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## 1. Participants

| J Møller Jensen | Denmark |
| :--- | :--- |
| M Liwoch | Poland |
| C J Rørvik | Norway |
| A Sigurdsson | Iceland |
| E Smidt | Denmark |
| G Speiser (Federal Republic of) |  |
| B Vaske (Chairman) | Germany (Fedic |

ICES Statistician, Dr V M Nikolaev, also attended the meeting.
Messrs. Liwoch and Speiser could only attend a part of the meeting as the Working Group partly overlapped with the meeting of the Working Group on Herring.

No representative was present from U.S.S.R., but the Convenor had got extensive data about the U.SoSoR. trawl fishery in Sub-areas I and II in the period 1965-76 from $D r V$ Ponomarenko.
2. Terms of Reference

The meeting was convened in accordance with the resolution (C.Res.1976/2:11) adopted by the Council at the 1976 Statutory Meeting:
"It was decided, that:
a Working Group to be referred to as 'The Working Group on Greenland Halibut in Region l' should meet at Charlottenlund from 7-ll March 1977 to assess TACs for Greenland halibut. The Group should be convened by Mr B Vaske".

In addition to that, following the decision of the November 1976 Mid-Term Meeting of NEAFC, the Chairman of ICES Liaison Committee requested the Working Group to prepare a review of general biology, exploitation and relation of the following stocks to the 200 -mile zones under national fisheries jurisdiction:


## Fishing Area

SAI and II, SAV, SAXIV
SAV, SAXIV

SAV
3. The Stocks of Greenland Halibut in Region 1

Regarding the data available on the biology of Greenland halibut in Sub-areas I and II, there seems to be only one stock in these two areas. Therefore, the Working Group decided to combine the data from them.

The data from Sub-area $V$ and Sub-area XIV were combined, as it has been assumed that there is only one stock of Greenland halibut in the two areas. This assumption is based on a strong probability that the spawning grounds are the same for both areas.

### 4.1 Nominal catches

The total nominal catches for the main fishing areas are given in Table 1 for the period 1966-76. Tables 2, 3, and 4 present the nominal catches by country for each fishing area.
For assessment purposes, the catches in Sub-areas I and II are summarised in Table 5 .
In the period under consideration the total catch of Greenland halibut in Sub-areas I and II increased from a minimum catch of 24267 tons in 1967 to a maximum catch of 89484 tons in 1970. Since 1970 the catches have decreased to a level of 29938 tons in 1973. In 1974 the total nominal catch has increased slightly to 37763 tons. Since then, the total catches have been relatively constant. The provisional catch in 1976 is 33775 tons.
Table 5 also shows the total catches taken in the Norwegian long-line fishery in Sub-areas I and II. These catches have declined since 1968 for reasons described in the Appendix.
4.2 Catch per unit effort and effort data

Catch figures per hour trawling were available from the U.S.S.R. fishery for the period 1965 to 1976 (Table 10). Figure 1 shows the trends in the catch per unit effort for this period together with the catches from 1950 to 1976. Using the catches and the catch per unit effort, the effort in the U.S.S.R. trawl fishery and the effort on the total trawl fishery was estimated (Table 10).

### 4.3 Virtual Population Analysis

4.3.1 Age_composition of landings

Age compositions of national landings in the trawl fishery were available as number landed in each age group for the U.S.S.R. for the period 1965-76, and the German Democratic Republic for the year 1971 and the period 1972-76. To obtain the age composition for the total trawl fishery in each year, these available age compositions were raised to the total trawl landings.
For Norwegian long-line fishery, age compositions were presented for the period 1970-74. For the years 1975 and 1976 the same age composition as for 1974 was assumed, because the Norwegian long-line landings were small compared with the total landings in these years.
For the period 1966-69 from $34 \%$ to $86 \%$ of the totall annual catches were taken in the Norwegian long-line fishery. Because of this and a different a.ge composition in trawl catches and long-line catches (see Figure 2), the Working Group considered it not proper to apply the U.S.S.R. age compositions to the total catch for these years.
The age compositions of the total landings were, therefore, prepared for only the years 1970-76 (Table ll).
Time did not allow the Group to do a VPA for males and females separately.

### 4.3.2 Natural mortality

A trial was made to estimate the natural mortality by plotting $Z$ versus the total trawl effort. $Z$ was estimated from the catch per unit effort ratio of the fully recruited year classes and successive years in the U.S.S.R. trawl fishery. The trawling effort corresponding to the $Z$ value
between two successive years was estimated as the average total trawling effort for the same two years. This analysis was done for both sexes combined and for males only for the years 1970 to 1976 during which trawl catches dominated (Table 5). However, the results were regarded as inconclusive as the intercepts which, according to theory, should be an estimate of $M$, were negative. Further refinements of this analysis were not carried out because of the ; limited time available.
We would expect $M$ to be low for a species with a longevity like Greenland halibut, especially for the females (Figure 3) 。
For this year's analysis, the Working Group chose an $M$ value of 0.15 for the combined sexes. However, the value of $\mathbb{M}$ should be a matter for further investigation.
4.3.3 Estimation of the input fishing mortalities in the VPA

In a preliminary run of the VPA we set $\mathrm{F}_{16}$ equal to 0.50 for 1970 and 1971, and equal to 0.30 for the years 1972 to 1976. The initial guess of the $F$ values for 1976 was $0.01,0.02,0.02,0.06,0.25$ and age groups 3 to 7 , and 0.30 for 8 years and older.
From the results of this preliminary run the unweighted mean $F$ values
 1974. A linear regression between the total trawl effort (in 1000 hours $)$ and $F_{8-13}$ for these years is shown in Figure 4. A functional regression would not change this line very much because of the high correlation.
This linear regression predicted that a better $\mathrm{F}_{8-13}$ for 1976 would be 0.26 on the hours of the total trawl effort exerted that year. We chose $F=0.25$ for age groups $8-16$ and decreased the $F$ value for age group 6 proportionally from 0.06 to 0.05 and for age group 7 from 0.25 to 0.21 . All the other $F$ input values were left unchanged. The results of this final VPA run are shown in Tables 12 and" 13.
4.4 The state of the stock

On the basis of the VPA the biomass of the recruited stock, that is 4 years and older fish, and the spawning stock, that is 9 years and older, was estimated using the mean weights given in Table 14. The results are shown in Table 15.
There is a strong correlation ( $r=0.98$ ) between the U.S.S.R. catch per unit effort and the recruited stock estimates for the years 1970-74 as shown in Figure 50
Assuming that the same close relation also holds for the years 1965 to 1969, which is outside the range in which the relations were established, the recruited stocks for these years have been estimated by extrapolation (Table 15).
The estimated decrease on the stock size from 1965 to 1970 is $(446-311) \times 103$ tons $=135000$, while the total catches in the same period was about 155000 tons, i.e. only 20000 tons difference. If 1971 instead of 1970 is used in the comparison, the difference is increased to 110000 tons.
This difference between the catches and the estimated decrease in the stock size is an estimate of the surplus production of the stock in this period. The average surplus production per year then becomes $110000 / 6$ or nearly 20000 tons. For comparison, the average catch was nearly 37000 tons in the period 1972 to 1976 when the stock seems to have been fairly stable as judged from the VPA (Table 15) and the catch per unit effort (Table l0).

Figure 1 shows the total catches from 1950 onwards. Although this is more speculative, it seems likely from this figure that the low catches in the 1950s and the somewhat larger catches in 1959 to 1964 reduced the stock, but not very much below its maximum level. The 1965 stock is estimated to have been nearly 450000 tons; may be the maximum stock as it was in the 1950s was around 500000 tons. If this is the case, the present stock is roughly half the maximum size. Thus, it seems not unreasonable that together with the reduction in the stock size the surplus production increased from 20000 tons on the average in the years 1965 to 1971 to nearly 40000 tons in the period 1972 to 1976 as indicated above.
The yield per recruit curve with the present exploitation pattern (Figure 6) described in Section 4.5 indicates that the present exploitation rate which is around 0.25 on the fully recruited age groups (Table l2)is close to the one giving maximum yield per recruit. This, as well as the above consideration, indicate that the stock might be near the optimum level under the present exploitation pattern.

### 4.5 Calculation of total allowable catch (TAC)

Catch predictions have been made for the period 1977-78. In addition, the stock size at the beginning of 1979 was calculated. The stock size in 1977 was calculated from the stock size in 1976, as estimated from the VPA and corresponding fishing mortality rates.
A yield per recruit curve (Figure 6) was calculated for the present exploitation pattern, and the average weights per age group as shown in Table 14 . For Greenland halibut in Sub-areas I and II the present level of fishing mortality on the fully exploited age groups is about $F=0.25$. This fishing mortality corresponds with the $F$ on the fully recruited age groups which gives maximum yield per recruit (Figure 6). In accordance with this, the fishing mortality values for catch predictions have been assumed on the same level as for 1976.
The year class strength for the three year old recruits in 1976-78 was set equal to $68 x 106$ fish, which is the average for the years 1970-74 as estimated from the VPA。

Mean weights per age group used in catch prediction were derived from the average mean weights per age in the UoS.S.R. fishery in the period 1970-76. These weights were reduced by $5 \%$ to give relatively correct catch figures for the period $1970-76$ (e.g. the sum of the mean weights $x$ estimated number per age group corresponds with the observed catch figures)。

The natural mortality in catch predictions was set equal to 0.15 as assumed in the VPA. The results of these calculations are summarised in the text table below:

|  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Catch (tons) <br> Fishing mortality on <br> fully exploited age <br> groups | 33775 | 38385 | 39475 |  |
| Total stock biomass (4+) <br> (thousand tons) | 248 | 260 | 264 | 266 |
| Spawning stock biomass <br> (9+) (thousand tons) | 99 | 107 | 110 | 127 |

In the above strategy the total stock biomass and spawning stock biomass increase slightly. In the prognoses years the stock biomass
will be at nearly the same level which the Working Group felt is close to the optimum level.
Therefore, the Working Group recommends that the TAC for Greenland Halibut for1978. in Sub-areas I and II should be set at 40000 tons.
5. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas $V$ and XIV
5.1 Nominal catches

The total nominal catches for Divisions $V a$ and $V b$ and Sub-area XIV are given in Table l for the period 1966 to 1976. Tables 6, 7 and 8 present the nominal catches by each area and by country. Table 9 gives the combined catches from all three areas by year and by country.
5.2 Catch per unit effort data

Only few c.p.u.e. data were available from the Icelandic long-line fishery for the years 1969 to 1972. The..catch per unit effort, given in tons per 1000 hooks were as follows:

| 1969 | .721 tons |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1970 | .470 tons |
| 1971 | .572 tons |
| 1972 | .390 tons |

These figures may indicate a declining stock during the years 1969 to 1972 .
5.3 Age and length distributions available

From the Icelandic long-line fishery the catch in number per age group was available for the following years: 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1976, separated for each sex. Furthermore, some effort data for the years 1969-72 were available.

From the trawler fishery, only few data, from the German Democratic Republic,were available, i。e. length composition with an age/length key for 1971, and a length composition for 1975. These samples originated from the area where the major part of the trawl fishery took place, i.e. north and west of Iceland.

A third length composition for 1974 was also available. Unfortunately the length composition originated from the fishing grounds east of Iceland and here the immature fish are dominating in the catches, whereas on the fishing grounds north and west of Iceland, the mature fish dominate in the catches. It was, therefore, not possible to use the East Icelandic sample to split the trawl catches which mostly are taken west of Iceland。

A combination of the Icelandic samples from the long-line fishery and the samples from the trawl fishery is not possible, due to the fact that these two types of fishery, even if they are carried out in the same area, have a completely different size composition.
Furthermore, no catch figures from the United Kingdom and the U.SoS.R. were available for the year 1976, and the Group found it impossible to set the catch figures for 1976 , because the pattern of the fishery has changed very much.
5.4 Conclusions

The Working Group concluded that better data on the age composition must be available of the annual trawl catches from this stock before a VPA can be done. From the Group's experience with the analysis of
the Greenland halibut stock in Sub-areas I and II, it was felt that catch per unit effort data from the trawl fishery would also be very useful to have, if possible.

The Group found it impossible to estimate a TAC on the basis of the available data.
6. Reference

RICKER, W E, 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bull.Fish.Res.Bd. Can.(191).
Greenland Halibut
Total nominal catch by main fishing areas (metric tons)

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Division Va | Division Vb | Su.b-area XIV | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 1200 | 8726 | 16319 | 7515 | 478 | 40 | 34278 |
| 1967 | 2198 | 6712 | 15357 | 8955 | 442 | 200 | 33864 |
| 1968 | 2488 | 8935 | 14745 | 7501 | 647 | 189 | 34505 |
| 1969 | 8393 | 25010 | 10386 | 23135 | 906 | 280 | 68110 |
| 1970 | 4011 | 70523 | 14950 | 30001 |  | 3822 | 123307 |
| 1971 | 5413 | 62764 | 10857 | 15049 | 11 | 13913 | 108.007 |
| 1972 | 8549 | 18873 | 15633 | 10666 | 417 | 15389 | -69 527 |
| 1973 | 5667 | 16081 | 8190 | 7386 | 358 | 12719 | 50401 |
| 1974 | 5251 | 24660 | 7852 | 7866 | 325 | 28089 | 74043 |
| 1975 | 6495 | 28511 | 3166 | 3308 | 560 | 19627 | 61667 |
| $1976{ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 2241 | 28602 | 2201 | 2959 | 285 | 194 | 36482 |

Table 1

## ( Freliminary

Nominal catch (metric tons) in Sub-area I

## Table 2 Greenland Halibut

| Country | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 ${ }^{\text {²) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands |  | (1) | 1) |  | -1) | -1) | I) | - | - |  | - |
| German Dem.Rep. |  | 21 | 23) | $256{ }^{1}$ | - | $14^{1}$ |  | - | - | 5 | - |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | - | 13 | - |  | - | - | - | 25 | 22 | 6 | 1 |
| Norway | 209 | 1312 | 1488 | 689 | 1675 | 1951 | 3116 | 2947 | 2167 | 2160 | 1:703 |
| Poland | - | - | - | 5314 | - | 7 | 117 | - | 1 | - | 2) |
| UoKo (Engl。 \& Wales) |  |  | -1.) | - | - | 1 | 949 | 995 | 732 | 550 | - ${ }^{-1}$ |
| U.S.SoR。 | 991) | $852^{1}$ | 977 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 2134 | 2336 | 3441 | 4366 | 1700 | 2329 | 3774 | 528 |
| Total | 1200 | 2198 | 2488 | 8393 | 4011 | 5413 | 8549 | 5667 | 5251 | 6495 | 2241 |

[^0]Table 3 Greenland Halibut

| Country | 1956 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1.73: | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| German Dem。Rep. | $88^{1}$ | 928 ${ }^{1}$ | 11) | 5011) | 2 131) | $353^{1)}$ | $10691)$ | . 52 | 656 | 172 | 354 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. | 7 | 25 | $+$ | $+$ | - | 3 | 3 | $+\cdots$ | 49 | 41 | 14 |
| Norway | 16224 | 14404 | 14744 | 9885 | 6408 | 4974 | 11715 | 7861 | 6593 | 2265 | 1785 |
| Poland | - | - | - |  | 6291 | 5036 | 2643 | 137 | 499 | 66 | $31_{2}$ |
| U.K. (Engla \& Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 182 | 118 | 55 | 107 | -2) |
| U.S.S.R. | - | - | - | - | 76 | 491 | 21 | 22 | - | 515 | 17 |
| Total | 16319 | 15357 | 14745 | 10386 | 14950 | 10857 | 15633 | 8190 | 7852 | 3166 | 2201 |

Tabie 4 Greenland Halibut
Nominal catch (metric tons) Division IIb

| Country | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $1976{ }^{\text {² }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3902 |  |  | 8601 |
| German Dem. Rep. Germany, Fed. Rep. | $24^{-1}$ | 15 ${ }^{1}$ | $233^{1}$ | 3031 41 | $16598{ }^{1}$ | $2582^{1}$ | $563{ }^{1}$ | 3902 | 5258 | 8295 | 8601 |
| Norway | 1 | I 812 | 6282 | 4282 | $77 \overline{88}$ | 2541 | $15 \overline{52}$ | 34 3181 | 17 31 | 47 433 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 341 \end{array}$ |
| Poland | - | - | - |  | 12971 | 7234 | 5221 | 2003 | 4646 | 3579 | 3526 |
| U.K. (Engl.\&Wales) |  |  |  | - | - | - - | 131 | 122 | 79 | 74 | 2) |
| U.S.S.R. | 87011 | $4885^{1}$ | $2420{ }^{1}$ | 17626 | 33166 | 50407 | 11806 | 6839 | 14629 | 16083 | 16129 |
| Total | 8726 | 6712 | 8935 | 25010 | 70523 | 62764 | 18873 | 16081 | 24660 | 28511 | 28602 |

[^1]Table 5 Greenland Halibut

| Country | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $1976^{\text {² }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands <br> German Dem. Rep. <br> Germany, Fed. Rep. | 1121) 7 | $9641)$ 38 | 2571 | $37881)$ 71 | $\left.18729^{1}\right)$ | $2 \overline{949}^{-1}$ 3 | ( $1633^{1}$ ( ${ }^{1}$ | 3954 59 | 5914 88 | 8472 94 | 8955 20 |
| Norway Trawl catch | - | - | - | - | 1638 | 2309 | 9656 | 10217 | 4656 | 1686 | $\left.2000^{3}\right)$ |
| Long-line catch | 16434 | 17528 | 22514 | 14856 | 14233 | 7157 | 6327 | 3772 | 4135 | 3172 | 18293 ) |
| Poland | - | - | - | 5314 | 19262 | 12277 | 7981 | 2140 | 5146 | 3645 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.K. (Engl.\& Wales) } \\ & \text { U.S.S.R. } \end{aligned}$ | $96 \overline{92} 1)$ | $57371)$ | 33971 ) |  | - 357 | 54 - 3 - | 1262 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 235 \\ 8 & 561\end{array}$ | 866 | 731 20 | 731 ${ }^{4}$ |
|  |  | 57 |  | 19.76 |  |  | 16193 | 8561 | 16958 | 20372 | 6674 |
| Total | 26245 | 24267 | 26168 | 43789 | 89484 | 79034 | 43055 | 29938 | 37763 | 38172 | 33775 |

Nominal catch (metric tons) in Sub-areas I and II, 1966 - 1976
(Data for 1966 - 1975 from Bulletin Statistique)
Table 6 Greenland Halibut


[^2]1) from national statistics
2) no information available
3) assumed split between gears
4) no data. Estimated landings set equal to the 1975 landings in the assessments.
Table 7 Greenland Halibut

| Country | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | $1976^{\text {¹ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands German Dem. Rep. | $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ | 2181) | 681) | $855^{-1)}$ | - |  | - | - | 7 147 | 6 91 | - |
| German Dem, Rep. Germany, Fed. Rep. | 476 | 218 224 | 68 579 | $855^{\prime}$ 51 | - | 11 | 405 | 287 | 147 163 | 91 437 | 285 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 18 | -2) |
| U.K. (Engl.\& Waless | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 61 | 8 | $+$ | $-2)$ |
| U.S.S.R. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - 2 |
| Total | 478 | 442 | 647 | 906 | - | 11 | 417 | 358 | 325 | 560 | 285 |



[^3]Table 9. Greenland Halibut

| Country | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1973: | $197 ?$ | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  | - 6651 | 4 <br> 7122 <br> 129 | $13161)$ | $1 \mathrm{I}_{180} 7$ ) | $\begin{array}{r}188 \\ \hline 126\end{array}$ | 488 | 8 16963 | 360 |
| German Dem. Rep. | $2060{ }^{\text {( }}$ | $5282^{1}$ | $6315^{1)}$ | 86651 | $17939^{1}$ | 68081 | $7487^{1}$ | 9126 | 25801 | 16963 | - |
| Germany, Fed.Rep. | 5967 | 4314 | 2019 | I 686 | - | 1163 | 1529 | 1120 | 1949 | 1388 | 1392 |
| Greenland | - | - | 2 | + | - | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Iceland | 6 | 1 | 1 | 5880 | 7345 | 5020 | 4640 | 2118 | 2843 | 1212 | 1686 |
| Norway | - | - | - | - | 338 | 369 | 186 | - | - | 7 | - |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 1859 | 8809 | 7878 | 3131 | 1542 | 1072 | -2 |
| UK (Eng. \& Wales) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2236 | 3710 | 2323 | 1209 | -2) |
| U.S.S.R. | - | - | - | 8000 | 2220 | 5486 | 1333 | 1066 | 1772 | 1634 | - |
| Total | 8033 | 9597 | 8337 | 24231 | 33823 | 28973 | 26473 | 20463 | 36280 | 23494 | 3438 |

Table 10. Greenland Halibut in Sub-Areas I and II
Effort and catch per unit effort in the trawl fishery

| Year | USSR catch/hour <br> trawling (tons) | Hours trawling <br> (USSR effort) | Total effort in trawl fishery <br> (raised to the total trawl <br> catch) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | .64 | 15144 | 15330 |
| 1967 | .58 | 9891 | 11619 |
| 1968 | .54 | 6291 | 6767 |
| 1969 | .44 | 44909 | 65757 |
| 1970 | .44 | 80859 | 171025 |
| 1971 | .38 | 142997 | 189150 |
| 1972 | .31 | 5235 | 118977 |
| 1973 | .30 | 28537 | 87220 |
| 1974 | .32 | 52994 | 105087 |
| 1975 | .31 | 65716 | 112903 |
| 1976 ) | .34 | 49041 | 93959 |

Table 11. Greenland Halibut in Sub-Areas I and II
Age composition of catches 1970-76 used as input data for Virtual Population Analysis (thousands of fish)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| 4 | 34 |  | 461 | 19 | 276 | 334 | 93 |
| 5 | 526 | 80 | 1109 | 212 | 917 | 840 | 778 |
| 6 | 2792 | 4.486 | 3521 | 1117 | 2519 | 2337 | 2786 |
| 7 | 10464 | 12712 | 9605 | 3923 | 6204 | 6520 | 5417 |
| 8 | 18562 | 12283 | 6438 | 3515 | 3838 | 4118 | 4616 |
| 9 | 10034 | 6130 | 2775 | 2551 | 1834 | 2265 | 2667 |
| 10 | 6671 | 4339 | 1734 | 1919 | 1942 | 1654 | 1254 |
| 11 | 2517 | 2703 | 1368 | 1536 | 1622 | 1857 | 881 |
| 12 | I 250 | 1660 | 1234 | 1127 | 1338 | 1536 | 1144 |
| 13 | 616 | 1044 | 675 | 716 | 734 | 1122 | 645 |
| 14 | 1104 | 300 | 200 | 251 | 531 | 600 | 552 |
| 15 | 266 | 123 | 40 | 70 | 137 | 270 | 238 |
| 16 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 56 | 79 | 98 | 97 |

Table 12. Greenland Halibut in Sub-Areas I and II
Fishing mortalities ( $F$ ) calculated by VPA, 1970-76

| Age Year | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .00 | .01 |
| 4 | .00 | .00 | .01 | .00 | .00 | .01 | .02 |
| 5 | .01 | .00 | .03 | .00 | .02 | .01 | .02 |
| 6 | .06 | .14 | .14 | .03 | .07 | .07 | .05 |
| 7 | .27 | .37 | .46 | .21 | .26 | .24 | .21 |
| 8 | .59 | .53 | .31 | .29 | .32 | .26 | .25 |
| 9 | .54 | .37 | .21 | .18 | .22 | .30 | .25 |
| 10 | .58 | .45 | .16 | .20 | .19 | .31 | .25 |
| 11 | .46 | .46 | .23 | .20 | .25 | .27 | .25 |
| 12 | .46 | .60 | .37 | .29 | .25 | .37 | .25 |
| 13 | .60 | .83 | .49 | .36 | .29 | .33 | .25 |
| 14 | 1.41 | .63 | .34 | .32 | .46 | .39 | .25 |
| 15 | 1.69 | .52 | .15 | .18 | .27 | .42 | .25 |
| 16 | .50 | .50 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .30 | .25 |
| $\bar{F}_{8-13}$ | .54 | .54 | .30 | .25 | .25 | .31 | .25 |

Table 13. Greenland Halibut in Sub-Areas I and II
Estimates of stock size 1970-76 calculated by VPA (thousands of fish)

| Year | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 3 | 56 | 827 | 65 | 318 | 61 | 270 | 97 | 972 | 57 |

Table 14. Greenland Halibut in Sub-Areas I and II
Parameters used in the catch prediction

| Age group | Stock size 1978 <br> $\left({ }^{\prime} 000\right)$ | Present exploitation <br> pattern | Average <br> weight (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\left.68000^{1}\right)$ | .004 | .190 |
| 4 | $584801)$ | .008 | .419 |
| 5 | $\left.50234^{1}\right)$ | .08 | .539 |
| 6 | 36339 | .20 | .700 |
| 7 | 29239 | .84 | 1.025 |
| 8 | 35144 | 1.00 | 1.350 |
| 9 | 14365 | 1.00 | 2.167 |
| 10 | 10056 | 1.00 | 2.743 |
| 11 | 5810 | 1.00 | 3.085 |
| 12 | 2732 | 1.00 | 4.087 |
| 13 | 1920 | 1.00 | 4.684 |
| 14 | 2492 | 1.00 | 5.477 |
| 15 | 1.405 | 1.00 | 5.993 |
| 16 | 1203 |  |  |

I) Based on average recruitment $\bar{R}_{3}$ for yearclasses 1967-71

Table 15. Greenland Halibut in Sub-Areas I and II
The biomass of the recruited stock $B\left(\mathbb{N}_{4+}\right)$ and the biomass of the spawning stock $B\left(N g_{+}\right)$, estimated from the stock composition estimates of TPA. $B_{G M}\left(\mathbb{N}_{4+}\right)$ is the biomass of the recruited stock as estimated from the relation between the USSR catch per hour trawling and $B(4+)$ (see Figure 5)

| Year | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\prime}\right)$ <br> $\mathrm{M+})$ <br> $\times 10^{-3}$ tons | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\left.\mathcal{G}_{+}\right)}\right.$ <br> $\times 10^{-3}$ tons | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{GM}}\left(\mathrm{N}_{4+}\right)$ <br> $\times 10^{-3}$ tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 |  |  | 446 |
| 1966 |  |  | 429 |
| 1967 |  |  | 393 |
| 1968 |  |  | 370 |
| 1969 | 314 | 127 | 311 |
| 1970 | 265 | 112 | 311 |
| 1971 | 232 | 112 | 276 |
| 1972 | 228 | 110 | 234 |
| 1973 | 249 | $(105)$ | 229 |
| 1974 | $(251)$ | $(99)$ | 240 |
| 1975 | $(245)$ |  | 234 |
| 1976 |  |  | 252 |
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Figure 3. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II.
The age distribution in the USSR trawl catches of
Greenland Halibut in 1966.


Figure 4. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II. The relation between the mean fishing mortalities on 8 to 13 year old Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II and the total trawl effort in thousand hours trawling. The $\widehat{\mathrm{F}}_{8}$ values are estimated from a preliminary run of VPA.for 8 - 13 the years 1970 to 1976 , and the relation is used to estimate the fishing mortalities in 1976 in the final VPA run on the basis of the total trawl effort on that year.
$\bar{F}_{8-13}$


Figure 5. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II. The relation between the biomass of the recruited stock (4+) estimated from the VPA and the catch per hour trawling in the USSR trawl fishery. The line is the geometric mean regression line (Ricker, 1975, p.351).


## Figure 6. Greenland Halibut in Sub-areas I and II. Yield per recruit under the present exploitation pattern.
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