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## I. Terms of Reference and Participation

The Intemational Council for the Exploration of the Sea, acting on a general concem expressec at the NeAFC Meeting in May 1968 about the North Sea herring fisheriea, appointed a Working Group to review the atato of the North Sea hexring stocks and to discusa measures for the improvement of the fisheries exploiting them.

The Working Group held two Meetings in 1969, the results of which are publiahed in Coop. Res. Rep.s Ser. A, No. 26 (1971). The Working Group concluded that in order to increase the size of the adult stock it was advisable to stabilise the effort at a lower level than exerted then.

At its Minth Meeting in May 1971, VEAFC passed the foilowing resolution:

> "Tm View of the Commssionis intorest in the possibility of regulating the North Sea herring Inshery by means of catch quotas, the IGBS North Sea Herring Assessment Working Group is askod to review the present status of the North Sea herring stocks and to advice on the following questions:

1) What altemative schemes of total catch limits Ghould be set in order to ailow recovery of the steck to a aatisfactory level. within a reasonable period of time?
2) Are differential quotas by season, region and category necessary to achieve effoctive conservations if so, what form might they take?
3) Is the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ Meridian the appropriate northwestern boundary for the quota aroa?

It is noted that all the catch, fishing effort and blological data for the period onding 31 December 1970 must be made available before the Group can carry out the above study, and that it ta desirable thet as many datn for the year 1971 as possible, should also be made available".

Acting on the request of NRAPC, the Working Group net in June and September 1971 and in Jonuary and June 1972 with Mr $K$. Popp Madsen (Denmark) acting as Chairmen.

All meetings were hold at TCHS Eeadquarters, Charlottenlund Slot, Charlottenlund, Denmerk, and member countries were represented by the following scientists:

|  |  | 1972 |  | 2972 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $14.19 \mathrm{Jun}$. | I-5 Sepo | 24-28 Jen. | 13-22 5x\% |
| De [. Ackeroze | Sweden | z | $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ | 2 |  |
| DY Yex Senino | $\mathrm{U}_{0} S_{8} S_{8} \mathrm{R}_{8}$ |  |  |  | 3 |
| 3ire A, O. Burd | $\mathbb{U}_{0} \mathbb{K}_{0}$ | x | $\pm$ | 2 | $\Sigma$ |
| Mr A. Corten | Netherlands |  |  |  | 2 |
| Dr m. Dornineim | Germany |  |  |  | 3 |
| Tify Femasen | Denmank |  | z | $\pm$ | $\Sigma$ |
| Mar A. Moveorps | France |  | s |  |  |
| Me K. Popp Medsen | Denmaxt | $\pi$ | I | 2 | a |
| Mr K.E. Postuma | Tetherlande | $x$ | $z$ | 8 |  |
| Trimes. Erosvirov | $U_{0} S_{0} S_{0} \mathrm{R}_{0}$ |  |  |  | 3 |
| Mr A. Sevilio | $\mathrm{U}_{0} \mathrm{~K}_{6}$ | 8 | $z$ | $x$ | z |
| Dr A. Sohummoher | Germeny | \% | $\Sigma$ |  |  |
| Mr 0. Jiltang | Torevay |  |  | $\pm$ | $\Sigma$ |
| Mr O.J. Getwedt | Nomew | \% | \% | 2 | $\Sigma$ |


#### Abstract

All meatings vere athended by Mr J. Maller Corintensen, in hic  to ICMS. It wen noted with regret thet repreaentatives from nationm with important fisheries in the Horth Sea were not atterding the meetinga.


## IT. Materid and Agende

The North Sea Eerring Asceszment Working Gxoup at ita 1969 seseloue meiniy considered the development in the stock end fiaherien it the period 1960 1968. Cetch etatiatlos and data on the blological compositien were compiled for that period and a caloulation of the muber of bexring oaught per year by ege and area was undertaisen.

At the preseat meetings the Working Group has erpanded thi work to comprise the ontite poct-rar poriod and to make addrional essessmant wethods: auch as the virtual Population Analysis. appliowbig.

The maln objective of the Working Group hes been to estebilsh prognoses on the future development in catch and blomags over 4 . 4 year period at different levols of fishing moxtalitzea. Tor thia prypow the following date have been vital:
a) are compostitons by axeas and inchorleag together with data on mumers per 18 gh $^{\text {a }}$
b) abundance estimates from Young Herning Suryeyn mat Young Eexring Fisheries:
c) data on arerage weight by age and month
d) deta on catch and effort by gear and area.

Hs on earifer occasions, the Working Group had to spond a dism proportionate awount of time on compiling the deta in a mitoble formo Mojor fisheries are shill not coverod by dotailed cetoh atatsatios and are in some casea not oven referable to the groae gtetigtical arean ueed by ICES. Equa:Iy serious def oiencies charactarise the biological data where such basic information as age distribution and aumbers oaght per unit of welght are lacking for entire areas or fiaherien
representing thousands of tons. The problem of inadequate data is most apparent in the case of Skagerrak, which for that reason had to be excluded from the analyses carried out.

The deficiences in the data available introduce an uncertainty in the conclusions drawn, which must necessarily affect the quota levels.
III. The Fisheries
a) Landings

The general decline in total catch from the North Sea and Skagerrak since the peak year of 1965 continued in 1969 and 1970 (Table 2). The total catch in 1971 of 574000 tons was $32 \%$ below the average catch level in the period 1955-1964 prior to the heavy expansion of the fisheries, and $8 \%$ lower than the catch in 1970. The catch in 1971 is only slightly greater than the average catch in the period 1948-1950 when the main fisheries in the North Sea were the adult herring fisheries for human consumption and the effort was at least half the recent level.

In 1969 the herring fisheries showed a general decrease in catch in most sub-areas while the developments in 1970 and 1971 show a somewhat different pattern. In the latter years a continued decline took place in Skagerrak and the northeastern North Sea while a marked increase took place in the northwestern part (section VII). As shown in Table 2 the recorded catches in the northeastern North Sea went down by about $87 \%$ from 1969. It must be noted, however, that the allocations to North Sea subareas of Danish, Froese, Icelandic and Swedish catches are based on a limited sampling of statistics in one Danish harbour. Though the actual figures are bound to be uncertain, the independent picture from the Norwegian catch distribution supports the general development as described above.

In the central North Sea after the increase in adult catch in 1970 over the previous two years, this fell in 1971 to the lowest level recorded. However, the closure of the herring fisheries in August/ September 1971 will have contributed to this decline.

The catch levels in the south have remained constant, but at a somewhat higher level than in the 1966-1968 period. Nevertheless, the current levels are reduced by ten times from the fisheries in 1952-1954.

The highest catches on record were made in 1971 in the young herring fisheries in the central North Sea. This represents an increase of 2.2 times over the low 1970 catch and 1.4 times over the 1969 catch. The increase is associated with the entry of the strong 1969 year class into the fishery.
b) Catch composition
(i) ITunbers caugit_per_age group

Data are presented in Table 9 giving the total catches by area in number per age group for the period 1947-1971. The methods described in Coop. Res. Rep., No. 26, for obtaining the annual age compositions by which separate age compositions were used for fish taken by different gears, each being raised to the total catch by the respective gear, has been followed for the period 1955-1958 and 1969-1971. Any country's catches which could not be specified to gear were used to raise the total specified catch to the total area catch.

The age data used by areas and gear are summarised below:

| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IVa.W |  | IVa.E |  |  | IVb |  |  | IVc |  |
| Gear | Drift | Trawl Purse | Drift | Traw | Purse | Drift | Mrawl | Purse | Drift | Trawl |
| 1955 | s | G - | S,G | G | - | E | G | - | F | H |
| 1956 | S | G - | S | - | - | E | H | - | E | H |
| 1957 | 5 | G - | S | B | - | E, S | E, G | - | E | H |
| 1958 | S | G | 5 | - | - | E | H, G | - | [ | H, G |
| 1959 | $s$ | G - | S | G | - | IT | H, G | - | E | H,G |
| 1969 | 5 | G, H IN, S | - | H, D | TT | - | H, G | - | - | H, C |
| 1970 | S | $G, D$ N, S | - | H, D | N | S | G, H | - |  | H, P,G, F |
| 1971 | S | $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{I}$ | - | D | $\mathrm{NT}, \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{F}$ | E, S | $G$ G H | - | - | H |

Key: B-Beleium
D - Dennark
D/F - Danish Faroese Landings
E - Eng? and
F - France
G - Germany
H - Totherlands
I - Iceland
iv - Mormay
P - Poland
S - Scotland
For the period 1947-1954 the age data for areas IVb and IVc are Belgian (Gilis, 1958). For travi catches in IVa the same source has been used and Scottish drift net data heve been applied to drift net catches (Parrish \& Craig, 1963). Numbers per kg were derived from Gilis except for IVa drift net catches which were derived from Scottish data in Statistical Irers Letters.

The age data for the young herring fishery in IVb are all derived from the Danish trawl fishery.

The estimates of the total catches in numbers for each age group for the years 1960-1968, given in Table 9, differ from those given in Table 17 of Cooperative Research Report, No. 26. These differences have arisen from the application of better estimates of the number of fish per kg in the catches of the young herring fisheries in area IVb in these years, and thus affect predominantly the estimated numbers of 0 and l-group herming caught.

During the June 1972 Mceting of the Working Group the catches in numbers per age group in the adult fisheries in 1965 were also recalculated. This estimate differed very markedly from the total catch in numbers per age group for the whole of the North Sea for 1965, given in Cooperative Research Report No. 26, largely because of big differences between the two estirntes for areas IVa.W and IVa. E. This is hardly surprising, in view of the comments made in the previous Report of the Torking Group on the inadequacies of the catch sampling of some of the major fisheries in these areas.

Because of the deficiencies in sampling, any estimate of catch in numbers per age group for these areas will contain a large measure of uncertainty. In view of this, it was decided that the labour involved in recalculating all of the data for the years $1960-1968$ was unjustified. The data given in Table 9, originating from the adult fisheries, is therefore unchanged from those given in the previous Report.

## (ii) Pexcentage_of spring_spawners in_the_Morth_Sea_catches

The percentages of spring spawning herring in the catches from the northwestern, northeastern, and Skagerrak areas are given in Table 10 according to the available national data. In the northwestern area the Horwegian and Scottish data are in close agreement for the period I9651968, but thereafter differ widely, This difference may be due to a methodological error in that axter 1968 the Norwegian otoliths were read by a different operator then in the earlier period. It is also possible that this difference in the later years reflects a real difference in the proportion of spring spamers in the catches of the two countries. In these years an increasing proportion of the Norwegian catches was taken on the western boundary of the area, whilst an appreciable proportion of the Scottish catches came from the East of Shetland and within national fishing limits.

In the northeastern area, in all years, there are lange differences between the percentages estimated by the different countries. This again may result from differences in the timing and location of the fisheries of the different countries.

In all areas there is some indication of a higher proportion of spring spawners in the catches after 1965. This might suggest that the spring spawning stock has not decreased to the same extent as the autumn spaming one did as a result of the major increase in fishing effort in 1964 and subsequent Jears.

## (iii) Data_on Stragerrak fisheries

In the previous Report, (Anona 1971), an estimate of catch per age groups in number was given for the Skagerrak for the period 1963-1968 (loc. cit., Table 20, p.47) Only limited data on age and weight composition of the catches were, however, available and most of these data came from the fisheries for human consumption. It also appears that the estimate of catch in number per ase groups for 1968 was based on Norwegian research vessel samples which showed a predominance of 0-group fish while the Norwegian commercial fishery was mainly based on l-and 2-ringers. Due to the inadequate sampling oi the commeral catches any estimates of catch in numbers per age groups would be misleading.

Table 11 gives the Danish and Swedish herring catches landed in Denmark separated into catches for human consumption (c) and for industrial purposes (I), together with estimated mean number per kg for some years. For Norway, total catches only are given, but during the years 1964-1968 at least $90 \%$ of the catches were for industrial purposes. The catches for other countries are almost exclusively for human consumption.

The figures available on mean number per $k g$ indicate that the Danish industrial landings mainly consisted of fish with 0 and 1 winter rings, while the Norwegian industrial landings in the years 1965-1968 exploited fish with I and 2 winter rings. It seems, therefore, that the total catch from Skaserrak during i..e years $1960-1971$ consisted mainly of fish with less than 3 winter rings.
(iv) Catch pex Unit Fffort

For the period 1947-I971 catches per unit effort are given in Table 12 for trawl and drift net fisheries in the North Sea.

Apart from the extension in time some of these data differ slightly from those in Coop. Res. Rep., No. 26. In particular the Metherlands trawl data refer to herring in fresh weight while previously these were in landed weight.

In the central area the U.K. unit used is catch per landing. Since 1965, as some landings represent more then one night's fishing, these numbers should be reduced by a factor of about 0.8 , to be comparable with the earlier data, but this correction has not been applied to Table 12. These data are derived from the sumer fishery off the English northeast coast. In the early part of the season this fishery is directed at recruiting fishes, while later an increasing proportion of older fish are teken, when the drifters exploit the spawning grounds off the Yorkshire coast. The more stable nature of the drifter catches per effort compared with the trawler series, may be related to this greater dependence on recruit herring.

Both trawler and dwifter wits show declining catches per effort in the south and northeastern areas.

In the northwestern Morin Sea again the drifter data are more stable and do not show the manked docine seen in the Dutch trawl data. This difference in abundance indices between drifters and trawlers has been commented on before. Powe and Parrish (1964) considered that the differences between drifter and Germen trawl catches per effort from July 1947-1959 reflected reai changes in abundance. They suggested that net selectivity could account for the difference Zijlstra (1967) showed a consistent difference between the age compositions or trawl and drift net catches over the poriod 1950-1960. He concluded that the differences could be explained from the drifters selecting a younger component of the stock. Differences in anea listribution of the two fleets might also have an effect.
(v) Erfort

Estimates of effort for the period 1947-1971 are given in Table 13 for the northwestern, northeastern, central and southern North Sea and the Bloden area. Theseata ane mived at by dividing the total catch in an area by the catch per unit effort in that area. As discussed in Coop. Res. Repo, No. 26, the method is only reliable when the catch per unit effort of an area $\dot{Z}$ s estinated from fisheries taking the major part of the total catch in that arca. Difficulties in this respect were experienced in the areas of the northern North Sea, and the effort estimates of the northwestem and northeastern North Sea are, therefore, to be considered with reservations.

Using the U.K. drift net series and the Dutch trawl series, estimates of total effort in the adult insheries in the North Sea have been computed. In the case of the drifter cata for the central and northwest areas it has been assumed that each londins was a drifter shot. The effort for these areas, and area south, have beon summed and raised by the difference between the total catches from these three areas and that for the total Morth Sea adult fishemies. Goh a summation of the effort estimates for the individual areas is only valid on the assumption that they are measured in the same units and in is case they are derived from almost the same fleet of vessels.

In the case of the Dutch trawl data it has been assumed that the catches per unit effort for the northwest, northeast and central areas are all estimates of the total stock of herring in the North Sea. This is certainly not the casc in the northeastem area where the major decline in catch per unit effort in the lator years was partly due to a change in fishing area as the objective or this fishery changed, as the herring stock declined from predominantly herring to a greater emphasis on demersal
fish．It is most unlikely that all of the stock is fully represented in any of these areas．This is particularly pertinent to the centrel area where few fish from the northwestern spawning stock are represented．

However，unless a reliable estimate of the proportion of the total stock fished in each area is available，the simplest assumption which oon be made is that these estimates are equally valid measures of the total stock within that area．Because of availability differences between the areas，catches per unit efforts are not measured in the same units．To correct this，mean catches per unit effort were calculated per area using data from the period 1955－1967 for which catch per effort data are available for each area．The ratio of the mean catches per unit effort in the north．． western and northeastern areas to that of the central area were calculated， and the catches per unit effort for the first two areas were adjusted by this factor．Yearly means were then calculated for the three areas and this figure was raised to the total Morth Sea effort．Table 14 gives the estimated total Morth Sea efforts calculated by both methods．

The effort recorded in drifter landings is underestimated in 1969 and 1971，as there was no fishery in the southern area from which the catch per efforts were derived．The data suggest that after reaching a peak in 1961－1968，the total effort on adult herring has since declined somentit．

The trawler effort also shows the increase in effort from 1961－1968， with，subsequently，an apparently slight decline．

Table l4 also gives catch per effort estimates in drifter and trawler units for the total North Sea catch．The drifter data originating from fisheries mainly on recruiting herring show little trend with time。 However，those for the travler index，being based mainly on the spaming stocks，show a large decrease with time．

Using the weighted mean fishing mortality for fish of 2 rings and older from the VPA analysis，appazent changes in fishing efficiency with time can be examined．The ratio $W /$ Cpe for each year describes the relative changes in $\bar{F}$ generated by one unit of catch per effort．The plots for drifter and travier efficiencies appear in Figures $I$ and 2。 As the mean $\vec{F}$ values for 1968－1970 are not reliable from the VPA anolysis because of the short periods involrod， $\bar{F}$ has been set at 1.0 ，as has been used in other analyses，and which is of the order of the observed values of $\mathbb{F}$ from catch per effort data．

The drifter data indicate an increase in apparent efficiency（fishing intensity）of about two times by 1961 from the level of the earlier period． Accepting the values of $F$ for 1968－1970，the increase was then three times．

The trawler data indicate a rather steady level of intensity from 1954－1964，subsequently jumping by a：factor of about three．

In Figure 1 the relative change in fishing intensity for the Danish young herring trawlers is indicated．This has been calculated by using the catch per effort data of Table 12 and the index of fishing mortality for l－ringed fish from the VFA analysis．The data suggest an increase in efficiency of the order of two times between 1958－1963 and 1964－1970．

## IV．The Fish stools

a）Natural mortality
Some impression can be gained from the use of the catch per effort data given in Table 14 of the relative size of $M$ in relation to $Z$ ．For the Dutch trawler data the regression of annual l／Cpe on total effont for the North Sea has been calculated（Higure 3）。 whe statistic 1／Cpe is an approximation to the total fishing mortality．The intercept of 0.13 is not significantly different from the value $M=0.1$ ．The intercept itsele must be an overestimate，as the catches per effort in later years are not corrected for the fishing efficiency increase．This correction would tend to increase the slope and reduce the intercept．This would imply that for
the adult part of the stock, the value of $\mathbb{M}=0 . I$ is realistic.
Other estimates from catch per effort data of the natural mortality coefficient are available and summarised below:
Source
Postuma (1963)
Burd \& Bracken (1965)
Malloy (1969)

| Stock | M |
| :--- | :--- |
| Downs | 0.08 |
| Dunmore, 1952-1959 | 0.13 |
| Dunmore, 1961-1968 | 0.15 |

In earlier assessments a value of natural mortality of 0.2 has been used when considering the effect of fishing on North Sea adult herring stocks. In the present Report the Virtual Population Analysis and the prognosis have been carried out using the value of $\bar{M}=0.1$. The same value of M was also applied to juvenile immature herring as 0 - and 1 ringers included in the analyses as it was considered less objectionable to use the same value of M throughout the life span than trying to make changes in this value on hypothetical grounds.
b) Fishing mortality

Table 15 gives the values of $F$ obtained from the Virtual Population Analysis on the total North Sea stock for M $=0.1$. The effect of higher value of $M=0.2$ is to decrease the fishing mortality. The correlation between $\mathbb{M}=0.1$ and $\mathbb{M}=0.2$ can be represented by the following equation:

$$
F_{0 . I}=0.96 F_{0.2}-0.067
$$

For the adult stock (2-ringers and older) the data show a relatively steady level in $\mathbb{F}$ up to 1964 followed by an increase in $1965-1967$ to about $0.7-0.8$ when the fishery in the northern North Sea expanded. Subsequent to 1967 the mortalities have remained at a high level of about $F=1.0$, as indicated from catch per effort data.

The fishing mortalities for the l-ringers show an increase in $F$ from the early $50^{\prime}$ s up to about 0.5 in 1964 and have since stayed at about the same level. The increase in $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds in time with the commencement of the Bløden young herring fishery.
c) Stock size
(i) Estimates from virtuai population analysis

Table 16 gives the estimated stock size in numbers by age and year for the total North Sea from the VPA using $\mathbb{M}=0.1$.

The stock sizes were also calculated for the total North Sea using $M=0.2$. Using an $\mathbb{M}$ of 0.2 the stock sizes calculated are about $30 \%$ higher.

Over the years 1949-1965 the total stock sizes were remarkably stable, fluctuating around an arerage level of about $29.0 \times 10^{-9}$. After 1965 the stock sizes decreased to an average level of about $20.0 \times 10^{-9}$.

Considering the stock sizes for the different age groups, it appears that most of the reduction in stock sizes have taken place in the adult stock (2-ringers and older) which since 1966 has been reduced to about one third of the level in the early fifties. This decrease compares very well to the decrease in average trawl catch per effort (Table 14).

A reduction in the number of older fish greatly affects the spaning potential of the stock, and as is shown in Table 19, the estimated spawning potential has been reduced by about 3 times in the later years, as compared with the period 1947-1952.

## (ii) Estimates from_tagging experiments

From Howwegian tagging experiments in 1966 in the northeastern Morth Sea (June) and east of Shetland (July), the stack in the northeastern Morth Sea was estimated to be 0.54 million tons and in the Shetland area 0.57 million tons, totalling l. 11 million tons (Anon. 1971). These estimates were, however, based on returns during the first three months after tagging and nost of the returns came from the areas of release (Haraldsvik, 1969). It seems evident, therefore, that the tagged fish were not randomly dispersed,

According to later reports from these experiments the returns during 1967 and 1968 show that $30-35 \%$ of the tagged fish from the experiment in the northeastern North Sea had migrated to Shetland, while 21-41\% of the Shetland tagged fish were in the eastern area.

The autumn spawners in both experiments consisted of 2 -ringers and older fish. About $10 \%$ of the tagged fish in the northeastern area and about $30 \%$ in the Shetland area were spring spawners.

Considering returns during 1967 and 1968, the estimates of the totel stock of adult autumn spawners in numbers in 1966 in the northern North Sea range between 10 - I5 thousand million, or in weight (using an average number per kg of 5.2 ) from $1.9-2.9$ million tons.

## d) Larvai abundance

Indices of larval abundance for the period 1946-1969 were presented in Coop. Res. Rep., No.26. In Table 17 of the present Report the results of the 1970 ICES Ierring Larval Surveys have been added and some alterations made to the data for the southern North Sea.

The changes in the Downs estimates have resulted from restricting the larval abundances used to those obtained from sampling in comparable areas within the months December and January. The abundances are of all larval sizes, and as in earlier years (1946-1962) and in 1969 no separation by size was made. $A$ simple mean has been taken of the abundance indices obtained from each survey within each spawning period for use as the annual index. In 1968 the Downs surveys were far apart in time (early December and late January) and the larval sizes were small in each case. As an exception, therefore, in this year the abundance taken is the sum of the two survey indices. In 1966 only two surveys were made up to 20 December when few Iarvae would have hatched out. No abundance index can be given for this year.

The abundance indices of larvae from the southern North Sea (Dorns) show increases in number since the very low levels of the period 1963-1965. In the central North Sea Iarval abundances are still dependent on the spawning off the English northeast coast between the Longstone and Flamborough. In the northern region the major production originates from the Orkney/ Shetland region, though in 1969 some production was recorded on the spawning grounds near the Aberdeen Bank.

Comparable data for 1971 were not available to the Working Group. Preliminary estimates suggest that in the south, larval abundance was low (1963-1965 level). In the central region abundances of the same order as 1970 were recorded, as was the case in the Orkney/Shetland area. In the northwestern area, however, increased production was evident on the Aberdeen-Turbent-Montrose Banks aree.
e) Recruitment
(i) Recruitment estinates

Recruitment estimates are available as the number of O, I or II group fish from the VPA, and as catch per unit effort of 3 year old herring in drift net and trawl fisheries. For the most recent year classes (1969, 1970) the only estimates available so far are from the International Young Herring Survey, the English 0-group survey, and the Danish industrial fishery,

Both the 1969 and 1970 year classes seem to be above average (Table 23). Over the whole period 1947-1970, there is no clear trend in the overall recruitment to the North Sea stock.

Recruitment estimates for Buchan, Bank and Downs stocks were available for the years 1951-1957 as the catch per unit effort of 3 year olds in the drift net and trawl fisheries in the areas (Table 18). In order to get an overall estimate for the recruitment to North Sea stocks, catches per unit effort for individual areas were expressed as standard measure $\left(\frac{x-\bar{x}}{\sigma}\right)$ and then added by years.

A comparison was made between these recruitment estimates and the figures for stock size at three years of age, calculated by VPA. For this purpose, VPA values were also expressed as standard measure (Figure 5).

A significant correlation $(r=0.86)$ was found between the two sets of recruitment estimates, indicating that recruitment levels calculated by VPA are of the same order as those estimated from the combined catch per unit effort of 3 year olds in the different areas.
(ii) Stock-recruitment relationship_for_total_Horth_Sea

Using estimates of each age group of the adult stock for the total North Sea (from VPA) the spawning potential of the stock was calculated from fecundity data on northern North Sea herring. The spawning potential is obtained by multiplying half the numbers of stock at each age by the mean fecundityfor that age group. This gives the potential annual egg production or spawning potential.

Fecundity per age group (from Baxter, 1959)

| Ringers | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $>5$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No. of eggs <br> $\mathrm{x} 10^{-3}$ | 45 | 67 | 87 | 96 | 101 |

Spawning potential of the total North Sea stock is compared with recruitment estimates as 0-ringers from VPA in Table 19. There is no correlation between the two values for the period of observation. Instead, recruitment fluctuated around a rather constant level of about $8 \times 109$ (Figure 6).

The North Sea herring are regarded as consisting of three major stock units, Bank, Downs and Buchon. Stock recruitment relationships have been domonstrated for some of the individual stocks. By adding all stocks together in the present analyses, any underlying stock/recruitment relationships might well be masked.
(iii) Stock-recruitment relationship_for_Downsherring

Figure 7 shows a plot of Downs larval abundance (Table 17) against both the abundance of 0-group herring along the East Anglian coast and Thames estuary (Wood, 1970) and the abundance of low mean length herring ( $<15-16 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) as measured during the International Young Herring Surveys. The 1968 year class has been excluded because larval production of the Downs stock was not properly measured in 1968/1969. For the remaining years (1964-1970) the relationship between number of larvae and abundance of young herring is quite apparent.

The plot of 0-group fish against low mean length I group in Figure 8 also includes the 1968 year class. This year class in the nowns stock was of about the same strength as the 1969 and 1970 year classes, while for the total North Sea the 1968 year class was only half as strong as the 1969 year class (Table 23).

## f) Weight data

The monthly mean weights per age group are given in Table 20 for each area separately. These mean weights are based on data collected in the period 1966-1971 in area IVa. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}$ on combined Netherlands and Scottish data, in area IVa.E on Netherlands data and in areas IVb and IVc on English and Netherlands data. Norwegian weight for age data for area: IVa: as a whole are tabulated separately. The rather few observations available for area IVa.E suggest that the weight per age in this area is very similar to that in IVa.V and the Scottish and Netherlands data for these two areas are very similar to those of Horway for the combined areas. Danish data of monthly weights for age in the juvenile fisheries are given in Table 21.

In all areas the data show a maximum weight per age in the adult fisheries in August-September and a fairly rapid decline thereafter to about $60-70 \%$ of this sumner maximum in the early months of the following year. This would suggest that quite apart from any gain due to growth or reduction in fishing mortality, an appreciable increase in yield could be obtained by restricting fishing during the period November-April.

The mean number of herring per kg by month and area is shown in Table 22. In the northern North Sea the data refer to Scottish and Dutch catches, while those for the central and southern North Sea mainly derive from the latter. Additional figures for juvenile herring in the central area are obtained from the Danish young herring fishery. For the adult fisheries the number per kg is lowest in the period between the feeding and the spawning seasons.

Table ll presents some information on numbers per leg in certain Skagerrak fisheries. These data, however, are hardly representative of the total catches.

## V. Prognoses for Different Ievels of Fishing Mortality

Essentially any fishery regulation is directed towards a control of the fishing mortality either in an entire fish stock or in components thereof (e.g. juveniles).

The main task of the Working Group was therefore to estimate the parameters of fishing mortality, natural mortality and stock size from earlier data and to establish a basis for prognoses of the future development of the herring stocks.
a) Parameters and assumptions
(i) Natural moxtality

Natural mortality has been assumed to be 0.1 for all ages. The usc of an alternative value of $M=0.2$ was tested, but the effect on the prognosis was found to be negligible at current levels of fishing mortality.
(ii) Fishing mortainty

From data of the 1968-1971 fisheries the following estimates of total mortality have been derived from catch per effort data:

| Year | Total Mortality Z |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IVa | IVb |  | IVc |
|  | Englar. | Netherlands | Netherlands |  |
| $1968 / 69$ | 0.55 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 2.0 |
| $1969 / 70$ | 1.34 | 1.30 | 0.79 | 1.0 |
| $1970 / 71$ | 0.73 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 0.8 |

It would appear from these data that the total mortality in recent years has been high and a fishing mortality for adult herring (i.e. 2-ringers and older) of $E=1.0$ was thought realistic.

Using the total North Sea catches, $F$ values for the juvenile herring (i.e. I-ringed herring) were calculated by Virtual Population Analysis using $\mathbb{M}=0.1$. From this an average value of $E=0.5$ appears reasonable for 1 ringed herring.

Table 15 suggests that the fishing mortality of the 0-ringed herring is about $10 \%$ of the fishing mortality of the l-group.
(iii) Initial ase composition and recruitment

The stock composition as at I Jonuary 1972 was used as a starting point for the prognosis. This was derived from catch in number per age group in 1971, corrected to stock as at I January 1971 by applying an $M=0.1$ for all age groups, an $F=1.0$ to fish older than l-ringers and $\vec{F}=0.5$ to l-ringers. The catch figures given in Table 9 were used.

In order to simulate the likely changes in the stock under different levels of fishing mortality in the next five years, some estimates of the relative strengths of incoming year classes are required.

The strengths of the 1968, 1969 and 1970 year classes have been monitored in the English coastal surveys for 0-group herring, the ICES Young Herring Survey and the Danish Young Herring Fishery. Taking the 1969 year class as standard, the comparitive strengths of the others are shown for comparison in Table 23. All estimates for the 1968 year class are in close agreement.

The strength of the 1970 year class is believed to be underestimated in the northern part of the English coastal survey. The means used for the young herring survey differ from those quoted by Postuma and Euiter (1972) in that abundance indices have been separately calculated for fish of low mean length and high mean lengths. The mean numbers of l-ringed fish per rectangle for the two groups have been summed to give the overall abundance index. It seems that the relative year class strengths so obtained are ciose to the estimates derived from the Danish young herring fishery taking place at the time the survey was made. Using these data it was assumed that the 1970 year class is $50 \%$ greater than the 1962-1969 mean ( $7.9 \times 10-9$ ) and that all subsequent year classes are of average strength.

The estimated age composition as at 1 January 1972 is shown below:
Age composition as at I January 1972

| W.r. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hos. $10^{-9}$ | 7.9 | 10.7 | 5.4 | 1.16 | .38 | .13 | .022 | .017 | .16 |

This gives a total biomass of $1.0 \times 10^{6}$
(iv) Mean_weights_per age group

In order to assess the effects of changes in juvenile and adult fishing mortalities on the stock and catch in weight, estimates of the mean weights of eaci age group, as caught, have been made. The mean weights of fish older than 2-ringers were calculated from the von Bertalanffy growth equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\infty}=271.09 \pm 2.0 \\
& \mathbb{K}=0.377 \\
& T_{0}=-1.526
\end{aligned}
$$

For the younger age groups estimates were obtained of their mean weight both in the annual catch and at I January.

The mean weights used in the computations appear below:

|  | Mean weights (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Rings | At I January | Annual catch |
| 0 | - | 17 |
| 1 | 25 | 50 |
| 2 | 75 | 125 |
| 3 | 282 |  |
| 4 | 207 |  |
| 5 | 226 |  |
| 6 | 240 |  |
| 7 | 249 |  |
| 8 | 256 |  |
| 9 | 260 |  |
| 10 | 264 |  |
| 11 | 266 |  |
| 12 | 268 |  |

b) Prognosis foz different levels of fishing mortality

Using the parameters indicated in the previous sections, computations were made of the expocted catches in 1972 under different levels of juvenile and adult fisling mortalities. These are presented in Table 24 and in Figure 9 for $a l l$ combinations of juvenile fishing mortality from $F 0.0-0.3$ and adult fishing mortalities from F 0.0-1.5. In addition is shown the expected percentage changes in the 1975 catch and biomass over that in 1972.

In Table 24 the first column indicates the expected changes, if there were no fishing on I-ringed fish ( $F=0.0$ ). Thus at an adult $F=0.1$, the expected catch in 1972 would be 92000 tons, and if this pattern were continued to 1976, then the 1975 catch would be $298 \%$ greater and the biomass a 31 December 1975 would be $306 \%$ greater. At an adult $F=1.0$, the expected catch for 1972 would be 613000 tons and the catch in 1975 would be increased by $47 \%$ and the biomass by $26 \%$.

The first row indicates the effects of stopping all adult fishing and exploiting l-ringed fish only.

It has been assumed that the recruitment would be of average strength up to 1976. The anual 1972-1975 catches would then simply be a proportion of thess recruits depending on the fishing rate. There would be no change in catch with time, as the I-ringed fish after passing through the fishory would join the adult unfished stock. However, the biomass would increase $\bar{D} y 365 \%$ over the 1972 level at $F=0.1$ or $188 \%$ at $\mathrm{F}=0.7$ 。

The accuracy of the prognosis has been studied, assuming recruitment to be a pure random process. The forecast of both catch and biomass up to the end of 1975 has a mean error of $25 \%$. This point must be kept in mind when using the table.

## c) Comparison with former data

The prognosis technique was applied to the 1970 eatch for forecasting the 1971 catch. With an adult $F=1.0$ (2-ringers and older) and a juvenile $F=0.5$ (I-ringers) for 1970, the predicted and observed values for the 1971 catch were as follows:

|  | Catch in | $000 t$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted | Observed |
| Immature catch $=1$ | 238 | 212 |
| Mature catch | 321 | 298 |
| Total | 559 | 510 |

It is assumed that $25 \%$ of the catch of 2 -ringers are immature.

It will be seen that the predicted catch is about $10 \%$ higher thon that observed.

The value of $P=1.0$ for adults is a mean fishing mortality for all ages older than l-ringers. Estimating $F$ for each age group, using the catch of 1970 and 1971, and assuming a juvenile $F=0.5$ and an adult $F=1.0$ for 1970 , the following gives fishing mortalities for 1971 ( $\mathrm{M}=0.1$ ):

| W. S. | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.44 |
| 2 | 1.47 |
| 3 | 1.02 |
| 4 | 0.60 |
| 5 | 0.50 |

This shows that the assumption of an equal $F$ for all adult age groups is disputable. The Working Group, however, had no model available for calculating the expected changes in distribution of $F$ on age groups and had to adopt the assumption used. Inspection of the prognosis showed that the effect on the adult catch was not very serious, so that from an operational point of view the assumption of an adult $F$ equal for all age groups can be applied.

## VI. Conservation Measures

a) Overall catch quota

From Table 24 and Figure 9 the overall catch quotas can be derived once the decision has been made regarding the level of biomass and catch required in 1975. If a doubling of the biomass is considered desirable, the sets of fishing mortalities ( $0.0,0.5$ ) , ( $0.2,0.4$ ) etc. can be read from the table giving the overall quota for 1972.
b) Differential measures

Differential quotas will in principle allow higher catches to be taken in a fishery then with an overall quota. The more detailed a catch quota system, the greater the possibility of directing the fishing effort towards those levels of fishing mortality which in different periods, life stages, or areas will allow the maximum catch to be taken.

Different conservation measures were discussed in the former Report by the Working Group (Anon., 1971). All these measures were aimed at increasing recruitment or reducing mortality in the adult stocks
or a combination of both。 Differentiation of catch quota by region, season and category will be discussed.

## (i) By_resion

An overall quota in the North Sea could be divided between certain areas of the North Sea. The purpose of this measure would be the protection of specific components of the North Sea herring.

For the purposes of the assessment the North Sea catches have been reported in four major regions of the North Sea and separately the catches of juvenile fish in the central North Sea. However, no estimate could be made of the effects of changes in fishing mortality within these areas following the appication of catch restrictions.
(ii) Closed_seasons

To estimate the expected gain in yield by closed seasons, monthly mean weights for each age group were calculated (Table 2l) by using weight data from the different areas (Table 20) and taking a weighted mean for each month according to catch distribution in 1969 and 1970 . Yields per recruit were onlculoted (Jlltang, 1972) using monthly weights and coefficients expeessing the distribution of the fishing intensity on the different monthe eor the following alternatives:
a) No closed season
b) Closed zeascns In Tay and September
c) Closed season from 1 April to 15 June
d) Closed season fron I March to 15 June

The yield curves are shom in Rigure 10. The monthly fishing mortality for l-ringers was set to $50 \%$ of adult fishing mortality and at $10 \%$ of adult fishine mortalitof for O-ringers in July-September. The yield curve for altenntive 0 ) is very close to alternative a). The expected gains in yiele by closcl seasons are shown in the table below:

|  | $\mathrm{N}=0.1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & Y / R \\ & (\delta) \end{aligned}$ | \% Increase compared with alternative a) |
| a) Mo closed season | 81.7 | - |
| b) Closed seasons in May and Sep. | 82.8 | 1.3 |
| c) Closed semson finom I Apr. to 15 Jwn 。 | 85.2 | 4.3 |
| a) Closed season from I Mar. to 15 Jun. | 90.0 | 10.2 |

Using $M=0.2$, the rield por recruit will be reduced by about 20 g (Figure 10). The relative inerease in yield per recruit for the alternatives b, $c$ and $d$ vill bo almost unchanged.

## (iii) Quota by_categories

The only practicable differentiation of quotas by categories is that between juvenile ( 0 -and l-ringers) and adults ( 2 -ringers and older) In Table 24 and Figuxe 9 predictions of catch and of stock are given for various levels of fishing mortalities on juvenile and adults, respectively.

The present effect of the juvenile fishery is best illustrated by following say the 700000 t total catch curve on Figure 9 from the present level of the fishing mortality of l-ringers $F$ juv $=0.5$ to a total ban on the juvenile fishery $F$ juv $=0.0$. In the case of $F_{j u v}=0.5$ the catch in 1975 will decrease by $7 \%$ of the 1972 catch and the total biomass will decrease by $2 \%$. Taking the 700000 t in 1972 as being exclusively adults (2-ringers and older), one would expect an increase in catch in 1975 of $25 \%$ and an increase in biomass of $6 \%$. The optimal fishing mortality is about $F=0.4$ on the yieId per recruit criterion with a total ban on the juvenile fisheries. This indicates a catch quota for 1972 of $318000 t$ with an increase in catch of $160 \%$ in 1975 (i.e. to 826000 t ) and an increase in biomass of $139 \%$. 1 higher value of $M$ than 0.1 will decrease the expected gain as illustrated by Figure 10.

## VII. Northwestern Boundary of the Quota Area

The area to the west of Shetland has been fished by the Scottish fleet in the early part of the Shetland herring season for many years, but the proportion of the total Scottish catch taken in that area was, until 1965, comparatively saall, averaging less than $10 \%$. Since 1965 this proportion has increased considerably and in the 1968, 1969 and 1970 seasons other countries fishing in the northwestern North Sea: have also taken an increasing proportion of their catches from west of Shetland. In 1970 and 1971 the fishery to the west of Shetland extended further west than in previous years and appreciable catches were taken west of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ - the western boundary of the ICES Morth Sea statistical area IVa. Table 25 gives the catches taken in area VIa and in area IVa. W annually in the period 1965-1971. The catches taken in area VIa have increased steadily during this period with particularly large increases in 1970 and 1971. The increased catches from this area in these years were largely due to the entry of Norwegian, Faroese and Icelandic purse-seine vessels fishing just west of the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{V}$ boundary in the vicinity of Rona.

## a) Catch statistics

The catches taken by the Scottish and Nowegian fleets from the northwestern North Sea and that part of the Faroese, Icelandic and Swedish catches landed in Denaark from this area in 1970, are given in Table 26a by months. Thesc have been sub-divided into three areas: west of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, from $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to the west coasts of Shetland and Orkney, and to the east of Shetland and Orkney. In 1970 91\% of the Norwegian catch from the Shetland area was taken from the grounds to the west of Shetland and $60 \%$ of the Scottish catch from this area. Of the Icelandic, Faroese and Swedish catches landed in Denmark, only about $20 \%$ of the northwestern North Sea catch cane fron these western grounds, but it is possible that this is an underestimate of the true proportion, in that catches from these western grounds were more likely to be landed in Faroese or Scottish ports then in Denmark.

The distribution of these landings by months in the three areas are of interest in showing that the fishery, and so presumably the fish, moved eastwards from these more westerly grounds as the season progressed. This was also the pattern of the Scottish fishery in the Shetland area in earlier years.
b) Age composition

The age composition of the catches of the Scottish and Norwegian purse-seine fleets in 1970 and 1971 in the three areas used for the catch statistics are given in Table 26b. In 1970 the age compositions for the three areas are in substantial agreement in showing that the catches were predominantly composed of 3 and 4 year old fish. The higher proportion of 3 year old fish in the East Shetland area in that year could be a reflection of the fact that most of the age sampling in that area was done in August when the proportion of younger fish in the Shetland catches is generally higher.

In 1971, however, although the age compositions of the catches from the two areas west of Shetland are in very close agreement, the east Shetland catches again showed much higher proportions of young fish and in that year sampling in the three areas was distributed over the same time period.

The scarcity of fish older than 5 years in the catches from all three areas nakes it appear unlikely that an appreciable component of the population in any of them is derived from the Minch stock which still contains a higher proportion of older fish.
c) Meristic characters

The data available on the peristic characters of the herring populations in this area are given in Table 26c. The fish caught to the east and to the west of Shetland have very similar vertebral and keeled scale counts. However, Minch and east Shetland fish show identical values for these characters so that they are of no value in clarifying whether the fish caught west of Shetland belong to one or other of these stocks, or are a mixture of the two. The mean Inl data given in Table 26c show that in this character there is no significant difference between the east and west Shetland herring, but that both have significantly higher values than fish frou the Minch.

## VIII. Discussions

In the previous Report, (Coop. Res. Rep., Ser. A, No.26), particular attention was drawn to the sequential nature of the changes of catch, catch per effort, larval production and mortality by fishing area in the North Sea. The reduction of the adult stock in the southern area was followed somewhat later in the central North Sea and finally in more recent years in the northern North Sea. It was noted that the decline in total catch since 1965 had not been as rapid as might have been expected from the reduction in catches of adult herring, and it was concluded that the real state of the North Sea stock was masked by the increased exploitation of herring before their first spawning and by the shift of the fishory to more northern areas.

These conclusions have been further strengthened by the evidence of the fisheries in 1969-1971. The Morth Sea catch was reduced to about 550000 tons in 1969 and 1970, while a further reduction to about 510000 tons took place in 1971. In these years there was a further expansion of the juvenile fisheries and an important part of the adult catches were taken in the northern liorth Sea: west of Orkney and Shetland. This area was never exploited to that degree in previous years and the expansion of the fishery in this area has made the task of assessing the present state of the stock even more difficult than before.

The present assessment of the North Sea herring stock is based on data on catch in numbers per year and per age group The quality of this material is very uneven from area to area and from one fishery to another.

The nost comprehensive set of data, available back to 1947, derives from the fisheries in the central and southern North Sea (area IVb and IVc). The reliability of age and catch data from the northern North Sea is rapidy deteriorating from west towards east. For the large fisheries in later years in Slagerrak, data are so poor that they had to be excluded from the analysis altogether.

It is not clear to what extent the exclusion of the Skagerrak area affects the analysis carried out. On the assumption that the herring in Skagerrak is partly or wholly also exploited in the fisheries in the Horth Sea proper, and that the age distributions in the two areas are similar, then the effect of the Skagerrak fisheries will be measured within the values of adult fishing nortalities obtained from the total North Sea data. The effect of excluding the Skagerrak catches of juvenile herring would be to underestimate the stock size of younger age groups, especially in the mid-sixties.

The reliability of the stock sizes and fishing portality estimates derived from the VPA analysis are to some extent dependent on the initial values of $F$ and $M$ chosen. In the past the natural mortality $M$ for North Sea herring has often been quoted at a value of about $\mathrm{M}=0.2$. There is, however, other evidence from mortality on effort studies which suggests a: much lower value, less than 0.10 for adult herring. From the total North Sea adult catch per effort data presented here, a rather similar figure could also be derived. The effect of applying $\mathbb{H}=0.2$ instead of 0.1 will be to decrease fishing mortality estimates and to increase those of stock size.

It could be argued that it would be more realistic to use a higher $\mathbb{M}$ in the juvenile herring than the value of 0.1 in this analysis. This refinement has not been attempted, but its effect would be to increase recruitaent levels and consequently subsequent stock levels.

The initial inputs of $\mathbb{F}$ for the oldest age group in each year class have been made by reference to estimates of total mortality from the catch per effort data. Attempts have been nade to check the conclusions from the VPA with estimates derived fron the more conventional types of analyses using catch per effort data. According to the VPA, fishing mortality on the adult stock has increased by about three times between 1949 and 1967. If the more recent catch per effort mortalities of the order of $F=1.0$ are considered, the increase is greater than three times. This relative change in fishing mortality is also reflected in the reduction in catch per effort of the same order for the total Morth Sea using the Dutch trawl fishery data based on adult herring. The index based on drifter effort shows less reduction in catch per effort.

In the young herring fishery, mortalities increased as the fishery developed during the $1950^{\circ}$ s and early $1960^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, but since 1964 they appear to have stabilised at about $F=0.5$.

For all three indices of abundance from drifters/trawlers and Danish young herring trawlers there has been an apparent increase in fishing mortality generated per unit catch per effort of the order of 2-3 times over the periods for which data are available. In the case of the Danish vessels this may in part be interpreted as an increase in efficiency.

The apparent increase in efficiency for drifters and trawlers should not be interpreted as being solely due to improvements in their own technique. It reflects an increase in efficiency in any gear units within the total Morth Sea fleet.

The VPA analysis for the total North Sea shows a decline in adult stock (>1-ringers) of about three times since 1947. This is similar to the estimate fron catch per effort. The total stock has remained fairly stable, being supported by a number of good year classes entering in recent years.

The level of recruitnent in this analysis is determined largely by the young herring catches in area IVb. It has been shown that the estimates of North Sea recruitment as l-ringed fish are closely correlated with estimates from catch per effort data from the adult fisheries.

The changes recorded in adulu stock, fishing mortality and recruitment obtained from the VPA, have some support from other abundance indices. The techniques used, as for example in the estimate of total North Sea fishing effort, are crude and open to objection; the catches in numbers of fish per age group, used in the TPA, are in some cases derived fron very poor naterial. However, independent evidence from adult herring tagging has supported the stock levels obtained in the VPA.

The predictions of catches and stocks under a range of fishing nortalities are dependent on the future level of recruitment. Attempts have been made to assess the strengths of these incoming year classes from a number of sources. These show that recent recruitment levels are higher than the long-term means.

As shown in Table 24, if the current estimated levels of fishing mortality are maintained in 1972, the total catch will be about 820000 tons, this increase above the 1971 catch level reflecting the high recruitment levels from the 1969 and 1970 year classes. In practice it is difficult to predict what the total catch will be in 1972 because it is impossible to forecast the effect of the closure in force in that year on the mortality levels. The effect of this may be saall as it has not so far been possible to show any significant effect of the 1971 closures on the nortality levels in that year. If nortality is maintained at the current level in 1972 and in subsequent years, the prognosis shows that by 1975 the catch and the stock will have declined by $18 \%$ and $15 \%$ respectively.

For the Downs herring, evidence has been presented that both 0 and l-ringed herring abundances are correlated with larval abundances, these in turn reflecting the spawning stock size. The 1971/1972 Dows larval production was extremely low and comparable with the lowest periods of stock size in 1964-1965. Thus, the forecast of average recruitment for the total Morth Sea of the 1971 year class may not be valid and as a consequence the stock levels in 1975 may be overestimated.

From the yield per secruit curves it is clear that the maximum sustainable yield is obtained with $F$ of about $0.3-0.4$. This was the level of fishing mortality in the period 1949-1953 when the total adult catches were of the order of 600000 tons. it the present catch levels of about 550000 tons of both juvenile and adults, the fishing mortality was of the order of 1.0 .
IX. Conclusions
a) overall quota

The Working Group found that the maximum sustainable catch of North Sea autum spawhers is obtained at a level of fishing portality of $0.3-0.4$. Fron the data available the present level of $F$ is about 0.8 - l.0. Prognosis of future catch and biomass indicates that at this level of fishing mortality the point of balance between increase and decrease is reached. Considering the error on the estimates, it is likely that a further decine both in stock size and in catch could be the effect of a high sustained F. A reduction of $F$ to that corresponding to the level of maximu sustainable yield would thus require a decrease in $F$ of about $50 \%$ or a catch level in 1972 of about 400000 tons. With no reduction in 1972, the required catch level in 1973 would be about 425000 tons.

## b) Differential conservation measures

The Working Group concluded that quotas by season and by category were practicable. The largest gains would be obtained from restricting fishing to the second half of the year combined with a quota for the fishing period. More severe restrictions on the fisheries for juveniles would lead to relatively higher gains for all coubinations of these凹easures.

## c) Western boundary of Morth Sea herring stocks

On the basis of the available data it is not possible to state categorically where the western boundary of the North Sea herring stocks should be drawn.
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Table la．Herring．Catch in＇000 tons 1947－1959．

| 406 | 806 | 798 | 908 | TL8 | 828 | $\downarrow 56$ | $8 \downarrow$ L | 切し | ¢9S | TSS | 702 | TS9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 502 | 9 L 2 | 85T． | £टT | ¢TL | 66 | LET | $6 ¢ \tau$ | ヤOT | T6 | 6 L | L8 | ¢S | 70．8077ey pur <br>  |
| 202 | 269 | 90L | ¢89 | 85L | $62 L$ | LT8 | 609 | 079 | $2 L\rangle$ | $2 L\rangle$ | £29 | 865 | Bes yfuoll tefou |
| $0 \downarrow$ | 62 | L\＆ | 82 | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | ＂ | ＂＇ | ${ }^{0} \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{S} \cdot \mathrm{S}^{\circ} \mathrm{n}$ |
| LS | 06 | $6\rangle$ | $8 \varepsilon$ | $L\rangle$ | 68 | L． 2 | L\＆ | L¢ | $L 2$ | 52 | 92 | 52 | uәpens |
| 86 | 08 | TV | $\varepsilon\rangle$ | 69 | 65 | 28 | L．L | 2t | LE | ¢G | 06 | T8 | purt700s |
| TL | 95 | $6 t$ | 97 | 68 | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | puetod |
| LT | 8 | 8 | $\checkmark$ | $\varsigma$ | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | 2 | $\tau$ | ゅ | $\varepsilon$ | 9 | $\downarrow$ | Rbmiont |
| 8tt | Lटt | 62t | 9\＆T | $8 \checkmark \tau$ | ヤLT | 98T． | 8ST | 67 t | $\varepsilon ¢ T$ | T\＆T | \＆9 9 | SST | spuetxeq̧ə⿺𠃊 |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | － | puetoos |
| $L \downarrow \tau$ | 002 | $L \varepsilon 2$ | LTट | 892 | ¢92 | L62 | 8GT． | LLT | LTE | LOT | LTT | OTT |  |
| $5 ¢$ | 切 | 切 | St | 65 | $\downarrow s$ | 92 | 59 | S己T | T9 | 09 | LL | LL | 20ヶtex |
| － | － | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | － | － | $\cdots$ | － | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | spurtsi poub |
| $\tau 2$ | 己己 | $2 \varepsilon$ | $9 \varepsilon$ | 68 | $\tau 9$ | T．L | 99 | $\varepsilon L$ | $S L$ | TL | vti | tot． | ривт．8ut |
| $\varsigma\rangle \tau$ | $\downarrow ¢ \tau$ | 88 | ¢8 | 99 | 85 | OG | cc | カ¢ | 8 | 5 | $L$ | 6 |  |
| $\varepsilon$ | Z | 2 | 9 | $9 \tau$ | 8 L | $9 \tau$ | ¢T | 8 | OT． | $L \tau$ | ¿己 | $9 \varepsilon$ |  |
| $656 T$ | 8．66T． | LS6T | 956T | SS6T | $\nabla \zeta 6 \tau$ | ES6T | 256T | TG6T | 056T | 6762 | 876 T | $L \bullet 6 T$ | $\text { xeax } \quad \text { Ruquno }$ |

Table Ib ilesriag. Catch in tons 1960-1971.

| $\square$ | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1.969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgiun | 3642 | 3146 | 1117 | 1843 | 1607 | 776 | 391 | 410 | 134 | 468 | 1:200 | 681 |
| Denmark | 119400 | 138800 | 126000 | 117600 | 141600 | 158700 | 105900 | 135000 | 163100 | 180260 | 133331 | 185393 |
| England | 16354 | 17849 | 11994 | 22821 | 16533 | 11494 | 10716 | 8215 | 5128 | 6666 | 9702 | 4.113 |
| Paroe Isl. | - |  | - | - | 973 | 3111 | 1491 | 35993 | 49995 | 40640 | 58405 | 25635 |
| France | 11137 | 23042 | 12271 | 18062 | 23295 | 16480 | 10711 | 11478 | 12852 | 15307 | 11482 | 10882 |
| Germany, Fed.R. | 148388 | 100944 | 89056 | 9381.5 | 86586 | 77032 | 54157 | 32312 | 21216 | 12798 | 7150 | 381.0 |
| Toeland | - | - | - | - | - | 1757 | 1. 047 | 5684 | 44489 | 19997 | 22951 | 42338 |
| Netherlands | 125713 | 129841 | 87521 | 126487 | 11.6226 | 80320 | 56668 | 37270 | 22306 | 29769 | 46218 | 32479 |
| Worway | 13893 | 10440 | 7461 | 21448 | 103752 | 520890 | 424462 | 240032 | 211904 | 114.938 | 177341 | 122570 |
| Poland. | 76304 | 78082 | 59331 | 72462 | 89691 | 98130 | 74071 | 37816 | 11954 | 9221 | 5057 | 203.1 |
| Scotland | 29006 | 23038 | 22416 | 34571 | 21. 125 | 20569 | 17557 | 18138 | 16477 | 22053 | 21885 | 25073 |
| Sveden | 89289 | 103744 | 110353 | 140012 | 130132 | 132182 | 121970 | 121591 | 88061 | 33109 | 34670 | 36880 |
| U.S.S.R. | 63105 | 67722 | 100265 | 75965 | 139637 | 47322 | 16442 | 11660 | 70029 | 61549 | 18078 | 18000 |
| Total | 696231 | 696648 | 627785 | 725086 | 871.57 | 1168763 | 895583 | 695599 | 727645 | 546775 | 547470 | 509885 |
| Non-Nember Countries | 36000 | ? | $?$ | ? | ? | 67700 | 30600 | 27700 | $?$ | ? | 250 | ? |
| Straçerrals | 75820 | 85291 | 104246 | 163228 | 309804 | 256742 | 144655 | 279744 | 280036 | 113279 | 70527 | 64179 |
| Kattegat | 31000 | 41100 | 51600 | 64200 | 79300 | 81400 | 75300 | 72000 | 108900 | 59300 | 74300 | 90200 |
| Grand Total | 839051 | 823039 | 783631 | 952514 | 1260261 | 1574605 | 1146138 | 1075043 | 1106581 | 719354 | 692547 | 664264 |

Teble 2. Herring.

|  |  |  <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ |  |  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  |
|  | H <br> 4 <br> 4 <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |  <br>  |

[^1]Table 3. Herring. Total catch in tons. Tattecat)

| Year | Denmark | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Faroe } \\ \text { Islands } \end{array}$ | German Fed.R. | Iceland | Netherlands | Norway | Poland | Sweden | U.S.S.R. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 4.3200 | - | 42 | - | - | 2578 | - | 30000 | - | 75820 |
| 1961 | 56700 | - | 7 | - | - | 4584 | - | 24000 | - | 85291 |
| 1962 | 70600 | $\sim$ | 3 | - | - | 5049 | 594 | 28000 | - | 104246 |
| 1.963 | 105100 | - | 828 | - | - | 10971 | 329 | 46000 | - | 163228 |
| 1964 | 129500 | - | 6064 | - | - | 85916 | 4324 | 84000 | - | 309804 |
| 1965 | 95300 | - | 4248 | - | - | 83864 | 5330 | 68000 | - | 256742 |
| 1966 | 75200 | - | 432 | - | 74 | 30438 | 511 | 38000 | - | 144655 |
| 1967 | 100400 | - | 466 | 2151 | - | 95039 | 127 | 66000 | 15561 | 279744 |
| 1968 | 14.3600 | - | 2 | 695 | 36 | 71865 | 42 | 45000 | 18796 | 280036 |
| 1969 | 57965 | - | - | -- | - | 13957 | - | 41357 | - | 113279 |
| 1970 | 30107 | -- | - | 6453 | - | 7037 | - | 26930 | - | 70527 |
| 2971 | 26985 | 5636 | $\cdots$ | 5834 | - | 5961 | - | 19763 | - | 64179 |

Table 4. Herring. Totci catch in tons,

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | England | Faroe Islands | France | German Fed.R. | Iceland | Netherlands | Norway | Poland | Scotland | Sweden | U.S.S.R. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | $\sim$ | 41800 | - | - | - | 294.55 | - | 15442 | 9005 | 15749 | 1598 | 87825 | 63105 | 263979 |
| 1961 | - | 61500 | - | - | - | 14043 | $\cdots$ | 6318 | 7630 | 11020 | 3877 | 102676 | 67722 | 274786 |
| 1962 | $\cdots$ | 49600 | 3 | - | - | 8913 | - | 6990 | 5793 | 5036 | 4899 | 110287 | 100265 | 291786 |
| 1963 | - | 58900 | 4 | - | - | 10069 | $\cdots$ | 8448 | 18255 | 3335 | - | 135350 | 75965 | 301326 |
| 1964 | - | 53100 | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 9972 | - | 9313 | 91006 | 12949 | 627 | 127425 | 139637 | 444029 |
| 1965 | - | 49700 | - | - | - | 23428 | 1757 | 6912 | 323361 | 16200 | $\cdots$ | 132182 | 27227 | 580767 |
| 1966 | - | 51400 | 6 | $\cdots$ | - | 12329 | 1047 | 4555 | 205239 | 11690 | 186 | 121141 | 16442 | 424035 |
| 1967 | - | 51.600 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2. 558 | 5684 | 1709 | 176628 | 2986 | - | 120838 | 11660 | 373663 |
| 1968 | - | 57100 | - | - | - | 2487 | 9355 | 1022 | 66046 | 1880 | $-$ | 88061 | 30799 | 256750 |
| 1969 | 32 | 55550 | - | 12805 | 278 | 16 | 6300 | 2084 | 15618 | 166 | 9785 | 26035 | 19392 | 148061 |
| 1970 | 50 | 1800 | - | 5898 | 48 | 10 | 1220 | 281 | 3331 | 123 | 1929 | 5560 | 1012 | 21. 262 |
| 1971 | $\cdots$ | 6219 | $\cdots$ | 239 | - | 389 | - | 167 | 10442 | - | - | - | - | 17456 |

Tab1e 5. Herring. Total cavch in tons.

| Year | Belgium | Denmark | England | Froroe <br> Islands | France | German Fed.R. | Iceland | Netherm lands | Norway | Poland | Scotland | Sweden | U.S.S.R. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 122 | - | 163 | - | 1151 | 45746 | - | 19863 | 3343 | 7000 | 22292 | 14.64 | - | 101144 |
| 1961 | 120 | - | 8 | - | 5796 | 19146 | -. | 8414 | 2. 173 | 7271 | 16954 | 1068 | - | 60950 |
| 1.962 | 125 | - | 11 | - | 3757 | 7125 | - | 4659 | 837 | 3807 | 17191 | 66 | - | 37578 |
| 1963 | 343 | - | 13 | - | 5121 | 11377 | - | 9495 | 2641 | 12511 | 2694.5 | 4662 | - | 73108 |
| 1964 | 155 | - | 8 | 973 | 6405 | 7319 | - | 11420 | 4350 | 15962 | 16753 | 2707 | - | 66052 |
| 2965 | 227 | - | - | 3111 | 7303 | 4489 | - | 11.515 | 196488 | 35878 | 19239 | - | 20095 | 298345 |
| 1966 | 178 | - | 34 | 1491 | 2. 628 | 7069 | - | 3414 | 219223 | 27199 | 16548 | 829 | - | 278613 |
| 1967 | 200 | - | 15 | 35993 | 1515 | 7941 | - | 3418 | 41664 | 8454 | 17359 | 753 | - | 117312 |
| 1968 | 23 | $\cdots$ | - | 49995 | 1349 | 7150 | 35134 | 3072 | 131598 | 2806 | 16324 | - | 39230 | 286681 |
| 1969 | 68 | 11360 | $\cdots$ | 27835 | 605 | 448 | 13697 | 474 | 99316 | 362 | 10051 | 6765 | 42157 | 213138 |
| 1970 | 750 | 61423 | - | 40884 | 818 | 177 | 20587 | 177 | 146397 | 2069 | 17767 | 4470 | 17066 | 312585 |
| 1971 | - | 44500 | - | 25142 | 514 | - | 42164 | 5755 | 112114 | 1288 | 24711 | 4954 | 18000 | 279142 |

Table 6. Hoswing Tothl achcia in tom, Howth Soa, Centrad

| Year | Belgitum | Denmark | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Faroe } \\ & \text { Islands } \end{aligned}$ | England | Iceland | France | $\begin{aligned} & \text { German } \\ & \text { Fed. } \end{aligned}$ | Netherlands. | Noxway | Poland | Sootlend | Sweden | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.960 | 115 | - | " | 9816 | $\cdots$ | 369 | 39326 | 61540 | 1545 | 48479 | 511.6 | - | 266306 |
| 1961 | 121 | - | $\cdots$ | - 579 | - | 2535 | 35402 | 70336 | 637 | 49064 | 2. 207 | - | 168881 |
| 1962 | 124 | - | - | 6076 | $\cdots$ | 2886 | 40772 | 47255 | 837. | 45030 | 326 | - | 143300 |
| 1963 | 558 | $\cdots$ | - | 14. 465 | - | 8296 | 60818 | 81. 524. | 552 | 54370 | 7626 | - | 228209 |
| 1.964 | 351 | - | $\cdots$ | 9235 | $\cdots$ | 7750 | 36361 | 63314 | 8396 | 58726 | 3745 | - | 187878 |
| 1965 | 47 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 8524 | - | 7037 | 22520 | 47551 | 1041 | 44815 | 1. 330 | - | 132865 |
| 1966 | 69 | - | $\sim$ | 964.6 | $\cdots$ | 6261 | 21.183 | 42008 | $\cdots$ | 34085 | 823 | $\cdots$ | 11.4075 |
| 2.967 | 5 | -- | - | 6809 | - | 6540 | 1891.7 | 26769 | 21780 | 26370 | 779 | $\cdots$ | 107929 |
| 1.968 | 13 | - | - | 4.72 | - | 8196 | 10439 | 13285 | 14260 | 724.1 | 153 | $\square$ | 57757 |
| 1969 | - | - | - | 5964 | $\cdots$ | 3362 | 3528 | 16542 | 4 | 8077 | 2217 | 309 | 40003 |
| 1970 | - | - | 11623 | 8731 | 1144 | 2433 | 6005 | 28815 | 27613 | 2836 | 2189 | 24.640 | 116029 |
| 1971 | 8 | 2488 | 254 | 4113 | 179 | 5918 | 423. | 10172 | 1.4 | 743 | 362 | 1. 926 | 26598 |

Table 7. Herring. Total catch in tons. North Sea, Central (Division IVb).

| Year | Young Herring Fisheries |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Denmarls | German Fed.R. | Sweden | Total | Total young and adult fisheries (Tables 6 and 7) |
| 1960 | 77600 | 22322 | - | 99922 | 266228 |
| 1961 | 77300 | 16549 | - | 93849 | 262730 |
| 1962 | 76400 | 23975 | - | 100375 | 243675 |
| 1963 | 58700 | 9017 | - | 67717 | 295926 |
| 1964 | 88500 | 28126 | - | 116626 | 304504 |
| 1965 | 109000 | 26009 | $\cdots$ | 135009 | 267874 |
| 1966 | 54500 | 12737 | - | 67237 | 181. 312 |
| 1967 | 83400 | 1849 | - | 85249 | 193178 |
| 1968 | 106000 | 84.7 | - | 106847 | 164604 |
| 1969 | 113350 | 7900 | - | 121250 | 161253 |
| 1970 | 70108 | 4.00 | - | 70508 | 186537 |
| 1971 | 132161 | 3000 | 30000 | 1651.61 | 191. 759 |

Wable 8. Ferring Total catch in tons.

| Year | Bel.gium | Denmark | England | Prance | Germen red.R. | Netherlands | Poland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 3405 | - | 6375 | 9617 | 11539 | 28868 | 5076 | 64880 |
| 196.1 | 2905 | - | 9262 | 14711 | 15804 | 44773 | 10727 | 98182 |
| 1962 | 868 | - | 5904 | 5628 | 8271 | 28617 | 5458 | 54746 |
| 1963 | 942 | - | 8339 | 4. 645 | 2534 | 27020 | 2246 | 45726 |
| 1964 | 1101 | - | 7290 | 9140 | 4808 | 32179 | 2054 | 56572 |
| 1965 | 502 | - | 2970 | 2140 | 586 | 14342 | 1237 | 21777 |
| 1966 | 144 | $\cdots$ | 1030 | 1822 | 839 | 6691 | 1097 | 11. 623 |
| 1967 | 205 | - | 1391. | 3423 | 1047 | 5374 | 6 | 11446 |
| 1968 | 98 | - | 958 | 3307 | 293 | 4927 | 27 | 9610 |
| 1969 | 367 | $\cdots$ | 702 | 11062 | 906 | 10669 | 616 | 24322 |
| 1970 | 400 | - | 971 | 8183 | 558 | 16945 | 29 | 27086 |
| 1971 | 673 | 25 | - | 4450 | - | 16385 | - | 21583 |

## Explanatory Notes to Tables 1 - 8

## Table la.

Data from Belgium, Denmark, France, Poland and Sweden according to Coop. Res. Repo, Series B, 1965, Annex II, Table 9. Data from England, Netherlands, Norway and Scotland submitted by Working Group Members. Data from Germany according to Statistical News Letters, No. 11B, 1961.

Table Ib
Data derived as listed below under each country. The Kattegat catches are according to Danish national statistics and information from the Swedish laboratory at Iysekil.

## Table 2.

1947-1954. Catches for northwest and northeast are derived from Statistical News Ietters IlA and IIB. The national distributions of catch by area in some cases refer to all catches and in others to a large sub-sample of the catches.

Catches for central and south are taken from Cushing and Bridges 1966, Appendix 4. The catches for the south refer to the seasonal winter fishery and not the calendar year.

Catches for the industrial fishery are derived from Coop. Res. Rep. Ser. $\mathrm{B}_{\text {, 1965, Annex II, Table } 12 .}$

The catches for the Skagerrak for some countries also include Kattegat catches, (Bull. Stat.). Taking the catches ascribed to areas for the North Sea, their total covers an average of $98 \%$ of the annual catches given in Table 1 for the period 1947-1954.

1955-1959. Catches for the northwest, northeast and central are based on data in Cushing and Bridges (1966). The Swedish catch from region IVa (BuII. Stat.) was regarded as taken in the northeastern area.

Catches for the south and the industrial fisheries are derived from Coop. Res. Rep. Ser. B, 1965, Annex II, Tables 11 and 12.

1960-1968. Data from Coop. Res. Rep. Sex. A, 26.
Skagerrak: 1955-1971 data from Danish national statistics and from the Fisheries Laboratory at Iysekil.

Industrial Pishing: These data refer only to the juvenile herring catches in area IVb by Denmark and Germany.

## Belgium

All data derived from "Bulletin Statistique". Catches from division IVa for 1960-1968 are ascribed to IVa west of $2^{\circ}$ E.

## Denmark

All data used in the tables are based upon Danish national statistics (Popp Madsen). Catches from division IVa are ascribed to IVa east of $2^{\circ}$ E for 1960-1968. Catches from division IVb (Young Herring Fishery) have been reduced for content of other species ( 1960 to spring 1965 by $5 \%$, autumn 1965-1971 by estimates from individual years; Popp Madsen).

## England

All data derived from "Bulletin Statistique". Separation of catches in division IVa east and west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ according to national statistics.

## Paroe Islands

Catches only from division IVa according to "Bulletin Statistique". ascribed to IVa west for 1960-1963. Thom 1969-I971 the distribution of catches to fishing areas are based on landings in Danish ports.

## France

The data given have been supplied by the "Institut des Pêches", Boulogne s/Mer.

## German Fed.R.

All data are according to German national statistics (Schumacher). They are compiled by "Bundesforschungsanstalt fufr Fischerei", Hamburg, according to log books.

Iceland
All data derived from "Bulletin Statistique". Separation of catches in division IVa east and west of $2^{\circ}$ Eare according to Icelandic statistics for 1960-1969 and according to landings in Danish ports for 1970-1971.

## Netherlands

All data derived from "Bulletin Statistique". Separation of catches in division IVa east and west of $2^{\circ}$ Eare according to Dutch national statistics.

## Norway

The data are according to Norwegian official statistics. The separation of catches is based upon the statistics of the fishermen's organisations. Catches in inshore waters are not included.

## Poland

All data according to "Bulletin Statistique". Separation of catches in division IVa east and west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ is according to Polish national statistics.

## Scotland

All data are according to "Bulletin Statistique". Separation of catches in division IVa east and west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ is according to Scottish national statistics. Catches from the Moray Firth are not included.

## Sweden

Data according to Swedish national statistics (Ackefors). Division IIIa: Data obtained from proportion of Skagerrak catches in Swedish landings in Danish ports applied to total Swedish landings. Separation of catches in division IVa east and west of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. According to Swedish national statistics, but is supposed to be rather unreliable. A greater part of the landings presumably comes from division IVa, west OI $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ 。

## U.S.S.R.

All data according to "Bulletin Statistique". Separation of catches in division IIIa Skagerrak, IVa east and IVa west of $2^{\circ}$ E are according to Soviet national statistics.
Table 9. North Sea Catch in Millions of Fhish by Age

|  | Area | AGE IN WINTER RINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $\geq 8$ | Totel |
| $1947$ | IVaw of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> IVaF of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ <br> IVb <br> IV" YH <br> IVo + VIId,e | - - - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 233.9 \\ 0.1 \\ 80.1 \\ -179.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 182.7 \\ 0.1 \\ 94.4 \\ -48.3 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216.7 \\ 0.1 \\ 190.9 \\ -29.9 \\ \hline 29.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 175.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 234.4 \\ 116.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217.8 \\ 0.3 \\ 431.0 \\ 106.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 259.3 \\ \hline-\quad \\ 50.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112.8 \\ 0.2 \\ 273.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 240.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107.3 \\ 0.2 \\ 244.9 \\ 0 \times 1.7 \\ 331.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1367.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 1808.3 \\ 1393.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Total Nis | * | $\sim$ | 494.0 | 415.5 | 637.6 | 526.1 | 755.8 | 432.2 | 626.6 | 684.1 | 4570.8 |
| 1948 | DVaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ IVat of $2^{\circ}$ E IVb IVBYH TVo + VIId.e | - - - | $3.4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 27.0 \\ 126.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 256.4 \\ 1.7 \\ 229.1 \\ 184.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 104.4 \\ 96.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 202.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 155.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 240.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131.2 \\ 182.4 \\ 172.4 \\ 172.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104.6 \\ 1.3 \\ 148.7 \\ .7 \\ 245.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 87.4 \\ 90.7 \\ 90.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93.6 \\ 1.3 \\ 186.3 \\ \hline 38.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1080.2 \\ 9.5 \\ 1121.0 \\ 244.0 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total NS | $\cdots$ | 3.4 | 246.7 | 672.1 | 327.9 | 601.0 | 486.9 | 400.4 | 251.6 | 664.9 | 3654.9 |
| 1949 | IVew of $2^{\circ}$ T <br> IVEm of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> IVb <br> IVbTH <br> IVc + VITd,e | $\square$ <br> $\square$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 120.5 \\ 0.1 \\ 77.8 \\ 280.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97.6 \\ 0.3 \\ 149.0 \\ 397.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 165.5 \\ 131.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 106.1 \\ 90.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121.3 \\ 1.8 \\ 256.7 \\ 272.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123.8 \\ 2.0 \\ 112.7 \\ 223.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 169.0 \\ 131.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 162.9 \\ 384.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 837.2 \\ 9.7 \\ 1199.7 \\ -7.7 \\ 1909.2 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total MS | $\cdots$ | $\square$ | 478.4 | 643.9 | 396.0 | 286.7 | 651.8 | 461.6 | 414.0 | 623.3 | 3955.7 |
| 1950 | TVaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> TVak of $2^{\circ}$ T <br> IVb <br> IVZXH <br> IVC + VIId, e | - - - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 121.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 138.2 \\ 273.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 301.4 \\ 2.9 \\ 370.7 \\ 363.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 222.0 \\ -7 . \\ 297.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 90.7 \\ 135.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.9 \\ 0.7 \\ 82.5 \\ 109.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.1 \\ 1.3 \\ 63.9 \\ \hline \\ 165.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 51.4 \\ 91.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 166.3 \\ 284.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 846.0 \\ 8.8 \\ 1185.7 \\ 1620.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Total INS | - | $\cdots$ | 535.0 | 1038.5 | 616.6 | 290.0 | 253.6 | 330.6 | 195.0 | 401.7 | 3661.0 |
| 1951 | ```IVaw of 20% IVag of 20E IVb TYbYH IVo + WIId,e``` | $\square$ - - | $\begin{array}{r} " \\ 452.8 \\ 8.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.8 \\ 0.2 \\ 73.3 \\ 240.6 \\ 302.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131.6 \\ 0.7 \\ 362.9 \\ 49.5 \\ 413.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217.7 \\ 1.4 \\ 685.7 \\ 350.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124.6 \\ 1.0 \\ 280.6 \\ 223.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.7 \\ 0.6 \\ 79.5 \\ 103.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 49.2 \\ 42.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.7 \\ 0.3 \\ 108.2 \\ 74.4 \\ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.6 \\ 0.7 \\ 232.3 \\ 26.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 768.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 1771.7 \\ 742.9 \\ 1526.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Towal WS | $\cdots$ | 461.6 | 660.3 | 958.5 | 1255.0 | 630.0 | 262.1 | 342.1 | 205.6 | 239.4 | 4814.6 |

Pebie9（Continued）

| Year | Area | AGE IN WTNTER RINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $>8$ | Total |
| 1952 | TWaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ <br> TVa管 O 20 每 <br> IVb <br> IVbTH <br> IVC＋VITd， | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \pi \\ & \infty \pi \\ & \infty \pi \\ & \Leftrightarrow 0 \pi \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} = \\ 699.3 \\ 22.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 189.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 212.8 \\ 189.7 \\ 753.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125.1 \\ 1.7 \\ 188.2 \\ 12.5 \\ 248.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118.0 \\ 1.5 \\ 191.5 \\ 299.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157.5 \\ 4.4 \\ 248.3 \\ 241.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.4 \\ 3.2 \\ 178.7 \\ 191.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.2 \\ 3.6 \\ 61.2 \\ -2 \\ 93.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 58.5 \\ 48.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149.3 \\ 7.8 \\ 122.9 \\ 108.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 963.3 \\ 25.5 \\ 1262.2 \\ 901.5 \\ 2007.5 \end{array}$ |
|  | To：al NS | － | 721.8 | 1345.7 | 576.3 | 61.0 .1 | 651.9 | 464.1 | 236.2 | 165.5 | 388.3 | 5159.9 |
| 1953 | IVeW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> IVATH Of 20 B <br> IVb <br> TVBVI <br> $I V O+V I T D_{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} \approx \\ - \\ 150.0 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.4 \\ 1005.7 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262.3 \\ 5.3 \\ 307.2 \\ 236.2 \\ 511.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 255.6 \\ 7.1 \\ 317.3 \\ 33.3 \\ 391.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109.4 \\ 3.6 \\ 160.5 \\ 200.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95.1 \\ 3.3 \\ 109.0 \\ 170.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 183.6 \\ 184.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 97.1 \\ 134.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 30.0 \\ .6 \\ 35.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 127.2 \\ 54.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1006.7 \\ 30.8 \\ 1335.3 \\ 1433.2 \\ 1695.6 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total NS | 150.0 | 1023．2 | 1322.4 | 1003.3 | 473.7 | 336.0 | 472.7 | 277.9 | 117.8 | 274.6 | 5501．6 |
| 1954 | IVEW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> TVET on $2^{\circ}$ 日 <br> 1.10 <br> TVb\％ <br> TVo t VJJd，e | $218.5$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.5 \\ 0.9 \\ 20.2 \\ 1387.8 \\ 15.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 415.5 \\ 4.7 \\ 185.9 \\ 180.9 \\ 706.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230.2 \\ 5.3 \\ 344.7 \\ 23.9 \\ 499.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111.6 ; \\ 2.6 \\ 223.2 \\ 253.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 119.5 \\ 187.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.2 \\ 1.7 \\ 91.9 \\ 173.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.7 \\ 1.5 \\ 130.2 \\ 194.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.6 \\ 1.0 \\ 51.8 \\ 108.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.6 \\ 1.0 \\ 172.9 \\ 105.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1030.7 \\ 20.0 \\ 1340.3 \\ 1811.1 \\ 2243.1 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total Mcs | 218.5 | 1450.7 | 1493.3 | 1111.2 | 591.1 | 361．2 | 329．5 | 378.5 | 194.4 | 316.9 | 6445.2 |
| 1955 | IVN OT $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> IVer of $2^{\circ}$ 出 IVb <br> IVDYH <br> IVo | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 164.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.2 \\ 20.2 \\ 87.1 \\ 1960.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 697.6 \\ & 125.3 \\ & 610.8 \\ & 162.2 \\ & 335.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 385.8 \\ 82.4 \\ 216.5 \\ 25.5 \\ 321.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144.9 \\ 54.6 \\ 108.8 \\ \ldots \\ 170.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149.0 \\ 20.1 \\ 84.7 \\ 82.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138.6 \\ 16.0 \\ 39.9 \\ 0 \\ 37.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \\ 23.2 \\ 30.2 \\ 38.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 16.9 \\ 37.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \\ & 14.2 \\ & 10.9 \\ & 39.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1631.7 \\ 368.7 \\ 1205.8 \\ 2312.5 \\ 1062.1 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total Ms | 164.3 | 2072．1 | 1931．2 | 2031．7 | 479.1 | 336.6 | 231．6 | 119.7 | 109.0 | 105.5 | 6580.9 |
| 9.956 | IVaV of $2^{\circ}$ E TVaE of $2{ }^{\circ}$ Ti IVb <br> IVBYA <br> TVo | 95．9 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ 22.5 \\ 1667.7 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 248.7 \\ 25.0 \\ 607.9 \\ 432.5 \\ 555.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 543.5 \\ 148.9 \\ 341.7 \\ 33.4 \\ 153.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 214.2 \\ 90.7 \\ 92.7 \\ 110.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89.9 \\ 45.2 \\ 33.3 \\ 80.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.8 \\ & 55.1 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 36.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.3 \\ & 11.9 \\ & 29.1 \\ & 20.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.6 \\ 9.6 \\ 49.0 \\ 2 . \\ 25.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.0 \\ 27.6 \\ 106.0 \\ 12.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1273.6 \\ 411.6 \\ 1321.7 \\ 2229.5 \\ 991.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Totad MS | 95.9 | 1696.8 | 1860，0 | 1221.2 | 515.7 | 248.5 | 194.3 | 204．1 | 104．1 | 187.5 | 6228.1 |

mable 9 (Continued)

| Yeas | Axea | AGX IT WINTHE RENGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $>8$ | Total |
| 1957 | TYaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$ | - | $\cdots$ | 216.5 | 287.5 | 261.4 | 195.7 | 84.4 | 43.8 | 39.0 | 69.6 | 1197.9 |
|  | IVaE of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | 19.6 | 37.4 | 124.8 | 51.0 | 70.8 | 63.8 | 37.5 | 24.8 | 429.7 |
|  | IVb | $\cdots$ | 14.1 | 421.9 | 243.3 | 219.0 | 70.7 | 37.3 | 30.3 | 20.2 | 53.5 | 921.3 |
|  | TVb\%E | 278.7 | 2461. 1 | 400.6 | 37.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2177.4 |
|  | TVo + VIId, | - | 7.4 | 585.3 | 231.0 | 38.7 | 26.7 | 14.7 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 1010.3 |
|  | rotes NS | 278.7 | 1482.6 | 1643.9 | 736.2 | 643.9 | 34.4 .2 | 207.2 | 147.2 | 99.5 | 353.4 | 5736.6 |
| 1958 | IVaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\square$ | 29.9 | 49.8 | 326.8 | 339.7 | 233.3 | 82. 4 | 41.9 | 27.1 | 19.3 | 941.2 |
|  |  | c | - | 43.5 | 24.7 .8 | 64.3 | 85.5 | 28.5 | 17.1 | 9.3 | 22.9 | 518.9 |
|  | IVb | $\cdots$ | 218.3 | 413.0 | 207.6 | 59.0 | 185.6 | 25.1 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 28.4 | 1092.4 |
|  | IVb\%\% | 97.1 | 4020.7 | 265.0 | 26.5 |  | -m |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | 447.3 |
|  | IVO + VITE, 0 | - | 3.7 | 265.1 | 120.6 | 56.9 | 26.7 | 11.7 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 555.8 |
|  | Tota? 3 | 97.1 | 4278.3 | 3029.4 | 929.3 | 323.9 | 462.2 | 146.7 | 73.3 | 45.7 | 72.3 | 7525.6 |
| 1959 | IVaV of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$ | $\cdots$ | 13.5 | 1489.9 | 120. 2 | 173.6 | 74.8 | 99.8 | 46.5 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 2074.2 |
|  | IVam of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ | $\cdots$ | - | 182.5 | 78.7 | 210.0 | 115.9 | 111.2 | 60.5 | 52.2 | 163.1 | 974.0 |
|  | IVb | $\triangle$ | 95.1 | 929.5 | 140.2 | 60.2 | 24.9 | 34.0 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 24.9 | 1313.1 |
|  | TVbYE | $\bigcirc$ | 1500.2 | 1847.9 | 61.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3409.5 |
|  | IVc + VIId, 0 | - | 10.6 | 485.1 | 79.2 | 53.5 | 17.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 660.1 |
|  | Total NS | $\cdots$ | 1609.4 | 4933.9 | 487.5 | 497.3 | 233.4 | 249.0 | 119.5 | 82.3 | 218.6 | 8430.9 |
| 1960 | TVow of 209 | $=$ | - | 174.3 | 339.3 | 17.6 | 35.4 | 22.5 | 18.0 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 622.4 |
|  | TVat of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $\cdots$ | 78.8 | 179.9 | 854.1 | 84.9 | 91.5 | 77.4 | 76.7 | 110.1 | 131.9 | 1684.5 |
|  | IVb | - | 25.1 | 238.8 | 604.2 | 47.2 | 35.2 | 12.1 | 31.1 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 1007.6 |
|  | TVb\%E | 194.6 | 2275.3 | 260.2 | 27.0 | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2757.9 |
|  | ITc + VIIC,0 | - | 13.5 | 239.1 | 342.4 | 36.3 | 5.6 | 0.9 | $\cdots$ | - | - | 466.8 |
|  | Lotal Ns | 194.6 | 2392.7 | 1142.3 | 2966.7 | 265.9 | 167.7 | 312.9 | 125.8 | 128.6 | 142.0 | 6539.2 |
| 2961 | TVaW of 20 g | $\cdots$ | 2.0 | 21. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 86.0 | 188.0 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 11.5 | 5.7 | 332.1 |
|  | IVate of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 1.2 | 68.6 | 96.3 | 227.6 | 342.2 | 97.0 | 139.2 | 55.5 | 44.5 | 81.8 | 1754.6 |
|  | 3 VB | $\cdots$ | 29.4 | 560.0 | 93.1 | 887.8 | 8.3 | , | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | 981.7 |
|  | TH7T | 2260.0 | 235.3 | 623.6 | 10.0 | . |  | - | $\cdots$ | - | co | 2139.7 |
|  | TVO + VId, e | - | 0.7 | 585.7 | 79.4 | 38.3 | 5.0 | * | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 709.1 |
|  | Toted 13 | 1269.2 | 335.0 | 1832.4 | 479.9 | 1455.9 | 12.4.0 | 257.9 | 61.4 | 95.0 | 89.5 | 5917.2 |

Table 9 (Continued)

| Yeax | Area | AGE IN WINTER RTNGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $>8$ | Total |
| 1962 | IVaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\cdots$ | 0.6 | 22.3 | 14.9 | 29.5 | 114.2 | 6.8 | 15.6 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 221.2 |
|  | TVeE of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ | $\cdots$ | 127.9 | 136.8 | 1.71.8 | 208.3 | 802.8 | 105.7 | 224.2 | 74.9 | 74.6 | 1827.0 |
|  | IVb |  | 48.9 | 66.6 | 358.4 | 68.8 | 1.51 .9 | 13.7 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 719.6 |
|  | IVbVE | 14.8 | 1958.2 | 2.8 | 15.1 | - | , |  |  |  | $\pm$ | 2117.9 |
|  | IVo + TITd.e | $\sim$ | 11.3 | 41.1 | 237.2 | 28.5 | 12.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | $\cdots$ | - | 332.0 |
|  | Total MS | 141.8 | 2146.9 | 269.6 | 797.4 | 335.1 | 1081.8 | 126.9 | 145.1 | 86.3 | 86.8 | 5217.7 |
| 1963 | TVaK of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.1 | 0.9 0.5 | 4.2 37.8 | 2.2 |  |
|  | IVam of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $\cdots$ | $69.0$ | $1414.6$ | $101.1$ | 75.9 | 74.4 | 212.3 | 21.5 | 37.8 | 48.8 | $2055.4$ |
|  | IVB | $\cdots$ | $36.3$ | $1080.5$ | 62.5 | 55.0 | - | - | $\cdots$ | -8 | $\cdots$ | 1234.3 |
|  | TVOXH | 412.8 | 1.154.1 | 55.4 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\sim$ | 1652.3 |
|  | ITo + VITd, e | $\infty$ | 2.2 | 275.0 | 10.6 | 22.9 | 2.5 | 0.3 | - | $\cdots$ | m | 313.5 |
|  | Total is | 442.8 | 1262.2 | 2961.2 | 177.2 | 158.3 | 80.6 | 229.7 | 22.4 | 42,0 | 51.0 | 5427.4 |
| 1964 | TVEW of 20 \% |  | 0.8 | 107.7 | 182.2 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 40.1 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 360.7 |
|  | IVaE of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 4.6 | 28.6 | 830.3 | 1581. 5 | 128.4 | 109.0 | 79.6 | 190.0 | 23.8 | 51.1 | 3026.9 |
|  | IVb |  | 42.6 | 395.0 | 395.0 | 12.6 | 27.2 | 8.2 | 26.2 | , | * | 906.8 |
|  | IVbYH | 492.3 | 2878.4 | 192.2 | 5.9 | 0.7 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\pm$ | 3568.8 |
|  | TVo + VIId, e |  | 21.3 | 22.3 | 78.5 | 0.7 | 5.9 | $\cdots$ | - | $\ldots$ | - | 128.7 |
|  | Total NS | 496.9 | 2971.7 | 1547.5 | 2243.1 | 148.4 | 149.0 | 95.0 | 256.3 | 26.3 | 57.7 | 7991.9 |
| 1965 | IVEW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $\cdots$ | 52.9 | 613.2 | 367.2 | 571.7 | 21.9 | 23.2 | 28.6 | 108.2 | 24.9 | 1811.8 |
|  | TVaE of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | 2.6 | 456.4 | 542.9 | 771.9 | 1336.8 | 112.5 | 118.4 | 84.9 | 277.5 | 34.1 | 3738.0 |
|  | IVb | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 55.3 | 432.2 | 84.9 | 98.3 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 3.6 | 27.3 | 18.1 | 736.2 |
|  | IVbYE. | 1.54 .5 | 2644.3 | 603.8 | 40.1 | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 3442.7 |
|  | IVo + VITd, e | - | 0.4 | 25.5 | 60.5 | 32.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 0.5 | - | 1.3 | 125.3 |
|  | Total NS | 15\%.1 | 3209.3 | 2217.6 | 1324.6 | 2039.4 | 145.1 | 151.9 | 117.6 | 413.0 | 78.4 | 9854.0 |
| 1966 | IVaW of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | - | 12.2 | 693.5 | 249.8 | 156.8 | 328.5 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 32.2 | 93.2 | 1583.4. |
|  | IVa星 of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ | 2.7 | 357.1 | 1102.9 | $383.7$ | $276.2$ | 534.7 | 36.6 | 54.4 | 60.6 | 141.8 | 2950.7 |
|  | IVb | $\cdots$ | $1.3$ | $539.4$ | $91.6$ | 15.9 | 23.5 | - | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | $677.0$ |
|  | IVbTH | 37.88 | $1008.9$ | $179.1$ | $6.8$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | - | $1566.6$ |
|  | $\mathrm{TVe}+\mathrm{VITa,0}$ | $\cdots$ | $3.6$ | 54.8 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 3.1 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | + | 72.6 |
|  | Total NS | 374.5 | 1383.1 | 2569.7 | 741.2 | 450.1 | 889.8 | 45.3 | 64.8 | 95.5 | 236.3 | 6850.3 |

Table 9. (continued)

| Year | Area | AGT IN WINTTR RTNGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $>8$ | Total |
| 1967 | JYaW of 20 B IVak of 20 B TVb <br> TVBYH <br> IVc + VIId, e | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 644.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ 402.6 \\ 24.3 \\ 1231.6 \\ 3.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119.1 \\ 444.6 \\ 209.4 \\ 356.0 \\ 42.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 315.6 \\ 742.0 \\ 257.4 \\ 35.3 \\ 15.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.7 \\ 245.8 \\ 53.1 \\ 4.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.5 \\ 237.3 \\ 6.8 \\ -\quad 2.8 \\ \hline 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.4 \\ 307.5 \\ 14.1 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 63.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 77.5 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.8 \\ 139.0 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 680.2 \\ 2659.2 \\ 565.1 \\ 2267.6 \\ 68.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Total NS | 645.4 | 1674.3 | 1171.5 | 1364.7 | 372.5 | 297.8 | 393.1 | 67.9 | 81.6 | 172.8 | 6240.6 |
| 1960 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IVaw oi }{ }^{20} \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { IVaw of }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { IVb } \\ & \text { ITbY } \\ & \text { IVO + VITC, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \\ 839.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.1 \\ 579.7 \\ 9.0 \\ 1747.2 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 577.7 <br> 781.7 <br> 166.8 <br> 240.1 <br> 22.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 231.5 \\ 1201.0 \\ 40.6 \\ 1.3 \\ 19.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 372.7 \\ 179.7 \\ 59.9 \\ \hline 9.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.5 \\ & 59.5 \\ & 22.6 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.8 \\ 51.6 \\ 3.6 \\ -\quad 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89.8 \\ 67.6 \\ 5.4 \\ 0.6 \\ \hline 0 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \\ & 3.1 \\ & = \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.5 \\ & 28.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1598.6 \\ 2952.2 \\ 297.9 \\ 2833.9 \\ 63.6 \end{array}$ |
|  | Dota 1 WS | 839.3 | 2425.0 | 1795.2 | 1494.3 | 621.4 | 157.1 | 145.0 | 163.4 | 13.7 | 91.8 | 7746.2 |
| 1969 | IVE, $0^{\circ} 2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ <br> IVaH of 20 E <br> IVb <br> IVBYE <br> TVo + VITdge | $\begin{gathered} = \\ 112.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101.1 \\ 128.2 \\ 44.8 \\ 2223.7 \\ 5.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 736.2 \\ & 559.3 \\ & 154.6 \\ & 271.1 \\ & 161.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109.4 \\ 136.0 \\ 29.1 \\ 13.0 \\ 8.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.4 \\ 61.9 \\ 13.5 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103.9 \\ 66.9 \\ 18.1 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.2 \\ 29.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.7 \\ 27.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 16.9 \\ 0.2 \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.5 \\ 20.4 \\ \infty \\ 0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1149.7 \\ 1046.3 \\ 263.5 \\ 2619.8 \\ 184.3 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total NS | 112.0 | 2503.3 | 1883.0 | 296.3 | 133.1 | 190.8 | 49.9 | 42.7 | 27.4 | 25.1 | 5263.6 |
| 1970 | IVaW of 20 T <br> TVa of 208 <br> IVb <br> TVBYE <br> TVo $+\mathrm{VIId}_{9} \mathrm{e}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ 898.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.0 \\ 32.6 \\ 27.7 \\ 1118.7 \\ 4.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 930.9 \\ 68.7 \\ 203.5 \\ 718.2 \\ 91.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 695.3 \\ 23.5 \\ 63.4 \\ 17.6 \\ 83.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.7 \\ 9.6 \\ 9.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.4 \\ 5.4 \\ 3.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49.3 \\ 4.1 \\ 6.6 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.9 \\ = \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.4 \\ -\quad \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 8.1 \\ \infty \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1846.3 \\ 154.4 \\ 315.1 \\ 2755.3 \\ 178.2 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total IS | 898.1 | 1196.2 | 2002.8 | 883.6 | 125.2 | 50.3 | 61.0 | 7.9 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 5249.3 |
| 1971 | TVaW of $20^{2}$ IVaE of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ IVb <br> IVbYE <br> TVo + VIIa, e | $\begin{array}{r} 136.7 \\ 14.0 \\ 340.5 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 818.3 \\ 95.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 2748.5 \\ 21.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 516.9 \\ 54.5 \\ 140.3 \\ 1174.7 \\ 130.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 488.3 \\ 38.5 \\ 54.4 \\ 53.0 \\ 41.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 154.2 \\ 10.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 31.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \\ & 2.1 \\ & = \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1 \\ 1.1 \\ \infty \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ 0.2 \\ 2.1 \\ -\quad 0.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2202.3 \\ 217.5 \\ 211.5 \\ 4316.7 \\ 227.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Total MS | 491.5 | 3686.1 | 2017.2 | 675.9 | 208.3 | 26.9 | 30.5 | 26.8 | $\cdots$ | 12.4 | 77.75 .4 |

Table 10. Percentage of Spring-Spawned Eerring in the Worthwestern
North Sea, Northeastern North Sea and the Skagerrak

| Year | Morthwestern <br> North Sea | Northeastern <br> North Sea |  | Skagerrak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Norwegian Scottish | Norwegian Danish | Scot6ish | Mormesian |  |  |
|  | - | 4.9 | - | - | 6.9 | - |
| 1961 | - | 4.0 | - | 22.1 | 3.0 | - |
| 1962 | - | 26.6 | - | 8.5 | 34.2 | - |
| 1963 | - | 25.8 | - | - | 23.6 | - |
| 1964 | - | 10.5 | 14.8 | 14.4 | 33.6 | 5.6 |
| 1965 | 16.5 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 15.6 | 35.6 | 5.8 |
| 1966 | 26.4 | 21.7 | 9.1 | 28.4 | 3.0 | 7.4 |
| 1967 | 20.1 | 23.5 | 21.3 | 21.7 | 13.0 | 10.4 |
| 1968 | 24.2 | 28.1 | 18.4 | - | 19.0 | 6.1 |
| 1969 | 10.7 | 43.9 | 13.3 | - | .- | 6.9 |
| 1970 | 30.7 | 9.0 | 32.5 | - | - | 16.6 |
| 1971 | 12.9 | 23.4 | - | - | - | - |

Mable II. Skagerrak. Catel in 1000 tons

| Year | Totel Catch | $\%$ of Totel Catch Morth Sea + Skagerrak | Denmaxik |  | Sweäish Catch Ianded in Denmark |  | Norway | Other <br> Countries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | I | 0 | I | $C+I$ | 0 |
| -0 | 75.8 | 9.8 | 15.4 | $27.8(49)$ | 7.4 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 21.8 |
| 2. 1 | 85.2 | 10.9 | 11.8 | $44.9(53)$ | 7.2 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 15.6 |
| 1062 | 104.2 | 14.2 | $7.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $62.8(54)$ | 13.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 12.3 |
| 7363 | 163.2 | 18.4 | 15.9(6.3) | 89.2(43) | 21.1 | 6.3 | 11.0 | 19.7(6.5) |
| 64 | 309.8 | 26.4 | $17.2(6.1)$ | 122.3(59) | 24.4 | 32.6 | 85.9 | $37.4(6.6)$ |
| 5 | 256,7 | 18.0 | 15.0(8.4) | 80.3 | 24.9 | 21.5 | $83.9(7.6)$ | $31.1(8.0)$ |
| .85 | 144.? | 13.9 | 6.5 | 68.7 | 35.6 | 10.6 | $30.4(9.5)$ | 12.9(6.9) |
| ¢ | 279.7 | 28.7 | 16.1 | 84.7 | 28.4 | 15.9 | 95.0(10.2) | $39.6(9.0)$ |
| 968 | 280.0 | 28.1 | 8.5 | -35.1 | 18.0 | 22.0 | $71.9(10.5)$ | 24.5(8.9) |
| $\bigcirc 69$ | 113.3 | 17.2 | 10.2 | 47.7(39) | 19.0 | 6.6 | 14.0 | 15.8 |
| 970 | 70.5 | 11.4 | 2.6 | $28.5(38)$ | - | - | 7.0 | - |
| -973 | 64.2 | 11.1 | 2.5 | 24.9 | - | - | 6.0 | - |

Finures in brackets: mean mumer per ig
C: Ferring for human consumption
I: Industrial catches
Table 12. Catch Per Onit Effort in DriftoNet and Trawl Fisheries in the Southern, Central, Northeastern

| Years | Noxthweet |  | Northeast |  |  | Central |  |  | South |  | Bloden |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drift ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Trawl ${ }^{2}$ ) | Drift ${ }^{3}$ ) | Trawl ${ }^{4}$ ) | Trawi ${ }^{5}$ | Drift ${ }^{6)}$ | Drift ${ }^{\text {7) }}$ | Traw1 ${ }^{8}$ | Drift ${ }^{9}$ | Txaw1 ${ }^{10}$ | Traw1 ${ }^{11 \text { ) }}$ |
| 1947 | 2.8 | 130.4 | - | - | - | 4.7 | 2.3 | 153.3 | 7.0 | - | - |
| 1948 | 3.1 | 68.8 | - | - | - | 3.7 | 1.9 | 110.0 | 6.9 | - | $\cdots$ |
| 1949 | 2.3 | 65.8 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 2.5 | 1.5 | 70.2 | 6.9 | - | - |
| 1950 | 2.6 | 43.1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2.8 | 2.2 | 92.4 | 6.7 | $\cdots$ | - |
| 1951 | 2.3 | 53.9 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 2.8 | 2.3 | 95.9 | 6.4 | 197.7 | - |
| 1952 | 4.1 | 70.4 | - | - | - | 3.3 | 2.9 | 111.2 | 6.3 | 167.3 | - |
| 1953 | 3.9 | 47.2 | $\cdots$ | - | 5.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 104.1 | 5.9 | 203.6 | - |
| 1954 | 3.9 | 43.9 | - | -- | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 76.1 | 7.2 | 156.8 | - |
| 1955 | 5.2 | 51.4 | $\cdots$ | 11.5 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 65.5 | 3.4 | 121.7 | $=$ |
| 1956 | 3.9 | 27.7 | - | 16.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 53.8 | 4.3 | 103.0 | - |
| 1957 | 3.6 | 55.7 | 4.8 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 93.6 | 3.6 | 91.3 | $\cdots$ |
| 195 | 4.1 | 31.7 | 3.1 | 15.6 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 31.6 | 2.7 | 94.8 | 1.94 |
| 1959 | 4.0 | 61.9 | 2.8 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 78.0 | 2.2 | 175.5 | 1.74 |
| 1960 | 3.2 | 34.6 | 3.4 | 15.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 29.4 | 3.4 | 132.2 | 1.22 |
| 1961 | 4.2 | 28.0 | 3.3 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 49.1 | 3.2 | 197.7 | 1.22 |
| 1962 | 3.7 | 22.0 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 29.0 | 2.7 | 65.5 | 1.94 |
| 1963 | 3.9 | 25.4 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 49.5 | 2.2 | 58.5 | 1.16 |
| 1964 | 3.4 | 29.7 | 2.5 | 11.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 44.8 | 3.8 | 67.9 | 1.78 |
| 1965 | 3.4 | 23.3 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 35.9 | 1.8 | 69.8 | 2.46 |
| $196{ }^{\prime}$ | 4.3 | 17.2 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 43.9 | 1.4 | - | 0.98 |
| 1967 | 4.7 |  | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 30.2 | 1.4 | - ${ }^{-}$ | 1.35 |
| 1968 | 3.8 4.8 | $(1.2)^{3}$ | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | - | 2.4 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 50.2 | 1.64 |
| 1969 1970 | 4.8 3.4 | $(3.7){ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\cdots$ | 2.9 $(0.2)$ | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 4.4 5.1 | 24.7 26.6 | 0.9 | 100.9 57.2 | 1.22 1.07 |
| 1971 | 5.2 | 19.1 | $\cdots$ | $(0.2)$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 4.1 | 20.7 | 0.9 | 44.2 | 1.34 |

## Footnotes to Table 12.

Catch Per Unit Fifort in Drift-Net ond Trawl Fisheries in the Southern, Central, Northeastern and Northwestern North Sea
I. United Kingdom catch per armival in May-September (tons).
2. Metherlands catch per 100 hours' Pishing by a standard (500 BHP) trawler in July-September (tons fresh weight).
3. Polish catch per shot in Aprin-July (tons).
4. Netherlands catch per 100 hours: fishing by a standard trawler in January-April (tons fresh weight).
5. German lugger trawl, catch per day (oniv catches with over $60 \%$ herring) (tons).
6. Netherlands catch per shot (tons) (Maymseptember).
7. United Kingdom tons per landing for central Morth sea dift-net Pisheries (May-September).
8. Netherlands catch per 100 hows fishirs by a stendard trawler (tons fresh weight) (August-00tober).
9. United Kingdom eatch per shot (tons) (october-December).
10. Netherlands catch per 100 houms fishing by a standard trawler (tons fresh weight) (Movember-December).
11. Danish catch per hour (tons) in the immature herring fishery in the Bladen area.
Table 13. Effort Estimates for each Area from Catch per Effort Data of Table 12


Table 14. Estimates of Total North Sea Fffort on Adult Eerring and Relative Chenges in Exficiency

| Year | $\bar{F}_{\text {VPA }}$ | Drinter |  |  | Trewl |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Landings } \\ & \times 10-3 \end{aligned}$ | Cpe | Diniciency B/Cpe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & \text { Fishing } \\ & \text { r } 10.5 \end{aligned}$ | Cpe | Bficiency |
| 1947 | - | 191.6 | 3.06 |  | 3.39 | 175.8 |  |
| 1946 | - | 166.6 | 3.00 |  | 4.68 | 107.3 |  |
| 1949 | . 08 | 205.5 | 2.46 | . 0325 | 5.97 | 85.1 | .0009 |
| 1950 | .19 | 157.2 | 3.09 | . 0615 | 6.16 | 79.0 | . 0024 |
| 1951 | .34 | 195.1 | 2.84 | . 1197 | 6.25 | 88.9 | .0038 |
| 1952 | . 33 | 150.1 | 4.09 | .0807 | 5.63 | 109.2 | . 0030 |
| 1953 | . 38 | 169.4 | 3.65 | . 1041 | 7.05 | 87.9 | . 0043 |
| 1954 | . 45 | 149.3 | 4.49 | . 1002 | 9.35 | 73.4 | . 0063 |
| 1955 | . 42 | 166. 1 | 4.39 | .1002 | 10.10 | 68.7 | . 0061 |
| 1956 | . 48 | 140.5 | 4.07 | . 1179 | 9.29 | 61.5 | . 0078 |
| 1957 | . 46 | 165.5 | 3.50 | . 1324 | 7.80 | 74.3 | . 0062 |
| 1958 | . 48 | \$56.9 | 3.27 | -1468 | 9.34 | 54.8 | .0088 |
| 1959 | . 50 | 174.5 | 3.61 | . 2385 | 8.86 | 70.9 | . 0077 |
| 1960 | . 38 | 286.7 | 3.29 | . 1291 | 20.88 | 54.8 | . 0069 |
| 1961 | . 48 | 254.1 | 2.38 | . 2017 | 13.49 | 44.7 | .0107 |
| 1962 | . 50 | 282.2 | I. 87 | . 2674 | 17.88 | 29.5 | . 0169 |
| 1963 | . 31 | 199.3 | 3.25 | . 0951 | 14.54 | 44.6 | . 0019 |
| 1964 | . 40 | 231.6 | 3.25 | . 1227 | 25.06 | 50.1 | .0079 |
| 1965 | .77 | 322.2 | 3.21 | . 2399 | 29.88 | 34.6 | . 0222 |
| 1966 | .67 | 198.6 | 4.37 | . 3607 | 28.08 | 29.5 | . 0227 |
| 1967 | . 70 | 155.1 | 3.94 | . 2777 | 35.90 | 17.0 | . 0412 |
| 1968 | 1.0 | 227.5 | 2.69 | .3717 | 39.16 | 25.6 | .0641 |
| 1969 | 1.0 | (>81.9) | 50.19 |  | 21.06 | 20.2 | .0495 |
| 1970 | 1.0 | 151.1 | 3.14 | . 3204 | 17.77 | 26.6 | . 0375 |
| 2971 | 1.0 | (263.2) | (5.50) |  | 13.99 | 24.9 | . 0401 |

Calculated Fishing Mortalities by Age and Year. ( $\mathrm{M}=0.1$ )
mable 15.

| Winter Rings | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1.950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 2955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1.958 | 2.959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1.964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1.967 | 1968 | 1.969 | 1.970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.21. | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.29 |
| 2 | 0.13 | 0:06 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.23 | . 34. | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.88 | 0.67 | 0.47 | 12.46 | 0.86 | 0.99 |
| 3 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.119 | 23 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 1.86 | 0.94 | 1.23 |
| 4 | 0.1 .9 | . 1 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0. 20 | 0.34 | 0.77 | 0.56 | 0.92 | 1.03 | 0.77 | 1.30 |
| 5 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.57 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 1.21 | 0.96 | 0.67 |
| 6 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.4 .1 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 1.74 | 0.83 |
| 7 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.30 | 0.4 .1 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.53 | 0.29 | 0.72 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.89 | 1.51 | 0.90 | 1.70 |
| 8 | - | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.84 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.76 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.77 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.8 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.39 | 1.07 | 0.61 |
| 9 | - | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.30 | . 80 | 0.70 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Mean F2wor. and older. | - | - | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 1.47 | 0.89 | 1.05 |
| Mean F3wor. and older | - | - | 0.30 | 0.25 | 36 | 33 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.41 | . 40 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.54 | D. 20 | 0.38 | 0.73 | 0.67 | 0.85 | 1. 48 | 0.95 | 1.17 |

Table 16. Calculated, stock Size in Numbers (x 10-9) by Age and Year ( $\mathrm{M}=0.1$ )

| Winter <br> Rings | 1947 | 1.948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 7.74 | 5.26 | 4.12 | 6.58 | 6.46 | 7.04 | 8.95 | 7.68 | 7.61 | 4.86 | 21.08 | 5.89 | 7.66 |
| 1 | 5.02 | 7.00 | 4.76 | 3.73 | 5.96 | 5.84 | 6.37 | 7.96 | 6.74 | 6.73 | 4.31 | 18.81 | 5.24 |
| 2 | 4.11 | 4.54 | 6.33 | 4.30 | 3.37 | 4.95 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 5.83 | 4.14 | 4.48 | 2.49 | 12.96 |
| 3 | 2.72 | 3.25 | 3.88 | 5.28 | 3.39 | 2.43 | 3.20 | 2.91 | 2.92 | 3.44 | 1.98 | 2.50 | 1.28 |
| 4 | 3.84 | 2.06 | 2.30 | 2.90 | 3.79 | 2.16 | 1.65 | 1.95 | 1.58 | 1.67 | 1.96 | 1.10 | 1.31 |
| 5 | 2.46 | 2.87 | 1.56 | 1.71 | 2.04 | 2.24 | 1.13 | 1.04 | 1.20 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.16 | 0.69 |
| 6 | 2.51 | 1.72 | 2.03 | 1.14 | 1.27 | 1.24 | 1.41 | 0.86 | 0.60 | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.61 |
| 7 | 1.76 | 1.55 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 0.79 | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.48 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.40 |
| 8 | - | 1.18 | 1.03 | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.28 |
| 9 | - | - | 0.83 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.25 |
| Tota1 | - | - | 27.94 | 27.96 | 28.18 | 27.90 | 28.96 | 28.81 | 27.49 | 23.48 | 36.38 | 33.34 | 30.68 |


| Winter <br> Rings | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.00 | 16.67 | 7.10 | 8.73 | 10.94 | 5.74 | 5.29 | 7.63 | 7.83 | 5.57 | 11.77 | 11.8032 |
| 1 | 6.94 | 1.63 | 13.88 | 6.29 | 7.48 | 9.42 | 5.04 | 4.43 | 6.30 | 6.28 | 4.93 | 9.80 |
| 2 | 3.22 | 4.02 | 1.25 | 10.52 | 4.49 | 3.96 | 5.49 | 3.25 | 2.42 | 3.40 | 3.32 | 3.33 |
| 3 | 7.06 | 1.83 | 1.85 | 0.79 | 6.72 | 2.60 | 1.49 | 2.53 | 1.83 | 0.51 | 1.30 | 1.11 |
| 4 | 0.70 | 4.52 | 1.20 | 0.92 | 0.54 | 3.95 | 1.10 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.34 |
| 5 | 0.72 | 0.47 | 2.71 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.35 | 1.65 | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| 6 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.31 | 1.43 | 0.62 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
| 7 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 1.07 | 0.47 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 8 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | + |
| 9 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Total | 21.79 | 30.16 | 28.76 | 29.82 | 32.74 | 27.78 | 21.11 | 20.21 | 20.20 | 16.54 | 21.77 | 26.52 |

[^2]Table 17. Larval abundance in the North Sea ( $-=$ no observations)
(Numbers $x$ 10-9).

| Year | Southernl)North Sea | Central Horth Sea |  | Northwestern North Sea 4) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dogeer ${ }^{2}$ | Total3) | Buchan | Oriensy-Shetiand | Total |
| 1946 | 537 | - | - | - |  |  |
| 1947 | 596 | - | - | - |  |  |
| 1948 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 1949 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 1950 | 288 | - | - | - |  |  |
| 1951 | 255 | - | - | 900 | 420 | I 320 |
| 1952 | - | - | - | 890 | 100 | 990 |
| 1953 | - | - | - | 2110 | 940 | 3050 |
| 1954 | - | - | - | 870 | 700 | 1570 |
| 1955 | 99 | - | - | 20x) | 700 | 720 |
| 1956 | 56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1957 | 16 | 232 | - | 300 | - | - |
| 1958 | 58 | 252 | - | 220 | 2800 | 3020 |
| 1959 | 11 | 97 | - | 300 | 860 | 1160 |
| 1960 | 33 | 138 | - | 440 | 640 | 1080 |
| 1961 | 44 | 86 | - | 380 | 4940 | 5320 |
| 1962 | $>30$ | 66 | - | 400 | 720 | 1120 |
| 1963 | 22 | - | - | 440 | 580 | 1020 |
| 1964 | 9 | 52 | 63x) | 920 | 880 | 1800 |
| 1965 | 13 | 275 | 490x) | 70 | 2220 | 2290 |
| 1966 | + | 3 | 142x) | 10 | 680 | 690 |
| 1967 | 26 | 0 | 275 | + | 440 | 440 |
| 1968 | 15-18 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 162 | 162 |
| 1969 | 108 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 212 | 215 |
| 1970 | 126 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 273 | 273 |

1) Iarval abundence in Downs area in December-January.
2) Abundance of Iarvae $<11$ mmin October on the Western and Southern slopes of Dogger Bank (Zijlstra).
3) Abundance of larvae <10 mm in September-0ctober in the central part of the Noxth Sea.
4) Abundance of Iarvae <10 min the Northwestern Horth Sea, apart from the Southern area (Buchan), the Northern area (Oxkey-Shetland) and the total Northwestern North Sea (Savilie).
x) Incompiete data.
+) Small numbers.

Table 18. Recruitment Indices to North Sea Stocks

| Years <br> Class | Euchen <br> (1/10 thi cran <br> per arrival) | Bank <br> (hundreds per <br> day fishery) | Downs <br> (hundreds <br> per shot) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | 42 | 77 | 218 |
| 1952 | 71 | 235 | 109 |
| 1953 | 50 | 43 | 321 |
| 1954 | 73 | 63 | 243 |
| 1955 | 17 | 148 | 95 |
| 1956 | 194 | 373 | 180 |
| 1957 | 42 | 20 | 80 |
| 1958 | 22 | 126 | 366 |
| 1959 | 14 | 7 | 30 |
| 1960 | 170 | 256 | 180 |
| 1961 | 70 | 74 | 168 |
| 1962 | 52 | 87 | 30 |
| 1963 | 180 | 259 | 100 |
| 1964 | 51 | 27 | 68 |
| 1965 | 61 | 38 | 10 |
| 1966 | 97 | 65 | 330 |
| 1967 | 114 | 70 | 55 |
| 1968 | - | - | - |
| 1969 | - | - | - |

Table 19. Fear Olass Size Compared with Spawning Potential Parent Stock

| Fear Class | VPA <br> Number of $0-g r o u p$ $\times 10-9$ | Spawning <br> Potential <br> Parent Stock <br> Bggs $x 10^{-12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 5.26 | 633 |
| 1948 | 4.12 | 738 |
| 1949 | 6.58 | 703 |
| 1950 | 6.46 | 670 |
| 1951 | 7.04 | 590 |
| 1952 | 8.95 | 528 |
| 1953 | 7.68 | 475 |
| 1954 | 7.61 | 452 |
| 1955 | 4.86 | 437 |
| 1956 | 21.08 | 412 |
| 1957 | 5.89 | 380 |
| 1958 | 7.66 | 314 |
| 1959 | 2.00 | 502 |
| 1960 | 16.67 | 432 |
| 1961 | 7.10 | 422 |
| 1962 | 8.73 | 314 |
| 1963 | 10.94 | 431 |
| 1964 | 5.74 | 478 |
| 1965 | 5.29 | 454 |
| 1966 | 7.63 | 354 |
| 1967 | 7.83 | 272 |
| 1968 | 5.57 | 200 |
| 1969 | 11.77 | 130 |

Table 20. Average Weight by Age and Month

| Month | W <br> ¢ <br> H | AGE IN WTNTHR RINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Jan. |  | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | - |
| Feb。 |  | $\pm$ |  | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| Max. |  | 97.3(21) | 122.5(7) | 175:4(7) | 157.5(4) | 190.0(4) | 210.4(4) | - |
| Apr. |  | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | $\square$ | ma |
| May |  | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ |
| Jun. |  | 152.4 | 175.9 | 171.8 | m | 251.2 | 287.0 | 269.0 |
| Jul. | \% | 165.0 | 248,0 | 259.0 | 287.0 | 303.0 | - | 321.5 |
| Aug. | F | 180.8 | 222.0 | 247.0 | 324.5 | 273.5 | - | 349.5 |
| Sep. |  | 150.0 | 206.5 | 237.0 | 317.0 | 262.0 | 303.0 | - |
| Oet. |  | 150.4(64) | $183.2(44)$ | 210.3(9) | 225.9 (9) | 262.5 (6) | 268.8(4) | 265.0 |
| Nov. |  | $139.5(146)$ | $168.4(86)$ | $179.6(12)$ | $212.5(2)$ | $222.5(1)$ | 202.5(2) | - |
| Deo. |  | 139.7(85) | $165.9(34)$ | $192.5(3)$ | $222.5(1)$ | $262.5(1)$ | - | - |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | *** |
| Feb. |  | $97.6(52)$ | 120.8(6) | 175.8(3) | $187.5(4)$ | - $\times$ | - ${ }^{-\infty}$ | 227.5(2) |
| Max. |  | $117.0(06)$ |  |  | - | 209 2 (3) | 222-5(2) |  |
| Apr. |  | 11\%.0(26) | 149.6(7) | 170.8(6) | - | 209.2(3) | 222.5(2) | 242.5(2) |
| May |  | - | - | - | $\sim$ |  | - | - |
| Jun. | 回 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - |
| JuI. | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | 1863 (45) | 213. $2(16)$ | 258 $\quad$ (25) | 2906 ${ }^{10}$ | - 5 - | $\cdots{ }^{-5}$ | - |
| Aug. | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { E }}$ | 186.3(45) | 243.2(16) | 258.5(25) | 291.6(11) | 292.5(1) | $312.5(2)$ | $322.5(4)$ |
| Sep. |  | - - | - | $\cdots$ | = | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Oct. |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Nov. |  | $137.5(2)$ | $175.8(3)$ | $\cdots$ | $242.5(1)$ | - | $\cdots$ | 0 |
| Dec. |  | 153.9(7) | $192.5(1)$ | $\cdots$ | 222.5(1) | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | " |

Continued/
Trable 20. (Continued)

| Month | ¢ | age in winter ritgs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Jan. |  | 92(784) | 1211(159) | 161 (50) | 191(50) | 212(57) | 195(3) | 24.2(7) | 226(7) | 230(12) |
| Feb. |  | 82(470) | 123(154) | 1.41(65) | 161(22) | $177(41)$ | 162(17) | 250(4) | $257(4)$ | 250(9) |
| Mas. |  | 92(4.56) | 1336(132) | 167(170) | 184(129) | 191(90) | 214(89) | 225 (14) | 222(28) | 212 (19) |
| Apr. | ¢ | 99(270) | 134(48) | $165(26)$ | 1205 (29) | 214(6) | 216(10) | 215(3) | 298(6) | - |
| Way |  | 108(293) | 143(190) | 180 (99) | 189(29) | 209(31) | 207(13) | 238(9) | - | 225(2) |
| Jun. | ${ }^{5}$ | 148(522) | 183(353) | 221(139) | 213(127) | 224(151) | 261(32) | 254(28) | 250(21) | $243(38)$ |
| Jul. |  | 177(757) | 238(328) | 265(171) | 273(293) | 292(50) | 325 (43) | 291(50) | 312(90) | 470(1) |
| Aug. |  | 184(672) | 222(348) | 257(146) | 277 (39) | 283(35) | 328(20) | 325 (33) | 292(3) | 372(2) |
| Sep. |  | 152(52) | 192(47) | 214.(65) | 224(26) | 243(8) | 236(5) | 225(12) | 245 (11) | 240(1) |
| Oct. |  | 158(81) | 199(23) | 219 (33) | 220(43) | 243(5) | 239(7) | 248(6) | 238(14) | - |
| Nov. |  | 149(232) | 177(83) | 207(67) | 228(56) | 249(19) | 242(16) | 239(18) | 245(9) | 255(1) |
| Dec. |  | 133(29) | 203(2) | . - | 210(1) | 220(1) | - | - | - | - |

/Continued
Table 20. (Continued)

| Month | ¢ | AGE IN WINTER RINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Jan. |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| Feb. |  | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | \% | c | $\cdots$ | - |
| Max. |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Apx. |  | 93.5(29) | 107.5(14) | - | - | 147.5 (1) |  |  |
| May |  | 118.3 (271) | 138.6 114 ) | 168.9(44) | 172.0(10) | 187.0 3) | 208.0 (1) | - ${ }^{-1}$ |
| Jun. |  | $136.2(1510)$ | 168.7 (470) | 189.8 (154) | 200.0 52 | 226.3 14) | 229.1. 9 ) | 282.5 (1) |
| Jul. | $E$ | 144.8(2464) | 177.0 (1154) | 193.2(456) | 200.3(85) | 229.7 38 ) | 248.3 (6) | 200.7(3) |
| Aug. | +1 | 156.1.3530) | 186.4 (2132) | 200.4(1332) | 225.4.320) | 245.4(136) | 278.5 (34) | 326.7 9 ) |
| Sep. |  | $160.2(3638)$ | 192.7(144.9) | 218.8(882) | 231.4(381) | 280.1 (193) | 309.8 74 ) | 321.4.(13) |
| 00 \% |  | 159.1 (1281) | 191.2(592) | 216.0(282) | 229.6(99) | $263.8(46)$ | 274.6 (9) | $280.6(5)$ |
| Nov. |  | 129.3 (3) | - | 216.0(282) | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. |  |  | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |
| Jen。 |  | 102.7(11) | 116.3(226) | 149.2(9) | 204.7(9) | $\cdots$ | 1.72.5(1) | $\cdots$ |
| Feb: |  | $52.5(2)$ | 87.5 (2) |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | - |
| Mar. |  | 87.8 (148) | 113.3(42) | 137.0(2) | 172.5 (1) | $\ldots$ | - | - |
| Apx. |  | ( | 113.3(1) | 137.0(2) | 17.5(1) | - | - | - |
| May |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Jun. |  | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ |
| Jul. | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | $\ldots$ | \% |
| Aug. | 㫨 |  | 1931 76 ) | - | " | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| Sep. |  | 153.7(180) | 193.1 766 ) | 195.0 (2) | 236- ${ }^{-}$ | *** | - ${ }^{-1}$ | - |
| Oct. |  | 151.3 ${ }^{1000}$ ) | $191.5(860)$ | 214.1. 250$)$ | $236.2(73)$ | 246.9 25 ) | $267.5(10)$ | 252.5(1) |
| Nov. |  | 143.661000) | 171.5 ${ }^{1500}$ ) | 192.7 (170) | 215.7447 | $237.4(57)$ | 239.9 15 | 302.5 3 . |
| Dec. |  | $128.7(1000)$ | 158.9(470) | 191.0(47) | 208.4(12) | 241.4(9) | $225.8(3)$ | 254.8(9) |

Table 21. Mean Weights (g) by Month and Age for Total North Sea

|  | AGE IN WINTRI RINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | 0 | $I$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Jan. | - | 29 | 84 | 131 | 159 | 195 | 207 | 222 | 232 |
| Feb. | - | 29 | 82 | 112 | 142 | 161 | 177 | 181 | 202 |
| Far. | - | 30 | 94 | 121 | 144 | 174 | 195 | 210 | 222 |
| Aps. | - | 34 | 106 | 134 | 157 | 177 | 192 | 207 | 219 |
| May | - | 40 | 112 | 146 | 169 | 190 | 205 | 219 | 231 |
| Jun. | - | 47 | 147 | 175 | 197 | 218 | 233 | 247 | 258 |
| Jul. | 5 | 56 | 184 | 216 | 242 | 264 | 284 | 300 | 314 |
| Aug. | 7 | 64 | 170 | 205 | 230 | 252 | 273 | 291 | 304 |
| Sep. | 15 | 70 | 157 | 191 | 216 | 242 | 264 | 284 | 303 |
| Oct. | 22 | 75 | 157 | 185 | 212 | 234 | 255 | 272. | 289 |
| Nov. | 27 | 77 | 144 | 166 | 194 | 215 | 232 | 248 | 260 |
| Dec. | 28 | 78 | 133 | 160 | 187 | 207 | 224 | 239 | 253 |

Table 22．Mean numbex per kg by month and area

| Pexiod | Axen | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jm． | Beb． | 珄路。 | Aps． | Masy | 3un． | JuI． | Aug． | Sep． | Oot． | Now． | Dec． |
| 1961．65 | ITo．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\square$ | － | － | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 9.0 | $=$ |
|  | ITP。易 | 4.4 | $=$ | 5.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 | － | － |
|  | TV | $=$ | － | － | 6.4 | － | 7.2 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 7.7 | － |
|  | 270 | － | 28.7 | 20.0 | － | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | － | $\infty$ | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.3 | －6．4 |
| 1956－70 | 200．4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 7.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
|  | TTa，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | － | 9.1. | 4.1 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | $\cdots$ | 8.4 | 9.6 |
|  | IVb | － | $\cdots$ | － | 0.6 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 11.5 | $\cdots$ |
|  | IVd ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 23.2 | 28.0 | 31.7 | 27.4 | 26.3 | 24.7 | 35.0 | 23.2 | 16．2 | 18.3 | 25.4 | 21．4 |
|  | ITe | 9.7 | 37.7 | $\cdots$ | 10.8 | － | $=$ | － | － | ＊ | 5.9 | 6.8 | 7.3 |

筑）Danish data for juvenile herring 1967－1971

Table 23. Estimates of Relative Strenghs of Latest Fear Classes

| Source | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English 0/grp Suryers | 0.44 | 1.00 | $0.54{ }^{32}$ |
| ICES Young Ferring Survey Feb/Mar: | 0.38 | 1.00 | 1.31 |
| Danish Foung $0 /$ grp. Autumn <br> Herring $1 / \mathrm{grp}$. Spring <br> Fishery $1 / \mathrm{grp}$. Autum <br>  $2 /$ Erp. Spring | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.45 \\ & 0.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 1.37 \end{aligned}$ - |

[^3]Table 24．Initial catch levels（1972）and percentage increase in catoh and biomass 1972－75．

| F | .0 | .1 | ． 2 | ． 3 | ． 4 | .5 | ． 6 | .7 | ． 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ -403 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ -33 \\ 365 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ -34 \\ 331 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & -34 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173 \\ & -35 \\ & 272 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 207 \\ & -35 \\ & 248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238 \\ -36 \\ 226 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ -36 \\ 206 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 292 \\ -37 \\ 188 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ． 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 298 \\ 306 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 161 \\ & 275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187 \\ & 100 \\ & 246 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 228 \\ 66 \\ 221 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 265 \\ 43 \\ 198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 299 \\ 28 \\ 177 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 330 \\ 16 \\ 158 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 358 \\ 8 \\ 142 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 384 \\ 1 \\ 127 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ． 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 241 \\ & 234 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 159 \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 270 \\ & 110 \\ & 183 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 311 \\ 79 \\ 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 348 \\ 56 \\ 143 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 382 \\ 39 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 413 \\ 27 \\ 110 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 441 \\ 16 \\ 95 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 467 \\ 8 \\ 83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ． 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 196 \\ & 180 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 137 \\ & 157 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 345 \\ 99 \\ 137 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 386 \\ 72 \\ 118 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 423 \\ 51 \\ 102 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 457 \\ 35 \\ 87 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 488 \\ 23 \\ 74 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 516 \\ 13 \\ 62 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 542 5 51 |
| － 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 318 \\ & 160 \\ & 139 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 368 \\ & 114 \\ & 119 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 413 \\ 82 \\ 301 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 454 \\ 59 \\ 86 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 491 \\ 41 \\ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 525 \\ 27 \\ 58 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 556 \\ 16 \\ 47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 584 \\ 6 \\ 37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 610 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| .5 | $\begin{aligned} & 380 \\ & 133 \\ & 107 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 430 \\ 93 \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 475 \\ 66 \\ 75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 516 \\ 46 \\ 61 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 553 \\ 30 \\ 48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 587 \\ 17 \\ 37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 618 \\ 7 \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 646 \\ -18 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 671 -8 10 |
| 感 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 436 \\ 107 \\ 83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 486 \\ 75 \\ 67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 531 \\ 52 \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 572 \\ 34 \\ 42 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 609 \\ 20 \\ 31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 643 \\ 8 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 674 \\ -1 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 702 \\ -9 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 728 \\ -15 \\ -3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 487 \\ 87 \\ 63 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 537 \\ 60 \\ 50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 582 \\ 40 \\ 38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 623 \\ 23 \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 660 \\ 21 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 694 \\ 0 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 725 \\ -8 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753 \\ -16 \\ -7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 779 \\ & -22 \\ & -13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 533 \\ 73 \\ 48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 583 \\ 47 \\ 36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 628 \\ 29 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 669 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 706 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 740 \\ -7 \\ -2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 773 \\ -15 \\ -9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 799 \\ -21 \\ -15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ -27 \\ -21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 咢 | $\begin{array}{r} 575 \\ 58 \\ 36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 625 \\ 36 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 670 \\ 20 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 710 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 748 \\ -4 \\ -2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ -13 \\ --9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 813 \\ -20 \\ -16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 841 \\ -26 \\ -22 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 867 \\ -32 \\ -27 \end{array}$ |
| 沯 1.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 613 \\ 47 \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 662 \\ 27 \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 708 \\ 12 \\ -7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 749 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 786 \\ -10 \\ -9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 820 \\ & -18 \\ & -15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 851 \\ -25 \\ -21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 879 \\ -31 \\ -27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 905 \\ -36 \\ -32 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\frac{7}{8} 1.1$ | $\begin{array}{r} 647 \\ 38 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 697 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 742 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 783 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 821 \\ -15 \\ -14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 855 \\ -22 \\ -20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 885 \\ -29 \\ -26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 914 \\ & -34 \\ & -31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 939 \\ & -39 \\ & -55 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 678 \\ 30 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 728 \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 773 \\ 0 \\ -5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 314 \\ -10 \\ -22 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 852 \\ -19 \\ -19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 886 \\ -26 \\ -24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 917 \\ & -32 \\ & -30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 945 \\ & -37 \\ & -34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 970 \\ & -42 \\ & -38 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 707 \\ 23 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 757 \\ 8 \\ -2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 802 \\ -4 \\ -10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 843 \\ & -14 \\ & -16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 880 \\ & -22 \\ & -22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 914 \\ & -29 \\ & -28 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 945 \\ & -35 \\ & -33 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 973 \\ -39 \\ -37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 989 \\ & -44 \\ & -42 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 732 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 782 \\ 3 \\ -7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 828 \\ -8 \\ -14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 869 \\ -18 \\ -20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 906 \\ & -25 \\ & -25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 940 \\ -32 \\ -31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 971 \\ & -37 \\ & -35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 999 \\ & -42 \\ & -39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1024 \\ -46 \\ -43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 756 \\ 13 \\ -3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 806 \\ -1 \\ -10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 851 \\ -12 \\ -17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 892 \\ & -21 \\ & -23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 929 \\ -28 \\ -28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 963 \\ -34 \\ -33 \end{array}$ | 994 -40 -37 | $\begin{array}{r} 1022 \\ -44 \\ -41 \end{array}$ | 1045 -48 -44 |

Upper figuse ：Catch in 10－（ 1000 tons）
Middle figure：Increase in catch in 1975 （\％）
Iower figure ：Tmorease in biomass as at the begiming of 1976 （\％in weicht）
Pable 25. Annual Gatches from IVa.W and VIa, 1965-1971

|  | 1965 |  | 1966 |  | 1967 |  | 1968 |  | 1969 |  | 1970 |  | 1971 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IVa.W | VIS | IVa.w | VIa | IVa.W | VIa | IVa, W | VI. | IVa.W | VI.a | IVa.W | VIa | IVa.W | VIa |
| Faxoese | 31.21 |  | 1. 491 | - | 35993 | - | 49995 | - | 27835 | - | 40884 | 18400 | 25142 | 34000 |
| France | 7303 | 610 | 2628 | 1 | 15.5 | 379 | 1349 | 1124 | 605 | 966 | 81.8 | 1553 | 1396 | 2296 |
| Gexmany | 4489 | 5066 | 7069 | 3.4634 | 7941 | 17318 | 71.50 | 3.4874 | 418 | 15805 | 177 | 16543 | -- | 7538 |
| Iceland | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35134 | - | 23697 | - | 20587 | 5595 | 42164 | 54.16 |
| Trobend | - | 6440 | -" | 7759. | - | 12290 | - | 13390 | - | 11895 | - | 12716 | - | 121.61 |
| Ne thexlands | 11515 | 330 | 3414 | 251. | 3418 | 4576 | 3072 | 2957 | 474 | 1514 | 177 | 21.02 | 5755 | 1. 850 |
| Norway | 196488 | - | 21.9223 | - | 41. 664 | - | 131598 | - | 99316 | - | 146397 | 27462 | 11.2114 | 76720 |
| Folas: | 35878 | - | 27199 | $\cdots$ | 8454 | 727 | 2806 | 2791 | 362 | 3188 | 2069 | 3709 | 1288 | 1955 |
| Scotland, | 19239 | 53909 | 16548 | 6933 | 17359 | 67404 | 16324 | 65180 | 10051 | 90222 | 17767 | 103530 | 24711 | 104922 |
| Total | 298345 | 66383 | 278613 | 92032 | 21.7312 | 102694 | 286681 | 100323 | 213138 | 123593 | 312585 | 189610 | 280024 | 246858 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{VIa} \text { in } \% \\ & \text { Total. } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | 1.8.2 |  | 24.8 |  | 46.7 |  | 25.9 |  | 36.7 |  | 37.8 |  | 46.9 |

Catches by countries are speoified only for those countries which fish in both areas. The totals given arethose for all countries fishing in these cases and so exceed the summetion of the catches listed.

Mable 26a. Distribation of Catehes in the Shetlame Area in 1970 by Scotland, Howney, Icelamd, Faroese and Sweden

| Montig | $\begin{gathered} \text { West of } \\ 4^{\circ} \mathrm{W} \end{gathered}$ | Between $4^{\circ} \mathrm{Wm}$ West of Orkey and Shetland | ㄹest of Orley and Shotiand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aprs | - | 340 | 911 |
| H2y | - | 4211 | 3872 |
| Jon. | 8017 | 72712 | 650 |
| Jul. | 14565 | 59915 | 9177 |
| A0g. | 5523 | 8957 | 4370 |
| Sep. | - | 801 | 7073 |
| Oct. | - | 331 | 7138 |
| Nov. | - | - | 6431 |
| Dec. | - | - | 332 |
| Total | 28105 | 147067 | 3954 |

Table 26b. Percentage Age Compositions (Horwegian am Seotish Data) in Three Areas of Shetland $\mathbb{H}$ shers in April-augast 1970-1971

| Year | Area | WIMTER RTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $>8$ | 7 |
| 1970 | West of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{Fl}$ | - | 41.2 | 43.3 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 840 |
|  | 4-W-Nest of Orimey and Shetiane | - | 54.5 | 31.2 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 564 |
|  | Fast of Orimey and Shetland | - | 79.9 | 15.5 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2017 |
| 1971 | West of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 0.2 | 14.6 | 52.5 | 21.8 | 3.0 | 4.9 | I. 1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 4.67 |
|  | 40Wmest of 0 inney and Shetland | $\cdots$ | 12.1 | 52.7 | 19.4 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 1.8 | - | 199 |
|  | सost of Orkney and Shetland | 10.4 | 36.1 | 41.0 | 10.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1709 |

Tabie 26c. Fean $V S, K_{2}$ and $I-I$, Characters of Eezring Samples from West Shetland, Rast Shetland ane Minch Grounds

| West Shetlane |  |  | Fsst Shetland |  |  | Minch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VS | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | Im 1 | VS | $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | In 1 | TS | $\mathbb{E}_{2}$ | $I=1$ |
| 56.53 | 14.14 | 15.11 | 56.51 | 14. 19 | 14.93 | 56.51 | 14.19 | 13.86 |

Drifter
$3-\frac{F_{y p a}}{c p e}$
$\longrightarrow$ Voung herring trawl


Figure 1. The development in efficiency as measured by $F$ /ope for drifters and young herring trawls ( $F$ derived from VPA).


Figure 2. The development in efficiency as measured by $F / c p e$ for Dutch trawiers ( $F$ derived from VPA).


Figure 3. Total mortality, approximated by I/cpe, on total effort (Dutch trawl).


Figure 4: Spawning potential of North Sea herring stocks 1947-1969 in per cent of spawning potential in 1948.


Figure 5. Regression of recruitment at 3 years of age estimated from VPA on estimates from catch-effort data.


Figure 6. 0-group recruitment (derived from VPA) on spawning potential.


Figure 7. Abundance of 0-group herring along the East Anglian coast and the abundance of low mean length I-group herring from the International Young Herring Surveys plotted on Downs larval abundance.


Figure 8. Abundance of low mean length I-group herring plotted against abundance of East Anglian 0-group herring.


Figure 2. Total catch levels in 1972 in thousands of tons
(full drawn lines) and percentage increase in total
catch from 1972 - 1975 (broken lines) at various
combinations of adult and juvenile fishing mortalities.

```
Notes on the Virtual Population Analysis and the Cohort
                    Analysis
                    by
Hans Lassen
```

The Virtual Population Analysis (VPA) (Gulland, 1965) and the Cohort Analysis (CA) (Pope, 1971) estimate for an exploited year class the fishing mortality $F_{i}$ and the stock $\mathbb{N}_{i}$ at age $i_{\text {, }}$ provided that the natural mortality $M$ and that the fishing mortality $F_{n}$ for the oldest age group is known.

A brief review of the methods are given in this appendix together with some recent evaluations of the errors inherent in the methods.

Let the catch in numbers of a year class at age $i$ be $C_{i} ;$ then according to Beverton and Holt (1957):

$$
C_{i}=\mathbb{N}_{i} \frac{F_{i}}{F_{i}+M}\left(1-\exp \left(-F_{i}-M\right)\right) \quad[1]
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \stackrel{D}{=} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} C_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\frac{\nabla_{i}}{\mathbb{N}_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla_{i}+1}{E_{i}+1 C_{i}}=\frac{\left(F_{i}+M\right) \exp \left(\infty F_{i}-M\right)}{F_{i}\left(I-\exp \left(-F_{i}-M\right)\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C_{j,} j=i, i+1, n, E_{i+1}$ and $M$ are known, $F_{i}$ can be found from equation $[47$ 。 The Newtonmaphson iteration is a sufficient and effective solution method for this problem Continuation of the analysis requires $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ as defined by [1]. It can be found using [2] and [3]

$$
V_{i}=\mathbb{N}_{i} E_{i}=C_{i}+\nabla_{i+1}=C_{i}+N_{i} e^{-Z_{i}} E_{i+1}
$$

and by [1] one finally gets

$$
E_{i}=\frac{F_{i}}{F_{i}+\mathbb{M}}\left(I-\exp \left(-F_{i}-M\right)\right)+E_{i+1} \exp \left(-F_{i}-\mathbb{M}\right)
$$

The stock in number $\mathbb{N}_{i}$ is then found by [3]

Pope (1971) has developed a modification (the Cohort Analysis) of the VPA based on the approximation

$$
\frac{\sinh F / 2}{\sinh (F+M) / 2} \simeq \frac{F}{F+M}
$$

which，according to Pope，is usable up to values of $M=03$ and $F=1.2$ 。 He derived simple expressions for calculating the fishing mortality coefficient $F_{i}$ and stock sise $N_{i}$ ：

$$
F_{i}=\ln \left(\mathbb{N}_{i} / N_{i+1}\right)-\mathbb{N}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{N}_{i}=C_{i} e^{M / 2}+\mathbb{N}_{i+1} e^{M}
$$

The advantage of using the VPA is that $F$ in a fishery where $F_{i}$ is changing with time may be estimated for a given age group in a given year without the use of effort data．

The main diaadvantage is that unknown and often considerable errors may be introduced due to uncertainties of $M$ and $F_{n}$ o

Pope（1971）has discussed errors in $F_{i}$ and $W_{i}$ arising from incorrect choice of $F_{n}$ and from sampling errors of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ．Agger，Boe̊tius and Lassen （1972）have discussed errors in $F_{i}$ due to inaccurate guesses of $M_{\text {o }}$

The results can be summarised as follows：
a The relative error from incorrect choice of $F_{n}$ ：

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(F_{i}\right)}{F_{i}}=\frac{\sigma\left(N_{i}\right)}{N_{i}} \frac{1-\exp \left(\infty \hat{F}_{i}\right)}{\hat{F}_{i}}
$$

where $F_{i}$ is the estimated value from $C A$ and $\hat{F}_{i}$ is the true value both of fishing mortality．
b the relative error from sampling errors in $C_{i}$ ：

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(C_{i}\right)}{C_{i}} \simeq \frac{\sigma\left(F_{i}\right)}{F_{i}}
$$

© the relative error from inaccuracy in $M$ is found to bias the $\mathrm{Fi}^{8}$ swith $25 \%$ provided $M$ is known $\pm 0.1$ and $F^{9} s \approx 0.7$ 。 The effect is increasing for smaller $\mathrm{F}^{3} \mathrm{~S}$ 。
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|  | (Pootom notes) | Skagerrak ITTa | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mortik Sea } \\ \text { Moxth Beat } \\ \text { IVa。E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North Sea } \\ & \text { North West } \\ & \text { IVa.W } \end{aligned}$ | ```North Sea Nentral IVb``` | South + Foglish Channal $\mathrm{IVc}+\mathrm{VIId}_{0} \mathrm{e}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 2 | - | " | $\cdots$ | 8 | 673 | 681 |
| Denmaxk | 1 | 26985 | 6219 | 44500 | 134649 | 25 | 212376 |
| Faroe Islaxda | 3 | 5636 | 239 | 25142 | 254. | - | 31.271 |
| Frenoe | 1.4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1514 | 5918 | 4450 | 10882 |
| Germaxy \%9, | 2 | - | 389 | - | 3421 | - | 3810 |
| Toeland | 1. 5 | 5834 | - | 42164 | 179 | - | 48172 |
| Netherlands | 1 | - | 267 | 5755 | 10172 | 16385 | 32479 |
| Noxway | 1 | 5961 | 10442 | 112114 | 14 | $\infty$ | 128531 |
| Poland | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | 1288 | 743 | - | 2031 |
| Swedea | 1,6 | 19763 | $\cdots$ | 4954 | 31. 926 | $=$ | 56643 |
| U.K. (England) | 1.7 | - | $\cdots$ | \% | 4113 | - | 4113 |
| W.K. (Scotland) | 1,8 | $\cdots$ | - | 24711 | 362 | $\cdots$ | 25073 |
| USSR | 1 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 18000 | - | - | 18000 |
| Total |  | 64179 | 17456 | 279142 | 191.759 | 21. 533 | 574069 |

Nominal eatoh of Eexing for industrial purposes in metrio tons fox 1971.
Skagerralk IIta
Noxth Sea Noxth West TVa,W North Een Nort' East TVa。E North Sea Contral TVb
Noxth Sea South + Finglush Channel IVo + VITd.e.

|  | Denmerk | Sweden | Nowwey | Gexmany Tor. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrals IITa | 24490 | 8500 | 5257 |  | 38247 |
| North Sea Noxth West IVa.W | 42224 |  | 100290 |  | 141514 |
| North Sea North East IVa.E | 5704 |  | 8160 |  | 13864 |
| North Sea Contral IVb | 132161 | 30000 | 7 | 3000 | 165168 |
| Noxth Sea South + Englush Channel IVo + VITd.e. | 25 |  |  |  | 25 |
| motal | 203604 | 38500 | 113714 | 3000 | 358818 |

## Footnotes to Apendix II

I. Submitted by Members of the Working Group.
2. From data submitted on STATLANT form 27A.
3. Faroese catches reported to be 30800 tons. Landings in Danish ports 31271 tons used in the table.
4. French landings at Boulogne-sur-Mer data submitted by A. Maucorps were raised by 1.25 to include the total French catches.
5. Icelandic total catches, excluding Skagerrak, were 47938 tons. Of this, 5600 tons were caught West of 4 W. The remaining 42338 tons were distributed according to landings in Danish ports from Division IVa.W and IVb. The Skagerrak catch was taken as the landings in Danish ports.
6. Swedish North Sea landings of herring for consumption, 6880 tons, distributed according to Swedish landings in Danish ports:

| IVa.W | $72 \%=4954$ tons |
| :--- | :--- |
| IVb | $28 \%=I 926$ tons |

Swedish landings for consumption from the Skagerrak: 11263 tons
Total Swedish landings for industrial purposes: 38500 tons according to Ackefors, were distributed as follows:

| North Sea IVb | 30000 tons |
| :--- | ---: |
| Skagerrak | 8500 tons |

7. English catches do not include coastal stocks.
8. Scottish data do not include catches from the Moray Firth.

[^0]:    x) General Secretary

    Tinternational Council for the Exploration of the Sea
    Charlottenlund Slot
    2920 Charlottenlund Denmerk

[^1]:    Data include some Kattegat catches.

[^2]:    \%) Year olass 1970 put equal to year olass 1969.

[^3]:    画 Finglish coastal abundance underestimated compared with 1968 and 1969.

