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At the 1976 Statutory Meeting of ICES it was decided (C.Res. 1976/ 2:8) that:
"a Working group to be referred to as "The Working Group on Redfish in Region $I^{\prime \prime}$ should be convened by Dr. A.Schumacher and should meet in Hamburg for 1 week from 21 February to 25 February 1977 to:
(a) assess TACs for 1978 for redfish;
(b) assess, if possible, the effective mesh size in use, and report on the effects of an increase in mesh size".

In addition, the Working Group was asked by the Chairman of the Liaison Committee of ICES, following a NEAFC request, to provide advice on the biology and distribution of some commercial species in the North-East Atlantic. Drafts were prepared on this subject during the meeting in Hamburg and issued at the $65^{\text {th }}$ Statutory meeting of ICES (Review by the Working Group on Redfish in Region I on some Fish Resources within the NEAFC Area, C.M. 1977/F: 12).

The Working Group was not able to complete the work at the Hamburg meeting. Therefore it was decided to hold a second meeting of the Working Group just prior to the Statutory Meeting in Reykjavik. A kind invitation by the Icelandic Institute of Marine Research made it possible to hold the meeting from 20 to 24 September.

1) Attended both meetings
2) Attended Reykjavik meeting only
2. General Biology of Redfish in Region I

Two of the three known species of redfish, Sebastes marinus and Sebastes mentella, are of comercial interest in the North-East Atlantic. These species are widely distributed and subject to exploitation. Besides these two species, Sebastes viviparus inhabiting the warmer regions of the area, and an oceanic population of redfigh considered as S. mentella, inhabiting the Irminger Sea have not yet been subject to the commercial fishery.

The redfish is ovoviviparous, i.e. the hatching takes place within the female gonads and the brood is released as larvae. The release of fry is here referred to as spawning. The mating takes place during August-November, mainly in September, but the fertilisation of eggs inside the ovaries in February-March. The spawning time is April-June, mainly in May.

Redfish has a slow growth exceptin the first year of life. Thus, 5 year old fish is about $20-22 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, 10 year old fish is about $30-32 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length, and at an age of 20 years it has reached a length of about 42 cm . The age of maturity is about 14-18 years.

Temperature conditions are an important factor in the geographical distribution of the two species. S. mentella is bound to bottom temperatures of above $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, S. marinus is preferably found in temperatures of $3.5^{\circ}-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, juveniles of both species seem to tolerate lower temperatures than the adult fish.
3. Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb
3.1. Biology and Distribution of Redfish

There are two main spawning stocks of redfish is the Barents Sea-Bear Island - Spitsbergen area. The main spawning area for S. marinus is situated along the continental slope west
of Vesteralen (Lofoten). Some spawning is also recorded in the fjords of Northern Norway.

For S. mentella, the main spawning area is the region southwest of the Kopytov area between $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-71^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $11^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-16^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$.

The larvae of both species drift with the Atlantic current northwards along West-Spitsbergen and eastwards into the Barents Sea.

Young redfish is migrating only to a small extent, i.e. to deeper waters in winter and back to shallow waters in spring.

The main distribution area of adult S. marinus and S. mentella is along the continental slope to about $80^{\circ}$ N. S. marinus is also distributed in the southern part of the Barents Sea.
S. marinus is most abundant in depths of 100 to 350 m , but $\underline{S .}$ mentella prefers deeper waters, i.e. 200 to 500 m depth.

The reasons for migrations of adult fish are spawning and feeding. After spawning, females of $S$. marinus migrate northwards an eastwards and mix with the males at Troms申flaket or the Bear Island. From there, they migrate together to the borders of the distribution area for feeding and copulation. In early winter, the females move back in direction to the spawning ground, while the males stay behind in the area of Bear IslandTroms $\varnothing$ flaket.
S. mentella migrate to the feeding areas in July-August. Copulation takes place in August-November. In JanuaryFebruary, the females migrate towards the spawning area while the males mainly migrate towards the Kopytov area in late March. After spawning, the females mix with the
males and they move together to the feeding grounds.
3.2. Status of the fisheries (tables 1- 42

The fishery in the area is based on both species (S.marinus and S.mentella). In tables 2-4, the nominal catches of redfish (both species together) are given by Sub-area and Division and by countries for the period 1965 to 1976. In table 1, the catches of Sub-areas I and II are summarized. According to table 1, the total catches in the area have had an increasing trend since 1968, but the catches of 1975 and 1976 were remarkably high. In 1976, the total catch increased to about 313000 tons which is nearly three times the highest catch recorded in earlier years. This increase of the total catches in 1975 and 1976 is mainly due to the increased catches in Division IIb.

### 3.3. Catch per unit effort and effort data_(table 5)

The catch of S.marinus is to a great extent a by-catch in the fishery for cod and haddock in the North East Arctic. Meaningful effort figures are therefore nonexisting. However, the Soviet fishery for redfish in the Kopytov area is a direct fishery for S.mentalla. The catch per hour fishing of this fishery was relatively stable in the period 1965 to 1973. From 1974, it started to increase to more than the double of the period 1965 to 1973.

The U.S.S.R. figures for catch per unit effort have been used to estimate the total international effort. This indicates a very high effort in the Fishery for S.mentella during the last 2 years, about 2-3 times the effort of the preceding period.

### 3.4. Recruitment

The year-class strength in qualitative terms is given for some year-classes of redfish for the period 1956-1964
(Table 6). It indicates a period of relatively low recruitment for the year-classes 1957-1963, but a somewhat higher abundance for the 1956 - and the 1964 year-classes.

Since 1965, more quantitative data were available for calculations of the year-class strength. The international 0-group survey in the Barents Sea and adjacent waters has each year given an abundance index for the O-group redfish S.marinus and S.mentella combined . According to these investigations, only the 1967 and 1968 year-classes were very poor at an age of 6 month. The 1966, 1969 and 1970 year-classes were of average abundance and the 1965,1971 and 1972 year-classes were somewhat below average. The year-classes 1973 to 1976 were estimated to be rich or even very rich.

### 3.5. Assessments

3.5.1. Basie material
3.5.1.1. Separation of catches into S.marinus and S.mentella components and trends in the fishery of these species.

Since the catches of the two species in the area are not separated in the statistics it was necessary to split the reported catches into the respective species components according to the available information on their geographical distribution and on information about the rishing pattern of different countries fisheries.

All catches taken in Div. IIb and Subarea I are assumed to be S.mentella and S.marinus respectively.

In Div. IIa, nominal catches for the period 1965 to 1976 are assumed to be S.marinus with two exemptions: i ) catches of GDR and Polish vessels for which the this assumption is only valid up to 1971
ii) catches of $\operatorname{OSSR}$ vessels for the whole period and catches of $G D R$ and Polish fisheries from 1972 onwards were separated into the respective species components according to their geographical distribution.

Thus, the catches reported from the northern part of Div. IIa, the Kopytov area, were assumed to the taken in a directed fishery for S.mentella and the remainder was throught to be taken in a mixed fishery for cod, haddock and saithe.

Table 7 shows a decreasing trend in catches of S.marinus in the period 1965 to 1970. Since 1970 the catches increased steadily from 12709 tons to the level of about 39000 tons in 1975-1976.

As for S.marinus a decreasing trend is observed in the catches of S.mentella in the period 1965-1968. Since 1968 the total catch has increased with some fluctuations from 5413 to 274516 tons in 1976 which corresponds to an increase by a factor of 13 compared to the 196h-74 average. The high catches of redfish in 1975 and 1976 are therefore mainly due to increased catches of S.mentella in the Kopytor area.
3.5.1.2. Age and length compositions

For S.marinus only limited age determinations were available and the Working Group decided to use an age/ length key based on landings in 1976 from Division IIa. This age/length key was applied to the total length composition from the German (F.R.) fishery in Div.IIa available for each year in order to get a total annual age composition in the period 1965-1976 (Table 9). It is obvious that the age group 20 is too low represented in the age compositions caused by a low representation of this age-group in the age/length key applied.

Age compositions as number landed were available for USSK landings of S.mentella in the period 1965-1976 and for GDR landings for 197) and 1976. To obtain age compositions for the total fishery in each year, these available age compositions were raised to the total landings (table 10).

Only limited length compositions were available for this species and length compositions for the total landings could not be obtained for each year in the period 1965-1976.
3.5.2. Parameters used in assessments
3.5.2.1. Natural mortality

For S.mentella in Subarea II an estimation of the natural mortality was made by plotting $Z$ against total effort. $Z$ was estimated by means of the catch per unit effort ratio of the age groups 14 to 24 in successive years in the USSR fishery.

The effort corresponding to the two values between the successive years was estimated as the average total trawling effort for the corresponding years. The intercept of the fitted linear regression is 0.104 which gives an estimate of M. However, the calculated correlation coefficient of $r=0.43$ is not statistically significant ( $5 \%$ significance level) .

In some other areas $M$ of 0.1 has been assumed for redfish. In the Gulf of $S t$. Lawrence Sandeman (1973) considers that the most likely value for redfish lies somewhere between 0.05 and (0.1. The value of $M$ of 0.1 for $S$.marinus in the Nova Scotia area has been assumed by Mayo and Möller (1977).

Therefore the Working Group decided at the present state to use an $M$ of 0.1 for both S.marinus and S.mentella in Region 1.
3.5.2.2. Estimation of the input fishing mortalities in the VPA In a preliminary run of the VPA the initial guess of the $F$ values for 1976 was $0.12,0.35,0.50$ for age groups 8 to $10,0.60$ for age groups 11 to 12 and 0.40 for age groups $13+$. The fishing mortalities for the oldest age groups ( $\mathrm{F}_{24}$ ) for the years 1965 to 1975 were set equal to the unweighted mean of the age groups 10-23 in each year.

The bias on the calculated $F$ values introduced by incorrect assumptions of terminal F's in 1976 will be reduced to a minimum for 1971 and the years before.

Therefore the weighted mean $F$ values were calculated for age groups 13 to $22\left(F_{13-22}\right)$ in the years 1965-71 and plottes against the total trawl effort.

This linear regression (Fig. 1) shows that the $\mathrm{F}_{13}-22$ corresponding to the effort in 1976 would be 0.397 and therefore the assumed texminal Fis for age groups 13 to 24 of 0.40 in the preliminary run was accepted as estimate for 1976. The fishing mortality for age group 10 was reduced to 0.40 and the terminal $\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ for age groups $8,9,11$ and 12 were also left unchanged. To determine 1976 F values for age groups 8 to 11 informations from the international 0 - group surveys (Table 6) were used. The relationship between the estimated year class strength from VPA (F (100\%) - 0.4) at age 6 and the corresponding 0 - group survey abundance indices (using loge transformation) for year classes 1965-68 is shown in Figure 2.

The high correlation ( $x=0.97$ ) indicates that the chosen 1976 F-array for age-groups 8 to 11 could be appropriate.

The relatively high fishing mortality of 0.60 for age 12 in 1976 was chosen, because this corresponds to the highest year class strength at age 6, estimated by VPA during the period 1965 to 1974.

### 3.5.2.3. Growth parameters

Length at age and weight at length data are given for S.marinus (tables 10 and 11). These are used to obtain weight at age data which are needed for the estimation of TAC's. The relationship between length and weight is calculated on the basis of the average weight data given for the length groups $28-55 \mathrm{~cm}$ and is estimated to be $W=0.0199591^{2.8937}$. The weight at age was then calculated from this relationship and the average length at age (s. table 10). The estimated figures are given in table 19.

For S.mentella weight at age data were available from direct observations (table 20).
3.5.3. Cohort Analysis
3.5.3.1. Stock size
S. mentella

Estimates of stock size from Cohort analysis are given in table 13. In addition the total stock biomass, age 6 and older and the spawning stock biomass, age 15 and older, were calculated using the mean weights given in table 20). The results are summarised in table 14.

Both the total stock size and the spawning stock size increased from 1965 to 1975 considerably. The estimated stock level in 1975 is 4-5 times higher than in 1965. From 1975 to 1977 the calculations show a reduction in total stock biomass (-25\%) and spawning stock biomass (- $28 \%$ ).

## S. marinus

Estimates of stock size in numbers are given in table 15. The total stock biomass of fish 12 years and older was relatively stable during the period 1965-1974 (s.table 16). It decreased in the years 1975 to 1977 by about $19 \%$ compared to the average stock biomass for the previous period. The spawning stock biomass (age 15 and older)
decreased year by year in the period 1965-1974 and reached in 1974 a level of about $86 \%$ of that in 1965. Following an increase in spawning stock size from 1974 to 1975 a further decrease over the years 1975 and 1976 was estimated. The spawning stock size at the beginning of 1977 is about $71 \%$ of the 1965 level.

### 3.5.3.2. Fishing mortality

On the S. marinus stock there have been no overall changes in the level of fishing mortality in the period 1965-1974 (s. table 17). Due to the influence of the input $F$ in the cohort analysis it is difficult to evaluate changes in fishing mortality in the more recent years. However, it appears that fishing mortality has been somewhat higher in 1975 and 1976 compared to previous years.

For S. mentella (table 18). The estimated fishing mortalities indicate that during the period 1965 to 1971 the exploitation pattern was relatively stable. The fishery was concentrated on the older age groups (13-24). Since 1973 the exploitation shifted towards younger ages. This was mainly a result of the relatively abundant incoming year classes of 1964 and the following years. As a result there were changes in the exploitation pattern and in the level of fishing mortality.
3.5.4. Yield per recruit

An yield per recruit curve has been calculated for the Sebastes marinus stock using the exploitation pattern also used in the Cohort analysis and a natural mortality rate of $M=0.10$. Yield per recruit for fishing mortality rates on the age groups subject to maximum exploitation is given in Fig. 3.

The yield curve has no maximum. The estimated fishing mortality on the fully exploited age groups in 1976
was 0.35 and the correspondinir yield per recruit is situated on the flat top part of the curve.

A reduction in fishing mortality from the present $F=0.35$ to $F=0.25$ the level of $F$ when the yield curve starts to flatten off would cive only a small decrease in yield per recruit. A reduction in fishing mortality to $F_{0.1}=0.09$ would rive a reduction in yield per recruit of about $16 \%$ compared to the present situation.

In figure 4 curves of yield per recruit and spawning stock biomass per recruit for Sebastes mentella for F-values of age groups subjected to maximum exploitation are given. The curves were calculated for the present exploitation pattern as used in the Cohort analysis and the average weights per age group as given in table 20. The present situation $(F=0.6)$ and the
position of $F_{\max }=0.35$ are marked with arrows.
For these fishing mortalitys the corresponding sustainable yield and spawning stock biomass assuming average recruitment
$\left(R_{1965-72}=480 \times 10^{6}\right)$ is given in the following text table:

| F | $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{K}$ | Sustainable <br> Yield <br> (tons $\left.\times 10^{-3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{R}$ | Spawning stock <br> biomass <br> (tons $\left.\times 10^{-3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.60 | .237 | 113.8 | .048 | 23.0 |
| 0.35 | .242 | 116.2 | .222 | 106.6 |

If fishing mortality is reduced from its present high level of 0.6 to $F_{(\max )}$ the yield per recruit will increase hy 2\%. The spawning stock per recruit will increase by about 5 times.

The fishirf mortality level correspondin\% to $F_{0.1}$ is very close to $F=0.25$.
3.5.5. Catch prediction

TAC's were estimated for 1978. Data used in the calculations are given in table 19 and 20. The stock size in 1977 is estimated from the stock and fishing mortalities in 1976. For 1977 it was assumed a catch of about 30000 tons which together with about 165 ooo tons of S. mentella gives about 200 ooo tons, the expected total catch of redfish from the area.
3.5.5.1. Sebastes marinus

A catch of 30 ooo tons of $S$. marinus in 1977 would result in a fishing mortality on the age groups subject to maximum exploitation of $F=0.35$ assuming the 1976 exploitation pattern. The estimated stock in the beginning of 1978 was calculated from the stock size and the fishing mortality rates in 1977.

The present level of fishing mortalitiy is somewhat beyond the level when the yield per recruit curve starts to flatten off. The ideal management objective should be to bring the level of fishing back to $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=.09$. However, this would correspond to a drastic cutback in fishing activity by $74 \%$ in one year.

In order to stop the reduction in spawning biomass as estimated in the $\mathrm{Coh}^{\text {n }}$ rt analysis for the years 1975 to 1978 a reduction in fishing effort already in 1978 is desirable. This could be achieved by reducing the level of fishing mortality on the fully exploited age group to $F=0.25$ which corresponds to the fishing mortality at which the yield per recruit curve start to flatten off. This reduction in fishing mortality will prevent a further decline in spawning stock biomass during 1978 from the lowest level estimated for the preceeding period (table 21). This management objective could be achieved by a TAC for 1978 of 20000 tons.

```
3.5.5.2. Sebastes mentella
    The results of the TAC calculations are summarised in the
    text table below:
\(1976 \quad 1977 \quad 1978 \quad 1979\)
Catch (tons) \(274516164901 \quad 127060\)
Fishing mortality
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
on age groups & 0.60 & 0.45 & 0.35
\end{tabular}
subjected to maximum
exploitation
Total stock biomass (6+) \(1228 \quad 1056 \quad 1021 \quad 1045\)
(thousand tons)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Spawning stock } & 208 & 173 & 182 & 189\end{array}\) biomass (15 +)
(thousand tons)
In the above strategy to reduce \(F\) in 1978 to the level of \(F_{(\max )}\) the total stock biomass will remain nearly unchanged from the 1977 level.
Although the spawning stock biomass is reduced from 1976 to 1977 fishing at \(F_{(\max )}\) in 1978 will maintain the spawning stock during 1978 at a relatively high level compared to the years 1972 and earlier. Therefore there seems to be no reason for concern for recruitment failure due to a reduced spawning stock (s.table 14).
Therefore, the Working Group recommends that the TAC for Sebastes mentella for 1978 in Division IIa and IIb should be set at
```

130000 tons.

### 3.5.6. Change in exploitation pattern <br> S. mentella

The estimated fishingmortalities indicate that during the period 1965 to 1971 the exploitation pattern was relatively stable. The fishery was concentrated on the older age groups (13-24). Since 1973 the exploitation shifted towards younger ages. This was mainly a result of the relatively abundant incoming year classes of 1964 and the following years. As a result there were changes in the exploitation pattern and in the level of fishing mortality.

To evaluate the effects, which would result from an increase in age at first capture yield per recruit curves were calculated by using different age at first capture ( $t_{c}$ ) as shown in Figure 5. The calculations were made by assuming a knife edge selection at age $t_{c}$ (i.e. all ages $t_{c}$ subject to maximum exploitation) and for the woights at age as given in Table 20.

From the results it can be seen, that an increase in age at first capture would result in an increase in yield per recruit. For instance an increase of $t_{c}$ from 8 to 12 years would cause a change in jield per recruit (and therefore in long term yield) by $+17 \%$ as derived from yield per recruit curve.

Under the present conditions the age at first capture is estimated at approximately 8 to 9 years, by increasing $t_{c}$ to 12 years a gain in long term yield of about $15 \%$ could be expected.
4. Subareas $V$ and XIV
4.1. General Biology

The redfish spawns over the great ocean depths and along the continental slope to some extent. Concentrated spawning takes place in the southeastern Irminger Sea,
particularly in the Reykjanes Ridge area and south of it. Another less important spawning area lies off East Greenland.

Spawning does not seem to take place off West Greenland, the redfish off West Greenland derives from larvae which have been carried there by drift from the spawning areas mentioned above.

The drift of larvae takes place from the oceanic areas to the continental shelves off Iceland, East Greenland and West Greenland. There are extensive nursery grounds for redfish both around Iceland, particularly west of Iceland, and on the East Greenland shelf, where dense accumulations of young redfish have been observed both for S. marinus and S. mentella. Thus, the East Greenland shelf area seems to be the most important nursery area for the Subarea $V$ and Subarea XIV stocks of redfish. The juveniles usually inhabit shallower waters than the adult fish and are mostly found closer to the shore than the adults.

When approaching sexual maturity, they migrate to the offshore banks and to the continental slopes.

The redfish has a wide distribution in Subareas $V$ and XIV, extending along the coasts from the Faroe Islands, around Iceland and along East Greenland. The depth range in which it is found is relatively wide, from 100 to 800 meters, but it is most abundant in 200 m to 500 meters depth. S. mentella inhabit greater depths in general than S. marinus. Thus S. marinus is mostly caught in depths from 200 m to 400 m , while S . mentella is mostly caught in 300 m to 500 m depth.

The migration of adult redfish is conditioned by spawning and feeding. Thus, in the Iceland-East Greenland area, the females migrate in late winter and spring from Iceland and East Greenland to the Irminger Sea for spawning. After spawning they return to the feeding
grounds. Summer - and autumn feeding migration along the offshore banks and slopes off Iceland and East Greenland are common for both sexes. There is also some migration of redfish from West Greenland to East Greenland as tagging experiments of redfish at West Greenland indicate.

### 4.2. Status of the fishery

The main areas of exploitation are on the offshore banks on the continental slopes off East Greenland from Cape Farewell to Dohrn Bank, off the Icelandic coast, on the Iceland-Faroe Ridge and off the Faroe Islands.

The redfish fishery was carried out almost exclusively with bottom trawl. During the last few years, however, the midwater trawl has also been used.

Tables 22-24 show the total catch of redfish in Divisions $\mathrm{Vb}, \mathrm{Va}$ and SA XIV by year and country from 1965-76.

The total redfish catch in these areas decreased steadily from 156 thousand tons in 1965 to a minimum of 88 thousand tons in 1973. In 1974, it increased slightly again (to 91 th. $t$ ) mainly due to an increased Icelandic effort in SA XIV. In 1975 and particularly in 1976, there was a sudden increase in the catches to 191 th. tons in 1976. This sudden increase in the fishery in 1975 is due to the USSR fishing in SA XIV.

The Federal Republic of Germany has taken by far the largest catch of redfish in the areas $\mathrm{Va}, \mathrm{Vb}$ and XIV until 1976, when both Iceland, and particularly USSR exceeded the German (F.R.) catch. The catch in Div. Va decreased from 114 thousand tons in 1965 to about 70 th. tons in 1973 but was, however, relatively stable since 1970.
In Subarea XIV, the catches decreased from about 37 thous. $t$ in 1965 to 8 th. $t$ in 1973. The suddern rise in the catch in Subarea XIV in 1975 and 1976 to a level of 114 ooo $t$ is due to a great increase in effort of USSR
vessels in that area. The USSR has in these two years engaged a big fleet of factory trawlers in the redfish fishery off East Greenland.

In Div. Vb, the redfish catch fluctuated within a range from 1 ooo t to about 10000 tons with the lowest catch during 1969-71. Almost the entire catch of redfish in this area was taken by vessels of the Federal Republic of Germany.
4.3. Effort_in Subarea_XIV and_Div. Va

The effort figures in table 25 for the fishery on
S. marinus include only the Icelandic and German (F.R.) components in the fisheries. The fishery in both areas is a mixed fishery. In Subarea XIV, the main species are cod and redfish in Div. Va they are saithe, cod and redfish.

The catch per unit effort figures are therefore difficult to use. Even trough increased in efficiency has not been taken into account the German (F.R.) figures show however a downward trend to the year 1972, both in Subarea XIV and in Div. Va followed by an increase in catch per unit effort in both areas, probably due to more aimed redfish fishery by the German (F.R.) trawlers.

No effort figures from the fishery of USSR trawlers in SA XIV, which resulted in considerable high catches were available.
4.4. Recruitment of redfish_in the Irminger Sea area JICES SA XIV and Div._Va)

Redfish larvae surveys to the Irminger Sea in the past and later 0 -group surveys have shown, that there is in some years a very great number of redfish brood in the area. None of the surveys have covered the total area of distribution. Nevertheless, it is obvious that the number of $0-g r o u p$ redfish surpass the producing capacity
of any known and exploited stock in the area.
In order to indicate the year to year fluctuations in abundance the 0 -group surveys results are presented as index figure of individuals per nautical square mile. The results are shown in the following text table.

| Number of 0-group redfish $X 10^{-6}$ per $n \cdot m^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Yearclass | No. of fish |
| 1970 | 8,6 |
| 1971 | 12,6 |
| 1972 | 38,1 |
| 1973 | 74,0 |
| 1974 | 23,6 |
| 1975 | 12,6 |
| 1976 | 5,8 |

According to the 0 -group-survey reports a substantial part of the 0-group redfish drift over the East Greenland shelf.

In late years big nursery grounds for redfish have then located on the East Greenland continental shelf. But because of the very slow growth of the redfish it is not possible to estimate the recruitment to the exploited part of the stock.
4.5. Assessments
4.5.1. Basic material

> 4.5.1.1.Splitting of catches into $S$. marinus and $S$. mentella Since redfishes are not separated in the landings according to species the group had to find a way to split the catches into $S$. marinus and $S$. mentella. The
geographical distribution of the two species and information on the fisheries of the respective countries formed the basis for splitting the catches into the two species. Thus the catches of the German (F.R.) trawlers taken at the Faroe Islands, SE and $S$ of Iceland were considered as S. mentella. In the area $S W$ of Iceland both species are caught in quantities. In that particular area the catches of the German (F.R.) trawlers were splitted according to the saithe/redfish ratio in the catches assuming that S. marinus was caught together with the saithe. The catches off $W$-Iceland and East Greenland taken by Icelandic and German (F.R.) vessels were considered to be for the far greatest part S. marinus. The USSR catches off East Greenland in 1975 and 1976 were split into $S$. mentella and S. marinus according to Icelandic investigations in the area, only limited information on this subject was available from USSR. Catches of other countries in areas $\mathrm{Va}, \mathrm{Vb}$ and XIV were allocated to the two species according to location and/or the nature of the fishery.

### 4.5.1.2. Length composition

4.5.1.2.1. Allocation of catches of $S$. marinus into length groups for the Subarea XIV and Div. Va (s. table 26)

Subarea XIV
In general the figures for the length composition of the catches from the fishery of the Federal Republic of Germany have been used to split catches from other countries into length groups, where no other information was available.

In 1975 and 1976 a change in the pattern of fishing took place. In contrast to the former years where the fishery was carried out in the more deeper waters on the edges of the banks, a major part of the fishery in 1975 and 1976 took place in more shallow waters,
where nursery grounds for both S. marinus and S. mentella were found some years before. The catches from that fisherey in 1975 and 1976 have a length composition completely different from the length distribution in earlier years.

For catches from the shallow waters information collected from the Icelandic research vessels have been used both for dividing the catches into the two species components and into length groups.

## Division Va

In Div. Va the Icelandic figures for the length composition were used to split up other nations' catches into length groups, if no information was available. In some years no information from Iceland was available and in these cases the German (F.R.) figures were used
4.5.1.2.2. Allocation of catches of S. mentella in the Subarea $V$ ( $a$ and $b$ ) and XIV for length groups (s. table 27) The numbers of S. mentella in length groups were calculated on the basis of length composition of the commercial catches of the Federal Republic of Germany in Va (SWIceland and Rosengarten) and Vb for the catches of all countries with the exception of the USSR catches in 1975 and 1976 taken in Subarea XIV. The numbers in the USSR catches in 1975 and 1976 taken there were estimated according to Icelandic research vessel data taken in the area in 1975 and 1976.

No length data were available for the Division $V b$ in 1967-1969 and 1971 and 1972. Numbers of fishes landed were therefore calculated by the length data of the South Iceland area. Also no length data were available for the catches of Poland and USSR in the period 1965 to 1974 probably taken during the Greenland Halibut season off West Iceland. Numbers of fishes landed from
these fisheries were also calculated on the basis of the German (F.R.) length data of South Iceland.
4.5.1.3. Grouping of years for cohort analysis using length data Since the cohort analysis based on length composition data requires to work on an average length composition over several years of sampling, the group had selected two periods according to the trends in the fishery (S. Sect. 4.2.). In order to describe the relatively stable situation in the past the period 1965-74 was chosen.

Considering the sudden rise in effort and catch in the years 1975 and 1976 and at the same time the increase of the proportion of small redfish in the catches, it was decided to combine in a second group the years 1975-1976.

### 4.5.2. Parameter

4.5.2.1. Input value of $\frac{F}{Z}$ for S. marinus and for S. mentella in Subarea $V$ and XIV.

The choice of $\frac{F}{Z}$ for starting the cohort analysis based on length for estimating the numbers in the sea has not the same critical influence on the results as the assumptions made about $L$ or $M / K$ ( $R$. Jones 1974). Several runs made show that the influence of different input $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\prime}$ is already leveling off after the calculation of 3 or 4 length groups.

Since the proportion of the largest fish in a length composition is very small the resulting bias from a wrongly estimated input $F$ is also very small.

Therefore the group used a value of F of 0.8 which correspond to an $F$ of 0.4 , when $M$ is ${ }^{Z}{ }_{0} .1$ to start the analysis on the largest fish.
4.5.2.2. Natural mortality (s. section 3.5.2.1.)
4.5.2.3. Growth parameters

Some age determination data both from Subarea XIV and Division Va were available to the working group. From age determination data of Fed. Rep. of Germany using scales and Icelandic age determinations using otoliths, the mean length at age were calculated for both S. marinus (table 28) and S.mentella (table 29). The mean length in the last column are weighted with numbers of observations at each age. These values were used to calculated the von Bertanlanffy's growth equation. The estimated growth parameters are as follows:

|  | K | L |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| S. marinus | 0.0192 | 101.67 cm |
| S. mentella | 0.0082 | 173.70 cm |

4.5.3. Cohort analysis using length data

For the redfish stocks in the area around Iceland and off the coast of Greenland (SA XIV $+V$ ) no age composition data which would allow an assessment of the state of the stock and a catch projection for 1978 were available to the working group. However, the length composition data presented to the group made it possible to use the cohort analysis on the basis of length composition of catches. This method developed by R. Jones allows to estimate fishing mortality and stock size. There are some limitations for the use of this method. The growth parameters to be used in this type of analysis should be derived from back calculations of length from scales rather then from mean length at age. The relation of the difference in length to the corresponding difference in time is a critical factor in this analysis and it was thought that the growth of individual fish reflects this relation more precise.

In case of redfish, this condition could not be met
because age determination on redfish scales and otoliths is very difficult and the very narrow distances between the age rings do not allow a precise bac calculation of the individual growth history of the redfish. Since the redfish is a very slow growing species however, it was assumed that the variation in the length of time required for a fish to grow from one length to another is relatively small compared to fast growing species and therefore the data for mean length at age over the exploited component of the stock have been used to calculate the growth parameters to be used in this method.

A second limitation is that in order to estimate the effect of variations in year class strength it is necessary to use an average length composition based on a number of years sampling.

It follows that catch projection based on this method can only provide information on longterm effects of changes in fishing effort assuming constant recruitment. Since this method was for the first time used in an ICES working group the results obtained have been compared with results obtained by the cohort (age) analysis. This was possible for the Sebastes marinus stock in Subarea I and Div. IIa, for which both data on length and age composition were available. The average stock biomass for the years 1965-74 was calculated and the results - 238 ooo tons (cohort/length) and 239 ooo tons (cohort/age) show a surprisingly good agreement.

On the other hand by comparing the cohort by age with cohort by length in the $1975-1976$ period differences in the results appears. From cohort age analysis the average F-value is $40 \%$ higher and the total stock biomass $34 \%$ lower than by cohort length analysis. This discrepancies are to some extent influenced by
the input $F$-values since the cohort age analysis is more sensitive to the input $F$ than the cohort by length.
4.5.3.1. Results of the cohort analysis (s.following text table) In both species average fishing mortality (weighted by stock size) on the adult stock (fish of 34 cm and longer) has increased in the period 1975-1976 compared to the period 1965-1974.

In case of Sebastes marinus a decrease in adult stock size for the years $1975-76$ by about $20 \%$ in numbers and $23 \%$ in weight of the previous level was calculated. The size of the adult stock of S . mentella decreased from the $1965-74$ period by about $34 \%$ both in numbers and in weight.

In the spawning stocks the declining trend is more pronounced. The spawning stock is defined as fish from that length group onwards where at least $50 \%$ is mature (i.e. 38 cm and longer). For S mentella the spawning biomass decreased from the 1965-1974 period by $35 \%$ compared to 1975-76. The spawning stock biomass of S. marinus showed a decline of about $25 \%$.

Adult redfish in SA XIV and $V$.

$$
\text { 1965-1974 } 1975-1976
$$

S. mentella

| $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ | Adult | 0.074 | 0.086 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (weighted by stock size) | Spawners | 0.136 | 0.134 |
| Stock size | Adult | 634.3 | 422.1 |
| in number | Spawners | 328.8 | 210.2 |
| (millions) |  |  |  |
| Stock size <br> in weight <br> (1000 tons) | Adult | 509.0 | 338.2 |

S. marinus

|  | Adult | 0.069 | 0.088 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Spawners | 0.092 | 0.110 |
| Stock size | Adult | 958.4 | 766.1 |
| in numbers | Spawners | 553.2 | 416.7 |
| (millions) |  |  |  |
| Stocksize | Adult | 912.4 | 707.1 |
| in weight | Spawners | 651.6 | 482.6 |
| $(1000$ tons) |  |  |  |

Adult
34 cm and longer
Spawner
38 cm and longer
4.5.4. Estimation of TAC's

Due to uncertainties about the comparability of basic data and the results obtained by the cohort (length) analysis it was not possible at present to construct an yield per recruit curve to define the present situation on it and to develop a management objective.

In formulating advice on management the group was guided by the results of the cohort (length) analysis (s. section 4.5.3.1.). The reduction in spawning stock biomass from the 1964-74 period to the more recent years of about $25 \%$ for S. marinus and $37 \%$ for S.mentella gave reason for concern. Therefore the group decided to recommend a precautionary TAC based on the average catches over the period 1972-1974 of 90 ooo tons for both species combined. This TAC would prevent a further increase in effort in the redfish fishery in Subarea $V$ and XIV until the situation could be properly assessed and a more accurate management strategy could be developed.

### 4.5.5. Change in exploitation pattern

The recent changes in the pattern of exploitation towards the smaller redfish as reflected in the length composition of catches for both species, but for S. mentella in particular, will certainly have an adverse effect on the recruitment to the spawning stock (s.table below)

```
% of redfish below the 50% retention length (32 cm}
```

in the catches

| $1965-1974$ | $1975-1976$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | 25.6 |
| 0.3 | 84.9 |

Young redfish stay for several years in their nursery grounds particularely on the East Greenland banks. If the exploitation of young fish is to be continued an
year-class of redfish will for several years be exposed to possibly increasing fishing mortality. It is therefore advisable to prevent heavy exploitation of young redfish by prohibiting fishing for redfish in areas which are known as nursery grounds.

## 5. Mesh assessments

A method to assess the present mesh size in use and the effect of changes in mesh size has been developed by Mr. K. P. Andersen at the Danish Institute of Fisheries and Marine Research. The time and expertise to use this method has not been available to the working group during the meetings and therefore it is not possible to report on the effective mesh size in use or on the effect of changes in mesh size. Mainly length compositions of the landings were available to the working group. These do not always correspond to the length composition of catches. Due to lacking length compositions of discaried fish which are mainly small, mesh assessments on the length composition available might therefore be biased. Furthermore it is known that the selection of redfish can be reduced considerably due to meshing of redfish and big catches. The benefit by increasing mesh size might therefore be less than estimated by assuming that selection follows the selection ogive calculated from selectivity experiments with moderate catches.

Discussion
The calculation of TAC's is based on the assumption, that selectivity of trawls in the redfish fishery is following the selection ogive of a 120 mm net. It is, however, a well known fact that the selectivity of trawls in the redfish fishery can be reduced quite considerably even to zero due to meshing of redfish and when large hauls are being made. Therefore even fishing with large meshes does not in the areas where
small fish are concentrated prevent the taking of juvenile redfish which theoretically are protected by large trawl meshes. A catch limitation itself, however could discourage fisherman to take the TAC in areas in which smaller fish of less commercial value are dominating the catches. This development could be initiated by closing areas known as nursery grounds. In such a case, only the unavoidable by-catch of smaller fish could generate some fishing mortality in the juvenile component of the stock.

Since both species of redfish are often caught together and could not be recorded separately in the statistics the calculated TAC's have to be combined as a TAC for redfish. This necessary procedure includes the danger that one species on which fishing has been concentrated might be overexploited whereas the other species remaines only lightly fished. If, however, the management advice could be based on $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ there will be a margin between this level and fishing at $F_{(\max )}$. This margin will reduce the danger of undetected overexploitation of one species due to the combination of both species in the statistics.

In view of the fact that the assessments presented here are workt out on a limited data base and on the basis of restricted information as to the species composition of catches in different areas, it has to be pointed out that the calculated TAC's are less accurate than comparable figures for other species.

## 7. Advice on management

7.1. The working group recommends for the fishery on redfish in Subareas I and II the following TAC's in 1978

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Sebastes marinus } & 20000 \text { tons } \\
\text { Sebastes mentella } & 130000 \text { tons }
\end{array}
$$

The total TAC in redfish in 1978 should be set at 150000 tons.

The working group recommends to consider prohibition of fishing for redfish on nursery grouids.
7.2. The working group recommends for the fishery on redfish in Subareas $V$ and XIV that a precautinnary TAC should be set at 90 oon tons.

The working group recommends to prohibit fishing for redfis in two nursery areas off the east, coast of Greenland limited by a line as described below:

## Northern area

| From the coast of Greenland at $67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, | $30^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to |
| $65^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, | $30^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to |
| $65^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, | $31^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to |
| $65^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, | $33^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to |
| $65^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, | $34^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to |
| $65^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, | $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to |
| $64^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $35^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to the coast of creenland |
| at $64^{\circ} 3 J^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. |  |

Southern area
From the coast of Greenland at $64^{\circ} 20^{\prime} N$ to
$64^{\circ} 20^{\prime N} \quad 36^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to
$63^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \quad 36^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to
$63^{\circ} 15^{1 N} \quad 39^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to
$63^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \quad 39^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ to the coast of Greenland at $63^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

| Anon 1976 | "Preliminary report of the international 0-group fish survey in the Barents Sea and adjacent waters in August-September 1976" <br> Coun.Meet.int.Coun.Explor Sea,1976 (H 43): 1-5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Baranenkova, A.S. 1968 | "Estimation of the abundance and the causes of productivity of the Barents Sea commercial fishes" Trudy PINRO 23: 193-216 <br> Fish.Res.Board Can.Transl.Series. <br> (1885) |
| Bratberg, E. 1956 | "On the interpretation of the opaque and byaline zones in the otoliths of immature Redfish: Const.int. Expl. Mer. XXII (1): 66-74 |
| Chekhova, V.A., Konsta | v, K.G. and Shafran, J.S. 1977 <br> "On the Age Contingent of Catches of Beaked Redfish (Sebastes mentella TRAVIN)" ICNAF Res.DOC. 77/VI/3 |
| Dragesund, 0. 1971 | "Comparative analysis of year class strength among fish stocks in the north Atlantic". Fisk.Div.Skr.Ser.Havunders., 16: 49-64 |
| Jones, R. 1974 | "Assessing the long-term effects of changes in fishing effort and mesh size from length composition data". <br> Coun.Meet.Int.Coun.Explor Sea, 1974 (f 33): 1-7 |
| Kosswig, K. 1976 | "Analysis of the age-composition of redfish stocks Sebastes marinus $L$ and S. mentella TRAVIN in selected areas of the eastern North Atlantic in 1975"。 <br> Coun.Meet.Int.Coun.Explor Sea, 1976 (F 29): 1-4 |
| Mayo, R.K., and Miller, | 1976 "A preliminary assessment of redfish, Sebastes marinus $L$ in ICNAF Divisions 4 VWX." ICNAF Selected Papers, No. 1. |
| Sandeman, E.J. 1973 | "The redfish fishery of the Gulf of St.Laurence Biological considerations-past, pregent and future ?" <br> Fish. and Marine Serv.Biol.Stat., St. John's. <br> Nfld. Circ. 20. |
| Surkova, E.I. 1960 | "Report on Estimation on Young Redfish in the Barents Sea". $\qquad$ Distant |

Table 1: Nominal catch of Redfishes (in metric tons) by countries (Sub-area I, Divisions IIa and II b combined)

| Country | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 28 |  |
| Faroe Isì. |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  | 9 | 32 | 6 | 67 |  |
| France | 897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1116 |  |  |
| $G D R$ | 151 | 976 | 311 | 921 | 1069 | 7032 | 14786 | 9972 | 11756 | 28275 | 28020 | 22636 |
| Germany, $\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{R}$. | 4766 | 5389 | 5550 | 3258 | 5573 | 2416 | 3076 | 1697 | 3479 | 6616 | 5182 | 7357 |
| Netherlands | 345 | 33 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| Norway | 6617 | 6931 | 5205 | 4024 | 3904 | 3832 | 4644 | 6776 | 7714 | 7055 | 4966 | 7000 |
| Poland |  |  |  |  | 5973 | 4631 | 2532 | 1112 | 215 | 1269 | 4711 | 4137 |
| Portugal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 331 |  |
| Spain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1194 |  |
| U.K. | 4899 | 6546 | 5607 | 5058 | 5224 | 4554 | 4002 | 4379 | 4791 | 3509 | 2746 | 186 |
| USSR | 22300 | 15900 | 7300 | 5500 | 9100 | 13100 | 29800 | 22700 | 31800 | 48800 | 230950 | 271500 |
| Total | 39975 | 35775 | 23973 | 18761 | 30863 | 35625 | 58840 | 46645 | 59787 | 96676 | 278195 | 312943 |

* preliminary data

Table 2: Nominal catch of Redfishes (in metric tons) by countries in Sub-Area I

| Country | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $1976 *$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faroe Isl. | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 30 | - |  |
| France | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | - |  |
| GDR | - | - | 81 | 25 |  |  |  |  | - | 26 | - |  |
| -many, | - | 7 |  | 25 | 23 | - | 78 | 36 | - | 358 | 201 | 90 |
|  | - | 7 | 354 | - | - | 133 | 148 | 7 | 76 | 1086 | 483 | 476 |
| Netherlands | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 333 | 159 | 242 | 464 | 365 | 141 |  |  | 1917 | 194 | 482 | ) |
| Poland | - |  |  | 464 | 5973 | 141 6 | 316 | 1000 | 1917 | 194 | 482 | a) |
| Portugal | - |  |  |  | 5973 | 6 | 1 | 22 | - | - | 93 | 47 |
| Spain | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 331 |  |
| U.K. | 1016 | 1706 |  | 1163 |  |  |  |  | - | - | 820 |  |
| USSR | 4974 | 4511 | 164 |  | 1385 | 1384 | 1406 | 1363 | 1894 | 1320 | 1048 | 29 |
|  | 4974 | 4511 | 164 | 1076 | 3647 | 2281 | 3743 | 4403 | 4885 | 9318 | 30750 | 12411 |
| Total | 6323 | 6383 | 3736 | 2728 | 11393 | 3945 | 5692 | 6831 | 778 | 23 | 208 |  |

preliminary data
a) Div. I and Div. IIb included in Div. IIa

Nabie 3: Nominal catch of Redfishes (in metric tons) by countries in Division IIa

| country | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| Faroe Isl. | - |  |  |  |  | 60 |  | 9 | 22 |  |  |  |
| France |  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  | 9 | 22 | - | 67 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 980 |  |  |
| GDR | 34 | 285 | 26 | 69 | 812 | 2243 | 12339 | 8963 | 11474 | 27153 | 22778 | 16921 |
| Germany, F $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$. | 4766 | 5382 | 5196 | 3258 | 5573 | 2165 | 1188 | 1466 | 2207 | 4167 | 4263 | 6379 |
| Netherlands | 345 | 33 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | - | - | - | 127 |
| Norway | 6129 | 6772 | 4961 | 3518 | 3510 | 3679 | 4277 | 5720 | 5564 | 6837 | 4444 | $7000^{\text {a }}$ |
| Poland | - |  |  |  | - | 269 | 1605 | 784 | 156 | 869 | 920 | 217 |
| Portugal | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Spain | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 153 | - |
| U.K. | 2927 | 4373 | 3781 | 3820 | 3578 | 2741 | 2463 | 2680 | 2125 | 1991 | 1621 | 141 |
| USSR | 13991 | 8565 | 4715 | 3779 | 14 | 142 | 209 | 291 | 131 | 14 | 39138 | 23192 |
| Total | 29089 | 25410 | 18679 | 14444 | 13507 | 11299 | 22081 | 19913 | 21679 | 42011 | 73384 | 53977 |

* preliminary data
a) Sub-area I and Div. IIb included
b) Div. IIb included

Table 4: Nominal catch of Redfishes (in metric tons) by countries in Division IIb

| Country | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $1976 *$ |

Faroe Isl.


* preliminary data
a) Div. I and IIb included in Div. IIa
b) Div. IIa included Div. IIb

Table 5: Sebastes mentella in Divisions II a and II b

Effort and catch per unit of effort 1965-76

| Year | $\frac{\text { USSR catch/hour }}{\text { (tons) }}$ | $\frac{\text { USSR effort }}{\text { (hours trawling) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Total effort }}{\text { (hours trawling) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 0.38 | 37895 | 41216 |
| 1966 | 0.39 | 22308 | 2.6008 |
| 1967 | 0.37 | 15135 | 16862 |
| 1968 | 0.45 | 9778 | 12029 |
| 1969 | 0.48 | 11458 | 14242 |
| 1970 | 0.46 | 23261 | 49817 |
| 1971 | 0.38 | 68158 | 118587 |
| 1972 | 0.38 | 47368 | 79953 |
| 1973 | 0.45 | 59556 | 85289 |
| 1974 | 0.69 | 60000 | 100539 |
| 1975 | 0.95 | 217789 | 251653 |
| 1976 | 0.99 | 251818 | 277289 |

Table 6: Year class strength of redfish in Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DRAGESUND } \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURKOVA } \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ |  | bara nenkova1968 |  | O-group surveys Abundance indices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S.marinus | $\underline{S}$-mentella | S.marinus | S.mentella |  |
| 1956 | Strong |  | Strong | Strong |  |  |
| 1957 | Average | Average | Strong | Average | Average |  |
| 1958 | Poor | Poor | Poor | Below Average | Poor |  |
| 1959 | Average |  | Average | Strong | Strong |  |
| 1960 | Poor |  |  | Poor |  |  |
| 1961 | Poor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962 | Very poor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | Poor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 | Strong |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | Strong |  |  |  |  | 159 |
| 1966 | Strong |  |  |  |  | 236 |
| 1967 | Average |  |  |  |  | 44 |
| 1968 | Average |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 1969 | Very strong |  |  |  |  | 295 |
| 1970 | Strong |  |  |  |  | 247 |
| 1971 | Average |  |  |  |  | 172 |
| 1972 | Average |  |  |  |  | 177 |
| 1973 | Strong |  |  |  |  | 385 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  | 468 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  | 447 |

Table $\bar{i}$ :

> Vominal catch of Sebastes marinus (in metric tons) by countries (Sub-area I, Divisions IIa and IIb combined)

| Country | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| Faroe Isl. |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | - | 9 | 28 | 6 | 67 |  |
| France | 897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1006 |  |  |
| G DR |  |  | 81 | 25 | 23 | - | 78 | 753 | 2561 | 3158 | 1376 | 2003 |
| Germany, F.R. | . 4766 | 5389 | 5550 | 3258 | 5573 | 2298 | 1336 | 1473 | 2283 | 5272 | 4746 | 6855 |
| Netherlands | 345 | 33 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| Norway | 6462 | 6931 | 5203 | 3982 | 3875 | 3820 | 4593 | 6720 | 7481 | 7031 | 4926 | 7000 |
| Poland |  |  |  |  | 5973 | 6 | 1 | 85 | 35 | 90 | 140 | 72 |
| Portugal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 331 |  |
| Spain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 820 |  |
| U.K. | 3943 | 6079 | 5200 | 4983 | 4963 | 4125 | 3869 | 4043 | 4019 | 3311 | 2669 | 170 |
| USSR | 7900 | 7200 | 1700 | 1100 | 3600 | 2400 | 3900 | 4700 | 5000 | 7400 | 24100 | 22200 |
| Total | 24313 | 25632 | 17734 | 13348 | 24027 | 12709 | 13777 | 17783 | 21407 | 27304 | 39175 | 38427 |

preímirary data


* preliminary data

```
Mable % : Age composition of the total catches of S. marinus
    (in 1000's) in Sub-area I and Division IIa 1965-1976
```

| Age | 1905 | 1906 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 296 | 41 | 44 | 43 | 51 | 62 | 46 | 261 | 590 | 294 | 693 | 226 |
| 13 | 322 | 118 | 94 | 32 | 35 | 122 | 41 | 332 | 570 | 345 | 868 | 489 |
| 14 | 805 | 370 | 199 | 74 | 97 | 229 | 107 | 633 | 913 | 796 | 1638 | 1286 |
| 15 | 1531 | 863 | 406 | 165 | 209 | 444 | 239 | 1137 | 1527 | 1578 | 2984 | 2558 |
| 16 | 3505 | 2952 | 1363 | 550 | 666 | 1232 | 886 | 2563 | 3266 | 4159 | 7397 | 6599 |
| 17 | 1529 | 1737 | 919 | 364 | 556 | 723 | 594 | 1261 | 1441 | 2093 | 3563 | 2836 |
| 18 | 2321 | 2753 | 1536 | 611 | 954 | 1138 | 935 | 2014 | 2157 | 3161 | 5117 | 4143 |
| 19 | 2231 | 2718 | 1695 | 684 | 1223 | 997 | 990 | 2046 | 1892 | 2678 | 4402 | 3634 |
| 20 | 445 | 503 | 310 | 131 | 223 | 185 | 185 | 385 | 342 | 484 | 775 | 653 |
| 21 | 2223 | 2471 | 1459 | 753 | 1456 | 1003 | 858 | 1732 | 1420 | 1791 | 2829 | 2724 |
| 22 | 1624 | 1687 | 951 | 555 | 1084 | 750 | 595 | 1112 | 849 | 1042 | 1721 | 1714 |
| 23 | 1758 | 2158 | 1167 | 898 | 1518 | 921 | 779 | 1251 | 1123 | 1159 | 1813 | 2032 |
| 24 | 1741 | 1924 | 1241 | 1266 | 2259 | 966 | 1123 | 1121 | 1248 | 837 | 1432 | 1974 |
| 25 | 958 | 960 | 896 | 993 | 1845 | 716 | 776 | 746 | 884 | 533 | 930 | 1355 |
| 20 | 637 | 615 | 723 | 887 | 1667 | 623 | 636 | 585 | 729 | 402 | 817 | 1133 |
| 27 | 460 | 406 | 504 | 644 | 1362 | 526 | 426 | 429 | 568 | 280 | 701 | 1012 |
| 28 | 328 | 405 | 432 | 614 | 1038 | 347 | 431 | 377 | 508 | 252 | 589 | 711 |
| 22674 | 22681 | 13939 | 9264 | 16243 | 10984 | 9647 | 17985 | 20027 | 21884 | 38269 | 35079 |  |

based on annual length compositions and an age/length key from the German (F.R. fishery in Div. IIa (1976)

Yable 10: Age composition of the total catches of S. mentella (in $000^{\prime}$ s) in Divisions IIa and IIb 1965-1976

| Age | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |  |  | 135 |  |
| 6 | 48 |  |  | 7 | 31 |  |  | 466 | 172 | 606 | 5334 | 551 |
| 7 | 285 |  |  | - | 94 |  |  | 792 | 1660 | 4847 | 19417 | 9125 |
| 8 | 1592 | 27 | 7 | 15 | 409 | 33 | 114 | 5728 | 4865 | 15451 | 42425 | 29133 |
| 9 | 2163 | 279 | 15 | 89 | 524 | 131 | 234 | 3586 | 9729 | 28781 | 82480 | 73640 |
| 10 | 1141 | 532 | 182 | 192 | 838 | 620 | 681 | 2049 | 4636 | 30144 | 108462 | 127306 |
| 11 | 1545 | 465 | 285 | 355 | 933 | 2122 | 1590 | 1770 | 2633 | 19843 | 119075 | 141823 |
| 12 | 1972 | 731 | 343 | 436 | 954 | 3428 | 4429 | 3855 | 3148 | 10603 | 57231 | 120489 |
| 13 | 2471 | 1223 | 394 | 554 | 849 | 3983 | 4884 | 4564 | 5208 | 8634 | 29651 | 65153 |
| 14 | 2804 | 1927 | 489 | 864 | 618 | 3526 | 5451 | 4704 | 5666 | 8634 | 20894 | 23416 |
| 15 | 1996 | 2007 | 496 | 768 | 482 | 2808 | 4940 | 4098 | 4578 | 6514 | 16499 | 19635 |
| 16 | 2067 | 1741 | 628 | 931 | 807 | 3983 | 7496 | 4704 | 5380 | 5908 | 13465 | 16930 |
| 17 | 1592 | 1422 | 613 | 694 | 451 | 2743 | 4486 | 3632 | 3777 | 3332 | 13668 | 11719 |
| 13 | 1473 | 944 | 540 | 665 | 849 | 3559 | 7382 | 3167 | 2747 | 2878 | 12207 | 11218 |
| 19 | 1069 | 837 | 949 | 702 | 786 | 2318 | 4770 | 1316 | 1316 | 1666 | 6757 | 4315 |
| 20 | 089 | 532 | 649 | 369 | 555 | 1567 | 3918 | 885 | 973 | 2121 | 7112 | 4017 |
| 21 | 404 | 346 | 693 | 347 | 440 | 784 | 2385 | 373 | 630 | 757 | 5113 | 2596 |
| 22 | 261 | 186 | 598 | 251 | 514 | 653 | 1874 | 279 | 114 | 454 | 2242 | 1668 |
| 23 | 71 | 66 | 248 | 89 | 199 | 327 | 1590 | 47 | $10 *$ | 151 | 735 | 1682 |
| 24 | 95 | 13 | 117 | 44 | 42 | 65 | 397 | 47 | $10 *$ | 151 | 407 | 761 |
| 25 | 24 |  | 36 | 15 | 31 |  | 57 |  |  |  | 204 | 309 |

[^0]Table 11: Sebastes marinus. Mean length at age (Sub-area I and Division IIa)


Pable 12: Sebastes marinus. Mean weight at length
(Sub-area I and Division IIa)


* First column excluded

Table 13 :
Sebastes mentella in Division IIa and IIb
Stock size (in $000^{\prime}$ s) estimated by VPA for 1965-1976

| Age | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 203577 | 232258 | 231691 | 292127 | 573289 | 782891 | 759502 | 742403 | 412884 | 353504 | 750000 | 750000 |
| 7 | 199273 | 184158 | 210156 | 209643 | 264323 | 518704 | 708389 | 687226 | 671315 | 373430 | 319296 | 673500 |
| 8 | 127265 | 180040 | 166633 | 190157 | 189693 | 239082 | 449343 | 640977 | 621078 | 605854 | 333286 | 270459 |
| 9 | 104531 | 113641 | 162883 | 150771 | 172048 | 171253 | 216300 | 424573 | 574536 | 557351 | 533513 | 261279 |
| 10 | 86512 | 92527 | 102562 | 147370 | 136339 | 155178 | 154832 | 195447 | 380761 | 510613 | 476959 | 404434 |
| 11 | 66118 | 77195 | 83217 | 92626 | 133164 | 122568 | 139822 | 139451 | 174900 | 340121 | 433376 | 328676 |
| 12 | 55817 | 58357 | 69407 | 75027 | 83477 | 119605 | 108887 | 125005 | 124438 | 155753 | 288898 | 279234 |
| 13 | 36616 | 48631 | 52109 | 62476 | 67473 | 74626 | 104964 | 94316 | 109435 | 199658 | 130856 | 207094 |
| 14 | 25086 | 30784 | 42841 | 46776 | 56004 | 60245 | 63739 | 90334 | 81003 | 94072 | 91019 | 90274 |
| 15 | 19095 | 20035 | 26023 | 38299 | 41503 | 50087 | 51161 | 52495 | 77267 | 67911 | 76917 | 62537 |
| 16 | 11693 | 15382 | 16222 | 23075 | 33925 | 37095 | 42652 | 41600 | 43606 | 65564 | 55260 | 53943 |
| 17 | 10313 | 8618 | 12264 | 14082 | 19995 | 29929 | 29782 | 31478 | 33173 | 34346 | 53712 | 37230 |
| 18 | 7190 | 7820 | 6448 | 10515 | 12082 | 17663 | 24475 | 22688 | 25033 | 26429 | 27913 | 35638 |
| 19 | 4128 | 5108 | 6179 | 5321 | 8882 | 10125 | 12605 | 15149 | 17522 | 20041 | 21180 | 13708 |
| 20 | 2845 | 2721 | 3828 | 4690 | 4148 | 7290 | 6963 | 6889 | 11983 | 14604 | 16551 | 12761 |
| 21 | 1853 | 1920 | 1957 | 2847 | 3893 | 3226 | 5110 | 2602 | 5393 | 9918 | 11201 | 8247 |
| 22 | 1497 | 1294 | 1409 | 1115 | 2247 | 3105 | 2176 | 2368 | 2000 | 4281 | 8255 | 5298 |
| 23 | 829 | 1238 | 1933 | 1373 | 1328 | 2054 | 3278 | 703 | 2029 | 3391 | 4839 | 7761 |
| 24 | 605 | 131 | 944 | 688 | 575 | 529 | 1091 | 475 | 156 | 1659 | 1408 | 2424 |

## Table 14: Sebastes mentella in DivisiongIIa and IIb

The biomass of the recruited stock $B\left(N_{6+}\right)$, the spawning stock $B\left(N_{15+}\right)$ and the year class strength (Estimates from VPA)

```
    \(B\left(N_{6+}\right) \quad B\left(N_{15+}\right)\)
Year \(10^{-3} \quad\) Year class strength
    Year at 6 years old
    class (Millions)
```

| 1965 | 315 | 51 | 1965 | 760 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 356 | 55 | 1966 | 742 |
| 1967 | 406 | 60 | 1967 | 413 |
| 1968 | 471 | 86 | 1968 | 354 |
| 1969 | 585 | 109 | 1969 | $(750)$ |
| 1970 | 735 | 137 | 1970 | $(750)$ |
| 1971 | 872 | 153 |  |  |
| 1972 | 1010 | 149 |  |  |
| 1973 | 1114 | 184 |  |  |
| 1974 | 1213 | 213 |  |  |
| 1975 | $(1326)$ | $(240)$ |  |  |
| 1976 | $(1228)$ | $(208)$ |  |  |
| 1977 | $(1056)$ | $(173)$ |  |  |
| 1978 | $(1021)$ | $(182)$ |  |  |
| 1979 | $(1045)$ | $(189)$ |  |  |

Table 15: Stock size of S. marinus Div. IIa and Subarea I 1965-1976 (in 000's) estimated by VPA for M $=0.10$.

| Age | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 39249 | 34618 | 37312 | 27227 | 45858 | 49198 | 39314 | 68899 | 45250 | 43399 | 29404 | 39698 |  |
| 13 | 37663 | 35271 | 31284 | 33720 | 24595 | 43840 | 43552 | 35529 | 62094 | 40383 | 38989 | 25946 |  |
| 14 | 33368 | 33773 | 31802 | 28218 | 30481 | 22663 | 39552 | 39369 | 31833 | 55643 | 36212 | 34453 |  |
| 15 | 31585 | 29427 | 30207 | 28586 | 25462 | 29330 | 20289 | 35686 | 35020 | 27935 | 49591 | 31208 |  |
| 16 | 30813 | 27123 | 25806 | 26946 | 25709 | 24000 | 26117 | 18131 | 31209 | 30235 | 23775 | 42033 |  |
| 17 | 26514 | 24547 | 21734 | 22053 | 23859 | 23887 | 20544 | 22788 | 13967 | 25132 | 23402 | 14477 |  |
| 18 | 21607 | 22536 | 20559 | 18791 | 19609 | 22394 | 20926 | 18024 | 19420 | 11267 | 20750 | 17785 |  |
| 19 | 16206 | 17343 | 17772 | 17141 | 16422 | 17752 | 19181 | 18046 | 14393 | 15520 | 7188 | 13908 | 1 |
| 20 | 17317 | 12542 | 13107 | 14469 | 14859 | 14459 | 15114 | 16414 | 14382 | 11223 | 11496 | 2317 | G |
| 21 | 15452 | 15246 | 10870 | 11565 | 12968 | 13918 | 12910 | 13500 | 14486 | 12688 | 9695 | 9665 | 1 |
| 22 | 13919 | 11867 | 11444 | 8448 | 9748 | 10829 | 11639 | 10865 | 10557 | 11756 | 9777 | 6081 |  |
| 23 | 10848 | 11050 | 9933 | 9451 | 7116 | 8150 | 9085 | 9965 | 8774 | 8754 | 9646 | 7210 |  |
| 24 | 11051 | 8144 | 7946 | 7154 | 7697 | 4995 | 6498 | 7480 | 7827 | 6870 | 6819 | 7004 |  |
| 25 | 5721 | 8343 | 5539 | 6009 | 5269 | 4816 | 3601 | 4812 | 5702 | 5895 | 5420 | 4808 |  |
| 26 | 3275 | 4265 | 6636 | 4159 | 4493 | 3012 | 3676 | 2520 | 3644 | 4318 | 4827 | 4020 |  |
| 27 | 2294 | 2358 | 3274 | 5317 | 2920 | 2479 | 2133 | 2722 | 1723 | 2604 | 3525 | 3591 |  |
| 28 | 1327 | 1638 | 1747 | 2483 | 4198 | 1403 | 1743 | 1522 | 2055 | 1019 | 2090 | 2533 |  |


| Year | Spawning stock biomass (age 15 and older) and Stock biomass (age 12 and older) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spawning stock <br> biomass (15+) | Stock <br> biomass (12+) |
| 1965 | 195827 | 253086 |
| 1966 | 191988 | 246047 |
| 1967 | 183562 | 235727 |
| 1968 | 181212 | 227746 |
| 1969 | 178837 | 213304 |
| 1970 | 170910 | 229423 |
| 1971 | 167556 | 231429 |
| 1972 | 174127 | 247899 |
| 1973 | 174620 | 246364 |
| 1974 | 168429 | 241912 |
| 1975 | 176867 | 231749 |
| 1976 | 158184 | 210284 |
| 1866 | 139386 | 191423 |
| 1978 | 119313 | 178834 |
| 979 | $\begin{aligned} & 107569) F_{(78)}=0.35 \\ & 114903) F_{(78)}=0.25 \end{aligned}$ | 175151 |

Table 17: Fishing mortalities of S. marinus in Sub-area $I$ and Division IIa in 1965-1976 estimated by VFA for $M=0.10$

| Age | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | . 007 | . 001 | . 001 | . 002 | . 010 | . 001 | . 001 | . 004 | . 014 | . 007 | . 025 | . 006 |
| 13 | . 009 | . 004 | . 003 | . 001 | . 002 | . 003 | . 001 | . 010 | . 010 | . 009 | . 024 | . 02 |
| 14 | . 026 | . 012 | . 007 | . 003 | . 003 | . 011 | . 003 | . 017 | . 031 | . 015 | . 049 | - 04 |
| 15 | . 052 | . 031 | . 014 | . 006 | . 009 | . 016 | . 013 | . 034 | . 047 | . 061 | . 065 | . 09 |
| 16 | . 127 | .122 | . 057 | . 022 | . 028 | . 056 | . 036 | . 161 | . 117 | . 156 | . 396 | - 18 |
| 17 | . 063 | . 077 | . 046 | . 018 | . 025 | . 033 | . 031 | . 060 | . 115 | . 092 | . 174 | . 23 |
| 18 | . 120 | .138 | . 082 | . 035 | . 053 | . 055 | . 048 | . 125 | . 124 | . 350 | . 300 | - 28 |
| 19 | . 156 | . 180 | . 106 | . 043 | . 082 | . 061 | . 056 | . 127 | . 149 | . 200 | 1.032 | . 32 |
| 20 | . 027 | . 043 | . 025 | . 010 | . 016 | . 013 | . 013 | . 025 | . 025 | . 046 | . 074 | - 35 |
| 21 | . 164 | . 187 | . 152 | . 071 | . 126 | . 079 | . 072 | . 145 | . 109 | . 161 | . 366 | - 35 |
| 22 | . 131 | . 162 | . 092 | . 072 | . 124 | . 076 | . 055 | . 114 | . 088 | . 098 | . 205 | - 35 |
| 23 | . 187 | . 230 | . 144 | .105 | . 254 | . 127 | . 095 | . 142 | . 145 | . 150 | . 220 | - 35 |
| 24 | . 181 | . 286 | . 179 | . 206 | . 369 | . 227 | . 201 | . 171 | . 184 | . 137 | . 250 | - 35 |
| 25 | . 194 | . 129 | . 186 | . 191 | .459 | . 170 | . 257 | . 178 | . 178 | . 100 | .199 | . 35 |
| 26 | . 229 | . 164 | . 122 | . 254 | . 494 | . 245 | . 201 | . 280 | . 236 | .103 | .196 | - 35 |
| 27 | . 237 | . 200 | . 177 | . 136 | . 633 | . 252 | . 236 | . 181 | . 425 | . 120 | . 230 | - 35 |
| 28* | . 30 | . 30 | .30 | . 30 | . 30 | . 30 | . 30 | . 30 | . 30 | . 30 | . 35 | - 35 |

[^1]Table 18: Sebastes mentella in Division IIa and IIb
Fishing mortalities estimated by VPA for 1965-1976

| Age | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | . 000 | ¢000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 001 | . 000 | . 002 | . 008 | . 0007 |
| 7 | . 002 | . 000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | . 001 | .003 | .014 | .066 | -015 |
| 8 | . 013 | . 000 | -000 | .000 | . 002 | . 000 | . 000 | . 009 | . 008 | . 027 | . 143 | - 120 |
| 9 | . 022 | . 003 | . 000 | . 001 | . 003 | -001 | . 001 | . 009 | . 018 | . 056 | . 177 | - 350 |
| 10 | . 014 | . 006 | . 002 | .001 | . 006 | . 004 | . 005 | . 011 | . 013 | . 064 | . 272 | . 400 |
| 11 | . 025 | . 006 | . 004 | . 004 | . 007 | . 018 | . 012 | . 013 | . 016 | . 063 | . 340 |  |
| 12 | .038 | . 013 | -005 | .006 | .012 | . 031 | . 044 | . 033 | .027 | . 074 | . 233 | - 600 |
| 13 | . 074 | . 027 | . 008 | . 009 | . 013 | . 058 | . 050 | . 052 | 0.51 | . 086 | - 271 | - 400 |
| 14 | . 125 | . 068 | . 012 | . 020 | . 012 | . 063 | . 094 | . 056 | . 076 | . 101 | . 275 | - 400 |
| 15 | . 116 | . 111 | .020 | . 021 | .012 | . 061 | . 107 | . 086 | . 064 | . 106 | . 255 | - 400 |
| 16 | - 205 | - 126 | . 042 | .043 | .025 | -120 | - 204 | -126 | . 139 | . 099 | - 295 | - 400 |
| 17 | . 177 | . 190 | . 054 | . 053 | . 024 | . 101 | -172 | . 129 | . 127 | . 107 | . 310 | - 400 |
| 18 | - 242 | . 135 | . 092 | . 069 | . 077 | . 237 | . 380 | . 158 | . 122 | . 121 | . 611 | $\because 400$ |
| 19 | - 317 | . 189 | . 176 | . 149 | . 098 | . 274 | . 504 | -134 | .082 | .091 | . 407 | - 400 |
| 20 | . 293 | - 229 | . 196 | . 086 | . 151 | . 255 | - 884 | . 145 | . 089 | . 165 | . 597 | - 400 |
| 21 | - 260 | - 209 | -463 | . 137 | .126 | -294 | . 669 | . 163 | . 131 | . 084 | . 648 | - 400 |
| 22 | - 202 | . 164 | - 587 | . 269 | . 274 | - 249 | 2.206 | -132 | .062 | . 118 | . 335 | -. 400 |
| 23 | . 414 | . 065 | - 303 | .141 | . 316 | . 251 | 1.404 | . 258 | .006 | . 098 | . 253 | . 400 |
| 24 | . 179 | . 110 | . 140 | . 072 | . 082 | . 144 | . 481 | . 107 | . 072 | . 099 | . 364 | . 400 |

## Table 19: Parameters used in TaC Calculation

Gebastes marinus in Sub-area 1 and Division [1a

| $A_{i}$ ee | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stock size beginning } \\ \text { of } 1978 \end{gathered}$ | ```Proportional fishing mortality (1976-1978)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean weikrtit } \\ & \text { year are } \\ & \left.(k ;)^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 42932 | . 02 | . 477 |
| 13 | 38614 | . 05 | . 512 |
| 14 | 31667 | . 10 | . 577 |
| 15 | 20006 | . 25 | . 611 |
| 16 | 24769 | . 50 | . 710 |
| 17 | 19505 | . 65 | .761 |
| 18 | 22839 | . 80 | . 826 |
| 19 | 7119 | . 90 | . 895 |
| 20 | 7991 | 1.00 | . 947 |
| 21 | 5827 | 1.00 | 1.093 |
| 22 | 942 | 1.00 | 1.145 |
| 23 | 3930 | 1.00 | 1.293 |
| 24 | 2472 | 1.00 | 1.580 |
| 25 | ? 931 | 1.00 | 1.793 |
| 26 | 2848 | 1.00 | 1.885 |
| 27 | 1555 | 1.00 | 2.393 |
| 28 | 4124 | 1.00 | 2.454 |

Table 20: Sebastes mentella in Division II a and II b
Parameters used in catch prediction

| Age | Stock size beginning of 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportional } \\ & \text { fishing mortality } \\ & (1976-1978) \end{aligned}$ | Mean weight per age ( kg ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 700000 | . 0012 | . 168 |
| 7 | 632800 | . 025 | . 183 |
| 8 | 606944 | . 20 | . 255 |
| 9 | 496273 | . 58 | . 311 |
| 10 | 151591 | . 67 | . 367 |
| 11 | 111686 | 1.00 | . 432 |
| 12 | 141648 | 1.00 | . 508 |
| 13 | 94254 | . 67 | . 611 |
| 14 | 92982 | . 67 | . 679 |
| 15 | 84223 | . 67 | . 753 |
| 16 | 36713 | . 67 | . 821 |
| $1 /$ | 25433 | . 67 | . 872 |
| 18 | 21938 | . 67 | . 910 |
| 19 | 15141 | . 67 | . 923 |
| 20 | 14493 | . 67 | . 985 |
| 21 | 5575 | . 67 | 1.056 |
| 22 | 5190 | . 67 | 1.124 |
| 23 | 3354 | .67 | 1.193 |
| 24 | 2155 | . 67 | 1.215 |


| Sebastes marinus in Sub-area I and Division IIa |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| Catch (tons) |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing mortality | $362 / 7$ | 3/575 | 20524 |  |
| on age groups |  |  |  |  |
| subject to maximum | , 35 | . 35 | . 25 |  |
| Total stock biomass (12+) | 210 | 191 | 179 | 175 |
| Spawning stock |  |  |  |  |
| biomass (15+) | 158 | 139 | 119 | 115 |
| (000's tons) |  |  |  |  |

Table 22.
Nominal catch (in metric tons) of Redfish in Division Va (Iceland) by country 1969-1976 (Data for 1965-1974 from Bull.stat.)

| Countries | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 3841 | 3800 | 3788 | 4117 | 3360 | 2204 | 2798 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faroe Isl. | 16 | -- | 3 | 2 | 8 | 2204 | 2798 | 2484 | 1622 | 2114 | 1945 | 1447 |
| GDR | 274 | 441 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 827 | 35 | 9 | 243 | 254 | 82 | 211 |
| Germany, F.R. | 73982 | 73974 | б6́638 | 62521 | 55831 | 48907 | 238 | 135 | -- | 11 | -- | -- |
| Iceland | 23663 | 16607 | 17857 | 24716 | 55831 | 43907 | 46580 | 43963 | 38358 | 36398 | 33602 | 33047 |
| Hetherlands | 1528 | 36 |  |  | 2 | 23807 | 29118 | 26973 | 20470 | 27799 | 32659 | 35022 |
| Norway | -- | 50 | -- | 20 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Poland | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 17 |  |  | 15 | 22 | 37 |
| Scotland | 619 | 249 | 279 | 144 | 128 | 138 | 11 | 35 | -- | 18 |  | -- |
| UK | 9764 | 5913 | 5742 | 3727 | 2174 | 2810 | 3436 | 89 | 28 | 37 | 50 | 18 |
| USSE | 413 | 5998 | 435 | 809 | 1250 | 10 | 31 | 28 | 2923 | 2482 | 2308 | 2000* |
| TOTAL | 114100 | 107063 | 95033 | 95475 | 87735 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8730 | 78962 | 82370 | 77325 | 09650 | 69129 | 70734 | 71782 |

* Estimated

```
Table 23: Nominal catches in metric tons of Redfish in Division Vb 1965-76 (Faroe Island)
```

|  | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Isld. | 1 | -- | -- | 1 | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 121 | 28 | 9 | 33 |
| Franco : | 582 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 300 | 800 |  |
| G DR | 55 | 6 | 18 | 45 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Germany (F.R.) | 5159 | 3243 | 4949 | 6538 | 1293 | 1914 | 2328 | 4034 | 9490 | 7328 | 7628 | 4939 |
| Vetheriands | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 105 | 促 |
| Norway | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10 | 7 | 19 |
| UK.(Englandiximales) | ) 38 | 8 | 24 | 43 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 40 | 72 | 74 | 18 | -- |
| OK (Scotland) | 27 | 40 | 22 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 24 | 23 | 43 |
| total | 5862 | 3297 | 5013 | 6637 | 1326 | 1947 | 2352 | 4087 | 9696 | 7765 | 8591 | 5043 |

Table 24 : Nominal catch (metric tons) of Redfishes in Sub-area XIV b (East Greenland) and ICNAF (Data for 1965-1974 from ICES - Bulletin Statistique and ICNAF Statistical Bulletin)

| Country Year <br>  1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 129 |
| Faroe Islands - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 43 | 1 | + |
| GDR 110 | 99 | 28 | - | 154 | 409 | 611 | 703 | 841 | 1275 | 4490 | - |
| GFR 33253 | 19845 | 23225 | 17552 | 26289 | 16316 | 17062 | 7287 | 4491 | 2632 | 4979 | 3944 |
| Iceland 3082 | 3342 | 9935 | 5527 | 3906 | 1001 | 2380 | 5490 | 2144 | 9777 | 5632 | 7367 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | - |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 436 | 312 | 464 | 281 | 6 | 276 | - |
| U.K. 68 | 4 | 10 | - | - | + | + | 5 | 65 | 127 | 56 | - |
| USSR | - | - | - | 18 | - | 71 | 21 | 64 | 118 | 9830 | 102297 |
| Total XIV b 36513 (East Greenland) | 23290 | 33198 | 23079 | 30367 | 18162 | 20436 | 13970 | 7899 | 13978 | 25329 | 113737 |
| ```Total ICNAF }1905 Sub-area I (West-Gren- land)``` | 16758 | 13210 | 9606 | 4252 | 4101 | 2756 | 2988 | 3319 | 3324 | 8629 | 13682 |

Effort table for $S$. marinus in the Div. Va and Subarea XIV.
Catches and catch-per unit-effort are given in metric tons. (*the figures from 1976 are preliminary)

| Year | Division Va |  |  |  |  |  | Subarea XIV |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GERMANY (F.R.) |  |  | ICELAND |  |  | GERMANY (F.R.) |  |  | ICELAND |  |  |
|  | Day f <br> catch | hed effort | cpue | Hour catch | wled <br> effort | cpue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Day fi } \\ & \text { catch } \end{aligned}$ | hed effort | cpue | Hour <br> catch | wled effort | cpue |
| 1965 | 18058 | 3635 | 5.0 | 23190 | 55478 | 0.4 | 33253 | 2726 | 12.2 | 3082 | 4763 | 0.6 |
| 1966 | 26508 | 4384 | 6.1 | 16275 | 48438 | 0.3 | 19845 | 1563 | 12.7 | 3342 | 4074 | 0.8 |
| 1967 | 19329 | 4068 | 4.8 | 17500 | 45103 | 0.4 | 23225 | 2191 | 10.6 | 9935 | 7619 | 1.3 |
| 1968 | 11637 | 3281 | 3.6 | 24222 | 55301 | 0.4 | 17552 | 1553 | 11.3 | 5527 | 5143 | 1.1 |
| 1969 | 16962 | 3968 | 4.3 | 23835 | 56750 | 0.4 | 26289 | 2306 | 11.4 | 3096 | 3906 | 0.8 |
| 1970 | 13070 | 3542 | 3.7 | 23331 | 56766 | 0.4 | 16316 | 1584 | 10.3 | 1001 | 2447 | 0.4 |
| 1971 | 12216 | 3785 | 3.2 | 28636 | 58203 | 0.5 | 17062 | 2106 | 8.1 | 2380 | 3075 | 0.8 |
| 1972 | 4125 | 1872 | 2.2 | 26434 | 52448 | 0.5 | 7287 | 1777 | 4.1 | 5490 | 4923 | 1.1 |
| 1973 | 3067 | 803 | 3.8 | 25677 | 48722 | 0.5 | 4491 | 935 | 4.8 | 2144 | 2328 | 0.9 |
| 1974 | 4324 | 668 | 6.5 | 26965 | 50402 | 0.5 | 2632 | 309 | 8.5 | 9777 | 7794 | 1.3 |
| 1975 | 4250 | 458 | 9.3 | 31353 | 59268 | 0.5 | 5632 | 593 | 9.5 | 5632 | 4570 | 1.2 |
| 1976* | 4260 | 470 | 9.1 | 33271 | 65365 | 0.5 | 7367 | 944 | 7.8 | 7367 | - | - |

Table 26. Sebastes marinus. Mean length at age. Subarea XIV, Div. Va.

27.0
29.2
33.0
39.0
40.2
41.0
42.8
43.7
45.8
47.0
49.6
50.6
52.9
54.5
54.8
26.3
26.8
29.0
30.2
31.0
32.8
37.8
35.0
37.0
37.7
38.9
40.2
41.5
42.0
43.1
44.9
46.7
49.4
51.2
53.3
$26.9 \quad 0.728$
$23.9 \quad 0.385$
32.20 .454
32.40 .494
$31.0 \quad 0.431$
32.60 .503
$33.7 \quad 0.557$
35.70 .666
$36.5 \quad 0.714$
$37.8 \quad 0.795$
$39.0 \quad 0.875$
40.20 .961
$41.3 \quad 1.045$
$42.5 \quad 1.111$
$43.4 \quad 1.218$
$45.5 \quad 1.409$
$46.8 \quad 1.537$
$49.5 \quad 1.828$
50.81 .980
$53.0 \quad 2.257$
$54.5 \quad 2.461$
54.82 .502

Table 27: S. mentella. Mean length at age.
Subarea XIV and Div. Va.
German (F.R.) data only.

Subarea XIV Iceland 1975 Iceland $1976 \begin{gathered}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { mean length }\end{gathered}$

| 12 | 28.5 | 33.2 | 32.6 | 32.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 29.6 | 35.0 | 34.2 | 33.5 |
| 14 | 31.4 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 34.6 |
| 15 | 31.6 | 35.7 | 37.0 | 35.5 |
| 16 | 34.1 | 37.6 | 38.5 | 37.3 |
| 17 | 36.6 | 40.1 | 40.0 | 39.1 |
| 18 | 37.5 | 41.2 | 40.7 | 39.9 |
| 19 | 38.5 | 41.2 | 42.3 | 40.6 |
| 20 | 40.2 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 41.6 |
| 21 |  | 42.5 | 43.4 | 42.1 |
| 22 |  | 43.9 | 44.7 | 42.8 |
| 23 |  | 45.1 | 47.0 | 44.8 |
| 24 |  | 47.5 | 48.5 | 46.1 |
| 25 |  | 50.2 | 48.2 | 47.6 |
| 26 |  |  | 48.0 | 48.3 |
| 27 |  |  | 49.1 | 49.1 |

Table 28: Sebastes marinus Mean weight at length in grams (Subarea XIV and Division Va)

| Length Cm | Iceland | Germany, F.R. | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 3 |  |  |
| 7 | 5 |  |  |
| 8 | 6 |  |  |
| 9 | 9 |  |  |
| 10 | 12 |  |  |
| 11 | 17 |  |  |
| 12 | 22 |  |  |
| 13 | 28 |  |  |
| 14 | 33 |  |  |
| 15 | 42 |  |  |
| 16 | 53 |  |  |
| 17 | 60 |  |  |
| 18 | 81 |  |  |
| 19 | 94 |  |  |
| 20 | 114 |  |  |
| 21 | 147 |  |  |
| 22 | 174 |  |  |
| 23 | 185 |  |  |
| 24 | 207 |  |  |
| 25 | 235 |  |  |
| 26 | 256 |  |  |
| 27 | 286 |  |  |
| 28 | 329 |  |  |
| 29 | 355 |  |  |
| 30 | 385 |  |  |
| 31 | 441 | 350 | 396 |
| 32 | 480 | 405 | 443 |
| 33 | 536 | 495 | 516 |
| 34 | 583 | 538 | 561 |
| 35 | 655 | 605 | 630 |
| 36 | 699 | 668 | 684 |
| 37 | 759 | 689 | 724 |
| 38 | 862 | 759 | 811 |
| 39 | 916 | 828 | 872 |
| 40 | 961 | 904 | 933 |
| 41 | 1008 | 950 | 979 |
| 42 | 1107 | 1047 | 1077 |
| 43 | 1162 | 1117 | 1140 |
| 44 | 1373 | 1172 | 1273 |
| 45 | 1380 | 1274 | 1327 |
| 46 | 1455 | 1349 | 1402 |
| 47 | 1790 | 1360 | 1470 |
| 48 | 1588 | 1516 | 1552 |
| 49 | 1710 | 1650 | 1680 |
| 50 | 1810 | 1740 | 1775 |
| 51 | 2050 | 1625 | 1838 |
| 52 |  | 1805 | 1805 |

Table 29: Sebastes mentelle Mean weight at length (Subarea XIV and Division $V$ a) in grams

| Length cm | Icel and | Germany, F.R. | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 26 |  |  |
| 13 | 27 |  |  |
| 14 | 35 |  |  |
| 15 | 43 |  |  |
| 16 | 52 |  |  |
| 17 | 61 |  |  |
| 18 | 76 |  |  |
| 19 | 92 |  |  |
| 20 | 108 |  |  |
| 21 | 122 |  |  |
| 22 | 149 |  |  |
| 23 | 170 |  |  |
| 24 | 186 |  |  |
| 25 | 217 |  |  |
| 26 | 233 |  |  |
| 27 | 281 |  |  |
| 28 | 313 |  |  |
| 29 | 336 |  |  |
| 30 | 402 |  |  |
| 31 | 435 |  |  |
| 32 | 483 | 449 | 466 |
| 33 | 527 | 497 | 512 |
| 34 | 592 | 520 | 556 |
| 35 | 684 | 580 | 632 |
| 36 37 | 720 | 637 | 679 |
| 37 <br> 38 | 808 | 694 | 751 |
| 38 39 | 815 | 727 | 771 |
| 39 40 | 843 | 808 | 826 |
| 40 | 858 | 864 | 861 |
| 41 42 | 939 | 932 | 936 |
| 42 | 973 | 1002 | 988 |
| 43 44 | 1083 | 1075 | 1079 |
| 44 | 1133 | 1136 | 1135 |
| 45 | 1150 | 1209 | 1180 |
| 46 | 1210 | 1274 | 1242 |
| 47 | 1300 | 1360 | 1360 |
| 48 | 1300 | 1456 | 1378 |
| 49 |  | 1540 | 1540 |
| 50 | 1600 | 1715 | 1658 |
| 51 |  | 1650 | 1650 |
| 52 |  |  |  |

Table 30 Sebastes marinus SAI + DIV. IIa. Cohort (length) analysis $\mathrm{M}=0.1, \mathrm{~K}=0.042, \mathrm{LO}_{\mathrm{O}}=77 \mathrm{~cm}, \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{Z}}=.8$

| $\begin{aligned} & 1965- \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ | Cm | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \times 10^{-3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { F } \\ \text { (year) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \times 10^{-} 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1975- \\ & 1976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \times 10^{-3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ (\text { year }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \times 10^{-6} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 | 3 | . 0001 | 22.7 |  | 10 | . 0002 | 43.4 |
|  | 29 | 6 | . 0003 | 22.0 |  | 21 | . 001 | 42.2 |
|  | 30 | 30 | . 002 | 21.4 |  | 122 | . 003 | 41.0 |
|  | 31 | 94 | . 005 | 20.8 |  | 302 | . 008 | 39.8 |
|  | 32 | 188 | . 010 | 20.1 |  | 480 | . 013 | 38.5 |
|  | 33 | 275 | . 015 | 19.5 |  | 908 | . 026 | 37.2 |
|  | 34 | 360 | . 020 | 18.7 |  | 1189 | . 036 | 35.6 |
|  | 35 | 518 | . 031 | 18.0 |  | 2027 | . 065 | 34.0 |
|  | 36 | 642 | . 040 | 17.1 |  | 2223 | . 076 | 31.8 |
|  | 37 | 813 | . 054 | 16.2 |  | 2738 | . 102 | 29.6 |
|  | 38 | 1089 | . 078 | 15.2 |  | 3423 | . 142 | 27.1 |
|  | 39 | 1176 | . 092 | 14.1 |  | 3828 | . 182 | 24.2 |
|  | 40 | 1442 | . 125 | 12.9 |  | 3281 | . 181 | 20.8 |
|  | 41 | 1246 | . 121 | 11.4 |  | 2960 | . 191 | 17.9 |
|  | 42 | 1178 | . 130 | 10.2 |  | 2502 | . 190 | 15.1 |
|  | 43 | 1056 | . 132 | 9.0 |  | 1904 | . 171 | 12.1 |
|  | 44 | 931 | . 133 | 7.8 |  | 1421 | . 148 | 10.8 |
|  | 45 | 746 | . 122 | 6.8 |  | 1206 | . 146 | 9.3 |
|  | 46 | 595 | . 111 | 6.0 |  | 930 | . 130 | 8.0 |
|  | 47 | 603 | . 129 | 5.2 |  | 756 | . 122 | 6.9 |
|  | 48 | 609 | . 152 | 4.5 |  | 615 | . 114 | 6.0 |
|  | 49 | 473 | . 140 | 3.8 |  | 627 | . 135 | 5.2 |
|  | 50 | 467 | . 165 | 3.2 |  | 587 | . 150 | 4.4 |
|  | 51 | 366 | . 156 | 2.7 |  | 320 | . 096 | 3.7 |
|  | 52 | 314 | . 164 | 2.2 |  | 408 | . 144 | 3.2 |
|  | 53 | 348 | . 231 | 1.8 |  | 461 | . 203 | 2.6 |
|  | 54 | 283 | . 253 | 1.3 |  | 388 | . 223 | 2.0 |
|  | 55 | 231 | . 291 | 1.0 |  | 366 | . 290 | 1.5 |
|  | 56 | 145 | . 263 | . 7 |  | 217 | . 247 | 1.0 |
|  | 57 | 114 | . 305 | . 5 |  | 201 | . 338 | . 7 |
|  | 58 | 66 | . 268 | . 3 |  | 132 | . 362 | . 5 |
|  | 59 | 44 | . 270 | . 2 |  | 79 | . 372 | . 3 |
|  | 60 | 38 | . 385 | . 1 |  | 70 | . 686 | . 1 |
|  | 61 | 22 | . 432 | . 1 |  | 24 | . 753 | . 1 |
|  | 62 | 7 | . 265 | . 1 |  | 0 | 0 | . 1 |
|  | 63 | 7 | . 518 | . 1 |  | 3 | . 324 | . 1 |
|  | 64 | 1 | . 168 | . 1 |  |  | . 4 | . 1 |
|  | 65 | 1 | . 276 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3l. Cohort analysis using length data.

|  | Sebastes marinus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sebastes mentella |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{M}=0.1 ; \mathrm{K}=0.0192 ; & \mathrm{I}_{\infty}=101.67 \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{Z}}=0.8 \\ & 1965-74 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}=0.1 ; \mathrm{K}=0.0082 ; \quad \mathrm{L}_{\infty}^{\infty}=173.7 ; \quad \underset{\mathrm{F}}{1965-74} \mathrm{~F}=0.8 \\ \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (\text { No. }) \\ & \times 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $-3 \quad F \Delta t$ | $\begin{gathered} \\ F \\ \text { (year) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stock C <br> No. $)$  <br> $x 10^{-6}$ $\left(\begin{array}{l}x \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1975-76 \\ & F_{\Delta t} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | ar) |  | Catch (No.) $x 10-$ | $F \Delta t$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ \text { (year) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stock } \\ \text { (No.) } \\ \times 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Catch (No.) $\times 10$ | ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~F} \Delta t$ | F <br> (year) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Stock } \\ & (\text { No. }) \\ & \times \quad 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | . 00005 | . 00007 | 833.5 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | . 00006 | . 00007 | 778.9 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | . 00004 | . 00006 | 727.5 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 309 | . 00036 | . 00047 | 679.3 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 512 | . 00063 | . 00084 | 633.8 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1754 | . 0024 | . 0031 | 590.9 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6609 | . 010 | . 013 | 549.7 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11009 | . 018 | . 023 | 507.5 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12317 | . 022 | . 028 | 464.6 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16850 | . 033 | . 042 | 423.4 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14468 | . 031 | . 040 | 381.4 |
| 20 | 1 | . 000003 | . 000004 | 255.4 | 60 | . 00015 | . 00024 | 259.0 |  |  |  |  | 19659 | . 048 | . 061 | 343.9 |
| 21 | 3 | . 000008 | . 000013 | 242.7 | 60 | . 00016 | . 00024 | 246.1 |  |  |  |  | 14301 | . 040 | . 050 | 304.8 |
| 22 | 1 | . 000003 | . 000004 | 230.5 | 135 | . 00039 | . 00060 | 233.6 |  |  |  |  | 11921 | . 037 | . 046 | 272.3 |
| 23 | 4 | . 000012 | . 000019 | 218.7 | 197 | . 0006 | . 0009 | 221.6 |  |  |  |  | 8856 | . 031 | . 038 | 243.7 |
| 24 | 10 | . 000033 | . 000050 | 207.4 | 784 | . 0026 | . 0039 | 210.0 |  |  |  |  | 14948 | . 059 | . 073 | 219.4 |
| 25 | 6 | . 000021 | . 000032 | 196.5 | 1207 | . 0043 | . 0063 | 198.5 |  |  |  |  | 6554 | . 029 | . 036 | 192.0 |
| 26 | 12 | .000046 | . 000067 | 186.1 | 1333 | . 0050 | . 0074 | 187.2 |  |  |  |  | 7986 | . 040 | . 049 | 172.9 |
| 27 | 28 | . 000114 | . 000165 | 176.1 | 2342 | . 0096 | . 0138 | 176.3 |  |  |  |  | 9528 | . 055 | . 066 | 154.0 |
| 28 | 52 | . 00023 | .00032 | 166.5 | 2093 | . 0093 | . 0132 | 165.1 |  |  |  |  | 10795 | . 072 | . 086 | 135.1 |
| 29 | 111 | . 0005 | . 0007 | 157.3 | 3017 | . 0145 | . 0204 | 154.6 |  |  |  |  | 10851 | . 085 | . 101 | 116.4 |
| 30 | 354 | . 0018 | . 0025 | 148.5 | 2911 | . 0153 | . 0211 | 143.8 | 12 | .00009 | . 00011 | 118.4 | 6858 | .063 | . 07.4 | 99.0 |
| 31 | 500 | . 0027 | . 0037 | 139.8 | 3856 | . 022 | . 030 | 133.6 | 33 | .00027 | .00031 | 109.5 | 3855 | . 041 | . 048 | 86.0 |
| 32 | 1013 | .006 | . 008 | 131.4 | 5421 | . 034 | . 046 | 128.2 | 68 | . 00060 | .00070 | 101.2 | 2377 | . 028 | . 033 | 76.4 |
| 33 | 1662 | . 011 | . 014 | 123.1 | 5004 | . 035 | . 047 | 112.1 | 135 | .00130 | . 00151 | 93.5 | 1827 | . 024 | . 028 | 68.6 |
| 34 | 2555 | . 018 | . 023 | 114.6 | 5115 | . 041 | . 053 | 101.8 | 253 | . 0027 | . 0031 | 86.3 | 1549 | . 023 | . 026 | 61.9 |
| 35 | 3390 | . 026 | . 034 | 105.8 | 5200 | . 047 | . 060 | 91.9 | 398 | . 0046 | . 0052 | 79.5 | 1890 | . 031 | .036 | 55.8 |
| 36 | 3860 | . 033 | . 042 | 96.9 | 5260 | . 054 | . 068 | 82.4 | 670 | . 008 | . 010 | 73.0 | 2085 | . 039 | . 044 | 49.9 |
| 37 | 4479 | . 043 | . 054 | 87.9 | 5184 | . 061 | . 076 | 73.3 | 953 | . 013 | . 015 | 66.7 | 2187 | . 047 | .053 | 44.3 |
| 38 | 4564 | . 050 | . 062 | 78.9 | 5372 | . 073 | . 090 | 64.7 | 1475 | . 023 | . 026 | 60.7 | 2291 | . 057 | . 063 | 38.9 |
| 39 | 44.33 | . 056 | . 067 | 70.3 | 4709 | . 074 | .090 | 56.3 | 1874 | . 033 | . 036 | 54.6 | 1682 | . 048 | . 053 | 33.9 |
| 40 | 4327 | . 063 | . 075 | 62.2 | 5036 | . 094 | . 112 | 48.9 | 3053 | . 061 | . 068 | 48.7 | 2097 | . 069 | . 076 | 29.7 |
| 41 | 3868 | . 065 | . 076 | 54.6 | 4588 | . 103 | . 121 | 41.6 | 3625 | . 086 | . 094 | 42.1 | 2144 | . 084 | . 092 | 25.5 |
| 42 | 4191 | . 082 | . 095 | 47.8 | - 3469 | . 094 | . 108 | 35.1 | 4605 | .134 | . 145 | 35.5 | 2380 | . 113 | . 122 | 21.6 |

Table 31 (ctd).
Sobastos marinus
sobentas montolla

| cm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sobertag mentella |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{M}=0.1 ; \mathrm{K}=0.0192 ;$ $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}=101.67 \mathrm{~F}$  <br> $1965-74$  Z <br>    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{rlrl} \mathrm{M}=0.1 ; \mathrm{K}=0.0082 ; & \mathrm{L} \infty=173.7 ; & \frac{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{Z}}=0.8 \\ & 1965-74 & & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (\text { No. })_{3} \\ & \times 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $F \Delta t$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \text { (year) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { (No.) } \\ & \times 10^{-6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Catch (No.) $x$ 10- | $F_{\Delta} t$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{76}{F} \\ (\text { year }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (\mathrm{No.}) \\ & \mathrm{x} \mathrm{lo} \end{aligned}$ | $3^{F \Delta t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ (\text { year }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stock } \\ \text { (No.) } 6 \\ \times \quad 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Catch } \\ (\text { No. }) \\ \times 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{197}{F \Delta t}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-76}{F} \\ & (\text { year }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Stock } \\ & (\text { No. }) \\ & \mathrm{x} 10^{-6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 43 | 3523 | . 082 | . 093 | 41.1 | 3057 | . 099 | . 112 | 29.8 | 5071 | . 190 | . 203 | 28.5 | 2488 | . 147 | . 158 | 17.7 |
| 44 | 3029 | . 084 | . 094 | 35.2 | 2650 | . 104 | . 116 | 25.1 | 5128 | . 264 | . 280 | 21.7 | 2679 | . 207 | . 220 | 14.0 |
| 45 | 2958 | . 098 | . 108 | 30.1 | 2245 | . 107 | . 117 | 21.1 | 4709 | . 363 | . 380 | 15.3 | 2480 | . 267 | . 281 | 10.5 |
| 46 | 2744 | . 111 | . 119 | 25.4 | 1752 | . 102 | . 110 | 17.6 | 3511 | . 445 | . 459 | 9.7 | 2017 | . 319 | . 332 | 7.3 |
| 47 | 2460 | .123 | . 130 | 21.1 | 1614 | . 115 | . 121 | 14.7 | 2258 | . 505 | . 515 | 5.7 | 1722 | . 436 | . 447 | 4.9 |
| 48 | 2381 | . 150 | . 155 | 17.3 | 1469 | . 130 | . 135 | 12.2 | 1389 | . 591 | . 593 | 3.1 | 1116 | . 496 | . 502 | 2.9 |
| 49 | 2044 | . 166 | . 168 | 13.8 | 1196 | .133 | . 135 | 9.9 | 698 | . 593 | . 590 | 1.6 | 629 | . 509 | . 511 | 1.6 |
| 50 | 1711 | . 182 | . 182 | 10.8 | 1302 | . 187 | . 187 | 8.0 | 344 | . 582 | . 576 | . 8 | 399 | . 627 | . 616 | . 9 |
| 51 | 1293 | .183 | . 179 | 8.3 | 838 | . 158 | . 155 | 6.1 | 156 | . 506 | . 499 | . 4 | 160 | . 490 | . 484 | . 4 |
| 52 | 941 | .176 | . 169 | 6.4 | 706 | . 175 | . 168 | 4.8 | 89 | . 537 | . 524 | . 2 | 76 | . 404 | . 399 | . 2 |
| 53 | 660 | .163 | . 153 | 4.9 | 529 | .173 | . 163 | 3.7 | 38 | . 411 | . 402 | . 1 | 51 | . 461 | . 450 | . 1 |
| 54 | 336 | . 106 | . 098 | 3.8 | 253 | . 106 | . 098 | 2.9 | 33 | . 669 | . 634 | . 1 | 34 | . 567 | . 543 | . 1 |
| 55 | 294 | . 115 | . 104 | 3.1 | 276 | . 146 | . 182 | 2.4 | 19 | . 940 | . 853 | <.1 | 17 | . 551 | . 524 | <.1 |
| 56 | 135 | . 065 | . 057 | 2.6 | 212 | . 145 | . 128 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.028 | . 913 | <.1 | 5 | . 275 | . 265 | <.1 |
| 57 | 95 | . 054 | . 047 | 2.2 | 70 | . 060 | . 052 | 1.5 | 2 | . 855 | . 772 | <.1 | 5 | . 433 | . 409 | <.1 |
| 58 | 36 | . 024 | . 020 | 1.9 | 20 | . 020 | . 017 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | <.1 | 2 | . 276 | . 261 | <.1 |
| 59 | 29 | . 022 | . 019 | 1.7 | 46 | . 054 | . 044 | 1.1 | 1 |  | . 4 |  | 3 | . 755 | . 678 | <.I |
| 60 | 25 | . 022 | . 018 | 1.5 | 31 | . 043 | . 035 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 0.4 |  |
| 61 | 21 | . 022 | . 017 | 1.3 | 15 | . 024 | . 019 | . 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | 23 | . 028 | . 021 | 1.2 | 15 | . 028 | . 022 | . 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 26 | . 037 | . 028 | 1.0 | 9 | . 020 | . 015 | .7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 22 | . 037 | . 027 | . 9 | 12 | . 031 | . 023 | . 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | 27 | . 054 | . 039 | . 8 | 31 | . 098 | . 070 | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | 21 | . 051 | . 036 | .6 | 12 | . 047 | . 033 | . 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | 22 | . 066 | . 045 | . 5 | 12 | . 057 | . 039 | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 31 (ctd).

|  | Sebastes marinus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sebastes mentella |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{rlrl} \mathrm{M}=0.1 ; \mathrm{K}=0.0192 ; & \mathrm{L}_{\infty}=101.67 \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{Z}}=0.8 \\ & 1965-74 & & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{M}=0.1 ; \mathrm{K}=0.0082 ; & & \mathrm{L}_{\infty}=173.7 ; \\ & & \mathrm{F} \\ & 1965-74 & \\ & & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| cm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { (No.) } \\ & \times 10^{-3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F $\Delta t$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { (No.) } \\ & \times 10^{-6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Catch (No.) $\times 10^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\Delta \mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5-76}{F} \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stock } \\ & \text { (No.) } \\ & \times \quad 10^{-6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (\text { No. }) \\ & \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned} \text { F } \Delta t$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ \text { (year) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stock } \\ \text { (No.) } \\ \text { x } 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Stock } \\ & (\text { No. }) \\ & \mathrm{x} 10^{-6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 68 | 23 | . 087 | . 057 | . 5 | 11 | . 065 | . 043 | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | 20 | . 097 | . 061 | . 4 | 9 | . 066 | . 042 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | 18 | . 113 | . 070 | . 3 | 15 | . 144 | . 089 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 | 15 | . 125 | .075 | . 2 | 13 | .172 | . 103 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | 14 | .160 | . 092 | . 2 | 9 | . 168 | . 097 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | 11 | . 177 | . 099 | . 1 | 27 | 1.047 | . 533 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 12 | . 292 | . 156 | . 1 |  | . 542 | . 285 | <.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | 6 | . 229 | . 119 | . 1 |  | - | . 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 8 | . 539 | . 263 | <.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 64415 |  |  |  | 90819 |  |  |  | 40607 |  |  | 226486 |  |



Figure 1. Sebastes mentella. Divisions IIa and IIb. Relation of weighted mean fishing mortality (Age 13-22) to total effort.


Figure 2. Sebastes mentella. Divisions IIa and IIb. Relation of year class strength at age 6 (from VPA) to corresponding 0 -group survey abundance indices ( $\log _{e}$ transformed).

Figure 3. Sebastes marinus.Sub-area I and Division IIa. Yield per recruit curve for present exploitation pattern ( $M=0.1$ ).




[^0]:    assumed

[^1]:    * Assumed values

