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Pelagic Fish (Northern) Committee

# Final Report of Working Group on the Fstablishment of an International Herring Research Scheme 

## 1. Introduction

At the Symposium on "Herring Population Studies" held by the Council in September 1961, when the current state of knowledge on the ecology and population dynamics of the herring stocks in the north-east Atlantic, and especially on the changes in the herring fisheries and the factors governing them, was reviewed, it was recognised that many of the present difficulties facing scientists in elucidating the causes of the observed, long and short-term changes in the abundance and composition of the herring stocks in this area stem from a lack of a clear understanding of many of the basic ecological and dynamic processes involved. This is largely due to the great size and complexity of the exploited resources and the fisheries exploiting them and the associated difficulties of investigating them. It was also recognised that many of these difficulties would be overcome if the investigations of these processes could be carried out on small, well defined stocks of herring, as were known to exist in the coastal waters of at least one member country. The Symposium accordingly adopted the following recommendation:-
"In view of their size and great complexity of the biological, ecological and other processes governing the population dynamics of herring stocks, and of the extent and complexity of the scientific problems involved in understanding them, the Symposium recognises the need for setting up intensive and comprehensive studies on a small, self-contained, easily accessible herring population. It further recognises that such populations are known to exist in at least one member country. It therefore recomends that the Herring Committee of ICES should explore the possibilities of such investigations being initiated".

This recommendation. was subsequently considered by the Herring Committee at the 1961 Annual Meeting of the Council and as a first step in examining the possibilities of implementing it, the Committee members in each country were requested to undertake surveys of the herring stocks in their coastal waters to determine whether suitable, self-contained stocks for these studies were available within the ICES area.

Information presented by the Norwegian members of the Herring Comittee at its 1962 Annual Meeting indicated clearly that there are localities within the Norwegian Fjord system which may be suitable to meet the requirements for these studies. Following further endorsement at that meeting, of the need for establishing such a scheme of research, on an international łasis, and of the sorts of long and short-term research projects which it might embrace it was recommended that the Council should appoint a small group of four or five experts to make a survey of possible localities in Norway, with a view to determining whether the essential requirenents for a research scheme are available in the Norwegian Fjord systen.

This Working Group was duly established and held its first meeting at the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen in August 1963, at which it prepared a report, which was presented to the Herring Committee meeting in 1963 (C.M. 1963 Doc. No. 121), on its preliminary assessment of the basic requirements for the establishment of the research scheme and on the features and suitability of three localities near Bergen. Further meetings of the Working Group were held in Bergen in April 1964, March-April 1965, and April 1966, at each of which reports were prepared and presented to an approved by the Herring Committee at its Annual Meeting (C.M. 1964 Doc. No. 7; C.M. 1965 Doc. No. 135; C.M. 1966 Doc. No. H:20). This report presents a summary of the results of the Working Group's acitivities during this period, as presented in these reports.

## 2. Membership of the Working Group

The following experts served as members of the Working Group during the period.

Mr. B.B. Parrish (Chairman) - all four meetings
Prof. G. Hempel
Mr. K. Popp Madsen
Mr. Finn Devold
Mr. O.J. Østvedt
Mr. 0. Dragesund
" $\quad 7$

Dr. A. Frioriksson
in is in
1st and $2 n d$ meeting
2nd and 3rd meeting
4 th meeting
2nd meeting

In addition, Mr. 0. Dahl of the staff of the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen who was responsible for organising and running the routine herring sampling progranme in the "polls" during the whole of the experimental period participated in all of the meetings of the Working Group.

## 3. Main Tasks

In the course of its activities, the Working Group undertook the following main tasks:-
A) To consider the types of research projects suitable for study in a small, selfocontained herring stock.
B) To define the basic requirements of the locality and fish stock for conducting these research projects.
C) To examine the suitability of selected localities within the Norwegian Fjord system in satisfying the requirements in B).
D) To specify the minimum facilities and operational requirements for implementing such a research scheme on an international basis.

## A. Types of research project

The Working Group considered the types of research project which might be undertaken in a research scheme based on a small, self-contenined herring stock mainly in the light of biological problems encountered by the North Sea and AtlentoScandian Herring Working Groups in their assessments of the population dynamics of the exploited herring stocks and the factors governing the observed, post-war changes in their abundance and composition. Such projects can be divided into relatively long-term studies of biological or ecological processes governing changes in the abundance and structure of the herring stocks and shorter.term studies of specific biological processes and experimental work on methods of investigation and features of the physiology and behaviour (including learning) of the herring. Some importont examples of both types of study which the Working Group considers to be of major relevance to the present herring research problems in the ICES area and which might be pursued within the proposed scheme are as follows:-
a) Long-tern biological and ecological studies
(i) Rates of survival throughout the life-span (egg and larval stages; juvenile stages and adult phase) and the factors governing them, including the relation between survival rate and population density.
(ii) The gonad maturation cycle in spring and autum spawning herring, its variations from year to year and the factors governing it.
(iii) Studies of egg and larval production, dispersal and survival in relation to spawning stock size and composition and their variations with environnental factors.
(iv) Studies of fecundity and egg size, their variations from year to year and throughout the aaturation cycle and their relations with environmental factors, including the endocrine system.
(v) Growth studies, including zone formation in scales and otoliths; predatory-prey relationships and the relation between food, population density and growth at different life-history stages.
(vi) Serological and blood-group studies.
b) Short-tern biological and experinental studies
(i) Behaviour studies of different life-history stages including diurnal variations in behaviour, responses to natural and artiricial stinuli (including fishing gears), schooling behaviour, spawning behaviour, etc.
(ii) Rxperinental studies of the efficiency of tags and tagging nethods (internal and external), including estination of tagging mortality and tag shedding rates and observations on differences in behaviour and growth between tagged and untagged herring.
(iii) Experimental work with sonar equipment for estinating fish abundence, target strength measurement etc.
(iv) Genetical studies, including cross-fertilisation and hybridisation of different spawning groups of herring.

This list of research projects is in no sense meant to cover all possible investigations which night be conducted under the research scheme. In particular, it is confined to research projects on herring and takes no account of investigations of, for example, other fish species which night be present in the locality (except in relation to herring, predator-prey relationships) or basic environmental and productivity studies. It seens likely from the interest expressed by scientists in the fields during the course of the Working Group's investigations that in the event of the herring research schene being implemented, and the basic facilities provided for its continuation on a long-term basis, appropriate research programnes in these other research fields would arise.

## B. Basic requirements for locality and fish stock

Consideration was given by the Working Group at its first meeting to the desired features of a locality and fish (herring and other species) stocks to permit investigations along these lines to be carried out. These were considered to be as follows:-
(1) The water mass must be small, not exceeding $10 \mathrm{kn}^{2}$ and containing a herring stock, or clearly identifiable stocks - e.g. spring and autumn spawners, of not less than 200,000-400,000 adult individuals (500-1000 hectolitres)。
(2) The herring stock(s) must be self-contained with all life-history stages present and with little or no exchange of adult individuals with other Iocalities.
(3) The stock(s) of herring should, if possible, be unexploited in the locality and certainly not heavily exploited comercially, but statistics of the catches taken, if any commercial fishing does take place, should be available. The stock(s) must, however, be readily available to capture by standard and experimental fishing gears throughout the year.
(4) The water mass should contain other fish species, especially predators of herring.
(5) The physical, chemical and biological properties of the water nass should be as similar to the open sea as possible (e.g. temperature salinity, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, nutrients and plankton production). It should be nostly free fron severe icing in water, and free from serious pollution.
(6) The entrance(s) to the locality should be narrow and shallow to allow, if necessary, the erection of temporary or permanent barriers to the emigration or imnigration of fish (at least of adults).
(7) The bottom topography of the area should be as regular as possible and the depth not too great (i.e. not exceeding 60-80 netres) over the main part of it. Small, narrow mouthed bays, suitable for isolating small groups for experimental work (e.g. tagging and behaviour studies), leading off the main water nass would be highly desirable.
(8) The locality should have easy access by road or sea transport from a research centre (e.g. from Bergen) 。
(9) The locality should be one in which the anount of sea traffic is small.
(10) The shores of the area should have sites suitable for the erection of temporary or permanent buildings (laboratory accommodation, stores, landing stage, boat house etc.) close to a road and within easy reach of fresh water and electricity supplies.

In its subsequent assessment of the availability and characteristics of localities and fish stocks, meeting these requirements within the Norwegian Fjord system, the Working Group recognised that the relative importance of the different basic requirements outlined above depends on specific investigations actually being conducted. For example, requirenent (2) is an important one for those investigations listed in the preceding section involving studies of the total dynamics of the herring stock, covering all life-history stages and therefore is, clearly, a very desirable feature of the systen. However, the failure of the system to meet this requirement completely does not necessarily preclude others of the listed types of project being pursued effectively. Thus, a substantial incidence of immigration or emigration of one life-history stage (e.g. larvae) to and fron the locality night severely complicate or indeed preclude sone detailed studies of the population dynanics of that stage but this would not invalidate some other investigations of that stage or studies of the dynanics of other life-history stages. Similarly, requirement (4) is essential for studies of predation on herring and, of course, for studies on the predators thenselves, but it is not essential for the other types of investigation; indeed for sone of them the absence of substantial predation on herring would be advantageous. Such factors were borne in mind throughout the assessment of the potertialities of the proposed research scheme.

In addition to these "physical" and "biological" requirements, it is clear that a number of important legal, social and thechnical requirements have to be fulfilled. Important amongst these is the reaction of the local community (fishermen, landowners, etc.) towards any restrictions on their activities demanded by the scheme, including, for example, the closure of entrances to the water mass. It was agreed that these factors would have to be considered in the light of the results of the assessment of the other requirenents outlined above.

## C. Assessment of suitability of localities and fish stocks

Following the initial definition of the types of research project and the consequent, principal requirements of the locality and fish stock, the activities of the Working Group were concerned principally with a detailed study of possible localities and the fish (principally herring) stocks in them to neet these requirements. From the outset, the Working Group attached considerable importance to the desirability of the research scheme being conducted within easy reach of an existing, major, marine and fishery research centre. Therefore, although it was known from earlier, Norwegian investigations (e.g. Aasen 1952) that local populations of herring occur within a number of Norwegian fjords, the study was confined to localities in the vicinity of Bergen. Three such localities, each of which is a snall arm (termed "Poll" in Norway) of an open fjord, with one or nore narrow entrances, were selected for detailed study, from information supplied by the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen. The location of these "polls", Fjellspollen (Fjeldspollen), Lindaspollen, and Heiamarkpollen (Heidemarkpollen), each of which was known to contain herring for at least part of the year, is shown in Figure 1. Following surveys by the members of the Working Group at its first meeting in August 1963, of the general features and physical characteristics of the three polls, in which the suitability of each was assessed principally in relation to the "physical" requirenents, as the next step the Working Group drew up a sampling programme on the herring stocks in each of the three localities, aimed at determining whether they also fulfill the main "biological" requirements, especially concerning the size, composition and unity of the herring stock and its availability to capture throughout the year. It was planned to obtain a sample of around 100 herring per month from each of the polls to provide detailed information on especially the length, weight, age and maturity compositions but also data on scale and otolith types, vertebral number, intestinal fat, fecundity and egg size. In addition, the programe included:-
(1) Echo-surveys, to provide further information on depth and bottom topography and the distribution and schooling behaviour of herring and other species in the polis;
(2) studies of the hydrographic and other environmental characteristics of the water masses, including their general plankton content, as food for herring, and the incidence of ice cover in winter;
(3) surveys of spawning herring and spawning products in and in the vicinity of the polls, to provide additional information on the occurrence of spawning in the polls, estimates of the size of the spawning stock and the novement of spawners and spawning products in and out of the polls;
(4) fishing experiments with types of gear not commonly used in the local fisheries:
(5) experiments with netting barriers to determine the feasibility of confining a group of herring in small narrow mouthed bays within the main water mass, for use especially in ad hoc experinental work.

Arrangements were made, by kind permission of the Norwegian authorities, for a herrinc sampling programe to be run by Mr. O. Dahl, to whom the Council has paid a small annual honorarium for its duration. Fishing was conducted mainly by local fishermen, using set gill-nets, provided by the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen. Sampling in Fjellspollen conmenced in September 1962 (prior to the Working Group being set up) and continued through to March 1966. SarplinE in Lindaspollen and Heianarkpollen, on the other hand, was terninated in July and August 1964 following two and one year's sampling respectively.

The additional itens of study were conducted on an ad hoc basis by the staff of the Institute of Marine Research and by the members of the Working Group during the course of their second, third and fourth meeting in Bergen. As an inportant, initial contribution to this additional work the Institute staff conducted echo-surveys of the three polls and their imediate vicinities, and detailed bothynetric chorts at a scale of $1: 10,000$ vere prepared by Mr. 0 。 Bostran. Small reproductions of the nost relevant parts of these charts are given in Figures 2-4.

## D. Results of assessnents of localities and fish stocks

(a) Physical features of polls

The principal "physical" features of the three polls are summarised in Appendix I. This shows that all of them fulfil most of the "physical" requirenents listed in Section 3B. For example, $2 l l$ of then are snall, having narrow entrances through which there is water exchange with the open sea; they are close to and are quite easily reached by road and/or sea transport fron Bergen, and they each possess shore sites suitable for the erection of buildings etc. However, the Working Group concluded that of the three localities, the Fjellspollen possesses a number of "physical" advantages over the other two. These are:-
(1) It is smaller thon the Lindaspollen and Heiamarkpollen and has a single, narrow, shallow entrance.
(2) It is the closest to Bergen and can be reached directly by road transport.
(3) Its shores are less densely populated and the amount of sea traffic is smaller thon in either of the others.
(4) It possesses small, narrow necked bays, suitable for isolating groups of herring for ad hoc experimental work.
(5) Depths in the poll are less than in Lindaspollen and Heiamarkpollen, the main basin reaching $40-50$ n. Sand and gravel areas, suitable for herring spoming occur in the shallow areas along its sides.
(6) The general, environnental features in the poll (temperature, salinity, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, plankton content) are anenable for herring.
(b) Biological features of the herring stocks

The data collected during the survey period on the occurrence, length, age and naturity stage composition, mean length at age and numbers of Tertebrae of the herring stocks in the three polls were presented in the second, third and fourth report of the Working Group (C.M. 1964 Doc.No. 7; C.M. 1965 Doc. Mo.135; C.M. 1966 Doc. Ho.H:20). They are sumarised here on 2 monthly basis for the Fjellspollen (September 1962 to March 1966) in Tables 1-5; the Lindaspollen (November 1962 to July 1964) in Tables 6-10 and the Heionarkpollen (Aegust 1963 to August 1964) in Tables 11-15.

The results of the analysis of these data indicate that:-
(1) Herring are present in each of the three polis throughout the year and are available to capture by gill net, the only fishing mothod used in the routine sampling survey (snall catches of herring were also taken in the Fjellspollen by purse-seine in March, April and May 1965 - see later).
(2) Numbers of herring in the polls probably vary seasonally, but they probably exceeded 100 hl in nost of the months sampled.
(3) Herring in spawning condition were present in spring in all three polls and in the Fjellspollen also in the autumn. Although the actual occurrence of spawning in the polls was not confirned (e.g. by sampling of eges or yolk-sac stage larvae), it seens likely fron the maturity stage observations that it did take place in all of then.
(4) The length and age composition of the herring in the polls varied seasonoliy. This, and irregularities in the occurrence of certain natuxity stages suggest that in none of them are the herring stocks self-contained. instead, the data for each poll suggest that there is some movenent of herring into and out of the polls. The data for the Fjellspollen suggest that the spring spawners enter the poll as three-year-old first-time spawners fron outside. The faster growing nembers of a year-class may invade the poll in winter but leave it again during Febraary-March, innediately after spawning or to spawn outside. They are replaced by smaller members of the year-class, most of which probably renain in the poll after spawning, for the remainder of the year, some of them spawning there a second tine, as four-year olds. However, few nembers of a year-class renain, or return to spawn in the poll after age four. The data also suggest that menbers of more than one spring spawning group were present in the poll. The autunn spawners appear to enter Fjellspollen in Septenber as three- and four-year-old recruits, imediately prior to the spawning season, but they nove out again imediately after spoming and do not return in strength as older fish. The data for the spring spawning populations in the Lindaspollen and Heiamarkpollen point to a somewhat similar situation as in the Fjellspollen, with a substantial seasonal movement of herring to and from the polls.
(5) No 0-group herring were recorded in the Fjellspollen, and only occasional, very snall numbers in the Lindaispollen and Heiamarkpollen during the sampling period. However, owing to the unsuitability of the routine sampling rear for catching this age-group, it was not possible to determine whether substantial concentrations of juvenile herring were present in the three polls during the whole or part of the year.

It is evident from the above that while herring are available in the three polls throughout the year, none of then meet the requirement of possessing a self-contained herring stock. Instead, it seens that, in each, there is imnigration and emigration of adult herring prior to and after the spawning season. However, it is also evident that nembers of the sane year-classes of a stock are present in the polls (especially in Fjellspollen) for a large part of the year and can be sampled there. It seens clear, therefore, that the biological features of the herring stocks in all three polls would probably preclude the study of some of the research projects outlined in section 31, especially those eoncerning some aspects of the population dynanics of the herring stock (e.g. the relations between stock and recruitnent; predator-prey relations etc.), but they would be suitable for others (e.g. studies of annual biological processes within separate year-classes such as naturation rates, growth-rates etc.). It was also evident to the Working Group, however, that for sone of the biolofical, experinental studies specified in Section $\mathrm{B}_{\text {, }}$ which would necessitate frequent, repeat observation and sampling of the same body of fish, a group of fish in a smaller body of water than the open poll would be required. Therefore, as part of its appraisal, the Working Group investigated the possibility of establishing a group of herring in a snall, narrow-necked bay, using a barrier of netting at the entrance to retain the herring but allowing free exchange of water and plankton between the poll and the bay.

## (c) Establishment of herringin a small bay

The site chosen for this study was a snall bay, Selvåg in the Fjellspollen (shown in Figure 2), which has a norrow entranee ( 35 a wide and 10-15 m deep at the centre). A detailed survey of the entrance to the bay indicated that it had a relatively smooth, sandy botton, with rocky sides, which would allow a netting barrier to be erected.

At the third meeting of the Working Group in 1965, arrongenents were made for a comercial purse-seiner to fish in Fjellspollen, to provide herring in good condition for transfer to the bay. Fishing was conducted on three nights ( $27 / 3$ 1965, $31 / 31965$ and $2 / 4$ 1965) , using artificial lights to aggregate the fish and on the second of these about 1,000 herring were caught in the poll, just north of the entrance. The herring were transferred from the purse-seine into two keep-nets (each $4 \times 4 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) which were then towed slowly into the bay using the 35 ft vessel "Olav" of the Bergen Aquariun During their tronsfer, in the keep-nets, the herring exhibited no panic or flight reactions and on arrival appeared to be in excellent condition. In the fishing carried out on the other two occasions, less than 100 herring were caught and these were not transferred to the bay. In addition to herring snall catches were made of the following species on the three occasions - sandeel, sprat, saithe, whiting, cod, lumpsucker and tusk. This established that sone predator species of herring occur in the poll.

Following the transfer of the herring into the bay, a snallmeshed netting barrier weighted at the botton and buoyed at the surface was placed across its entrance. Subsequent inspection showed that it had been satisfactorily closed. Subsequent inspections in the following nonths, carried out by the staff of the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, showed that the barrier remained intact throughout the sumer. However, later inspection in the spring of 1966, following a period when access to Selvåg was impossible due to ice, showed that the closure had becone incomplete due to the headine of the netting being drawn below the surface at high tide as a result of the weight of debris and marine growth which had accunulated on it. While this led to the escape of sone herring fron the bay on this occasion, the Working Group considers that such occurrences could be readily avoided by nore regular naintenance, cleaning and replacenent of the barrier than was feasible in the course of this experinent, and is confident that the closure of the bay can be satisfactorily achieved in this way. It was also shown during the third meeting of the Working Group that the presence of the barrier does not prevent the passage of small craft into and out of the bay.

Before the group of herring, transferred into the bay were released, 200 of them were tagged, 105 with Scottish "spaghetti" tas and 95 with "Guadersen" internal-external sprat tags. Close observation of then before being released showed that very few scales had been lost during the tagging operation and revealed no difference in behaviour between the tageed and untagged individuals: although it was noticed that a few of the knots tied in the spaghetti tags had becone loose, suggesting that there night be a subsequent shedding of tags. A rough count of the total number of tagged and untagged fish released gave an estimate of about 1200. In an echo-survey conducted over the bay about 30 minutes after the release of the body of fish, two small "plume" traces were recorded close to the surface, less than 200 I.from the release point; no other echo-traces characteristic of herring were recorded in the bay on this occasion and none were recorded in a survey carried out prior to the transfer and closure.

Subsequent to the third neeting of the Working Group a further fishing experiment was nade on 14th May 1965 in the Fjellspollen and an additional 80 herring were transferred to Selvag and tagged ( 30 with the "spaghetti" and 50 with an internal steel tag)。

Arrangenents were node at the third meeting of the Working Group for periodic fishing trials with gill-nets and/or purse-seine to be carried out in the bay by staff of the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen during the sumer of 1965, to provide information on the distribution of the different types of tag in the catches and on the condition and survival of the tagged and untagged herring.

On 8th June, 1965, two months after the nain liberation, sanpling was carried out in the bay by purse-seine but sampling by a small fleet of anchored gill-nets was also conducted in all nonths in which circunstances pernitted, between the times of Iiberation and March 1966.

The purse-seine haul taken in early June 1965 gave a catch of about 1,200 herring of which 76 were tagged with the spaghetti tag and 69 with the sprat tag. All but 51 untagged and 1 tagged herring were then reliberated alive.

The catch taken in this haul was approxinately the same as the estinated number of herring transferred to the bay two months previously. This suggests that the nortality of the body of fish following their initial capture and transfer had been small (bearing in nind that at the time of their transfer, a detailed echo-survey of the bay had provided no evidence of any substantial numbers of herring already in the bay). However, of the population of tagged fish only $73 \%$ of those liberated with sprat tags and $57 \%$ with spaghetti tags were recaptured. This suggests that some tag shedding and/or nortality of tagged fish had taken place since liberation. On the assumption that the mortality of fish as a result of the transfer was negligibl, these figures indicated that $1 / 3 r d$ to $1 / 2$ of the fish with spaghetti tags and $1 / 5$ th of those with sprat tags had either died or lost their tags since liberation.

While no infornation is available to allow these two sources of tag loss mortality and tag shedding - to be estinated separately, it is considered that the observed difference between the recovery rates of the two tag types is due to a higher initial rate of shedding of the spaghetti tags, due to the knots becoming untied. This was observed to have happened in a few cases between the tine of tagging and liberation from the keep net at the time of the tagging experiment. It is also likely that for both tag types the above estimates of the rates of tas loss are over-estinates because:-
(a) some berring may have been present in the bay prior to the experiment
(b) it cannot be excluded that a few tags, especially the inconspicuous sprat tags were overlooked when counting the herring in the purseseine catch.

## Gill-net sanpling

The numbers of recaptures of the different tag types in the total catches taken by gill-net during the period May 1965 - March 1966 were as follows:-

## Tag types

|  | Internal <br> Steel Tag | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gundersen } \\ \text { Tag } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spaghetti } \\ \text { Taء } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liberation of untagged fish $=$ c. 1100 |  |  |  |
| Liberation of tagged fish $=280$ | 50 | 95 | 135 |
| Catch of untagged fish $=262$ |  |  |  |
| $\text { Catch of tagged fish in Selvåg }=60$ $\text { incl. } 14 / 4 / 66$ | $8(16 \%)$ | $16(16.5 \%)$ | 36(26.7\%) |
| Total catch of tagged fish $=62$ | $8(16 \%)$ | 16(16.5\%) | $38(28.1 \%)$ |
| Total catch of untagged/total liberation of untagged $23.8 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total catch of tagged/total liberation of tagged $23.1 \%$ |  |  |  |

These data show that a total of 322 fish were caught in Selvagg, of which 60 were tagged. Thus, the overall ratio of tagged (all types) to untagged fish in the total catch (c. $19 \%$ ) was approximately the sane as in the original population (c. $20 \%$ ) when liberated.

The recapture rate of the spaghetti tag for the sampling period as a whole, at $26 \%$, was considerably higher than for the sprat and internal, steel tags, for both of which it was $16 \%$. Moreover difference in recapture rates between the tag types increased sonewhat in favour of the spaghetti tag with tine after liberation; whereas in the period $\Delta$ pril-June 1965 the spaghetti tag made up about half the total recaptures, in the later nonths it contributed up to two-thirds of then. The interpretation of these observed differences is not clear, but the following are possible contributory causes:-
(a) a higher "long-tern" tag shedding or mortality of internally than of externally tagged herring
(b) a greater vulnerability to capture by gill-net of the fish tagged with spaghetti tags, due to the tags becoming entangled in the netting.
Observations were made throughout the sampling period of the condition factors of the tagged and untagged fish caught in the Selvag. These data for fish of the same size and naturity stages are given below.

|  | Spaghetti <br> tag | Sprat tag | Internal steel tag | Untagged |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| April-June 1965 | $0.76(4)$ | $0.79(3)$ | $0.78(1)$ | $0.80(14)$ |
| Aug.-Sept. 1965 | $0.68(21)$ | $0.79(11)$ | $0.74(2)$ | $0.82(35)$ |
| Mov.-Dec. 1965 | $0.64(7)$ | - | $0.74(3)$ | $0.78(10)$ |
| Feb.-Mar. 1966 | $0.59(4)$ | $0.66(1)$ | $0.78(1)$ | $0.71(5)$ |

These results show that the condition factors of herring tagged with the spaghetti tag were considerably lower than of those tagee with either the sprat or intornal, steel tas, and that those of herrine tageed with all three tag types were lower than those of untagged herring. However, length and age observations taken during the sampling period showed that the rates of growth (in length) did not differ significantly between the three tag types or between the tagged and untagged fish in Selvag.

During the period December 1965 - Narch 1966, when the netting barrier at the entrance to the bay was not complete sone movenent of herring out of the bay was possible. Evidence that this occurred was provided by the subsequent recapture in the Fjellspollen and the open sea of a number of the herring tagged and released in the bay.

The analysis of samples taken in the bay subsequent to their transfer showed that almost all of then were 2 ringers. $\Lambda$ comparison of the biological features of the herring in the bay and the Fjellspollen nust be confined to the 1963 year-class. By September 1965, the average total lengths of this yearclass in the two localities were as follows:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fjellspollen (sampled on } 30 / 8 \text { and } 1 / 9) 30.8 \mathrm{~cm} \text { ( } 85 \text { fish) } \\
& \text { Selvåg (sampled on } 15 / 9 \text { ) } \\
& 29.4 \mathrm{~cm}(113 \text { fish })
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the herring in Selvåg were on average 1.4 cm samaller than the Fjellspollen herring; also, their growth increnent during the year was only $64 \%$ of that of the Fjellspollen herring.

Analysis of the fat content was carried out on herring fron both localitios. The most comparable data are those obtained for September. In this month, the average fat contents of the herring in the Fjellspollen and Selvag were $22.4 \%$ and $13.9 \%$ respectively. The condition factors ( $=W_{3}$ ) of 2 ringers in the two localities were also deternined. The average : values for fish in maturity stages IV and $V$ were as follows:-

|  | Whole fish | Without gonads | Gonads alone |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fjellspollen (sampled on 1/9/65) | 1.05 |  | 0.87 |
| Selvåg (untagged herring) (sampled on | 0.87 | 0.76 | 0.18 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.11 |  |

The fat analysis and condition factor data show that as well as having slower growth, the herring in Selvå were in generally poorer overall condition thon those in the open waters of the Fjellspollen.

Observations on stages suggest that the herring in Selvåg completed a normal naturation cycle during the year. Although no herring in naturity stage VI were sampled there, (unfortunately no sampling was possible in October), a number of late stage $V$ fish were taken in Septenber, and by November the majority of the adult fish were recovering spents. The data suggest therefore, that spawning took place in the bay during the period Septerber-October. The maturity data also suggest that sone spawning also took place in spring.

In the Working Group's view, these observations on the body of herring introduced into the bay are of najor importance in relation to its main assessment. Despite abnomaily cold weather conditions and persistent icecover in the bay during the period mid-December 1965 to late March 1966, which prevented regular sampling of herring in the bay and proper inspection and maintenance of the netting barrier (which was known to be in need of cleaning and resetting), these observations show that
(a) nembers of the group of tagged and untagged herring were present in the bay and were available to capture by gill-net ond purse-seine throughout the period April-December 1965 and again in March-April 1966
(b) the recapture rate for tagged fish was approximately the same as for the untagged ones, suggesting that their survival rates were approximately the same and that the tag shedding rate after the first month at liberty was small
(c) the tagged and untagged fish in Selvåg appeared to follow a "nornal" maturation cycle, and probably spawned in the bay in autumn 1965, although their growth and general condition was inferior, to that of the herring sampled in the Fjellspollen.
(d) Overall conclusions from assessments

The Working Group is satisfied from the results of the surveys of the herring stocks in the three polls and of the observations on the small group of herring in the enclosed bay, Selvåg, that the Fjellspollen is the nost suitable of the three localities in neeting the basic requirements set out in Section 3B。 It considers that, despite its lack of a self-contained herring stock, the available supplies of herring in the poll and the practicability of establishing a small wellmdefined group of herring in the bay make it possible to investigate there many of the experinental and biological projects specified in Section 34 , more effectively than in the open sea or in aquaria. These include:-
(i) tagging studies (e.g. survival of tagged fish, tag shedding, relative efficiencies of tag types, effects of tags on behaviour and metabolisn on the fish),
(ii) biological studies (e.f. maturation cycles of spring and autumn spawners, fecundity and egg size, growth and feeding, and egg development),
(iii) experinental studies (e.E. fish behaviour studies, including shoaling habits, diurnal variations in behaviour, reactions to stimuli; acoustic target strengths; gear selectivity).

## E. Mininun facilities and operational requirements

During its investigations, the Working Group considered the essentiol requirements for the efficient conduct of investigations at Fjellspollen. On the understanding that the specific research projects would be undertaken by scientists from the countries, including Norway, participating in the research schene the mininum requirenents would be as follows:-
(a) Pacilities for sampling herring (and perhaps other species) in the Fjellspollen or Selvå. This necessitates the provision of s. small 10-12 n motor vessel as required, equipped with a winch for plankton and hydrographic sampling and for fishing. Fishing by purse-seine when required, e.g. for transferring herring in good condition to Selvåg would need to be arranged by hiring a comercial fishing vessel locally.
(b) Facilities and manpower for the setting and strict naintenance of a netting barrier at the entrance to Selvag. This would necessitate frequent inspection (preferably by divers) and periodic lifting and cleaning.
(c) The employnent of a qualified technical officer in Norway who would be responsible for organising and running routine fish and environmental sampling, participating in the field projects conducted in the specific research progrannes and supervising the inspection and naintenance of the netting barrier.

In this specification of nininun requirements, no provision is nade for laboratory or other accomodation for the scientists undertaking the research projects. While the establishment of an international research station, including the provision of laboratory and living accommodation at Fjellspollen for visiting scientists would have some definite advantages, it would clearly involve high capital and running costs and raise legal, ownership problens. The Working Group considers that such provisions are not essential for the efficient conduct of the research projects at Fjellspollen if laboratory accomodation for visiting workers can be made available at the Institute of Marine Research in Bergen. This would, however, necessitate the provision of facilities for transporting equipment and personnel by road between Bergen and the Fjellspollen.

As indicated in Section B, in the course of its assessment, the Working Group recognised that inportant social, legal and technical problems arise in the implenentation of the research scheme on an international basis. These would clearly be greater if a full research station was established on the site than on the basis of the mininum requirements outlined above。
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a) Ejellspollen

## Geopraphical situation

The Poll is situated on the island Sotra 20 km south-west of Bergen. To reach the Poll from Bergen one nay go by bus to 11 voen ( 40 rin. drive) and fron here by ferry to Bratholmen (10 min., about 10 connections per day) and further on by bus either to Nessjoen ( 30 min. ) or to Dalseide ( 30 min.). This latter spot is dinectly situated at the Poll, whereas from Messjoen one has to undertake a 10 min. trip by motor-boat to the pollen. Except in the southernmost branch of the poll where a few farmers live, only two small farns are situated on the shore, one at Dolseide and the other one just inside the entrance. There are a nurber of sites along the shore suitable for the erection or buildings.

## Topography

The entrance from the sea is divided by a small island, the one on the northern side of the island being 10 m broad and 2 I deep, and the southern one 10 m broad and 2 m deep. The average speed of the strean goinc in and out is roughly estinated to be 3-4 knots. The tidal rance being 1 m . The poll itself is 5 km long with a breadth ranging from 200 to 400 m , the naximun depth being 50 n with on average depth of approxinately $20-30 \mathrm{mo}$ At the western side of the northern branch a small bay is situated, which is connected to the main poll by an entrance of 15 n breadth and $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$ depth. Into the northernnost part of the poll a narrow strean brings fresh water fron snall lakes of a moorland valley.

The underwater topography of this poll is in the form of a U-shaped valley: the steep rocky mountains at the shore continue under water until the rather flat botton of the fjord is reached at about 40-50 m depths. The botton of the northern end of the poll and at least some of its deeper central parts is mudily. The sides are either rocky or, where shallow, consist of sand and sravel. In the neighbourhood of the entrance, several sills with a sill depth of about 20 m separate the poll into a northern and southern part. The narrow entrances have a nininum depth of about 1 n 。 Between the entrance of the poll and the outer skerry region there is a sheltered basin with narrow outlets and shallow arms which have gravel bottons. This basin is a steep bowl, more than 90 n deep.

## Hydrography

The water has the lowest salinity in the northern branch, where most of the fresh water comes into the poll. The poll is nainly covered by ice in this part during the winter, whereas in the area inside the main entrance ice is found in extrenely cold winters.
b) Lindåspollen

## Geographical situation and traffic

The Lindaspoll is a londlocked fjord systen situated on the mainlond 35 km northof Bergen. It can be reached by car (and ferry-boat) in $11 / 2$ hours or by boat, 3 hours fron Bergen. The surroundings of the poll are farmland and forests. The community of lindas is situated at the northern side of Spjeldnesosen, Several huts for sumer holidays are scattered ail round the poll. Mo industry except a saw-mill at Fjellangervåg in the vicinity of the poli.

The main population centre, Lindas, in Spjeldnesosen, has no Ionger a regular service by boat fron Bergen. Most of the traffic goes over land.

Fio comercial fishery occurs regularly, but occasionally a purseseiner fishes there.

The Lindås area is a favourite holiday centre and during sunner tine several sportsfishernen used to cone there.

## Topography

The poll is divided into three basins, Fjellangervag, Spjeldnesosen and Straunsosen.

Fjellangervais is the innermost basin and is connected with Spjeldnesosen throush a narrow channel (Haukenaesstramen), $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$ broad and 1500 - lone with a depth of about 4 m 。 Maxinum depth of the Fjellangervág is 81 I.

Spjeldnesosen is the largest basin, $3-4 \mathrm{~km}$ lonf and $11 / 2 \mathrm{kn}$ broad. Maximun depthis 89 n . It is separated fron Straumsosen by two snall islands and the connection is about 200-300 a at the nost, with depth less than 10 a.

Straumsosen is connected with the fjord outside, Iurefjord by three narrow entrances. Two of then can only be passed by small boats on high tide. In the third one is a sluice with a depth of 3 m 。 The moximum depth in Straumsosen is 55 \#.

Both in Spjeldnesosen and Straunsosen there are sevexal smaller islands, bays, and norrow "arms". Especially should be nentioned Kvalvåg, about 2 km long, and only $30-100 \mathrm{n}$ broad, connected with Straumsosen.

The botton of the polls consist mainly of rocks, the profile as shown by echo-sounding is rather irregular.

## Hydrography

There is considerable inflow of fresh water at the eastern side of Fjellangervars. This part has a surface layer of low salinity, which is stained by humus at least in summer time.

Temperature measurements on 14th August show a sharp thernocline at 10 m depth with a temperature of $19.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the surface and $4.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the bottom ( 49 m ). ITear the bottom a considerable anount of $H_{2} S$ was observed.

In the other parts of Lindaspollen the salinity at the surface is higher than in Fjellangervag. No hydrographical data are available for these parts.

In most winters the Fjellangervaig is covered by ice ond also in the two other parts ice conditions are often severe preventing all traffic by boat during longer or shorter parts of the winter.

## c) Heianorkpollen

## Georraphical situation

The Heianarkpoll is a landinoker fjord on the island Hufteroy, 35 km south of Bergen. It can he ronched by cur buinis to Finoliestad or Espegrend (Biological Station), 40 nin. fron Bexten, and then by boat ( 2 hours).

Part of the route has to cross waters open to the sea and raty be difficult to pass for small boats under very bad weather w,mitions. In brin case the island can be reached on a nore sheltered route from the southern end of the Fona-peninsula.

The public transporiation to the poll by ferry and road is poor and tine consuming at the present tine, but is expected to inprove in the course of the next five years. At the island itself only one small road leads to the poll.

The poll is surrounded by hilly forests and some open landscape. At the western coast of the poll the snall communty of Heiamark and sone isolated houses are situated. At the end of different bays at the eastern side several huts and a saw-mill are situated. Heiamark is the hone port for several snall vessels serving as a ferry to Bergen three tines a week. Within the poll motor-boats and rowing boats are used for fishing and transport.

## Topography

The Heianark poll is very irregularly shaped. Its largest dianeter is 3 kn , and its surface area about 3 km . The coast line shows several bays of different size and shape, some of them are also nearly landiocked. Its deepest central basin is 116 m deep and the basins in the surrounding bays are 50-80 n deep with rather steep sides. The profile of the bottom is very irregula: the seafloor seens to consist of rock except the entrance where a wide area of snooth shallow sand was observed.

The access to the poll is a loch about 3 kn long and 1 km wide with several islands in its middle part. The entrance to the poll is nainly blocked by three islands. The westerly by-pass round the westerly island is like a shallow strean, at the east side of this island is the main entronce, about 50 m wide and 6 n deep and narrowed by a mole constructed of block stones. The current in the entrance was estinated to be about $2-3$ knots. Eastwards two very narrow and shallow inlets are separated by another snall island. The tidal range is about 1 m .

## Eydrogyaphy

The anount of fresh water coning into the poll for most of the year is small compared with the surface of the poll and the tidal exchange of water. In the south-eastern part of the main body the water tenperatere was found on this visit to be $15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the surface, $14.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10 \mathrm{~m}, 10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 20 m . No $\mathrm{H}_{2} S$ was recorded at 20 n depth. The water of the poll is extrenely clear. Due to its salinity and close connection to the open sea the Heianarkpollen will not usually have a considerable coverage by ice during winter.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year \& lifonth \& 20 \& 21 \& 22 \& 23 \& 24 \& 25 \& 26 \& 27 \& 28 \& 29 \& 30 \& 31 \& 32 \& 33 \& 34 \& 35 \& 36 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Average } \\
\text { Size }
\end{gathered}
\] \& N. \\
\hline 1962 \& Sept. Oct. Nov. \& 3.1 \& \& 2.7 \& 2.7 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 7.5 \\
\& 2.7 \\
\& 3.1
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 7.5 \\
\& 6.2
\end{aligned}
\] \& 9.4 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
5.0 \\
2.7 \\
12.5
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
17.5 \\
6.2
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
7.5 \\
16.2 \\
12.5
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 17.5 \\
\& 21.6 \\
\& 15.6
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 20.0 \\
\& 27.0 \\
\& 21.9
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
17.5 \\
24.3 \\
6.2
\end{array}
\] \& 3.1 \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 29.65 \\
\& 30.45 \\
\& 29.12
\end{aligned}
\] \& 40
37
32 \\
\hline 1963 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
March \\
April \\
Sept. \\
Oct。
\end{tabular} \& 0.7 \& 2.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
2.0 \\
0.7 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 7.0 \\
\& 9.3 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 3.0 \\
\& 12.6 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
1.0 \\
5.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1.0 \\
7.9 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
8.6 \\
2.0 \\
7.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1.3 \\
31.4 \\
5.3
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
11.8 \\
20.0 \\
5.0 \\
11.3
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 22.2 \\
\& 17.1 \\
\& 14.0 \\
\& 21.2
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
27.5 \\
8.6 \\
31.0 \\
6.6
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
16.3 \\
2.9 \\
21.0 \\
7.3
\end{array}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{|r|r}
15.0 \\
8.6 \\
12.0 \\
1.3
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 5.9 \\
\& 1.0 \\
\& 0.7
\end{aligned}
\] \& \& 2.9. \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 31.36 \\
\& 29.99 \\
\& 30.63 \\
\& 27.66
\end{aligned}
\] \& \(\begin{array}{r}153 \\ 35 \\ 100 \\ 151 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \\
\hline 1964 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Jan. Feb . March April May June July Aug. \\
Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.
\end{tabular} \& \((<20)\)
\((1.4)\) \& 1.2 \& 2.7 \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0.4 \\
\& 0.6
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
6.5
\]
\[
7.1
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.7 \\
\& 1.8 \\
\& 0.6 \\
\& 1.1 \\
\& 1.1
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 0.7 \\
\& 2.0 \\
\& 9.2 \\
\& 8.2 \\
\& 1.1 \\
\& 1.1 \\
\& \\
\& 5.8 \\
\& 7.1 \\
\& 0.6 \\
\& 1.4
\end{aligned}
\] \& 8.1
5.2
29.8
21.6
9.9
1.1
3.6
4.3
7.1
0.6 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
2.0 \\
5.4 \\
20.9 \\
36.0 \\
36.8 \\
12.1 \\
11.9 \\
\\
13.0 \\
25.0 \\
1.8
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
4.0 \\
13.5 \\
24.2 \\
19.7 \\
24.0 \\
28.6 \\
33.7 \\
10.8 \\
31.2 \\
14.3 \\
1.8 \\
2.1
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
6.0 \\
35.1 \\
22.2 \\
2.6 \\
5.8 \\
39.6 \\
39.1 \\
28.9 \\
14.5 \\
7.1 \\
5.4 \\
7.0
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
8.7 \\
13.5 \\
11.8 \\
0.4 \\
1.2 \\
5.5 \\
10.9 \\
38.5 \\
12.3 \\
10.7 \\
35.3 \\
23.1
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
20.7 \\
8.1 \\
7.2 \\
1.1 \\
14.5 \\
6.5 \\
14.3 \\
40.1 \\
46.9
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
36.7 \\
8.1 \\
5.2 \\
\\
1.1 \\
3.6 \\
0.7 \\
11.4 \\
18.2
\end{array}
\] \& 16.0
2.7
1.3
1.1

0.6
1.4 \& 5.3 \& 33.90
31.56
31.08
29.21
29.38
30.70
30.93
32.07
30.25
$(30.01)$
29.96
32.81

33.19 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
150 \\
37 \\
153 \\
228 \\
171 \\
91 \\
92 \\
83 \\
138 \\
28 \\
167 \\
143
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline 1965 \& | Feb. |
| :--- |
| March |
| April |
| May |
| July |
| Aug. |
| Sept. |
| 0ct. | \& \[

\left\lvert\, $$
\begin{aligned}
& (<20) \\
& (0.8
\end{aligned}
$$\right.

\] \& \& 0.8 \& 0.8 \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.1 \\
& 1.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20.8 \\
& 15.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
28.5 \\
9.4 \\
1.4 \\
12.5 \\
\\
1.4
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
9.2 \\
9.4 \\
8.0 \\
\\
2.0 \\
11.0
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1.0 \\
10.0 \\
9.4 \\
10.8 \\
75.0 \\
16.0 \\
8.0 \\
24.8
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2.0 \\
13.1 \\
10.9 \\
23.5 \\
40.0 \\
38.0 \\
35.2
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6.0 \\
9.2 \\
23.4 \\
34.7 \\
12.5 \\
40.0 \\
36.0 \\
21.4
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
29.5 \\
1.5 \\
17.2 \\
16.9 \\
2.0 \\
10.0 \\
4.1
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
40.5 \\
1.5 \\
3.1 \\
4.7 \\
\\
4.0 \\
1.4
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
17.0 \\
0.8 \\
\\
2.0 \\
2.0 \\
0.7
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& 4.0 \& \& 33.23

28.45
$(28.35)$
29.91
31.02
29.50
30.86

31.14 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
200 \\
130 \\
64 \\
213 \\
8 \\
50 \\
50 \\
145
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline 1966 \& | Feb. |
| :--- |
| March | \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.1 \\
& 1.9
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.1 \\
& 2.8
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6.6 \\
& 9.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 23.3 \\
& 22.2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 44.4 \\
& 47.2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12.2 \\
& 15.7
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 0.9 \& \& \& 90

108 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2 Fjellspollen: Percentage age-composition by months.
September 1962 - September 1965
(birthday taken as 1st January)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (winter-rings) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $8+$ | Nos. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 | Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 \\ & 9.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.8 \\ & 55.9 \\ & 71.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \\ & 41.2 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 3.1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 34 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1963 | Mar. <br> Apr. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \\ & 30.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 23.3 \\ 28.6 \\ 39.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.7 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 52.0 \\ & 28.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.6 \\ 23.3 \\ 6.1 \\ 1.3 \end{array}$ | $2.3$ $0.7$ |  | 4.5 | 0.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 133 \\ 30 \\ 98 \\ 150 \end{array}$ |
| 1964 | Jan. <br> Peb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May <br> June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. | 1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ \\ 1.9 \\ 9.2 \\ 9.6 \\ 10.7 \\ 62.3 \\ 42.3 \\ 5.8 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 \\ & 35.3 \\ & 63.3 \\ & 96.8 \\ & 91.0 \\ & 75.0 \\ & 83.1 \\ & 81.3 \\ & 33.8 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 81.2 \\ & 82.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.7 \\ 52.9 \\ 32.4 \\ 2.7 \\ 6.4 \\ 14.5 \\ 7.2 \\ 6.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 3.8 \\ 7.8 \\ 13.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ 5.9 \\ 3.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ \\ 1.3 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $2.9$ <br> 0.8 <br> 0.6 | $0.7$ $1.3$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 34 \\ 139 \\ 223 \\ 156 \\ 76 \\ 763 \\ 83 \\ 75 \\ 130 \\ 26 \\ 154 \\ 134 \end{array}$ |
| 1965 | $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{b}$. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. |  | 0.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 48.5 \\ 29.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 87.5 \\ 90.0 \\ 80.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ 24.6 \\ 15.6 \\ 10.3 \\ 8.0 \\ 12.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.0 \\ 23.1 \\ 51.6 \\ 74.6 \\ 12.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 6.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.0 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.6 \\ 7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $0.5$ $2.0$ | 0.5 | 0.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 130 \\ 64 \\ 213 \\ 8 \\ 50 \\ 50 \end{array}$ |

Table 3 Fjellspollen: Percentage maturity stage composition (all age groups combined) by months. September 1962 - March 1966

| Year | Month | Maturity Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIIII |  |
| 1962 | Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 33.3 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.1 \\ 62.9 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.8 \\ 17.1 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.1 \\ & 14.3 \\ & 34.3 \end{aligned}$ | 50.0 |  | $7.7$ $3.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 35 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1963 | Mar. <br> Apr. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. | $\begin{aligned} & 11.6 \\ & 19.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 18.9 \\ 11.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ 14.7 \\ 48.4 \\ 6.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.8 \\ 2.9 \\ 3.2 \\ 8.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.5 \\ 4.2 \\ 32.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.1 \\ & 61.8 \\ & 21.4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 5.9 \\ 13.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153 \\ 34 \\ 95 \\ 145 \end{array}$ |
| 1964 | Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May <br> June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. | 1.2 1.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 0.7 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 0.7 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 0.7 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 80.4 \\ & 79.5 \\ & 19.6 \\ & 10.7 \\ & 13.8 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.0 \\ 2.8 \\ 0.9 \\ 12.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 35.5 \\ 25.0 \\ 72.4 \\ 78.3 \end{array}$ | 42.0 <br> 36.1 <br> 36.6 <br> 100.0 <br> 3.3 <br> 1.2 <br> 39.1 <br> 64.3 <br> 10.8 <br> 19.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.3 \\ 61.4 \\ 97.4 \\ 80.6 \\ 4.3 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 12.4 \\ \\ 3.3 \\ 4.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 36 \\ 153 \\ 228 \\ 170 \\ 6 \\ 92 \\ 83 \\ 138 \\ 28 \\ 167 \end{array}$ $143$ |
| 1965 | Feb. Mar. Apr. May July Aug. Sept. Oct. | 0.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 41.4 \\ 29.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ 8.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.9 \\ 50.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 11.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 25.0 \\ 58.0 \\ 4.0 \\ 7.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.2 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 30.0 \\ 28.0 \\ 42.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 32.0 \\ 64.5 \\ 91.2 \end{array}$ $31.0$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 1.9 \\ 12.5 \\ 5.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 8.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 210 \\ 128 \\ 62 \\ 215 \\ 8 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 145 \end{array}$ |
| 1966 | Feb. <br> Mar. |  | 1.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.1 \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.3 \\ & 38.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \\ & 52.8 \end{aligned}$ | 6.5 |  |  | 90 108 |

Table 4 Fjellspollen: Mean length (cm) at age, by month.
September 1962 - September 1965
(Values in brackets are averages based on.less than 10 fish)

| Year | Month | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. |  | $\begin{aligned} & (25.3) \\ & (24.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 29.9 \\ & 29.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (29.8) \\ 30.9 \\ (29.8) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | Mar. <br> Apr. <br> Sept. <br> oct. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 23.9 \\ & 24.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (28.9) \\ 31.2 \\ 28.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.6 \\ & 29.6 \\ & 31.8 \\ & 30.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.6 \\ (30.9) \\ (33.3) \end{gathered}$ | (32.9) |  |  |
| 1964 | Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> Nay <br> June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. | (14.0) | $\begin{aligned} & (25.8) \\ & (26.3) \\ & (27.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (22.3) \\ (25.1) \\ (30.2) \\ (29.7) \\ (30.4) \\ 29.4 \\ 28.9 \\ (29.9) \\ (30.3) \end{gathered}$ | 33.2 <br> 31.6 <br> 30.2 <br> 29.1 <br> 29.3 <br> 30.6 <br> 31.1 <br> 32.3 <br> 31.5 <br> 30.7 <br> 33.1 <br> 33.2 | $\begin{gathered} 34.3 \\ 31.6 \\ 32.4 \\ (31.3) \\ 30.7 \\ 31.0 \\ (31.3) \\ (32.5) \\ (31.8) \\ (33.3) \\ 33.04 \\ 33.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.9 \\ (32.0) \\ (31.7) \\ (30.3) \\ (32.3) \\ (32.8) \\ (34.3) \\ (34.0) \\ (34.5) \end{gathered}$ | $(32.3)$ $(30.3)$ $(34.8)$ | $\begin{aligned} & (32.3) \\ & (31.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1965 | Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. |  | (15.5) | $\begin{gathered} 26.9 \\ 27.0 \\ (28.2) \\ (29.2) \\ 30.8 \\ 30.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.4 \\ & 29.8 \\ & 30.0 \\ & 30.0 \\ & (30.8) \\ & (32.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.2 \\ 29.9 \\ 31.3 \\ 31.2 \\ (31.5) \\ (34.5) \\ (32.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.1 \\ (33.5) \\ (33.5) \\ 32.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (32.5) \\ & (30.5) \\ & (32.5) \\ & (31.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (32.5) \\ & (34.5) \end{aligned}$ |

Mable 5. Fjellspollen. Number of vertebrae by maturity stage and month.

| Month | Group | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | Hean | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept. 1962 | VIVII, II-II |  | 1 | 1 | 16 6 | 8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57.23 \\ & 56.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |
| Oct. 1962 | II-III IV-V |  | 1 | 2 | 13 2 | 9 |  |  | 57.29 | $\stackrel{24}{4}$ |
| Nov. 1962 | $\frac{I I I}{I V-V I}$ |  | 1 | 1 15 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 56.47 | 2 30 |
| Mar. 1963 | all mats. |  | 1 | 21 | 82 | 48 |  |  | 57.16 | 152 |
| Sept. 1963 | $\begin{aligned} & I V-V \\ & I-I I I, ~ V I I I \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 33 \end{array}$ | 19 | 1 |  | 57.30 | 3 57 |
| Oct. 1963 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-III S。 } \\ & \text { I-III A. } \\ & \text { IV-VI } \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 | 7 9 54 | 9 7 28 | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57.27 \\ & 56.68 \\ & 56.40 \end{aligned}$ | 30 19 87 |
| Jan. 1964 | III-V |  |  | 19 | 78 | 47 | 4 |  | 57.24 | 14.8 |
| Feb. 1964 | IV-VI |  |  | 7 | 18 | 8 |  |  | 57.03 | 33 |
| March 64 | V-VI |  |  | 20 | 74 | 42 |  |  | 57.16 | 136 |
| Apr. 1964 | VI |  | 1 | 11 | 74 | 28 | 2 |  | 57.16 | 116 |
| May 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-III } \\ & \text { VI-VIII } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | 3 90 | 53 | 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 56.3 \\ & 57.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 160 \end{array}$ |
| June 1964 | Mat.non det. |  |  | 12 | 57 | 22 |  |  | 57.11 | 91 |
| July 1964 | $\underset{\text { VIII }}{\substack{\text { IV }}}$ |  |  | 11 9 | 43 5 | 22 | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57.17 \\ & 56.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| Aug. 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII, } \\ & I V-V \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | 11 7 | 36 3 | 21 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.21 \\ & 56.18 \end{aligned}$ | 70 11 |
| Sept. 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-IIII } \\ & \text { IV-V } \\ & \text { VI } \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 | 4 4 1 | 20 36 2 | 6 7 1 | 1 |  | 57.07 <br> 56.45 | 30 99 4 |
| Oct. 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & I V-V \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | 11 | 2 8 | 1 |  |  | $\frac{-}{56.62}$ | 3 24 |
| Nov. 1964 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { III-V } \\ & \text { VI } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 15 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | 6 |  | - 57.32 - | 3 157 2 |
| Dec. 1964 | $\frac{I I}{I I I-V}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | 1 65 | 51 |  |  | $57.29$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 129 \end{array}$ |
| Feb. 1965 | $\begin{aligned} & I I I \\ & I V-V I \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | 69 | 2 |  | $57.27$ | 3 207 |
| March 1965 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-III } \\ & \text { V-VII } \\ & \text { VIII } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 22 7 6 | 29 16 8 | 6 4 2 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 56.72 \\ & 56.89 \\ & 56.50 \end{aligned}$ | 57 .27 18 |


| Year | Month | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Mean | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | Nov. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 18.9 | 23.2 | 12.9 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 26.8 | 349 |
| 1963 | Mar. |  | 1.9 | 5.7 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 13.1 | 20.7 | 9.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | - | - | - | 25.7 | 53 |
|  | Sept. |  |  | 0.7 | 14.5 | 51.6 | 22.5 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | 24.6 | 151 |
|  | Oct. |  | 2.8 | 2.9 | 15.7 | 20.0 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 4.3 | - | - | - | 25.7 | 70 |
|  | Nov. |  | 0.8 | 3.0 | 18.5 | 30.7 | 16.9 | 12.3 | 10.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 | - | 25.0 | 130 |
|  | Dec. |  |  | 0.9 | 8.9 | 18.7 | 5.4 | 16.9 | 24.1 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 3.6 | - | - | 26.5 | 112 |
| 1964 | Jan. |  | 0.8 | - | 21.3 | 32.0 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | - | - | 25.2 | 122 |
|  | Feb. |  |  | 2.3 | 11.6 | 20.9 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 16.3 | 14.0 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 11.6 | 2.3 | - | 26.6 | 43 |
|  | Mar. |  |  |  | 2.7 | 9.8 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 15.2 | 8.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 27.3 | 112 |
|  | April | 0.7 |  | 1.5 | 2.9 | 25.0 | 25.7 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | - | 26.0 | 136 |
|  | May |  |  |  | 6.4 | 36.9 | 40.5 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | - | - | - | 24.8 | 141 |
|  | June |  |  |  | 3.6 | 31.7 | 54.7 | 8.6 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24.7 | 139 |
|  | July | 1.9 | 15.4 | 21.2 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 21.1 | 17.3 | 5.8 | 1.9 | - | 1.9 | - | - | - | 23.9 | 52 |

Table 7. Linååpollen. Percentage age-composition, by months. Noveriber 1962 - July 1964.
(Birthday taken as 1 st January)

| Age (winter rings) |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 210 | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 | Note |  |  | 3.9 | 28.5 | 4.1 | 13.4 | 17.0 | 41.8 | 11.3 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 389 |
| 1963 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & \text { April } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 44.3 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 21.3 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 1.6 | - | 61 |
|  | Sept. |  |  | 1.2 | 12.8 | 71.9 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 0.6 | - | - | - | 164 |
|  | Oct. |  | 15.5 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 40.8 | 5.6 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | - | - | 74 |
|  | Nov. | 0.8 | 9.0 | 23.3 | 7.5 | 50.3 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | 133 |
|  | Dec. | - | 11.1 | 47.0 | 10.2 | 28.2 | 2.6 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | 117 |
| 1964 | Jan. | - | - | 36.6 | 29.3 | 11.4 | 21.9 | - | - | 0.8 | - | - | - | 123 |
|  | Feb. | - | - | 27.9 | 20.9 | 25.6 | 20.9 | 4.7 | - | - | - | - | - | 43 |
|  | mar. | - | - | 1.0 | 38.1 | 23.8 | 29.5 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.0 | - | - | 105 |
|  | April |  |  | 6.6 | 11.7 | 18.2 | 38.0 | 5.8 | 10.9 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 137 |
|  | May |  |  | 3.5 | 403 | 21.3 | 65.2 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | - | 481 |
|  | June |  |  | 11.2 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 73.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  | 143 |
|  | July |  |  | 70.2 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 1.8 | - | 1.8 | - | 1.8 | - | 57 |

Figures for 1959 year-class underlined.

| Lindospollen; |  |  | Percentage maturity stage composition (all age-groups combined) by months. November 1962 - July 1964. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Month | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | varis | No. |
| 1962 | Nov. | - | 4.4 | 29.0 | 62.5 | 3.9 | - | 0.2 | - | 389 |
| 1963 | March.. <br> April <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. | 12.7 <br> 17.3 $7.7$ | 11.0 <br> 8.5 <br> 6.8 <br> 20.5 | 84.1 <br> 50.7 <br> 4.1 .3 <br> 40.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.7 \\ 23.9 \\ 27.1 \\ 27.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 2.8 \\ & 6.8 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $96.7$ | $3.3$ | $1.2$ $1.4$ $0.7$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 164 \\ 71 \\ 133 \\ 117 \end{array}$ |
| 1964 | Jan。 <br> Feb。 <br> Mar. <br> April <br> May <br> June <br> July | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 2.3 \\ & - \\ & - \\ & 0.7 \\ & 5.9 \end{aligned}$ | 37.4 <br> 25.6 <br> 4.5 <br> 12.1 <br> 84.1 <br> 72.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.0 \\ 16.3 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 2.8 \\ \text { data } \\ 22.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \\ 25.6 \\ 15.2 \\ - \\ - \\ \text { ailabl } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ 30.2 \\ 39.3 \\ 0.7 \\ \ldots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 25.9 \\ 49.3 \\ 7.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 0.9 \\ 37.1 \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & - \\ & 4.8 \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 123 <br> 43 <br> 112 <br> 140 <br> 145 <br> 68 |

Table 9. Lindaspollen: Mean lengths (cm) at age by months November 1962 - July 1964.

| Year | Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Ages } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | Nov. | $\cdots$ | 25.9 | 25:1 | 27.3 | 26.7 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 27.4 | 28.3 | (28.9) | 26.8 |
| 1963 | March <br> Sept. <br> oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 23.0 \\ 22.8 \\ 23.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (25.8) \\ (27.1) \\ 25.5 \\ 27.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ (26.8) \\ 26.7 \\ 29.1 \end{gathered}$ | 24.3 <br> 24.4 <br> 24.9 <br> 24.8 <br> 25.6 | $\begin{aligned} & (25.0) \\ & (25.6) \\ & (27.2) \\ & (25.0) \\ & (26.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (26.4 .) \\ & (25.8) \\ & (28.0) \\ & (26.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ (27.7) \\ (28.3) \\ (26.5) \end{gathered}$ | (27.3) $\ldots$ - - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 25.7 \\ & 24.6 \\ & 25.7 \\ & 25.0 \\ & 26.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1964 | Jan。 <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> April <br> May <br> June <br> July | - - - - - - - | $\begin{gathered} 23.8 \\ 23.6 \\ \ldots \\ (24.0) \\ (23.3) \\ 25.1 \\ 23.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \\ (27.4) \\ 27.1 \\ 27.3 \\ (27.0) \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.2 \\ (30.0) \\ 29.3 \\ 27.4 \\ 24.6 \\ 24.3 \\ (25.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{25.4}{(26.9)} \\ \frac{25.7}{24.8} \\ \frac{24.7}{24.7} \\ \frac{24 .}{} \end{array}$ | $(28.1)$ | - - 26.8 - - | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | - - - - - - - - | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | $\begin{aligned} & 25.2 \\ & 26.6 \\ & 27.3 \\ & 26.0 \\ & 24.8 \\ & 24.7 \\ & 23.9 \end{aligned}$ |

Figures for 1959 yearmelass underlined.

Table 10 Lindaspollen: Mean V.S. for age-groups, by months. Ncv eptoer 1962-July 1964
(No. of observations in brackets. Values not given for samples of less than 10 fish)

| Year | Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | Nov. | - | $\begin{array}{r} 57.09 \\ (11) \end{array}$ | $\frac{56.78}{(109)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.13 \\ (16) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.62 \\ (52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.69 \\ (65) \end{array}$ | 56.73 $(45)$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.70 \\ (43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.38 \\ (26) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1963 | Mar. | - | - | - | $\frac{56.96}{(24)}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 56.92 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
|  | Sept. | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 56.70 \\ (19) \end{array}$ | $\frac{56.40}{(107)}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Oct. | - | - | - | $\frac{56.50}{(28)}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Nov. | $\begin{gathered} 56.84 \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \cdot 13 \\ (30) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.00 \\ (10) \end{array}$ | $\frac{56.55}{(67)}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Dec. | $\begin{gathered} 57.08 \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.25 \\ (55) \end{array}$ | 57.25 (12) | $\frac{56.59}{(32)}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1964 | Jan. | - | $\begin{array}{r} 57.35 \\ (34) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.10 \\ (30) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.00 \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{56.78}{(23)}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | Feb. | - | $\begin{array}{r} 57.33 \\ (12) \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Mar. | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 57.36 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\frac{56.46}{(24)}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | Apr. | - | $\begin{array}{r} 56.90 \\ (10) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.94 \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.65 \\ (20) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{56.44}{(55)}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 56.62 \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
|  | May | - | - | - | - | $\frac{56.26}{(19)}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | June | 57.27 $(15)$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 56.87 \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{56.64}{(104)}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | July |  |  |  | readi | s avai | ble |  |  |  |

Values for 1959 year-class underlined.

| Year | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Length) } \\ \text { Month } \end{array}$ | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24. | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | Mean | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. | $1.5$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 16.4 \\ 3.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 16.4 \\ 6.2 \\ 2.8 \\ - \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|} 41.8 \\ 32.3 \\ 41.7 \\ 4.7 \\ 10.4 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 19.4 <br> 32.3 <br> 44.4 <br> 31.3 <br> 40.3 | $\begin{array}{\|c} - \\ 6.2 \\ 5.5 \\ 32.2 \\ 37.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} - \\ 1.5 \\ - \\ 15.6 \\ 4.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & - \\ & 2.8 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & - \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $1.5$ $1.3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 7.7 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 <br> 4.6 <br> - <br> 3.4 <br> - |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \\ & 24.8 \\ & 24.7 \\ & 25.7 \\ & 24.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 65 \\ 36 \\ 236 \\ 67 \end{array}$ |
| 1964 | Jan。 <br> Feb. <br> March <br> April <br> May <br> June <br> Aug. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7 \\ & 1.0 \\ & - \\ & 2.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.2 \\ & 4.0 \\ & - \\ & 5.0 \\ & 6.0 \\ & - \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{r} 32.2 \\ 28.0 \\ 3.7 \\ 8.3 \\ 2.0 \\ 10.6 \\ 1.0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.2 \\ 45.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 21.7 \\ 24.0 \\ 17.0 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 . \\ 17.0 \\ 6.5 \\ 23.3 \\ 8.0 \\ 21.3 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 4.0 \\ 30.6 \\ 9.2 \\ 18.0 \\ 29.8 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ | 24.1 <br> 15.0 <br> 20.0 <br> 17.0 <br> 22.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 14.2 \\ 16.0 \\ 4.3 \\ 25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ - \\ 5.5 \\ 0.8 \\ 4.0 \\ - \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - |  | 25.8 26.1 28.8 27.2 27.7 27.4 29.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 100 \\ 108 \\ 120 \\ 50 \\ 47 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |

Table 12. Heiarmarkpollen: Percentage age-composition by months.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | 11111 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 ־ |
| $\bigcirc$ | $1: 11$ | 1 1 1 1 : 1 |
| - in | $1 \stackrel{0}{0} 1$ | $1 \times \stackrel{9}{\circ} 1.1$ |
| $\left\|\right\|+$ | , $\stackrel{0}{ }, 1$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} \quad \begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \sim\end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{c\|c} \underset{8}{3} \\ {\underset{8}{8}}_{0}^{8} & \end{array} \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |
| $\sim$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | 1 1 1.100 |  |
|  |  |  |
| ¢ | \% | - |

Table 13．Hapmaxkpollen．Percentage maturity stage composition by months．August 1963－August 1964．

|  | ก®inNN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{H}{5}$ | 1111 | 111111 |
|  | 1 1：1 |  |
|  | ：1 1 | 1 ${ }^{\text {cinco }}$ |
| ت゙ | 11.9 | 1 1 1 ： 1 |
|  | 11，900 |  |
|  |  | Mos mion o |
| H | $\infty \times \cup+0$ $\infty_{n}^{\infty} \times \infty^{\circ} 0^{\circ} \dot{\circ}$ |  |
| H |  | 111：11 \％ |
| 采装 | O№№cio |  |
| 呂 | 1 ٌṇ 1 1 1 | 1111110 |
| $\stackrel{H}{5}$ | 11111 |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{5}$ | 11811 |  |
|  | 11： | 10，1：11 |
| $\sqrt{4} \sqrt{4}$ | $1: 1 \stackrel{+}{\text { a }}$ | ¢0： |
| H |  ベウレํํํํ |  |
| H | ヘッケom Moisitio |  |
| H |  | 1111119 |
|  |  |  |
| \＆ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | ¢ |

Table 14. Heiarmarkpollen. Mean length at age by monchs. August 1963 - August 1964.

| Year | Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | Aug. | - | 22.9 | - | - | - |
|  | Sept. | - | 23.7 | (29.9) | (30.5) | (30.8) |
|  | Oct. | - | 23.9 | - | - | - |
|  | Nov. | - | 25.1 | 31.0 | - | - |
|  | Dec. | - | 24.7 | - | - | - |
| 1964 | Jan. | - | - | 25.2 | - | - |
|  | Feb. | - | - | 25.9 | - | - |
|  | March | - | - | 28.3 | 29.8 | - |
|  | April | - | - | 27.1 | (29.6) | - |
|  | May | - | - | 27.6 | - | - |
|  | June | - | - | 27.4 | - | - |
|  | Aug. | - | - | 29.5 | - | - |

Table 15. Heiarmarkpollen. Mean V.S. at. age-groans by months. August 1963 - August 1964 .
(Number of observations in brackets. Values are not given for samples of less than 10 fish.)

| Year | Montr | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | Aug. | $\begin{aligned} & 57.16 \\ & (64 .) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 57.18 \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Sept. | $\begin{aligned} & 57.32 \\ & (64) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 57.30 \\ (92) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Oct. | $\begin{aligned} & 57.26 \\ & (35) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.25 \\ (36) \end{array}$ |
|  | Nov. | $\begin{aligned} & 57.15 \\ & (94.4) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57.17 \\ & (117) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & 57.19 \\ & (64) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 57.16 \\ (67) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1964 | Jan. |  | 57.32 $(31)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.31 \\ (22) \end{array}$ |
|  | Fob. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.22 \\ (94) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.23 \\ (98) \end{array}$ |
|  | March |  | - |  | - |
|  | April |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57.16 \\ & (106) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57.15 \\ & (120) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | May |  | 57.43 $(40)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 57.32 \\ (50) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | June |  | 57.28 (39) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.30 \\ (47) \end{array}$ |
|  | Aus. |  | 57.12 $(78)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.13 \\ (96) \end{array}$ |
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FIGURE 4. Heiamarkpollen.

