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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Blue Whiting Assessment Working Group met at the ICES headquarters, Copenhagen, 6-12 May 1981. The terms of reference were set by the Council's resolution passed at its 68 th Statutory Meeting (CoRes.1980/ 2:6/8): to
(i) assess the current exploitation rate of the blue whiting stocks and advise on the biological need for and form of any regulatory action,
(ii) promote and coordinate further biological research on blue whiting in accordance with the recommendations presented in document C.M.1980/H:5.

### 1.1. Participants

| R.S. Bailey | United Kingdom (Scotland) |
| :--- | :--- |
| H. Hovgåd Hansen | Denmark |
| H.I. Jakupsstovu (Chairman) | Faroe Islands |
| B.W. Jones | United Kingdom (England) |
| T. Monstad | Norway |
| A. Paciorkowski | Poland |
| R. Robles | Spain |
| V. Ryzhov | USSR |
| N. Schultz | German Democratic Republic |
| R. Schöne | Federal Republic of Germany |
| S. Sveinbjörnsson | Iceland |
| V. Shleinik | USSR |
| A.M. Tavares | Portugal |
| M.E. Vasconcelos | Portugal |

2. THE FISHERY IN 1980

The total landings of blue whiting in 1980 (Table 2.1) were almost the same as in 1979 and this is the first time that the catches have not increased significantly since 1975.

The fishery is distributed over a vast area of the Northeast Atlantic extending from Sub-area IX in the south to Sub-areas I and II in the north. The following fisheries can be defined:
a) In the Norwegian Sea (Table 2.2). This fishery is mainly based on feeding, post-spawning blue whiting. By far the greatest catches are taken by vessels from the USSR (approximately 666250 tonnes in 1979 and 726900 tonnes in 1980, which is about $97 \%$ of the total catch in this area).
b) A spawming fishery based on the spawming stock in the spawning area (Divisinns VIa, $b$ and $V I I b, c$ ) and at the Faroes (Division Vb ) on fish migrating to and from the spawning area (Table 2.3).

The greatest part (144 000 tonnes in 1980) is landed by Norwegian vessels followed by USSR ( 40000 tonnes), Faroese and Danish vessels. The total catch in this area was about 258000 tonnes which was about 30000 tonnes less than in 1979.
c) The industrial fishery for Norway pout had stopped in 1980 by the time the juvenile blue whiting normally appear in the catches (Table 2.4). However, some blue whiting are always caught and discarded in the Nephrops fishery at the south coast of Iceland.
d) An industrial fishery for Norway pout and juvenile blue whiting in the North Sea (Divisions IVa-c and IIIa, Table 2.5). Catches in this fishery increased to 82000 tonnes in 1980 compared to 63000 tonnes in 1979. The main landings of blue whiting were made by Denmark ( 48000 tonnes) and Norway ( 30000 tonnes) which together accounted for about $95 \%$ of the total catch.
e) A southern fishery (Sub-areas VIII and IX and Division VIIg-k, Table 2.6). The main landings in this fishery were made by Spanish vessels ( 24000 tonnes of a total of 30000 tonnes) taken partly in a directed fishery for blue whiting and partly as a by-catch in other fisheries. Most of the fish landed were young immature blue whiting.
f) In the Greenland/Dohm Bank area (Division XIVb) the catches of the Federal Republic of Germany increased considerably due to directed fishing for human consumption. These have been included in Table 2.2.
3. STOCK DISTRIBUTION AND STOCK SEPARATION

At its 1980 meeting, the Working Group decided to treat the entire Northeast Atlantic as a single assessment unit except for the Southern area (Division VIIg-k, Sub-area VIII and Division IXa).

Data presented to the 1981 meeting indicate that blue whiting caught along the Spanish and Portuguese coasts (Sub-area VIII and Division IXa) are rather different from those found further north (see Section 10). Length at age is much lower and maturation appears to be complete at a much lower age and size than in the Northerm stock. This indicates the likelihood that blue whiting in these areas belong to a separate stock. For this reason, a separate assessment was made for this Southern area.

The Working Group, however, was not able to provide any new data from which to decide the appropriate boundary for the Southern stock. In last year's report, all catches south of Porcupine Bank were excluded from the Northern assessment. It is possible that the boundary between the stocks should be drawn further south than this, but the Working Group considered that it would be unwise to fix a definite boundary until better data are available, especially for the Celtic Sea and the Bay of Biscay.

In the Northern area, new data were presented for the area west of Iceland which might indicate the existence of a separate stock in this area also. On the Dohm Bank, fish ranging in length from $20-48 \mathrm{~cm}$ have been found at all times of the year in considerable quantities. The conclusions to
be drawn from this evidence, however, are not clear because the fish are in all cases at very low stages of maturation (either immature or resting), and it is not certain which spawning area they originate from.

The acoustic surveys of the spawning area to the west of the British Isles are thought to cover the major proportion of the Northern stock. At its previous meeting, however, the Working Group acknowledged the fact that spawning occurs in other areas. Further evidence from the Norwegian Sea was obtained by Norwegian vessels.

In July 1979, O-group blue whiting were observed by R.V. "MICHAEL SARS" in the Norwegian Sea. Using a pelagic trawl in the upper water layers, rather large numbers (up to 13000 specimens/hour) with a mean length of 3.5 cm were caught in an area on the Norwegian shelf between $64^{\circ}$ and $69^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. This is similar to observations made by Zilanov (1968) of large larvae in the Lofoten area. In addition, blue whiting ranging from $7-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length were found in small numbers in an area northeast of Shetland. The specimens observed in the latter area may originate from the main spawning west of the British Isles in March/April, while the 0-groups found further north, however, most probably originated from a later spawning somewhere in the southern part of the Norwegian Sea.

In August 1980, three vessels covered the greater part of the Norwegian Sea, making frequent pelagic trawl hauls in the upper water layers. Only very small numbers of blue whiting were found, i.e., at one station northeast of Iceland, at one station off western Norway and at three stations off northerm Norway.

A survey in the fjords of western Norway in June 1980 gave evidence that spawning also takes place there to a minor extent. In the fjords between $60^{\circ}$ and $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 77$ plankton-net stations were worked. In 37 of these, either blue whiting eggs or larvae were observed. In addition, a total of 63 specimens of 0 -group blue whiting were caught with pelagic trawl (15 stations), indicating that spawning takes place over an extended time period.

## 4. CATCH COMPOSITION

4.1. Age Determination

The otolith exchange programme initiated by the Blue Whiting Planning Group in 1979 is now complete and the results were presented to the Working Group.

The material consists of age readings by 11 readers on the same sample of 100 blue whiting otoliths. In addition, when reading the otoliths, 7 readers have marked on photos of a sub-sample of 31 otoliths which zones were interpreted as annual and consequently counted in the age determination.

Taking the readings at face value, the variability in interpretation is quite unacceptable (Table 4.1). There is no complete agreement on any of the otoliths. The difference between highest and lowest age interpretation is up to 10 years and is mainly between 3 and 8 years. The mean age in the sample calculated by readers varies from 6.3 to 10.6 years (Table 4.2).

Scrutinizing the marking of the zones on the photographs revealed that all combinations of interpretation of the edge and the first year's growth existed between readers, although the readers seemed to be quite consistent in their own interpretations. Standardizing the age readings for this reduced the variation between readers to some extent (Table 4.3). Of the 7 readers who had marked the photographs, 5 after standardizing obtained a mean age between 7.4 and 8.2 years, while one obtained a lower mean age ( 6.6 years) and one a higher ( 9.1 years).

From Table 4.2, it appears that there are 2.9 years' difference in mean age calculated for the sample between reader No. 9 (Norway) and reader No. 12 (USSR) when taken at face value. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that the catch in number by age groups provided by these countries is biased in the same way in relation to each other. As the catch taken by these countries amounted to $83 \%$ of the total catch in 1980 this will greatly affect any calculation based on the catch in numbers by age groups.

The Group felt, however, that it was not possible at this stage to standardize age readings brought to the Working Group meeting. In Table 4.4 , the catch in numbers by age group are as provided by the Working Group members.
4.2. Age Compositions of Landings

Age compositions of landings from the Northern areas were revised for 1979 and new data were made available for 1980. For the directed fisheries in 1980, age composition data were provided by the Faroes, the German Democratic Republic, Iceland, Norway, Poland, Scotland and USSR. These countries together accounted for $96 \%$ of the landings in the directed fisheries. Landings by other countries were caught mainly in the spawning fishery and were assumed to have the same relative age compositions as Norwegian landings (Table 4.4).

For landings of blue whiting taken in the mixed industrial fisheries, age compositions were available for Norway only ( $38 \%$ of total industrial landings). Other countries' landings were assumed to have the same relative age compositions as those of Norway (Table 4.5).

The raised age compositions for the directed fisheries and the mixed industrial fisheries were summed to give the total age composition for the Northern area (Table 4.6). It should be noted that all age compositions were used as submitted by the representatives of the countries concerned and no attempt was made to correct for any bias or differences in otolith interpretation between the different otolith readers (see Section 4.1).

No age composition data were available for the landings from the Southern area.

## 5. WEIGHT AT AGE

Mean weight at age has been calculated for several countries regarding the different areas and quarters. These data are shown in Table 5.1.

The differences in mean weight at age in the same areas and quarters may be due to both the different fishing areas and month of sampling as well as to different interpretations of the otoliths zones during ageing (see Section 4).

In order to get an overall weighted mean value at age, mean values were calculated for the spawning area, the Norwegian Sea and the mixed fishery in the North Sea separately, and summarized by weighting these values by the relevant catch in numbers in those areas (Table 5.2). Checks of sums of products (SOPs) of total numbers landed $x$ mean weight at each age were made for 1979 and 1980 using for 1979 the weight at age data given in last year's report and for 1980 the data given in Table 5.2. The calculated SOPs were within $2 \%$ of the nominal landings.

### 5.1. Spawning Area

Weight at age data were available from the Faroes, the Federal Republic of Germany, Norway, Scotland and the USSR. Summarized mean weight at age data for the spawning fishery are given in Table 5.2. These values were calculated by weighting the Norwegian and Faroese mean weights at age for the second quarter by the total catch from the directed fisheries by these nations. The combined catches of these two countries account for approximately $80 \%$ of the total fishing in the spawning area.
5.2. Norwegj.an Sea

Data from this area were supplied by the German Democratic Republic, Poland, Norway and the USSR. The USSR weight at age data for the 2nd and 3rd quarter, which represent more than $85 \%$ of the USSR catch and approximately $80 \%$ of the total catch in the area, were used as representing the mean weight at age in the Norwegian Sea (Table 5.2).

### 5.3. Mixed Fishery (North Sea)

Weight at age data for the industrial mixed fisheries in the North Sea were available for all quarters from Norway. Mean weight data for that fishery for the total year were calculated from data by quarters weighted by the total catch in numbers from the Norwegian fishery.
6. NATURAL MORTALITY

Based on catch curve analysis of Scottish and Norwegian research vessel samples of blue whiting, the Working Group in 1980 concluded that natural mortality could be in the range of $0.2-0.3$. Warburton (1981) in a working paper to the Working Group based on biological data on blue whiting from the main spawning areas sent to him (see Blue Whiting Working Group Report 1980, recommendation No. 4 (C.M.1980/H:5)) indicates that the combined data would suggest $M$ to be slightly higher than that adopted by the Working Group, perhaps 0.25-0.4 compared to 0.2-0.3

In view of the difficulties in the ageing, especially of older fish, the estimates of natural mortality based on catch curves may be biased. If ages of the older fish are underestimated, this will lead to an overestimate of the natural mortality. The effect of this possible bias requires further investigation.

## 7. STOCK SIZE ESTTMATES

7.1. Acoustic Stock Size Estimates

### 7.1.1. Spawning season

A coordinated acoustic survey was carried out by Norwegian and Scottish research vessels during March-April 1981 in the area extending from Porcupine Bank ( $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{IV}$ ) to the Norwegian Sea ( $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ). The Norwegian cruise of "G.0. SARS" took place from 9 March - 4 April, the period up to 20 March in the northern part of the area and the remaining period in the area west of Scotland (Figure 7.1.a). Blue whiting were recorded over almost the entire area surveyed, the highest concentrations being found west of the Hebrides. The Scottish survey on "SCOTIA" took place from 25 March - 14 April (Figure 7.1.b). The first half of the survey covered the area from the Hebrides to Porcupine Bank, while the second half covered the area from northwest of Ireland to the FaroeShetland Channel extending west to Rockall Bank. During this survey, blue whiting were recorded in the highest densities in a rather narrow strip along the edge of the Continental Shelf with the highest concentrations northwest of Ireland and just north of the WyvilleThompson Ridge.

The Norwegian acoustic data were converted to biomass estimates using the same method as in 1980. At two trawl stations, in situ target strength (TS) measurements were made on single blue whiting echoes. The results indicate the median recorded $T S$ value to be -39 dB . The corresponding median fish length in the trawl hauls was 32 cm . This value fits closely with TS values for cod of the same length given by Nakken and Olsen (1977), and the length-dependent conversion factor (C-value) for blue whiting appropriate for "G.0. SARS" was that calculated for cod:

$$
C=5.25 \times 10^{6} \times 1^{-2.18}
$$

where $l$ is the fish length in cm , and the C -value is expressed in numbers of fish per mm of integrator deflection per square nautical mile. This formula was used in conjunction with the mean length and mean weight from trawl haul data to estimate the blue whiting biomass in each statistical rectangle surveyed.

The biomass estimates for each statistical rectangle surveyed by the Norwegian vessel are given in Figure 7.2. The total for the axea surveyed is 6.9 million tonnes. Owing to the time difference between the surveys in the north and south of the area when blue whiting were still moving south, it is possible that part of the stock may have been measured twice. If so, the above figure may be a slight overestimate.

The Scottish survey estimates were calculated by referring the echointensities to standard targets of known target strength (table tennis balls). Two calibrations were carried out during the cruise. To convert the values obtained to levels comparable with the Norwegian estimates, some assumption had to be made about the $T S$ values used on the Norwegian survey. While these were based on a length-dependent TS function, the modal length of blue whiting in Norwegian trawl hauls in the Scottish survey area was 32 cm . The target strength corresponding to this length is -39 dB and using a weight per individual of 186 g this is equivalent to a TS of $-32 d B / \mathrm{kg}$.

There is, however, a further factor to be taken into account. Recent research has shown that the absorption coefficient of sound in seawater used in the time-varied-gain function (TVG) in the acoustic equipment used on these surveys may not be correct. Furthermore, there is a difference of approximately $2 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ between the values of this coefficient used on the Scottish and Norwegian ships. In relatively shallow water this difference will have little effect, but at the depths at which blue whiting are found in this area (here assumed to be 400 m on average), there will be a significant difference. The Norwegian equipment has used a higher absorption coefficient than the Scottish equipment and has therefore used a higher compensation value in the TVG.

To correct for the differences between the equipment, the Scottish values were recalculated to correspond to a target strength of $-32 d B / \mathrm{kg}$, and to allow for the differences in absorption coefficient. The resultant biomass estimates for each statistical rectangle are given in Figure 7.3. The total estimate for the area surveyed by the Scottish vessel is 5.3 million tonnes.

To combine the results of the two surveys, the mean of the values has been used for those rectangles covered by both ships. The resulting total for the entire area surveyed is 2.7 million tonnes. However, if the lower absorption coefficient is used in biomass calculations for both surveys, then the overall biomass estimate is 6.7 million tonnes. Since no information was available to the Working Group to decide which of the two absorption coefficients is correct, it is only possible to express the results as a range of values, i.e. 6.7-9.7 million tonnes, with a mean of 8.2 million tonnes.

The equivalent biomass in that part of the area surveyed by both ships was 4.0 million tonnes by the Norwegian vessel and 3.5 million tonnes by the Scottish vessel. In addition, an intercalibration experiment was carried out west of the Hebrides. Even when the Scottish values obtained during this experiment had been corrected to refer to the same target strength and the same absorption coefficient as the Norwegian values, the slope of the regression line between the two sets of values still indicated a factor of 1.6 difference between the two vessels. Since the experiment was carried out in unfavourable weather which resulted in considerable amounts of noise in the acoustic recordings, the results of the intercalibration may not be reliable and were therefore not used to correct the biomass estimates of either ship.

Although the Working Group were aware of the potential sources of error in the estimate, they considered that the figure of 8.2 million tonnes represented the best estimate of the biomass in the area surveyed. According to the analysis of the Norwegian trawl samples, the immature part of this estimate accounted for about 1 million tonnes. This gives a minimum estimate of the spawning stock biomasses in the range of $5.7-8.7$ million tonnes, with a mean of 7.2 million tonnes.

### 7.1.2. Post-spawning migration

From 22 April to 5 May 1980, the Soviet R.V. "POISK" carried out an acoustic survey for blue whiting in the Faroe Islands area and in the southeastern Norwegian Sea (Figure 7.4). In the southeastern Norwegian Sea, blue whiting were distributed over the area from $62^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ to $64^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and from $00^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ and $02^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. In the daytime, fish were observed in depths of $300-500 \mathrm{~m}$, but at night they were more dispersed.

In the area surveyed, the main blue whiting concentratjons were observed in the area south of $63^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Blue whiting were distributed in depths of $400=500 \mathrm{~m}$ during the day and the vertical extent of the shoals was 20-40 m.

In the southeastern Norwegian Sea, $54.2 \%$ of blue whiting caught were 28-31 cm long and from their gonad condition would not have taken part in spawning that year. Post-spawning blue whiting did not exceed $11 \%$ of the fish analysed. Fish from $5-10$ years of age predominated in the catches ( $80 \%$ ).

The smallest blue whiting were found in the area west of the Faroe Islands. The mean length of fish in this area was 25 cm , varying between 17 and 32 cm in different samples. Immature blue whiting in the first maturity stages prevailed in the area ( $75.7 \%$ at stage II).

Blue whiting $24-38 \mathrm{~cm}$ long and at an age of $5-15$ years (Toble 7.1) were caught to the east of the Faroe Islands. Postmspawning specimens made up $34.9 \%$ of the number of fish analysed.

The biomass of blue whiting in the area surveyed from 22 April to 5 May 1980 was estimated to be 812000 tonnes, including 697000 tonnes in the Faroe Islands area (Table 7.2). The length dependent conversion factors used were described by Zaferman et al (1980).

This estimate would suggest that most of the spawning population was distributed to the south and east of the area surveyed.

### 7.1.3. The summer feeding period

From 4 August to 11 September 1980, the three Norwegian research vessels "G.O. SARS", "MICHAEL SARS" and "JOHAN HJORT" carried out a combined survey of the area from Shetland to Spitsbergen and between Greenland and Hope Island in the Barents Sea. In Figure 7.5, the cruise tracks are shown together with hydrographic and pelagic trawl stations.

The purpose of the cruise was to investigate the distribution and density of blue whiting and 0-group fish. In the Iceland/Jan Mayen area, the objective was also to investigate capelin distribution. In the Svalbard and Barents Sea area, the blue whiting recordings were obtained from the annual international 0-group fish survey, which took place immediately after the survey further south.

The distribution and relative densities of blue whiting are shown in Figure 7.6. They were found scattered over most of the area covered. The western limit of the distribution was located more or less along the polar front which followed a line from Iceland to the west of Jan Mayen and northeast and north to the west of Spitsbergen. In the east, blue whiting were recorded to the area east of Bear Island.

The results of the survey provide information on blue whiting distribution over a large area which was covered within a fairly short time period. As this is considered to be a pilot survey, no stock estimate is given. It nevertheless shows the feasibility of future acoustic assessment surveys on the stock during the feeding season.

### 7.1.4. Acoustic assessment surveys

Acoustic assessment surveys in the spawning area in the years 1972-79 have resulted in a great variation of spawning stock size estimates (Anon., 1980). The coordinated surveys in 1980 and 1981, however, in which intercalibration between ships was carried out, resulted in spaming stock size estimates of a similar magnitude when the data for the ships participating were combined. At least this would indicate that, by continuing acoustic assessment surveys in the same way as in 1980 and 1981, the relative spawning stock size could be monitored, especially if all aspects of the calibration procedure were standardized.

The acoustic assessment survey of blue whiting with 3 Norwegian vessels in the Norwegian Sea in July/August 1980 reveals that acoustic assessment of the stock feeding in the Norwegian Sea is quite feasible. Despite the large area which has to be surveyed, this approach has many advantages compared to assessing the spawning stock. The weather conditions are likely to be better on average, the fish are supposedly more stationary or are migrating less rapidly compared to the spawning period, the scattered concentrations are easier to survey and the fish are generally found in shallower water yielding better target strength measurements. Finally, a survey in the Norwegian Sea in the summer/ autumn period would cover a major part of the total stock, in contrast to surveys during the spawning period, when only the major part of the spawning stock is found to the west of the British Isles.

The best time to do acoustic assessment surveys of blue whiting in the Norwegian Sea is when the northward migration is completed and before the southward migration starts, presumably in the period August to September. At this time, however, most research vessels likely to be able to take part in such an effort are occupied on annual 0-group surveys and the blue whiting would most likely have to take place before or after this period.

Owing to the advantages of a survey in the feeding period, however, the Working Group felt that an acoustic assessment of the blue whiting stock in the Norwegian Sea should be carried out in July-August 1982, with vessels participating from as many countries as possible. In order to achieve this, an ad hoc planning group should be established to coordinate the surveys and to standardize survey procedures including between-ship calibration.

As this would be a new approach with unknown problems, the Working Group recommends that the coordinated acoustic surveys of the spawning stock west of the British Isles should also be conducted in 1982.

### 7.2. Virtual Population Analysis (VPA)

Catch age composition data were available for the period from 1970 and these were used by the Group to perform some trial VPA calculations. It was hoped that it might be possible to obtain estimates of fishing mortality in the last year or two. However, on examining the results, it was concluded that this could not be done with any reliability due to uncertainties relating to the input data. The catch age composition data are known to be subject to error and/or bias due to differences in interpretation of age between readers. It is not known what value of the natural mortality coefficient is appropriate for blue whiting, although limits of a probable range of values could be given (see Section 6). Furthermore, there was very little information to indicate
appropriate input values for fishing mortality on the oldest age group and for the most recent year. One possibility considered by the Group was to use F values for 1980 which would give a stock biomass estimate in 1981 consistent with that determined by the acoustic survey. However, the difficulties with this approach were knowing what proportion of the total stock biomass was represented in the acoustic survey estimate. The best estimate of biomass from the acoustic survey in early 1981 is 8.2 million tonnes. Although the surveys covered the main part of the known spawning area, it is known that some spawning occurs outside the area surveyed. There was no means of knowing what proportion of the spawning stock was included in the survey. On the other hand, trawl samples taken during the survey indicated, particularly in the northern part of the area, that a proportion of immature fish will have been included in the stock surveyed. The shape of the maturity ogive is also uncertain. As a result of these uncertainties, the Working Group concluded that no reliable estimate either of the current exploitation pattern or of the level of fishing mortality could be given.

### 7.3. Catch per Unit Effort

The data on catch per unit effort were available from 7 countries, i.e. Faroes, German Democratic Republic, Iceland, Norway, Poland, United Kingdom (Scotland), and Soviet Union for I-5 recent years. In accordance with a request from ACFM, the reported data were broken down by vessel tonnage classes, gear types and area. Some countries provided data on monthly and Sub-area or Division basis, which may enable a more detailed examination in the future.

The observed trends do not allow any definite conclusions to be drawn at present on stock density. Cpue of Faroese 500-999.9 GRT class (MWT) indicate a declining availability of postospawning blue whiting in the fishery on the Faroe Plateau in May when catch per hour decreased annually from 17.6 tonnes in 1977 to 6.2 tonnes in 1980 (Table 7.3).

The oatch rates of Icelandic trawlers of the same tonnage class also fishing at the Faroes in May showed a rapid decline from 1978 to 1979 but a considerable increase (by 25\%) in 1980. The results of Polish vessels from the same Division fluctuated widely from year to year and were highest in 1979. A constant increase from 1977 to 1979 on the other hand, and an abrupt decrease in 1980, were shown by Faroese data from Division VIa in April, for example. After a discussion, it was concluded that the observed decline in cpue of Faroese vessels may reflect the changes in availability of blue whiting due to periodic changes of the current system around the Faroes which influences the migration pattern and thus the density of blue whiting concentrations in that area. The blue whiting density changes in Division Va may also be dependent on environmental factors such as water temperature and strength of the East Icelandic current. However, no environmental explanation can be given for the considerable decline in Icelandic catches and catch rates in that Division in 1980. Cpue in all the above-mentioned areas could fluctuate due to the influence of such factors as stock abundance changes, the time when a fishery takes place, fleet structure within a GRT class etc. In that context, a clear separation of the observed density changes within these Divisions into those resulting from environmental reasons and those from other factors is difficult at present.

The cpue data of the fishing fleets exploiting the leeding concentrations of blue whiting in the Norwegian Sea reveal a similar degree of variability, but it is believed that the real density changes may be masked or altered by such factors as learning, fishing gear improvements (more common usage of high opening rope trawls), and an increasing proportion of more powerful and better equipped vessels within the same tonnage class etc. The stability of cpue by USSR vessels which account for about $70 \%$ of the total blue whiting catoh in 1979 and 1980 (Table 7.3 ) could indicate, however, that no substantial changes in stock abundance have taken place during the last two years in that area.

Taking into account the future needs for blue whiting stock assessments and the conclusions of the Working Group on the Use of Effort Data, the Blue Whiting Woxking Group recommends that all countries involved in the blue whiting fishery should report their catch and effort statistics on the blue whiting by GRT class, gear type, division and month. In addition, age compositions made available for each fishery should be accompanied by associated effort data. Countries which have such statistics but have not supplied them for previous years are requested to do so before the next Working Group meeting.
8. YIELD AND SPAWNING STOCK PER RECRUIT

Graphs showing the yield and spawning stock per recruit at various levels of fishing mortality for the Northern stock of blue whiting were given in the 1980 Working Group report, with a qualification that they should be interpreted with great caution. No improvement in age determination has occurred since then, and the Working Group were not able to revise the previous curves. The statement made in the 1980 report, however, needs to be reiterated - that errors in the assumed exploitation pattern and in the age composition could have major effects on the shape of these curves and on any estimated values of the optimal fishing mortality such as $F_{0.1}$.

## 9. SURVEY PLANS FOR 1980 AND 1981

The blue whiting surveys in 1980 , with their cruise dates, main objectives and areas, are summarized in Table 9.1 as reported to the Working Group. It was felt that the results of individual cruises should not be presented in this year's Working Group report, since they are usually published elsewhere.

Cruises for 1981 already completed and proposed cruises for the remainder of the year, with their cruise dates, main objectives and areas, are given in Table 9.2.
10. SOUIHERN AREA

Concerning Recommendation 2 of last year's Working Group report, the material collected for this area came from England, the Federal Republic of Germany, Spain and Portugal. The former two countries presented data collected on several research vessel cruises, and Spain and Portugal presented a compilation of their data.

Length distributions and age/length keys for 1976, 1978, 1979 and 1980 for blue whiting caught by different research vessels and chartered trawlers in Division VIIg-k and Division VIIIa,b were provided by England.

The Federal Republic of Germany presented data on length/weight relationships in Sub-area VIII for the years 1975, 1976, 1977 and 1980 obtained from research vessel cruises.

Spain and Portugal submitted data on commercial fishery and biological data coming from research vessel cruises for Divisions VIIIc and IXa.

## Division VIIg-k

Table 10.1 gives average lengths at age from the English research catches of blue whiting in this ICES Division. These indicate lower average lengths at age than those found in areas further north. Only small differences in growth could be observed between ICES Divisions VIIg-k and VIIIa,b. For comparison, age-length data from the Federal Republic of Germany in ICES Division VIa are also given. Possible differences in sampling time and age determination need to be further investigated.

## Sub-area VIII and Division IXa

Blue whiting is a common species which is caught as by-catch in the bottom trawl fishery for hake. In addition, some Spanish pair trawlers have a directed fishery on it, on grounds ranging from 100-500 m in depth. From time to time, the Spanish purse-seine fishery for sardines catches small quantities of small blue whiting in shallow water.

Fleet - The Spanish bottom trawl fleet in these areas is composed of 287 boats with a mean of 516 HP and 169 GRT. The Portuguese fleet has 119 boats with a mean of 682 HP and 166 GRP.

Landings - In Table 10.2, data from 1966-1.980 for Spain and from 1977-80 for Portugal are provided. Portuguese landings of this species were not separated from the "other fish" category until 1977. In addition to the landings, significant quantities are discarded at sea.

Length compositions - Spain presented data from 1977 to 1980 and Portugal for $19 \overline{8} 0^{-}$(Table 10.3 ). It can be seen that more than $96 \%$ of the landings in number are individuals below 25 cm in length. Whether this reflects a lower growth rate than in the northern area or indicates that bigger individuals are not available to the bottom trawl gear on the grounds fished requires separate investigation.

Iength/weight relationship - Separate data for females and males were presented by Portugal and Spain. No significant differences were observed between sexes and the data for both sexes were combined, and these gave a calculated length/weight relationship of $w=0.003913 .126$, where $w$ is weight in $g$ and $l$ is length in $c m$. The Federal Republic of Germany presented a further relationship derived from research vessel catches taken in Sub-area VIII ( $w=0.0045$ 13.063).

Age - In Divisions VIIIa,b, English research vessel data for 1976-1978 and 1980 are available. These age determinations show lower average lengths at age than in the northern area (Table 10.1). Whether this is the result of different oceanographic conditions or indicates that there is a different stock in this area requires further investigation.

For Divisions VIIIa and IXa, no age determinations have been carried out. In order to have a very rough idea of the growth parameters, an attempt
was made to apply the Cassie method to the length frequency distributions obtained on several Spanish and Portuguese cruises. These gave very provisional estimates of $I_{\infty}$ and $K$ ( 39.1 cm and .225 , respectively) that were further used in a trial cohort-by-length analysis.

Maturation - New data indicate the presence of mature fish in the area from January to March. Portuguese and Spanish data presented for the month of March 1981 show that a major proportion of the fish samples (17-25 cm) were ripening or spent fish (Table 10.4). This supports the idea of a possible separation from the stock further north, in which maturation is not completed until a larger size is reached.

Sex ratio - Information presented demonstrates that the proportion of females increases with length as in other areas. Practically all the fish above 33 cm are females.

Trawl surveys - Groundfish surveys along the Portuguese coast were carried out by the Portuguese research vessel "NORUEGA" in June and October 1979, March, May/June and October 1980 and in March 1981. On these surveys, the area was stratified by zone and depth and trawl hauls were made at random within each stratum. Table 10.5 shows the mean catch of blue whiting per hour within each stratum with its standard deviation. The results of the surveys show that the greatest catch rates were in the $200-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth stratum.

Assessments - With the data available at present, it is impossible to make a relỉable assessment. Nevertheless, to give a tentative assessment of the state of the stock ( as has been previously done for hake in these waters), a cohort-by-length analysis (Jones, 1974) was attempted using the mean length distributions from 1977 to 1980 , or a kind of "synthetic" cohort simulating an equilibrium situation.

The input values assumed were $E=.5$ (that implies $F=M$ ) and $M=.2$, .3 and.4. The results are given in Table 10.6 . For $M=.2, .3$ and .4, the rough stock biomass estimates, obtained by multiplying the number at length by the mean weight at length, are in the range of $160000-300000$ tonnes, respectively.

## 11. MANAGENENT CONSIDERATITONS

The coordinated acoustic assessment surveys in 1981 indicate an adult stock size spawning west of the British Isles in the range of 5.7 8.7 million tonnes compared to 6 million tonnes in 1980. In addition to this, there is some spawning along the southern shelf edge of Porcupine Bank, southwest of Iceland, probably in some years in the southern part of the Norwegian Sea and also in the Norwegian fjords. There is no quantitative information to indicate the size of the spawning stock in these other areas but it is felt that if added it would not significantly change the present spawning stock size estimate.

The catch and effort data provided to the meeting give conflicting evidence on the stock size fluctuations. In the Norwegian Sea fisheries, the Polish and German Democratic Republic's large vessel class
( $2000-3999.9$ GRT) have slightly increased cpue from 1978-1980 and so have the same USSR ships in the same class from 1979 to 1980. On the other hand, the Faroese vessels fishing at the Faroes in May have had a continuous declining catch rate from 17.6 tonnes/hour in 1977 to 6.2 tonnes/hour in 1980. The same trend, however, was not experienced by the same vessels fishing in Divison VIa in April in the same years.

During the period with escalating catches (1975-1979), there has probably been a learning factor involved with regard to behaviour of the fish, migration pattern, trawling speed, in addition to improvements in the gears, which to a certain extent might have masked any reduction of the availability of blue whiting. The Working Group felt, however, that any major decrease in the availability or stock abundance should have been apparent in the acoustic stock size estimates and more consistently in the cpue data. This is consistent with the conclusion from acoustic surveys that the stock size has not changed significantly from 1980-1981.

As stated earlier, the variation in age readings and other considerations make any estimate of the exploitation pattern very difficult and various VPA runs calibrated to a certain spawning stock size will result in a variety of total stock size estimates depending on what exploitation pattern was assumed. A consequence of this is that it is not possible to calculate yield per recruit curves with any confidence. Consequently, the Working Group can only at present, as last year, advise caution as far as any expansion of this fishery is concerned. Furthermore, as last year, the Working Group draws attention to the similarities between this fishery and the fishery for Atlantomscandian herring. It is also a fact that no fishery on a single long-lived pelagic stock unit in the Northeast Atlantic has been sustained for any prolonged period with annual catches significantly in excess of 1 million tonnes.

Until better data are available, the Working Group therefore recommends that the fishery should be stabilized at the present level.

## 12. FUTURE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Since no acoustic survey of the blue whiting population in the Southern area has been carried out since the last meeting, there is still a need for such a survey. It is therefore recommended that a survey of Division VIIg-k and Sub-areas VIII and IX should be carried out during the period Januarymarch to determine the size of the spawning population in these areas. During the survey, material should be collected to determine whether this population constitutes a separate stock from that in areas further north.
2. As discussed in Section 7.1.4, an ICES=coordinated acoustic survey should be carried out in the Norwegian Sea in the period JulyAugust 1982. For this purpose, an organising committee should be formed under the convenership of T . Monstad.
3. A coordinated acoustic survey should be carried out in the spawning area west of the British Isles during the period March-April 1982 to estimate the spawning stock biomass. This survey should be supplemented by surveys in other likely spawning areas.
4. Since the recent otolith exchange has shown a low level of agreement between readers, no reliability can be placed on any assessments dependent on age composition data. For this reason, it is essential that a further meeting of otolith readers should take place.
5. To provide an additional basis for assessment of the blue whiting stock in future, as set out in the report of the Working Group on the Use of Effort Data, the Blue Whiting Assessment Working Group recommends that all countries involved in blue whiting fisheries should supplement their catch age composition data for each fishery with associated effort data.
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Table 2.1 Landings ( $t$ ) of blue whiting from the main fisheries 1970-1980

| Area | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Norwegian Sea <br> Fishery (Sub- <br> Areas I+II and <br> Divisions Va, XIVa + XIVb) | 14807 | 35219 | 625 | 878 | 146 | 6746 | 3436 | 57250 | 236124 | 737546 | 767224 |
| Spawning Fishery, (Divisions Vb, VIa, VIb and VIIb, c) | 354 | 18394 | 15396 | 15027 | 15207 | 30335 | 81200 | 135364 | 227382 | 287674 | 257944 |
| Icelandic <br> Industrial <br> Fishery <br> (Division Va) |  |  | 12 | 2833 | 4230 | 1294 | 8220 | 5838 | 9484 | 2500 | - |
| Industrial <br> Mixed Fishery (Divisions IVa-c, IIIa) | - | 600 | 27959 | 56826 | 62197 | 39765 | 28251 | 37945 | 97145 | 62623 | 81815 |
| Southern Fishery <br> Sub-areas VIII+IX, Divisions VIId, e + VIIg-k) | 22788 | 21386 | 33503 | 27452 | 25733 | 31715 | 35035 | 30264 | 32974 | 26215 | 29944 |
| Total | 37949 | 75599 | 77495 | 103016 | 107513 | 109855 | 156142 | 266661 | 603109 | $116558$ | 1136927 |

*Preliminary.

Table 2.2 Landings ( $t$ ) of Blue Whiting from the Norwegian Sea (Sub-areas I and II, Divisions Va, XIVa and XIVb) fisheries 1970-80

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 593 | 2810 | 762 | 482 |
| German Dem. Rep. | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 90 | 2031 | 7301 | 22502 | 14294 |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of ${ }^{2)}$ | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 35 | 33 | 7028 | 9319 | 1157 | 9079 |
| Iceland | - | - | 622 | 60 | 119 | 3 | 569 | 4768 | 17756 | 12428 | 4562 |
| - Norway | - | - | - | - | 20 | 31 | 837 | - | - | $30060^{3)}$ | 626 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - | 95 | 1536 | 5083 | 4346 | 11307 |
| UK, (England \& Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | 165 | 11 | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | - |
| USSR | 14807 | 35219 | - | 815 | 5 | 6677 | 1752 | 41129 | 193844 | 666259 | 726874 |
| Total | 14807 | 35219 | 625 | 878 | 146 | 6746 | 3436 | 57250 | 236124 | 737546 | 767224 |

1) Preliminary
2) Including catches off the south-east coast of East Greenland (Division XIVb). ( 327 t in 1977, 897 t in 1978, 204 t in 1979 and 8784 t in 1980).
${ }^{3)}$ Including purse seine catches of 29162 tonnes of juvenile Blue Whiting.

Table 2.3 Landings ( $t$ ) of the blue whiting from the Spawning Fishery
(Divisions Vb, VIa, b, and VIIb, c.) 1970-80.

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{1)}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18745 | 23498 | 21200 | 19242 |  |  |
| Faroes | - | - | - | 1155 | 1527 | - | 12826 | 29096 | 39491 | 38907 | 35082 |  |  |
| German Dem. Rep. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4971 | 1094 | 1714 | 172 | 181 |  |  |
| Germany, Fed.Rep.of | - | - | - | - | 2655 | - | 85 | 3260 | 6363 | 3304 | 633 |  |  |
| Iceland | - | - | - | 319 | - | - | - | 5172 | 7537 | 4864 | 5375 |  |  |
| Ireland | - | - | - | - | - | - | 160 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1172 | 154 | - |  |  |
| Norway | - | - | 651 | 2445 | 3247 | 7301 | 24691 | 36791 | 114969 | 186737 | 143697 |  |  |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 116 | 4704 | 10950 | 3996 | 2469 | 4643 | - |  |  |
| Spain | - | - | 6955 | 6571 | 6484 | 8153 | 5910 | 183 | 14 | - | - |  |  |
| Sweden | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6391 | 6260 | - | 3005 |  |  |
| UK (England \& Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | 455 | 341 | 1475 | 5287 | 4136 | 3878 |  |  |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | - | - | 279 | 1488 | 3001 | 1599 | 1466 | 6819 |  |  |
| USSR | 354 | 18394 | 7790 | 4537 | 1178 | 9443 | 19778 | 26160 | 17009 | 22091 | 40032 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Preliminary.

Table 2.4 Landings ( $t$ ) of blue whiting from the Icelandic mixed industrial trawl fisheries Division Va 1970-79.

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iceland | - | - | 12 | 2833 | 4230 | 1294 | 8220 | 5838 | 9484 | 2500 | - |

Table 2.5 Landings ( $t$ ) of blue whiting from the Mixed Industrial Fisheries and caught as by catch in ordinary fisheries in the North Sea (Divisions IV a-c and IIIa).

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{\text {1) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16071 | 54804 | 28932 | 48159 |
| Faroes | - | - | - | 3714 | 2610 | 428 | 1254 | - | 1177 | 1489 | 1925 |
| German Dem.Rep. ${ }^{\text {2) }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 988 | 49 | - |
| $\text { Germany,Fed.Rep.of }{ }^{2)}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 76 | 1514 | 13 | 400 |
| Norway | - | - | 27609 | 50835 | 59151 | 38020 | 26827 | 20293 | 37260 | 30220 | $30428{ }^{3}$ |
| Poland ${ }^{2}$ ) | - | - | - | - | 55 | - | 45 | 838 | 601 | - | - |
| Spain ${ }^{2)}$ | - | - | 350 | 350 | 31.8 | 195 | 47 | - | - | - | _ |
| Sweden ${ }^{4)}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 639 | 648 | 1249 | 901 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { UK (England \& } \\ & \text { wales) } 2 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | + | - | - |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | - | - | 414 | 58 | 25 | 153 | 37 | 2 |
| $\left.\mathrm{USSR}^{2}\right)$ | - | 600 | - | 1927 | 63 | 708 | 20 | - | - | 634 | - |
| Total | - | 600 | 27959 | 56826 | 62197 | 39408 | 28251 | 37945 | 97145 | 62623 | 81815 |

${ }^{1)}$ Preliminary .
${ }^{2)}$ Reported landings in human consumption fisheries.
${ }^{3)}$ Including mixed industrial fishery in the Norwegian Sea.
${ }^{4)}$ Reported landings assumed to be from human consumption fisheries.

Table 2.6 Landings ( $t$ ) of blue whiting from the Southern Areas. (Sub-areas VIII
and IX and Division VII g-k and VII d, e.)

| Country | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| German, Dem.Rep. | - | 78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Germany,Fed.Rep.of | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| Ireland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Netherlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 31 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 170 | - | 385 | 169 | 53 | - | - |
| Portugal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1557 | 2381 | 2096 | 6051 |
| $\text { Spain } \left.^{2}\right)$ | 16360 | 11800 | 28090 | 26741 | 24627 | 30790 | 29470 | 24800 | 30504 | 24055 | 23862 |
| UK(England \& Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - |
| UK(Scotland) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | - |
| USSR | 6428 | 9508 | 5413 | 711 | 936 | 925 | 5180 | 3738 | 4 | - | - |
| Total | 22788 | 21386 | 33503 | 27452 | 25733 | 31715 | 35035 | 30264 | 32974 | 26215 | 29944 |

${ }^{1)}$ Preliminary
${ }^{2)}$ Significant quantities taken in Division VII g-k not included in the Table are discarded every year.

Table 4.1 Distribution of differences between highest and lowest age determination of the same otolith in the otolith exchange programme

| Difference <br> No. of years <br> No. of otoliths | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.2 Calculated mean age in the otolith sample used in the otolith exchange programme by reader

| Reader No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean age | 7.9 | 10.1 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 9.7 | 8.1 | $9.2^{*}$ |

* only the photographed otoliths

Table 4.3 Calculated mean age in the otolith sample after standardizing for interpretation of the nucleus and the edge

| Reader No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Photographs | 8.0 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 8.2 |
| All otoliths | 7.9 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 6.3 |  |

Table 4.4 Catch in number (millions) by age group in the adult fisheries

| Age | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | $1980^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 16.5 | 0.7 | 3.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 4.8 |  |  | 68.1 | 58.4 |
| 2 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 13.9 | 45.0 | 63.5 | 160.3 | 334.4 |
| 3 | 20.3 | 54.8 | 13.5 | 8.2 | 5.0 | 30.3 | 25.6 | 89.1 | 69.1 | 312.3 | 370.1 |
| 4 | 24.5 | 75.1 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 16.4 | 28.2 | 57.9 | 167.4 | 346.0 | 445.6 | 404.6 |
| 5 | 28.5 | 87.8 | 28.4 | 15.7 | 22.1 | 26.6 | 28.5 | 188.0 | 437.9 | 457.1 | 487.1 |
| 6 | 17.5 | 73.6 | 27.8 | 15.0 | 17.6 | 36.2 | 55.6 | 156.8 | 484.9 | 554.9 | 539.5 |
| 7 | 11.9 | 59.0 | 21.2 | 20.0 | 15.7 | 34.8 | 73.4 | 140.1 | 530.4 | 721.8 | 734.9 |
| 8 | 7.3 | 36.8 | 19.1 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 28.5 | 61.2 | 180.0 | 476.5 | 874.5 | 784.6 |
| 9 | 4.7 | 19.8 | 6.0 | 12.8 | 3.9 | 29.2 | 69.3 | 122.6 | 366.5 | 796.3 | 606.9 |
| 10 | 1.7 | 12.7 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 14.6 | 77.5 | 135.1 | 308.7 | 769.3 | 1009.3 |
| 11 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 8.9 | 4.0 | 13.7 | 32.1 | 112.4 | 157.9 | 456.5 | 398.6 |
| 12 |  | 1.9 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 11.8 | 39.0 | 57.6 | 122.1 | 346.1 | 394.6 |
| 13 |  | 0.5 |  | 2.7 | 1.7 | 7.7 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 50.5 | 137.9 | 66.7 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 1.1 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 10.4 | 13.8 | 20.6 | 67.2 | 64.6 |
| 15+ |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 16.1 | 38.4 | 4.6 |
| Total | 131.8 | 472.0 | 149.4 | 136.7 | 118.6 | 279.8 | 575.8 | 1433.5 | 3450.7 | 6206.2 | 6259.0 |
| Tonnes | 15162 | 63721 | 21814 | 21517 | 16730 | 39183 | 89928 | 197402 | 466262 | 995503 | 1026461 |

[^1]Table 4.5 Catch in number . Hlions) by age group in the mixed industrial fisherie. Sub-area IV and Division Va.

| Age | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 ${ }^{1)}$ | 1980* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1066.4 | 1748.0 | 376.9 | 746.3 | 102.7 | 408.5 | 870.3 | 2.3 | 24.9 |
| 1 | 98.7 | 336.2 | 476.9 | 206.4 | 682.8 | 448.5 | 950.6 | 1795.2 | 295.8 |
| 2 | 48.2 | 44.7 | 48.4 | 67.0 | 79.1 | 106.1 | 153.2 | 76.1 | 353.5 |
| 3 | 5.7 | 20.7 | 12.9 | 25.9 | 29.6 | 32.3 | 81.6 | 31.2 | 80.2 |
| $4+$ | 1.7 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 18.0 | 30.3 | 67.3 | 21.5 | 24.2 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.5 | 31.2 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.1 | 24.7 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.5 | 31.5 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.5 | 28.7 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.5 | 16.3 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 14.8 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 6.9 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 |
| $15+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Total | 1220.7 | 2161.1 | 922.6 | 1058.5 | 912.2 | 1025.7 | 2123.0 | 2007.6 | 939.1 |
| Tonnes | 27621 | 57382 | 65991 | 39796 | 37075 | 42202 | 102725 | 92174 | 80508 |

[^2]Table 4.6 Catch in number (millions) of blue whiting in the Norwegian Sea, Spawning fishery and Industrial fishery (grand total)

| Age | 3970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 16.5 | 1067.2 | 1751.0 | 376.9 | 748.4 | 102.6 | 408.5 | 870.3 | 2.3 | 24.9 |
| 1 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 99.2 | 342.2 | 477.5 | 208.7 | 687.6 | 448.5 | 950.6 | 1863.3 | 354.2 |
| 2 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 54.0 | 49.4 | 54.4 | 72.3 | 93.0 | 151.1 | 216.7 | 236.4 | 687.9 |
| 3 | 20.3 | 54.8 | 19.1 | 28.9 | 18.0 | 56.2 | 55.1 | 121.4 | 150.7 | 343.5 | 450.3 |
| 4 | 24.5 | 75.0 | 23.7 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 41.0 | 75.9 | 197.7 | 413.3 | 467.2 | 428.8 |
| 5 | 28.5 | 87.8 | 28.4 | 15.6 | 22.1 | 26.6 | 28.5 | 188.0 | 437.9 | 474.6 | 518.3 |
| 6 | 17.5 | 73.6 | 27.8 | 15.0 | 17.6 | 36.2 | 55.6 | 156.8 | 484.9 | 575.0 | 564.2 |
| 7 | 11.9 | 59.1 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 15.7 | 34.8 | 73.4 | 140.1 | 530.4 | 732.2 | 766.4 |
| 8 | 7.3 | 36.8 | 19.1 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 28.5 | 61.2 | 180.0 | 476.5 | 883.0 | 813.3 |
| 9 | 4.7 | 19.8 | 6.0 | 12.8 | 3.9 | 29.2 | 69.3 | 122.6 | 366.5 | 809.8 | 623.2 |
| 10 | 1.7 | 12.7 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 14.6 | 77.5 | 135.1 | 308.7 | 775.3 | 1024.1 |
| 11 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 8.9 | 4.0 | 13.7 | 32.1 | 112.4 | 157.9 | 457.5 | 405.5 |
| 12 |  | 1.9 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 11.8 | 39.0 | 57.6 | 122.1 | 350.4 | 396.6 |
| 13 |  | 0.5 |  | 2.7 | 1.7 | 7.7 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 50.4 | 137.9 | 69.1 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 1.1 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 13.8 | 20.6 | 67.2 | 66.2 |
| $15+$ |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 16.2 | 38.4 | 5.1 |
| Total | 131.8 | 472.0 | 1370.1 | 2297.9 | 1041.3 | 1338.3 | 1488.0 | 2459.2 | 5573.7 | 8213.9 | 7198.1 |
| Tonnes | 15162 | 63721 | 49435 | 78899 | 82721 | 78979 | 127003 | 239604 | 568987 | 1087677 | 1106843 |

${ }^{*}$ Preliminary.

Table 5.1 Mean weightsat age (g) by country, ICES Division and quarters of the year for 1980.

Norwegian Sea Fisheries

| Country | USSR | USSR | POLAND | GDR | NORWAY | USSR | POLAND | GDR | NORWAY | USSR | POLAND | GDR | NORWAY | USSR | USSR | NORWAY | USSR | NORWAY | NORWAY | NORWAY | NORWAY | FRG | NORWAY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICES Div. | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIa | IIb | IIb | IIb | IIb | IIb | Va | Va | XIV | XIV | XIV |
| Quarters of the year | I | II | II | II | II | III | III | III | III | IV | IV | IV | IV | II | III | III | IV | IV | III | IV | III | III | IV |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |  |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 79 | 80 | 99 | 65 | 83 | 116 | 96 | 71 | 135 |  |  | 71 | 129 |  |  | 138 |  |  | 135 |  | 152 | 137 |  |
| 3 | 75 | 90 | 101 | 87 | 107 | 136 | 120 | 118 | 151 | 121 | 105 | 117 | 153 |  | 155 | 174 | 113 | 200 | 155 | 210 | 171 | 152 | 183 |
| 4 | 77 | 105 | 109 | 108 | 138 | 142 | 137 | 140 | 169 | 133 | 122 | 135 | 188 |  | - | 209 | 164 | 209 | 188 | 275 | 181 | 193 | 189 |
| 5 | 101 | 111 | 119 | 132 | 141 | 147 | 156 | 164 | 179 | 153 | 138 | 163 | 196 | 119 | 146 | 225 | 157 | 239 | 185 | 241 | 206 | 226 | 188 |
| 6 | 143 | 136 | 118 | 142 | 161 | 163 | 174 | 176 | 182 | 153 | 164 | 174 | 228 | - | 184 | 220 | - | 242 | 203 | 239 | 205 | 245 | 201 |
| 7 | 154 | 153 | 140 | 151 | 161 | 180 | 185 | 188 | 192 | 169 | 171 | 182 | 232 | 167 | 188 | 222 | 246 | 239 | 225 | 266 | 220 | 263 | 190 |
| 8 | 167 | 172 | 144 | 162 | 168 | 186 | 192 | 186 | 191 | 171 | 182 | 182 | 248 | 203 | 225 | 231 | 261 | 232 | 241 | 268 | 223 | 284 | 191 |
| 9 | 174 | 178 | 149 | 163 | 192 | 196 | 201 | 179 | 203 | 182 | 201 | 219 | 264 | 201 | 212 | 242 | 234 | 234 | 241 | 254 | 229 | 300 | 195 |
| 10 | 191 | 200 | 166 | 172 | 173 | 200 | 212 | 189 | 203 | 185 | 224 | 202 | 282 | 226 | 237 | 245 | 256 | 253 | 273 | 255 | 224 | 295 | 168 |
| 11 | 97 | 207 | 173 | 165 | 206 | 201 | 218 | 168 | 192 | 197 | 241 | 180 | 253 | 212 | 251 | 210 | 256 | 263 | 261 | - | 280 | 354 | 205 |
| $12+$ | 251 | 216 | 202 | 190 | 204 | 202 | 236 | 211 | 191 | 204 | 255 | 222 | 270 | 195 | 283 | 215 | 287 | 289 | 240 | 245 | 220 |  |  |

Mixed industrial fisheries

| Country | NORWAY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICES Div. | IIIa |  |  | IV |
| Quarters <br> of the <br> year | I | II | III | IV |
| 0 | - | - | 26 | 29 |
| 0 | 26 | 35 | 67 | 64 |
| 1 | 78 | 75 | 125 | 98 |
| 2 | 108 | 102 | 149 | 136 |
| 3 | 141 | 129 | 173 | 169 |
| 4 | 145 | 137 | 187 | 237 |
| 5 | 162 | 156 | 190 | 230 |
| 6 | 239 | 173 | 201 | 224 |
| 7 | 275 | 180 | 194 | 247 |
| 8 | 269 | 189 | 208 | 245 |
| 9 | 283 | 201 | 196 | 239 |
| 10 | 192 | 205 | 188 | 272 |
| 11 | - | 184 | 188 | 279 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |

Spawning area

| Country | USSR | FRG | NORWAY | USSR | SCOTLAND | FAROES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICES Div. | Vb | Vb+VIa | Vb, VI, VIIb, c | Vb | Vb, VIa | Vb |
| Quarters <br> of the <br> year | I Jan. | I March | II Apr.-May | II Apr. | II Apr.-May | II Apr.-May |
| 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | - | 40 | - | 34 | - |
| 2 | - | 101 | 74 | - | 70 | 71 |
| 3 | 90 | 115 | 99 | - | 98 | 82 |
| 4 | 81 | 148 | 118 | - | 111 | 98 |
| 5 | 117 | 165 | 141 | 121 | 125 | 128 |
| 6 | 173 | 169 | 150 | 104 | 132 | 125 |
| 7 | 159 | 183 | 158 | 128 | 140 | 137 |
| 8 | 180 | 187 | 164 | 149 | 138 | 136 |
| 9 | 200 | 197 | 175 | 151 | 159 | 147 |
| 10 | 216 | 204 | 179 | 162 | 135 | 152 |
| 11 | 289 | 220 | 193 | 167 | 159 | 156 |
| 12 | 239 | - | 201 | 200 | 146 | 178 |

Table 5.2 Overall mean weight at age (g) for 1980

| Age | Spawning <br> Area | Norwegian Sea | North Sea Mixed fishery | Weighted mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | - | 27 | 027 |
| 1 | 40 | - | 35 | 036 |
| 2 | 74 | 100 | 79 | 079 |
| 3 | 95 | 115 | 107 | 107 |
| 4 | 115 | 125 | 141 | 122 |
| 5 | 139 | 131 | 149 | 135 |
| 6 | 144 | 151 | 167 | 149 |
| 7 | 154 | 168 | 182 | 165 |
| 8 | 157 | 180 | 189 | 176 |
| 9 | 168 | 188 | 199 | 186 |
| 10 | 168 | 200 | 206 | 199 |
| 11 | 179 | 204 | 202 | 202 |
| 12+ | 190 | 208 | 197 | 207 |
| Weighted mean | 126 | 182 | 85 | 154 |

Table 7.1 Age composition in numbers in two samples of BLUE WHITING taken in April-May 1980 on the USSR survey.

| Area | Age, Y e ars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. of specimens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |
| South-eastern Norwegian Sea | 2 | 7 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 100 |
| East of Faroe Islands | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 37 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 100 |

Table 7.2 Abundance and biomass estimates of BLUE WHITING in April-May 1980 on the USSR survey.

| Area | Sq. miles | Number of <br> Spec., <br> x $0^{-6}$ | Mean weight <br> g | Biomass <br> t |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South-eastern <br> Norwegian Sea | 473.5 | 918.73 | 126.3 | 115760 |
| West of the <br> Faroe Islands | 3614.5 | 2547.58 | 86.2 | 219.602 |
| East of the <br> Faroe Islands | 4123.0 | 3050.0 | 156.5 | 477324 |
| TOTAL | 8211.0 | 6516.31 |  | 812686 |

Table 7.3 Catch per unit effort in the BLUE WHITING fisheries, 1976-1980.(Fishing gear: midwater trawl).


Table 9.1 BLUE WHITING cruises in 1980.

| Period | Ship | Nationality | Area | Main objective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.1-10.3 | Chartered vessels | Faroes | Faroese waters | Explor.fishing, acoustic surveys |
| March*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area (IXa) | Demersal fish survey |
| 13.3-11.4*) | "Anton Dohrn" | Germany, Fed.Rep. | W of the Br . Isles, SW of Ireland | Ground fish survey, egg and larval survey |
| 2.4-22.4 | "Scotia" | United Kingdom | W of the Br . Isles | Acoustic survey (spawning stock) |
| 8.4-14.5 | "G O Sars" | Norway | W of the Br . Isles | Acoustic survey of the spawning stock |
| 10.4-1.6 | "J C Svabo" | Faroes | Faroes | Acoustic survey (post-spawning migration) |
| 20.4-5.5 | "Poisk" | USSR | Faroes, SW Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey, biol. sampling |
| 30.4-22.5 | "Eisbär" | German Dem.Rep. | Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey, biol. invest. |
| May-June*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area | Demersal fish survey |
| 1.5-15.5 | "Michael Sars" | Norway | Northern Norway, Bear Island | Acoustic survey, groundfish and blue whiting |
| 18.5-15.6 | "B Uhse", <br> "W Bredal" | German Dem.Rep. | Norwegian Sea | Expl. fishing, acoustic survey |
| 8.6-20.6 | Chartered vessel | Norway | Fjords of W. Norway | Eggs, Iarvae and 0-group survey |
| 15.5-19.7*) | "Akill" | USSR | Norwegian Sea | Oceanographic survey |
| 20.5-6.7*) | "Andromeda" | USSR | Norwegian Sea | Oceanographic survey |
| June | "A Fridriksson" | Iceland | E-Icelandic waters | Acoustic survey |
| 9.6-4.10 | "Pegaz" | Poland | Norwegian Sea | Expl.fishing, bioI. invest. |
| 1.8-15.8 | "Poisk" | USSR | NE-Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey, biol. sampling |
| 4.8 - 11.9*) | "G 0 Sars", <br> "Michael Sars" and <br> "Johan Hjort" | Norway | Norwegian Sea, Iceland, E-Greenland Barents Sea | O-group survey |
| 28.8-27.10 | Chartered trawler | Germany, Fed.Rep. | W of the Br . Isles <br> SW of Ireland | Expl. fishing, biol. invest. |

Table 9.1 (continued)

| Period | Ship | Nationality | Area | Main objective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept. - Oct. | "Hafthor" | Iceland | SE of Iceland Dohrn Bank | Expl. fishing, biol. invest. |
| 10.9-9.10 | "Michael Sars" | Norway | Spitsbergen Bear Island | Acoustic survey, groundfish, blue whiting |
| 4.10-3.11*) | "Cornide de Saavedra" | Spain | Southern area | Demersal fish survey |
| October*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area | Demersal fish survey |
| 1.11-14.11 | "Michael Sars" | Norway | Norwegian Shelf <br> from $62^{\circ}-68^{\circ} N$ | Acoustic survey |
| 5.11-28.11*) | "G O Sars" | Norway | North Sea | Acoustic survey, 0-group |
| 20.11-30.12 | "Slavgorod" | USSR | Norwegian Sea | Behaviour and biol. sampling |

*) Blue whiting as subsidiary objective

Table 9.2 $\quad$ UE WHITING cruises in 1981.

| Period | Ship | Nationality | Area | Main objective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-Mar | Chartered vessels | Faroes | Faroese waters | Expl. fishing |
| Feb-Mar | "Walther Herwig" | Germany, Fed.Rep. | W of the Br . Isles Bay of Biscay | Bil.invest. |
| March*) | "Cornide de Saavedra* | Spain | Southen area | Biol.invest. |
| Mar-Apr | "Walther Herwig" | Germany, Fed.Rep. | East Greenland, SW of Iceland | Biol. invest., fish processing |
| March*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area | Demersal Fish survey |
| 9.3-4.4 | "G O Sars" | Norway | W of Scotland, Faroes | Acoustic survey |
| 16.3-15.4 | "Michael Sars" | Norway | $W$ of the Br . Isles | Acoustic survey |
| 25.3-14.4 | "Scotia" | United Kingdom | W of the Br. Isles | Acoustic survey |
| 1.4-10.5 | "Perseus III" | USSR | Faroes, Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey, biol. sampling |
| 15.4-30.6 | "Korwin" | Poland | W of the Br. Isles | Expl. fishing, biol. invest. |
| 20.4-30.6 | "Rybak Morski" | Poland | $W$ of the Br. Isles | Expl. fishing, biol. invest. |
| 22.4-2.5 | "G 0 Sars" | Norway | $W$ of Norway from $62^{\circ}-70^{\circ} N$ | Acoustic survey |
| 15.5-10.7*) | "Ahill" | USSR | Norwegian Sea | Oceanographic survey |
| 20.5-5.7*) | "Andromeda" | USSR | Norwegian Sea | Oceanographic survey |
| June*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area | Demersal fish survey |
| July | "Hafthor" | Iceland | E Iceland | Expl. fishing, biol. invest. |
| 1.7-20.8 | "Eisbär" | German Dem. Rep. | Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey, biol. invest. |
| 1.7-30.7 | "Perseus III" | USSR | Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey, biol. sampling |
| 13.7-29.7*) | "G 0 Sars" | Norway | North Sea | O-group fish survey |
| 31.7 - 19.8 | "G 0 Sars" | Norway | Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey |
| 2.8-19.8 | "Michael Sars | Norway | Norwegian Sea | Acoustic survey |

Table 9.2 (cont'd.)

| Period | Ship | Nationality | Area | Main objective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.8-6.9*) | "Michael Sars" | Norway | Spitsbergen, Barents Sea | O-group fish survey |
| 21.8-6.9* | "G 0 Sars" | Norway | Spitsbergen, Barents Sea | O-group fish survey |
| Autumn | "Magnus Heinason" | Faroes | Norwegian Sea | Acoustic assessment |
| September *) | "Cornide de Saavedra" | Spain | Southern area | Biol. invest. |
| Sep-Oct | "Hafthor" | Iceland | Dohrn Bank | Expl. fishing, biol. invest. |
| 7.9 - 11.10*) | "Michael Sars" | Norway | Spitsbergen, Barents Sea | Groundfish, blue whiting |
| October*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area | Demresal fish survey |
| 13.10-14.11*) | "Michael Sars | Norway | Norwegian coast from $62^{\circ}-70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | Acoustic survey |
| 4.11 - 2.12*) | "G O Sars" | Norway | North Sea | Acoustic survey |
| December*) | "Noruega" | Portugal | Southern area | Demersal fish survey |

*) BIue whiting as subsidiary objective.

Table 10.1 Average lengths at age of BLUE WHITING research vessel catches.


* It is $6+$

Table 10. 2 Landings (tonnes) in Divisions VIIIc and IXa by countries 1966-1980.

| Year | Spain | Portugal | Total: Divisions <br> VIIIc + IXa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 20648 | - | - |
| 1967 | 21140 | - | - |
| 1968 | 20756 | - | - |
| 1969 | 10300 | - | - |
| 1970 | 16875 | - | - |
| 1971 | 12402 | - | - |
| 1972 | 19916 | - | - |
| 1973 | 18936 | - | - |
| 1974 | 17741 | - | - |
| 1975 | 22272 | - | - |
| 1976 | 18199 | - | - |
| 1977 | 25 259* | 1557 | 26816 |
| 1978 | 30 490* | 2381 | 32871 |
| 1979 | 24 055* | 2096 | 26151 |
| 1980 | 23 862* | 6051 | 29913 |

*Data from Vigo I.E.O.

Table 10.3 Length compositions of the landings (Nos. x 10 ${ }^{-3}$ ) from 1977-1980 for Spain and 1980 for Portugal.

| Length <br> (cm) | Divisions VIIIc + IXa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1977 <br> Spain | $1978$ <br> Spain | $1979$ <br> Spain | 1980 |  |  | Average$1977-80$ |
|  |  |  |  | Spain | Portugal | Total |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 307 | 307 | 77 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 70 | 606 | 676 | 169 |
| 12 | 0.2 |  | 55 |  | 140 | 140 | 49 |
| 13 | 1369 | 11683 | 621 | 112 | -- | 112 | 3446 |
| 14 | 10701 | 55459 | 3082 | 922 | 127 | 1049 | 17573 |
| 15 | 54513 | 128556 | 22664 | 24022 | 1687 | 25709 | 57861 |
| 16 | 154387 | 198184 | 51523 | 61960 | 5711 | 67671 | 117941 |
| 17 | 110226 | 225169 | 81850 | 78479 | 14356 | 92835 | 127520 |
| 18 | 12119 | 162479 | 127911 | 108909 | 29904 | 138813 | 110330 |
| 19 | 14815 | 82186 | 124803 | 111811 | 27191 | 139002 | 90202 |
| 20 | 29709 | 43835 | 80588 | 81741 | 23835 | 105576 | 64927 |
| 21 | 36601 | 21186 | 44919 | 38922 | 15260 | 54182 | 39222 |
| 22 | 22277 | 10109 | 17526 | 19114 | 4256 | 23370 | 18321 |
| 23 | 18105 | 9302 | 7808 | 6411 | 1392 | 7803 | 10754 |
| 24 | 16104 | 3888 | 4524 | 1662 | 562 | 2224 | 6685 |
| 25 | 9141 | 2817 | 2797 | 1019 | 443 | 1462 | 4054 |
| 26 | 5486 | 1577 | 1602 | 813 | 239 | 1052 | 2430 |
| 27 | 1718 | 1205 | 573 | 490 | 263 | 753 | 1062 |
| 28 | 1137 | 491 | 517 | 493 | 237 | 730 | 719 |
| 29 | 767 | 304 | 460 | 274 | 185 | 459 | 498 |
| 30 | 581 | 137 | 302 | 231 | 190 | 421 | 360 |
| 31 | 105 | 123 | 216 | 97 | 365 | 462 | 227 |
| 32 | 105 | 8 | 88 | 87 | 505 | 592 | 198 |
| 33 | 41 | 36 | 62 | 106 | 458 | 564 | 176 |
| 34 | --- |  | 58 | 50 | 458 | 267 | 81 |
| 35 | 41 |  | 42 | 43 | 217 | 142 | 56 |
| 36 |  |  | 27 | 44 | 99 | 145 | 43 |
| 37 |  |  | 11 | 16 | 101 | 59 | 18 |
| 38 |  |  | 5 | 23 | 43 | 23 | 7 |
| 39 |  |  | 1 | 11 |  | 11 | 3 |
| 40 |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 6 | 2 |
| 41 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0 |
| No. total (x $10^{-3}$ ) | 500048 | 958734 | 574636 | 537938 | 128679 | 666617 | 675011 |
| Total weight (t) | 25259 | 30499 | 24055 | 23862 | 6051 | 29913 | 27000 |
| Average weight ( kg ) | 0.051 | 0.033 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.045 | 0.040 |

Table 10.4 BLUE WHITING. Length maturity stage distribution (March, 1981). Divisions VIIIc and IXa.

|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity stages | I | II | III | IV | v | vI | VII | Total | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | Total |
| 17 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 5 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| 18 |  | 13 | 9 | 19 | 22 | 13 |  | 76 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  | 68 | 86 |
| 19 | 1 | 27 | 24 | 38 | 57 | 32 |  | 179 | 1 | 57 |  |  | 1 |  | 170 | 229 |
| 20 | 1 | 32 | 37 | 58 | 56 | 31 | 3 | 218 |  | 26 | 5 |  |  | 4 | 134 | 169 |
| 21 |  | 15 | 19 | 28 | 30 | 18 | 13 | 123 | 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 74 | 83 |
| 22 |  | 4 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 9 |
| 23 |  | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 24 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 25 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 | 94 | 103 | 168 | 188 | 113 | 34 | 702 | 2 | 106 | 6 |  | 1 | 6 | 479 | 600 |

Table 10.5 Catch per hour and its standard deviation on N/I Noruega cruises in random hauls within each stratum along the Portuguese coast.

| Month Y | Year |  | 20-100 m |  | $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | 200-500 m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean <br> catch rate (kg/h) | Standard deviation | Mean catch rate ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ) | Standard deviation | Mean catch rate (kg/h) | Standard deviation |
| Jun | 79 |  | . 1 | . 06 | 26.8 | 21.3 | 33.5 | . 2 |
| 'ay-Jun | - 80 |  | . 4 | . 25 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 236.0 | 174.0 |
| jct | 79 | $41^{\circ} 50 \cdot \mathrm{~N}-40^{\circ} 50 \cdot \mathrm{~N}$ | . 7 | . 42 | 23.7 | 23.4 | 38.5 | 19.0 |
| Oct | 80 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 16.3 | 13.8 | 57.5 | 41.0 |
| Mar | 80 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar | 81 |  | 0 | 0 | . 9 | . 9 | 84.0 | 5.5 |
| Jun | 79 |  | 0 | 0 | 74.0 | 68.0 | 1.5 | . 1 |
| May-Jun | 80 |  | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | . 4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Oct | 79 | $40^{\circ} 50 \cdot N-39^{\circ} 50 \cdot N$ | 0 | 0 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct | 80 | $4050 \cdot \mathrm{~N}-3950 \cdot \mathrm{~N}$ | 10.0 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 22.2 | 21.6 |
| Mar | 80 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar | 81 |  | 0 | 0 | 10.1 | . 6 | 2.4 | . 9 |
| Jun | 79 |  | . 6 | . 6 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 30.1 | 26.6 |
| May-Jun | 80 |  | 3.0 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 5.1 | . 7 |
| Oct | 79 | $39^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 16.5 | 16.5 | 33.9 | 18.5 | 50.5 | 13.5 |
| Oct | 80 | $39^{\circ} 50 \mathrm{~N}-37^{\circ} 50 \cdot \mathrm{~N}$ | 0 | 0 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 654.7 | 477.5 |
| Mar | 80 |  | 0 | 0 | 278.7 | 270.9 | 8.7 | 1.3 |
| Mar | 81 |  | 0 | 0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 314.2 | 247.2 |
| Jun | 79 |  | - | - | - | - | 186.9 | 96.4 |
| May-Jun | 80 |  | 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | 69.3 | 41.4 |
| Oct | 79 | $37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-8^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | - | - | 1.0 | 1.0 | 196.2 | 123.5 |
| Oct | 80 | $375{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-845^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1010.1 | 752.2 |
| Mar | 80 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | . 5 |
| Mar | 81 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59.1 | 33.2 |
| Jun | 79 |  | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 105.1 | 77.0 |
| May-Jun | 80 |  | 0 | 0 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 42.9 | 22.3 |
| Oct | 79 | $8^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}-7^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27.2 | 4.2 |
| Oct | 80 | $845 \cdots-725^{\prime}$ W | 0 | 0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 30.8 | 25.3 |
| Mar | 80 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 9 | . 9 |
| Mar | 81 |  | 0 | 0 | 324.3 | 324.3 | 100.8 | 89.2 |

Table 10.6 BLUE WHITING in Divisions VIIIc and IXa.
Cohort analysis by length (Jones, 1974).

|  | $M=0.2$ | $E=0.5$ | $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ | $E=0.5$ | $M=0.4$ | $E=0.5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length <br> (cm) | Numbers <br> in stock $\left(x 10^{6}\right)$ | Biomass $\left(t \times 10^{-3}\right)$ | Numbers <br> in stock $\left(x 10^{6}\right)$ | Biomass $\left(\operatorname{tx} 10^{-3}\right)$ | Numbers in stock $\left(x 10^{6}\right)$ | Biomass $\left(t \times 10^{-3}\right)$ |
| 10 | 931 | 7.1 | 1105 | 8.4 | 1498 | 11.5 |
| 11 | 902 | 9.0 | 1055 | 10.5 | 1407 | 14.0 |
| 12 | 873 | 11.1 | 1005 | 12.7 | 1319 | 16.7 |
| 13 | 845 | 13.4 | 956 | 15.1 | 1234 | 19.5 |
| 14 | 812 | 15.8 | 904 | 17.6 | 1149 | 22.4 |
| 15 | 766 | 18.1 | 840 | 19.8 | 1051 | 24.8 |
| 16 | 681 | 19.2 | 737 | 20.8 | 919 | 25.9 |
| 17 | 539 | 18.0 | 580 | 19.4 | 736 | 24.6 |
| 18 | 393 | 15.4 | 422 | 16.6 | 556 | 21.8 |
| 19 | 268 | 12.3 | 289 | 13.2 | 404 | 18.5 |
| 20 | 168 | 8.9 | 183 | 9.7 | 283 | 14.9 |
| 21 | 97 | 5.9 | 107 | 6.5 | 195 | 11.8 |
| 22 | 54 | 3.7 | 62 | 4.3 | 139 | 9.6 |
| 23 | 33 | 2.6 | 40 | 3.1 | 108 | 8.5 |
| 24 | 21 | 1.9 | 26 | 2.3 | 86 | 7.6 |
| 25 | 13 | 1.3 | 18 | 1.8 | 69 | 6.8 |
| 26 | 8 | 0.8 | 12 | 1.3 | 57 | 6.3 |
| 27 | 6 | 0.6 | 9 | 1.1 | 47 | 5.8 |
| 28 | 4 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.0 | 40 | 5.5 |
| 29 | 3 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.8 | 33 | 5.0 |
| 30 | 2 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.7 | 27 | 4.5 |
| 31 | 2 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.6 | 22 | 4.1 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.6 | 17 | 3.4 |
| $33+$ | 2 | 0.4 | 5 | 1.6 | 34 | 9.1 |
| Total | 7424 | 167.4 | 8377 | 189.5 | 11430 | 302.6 |



Figure 7.1.a. Cruise track of Norwegian research vessel G.O. SARS" 9 March - 4 April 1981.


Figure 7.1.b. Cruise track of Scottish research vessel"SCOTIA" 25 March - 14 April 1981.


Figure 7.2. Estimated BLUE WHITING biomass ('000 tonnes) in each statistical rectangle on Norwegian survey, 9 March - 4 April 1981.


Figure 7.3. Estimated BLUE WHITING biomass ('000 tonnes) in each statistical rectangle on Scottish survey, 25 March - 14 April 1981. (Values corrected refer to target strength and absorption coefficient used on Norwegian survey.)


Figure 7.4. Survey track and relative echointegrator densities of BLDE WHITING recorded by the USSR "R.V. POISK", 22 April - 5 May 1980.

$\triangle$ Pelagic trawl station
$Z$ CTD (hydrographic) station
Figure 7.5. Cruise tracks and station positions from 4 August - 11 September 1980, R.V. "G.O. SARS", "JOHAN HJORT" and "MICHAEL SARS".


Figure 7.6. Distribution and relative densities of BLUE WHITING recorded from 4 August - 11 September 1980 by three Norwegian research vessels.


[^0]:    ¥) General Secretary, ICES Palmgade 2-4, DK-1261 Copenhagen $K$, DENMARK.

[^1]:    *Preliminary

[^2]:    *Preliminary.

    1) Includes purse seine catches of 29162 tonnes of juvenile blue whiting taken in the southern Norwegian Sea (see Table 2.2).
