,961		3,826	16	12,803
1925			11,516		3,433	26	14,975
1926			9,228		1,864	3	11,095
1927			8,314		1,333		9,647
1928			9,432			7	9,439
1929	380		11,802			11	12,193
1930	388		14,166			2	14,556
1931	335		17,374			9	17,718
1932	345		14,288	357		3	14,993
1933	254		13,226	15			13,495
1934	233		11,531	607		4	12,375
1935	275	1,598	19,731	4,002			25,606
1936	298	1,661	34,906	23,053			59,918
1937	446	2,181	32,749	12,150			47,526
1938	511	2,496	51,356	13,791		1	68,155
1946	4o	719	3,458	4,245			8,422
1947	191	1,798	3,763	8,226			13.787
1948	504	3,106	5,525	25,120		8	34,263
1949	502	3,424	25,295	33,510			62.731
1950	605	2,493	54,786	72,897		24	130,805
1951	938	5,026	67,455	97,213	273	18	170,923
1952	782	5,086	81,764	44,243	78	3	131,956
1953	845	5,385	126,592	32,894	54		165,770
1954	826	6,865	108,983	28,850	52	30	145,606
1955	850	4,055	75,719	32,724	35		113,383
1956	1,375	2,698	54,085	33,713	28		91,899
1957	1,644	5,000	49,509	27,914	55		84,122
1958	1,726	8,007	60,275	20,439	50		90,497
1959	1,800	5,314	55,261	19,914	54		82,344
1960	1,836	7,429	52,859	20,356	82		82,561
1961	2,419	7,364	44,407	15,345	273	45	68,822
1962	4,182	9,024	43,151	13,185	414	161	75,277
1963	3,983	9,363	52,932	22,803	295	953	90,132
1964	3,486	9,688	63,612	18,096	530	892	95,160

<u>Appendix Table 9.</u> Total landings of redfish from Iceland. (Round fresh weight in metric tons)

	То са 1				10,642	18,596	23,920	18,573	17,242	22,294	34,306		t a l		123	152	143	221	372	245	786
	լ.Տ <sub>.</sub> Տ . Ջ . ռ.									5,697	ŋ		y To		24.	31.	38,	27,5	27,	37,5	42,1
	tland U							48	50	47	30	.bu	Norwa			I	3	5	1	I	1
land.	Sec									-		as t-Greenla	U.S.S.R.			9	3	ł	2	230	5
as t-Greenl	1 Faro					543	425	1,221		1	1	sh from Ee tôns)	tland			2	1	9	63	~2	3
od from Ee metric to	Spair				15	40		8	I	1	I	of redfis 1 metric 1	200 200								
ndings of co h weight in	Greenland				882	607	1,609	1,199	903	9o4	1,120	al landings sh weight i	Iceland		14,617	10,620	5,764	1,095	2,489	5,941	5,270
: Table lo. La (Round fres	Lceland				4,099	5,385	2,543	1,381	298	1,804	2,846	Table 11. Tot (Round fre	Germany	43,814 35,411 14 593	9,505	20,529	32,368	25,992	24,720	30,916	37,294
Appendix	Gernany	3,721	9,214	7,339	5,646	12,017	19,069	13,928	14,246	13,614	29,352	Appendix	England					75.5	160	156	222
	England				ł	4	274	796	1,745	728	958		Years	1955 1956 1957	1958	1959 1959	1960 1023	TOAT	1962 1962	1001	1964
	Yee,rs	1955	1956	1957	1958	1969	1960	1961	1962	1963	1.964								_	_	

- 27 -

# Appendix Table 12. Total landings of cod at Farces (Round fresh weight in metric tons)

Years	England	Scotland	Faroes	Germany	Others	Total
1924	33,000	8,252	3,942			45,194
1925	25,825	5,324	6,507		636	38,292
1926	27,590	lo,366	5 <b>,</b> 331		894	44,181
1927	26,894	lo,724	6,782		768	45,168
1928	18,894	7,295	4,078		42	30,309
1929	18,140	5,599	l,783		979	26,5ol
1930	20,794	7,843	2,146		2,238	33,021
1931	32,209	lo,538	1,482		1,189	45,418
1932	29,765	10,057	4,308		611	44,741
1933	25,729	9,200	2,153		639	37,721
1934	25,183	8,500	1,807		7	35,497
1935	23,230	7,195	1,621		74	32,120
1936	23,897	9,382	1,187		304	34,770
1937	15,678	7,862	2,954		149	26,643
1938	14,585	6,457	2,641		71	23,754
1946	15,819	9,664	5,000 <sup>x</sup> )		-	30.483
1947	17,075	8,886	$5,000^{x}$		29	30,990
1948	7,318	8,392	5,000 <sup>x</sup> )		-	20.710
1949	11,827	11,305	5,000 <sup>x</sup> )		-	28,132
1950 1951	15,781 15,6o3	15,167 14,471	5,000 <sup>x</sup> )		22	35,970
1952	12,247	13,283	4,550		175	30,225
1953	12,380	lo,535	4,137		-	27.052
1954	15,974	14,238	5,190	38	724	36,164
1955	17,374	12,380	7,902	222	700	38,578
1956	8,419	10,610	7,938	657	-	27,624
1957	10,022	13,413	6,920	1.034	-	31,389
1958	9,780	10,523	6,535	965	_	27.803
1959	9,989	10,522	4,676	665	_	25.812
1960	13,746	16,300	8,723	451	-	39.220
1961	3,891	12,954	9,521	408	168	26,942
1962	5,521	11,052	6,751	252	605	24.181
1963	4,558	10,875	7,428	376	867	24,104
1964	5,845	7,791	8,888	1,132	1,322	24,978
1						

x)<sub>Estimated</sub>.

Voc	Englis (Tons per m	h Trawl illion ton hours)	Scotti (Cwt per lo	sh Trawl oo hours fishing	Farces line
lears	Steam	Motor	Steam	Motor	(kg per looo hooks)
1924	588		258		
1925	568		222		
1926	800		340		
1927	700		308		
1928	544		227		
1929	558		289		
1930	638		236		
1931	615		258		
1932	508		240		
1933	461		202		
1934	460		214		
1935	406		142		
1936	459		163		
1937	417		172		
1938	438		128		
			1~0		
1946	1 149		360		
1947	945		222		
1948	633		101		
1949	845		221		0 - 17
1950	72.8		234		40 / 770
1951	554		204		206 149
1952	472		221		
1953	522		212		110
1954	642		277		792
1955	734	1 096	238	206	
1956	461	475	200	200	0/0 770
1957	472	484	203	272	228
1958	331	371	ມ 202	6/6	229
1959	333	380	150	200	400 177
1960	351	343	143	209 107	131
1961	141	221	114	COT COT	104
1962	338	272	772		73
1963	292	308		144	114
1964				100	91 70
				104	79

Appendix Table 13. Landings per unit effort of cod from Faroes

Appendix Table 14. Length/weight relationship of cod and coalfish at Farces (English data)

**.** .

Length cm	Whole w	weight 1
Cm	Cod	Coalfish
22.5	1 <b>1</b> 0	160
27.5	180	220
32.5	320	370
37.5	500	550
42.5	740	780
47.5	1,000	1,080
52.5	1,400	1,420
57.5	1,850	1,850
62.5	2,400	2,330
67.5	3,000	2,900
72.5	3,750	3,550
77.5	4,600	4,300
82.5	5,600	5,150
87.5	6,650	6,050
92.5	7,900	7,150
97.5	9,300	8,250
102.5	10,850	9,550
107.5	12,500	11,000
112.5	14,400	12,500
117.5	16,400	14,150

Appendix Table 15. Average length composition of cod at Faroes 1959-1963 (Numbers landed in thousands)

Length	England		Scotland	Faroes
cm	Plateau	Bank		
		a		
25.20			G	
20-29		-	Ö	
30-34	11	1	300	46
35-39	95	26	862	173
40-44	212	83	911	290
45-49	267	118	1068	403
50-54	312	132	965	370
55-59	291	86	772	230
6 <b>0-6</b> 4	261	77	595	299
65-69	200	52	426	273
70-74	175	44	300	287
75-79	129	38	189	218
80-84	llo	27	119	174
85-89	58	17	67	91
90-94	28	17	29	55
95-99	Э	16	12	21
100-104	5	16	>25	12
105-109	2	7		4
110-114	1	3		3
115+	1	4		2
Total	2,167	764	6,646	2,951

.

Years	England	Scotland	Farces	Germany	Others	Total
1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937	9,167 7,547 7,880 9,018 9,888 7,994 8,753 11,026 14,478 10,314 10,309 11,755 12,506 11,447	1,740 1,407 2,314 2,278 1,618 1,018 1,933 2,680 2,782 2,306 2,180 3,088 4,021 3,932	3 10 1 2 1 21 81		- 17 - 30 3 4 2 86 15 4 - 5 2	lo,907 8,954 lo,211 11,296 11,536 9,015 10,690 13,711 17,356 12,636 12,495 14,844 16,553 15,462
1938 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	13,062 11,093 8,413 4,758 3,801 4,722 6,687 7,714 5,964 6,069 5,148 5,945 7,107 7,639 5,536 7,302 2,769 3,766 4,655 3,442	4,172 5,937 7,337 7,325 7,514 9,054 7,944 6,653 6,404 6,832 7,667 7,512 9,602 9,573 9,220 10,943 9,590 16,159 15,766 7,087	145 x) x) x) x) x) x) x) x) x) 3,225 2,788 2,645 3,865 4,221 4,453 6,850 5,670 7,772 8,454 7,042 6,336 6,952	1 34 20 38 19 10 6 11 16 22 32	- 166 792 1,977	17, 380 17, 030 15, 750 12, 083 11, 315 13, 776 14, 631 17, 592 15, 156 15, 547 16, 714 17, 698 21, 200 24, 081 20, 436 26, 023 20, 824 27, 149 27, 571 19, 490

# <u>Appendix Table 16.</u> Total landings of haddock from Faroes. (Round fresh weight in metric tons)

x) No data: assumed nil.

# <u>Appendix Table 17</u> Landings per unit of effort of haddock from Faroes

Veo rg	English trawl		Scottish	trawl	Farcese line
Tears			(cwc ber to	U III'S LISILLIG	(MIC per 1000 HORS)
	Steam	Motor	Steam	Motor	
1924	163		66		
1925	166		79		
1926	229		lol		
1927	235		99		
1928	285 -		75		
1929	246		60		
1930	269		73		
1931	211		73		
1932	247		83		
1933	186		62		
1934	188		69		
1935	205		89		
1936	240		91		:
1937	305		121		
1938	392		120		
1946	806		240		
1947	466		182		
1948	412		172		
1949	272		156		78
1950	218		149		144
1951	232		124		62
1952	293		124		127
1953	247		137		174
1954	245		136		125
1955	226	160	161	273	188
1956	316	490	164	301	120
1957	324	384	164	250	111
1958	248	301	146	213	190
1959	171	218	152	171	161
1960	181	184	125	116	111
1961	169	142	102	119	88
1962	194	188		186	85
1963	2 36	319		187	69
1964				154	37

, ( ,

# Appendix Table 18. Average length composition of haddock from Faroes 1959-1963 (thousands of fish)

Length	Eng	Scotland	
cm	Plateau	Bank	
25-29	5	3	644
30-34	219	193	4,763
35-39	838	854	5,143
40-44	955	572	3,874
45-49	612	319	2,636
50-54	345	149	1,275
55-59	139	72	597
60-64	58	33	246
65-69	18	15	97
70-74	7	11	33
75-79	l	5	5
80+	l	l	1
Total	3,198	2,227	19 <b>,</b> 314

-

`\_\_.

•

- 34 -

# Appendix Table 19. Total landings of coalfish from Farces (Round fresh weight in metric tons)

Years	England	Scotland	Farces	Germany	Others	Total
	h	1				·
1924	6,812	1,142	141			8,095
1925	6,767	810	90		ø	7,667
1926	4,530	733	4		33	5,300
1927	5,555	962	4		•	6,521
1928	6,952	607	٠		16	7,575
1929	6,985	550	•		8	7,543
1930	7,179	548	e		•	7,727
1931	8,044	691	_2		٠	8,737
1932	9,327	785	14		89	10,215
1933	11,338	809	13		٠	12,160
1934	10,076	635	2		4	lo,717
1935	10,538	424				10,962
1936	9,938	894	1			lo,833
1937	6,114	310	2			6,426
1938	6,595	557	2			7,152
1046	4 580	<b>5</b> 0 <b>5</b>	、			
1946	4,538	787	x)			5,325
1947	7,277	481	x)			8,758
1948	2,520	1,049	x)			3,569
1949	3,020	2,294	x)			6,114
T 920	5,470 6,9-7	1,000 1,007	x)			5,366
1901	0,001 5.667	1,03/	x)			8,698
1953	5,005	1,100	47			6,898
1954	5 543	£52	9	٦		7,184
1955	5 643	1 018	4 80	14		0,213
1956	4 673	1 1 76	37	4 90		/ <sub>9</sub> 240
1957	3,869	928	979	7,010 20 7/8	٠	10,000 26 52/
1958	6,880	1.460	339	4 231	٥	20,024
1959	5,688	1,540	536	£ 674	. •	16,910
1960	6,437	2,140	685	2 583	*	14,400
1961	4,230	2.214	929	2,392	•	11,040 9 785
1962	3,724	2.631	2,494	976	620	10 445
1963	3,177	3.463	2,431	1.471	2 207	19 7/0
1964	4,329	3,309	1.338	6.039	6.458	21.473
	•	- ۰۰ و	_,	- ,000	0,100	LL gT IU
!						

~ -----

-

x)<sub>No data:</sub> Assumed nil.

	English	trawl
	(tons per m	illion ton hours)
Years	Steam	Motor
1924	121	
1925	149	
1926	131	
1927	145	
1928	200	
1929	215	
1930	220	
1931	154	
1932	159	
1933	203	
1934	184	
1935	184	
1936	191	
1937	163	
TA28	198	
1946	330	
1947	403	
1948	218	
1949	273	
1950	160	
1951	236	
1952	216	
1953	255	
1954	219	
1955	239	400
1956	256	303
1957	172	231
1958	250	235
1959	198	206
1960	159	163
1961	211	228
1962	169	187
1963	191	216

Appendix	: Table	20.	Laı	ndings	per	unit	effort
of	coalfis	h fi	rom	Farces	3.		

Appendix Table 21. Average length compositions of coalfish landings from Faroes 1969-63 (England) and 1960 and 1962 (Germany). (Thousands of fish)

Length (cm)	England	Gernany
30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79 80-84 25-89 90-94 95-99 100-104 105-109	1     23     108     117     209     126     94     93     161     164     181     112     65     36     26     10	2 10 28 37 63 76 107 141 132 91 63 32 19
110+	13	5
Total	1,544	806

Appendix Table 22. Average length composition of German landings of redfish from Faroes 1961-64. Numbers landed x 10<sup>-3</sup>.

Length (cm)	
30-34	-
35-39	2
40-44	169
45-49	1204
50-54	880
55-59	lol
60-64	9
	2.365
	=======





Figure 2. Estimates of catch per unit effort of German and English trawlers, expressed as proportions of the mean catch per unit effort.



Figure 3. Relation between the total mortality among mature Iceland cod and estimated total effort (data grouped in 5-year periods).















Figure 7. Total landings of redfish from Iceland.

- 40 -