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## 2. Introduction

At its meeting in January 1969 the Group reviewed previous assessments of the north-east Arctic fisheries and in order to assist NEAFC in their consideration of the regulation of fishing mortality in this area, at a further meeting in February 1969 it prepared estimates of the catch that might be taken in the period 1969-71. After consideration of the Report by NEAFC and ICES the Group was asked by ICES (C.Res.1969/2:4) to meet again to update these earlier estimates of future catches in the light of the most recent developments in the fishery.

During the year the Group had reviewed the post 1946 data for the cod and haddock fisheries, which are necessary to prepare the required estimates of catches in 1970-71. These depend upon estimates of the absolute stock size in 1969, future changes in fishing mortality, and the number of juvenile fish recruiting to the fisheries.
3. Cod
a) The Status of the Fishery in 1968

Total nominal catches of cod, fishing effort and catch per unit effort are given in Tables 1-4. These are abstracted in Table 5 for comparison with the estimates of the catch expected in 1968 prepared at the last meeting on the assumption that fishing mortality would increase by 10 ponscers from its 1967 level.

The total landings increased more than had been expected. However, estimates of fishing effort show that this also increased considerably. The national data cannot be accurately evaluated in terms of the total effective effort on the entire stock because of its geographical distribution in three different areas but our best estimate is that fishing effort in 1968 increased by approximately 40 per cent from its 1967 level. Comparison of the change in catch per unit effort (stock abundance) shows better agreement though the improvement was slightly greater than anticipated. The overall increase is due mainly to the recruitment of the strong 1963 and 1964 year-classes, but the 1962 year-class is also more numerous than expected.

It should be noted that the estimates for catches in 1968-70 given in the last report depended to a large extent on the assumption that fishing mortality would increase by 10 per cent in 1968. This parameter of the calculation cannot be predicted in adrence because the amount of fishing deployed in a given area is to some extent a function of fishing prospects in other areas throughout the North Atlantic. In the event the increase in fishing effort, and hence mortality and catch in 1968, was much larger than anticipated though the estimates of stock abundance were accurate. Had the fishing effort increased by only 10 per cent total catched would have been about 850000 tons.

## b) Estimates of Fishing Mortality

Using the reviewed age-composition data, estimates of fishing mortality were revised using the virtual population technique. This : requires an assumption concerning the level of mortality in the most recent year. The value $F=1.12$ for fully recruited age-groups was selected from inspection of catch per unit effort data 1967/68. With appropriate adjustment for partially recruited age-groups based on the variation of fishing mortality with age in the period 1953-62, these give the estimates of fishing mortality for 1960-68 summarised in Table 6 a . Table 6 b gives a comparison between estimates of fishing mortality in 1967 derived by two different assumptions regarding fishing mortality in 1968 ( $F_{m a x}=1.12$ and $F_{\text {max }}=1.00$ ). The agreement between these two shows that estixates of fishing mortality in 1967 are not very sensitive to poor assumptions concerning fishing mortality in the final year.

The Group was, therefore, satisfied with estimates of mortality up to 1967, but those for 1968 cannot have the same level of accuracy. This is especially important because estimates of future catches depend critically on fishing mortality in 1968, and particularly upon the mortality of partially recruited 4-6 year-olds, because this mortality also determines estimates of stock size. It has to be emphasized that this mortality cannot be measured explicitly by known techniques; it can only be deduced from the fishing mortality on fully recruited age-groups and the pattern of variation of fishing mortality with age in earlier years. It is possible that this will have changed with the degree of concentration of the fishery on different age-groups. As its best estimate of fishing mortality for 1968, the Group has presumed an increase of 40 per cent from the level in 1967, as indicated by the fishery statistics, and it will be noted that these values when applied to the absolute stock do give the appropriate total catch in 1968.

This analysis thus gave estimates of absolute stock size at the beginning of 1969, and the fishing mortality up to that time, which is now close to its previous peak in 1962-63.

## c) Recruitment to the Fishery

The virtual population analysis gives estimates of the size of the year-classes up to 1964 which have recruited to the main fishery. No new data were available to revise previous estimates of year-classes 1965-68, which will recruit to the fishery in the period for which the projections are required, although very preliminary estimates of the 1965 year-class ( 3 year olds in 1968) confirm that it is extremely poor. The estimates of recruitment used are listed in Table 7, although the estimate for 1964 may be revised in later years, when the fishing mortality on it in 1968 can be measured more accurately.

## d) Estimates of Catch Quotas

Taking the parameters described in the preceding paragraphs the Group estimated catches in 1969 and in 1970-71 on a number of assumptions regarding future changes in fishing mortality.

Taking 1967 as the initial year (this being a baseline referred to in NEAFC discussions), the stock changes in 1967 and 1968 have been reconstructed from the estimated stock size and fishing mortality. In order to prepare estimates of stock Eize and catches for 1970 and 1971, it was also necessary to estimate changes that have taken place in 1969. Provisinnal estimates of catch for that year suggest landings will again be close to one million tons. Using the Group's estimates of stock at the beginning of 1969 this level of catch could only have been attained, if fishing mortality had been further increased to at least 60 per cent above its 1967 level. On the other hand, provisional fishing effort data (Table 3) suggest that changes in fishing by different nations may counterbalance each other to leave fishing mortality in 1969 close to its 1968 level, though we would expect this to give a catch less than the provisional figure indicatea. The estimates of catch in 1970-71 were, therefore, carried out for both possibilities, assuming further that in either of these years fishing mortality may be regulated to its 1967 level ( $\mathbb{F}_{\text {max }}=0.80$ ) and to the level previously determined to give the maximum yield per recruit ( $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m} a \mathrm{x}}=0.53$ ). This gives the matrix of possibilities of both fishing mortality and catches, which is given in Table 8.

The Group did not consider any larger reductions in fishing mortality, as were included in the previous report, nor did it carry out any further analyses, but it is still of the view that reductions in fishing mortality to a lower level would increase the probability of rich year-classes in the future for the reasons discussed in the Appendix to the 1969 report (C.M.1969/F:2).

## 4. Haddock

## a) The Status of the Fishery in 1968

The statistics for the years 1960-68 are given in Tables 9-11, and Table 12 compares the actual nominal catches, fishing effort and catch per unit effort in 1968 with those predicted in the last report. As for cod the catch in 1968 was greater than anticipated. Estimates of total international fishing effort based on $\mathrm{J} . \mathrm{K}$. units suggest an increase comparable to that expected, but the catch per unit effort increased slightly rather than decreased. The major part of these discrepancies is thought to have arisen from deficiences in the data available at the 1969 meeting. For example, the analysis of year-class strength based upon data reviewed during the year show that recruitment has been better than hitherto supposed.

## b) Estimates of Fishing Mortality and Recruitment

Estimates were derived by the methods used to analyse the cod fishery. An estimate of fishing mortality in fully recruited age-groups was derived from catch per unit effort data and used for entry into a virtual population analysis, assuming the variation of fishing mortality with age to have remained close to that of former years. Results of this analysis are summarized in Table 13. The fishing mortality is estimated to be rather lower then the value used for the previous prediction, but it does show an increase of some 30 per cent over the 1967 values, which is consistent with observed fishing effort data.

Estimates of recruitment for year-classes before 1964 have also been modified according to the revised data. For the year-classes 1965-68 which will recruit in the near future, USSR data suggest that the 1967 yearclass may be stronger than previously supposed, but otherwise estimates of recruitment for these years have not been changed. The estimated recruitment of 3 year old haddock in each year-class is summarized in Table 14 .

## c) Estimates of Catch Quotas 1970-71

The estimation has followed the method used for the cod fishery, reconstructing the stock and catches since 1967 with projections for 1969 , 1970 and 1971 according to an assumption concerning changes in fishing mortality in those years.

However, in view of the low level of catches predicted for 1970 and 1971, and bearing in mind the limitations of the data for this fishery (see below), the Group considered that it would not be meaningful to prepare the predictions in as much detail as for cod. We examined the catches to be expected if the fishing mortality remained at its 1968 level and was subsequently reduced to the 1967 level, or that required to give the maximum yield per recruit in either 1970 or 1971. The results are summarized in Table 15. It will be noted that the catch for 1968 is less than that observed. The Group considered that this discrepancy could be within the margin of error of the estimates of total international landings of haddock in terms of weight, and in terms of the numbers of haddock per unit weight which, in 1968 were not entirely consistent with the records from earlier years. Haddock landings by USSR are estimated as a proportion of the total landings of cod, haddock and coalfish, using a factor derived from the atches by scouting vessels. The total landings of these species by USSR in 1968 amounted to some 750000 tons. The discrepancy of 30000 tons between the observed and predicted catch of haddock is less than 5 per cent of the USSR catch of gadoid species and a part of it could be accounted for by small errors in the estimated proportion of haddock. The Group considered this aspect of the recording of statistics should be closely examined to seek improvement because small errors will have a significant effect on total haddock landings, especially if these are small in relation to the cod.
5. The Mixture of Arcto-Norwegian Cod and Coastal Cod in Norwegian Waters

The assessments carried out by the Working Group have dealt only with the Arcto-Morwegian cod. However, there is a second cod population living in Norwegian coastal waters which is distinguished by characteristics of their otolith structure. The coastal cod live in Norwegian waters throughout their lives whereas the Arcto-Norwegian cod visit the area at different times during the course of their annual migratory movements. Mature cod are caught as far south as the southern part of Division IIa, but outside the spawning season few Arcto-lorwegian cod, if any, are found south of Vestfjord, north of Vestfjord, and especially in the northern part of Division IIa and along the Finmark coast both types of cod are found throughout the year, with the proportion of caastal cod decreasing from the fjords towards the open sea areas. Samples of cod from outside the Norwegian fishing limits, in the Barents Sea and at Bear Island, have contained less than two per cent coastal cod (by numbers), and it is considered that their proportion in catches by other countries, especially in the northern part of Division IIa, has been insignificant in recent years. This interpretation is supported by tagging experiments in which the returns of coastal cod by trawlers fishing offshore have been very small companed to the returns of ArctoNorwegian cod tagged in the same locality. This difference in geographical distribution between the stocks is the justification for the estimation and exclusion from the total landings of cod in the north-east Arctic area, of all cod caught south of Vestfjord outside the spawning time, and the so-called fjord cod landed in the area Vestfjord-Troms outside the spawning time. Even so a proportion of coastal cod will be included in the total landings of Arcto-Norwegian cod. A detailed note on the allocation of Norwegian catches is given in Appendix I.

As an example, the total quantity of coastal cod excluded from Norwegian landings in Division IIa in 1968 was 50954 tons. A similar but less complex allocation is neeessary for haddock and resulted in the exclusion of 3206 tons in 1968 .

The coastal cod and the population of "other haddock" have long been recognised as being distinct from the main Arcto-Norwegian stocks fished offshore, and the levels of catches from them have been allocated by area and season for simplicity but this cannot be a precise division. The proportion of cod and haddock excluded contains some fish from the true Arcto-Norwegian stocks and, equally, some coastal fish are included in statistics of the main stock. This precludes a separate assessment of the coastal stocks, and this situation could only be changed by a substantial increase in sampling effort to detect the true proportions of the two groups in the landings.

The Group also noted that although coastal cod populations do occur along the USSR coast of Sub-Area I, their catches are included in the statistics for the Arcto-Norwegian stock.

## 6. The Accuracy of Estimates of Future Catches

There are three principal sources of error in the catch estimate, the assumption ooncerning fishing mortality in the final year of data (here 1968), which determines estimates of absolute stock size in the following year, the estimates of recruitment in future years, and the lack of the most up-to-date information about the fishery (1969). There are of course additional sources of error in random variation of the fishery, which cannot be predicted, e.g. changes in catchability and natural mortality.
a) Errors in Pstimates of Fishing Mortality

It is necessary to stress again the comment in para. 3.b), that the correct value of fishing mortality in the final year 1968 is essential for the accurate estimation of absolute stock size, but it cannot be measured explicitly; it can only be judged from recorded changes in fishing effort between the two final years 1967/68. Moreover, the age-groups contributing most to the fishery are only partially recruited and, therefore, the maximum fishing mortality judged from the effort change has to be adjusted by the pattern of recruitment in former years. This may have changed.

Errors from this source may over- or underestimate stock size according to the direction of the error. The Group also noted that the same error would also prevent accurate monitoring of the efficiency of regulation in the preceding year, but only in that year.

## b) Errors in Estimates of Recruitment in Future Years

Once year-classes are adequately represented in commercial catches (4-5 years old) the size of year-classes and stock can be estimated from the virtual population analysis. Estimates of future recruitment at present depend upon young-fish surveys. Statistical comparison of estimates of year-class strength from earlier surveys, which have also been evaluated from the comercial fishery show that the precision of these estimates is poor, especially when the abundance of young fish recorded in the surveys is low.

The young-fish surveys at present carried out in this area cannot do more than indicate the relative magnitude of successive year-classes. The error created may not be large for the estimate of catch for the first year for which a prediction is given, but in subsequent years the yearclasses recruited in those years will contribute a substantial part of the catch and at their worst the errors may be compounded.

This source of error together with the error in estimating fishing mortality makes it impossible for the Group to define confidence limits of the estimated catches.
c) Delay in the Compilation of Data

The time period involved in preparation of the data for the most recent year (here 1969) at present prevents these from being considered by the Group in its estimation of catches for the year immediately following (1970). This means that the estimated catch for the first year predicted (1970) will be based upon good measures of fishing mortality and stock three years previously (1967), a careful judgement of these parameters two years previously (1968), and a rough appraisal for the year immediately previous (1969) for which data are not available. This again, though undesirable, may not be too serious in a regulated fishery, but it could introduce additional errors at the inception of regulation. In the view of the Group this can only be overcome by greatly increased effort in data preparation at the national level, or by re-scheduling of the meetings that wish to consider the catch estimates.

Although it is not possible to define the accuracy of the catch quotas at this time, as scientists the Group felt an obligation to record the practical problems they have encountered in preparing the present estimates. If all sources of error were to be added together it is true that the cumulative error could be large, especially for the estimation of catches three years in advance but this does not mean that the estimates prepared here are misleading. The Group considers its estimate of catches for 1970 to be very realistic. Moreover it is anticipated that catch quotas may need to be reviewed annually so that the longer-term errors will not influence the quotas set for a given year. Precision can also be expected to improve with time as more knowledge and experience is accumulated.

Table 1. Cod. Total nominal catch by divisions
(metric tons). Revised figures.

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 380962 | 94599 | 155116 | 630677 |
| 1961 | 409694 | 222451 | 149122 | 781267 |
| 1962 | 548621 | 222611 | 138396 | 909628 |
| 1963 | 547469 | 113707 | 116924 | 778100 |
| 1964 | 202566 | 126029 | 108803 | 437398 |
| 1965 | 241489 | 103407 | 99855 | 444751 |
| 1966 | 292244 | 56568 | 134664 | 483476 |
| 1967 | 322781 | 121050 | 128729 | 572560 |
| 1968 | 642449 | 268908 | 162472 | 1073829 |
| $1969^{\text {Z }}$ |  |  |  | 102000 |

Table 2. Cod. Nominal catch by countries (Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb combined). Revised figures.

| Year | England | Germany | Norway | USSR | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 141175 | 9472 | 231997 | 213400 | 34633 | 630677 |
| 1961 | 157909 | 8129 | 268377 | 325780 | 21072 | 781267 |
| 1962 | 174914 | 6503 | 225615 | 476760 | 25836 | 909628 |
| 1963 | 129779 | 4223 | 205056 | 417954 | 21078 | 778100 |
| 1964 | 94549 | 3202 | 149878 | 180550 | 9219 | 437398 |
| 1965 | 89874 | 3670 | 197085 | 152780 | 1342 | 444751 |
| 1966 | 103012 | 4284 | 203792 | 169300 | 3088 | 483476 |
| 1967 | 87008 | 3632 | 218910 | 262340 | 670 | 572560 |
| 1968 | 140054 | 1073 | 255611 | 676758 | 333 | 1073829 |
| $1969^{\text {x }}$ | 230000 | 2000 | 300000 | 570000 |  | 102000 |

x) Provisional figures.
Table 3. Cod. Estimates of total international fishing effort

| Y ear | Sub-area I |  |  |  | Division IIb |  |  |  | Division IIa |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | National Effort |  | Total International Effort |  | National Effort |  | Total International Effort |  | National Effort |  | Total International Effort |  |
|  | UK ${ }^{1}$ | USSR ${ }^{2)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UK } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | USSR units | UK | USSR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UK } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | UEBR units | UK | Norway ${ }^{3)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UK } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | Norwegian units |
| 1960 | 95 | 43 | 512 | 91 | 42 | 11 | 97 | 34 | 39 | 10 | 232 | 26 |
| 1961 | 94 | 53 | 518 | 109 | 51 | 22 | 173 | 39 | 30 | 9 | 255 | 20 |
| 1962 | 93 | 61 | 590 | 94 | 51 | 16 | 168 | 29 | 34 | 10 | 210 | 21 |
| 1963 | 78 | 62 | 635 | 91 | 45 | 9 | 120 | 22 | 29 | 7 | 176 | 19 |
| 1964 | 42 | 30 | 35.1 | 55 | 49 | 17 | 136 | 32 | 36 | 6 | 157 | 17 |
| 1965 | 42 | 25 | 367 | 62 | 37 | 11 | 95 | 4 | 33 | 5 | 150 | 16 |
| 1966 | 63 | 33 | 387 | 69 | 23 | 16 | 71 | 29 | 46 | 5 | 199 | 15 |
| 1967 | 51 | 30 | 395 | 61 | 10 | 12 | 110 | 13 | 50 | 5 | 261 | 13 |
| 1968 | 86 | 45 | 584 | 67 | 9 | 24 | 151 | 26 | 52 | 6 | 288 | 15 |
| $1969{ }^{\text {x. }}$ ) |  | 37 |  |  | 12 | 17 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| 1) Hours fishing $x$ average tonnage $x 0^{-6}=$ millions of ton hours. <br> 2) Hours fishing (catch/catch per hour fishing) $\times 10^{-4}$. <br> 3) Number of men fishing at Lofoten $x 10^{-3}$. <br> x) Provisional figures. | 1) Hours fishing $x$ average tonnage $x 10^{-6}=$ millions of ton hours. <br> 2) Hours fishing (catch/catch per hour fishing) $\times 10^{-4}$. <br> 3) Number of men fishing at Lofoten $x 10^{-3}$. <br> x) Provisional figures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Cod. Catch per unit effort (metric tons, round fresh).

| Year | Sub-area I |  | Division IIb |  | Division IIa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UK $^{1}$ | USSR $^{2}$ | UK | USSR | UK | Norway |
| 1960 | 0.075 | 0.42 | 0.105 | 0.31 | 0.067 | 3.0 |
| 1961 | 0.079 | 0.38 | 0.129 | 0.44 | 0.058 | 3.7 |
| 1962 | 0.092 | 0.59 | 0.133 | 0.74 | 0.066 | 4.0 |
| 1963 | 0.085 | 0.60 | 0.098 | 0.55 | 0.066 | 3.1 |
| 1964 | 0.058 | 0.37 | 0.092 | 0.39 | 0.070 | 4.8 |
| 1965 | 0.066 | 0.39 | 0.109 | 0.49 | 0.066 | 2.9 |
| 1966 | 0.074 | 0.42 | 0.078 | 0.19 | 0.067 | 4.0 |
| 1967 | 0.081 | 0.53 | 0.106 | 0.87 | 0.052 | 3.5 |
| 1968 | 0.110 | 0.95 | 0.173 | 1.03 | 0.056 | 5.2 |

1) UK data - tons per 100 ton-hours fishing.
2) USSR data - tons per hour fishing.
3) Norwegian data - tons per gill-net boat week at Lofoten.
Tabie 5. Comparison of actual and predicted changes from 1967 to 1968 in

| Year | Total Nominal Catch |  | Fishing Effort (\% Change) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Catch per Unit of Effort |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Actual national effort |  |  |  | Estimated total international effort |  |  |  | Predicted totel International Effort |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Actual | Predioted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Predicted | Sub-area I |  | Div. IIb |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub-area I |  | Division IIb |  | Sub-area I |  | Div.IIb |  |
|  |  |  | UK | USSR | UK | USSR | uk units |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USSR } \\ & \text { s) units } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UK } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ |  | USSR units | UK | USSR | UK | USSR |
| 1967 | 573 | (571) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1968 | 1074 | 802 | 1.69 | 1.50 | . 90 | 2.00 | 1.48 | 1.10 | 1.37 | 2.00 | 1.10 | 1.36 | 1.79 | 1.63 | 1.18 | 1.27 |

Table 6a. Virtual population estimates of fishing

| Year | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | .04 | .04 | .05 | .02 | .02 | .02 | .04 | .04 | .06 |
| 4 | .17 | .22 | .25 | .18 | .12 | .11 | .10 | .16 | .25 |
| 5 | .28 | .42 | .56 | .60 | .29 | .35 | .23 | .20 | .52 |
| 6 | .38 | .44 | .73 | .86 | .40 | .38 | .36 | .25 | .71 |
| 7 | .34 | .46 | .53 | .86 | .50 | .34 | .40 | .45 | .69 |
| 8 | .38 | .59 | .58 | .78 | .65 | .46 | .50 | .60 | .74 |
| 9 | .32 | .66 | .69 | .84 | .89 | .65 | .65 | .76 | .85 |
| 10 | .61 | .67 | .88 | 1.06 | .72 | .63 | .72 | .82 | 1.12 |
| 11 | .74 | .79 | .68 | 1.22 | .69 | .63 | .37 | 1.06 | 1.12 |
| 12 | .58 | .86 | .66 | .74 | .75 | .27 | .54 | .68 | 1.12 |

Table 6b. Comparison of estimates of fishing mortality in 1967 using two different assumptions for fishing mortality in 1968.

Fishing mortality on fully recruited age-groups

| Age | 1967 | 1968 <br> (F.max = 1.12) | 1967 | 1968 <br> (F.max =1.00) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | .04 | .06 | .04 | .05 |
| 4 | .15 | .25 | .24 | .30 |
| 5 | .20 | .52 | .27 | .90 |
| 6 | .25 | .71 | .33 | 1.00 |
| 7 | .45 | .69 | .56 | 1.00 |
| 8 | .60 | .74 | .70 | 1.00 |
| 9 | .76 | .85 | .89 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 1.82 | 1.12 | .93 | 1.00 |
| 11 | 1.06 |  | 1.45 | 1.00 |

Table 7. Absolute number of 3-year old cod recruiting to the fishery.

| Year-class | Recruite $\times 10^{-6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1946 | 769 |
| 1947 | 1035 |
| 1948 | 1795 |
| 1949 | 1772 |
| 1950 | 2339 |
| 1951 | 960 |
| 1952 | 405 |
| 1953 | 626 |
| 1954 | 1118 |
| 1955 | 693 |
| 1956 | 914 |
| 1957 | 1028 |
| 1958 | 1233 |
| 1959 | 1034 |
| 1960 | 647 |
| 1961 | 403 |
| 1962 | 905 |
| 1963 | 1799 |
| 1964 | 1121 |
| 1965 | $(100)$ |
| 1966 | $(100)$ |
| 1968 | $(100)$ |
|  |  |

Table 8. Estimates of the nominal catch of cod
( 1000 tons) at selected levels of fishing mortality.
Fishing Mortality

| $F=0.80$ | 1967 level |
| :--- | :--- |
| $F=1.10$ | 1968 level and <br> assumption 1 ( $F=1967+40 \%)$ <br> for 1969 Ievel |
| $F=1.26$ | assumption 2 ( $F=1967+60 \%)$ <br> for 1969 level |
| $F=0.53$ | level of fishing mortality <br> giving maximum yield per <br> recruit (see 1968 Report) |

$Y_{W}=$ catch weight ('000 tons)

|  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumption 1, Fin 1969 = $1967+40 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| $\Psi_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 816 | 526 | 313 |
| $F$ | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.80 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 816 | 526 | 245 |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.53 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 816 | 526 | 174 |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | 571 | 1029 | 816 | 411 | 293 |
| $F$ | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.80 | 0.53 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | 571 | 1029 | 816 | 411 | 208 |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | 571 | 1029 | 816 | 291 | 244 |
| Assumption 2, F in $1969=1967+60 \%$ and is reduced again in $1970^{\text {x }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 914 | 483 | 290 |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 1.10 | 0.80 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | 571 | 1029 | 914 | 483 | 227 |
| $F$ | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 1.10 | 0.53 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 914 | 483 | 161 |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 914 | 377 | 271 |
| $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 0.80 | 0.53 |
| ${ }^{Y}$ W | 571 | 1029 | 914 | 377 | 192 |
| F | 0.80 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 571 | 1029 | 914 | 267 | 225 |

x) The Group considered it would be unrealistic to expect fishing mortality to remain at this high level $F=1.26$ in 1970 in view of the reduction in catch per unit effort implied by the reduced catches.

Table 9. HADDOCK. Total nominal catch by divisions (metric tons). Revised figures.

| Year | Sub-area I | Division IIb | Division IIa | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 125675 | 1854 | 27925 | 155454 |
| 1961 | 165165 | 2427 | 25642 | 193234 |
| 1962 | 160972 | 1727 | 25189 | 187888 |
| 1963 | 124774 | 939 | 21031 | 146744 |
| 1964 | 79056 | 1109 | 18735 | 98900 |
| 1965 | 98505 | 939 | 18640 | 118079 |
| 1966 | 124115 | 1614 | 34892 | 160621 |
| 1967 | 108066 | 440 | 27980 | 136486 |
| 1968 | 140970 | 725 | 40031 | 181726 |
| $1969^{\text {x }}$ |  |  |  | 132000 |

Table 10. HADDOCK. Nominal catch by countries (Sub-area I and Divisions IIa and IIb combined). Revised figures.

| Year | England | Germany | Norway | USSR | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 45469 | 5597 | 47263 | 57025 | 100 | 155454 |
| 1961 | 39625 | 6304 | 60862 | 85345 | 1098 | 193234 |
| 1962 | 37486 | 2895 | 54567 | 91940 | 1000 | 187888 |
| 1963 | 19809 | 2554 | 59955 | 63526 | 900 | 146744 |
| 1964 | 14653 | 1482 | 38695 | 43870 | 200 | 98900 |
| 1965 | 14314 | 1568 | 60447 | 41750 | - | 118079 |
| 1966 | 27723 | 2098 | 82090 | 48710 | - | 160621 |
| 1967 | 24158 | 1705 | 51954 | 57346 | 1323 | 136486 |
| 1968 | 40102 | 1867 | 64076 | 75654 | 27 | 181726 |
| 1969 x$)$ | 37000 | 1000 | 64000 | 30000 | - | 132000 |

x) Provisional figures.
Table 11. HADDOCK. Catch per unit effort and estimated total

| Year | Catch per effort (UK) Kilos/100 ton-hours |  |  | Fstimated total international effort in UK units$\frac{\text { Total catch in tons } \times 10^{-6}}{\text { tons } / 100 \text { ton-hours Sub-area I }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{I}{\text { Sub-anea }}$ | Divisions |  |  |
|  |  | IIa | IIb |  |
| 1960 | 33 | 34 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| 1961 | 29 | 36 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| 1962 | 23 | 42 | 2.5 | 8.2 |
| 1963 | 13 | 33 | 0.9 | 11.2 |
| 1964 | 18 | 18 | 1.6 | 5.5 |
| 1965 | 18 | 18 | 2.0 | 6.6 |
| 1966 | 17 | 34 | 2.8 | 9.4 |
| 1967 | 18 | 25 | 2.4 | 7.6 |
| 1968 | 19 | 50 | 1.0 | 9.6 |

Table 12. Comparison of actual and predicted changes from

| Year | Total nominal catch (1000 tons) |  | Total international fishing effort (\% change) |  | Catch per unit effort (\% change) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Predicted | Actual | Predicted | Actual | Predicted |
| 1967 | 136 | (137) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1968 | 182 | 86 | 1.26 | 1.20 | 1.06 | . 53 |

Table 13. Virtual population estimates of fishing mortality on haddock 1960-1968.

| Age Year | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | .03 | .02 | .02 | .01 | .01 | .02 | .01 | .02 |
| 3 | .20 | .17 | .20 | .12 | .08 | .08 | .13 | .06 | .16 |
| 4 | .38 | .49 | .60 | .69 | .32 | .25 | .47 | .32 | .40 |
| 5 | .50 | .69 | 1.07 | .94 | .71 | .48 | .62 | .58 | .60 |
| 6 | .60 | .70 | 1.04 | 1.04 | .87 | .73 | .76 | .56 | .80 |
| 7 | .50 | .71 | .61 | .94 | .85 | .69 | .91 | .57 | .80 |
| 8 | .54 | .80 | .61 | .49 | .81 | .64 | .57 | .71 | .80 |
| 9 | .71 | .57 | .96 | .64 | .72 | .71 | .58 | .37 | .80 |
| 10 | .38 | .31 | .27 | .58 | .21 | .18 | .33 | .64 | .80 |
| 11 | 1.18 | .45 | .20 | .21 | .64 |  | .64 | .64 | .80 |
| 12 | .37 |  | .31 | .14 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Numbers of 3 year old haddock in each year-class.


Table 15. Estimates of the nominal catch of haddock ( 1000 tons) at selected levels of fishing mortality.

Fishing Mortality

| $F=0.60$ | 1967 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $F=0.80$ | 1968 |
| $F=0.30$ | level of fishing mortality <br> giving maximum yield per recruit <br> (see 1969 Report) |


|  | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $F^{\prime}$ | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 130 | 151 | 130 | 100 | 74 |
| $F$ | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.60 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 130 | 151 | 130 | 100 | 59 |
| $F$ | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.30 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 130 | 151 | 130 | 100 | 33 |
| $F$ | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 130 | 151 | 130 | 81 | 68 |
| $F$ | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.30 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 130 | 151 | 130 | 81 | 38 |
| $F$ | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| $Y_{W}$ | 130 | 151 | 130 | 45 | 48 |

Appendix I. The allocation of catches to Arcto-Norwegian cod, coastal cod, and Arctic haddock in the Norwegian fishery statistics.

## 1. Lendings of Arcto-Morwegian Cod in Division IIa.

These represent the total catch of cod in this Division from about the 25 th January to 20 th April (i.e. the observed spawaing season) irrespective of locality. Landings of cod from the coastal areas of Enb-area I between the end of January and 20th March are also included, since they are drawn from the same biological community as the fish landed in Division IIa.

Landings of cod from areas south of Lofoten outside these times are taken to be coastal cod and are excluded from the landings.

Landings in Division IIa, Lofoten-Troms during the remainder of the year, less a small amount of 'fjord' cod, are recorded as caught in Sub-area I.

## 2. Landings of Arcto-Norwegian Cod in Sub-area I.

The landings are the recorded total taking into account the adjustments noted above.

## 3. Landings of Arcto-Norwegian Cod in Division IIb.

These landings represent the total landing unmodified.
4. Landings of Haddock.

The landings of haddock in the area Lofoten-Troms from gears other than trawl, and the catch of trawlers fishing north of Vestfjord are recorded as taken in Division IIa. All haddock caught south of Lofoten are excluded. $\Delta l l$ haddock landed in Sub-area I are included in the statistics reported to tho Working Group.
5. The quantity of cod and haddock excluded by this allocation by time and area have been estimated for 1968:-

COD From the area south of Lofoten in spawning time, which does contain some coastal cod, 9773 tons were included. Cod taken south of Lofoten at other times of the year are excluded 29400 tons

Coastal cod and 'fjord' cod taken in the LofotenTroms area outside the spawning season and excluded 21554 tons

Total quantity of cod excluded from Norwegian
landings ....................................................... 50954 tons
HADDOCK All landings south of Lofoten excluded ................ 3206 tons

